

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

AUGUST DEKKER, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JASON WEIDA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

**MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA ISSUED TO DR. MIRIAM GROSSMAN
AND SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

Miriam Grossman, MD, through her counsel, moves to quash the subpoena directed to her in the above-captioned case because the information sought by the subpoena is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of any party to the case, and because complying with it would be unreasonable, oppressive, and unduly burdensome.

This case is not about Dr. Grossman or her personal beliefs or opinions. This case involves the proper construction of the Medicaid Act and the reasonableness of the State of Florida's determination that certain treatments are experimental. Order Den. Prelim. Inj. at 4, ECF No. 64 (the "Court's Order").

The Plaintiffs allege that Dr. Grossman participated on a panel of doctors during a public hearing held by the Florida Agency for Health Care and Administration (“AHCA”) about its proposed rule to prohibit Florida Medicaid from covering “services for the treatment of gender dysphoria” (the “Proposed Rule”). *See* Compl. ¶¶ 103, 106-07.

On January 18, 2023, the Plaintiffs’ counsel subpoenaed Dr. Grossman. Ex. 1. The subpoena requested that she produce, by January 20, 2023, twenty-five separate categories of documents and information, broadly ranging from documents available in the public domain, to information about Dr. Grossman’s charitable and political contributions, to her participation in “rall[ies]” and “gathering[s],” to her private communications with persons at various organizations, and, in almost every category, covering a period of time stretching over eight years. *E.g.*, Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶¶ 23-24, On January 31, 2023, Plaintiffs’ counsel served Dr. Grossman again with another copy of the same subpoena. Ex. 2.

Facially, the subpoena is unduly burdensome and oppressive, seemingly designed to punish Dr. Grossman, a practicing psychiatrist, author, and public speaker, for daring to speak in a manner with which the Plaintiffs disagree.

For the reasons set forth below and in Dr. Grossman’s accompanying Declaration, the subpoena should be quashed.

SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LAW

I. Background

This case has nothing to do with Dr. Grossman. Instead, it involves the proper construction of the Medicaid Act and the reasonableness of a state agency's determination that certain medical treatments are experimental. Court's Order at 4-5.

Florida has long barred payments for physician services that are "clinically unproven [or] experimental." Fla. Stat. § 409.905(9). According to the Court, this statute is "unquestionably valid, at least on its face." Court's Order at 2. Under the Court's Order, the controlling question in this litigation is "whether applying the provision to the gender-dysphoria treatments at issue violates the United States Constitution or federal law." *Id.*

Dr. Grossman is a practicing psychiatrist, author, and public speaker. Ex. 3 ¶¶ 3, 7-8. On July 8, 2022, AHCA held a public hearing on the Proposed Rule with a panel of doctors available to respond to comments from the audience. Compl. ¶¶ 106-07. Dr. Grossman attended virtually, but she did not speak or answer any questions from the audience during the hearing. Ex. 3 ¶ 9. Without explaining its relevance, the Plaintiffs further allege that Dr. Grossman has, at some other time, stated that "conditioning children into believing that a lifetime of impersonating

someone of the opposite sex, achievable only through chemical and surgical interventions, is harmful to youths.” Compl. ¶ 110.

On January 5, 2023, Dr. Grossman received an e-mail from Soraya Garcia, a Practice Support Specialist at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP. Ex. 4. The email attached a subpoena signed by Jennifer Altman, an attorney, and was addressed to “Mirian [sic] Grossman MD, 1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025” listing “Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017” as the place of production. Ex. 5. After seeing the e-mail, Dr. Grossman called Pillsbury that same day and told Ms. Garcia and Joe Little, an attorney, that she no longer lived in California, and that she had never lived at the address on the face of the subpoena attached to the e-mail. Ex. 3 ¶ 13. This conversation was memorialized by Mr. Little in another e-mail to Dr. Grossman. Ex. 6.

Almost two weeks later, on the evening of January 18, 2023, Dr. Grossman was served at her residence in New York with a printed copy of the subpoena. Ex. 3 ¶ 14. This subpoena, signed by Jennifer Altman, was still addressed to “Mirian [sic] Grossman MD, 1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025,” and it still listed “Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017” as the place of production. Ex. 1. On January 31, 2023, Dr. Grossman was

served again at her residence with yet another copy of the subpoena, along with all its defects. Ex. 3 ¶ 16.

The subpoena demands Dr. Grossman produce twenty-five categories of documents, communications, and other information. These include: “All Documents evidencing organizations, associations, and political action committees to which You have contributed money or services and that promote anti-transgender ideas.”¹ Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶ 23. And “All Documents sufficient to identify your affiliation with any of the following organizations: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Associations; Christian Medical & Dental Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.” *Id.* ¶ 11. And “All communications You have had with any person at any of the following organizations [listed above].” *Id.* ¶ 25. It even demands “All Documents evidencing Your participation in any protest, rally, or other gathering promoting anti-transgender ideas”. *Id.* ¶ 24.

The subpoena’s demands are as overly broad as they are intrusive. It defines “You” and “Your” as: “you individually, as well as your present or former attorneys,

¹ The subpoena defines “Anti-transgender ideas” as “any view advocating for the curtailing of any medical treatment of gender dysphoria by private or public medical organizations.” Ex. 1, Definitions ¶ 1.

agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors [sic], partners, heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on your behalf.” Ex. 1, Definitions ¶ 8. Furthermore, it instructs Dr. Grossman that, except for the two categories which cover a period of five years, all the categories cover a period spanning over eight years. *See Ex. 1*, Instrs. ¶ 3. The subpoena affirms that “all terms shall be given their most expansive and inclusive interpretation.” Ex. 1, Instrs. ¶ 11.

II. Standard of Review

Parties to litigation, and their counsel, must comply with the limitations on discovery imposed by Rule 26(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See, e.g., AFSCME Council 79 v. Scott*, 277 F.R.D. 474, 476 (S.D. Fla. 2011) (citing *Chamberlain v. Farmington Sav. Bank*, No. 3:06CV01437 CFD, 2007 WL 2786421, at *1 (D. Conn. Sept. 25, 2007); *Stewart v. Mitchell Transp.*, No. 0CIV.A. 01-2546-JWL, 2002 WL 1558210, at *3 (D. Kan. July 11, 2002)). Most pertinent to this Motion are Rule 26’s requirements that any discovery be “relevant to any party’s claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case ... and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit.” FED. R. CIV. P. 26(b)(1).

As to relevancy, “the language of Rule 26 [indicates that] the relevance of information sought in discovery depends on the claims asserted in the underlying action and the legal standards that govern those claims.” *Jordan v. Comm’r, Mississippi Dep’t of Corr.*, 947 F.3d 1322, 1329 (11th Cir. 2020).

As to burden:

The undue burden analysis requires the court to balance the interests served by demanding compliance with the subpoena against the interests furthered by quashing it. Several factors have been identified as pertinent to the analysis, including the relevance of the information requested to the underlying litigation and the burden that would be imposed by producing it. The status of the subpoena recipient as a non-party is also a factor that can weigh against disclosure in the undue burden inquiry.

Id. at 1337 (cleaned up).

In weighing the burden, district courts consider whether the subpoena invades privacy or confidentiality interests and whether it seeks information beyond what the requesting party reasonably requires. *Virginia Dep’t of Corr. v. Jordan*, 921 F.3d 180, 189-90 (4th Cir. 2019). In weighing the likely benefit, courts consider the requesting party’s need for the information sought—a de minimis benefit will not suffice—and what information is available to the requesting party from other sources. *Id.* at 189. Because non-parties “have no dog in [the] fight,” and they have “a different set of expectations from the parties themselves,” the scope of discovery sought from non-parties “must be limited even more.” *Id.* (citing *Cusumano v. Microsoft Corp.*, 162 F.3d 708, 717 (1st Cir. 1998)) (internal quotations omitted).

Furthermore, “when relevancy is not apparent, the burden is on the party seeking discovery to show the relevancy of the discovery request.” *AFSCME*, 277 F.R.D. at 477 (quoting *Dean v. Anderson*, 2002 WL 1377729, at *2 (D. Kan. June 6, 2002)).

Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure commands that a court “must quash or modify a subpoena” that “(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply; (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c) or, (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(3)(A) (cleaned up). In considering a non-party’s obligation to respond to a subpoena, courts consider relevance, the requesting party’s need for documents, the breadth of the document request, and the time covered by the request. *American Airlines v. In Charge Marketing*, 2012 WL 2116349, *2 (M.D. Fla. June 11, 2012).

“While Rule 45 does not specifically identify irrelevance as a reason to quash a subpoena, it is generally accepted that the scope of discovery allowed under Rule 45 is limited by the relevancy requirement of the federal discovery rules.” *Jordan*, 947 F.3d at 1329 (11th Cir. 2020). “Thus, a subpoena issued under Rule 45 should be quashed to the extent it seeks irrelevant information.” *Id.*

Finally, Rule 45 also mandates that the attorney issuing a subpoena “must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena.” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(1). *See Jordan*, 947 F.3d at 1329. A court “must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate

sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorneys’ fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(1).

III. Argument

This Court must quash the subpoena the Plaintiffs issued to Dr. Grossman. The information the subpoena seeks is irrelevant and not proportional to the needs of any party to the case. Further, complying with the subpoena would be unreasonable, oppressive, and unduly burdensome.

Dr. Grossman is not a Florida state official. She did not decide whether the medical procedures at issue in this case are “experimental” or not. She merely appeared virtually before a state agency engaged in public fact-finding. Ex. 3 ¶ 9.

In an attempt to punish her for participating in a public process, and to deter others from participating in similar public proceedings and expressing similar opinions, the Plaintiffs have targeted her with a subpoena that appears to be designed to harass and intimidate Dr. Grossman—making outrageously broad and oppressive demands for twenty-five separate categories of information, such as documents relating to her charitable donations and political contributions. *See* Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶ 23.

This Court has already determined that the controlling issue in this case is whether applying a facially valid state statute to the treatments at issue violates the United States Constitution or federal law. Court’s Order at 2. Documents reflecting

Dr. Grossman’s personal beliefs, communications, charitable or political contributions, participation in a “rally” or “gathering”—and anything else for that matter—are not only disproportional to the needs of this case—they are entirely irrelevant.

A. The Court Must Quash the Subpoena Because the Likely Benefit of the Requested Information is De Minimis.

Plaintiffs allege that a rule adopted by the Defendants violates the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, Section 1557 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, and the Medicaid Act’s Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment provisions. Compl. ¶ 9-11. This Court determined that the controlling question in this case is whether the Defendants made a reasonable determination that the treatments at issue are experimental. Court’s Order at 4. Thus, the relevance of any information sought by the Plaintiffs and their counsel must relate to those issues. *Jordan*, 947 F.3d at 1329 (11th Cir. 2020).

Although the Plaintiffs have not shown a need for the requested information needed to answer the Court’s question, the subpoena demands Dr. Grossman produce, among other things, the following:

“A list of all matters in which, during the previous 5 years, You have testified as an expert including, without limitation, at an administrative hearing, court hearing, trial, or deposition” irrespective of the subject matter on which she testified Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶ 1.

“All transcripts from any testimony You have given, whether at a deposition, hearing, trial, or any other proceeding identified in response to Request No. 1 above that relate to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria” irrespective of whether that testimony was relied upon by the Defendants. *Id.* ¶ 2.

“All expert reports² or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria” irrespective of whether those reports or declarations were ever submitted to the Defendants or relied upon by the Defendants. *Id.* ¶ 3.

Additionally, the subpoena not only demands Dr. Grossman produce her list of matters, her transcripts, and her expert reports and declarations, but it also demands that she produce the responsive lists of matters, transcripts, and expert reports and declarations for all her “present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors [sic], partners, heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on [her] behalf.” Ex. 1, Definitions ¶ 8. Moreover, the subpoena instructs that, for requests

² If Plaintiffs’ subpoena seeks information to bolster its evidence that the treatments at issue are medically necessary and not experimental, the Court should quash the subpoena for seeking to obtain Dr. Grossman’s “trade secret[s] or other confidential research, development, or commercial information” or “an unretained expert’s opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert’s study that was not requested by a party.” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(3)(B).

which do not specify a time period, “the time period covered by these Requests is January 1, 2015 to the present.” Ex. 1, Instrs. ¶ 3.

Neither this information, nor any other category of information requested by the subpoena, have any bearing on the controlling question in this case. To prevail, the Plaintiffs must prove that the state was unreasonable in determining that the treatments at issue are experimental. *See* Court’s Order at 4. For the information requested by the subpoena to be relevant, Dr. Grossman’s testimonies, reports, and declarations from the past five to eight years would need to bear on the reasonableness of the state in making its determination in the year 2022. Because the requested information does not, it is irrelevant. *See Jordan*, 947 F.3d at 1334.

B. The Court Must Quash the Subpoena Because it Fails to Allow a Reasonable Time to Comply.

Upon service of the subpoena on January 18, 2023, the subpoena demanded that Dr. Grossman—a nonparty to the case—produce within *two days*, among other things, the following:

“All Your social media posts, including those on Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, and YouTube, relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.” Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶ 13.

“All presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements You have given relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.” *Id.* ¶ 14.

“All notes, outlines, presentation slides, or documents related to the presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria identified in response to Request No. 14 above.” *Id.* ¶ 15.

Additionally, the subpoena not only demands that Dr. Grossman produce her own social media posts; her own presentations, speeches, and other speaking engagements; and her own notes, outlines, presentation slides, and documents related to the presentations, speeches, and other speaking engagements; but it also demands that she produce the social media posts; the presentations, speeches, and other speaking engagements; and the notes, outlines, presentation slides and documents related to the presentations, speeches, and other speaking engagements of Dr. Grossman’s “present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors [sic], partners, heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on [her] behalf.” Ex. 1, Definitions ¶ 8. Moreover, the subpoena instructs that “the time period covered by these Requests is January 1, 2015 to the present.” Ex. 1, Instrs. ¶ 3.

None of these things have any bearing on the question presented in this case. Court’s Order at 4 (the question controlling here is whether the state’s determination

that these treatments are experimental is reasonable). But even if they were relevant, demanding that Dr. Grossman produce such a massive amount of information in such a short period of time is facially unreasonable. FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(i). *See also Minor I Doe through Parent I Doe v. Sch. Bd. for Santa Rosa Cnty., Fla.*, No. 3:08CV361/MCR/EMT, 2009 WL 10674249, at *2 (N.D. Fla. Nov. 23, 2009) (“Ordinarily, the rule would require the court to quash a subpoena involving a voluminous records request which provides only four days within which to comply.”). Here, Dr. Grossman was given half that time. *See also, Progressive Emu Inc. v. Nutrition & Fitness Inc.*, 785 F. App’x 622, 627 (11th Cir. 2019) (when a non-party was only provided two or three days to comply, it was deemed a “quintessential example of the directive that a lawyer should not serve a subpoena that would impose undue burden or expense on the person subject to the subpoena”).

C. The Court Must Quash the Subpoena Because it Fails to Comply with Rule 45(c).

Rule 45 permits a subpoena to command the production of documents “at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person[.]” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(c)(2)(A).

The Plaintiffs’ subpoena commanded the production of documents at a location in Los Angeles, California—which is more than 2,000 miles away from where Dr. Grossman resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person. *See Ex. 3* ¶ 2. Even after notifying Plaintiffs’ counsel that she does not reside at the

location on the face of the Subpoena, Plaintiffs' counsel reissued the subpoena twice to the same address with the same demand for production at the same location more than 2,000 miles away from where the Subpoena was served. Accordingly, it is facially defective and ineffective. *See Progressive Emu Inc.*, 785 F. App'x at 628 (upholding the district court's determination to quash a non-party subpoena because it, among other things, required compliance "beyond the 100-mile geographical limit of Rule 45(c)"); *Cincinnati Ins. Co. v. Cochran*, 198 F. App'x 831 (11th Cir. 2006) (upholding the district court's determination to quash a non-party subpoena and impose sanctions against the issuing attorney "because the subpoena failed to comply in many respects with the requirements of Rule 45."); *see also Rifkin/Miami Mgmt. Corp. v. Metro. Dade Cnty.*, No. 4:98MC24, 1998 WL 344368 (N.D. Fla. Apr. 24, 1998) (Hinkle, J.) (Order quashing subpoena issued because the subpoena required a non-party corporation to produce documents and present a representative to testify at a location more than 100 miles where the non-party resided or conducted business).

D. The Court Must Quash the Subpoena Because It Is Unreasonable, Oppressive, and Unduly Burdensome.

The subpoena subjects Dr. Grossman, a non-party, to the undue burden of gathering and reviewing twenty-five broadly described categories of documents and information, almost all of which cover a period of time of over eight years, and none

of which has any bearing on the issues in this case, in two days.³ “The undue burden analysis requires the court to ‘balance the interests served by demanding compliance with the subpoena against the interests furthered by quashing it.’” *Jordan*, 947 F.3d 1322; *See also Cytodyne Tech. v. Biogenic Tech.*, 216 F.R.D. 533, 535 (M.D. Fla. 2003) (“case law in this area reveals a case-specific balancing test wherein the court must weigh such factors such as relevance, the need of the party for the documents, the breadth of the document request, and the time period covered by the request against the burden imposed on the person ordered to produce the desired information.”).

“[T]o determine whether [a] subpoena subjects the subpoena recipient to an undue burden ... a subpoena recipient’s status as a non-party to the litigation is also a factor that can weigh against disclosure.” *Jordan*, 947 F.3d at 1342. The subpoena would be unreasonable and burdensome even if Dr. Grossman were a party. But Dr. Grossman is not a party. Instead, she is a private citizen who has been subjected to oppressive legal process simply for exercising her First Amendment right to testify in a public proceeding. As a matter of law, she is entitled to be protected from “significant expense resulting from compliance.” FED. R. CIV. P. 45(d)(2)(B)(ii).

³ Because an assertion of privilege would require the case-by-case review of such a voluminous set of records, Dr. Grossman also requests the Court to quash the subpoena insofar as it would require the “disclosure of privileged or other protected matter.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A)(iii).

“A court may find that a subpoena presents an undue burden when the subpoena is facially overbroad.” *Ubiquiti Networks, Inc. v. Kozumi USA Corp.*, 295 F.R.D. 517, 527 (N.D. Fla.), *objections overruled*, 981 F. Supp. 2d 1207 (N.D. Fla. 2013) (quoting *Wiwa v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co.*, 392 F.3d 812, 818 (5th Cir. 2004)). Here, the burden of demonstrating reasonableness rests on the Plaintiffs. *See In re Subpoena Duces Tecum*, 1997 WL 10919 at *2; *Concord Boat Corp.*, 1996 WL 705260 at *2. They must show why Dr. Grossman, for the apparent offense of virtually appearing at a public hearing, must turn over almost a decade of private information.

The Plaintiffs have alleged that Dr. Grossman participated on a panel of doctors during a public hearing held by the AHCA about its Proposed Rule. *See* Compl. ¶¶ 103, 106-07. They do not allege she is a state or federal official. Their subpoena is vast, covering “All Documents” and “All Communications” relating to Dr. Grossman’s medical practice and work “relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria” as well as her affiliations and communications with unnamed individuals who are mentioned nowhere in the complaint. Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶¶ 4-7, 9, 11, 21-25. The Plaintiffs demand that Dr. Grossman produce “All Communications” between herself and “any other person who has provided a declaration in the above-referenced matter” and “any other person who has provided a declaration or affidavit in any other action relating to transgender issues, gender

identity, or Gender Dysphoria” even though Dr. Grossman has no reason to know who has or has not provided declarations or affidavits in unnamed cases to which she is not a party. *See Ex. 1*, Reqs. Produc. ¶¶ 8-9.

The Plaintiffs have no good faith basis for their demands. *See Diamond State Ins. Co. v. His House, Inc.*, 2011 WL 147008, *4 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 18, 2011) (quashing subpoena insofar as it requested “communications [that] have no relation to the events that gave rise” to the plaintiff’s claim). Even seeking communications from the year 2015, notwithstanding that the requests are unrelated to the above captioned case about a state regulation finally issued on August 20, 2022, is unduly burdensome. Compl. ¶ 120; *see Jordan*, 947 F.3d 1342 (“to determine whether the subpoena subjects the subpoena recipient to an undue burden ... the relevance of the requested information to the underlying litigation, or the lack thereof, is important.”); *Diamond State Ins. Co.* at *5 (noting that party “has failed to provide any limitations on the temporal scope of its requests” and quashing subpoena insofar as it sought production of documents outside “the time frame directly related to the events surrounding” the claims).

Additionally, the Subpoena defined “You” and “Your” as: “you individually, as well as your present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors [sic], partners, heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives,

and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on your behalf.” Ex. 1, Definitions ¶ 8. Consequently, the subpoena requests, among other things, “All documents evidencing organizations, associations, and political action committees to which [Dr. Grossman, her present or former attorneys, her agents, her employees, her representatives, and her legal representatives] have contributed money or services and that promote anti-transgender ideas.” Ex. 1, Reqs. Produc. ¶ 23. Such an overly broad scope for nearly every demand in the subpoena is facially absurd. *Cf. Progressive Emu Inc.*, 785 F. App'x at 628 (“the subpoena was grossly overbroad and unduly burdensome, requiring the production of ‘any and all documents’ that ‘refer to, relate to, or evidence’ 10 categories of documents over a ten-year period.”).

Other categories of documents requested from Dr. Grossman in the subpoena can be obtained from parties to the case, or from public records requests, such as Dr. Grossman’s communications with various Florida government agencies. Subpoena Reqs. Produc. ¶¶ 17, 20-22. Given that the information is obtainable from less burdensome sources, the Plaintiffs should seek to obtain the information from the parties before seeking it from a non-party.⁴ *Virginia Dep’t of Corr.*, 921 F.3d at 189

⁴ Even if certain categories of information requested by the subpoena were somehow relevant because the Defendants relied on Dr. Grossman in its determination that the treatments at issue were experimental—which the Plaintiffs have not alleged—the Plaintiffs should seek to obtain that information from the Defendants.

(“the requesting party should be able to explain why it cannot obtain the same information, or comparable information that would also satisfy its needs, from one of the parties to the litigation—or, in appropriate cases, from other third parties that would be more logical targets for the subpoena.”).

IV. The Court Should Award Dr. Grossman Her Costs and Attorneys’ Fees

The Plaintiffs may not like what Dr. Grossman has to say about the medical issues in this case. But that does not give them leave to abuse their subpoena authority to harass, oppress, vex, and attempt to silence her, and others who have similar views. Ex. 3 ¶¶ 17-20.

If the Court grants Dr. Grossman her requested relief, then she respectfully requests that the Court also award appropriate costs and attorneys’ fees under Rule 45(d)(1) against the Plaintiffs and their counsel. *See, e.g., Cincinnati Ins. Co. v. Cochran*, 2005 WL 5277205, *1-2 (N.D. Fla. Mar. 10, 2005) (ordering party’s attorney to pay attorneys’ fees to non-party after court issued a protective order in favor of the non-party).

The subpoena power of this Court should not be used so carelessly. Plaintiffs’ counsel, Ms. Altman,⁵ after being notified of errors on the face of the subpoena,

⁵ Ms. Altman is the Managing Partner of the Pillsbury Miami office and should know better than to repeatedly serve a non-party with such burdensome requests in a subpoena that is facially invalid under Rule 45 for violating the Rule’s geographic limits. Even after a process server was hired to serve Dr. Grossman at her residence

failed to correct them and reissued the same defective subpoena and served it upon Dr. Grossman twice. Additionally, Plaintiffs' counsel has an affirmative duty under Rule 45(d)(1) to take reasonable steps to avoid undue burden and expense on a person subject to the subpoena. Plaintiffs' counsel clearly disregarded their obligations under Rule 45 and issued a subpoena to a non-party that would not even have passed muster as a discovery request directed to a party. The Court should sanction such behavior and award Dr. Grossman her lost earnings and reasonable attorneys' fees for having to file this Motion.

CONCLUSION

This Court should quash Plaintiffs' subpoena to Dr. Grossman.

LOCAL RULES CERTIFICATIONS

Counsel for the movants conferred with counsel for all adverse parties and thus complied with the attorney-conference requirement of Local Rule 7.1(B). Plaintiffs oppose this motion.

This motion contains 4,715 words and therefore complies with the word-count requirement of Local Rule 7.1(F).

[signature page follows]

in New York, the subpoena continued to list an invalid California address for Dr. Grossman.

Dated: February 6, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Daniel E. Nordby
Daniel E. Nordby (FBN 14588)
SHUTTS & BOWEN LLP
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 804
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 241-1717
DNordby@shutts.com
CHill@shutts.com

Michael Ding*
D.C. Bar No. 1027252
AMERICA FIRST LEGAL FOUNDATION
611 Pennsylvania Ave SE #231
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel.: (202) 964-3721
E-mail: michael.ding@aflegal.org

*Pro hac vice motion forthcoming

Counsel for Miriam Grossman, MD

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Florida

AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,

Plaintiff

v.

SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.,

Defendant

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

**SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To:

Mirian Grossman MD
1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

Production: **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See attached

Place: Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite
3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Date and Time:

01/20/2023 9:00 am

Inspection of Premises: **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:

Date and Time:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 12/20/2022

CLERK OF COURT

OR

/s/ Jennifer Altman

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)*

AUGUST DEKKER, et al., who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Jennifer Altman, 600 Brickell Ave., Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, jennifer.altman@pillsburylaw.com, (786) 913-4831

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____.

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____.

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Confidential Attachment to Subpoena

AUGUST DEKKER, et al. v. SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.

N.D. Fla. Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

You are commanded to produce at the time, date and place set forth in the accompanying subpoena, the following documents, electronically stored information (ESI), or objects, and to permit inspection or copying of the material.

DEFINITIONS

1. "Anti-transgender ideas" means any view which expresses the idea that being transgender is wrong, or that transgender people are suspect, immoral, or not deserving of rights or protections. It also means any view advocating for the curtailing of any medical treatment of gender dysphoria by private or public medical organizations.

2. "Communication" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: any written, oral, or electronic exchange or transmission of information by any means, including face-to-face conversation, in-person meeting, mail, telephone, electronic mail, facsimile, instant message, social media, and the Internet.

3. "Document" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: the complete original or a true, correct, and complete copy and any non-identical

copies of any written, recorded, or graphic material, no matter how produced, recorded, stored, or reproduced, including any writing, letter, envelope, telegram, electronic mail, attachment to electronic mail, facsimile, message, instant message, voicemail, meeting minute, memorandum, statement, book, publication, record, survey, map, study, report, handwritten note, drawing, working paper, chart, tabulation, graph, tape, data sheet, data processing card, printout, microfilm, microfiche, photograph, index, scientific notebook, appointment book, diary, diary entry, calendar, desk pad, telephone message slip, and any other data compilation in Your possession, custody, or control. The term “Document” includes, without limitation, Communications. A draft, version, or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term.

4. “GAPMS Memo” refers to June 2022 publication titled “Florida Medicaid: Generally Accepted Professional Medical Standards Determination on the Treatment of Gender Dysphoria” by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration.

5. “Gender Dysphoria” refers to the clinically significant distress or impairment related to the incongruence between one’s experienced/expressed gender and their assigned sex at birth, including their primary and/or secondary sex characteristics. For purposes of these Requests, “Gender Dysphoria” shall include: (a) the diagnoses for “Gender dysphoria in adolescents and adults” and “Gender

dysphoria in children,” as defined within *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-5-TR); (b) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Adolescents and Adults,” “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” and “Gender Identity Disorder Not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* (DSM-IV) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-IV-TR); (c) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Gender Identity Disorder of Adolescence or Adulthood, Nontranssexual Type,” and “Gender Identity Disorder not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Revision* (DSM-III-TR); (d) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Atypical Gender Identity Disorder,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition* (DSM-III); and (e) the diagnoses for “gender incongruence of adolescence and adulthood” and “gender incongruence of childhood,” as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision* (ICD-11); and the diagnoses for

“transsexualism” and “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories, as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision* (ICD-10) and *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9).

6. The term “person” means any natural person, partnership, association, corporation, joint venture, trust, community group, government or subdivision of any government (including any instrumentality, bureau, department, office, or agency of any government), not-for-profit enterprise, or other business entity, and all present and former officers, directors, agents, administrators, managers, representatives, contractors, consultants, employees, or other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of such person.

7. The terms “related to,” “relating to,” “referring,” or “concerning” mean discussing, describing, reflecting, involving, including, containing, analyzing, studying, reporting, referring, showing, supporting, embodying, identifying, commenting, evidencing, constituting, setting forth, considering, recommending, concerning, pertaining, or in any way logically or factually connected with the matter discussed, in whole or in part.

8. “You” and “Your” means you individually, as well as your present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors, partners,

heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on your behalf.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Your answers to these Requests, and all productions of Documents responsive to these Requests, shall be made within fourteen (14) days of service of these Requests.

2. All responses to these Requests should be directed to: Jennifer Altman, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, Email: jennifer.altman@pillsbury.com, cc: soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com.

3. Unless otherwise specified, the time period covered by these Requests is January 1, 2015 to the present. If it is necessary to refer to periods of time prior to January 1, 2015 to respond to a Request, please do so.

4. Documents relevant and responsive to the Requests shall be gathered from all professional as well as personal files, computers and electronic devices, including personal and professional email, instant messaging, and cloud-based storage accounts.

5. All Documents are to be produced in electronic form pursuant to a protocol that may be agreed to among the parties.

6. If there is an objection to any Request, the grounds for objecting to the Request shall be set forth with specificity, including the reasons, and must state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection.

7. If there is an objection to any part of a Request, You shall specify each part of the Request to which there is an objection; set forth with specificity the grounds for objecting to each such part of the Request, including the reasons; state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection; and otherwise respond to all parts of the Request to which there are no objections.

8. If You maintain that any document was previously but is now no longer in existence, in Your possession, or subject to Your control, state whether it: (i) is missing or lost; (ii) has been destroyed; (iii) has been transferred, voluntarily or involuntarily to others; or (iv) has been otherwise disposed of. In each instance, set forth the contents of the document and the location of any copies of the document, and describe the circumstances surrounding its disposition, stating the date of its disposition, any authorization therefor, the person(s) responsible for such disposition, and the policy, rule, order or other authority by which such disposition was made.

9. If You cannot answer a Request in whole or in part, answer to the extent possible, specifying: (i) the reason(s) for Your inability to answer the Request or part thereof; (ii) whatever information or knowledge is in Your possession Concerning

the unanswered portion; (iii) Your efforts to secure the unknown information; and (iv) the name, business address, residential address, and occupation of any Person consulted by You in an effort to answer the Request.

10. If, in response to any Request, there are any Documents which are not produced because of a claim of privilege or “work product,” describe each such Document by providing the following information: (a) its approximate date; (b) a general description of its subject matter; (c) author, recipient, participant, present custodian, or other Person with knowledge of the information; (d) the basis for asserting privilege; and (e) sufficient additional information to allow Plaintiffs and the Next Friends to assess the objection, and otherwise comply with the Request to the extent to which it is not subject to the objection.

11. For purposes of interpreting or construing the scope of these Requests, all terms shall be given their most expansive and inclusive interpretation. This includes, without limitation, the following:

- a. Construing “and” as well as “or” in the disjunctive or conjunctive, as necessary to make the Request more inclusive;
- b. Construing the singular form of the word to include the plural, and the plural form to include the singular;
- c. Construing the masculine to include the feminine, and vice versa;

- d. Construing the term “including” to mean “including but not limited to” and construing the term “all” to mean “any and all,” and vice versa;
- e. Construing the term “each” to include “every,” and construing “every” to include “each”;
- f. Construing the use of a verb in any tense as the use of the verb in all other tenses; and
- g. Construing and interpreting all spelling, syntax, grammar, abbreviations, idioms, and proper nouns to give proper meaning and consistency to their context.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. A list of all matters in which, during the previous 5 years, You have testified as an expert including, without limitation, at an administrative hearing, court hearing, trial, or deposition.
2. All transcripts for any testimony You have given, whether at a deposition, hearing, trial, or any other proceeding identified in response to Request No. 1 above that relate to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.
3. All expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

4. All Documents You reviewed in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

5. All Documents You reviewed in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

6. All Documents You relied upon in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

7. All Documents You relied upon in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

8. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration in the above-referenced matter.

9. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration or affidavit in any other action relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

10. Any papers, opinions or other writings that You authored or co-authored relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

11. All Documents sufficient to identify your affiliation with any of the following organizations: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental

Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

12. All Your publications relating to transgender people, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

13. All Your social media posts, including those on Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, and YouTube, relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria. This includes, without limitation, any social media posts You have made under the Twitter account @Miriam_Grossman.

14. All presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements You have given relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

15. All notes, outlines, presentation slides, or documents related to the presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria identified in response to Request No. 14 above.

16. Identify all honoraria, payments, or other compensation You have received in relation to any testimony, presentation, or speaking engagement relating to transgender issue, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria by any individual or entity

17. All Documents and Communications You have submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria, including without limitation the report Effects of Gender Affirming Therapies in People with Gender Dysphoria: Evaluation of the Best Available Evidence.

18. All Documents You reviewed in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

19. All Documents You relied upon in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

20. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

21. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Department of Health, including but not limited to Surgeon General Joseph A. Ladapo, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

22. All Communications You have had with any person at the Office of Governor Ron DeSantis, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

23. All Documents evidencing organizations, associations, and political action committees to which You have contributed money or services and that promote anti-transgender ideas.

24. All Documents evidencing Your participation in any protest, rally, or other gathering promoting anti-transgender ideas.

25. All Communications You have had with any person at any of the following organizations relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Northern District of Florida

AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,

Plaintiff

v.

SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.,

Defendant

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Mirian Grossman MD
1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: **See attached**

Place: Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017	Date and Time: 01/20/2023 9:00 am
--	--------------------------------------

Inspection of Premises: YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 12/20/2022

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

/s/ Jennifer Altman

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) AUGUST DEKKER, et al., who issues or requests this subpoena, are: Jennifer Altman, 600 Brickell Ave., Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, jennifer.altman@pillsburylaw.com, (786) 913-4831

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Confidential Attachment to Subpoena

AUGUST DEKKER, et al. v. SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.

N.D. Fla. Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

You are commanded to produce at the time, date and place set forth in the accompanying subpoena, the following documents, electronically stored information (ESI), or objects, and to permit inspection or copying of the material.

DEFINITIONS

1. "Anti-transgender ideas" means any view which expresses the idea that being transgender is wrong, or that transgender people are suspect, immoral, or not deserving of rights or protections. It also means any view advocating for the curtailing of any medical treatment of gender dysphoria by private or public medical organizations.
2. "Communication" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: any written, oral, or electronic exchange or transmission of information by any means, including face-to-face conversation, in-person meeting, mail, telephone, electronic mail, facsimile, instant message, social media, and the Internet.
3. "Document" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: the complete original or a true, correct, and complete copy and any non-identical

copies of any written, recorded, or graphic material, no matter how produced, recorded, stored, or reproduced, including any writing, letter, envelope, telegram, electronic mail, attachment to electronic mail, facsimile, message, instant message, voicemail, meeting minute, memorandum, statement, book, publication, record, survey, map, study, report, handwritten note, drawing, working paper, chart, tabulation, graph, tape, data sheet, data processing card, printout, microfilm, microfiche, photograph, index, scientific notebook, appointment book, diary, diary entry, calendar, desk pad, telephone message slip, and any other data compilation in Your possession, custody, or control. The term “Document” includes, without limitation, Communications. A draft, version, or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term.

4. “GAPMS Memo” refers to June 2022 publication titled “Florida Medicaid: Generally Accepted Professional Medical Standards Determination on the Treatment of Gender Dysphoria” by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration.

5. “Gender Dysphoria” refers to the clinically significant distress or impairment related to the incongruence between one’s experienced/expressed gender and their assigned sex at birth, including their primary and/or secondary sex characteristics. For purposes of these Requests, “Gender Dysphoria” shall include: (a) the diagnoses for “Gender dysphoria in adolescents and adults” and “Gender

dysphoria in children,” as defined within *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-5-TR); (b) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Adolescents and Adults,” “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” and “Gender Identity Disorder Not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* (DSM-IV) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-IV-TR); (c) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Gender Identity Disorder of Adolescence or Adulthood, Nontranssexual Type,” and Gender Identity Disorder not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Revision* (DSM-III-TR); (d) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Atypical Gender Identity Disorder,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition* (DSM-III); and (e) the diagnoses for “gender incongruence of adolescence and adulthood” and “gender incongruence of childhood,” as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision* (ICD-11); and the diagnoses for

“transsexualism” and “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories, as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision* (ICD-10) and *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9).

6. The term “person” means any natural person, partnership, association, corporation, joint venture, trust, community group, government or subdivision of any government (including any instrumentality, bureau, department, office, or agency of any government), not-for-profit enterprise, or other business entity, and all present and former officers, directors, agents, administrators, managers, representatives, contractors, consultants, employees, or other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of such person.

7. The terms “related to,” “relating to,” “referring,” or “concerning” mean discussing, describing, reflecting, involving, including, containing, analyzing, studying, reporting, referring, showing, supporting, embodying, identifying, commenting, evidencing, constituting, setting forth, considering, recommending, concerning, pertaining, or in any way logically or factually connected with the matter discussed, in whole or in part.

8. “You” and “Your” means you individually, as well as your present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors, partners,

heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on your behalf.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Your answers to these Requests, and all productions of Documents responsive to these Requests, shall be made within fourteen (14) days of service of these Requests.

2. All responses to these Requests should be directed to: Jennifer Altman, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, Email: jennifer.altman@pillsbury.com, cc: soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com.

3. Unless otherwise specified, the time period covered by these Requests is January 1, 2015 to the present. If it is necessary to refer to periods of time prior to January 1, 2015 to respond to a Request, please do so.

4. Documents relevant and responsive to the Requests shall be gathered from all professional as well as personal files, computers and electronic devices, including personal and professional email, instant messaging, and cloud-based storage accounts.

5. All Documents are to be produced in electronic form pursuant to a protocol that may be agreed to among the parties.

6. If there is an objection to any Request, the grounds for objecting to the Request shall be set forth with specificity, including the reasons, and must state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection.

7. If there is an objection to any part of a Request, You shall specify each part of the Request to which there is an objection; set forth with specificity the grounds for objecting to each such part of the Request, including the reasons; state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection; and otherwise respond to all parts of the Request to which there are no objections.

8. If You maintain that any document was previously but is now no longer in existence, in Your possession, or subject to Your control, state whether it: (i) is missing or lost; (ii) has been destroyed; (iii) has been transferred, voluntarily or involuntarily to others; or (iv) has been otherwise disposed of. In each instance, set forth the contents of the document and the location of any copies of the document, and describe the circumstances surrounding its disposition, stating the date of its disposition, any authorization therefor, the person(s) responsible for such disposition, and the policy, rule, order or other authority by which such disposition was made.

9. If You cannot answer a Request in whole or in part, answer to the extent possible, specifying: (i) the reason(s) for Your inability to answer the Request or part thereof; (ii) whatever information or knowledge is in Your possession Concerning

the unanswered portion; (iii) Your efforts to secure the unknown information; and (iv) the name, business address, residential address, and occupation of any Person consulted by You in an effort to answer the Request.

10. If, in response to any Request, there are any Documents which are not produced because of a claim of privilege or “work product,” describe each such Document by providing the following information: (a) its approximate date; (b) a general description of its subject matter; (c) author, recipient, participant, present custodian, or other Person with knowledge of the information; (d) the basis for asserting privilege; and (e) sufficient additional information to allow Plaintiffs and the Next Friends to assess the objection, and otherwise comply with the Request to the extent to which it is not subject to the objection.

11. For purposes of interpreting or construing the scope of these Requests, all terms shall be given their most expansive and inclusive interpretation. This includes, without limitation, the following:

- a. Construing “and” as well as “or” in the disjunctive or conjunctive, as necessary to make the Request more inclusive;
- b. Construing the singular form of the word to include the plural, and the plural form to include the singular;
- c. Construing the masculine to include the feminine, and vice versa;

- d. Construing the term “including” to mean “including but not limited to” and construing the term “all” to mean “any and all,” and vice versa;
- e. Construing the term “each” to include “every,” and construing “every” to include “each”;
- f. Construing the use of a verb in any tense as the use of the verb in all other tenses; and
- g. Construing and interpreting all spelling, syntax, grammar, abbreviations, idioms, and proper nouns to give proper meaning and consistency to their context.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. A list of all matters in which, during the previous 5 years, You have testified as an expert including, without limitation, at an administrative hearing, court hearing, trial, or deposition.
2. All transcripts for any testimony You have given, whether at a deposition, hearing, trial, or any other proceeding identified in response to Request No. 1 above that relate to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.
3. All expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

4. All Documents You reviewed in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

5. All Documents You reviewed in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

6. All Documents You relied upon in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

7. All Documents You relied upon in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

8. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration in the above-referenced matter.

9. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration or affidavit in any other action relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

10. Any papers, opinions or other writings that You authored or co-authored relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

11. All Documents sufficient to identify your affiliation with any of the following organizations: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental

Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

12. All Your publications relating to transgender people, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

13. All Your social media posts, including those on Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, and YouTube, relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria. This includes, without limitation, any social media posts You have made under the Twitter account @Miriam_Grossman.

14. All presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements You have given relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

15. All notes, outlines, presentation slides, or documents related to the presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria identified in response to Request No. 14 above.

16. Identify all honoraria, payments, or other compensation You have received in relation to any testimony, presentation, or speaking engagement relating to transgender issue, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria by any individual or entity

17. All Documents and Communications You have submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria, including without limitation the report Effects of Gender Affirming Therapies in People with Gender Dysphoria: Evaluation of the Best Available Evidence.

18. All Documents You reviewed in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

19. All Documents You relied upon in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

20. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

21. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Department of Health, including but not limited to Surgeon General Joseph A. Ladapo, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

22. All Communications You have had with any person at the Office of Governor Ron DeSantis, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

23. All Documents evidencing organizations, associations, and political action committees to which You have contributed money or services and that promote anti-transgender ideas.

24. All Documents evidencing Your participation in any protest, rally, or other gathering promoting anti-transgender ideas.

25. All Communications You have had with any person at any of the following organizations relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

AUGUST DEKKER, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JASON WEIDA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No.: 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

DECLARATION OF MIRIAM GROSSMAN, MD

I, Miriam Grossman, MD, consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby state:

1. I am over the age of 18, am competent to testify, and declare the following facts based on my own personal knowledge.
2. I currently reside in the State of New York.
3. I am a psychiatrist licensed by the State of New York.
4. I have practiced medicine for over 30 years.
5. Through my practice I have developed expertise and opinions regarding the proper diagnosis and treatment of gender dysphoria.
6. I believe gender dysphoria is a matter of public interest and concern.
7. I have expressed my views on the subject in a variety of public fora.

8. I have written four books, two of which deal with sex and gender education and ideology.

9. On July 8, 2022, I appeared virtually on a panel of doctors in a public hearing held by the State of Florida Agency for Health Care Administration. I made no remarks, and I was not asked questions. I did not speak at any time during the hearing.

10. I do not know if the hearing was recorded.

11. If such a recording was made, I do not have one.

12. On January 5, 2023, I received an email from Soraya Garcia, a Practice Support Specialist at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP. The email attached a subpoena signed by Jennifer Altman, an attorney, and was addressed to “Mirian [sic] Grossman MD, 1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025” listing “Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017” as the place of production. The subpoena specified that I needed to produce the requested documents by 9:00 AM on January 20, 2023.

13. After I saw the email, I called Soraya Garcia, and she connected me with an attorney at the firm, Joe Little. I informed them that I no longer lived in California, and I had never lived at the address on the face of the subpoena attached to the e-mail.

14. On the evening of January 18, 2023, I was served at my residence in New York with a printed copy of the subpoena. This subpoena was also signed by Jennifer Altman, was still addressed to “Mirian [sic] Grossman MD, 1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025,” it still listed “Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017” as the place of production, and it still specified January 20, 2023, 9:00 AM as the date and time of production. In all respects, it appeared to be identical to the subpoena attached to the e-mail I had previously received.

15. On top of the printed copy of the subpoena was a check from PERILLO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES written out to Mirian [sic] Grossman MD in the amount of \$53.95.

16. On January 31, 2023, I was served again at my residence with a copy of the subpoena. This copy was also signed by Jennifer Altman, it was still addressed to “Mirian [sic] Grossman MD, 1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025,” it still listed “Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017” as the place of production, and it still specified January 20, 2023, 9:00 AM as the date and time of production. In all respects, it appeared to be identical to the subpoena attached to the e-mail I had previously received.

17. The subpoena demanded that I provide nearly a decade’s worth of my private information. It disturbed me deeply because I believe that Plaintiffs,

Pillsbury, the National Health Law Program, Florida Justice Project, Southern Legal Counsel, and Lambda Legal demanded this information from me as retribution for my virtual appearance on a panel of doctors during a public hearing and for offering my professional opinions and views.

18. I am especially disturbed at the nature of the information which the subpoena seeks, including deeply personal information about my personal political affiliations and donations; materials that appear completely irrelevant to the issues and outcome of this case.

19. The subpoena demanded that I produce almost a decade's worth of personal and other information. I have already spent over 5 hours attempting to begin the process of inventorying and compiling what they've demanded.

20. I can only imagine the burden that would be imposed if I were required to comply with the subpoena as currently written. The effort required would be overwhelming.

I say nothing further.

On February 3, 2023, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ *Miriam Grossman*
Miriam Grossman, MD

From: Garcia, Soraya M. <soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 5, 2023 12:13 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Dekker, et al. v. Marsteller, et al. (C/M # 099902.9990043)
Importance: High

Dear Dr. Grossman:

Our office represents the Plaintiff in the above referenced matter.

We are trying to reach you pertaining to the attached Subpoena which has been issued.

Please contact me at (786) 913-4831 as soon as possible.

Thank you.

Soraya M. Garcia | Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

Practice Support Specialist

600 Brickell Avenue
Suite 3100 | Miami, FL 33131

t +1.786.913.4831

soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com | pillsburylaw.com

AUSTIN BEIJING HONG KONG HOUSTON LONDON LOS ANGELES
MIAMI NASHVILLE NEW YORK NORTHERN VIRGINIA PALM BEACH
SACRAMENTO SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO SHANGHAI
SILICON VALLEY TAIPEI TOKYO WASHINGTON, DC



The contents of this message, together with any attachments, are intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender or the Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman Service Desk at Tel: 800-477-0770, Option 1, immediately by telephone and delete this message, along with any attachments, from your computer. Nothing in this message may be construed as a digital or electronic signature of any employee of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman. Thank you.

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Florida

AUGUST DEKKER, et al.,

Plaintiff

v.

SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.,

Defendant

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

**SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS
OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION**

To:

Mirian Grossman MD
1917 Benecia Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90025

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

Production: **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: See attached

Place: Veritext Legal Solutions, 707 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 3500, Los Angeles, CA 90017	Date and Time: 01/20/2023 9:00 am
--	--------------------------------------

Inspection of Premises: **YOU ARE COMMANDED** to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 12/20/2022

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

/s/ Jennifer Altman

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing *(name of party)* AUGUST DEKKER, et al., who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
Jennifer Altman, 600 Brickell Ave., Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, jennifer.altman@pillsburylaw.com, (786) 913-4831

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* _____
on *(date)* _____ .

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____
_____ .

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also
tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of
\$ _____ .

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____ 0.00 _____ .

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: _____
_____ *Server's signature*

_____ *Printed name and title*

_____ *Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)**(c) Place of Compliance.**

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

Confidential Attachment to Subpoena

AUGUST DEKKER, et al. v. SIMONE MARSTILLER, et al.

N.D. Fla. Civil Action No. 4:22-cv-00325-RH-MAF

You are commanded to produce at the time, date and place set forth in the accompanying subpoena, the following documents, electronically stored information (ESI), or objects, and to permit inspection or copying of the material.

DEFINITIONS

1. "Anti-transgender ideas" means any view which expresses the idea that being transgender is wrong, or that transgender people are suspect, immoral, or not deserving of rights or protections. It also means any view advocating for the curtailing of any medical treatment of gender dysphoria by private or public medical organizations.

2. "Communication" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: any written, oral, or electronic exchange or transmission of information by any means, including face-to-face conversation, in-person meeting, mail, telephone, electronic mail, facsimile, instant message, social media, and the Internet.

3. "Document" has the full scope and meaning of the term appearing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules and also means: the complete original or a true, correct, and complete copy and any non-identical

copies of any written, recorded, or graphic material, no matter how produced, recorded, stored, or reproduced, including any writing, letter, envelope, telegram, electronic mail, attachment to electronic mail, facsimile, message, instant message, voicemail, meeting minute, memorandum, statement, book, publication, record, survey, map, study, report, handwritten note, drawing, working paper, chart, tabulation, graph, tape, data sheet, data processing card, printout, microfilm, microfiche, photograph, index, scientific notebook, appointment book, diary, diary entry, calendar, desk pad, telephone message slip, and any other data compilation in Your possession, custody, or control. The term “Document” includes, without limitation, Communications. A draft, version, or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term.

4. “GAPMS Memo” refers to June 2022 publication titled “Florida Medicaid: Generally Accepted Professional Medical Standards Determination on the Treatment of Gender Dysphoria” by the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration.

5. “Gender Dysphoria” refers to the clinically significant distress or impairment related to the incongruence between one’s experienced/expressed gender and their assigned sex at birth, including their primary and/or secondary sex characteristics. For purposes of these Requests, “Gender Dysphoria” shall include: (a) the diagnoses for “Gender dysphoria in adolescents and adults” and “Gender

dysphoria in children,” as defined within *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-5-TR); (b) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Adolescents and Adults,” “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” and “Gender Identity Disorder Not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition* (DSM-IV) and the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision* (DSM-IV-TR); (c) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Gender Identity Disorder of Adolescence or Adulthood, Nontranssexual Type,” and Gender Identity Disorder not Otherwise Specified,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition, Revision* (DSM-III-TR); (d) the diagnosis for “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories such as “Gender Identity Disorder in Children,” “Transsexualism,” and “Atypical Gender Identity Disorder,” as defined within the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition* (DSM-III); and (e) the diagnoses for “gender incongruence of adolescence and adulthood” and “gender incongruence of childhood,” as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Eleventh Revision* (ICD-11); and the diagnoses for

“transsexualism” and “gender identity disorder,” including any subcategories, as defined within the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision* (ICD-10) and *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* (ICD-9).

6. The term “person” means any natural person, partnership, association, corporation, joint venture, trust, community group, government or subdivision of any government (including any instrumentality, bureau, department, office, or agency of any government), not-for-profit enterprise, or other business entity, and all present and former officers, directors, agents, administrators, managers, representatives, contractors, consultants, employees, or other persons acting or purporting to act on behalf of such person.

7. The terms “related to,” “relating to,” “referring,” or “concerning” mean discussing, describing, reflecting, involving, including, containing, analyzing, studying, reporting, referring, showing, supporting, embodying, identifying, commenting, evidencing, constituting, setting forth, considering, recommending, concerning, pertaining, or in any way logically or factually connected with the matter discussed, in whole or in part.

8. “You” and “Your” means you individually, as well as your present or former attorneys, agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, predecessors, assigns, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, successors, partners,

heirs, affiliates, and legal representatives, and any other person who is in possession, or who has obtained, information on your behalf.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Your answers to these Requests, and all productions of Documents responsive to these Requests, shall be made within fourteen (14) days of service of these Requests.

2. All responses to these Requests should be directed to: Jennifer Altman, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, 600 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3100, Miami, FL 33131, Email: jennifer.altman@pillsbury.com, cc: soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com.

3. Unless otherwise specified, the time period covered by these Requests is January 1, 2015 to the present. If it is necessary to refer to periods of time prior to January 1, 2015 to respond to a Request, please do so.

4. Documents relevant and responsive to the Requests shall be gathered from all professional as well as personal files, computers and electronic devices, including personal and professional email, instant messaging, and cloud-based storage accounts.

5. All Documents are to be produced in electronic form pursuant to a protocol that may be agreed to among the parties.

6. If there is an objection to any Request, the grounds for objecting to the Request shall be set forth with specificity, including the reasons, and must state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection.

7. If there is an objection to any part of a Request, You shall specify each part of the Request to which there is an objection; set forth with specificity the grounds for objecting to each such part of the Request, including the reasons; state whether any responsive materials are being withheld on the basis of that objection; and otherwise respond to all parts of the Request to which there are no objections.

8. If You maintain that any document was previously but is now no longer in existence, in Your possession, or subject to Your control, state whether it: (i) is missing or lost; (ii) has been destroyed; (iii) has been transferred, voluntarily or involuntarily to others; or (iv) has been otherwise disposed of. In each instance, set forth the contents of the document and the location of any copies of the document, and describe the circumstances surrounding its disposition, stating the date of its disposition, any authorization therefor, the person(s) responsible for such disposition, and the policy, rule, order or other authority by which such disposition was made.

9. If You cannot answer a Request in whole or in part, answer to the extent possible, specifying: (i) the reason(s) for Your inability to answer the Request or part thereof; (ii) whatever information or knowledge is in Your possession Concerning

the unanswered portion; (iii) Your efforts to secure the unknown information; and (iv) the name, business address, residential address, and occupation of any Person consulted by You in an effort to answer the Request.

10. If, in response to any Request, there are any Documents which are not produced because of a claim of privilege or “work product,” describe each such Document by providing the following information: (a) its approximate date; (b) a general description of its subject matter; (c) author, recipient, participant, present custodian, or other Person with knowledge of the information; (d) the basis for asserting privilege; and (e) sufficient additional information to allow Plaintiffs and the Next Friends to assess the objection, and otherwise comply with the Request to the extent to which it is not subject to the objection.

11. For purposes of interpreting or construing the scope of these Requests, all terms shall be given their most expansive and inclusive interpretation. This includes, without limitation, the following:

- a. Construing “and” as well as “or” in the disjunctive or conjunctive, as necessary to make the Request more inclusive;
- b. Construing the singular form of the word to include the plural, and the plural form to include the singular;
- c. Construing the masculine to include the feminine, and vice versa;

- d. Construing the term “including” to mean “including but not limited to” and construing the term “all” to mean “any and all,” and vice versa;
- e. Construing the term “each” to include “every,” and construing “every” to include “each”;
- f. Construing the use of a verb in any tense as the use of the verb in all other tenses; and
- g. Construing and interpreting all spelling, syntax, grammar, abbreviations, idioms, and proper nouns to give proper meaning and consistency to their context.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. A list of all matters in which, during the previous 5 years, You have testified as an expert including, without limitation, at an administrative hearing, court hearing, trial, or deposition.
2. All transcripts for any testimony You have given, whether at a deposition, hearing, trial, or any other proceeding identified in response to Request No. 1 above that relate to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.
3. All expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

4. All Documents You reviewed in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

5. All Documents You reviewed in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

6. All Documents You relied upon in preparation for any hearing or proceeding relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

7. All Documents You relied upon in preparation of any expert reports or declarations You have submitted in any matter relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

8. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration in the above-referenced matter.

9. All Communications between You and any other person who has provided a declaration or affidavit in any other action relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

10. Any papers, opinions or other writings that You authored or co-authored relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

11. All Documents sufficient to identify your affiliation with any of the following organizations: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental

Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

12. All Your publications relating to transgender people, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

13. All Your social media posts, including those on Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, LinkedIn, and YouTube, relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria. This includes, without limitation, any social media posts You have made under the Twitter account @Miriam_Grossman.

14. All presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements You have given relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria.

15. All notes, outlines, presentation slides, or documents related to the presentations, speeches, or other speaking engagements relating to transgender issues, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria identified in response to Request No. 14 above.

16. Identify all honoraria, payments, or other compensation You have received in relation to any testimony, presentation, or speaking engagement relating to transgender issue, gender identity, or Gender Dysphoria by any individual or entity

17. All Documents and Communications You have submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria, including without limitation the report Effects of Gender Affirming Therapies in People with Gender Dysphoria: Evaluation of the Best Available Evidence.

18. All Documents You reviewed in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

19. All Documents You relied upon in the preparation of any of Documents submitted to the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

20. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

21. All Communications You have had with any person at the Florida Department of Health, including but not limited to Surgeon General Joseph A. Ladapo, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

22. All Communications You have had with any person at the Office of Governor Ron DeSantis, relating to transgender issues, gender identity or Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo.

23. All Documents evidencing organizations, associations, and political action committees to which You have contributed money or services and that promote anti-transgender ideas.

24. All Documents evidencing Your participation in any protest, rally, or other gathering promoting anti-transgender ideas.

25. All Communications You have had with any person at any of the following organizations relating to transgender issues, gender identity, Gender Dysphoria or the GAPMS Memo: Alliance Defending Freedom; American College of Pediatricians; Catholic Medical Association; Christian Medical & Dental Associations; Ethics and Public Policy Center; GENSPECT; Heritage Foundation; Liberty Counsel; Moral Revolution; Person and Identity Project; Real Impact; and Society for Evidence-based Gender Medicine.

From: Little, Joe <joe.little@pillsburylaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 5, 2023 11:57 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Garcia, Soraya M. <soraya.garcia@pillsburylaw.com>

Subject: Re: Dekker, et al. v. Marsteller, et al. (C/M # 099902.9990043)
Importance: High

Dr. Grossman,

Thank you for the call a few minutes ago and for clarifying to Soraya that you no longer live in California and have never lived at the address on the face of the subpoena. We will reattempt service in New York beginning tomorrow.

Please let me know if you will instead agree to accept email service of the attached subpoena that Soraya sent you earlier. Given that you no longer live in California, we will provide a place of production local to you, or you can simply email, link, or mail copies of responsive documents to Soraya at the address in her signature below.

We have an expedited trial date in May which explains why the documents are due on January 20. We recognize that it may take more time to collect responsive documents so we are prepared to work with you within reason on production beyond that date.

If you decide to hire counsel in relation to this matter, please let me know so that we can direct communications through counsel.

Best,

Joe

Joe Little | Associate

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

600 Capitol Mall, Suite 1800 | Sacramento, CA 95814-4741

t +1.916.329.4731 | m +1.916.704.4853

joe.little@pillsburylaw.com | website bio
