No. 23-16026

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

HELEN DOE, parent and next friend of Jane Doe; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

THOMAS C. HORNE, in his official capacity as State Superintendent of Public Instruction; et al.,

Defendants-Appellants,

and

WARREN PETERSEN, Senator, President of the Arizona State Senate; BEN TOMA, Representative, Speaker of the Arizona House of Representatives,

Intervenor-Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona

EXHIBITS TO INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER CIRCUIT RULE 27-3 FOR A STAY PENDING APPEAL

VOLUME 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Order on Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and Request for Administrative Stay (July 31, 2023), Doc. 136A1
2.	Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (July 20, 2023), Doc. 127A9
3.	Senate Bill 1165, enacted as A.R.S. § 15-120.02, with Legislative Findings and Purpose, Doc. 92-19
4.	Declaration of Dr. Gregory A. Brown, Ph.D., FACSM, in Support of Intervenor-Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 82-1
5.	Rebuttal Declaration of Dr. Gregory A. Brown, Ph.D., FACSM, in Further Support of Intervenor-Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 87-1
6.	Exhibits 18-21 filed by Plaintiffs in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 88-2
7.	Exhibits 22-25 filed by Plaintiffs in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 88-3
8.	Expert Witness Statement of Emma Hilton, Ph.D., Doc. 92-8A282
9.	Declaration of Dr. Linda Blade, Ph.D., in Support of Defendant Horne's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 92-9A348
10	Second Rebuttal Declaration of Daniel Shumer, M.D., in Further Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction, Doc. 113

	Casse 23236026008591/22230 doum27060266, 1	Bile BIOT/3I/23 P Age 6 D for 43
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
/	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
ð	Helen Doe, et al	No CV-23-00185-TUC-IG7
10	Plaintiffs	ORDER ON MOTION FOR STAV
11	V.	PENDING APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAY
12	Thomas C Horne, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Before the Court is Intervenor-Defendation	ants' Motion for Stay Pending Appeal and
16	Request for Administrative Stay. (Doc. 132.) I	ntervenor-Defendants request that the Court
17	stay its July 20, 2023 preliminary injunct	ion. In the alternative, they request an
18	administrative stay of the injunction for sever	n days to allow time for the United States
19	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit to consid	ler an emergency motion to stay and request
20	for administrative stay. ¹ The preliminary injune	ction at hand enjoins Defendant Horne from
21	enforcing A.R.S. § 15-120.02 (Save Women's	Sports Act) as to 11-year-old Jane Doe and
22	15-year-old Megan Roe. The injunction allow	vs Plaintiffs to participate in girls' sports at
23	their schools when athletics begin in July 2023	. Neither school opposes the injunction.
24	"The bar for obtaining a stay of a prelin	minary injunction is higher than the Winter
25	standard for obtaining injunctive relief." Inde	x Newspapers LLC v. U.S. Marshals Serv.,
26	977 F.3d 817, 824 (9th Cir. 2020). In deciding	g whether to grant a stay, "a court considers
27		
28	¹ Intervenor-Defendants request a ruling to allow them time to seek prompt appellate re-	on their Motion by Monday, July 31, 2023, lief, if necessary. (Doc. 132 at 15.)

four factors: (1) whether the stay applicant has made a strong showing that he is likely to succeed on the merits; (2) whether the applicant will be irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether issuance of the stay will substantially injure the other parties interested in the proceeding; and (4) where the public interest lies." *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 426 (2009) (cleaned up). "The first two factors are the most critical; the last two are reached only once an applicant satisfies the first two factors." *Al Otro Lado v. Wolf*, 952 F.3d 999, 1007 (9th Cir. 2020) (cleaned up). Applying the *Nken* factors here, the Court denies Intervenor-Defendants' Motion for Stay.

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Failure to Demonstrate Strong Showing of Success on Merits

10 Applicants for a stay pending appeal must make a strong showing that they are likely 11 to succeed on the merits. Al Otro Lado, 952 F.3d at 1010. Under the Ninth Circuit's sliding 12 scale approach to preliminary injunctions, "the elements of the preliminary injunction test 13 are balanced, so that a stronger showing of one element may offset a weaker showing of 14 another." All. for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell, 632 F.3d 1127, 1131 (9th Cir. 2011). For 15 example, where there is a weak irreparable harm showing, the applicant must make a strong showing of a likelihood of success on the merits. Al Otro Lado, 952 F.3d at 1010. This 16 17 sliding scale approach also applies to stays pending appeal. Id. at 1007. It is insufficient 18 that the chance of success is better than negligible; the applicant must demonstrate "more 19 than a mere possibility of relief." Nken, 556 U.S. at 434. Intervenor-Defendants fail to 20 make the required showing.

21 Intervenor-Defendants argue they are likely to succeed on the merits for four 22 reasons. (Doc. 132 at 2.) They argue that the Act is subject to rational basis review "[f]or 23 the reasons stated" in their prior briefing. Id. at 9. But binding precedent holds that laws 24 that discriminate against transgender persons are sex-based classifications subject to 25 heightened scrutiny. See Karnoski v Trump, 926 F.3d 1180, 1201 (9th Cir. 2019) ("We 26 conclude that the 2018 Policy on its face treats transgender persons differently than other 27 persons, and consequently something more than rational basis but less than strict scrutiny 28 applies."). Therefore, rational-basis review does not apply.

Casse 232360260018501/32230 ddune7660363, FileEn07/31/23 P Rage 5 3 fot 433

Intervenor-Defendants assert that the Court's finding that transgender females who 1 2 do not undergo male puberty have no competitive advantage over female athletes is clearly 3 erroneous because "all the competent evidence in the record suggests the opposite." (Doc. 4 132 at 7.) They also argue that "[t]he evidence of male competitive advantage pre-puberty 5 is overwhelming and effectively uncontradicted." (Id.) These arguments misstate the 6 record and the evidence. Experts cited by both parties agree that male physiological 7 advantages are largely the result of circulating testosterone levels in men post-8 puberty. (Doc. 127 at ¶¶ 97, 100, 112-117.) In addition, Plaintiffs' expert provided 9 persuasive evidence that any prepubertal differences between boys and girls in various 10 athletic measurements are minimal or nonexistent. (Id. at ¶¶ 109-110.) Defendants' data 11 regarding differences in prepubescent girls' and boys' physical fitness performance was 12 not credited because the data is observational, does not determine a cause for what is 13 observed, and fails to account for other factors which could explain the data. (Id. at ¶¶ 101, 14 103-106, 109-110.)

15 Intervenor-Defendants argue that the Court misapplied heightened scrutiny. (Doc. 16 132 at 3-7.) To withstand heightened scrutiny, a classification by sex "must serve 17 important governmental objectives and must be substantially related to achievement of 18 those objectives." (Doc. 127 at ¶ 145) (quoting Craig v. Boren, 429 U.S. 190, 197 (1976)). 19 According to Intervenor-Defendants, the Court required perfect tailoring of the Act to 20 Plaintiffs rather than assessing the validity of the classification as a whole. (Doc. 132 at 5-21 7.) Intervenor-Defendants argue that the Court disregarded extensive evidence of the 22 competitive advantages for the large majority of transgender-female athletes, *i.e.*, those 23 that transition after undergoing male puberty, simply because the individual Plaintiffs 24 claim they did not, or will not, undergo male puberty.² (*Id.* at 4.)

25

This argument is unpersuasive. First, it imagines facts that were not presented. Intervenor-Defendants did not introduce any evidence, let alone extensive evidence, that

26 27

28

² Although Intervenor-Defendants disparage Plaintiffs' "claims" that they have not, and will not, undergo male puberty, Plaintiffs provide evidentiary support for their statements. *See* Doc. 127 at ¶ 24-27, 48-51.

Casse 232360260018501/32230 ddun 27660263, Dike En 07/31/23 P 2000 6 4 for 483

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

1

the majority of transgender-female athletes have undergone male puberty. The evidence at the hearing showed only that in the past ten to twelve years, the Arizona Interscholastic Association (AIA) fielded twelve requests and approved seven students to play on a team consistent with their gender identity. (Doc. 127 at \P 66.) No evidence was presented as to whether any of those seven students were transgender females, and no evidence was presented as to whether any of those seven students had undergone puberty. This lack of evidence suggests that the Act's categorical bar against transgender female athletes is unrelated to the purpose of the Act.

9 In addition, Intervenor-Defendants' argument disregards much of the Court's 10 heightened scrutiny analysis. In applying heightened scrutiny, the Court examined the 11 Act's "actual purposes and carefully consider[ed] the resulting inequality." SmithKline 12 Beecham Corp. v. Abbott Lab'ys, 740 F.3d 471, 483 (9th Cir. 2014). The Court found that 13 Defendant Horne and Intervenors failed to produce "persuasive evidence at the preliminary 14 injunction stage to show that the Act is substantially related to the legitimate goals of 15 ensuring equal opportunity for girls to play sports and to prevent safety risks," and cited 16 the breadth of the Act and its effect on individuals other than Plaintiffs as support. (Id. 17 at ¶ 158-161.) Intervenor-Defendants claim in their Motion for Stay that the State's 18 purpose is to regulate unfair advantages caused by transgender-female athletes who have 19 undergone male puberty, but the Act broadly and categorically prohibits all transgender 20 athletes, including prepubescent transgender athletes. The Act bans all education levels of 21 transgender athletes—from kindergarten through college—although there is no evidence 22 of injuries or unfair competitive advantages occurring at the kindergarten level. And 23 despite the State's claim that the Act is intended to protect girls, the Act only bans 24 "biological boys" from girls' teams, without prohibiting "biological girls" from playing on 25 boys' teams, including teams made up of boys who have undergone puberty. (Doc. 127 at 26 ¶ 157-160.) Given the Act's overbreadth, it cannot be said that the Court required a 27 "perfect fit." Rather, the State failed to show "an exceedingly persuasive justification" for 28 its discriminatory treatment, United States v. Virginia, 518 U.S. 515, 531 (1996), or a

- 4 -

Casse 43236026008501/2023DdQu/n27660363, Dile6107/31/23PRace 5 fo243

justification that is genuine and not reliant on overbroad generalizations, id. at 533.

Finally, Intervenor-Defendants argue that the Court's conclusion that the Act violates Title IX is unlikely to be upheld on appeal because Title IX specifically authorizes separation of sports teams based on biological sex which Bostock v. Clayton County, 140 S. Ct. 1731 (2020), and Grabowski v. Arizona Board of Regents, 69 F.4th 1110 (9th Cir. 2023), do not change. (Doc. 132 at 10.) Whether legislation that prohibits all transgender athletes from participating in competitive sports violates Title IX is currently subject to debate. A mere "possibility of relief," however, fails to demonstrate a strong showing of likely success on the merits, particularly in light of Plaintiffs' equal protection claim.

10 The Court concludes that Intervenor-Defendants fail to make a strong showing that 11 they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim. This failure is particularly 12 detrimental because, as discussed below, Intervenor-Defendants' showing of irreparable 13 harm is weak. See Al Otro Lado, 952 F.3d at 1010 (where there is a weak irreparable harm 14 showing, the applicant must make a strong showing of a likelihood of success on the 15 merits). Thus, the first Nken factor favors Plaintiffs.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Intervenor-Defendants Will Not Suffer Irreparable Harm Absent Stay

17 An applicant for stay pending appeal must demonstrate that a stay is necessary to 18 avoid likely irreparable injury to the applicant while an appeal is pending. Al Otro Lado, 19 952 F.3d at 1007. Showing a possibility of irreparable injury is insufficient. Id. The 20 applicant is required to show that irreparable harm is likely to occur before the appeal is 21 decided. *Id.* The applicant's irreparable harm burden "is higher than it is on the likelihood 22 of success prong, as [it] must show that an irreparable injury is the more probable or likely 23 outcome." Id.

24

In its Order granting the preliminary injunction, the Court concluded, "There is no 25 evidence that any Defendant will be harmed by allowing Plaintiffs to continuing playing 26 with their peers as they have done until now." (Doc. 127 at ¶ 184.) Intervenor-Defendants 27 advance little argument as to their irreparable harm, citing only "the sovereign interest of the State of Arizona in enforcing its valid statutes." (Doc. 132 at 14.). Clearly, however, 28

Casse 232360260018501/20230 dDune 7660263, Dike Entry 31/23 P & Bage 6 6 for 483

there is no irreparable harm if the statute is not valid. Intervenor-Defendants "cannot suffer harm from an injunction that merely ends an unlawful practice." *Rodriguez v. Robbins*, 715 F.3d 1127, 1145 (9th Cir. 2013). The second *Nken* factor favors Plaintiffs.

4 5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

Substantial Injury to Other Parties

Because Intervenor-Defendants fail to establish the first two *Nken* factors, the Court need not address the last two factors. *See Al Otro Lado*, 952 F.3d at 1007 ("The first two factors are the most critical; the last two are reached only once an applicant satisfies the first two factors.") (cleaned up). However, factors three and four also do not support Intervenor-Defendants' request for stay.

10 The third factor, "whether issuance of the stay will substantially injure the other 11 parties interested in the proceeding," weighs against granting a stay. Plaintiffs will suffer 12 injury in the absence of a stay. Prior to the Act, there were no bars to Plaintiffs participating 13 in girls' sports at their schools. If a stay is granted, Plaintiffs will suffer severe and 14 irreparable mental, physical, and emotional harm if the Act applies to them because they 15 cannot play on boys' sports teams; the Act will effectively exclude Plaintiffs from school 16 sports and deprive them of the social, educational, physical, and emotional health benefits 17 that both sides acknowledge come from school sports; and Plaintiffs will suffer the shame 18 and humiliation of being unable to participate in a school activity simply because they are 19 transgender—a personal characteristic over which they have no control. (Doc. 127 at ¶¶ 20 174-176.) The school year has started, and Plaintiffs want to participate in girls' 21 sports. The issuance of a stay would deprive Plaintiffs the opportunity to participate in 22 girls' first quarter sports-which are currently in progress-including the first cross-23 country meet scheduled for August 14, 2023. (Doc. 127 at ¶¶ 32, 35, 38, 41, 55, 57-60.)

- 24
- 25 26

27

28

Intervenor-Defendants argue that the preliminary injunction imposes irreparable harm on other interested parties. (Doc. 132 at 12-14.) They argue that, absent a stay, "biological girls" will be unfairly displaced from participation in girls' sports by Plaintiffs, whose involvement will necessarily exclude "biological girls" who try out for the team, and that Plaintiffs' involvement will reduce the other girls' playing time and success. (*Id.* at 12-13.) However, there is no evidence that Plaintiffs' participation will cause such harms to other participants. There is no evidence that the schools limit the number of girls who participate in any of the sports at issue and there is no evidence that either Plaintiff would present an advantage, let alone an unfair advantage, if allowed to participate.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

Public Interest Lies in Plaintiffs' Favor

Intervenor-Defendants argue that the public interest favors a stay because the public has an interest in upholding the laws passed by their elected officials. (Doc. 132 at 15.) However, as discussed above, a state cannot suffer harm from an injunction that merely ends a discriminatory practice. *Rodriguez*, 715 F.3d at 1145. Thus, it follows that, "it is always in the public interest to prevent the violation of a party's constitutional rights." (Doc. 127 at ¶ 180) (quoting *Melendres v. Arpaio*, 695 F.3d 990, 1002 (9th Cir. 2012)). The fourth *Nken* factor supports denial of the Motion for Stay.

13

Administrative Stay Would Disrupt Status Quo

14 As an alternative to their request for a stay pending appeal, Intervenor-Defendants 15 request a seven-day administrative stay to allow the Circuit Court of Appeals time to 16 consider their emergency motion to stay the preliminary injunction order. (Doc. 132 at 17 15.) An administrative stay "is only intended to preserve the status quo until the 18 substantive motion for a stay pending appeal can be considered on the merits." Doe #1 v. 19 Trump, 944 F.3d 1222, 1223 (9th Cir. 2019). The Nken factors do not support imposition 20 of an administrative stay. Moreover, prohibiting Plaintiffs from participating in girls' 21 athletics would disrupt the status quo. Accordingly,

- 22
 ////

 23
 ////

 24
 ////

 25
 ////

 26
 ////

 27
 ////
- 28

Cease24:2350200186112323Dlocuta@66086,	EPikteEch 077/371/23,3P agage08061283

1	IT IS ORDERED that Intervenor-Defendants' Motion for Stay Pending Appeal
2	and Request for Administrative Stay (Doc. 132) is DENIED.
3	
4	Dated this 31st day of July, 2023.
5	
6	A 1 A.
7	former gyps
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 8 -

	Casse 23236020008591/22230 bdum2760	02673, Điketi nû 7/20/23 P Rangel 11 off 245 3
1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DIS	TRICT OF ARIZONA
8		
9	Helen Doe, et al.,	No. CV-23-00185-TUC-JGZ
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	V.	ORDER ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
12	Thomas C Horne, et al.,	AND FINDINGS OF FACT AND
13	Defendants.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
14		
15		
16	INTER	
17	<u>INTR</u>	<u>vil 17, 2022</u> , applies appliesing and appropriate
18	Plaintiffs filed this action on April 17, 2023, seeking preliminary and permanent	
19	injunctive relief related to the implementation of A.R.S. § 15-120.02, the Save Women's	
20	teams because they are transgender girl	s. Plaintiffs assert that they have not undergone
21	male puberty and do not have a competent	itive or physiological advantage over their non-
22	transgender peers on these teams. Plai	ntiffs ask the Court for declaratory relief that
$\frac{23}{24}$	enforcement by Defendants of Ariz. Rev.	Stat. § 15-120.02 violates Plaintiffs' rights under
24	the Equal Protection Clause of the Equiteenth Amendment to the United States	
25	Constitution, Title IX, 20 U.S.C. § 1681	et seq., the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42
27	U.S.C. § 12101, et seq., and Section 504	of the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 794, et seq.
28		
-		

Casse 23236026008501/20230 doum27660253, Dilet 107/20/23 P Age 2 off 2453

The Arizona legislature adopted A.R.S. § 15-120.02, effective September 24, 2022, as follows: "Each interscholastic or intramural athletic team or sport that is sponsored by a public school or a private school whose students or teams compete against a public school shall be expressly designated as one of the following based on the biological sex of the students who participate on the team or in the sport: 1) 'males,' 'men' or 'boys'; 2) 'females,' 'women' or 'girls,' and 3) 'coed' or 'mixed'." "Athletic teams or sports designated for 'females,' 'women' or 'girls' may not be open to students of the male sex." The statute does not apply to "restrict the eligibility of any student to participate in any . . . athletic team or sport designated as being for males, men or boys or designated as coed or mixed." The statute creates a private cause of action for injunctive relief and damages for any student for a deprivation of an athletic opportunity or who has suffered any direct or indirect harm as a result of a school knowingly violating this section.

13 The Motion for Preliminary Injunction asks the Court to enjoin enforcement of 14 A.R.S. § 15-120.02 by Defendant Horne and enjoin implementation of and compliance 15 with the Act by Defendants Kyrene Middle School and The Gregory School (TGS) as to 16 Plaintiffs. The Court has granted intervenor status to the legislators who adopted the Act. 17 The Motion for Preliminary Injunction was fully briefed by all parties and the Intervenor 18 Legislators ("Intervenors"). The Court will grant the Motion for Preliminary Injunction 19 pursuant to the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law set out below. Defendant Arizona 20 Interscholastic Association, Inc.'s ("AIA") transgender policy, allowing transgender girls 21 to play on teams consistent with their gender identity, complies with the terms of the 22 preliminary injunction.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On July 10, 2023, the Court heard oral argument and took evidence pertaining to
Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Having heard oral argument, having
examined the proofs¹ offered by the parties, and having heard the arguments of counsel

27

¹ By stipulation, the parties offer proof by way of expert declarations filed in advance of the hearing and by supplement thereafter. Accordingly, the Court references the evidence herein by CM/ECF document number, not as a trial exhibit.

and being fully advised herein, the Court now finds generally in favor of Plaintiffs and against the Defendants, and hereby makes the following special Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 52(a) and (c) which constitutes the decision of the Court herein:

I. Findings of Fact

To the extent these Findings of Fact are also deemed to be Conclusions of Law, they are hereby incorporated into the Conclusions of Law that follow.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A. Gender identity and gender dysphoria.

9 1. "Gender identity" is the medical term for a person's internal, innate, deeply held 10 sense of their own gender. (Dr. Daniel Shumer ("Shumer Decl.") (Doc. 5) ¶ 18.) Everyone 11 has a gender identity. (Id.)

12 2. "Gender identity" differs from "gender role," which are behaviors, attitudes, and 13 personality traits that a particular society considers masculine or feminine, or associates with male or female social roles. For example, the convention that girls wear pink and have longer 14 15 hair, or that boys wear blue and have shorter hair, are socially constructed gender roles. 16 Gender identity does not refer to socially contingent behaviors, attitudes, or personality traits; 17 it is an internal and largely biological phenomenon. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶¶ 19-22.)

18

3. There is a consensus among medical organizations that gender identity is innate 19 and cannot be changed through psychological or medical treatments. (Dr. Stephanie Budge 20 Rebuttal ("Budge Decl. (Rebuttal)") (Doc. 65-1) ¶ 31; Dr. Stephanie Budge ("Budge Decl.") 21 (Doc. 4) ¶ 21; Daniel Shumer Rebuttal ("Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal)") (Doc. 65-2) ¶¶ 54–58; 22 Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P 23.)

23 4. When a child is born, a health care provider identifies the child's sex based on the 24 child's observable anatomy. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 18; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 27.) This 25 identification is known as an "assigned sex," and in most cases turns out to be consistent with the person's gender identity. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 18; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 27.) 26

27 5. The term "biological sex" is not defined in the Act, but the Court finds that as used 28 by Defendants it is synonymous with the term "assigned sex." (See Declaration of Dr. James M. Cantor ("Cantor Decl.") (Doc. 82-2; Doc. 92-2) ¶¶ 105-107; Declaration of Dr. Gregory A. Brown ("Brown Decl.") (Doc. 82-1; 92-1) ¶ 1; Dr. Emma Hilton ("Hilton Decl.") (Doc. 92-8) ¶¶1.8, ¶ 3.1-3.2 (explaining sex is an objective feature determined at the moment of conception; infants are born male or female, ascertainable by chromosomal analysis or visual inspection at birth).)²

6. For a transgender person, that initial designation does not match the person's gender identity. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) \P 18; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P 27.)

7. Gender dysphoria is a serious medical condition characterized by significant and disabling distress due to the incongruence between a person's gender identity and assigned sex. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 23; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 28.) Defendant Horne and Intervenors accept that gender dysphoria is a medical condition. (Preliminary Injunction, Oral Argument: July 10, 2023).

8. Gender dysphoria is highly treatable. Every major medical association in the
United States agrees that medical treatment for gender dysphoria is necessary, safe, and
effective. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 25; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 30.)

16 9. "Transgender individuals may experience 'gender dysphoria,' which is 17 'characterized by significant and substantial distress as result of their birth-determined sex 18 being different from their gender identity.' 'In order to be diagnosed with gender dysphoria, 19 the incongruence must have persisted for at least six months and be accompanied by 20 clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas 21 of functioning.' If left untreated, symptoms of gender dysphoria can include severe anxiety 22 and depression, suicidality, and other serious mental health issues. Attempted suicide rates 23 in the transgender community are over 40%." Hecox v. Little, 479 F. Supp. 3d 930, 945-46 24 (D. Idaho 2020) (cleaned up), aff'd No. 20-35813, 2023 WL 1097255 (9th Cir. Jan. 30,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

² From a medical perspective, the terms "biological sex," "biological male," and "biological female" are imprecise terms because a person's sex encompasses several different biological attributes, including sex chromosomes, certain genes, gonads, sex hormone levels, internal and external genitalia, other secondary sex characteristics, and gender identity, which may or may not be in alignment. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶44 (citing Joshua D. Safer, *Care of Transgender Persons*, 381 N. Engl. J. Med. 2451 (2019)).

2023). 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

10. The major associations of medical and mental health providers in the United States, including the American Medical Association, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Psychiatric Association, the American Psychological Association, and the Pediatric Endocrine Society, have endorsed medical standards of care for treating gender dysphoria in adolescents, which were developed by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health ("WPATH") and the Endocrine Society. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 31.)

11. The goal of medical treatment for gender dysphoria is to alleviate a transgender patient's distress by allowing them to live consistently with their gender identity. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 27; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 30.)

11 12. Undergoing treatment to alleviate gender dysphoria is commonly referred to as 12 "transition" and includes one or more of the following components: (i) social transition, 13 including adopting a new name, pronouns, appearance, and clothing, and correcting identity documents; (ii) medical transition, including puberty-delaying medication and 14 15 hormone-replacement therapy; and (iii) for adults, surgeries to alter the appearance and functioning of primary- and secondary-sex characteristics. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶¶ 26-16 17 27; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 34.)

18

19

13. For social transition to be clinically effective, it must be respected consistently across all aspects of a transgender individual's life. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 27.)

14. At the onset of puberty, adolescents with gender dysphoria may be prescribed 20 21 puberty-delaying medications to prevent the distress of developing physical characteristics that conflict with the adolescent's gender identity. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 28; Shumer 22 23 Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 35.)

24

25 26

puberty associated with the adolescent's gender identity. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 28; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 36.)

15. For older adolescents, doctors may also prescribe hormone therapy to induce the

27 16. When transgender adolescents are provided with appropriate medical treatment 28 and have parental and societal support, they can thrive. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 29.)

Casse 23236026008591/20230 doument 60263, Dile 107/20/23 P Rage 6 6 off 253

17. Untreated gender dysphoria can cause serious harm, including anxiety, depression, eating disorders, substance abuse, self-harm, and suicide. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 33; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 28.)

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

18. Being denied recognition and support can cause significant harm, exacerbate gender dysphoria, and expose transgender adolescents to the risk of discrimination and harassment. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶¶ 33–34; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 28.)

19. Attempts to "cure" transgender individuals by forcing their gender identity into alignment with their birth sex are harmful and ineffective. Those practices have been denounced as unethical by all major professional associations of medical and mental health professionals, such as the American Medical Association, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Psychiatric Association, and the American Psychological Association, among others. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 25.)

13

14

B. <u>Plaintiffs are transgender girls who have not and will not experience male</u> puberty.

20. Plaintiff Jane Doe is an 11-year-old transgender girl who will attend Kyrene
Aprende Middle School beginning on July 19, 2023. (Jane Doe ("J. Doe Decl.") (Doc. 6)
¶ 1; Helen Doe (Second) ("H. Doe 2nd Decl.") (Doc. 78) ¶ 3.)

18 21. Jane has lived as a girl in all aspects of her life since she was five years old. (J.
19 Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 2; Helen Doe ("H. Doe Decl.") (Doc. 7) ¶¶ 3, 5.)

20 22. Jane was diagnosed with gender dysphoria when she was seven years old. (H.
21 Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 7.)

22 23. Jane has changed her name through a court order to a more traditional female
23 name and has a female gender marker on her passport. (Pls. Exs. 13 (Doc. 90-1), 15 (Doc.
24 90-3).)

25 24. Jane has been monitored by her doctor for signs of the onset of puberty as part
26 of her medical treatment for gender dysphoria. (H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 11.)

27 25. At an appointment on June 27, 2023, Jane's doctor prescribed a Supprelin
28 implant, which is a puberty-blocking medication. (Helen Doe (Third) ("H. Doe 3rd Decl.")

A14

1 (Doc. 97-1) \P 4.)

2

3

4

5

6

26. Jane is in the process of scheduling the implant procedure for as soon as possible. (*Id.*)

27. Accordingly, Jane has not and will not experience any of the physiological changes that increased testosterone levels would cause in a pubescent boy. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P 45; Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) \P 28.)

7 28. Sports are very important to Jane and her parents. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 5; H.
8 Doe Decl. ¶ 12.)

9 29. Jane particularly loves playing soccer and has played soccer on girls' club and
10 recreational sports teams for nearly five years. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶¶ 6–8; H. Doe Decl.
11 (Doc. 7) ¶ 12.)

30. Aside from its physical and emotional health benefits, soccer has helped Jane
make new friends and connect with other girls. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 7; H. Doe Decl.
(Doc. 7) ¶ 13.)

31. Jane's teachers, coaches, friends, and members of her soccer team have all been
supportive of Jane's identity. (H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 9; Stipulation in Lieu of Answer
("Kyrene/Toenjes Stip.") (Doc. 59) ¶ 1.)

18 32. When Jane enters Kyrene Aprende Middle School this July, she intends to 19 participate and compete with the cross-country team and try out for the girls' soccer and 20 basketball teams. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) \P 9; H. Doe 2nd Decl. (Doc. 78) \P 4.)

33. Both the soccer and basketball teams at Kyrene Aprende Middle School have
separate teams for boys and girls. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 9.)

34. The cross-country team trains together, but boys and girls compete separately.
(*Id.*)

25 35. Registration for the cross-country team began on July 1, 2023. (H. Doe 2nd Decl.
26 (Doc. 78) ¶ 6.)

36. The registration occurs online and involves the submission of registration forms
and supporting documents, such as a physical report signed by a doctor. (*Id.*)

A15

@asse 23236026008501/20230 00 um27660263, Dilet 107/20/23 P Age 6 & off 2453

1	37. Typically, a student's registration takes at least two to three days to process after
2	it is submitted. (Id.)
3	38. The first practice for cross country is on July 31, 2023, and the first cross-country
4	competitive meet will occur the week of August 14, 2023. (Id. ¶ 7.)
5	39. Jane is excited to participate and compete on the girls' teams with her friends
6	and peers. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶¶ 8–9.)
7	40. If not for the Act, the Kyrene School District would permit Jane Doe to play on
8	girls' sports teams. (Kyrene/Toenjes Stip. (Doc. 59) ¶ 1.)
9	41. However, if the Act is applied to Jane, she will not be able to play on the girls'
10	soccer and basketball teams or compete with the girls' cross-country team. (Id.)
11	42. Plaintiff Megan Roe is a 15-year-old transgender girl who attends TGS. (Megan
12	Roe ("M. Roe Decl.") (Doc. 8) ¶¶ 2, 5.)
13	43. Megan has always known she is a girl. (Kate Roe ("K. Roe Decl.") (Doc. 9) ¶
14	3.)
15	44. Megan has lived as a girl in all aspects of her life since she was seven years old.
16	(M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) ¶ 3; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶¶ 4–5.)
17	45. Through a court order, Megan has changed her name to a more traditional female
18	name and her gender to female. (Pls.' Ex. 14 (Doc. 90-2).) She also has a female gender
19	marker on her passport. (Pls.' Ex. 16 (Doc. 90-4).)
20	46. Megan was diagnosed with gender dysphoria when she was ten years old. (K.
21	Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 6.)
22	47. Before starting school at TGS, Megan's parents shared with administrators and
23	teachers at the school that Megan is a transgender girl. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) \P 5.) TGS
24	has been very supportive of Megan and her identity. (Id.; Defendant TGS Motion to
25	Dismiss ("TGS Mot. to Dismiss") (Doc. 37) at 3.)
26	48. Megan has been taking puberty blockers since she was 11 years old as part of
27	her medical treatment for gender dysphoria. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) \P 6; K. Roe Decl. (Doc.
28	9) \P 6.) This prevented Megan from undergoing male puberty. (K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) \P 6.)

1	49. Megan began receiving hormone therapy when she was 12 years old. (M. Roe
2	Decl. ¶ 6; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 6.)
3	50. As a result of the puberty blockers and hormone therapy, Megan has not
4	experienced the physiological changes that increased testosterone levels would cause in a
5	pubescent boy. (K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) \P 6; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P 47; Budge Decl. (Doc.
6	4) ¶ 29.)
7	51. The hormone treatment that she has received has caused Megan to develop many
8	of the physiological changes associated with puberty in females. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P
9	47; see also Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) \P 29.)
10	52. Sports have always been a part of Megan's life. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) \P 4.)
11	53. When she was about seven years old, Megan joined a swim team. (K. Roe Decl.
12	(Doc. 9) ¶ 7.)
13	54. The coach of the swim team was supportive of Megan and her gender identity.
14	(<i>Id.</i>)
15	55. Megan intends to try out for the girls' volleyball team at TGS for this year's fall
16	season. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) ¶ 7.)
17	56. Volleyball is an important part of the TGS community and many students attend
18	the games. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) \P 8; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) \P 8.)
19	57. Megan is excited to play on the girls' volleyball team with her friends. (M. Roe
20	Decl. (Doc. 8) ¶ 7; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 8.)
21	58. Megan's teammates, coaches, and school are highly supportive of her and would
22	welcome her participation on the girls' volleyball team. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) \P 5; K. Roe
23	Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 5; TGS Mot. to Dismiss (Doc. 37) at 3; Dr. Julie Sherrill ("Sherrill Decl.")
24	(Doc. 37-1) ¶ 5.)
25	59. If not for the Act, TGS would permit Megan to play on the girls' volleyball team.
26	(Sherrill Decl. (Doc. 37-1) ¶ 5.)
27	60. If the Act is applied to Megan, she will not be able to compete with the girls'
28	volleyball team. (<i>Id</i> .)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

C. <u>Prior to enactment of A.R.S. § 15-120.02</u>, <u>Plaintiffs would have been allowed</u> to play on girls' sports teams.

61. Defendant AIA sets rules for governing interscholastic sports, grades 9-12, and cutoff age of 19, for member schools, with membership being voluntary, but compliance with AIA rules being mandatory for all membership schools. (AIA Constitution; Article 2. Membership (Doc. 51-1).)

62. Each school or school district set its own rules on transgender students' participation in intramural sports. (*Id.* ¶¶ 2.5.2–3 (vesting "[f]inal authority and ultimate responsibilities in all matters pertaining to interscholastic activities of each school shall be vested in the school principal," with school administration assuming responsibility for verification of all student eligibility rules).)

63. Prior to the enactment of the Act, A.R.S. § 15-120.02, transgender girls in
Arizona were permitted to play on girls' sports teams, under the AIA Constitution, Bylaws,
Policies and Procedures § 41.9, as follows: "[A]ll students should have the opportunity to
participate in Arizona Interscholastic Association activities in a manner that is consistent
with their gender identity, irrespective of the sex listed on a student's eligibility for
participation in interscholastic athletics or in a gender that does not match the sex at birth."
(AIA Resp., Ex. 1 (Doc. 51-1).)

64. By December 2018, the AIA formalized its policy to permit transgender students
to play on teams consistent with their gender identity so long as they had a letter of support
from their parent or guardian explaining when they realized they were transgender.
(Compl. (Doc. 1) ¶ 21; AIA Answer (Doc. 50) ¶ 21; AIA Transgender Policy § 41.9 (Doc.
51-1).)

65. Under the AIA policy, a student request to play on a team consistent with his or
her gender identity is reviewed by a committee of medical and psychiatric experts, and
consistent with AIA health and safety policy and if not motivated by an improper purpose,
the request is approved or denied. (AIA Resp., Ex. 1 (Doc. 51-1) § 41.9.3; Consideration
of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 Before S. Comm. on Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d

Reg. Sess. 50:12–52 (Ariz. 2022).)

66. In the past 10 to 12 years, the AIA fielded approximately 12 requests consistent with their policy and approved seven students to play on a team consistent with their gender identity. Consideration of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 Before S. Comm. on Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess. 52:10 (Ariz. 2022).

5 6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

4

67. The parties do not provide the Court with a breakdown of the gender identity for these seven transgender students but even assuming they were all transgender girls, the Court finds that seven students over 10 to 12 years is not a substantial number, particularly when compared to the "roughly 170,000 students playing sports in Arizona." (Preliminary Injunction, Oral Argument: July 10, 2023).³

11 68. Less than one percent of the population is transgender, with male and female 12 transgender people being roughly the same in number. *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 977–78. 13 "Presumably, this means approximately one half of one percent of the population is made up of transgender females. It is inapposite to compare the potential displacement allowing 14 approximately half of the population (cisgender [4] men) to compete with cisgender women, 15 16 with any potential displacement one half of one percent of the population (transgender 17 women) could cause cisgender women. It appears untenable that allowing transgender 18 women to compete on women's teams would substantially displace female athletes." Id. at 19 977-978.

69. The Arizona Bill Summary for the Act, SB 1165 transmitted to the Governor on
May 11, 2022, expressly cites the AIA's "policy allowing transgender students to
participate in activities in a manner consistent with their gender identity. (AIA Policies and
Procedure, Art. 41 § 9)." (2022 Reg. Sess. S.B. 1165, Bill Summary).

³ The record is missing the relevant number of participants in girls' sports and in sports generally over this same 10-to-12-year period. Based on its independent research, the Court accepts the 170,000 number as representing the total number of students playing sports per year because in 2018-19, there were 52,817 girls and 68,520 boys playing sports in Arizona. https://www.statista.com/statistics/202219/us-high-school-athletic-participation-in-arizona.

⁴ "The term 'cisgender' refers to a person who identifies with the sex that person was determined to have at birth." *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 945 (relying on *Doe v. Boyertown*, 897 F.3d 518, 522 (3rd Cir. 2018)).

Case: 4:23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766283, FiletE 07/20723, Flagge 22206235

- 70. Despite enactment of the Act, the AIA has not changed its transgender policy.(AIA Resp. (Doc. 51) at 5.) Yet, organizations like the AIA do not have discretion to disregard validly enacted laws of the State of Arizona. (AIA Resp. (Doc. 51) at 4.)
- 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

71. The Act prohibits "any licensing or accrediting organization or any athletic association or organization," including the AIA, from "entertain[ing] a complaint, open[ing] an investigation or tak[ing]any other adverse action against a school for maintaining separate interscholastic or intramural athletic teams or sports for students of the female sex." A.R.S. § 15-120.02(D).

72. The Act creates a private cause of action for students or schools to sue schools or organizations like the AIA if the school or organization violates the ban or retaliates in response to the reporting of a violation of the Act. A.R.S. § 15-120.02(F)-(G).

12

13

D. <u>A.R.S. § 15-120.02 prevents Plaintiffs from playing on girls' sports teams at</u> <u>their schools.</u>

73. On March 30, 2022, Arizona enacted the Act (S.B. 1165), with an effective date
of September 24, 2022. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 15-120.02.

74. As of the effective date of the Act, School Year 2022-23, first quarter (JulySeptember) sports, including volleyball, were almost over. Second quarter (OctoberDecember) girls' sports are softball and soccer. The Third quarter (January-March) sports
for girls, includes basketball. The Fourth quarter (March-May) sport is track and field.

20 75. In School Year 2022-23, Megan was allowed to practice as a member of the
21 team, but not allowed to participate in TGS interscholastic competitions (games). (TGS
22 Mot. to Dismiss (Doc. 37) at 3, n3.)

76. In School Year 2022-23, Jane played soccer but not at her elementary school
because it did not have a school team; she will attend Kyrene Middle School for the first
time this year. (Preliminary Injunction, Oral Argument: July 10, 2023).

77. The Court finds that the challenged conduct, passage of the Act precluding
transgender girls from playing on girls' sports teams, occurred at a time when the Plaintiffs
had an opportunity to play on girls' sports teams consistent with their gender identity.

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 23306235

78. Unlike the prior case-by-case basis used to approve a transgender girl's request to play on a team consistent with her gender identity, which considered among other things the age and competitive level relevant to the request, the Act categorically bans all transgender girls' participation by requiring each team that is sponsored by a public school or a private school team that competes against a public-school team to be designated as "male," "female," or "coed," based on the "biological sex of the students who participate." Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 15-120.02(A).

8 79. The Act applies equally to kindergarten through college teams although the 9 problems identified as being addressed by the Act-- opportunity and safety-- are limited to 10 high school and college sports. See e.g. Consideration of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 11 Before S. Comm. on Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess., 0:9:56 (Ariz. 2022) 12 (Sharp testimony explaining problem being addressed is AIA policy that allows males in a 13 matter of weeks to dominate a sport, break a girl's record, and cause a girl to lose her 14 championship or scholarship opportunity); same at 1:24:00 (Sen. Burley explanation for 15 vote "yea" to protect integrity of high school sports by preventing victimization of girls 16 that are trying to compete for sports scholarships).⁵

80. "Biological sex" is not defined in the statute. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 15-120.02.
However, the S.B. 1165 Legislative Findings state that for purposes of school sports, a
student's sex is determined at "fertilization and revealed at birth, or, increasingly, in utero."
S.B. 1165, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess. (Ariz. 2022), § 2.

81. The Act states that "athletic teams or sports designated for 'females', 'women' or 'girls' may not be open to students of the male sex." Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 15-120.02 (B).

82. The Act was adopted for the purpose of excluding transgender girls from playing on girls' sports teams. *See, e.g.* Consideration of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 Before S. Comm. on Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess., 1:17:32–39 (Ariz. 2022) (statement of Sen. Vince Leach, Member, S. Comm. on Judiciary) (explaining his vote for the bill by stating, "if we allow transgenders to take over female sports, you will not have

28

27

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

⁵ http: https://www.azleg.gov/videoplayer/?clientID=6361162879&eventID=2022011057

A21

Case: 4:23-60200 1850 1020 2 B, d Cum 2766 283, Filet E 07/20723, Fiage 24406 235

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

females participating"); 1:28:28–55 (statement of Sen. Warren Petersen, Chairman, S. Comm. on Judiciary) (questioning whether those opposing the bill would "be opposed to having just a trans league, so that they can all compete in their own league"); (Pls.' Ex. 25, Gov. Douglas Ducey Signing Letter) ("S.B. 1165 creates a statewide policy to ensure biologically female athletes at Arizona public schools, colleges, and universities have a level playing field to compete....This legislation simply ensures that the girls and young women who have dedicated themselves to their sport do not miss out... due to unfair competition.")

9 83. Precluding transgender girls, who have not experienced male puberty, from 10 playing girls sports, treats transgender boys and transgender girls differently and treats 11 boys' and girls' sports differently, with only girls' teams facing potential challenges, 12 including litigation, related to suspected transgender players. Compare Consideration of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 Before S. Comm. On Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d 13 Reg. Sess., 0:18:16 (inviting legislators to come see purported transgender girl on a team 14 15 and describing need to challenge suspected transgender girls on opposing teams) with 16 *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 988 (explaining all biological women are subject, in the event 17 of a challenge, to the statutory verification process in order to play on a team, and this 18 creates a different, more onerous set of rules for women's sports when compared to men's 19 sports).

84. Contrary to the asserted safety goal, the Act does not protect transgender boys—
identified by Defendant Horne and Intervenors as "biological girls." In fact, the Act allows
"biological girls" to play on boys' sports teams, subjecting them to the alleged risks of that
association. This is allowed prepuberty and without regard for whether the transgender boy
is receiving testosterone enhancements.

85. The Act's creation of a private cause of action against a school for any student
who is deprived of an athletic opportunity or suffers any harm, whether direct or indirect,
related to a schools' failure to preclude participation of a transgender girl on a girls' team
places an onerous burden on girls' sports programs, not faced by boys' athletic programs.

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 25506235

86. The record does not support a finding that prior to the Act's enactment, there was a problem in Arizona related to transgender girls replacing non-transgender girls on sports teams. Consideration of Bills: Hearing on S.B. 1165 Before S. Comm. on Judiciary, Jan. 20, 2022, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess., 1:15:30–36 (Ariz. 2022) (statement of Sen. Warren Petersen, Chairman, S. Comm. on Judiciary) (acknowledging to another Senator that "we're not aware of a specific instance" where any cisgender girl had lost a place on a team to a transgender girl). 87. The record does not support a finding that during the 10 to 12 years prior to

87. The record does not support a finding that during the 10 to 12 years prior to passage of the Act there was a risk of any physical injury to or missed athletic opportunity by any girl as a result of allowing seven transgender girls to play on sports teams consistent with their gender identity.

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

E. <u>Excluding Plaintiffs from school sports causes very serious injury to</u> <u>Plaintiffs</u>

14 88. School sports offer social, emotional, physical, and mental health benefits.
15 (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶¶ 35–38.)

89. The social benefits of school sports include the opportunity to make friends and
become part of a supportive community of teammates and peers. (*Id.* ¶ 35.)

90. School sports provide an opportunity for youth to gain confidence and reduce
the effects of risk factors that lead to increases in depression. (*Id.* ¶ 36.)

20 91. Students who play school sports have fewer physical and mental health concerns
21 than those that do not. (*Id.* ¶ 37.)

92. Students who participate in high school sports are more likely to finish college
and participation in high school sports has a positive impact on academic achievement. (*Id.*93.)

93. It would be psychologically damaging for a transgender girl to be banned from
playing school sports on equal terms with other girls. (*Id.* ¶ 39; Budge Decl. (Rebuttal)
(Doc. 65-1) ¶ 10.)

28

94. Transgender girls will internalize the shame and stigma of being excluded for a

A23

Case: 4:23-602001850162028,00Cumero66263,FiletE07/20723, Frage 26606235

personal characteristic (being transgender) over which they have no control and which already subjects them to prejudice and social stigma. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) \P 40.)

95. For transgender girls who are already playing on girls' teams, a law that requires them to be excluded from continued participation on girls' teams would have a further negative impact on their health and well-being, causing them to feel isolated, rejected, and stigmatized, and thereby putting them at high risk for severe depression and/or anxiety. (Id.)

8 96. For transgender girls, who are gender transitioning to address gender dysphoria, the benefits from playing sports on teams compatible with their gender identity is important because to be clinically effective, gender transitioning must be respected consistently across all aspects of her life.

12 13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

F. Transgender girls who have not undergone male puberty do not have an athletic advantage over other girls.

97. The Plaintiffs' experts' opinions are based on the scientific consensus that the 14 15 biological cause of average differences in athletic performance between men and women 16 is caused by the presence of circulating levels of testosterone beginning with male puberty. 17 (Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal) (Doc 65-2) ¶ 8 (citing Brown Decl. ¶¶ 127–30 relying on 18 Handelsman (2018) at 823 ("summarizing evidence makes it highly likely that the sex 19 difference in circulating testosterone of adults explains most, if not all, of the sex 20 differences in sporting performance.")); (Brown Hecox Decl. ¶¶ 20a, 25–28, 77–85).

21 98. A large part of the record created by the Defendants is not relevant to the 22 question before the Court: whether transgender girls like Plaintiffs, who have not 23 experienced male puberty, have performance advantages that place other girls at a 24 competitive disadvantage or at risk of injury. For example, Defendants submit evidence 25 that girls have more body fat than boys at birth. (Brown Decl. (Doc. 82-1; 92-1) ¶ 79.) 26 Without more, this evidence is not relevant to the question before the Court.

27 99. Defendant Horne and the Intervenors submit expert declarations, including the 28 declaration by Dr. James Cantor, which in large part are not relevant criticisms of medical

Case: 4.23-60200 185016202 B, dCumer666263, FiletE07/20723, PPage 21706235

1

2

3

4

5

treatments for gender dysphoria. The appropriateness of medical treatment for gender dysphoria is not at issue in this case. (Pls Ex. (Doc. 88-3) at 39-40 (dated March 30, 2022, describing purpose of Act to ensure a level playing by preventing unfair competition in women's sports).) Protecting transgender girls from any such risk is not a rationale or purpose of the Act.

6 100. Defendants' expert Dr. Brown admits that many of the specific male 7 physiological advantages he describes are a result of testosterone levels in men post-8 puberty. This evidence is not relevant because the Plaintiffs have not and never will 9 experience male puberty. The Court is not concerned with Dr. Brown's opinion that such 10 advantages are not reversed by testosterone suppression after puberty or are reduced only 11 modestly, leaving a large advantage over female athletes. Dr. Brown agrees it is well 12 documented that the large increases in physiological and performance advantages for men 13 result from increases in circulating testosterone levels that males experience in puberty, "or 14 generally between the ages of about 12 through 18." (Brown Decl. (Doc. 82-1; 92-1) $163-164.)^{6}$ 15

16 101. Defendants rely on school-based fitness testing of boys and girls, comparisons 17 between 10th/50th/90th percentile scores for girl and boy students ages 6 through 11 18 reflecting, for example, that 50% of 6-year-old boys completed more laps in the 20-meter 19 shuttle (14) than girls (12). (Brown Decl. (Doc. 82-1; 92-1) \P 84.) Other fitness data reflects 20differences between 9 through 17-year-old boys and girls, with 9-year-old boys always 21 exceeding girls' running times by various percentages ranging from 11.1-15.2%, id. ¶ 89; 22 arm hang fitness scores (7.48 boys, 5.14 girls), id. ¶ 92; standing broad jump (128.3 boys, 23 118.0 girls), *id.* ¶ 99. (*See also* Brown Decl. (Doc. 82-1; 92-1) ¶106 (quoting Thomas 1985) 24 study at 266) ("Boys exceed girls in throwing velocity by 1.5 standard deviation units as early as 4 to 7 years of age . . ." and throwing distance by 1.5 standard deviation units as 25

⁶ A categorical bar to girls and women who are transgender stands in "stark contrast to the policies of elite athletic bodies that regulate sports both nationally and globally—including the National Collegiate Athletic Association ("NCAA") and the International Olympic Committee ("IOC")—which allow transgender women to participate on female sports teams once certain specific criteria are met," primarily specified levels of circulating testosterone. *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 944.

Case: 4:23-602801850162028,0Cum27666283,FiletE071/20723, F2age28806235

early as 2 to 4 years of age).)⁷ (But see Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶12 1 2 (opining clear scientific consensus that athletic ability does not diverge significantly until 3 puberty (citing e.g., David Handelsman, Sex Differences in Athletic Performance Emerge 4 Coinciding with the Onset of Male Puberty, 87 Clinical Endocrinology 68, 70–71 (2017) 5 ("The gender divergence in athletic performance begins at the age of 12–13 years"); Ps 6 Motion for PI, Jonathon W. Senefeld et al., Sex Differences in Youth Elite Swimming, 14 7 PLOS ONE 1, 1–2 (2019) (Doc. 88-2) at 42-43 (studying child and youth swimmers and 8 concluding that the data suggests "girls are faster, or at least not slower, than boys prior to 9 the performance-enhancing effects of puberty"); M.J. McKay, Normative reference values 10 for strength and flexibility of 1000 children and adults (Doc. 88-3) at 12 (finding no 11 significant (p<0.05) differences between the strength measures of boys or girls aged 3-9, 12 except for shoulder internal rotators where boys were stronger).

13 102. The World Rugby Transgender Women's Guidelines 2020, which Dr. Brown 14 cites throughout his declaration, allow transgender girls and women to participate in 15 women's rugby if they did not experience endogenous male puberty, stating: "Transgender 16 women who transitioned pre-puberty and have not experienced the biological effects of 17 testosterone during puberty and adolescence can play women's rugby." (Pls.' Ex. 24 (Doc. 88-3); Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶ 35.) 18

19 103. The physical fitness data relied on by Defendant Horne and Intervenors merely 20 observes phenomena across a population sample in isolated areas and does not determine 21 a cause for what is observed. There is no basis for these experts to attribute those small

²² 23

⁷ The Court does not know whether Dr. Brown's opinion that hormone and testosterone suppression cannot fully eliminate physiological advantages once an individual experienced male puberty has been revised since the peer review of the Handelsman study. *See Hecox* 479 F. Supp. 3d at 980 (criticizing Brown's opinion because not updated 24 subsequent to peer review and noting some of the studies Dr. Brown relied on "actually held the opposite"). This evidence, relating to transgender girls/women who have experienced male puberty, is not directly relevant in this case, except to the extent the Court 25 26 might extrapolate that if testosterone suppression in transgender females who have experienced male puberty, can bring them into athletic alignment with other girls/women, 27 then preventing transgender girls from experiencing male puberty in the first place would result in even greater equity. The Court does not draw such a conclusion for purposes of deciding the request for preliminary injunction. 28

Case: 4:23-60200 185916202 B, d Cuméro 66263, FiletE 071/20723, Frage 29906 235

differences to physiology or anatomy instead of to other factors such as greater societal encouragement of athleticism in boys, greater opportunities for boys to play sports, or differences in the preferences of the boys and girls surveyed. (Dr. Linda Blade ("Blade Decl.") at 7–9; Hilton Decl. (Doc. 92-8) ¶¶ 7.3–7.5; Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶¶ 21, 46.) The Court finds that transgender girls, who are being raised in conformance with their gender identity, will be subject to the same social/cultural factors that girls face that correlates to lower physical fitness scores.

8 104. There is no evidence to support Dr. Hilton's opinion that girls have "delicate 9 brain structures" making them prone to injury; brain MRIs reveal no differences based on sex, except for size. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶ 40.) Evidence suggests the 10 11 difference between male and female sports' concussions occurs because girls, postpuberty, have weaker neck muscles than boys. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶ 12 13 41 (citing Abigail C. Bretzin et al., Association of Sex with Adolescent Soccer Concussion 14 Incidence and Characteristics, 4 JAMA Network Open 4, 6 (2021); Ryan T. Tierney et al., 15 Gender Differences in Head-Neck Segment Dynamic Stabilization During Head 16 Acceleration, 37 Med. & Sci. Sports & Exercise 272, 272 (2005)).

17 105. The Court rejects Dr. Hilton's idea that "sporty-girls" will be "as well-trained
18 as their male peers" and, therefore, higher win scores at Kyrene Middle School for boys
19 cannot be explained by social cultural factors and must be biological. (Hilton Decl. (Doc.
20 92-8) (citing Thomas and French, 1985, *Gender differences across age in motor*21 *performance a meta-analysis:* Psychol Bull 98(2): 260-282)).

106. Height differences in babies are negligible, with differences disappearing

altogether between ages 6 and 8 but reappearing when girls enter puberty and overtake

boys in height and weight for a few years until boys experience puberty and grow taller on

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 23
- 24
- 25

107. The Plaintiffs do not challenge the existence of separate teams for girls and
boys. Defendants do not explain why the minor differences in physical fitness scores for
prepuberty boys compared to girls reflect a significant athletic advantage of boys over girls,

average than girls/women. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶¶ 12-15.)

Case: 4:23-602001850162028,00cum27666263,FiletE07/20723, Frage: 32006235

5

6

7

8

1

prepuberty. There are many other reasons why boys' and girls' sports teams are separated: (1) women historically were deprived of athletic opportunities in favor of men; (2) as a general matter, men had equal athletic opportunities to women; and (3) according to stipulated facts, average physiological differences meant that "males would displace females to a substantial extent" if permitted to play on women's teams. See Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 976 (distinguishing Clark by and Through Clark v. Arizona Interscholastic Ass'n, 695 F.2d 1126 (9th Cir. 1982) finding these factors do not apply for transgender women).

9 108. Defendants ask the Court to rely on evidence they allege supports separating 10 sports teams by sex to conclude that transgender girls, who have not experienced puberty, 11 should not play on girls' teams solely because they are boys, regardless of whether they 12 have experienced puberty.

13 109. The Court will not make this leap because Plaintiffs present expert evidence 14 that any prepubertal differences between boys and girls in various athletic measurements 15 are minimal or nonexistent. (Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶ 5) (citing Alison 16 McManus & Neil Armstrong, *Physiology of elite young female athletes*, 56 Medicine & 17 Science Sports & Exercise 23, 24 (2011) ("Prior to 11 years of age differences in average 18 speed are minimal"); id. at 27 ("[S]mall sex difference in fat mass and percent body fat are 19 evident from mid-childhood"); id. at 29 ("[B]one characteristics differ little between boys 20 and girls prior to puberty"); id. at 32 ("There is little evidence that prior to puberty pulmonary structure or function limits oxygen uptake"); id. at 34 ("[N]o sex differences in 21 22 arterial compliance have been noted in pre- and early- pubertal children")).

23

24 terms of height, weight, and strength, overlap with those of other girls. In other words, 25 some girls may be taller than average, and some transgender girls may be taller than 26 average. The rationale for excluding transgender girls with above average physical 27 characteristics is equally applicable to excluding taller than average girls, but height, 28 weight, or strength factors are not used at any level of competition to protect girls or women

110. Based on the evidence, transgender girls' physical characteristics, especially in

A28

athletes. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶¶ 42-43; *see also Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 980 (describing evidence of similar bell curve differences for transgender women, who have gone through male puberty and are using gender affirming interventions, including lowering testosterone as "a transgender woman who performed 80% as well as the best performer among men of that age before transition would also perform at about 80% as well as the best performer among women of that age after transition.")

111. The categorical preclusion of transgender women, especially girls who have not experienced male puberty, appears unrelated to the interests the Act purportedly advances. A "justification must be genuine, not hypothesized." *United States v. Virginia,* 518 U.S. 515, 533 (1996). The proponents of the Act fail to provide persuasive evidence of any genuine, not hypothesized problem. *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 979.

12 112. Before puberty, there are no significant differences in athletic performance
13 between boys and girls. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶ 16; Shumer Decl.
14 (Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶¶ 9–13; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 38; Pls.' Exs. 19–20, 22–23 (Doc.
15 88-2).)

16 113. After puberty, adolescent boys begin to produce higher levels of testosterone,
which over time causes them to become, on average, stronger and faster than adolescent
girls. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 39; Pls.' Exs. 18–19 (Doc. 88-2).)

19 114. The biological driver of average group differences in athletic performance
20 between adolescent boys and girls is the difference in their respective levels of testosterone,
21 which only begin to diverge significantly after the onset of puberty. (Shumer Decl.
22 (Rebuttal) ¶¶ 4, 8; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 39; Pls.' Exs. 18–19.)

115. Transgender girls who receive puberty-blocking medication do not have an athletic advantage over other girls because they do not undergo male puberty and do not experience the physiological changes caused by the increased production of testosterone associated with male puberty. (Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶¶ 15–16; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶¶ 35, 38–42.)

116. Transgender girls who receive hormone therapy after receiving puberty-

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 32206 235

blocking medication will develop the skeletal structure, fat distribution, and muscle and breast development typical of other girls. (Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶ 29; Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶ 22; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶¶ 35–36.)

4 5

6

7

8

1

2

3

117. A transgender girl who receives hormone therapy will typically have the same levels of circulating estrogen and testosterone as other girls. (Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) \P 36.)

118. Knowing that a girl is transgender, if she has not gone through male puberty, reveals nothing about her athletic ability. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶ 31, 48; Shumer Decl. (Rebuttal) (Doc. 65-2) ¶¶ 26–27; Shumer Decl. (Doc. 5) ¶ 42.)

9 119. Similarly, transgender girls who have not yet undergone male puberty or who
10 have received puberty-blocking medication at the onset of puberty do not present any
11 unique safety risk to other girls. (Shumer Decl. (2nd Rebuttal) (Doc. 113) ¶¶ 25, 36; Shumer
12 Decl. (Rebuttal) ¶ 41.)

120. In short, transgender girls, who have not experienced male puberty, play like
girls. There is no logical connection between prohibiting them from playing on girls' sports
teams and the goals of preventing unfair competition in girls' sports or protecting girls
from being physically injured by boys.

17

G. Plaintiffs cannot play on boys' sports teams.

18 121. Jane cannot play on boys' teams or compete with the boys because she is a girl,
19 with athletic capabilities like other girls her age and different from boys her age who are
20 beginning to experience puberty and increased testosterone levels. Jane will not experience
21 male puberty and will experience female puberty. Assuming there are safety issues created
22 if girls compete with boys, Jane would be subjected to such risks by playing on boys'
23 teams.

122. Jane's medical health depends on her ability to live her life fully as a girl, and
playing on a boys' sports team and competing against boys would directly contradict her
medical treatment for gender dysphoria and jeopardize her health. (H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7)
¶ 15; Budge Decl. (Doc. 4) ¶¶ 33–34.)

28

123. "Participating in sports on teams that contradict one's gender identity 'is

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766283, FD kdE 07/20723, FBage 32306 235

equivalent to gender identity conversion efforts, which every major medical association has found to be dangerous and unethical." *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 977.

124. Jane would find it humiliating and embarrassing to play on a boys' team because everyone at school knows her as a girl. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 11; H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 15.)

125. If she is not allowed to play sports on a girls' team, Jane will be very upset. (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 10; H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 16.)

8 126. Jane will not participate in sports at all if she is forced to be on a boys' team.
9 (J. Doe Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 11; H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 15.) The last thing she wants to do is
10 draw attention to herself by drawing into question her gender identity. She wants to go to
11 school like other girls. (Jane Decl. (Doc. 6) ¶ 11.)

12 127. Jane will also lose the opportunity to receive the physical, social, and emotional
13 benefits that school sports provide. (H. Doe Decl. (Doc. 7) ¶ 16).

14 128. Megan cannot play on boys' teams or compete with the boys because she is a 15 girl, with athletic capabilities like other girls her age and different from boys her age, who 16 have experienced puberty and increased testosterone levels. Megan has not experienced 17 male puberty and has experienced female puberty. Assuming there are safety issues created 18 if girls compete with boys, Jane would be subjected to such risks by playing on boys' 19 teams.

20 129. Playing on a boys' team would directly conflict with Megan's medical
21 treatment for gender dysphoria, and her medical health depends on her ability to live her
22 life fully as a girl. Playing on a boys' team would be emotionally painful and humiliating
23 for her. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) ¶ 9; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 10.)

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

130. "Participating in sports on teams that contradict one's gender identity 'is equivalent to gender identity conversion efforts, which every major medical association has found to be dangerous and unethical." *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 977.

131. If she is not allowed to play on the girls' volleyball team, Megan will not
compete on the boys' volleyball team. (M. Roe Decl. (Doc. 8) ¶ 9; K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9)

¶ 10.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

132. Megan will be distraught if she loses the opportunity to try out for the girls' volleyball team. (K. Roe Decl. (Doc. 9) ¶ 11.)

133. Megan will also lose the opportunity to receive the physical, social, and emotional benefits that school sports provide. (*Id.* \P 9.)

II. Conclusions of Law

To the extent these Conclusions of Law are also deemed to be Findings of Fact, they are hereby incorporated into the preceding Findings of Fact.

9 134. A preliminary injunction is an "extraordinary and drastic remedy" that is
10 "never awarded as of right." *Munaf v. Geren*, 553 U.S. 674, 689-90 (2008) (citations
11 omitted). Instead, in every case, the court must balance competing claims of injury and
12 must consider the effect on each party of granting or withholding relief. *Winter v. Natural*13 *Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7 (2008).

14 135. A preliminary injunction may take one of two forms: 1) a prohibitory
15 injunction prohibits a party from taking action and "preserve[s] the status quo pending a
16 determination of the action on the merits." *Chalk v. United States Dist. Court,* 840 F.2d
17 701, 704 (9th Cir. 1988). A mandatory injunction goes beyond simply maintaining the status
18 quo and requires a heightened burden of proof and is particularly disfavored. *Marlyn*19 *Nutraceuticals, Inc. v. Mucos Pharma GmbH & Co.,* 571 F.3d 873, 879 (9th Cir. 2009)
20 (citing Anderson v. United States, 612 F.2d 1112, 1114 (9th Cir. 1980)).

136. "Status quo" for the purpose of an injunction "refers to the legally relevant
relationship between the parties before the controversy arose." *Arizona Dream Act Coal. v. Brewer*, 757 F.3d 1053, 1061 (9th Cir. 2014) (emphasis in original); *see also Regents of Univ. of California v. Am. Broad. Companies, Inc.*, 747 F.2d 511, 514 (9th Cir. 1984) (for
purposes of injunctive relief, the status quo means "the last uncontested status which
preceded the pending controversy") (cleaned up).

27 137. For the purpose of issuing a preliminary injunction, the Court's findings that
28 both Jane and Megan could have played on girls' sports teams last year prior to passage of

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766283, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 32506 235

the Act, cannot play on sports teams consistent with their gender identity now, and want to participate in girls' sports programs at Kyrene Middle School and TGS this year, warrant issuance of a mandatory prohibitory injunction to preserve the status quo.

138. The purpose of a preliminary injunction or temporary restraining order is to preserve the status quo if the balance of equities so heavily favors the moving party that justice requires the court to intervene to secure the positions until the merits of the action are ultimately determined. *University of Texas v. Camenisch*, 451 U.S. 390, 395 (1981).

139. A party seeking a preliminary injunction must establish that: (1) they are likely to succeed on the merits of their claims; (2) they are likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief; (3) the balance of equities tips in their favor; and (4) an injunction is in the public interest. *Alliance for the Wild Rockies v. Cottrell*, 632 F.3d 1127, 1131 (9th Cir. 2011).

13 140. When the government is a party, the third and fourth factors merge. *Nken v.*14 *Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 435 (2009); *Porretti v. Dzurenda*, 11 F.4th 1037, 1050 (9th Cir.
15 2021).

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. <u>Likelihood of success on the merits.</u>

Equal Protection Clause Claim

18 141. There is a strong presumption that gender classifications are invalid and the
19 burden rests on the state to justify the classification. *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533. This burden
20 tracks for purposes of considering the likelihood of the merits of the Plaintiffs' claim.
21 Defendants must show that it is "more likely than not" that the Act is constitutional.
22 *Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente Uniao de Vegetal*, 546 U.S. 418, 429–30 (2006)
23 (finding evidentiary equipoise insufficient and issuing a preliminary injunction).

142. The Supreme Court has addressed the Defendants' concern that legislation
must be written for the population generally, therefore, "most legislation classifies for one
purpose or another, with resulting disadvantage to various groups or persons." *Hecox*, 479
F. Supp. 3d at 972); (Preliminary Injunction, Oral Argument: July 10, 2023). There are

three tiers of judicial scrutiny depending on the characteristics of the disadvantaged group or the rights implicated by the classification. *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 972.

143. When the state restricts an individual's access to a fundamental right, the policy must withstand the strictest of scrutiny. *San Antonio Indep. Sch. District. v. Rodriguez*, 411 U.S. 1, 16-17 (1973). Access to interscholastic sports is not a constitutionally recognized fundamental right. *Walsh v. La High Sch. Athletic Ass'n*, 616 F.2d 152, 159-60 (5th Cir. 1980). Strict scrutiny also applies if a government policy discriminates against a suspect class such as race, alienage, and national origin because government policies that discriminate based on race or national origin typically reflect prejudice. *City of Cleburn v. Cleburn Living Center*, 473 U.S. 432, 440 (1985).

144. The least stringent level of scrutiny is rational basis review, which is applied to laws that impose a difference in treatment between groups but do not infringe upon a fundamental right or target a suspect or quasi-suspect class. *Heller v. Dow*, 509 U.S. 312, 319-321 (1993).

145. Heightened scrutiny is an intermediate scrutiny, a slightly less stringent standard than strict scrutiny, but greater than rational basis review. *Craig v. Boren*, 429 U.S. 190, 197 (1976); *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533. Heightened scrutiny applies to statutes that discriminate on the basis of sex, a quasi-suspect classification. "The purpose of this heightened level of scrutiny is to ensure quasi-suspect classifications do not perpetuate unfounded stereotypes or second-class treatment." *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 973 (quoting *Latta v. Otter (Latta I)*, 19 F. Supp. 3d 1054, 1073 (D. Idaho), *aff'd*, 771 F.3d 456 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533)). To withstand heightened scrutiny, a classification by sex "must serve important governmental objectives and must be substantially related to achievement of those objectives." *Craig*, 429 U.S. at 197.

146. Laws that discriminate against transgender people are sex-based classifications and, as such, warrant heightened scrutiny. *See Karnoski v. Trump*, 926 F.3d 1180, 1200–01 (9th Cir. 2019) (analyzing a policy barring transgender people from military service as sex-based discrimination and applying heightened scrutiny); *see also D.T. v. Christ*, 552 F.

A34
Supp. 3d 888, 896 (D. Ariz. 2021) ("Discrimination against transgender people is discrimination based on sex; as such, heightened scrutiny applies.").

3 147. Defendant Horne's and Intervenors' argument that the Act does not mention 4 transgender girls and, therefore, does not discriminate based on transgender status or 5 gender identity fails. The Act's disparate treatment of transgender girls because they are 6 transgender is clear on the face of the statute and makes it facially discriminatory even if 7 the statute does not expressly employ the term "transgender". See e.g. Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 8 3d at 975 (rejecting defendants' argument that similar Idaho statute "does not ban athletes 9 on the basis of transgender status, but rather on the basis of the innate physiological 10 advantages males generally have over females"); A.M., 617 F. Supp. 3d at 965–66 (holding 11 that a virtually identical Indiana statute discriminated against transgender individuals 12 despite not using the term "transgender"); B.P.J. v. W. Va. State Bd. of Educ., 550 F. Supp. 13 3d 347, 353–54 (S.D. W. Va. 2021) (holding that a virtually identical West Virginia statute 14 "discriminates on the basis of transgender status"), B. P. J. v. W. Virginia State Bd. Of 15 Educ., No. 2:21-CV-00316, 2023 WL 111875, at *6 (S.D.W. Va. Jan. 5, 2023) (cleaned 16 up), stayed pending appeal B.P.J. v. W. Virginia State Bd. of Educ., No. 23-1078, 2023 17 WL 2803113, at *1 (4th Cir. Feb. 22, 2023).

18

19

20

1

2

148. The Arizona legislature intentionally created a classification, specifically "biological girls," that necessarily excludes transgender girls, and expressly allowed only that exclusive classification to play girls sports to the exclusion of transgender girls.

149. The legislative history demonstrates that the purpose of the Act is to exclude
transgender girls from girls' sports teams. Therefore, the Court applies heightened scrutiny
to the Act, does not make a presumption of constitutionality, and does not defer to
legislative judgment. *SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Abbott Laboratories*, 740 F.3d 471,
483 (9th Cir. 2014).

150. Plaintiffs Jane and Megan are transgender girls, members of a quasi-protected
class. The Court applies heightened scrutiny in this case, placing the burden on the
government to show "an exceedingly persuasive justification" for the alleged

- 27 -

A35

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 32806235

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

discriminatory treatment, *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 531, which must not be based on "generalizations" or "stereotypes," *id.* at 549–50, 565. "The justification 'must be genuine, not hypothesized or invented post hoc in response to litigation,' and 'must not rely on overbroad generalizations about the different talents, capacities, or preferences of males and females." *Karnoski*, 926 F.3d at 1200 (quoting *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533).

151. In applying heightened scrutiny review, the Court must examine the Act's "actual purposes and carefully consider any resulting inequality to ensure that our most fundamental institutions neither send nor reinforce messages of stigma or second-class status." *Latta II*, 771 F.3d at 468 (quoting *SmithKline*, 740 F.3d at 483).

10 152. According to Defendants, the Act is to protect girls from physical injury in
sports and promote equality and equity in athletic opportunities, which are, in addition to
redressing past discrimination against women in athletics, considered legitimate and
important governmental interests justifying rules excluding males from participating on
female teams. *Clark*, 695 F.2d at 1131.

15 153. However, the well-established scientific consensus is that, before puberty, 16 there are no significant physiological differences in athletic performance between boys and 17 girls. Instead, there is overlap between the sexes, with some boys being better athletically 18 than some girls and some girls outplaying some boys. There is also no evidence that 19 transgender girls who do not undergo male puberty because they have taken puberty 20 suppressing medication at the onset of male puberty have an athletic advantage over other 21 girls. There are no studies that have documented any such advantage, and there is no 22 medical reason to posit that any such advantage would exist. (Id. \P 26.)

154. The testimony by Drs. Brown and Hilton that boys have some biological
advantages related to physical fitness before puberty does not support a conclusion that
Plaintiffs, who have not experienced male puberty, have any athletic advantage over other
girls or pose a safety risk to other girls by playing on girls' sports teams.

27 155. Defendant Horne and Intervenors discuss *Clark*, 695 F.2d at 1131, throughout
28 their briefs but *Clark* strongly supports Plaintiffs. In *Clark*, the Ninth Circuit held that it

Case: 4.23-60200 185016202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 32906235

was lawful to exclude boys from girls' volleyball teams because: (1) women had historically been deprived of athletic opportunities in favor of men; (2) as a general matter, men had equal athletic opportunities compared to women; and (3) according to the stipulated facts in the case, average physiological differences meant that males would displace females to a substantial extent if permitted to play on women's volleyball teams. *Hecox*, 479 F.Supp. 3d at 1131.

7 156. None of the *Clark* premises hold true for girls who are transgender: (1) far from 8 being favored in athletics, "women who are transgender have historically been 9 discriminated against;" (2) transgender women—unlike the boys in Clark—would not be 10 able to participate in any school sports; and (3) based on the very small numbers of 11 transgender girls in the population, "transgender women have not and could not 'displace' cisgender women in athletics 'to a substantial extent."" Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 977 12 13 (quoting *Clark*, 695 F.2d at 1131). Hecox's analysis of *Clark* is more compelling here, 14 where Plaintiffs have not experienced male puberty and will experience female puberty. 15 See Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 981 (transgender girls who do not experience male puberty 16 "do not have an ascertainable advantage over cisgender female athletes").

- 17 157. Under *Clark*, the legislature need not pick the wisest alternative for addressing
 a problem, but it must show that the policy is "substantially related to the goals of providing
 fair and equal playing opportunities for girls and protections to ensure the safety of girls
 playing sports. *Clark*, 695 F.2d at 1132.
- 158. The Court finds that Defendant Horne and Intervenors fail to produce
 persuasive evidence at the preliminary injunction stage to show that the Act is substantially
 related to the legitimate goals of ensuring equal opportunities for girls to play sports and to
 prevent safety risks:
- 25 26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

- There is no evidence in the record that transgender girls who have not experienced male puberty, have presented an actual problem of unfair competition or created safety risks to other girls.
- There is no empirical evidence in the record that transgender girls who have not experienced puberty, have any physiological advantages over other girls that create unfair competition for positions on girls' sports teams and other athletic opportunities, or pose a safety risk to other girls.

Case: 4:23-602001850162028,00Cum27666263,FiletE07/20723, Fragee48006235 1 The Act is overly broad, reaching sports at all grade levels, including grades when athletes are prepuberty; it bans transgender girls, who have not experienced male puberty and who, instead, will or have experienced female puberty. "The Supreme Court has long viewed with suspicion laws that rely on 2 3 overbroad generalizations about the different talents, capacities, or preferences of males and females." B. P. J. 2023 WL 111875, at *6. Laws that discriminate based on sex must be backed by an "exceedingly persuasive justification." 4 5 *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 531. The Act treats transgender boys and transgender girls and boys' and girls' sports 6 differently. Transgender boys who, according to Defendants' reasoning and classifications are "biological girls", are allowed to play on boys' sports teams, subject to the alleged risks of that association which the Act proports to address. The Act creates a private cause of action that burdens only girls' sports programs with transgender challenges, investigations, and litigation. The Act subjects only 7 8 female athletes, transgender and otherwise, to gender challenges and 9 investigations. Boys playing on boys' teams do not have to worry about any gender challenge or investigation. 10 11 159. Defendant Horne and Intervenors have not established that categorically 12 banning all transgender girls from playing girls' sports is substantially related to an 13 important government interest. Virginia, 518 U.S. at 524. 14 160. Defendant Horne's and Intervenors' argument that the Act is necessary to 15 protect girls' sports by barring transgender girls, who purportedly have an unfair athletic 16 advantage over other girls and/or pose a safety risk to other girls, is based on overbroad 17 generalizations and stereotypes that erroneously equate transgender status with athletic 18 ability. See Hecox, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 982 (holding that the asserted advantage between 19 transgender and non-transgender female athletes "is based on overbroad generalizations" 20 without factual justification"). Therefore, the Act does not withstand heightened scrutiny. 21 *Karnoski*, 926 F.3d at 1200 (citing *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533). 22 161. Because the Court's findings of fact reflect that the Act's categorical bar 23 against transgender girls' participation on girls' sports teams is not a genuine justification, 24 the Plaintiffs are likely to prevail on the merits. Heightened scrutiny requires more than a 25 hypothesized problem. Virginia, 518 U.S. at 533. 26 In fact, the Act fails even under the rational basis test because it is not related 162. 27 to any important government interest. "[I]f the constitutional conception of 'equal 28 protection of the laws' means anything, it must at the very least mean that a bare

A38

congressional desire to harm a politically unpopular group cannot constitute a legitimate governmental interest." United States Dep't of Agric. v. Moreno, 413 U.S. 528, 534 (1973).

3

4

5

6

7

11

1

2

Title IX Claim

163. Title IX provides, in relevant part, that no person "shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance[.]" 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a).

8 164. Defendants Kyrene School District (administered and overseen by Defendant 9 Toenjes) and the AIA receive federal financial assistance, and Defendant Horne is a grant 10 recipient of federal funds. All Defendants must comply with Title IX's requirements. (See Compl. ¶¶ 9-13.)⁸

12 165. Discriminating against an individual on the basis of transgender status is 13 discrimination based on sex. See Bostock v. Clayton Cnty., 140 S. Ct. 1731, 1741 (2020) 14 ("[I]t is impossible to discriminate against a person for being . . . transgender without 15 discriminating against that individual based on sex.").

16 166. The Ninth Circuit has held that discrimination based on transgender status also 17 constitutes impermissible discrimination under Title IX. See Grabowski v. Ariz. Bd. of 18 Regents, 69 F.4th 1110, 1116 (9th Cir. 2023) (holding that Bostock Title VII case applies to Title IX); Doe v. Snyder, 28 F.4th 103, 114 (9th Cir. 2022). 19

20 167. The Act discriminates against Plaintiffs based on their status as transgender 21 girls by providing that for purposes of school sports a student's sex is fixed "at birth." S.B. 22 1165, 55th Leg., 2d Reg. Sess. (Ariz. 2002), § 2.

- 23 168. The Act's classification of all transgender girls as male and its prohibition of 24 students who are "male" from playing on girls' teams, Ariz. Stat. § 15-120.02(B), 25 intentionally excludes all transgender girls, including Plaintiffs, from participating on girls' 26 teams.
- 27 ⁸ TGS has filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that it does not receive federal financial assistance and therefore is not required to comply with Title IX requirements. The Court will address this motion by separate order. 28

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 0202 B, d Cum 2766263, FiletE 07/20723, Fiage 43206235

169. Exclusion from athletics on the basis of sex is a cognizable harm under Title IX because it deprives Plaintiffs of the benefits of sports programs and activities that their non-transgender classmates enjoy. *See Grabowski*, 69 F.4th 1121–22 (holding that being removed from the team was an adverse action under Title IX); *see also A.M. by E.M. v. Indianapolis Pub. Sch.*, 617 F. Supp. 3d 950 (S.D. Ind. 2022), appeal dismissed sub nom. *A.M. by E.M. v. Indianapolis Pub. Sch.* & *Superintendent*, No. 22-2332, 2023 WL 371646 (7th Cir. Jan. 19, 2023) (granting a preliminary injunction of a similar Indiana law that

8 banned transgender girls from playing on girls' sports teams based on Title IX). 9 170. The Court rejects Defendant Horne's and Intervenors' arguments that 10 Plaintiffs' schools offer teams for both boys and girls and, therefore, Plaintiffs are not 11 excluded from participating in sports on teams consistent with their "biological sex." The 12 Court's findings of fact reflect that Plaintiffs, who are transgender girls, cannot play on 13 boys' teams because they are transgender girls who have not and will not go through male puberty and will go through female puberty. Moreover, playing on a boys' team would be 14 15 shameful and humiliating for Plaintiffs as well as in direct conflict with ongoing treatment 16 for gender dysphoria, a serious medical condition.

7

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

B. Plaintiffs Will Suffer Irreparable Harm if Relief Is Not Granted.

18 171. Plaintiffs face irreparable harm if this Court does not enjoin the Act as to them.
19 172. Enforcement of the Act in violation of the Equal Protection Clause in and of
20 itself is sufficient to presume irreparable harm to justify a preliminary injunction.
21 *Hernandez v. Sessions*, 872 F.3d 976, 994–95 (9th Cir. 2017) ("It is well established that
22 the deprivation of constitutional rights unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury.")
23 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d at 987 (noting this
24 "dispositive presumption").

173. A violation of Title IX also causes irreparable harm. *See Anders v. Cal. State Univ., Fresno*, 2021 WL 1564448, at *18 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 21, 2021) (finding irreparable
harm under Title IX given the "presumption of irreparable injury where plaintiff shows
violation of a civil rights statute" and in light of "the insult that comes from unequal

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 1620 2 B, d Cum 2766 283, Filet E 07/20723, Fiage 43306 235

treatment"); *Portz v. St. Cloud State Univ.*, 196 F. Supp. 3d 963, 973 (D. Minn. 2016) ("Plaintiffs have a fair chance of succeeding on their Title IX claim, and Congress passed Title IX pursuant to its power to enforce the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiffs' expectation that they may be treated unequally in violation of Title IX's terms is an irreparable harm.") (cleaned up).

174. Plaintiffs will also suffer severe and irreparable mental, physical, and emotional harm if the Act applies to them because they cannot play on boys' sports teams. Playing on a boys' team would directly contradict Plaintiffs' medical treatment for gender dysphoria and would be painful and humiliating. Plaintiffs' mental health is dependent on living as girls in all aspects of their lives.

11 175. Enforcing the Act against Plaintiffs will effectively exclude Plaintiffs from
12 school sports and deprive them of the social, educational, physical, and emotional health
13 benefits that both sides acknowledge come from school sports. This exclusion is a
14 cognizable harm. *Grabowski*, 69 F.4th at 1121.

15 176. Plaintiffs will also suffer the shame and humiliation of being unable to
participate in a school activity simply because they are transgender—a personal
characteristic over which they have no control. *Grimm v. Gloucester Cnty. Sch. Bd.*, 972
F.3d 586, 625 (4th Cir. 2020) (explaining that the stigma of exclusion "publicly brand[s]
all transgender students with a scarlet 'T"") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

20 177. In addition, Plaintiffs will suffer the cognizable and irreparable "dignitary
21 wounds" associated with the passage of a law expressly designed to communicate the
22 state's moral disapproval of their identity, wounds that "cannot always be healed with the
23 stroke of a pen." *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 576 U.S. 644, 678 (2015); *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d
24 at 987 (finding such wounds constitute irreparable harm).

25 178. Plaintiffs have established that they will suffer irreparable harm if the Act is26 enforced against them.

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

C. <u>The Public Interest and Balance of Equities Favor Injunctive Relief.</u>

179. When an injunction is sought against a governmental entity, the public interest

Case: 4.23-60200 1850 1620 2 B, d Cum 2766 263, Filet E 07/20723, Fiage 43406 235

and balance-of-the-hardships factors merge. Nken, 556 U.S. at 435-36.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

24

180. As an initial matter, "it is always in the public interest to prevent the violation of a party's constitutional rights." *Melendres v. Arpaio*, 695 F.3d 990, 1002 (9th Cir. 2012).

181. The balance of equities favors Plaintiffs as well. Defendant Horne and Intervenors "cannot suffer harm from an injunction that merely ends an unlawful practice." *Rodriguez v. Robbins*, 715 F.3d 1127, 1145 (9th Cir. 2013). Plaintiffs, however, face serious and ongoing harm if the Act is enforced against them.

9 182. The alleged harm to Defendants and Intervenors—"that biological girls will be
10 forced to compete against transgender girls who allegedly have an athletic advantage"—is
11 unsupported by the record. *A.M.*, 617 F. Supp. 3d at 968. Moreover, there is no evidence
12 in the record "that allowing [Plaintiffs] to play on the girls' [teams] will make this
13 [purported] harm a reality." *Id.* On the contrary, the record suggests the opposite. Based
14 on the record for the preliminary injunction, the Court has found that Plaintiffs do not have
a competitive advantage over other girls, and they do not pose a safety risk.

16 183. But for the Act, Defendants TGS, Kyrene School District, Superintendent
17 Toenjes, and the AIA would all permit Plaintiffs to play on girls' teams.

18 184. There is no evidence that any Defendant will be harmed by allowing Plaintiffs
19 to continue playing with their peers as they have done until now. *Hecox*, 479 F. Supp. 3d
20 at 988 ("[A] preliminary injunction would not harm Defendants because it would merely
21 maintain the status quo while Plaintiffs pursue their claims.").

185. Accordingly, the public interest and balance of equities favor a preliminaryinjunction.

CONCLUSION

The Court's findings of fact support Plaintiffs' assertions that very serious damages will result from a change in the status quo, and as a matter of law and fact, this is not a doubtful case. *See Anderson*, 612 F.2d at 1114 (generally, mandatory injunctions require extreme or very serious damage and not issued in doubtful cases). Because Plaintiffs have

A42

satisfied all elements necessary to obtain a preliminary injunction, the Court grants Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction.

The Court has the discretion to determine whether the moving party is required to post a bond as a condition for the granting of a preliminary injunction. *Diaz v. Brewer*, 656 F.3d 1008, 1015 (9th Cir. 2011) (citing *Johnson v. Couturier*, 572 F.3d 1067, 1086 (9th Cir. 2009)). Here, a bond is not required because "there is no realistic likelihood of harm to the defendant from enjoining his or her conduct." *Jorgensen v. Cassiday*, 320 F.3d 906, 919 (9th Cir. 2003).

Accordingly,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 3) is11GRANTED.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant Horne is enjoined from enforcing
13 A.R.S. § 15-120.02 as to Plaintiffs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Act shall not prevent Plaintiffs from
participating in girls' sports and, as agreed by Kyrene School District and Laura Toenjes,
in her official capacity, pursuant to the Stipulation in Lieu of an Answer (Doc. 59), and by
TGS in open Court at the hearing for the Preliminary Injunction, the Plaintiffs shall be
allowed to play girls' sports at their respective schools.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the AIA transgender policy, § 41.9, complies
20 with the terms of this preliminary injunction.

Dated this 20th day of July, 2023.

timbe

Honorable Jennifer G. Zipps United States District Judge

Casse 4:2-3-60/260 08591 (22) 2 10 d Dunhe 76 02 6 29 D R f Endt 06 / 2-9/2 Bage add of of 46

EXHIBIT 15

WILENCHIK & BARTNESS

Casse 4:2-3-60/200 D8591 CZ0 2 D d Dunhe 76 026 B9 D R1 Endt 06/2-9/2 Bageadje of 0443

Senate Engrossed

interscholastic; intramural athletics; biological sex

State of Arizona Senate Fifty-fifth Legislature Second Regular Session 2022

SENATE BILL 1165

AN ACT

AMENDING TITLE 15, CHAPTER 1, ARTICLE 1, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES, BY ADDING SECTION 15-120.02; RELATING TO ATHLETICS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

- i -

1 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona: 2 Section 1. Title 15, chapter 1, article 1, Arizona Revised 3 Statutes, is amended by adding section 15-120.02, to read: 4 15-120.02. Interscholastic and intramural athletics; designation of teams; biological sex; cause of 5 6 action; definition 7 EACH INTERSCHOLASTIC OR INTRAMURAL ATHLETIC TEAM OR SPORT THAT Α. IS SPONSORED BY A PUBLIC SCHOOL OR A PRIVATE SCHOOL WHOSE STUDENTS OR 8 TEAMS COMPETE AGAINST A PUBLIC SCHOOL SHALL BE EXPRESSLY DESIGNATED AS ONE 9 OF THE FOLLOWING BASED ON THE BIOLOGICAL SEX OF THE STUDENTS WHO 10 11 PARTICIPATE ON THE TEAM OR IN THE SPORT: "MALES", "MEN" OR "BOYS". 12 1. 13 2. "FEMALES", "WOMEN" OR "GIRLS". "COED" OR "MIXED". 14 3. B. ATHLETIC TEAMS OR SPORTS DESIGNATED FOR "FEMALES", "WOMEN" OR 15 16 "GIRLS" MAY NOT BE OPEN TO STUDENTS OF THE MALE SEX. 17 C. THIS SECTION DOES NOT RESTRICT THE ELIGIBILITY OF ANY STUDENT TO 18 PARTICIPATE IN ANY INTERSCHOLASTIC OR INTRAMURAL ATHLETIC TEAM OR SPORT DESIGNATED AS BEING FOR "MALES", "MEN" OR "BOYS" OR DESIGNATED AS "COED" 19 20 OR "MIXED". 21 D. A GOVERNMENT ENTITY, ANY LICENSING OR ACCREDITING ORGANIZATION 22 OR ANY ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION OR ORGANIZATION MAY NOT ENTERTAIN A COMPLAINT. 23 OPEN AN INVESTIGATION OR TAKE ANY OTHER ADVERSE ACTION AGAINST A SCHOOL 24 FOR MAINTAINING SEPARATE INTERSCHOLASTIC OR INTRAMURAL ATHLETIC TEAMS OR 25 SPORTS FOR STUDENTS OF THE FEMALE SEX. 26 E. ANY STUDENT WHO IS DEPRIVED OF AN ATHLETIC OPPORTUNITY OR 27 SUFFERS ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT HARM AS A RESULT OF A SCHOOL KNOWINGLY 28 VIOLATING THIS SECTION HAS A PRIVATE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE 29 RELIEF, DAMAGES AND ANY OTHER RELIEF AVAILABLE UNDER LAW AGAINST THE 30 SCHOOL. F. ANY STUDENT WHO IS SUBJECT TO RETALIATION OR ANOTHER ADVERSE 31 32 ACTION BY A SCHOOL OR AN ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION OR ORGANIZATION AS A RESULT 33 OF REPORTING A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION TO AN EMPLOYEE OR REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SCHOOL OR THE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION OR ORGANIZATION. OR TO ANY STATE 34 35 OR FEDERAL AGENCY WITH OVERSIGHT OF SCHOOLS IN THIS STATE, HAS A PRIVATE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DAMAGES AND ANY OTHER RELIEF 36 37 AVAILABLE UNDER LAW AGAINST THE SCHOOL OR THE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION OR 38 ORGANIZATION. 39 G. ANY SCHOOL THAT SUFFERS ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT HARM AS A RESULT 40 OF A VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION HAS A PRIVATE CAUSE OF ACTION FOR 41 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DAMAGES AND ANY OTHER RELIEF AVAILABLE UNDER LAW AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT ENTITY. THE LICENSING OR ACCREDITING ORGANIZATION 42 43 OR THE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION OR ORGANIZATION. 44 H. ALL CIVIL ACTIONS MUST BE INITIATED WITHIN TWO YEARS AFTER THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF THIS SECTION OCCURRED. A PERSON OR ORGANIZATION THAT 45

1 PREVAILS ON A CLAIM BROUGHT PURSUANT TO THIS SECTION IS ENTITLED TO 2 MONETARY DAMAGES, INCLUDING DAMAGES FOR ANY PSYCHOLOGICAL, EMOTIONAL OR 3 PHYSICAL HARM SUFFERED, REASONABLE ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS AND ANY OTHER 4 APPROPRIATE RELIEF. 5 I. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, "SCHOOL" MEANS EITHER: 6 1. A SCHOOL THAT PROVIDES INSTRUCTION IN ANY COMBINATION OF 7 KINDERGARTEN PROGRAMS OR GRADES ONE THROUGH TWELVE. 2. AN INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION. 8 9 Sec. 2. Legislative findings and purpose 10 The legislature finds that: 11 1. "With respect to biological sex, one is either male or female." 12 Arnold De Loof, Only Two Sex Forms but Multiple Gender Variants: 13 How to Explain?, 11(1) COMMUNICATIVE & INTEGRATIVE BIOLOGY (2018), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5824932. 14 2. A person's "sex is determined at [fertilization] and revealed 15 16 birth or, increasingly, in utero." Lucy Griffin et al., Sex, gender at and gender identity: a re-evaluation of the evidence, 45(5) BJPsych 17 18 (2021), https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/bjpsych-BULLETIN 291 19 bulletin/article/sex-gender-and-gender-identity-a-reevaluation-of-the-20 evidence/76A3DC54F3BD91E8D631B93397698B1A. 21 "[B]iological differences 3. between males and females 22 genetically during embryonic development." determined Stefanie are Eggers & Andrew Sinclair, Mammalian sex determination-insights from 23 24 20(1) Chromosome 215 humans and mice, Res. (2012), 25 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3279640. 26 4. "Secondary sex characteristics that develop during puberty . . . 27 generate anatomical divergence beyond the reproductive system, leading to 28 adult body types that are measurably different between sexes." Emma N. 29 Hilton & Tommy R. Lundberg, Transgender Women in the Female Category of Sport: Perspectives on Testosterone Suppression and Performance Advantage, 30 51 Sports Med. 199 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01389-3. 31 32 5. There are "'[i]nherent differences' between men and women," and 33 that these differences "remain cause for celebration, but not for denigration of the members of either sex or for artificial constraints on 34 35 an individual's opportunity." United States v. Virginia, 518 U.S. 515, 36 533 (1996). 37 6. In studies of large cohorts of children from six years old, 38 "[b]oys typically scored higher than girls on cardiovascular endurance, 39 muscular strength, muscular endurance, and speed/agility, but lower on 40 flexibility." Konstantinos Tambalis et al., Physical fitness normative 41 values for 6-18-year-old Greek boys and girls, using the empirical distribution and the lambda. mu. and sigma statistical method, 16(6) 42 EUR J. SPORT Sci. 736 (2016), https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26402318. 43 44 See also, Mark J Catley & Grant R Tomkinson, Normative Health-related fitness values for children: analysis of 85347 test results on 9-17 year 45

1 <u>old Australians since 1985</u>, 47(2) BRIT. J. SPORTS MED. 98 (2013), 2 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22021354.

7. Physiological differences between males and females relevant to sports performance "include a larger body size with more skeletal-muscle mass, a lower percentage of body fat, and greater maximal delivery of anaerobic and aerobic energy." Øyvind Sandbakk et al., <u>Sex Differences in</u> <u>World-Record Performance: The Influence of Sport Discipline and</u> <u>Competition Duration</u>, 13(1) INT'L J. SPORTS PHYSIOLOGY & PERFORMANCE 2 (2018), https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28488921.

8. Men also have higher natural levels of testosterone, which affects traits such as hemoglobin levels, body fat content, the storage and use of carbohydrates, and the development of Type 2 muscle fibers, all of which result in men being able to generate higher speed and power during physical activity. Doriane Lambelet Coleman, <u>Sex in Sport</u>, 80 LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS. 63, 74 (2017) (quoting Gina Kolata, <u>Men, Women and Speed.</u> <u>2 Words: Got Testosterone?</u>, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 21, 2008).

9. There is a sports performance gap between males and females, such that "the physiological advantages conferred by biological sex appear, on assessment of performance data, insurmountable." Hilton, *supra* at 200.

10. While classifications based on sex are generally disfavored, the Supreme Court has recognized that "sex classifications may be used to compensate women for particular economic disabilities [they have] suffered, . . . to promote equal employment opportunity, . . . [and] to advance full development of the talent and capacities of our Nation's people." <u>United States v. Virginia</u>, 518 U.S. 515, 533 (1996) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

28 11. One place where sex classifications allow for the "full 29 development of the talent and capacities of our Nation's people" is in the 30 context of sports and athletics.

31 12. Courts have recognized that the inherent, physiological 32 differences between males and females result in different athletic 33 capabilities. See, e.g., Kleczek v. Rhode Island Interscholastic League, Inc., 612 A.2d 734, 738 (R.I. 1992) ("Because of innate physiological 34 35 differences, boys and girls are not similarly situated as they enter 36 athletic competition."); Petrie v. Ill. High Sch. Ass'n, 394 N.E.2d 855, 37 861 (Ill. App. Ct. 1979) (noting that "high school boys [generally possess 38 physiological advantages over] their girl counterparts" and that those 39 advantages give them an unfair lead over girls in some sports like "high 40 school track").

41 13. The benefits that natural testosterone provides to male 42 athletes is not diminished through the use of testosterone suppression. A 43 recent study on the impact of such treatments found that policies like 44 those of the International Olympic Committee requiring biological males to 45 undergo at least one year of testosterone suppression before competing in

1 women's sports do not create a level playing field. "[T]he reduction in 2 testosterone levels required by [policies like those of the International Olympic Committee] is insufficient to remove or reduce the male advantage, 3 in terms of muscle mass and strength, by any meaningful degree." The 4 5 study concluded that "[t]he data presented here demonstrate that superior 6 anthropometric, muscle mass and strength parameters achieved by males at 7 puberty, and underpinning a considerable portion of the male performance 8 advantage over females, are not removed by the current regimen of 9 testosterone suppression" permitted by the International Olympic Committee and other sports organizations. Rather, the study found that male 10 11 performance advantage over females "remains substantial" and "raises 12 obvious concerns about fair and safe competition." Hilton, supra at 13 207, 209.

14 14. Having separate sex-specific teams furthers efforts to promote 15 sex equality by providing opportunities for female athletes to demonstrate 16 their skill, strength and athletic abilities while also providing them 17 with opportunities to obtain recognition, accolades, college scholarships 18 and the numerous other long-term benefits that flow from success in 19 athletic endeavors.

20

Sec. 3. <u>Severability</u>

If a provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the act that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are severable.

26 27

Sec. 4. Short title

This act may be cited as the "Save Women's Sports Act".

- 4 -

	Case:4223100-200,1855/07GZ02Bobbumentess2063,	Elete 06/20/23 Prage 2 of 249
1 2	D. John Sauer, Mo. Bar No. 58721* Justin D. Smith, Mo. Bar No. 63253* James Otis Law Group, LLC	
3	13321 North Outer Forty Road, Suite 300	
4	St. Louis, Missouri 63017 Telephone: (314) 562-0031	
5	John.Sauer@james-otis.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor-Defendan	ts President Petersen and Speaker Toma
8	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF ARIZONA
10		DIVISION
11	Jane Doe <i>at al</i>	
12	Jane Doe, et ut.,	
13	Plaintiffs,	
14		Case No. 4:23-cv-00185-JGZ
15	V.	Declaration of Dr. Gregory A. Brown.
16		Ph.D., FACSM, in Support of
17		[Intervenors' Proposed] Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary
18		Injunction
19	Thomas C. Horne, in his official capacity	
20	as State Superintendent of Public	
21	Instruction, <i>et al.</i> ,	
22	Defendente	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case: 4223160/200,185/07GZ020000000000003, Ellet 066/20/23 PRgg 52 of 249

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2	Personal Qualifications and Disclosure	
3	Purpose of this Declaration	
4	Overview	
5	Evidence and Conclusions7	
6	I. The scientific reality of biological sex7	
7	II. Biological men, or adolescent boys, have large, well-documented performance	
8	advantages over women and adolescent girls in almost all athletic contests10	
9	A. Men are stronger	
10	B. Men run faster16	
11	C. Men jump higher and farther	
12	D. Men throw, hit, and kick faster and farther	
13	E. Males exhibit faster reaction times	
14	III. Men have large measured physiological differences compared to women which	
15	demonstrably or likely explain their performance advantages	
16	A. Men are taller and heavier than women	
17	B. Males have larger and longer bones, stronger bones, and different bone	
18	configuration24	
19	C. Males have much larger muscle mass	
20	D. Females have a larger proportion of body fat27	
21	E. Males are able to metabolize and release energy to muscles at a higher rate due	
22	to larger heart and lung size, and higher hemoglobin concentrations	
23	IV. The role of testosterone in the development of male advantages in athletic	
24	performance	
25	A. Boys exhibit advantages in athletic performance even before puberty	
26	B. The rapid increase in testosterone across male puberty drives characteristic male	
27	physiological changes and the increasing performance advantages	
28	ii	

Case: 42231-60-200,1855/07GZ02Dobbm12776652043, Ellet & 065/207/23 PRage 3 of 249

1	V. The available evidence shows that suppression of testosterone in a male after
2	puberty has occurred does not substantially eliminate the male athletic advantage 55
3	A. Empirical studies find that males retain a strong performance advantage even
4	after lengthy testosterone suppression56
5	B. Testosterone suppression does not reverse important male physiological
6	advantages
7	C. Responsible voices internationally are increasingly recognizing that suppression
8	of testosterone in a male after puberty has occurred does not substantially reverse the
9	male athletic advantage71
10	Bibliography
11	Appendix 1 – Data Tables
12	Appendix 2 – Scholarly Publications 108
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	iii

3

4

5

Personal Qualifications and Disclosure

I serve as Professor of Exercise Science in the Department of Kinesiology and Sport Sciences at the University of Nebraska Kearney, where I teach classes in Exercise Physiology among other topics. I am also the Director of the General Studies program. I have served as a tenured (and nontenured) professor at universities since 2002.

In August 2002, I received a Doctor of Philosophy degree from Iowa State
University, where I majored in Health and Human Performance, with an emphasis in the
Biological Bases of Physical Activity. In May 1999, I received a Master of Science degree
from Iowa State University, where I majored in Exercise and Sport Science, with an
emphasis in Exercise Physiology.

11 I have received many awards over the years, including the Mortar Board Faculty 12 Excellence Honors Award, College of Education Outstanding Scholarship / Research 13 Award, and the College of Education Award for Faculty Mentoring of Undergraduate 14 Student Research. I have authored more than 50 refereed publications and more than 70 15 refereed presentations in the field of Exercise Science. I have authored chapters for 16 multiple books in the field of Exercise Science. And I have served as a peer reviewer for 17 over 30 professional journals, including The American Journal of Physiology, the 18 International Journal of Exercise Science, the Journal of Strength and Conditioning 19 Research, Therapeutic Advances in Endocrinology and Metabolism, Sports Medicine, and 20 The Journal of Applied Physiology.

My areas of research have included the endocrine response to testosterone prohormone supplements in men and women, the effects of testosterone prohormone supplements on health and the adaptations to strength training in men, the effects of energy drinks on the physiological response to exercise, assessment of various athletic training modes in males and females, and sex-based differences in athletic performance. Articles that I have published that are closely related to topics that I discuss in this expert report include:

28

• Studies of the effect of ingestion of a testosterone precursor on circulating

testosterone levels in young men. Douglas S. King, Rick L. Sharp, Matthew D. Vukovich, Gregory A. Brown, et al., *Effect of Oral Androstenedione on Serum Testosterone and Adaptations to Resistance Training in Young Men: A Randomized Controlled Trial*, JAMA 281: 2020-2028 (1999); G. A. Brown, M. A. Vukovich, et al., *Effects of Anabolic Precursors on Serum Testosterone Concentrations and Adaptations to Resistance Training in Young Men*, Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 10: 340-359 (2000).

• A study of the effect of ingestion of that same testosterone precursor on circulating testosterone levels in young women. G. A. Brown, J. C. Dewey, et al., *Changes in Serum Testosterone and Estradiol Concentrations Following Acute Androstenedione Ingestion in Young Women*, Horm Metab Res 36: 62-66 (2004.)

• A study finding (among other things) that body height, body mass, vertical jump height, maximal oxygen consumption, and leg press maximal strength were higher in a group of physically active men than comparably active women, while the women had higher percent body fat. G. A. Brown, Michael W. Ray, et al., *Oxygen Consumption, Heart Rate, and Blood Lactate Responses to an Acute Bout of Plyometric Depth Jumps in College-Aged Men And Women*, J. Strength Cond Res 24: 2475-2482 (2010).

A study finding (among other things) that height, body mass, and maximal oxygen consumption were higher in a group of male NCAA Division 2 distance runners, while women NCAA Division 2 distance runners had higher percent body fat. Furthermore, these male athletes had a faster mean competitive running speed $(\sim 3.44 \text{ min/km})$ than women $(\sim 3.88 \text{ min/km})$, even though the men ran 10 km while the women ran 6 km. Katherine Semin, Alvah C. Stahlnecker, Kate A. Heelan, G. A. Brown, et al, Discrepancy Between Training, Competition and Laboratory Measures of Maximum Heart Rate in NCAA Division 2 Distance Runners, Journal of Sports Science and Medicine 7: 455-460 (2008).

• A presentation at the 2021 American Physiological Society New Trends in Sex and

1	Gender Medicine Conference entitled "Transwomen Competing in Women's
2	Sports: What We Know and What We Don't".
3	• I have also authored an August 2021 entry for the American Physiological Society
4	Physiology Educators Community of Practice Blog (PECOP Blog) titled "The
5	Olympics, Sex, and Gender in the Physiology Classroom, and a May 2023 entry for
6	the PECOP Blog titled "The Olympics, sex, and gender in the physiology classroom
7	(part 2): Are there sex based differences in athletic performance before puberty?" I
8	have also authored an April 17, 2023 post for the Center on Sport Policy and
9	Conduct titled "Should Transwomen be allowed to Compete in Women's Sports?
10	A view from an Exercise Physiologist."
11	• A presentation at the 2022 annual meeting of the American College of Sports
12	Medicine titled "Comparison of Running Performance Between Division and Sex
13	in NCAA Outdoor Track Running Championships 2010-2019." And a presentation
14	at the 2023 annual meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine titled "Boys
15	and Girls Differ in Running and Jumping Track and Field Event Performance
16	Before Puberty."
17	A list of my published scholarly work for the past 10 years appears as an Appendix.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case: 4223160200,185/JGZ02DolDm1277682203, Elet 06/20/23 PRage 8 of 249

1

Purpose of this Declaration

2 I have been asked by counsel for Proposed Intervenors Senator Warren Petersen, 3 President of the Arizona Senate, and Representative Ben Toma, Speaker of the Arizona 4 House of Representatives in the matter of *Doe and Roe v. Horne et al.* to offer my opinions 5 about the following: (a) whether males have inherent advantages in athletic performance 6 over females, and if so the scale and physiological basis of those advantages, to the extent currently understood by science and (b) whether the sex-based performance advantage 7 8 enjoyed by males is eliminated if feminizing hormones are administered to male athletes 9 who identify as transgender (and in the case of prepubertal children, whether puberty blockers eliminate the advantage). In this declaration, when I use the terms "boy" or 10 11 "male," I am referring to biological males based on the individual's reproductive biology 12 and genetics as determined at birth. Similarly, when I use the terms "girl" or "female," I 13 am referring to biological females based on the individual's reproductive biology and 14 genetics as determined at birth. When I use the term transgender, I am referring to persons 15 who are males or females, but who identify as a member of the opposite sex.

16 I have previously provided expert information in cases similar to this one in the form 17 of written declarations and depositions in the cases of Soule vs. CIAC in the state of 18 Connecticut, B.P.J. vs. West Virginia State Board of Education in the state of West Virginia, and L.E. vs. Lee in the state of Tennessee, and in the form of a written declaration 19 20 in the case of *Hecox vs. Little* in the state of Idaho. I have not previously testified as an 21 expert in any trials.

22

The opinions I express in this declaration are my own, and do not necessarily reflect 23 the opinions of my employer, the University of Nebraska.

24 I have been compensated for my time serving as an expert in this case at the rate of 25 \$200 per hour. My compensation does not depend on the outcome in the case.

- 26
- 27
- 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Overview

In this declaration, I explore three important questions relevant to current discussions and policy decisions concerning inclusion of transgender individuals in women's athletic competitions. Based on my professional familiarity with exercise physiology and my review of the currently available science, including that contained in the many academic sources I cite in this report, I set out and explain three basic conclusions:

- At the level of (a) elite, (b) collegiate, (c) scholastic, and (d) recreational competition, men, adolescent boys, or male children, have an advantage over equally aged, gifted, and trained women, adolescent girls, or female children in almost all athletic events;
- Biological male physiology is the basis for the performance advantage that men,
 adolescent boys, or male children have over women, adolescent girls, or female
 children in almost all athletic events; and
- The administration of androgen inhibitors and cross-sex hormones to men or adolescent boys after the onset of male puberty does not eliminate the performance advantage that men and adolescent boys have over women and adolescent girls in almost all athletic events. Likewise, there is no published scientific evidence that the administration of puberty blockers to males before puberty eliminates the preexisting athletic advantage that prepubertal males have over prepubertal females in almost all athletic events.

In short summary, men, adolescent boys, and prepubertal male children perform better in almost all sports than equally aged, trained, and gifted women, adolescent girls, and prepubertal female children because of their inherent physiological advantages. In general, men, adolescent boys, and prepubertal male children, can run faster, output more muscular power, jump higher, and possess greater muscular endurance than equally aged, trained, and gifted women, adolescent girls, and prepubertal female children. These advantages become greater during and after male puberty, but they exist before puberty.

A57

Case: 4223160.200,185/0762020.000m1e77682003, Ellete 066/20/23 PBgg 69 of 249

Further, while after the onset of puberty males are on average taller and heavier than females, a male performance advantage over females has been measured in weightlifting competitions even between males and females matched for body mass.

Male advantages in measurements of body composition, tests of physical fitness, 4 5 and athletic performance have also been shown in children before puberty. These 6 advantages are magnified during puberty, triggered in large part by the higher testosterone concentrations in men, and adolescent boys, after the onset of male puberty. Under the 7 8 influence of these higher testosterone levels, adolescent boys and young men develop even 9 more muscle mass, greater muscle strength, less body fat, higher bone mineral density, greater bone strength, higher hemoglobin concentrations, larger hearts and larger coronary 10 11 blood vessels, and larger overall statures than women. In addition, maximal oxygen 12 consumption (VO₂max), which correlates to \sim 30-40% of success in endurance sports, is 13 higher in both elite and average men and boys than in comparable women and girls when measured in regard to absolute volume of oxygen consumed and when measured relative 14 15 to body mass.

16 Although androgen deprivation (that is, testosterone suppression) may modestly 17 decrease some physiological advantages that men and adolescent boys have over equally 18 aged, trained, and gifted women and adolescent girls, it cannot fully or even largely 19 eliminate those physiological advantages once an individual has passed through male 20 puberty.

1

2

3

- 23 26
- 27
- 28

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Evidence and Conclusions

I. The scientific reality of biological sex

The scientific starting point for the issues addressed in this report is the biological fact of dimorphic sex in the human species. It is now well recognized that dimorphic sex is so fundamental to human development that, as stated in a recent position paper issued by the Endocrine Society, it "must be considered in the design and analysis of human and animal research. . . . Sex is dichotomous, with sex determination in the fertilized zygote stemming from unequal expression of sex chromosomal genes." (Bhargava et al. 2021 at 220). As stated by Sax (2002 at 177), "More than 99.98% of humans are either male or female." All humans who do not suffer from some genetic or developmental disorder are unambiguously male or female.

2. Although sex and gender are used interchangeably in common conversation, 12 13 government documents, and in the scientific literature, the American Psychological 14 Association defines sex as "physical and biological traits" that "distinguish between 15 males and females" whereas gender "implies the psychological, behavioral, social, 16 and cultural aspects of being male or female (i.e., masculinity or femininity)" 17 (https://dictionary.apa.org, accessed May 5, 2023). The concept that sex is an 18 important biological factor determined at conception is a well-established scientific 19 fact that is supported by statements from a number of respected organizations 20 including, but not limited to, the Endocrine Society (Bhargava et al. 2021 at 220), 21 the American Physiological Society (Shah 2014), the Institute of Medicine, and the 22 National Institutes of Health (Miller 2014 at H781-82). Collectively, these and other 23 organizations have stated that every cell has a sex and every system in the body is 24 influenced by sex. Indeed, "sex often influences gender, but gender cannot influence 25 sex." (Bhargava 2021 at 228.)

26 27

28

have ovaries and make larger female gametes (eggs), whereas males have testes and make smaller male gametes (sperm) ... the definition can be extended to the ovaries

3. To further explain: "The classical biological definition of the 2 sexes is that females

Casse 4 23-060201 88/0G/2020 00 d Dmérit 62063, FDet E06/20723, Fragge 621 of 24139

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

and testes, and in this way the categories—female and male—can be applied also to individuals who have gonads but do not make gametes ... sex is dichotomous because of the different roles of each sex in reproduction." (Bhargava 2021 at 221.) Furthermore, "sex determination begins with the inheritance of XX or XY chromosomes" (Bhargava 2021 at 221.) And, "Phenotypic sex differences develop in XX and XY embryos as soon as transcription begins. The categories of X and Y genes that are unequally represented or expressed in male and female mammalian zygotes ... cause phenotypic sex differences" (Bhargava 2021 at 222.)

9 4. Although disorders of sexual development (DSDs) are sometimes confused with discussions of transgender individuals, the two are different phenomena. DSDs are 10 11 disorders of physical development. Many DSDs are "associated with genetic 12 mutations that are now well known to endocrinologists and geneticists." (Bhargava 13 2021 at 225) By contrast, a sense of transgender identity is usually not associated with any physical disorder, and "a clear biological causative underpinning of gender 14 15 identity remains to be demonstrated." (Bhargava 2021 at 226.) The importance of 16 distinguishing between the two is exemplified by the World Athletics Council 17 updating "...the eligibility regulations for transgender and DSD athletes to compete 18 in the female category" in March 2023. (World Athletics)

19 5. Further demonstrating the biological importance of sex, Gershoni and Pietrokovski (2017) detail the results of an evaluation of "18,670 out of 19,644 informative 20 protein-coding genes in men versus women" and reported that "there are over 6500 21 22 protein-coding genes with significant S[ex]D[ifferential] E[xpression] in at least 23 one tissue. Most of these genes have SDE in just one tissue, but about 650 have SDE 24 in two or more tissues, 31 have SDE in more than five tissues, and 22 have SDE in 25 nine or more tissues" (Gershoni 2017 at 2-3.) Some examples of tissues identified by these authors that have SDE genes include breast mammary tissue, skeletal 26 27 muscle, skin, thyroid gland, pituitary gland, subcutaneous adipose, lung, and heart 28 left ventricle. Based on these observations the authors state "As expected, Y-linked

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

genes that are normally carried only by men show SDE in many tissues" (Gershoni 2017 at 3.) A stated by Heydari et al. (2022, at 1), "Y chromosome harbors male-specific genes, which either solely or in cooperation with their X-counterpart, and independent or in conjunction with sex hormones have a considerable impact on basic physiology and disease mechanisms in most or all tissues development." As stated out by O'Connor (2023, at 2, quoting Institute of Medicine) "not every difference observed between male and female cells can be attributed to differences in exposure to sex hormones."

9 6. In a review of 56 articles on the topic of sex-based differences in skeletal muscle, Haizlip et al., (2015) state that "More than 3,000 genes have been identified as being 10 11 differentially expressed between male and female skeletal muscle." (Haizlip 2015 12 at 30.) Furthermore, the authors state that "Overall, evidence to date suggests that 13 skeletal muscle fiber-type composition is dependent on species, anatomical location/function, and sex" (Haizlip 2015 at 30.) The differences in genetic 14 expression between males and females influence the skeletal muscle fiber 15 16 composition (i.e. fast twitch and fast twitch sub-type and slow twitch), the skeletal 17 muscle fiber size, the muscle contractile rate, and other aspects of muscle function 18 that influence athletic performance. As the authors review the differences in skeletal 19 muscle between males and females they conclude, "Additionally, all of the fibers 20 measured in men have significantly larger cross-sectional areas (CSA) compared with women." (Haizlip 2015 at 31.) The authors also explore the effects of thyroid 21 22 hormone, estrogen, and testosterone on gene expression and skeletal muscle 23 function in males and females. One major conclusion by the authors is that "The 24 complexity of skeletal muscle and the role of sex adding to that complexity cannot 25 be overlooked." (Haizlip 2015 at 37.) The evaluation of SDE in protein coding genes helps illustrate that the differences between men and women are intrinsically part of 26 27 the chromosomal and genetic makeup of humans which can influence many tissues 28 that are inherent to the athletic competitive advantages of men compared to women.

2

3

4

5

6

7

II. Biological men, or adolescent boys, have large, well-documented performance advantages over women and adolescent girls in almost all athletic contests.

7. It should scarcely be necessary to invoke scientific experts to "prove" that men are on average larger, stronger, and faster than women. All of us, along with our siblings and our peers and perhaps our children, have passed through puberty, and we have watched that differentiation between the sexes occur. This is common human experience and knowledge.

8 8. Nevertheless, these differences have been extensively studied and measured. I cited 9 many of these studies in the first paper on this topic that I prepared, which was submitted in litigation in January 2020. Since then, in light of current controversies, 10 11 several authors have compiled valuable collections or reviews of data extensively 12 documenting this objective fact about the human species, as manifest in almost all 13 sports, each of which I have reviewed and found informative. These include 14 Coleman (2020), Hilton & Lundberg (2021), World Rugby (2020), Harper (2021), Hamilton (2021), and a "Briefing Book" prepared by the Women's Sports Policy 15 16 Working Group (2021). The important paper by Handelsman et al. (2018) also 17 gathers scientific evidence of the systematic and large male athletic advantage.

- 18 9. These papers and many others document that men, adolescent boys, and prepubertal 19 male children, substantially outperform comparably aged, gifted, and trained 20 women, adolescent girls and prepubertal female children, in competitions involving 21 running speed, swimming speed, cycling speed, jumping height, jumping distance, 22 and strength (to name a few, but not all, of the performance differences). As I discuss 23 later, it is now clear that these performance advantages for men, adolescent boys, 24 and prepubertal male children, are inherent to the biological differences between the 25 sexes.
- 10. In fact, I am not aware of any scientific evidence today that disproves that after
 puberty men possess large advantages in athletic performance over women–so large
 that they are generally insurmountable for comparably gifted and trained athletes at

2

3

4

5

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

every level (i.e. (a) elite, (b) collegiate, (c) scholastic, and (d) recreational competition). And I am not aware of any scientific evidence today that disproves that these measured performance advantages are at least largely the result of physiological differences between men and women which have been measured and are reasonably well understood.

- 11. My use of the term "advantage" in this paper must not be read to imply any 6 7 normative judgment. The adult female physique is simply different from the adult 8 male physique. Obviously, it is optimized in important respects for the difficult task 9 of childbearing. On average, women require far fewer calories for healthy survival. Evolutionary biologists can and do theorize about the survival value or "advantages" 10 11 provided by these and other distinctive characteristics of the female physique, but I 12 will leave that to the evolutionary biologists. I use "advantage" to refer merely to 13 performance advantages in athletic competitions.
- 14 12.1 find in the literature a widespread consensus that the large performance and
 15 physiological advantages possessed by males-rather than social considerations or
 16 considerations of identity-are precisely the *reason* that most athletic competitions
 17 are separated by sex, with women treated as a "protected class." To cite only a few
 18 statements accepting this as the justification:
 - Handelsman et al. (2018) wrote, "Virtually all elite sports are segregated into male and female competitions. The main justification is to allow women a chance to win, as women have major disadvantages against men who are, on average, taller, stronger, and faster and have greater endurance due to their larger, stronger, muscles and bones as well as a higher circulating hemoglobin level." (803)
 - Millard-Stafford et al. (2018) wrote "Current evidence suggests that women will not swim or run as fast as men in Olympic events, which speaks against eliminating sex segregation in these individual sports" (530) "Given the historical context (2% narrowing in swimming over 44 y), a reasonable

assumption might be that no more than 2% of the current performance gap could
still potentially be attributed to sociocultural influences.", (533) and
"Performance gaps between US men and women stabilized within less than a
decade after federal legislation provided equal opportunities for female
participation, but only modestly closed the overall gap in Olympic swimming by
2% (5% in running)." (533) Dr. Millard-Stafford, a full professor at Georgia
Tech, holds a Ph.D. in Exercise Physiology and is a past President of the
American College of Sports Medicine.
• In 2021 Hilton et al wrote "most sports have a female category the nurnose of

- lilton et al. wrote, "most sports have a female category which is the protection of both fairness and, in some sports, safety/welfare of athletes who do not benefit from the physiological changes induced by male levels of testosterone from puberty onwards." (204)
 - In 2020 the Swiss High Court ("Tribunal Fédéral") observed that "in most sports . . . women and men compete in two separate categories, because the latter possess natural advantages in terms of physiology."¹
- 16 The members of the Women's Sports Policy Working Group wrote that "If 17 sports were not sex-segregated, female athletes would rarely be seen in finals or 18 on victory podiums," and that "We have separate sex sport and eligibility criteria 19 based on biological sex because this is the only way we can assure that female athletes have the same opportunities as male athletes not only to participate but 20 to win in competitive sport. . . . If we did not separate athletes on the basis of biological sex-if we used any other physical criteria-we would never see 23 females in finals or on podiums." (WSPWG Briefing Book 2021 at 5, 20.)

In 2020, the World Rugby organization stated that "the women's category exists

to ensure protection, safety and equality for those who do not benefit from the

24

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

²⁷ ¹ "dans la plupart des sports . . . les femmes et les hommes concourent dans deux catégories séparées, ces derniers étant naturellement avantagés du point de vue physique." Tribunal Fédéral decision of August 25, 2020, Case 4A_248/2019, 4A_398/2019, at §9.8.3.3. 28

1	biological advantage created by these biological performance attributes."
2	(World Rugby Transgender Women Guidelines 2020.)
3	• In 2021 Harper et al. stated "the small decrease in strength in transwomen
4	after 12–36 months of GAHT [Gender Affirming Hormone Therapy] suggests
5	that transwomen likely retain a strength advantage over cisgender women." (7)
6	and "observations in trained transgender individuals are consistent with the
7	findings of the current review in untrained transgender individuals, whereby 30
8	months of GAHT may be sufficient to attenuate some, but not all, influencing
9	factors associated with muscular endurance and performance." (8)
10	• Hamilton et al (2021), "If a biologically male athlete self-identifies as a female,
11	legitimately with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria or illegitimately to win
12	medals, the athlete already possesses a physiological advantage that undermines
13	fairness and safety. This is not equitable, nor consistent with the fundamental
14	principles of the Olympic Charter and could be a potential danger to the health
15	and safety of athletes." (840)
16	• Hamilton et al. (2021), in a consensus statement for the International Federation
17	of Sports Medicine (FIMS) concluded that "Transwomen have the right to
18	compete in sports. However, cisgender women have the right to compete in a
19	protected category." (1409)
20	13. While the sources I mention above gather more extensive scientific evidence of this
21	uncontroversial truth, I provide here a brief summary of representative facts
22	concerning the male advantage in athletic performance.
23	A. Men are stronger.
24	14. Males exhibit greater strength throughout the body. Both Handelsman et al. (2018)
25	and Hilton & Lundberg (2021) have gathered multiple literature references that
26	document this fact in various muscle groups.
27	15. Men have in the neighborhood of 60%-100% greater arm strength than women.
28	

1	(Handelsman 2018 at 812.) ² One study of elbow flexion strength (basically,
2	bringing the fist up towards the shoulder) in a large sample of men and women found
3	that men exhibited 109% greater isometric strength, and 89% higher strength in a
4	single repetition. (Hilton 2021 at 204, summarizing Hubal (2005) at Table 2.)
5	16. Grip strength is often used as a useful proxy for strength more generally. In one
6	study, men showed on average 57% greater grip strength than women. (Bohannon
7	2019.) A wider meta-analysis of multiple grip-strength studies not limited to athletic
8	populations found that 18- and 19-year-old males exhibited in the neighborhood of
9	2/3 greater grip strength than females. (Handelsman 2017 Figure 3, summarizing
10	Silverman 2011 Table 1.) ³
11	17. Liguori et al. (2021), in the ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and
12	Prescription which is the flagship textbook for the American College of Sports
13	Medicine and is considered the industry standard for information on evaluating
14	physical fitness in adults, demonstrates that across all age groups and percentiles
15	when comparing males and females, male handgrip strength is 66.2% higher than
16	females (Table 3.10 at 95). To help illustrate this sex-based difference in handgrip
17	strength, a 20-24-year-old male who ranks in the 95th percentile has 55 kg for
18	handgrip strength in the dominant hand while a 20-24-year-old female who ranks
19	in the 95 th percentile has 34 kg for handgrip strength in the dominant hand. For
20	comparison, a 20-24-year-old male with a handgrip strength of 34 kg would be in
21	the 10 th percentile for males.
1	

18. In an evaluation of maximal isometric handgrip strength in 1,654 healthy men, 533

² Handelsman expresses this as women having 50% to 60% of the "upper limb" strength of men. Handelsman cites Sale, *Neuromuscular function*, for this figure and the "lower limb" strength figure. Knox et al., *Transwomen in elite sport* (2018) are probably confusing the correct way to state percentages when they state that "differences lead to decreased trunk and lower body strength by 64% and 72% respectively, in women" (397): interpreted literally, this would imply that men have **almost 4x as much** lower body strength as do women.

³ Citing Silverman, *The secular trend for grip strength in Canada and the United States*, J. Ports Sci. 29:599-606 (2011).

2

3

4

5

healthy women aged 20-25 years and 60 "highly trained elite female athletes from sports known to require high hand-grip forces (judo, handball)," Leyk et al. (2007) observed that, "The results of female national elite athletes even indicate that the strength level attainable by extremely high training will rarely surpass the 50th percentile of untrained or not specifically trained men." (Leyk 2007 at 415.)

- 19. Liguori et al. (2021), in the ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and 6 *Prescription* indicates that when measuring upper body strength using bench press 7 8 and expressing strength as the maximal weight lifted relative to body weight, males 9 exhibit 64% greater strength (Table 3.11 at 96-97). To help illustrate this sex-based difference in upper body strength, an under 20-year-old male who ranks in the 95th 10 percentile can bench press 1.76 kg for every kg of body mass while an under 20-11 year-old female who ranks in the 95th percentile can bench press 0.88 kg for every 12 kg of body mass. For comparison, an under 20-year-old male with a bench press 13 strength of 0.88 kg per kg of body mass would be between the 15th and 20th 14 percentile for males. 15
- 20. Men have in the neighborhood of 25%-60% greater leg strength than women.
 (Handelsman 2018 at 812.) In another measure, men exhibit 54% greater knee
 extension torque and this male leg strength advantage is consistent across the
 lifespan. (Neder 1999 at 120-121.)
- 21. Liguori et al. (2021), in the ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and 20 Prescription (Table 3.12 at 98), across all age groups and percentiles when 21 comparing males and females, when measuring leg press strength as the maximal 22 weight lifted relative to body weight, males exhibit 39% greater strength. To help 23 illustrate this sex-based difference in lower body strength, a 20-29-year-old male 24 who ranks in the 90th percentile can leg press 2.27 kg for every kg of body mass 25 while a 20–29-year-old female who ranks in the 90th percentile can leg press 1.82 26 kg for every kg of body mass. For comparison, a 20–29-year-old male who can leg 27 press 1.82 kg for every kg of body mass would be between the 30th and 40th 28

percentiles for males.

1

2 22. When male and female Olympic weightlifters of the same body weight are 3 compared, the top males lift weights between 30% and 40% greater than the females of the same body weight. But when top male and female performances are compared 4 5 in powerlifting, without imposing any artificial limitations on bodyweight, the male 6 record is 65% higher than the female record. (Hilton 2021 at 203.) 7 23. In another measure that combines many muscle groups as well as weight and speed, 8 moderately trained males generated 162% greater punching power than females 9 even though men do not possess this large an advantage in any single biomechanical variable. (Morris 2020.) This objective reality was subjectively summed 10 11 up by women's mixed-martial arts fighter Tamikka Brents, who suffered significant facial injuries when she fought against a biological male who identified as female 12 13 and fought under the name of Fallon Fox. Describing the experience, Brents said: 14 "I've fought a lot of women and have never felt the strength 15

that I felt in a fight as I did that night. I can't answer whether it's because she was born a man or not because I'm not a doctor. I can only say, I've never felt so overpowered ever in my life, and I am an abnormally strong female in my own right."⁴

- 20 **B. Men run faster.**
 - 24. Many scholars have detailed the wide performance advantages enjoyed by men in running speed. One can come at this reality from a variety of angles.
- 23 25. Multiple authors report a male speed advantage in the neighborhood of 10%-13%
 24 in a variety of events, with a variety of study populations. Handelsman et al. 2018
 25 at 813 and Handelsman 2017 at 70 both report a male advantage of about 10% by
 26 age 17. Thibault et al. 2010 at 217 similarly reported a stable 10% performance

27

16

17

18

19

21

^{28 &}lt;sup>4</sup> http://whoatv.com/exclusive-fallon-foxs-latest-opponent-opens-up-to-whoatv/ (last accessed May 5, 2023).

advantage across multiple events at the Olympic level. Tønnessen et al. (2015 at 1-2) surveyed the data and found a consistent male advantage of 10%-12% in running events after the completion of puberty. They document this for both short sprints and longer distances. One group of authors found that the male advantage increased dramatically in ultra-long-distance competition (Lepers & Knechtle 2013.)

26. A great deal of current interest has been focused on track events. It is worth noting 6 that a recent analysis of publicly available sports federation and tournament records 7 found that men enjoy the *least* advantage in running events, as compared to a range 9 of other events and metrics, including jumping, pole vaulting, tennis serve speed, golf drives, baseball pitching speed, and weightlifting. (Hilton 2021 at 201-202.) 10 Nevertheless, as any serious runner will recognize, the approximately 10% male 12 advantage in running is an overwhelming difference. Dr. Hilton calculates that 13 "approximately 10,000 males have personal best times that are faster than the current Olympic 100m female champion." (Hilton 2021 at 204.) Professors Doriane 14 Coleman, Jeff Wald, Wickliffe Shreve, and Richard Clark dramatically illustrated 15 16 this by compiling the data and creating the figure below (last accessed on May 5, 17 2023, at https://bit.ly/35yOyS4), which shows that the *lifetime best performances* of 18 three female Olympic champions in the 400m event—including Team USA's Sanya 19 Richards-Ross and Allyson Felix—would not match the performances of "literally 20 thousands of boys and men, including thousands who would be considered second tier in the men's category" just in 2017 alone: (data were drawn from the 22 International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF) website which provides complete, worldwide results for individuals and events, including on an annual and 23 24 an all-time basis).

25

21

1

2

3

4

5

8



Casee 4 23-150 061,86 JEAn to Best Elite Females to Boys and Men: Casee 4 23-150 061,86 JEAn to Colo Medalist Versus 2017 Pendiman esoly Boys and Menage 721 of f21419
Czsse4 23-06020185/06/2020d0meb7 82063,FDetE06/20723, Frage 7220f21439

1

2

3

4

5

29. Similarly, Coleman and Shreve created the table below (last accessed on May 5, 2023, at https://bit.ly/37E1s2X), which "compares the number of boys-males under the age of 18—whose results in each event in 2017 would rank them above the single very best elite [adult] woman that year:" data were drawn from the International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF) website

6		TABLE 1 – World's Best Woman v. Under 18 Boys							
Ŭ			Best Women's Result	Best Boys' Result	# of				
7		Event			Boys Outperforming				
-		100 Meters	10.71	10.15	124 ⁺				
8		200 Meters	21.77	20.51	182				
		400 Meters	49.46	45.38	285				
9		800 Meters	1:55.16*	1:46.3	201+				
10		1500 Meters	3:56.14	3:37.43	101+				
10		3000 Meters	8:23.14	7:38.90	30				
11		5000 Meters	14:18.37	12:55.58	15				
11		High Jump	2.06 meters	2.25 meters	28				
12		Pole Vault	4.91 meters	5.31 meters	10				
		Long Jump	7.13 meters	7.88 meters	74				
13		Triple Jump	14.96 meters	17.30 meters	47				

14 30. In an analysis I have performed of running events (consisting of the 100 m, 200 m, 15 400 m, 800 m, 1500 m, 5000 m, and 10000 m) in the Division I, Division II, and 16 Division III NCAA Outdoor track championships for the years of 2010-2019, the average performance across all events of the 1st place man was 14.1% faster than 17 18 the 1st place woman, with the smallest difference being a 10.2% advantage for men in the Division I 100 m race. The average 8th place man across all events (the last 19 place to earn the title of All American) was 11.2% faster than 1st place woman, with 20 the smallest difference being a 6.5% advantage for men in the Division I 100 m race. 21 22 Importantly, the only overlap between men's and women's performance occurred 23 only when a male performed exceptionally poorly (Brown et al. presented at the 24 2022 Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine.)

25 31. Athletic.net[®] is an internet-based resource providing "results, team, and event management tools to help coaches and athletes thrive." Among the resources 26 27 available on Athletic.net are event records that can be searched nationally or by state 28 age group, school grade, and state. Higerd (2021) in an evaluation of high school

track running performance records from five states (CA, FL, MN, NY, WA), over three years (2017 – 2019) observed that males were 14.38% faster than females in the 100M (at 99), 16.17% faster in the 200M (at 100), 17.62% faster in the 400M (at 102), 17.96% faster in the 800M (at 103), 17.81% faster in the 1600M (at 105), and 16.83% faster in the 3200M (at 106).

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

C. Men jump higher and farther.

32. Jumping involves both leg strength and speed as positive factors, with body weight of course a factor working against jump height. Despite their substantially greater body weight, males enjoy an even greater advantage in jumping than in running. Handelsman 2018 at 813, looking at youth and young adults, and Thibault 2010 at 217, looking at Olympic performances, both found male advantages in the range of 15%-20%. See also Tønnessen 2015 (approximately 19%); Handelsman 2017 (19%); Hilton 2021 at 201 (18%). Looking at the vertical jump called for in volleyball, research on elite volleyball players found that males jumped on average 50% higher during an "attack" at the net than did females. (Sattler 2015; see also Hilton 2021 at 203 (33% higher vertical jump).)

17 33. Higerd (2021) in an evaluation of high school high jump performance available 18 through the track and field database athletic.net®, which included five states (CA, 19 FL, MN, NY, WA), over three years (2017 - 2019) (at 82) observed that in 23,390 20 females and 26,843 males, females jumped an average of 1.35 m and males jumped an average of 1.62 m, for an 18.18% performance advantage for males (at 96). In an 21 22 evaluation of long jump performance in 45,705 high school females and 54,506 high 23 school males, the females jumped an average of 4.08 m and males jumped an 24 average of 5.20 m, for a 24.14% performance advantage for males (at 97).

34. The combined male advantage of body height and jump height means, for example, that a total of seven women in the WNBA have ever dunked a basketball in the

27 28

25

1	regulation 10 foot hoop, ⁵ while the ability to dunk appears to be almost universal
2	among NBA players: "Since the 1996–97 season (the earliest data is available from
3	Basketball-Reference.com), 1,801 different [NBA] players have combined for
4	210,842 regular-season dunks, and 1,259 out of 1,367 players (or 92%) who have
5	played at least 1,000 minutes have dunked at least once."6
6	D. Men throw, hit, and kick faster and farther.
7	35. Strength, arm-length, and speed combine to give men a large advantage over women
8	in throwing. This has been measured in a number of studies.
9	36. One study of elite male and female baseball pitchers showed that men throw
10	baseballs 35% faster than women-81 miles/hour for men vs. 60 miles/hour for
11	women. (Chu 2009.) By age 12, "boys' throwing velocity is already between 3.5
12	and 4 standard deviation units higher than the girls'." (Thomas 1985 at 276.) By age
13	seventeen, the average male can throw a ball farther than 99% of seventeen-year-
14	old females. (Lombardo 2018; Chu 2009; Thomas 1985 at 268.) Looking at publicly
15	available data, Hilton & Lundberg found that in both baseball pitching and the field
16	hockey "drag flick," the record ball speeds achieved by males are more than 50%
17	higher than those achieved by females. (Hilton 2021 at 202-203.)
18	37. Men achieve serve speeds in tennis more that 15% faster than women; and likewise
19	in golf achieve ball speeds off the tee more than 15% faster than women. (Hilton
20	2021 at 202.)
21	38. More specifically, Marshall and Llewellyn (at 957) reported that female collegiate
22	golfers at an NCAA Division III school have an average drive distance that is 46
23	yards (16.5%) fewer than males, a maximal drive distance of 33.2 yards (11.1%)
24	fewer, an average club head speed that is 21.9 mph (20.4%) slower, and a maximum
25	
26	⁵ https://www.espn.com/wnba/story/_/id/32258450/2021-wnba-playoffs-brittney-griner-
27	owns-wnba-dunking-record-coming-more.
28	https://www.s1.com/nba/2021/02/22/nba-non-dunkers-patty-mills-tj-mcconnell-steve- novak-daily-cover

1	club head speed that is 18 mph (15.3%) slower. Using 3D motion analysis to
2	evaluate the kinematics of 7 male and 5 female golfers with a mean handicap of 6,
3	Egret (at 463) concluded that "The results of this study show that there is a specific
4	swing for women." Horan used 3D motion analysis to evaluate the kinematics of
5	19 male and 19 female golfers with a handicap less than or equal to 4 and concluded
6	"the results suggest that male and female skilled golfers have different kinematics
7	for thorax and pelvis motion" and "What might be considered optimal swing
8	characteristics for male golfers should not be generalized to female golfers." (at
9	1456).
10	39. Males are able to throw a javelin more than 30% farther than females. (Lombardo
11	2018 Table 2; Hilton 2021 at 203.)
12	40. Men serve and spike volleyballs with higher velocity than women, with a
13	performance advantage in the range of 29-34%. (Hilton 2021 at 204 Fig. 1.)
14	41. Men are also able to kick balls harder and faster. A study comparing collegiate
15	soccer players found that males kick the ball with an average 20% greater velocity
16	than females. (Sakamoto 2014.)
17	E. Males exhibit faster reaction times.
18	42. Interestingly, men enjoy an additional advantage over women in reaction time-an
19	attribute not obviously related to strength or metabolism (e.g. V0 ₂ max). "Reaction
20	time in sports is crucial in both simple situations such as the gun shot in sprinting
21	and complex situations when a choice is required. In many team sports this is the
22	foundation for tactical advantages which may eventually determine the outcome of
23	a game." (Dogan 2009 at 92.) "Reaction times can be an important determinant of
24	success in the 100m sprint, where medals are often decided by hundredths or even
25	thousandths of a second." (Tønnessen 2013 at 885.)
26	43. The existence of a sex-linked difference in reaction times is consistent over a wide
27	range of ages and athletic abilities. (Dykiert 2012.) Even by the age of 4 or 5, in a
28	ruler-drop test, males have been shown to exhibit 4% to 6% faster reaction times

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

	than females. (Latorre-Roman 2018.) In high school athletes taking a common
	baseline "ImPACT" test, males showed 3% faster reaction times than females.
	(Mormile 2018.) Researchers have found a 6% male advantage in reaction times of
	both first-year medical students (Jain 2015) and world-class sprinters (Tønnessen
	2013).
44.	Most studies of reaction times use computerized tests which ask participants to hit

- 44. Most studies of reaction times use computerized tests which ask participants to hit a button on a keyboard or to say something in response to a stimulus. One study on NCAA athletes measured "reaction time" by a criterion perhaps more closely related to athletic performance–that is, how fast athletes covered 3.3 meters after a starting signal. Males covered the 3.3 meters 10% faster than females in response to a visual stimulus, and 16% faster than females in response to an auditory stimulus. (Spierer 2010.)
- 45. Researchers have speculated that sex-linked differences in brain structure, as well
 as estrogen receptors in the brain, may be the source of the observed male advantage
 in reaction times, but at present this remains a matter of speculation and hypothesis.
 (Mormile at 19; Spierer at 962.)

17 III. Men have large measured physiological differences compared to women which 18 demonstrably or likely explain their performance advantages.

46. No single physiological characteristic alone accounts for all or any one of the
 measured advantages that men enjoy in athletic performance. However, scientists
 have identified and measured a number of physiological factors that contribute to
 superior male performance.

A. Men are taller and heavier than women

47. In some sports, such as basketball and volleyball, height itself provides competitive
advantage. While some women are taller than some men, based on data from 20
countries in North America, Europe, East Asia, and Australia, the 50th percentile for
body height for women is 164.7 cm (5 ft 5 inches) and the 50th percentile for body
height for men is 178.4 cm (5 ft 10 inches). Helping to illustrate the inherent height

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

difference between men and women, from the same data analysis, the 95th percentile for body height for women is 178.9 cm (5 feet 10.43 inches), which is only 0.5 cm taller than the 50th percentile for men (178.4 cm; 5 feet 10.24 inches), while the 95th percentile for body height for men is 193.6 cm (6 feet 4.22 inches). Thus, while some women are taller than some men, the tallest men are taller than the tallest women (Roser 2013.)

48. To look at a specific athletic population, an evaluation of NCAA Division I basketball players compared 68 male guards and 59 male forwards to 105 female guards and 91 female forwards, and found that on average the male guards were 187.4 ± 7.0 cm tall and weighed 85.2 ± 7.4 kg while the female guards were 171.6 ± 5.0 cm tall and weighed 68.0 ± 7.4 kg. The male forwards were 201.7 ± 4.0 cm tall and weighed 105.3 ± 5.9 kg while the female forwards were 183.5 ± 4.4 cm tall and weighed 82.2 ± 12.5 kg. (Fields 2018 at 3.)

B. Males have larger and longer bones, stronger bones, and different bone configuration.

- 16 49. Obviously, males on average have longer bones. "Sex differences in height have 17 been the most thoroughly investigated measure of bone size, as adult height is a 18 stable, easily quantified measure in large population samples. Extensive twin studies 19 show that adult height is highly heritable with predominantly additive genetic 20 effects that diverge in a sex-specific manner from the age of puberty onwards." 21 (Handelsman 2018 at 818.) "Pubertal testosterone exposure leads to an ultimate 22 average greater height in men of 12–15 centimeters, larger bones, greater muscle 23 mass, increased strength and higher hemoglobin levels." (Gooren 2011 at 653.)
- 50. "Men have distinctively greater bone size, strength, and density than do women of
 the same age." (Handelsman 2018 at 818.)
- 51. "[O]n average men are 7% to 8% taller with longer, denser, and stronger bones,
 whereas women have shorter humerus and femur cross-sectional areas being 65%
 to 75% and 85%, respectively, those of men." (Handelsman 2018 at 818.)

52. Greater height, leg, and arm length themselves provide obvious advantages in several sports. But male bone geometry also provides less obvious advantages. "The major effects of men's larger and stronger bones would be manifest via their taller stature as well as the larger fulcrum with greater leverage for muscular limb power exerted in jumping, throwing, or other explosive power activities." (Handelsman 2018 at 818.)

53. Male advantage in bone size is not limited to length, as larger bones provide the mechanical framework for larger muscle mass. "From puberty onwards, men have, on average, 10% more bone providing more surface area. The larger surface area of bone accommodates more skeletal muscle so, for example, men have broader shoulders allowing more muscle to build. This translates into 44% less upper body strength for women, providing men an advantage for sports like boxing, weightlifting and skiing. In similar fashion, muscle mass differences lead to decreased trunk and lower body strength by 64% and 72%, respectively in women. These differences in body strength can have a significant impact on athletic performance, and largely underwrite the significant differences in world record times and distances set by men and women." (Knox 2019 at 397.)

- 54. Meanwhile, distinctive aspects of the female pelvis geometry cut against athletic performance. "[T]he widening of the female pelvis during puberty, balancing the evolutionary demands of obstetrics and locomotion, retards the improvement in female physical performance." (Handelsman 2018 at 818.) "[T]he major female hormones, oestrogens, can have effects that disadvantage female athletic performance. For example, women have a wider pelvis changing the hip structure significantly between the sexes. Pelvis shape is established during puberty and is driven by oestrogen. The different angles resulting from the female pelvis leads to decreased joint rotation and muscle recruitment ultimately making them slower." (Knox 2019 at 397.)

55. There are even sex-based differences in foot size and shape. Wunderlich &

Cavanaugh (2001) observed that a "foot length of 257 mm represents a value that is ... approximately the 20th percentile men's foot lengths and the 80th percentile women's foot lengths." (607) and "For a man and a woman, both with statures of 170 cm (5 feet 7 inches), the man would have a foot that was approximately 5 mm longer and 2 mm wider than the woman." (608). Based on these, and other analyses, they conclude that "female feet and legs are not simply scaled-down versions of male feet but rather differ in a number of shape characteristics, particularly at the arch, the lateral side of the foot, the first toe, and the ball of the foot." (605) Further, Fessler et al. (2005) observed that "female foot length is consistently smaller than male foot length" (44) and concludes that "proportionate foot length is smaller in women" (51) with an overall conclusion that "Our analyses of genetically disparate populations reveal a clear pattern of sexual dimorphism, with women consistently having smaller feet proportionate to stature than men." (53)

56. Beyond simple performance, the greater density and strength of male bones provide
higher protection against stresses associated with extreme physical effort: "[S]tress
fractures in athletes, mostly involving the legs, are more frequent in females, with
the male protection attributable to their larger and thicker bones." (Handelsman
2018 at 818.)

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

C. Males have much larger muscle mass.

- 57. The fact that, on average, men have substantially larger muscles than women is as well known to common observation as men's greater height. But the male advantage in muscle size has also been extensively measured. The differential is large.
- 58. "On average, women have 50% to 60% of men's upper arm muscle cross-sectional area and 65% to 70% of men's thigh muscle cross-sectional area, and women have 50% to 60% of men's upper limb strength and 60% to 80% of men's leg strength.
 Young men have on average a skeletal muscle mass of >12 kg greater than agematched women at any given body weight." (Handelsman 2018 at 812. See also Gooren 2011 at 653, Thibault 2010 at 214.)

- 59. "There is convincing evidence that the sex differences in muscle mass and strength are sufficient to account for the increased strength and aerobic performance of men compared with women and is in keeping with the differences in world records between the sexes." (Handelsman 2018 at 816.)
- 60. As stated in the National Strength and Conditioning Association's Guide to Tests and Assessments "Sport performance is highly dependent on the health- and skillrelated components of fitness (power, speed, agility, reaction time, balance, and Body Composition coordination) in addition to the athlete's technique and level of 9 competency in sport-specific motor skills. All fitness components depend on body 10 composition to some extent. An increase in lean body mass contributes to strength and power development. ... Thus, an increase in lean body mass enables the athlete 12 to generate more force in a specific period of time. A sufficient level of lean body mass also contributes to speed, quickness, and agility performance (in the 13 development of force applied to the ground for maximal acceleration and 14 15 deceleration)." (https://www.nsca.com/education/articles/kinetic-select/sport-16 performance-and-body-composition/last accessed May 10, 2023)
- 17 61. Once again, looking at specific and comparable populations of athletes, an 18 evaluation of NCAA Division I basketball players consisting of 68 male guards and 19 59 male forwards, compared to 105 female guards and 91 female forwards, reported 20 that on average the male guards had 77.7 ± 6.4 kg of fat free mass and 7.4 ± 3.1 kg 21 fat mass while the female guards had 54.6 ± 4.4 kg fat free mass and 13.4 ± 5.4 kg 22 fat mass. The male forwards had 89.5 ± 5.9 kg fat free mass and 15.9 ± 5.6 kg fat mass while the female forwards had 61.8 ± 5.9 kg fat free mass and 20.5 ± 7.7 kg 23 24 fat mass. (Fields 2018 at 3.)
- 25

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

D. Females have a larger proportion of body fat.

62. While women have smaller muscles, they have proportionately more body fat, in general a negative for athletic performance. "Oestrogens also affect body composition by influencing fat deposition. Women, on average, have higher

1	
l	percentage body fat, and this holds true even for highly trained healthy athletes (men
2	5%-10%, women $8%-15%$). Fat is needed in women for normal reproduction and
3	fertility, but it is not performance-enhancing. This means men with higher muscle
4	mass and less body fat will normally be stronger kilogram for kilogram than
5	women." (Knox 2019 at 397.)
6	63. Looking once again to Liguri (2021) in the ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing
7	and Prescription (Tables 3.4 and 3.5 at 73 and 74), a 20-29-year-old male in the
8	99th percentile will have 4.2% body fat, while a 20–29-year-old female in the 99th
9	percentile will have 11.4% body fat, meaning the female has 170% more fat relative
10	to body mass than the male. Comparing a 20–29-year-old male and female in the
11	50 th percentile (that is "average") the male will have 16.7% body fat and the female
12	will have 21.8% body fat, meaning that the female has 30% more fat relative to total
13	body mass than the male.
14	64. "[E]lite females have more (<13 vs. <5 %) body fat than males. Indeed, much of the
15	difference in [maximal oxygen uptake] between males and females disappears when
16	it is expressed relative to lean body mass Males possess on average 7–9 $\%$ less
17	percent body fat than females." (Lepers 2013 at 853.)
18	65. Knox et al. observe that both female pelvis shape and female body fat levels
19	"disadvantage female athletes in sports in which speed, strength and recovery are
20	important," (Knox 2019 at 397), while Tønnessen et al. describe the "ratio between
21	muscular power and total body mass" as "critical" for athletic performance.
22	(Tønnessen 2015 at 7.)
23	E. Males are able to metabolize and release energy to muscles at a higher rate due
24	to larger heart and lung size, and higher hemoglobin concentrations.
25	66. While advantages in bone size, muscle size, and body fat are easily perceived and
26	understood by laymen, scientists also measure and explain the male athletic
27	advantage at a more abstract level through measurements of metabolism, or the
28	ability to deliver energy to muscles throughout the body.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

67. Energy release at the muscles depends centrally on the body's ability to deliver oxygen to the muscles, where it is essential to the complex chain of biochemical reactions that make energy available to power muscle fibers. Men have multiple distinctive physiological attributes that together give them a large advantage in oxygen delivery.

68. Oxygen is taken into the blood in the lungs. Men have greater capability to take in oxygen for multiple reasons. "[L]ung capacity [is] larger in men because of a lower diaphragm placement due to Y-chromosome genetic determinants." (Knox 2019 at 397.) Supporting larger lung capacity, men have "greater cross-sectional area of the trachea"; that is, they can simply move more air in and out of their lungs in a given time. (Hilton 2021 at 201.)

- 69. More, male lungs provide superior oxygen exchange even for a given volume: "The
 greater lung volume is complemented by testosterone-driven enhanced alveolar
 multiplication rate during the early years of life. Oxygen exchange takes place
 between the air we breathe and the bloodstream at the alveoli, so more alveoli allows
 more oxygen to pass into the bloodstream. Therefore, the greater lung capacity
 allows more air to be inhaled with each breath. This is coupled with an improved
 uptake system allowing men to absorb more oxygen." (Knox 2019 at 397.)
- 19 70. "Once in the blood, oxygen is carried by haemoglobin. Haemoglobin 20 concentrations are directly modulated by testosterone so men have higher levels and can carry more oxygen than women." (Knox 2019 at 397.) "It is well known 21 22 that levels of circulating hemoglobin are androgen-dependent and consequently 23 higher in men than in women by 12% on average.... Increasing the amount of 24 hemoglobin in the blood has the biological effect of increasing oxygen transport 25 from lungs to tissues, where the increased availability of oxygen enhances aerobic 26 energy expenditure." (Handelsman 2018 at 816.) (See also Lepers 2013 at 853; 27 Handelsman 2017 at 71.) "It may be estimated that as a result the average maximal 28 oxygen transfer will be $\sim 10\%$ greater in men than in women, which has a direct

impact on their respective athletic capacities." (Handelsman 2018 at 816.)

71. But the male metabolic advantage is further multiplied by the fact that men are also able to circulate more blood per second than are women. "Oxygenated blood is pumped to the active skeletal muscle by the heart. The left ventricle chamber of the heart is the reservoir from which blood is pumped to the body. The larger the left ventricle, the more blood it can hold, and therefore, the more blood can be pumped to the body with each heartbeat, a physiological parameter called 'stroke volume'. The female heart size is, on average, 85% that of a male resulting in the stroke volume of women being around 33% less." (Knox 2018 at 397.) Hilton cites different studies that make the same finding, reporting that men on average can pump 30% more blood through their circulatory system per minute ("cardiac output") than can women. (Hilton 2021 at 202.)

72. Finally, at the cell where the energy release is needed, men appear to have yet
another advantage. "Additionally, there is experimental evidence that testosterone
increases . . . mitochondrial biogenesis, myoglobin expression, and IGF-1 content,
which may augment energetic and power generation of skeletal muscular activity."
(Handelsman 2018 at 811.)

73. "Putting all of this together, men have a much more efficient cardiovascular and
respiratory system." (Knox 2019 at 397.) A widely accepted measurement that
reflects the combined effects of all these respiratory, cardiovascular, and metabolic
advantages is referred to as "V0₂max," which refers to the maximum rate at which
an individual can consume oxygen during aerobic exercise.⁷ Looking at 11 separate
studies, including both trained and untrained individuals, Pate et al. concluded that
men have a 50% higher V0₂max than women on average, and a 25% higher V0₂max

 ⁷ V0₂max is "based on hemoglobin concentration, total blood volume, maximal stroke volume, cardiac size/mass/compliance, skeletal muscle blood flow, capillary density, and mitochondrial content." International Statement, *The Role of Testosterone in Athletic Performance* (January 2019), available at https://law.duke.edu/sites/default/files/centers/sportslaw/Experts T Statement 2019.pdf.

1	in relation to body weight. (Pate 1984 at 92. See also Hilton 2021 at 202.)							
2	IV. The role of testosterone in the development of male advantages in athletic							
3	performance.							
4	74. The following tables of reference ranges for circulating testosterone in males and							
5	females are pres	sented to help provide con	ntext for some of the subsequent					
6	information regar	ding athletic performance an	nd physical fitness in children, youth,					
7	and adults, and	regarding testosterone supp	ression in transwomen and athletic					
8	regulations. These	e data were obtained from the	e Mayo Clinic Laboratories (available					
9	at https://www	w.mayocliniclabs.com/test-ca	atalog/overview/83686#Clinical-and-					
10	Interpretive, acces	ssed May 5, 2023).						
11	Reference ranges for serum testosterone concentrations in males and females.							
12	Age	Males	Females					
13	0-5 months	2.6 – 13.9 nmol/l	0.7 - 2.8 nmol/l					
14	6 months – 9 years	0.2 - 0.7 nmol/l	0.2 - 0.7 nmol/l					
15	10 – 11 years	0.2 - 4.5 nmol/l	0.2 – 1.5 nmol/l					
16	12 -13 years	0.2 - 27.7 nmol/l	0.2 - 2.6 nmol/l					
17	14 years	0.2 - 41.6 nmol/l	0.2 - 2.6 nmol/l					
18	15 – 16 years	3.5-41.6 nmol/l	0.2 - 2.6 nmol/l					
19	17 – 18 years	10.4 - 41.6 nmol/l	0.7 - 2.6 nmol/l					
20	19 years and older	8.3 – 32.9 nmol/l	0.3 – 2.1 nmol/l					
21	Please note that testoste	erone concentrations are som	etimes expressed in units of ng/dl, and					
22	nmol/l = 28.85 ng/dl.							
23	75. Tanner Stages can be used to help evaluate the onset and progression of puberty and							
24	may be more help	oful in evaluating normal tes	stosterone concentrations than age in					
25	adolescents. "Pu	berty onset (transition from	Tanner stage I to Tanner stage II)					
26	occurs for boys at	t a median age of 11.5 years	and for girls at a median age of 10.5					
27	years Progression through Tanner stages is variable. Tanner stage V (young							

adult) should be reached by age 18." (https://www.mayocliniclabs.com/test-

Casse 4 223-060201 88/0C/2020 abd Dme 27 62063, Filet E06/20723, Fregge 885 of f2439

catalog/overview/83686#Clinical-and-Interpretive, accessed May 5, 2023).

Reference Ranges for serum testosterone concentrations by Tanner stage

3	Tanner Stage	Males	Females
4	I (prepubertal)	0.2 - 0.7 nmol/l	0.7 - 0.7 nmol/l
5	II	0.3 - 2.3 nmo/l	0.2 - 1.6 nmol/l
6	III	0.9 - 27.7 nmol/l	0.6-2.6 nmol/l
7	IV	2.9-41.6 nmol/l	0.7 - 2.6 nmol/l
8	V (young adult)	10.4 - 32.9 nmol/	0.4 - 2.1 nmol/l

76. Senefeld et al. (2020 at 99) state that "Data on testosterone levels in children and
adolescents segregated by sex are scarce and based on convenience samples or
assays with limited sensitivity and accuracy." They therefore "analyzed the timing
of the onset and magnitude of the divergence in testosterone in youths aged 6 to 20
years by sex using a highly accurate assay" (isotope dilution liquid chromatography
tandem mass spectrometry). Senefeld observed a significant difference beginning at
age 11, which is to say about fifth grade.

- 23
 24
 25
 26

Casse 4 23-060201 88/0G/2020 0 20 d Dm e 27 82063, FD et E06/20723, Frage 836 of f2429

Serum testosterone concentrations (nmol/L) in youths aged 6 to 20 years measured using isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (Senefeld et al. ,2020, at 99)

4		Boy	8		Girl	8	
5	Age (y)	5 th	50th	95th	5th	50th	95th
6	6	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
7	7	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.3
8	8	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.3
9	9	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6
10	10	0.1	0.2	2.6	0.1	0.3	0.9
11	11	0.1	0.5	11.3	0.2	0.5	1.3
12	12	0.3	3.6	17.2	0.2	0.7	1.4
13	13	0.6	9.2	21.5	0.3	0.8	1.5
14	14	2.2	11.9	24.2	0.3	0.8	1.6
15	15	4.9	13.2	25.8	0.4	0.8	1.8
16	16	5.2	14.9	24.1	0.4	0.9	2.0
17	17	7.6	15.4	27.0	0.5	1.0	2.0
18	18	9.2	16.3	25.5	0.4	0.9	2.1
19	19	8.1	17.2	27.9	0.4	0.9	2.3
20	20	6.5	17.9	29.9	0.4	1.0	3.4

A. Boys exhibit advantages in athletic performance even before puberty.

77. It is often said or assumed that boys enjoy no significant athletic advantage over girls before puberty. However, this is not true. Writing in their seminal work on the physiology of elite young female athletes, McManus and Armstrong (2011) reviewed the differences between boys and girls regarding bone density, body composition, cardiovascular function, metabolic function, and other physiologic factors that can influence athletic performance. They stated, "At birth, boys tend to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

have a greater lean mass than girls. This difference remains small but detectable throughout childhood with about a 10% greater lean mass in boys than girls prior to puberty." (28) "Sexual dimorphism underlies much of the physiologic response to exercise," and most importantly these authors concluded that, "Young girl athletes are not simply smaller, less muscular boys." (23)

- 78. Certainly, boys' physiological and performance advantages increase rapidly from the beginning of puberty until around age 17-19. But much data and multiple studies show that significant physiological differences, and significant male athletic performance advantages in certain areas, exist before significant developmental changes associated with male puberty have occurred.
- 11 79. Starting at birth, girls have more body fat and less fat-free mass than boys. Davis et 12 al. (2019) in an evaluation of 602 infants reported that at birth and age 5 months, 13 infant boys have larger total body mass, body length, and fat-free mass while having 14 lower percent body fat than infant girls. In an evaluation of 20 boys and 20 girls 15 ages 3-8 years old, matched for age, height, and body weight Taylor et al. (Taylor 16 1997) reported that the "boys had significantly less fat, a lower % body fat and a 17 higher bone-free lean tissue mass than the girls" when "expressed as a percentage 18 of the average fat mass of the boys", the girls' fat mass was 52% higher than the boys "...while the bone-free lean tissue mass was 9% lower" (at 1083.) In an 19 20 evaluation of 376 prepubertal [Tanner Stage 1] boys and girls, Taylor et al. (2010) observed that the boys had 21.6% more lean mass, and 13% less body fat (when 21 22 expressed as percent of total body mass) than did the girls. In an evaluation of bone 23 mineral density in 1,432 boys and 1,483 girls who were an average of 6.2 years old 24 Medina-Gomez (2016) observed that the boys had 7.6% more lean body mass, 25 15.6% less fat mass, and \sim 5% higher bone mineral density than the girls (Table 1, at 1102), and concluded that (at 1099), "bone sexual dimorphism is already present 26 27 at 6 years of age, with boys having stronger bones than girls, the relation of which 28 is influenced by body composition." In a review of 22 peer reviewed publications

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

on the topic, Staiano and Katzmarzyk (2012) conclude that "... girls have more T[otal]B[ody]F[at] than boys throughout childhood and adolescence." (at 4.)

80. In the seminal textbook, *Growth, Maturation, and Physical Activity*, Malina et al. (2004) present a summary of data from Gauthier et al. (1983) which present data from "a national sample of Canadian children and youth" demonstrating that from ages 7 to 17, boys have a higher aerobic power output than do girls of the same ages when exercise intensity is measured using heart rate (Malina at 242.) That is to say, that at a heart rate of 130 beats per minute, or 150, or 170, a 7 to 17 year old boy should be able to run, bike, or swim faster than a similarly aged girl.

81. Considerable data from school-based fitness testing exists showing that prepubertal 10 11 boys outperform comparably aged girls in tests of muscular strength, muscular 12 endurance, and running speed. These sex-based differences in physical fitness are 13 relevant to the current issue of sex-based sports categories because, as stated by Lesinski et al. (2020), in an evaluation "of 703 male and female elite young athletes 14 aged 8-18" (1) "fitness development precedes sports specialization" (2) and further 15 16 observed that "males outperformed females in C[ounter]M[ovement]J[ump], 17 D[rop]J[ump], C[hange]o[f]D[irection speed] performances and hand grip 18 strength." (5).

19 82. Tambalis et al. (2016) states that "based on a large data set comprising 424,328 test 20 performances" (736) using standing long jump to measure lower body explosive 21 power, sit and reach to measure flexibility, timed 30 second sit ups to measure 22 abdominal and hip flexor muscle endurance, 10 x 5 meter shuttle run to evaluate speed and agility, and multi-stage 20 meter shuttle run test to estimate aerobic 23 24 performance (738). "For each of the fitness tests, performance was better in boys compared with girls (p < 0.001), except for the S[it and] R[each] test (p < 0.001)." 25 (739) In order to illustrate that the findings of Tambalis (2016) are not unique to 26 children in Greece, the authors state "Our findings are in accordance with recent 27 28 studies from Latvia [] Portugal [] and Australia [Catley & Tomkinson

(2013)]."(744).

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2 83. The 20-m multistage fitness test is a commonly used maximal running aerobic 3 fitness test used in the Eurofit Physical Fitness Test Battery and the FitnessGram Physical Fitness test. It is also known as the 20-meter shuttle run test, PACER test, 4 5 or beep test (among other names; this is not the same test as the shuttle run in the Presidential Fitness Test). This test involves continuous running between two lines 6 7 20 meters apart in time to recorded beeps. The participants stand behind one of the 8 lines facing the second line and begin running when instructed by the recording. 9 The speed at the start is quite slow. The subject continues running between the two 10 lines, turning when signaled by the recorded beeps. After about one minute, a sound 11 indicates an increase in speed, and the beeps will be closer together. This continues 12 each minute (level). If the line is reached before the beep sounds, the subject must 13 wait until the beep sounds before continuing. If the line is not reached before the beep sounds, the subject is given a warning and must continue to run to the line, 14 15 then turn and try to catch up with the pace within two more 'beeps'. The subject is 16 given a warning the first time they fail to reach the line (within 2 meters) and 17 eliminated after the second warning.
 - 84. To illustrate the sex-based performance differences observed by Tambalis, I have prepared the following table showing the number of laps completed in the 20 m shuttle run for children ages 6-18 years for the low, middle, and top decile (Tambalis 2016 at 740 & 742), and have calculated the percent difference between the boys and girls using the same equation as Millard-Stafford (2018).

Performance difference between boys and girls ÷ Girls performance

Czsse4 23-06020185/06/2020dDme2762063,FDetE06/20723, Fregee94006/21439

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Number of laps completed in the 20m shuttle run for children ages 6-18 years 2 Male Male-Female % Difference Female 3 90th 90th 10th 50th 10th 50th 10th 50th 90th 4 Age %ile %ile %ile %ile %ile %ile %ile %ile %ile 5 6 4 14 4.0 12.0 26.0 0.0% 16.7% 19.2% 31 6 7 0.0% 20.0% 8 18 38 8.0 15.0 29.0 31.0% 7 8 9 23 47 9.0 18.0 34.0 0.0% 27.8% 38.2% 8 9 11 28 10.0 20.0 40.0 10.0% 40.0% 53 32.5% 9 10 12 11.0 9.1% 34.8% 34.9% 31 58 23.0 43.0 10 12.0 48.0 25.0% 38.5% 33.3% 11 15 36 64 26.0 11 12 15 39 69 12.0 26.0 49.0 25.0% 50.0% 40.8% 12 13 16 44 76 12.0 26.0 50.0 33.3% 69.2% 52.0% 13 14 19 50 85 12.0 26.0 50.0 58.3% 92.3% 70.0% 14 15 20 53 90 12.0 25.0 47.0 66.7% 112.0% 91.5% 15 16 81.8% 20 54 90 11.0 24.0 45.0 125.0% 100.0% 16 17 10.0 80.0% 72.0% 18 50 23.0 50.0 117.4% 86 17 18 13 48 87 8.0 39.5 62.5% 108.7% 120.3% 23.0

85. The Presidential Fitness Test was widely used in schools in the United States from the late 1950s until 2013 (when it was phased out in favor of the Presidential Youth Fitness Program and FitnessGram, both of which focus on health-related physical fitness and do not present data in percentiles). Students participating in the Presidential Fitness Test could receive "The National Physical Fitness Award" for performance equal to the 50th percentile in five areas of the fitness test, "while performance equal to the 85th percentile could receive the Presidential Physical Fitness Award." Tables presenting the 50th and 85th percentiles for the Presidential Fitness Test for males and females ages 6 - 17, and differences in performance

> 37 A89

between males and females, for curl-ups, shuttle run, 1 mile run, push-ups, and pullups appear in the Appendix.

- 86. For both the 50th percentile (The National Physical Fitness Award) and the 85th percentile (Presidential Physical Fitness Award), with the exception of curl-ups in 6-year-old children, boys outperform girls. The difference in pull-ups for the 85th percentile for ages 7 through 17 are particularly informative with boys outperforming girls by 100% 1200%, highlighting the advantages in upper body strength in males.
- 87. A very recent literature review commissioned by the five United Kingdom
 governmental Sport Councils concluded that while "[i]t is often assumed that
 children have similar physical capacity regardless of their sex, . . . large-scale data
 reports on children from the age of six show that young males have significant
 advantage in cardiovascular endurance, muscular strength, muscular endurance,
 speed/agility and power tests," although they "score lower on flexibility tests." (UK
 Sports Councils' Literature Review 2021 at 3.)
- 16 88. Hilton et al., also writing in 2021, reached the same conclusion: "An extensive
 review of fitness data from over 85,000 Australian children aged 9–17 years old
 showed that, compared with 9-year-old females, 9-year-old males were faster over
 short sprints (9.8%) and 1 mile (16.6%), could jump 9.5% further from a standing
 start (a test of explosive power), could complete 33% more push-ups in 30 [seconds]
 and had 13.8% stronger grip." (Hilton 2021 at 201, summarizing the findings of
 Catley & Tomkinson 2013.)
 - 89. The following data are taken from Catley & Tomkinson (2013 at 101) showing the low, middle, and top decile for 1.6 km run (1.0 mile) run time for 11,423 girls and boys ages 9-17.

1.6 km run (1.0 mile) run time for 11,423 girls and boys ages 9-17

2		Male			Female			Male-Fe	male % Dif	ference
3		10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th
4	Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile
5	9	684	522	423	769.0	609.0	499.0	11.1%	14.3%	15.2%
6	10	666	511	420	759.0	600.0	494.0	12.3%	14.8%	15.0%
7	11	646	500	416	741.0	586.0	483.0	12.8%	14.7%	13.9%
8	12	621	485	408	726.0	575.0	474.0	14.5%	15.7%	13.9%
9	13	587	465	395	716.0	569.0	469.0	18.0%	18.3%	15.8%
10	14	556	446	382	711.0	567.0	468.0	21.8%	21.3%	18.4%
11	15	531	432	373	710.0	570.0	469.0	25.2%	24.2%	20.5%
12	16	514	423	366	710.0	573.0	471.0	27.6%	26.2%	22.3%
13	17	500	417	362	708.0	575.0	471.0	29.4%	27.5%	23.1%
14										

90. Tomkinson et al. (2018) performed a similarly extensive analysis of literally millions of measurements of a variety of strength and agility metrics from the "Eurofit" test battery on children from 30 European countries. They provide detailed results for each metric, broken out by decile. Sampling the low, middle, and top decile, 9-year-old boys performed better than 9-year-old girls by between 6.5% and 9.7% in the standing broad jump; from 11.4% to 16.1% better in handgrip; and from 45.5% to 49.7% better in the "bent-arm hang." (Tomkinson 2018.)

91. The Bent Arm Hang test is a measure of upper body muscular strength and endurance used in the Eurofit Physical Fitness Test Battery. To perform the Bent Arm Hang, the child is assisted into position with the body lifted to a height so that the chin is level with the horizontal bar (like a pull up bar). The bar is grasped with the palms facing away from body and the hands shoulder width apart. The timing starts when the child is released. The child then attempts to hold this position for as

long as possible. Timing stops when the child's chin falls below the level of the bar, or the head is tilted backward to enable the chin to stay level with the bar.

92. Using data from Tomkinson (2018; table 7 at 1452), the following table sampling the low, middle, and top decile for bent arm hang for 9- to 17-year-old children can be constructed:

7	Bent Arm Hang time (in seconds) for children ages 9 - 17 years									
8		Male			Female			Male-Fem	ale % Diffe	erence
9								10th	50th	90th
10	Age	10th %ile	50th %ile	90th %ile	10th %ile	50th %ile	90th %ile	%ile	%ile	%ile
11	9	2.13	7.48	25.36	1.43	5.14	16.94	48.95%	45.53%	49.70%
12	10	2.25	7.92	26.62	1.42	5.15	17.06	58.45%	53.79%	56.04%
13	11	2.35	8.32	27.73	1.42	5.16	17.18	65.49%	61.24%	61.41%
14	12	2.48	8.79	28.99	1.41	5.17	17.22	75.89%	70.02%	68.35%
15	13	2.77	9.81	31.57	1.41	5.18	17.33	96.45%	89.38%	82.17%
16	14	3.67	12.70	38.39	1.40	5.23	17.83	162.14%	142.83%	115.31%
17	15	5.40	17.43	47.44	1.38	5.35	18.80	291.30%	225.79%	152.34%
18	16	7.39	21.75	53.13	1.38	5.63	20.57	435.51%	286.32%	158.29%
19	17	9.03	24.46	54.66	1.43	6.16	23.61	531.47%	297.08%	131.51%
20										

1

2

3

4

5

6

21

22

23

24

25

93. Evaluating these data, a 9-year-old boy in the 50th percentile (that is to say a 9-yearold boy of average upper body muscular strength and endurance) will perform better in the bent arm hang test than 9 through 17-year-old girls in the 50th percentile. Similarly, a 9-year-old boy in the 90th percentile will perform better in the bent arm hang test than 9 through 17-year-old girls in the 90th percentile.

94. Using data from Tomkinson et al. (2017; table 1 at 1549), the following table 26 27 sampling the low, middle, and top decile for running speed in the last stage of the 20 m shuttle run for 9- to 17-year-old children can be constructed. 28

Casse 4 223-66-0061 88/0G/2020 00 d Dm e 27 62063, FD et E 06/20722, Frage 944 of 2489

20 m shuttle Running speed (km/h at the last completed stage)

	Male			Female			Male-Female % Difference		
	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th
Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile
9	8.94	10.03	11.13	8.82	9.72	10.61	1.36%	3.19%	4.90%
10	8.95	10.13	11.31	8.76	9.75	10.74	2.17%	3.90%	5.31%
11	8.97	10.25	11.53	8.72	9.78	10.85	2.87%	4.81%	6.27%
12	9.05	10.47	11.89	8.69	9.83	10.95	4.14%	6.51%	8.58%
13	9.18	10.73	12.29	8.69	9.86	11.03	5.64%	8.82%	11.42%
14	9.32	10.96	12.61	8.70	9.89	11.07	7.13%	10.82%	13.91%
15	9.42	11.13	12.84	8.70	9.91	11.11	8.28%	12.31%	15.57%
16	9.51	11.27	13.03	8.71	9.93	11.14	9.18%	13.49%	16.97%
17	9.60	11.41	13.23	8.72	9.96	11.09	10.09%	14.56%	19.30%

95. Evaluating these data, a 9-year-old boy in the 50th percentile (that is to say a 9-yearold boy of average running speed) will run faster in the final stage of the 20 m shuttle run than 9 through 17-year-old girls in the 50th percentile. Similarly, a 9-year-old boy in the 90th percentile will run faster in the final stage of the 20-m shuttle run than 9 through 15, and 17-year-old girls in the 90th percentile and will be 0.01 km/h (0.01%) slower than 16-year-old girls in the 90th percentile.

96. Just using these two examples for bent arm hang and 20-m shuttle running speed (Tomkinson 2107, Tomkinson 2018) based on large sample sizes (thus having tremendous statistical power) it becomes apparent that a 9-year-old boy will be very likely to outperform similarly trained girls of his own age and older in athletic events involving upper body muscle strength and/or running speed.

97. Another report published in 2014 analyzed physical fitness measurements of 10,302 children aged 6 -10.9 years of age, from the European countries of Sweden,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

26

27

28

Germany, Hungary, Italy, Cyprus, Spain, Belgium, and Estonia. (De Miguel-Etayo et al. 2014.) The authors observed "... that boys performed better than girls in speed, lower- and upper-limb strength and cardiorespiratory fitness." (57) The data showed that for children of comparable fitness (i.e. 99th percentile boys vs. 99th percentile girls, 50th percentile boys vs. 50th percentile girls, etc.) the boys outperform the girls at every age in measurements of handgrip strength, standing long jump, 20-m shuttle run, and predicted VO₂max (pages 63 and 64, respectively). For clarification, VO₂max is the maximal oxygen consumption, which correlates to 30-40% of success in endurance sports.

98. The standing long jump, also called the Broad Jump, is a common and easy to 10 11 administer test of explosive leg power used in the Eurofit Physical Fitness Test 12 Battery and in the NFL Combine. In the standing long jump, the participant stands 13 behind a line marked on the ground with feet slightly apart. A two-foot take-off and landing is used, with swinging of the arms and bending of the knees to provide 14 15 forward drive. The participant attempts to jump as far as possible, landing on both 16 feet without falling backwards. The measurement is taken from takeoff line to the 17 nearest point of contact on the landing (back of the heels) with the best of three 18 attempts being scored.

99. Using data from De Miguel-Etayo et al. (2014, table 3 at 61), which analyzed
physical fitness measurements of 10,302 children aged 6 -10.9 years of age, from
the European countries of Sweden, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Cyprus, Spain,
Belgium, and Estonia, the following table sampling the low, middle, and top decile
for standing long jump for 6- to 9-year-old children can be constructed:

A94 ⁴²

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Standing Broad Jump (cm) for children ages 6-9 years

Male			Female			Male-Female % Difference			
	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th
Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile
6-<6.5	77.3	103.0	125.3	69.1	93.8	116.7	11.9%	9.8%	7.4%
6.5-<7	82.1	108.0	130.7	73.6	98.7	121.9	11.5%	9.4%	7.2%
7-<7.5	86.8	113.1	136.2	78.2	103.5	127.0	11.0%	9.3%	7.2%
7.5-<8	91.7	118.2	141.6	82.8	108.3	132.1	10.7%	9.1%	7.2%
8-<8.5	96.5	123.3	146.9	87.5	113.1	137.1	10.3%	9.0%	7.1%
8.5-<9	101.5	128.3	152.2	92.3	118.0	142.1	10.0%	8.7%	7.1%

100. Another study of Eurofit results for over 400,000 Greek children reported similar results. "[C]ompared with 6-year-old females, 6-year-old males completed 16.6% more shuttle runs in a given time and could jump 9.7% further from a standing position." (Hilton 2021 at 201, summarizing findings of Tambalis et al. 2016.)

101. Silverman (2011) gathered hand grip data, broken out by age and sex, from a number of studies. Looking only at the nine direct comparisons within individual studies tabulated by Silverman for children aged 7 or younger, in eight of these the boys had strength advantages of between 13 and 28 percent, with the remaining outlier recording only a 4% advantage for 7-year-old boys. (Silverman 2011 Table 1.)

24 25 26

27

28

102. To help illustrate the importance of one specific measure of physical fitness in athletic performance, Pocek (2021) stated that to be successful, volleyball "players should distinguish themselves, besides in skill level, in terms of aboveaverage body height, upper and lower muscular power, speed, and agility. Vertical jump is a fundamental part of the spike, block, and serve." (8377) Pocek further

Casse 4 23-660261 88/0G/2020 abd Dme 27 82063, FDet E06/20723, Fragge 947 of 12429

stated that "relative vertical jumping ability is of great importance in volleyball regardless of the players' position, while absolute vertical jump values can differentiate players not only in terms of player position and performance level but in their career trajectories." (8382)

- 103. Using data from Ramírez-Vélez (2017; table 2 at 994) which analyzed vertical jump measurements of 7,614 healthy Colombian schoolchildren aged 9 17.9 years of age the following table sampling the low, middle, and top decile for vertical jump can be constructed:

Vertical Jump Height (cm) for children ages 9 - 17 years

10		Male			Female			Male-Female % Difference		
11		10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th
12	Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile
13	9	18.0	24.0	29.5	16.0	22.3	29.0	12.5%	7.6%	1.7%
14	10	19.5	25.0	32.0	18.0	24.0	29.5	8.3%	4.2%	8.5%
15	11	21.0	27.0	32.5	19.5	25.0	31.0	7.7%	8.0%	4.8%
16	12	22.0	27.5	34.5	20.0	25.5	31.5	10.0%	7.8%	9.5%
17	13	23.0	30.5	39.0	19.0	25.5	32.0	21.1%	19.6%	21.9%
18	14	23.5	32.0	41.5	20.0	25.5	32.5	17.5%	25.5%	27.7%
19	15	26.0	35.5	43.0	20.2	26.0	32.5	28.7%	36.5%	32.3%
20	16	28.0	36.5	45.1	20.5	26.5	33.0	36.6%	37.7%	36.7%
21	17	28.0	38.0	47.0	21.5	27.0	35.0	30.2%	40.7%	34.3%

104. Similarly, using data from Taylor (2010; table 2, at 869) which analyzed vertical jump measurements of 1,845 children aged 10 -15 years in primary and secondary schools in the East of England, the following table sampling the low, middle, and top decile for vertical jump can be constructed:

Casse 4 23-660201 88/0G/2020 20 d Dm e 27 62063, FD et E 06/20722, Frage 948 of 12489

Vertical Jump Height (cm) for children 10 -15 years

Ma	le			Female	Female			Male-Female % Difference		
	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	10th	50th	90th	
Age	e %ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	
10	16.00	21.00	29.00	15.00	22.00	27.00	6.7%	-4.5%	7.4%	
11	20.00	27.00	34.00	19.00	25.00	32.00	5.3%	8.0%	6.3%	
12	23.00	30.00	37.00	21.00	27.00	33.00	9.5%	11.1%	12.1%	
13	23.00	32.00	40.00	21.00	26.00	34.00	9.5%	23.1%	17.6%	
14	26.00	36.00	44.00	21.00	28.00	34.00	23.8%	28.6%	29.4%	
15	29.00	37.00	44.00	21.00	28.00	39.00	38.1%	32.1%	12.8%	

105. As can be seen from the data from Ramírez-Vélez (2017) and Taylor (2010), males consistently outperform females of the same age and percentile in vertical jump height. Both sets of data show that an 11-year-old boy in the 90th percentile for vertical jump height will outperform girls in the 90th percentile at ages 11 and 12, and will be equal to girls at ages 13, 14, and possibly 15. These data indicate that an 11-year-old would be likely to have an advantage over girls of the same age and older in sports such as volleyball where "absolute vertical jump values can differentiate players not only in terms of player position and performance level but in their career trajectories." (Pocek 2021 at 8382.)

106. Boys also enjoy an advantage in throwing well before puberty. "Boys exceed girls in throwing velocity by 1.5 standard deviation units as early as 4 to 7 years of age. . . The boys exceed the girls [in throwing distance] by 1.5 standard deviation units as early as 2 to 4 years of age." (Thomas 1985 at 266.) This means that the average 4- to 7-year-old boy can out-throw approximately 87% of all girls of his age.

107. Record data from USA Track & Field indicate that boys outperform girls in

1
-

track events even in the youngest age group for whom records are kept (age 8 and under).⁸

2	under)). ⁸		
3	<u>American Y</u>	outh Outdoor Track &	Field Record times	in age groups 8 and under
4	<u>(time in seco</u>	<u>nds)</u>		
5	Event	Boys	Girls	Difference
6	100M	13.65	13.78	0.95%
7	200M	27.32	28.21	3.26%
8	400M	62.48	66.10	5.79%
9	800M	148.59	158.11	6.41%
10	1500M	308.52	314.72	2.01%
11	Mean			3.68%
12				
13	108.	Looking at the best time	es within a single yea	ar shows a similar pattern of
14	consis	tent advantage for even y	oung boys. I conside	r the 2018 USATF Region 8
15	Junior	Olympic Championships	for the youngest age	group (8 and under). ⁹
16	2018 USATH	F Region 8 Junior Olymp	oic Championships fo	r the 8 and under age group
17	Event	Boys	Girls	Difference
18	100M	15.11	15.64	3.51%
19	200M	30.79	33.58	9.06%
20	400M	71.12	77.32	8.72%
21	800M	174.28	180.48	3.56%
22	1500M	351.43	382.47	8.83%
23	Mean			6.74%
24				
25				
26	⁸ http://legacy %20track%2	v.usatf.org/statistics/record 0%26%20field&age=you	ls/view.asp?division= th&sport=TF	american&location=outdoor
27	⁹ https://www	v.athletic.net/TrackAndFig	eld/meet/384619/resul	ts/m/1/100m
28	⁹ https://www	v.athletic.net/CrossCountr	v/Division/List.aspx?	DivID=62211

Case: 4223160-200,1855/01GZ020,0 tumler 71682203, Ellet 066/207/23 PRage 60 of 249

109. Using Athletic.net⁹, for 2021 Cross Country and Track & Field data for boys and girls in the 7-8, 9-10, and 11-12 year old age group club reports, and for 5th, 6th, and 7th grade for the whole United States I have compiled the tables for 3000 m events, and for the 100-m, 200-m, 400-m, 800-m, 1600-m, 3000-m, long jump, and high jump Track and Field data to illustrate the differences in individual athletic performance between boys and girls, all of which appear in the Appendix. The pattern of males outperforming females was consistent across events, with rare anomalies, only varying in the magnitude of difference between males and females.
110. Similarly, using Athletic.net, for 2022 Track & Field data for boys and girls in the 6th grade for the state of Arizona, I have compiled tables, which appear below, comparing the performance of boys and girls for the 100-m, 200-m, 400-m, 800-m, 1600-m, and 3200-m running events in which the 1st place boy was consistently

faster than the 1st place girl (with the exception of the 1600-m in which the first place girl was 0.9% faster) and the average performance of the top 10 boys was consistently faster than the average performance for the top 10 girls. Based on the finishing times for the 1st place boy and the 1st place girl in the 6th grade in Arizona in the 400-m race, the boy was 7.1 seconds (10.9%) faster than the girl. Extrapolating the running time to a running pace, the boy would be expected to finish 49 m in front of the fastest girl in a single lap race on a standard 400-m track, or almost the length of ¹/₂ of a football field. In comparison, the 1st place boy would finish 8 m in front of the 2nd place boy, and the 1st place girl would finish 10 m in front of the 2nd place girl.

1

Top 10 Arizona boys and girls 6th grade outdoor track for 2022 (time in seconds)

_		100 m			200 m			400 m		
3		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
4	1	12.60	12.71	Difference	25.53	26.01	Difference	58.40	65.54	Difference
	2	13.14	13.44	between #1	26.84	28.20	between #1	59.59	67.04	between #1
5	3	13.35	13.60	boy and # 1	27.30	28.77	boy and # 1	61.74	68.27	boy and # 1
7	4	13.44	14.14	girl	27.44	29.10	girl	62.32	68.64	girl
\$	5	13.44	14.15	0.9%	28.61	29.52	1.8%	63.14	69.87	10.9%
)	6	13.47	14.4		28.68	30.06		66.38	70.12	
)	7	13.54	14.41	Average	29.04	30.15	Average	66.46	80.22	Average
	8	13.59	14.44	difference	29.14	30.17	difference	66.50	70.73	difference
	9	13.78	14.50	boys vs girls	29.17	30.19	boys vs girls	67.35	72.09	boys vs girls
)	10	13.84	14.53	4.4%	29.59	30.34	3.8%	67.36	72.43	9.3%
		000			1(00			2200		
		800 m			1600 m			3200 m	C ' 1	
	1	Boys	Girls	D:66	Boys		Difference	Boys		D:66
		140.07	134.33	Difference	333./1	331.01	Difference	193.21	833.70	Difference
	1	140.47	157 70	hatryaan #1	225.22	240.22	haturaan #1	916 60	004.06	haturaan #1
	2	149.47	157.70	between #1	335.23	340.22	between #1	816.60	904.96	between #1
	1 2 3	149.47 150.70	157.70 159.31	between #1 boy and # 1	335.23338.70340.07	340.22 351.70	between #1 boy and # 1	816.60 818.87 840.17	904.96 947.81	between #1 boy and # 1
	2 3 4	149.47 150.70 151.29	157.70 159.31 165.49	between #1 boy and # 1 girl	335.23338.70340.97344.90	340.22 351.70 360.44	between #1 boy and # 1 girl	816.60818.87840.17842.58	904.96 947.81 1064.43	between #1 boy and # 1 girl
	1 2 3 4 5 6	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1%	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.10 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 360.10 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9%	 816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 850.02 	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1%
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1%	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9%	 816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1%
)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average	 816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30 158.45	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00 172.40	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 361.31 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 382.20 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average difference	 816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 Only 8 	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30 158.45 158.70	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00 172.40 173.64	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 361.31 364.00 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 382.29 384.00 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average difference boys vs girls	816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 Only 8	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2 Only 5	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30 158.45 158.70 159.83	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00 172.40 173.64 173.90	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 7.5%	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 361.31 364.00 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 382.29 384.00 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average difference boys vs girls 4.1%	816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 Only 8 times	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2 Only 5 times	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 13.5%
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30 158.45 158.70 159.83	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00 172.40 173.64 173.90	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 7.5%	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 361.31 364.00 	 340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 382.29 384.00 	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average difference boys vs girls 4.1%	816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 Only 8 times listed	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2 Only 5 times listed	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 13.5%
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	149.47 150.70 151.29 152.56 153.70 158.30 158.45 158.70 159.83	157.70 159.31 165.49 167.00 169.89 170.00 172.40 173.64 173.90	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 7.5%	 335.23 338.70 340.97 344.90 350.19 352.20 360.30 361.31 364.00 	340.22 351.70 360.44 362.47 369.10 371.88 375.66 382.29 384.00	between #1 boy and # 1 girl -0.9% Average difference boys vs girls 4.1%	816.60 818.87 840.17 842.58 859.92 861.74 866.30 Only 8 times listed	904.96 947.81 1064.43 1090.2 Only 5 times listed	between #1 boy and # 1 girl 5.1% Average difference boys vs girls 13.5%

- 111. As serious runners will recognize, differences of 3%, 5%, or 8% are not easily overcome. During track competition the difference between first and second place, or second and third place, or third and fourth place (and so on) is often 0.5 0.7%, with some contests being determined by as little as 0.01%.
- 112. I performed an analysis of running events (consisting of the 100-m, 200-m, 400-m, 800-m, 1500-m, 5000-m, and 10,000-m) in the Division I, Division II, and Division III NCAA Outdoor championships for the years of 2010-2019: the mean difference between 1st and 2nd place was 0.48% for men and 0.86% for women. The mean difference between 2nd and 3rd place was 0.46% for men and 0.57% for women. The mean difference between 3rd place and 4th place was 0.31% for men and 0.44% for women. The mean difference between 1st place and 8th place (the last place to earn the title of All American) was 2.65% for men and 3.77% for women. (Brown et al. Unpublished observations, presented at the 2022 Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine.)
- 113. A common response to empirical data showing pre-pubertal performance advantages in boys is the argument that the performance of boys may represent a social-cultural bias for boys to be more physically active, rather than representing inherent sex-based differences in pre-pubertal physical fitness. However, the younger the age at which such differences are observed, and the more egalitarian the culture within which they are observed, the less plausible this hypothesis becomes. Eiberg et al. (2005) measured body composition, VO₂max, and physical activity in 366 Danish boys and 332 Danish girls between the ages of 6 and 7 years old. Their observations indicated that VO₂max was 11% higher in boys than girls. When expressed relative to body mass the boys' VO₂max was still 8% higher than the girls. The authors stated that "...no differences in haemoglobin or sex hormones¹⁰ have been reported in this age group," yet "... when children with the

¹⁰ This term would include testosterone and estrogens.

2

3

4

5

6

same VO₂max were compared, boys were still more active, and in boys and girls with the same P[hysical] A[ctivity] level, boys were fitter." (728). These data indicate that in pre-pubertal children, in a very egalitarian culture regarding gender roles and gender norms, boys still have a measurable advantage in regards to aerobic fitness when known physiological and physical activity differences are accounted for.

114. And, as I have mentioned above, even by the age of 4 or 5, in a ruler-drop 7 8 test, boys exhibit 4% to 6% faster reaction times than girls. (Latorre-Roman 2018.) 9 115. When looking at the data on testosterone concentrations previously presented, along with the data on physical fitness and athletic performance 10 presented, boys have advantages in athletic performance and physical fitness before 11 12 there are marked differences in testosterone concentrations between boys and girls. 13 116. For the most part, the data I review above relate to pre-pubertal children. 14 Today, we also face the question of inclusion in female athletics of males who have 15 undergone "puberty suppression." The UK Sport Councils Literature Review notes 16 that, "In the UK, so-called 'puberty blockers' are generally not used until Tanner 17 maturation stage 2-3 (i.e. after puberty has progressed into early sexual 18 maturation)." (9.) While it is outside my expertise, my understanding is that current 19 practice with regard to administration of puberty blockers is similar in the United 20 States. Tanner stages 2 and 3 generally encompass an age range from 10 to 14 years 21 old, with significant differences between individuals. Like the authors of the UK 22 Sports Council Literature Review, I am "not aware of research" directly addressing 23 the implications for athletic capability of the use of puberty blockers. (UK Sport 24 Councils Literature Review at 9.) As Handelsman documents, the male advantage 25 begins to increase rapidly-along with testosterone levels-at about age 11, or "very closely aligned to the timing of the onset of male puberty." (Handelsman 2017.) It 26 27 seems likely that males who have undergone puberty suppression will have 28 physiological and performance advantages over females somewhere between those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

27

28

possessed by pre-pubertal boys, and those who have gone through full male puberty, with the degree of advantage in individual cases depending on that individual's development and the timing of the start of puberty blockade.

117. Tack et al. (2018) observed that in 21 transgender-identifying biological males, administration of antiandrogens for 5-31 months (commencing at 16.3 ± 1.21 years of age), resulted in nearly, but not completely, halting of normal age-related *increases* in muscle strength. Importantly, muscle strength did not decrease after administration of antiandrogens. Rather, despite antiandrogens, these individuals retained higher muscle mass, lower percent body fat, higher body mass, higher body height, and higher grip strength than comparable girls of the same age. (Supplemental tables).

118. Klaver et al. (2018 at 256) demonstrated that the use of puberty blockers did 12 13 not eliminate the differences in lean body mass between biological male and female 14 teenagers. Subsequent use of puberty blockers combined with cross-sex hormone 15 use (in the same subjects) still did not eliminate the differences in lean body mass 16 between biological male and female teenagers. Furthermore, by 22 years of age, the 17 use of puberty blockers, and then puberty blockers combined with cross sex 18 hormones, and then cross hormone therapy alone for over 8 total years of treatment 19 still had not eliminated the difference in lean body mass between biological males and females. 20

21119.Nokoff et al. (2021) observed that teenage natal males who identified as22female, (average of 13.7 ± 1.7 years) and who were on puberty blockers for an23average of 11.3 ± 7 months, had numerically higher percent lean body mass and24lower percent body fat than the comparison group of natal females (figure 1 at 116).25(These authors did not statistically compare the natal males who identified as female26to the natal females).

120.Navabi et al. (2021) observed that teenage natal males who identify as female
(average of 15.4 ± 2.0 years), had 9.5 kg more lean body mass than did teenage natal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

females (15.2 \pm 1.8 years) who identified as male (at 4). After 355.2 \pm 96.7 days of
puberty blockers the natal males who identified as female still had 5.7 kg more lean
body mass than did the natal females who identified as male (at 5). It is worth noting
that the natal males lost 2.57 kg lean body mass and the natal females gained 1.21
kg lean body mass.

121. Nokoff et al. (2020) observed that in 14 teenage natal males who identified as female (average of 16.3 ± 1.4 years) and "were taking an average estradiol dose of 1.5 ± 1.0 mg/day with an average treatment duration of 12.3 ± 9.9 months (5 on oral, 9 on sublingual). Four were on a GnRHa at the time of the study visit and a total of 6 had been on a GnRHa in the past. Seven were on spironolactone for androgen blockade and 1 was on IM medroxyprogesterone acetate for puberty suppression." (at e707) the natal males had higher lean body mass and lower body fat than the comparison group of natal females (at e708).

122. 14 The effects of puberty blockers on growth and development, including 15 muscle mass, fat mass, or other factors that influence athletic performance, have 16 been minimally researched. As stated by Roberts and Carswell (2021), "No 17 published studies have fully characterized the impact of [puberty blockers on] final 18 adult height or current height in an actively growing TGD youth." (1680). Likewise, 19 "[n]o published literature provides guidance on how to best predict the final 20 adult height for TGD youth receiving GnRHa and gender- affirming hormonal 21 treatment." (1681). Thus, the effect of prescribing puberty blockers to a male child 22 before the onset of puberty on the physical components of athletic performance is 23 largely unknown. There is not any scientific evidence that such treatment eliminates 24 the pre-existing performance advantages that prepubertal males have over 25 prepubertal females.

Schulmeister et al. (2022) evaluated natal males with an average age of 11.9
 (range 10.2 – 14.5) years at the start of puberty blockade and concluded that "youth
 treated with GnRHa for 12 months have growth rates similar to those of prepubertal

youth" (at 5).

124. In Boogers et al. (2022), the researchers studied the effects of puberty suppression followed by cross-sex hormone therapy on the adult height of natal males who identify as female. Analyzing retrospective data collected from 1972 to 2018, they concluded that "although P[uberty] S[upression] and [cross-sex hormones] alter the growth pattern, they have little effect on adult height." (9) In other words, natal males who followed a normal course of puberty suppression followed by cross-sex hormone therapy reached an adult height at or near their predicted height in the absence of such therapy.

10 125. The findings from Schulmeister et al. (2022) and Boogers et al. (2022) are
 relevant to the question of whether puberty suppression eliminates sex-based
 performance advantages because these finding provide evidence that an important
 component of that advantage - male vs. female height - is not eliminated, or even
 meaningfully affected, by an ordinary course of puberty suppression or puberty
 suppression followed by cross-sex hormone therapy.

B. The rapid increase in testosterone across male puberty drives characteristic male physiological changes and the increasing performance advantages.

126. While boys exhibit some performance advantage even before puberty, it is both true and well known to common experience that the male advantage increases rapidly, and becomes much larger, as boys undergo puberty and become men. Empirically, this can be seen by contrasting the modest advantages reviewed immediately above against the large performance advantages enjoyed by men that I have detailed in Section II.

Case: 4223160-200,1855/01GZ020,0 t0 m1277682203, Elete 066/207/23 PEgge 68 of 249

127. Multiple studies (along with common observation) document that the male performance advantage begins to increase during the early years of puberty, and then increases rapidly across the middle years of puberty (about ages 12-16). (Tønnessen 2015; Handelsman 2018 at 812-813.) Since it is well known that testosterone levels increase by more than an order of magnitude in boys across puberty, it is unsurprising that Handelsman finds that these increases in male performance advantage correlate to increasing testosterone levels, as presented in his chart reproduced below. (Handelsman 2018 at 812-13.)



^{128.} Handelsman further finds that certain characteristic male changes including boys' increase in muscle mass do not begin at all until "circulating testosterone concentrations rise into the range of males at mid-puberty, which are higher than in women at any age." (Handelsman 2018 at 810.)

129. Knox et al. (2019) agree that "[i]t is well recognised that testosterone contributes to physiological factors including body composition, skeletal structure, and the cardiovascular and respiratory systems across the life span, with significant influence during the pubertal period. These physiological factors underpin strength, speed, and recovery with all three elements required to be competitive in almost all sports." (Knox 2019 at 397.) "High testosterone levels and prior male physiology provide an all-purpose benefit, and a substantial advantage. As the IAAF says, 'To
the best of our knowledge, there is no other genetic or biological trait encountered in female athletics that confers such a huge performance advantage." (Knox 2019 at 399.)

130. However, the undisputed fact that high (that is, normal male) levels of 4 testosterone drive the characteristically male physiological changes that occur 5 across male puberty does not at all imply that artificially *depressing* testosterone 6 levels after those changes occur will reverse all or most of those changes so as to 7 eliminate the male athletic advantage. This is an empirical question. As it turns out, 8 9 the answer is that while some normal male characteristics can be changed by means of testosterone suppression, others cannot be, and all the reliable evidence indicates 10 11 that males retain large athletic advantages even after long-term testosterone 12 suppression.

13 14 15

1

2

3

V. The available evidence shows that suppression of testosterone in a male after puberty has occurred does <u>not</u> substantially eliminate the male athletic advantage.

131. 16 The 2011 "NCAA Policy on Transgender Student-Athlete Participation" 17 requires only that males who identify as transgender be on unspecified and unquantified "testosterone suppression treatment" for "one calendar year" prior to 18 competing in women's events. In supposed justification of this policy, the NCAA's 19 Office of Inclusion asserts that, "It is also important to know that any strength and 20 21 endurance advantages a transgender woman arguably may have as a result of her prior testosterone levels dissipate after about one year of estrogen or testosterone-22 suppression therapy." (NCAA 2011 at 8.) 23

Similarly, writing in 2018, Handelsman et al. could speculate that even
though some male advantages established during puberty are "fixed and irreversible
(bone size)," "[t]he limited available prospective evidence . . . suggests that the
advantageous increases in muscle and hemoglobin due to male circulating
testosterone concentrations are induced or reversed during the first 12 months."

A107 ⁵⁵

(Handelsman 2018 at 824.)

133. But these assertions or hypotheses of the NCAA and Handelsman are now strongly contradicted by the available science. In this section, I examine what is known about whether suppression of testosterone in males can eliminate the male physiological and performance advantages over females.

A. Empirical studies find that males retain a strong performance advantage even after lengthy testosterone suppression.

134. As my review in Section II indicates, a very large body of literature documents the large performance advantage enjoyed by males across a wide range of athletics. To date, only a limited number of studies have directly measured the effect of testosterone suppression and the administration of female hormones on the athletic performance of males. These studies report that testosterone suppression for a full year (and in some cases much longer) does not come close to eliminating male advantage in strength (hand grip, leg strength, and arm strength) or running speed.

15 Hand Grip Strength

135. As I have noted, hand grip strength is a well-accepted proxy for general strength. Multiple separate studies, from separate groups, report that males retain a large advantage in hand strength even after testosterone suppression to female levels.

136. In a longitudinal study, Van Caenegem et al. reported that males who underwent standard testosterone suppression protocols lost only 7% hand strength after 12 months of treatment, and only a cumulative 9% after two years. (Van Caenegem 2015 at 42.) As I note above, on average men exhibit in the neighborhood of 60% greater hand grip strength than women, so these small decreases do not remotely eliminate that advantage. Van Caenegem et al. document that their sample of males who elected testosterone suppression began with less strength than a control male population. Nevertheless, after one year of suppression, their study population still had hand grip only 21% less than the control male population, and

1	thus still far higher than a female population. (Van Caenegem 2015 at 42.)
2	137. Scharff et al. (2019) measured grip strength in a large cohort of male-to-
3	female subjects from before the start of hormone therapy through one year of
4	hormone therapy. The hormone therapy included suppression of testosterone to less
5	than 2 nml/L "in the majority of the transwomen," (1024), as well as administration
6	of estradiol (1021). These researchers observed a small decrease in grip strength in
7	these subjects over that time (Fig. 2), but mean grip strength of this group remained
8	far higher than mean grip strength of females—specifically, "After 12 months, the
9	median grip strength of transwomen [male-to-female subjects] still falls in the 95th
10	percentile for age-matched females." (1026).
11	138. Still a third longitudinal study, looking at teen males undergoing testosterone
12	suppression, "noted no change in grip strength after hormonal treatment (average
13	duration 11 months) of 21 transgender girls." (Hilton 2021 at 207, summarizing
14	Tack 2018.)
15	139. A fourth study (Auer et al. 2016) reported no change in handgrip strength in
16	13 transwomen below the age of 45 years following 12 months of cross sex hormone
17	therapy (Table 1, at 3).
18	140. A fifth study (Yun et al. 2021) observed that handgrip strength in the right
19	hand decreased from 31.5 ± 5.8 kg to 29.9 ± 7.4 kg and in the left hand decreased
20	from 31.8 ± 6.5 kg to 30.1 ± 6.9 kg during 6 months of cross sex hormone therapy
21	in 11 males aged 28.5 ± 8.1 years who identify as women or nonbinary (Table 4, at
22	63). It is worth noting that the reduced grip strength in these male bodied individuals
23	would rate in 75 th percentile for females (Liguri, at 95).
24	141. Lapauw et al. (2008) looked at the extreme case of testosterone suppression
25	by studying a population of 23 biologically male individuals who had undergone at
26	least two years of testosterone suppression, followed by sex reassignment surgery
27	that included "orchidectomy" (that is, surgical castration), and then at least an
28	additional three years before the study date. Comparing this group against a control

of age- and height-matched healthy males, the researchers found that the individuals who had gone through testosterone suppression and then surgical castration had an average hand grip (41 kg) that was 24% weaker than the control group of healthy males. But this remains at least 25% *higher* than the average hand-grip strength of biological females as measured by Bohannon et al. (2019).

142. Alvares et al (2022) is a cross-sectional study on cardiopulmonary capacity 6 7 and muscle strength in biological males who identify as female and have undergone 8 long-term cross-sex hormone therapy. All of the study subjects that were biological 9 males who identify as female had testosterone suppressed through medication (cyproterone acetate) or gonadectomy. (Supplementary materials) And they had 10 11 taken exogenous estrogen for an average of 14.4 years with a standard deviation of 12 3.5 years. Compared to a control group of cisgender women, the study subjects 13 exhibited 18% higher handgrip strength, confirming the findings of previous studies 14 but extending the information to a longer time period. It is worth noting that the grip strength in these male bodied individuals would rate between the 90^{th} and 95^{th} 15 16 percentile for females (Liguri, at 95).

- 17 143. Summarizing these and a few other studies measuring strength loss (in most cases based on hand grip) following testosterone suppression, Harper et al. (2021)
 19 conclude that "strength loss with 12 months of [testosterone suppression] . . . ranged from non-significant to 7%. . . . [T]he small decrease in strength in transwomen after 12-36 months of [testosterone suppression] suggests that transwomen likely retain a strength advantage over cisgender women." (Hilton 2021 at 870.)
 - Arm Strength

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

144. Lapauw et al. (2008) found that 3 years after surgical castration, preceded by at least two years of testosterone suppression, biologically male subjects had 33% less bicep strength than healthy male controls. (Lapauw (2008) at 1018.) Given that healthy men exhibit between 89% and 109% greater arm strength than healthy women, this leaves a very large residual arm strength advantage over biological women.

1

21

22

25

26

27

28

2 145. Roberts et al. have published an interesting longitudinal study, one arm of 3 which considered biological males who began testosterone suppression and crosssex hormones while serving in the United States Air Force. (Roberts 2020.) One 4 5 measured performance criterion was pushups per minute, which, while not exclusively, primarily tests arm strength under repetition. Before treatment, the 6 7 biological male study subjects who underwent testosterone suppression could do 8 45% more pushups per minute than the average for all Air Force women under the 9 age of 30 (47.3 vs. 32.5). After between one and two years of testosterone suppression, this group could still do 33% more pushups per minute. (Table 4.) 10 11 Further, the body weight of the study group did not decline at all after one to two years of testosterone suppression (in fact rose slightly) (Table 3), and was 12 13 approximately 24 pounds (11.0 kg) higher than the average for Air Force women 14 under the age of 30. (Roberts 2020 at 3.) This means that the individuals who had 15 undergone at least one year of testosterone suppression were not only doing 1/316 more pushups per minute, but were lifting significantly more weight with each 17 pushup.

18 146. After two years of testosterone suppression, the study sample in Roberts et 19 al. was only able to do 6% more pushups per minute than the Air Force female 20 average. But their weight remained unchanged from their pre-treatment starting point, and thus about 24 pounds higher than the Air Force female average. As Roberts et al. explain, "as a group, transwomen weigh more than CW [cis-women]. 23 Thus, transwomen will have a higher power output than CW when performing an 24 equivalent number of push-ups. Therefore, our study may underestimate the advantage in strength that transwomen have over CW." (Roberts 2020 at 4.)

147. Chiccarelli et al. (2022) also published a longitudinal study which considered biological males who began testosterone suppression and cross-sex hormones while serving in the United States Air Force and concluded "Transgender females'

performance ... remained superior in push-ups at the study's 4-year endpoint." (at 1) with the transwomen completing 16% more pushups than comparable women after 4 years of GAHT.

148. It is interesting that Roberts et al. (2020) and Chiccarelli et al. (2022) were comparing the same performance measurements in the same population and came to differing conclusions, which may be due to different sample sizes and study durations

Leg Strength

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 149. Wilk et al. (2020), in a longitudinal study that tracked 11 males from the start of testosterone suppression through 12 months after treatment initiation, found that 10 11 isometric strength levels measured at the knee "were maintained over the [study period]."¹¹ (808) "At T12 [the conclusion of the one-year study], the absolute levels 12 13 of strength and muscle volume were greater in [male-to-female subjects] than in . . 14 . CW [women who had not undergone any hormonal therapy]." (Wiik 2020 at 808.) 15 In fact, Wilk et al. reported that "muscle strength after 12 months of testosterone 16 suppression was comparable to baseline strength. As a result, transgender women 17 remained about 50% stronger than ... a reference group of females." (Hilton 2021 18 at 207, summarizing Wilk 2020.)

19 150. Lapauw et al. (2008) found that 3 years after surgical castration, preceded by
20 at least two years of testosterone suppression, subjects had peak knee torque only
21 25% lower than healthy male controls. (Lapauw 2008 at 1018.) Again, given that
22 healthy males exhibit 54% greater maximum knee torque than healthy females, this
23 leaves these individuals with a large average strength advantage over females even
24 years after sex reassignment surgery.

- 25
- Running and Swimming speed151. The most striking finding of the recent Roberts et al. study concerned running
- 26 27

¹¹ Isometric strength measures muscular force production for a given amount of time at a specific joint angle but with no joint movement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

speed over a 1.5 mile distance–a distance that tests midrange endurance. Before suppression, the MtF study group ran 21% faster than the Air Force female average. After at least 2 year of testosterone suppression, these subjects still ran 12% faster than the Air Force female average. (Roberts 2020 Table 4.)

152. Chiccarelli (2022) reported that "Transgender females' performance showed statistically significantly better performance than cisgender females until 2 years of GAHT in run times..." (at 1) and yet the 1.5 mile run time was, on average, 45 seconds (5%) faster in the transwomen at years 2 and 3 than the Air Force female average.

153. The specific experience of the well-known case of NCAA athlete Cece Telfer 10 11 is consistent with the more statistically meaningful results of Roberts et al., further 12 illustrating that male-to-female transgender treatment does not negate the inherent 13 athletic performance advantages of a post-pubertal male. In 2016 and 2017 Cece 14 Telfer competed as Craig Telfer on the Franklin Pierce University men's track team, 15 being ranked 200th and 390th (respectively) against other NCAA Division II men. 16 "Craig" Telfer did not qualify for the National Championships in any events. Telfer 17 did not compete in the 2018 season while undergoing testosterone suppression (per 18 NCAA policy). In 2019 Cece Telfer competed on the Franklin Pierce University 19 women's team, qualified for the NCAA Division II Track and Field National 20 Championships, and placed 1st in the women's 400 meter hurdles and placed third 21 in the women's 100 meter hurdles. (For examples of the media coverage of this 22 please see https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/jun/3/cece-telfer-23 franklin-pierce-transgender-hurdler-wi/ (last accessed May 5, 2023). 24 https://triblive.com/sports/biological-male-wins-ncaa-womens-track-25 championship/ (last accessed May 25, 2023.)

26 154. The table below shows the best collegiate performance times from the
27 combined 2015 and 2016 seasons for Cece Telfer when competing as a man in
28 men's events, and the best collegiate performance times from the 2019 season when

Case: 42231-60-206,1855/01GZ02D,0LD,m12776652043, Ellet E1066/20/23 PRgget 65 of 249

1	competing as a woman in women's events. Comparing the times for the running					
2	events (in which male and female athletes run the same distance) there is no					
3	statistical difference between Telfer's "before and after" times. Calculating the					
4	difference in time between the male and female times, Telfer performed an average				average	
5	of 0.22%	faster as a fema	le. (Comparing the	he performa	nce for the hurdle	e events
6	(marked v	with H) is of ques	stionable validity	due to differ	ences between me	en's and
7	women's	events in hurdle	heights and spacin	ng, and dista	nce for the 110m	vs. 100
8	m.) Whil	e this is simply	one example, a	and does no	ot represent a co	ntrolled
9	experiment	ntal analysis, this	information provid	des some evi	dence that male-to	-female
10	transgend	er treatment does	not negate the inh	erent athleti	c performance adv	vantages
11	of a	postpubertal	male. (These	times	were obtained	from
12	https://wv	vw.tfrrs.org/athle	tes/6994616/Frank	din_Pierce/O	CeCe_Telfer.html	and
13	https://wv	vw.tfrrs.org/athle	tes/5108308.html,	last accesse	d May 5, 2023).	
14	As Craig Telfe	r (male athlete)	As Ce	ece Telfer (f	emale athlete)	
15	Event	Time (seco	onds) Event		Time (second	s)
16	55	7.01	55		7.02	
17	60	7.67	60		7.63	
18	100	12.17	100		12.24	
19	200	24.03	200		24.30	
20	400	55.77	400		54.41	
21	55 H †	7.98	55 H†	-	7.91	
22	60 H †	8.52	60 H†	-	8.33	
23	110 H†	15.17	100 H	[†	13.41*	
24	400 H‡	57.34	400 H	[‡	57.53**	
25	* women's 3 rd pl	ace, NCAA Divi	sion 2 National Cl	nampionship	s	
26	** women's 1st place, NCAA Division 2 National Championships					
27	† men's hurdle height is 42 inches with differences in hurdle spacing between men and				nen and	
28	women					

‡ men's hurdle height is 36 inches, women's height is 30 inches with the same spacing between hurdles

155. Harper (2015) has often been cited as "proving" that testosterone suppression eliminates male advantage. And indeed, hedged with many disclaimers, the author in that article does more or less make that claim with respect to "distance races," while emphasizing that "the author makes no claims as to the equality of performances, pre and post gender transition, in any other sport." (Harper 2015 at 8.) However, Harper (2015) is in effect a collection of unverified anecdotes, not science. It is built around self-reported race times from just eight self-selected transgender runners, recruited "mostly" online. How and on what websites the subjects were recruited is not disclosed, nor is anything said about how those not recruited online were recruited. Thus, there is no information to tell us whether these eight runners could in any way be representative, and the recruitment pools and methodology, which could bear on ideological bias in their self-reports, is not disclosed.

156. Further, the self-reported race times relied on by Harper (2015) span 29 *years*. It is well known that self-reported data, particularly concerning emotionally or ideologically fraught topics, is unreliable, and likewise that memory of distant events is unreliable. Whether the subjects were responding from memory or from written records, and if so what records, is not disclosed, and does not appear to be known to the author. For six of the subjects, the author claims to have been able to verify "approximately half" of the self-reported times. Which scores these are is not disclosed. The other two subjects responded only anonymously, so nothing about their claims could be or was verified. In short, neither the author nor the reader knows whether the supposed "facts" on which the paper's analysis is based are true. 157. Even if we could accept them at face value, the data are largely meaningless. Only two of the eight study subjects reported (undefined) "stable training patterns,"

and even with consistent training, athletic performance generally declines with age.

2

3

4

5

6

7

As a result, when the few data points span 29 years, it is not possible to attribute declines in performance to asserted testosterone suppression. Further, distance running is usually not on a track, and race times vary significantly depending on the course and the weather. Only one reporting subject who claimed a "stable training pattern" reported "before and after" times on the same course within three years' time," which the author acknowledges would "represent the best comparison points."

158. 8 Harper (2015) to some extent acknowledges its profound methodological 9 flaws, but seeks to excuse them by the difficulty of breaking new ground. The author states that, "The first problem is how to formulate a study to create a meaningful 10 11 measurement of athletic performance, both before and after testosterone 12 suppression. No methodology has been previously devised to make meaningful 13 measurements." (2) This statement was not accurate at the time of publication, as 14 there are innumerable publications with validated methodology for comparing 15 physical fitness and/or athletic performance between people of different ages, sexes, 16 and before and after medical treatment, any of which could easily have been used 17 with minimal or no adaptation for the purposes of this study. Indeed, well before the 18 publication of Harper (2015), several authors that I have cited in this review had 19 performed and published disciplined and methodologically reliable studies of physical performance and physiological attributes "before and after" testosterone 20 21 suppression.

More recently, and to her credit, Harper has acknowledged the finding of
Roberts (2020) regarding the durable male advantage in running speed in the 1.5
mile distance, even after two years of testosterone suppression. She joins with coauthors in acknowledging that this study of individuals who (due to Air Force
physical fitness requirements) "could at least be considered exercise trained," agrees
that Roberts' data shows that "transwomen ran significantly faster during the 1.5
mile fitness test than ciswomen," and declares that this result is "consistent with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

findings of the current review in untrained transgender individuals" that even 30 months of testosterone suppression does not eliminate all male advantages "associated with muscle endurance and performance." (Harper 2021 at 8.) The Harper (2021) authors conclude overall "that strength may be well preserved in transwomen during the first 3 years of hormone therapy," and that [w]hether transgender and cisgender women can engage in meaningful sport [in competition with each other], even after [testosterone suppression], is a highly debated question." (Harper 2021 at 1, 8.)

160. Higerd (2021) "[a]ssess[ed] the probability of a girls' champion being biologically male" by evaluating 920,11 American high school track and field performances available through the track and field database Athletic.net in five states (CA, FL, MN, NY, WA), over three years (2017 – 2019), in eight events; high jump, long jump, 100M, 200M, 400M, 800M, 1600M, and 3200M and estimated that "there is a simulated 81%-98% probability of transgender dominance occurring" in the female track and field event" and further concluded that "in the majority of cases, the entire podium (top of the state) would be MTF [transgender athletes]" (at xii).

18 161. The well-publicized case of Lia Thomas is also worth noting. University of 19 Pennsylvania swimmer Lia Thomas began competing in the women's division in 20 the fall of 2021, after previously competing for U. Penn. in the men's division. Thomas has promptly set school, pool, and/or league women's records in 200-yard 22 freestyle, 500 yard freestyle, and 1650 yard freestyle competitions, beating the 23 nearest female in the 1650 yard by an unheard-of 38 seconds.

24 162. Senefeld et al. (2023) compared "the performance times of a transgender 25 woman (male sex, female gender identity) who competed in both men's and 26 women's NCAA freestyle swimming and contextualized her performances relative 27 to the performances of both world class and contemporary NCAA swimmers" (at 28 1035) and observed that this athlete [presumably Lia Thomas based on performance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

times and the timing of this article] was unranked in 2018-2019 in the 100-yard, ranked 551st in the 200-yard, 65th in the 500-yard 32nd in the 1650-yards men's freestyle. After following the NCAA protocol for testosterone suppression and competing as a woman in 2021-2022, this swimmer was ranked 13th in the 100-yard, 3rd in the 200-yard, 1st in the 500-yard, and 13th in the 1650-yard women's freestyle. The performance times swimming as a female, when compared to swimming as a male, were 0.5% slower in the 100-yard, 2.6% slower in the 200-yard, 5.6% slower in the 500-yard, and 7.3% slower in the 1650-yard events than when swimming as a male (at 1034). The authors concluded "...these data suggest there may be a prolonged "legacy effect" (greater than 2 yr) associated with endogenous male testosterone concentrations or male puberty on freestyle swimming performances after feminizing GAHT, particularly for shorter event distances (100, 200, and 500 yards), which are closely associated with anthropometrics and maximal skeletal muscle strength and power" (at 1036).

B. Testosterone suppression does not reverse important male physiological advantages.

163. We see that, once a male has gone through male puberty, later testosterone suppression (or even castration) leaves large strength and performance advantages over females in place. It is not surprising that this is so. What is now a fairly extensive body of literature has documented that many of the specific male physiological advantages that I reviewed in Section II are not reversed by testosterone suppression after puberty, or are reduced only modestly, leaving a large advantage over female norms still in place.

164. Handelsman has well documented that the large increases in physiological
and performance advantages characteristic of men develop in tandem with, and are
likely driven by, the rapid and large increases in circulating testosterone levels that
males experience across puberty, or generally between the ages of about 12 through
18. (Handelsman 2018.) Some have misinterpreted Handelsman as suggesting that

all of those advantages are and remain entirely dependent-on an ongoing basis-on *current* circulating testosterone levels. This is a misreading of Handelsman, who makes no such claim. As the studies reviewed above demonstrate, it is also empirically false with respect to multiple measures of performance. Indeed, Handelsman himself, referring to the Roberts et al. (2020) study which I describe below, has recently written that "transwomen treated with estrogens after completing male puberty experienced only minimal declines in physical performance over 12 months, substantially surpassing average female performance for up to 8 years." (Handelsman 2020.)

165. As to individual physiological advantages, the more accurate and more 10 11 complicated reality is reflected in a statement titled "The Role of Testosterone in Athletic Performance," published in 2019 by several dozen sports medicine experts 12 13 and physicians from many top medical schools and hospitals in the U.S. and around 14 the world. (Levine et al. 2019.) This expert group concurs with Handelsman 15 regarding the importance of testosterone to the male advantage, but recognizes that 16 those advantages depend not only on *current* circulating testosterone levels in the 17 individual, but on the "exposure in biological males to much higher levels of 18 testosterone during growth, development, and throughout the athletic career." 19 (Emphasis added.) In other words, both past and current circulating testosterone 20 levels affect physiology and athletic capability.

Available research enables us to sort out, in some detail, which specific
physiological advantages are immutable once they occur, which can be reversed
only in part, and which appear to be highly responsive to later hormonal
manipulation. The bottom line is that very few of the male physiological advantages
I have reviewed in Section II above are largely reversible by testosterone
suppression once an individual has passed through male puberty.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Skeletal Configuration

28

167. It is obvious that some of the physiological changes that occur during

"growth and development" across puberty cannot be reversed. Some of these irreversible physiological changes are quite evident in photographs that have recently appeared in the news of transgender competitors in female events. These include skeletal configuration advantages including:

- Longer and larger bones that give height, weight, and leverage advantages to men;
 - More advantageous hip shape and configuration as compared to women.

Cardiovascular Advantages

168. Developmental changes for which there is no apparent means of reversal, and no literature suggesting reversibility, also include multiple contributors to the male cardiovascular advantage, including diaphragm placement, lung and trachea size, and heart size and therefore pumping capacity.¹²

- 13 169. In what is, to date, the only evaluation of VO₂max is a cross-sectional study 14 on cardiopulmonary capacity and muscle strength in biological males who identify 15 as female and have undergone long-term cross-sex hormone therapy (Alvares 2022). 16 All of the study subjects that were biological males who identify as female had 17 testosterone suppressed through medication (cyproterone acetate) or gonadectomy. 18 (Supplementary materials) And they had taken exogenous estrogen for an average 19 of 14.4 years with a standard deviation of 3.5 years. Compared to a control group of 20 cisgender women, even after 14 years of testosterone suppression and estrogen 21 administration the biological males who identify as female exhibited advantages in 22 cardio-respiratory capacity measured as higher VO₂ peak and higher O₂ pulse, 23 which suggests that male advantages are retained in events that are influenced by 24 cardio-respiratory endurance (e.g. distance running, cycling, swimming, etc.).
- 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 170. On the other hand, the evidence is mixed as to hemoglobin concentration,
- 26

¹² "[H]ormone therapy will not alter ... lung volume or heart size of the transwoman athlete, especially if [that athlete] transitions postpuberty, so natural advantages including joint articulation, stroke volume and maximal oxygen uptake will be maintained." (Knox 2019 at 398.)

which as discussed above is a contributing factor to $V0_2$ max. Harper (2021) surveyed the literature and found that "Nine studies reported the levels of Hgb [hemoglobin] or HCT [red blood cell count] in transwomen before and after [testosterone suppression], from a minimum of three to a maximum of 36 months post hormone therapy. Eight of these studies. . . found that hormone therapy led to a significant (4.6%–14.0%) decrease in Hgb/HCT (p<0.01), while one study found no significant difference after 6 months," but only one of those eight studies returned results at the generally accepted 95% confidence level. (Harper 2021 at 5-6 and Table 5.)



11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

171. I have not found any study of the effect of testosterone suppression on the male advantage in mitochondrial biogenesis.

Muscle mass

172. 13 Multiple studies have found that muscle mass decreases modestly or not at 14 all in response to testosterone suppression. Knox et al. report that "healthy young 15 men did not lose significant muscle mass (or power) when their circulating 16 testosterone levels were reduced to 8.8 nmol/L (lower than the 2015 IOC guideline 17 of 10 nmol/L) for 20 weeks." (Knox 2019 at 398.) Gooren found that "[i]n spite of 18 muscle surface area reduction induced by androgen deprivation, after 1 year the 19 mean muscle surface area in male-to- female transsexuals remained significantly 20 greater than in untreated female-to-male transsexuals." (Gooren 2011 at 653.) An 21 earlier study by Gooren found that after one year of testosterone suppression, muscle 22 mass at the thigh was reduced by only about 10%, exhibited "no further reduction after 3 years of hormones," and "remained significantly greater" than in his sample 23 24 of untreated women. (Gooren 2004 at 426-427.) Van Caenegem et al. found that 25 muscle cross section in the calf and forearm decreased only trivially (4% and 1% respectively) after two years of testosterone suppression. (Van Caenegem 2015 26 Table 4.) 27



173. Taking measurements one month after start of testosterone suppression in

male-to-female (non-athlete) subjects, and again 3 and 11 months after start of feminizing hormone replacement therapy in these subjects, Wiik et al. found that total lean tissue (i.e. primarily muscle) did not decrease significantly across the entire period. Indeed, "some of the [subjects] did not lose any muscle mass at all." (Wiik 2020 at 812.) And even though they observed a small decrease in thigh muscle mass, they found that isometric strength levels measured at the knee "were maintained over the [study period]." (808) "At T12 [the conclusion of the one-year study], the absolute levels of strength and muscle volume were greater in [male-tofemale subjects] than in [female-to-male subjects] and CW [women who had not undergone any hormonal therapy]." (808)

174. Alvares et al. (2022) In a cross-sectional study of 15 natal males aged 34.2 ± 5.2 years who had taken exogenous estrogen for an average of 14.4 ± 3.5 years, and compared to a control group of comparably aged females, the transwomen exhibited a 40% advantage in skeletal muscle mass confirming the findings of previous studies regarding the minimal reduction in muscle mass due to transgender hormone therapy, but extending the information to a longer time period (Table 3 at 5).

175. Other papers including Auer. et al (2016), Auer et al. (2018), Elbers et al. (1999), Gava et al. (2016), Haraldsen et al. (2007), Klaver et al. (2018), Klaver et al. (2017), Lapauw et al. (2008), Mueller et al. (2018), Wiercks (et al. (2014), and Yun et al. (2021) have evaluated the changes in body composition in males undergoing transgender hormone therapy with a common finding that there are large retained male advantages in lean body mass.

176. Hilton & Lundberg summarize an extensive survey of the literature as follows:

"12 longitudinal studies have examined the effects of testosterone suppression on lean body mass or muscle size in transgender women. The collective evidence from these studies suggests that 12 months, which is the most commonly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

.

examined intervention period, of testosterone suppression to female typical reference levels results in a modest (approximately– 5%) loss of lean body mass or muscle size.

"Thus, given the large baseline differences in muscle mass between males and females (Table 1; approximately 40%), the reduction achieved by 12 months of testosterone suppression can reasonably be assessed as small relative to the initial superior mass. We, therefore, conclude that the muscle mass advantage males possess over females, and the performance implications thereof, are not removed by the currently studied durations (4 months, 1, 2 and 3 years) of testosterone suppression in transgender women. (Hilton 2021 at 205-207.)

177. When we recall that "women have 50% to 60% of men's upper arm muscle cross-sectional area and 65% to 70% of men's thigh muscle cross-sectional area" (Handelsman 2018 at 812), it is clear that Hilton's conclusion is correct. In other words, biologically male subjects possess substantially larger muscles than biologically female subjects after undergoing a year or even three years of testosterone suppression.

178. I note that outside the context of transgender athletes, the testosterone-driven increase in muscle mass and strength enjoyed by these male-to-female subjects would constitute a disqualifying doping violation under all league anti-doping rules with which I am familiar.

C. Responsible voices internationally are increasingly recognizing that
 suppression of testosterone in a male after puberty has occurred does not
 substantially reverse the male athletic advantage.

179. The previous very permissive NCAA policy governing transgender participation in women's collegiate athletics was adopted in 2011, and the previous

A123 ⁷¹

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

IOC guidelines were adopted in 2015. At those dates, much of the scientific analysis
of the actual impact of testosterone suppression had not yet been performed, much
less any wider synthesis of that science. In fact, a series of important peer-reviewed
studies and literature reviews have been published only very recently, since I
prepared my first paper on this topic, in early 2020.

- 180. These new scientific publications reflect a remarkably consistent consensus: once an individual has gone through male puberty, testosterone suppression does not substantially eliminate the physiological and performance advantages that that individual enjoys over female competitors.
- 10 181. Importantly, I have found no peer-reviewed scientific paper, nor any
 11 respected scientific voice, that is now asserting the contrary-that is, that testosterone
 12 suppression can eliminate or even largely eliminate the male biological advantage
 13 once puberty has occurred.
- 14 182. I excerpt the key conclusions from important recent peer-reviewed papers
 15 below.
- 16 183. Roberts 2020: "In this study, we confirmed that . . . the pretreatment
 17 differences between transgender and cis gender women persist beyond the 12-month
 18 time requirement currently being proposed for athletic competition by the World
 19 Athletics and the IOC." (6)
- 20 184. Wiik 2020: The muscular and strength changes in males undergoing
 21 testosterone suppression "were modest. The question of when it is fair to permit a
 22 transgender woman to compete in sport in line with her experienced gender identity
 23 is challenging." (812)
- 185. Harper 2021: "[V]alues for strength, LBM [lean body mass], and muscle area
 in transwomen remain above those of cisgender women, even after 36 months of
 hormone therapy." (1)
- 27 186. Hilton & Lundberg 2021: "evidence for loss of the male performance
 28 advantage, established by testosterone at puberty and translating in elite athletes to

Case: 4223160-200,1855/JIGZ020,000m12076852063, 10164E 10161/207/23 PRagel 276 of 249

a 10–50% performance advantage, is lacking. . . . These data significantly undermine the delivery of fairness and safety presumed by the criteria set out in transgender inclusion policies . . ." (211)

187. Hamilton et al. 2021, "Response to the United Nations Human Rights Council's Report on Race and Gender Discrimination in Sport: An Expression of Concern and a Call to Prioritize Research": "There is growing support for the idea that development influenced by high testosterone levels may result in retained anatomical and physiological advantages If a biologically male athlete selfidentifies as a female, legitimately with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria or illegitimately to win medals, the athlete already possesses a physiological advantage that undermines fairness and safety. This is not equitable, nor consistent with the fundamental principles of the Olympic Charter." (840)

188. 13 Hamilton et al. 2021, "Consensus Statement of the Fédération Internationale 14 de Médecine du Sport" (International Federation of Sports Medicine, or FIMS), 15 signed by more than 60 sports medicine experts from prestigious institutions around 16 the world: The available studies "make it difficult to suggest that the athletic 17 capabilities of transwomen individuals undergoing HRT or GAS are comparable to 18 those of cisgender women." The findings of Roberts et al. "question the required 19 testosterone suppression time of 12 months for transwomen to be eligible to 20 compete in women's sport, as most advantages over ciswomen were not negated 21 after 12 months of HRT."

189. Heather (2022) is another peer-reviewed literature review examining the
evidence to date on whether testosterone suppression eliminates the physiological
building blocks of male athletic advantage. In this review, Dr. Heather studied the
existing literature on male advantages in brain structure, muscle mass, bone
structure, and the cardio-respiratory system, and the effects of testosterone
suppression on those advantages. She concluded:

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Given that the percentage difference between medal placings

at the elite level is normally less than 1%, there must be
confidence that an elite transwoman athlete retains no residual
advantage from former testosterone exposure, where the
inherent advantage depending on sport could be 10-30%.
Current scientific evidence can not provide such assurances
and thus, under abiding rulings, the inclusion of transwomen
in the elite female division needs to be reconsidered for fairness
to female-born athletes. (8)

190. 9 Nokoff et al. (2023) is another peer-reviewed literature review examining the 10 evidence to date on whether Gender Affirming Hormone Therapy in transwomen 11 eliminates male sex-based athletic advantages and concludes that "reductions of 12 lean body mass and muscle cross-sectional area in the first 12 to 36 months of 13 GAHT ... are associated with small reductions or no change in limb strength 14 assessed by hand grip or knee flexion/extension." And "After pubertal change begin, 15 sex segregation for sports involving endurance, power, and strength, ... allow 16 adolescent girls and women to excel."

191. Outside the forum of peer-reviewed journals, respected voices in sport are reaching the same conclusion.

192. The Women's Sports Policy Working Group identifies among its members 19 20 and "supporters" many women Olympic medalists, former women's tennis 21 champion and LGBTQ activist Martina Navratilova, Professor Doriane Coleman, a 22 former All-American women's track competitor, transgender athletes Joanna 23 Harper and Dr. Renee Richards, and many other leaders in women's sports and civil 24 rights. I have referenced other published work of Joanna Harper and Professor 25 Coleman. In early 2021 the Women's Sports Policy Working Group published a "Briefing Book" on the issue of transgender participation in women's sports,¹³ in 26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

17

¹³ https://womenssportspolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Congressional-Briefing-WSPWG-Transgender-Women-Sports-2.27.21.pdf

which they reviewed largely the same body of literature I have reviewed above, and 1 2 analyzed the implications of that science for fairness and safety in women's sports. 3 193. Among other things, the Women's Sports Policy Working Group concluded: "[T]he evidence is increasingly clear that hormones do not eliminate the legacy 4 • advantages associated with male physical development" (8) due to "the 5 considerable size and strength advantages that remain even after hormone 6 7 treatments or surgical procedures." (17) 8 "[T]here is convincing evidence that, depending on the task, skill, sport, or event, 9 trans women maintain male sex-linked (legacy) advantages even after a year on standard gender-affirming hormone treatment." (26, citing Roberts 2020.) 10 11 "[S]everal peer-reviewed studies, including one based on data from the U.S. military, have confirmed that trans women retain their male sex-linked 12 13 advantages even after a year on gender affirming hormones. ... Because of these 14 retained advantages, USA Powerlifting and World Rugby have recently 15 concluded that it isn't possible fairly and safely to include trans women in 16 women's competition." (32) 17 194. As has been widely reported, in 2020, after an extensive scientific 18 consultation process, the World Rugby organization issued its Transgender 19 Guidelines, finding that it would not be consistent with fairness or safety to permit 20 biological males to compete in World Rugby women's matches, no matter what 21 hormonal or surgical procedures they might have undergone. Based on their review 22 of the science, World Rugby concluded: 23 "Current policies regulating the inclusion of transgender women in sport are 24 based on the premise that reducing testosterone to levels found in biological females is sufficient to remove many of the biologically-based performance 25 advantages described above. However, peer-reviewed evidence suggests that 26 this is not the case." 27 28 "Longitudinal research studies on the effect of reducing testosterone to female

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

levels for periods of 12 months or more do not support the contention that variables such as mass, lean mass and strength are altered meaningfully in comparison to the original male-female differences in these variables. The lowering of testosterone removes only a small proportion of the documented biological differences, with large, retained advantages in these physiological attributes, with the safety and performance implications described previously."

• "... given the size of the biological differences prior to testosterone suppression, this comparatively small effect of testosterone reduction allows substantial and meaningful differences to remain. This has significant implications for the risk of injury"

• "... bone mass is typically maintained in transgender women over the course of at least 24 months of testosterone suppression, Height and other skeletal measurements such as bone length and hip width have also not been shown to change with testosterone suppression, and nor is there any plausible biological mechanism by which this might occur, and so sporting advantages due to skeletal differences between males and females appear unlikely to change with testosterone reduction.

18 195. In September 2021 the government-commissioned Sports Councils of the 19 United Kingdom and its subsidiary parts (the five Sports Councils responsible for 20 supporting and investing in sport across England, Wales, Scotland and Northern 21 Ireland) issued a formal "Guidance for Transgender Inclusion in Domestic Sport" 22 (UK Sport Councils 2021), following an extensive consultation process, and a 23 commissioned "International Research Literature Review" prepared by the Carbmill 24 Consulting group (UK Sport Literature Review 2021). The UK Sport Literature 25 Review identified largely the same relevant literature that I review in this paper, characterizes that literature consistently with my own reading and description, and 26 27 based on that science reaches conclusions similar to mine.

28 196. The UK Sport Literature Review 2021 concluded:

• "Sexual dimorphism in relation to sport is significant and the most important determinant of sporting capacity. The challenge to sporting bodies is most evident in the inclusion of transgender people in female sport." "[The] evidence suggests that parity in physical performance in relation to gender-affected sport cannot be achieved for transgender people in female sport through testosterone suppression. Theoretical estimation in contact and collision sport indicate injury risk is likely to be increased for female competitors." (10)

"From the synthesis of current research, the understanding is that testosterone suppression for the mandated one year before competition will result in little or no change to the anatomical differences between the sexes, and a more complete reversal of some acute phase metabolic pathways such as haemoglobin levels although the impact on running performance appears limited, and a modest change in muscle mass and strength: The average of around 5% loss of muscle mass and strength will not reverse the average 40-50% difference in strength that typically exists between the two sexes." (7)

• "These findings are at odds with the accepted intention of current policy in sport, in which twelve months of testosterone suppression is expected to create equivalence between transgender women and females." (7)

197. Taking into account the science detailed in the UK Sport Literature Review2021, the UK Sports Councils have concluded:

• "[T]he latest research, evidence and studies made clear that there are retained differences in strength, stamina and physique between the average woman compared with the average transgender woman or non-binary person registered male at birth, with or without testosterone suppression." (3)

- "Competitive fairness cannot be reconciled with self-identification into the female category in gender-affected sport." (7)
 - "As a result of what the review found, the Guidance concludes that the inclusion of transgender people into female sport cannot be balanced regarding

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

transgender inclusion, fairness and safety in gender-affected sport where there is meaningful competition. This is due to retained differences in strength, stamina and physique between the average woman compared with the average transgender woman or non-binary person assigned male at birth, with or without testosterone suppression." (6)

• "Based upon current evidence, testosterone suppression is unlikely to guarantee fairness between transgender women and natal females in gender-affected sports... Transgender women are on average likely to retain physical advantage in terms of physique, stamina, and strength. Such physical differences will also impact safety parameters in sports which are combat, collision or contact in nature." (7)

12 198. On January 15, 2022 the American Swimming Coaches Association (ASCA) 13 issued a statement stating, "The American Swimming Coaches Association urges 14 the NCAA and all governing bodies to work quickly to update their policies and 15 rules to maintain fair competition in the women's category of swimming. ASCA 16 supports following all available science and evidenced-based research in setting the 17 new policies, and we strongly advocate for more research to be conducted" and 18 further stated "The current NCAA policy regarding when transgender females can compete in the women's category can be unfair to cisgender females and needs to 19 20 be reviewed and changed in a transparent manner." (https://swimswam.com/asca-21 issues-statement-calling-for-ncaa-to-review-transgender-rules/; Accessed January 22 16, 2022.)

199. 23 On January 19, 2022, the NCAA Board of Governors approved a change to 24 the policy on transgender inclusion in sport and stated that "...the updated NCAA 25 policy calls for transgender participation for each sport to be determined by the 26 policy for the national governing body of that sport, subject to ongoing review and 27 recommendation by the NCAA Committee on Competitive Safeguards and Medical 28 Sports the Board of Governors. If there is Aspects of to no

N[ational]G[overning]B[ody] policy for a sport, that sport's international federation policy would be followed. If there is no international federation policy, previously established IOC policy criteria would be followed" (https://www.ncaa.org/news/2022/1/19/media-center-board-of-governors-updates-transgender-participation-policy.aspx; Accessed January 20, 2022.)

200. On February 1, 2022, because "...a competitive difference in the male and female categories and the disadvantages this presents in elite head-to-head competition ... supported by statistical data that shows that the top-ranked female in 2021, on average, would be ranked 536th across all short course yards (25 yards) male events in the country and 326th across all long course meters (50 meters) male events in the country, among USA Swimming members," USA Swimming released its Athlete Inclusion, Competitive Equity and Eligibility Policy. The policy is intended to "provide a level-playing field for elite cisgender women, and to mitigate the advantages associated with male puberty and physiology." (USA Swimming Releases Athlete Inclusion, Competitive Equity and Eligibility Policy, available at https://www.usaswimming.org/news/2022/02/01/usa-swimming-releases-athlete-inclusion-competitive-equity-and-eligibility-policy.) The policy states:

• For biologically male athletes seeking to compete in the female category in certain "elite" level events, the athlete has the burden of demonstrating to a panel of independent medical experts that:

"From a medical perspective, the prior physical development of the athlete as Male, as mitigated by any medical intervention, does not give the athlete a competitive advantage over the athlete's cisgender Female competitors" and

There is a presumption that the athlete is not eligible unless the athlete "demonstrates that the concentration of testosterone in the athlete's serum has been less than 5 nmol/L . . . continuously for a period of at least thirty-six (36) months before the date of the Application." This

presumption may be rebutted "if the Panel finds, in the unique					
circumstances of the case, that [the athlete's prior physical					
development does not give the athlete a competitive advantage]					
notwithstanding the athlete's serum testosterone results (e.g., the					
athlete has a medical condition which limits bioavailability of the					
athlete's free testosterone)." (USA Swimming Athlete Inclusion					
Procedures at 43.)					

201. FINA, the international aquatics (swimming and diving) federation, issued a new policy in June 2022 allowing biological males to compete in the female category of aquatics only if they can establish that they "had male puberty suppressed beginning at Tanner Stage 2 or before age 12, whichever is later, and they have since continuously maintained their testosterone levels in serum (or plasma) below 2.5 nmol/L." FINA Policy on Eligibility for the Men's and Women's Categories § F.4.b.ii. A biologically male athlete who cannot meet these criteria is prohibited from competing in the female category. Id.

• This policy is based on the review of the scientific literature conducted by an independent panel of experts in physiology, endocrinology, and human performance, including specialists in transgender medicine. This panel concluded:

[I]f gender-affirming male-to-female transition consistent with the medical standard of care is initiated after the onset of puberty, it will blunt some, but not all, of the effects of testosterone on body structure, muscle function, and other determinants of performance, but there will be persistent legacy effects that will give male-to-female transgender (transgender women) a relative performance athletes advantage over biological females. A biological female athlete cannot overcome that advantage through training or nutrition.

1	Nor can they take additional testosterone to obtain the same
2	advantage, because testosterone is a prohibited substance
3	under the World Anti-Doping Code. (2)
4	202. In June 2022, British Triathlon adopted a new policy limiting competition in
5	the female category to "people who are the female sex at birth." British Triathlon
6	Transgender Policy § 7.2.
7	• This policy is based on its review of the scientific literature and conclusions that
8	"the scientific community broadly agrees that the majority of the
9	physiological/biological advantages brought about by male puberty are retained
10	(either wholly or partially) by transwomen post transition" and that testosterone
11	suppression does not "sufficiently remove[] the retained sporting performance
12	advantage of transwomen." British Triathlon Transgender Policy § 2 (emphasis
13	in original).
14	203. In June 2022, UCI, the world cycling federation, changed its eligibility
15	criteria for males who identify as female competing in the female category from 12
16	months of testosterone suppression to the level of 5 nmol/L to 24 months of
17	testosterone suppression to the level of 2.5 nmol/L. UCI Rules § 13.5.015.
18	• In releasing the new policy, UCI cited a position paper by Prof. Xavier Bigard
19	(2022), which concluded that the "potential [male] advantage on muscle strength
20	/ power cannot be erased before a period of 24 months." (15) Notably, Prof.
21	Bigard did not assert that the best available evidence shows that male advantage
22	is actually erased after 24 months; he merely asserted that the evidence shows
23	that male advantage is not erased before 24 months.
24	• It was reported by Sean Ingle in the Guardian on Thursday, May 4, 2023, that
25	UCI may reconsider its transgender participation policy after a male who
26	identifies as a female won the Tour of the Gila in New Mexico "The UCI also
27	hears the voices of female athletes and their concerns about an equal playing
28	field for competitors, and will take into account all elements, including the

evolution of scientific knowledge."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

26

27

- 204. In July 2022, England's Rugby Football Union and Rugby Football League both approved new policies limiting the female category to players whose sex recorded at birth is female for contact rugby for the under 12 age group and above. Rugby Football League Gender Participation Policy § 4.2(d); Rugby Football Union Gender Participation Policy § 4.2(d).
 - In August 2022, the Irish Rugby Football Union adopted the same policy. Irish Rugby Football Union Gender Participation Policy §§ 4.5(b) & (f).
- In September 2022, the Welsh Rugby Union also adopted the same policy.
- These bodies based their policy on a review of the scientific research, which showed that male advantage "cannot be sufficiently addressed even with testosterone suppression." Rugby Football Union Gender Participation Policy § 3.4; see also Rugby Football League Gender Participation Policy § 3.4; Irish Rigby Football Union Gender Participation Policy § 4.3.
- In August 2022, the World Boxing Council issued a new policy requiring
 athletes to compete in accordance with their natal sex. World Boxing Council
 Statement/Guidelines Regarding Transgender Athletes Participation in Professional
 Combat Sports. The WBC concluded that any other policy would raise "serious
 health and safety concerns." Id.
- 20 206. In August 2022, World Triathlon issued a new policy limiting the female
 21 category to biological females and to biological males who have suppressed
 22 circulating testosterone to 2.5 nmol/L for at least 24 months and have not competed
 23 in the male category in at least 48 months. World Triathlon Transgender Policy
 24 Process § 3. Previously, it had followed the old IOC guidelines of requiring
 25 testosterone suppression to 10 nmol/L for at least 12 months.
 - In issuing this policy, World Triathlon stated that "the potential advantage in muscle strength/power of Transgender women cannot be erased before two years of testosterone suppression." World Triathlon Transgender Policy Process § 3.

1	Notably, World Triathlon did not assert that two years of testosterone			
2	suppression actually erases male performance advantage, nor did it cite any			
3	evidence that would support such a proposition.			
4	• Although World Triathlon listed sports scientists Drs. Emma Hilton and Ross			
5	Tucker as consultants in developing the new policy, both immediately criticized			
6	the policy as allowing male advantage into female triathlon competitions.			
7	• Another sports scientist listed as a consultant to World Triathlon, Dr. Alun			
8	Williams, has opined that basing eligibility on circulating testosterone levels is			
9	not evidence-based policymaking because of the lack of evidence that			
10	testosterone suppression eliminates male performance advantage.			
11	207. In March 2023, the World Athletics Council, the governing body for world			
12	class track & field competition issued new transgender and DSD (Disorders of Sex			
13	Development) regulations. The transgender participation policy is very similar to			
14	the policies of World Rugby, World Boxing, and FINA by stating "In regard to			
15	transgender athletes, the Council has agreed to exclude male-to-female transgender			
16	athletes who have been through male puberty from female World Rankings			
17	competition from 31 March 2023." And "For DSD athletes, the new regulations will			
18	require any relevant athletes to reduce their testosterone levels below a limit of 2.5			
19	nmol/L for a minimum of 24 months to compete internationally in the female			
20	category in any event."			
21	• These policies are particularly noteworthy as there is a clear separation of the			
22	concerns regarding athletes who are transgender and those who have a DSD.			
23	Conclusions			
24	The research and actual observed data show the following:			
25	• At the level of (a) elite, (b) collegiate, (c) scholastic, and (d) recreational			
26	competition, men, adolescent boys, or male children, have an advantage over			
27	equally gifted, aged and trained women, adolescent girls, or female children in			
28	almost all athletic events;			

- 1 2
- 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Biological male physiology is the basis for the performance advantage that men, adolescent boys, or male children have over women, adolescent girls, or female children in almost all athletic events; and
- The administration of androgen inhibitors and cross-sex hormones to men or adolescent boys after the onset of male puberty does not eliminate the performance advantage that men and adolescent boys have over women and adolescent girls in almost all athletic events. Likewise, there is no published scientific evidence that the administration of puberty blockers to males before puberty eliminates the pre-existing athletic advantage that prepubertal males have over prepubertal females in almost all athletic events.

For over a decade sports governing bodies (such as the IOC and NCAA) have 11 12 wrestled with the question of transgender inclusion in female sports. The previous polices 13 implemented by these sporting bodies had an underlying "premise that reducing 14 testosterone to levels found in biological females is sufficient to remove many of the 15 biologically-based performance advantages." (World Rugby 2020 at 13.) Disagreements 16 centered around what the appropriate threshold for testosterone levels must be-whether the 17 10nmol/liter value adopted by the IOC in 2015, or the 5nmol/liter value adopted by the 18 IAAF.

19 But the science that has become available within just the last few years contradicts 20 that premise. Instead, as the UK Sports Councils, World Rugby, the FIMS Consensus 21 Statement, and the Women's Sports Policy Working Group have all recognized the science 22 is now sharply "at odds with the accepted intention of current policy in sport, in which 23 twelve months of testosterone suppression is expected to create equivalence between 24 transgender women and females" (UK Sports Literature Review 2021 at 7), and it is now 25 "difficult to suggest that the athletic capabilities of transwomen individuals undergoing 26 HRT or GAS are comparable to those of cisgender women." (Hamilton, FIMS Consensus 27 Statement 2021.) It is important to note that while the 2021 "IOC Framework on Fairness, 28 Inclusion, and Non-Discrimination on the Basis of Gender Identity and Sex Variations"

calls for an "evidence-based approach," that Framework does not actually reference *any* of the now extensive scientific evidence relating to the physiological differences between the sexes, and the inefficacy of hormonal intervention to eliminate male advantages relevant to most sports. Instead, the IOC calls on other sporting bodies to define criteria for transgender inclusion, while demanding that such criteria simultaneously ensure fairness, safety, and inclusion for all. The recently updated NCAA policy on transgender participation also relies on other sporting bodies to establish criteria for transgender inclusion, while calling for fair competition and safety.

9 But what we currently know tells us that these policy goals—fairness, safety, and full transgender inclusion-are irreconcilable for many or most sports. Long human 10 11 experience is now joined by large numbers of research papers that document that males 12 outperform females in muscle strength, muscular endurance, aerobic and anaerobic power output, VO₂max, running speed, swimming speed, vertical jump height, reaction time, and 13 most other measures of physical fitness and physical performance that are essential for 14 15 athletic success. The male advantages have been observed in fitness testing in children as 16 young as 3 years old, with the male advantages increasing immensely during puberty. To 17 ignore what we know to be true about males' athletic advantages over females, based on 18 mere hope or speculation that cross sex hormone therapy (puberty blockers, androgen 19 inhibitors, or cross-sex hormones) might neutralize that advantage, when the currently available evidence says it does not, is not science and is not "evidence-based" policy-20 21 making.

Because of the recent research and analysis in the general field of transgender athletics, many sports organizations have revised their policies or are in the process of doing so. As a result, there is not any universally recognized policy among sports organizations, and transgender inclusion policies are in a state of flux, likely because of the increasing awareness that the goals of fairness, safety, and full transgender inclusion are irreconcilable.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Sports have been separated by sex for the purposes of safety and fairness for a

considerable number of years. The values of safety and fairness are endorsed by numerous sports bodies, including the NCAA and IOC. The existing evidence of durable physiological and performance differences based on biological sex provides a strong evidence-based rationale for keeping rules and policies for such sex-based separation in place (or implementing them as the case may be).

As set forth in detail in this report, there are physiological differences between males and females that result in males having a significant performance advantage over similarly gifted, aged, and trained females in nearly all athletic events before, during, and after puberty. There is not scientific evidence that any amount or duration of cross sex hormone therapy (puberty blockers, androgen inhibitors, or cross-sex hormones) eliminates all physiological advantages that result in males performing better than females in nearly all athletic events. Males who have received such therapy retain sufficient male physiological traits that enhance athletic performance vis-à-vis similarly aged females and are thus, from a physiological perspective, more accurately categorized as male and not female.

17 I swear or affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

18 Dated: May 18, 2023

Signed: /s/ Dr. Gregory A. Brown, Ph.D., FACSM

1	Bibliography				
2	Alvares, L. et al. Cardiopulmonary capacity and muscle strength in transgender women on				
3	long-term gender-affirming hormone therapy: a cross-sectional study, Br. J. Sports				
4	Med. 56(22):1292-1298 (2022).				
5	Auer, M. et al. 12-months metabolic changes among gender dysphoric individuals under				
6	cross-sex hormone treatment: a targeted metabolomics study. Sci Rep 6: 37005				
7	(2016).				
8	Auer, M. et al. Effects of Sex Hormone Treatment on the Metabolic Syndrome in				
9	Transgender Individuals: Focus on Metabolic Cytokines. J Clin Endocrinol Metab				
10	103: 790-802 (2018).				
11	Bhargava, A. et al. Considering Sex as a Biological Variable in Basic and Clinical Studies:				
12	An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. Endocr Rev. 42:219-258 (2021).				
13	Bigard, X. (2022), The Current Knowledge on the Effects of Gender-Affirming Treatment				
14	on Markers of Performance in Transgender Female Cyclists,				
15	https://assets.ctfassets.net/76117gh5x5an/4EopPD4g1xjd0aNct2SCPt/8987aec0f5a				
16	3bc020411dd2bf8cfea7e/Transgender_athletes_in_cycling_June_2022.pdf				
17	Bohannon, R. et al., Handgrip strength: a comparison of values obtained from the				
18	NHANES and NIH toolbox studies. Am. J. Occ. Therapy 73(2) (March/April 2019).				
19	Boogers, L. et al. (2022), Transgender Girls Grow Tall: Adult Height Is Unaffected by				
20	GnRH Analogue and Estradiol Treatment, J. Clin. Engocrinol. Metab. 2022 Sep.				
21	107(9): e3805–e3815.				
22	British Triathlon Transgender Policy (2022),				
23	https://www.britishtriathlon.org/britain/documents/about/edi/transgender-policy-				
24	effective-from-01-jan-2023.pdf.				
25	Catley, M. and G.Tomkinson, Normative health-related fitness values for children:				
26	analysis of 85,437 test results on 9-17-year-old Australians since 1985. Br. J. Sports				
27	Med. published online October 21, 2011. Bjsm.bmj.com. Additional versions of this				
28	article were published by BJSM in 2012 and 2013, including Br. J. Sports Med.				

	Case: 4223100-200,1855/0162020.0000010207682203, Ellet E 10169/207/23 PRage 92 of 249				
1	47:98-108 (2013).				
2	Chiccarelli, E. et al. Fit Transitioning: When Can Transgender Airmen Fitness Test in				
3	Their Affirmed Gender? Mil Med, 2022. doi: 10.1093/milmed/usac320.				
4	Chu, Y. et al., Biomechanical comparison between elite female and male baseball pitchers.				
5	J. App. Biomechanics 25:22-31 (2009).				
6	Coleman, D.L. and W. Shreve, Comparing athletic performances: the best elite women to				
7	boys and men.				
8	web.law.duke.edu/sites/default/files/centers/sportslaw/comparingathleticperforma				
9	nces.pdf. (Accessed 06/20/21)				
10	Coleman, D. L. et al., Re-affirming the value of the sports exception to Title IX's general				
11	non-discrimination rule. Duke J. of Gender and Law Policy 27(69):69-134 (2020).				
12	Davis S. et al. Sex differences in infant body composition emerge in the first 5 months of				
13	life. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 32: 1235-1239 (2019).				
14	De Miguel-Etayo, P. et al., Physical fitness reference standards in European children: the				
15	IDEFICS study. Int. J. Obes (Lond) 38(2):557-566 (2014).				
16	Dogan, B. Multiple-choice reaction and visual perception in female and male elite athletes.				
17	J. Sports Med. and Physical Fitness 49:91-96 (2009).				
18	Dykiert, D. and G. Der, Sex differences in reaction time mean and intraindividual				
19	variability across the life span. Developmental Psychology 48(5): 1262-76 (2012).				
20	Egret, C. et al. <i>Kinematic analysis of the golf swing in men and women experienced golfers</i> .				
21	Int J Sports Med 27(6):463-467, (2006).				
22	Eiberg, S. et al, Maximum oxygen uptake and objectively measured physical activity in				
23	Danish children 6-7 years of age: the Copenhagen school child intervention study.				
24	Br J Sports Med 39:725-30 (2005).				
25	Elbers, J. et al. Effects of sex steroid hormones on regional fat depots as assessed by				
26	magnetic resonance imaging in transsexuals. Am J Physiol 276: E317-325 (1999).				
27	Fessler, D. et al. Sexual dimorphism in foot length proportionate to stature. Ann Hum Biol.				
28	32:44-59 (2005).				

Case: 42231 60-200,185/J1GZ02D,0 Dum 2716622 0.3, Ellet 06/20/23 PRage 92 of 249

1	Fields J. et al., Seasonal and Longitudinal Changes in Body Composition by Sport-Position
2	in NCAA Division I Basketball Athletes. Sports (Basel). 22:6 (2018).
3	FINA Policy on Eligibility for the Men's and Women's Competition Categories (2022),
4	https://resources.fina.org/fina/document/2022/06/19/525de003-51f4-47d3-8d5a-
5	716dac5f77c7/FINA-INCLUSION-POLICY-AND-APPENDICES-FINALpdf.
6	Gauthier. R. et al. The physical work capacity of Canadian children, aged 7 to 17 in 1983.
7	A comparison with 1968. CAHPER Journal/Revue de l'ACSEPR 50:4-9 (1983).
8	Gava, G. et al. Cyproterone acetate vs leuprolide acetate in combination with transdermal
9	oestradiol in transwomen: a comparison of safety and effectiveness. Clin
10	Endocrinol (Oxf) 85: 239-246 (2016).
11	Gershoni, M. & S. Pietrokovski. The landscape of sex-differential transcriptome and its
12	consequent selection in human adults. BMC BIOL 15: 7 (2017).
13	Gooren, L. The significance of testosterone for fair participation of the female sex in
14	competitive sports, 13 Asian J. of Andrology 653 (2011).
15	Gooren, L., et al., Transsexuals and competitive sports. Eur. J. Endocrinol. 151:425-9
16	(2004).
17	Haizlip, K. et al., Sex-based differences in skeletal muscle kinetics and fiber-type
18	composition. PHYSIOLOGY (BETHESDA) 30: 30–39 (2015).
19	Hamilton, B. et al., Response to the United Nations Human Rights Council's report on race
20	and gender discrimination in sport: an expression of concern and a call to prioritise
21	research. Sports Med. 51(4):839-842 (2021)
22	Hamilton, B. et al, Integrating transwomen and female athletes with differences of sex
23	development (DSD) into elite competition: the FIMS 2021 consensus statement.
24	Sports Med. 51(7):1401-1415 (2021).
25	Handelsman, D. et al., Circulating testosterone as the hormonal basis of sex differences in
26	athletic performance. Endocrine Reviews 39(5):803-829 (Oct 2018).
27	Handelsman, D. Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset
28	of male puberty, 87 Clinical Endocrinology 68 (2017).

Case: 42231 60-200,1855/JTGZ02D ot Dum 2776622 8.3, Eldet 1061/20/23 PRgge 93 of 249

1	1 Handelsman,	D.	"Perspective,"	at
2	2 https://www.healio.co	m/news/ei	ndocrinology/20201216/transgender-won	nen-
3	3 outpace-cisgender-wo	men-in-atl	hletic-tests-after-1-year-on-hormones	(last
4	4 accessed September 2	9, 2021).		
5	5 Haraldsen I. et al. <i>Cross-sex</i>	pattern of	bone mineral density in early onset gende	er identity
6	6 <i>disorder</i> . Horm Beha	v 52: 334-3	343 (2007).	
7	7 Harper, J. et al., How doe	s hormone	e transition in transgender women cha	nge body
8	8 composition, muscle s	trength an	nd haemoglobin? Systematic review with a	a focus on
9	<i>the implications for sp</i>	oort partic	ipation. Br J Sports Med 55(15):865-872	(2021).
10	Harper, J. <i>Race time for tran</i>	sgender at	hletes. J. Sporting Cultures & Identities 6	:1 (2015).
11	1 Heather, A. Transwomen H	Elite Athle	tes: Their Extra Percentage Relative t	o Female
12	2 <i>Physiology</i> . Int'l J. of	Env't Res	. & Pub. Health 2022, 19, 9103. (2022).	
13	Heydari R, et al. <i>Y chromos</i>	ome is mor	ving out of sex determination shadow. Co	ell Biosci.
14	4 12:4. (2022).			
15	5 Higerd, G. Assessing the Po	tential Tra	unsgender Impact on Girl Champions in	American
16	6 High School Track an	d Field. De	octoral Dissertation United States Sports	Academy.
17	7 (2020).			
18	8 https://www.proquest	.com/open	view/65d34c1e949899aa823beecad873af	ae/1?pq-
19	9 origsite=gscholar&cb	l=18750&	diss=y	
20	Hilton, E. N. and T.R. Lund	lberg, Tra	nsgender women in the female category	of sport:
21	1 perspectives on test	osterone s	uppression and performance advantag	e. Sports
22	2 Medicine 51:199-214	(2021).		
23	Horan, S. et al. Thorax and	pelvis kine	ematics during the downswing of male as	nd female
24	4 skilled golfers. J Bior	nech 43(8)	:1456-1462 (2010).	
25	5 Hubal, M. et al., Variability	in muscle	size and strength gain after unilateral	resistance
26	6 <i>training</i> , Med & Sci i	n Sports &	Exercise 964 (2005).	
27	7 Ingle, S. UCI hits brakes a	nd will rev	visit transgender policy after Killips' vic	ctory. The
28	8 Guardian. May 4, 20)23. http	ps://www.theguardian.com/sport/2023/ma	ay/04/uci-
Case: 4223160-206,1855/01GZ020,0 Duni20766620 8.3, Ellet 8:066/20/23 PRggel 98 of 249

1	recognises-transgender-policy-concerns-reopens-consultation-cycling
2	Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Understanding the Biology of Sex and Gender
3	Differences; Wizemann TM, Pardue ML, eds. Every cell has a sex. In: Exploring
4	the Biological Contributions to Human Health: Does Sex Matter? National
5	Academies Press (US); 2001.
6	Irish Rugby Football Union Gender Participation Policy (2022),
7	https://d19fc3vd0ojo3m.cloudfront.net/irfu/wp-
8	content/uploads/2022/08/10092703/IRFU-Gender-Participation-Policypdf.
9	Jain, A. et al., A comparative study of visual and auditory reaction times on the basis of
10	gender and physical activity levels of medical first year students. Int J App & Basic
11	Med Res 5:2(124-27) (May-Aug 2015).
12	Klaver, M. et al. Early Hormonal Treatment Affects Body Composition and Body Shape in
13	Young Transgender Adolescents. J Sex Med 15: 251-260 (2018).
14	Klaver, M. et al. Changes in regional body fat, lean body mass and body shape in trans
15	persons using cross-sex hormonal therapy: results from a multicenter prospective
16	study. Eur J Endocrinol 178: 163-171 (2018).
17	Klaver, M. et al. Cross-sex hormone therapy in transgender persons affects total body
18	weight, body fat and lean body mass: a meta-analysis. Andrologia 49, (2017).
19	Knechtle, B. et al., World single age records in running from 5 km to marathon, Frontiers
20	in Psych 9(1) (2013).
21	Knox, T. et al., Transwomen in elite sport: scientific & ethical considerations, 45 J. Med
22	Ethics 395 (2019).
23	Lapauw, B. et al., Body composition, volumetric and areal bone parameters in male-to-
24	female transsexual persons. Bone 43:1016-21 (2008).
25	Latorre-Roman, P. et al., Reaction times of preschool children on the ruler drop test: a
26	cross-sectional study with reference values. Perceptual & Motor Skills 125(5):866-
27	78 (2018).
28	Lepers, R. et al., Trends in triathlon performance: effects of sex & age, 43 Sports Med 851
	91

A143 ⁹¹

1	(2013).
2	Lesinski, M. et al., Maturation-, age-, and sex-specific anthropometric and physical fitness
3	percentiles of German elite young athletes. PLoS One. 15(8):e0237423 (2020).
4	Levine, B. et al., The role of testosterone in athletic performance. Available at
5	https://web.law.duke.edu/sites/default/files/centers/sportslaw/Experts_T_Statemen
6	t_2019.pdf (January 2019).
7	Leyk, D. et al., Hand-grip strength of young men, women and highly trained female
8	athletes, Eur J Appl Physiol. 2007 Mar; 99(4):415-21 (2007).
9	Liguori, G. et al. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and prescription (Eleventh
10	edition.). Wolters Kluwer. (2021).
11	Lombardo, M. and R. Deaner, On the evolution of the sex differences in throwing: throwing
12	as a male adaptation in humans, Quarterly Rev of Biology 93(2):91-119 (2018).
13	Malina R. et al. Growth, Maturation, and Physical Activity (2 nd edition). Published by
14	Human Kinetics. 2004.
15	Marshall, K.J. and T.L. Llewellyn. Effects of flexibility and balance on driving distance
16	and club head speed in collegiate golfers. Int J Exerc Sci 10(7):954-963, (2017).
17	McManus, A. and N. Armstrong, Physiology of elite young female athletes. J Med & Sport
18	Sci 56:23-46 (2011).
19	Millard-Stafford, M. et al., Nature versus nurture: have performance gaps between men
20	and women reached an asymptote? Int'l J. Sports Physiol. & Performance 13:530-
21	35 (2018).
22	Miller, V. Why are sex and gender important to basic physiology and translational and
23	individualized medicine? Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 306(6): H781-788,
24	(2014).
25	Mormile, M. et al., The role of gender in neuropsychological assessment in healthy
26	adolescents. J Sports Rehab 27:16-21 (2018).
27	Morris, J. et al., Sexual dimorphism in human arm power and force: implications for sexual
28	selection on fighting ability. J Exp Bio 223 (2020).

Case: 42231 60-200,185/J1GZ02D,0 Dument6622 03, Ellet 06/20/23 PRage 96 of 249

1	Mueller, A. et al. Body composition and bone mineral density in male-to-female
2	transsexuals during cross-sex hormone therapy using gonadotrophin-releasing
3	hormone agonist. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 119: 95-100 (2011).
4	Navabi B, et al. Pubertal Suppression, Bone Mass, and Body Composition in Youth With
5	Gender Dysphoria. Pediatrics 148 (2021).
6	National Collegiate Athletic Association, Inclusion of transgender student-athletes.
7	https://ncaaorg.s3.amazonaws.com/inclusion/lgbtq/INC_TransgenderHandbook.pd
8	f (August 2011).
9	Neder, J.A. et al., Reference values for concentric knee isokinetic strength and power in
10	nonathletic men and women from 20 to 80 years old. J. Orth. & Sports Phys.
11	Therapy 29(2):116-126 (1999).
12	Nokoff, N. et al. Body Composition and Markers of Cardiometabolic Health in
13	Transgender Youth Compared With Cisgender Youth. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 105:
14	e704-714 (2020).
15	Nokoff, N. et al. Body Composition and Markers of Cardiometabolic Health in
16	Transgender Youth on Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists. Transgend
17	Health 6: 111-119 (2021).
18	Nokoff, N. et al. Sex Differences in Athletic Performance: Perspectives on Transgender
19	Athletes. Exerc Sport Sci Rev. (2023) doi: 10.1249/JES.000000000000317.
20	O'Connor, M. Equity360: Gender, Race, and Ethnicity: Sex and Fairness in Sports. Clin
21	Orthop Relat Res. (2023) doi: 10.1097/CORR.00000000002679.
22	Pate, R. and A. Kriska, Physiological basis of the sex difference in cardiorespiratory
23	endurance. Sports Med 1:87-98 (1984).
24	Pocek, S. et al., Anthropometric Characteristics and Vertical Jump Abilities by Player
25	Position and Performance Level of Junior Female Volleyball Players. Int J Environ
26	Res Public Health. 18: 8377-8386 (2021).
27	Ramírez-Vélez, R. et al., Vertical Jump and Leg Power Normative Data for Colombian
28	Schoolchildren Aged 9-17.9 Years: The FUPRECOL Study. J Strength Cond Res.

1	31: 990-998 (2017).
2	Roberts, S.A. and J.M. Carswell. Growth, growth potential, and influences on adult height
3	in the transgender and gender-diverse population. Andrology. 9:1679-1688 (2021).
4	Roberts, T.A. et al., Effect of gender affirming hormones on athletic performance in
5	transwomen and transmen: implications for sporting organisations and legislators.
6	Br J Sports Med published online at 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102329 (Dec. 7, 2020).
7	Roser, M., Cameron Appel and Hannah Ritchie (2013) "Human Height". Published online
8	at OurWorldInData.org. Retrieved from: https://ourworldindata.org/human-height
9	[Online Resource]
10	Ross, J.G. and G.G. Gilbert. National Children and Youth Fitness Study. J Physical Educ
11	Rec Dance (JOPERD) 56: 45 – 50 (1985).
12	Rugby Football League Gender Participation Policy (2022), https://www.rugby-
13	league.com/uploads/docs/TransgenderPolicy2022_RH.pdf.
14	Rugby Football Union Gender Participation Policy (2022),
15	https://www.englandrugby.com//dxdam/67/6769f624-1b7d-4def-821e-
16	00cdf5f32d81/RFU%20GENDER%20PARTICIPATION%20POLICY%202022.p
17	df.
18	Sakamoto, K. et al., Comparison of kicking speed between female and male soccer players.
19	Procedia Eng 72:50-55 (2014).
20	Santos, R. et al. Physical fitness percentiles for Portuguese children and adolescents aged
21	10-18 years. J Sports Sci. 32:1510-8. (2014).
22	Sattler, T. et al., Vertical jump performance of professional male and female volleyball
23	players: effects of playing position and competition level. J Strength & Cond Res
24	29(6):1486-93 (2015).
25	Sax L. How common is intersex? a response to Anne Fausto-Sterling. J Sex Res. 39(3):174-
26	8 (2002).
27	Scharff, M. et al., Change in grip strength in trans people and its association with lean
28	body mass and bone density. Endocrine Connections 8:1020-28 (2019).
	94

Case: 42231 60-200,185/J1GZ02D,0 Dument6622 03, Ellet 06/20/23 PRage 98 of 249

1	Schulmeister, C. et al. Growth in Transgender/Gender-Diverse Youth in the First Year of
2	Treatment With Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonists. J Adolesc Health 70:
3	108-113 (2022).
4	Senefeld, J. et al. Divergence in timing and magnitude of testosterone levels between male
5	and female youths, JAMA 324(1):99-101 (2020).
6	Senefeld J. et al. Case Studies in Physiology: Male to Female Transgender Swimmer in
7	College Athletics. J Appl Physiol (1985), 134(4):1032-1037 (2023).
8	Shah, K. et al. Do you know the sex of your cells? Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 306(1):C3-
9	18 (2014).
10	Silverman, I., The secular trend for grip strength in Canada and the United States, J Sports
11	Sci 29(6):599-606 (2011).
12	Spierer, D. et al., Gender influence on response time to sensory stimuli. J Strength & Cond
13	Res 24:4(957-63) (2010).
14	Staiano AE, and P.T Katzmarzyk. Ethnic and sex differences in body fat and visceral and
15	subcutaneous adiposity in children and adolescents. Int J Obes (Lond). 36:1261-9.
16	(2012).
17	Tack, L. et al., Proandrogenic and antiandrogenic progestins in transgender youth:
18	differential effects on body composition and bone metabolism. J. Clin. Endocrinol.
19	Metab, 103(6):2147-56 (2018).
20	Tambalis, K. et al., Physical fitness normative values for 6-18-year-old Greek boys and
21	girls, using the empirical distribution and the lambda, mu, and sigma statistical
22	method. Eur J Sports Sci 16:6(736-46) (2016).
23	Taylor, M. et al., Vertical jumping and leg power normative data for English school
24	children aged 10-15 years. J Sports Sci. 28:867-72. (2010).
25	Taylor, R. et al. Gender differences in body fat content are present well before puberty. Int
26	J Obes Relat Metab Disord 21: 1082-1084, 1997.
27	Taylor, R. et al. Sex differences in regional body fat distribution from pre- to postpuberty.
28	Obesity (Silver Spring) 18: 1410-1416, 2010.

Case: 42231 60-200,185/J1GZ02D,0 Dument6622 03, Ellet 06/20/23 PRage 99 of 249

1	Thibault, V. et al. Women and men in sport performance: the gender gap has not evolved
2	since 1983. J Sports Science & Med 9:214-223 (2010).
3	Thomas, J.R. and K. E. French, Gender differences across age in motor performance: a
4	meta-analysis. Psych. Bull. 98(2):260-282 (1985).
5	Tomkinson, G. et al., European normative values for physical fitness in children and
6	adolescents aged 9-17 years: results from 2,779,165 Eurofit performances
7	representing 30 countries. Br J Sports Med 52:1445-56 (2018).
8	Tomkinson, G. et al., International normative 20 m shuttle run values from 1,142,026
9	children and youth representing 50 countries. Br J Sports Med. 51:1545-1554
10	(2017).
11	Tønnessen, E. et al., Performance development in adolescent track & field athletes
12	according to age, sex, and sport discipline. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0129014 (2015).
13	Tønnessen, E. et al., Reaction time aspects of elite sprinters in athletic world
14	championships. J Strength & Cond Res 27(4):885-92 (2013).
15	Union Cycliste Internationale Medical Rules (2022),
16	https://assets.ctfassets.net/76117gh5x5an/Et9v6Fyux9fWPDpKRGpY9/96949e5f7
17	bbc8e34d536731c504ac96f/Modification_Transgender_Regulation_22_Juin_2022
18	_ENG.pdf.
19	United Kingdom Sports Councils, Guidance for transgender inclusion in domestic sport.
20	Available at https://equalityinsport.org/docs/300921/Guidance for Transgender
21	Inclusion in Domestic Sport 2021 - Summary of Background Documents.pdf.
22	September 2021.
23	United Kingdom Sports Councils, International Research Literature Review. Available at
24	https://equalityinsport.org/docs/300921/Transgender%20International%20Researc
25	h%20Literature%20Review%202021.pdf. September 2021.
26	USA Swimming Athlete Inclusion Procedures, last revision February 1, 2022, available at
27	https://www.usaswimming.org/docs/default-source/governance/governance-lsc-
28	website/rules policies/usa-swimming-policy-19.pdf.

Casse 4 23-0602061.856/2020200 d Dm & 27 62063, FD & t E 06/20723, Fragge 1E 00 co f 24139

1	VanCaenegem, E. et al, Preservation of volumetric bone density and geometry in trans
2	women during cross-sex hormonal therapy: a prospective observational study.
3	Osteoporos Int 26:35-47 (2015).
4	Wierckx, K. Cross-sex hormone therapy in trans persons is safe and effective at short-time
5	follow-up: results from the European network for the investigation of gender
6	incongruence. J Sex Med 11: 1999-2011 (2014).
7	Wiik, A. et al., Muscle strength, size, and composition following 12 months of gender-
8	affirming treatment in transgender individuals. J. Clinical Endocrin. & Metab.
9	105(3):e805-813 (2020).
10	Women's Sports Policy Working Group, Briefing book: a request to Congress and the
11	administration to preserve girls' and women's sport and accommodate transgender
12	athletes. Available at womenssportspolicy.org. (2021).
13	World Athletics Council. Transgender and DSD Regulations. (2023).
14	https://worldathletics.org/news/press-releases/council-meeting-march-2023-russia-
15	belarus-female-eligibility
16	https://worldathletics.org/download/download?filename=c50f2178-3759-4d1c-
17	8fbc-
18	370f6aef4370.pdf&urlslug=C3.5A%20%E2%80%93%20Eligibility%20Regulatio
19	ns%20Transgender%20Athletes%20%E2%80%93%20effective%2031%20March
20	%202023
21	https://www.worldathletics.org/download/download?filename=2ffb8b1a-59e3-
22	4cea-bb0c-
23	5af8b690d089.pdf&urlslug=C3.6A%20%E2%80%93%20Eligibility%20Regulatio
24	ns%20for%20the%20Female%20Classification%20%E2%80%93%20effective%2
25	031%20March%202023
26	World Boxing Council Statement / Guidelines Regarding Transgender Athletes
27	Participation in Professional Combat Sports (2022),
28	https://wbcboxing.com/en/world-boxing-council-statement-guidelines-regarding-

Casse 4 23-1600201 85/06/2020 20 d Dm 2 7 62063, Filet E06/20723, Fragge 1 E021 of f2429

1	transgender-athletes-participation-in-professional-combat-sports/.
2	World Rugby Transgender Guidelines. https://www.world.rugby/the-game/player-
3	welfare/guidelines/transgender (2020).
4	World Rugby Transgender Women's Guidelines. https://www.world.rugby/the-
5	game/player-welfare/guidelines/transgender/women (2020).
6	World Triathlon Transgender Policy Process (2022),
7	https://www.triathlon.org/news/article/transgender_policy_process.
8	Wunderlich, R.E. and P.R. Cavanagh. Gender differences in adult foot shape: implications
9	for shoe design. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 33:605-1 (2001).
10	Yun, Y. et al. Effect of Cross-Sex Hormones on Body Composition, Bone Mineral Density,
11	and Muscle Strength in Trans Women. J Bone Metab 28: 59-66 (2021).
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
2 4 25	
25 26	
27	
28	
_0	

Casse 4 23-1600201 85/06/2020 20 d Dm 2 7 62063, Filet E06/20723, Fragge 1 E02 co f 2 4 2 9

Curl-Ups (# in 1 minute)											
					Male	-Female	%				
Mal	e		Female	Female		rence					
	50th	85th	50th	85th		50th	85th				
Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	Age	%ile	%ile				
6	22	33	23	32	6	-4.3%	3.1%				
7	28	36	25	34	7	12.0%	5.9%				
8	31	40	29	38	8	6.9%	5.3%				
9	32	41	30	39	9	6.7%	5.1%				
10	35	45	30	40	10	16.7%	12.5%				
11	37	47	32	42	11	15.6%	11.9%				
12	40	50	35	45	12	14.3%	11.1%				
13	42	53	37	46	13	13.5%	15.2%				
14	45	56	37	47	14	21.6%	19.1%				
15	45	57	36	48	15	25.0%	18.8%				
16	45	56	35	45	16	28.6%	24.4%				
17	44	55	34	44	17	29.4%	25.0%				

Shuttle Run (seconds)

1

2			,			Mala	Famala	0/
3	Mala			F		Diff.	-remaie	70
4	Iviale	5041	0541	remale	0541	Diffe	rence 504b	0541
5		Suth	85th	Suth	85th		Suth	85th
6	Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	Age	%ile	%ile
7	6	13.3	12.1	13.8	12.4	6	3.6%	2.4%
/	7	12.8	11.5	13.2	12.1	7	3.0%	5.0%
8	8	12.2	11.1	12.9	11.8	8	5.4%	5.9%
9	9	11.9	10.9	12.5	11.1	9	4.8%	1.8%
10	10	11.5	10.3	12.1	10.8	10	5.0%	4.6%
11	11	11.1	10	11.5	10.5	11	3.5%	4.8%
12	12	10.6	9.8	11.3	10.4	12	6.2%	5.8%
13	13	10.2	9.5	11.1	10.2	13	8.1%	6.9%
14	14	9.9	9.1	11.2	10.1	14	11.6%	9.9%
15	15	9.7	9.0	11.0	10.0	15	11.8%	10.0%
16	16	9.4	8.7	10.9	10.1	16	13.8%	13.9%
17	17	94	8 7	11.0	10.0	17	14 5%	13.0%
18	17	<i>.</i>	0.7	11.0	10.0	17	11.570	13.070
19	1 mil	la mun (ca	aanda)					
20	1 1111	ie run (se	conusj				F 1	0/
21						Male	-Female	%
22	Male			Female		Diffe	rence	
22		50th	85th	50th	85th		50th	85th
23	Age	%ile	%ile	%ile	%ile	Age	%ile	%ile
24	6	756	615	792	680	6	4.5%	9.6%
20	7	700	562	776	636	7	9.8%	11.6%
26	8	665	528	750	602	8	11.3%	12.3%
27	9	630	511	712	570	9	11.5%	10.4%

	Case 4	23-060202	1 85/0G/2 02	&d D m &A78	20163,FD)&CE	D 6//2:07	2 3 , Fragg e1	E54cdf214139
1	10	588	477	682	559	10	13.8%	14.7%
2	11	560	452	677	542	11	17.3%	16.6%
3	12	520	431	665	503	12	21.8%	14.3%
4	13	486	410	623	493	13	22.0%	16.8%
5	14	464	386	606	479	14	23.4%	19.4%
6	15	450	380	598	488	15	24.7%	22.1%
7	16	430	368	631	503	16	31.9%	26.8%
8	17	424	366	622	495	17	31.8%	26.1%
9								
10								
11								
12								
13	Dull	TT						
11	1 uli	Ups (# com	ipleted)					
14 15	1 uli	Ups (# com	ipleted)			Male	e-Female	%
14 15 16	Male	Ups (# con	ipietea)	Female		Male Diffe	e-Female erence	%
14 15 16	Male	Ups (# com 50th	softh	Female 50th	85th	Male Diffe	e-Female erence 50th	% 85th
14 15 16 17	Age	0ps (# com 50th %ile	1pleted) 85th %ile	Female 50th %ile	85th %ile	Male Diffe Age	e-Female erence 50th %ile	% 85th %ile
14 15 16 17 18	Age 6	50th 1	85th %ile 2	Female 50th %ile 1	85th %ile 2	Male Diffe Age 6	e-Female erence 50th %ile 0.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Age 6 7	50th %ile 1	85th %ile 2 4	Female 50th %ile 1	85th %ile 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7	e-Female erence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Age 6 7 8	50th %ile 1 1	85th %ile 2 4 5	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8	e-Female erence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Age 6 7 8 9	50th %ile 1 1 2	85th %ile 2 4 5 5	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9	e-Female frence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Age 6 7 8 9 10	50th %ile 1 1 2 2	85th %ile 2 4 5 5 6	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 3	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10	e-Female srence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Nale Age 6 7 8 9 10 11	50th %ile 1 1 2 2 2	85th %ile 2 4 5 5 6 6	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 3 3	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10 11	e-Female frence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0% 100.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	Nale Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	50th %ile 1 1 2 2 2 2	85th %ile 2 4 5 5 6 6 6 7	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	e-Female frence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0% 100.0% 250.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Male Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	50th %ile 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3	85th %ile 2 4 5 5 6 6 7 7	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	e-Female frence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 200.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0% 100.0% 250.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Nale Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	50th %ile 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 5	85th %ile 2 4 5 5 6 7 7 10	Female 50th %ile 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	e-Female srence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 200.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0% 100.0% 250.0% 250.0% 400.0%
 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Nale Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	50th %ile 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 5 6	85th %ile 2 4 5 6 6 7 10 11	Female 50th 9%ile 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	85th %ile 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Male Diffe Age 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	e-Female srence 50th %ile 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 200.0% 400.0%	% 85th %ile 0.0% 100.0% 150.0% 150.0% 100.0% 250.0% 400.0% 450.0%

16	7	11	1	1		16	600.09	⁄0	1000.0%	
17	8	13	1	1		17	700.09	V ₀	1200.0%	
Data Co	omniled fro	om Athleti	c Net							
2021 N	Vational 300	0 m cross	country race tim	e in secoi	nds					
	7-8 yea	rs old		9-10 ye	ars old			11-12	year old	
Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls			Boys	Girls	
1	691.8	728.4	Difference	607.7	659.8	Diff	erence	608.1	632.6	Differer
2	722.5	739.0	#1 boy vs #	619.6	674.0	#1 b	ooy vs #	608.7	639.8	#1 boy
3	740.5	783.0	1 girl	620.1	674.7	1 gir	·l	611.3	664.1	1 girl
4	759.3	783.5	5.0%	643.2	683.7	7.9%	ý 0	618.6	664.4	3.9%
5	759.6	792.8		646.8	685.0			619.7	671.6	
6	760.0	824.1		648.0	686.4			631.2	672.1	
7	772.0	825.7	Average	648.8	687.0	Ave	rage	631.7	672.3	Average
8	773.0	832.3	difference	658.0	691.0	diffe	erence	634.9	678.4	differen
9	780.7	834.3	boys vs girls	659.5	692.2	boys	s vs girls	635.0	679.3	boys vs
10	735.1	844.4	6.2%	663.9	663.3	5.6%	, 0	635.1	679.4	6.3%

Casse 4 223-6692061.856/0G/2020bd Dm & 27 62963, FD & t E 06/20723, Fragge 1E 06 co f 24139

	2021 Na	tional 100	m Track r	ace time in seco	nds					
2		7-8 year	rs old		9-10 ye	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
3	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
4	1	13.06	14.24	Difference	10.87	12.10	Difference	11.37	12.08	Difference
5	2	13.54	14.41	#1 boy vs #	10.91	12.24	#1 boy vs #	11.61	12.43	#1 boy vs #
6 7	3	13.73	14.44	1 girl	11.09	12.63	1 girl	11.73	12.51	1 girl
7	4	14.10	14.48	8.3%	11.25	12.70	10.2%	11.84	12.55	5.9%
8	5	14.19	14.49		11.27	12.75		11.89	12.57	
9	6	14.31	14.58		11.33	12.80		11.91	12.62	
10	7	14.34	14.69	Average	11.42	12.83	Average	11.94	12.65	Average
11	8	14.35	14.72	difference	11.43	12.84	difference	11.97	12.71	difference
12	9	14.41	14.77	boys vs girls	11.44	12.88	boys vs girls	12.08	12.71	boys vs girls
13	10	14.43	14.86	3.6%	11.51	12.91	11.1%	12.12	12.75	5.7%
15 16	2021 Na	tional 200	m Track r	ace time in seco	nds					
10		7-8 year	s old		9-10 yea	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
17	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
10	1	24.02	28.72	Difference	21.77	25.36	Difference	20.66	25.03	Difference
19 20	2	24.03	28.87	#1 boy vs #	22.25	25.50	#1 boy vs #	22.91	25.18	#1 boy vs #
20	3	28.07	29.92	1 girl	22.48	25.55	1 girl	23.14	25.22	1 girl
21	4	28.44	29.95	16.4%	22.57	25.70	14.2%	23.69	25.49	17.5%
22	5	28.97	30.04		22.65	26.08		23.84	25.78	
23 24	6	29.26	30.09		22.77	26.22		24.23	25.89	
24	7	29.34	30.27	Average	23.11	26.79	Average	24.35	26.03	Average
25 26	8	29.38	30.34	difference	23.16	26.84	difference	24.58	26.07	difference
20	9	29.65	30.41	boys vs girls	23.28	26.91	boys vs girls	24.59	26.10	boys vs girls
21 28	10	29.78	30.54	6.1%	23.47	26.85	13.1%	24.61	26.13	7.9%

Casse 4 23-0602061 85/06/2020 20 d Dm t 27 62063, Filet E06/20723, Fragge 1E087 of f24139

1	
L	

2	2021 Nat	ional 400 m Track race time in seconds								
3		7-8 year	s old		9-10 yea	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
4	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
5	1	66.30	67.12	Difference	49.29	56.80	Difference	51.96	55.70	Difference
6	2	66.88	67.67	#1 boy vs #	50.47	58.57	#1 boy vs #	55.52	57.08	#1 boy vs #
7	3	67.59	67.74	1 girl	52.28	60.65	1 girl	55.58	57.60	1 girl
8	4	68.16	68.26	1.2%	52.44	61.45	13.2%	55.59	57.79	6.7%
9	5	68.51	68.37		53.31	61.81		55.72	58.02	
10	6	69.13	71.02		53.65	62.03		55.84	58.25	
11	7	69.75	72.73	Average	53.78	62.32	Average	55.92	59.25	Average
12	8	69.80	73.25	difference	54.51	62.33	difference	57.12	59.27	difference
13	9	69.81	73.31	boys vs girls	55.84	62.34	boys vs girls	57.18	59.40	boys vs girls
14	10	70.32	73.48	2.4%	55.90	62.40	13.0%	57.22	59.49	4.2%
15										
10										
16	2021 Nat	tional 800	m Track r	ace time in seco	nds					
16 17	2021 Nat	tional 800 7-8 year	m Track r s old	ace time in seco	nds 9-10 yea	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
16 17 18	2021 Nat Rank	tional 800 7-8 year Boys	m Track r s old Girls	ace time in seco	nds 9-10 yea Boys	ars old Girls		11-12 y Boys	ear old Girls	
16 17 18 19	2021 Nat Rank 1	ional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2	m Track r s old Girls 157.9	ace time in secon	nds 9-10 ye: Boys 120.8	ars old Girls 141.4	Difference	11-12 y Boys 127.8	ear old Girls 138.5	Difference
16 17 18 19 20	2021 Nat Rank 1 2	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs #	nds 9-10 yea Boys 120.8 124.0	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2	Difference #1 boy vs #	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1	Difference #1 boy vs #
16 17 18 19 20 21	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0	<u>m Track r</u> s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl	nds 9-10 yea Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6%	nds 9-10 yea Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5%	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7%
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4 5	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1 161.2	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9 168.5	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6%	nds 9-10 ye: Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6 126.5	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3 151.6	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5%	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2 136.2	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2 144.2	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7%
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1 161.2 161.6	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9 168.5 169.9	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6%	nds 9-10 yes Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6 126.5 136.5	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3 151.6 152.5	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5%	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2 136.2 136.5	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2 144.9 145.0	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7%
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1 161.2 161.6 161.8	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9 168.5 169.9 171.5	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6% Average	nds 9-10 yes Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6 126.5 136.5 137.1	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3 151.6 152.5 153.1	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5% Average	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2 136.2 136.5 136.7	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2 144.9 145.0 145.2	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7% Average
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1 161.2 161.6 161.8 162.2	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9 168.5 169.9 171.5 173.1	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6% Average difference	nds 9-10 yea Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6 126.5 136.5 137.1 138.5	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3 151.6 152.5 153.1 153.7	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5% Average difference	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2 136.2 136.5 136.7 136.7	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2 144.9 145.0 145.2 145.6	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7% Average difference
16 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	2021 Nat Rank 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tional 800 7-8 year Boys 152.2 155.2 161.0 161.1 161.2 161.6 161.8 162.2 165.3	m Track r s old Girls 157.9 164.6 164.9 165.9 168.5 169.9 171.5 173.1 173.4	ace time in secon Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 3.6% Average difference boys vs girls	nds 9-10 yes Boys 120.8 124.0 125.1 125.6 126.5 136.5 137.1 138.5 139.5	ars old Girls 141.4 142.2 148.8 151.3 151.6 152.5 153.1 153.7 153.8	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 14.5% Average difference boys vs girls	11-12 y Boys 127.8 129.7 130.5 133.2 136.2 136.5 136.7 136.7 136.7	ear old Girls 138.5 143.1 144.2 144.2 144.9 145.0 145.2 145.6 145.6	Difference #1 boy vs # 1 girl 7.7% Average difference boys vs girls

Casse 4 223-689 2061 866/20 20b d Dm & 27 6296 3, FD & t E 06/20723, Fragge 1 E 08 c of 12429

1	2021 Na	tional 1600) m Track i	race time in seco	onds					
2		7-8 years	s old		9-10 yea	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
3	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
4	1	372.4	397.6	Difference	307.4	319.3	Difference	297.3	313.8	Difference
5	2	378.3	400.9	#1 boy vs #	313.7	322.2	#1 boy vs #	298.4	317.1	#1 boy vs #
6	3	378.4	405.6	1 girl	315.0	322.6	1 girl	307.0	319.9	1 girl
7	4	402.0	435.2	6.3%	318.2	337.5	3.7%	313.9	323.3	5.2%
8	5	406.4	445.0		318.4	345.2		319.2	325.3	
9	6	413.4	457.0		320.5	345.7		320.4	326.2	
10	7	457.4	466.0	Average	327.0	345.9	Average	321.1	327.0	Average
11	8	473.3	466.8	difference	330.3	347.1	difference	321.9	330.0	difference
12	9	498.3	492.3	boys vs girls	333.4	347.5	boys vs girls	325.5	331.1	boys vs girls
13	10	505.0	495.0	4.0%	347.0	355.6	4.7%	327.1	332.5	2.9%
15 16	2021 Na	tional 3000) m Track 1	race time in seco	onds					
10		7-8 years	s old		9-10 yea	ars old		11-12 y	ear old	
1/	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
10	1	794.2	859.9	Difference	602.3	679.2	Difference	556.6	623.7	Difference
19 20	2	856.3		#1 boy vs #	644.9	709.7	#1 boy vs #	591.6	649.5	#1 boy vs #
20	3			1 girl	646.6	714.2	1 girl	600.8	651.6	1 girl
21 22	4			7.6%	648.2	741.9	11.3%	607.1	654.9	10.8%
22	5	No	No		648.4	742.7		609.1	662.9	
23 24	6	further	Further		652.8	756.6		611.5	664.1	
25	7	data	Data	Average	658.9	760.2	Average	615.7	666.3	Average
26	8	uaid		difference	660.1	762.5	difference	617.3	666.8	difference
27	9			boys vs girls	662.7	780.2	boys vs girls	618.4	673.2	boys vs girls
28	10			NA%	671.6	792.3	12.7%	620.6	674.4	8.2%

Casse 4 223-060 2061 86/06/2020 200 d Dm e 27 62063, FDet E 06/20723, Fragge 1809 of 24139

1	

2021 National Long Jump Distance (in inches)

~		<i>c</i>		(,					
2		7-8 years	s old		9-10 yea	urs old		11-12 ye	ear old	
3	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
4	1	156.0	176.0	Difference	256.8	213.8	Difference	224.0	201.3	Difference
с С	2	156.0	163.8	#1 boy vs #	247.0	212.0	#1 boy vs #	222.5	197.3	#1 boy vs #
6 7	3	155.0	153.0	1 girl	241.0	210.8	1 girl	220.5	195.8	1 girl
/	4	154.3	152.0	-11.4%	236.3	208.8	20.1%	210.3	193.5	11.3%
8 0	5	154.0	149.5		231.5	207.0		210.0	193.3	
9 10	6	152.8	146.0		225.0	204.8		206.8	192.5	
10	7	151.5	144.5	Average	224.0	194.5	Average	206.0	192.3	Average
11	8	150.8	137.5	difference	224.0	192.5	difference	205.5	192.0	difference
12	9	150.5	137.0	boys vs girls	221.8	192.3	boys vs girls	205.0	191.3	boys vs girls
13	10		No	1.4%			13.2%			9.1%
14			Further							
13		150.5	Data		219.0	187.5		204.5	189.0	
10 17										
17										
10										
19 20										
20 21										
21										
22										
23 24										
25 25										
25 26										
20 27										
-' 28										
-0										

Casse 4 223-689 2061 868/06/2020 200 d Dm & 27 6296 3, FD & tE 06/20723, FPage 1610 co f 21429

1	2021 Na	ational Hig	gh Jump Dis	stance (in inches)					
2		7-8 yea	rs old		9-10 ye	ars old		11-12 y	vear old	
3	Rank	Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls		Boys	Girls	
4	1	38.0	37.5	Difference	72.0	58.0	Difference	63.0	56.0	Difference
с С	2	38.0	34.0	#1 boy vs #	70.0	58.0	#1 boy vs #	61.0	56.0	#1 boy vs #
6 7	3	36.0	32.0	1 girl	65.8	57.0	1 girl	60.0	57.0	1 girl
/	4	36.0	32.0	1.3	62.0	56.0	24.1%	59.0	56.0	12.5%
ð	5	35.8	32.0		62.0	56.0		59.0	56.0	
9	6	35.5			62.0	55.0		59.0	55.0	
10	7	34.0	No	Average	61.0	54.0	Average	59.0	54.0	Average
11	8	32.0	further	difference	60.0	54.0	difference	58.0	54.0	difference
12	9	59.0	Dete	boys vs girls	59.0	No	boys vs girls	57.8	56.0	boys vs girls
13	10		Data	21.6%		Further	12.5%			6.9%
14		56.0			56.0	Data		57.8	56.0	
15										
10										
17										
10										
19 20										
20										
21 22										
22										
23 24										
24										
25 26										
20 27										
21										

1	Appendix 2 – Scholarly Publications
2	Refereed Publications
3	1. Shaw BS. Breukelman G, Millard L, Moran J, Brown G, & Shaw I. Effects of a maximal
4	cycling all-out anaerobic test on visual performance. Clin Exp Optom.
5	https://doi.org/10.1080/08164622.2022.2153583, 2022
6	2. Brown GA, Shaw BS, Shaw I. How much water is in a mouthful, and how many
7	mouthfuls should I drink? A laboratory exercise to help students understand developing
8	a hydration plan. Adv Physiol Educ 45: 589–593, 2021.
9	3. Schneider KM and Brown GA (as Faculty Mentor). What's at Stake: Is it a Vampire or
10	a Virus? International Journal of Undergraduate Research and Creative Activities. 11,
11	Article 4. 2019.
12	4. Christner C and Brown GA (as Faculty Mentor). Explaining the Vampire Legend
13	through Disease. UNK Undergraduate Research Journal. 23(1), 2019. (*This is an on-
14	campus publication.)
15	5. Schneekloth B and Brown GA. Comparison of Physical Activity during Zumba with a
16	Human or Video Game Instructor. 11(4):1019-1030. International Journal of Exercise
17	Science, 2018.
18	6. Bice MR, Hollman A, Bickford S, Bickford N, Ball JW, Wiedenman EM, Brown GA,
19	Dinkel D, and Adkins M. Kinesiology in 360 Degrees. International Journal of
20	Kinesiology in Higher Education, 1: 9-17, 2017
21	7. Shaw I, Shaw BS, Brown GA, and Shariat A. Review of the Role of Resistance Training
22	and Musculoskeletal Injury Prevention and Rehabilitation. Gavin Journal of
23	Orthopedic Research and Therapy. 1: 5-9, 2016
24	8. Kahle A, Brown GA, Shaw I, & Shaw BS. Mechanical and Physiological Analysis of
25	Minimalist versus Traditionally Shod Running. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. 56(9):974-

9. Bice MR, Carey J, Brown GA, Adkins M, and Ball JW. The Use of Mobile
Applications to Enhance Learning of the Skeletal System in Introductory Anatomy &

26

9, 2016

Casse 4 23-66 2061 85 / 0 G/2 0 20 d Dm e 27 8 2 9 6 3, Filet E 0 6 / 2 0 7 2 3, Fragge 16 13 2 0 f 2 4 13 9

Physiology Students. Int J Kines Higher Educ 27(1) 16-22, 2016

1

- 2 10. Shaw BS, Shaw I, & Brown GA. Resistance Exercise is Medicine. Int J Ther Rehab.
 3 22: 233-237, 2015.
- 4 11. Brown GA, Bice MR, Shaw BS, & Shaw I. Online Quizzes Promote Inconsistent
 5 Improvements on In-Class Test Performance in Introductory Anatomy & Physiology.
 6 Adv. Physiol. Educ. 39: 63-6, 2015
- 7 12. Brown GA, Heiserman K, Shaw BS, & Shaw I. Rectus abdominis and rectus femoris
 8 muscle activity while performing conventional unweighted and weighted seated
 9 abdominal trunk curls. Medicina dello Sport. 68: 9-18. 2015
- 13. Botha DM, Shaw BS, Shaw I & Brown GA. Role of hyperbaric oxygen therapy in the
 promotion of cardiopulmonary health and rehabilitation. African Journal for Physical,
 Health Education, Recreation and Dance (AJPHERD). Supplement 2 (September), 20:
 62-73, 2014
- 14 14. Abbey BA, Heelan KA, Brown, GA, & Bartee RT. Validity of HydraTrend[™] Reagent
 15 Strips for the Assessment of Hydration Status. J Strength Cond Res. 28: 2634-9. 2014
- 16 15. Scheer KC, Siebrandt SM, Brown GA, Shaw BS, & Shaw I. Wii, Kinect, & Move.
 17 Heart Rate, Oxygen Consumption, Energy Expenditure, and Ventilation due to
 18 Different Physically Active Video Game Systems in College Students. International
 19 Journal of Exercise Science: 7: 22-32, 2014
- 16. Shaw BS, Shaw I, & Brown GA. Effect of concurrent aerobic and resistive breathing
 training on respiratory muscle length and spirometry in asthmatics. African Journal for
 Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance (AJPHERD). Supplement 1
 (November), 170-183, 2013
- 17. Adkins M, Brown GA, Heelan K, Ansorge C, Shaw BS & Shaw I. Can dance
 exergaming contribute to improving physical activity levels in elementary school
 children? African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance
 (AJPHERD). 19: 576-585, 2013
- 28 18. Jarvi MB, Brown GA, Shaw BS & Shaw I. Measurements of Heart Rate and

A161 ¹⁰⁹

1	Accelerometry to Determine the Physical Activity Level in Boys Playing Paintball.
2	International Journal of Exercise Science: 6: 199-207, 2013
3	19. Brown GA, Krueger RD, Cook CM, Heelan KA, Shaw BS & Shaw I. A prediction
4	equation for the estimation of cardiorespiratory fitness using an elliptical motion
5	trainer. West Indian Medical Journal. 61: 114-117, 2013.
6	20. Shaw BS, Shaw I, & Brown GA. Body composition variation following diaphragmatic
7	breathing. African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance
8	(AJPHERD). 18: 787-794, 2012.
9	Refereed Presentations
10	1. Steinman PM, Steinman PC, Brown GA. Knowledge Of The Female Athlete Triad
11	In Female High School Athletes In Rural Nebraska. Accepted for presentation at the
12	70th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. Denver CO.
13	May 30 – June 2, 2023.
14	2. Steinman PC, Steinman PM, Brown GA. Female Athlete Triad Knowledge Among
15	Sports Medicine Rehabilitation Clinicians In Nebraska. Accepted for presentation
16	at the 70th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. Denver
17	CO. May 30 – June 2, 2023.
18	3. Brown GA, Brown CJ, Shaw I, Shaw B. Boys And Girls Differ In Running And
19	Jumping Track And Field Event Performance Before Puberty. Accepted for
20	presentation at the 70th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
21	Medicine. Denver CO. May 30 – June 2, 2023.
22	4. Brown GA, Orr T, Shaw BS, Shaw I. Comparison of Running Performance Between
23	Division and Sex in NCAA Outdoor Track Running Championships 2010-2019.
24	54(5), 2146. 69th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. San
25	Diego, CA. May 31 - June 4, 2022.
26	5. Shaw BS, Lloyd R, Da Silva M, Coetzee D, Millard L, Breukelman G, Brown GA,
27	Shaw I. Analysis Of Physiological Determinants During A Single Bout Of German
28	Volume Training. 54(5), 886. 69th Annual Meeting of the American College of

Casse 4 23-66 261 85/06/2020 20 dune 27 62 96 3, FD et E 06/20723, Frage 16 E 4 of 12 14 29

Sports Medicine. San Diego, CA. May 31 - June 4, 2022.

- Shaw I, Turner S, Brown GA, Shaw BS. Effects Of Resistance Exercise Modalities On Chest Expansion, Spirometry And Cardiorespiratory Fitness In Untrained Smokers. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 54(5), 889. 69th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. San Diego, CA. May 31 - June 4, 2022.
- 7. Elton D, Brown GA, Orr T, Shaw BS, Shaw I. Comparison Of Running Performance Between Division And Sex In NCAA Outdoor Track Running Championships 2010-2019. Northland Regional Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. Held Virtually. April 8, 2022
- 8. Brown GA. Transwomen competing in women's sports: What we know, and what
 we don't. American Physiological Society New Trends in Sex and Gender
 Medicine conference. Held virtually due to Covid-19 pandemic. October 19 22,
 2021, 2021.
- Shaw BS, Boshoff VE, Coetzee S, Brown GA, Shaw I. A Home-based Resistance
 Training Intervention Strategy To Decrease Cardiovascular Disease Risk In
 Overweight Children Med Sci Sport Exerc. 53(5), 742. 68th Annual Meeting of
 the American College of Sports Medicine. Held virtually due to Covid-19 pandemic.
 June 1-5, 2021.
- 10. Shaw I, Cronje M, Brown GA, Shaw BS. Exercise Effects On Cognitive Function
 And Quality Of Life In Alzheimer's Patients In Long-term Care. Med Sci Sport
 Exerc. 53(5), 743. 68th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
 Medicine. Held virtually due to Covid-19 pandemic. June 1-5, 2021.
- 11. Brown GA, Escalera M, Oleena A, Turek T, Shaw I, Shaw BS. Relationships
 between Body Composition, Abdominal Muscle Strength, and Well Defined
 Abdominal Muscles. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 53(5), 197. 68th Annual Meeting of the
 American College of Sports Medicine. Held virtually due to Covid-19 pandemic.
 June 1-5, 2021.
- 28 12. Brown GA, Jackson B, Szekely B, Schramm T, Shaw BS, Shaw I. A Pre-Workout

A163 ¹¹¹

1	Supplement Does Not Improve 400 M Sprint Running or Bicycle Wingate Test
2	Performance in Recreationally Trained Individuals. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 50(5),
3	2932. 65th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine.
4	Minneapolis, MN. June 2018.
5	13. Paulsen SM, Brown GA. Neither Coffee Nor A Stimulant Containing "Pre-
6	workout" Drink Alter Cardiovascular Drift During Walking In Young Men. Med
7	Sci Sport Exerc. 50(5), 2409. 65th Annual Meeting of the American College of
8	Sports Medicine. Minneapolis, MN. June 2018.
9	14. Adkins M, Bice M, Bickford N, Brown GA. Farm to Fresh! A Multidisciplinary
10	Approach to Teaching Health and Physical Activity. 2018 spring SHAPE America
11	central district conference. Sioux Falls, SD. January 2018.
12	15. Shaw I, Kinsey JE, Richards R, Shaw BS, and Brown GA. Effect Of Resistance
13	Training During Nebulization In Adults With Cystic Fibrosis. International Journal
14	of Arts & Sciences' (IJAS). International Conference for Physical, Life and Health
15	Sciences which will be held at FHWien University of Applied Sciences of WKW,
16	at Währinger Gürtel 97, Vienna, Austria, from 25-29 June 2017.
17	16. Bongers M, Abbey BM, Heelan K, Steele JE, Brown GA. Nutrition Education
18	Improves Nutrition Knowledge, Not Dietary Habits In Female Collegiate Distance
19	Runners. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 49(5), 389. 64 th Annual Meeting of the American
20	College of Sports Medicine. Denver, CO. May 2017.
21	17. Brown GA, Steele JE, Shaw I, Shaw BS. Using Elisa to Enhance the Biochemistry
22	Laboratory Experience for Exercise Science Students. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 49(5),
23	1108. 64 th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. Denver,
24	CO. May 2017.
25	18. Brown GA, Shaw BS, and Shaw I. Effects of a 6 Week Conditioning Program on
26	Jumping, Sprinting, and Agility Performance In Youth. Med Sci Sport Exerc.
27	48(5), 3730. 63 rd Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine.
28	Boston, MA. June 2016.

Casse 4 23-0602061.856/06/2020200d Dm 207 62063, FD et E06/20723, FPage 161/6 co f24139

1	19. Shaw I, Shaw BS, Boshoff VE, Coetzee S, and Brown GA. Kinanthropometric
2	Responses To Callisthenic Strength Training In Children. Med Sci Sport Exerc.
3	48(5), 3221. 63rd Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine.
4	Boston, MA. June 2016.
5	20. Shaw BS, Shaw I, Gouveia M, McIntyre S, and Brown GA. Kinanthropometric
6	Responses To Moderate-intensity Resistance Training In Postmenopausal Women.
7	Med Sci Sport Exerc. 48(5), 2127. 63rd Annual Meeting of the American College
8	of Sports Medicine. Boston, MA. June 2016.
9	21. Bice MR, Cary JD, Brown GA, Adkins M, and Ball JW. The use of mobile
10	applications to enhance introductory anatomy & physiology student performance
11	on topic specific in-class tests. National Association for Kinesiology in Higher
12	Education National Conference. January 8, 2016.
13	22. Shaw I, Shaw BS, Lawrence KE, Brown GA, and Shariat A. Concurrent Resistance
14	and Aerobic Exercise Training Improves Hemodynamics in Normotensive
15	Overweight and Obese Individuals. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 47(5), 559. 62 nd Annual
16	Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. San Diego, CA. May 2015.
17	23. Shaw BS, Shaw I, McCrorie C, Turner S., Schnetler A, and Brown GA. Concurrent
18	Resistance and Aerobic Training in the Prevention of Overweight and Obesity in
19	Young Adults. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 47(5), 223. 62 nd Annual Meeting of the
20	American College of Sports Medicine. San Diego, CA. May 2015.
21	24. Schneekloth B, Shaw I, Shaw BS, and Brown GA. Physical Activity Levels Using
22	Kinect [™] Zumba Fitness versus Zumba Fitness with a Human Instructor. Med Sci
23	Sport Exerc. 46(5), 326. 61 st Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
24	Medicine. Orlando, FL. June 2014.
25	25. Shaw I, Lawrence KE, Shaw BS, and Brown GA. Callisthenic Exercise-related
26	Changes in Body Composition in Overweight and Obese Adults. Med Sci Sport
27	Exerc. 46(5), 394. 61st Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
28	Medicine. Orlando, FL June 2014.

Casse 4 23-0602061.856/2020200 dDm 227 82063, FDet E06/20723, Fragge 1687 of 21469

1	26. Shaw BS, Shaw I, Fourie M, Gildenhuys M, and Brown GA. Variances In The
2	Body Composition Of Elderly Woman Following Progressive Mat Pilates. Med Sci
3	Sport Exerc. 46(5), 558. 61st Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
4	Medicine. Orlando, FL June 2014.
5	27. Brown GA, Shaw I, Shaw BS, and Bice M. Online Quizzes Enhance Introductory
6	Anatomy & Physiology Performance on Subsequent Tests, But Not Examinations.
7	Med Sci Sport Exerc. 46(5), 1655. 61 st Annual Meeting of the American College
8	of Sports Medicine. Orlando, FL June 2014.
9	28. Kahle, A. and Brown, G.A. Electromyography in the Gastrocnemius and Tibialis
10	Anterior, and Oxygen Consumption, Ventilation, and Heart Rate During Minimalist
11	versus Traditionally Shod Running. 27th National Conference on Undergraduate
12	Research (NCUR). La Crosse, Wisconsin USA. April 11-13, 2013
13	29. Shaw, I., Shaw, B.S., and Brown, G.A. Resistive Breathing Effects on Pulmonary
14	Function, Aerobic Capacity and Medication Usage in Adult Asthmatics Med Sci
15	Sports Exerc 45 (5). S1602 2013. 60th Annual Meeting of the American College of
16	Sports Medicine, Indianapolis, IN USA, May 26-30 3013
17	30. Shaw, B.S. Gildenhuys, G.A., Fourie, M. Shaw I, and Brown, G.A. Function
18	Changes In The Aged Following Pilates Exercise Training. Med Sci Sports Exerc
19	45 (5). S1566 60 th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine,
20	Indianapolis, IN USA, May 26-30 2013
21	31. Brown, G.A., Abbey, B.M., Ray, M.W., Shaw B.S., & Shaw, I. Changes in Plasma
22	Free Testosterone and Cortisol Concentrations During Plyometric Depth Jumps.
23	Med Sci Sports Exerc 44 (5). S598, 2012. 59th Annual Meeting of the American
24	College of Sports Medicine. May 29 - June 2, 2012; San Francisco, California
25	32. Shaw, I., Fourie, M., Gildenhuys, G.M., Shaw B.S., & Brown, G.A. Group Pilates
26	Program and Muscular Strength and Endurance Among Elderly Woman. Med Sci
27	Sports Exerc 44 (5). S1426. 59th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports
28	Medicine. May 29 - June 2, 2012; San Francisco, California

Casse 4 23-0602061.856/2020200 dDm e 27 62063, FDet E 06/20723, Fragge 1628 co f 2429

1	33. Shaw B.S., Shaw, I., & Brown, G.A. Concurrent Inspiratory-Expiratory and Aerobic							
2	Training Effects On Respiratory Muscle Strength In Asthmatics. Med Sci Sports							
3	Exerc 44 (5). S2163. 59th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports							
4	Medicine. May 29 - June 2, 2012; San Francisco, California							
5	34. Scheer, K., Siebrandt, S., Brown, G.A, Shaw B.S., & Shaw, I. Heart Rate, Oxygen							
6	Consumption, and Ventilation due to Different Physically Active Video Game							
7	Systems. Med Sci Sports Exerc 44 (5). S1763. 59th Annual Meeting of the							
8	American College of Sports Medicine. May 29 - June 2, 2012; San Francisco,							
9	California							
10	35. Jarvi M.B., Shaw B.S., Shaw, I., & Brown, G.A. (2012) Paintball Is A Blast, But Is							
11	It Exercise? Heart Rate and Accelerometry In Boys Playing Paintball. Med Sci							
12	Sports Exerc 44 (5). S3503. 59th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports							
13	Medicine. May 29 - June 2, 2012; San Francisco, California							
14	Book Chapters							
15	1. Shaw BS, Shaw I, Brown G.A. Importance of resistance training in the management							
16	of cardiovascular disease risk. In Cardiovascular Risk Factors. IntechOpen, 2021.							
17	2. Brown, G.A. Chapters on Androstenedione and DHEA. In: Nutritional Supplements							
18	in Sport, Exercise and Health an A-Z Guide. edited by Linda M. Castell, Samantha J.							
19	Stear, Louise M. Burke. Routledge 2015.							
20	<u>Refereed Web Content</u>							
21	1. Brown GA and Lundberg TL. Should Transwomen be allowed to Compete in Women's							
22	Sports? A view from an Exercise Physiologist Center on Sport Policy and Conduct							
23	(accepted on April 18, 2023)							
24	https://www.sportpolicycenter.com/news/2023/4/17/should-transwomen-be-allowed-							
25	to-compete-in-womens-sports							
26	2. Brown GA. The Olympics, sex, and gender in the physiology classroom (part 2): Are							
27	there sex based differences in athletic performance before puberty? Physiology							
28	Educators Community of Practice blog (PECOP Blog), managed by the Education							

A167 ¹¹⁵

Casse 4 23-660261 85/JG/2020 abd Dme 27 62063, FDet E06/20723, Fragge 1719 of f2419

1		group	of th	he A	American	Physiolo	gical	Society.	(May	16,	2022)
2		https://blog.lifescitrc.org/pecop/2022/05/16/the-olympics-sex-and-gender-in-the-									
3		physiology-classroom-2/									
4	3.	Brown (GA. L	lookin	g back an	d moving	forward	d. The im	portance	of ret	flective
5		assessme	ent	in	physiolog	gy edu	acation.	(Janua	ary	13,	2022)
6		https://blog.lifescitrc.org/pecop/2022/01/13/looking-back-and-moving-forward-the-									
7		importance-of-reflective-assessment-in-physiology-education/									
8	4.	Brown GA. The Olympics, sex, and gender in the physiology classroom. Physiology									
9		Educator	rs Comr	nunity	of Practice	e, managed	by the	Education	group of	the Ar	nerican
10		Physiolog	gical		Society		(Augus	t	18,		2021)
11		https://bl	og.lifes	citrc.o	rg/pecop/20	021/08/18/1	the-olym	pics-sex-a	nd-gende	r-in-the	e-
12		physiolog	gy-class	sroom/	,						
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											
	1										

	Caase 2328602-0,018/5-11/2023Dbbuth287068763,	Ditited 10%/29223Paged of 1 of 253					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	D. John Sauer, Mo. Bar No. 58721* Justin D. Smith, Mo. Bar No. 63253* James Otis Law Group, LLC 13321 North Outer Forty Road, Suite 300 St. Louis, Missouri 63017 Telephone: (314) 562-0031 John.Sauer@james-otis.com <i>Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants Preside</i> IN THE UNITED STAT FOR THE DISTRI TUCSON	nt Petersen and Speaker Toma TES DISTRICT COURT ICT OF ARIZONA DIVISION					
11 12	Jane Doe, <i>et al.</i> ,						
13	Plaintiffs,						
14		Case No. 4:23-cv-00185-JGZ					
15	V.	Debuttel Declaration of Dr. Creasury A					
16		Brown, Ph.D., FACSM, in Further					
17		Support of Intervenor-Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for a					
18		Preliminary Injunction					
19	Thomas C. Horne, in his official capacity as State Superintendent of Public						
20	Instruction, et al.,						
21							
22	Defendants.						
23							
24	I, Gregory A. Brown, declare as follows:						
25	1. I have submitted an initial declaration to this Court dated May 18, 2023.						
26	2. I now submit this expert rebuttal declaration based on my personal						
27	knowledge, and it reflects my expert opinions.						
28	3. In preparing this rebuttal declar	ation, I have reviewed the expert declarations					
	A 1 7 0						

filed by Plaintiffs, submitted by Dr. Shumer and Dr. Budge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

4. In Dr. Shumer's rebuttal to my expert declaration, at paragraph 4, he states "the studies and findings discussed throughout Dr. Brown's declaration support the scientific consensus that the biological cause of average group differences in athletic performance between males and females is the rise in circulating levels of testosterone beginning in endogenous male puberty." This statement seems to completely ignore paragraphs 77-115 of my declaration and the data tables contained therein along with the data tables included in the appendix (pages 99-107), all of which are drawn from 16 separate sources, which document numerous differences in physical fitness and athletic performance between boys and girls before the onset of puberty.

11 5. In Dr. Shumer's rebuttal to my expert declaration, at paragraph 5, he states 12 that I have misrepresented the writing of McManus and Armstrong (2011) when I wrote 13 (in paragraph 77) "It is often said or assumed that boys enjoy no significant athletic advantage over girls before puberty. However, this is not true. Writing in their seminal 14 work on the physiology of elite young female athletes, McManus and Armstrong (2011) 15 16 reviewed the differences between boys and girls regarding bone density, body composition, 17 cardiovascular function, metabolic function, and other physiologic factors that can 18 influence athletic performance. They stated, 'At birth, boys tend to have a greater lean 19 mass than girls. This difference remains small but detectable throughout childhood with about a 10% greater lean mass in boys than girls prior to puberty.' (28) 'Sexual dimorphism 20 underlies much of the physiologic response to exercise,' and most importantly these 21 22 authors concluded that, 'Young girl athletes are not simply smaller, less muscular boys.' 23 (23)." Dr. Shumer faults me for not noting that the McManus paper found no difference 24 between the sexes in measures of some other physical characteristics. But I never claimed 25 that prepubertal boys and girls are physically different in *every* respect. What I claimed and what the McManus citation supports—is that prepubertal boys and girls are different 26 27 in some areas that contribute to athletic performance. McManus found measurable 28 differences between prepubertal boys and girls in body fat mass, percent body fat, lean

A170 ²

body mass, peak oxygen uptake, maximal pulmonary ventilation, blood volume, cardiac
 function.

6. I would therefore like to provide the following further quotations from McManus and Armstrong supporting my reading of the paper that boys enjoy a significant athletic advantage over girls before puberty.

5

3

4

6 7. "Small sex differences in fat mass and percent body fat are evident from midchildhood..." (at 27) – Mid childhood is considered to be ages 6-12. This statement is used 7 8 by Dr. Shumer in an endeavor to discredit my expert report, when indeed it supports my report. "Small differences" is an ambiguous term, yet athletic advantages are often the sum 9 of many small differences (as pointed out in my declaration, differences of 3-5% are often 10 11 more than the difference between a gold medal and no medal, see paragraphs 111-112). 12 Furthermore, the magnitude of an advantage is not a deciding factor in whether that advantage is or is not allowed in sports. Anabolic-Androgenic steroids provide a 5-20% 13 advantage in muscle strength (Hartgens and Kuipers, 2004) and are almost universally 14 banned as *performance* enhancing substances. Androstenedione was sold as a testosterone 15 16 enhancing nutritional supplement in the late 1990s and early 2000s and was banned as a 17 performance enhancing substance even though research shows that androstenedione intake 18 does not enhance the adaptations to resistance training (King et al. 1997, Brown et al. 19 2000). Fastskin swimming suits provide a $3.2 \pm 2.4\%$ performance benefit in swimming 20 (Chatard and Wilson, 2008), and are banned from use by FINA.

8. "At birth, boys tend to have a greater lean mass than girls. This difference
remains small but detectable throughout childhood with about a 10% greater lean mass in
boys than girls prior to puberty." (at 28)

9. "In comparison to boys, girls are characterised with a smaller absolute peak
VO₂. Predicted values range from 1.5 to 2.2 litres•min⁻¹ in 10- to 16-year-old girls and are
lower than boys by 11, 19, 23 and 27% at ages 10, 12, 14 and 16 years of age, respectively."
(at 30) Peak VO₂ is an estimation of maximal oxygen consumption (called VO₂max),
which accounts for 30-40% of performance in endurance exercise. Puberty is not typically

experienced by boys or girls by 10 years of age.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10. "In children, like adults, exercise pulmonary gas exchange depends on pulmonary ventilation (VE) and at maximal work rates high rates of ventilation are usual. Maximal values of 49– 95 litres•min⁻¹ have been recorded for girls between the ages of 9 and 16 years [] and there is a consistent sex difference with values somewhat higher in boys (58– 105 litres • min⁻¹) for the same age span." "Maximum ventilation remains higher in boys, whether controlled for body size using a ratio standard or allometric adjustment with either stature and/or body mass []. Thus, the higher peak VO₂ in boys is indeed supported by a higher VE." (at 31)

- 10 11. When describing differences in blood volume per unit of body mass: "When
 11 normalised using a ratio standard with body mass, differences between girls and boys were
 12 apparent from about 6 years of age, with values lower in the girls." (at 32)
- 12. "There are clear differences in cardiac function at rest and during exercise 13 between girls and boys, with differences apparent even prior to puberty. The electrical 14 conduction system is influenced by sex steroid hormones, with girls normally having 15 16 higher resting heart rates than boys – somewhere in the magnitude of 90 beats per minute 17 at around 10-12 years of age []. This is thought to relate to intrinsic differences in the sinus 18 node pacemaker [], a difference notable at birth with newborn boys displaying lower 19 baseline heart rates than girls []. The higher resting heart rate in girls is often explained as an artefact of differences in cardiac dimensions, and indeed the ratio of heart mass to body 20 mass has been found to be higher in boys than girls at birth, remaining so through 21 adolescence []. Heart volume has also been found to be greater in boys with values of 342 22 23 and 403 ml for pre-pubertal girls and boys, respectively..." (at 32)
- 13. "Data recently published from a thoracic impedance measure of peak C[ardiac]I[ndex] and MRI markers of cardiac size [] demonstrated that pre- pubertal boys had a 16.7% higher (a- v O_2) difference than girls." (at 34) – Cardiac index is an assessment of the cardiac output value based on the patient's size. Cardiac output is the volume of blood the heart pumps per minute. (a-v O_2) difference is the arterio-venous oxygen

Caase 2323602-0,0185-1/6223D bbut 1287668763, DFitEd 106/2922 Pagede 5 of 253

difference, and measures how well the tissues extract oxygen from the blood stream. (a-v O₂) difference accounts for roughly 40-50% of maximal oxygen consumption.

3 4

5

6

7

1

2

14. "Results showed phase II pVO₂ kinetics were approximately 20% slower in pre- pubertal girls compared to boys ... This is suggestive of a lower tolerance of fatigue in the girls" (at 35) – pVO₂ stand for Pulmonary Oxygen Uptake, and pVO₂ kinetics provides an insight into the integrated capacity of an organism to transport and utilize oxygen to support an increased rate of energy turnover in contracting muscle cells.

8 15. "To summarise, there are differences between boys and girls in the aerobic
9 responses to exercise which cannot be accounted for solely by size." (at 35)

10 16. Dr. Shumer states (at paragraph 5) that the article by McManus and 11 Armstrong is published in the journal *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* (which 12 is the flagship journal for the American College of Sports Medicine). The referenced article 13 by McManus & Armstrong is actually published in *Medicine and Sport Science*, which is 14 a book series (not a journal) and is not in any way affiliated with the American College of 15 Sports Medicine.

16 17. At paragraph 6, Dr. Shumer states "Dr. Brown gives the false impression that 17 all 22 of the peer-reviewed publications demonstrated differences on total body fat. Instead, 18 Staiano and Katzmarzyk expressly note that 'not all studies demonstrate sex differences in T[otal]B[ody]F[at] before puberty." Dr. Shumer contends that my report is deceptive 19 20 because Staiano's conclusion—that prepubertal girls tend to have more body fat (which is exactly what the article says: "In prepubertal children, girls typically have more T[otal] 21 22 B[ody] F[at] than boys.")—was not based on unanimous evidence, but rather on the weight 23 of the evidence. Staiano noted that, of the 22 studies reviewed, four of them found similar 24 body fat between boys and girls. Staiano suggested that these studies were influenced by a 25 failure to control for "other influences like age, maturational status and obesity status." In 26 any event, I did not claim that the evidence was unanimous; I simply cited the peer-27 reviewed conclusion reached by Staiano based on 18 of the 22 studies Staiano reviewed. 28 That isn't deceptive. And experts do not need unanimity to reach a reliable conclusion;

Caase 2323602-0,01205-1/60223D bdu har holds 163, Drited 106/29223P a gade 6 of 253

1

rather, they are to look to the great weight of the evidence, which is exactly what I did.

2 18. In paragraphs 7 and 8, Dr. Shumer criticizes my partial use of a statement 3 from Handelsman: "Dr. Brown further misrepresents Handelsman (2018)'s findings, notably omitting key portions from the study he cites. Dr. Brown writes, '[t]here is 4 5 convincing evidence that the sex differences in muscle mass and strength are sufficient to account for the increased strength and aerobic performance of men compared with women 6 and is in keeping with the differences in world records between the sexes.' (Brown Decl. 7 8 ¶ 59; Brown Hecox Decl. ¶ 88.) But Dr. Brown omits the following sentence from 9 Handelsman which explains that '[t]he basis for the sex difference in muscle mass and strength is the sex difference in circulating testosterone.' David Handelsman, et al. 10 11 Circulating Testosterone as the Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic 12 Performance, 39 Endocrine Revs. 803, 816 (2018) (emphasis added)." The second half of 13 that sentence is purposefully omitted as I do not agree with the proposition that testosterone is the only factor responsible for sex-based differences in athletic performance. Indeed, I 14 15 dedicate many pages of my expert report to demonstrating that there are sex-based 16 differences in athletic performance before puberty citing numerous sources and providing 17 many tables of data. In many places within my report, I acknowledge that puberty driven 18 increases in testosterone in males causes large increases in the differences in athletic 19 performance between males and females (for example, see paragraphs 126-130), so to omit 20 a partial sentence from a single source is hardly misleading.

21

21 22

23

24

25

19. I would like to point out that after paragraph 127, I include the lower panel of figure 2 from Handelsman (2017) which shows "Fitted sigmoidal curve plot of gender differences in performance (in percentage) according to age (in years) in running, jumping and swimming events as well as serum testosterone. Data shown as mean and standard error of the mean of the pooled gender differences by age."

26 20. I would like to add the upper panel to figure 2 from Handelsman (2017) (see
27 below), which shows Gender differences in performance (in percentage) according to age
28 (in years) in running events including 50 m, 60 m, 100 m, 200 m, 300 m, 400 m, 500 m,

Caase 2323602-0,018/5-1/6/23D bdu har holos 16.1, Drited 106/29/23 agade 7 of 253

600 m, 800 m, 1000 m, 1500 m, 1 mile, 2000 m, 3000 m and 2 miles (upper left panel) and in jumping events including high jump, pole vault, triple jump, long jump and standing long jump (upper right panel). This figure demonstrates an average male performance advantage of \sim 3% in running at age 10, \sim 4% at age 11, and \sim 5% at age 12, and this figure also demonstrates an \sim 6% male advantage in jumping at age 10, and \sim 5% at ages 11 and 12. Extrapolating the error bars in these graphs (which represent the standard deviation, it is very reasonable to expect that a majority of boys at ages 10, 11, and 12 will outperform girls of the same age.



17 21. In paragraphs 9-12, Dr. Shumer refers to an article written for "The 18 Conversation" (a network of not-for-profit media outlets publishing news stories and 19 research reports online, with accompanying expert opinion and analysis written by 20 academics and researchers) summarizing "research published in American Academy of Neurology Journal." It is important to note that the research published in the journal 21 *Neurology*, published by the American Academy of Neurology, referenced by Dr. Shumer 22 23 evaluated "Twelve functional outcome measures were collected from 1,000 healthy 24 individuals aged 3-101 years", and did not specifically focus on children or adolescents. In 25 The Conversation, it is explained that "As part of wider research to assess people's physical 26 capabilities across the lifespan, we tested 300 children and adolescents between the ages of 3 and 19."¹ 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

¹ https://theconversation.com/when-it-comes-to-sport-boys-play-like-a-girl-80328

²⁸

Caase 2328602-00185-1/2023Dbbuh27068053, DittEd 106/29223Papade 8 of 253

22. In contrast to the above citation by Dr. Shumer to a single study with "300 children and adolescents between the ages of 3 and 19," my report cited peer-reviewed 3 research publications of a range of sample sizes focusing on children, not children as "part 4 of wider research to assess people's physical capabilities across the lifespan."

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

23. Here are some of the studies I cited:

In a test of reaction time in Spanish Preschool children (1,845 girls a. and 1,896 boys with a mean age of 55.93 ± 11.14 months) boys performed better than girls (paragraphs 43 and 114 referencing Latorre-Roman et al. 2018)

b. A summary of data from "a national sample of Canadian children and youth" ages 7-17 years demonstrating that boys have higher aerobic power than girls of the same age (Paragraph 80, Citing Malina et al. 2004, and Gauthier et al. 1983).

In an evaluation "of 703 male and female elite young German athletes c. aged 8-18" (1) "fitness development precedes sports specialization" (2) and "males outperformed females in C[ounter]M[ovement]J[ump], D[rop]J[ump], C[hange]o[f]D[irection speed] performances and hand grip strength." (Paragraph 81 Citing Lesinski et al. 2020).

d. A total of 424,328 Greek boys and girls aged 6-18 years using standing long jump to measure lower body explosive power, sit and reach to measure flexibility, timed 30 second sit ups to measure abdominal and hip flexor muscle endurance, 10 x 5 meter shuttle run to evaluate speed and agility, and multistage 20 meter shuttle run test to estimate aerobic performance. For each of the fitness tests, performance was better in boys compared with girls, except for the sit and reach test. (Paragraphs 82 -84, 100, Citing Tambalis 2016).

e. USA Presidential Fitness Testing data for 85th and 50th percentile demonstrating that boys perform better on tests of muscle strength and running endurance (Paragraph 85-86).

f. An evaluation of 85,000 Australian children aged 9-17 years old showed that, compared with 9-year-old females, 9-year-old males were faster over short sprints (9.8%) and 1 mile (16.6%), could jump 9.5% further from a standing start (a test of explosive power), could complete 33% more push-ups in 30 [seconds] and had 13.8% stronger grip. (Paragraphs 88-89, citing Catley & Tomkinson, 2013).

g. Evaluation of the "Eurofit" test battery on children from 30 European countries and 2,779,165 test performances in 9-17 year old boys and girls showed that boys performed better than similarly aged girls at each age on tests of muscular strength, muscular endurance, and aerobic fitness (Paragraphs 90-93, citing Tomkinson 2018).

h. An evaluation of 20m shuttle run performance in 1,142,026 children aged 9-17 in 50 countries showing that boys performed better than girls of the same age (paragraphs 94-95, citing Tomkinson et al., 2017).

i. An evaluation of 10,302 children aged 6-10.9 years of age, from the European countries of Sweden, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Cyprus, Spain, Belgium, and Estonia demonstrating that boys performed better than girls in speed, lower-and upper-limb strength and cardiorespiratory fitness. (Paragraphs 97-99, citing De Miguel-Etayo et al. 2014).

j. An evaluation of 18 studies for males (N=5676 in total) and 17 studies for females (N=5489 in total) in the United States and Canada demonstrating the boys had strength advantages of between 13 and 28 percent, with the remaining outlier recording only a 4% advantage for 7-year-old boys (Paragraph 101, citing Silverman 2011).

k. An analysis of vertical jump measurements of 7,614 healthy
Colombian schoolchildren aged 9 -17.9 years of age, showing that boys jump higher
than girls of the same age (Paragraph 103, citing Ramírez-Vélez et al, 2017).

 An analysis of vertical jump measurements of 1,845 children aged 10-15 years in primary and secondary schools in the East of England, showing that boys jump higher than girls of the same age (Paragraph 104, citing Taylor 2010).

m. Data from USA Track & Field (Paragraphs 107, 108).

1

2

3

4

5

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

n. Data from Athletic.net for the USA (Paragraph 109, 110).

Data from 366 Danish boys and 332 Danish girls between the ages of 0. 6 and 7 years old showing that boys have higher measurements of aerobic fitness, even if the boys and girls engage in the same amount of physical activity (Paragraph 113, citing Eiberg 2005).

24. In Paragraph 10, Dr. Shumer contends that age, location, or socioeconomic 6 7 factors have not been controlled for in the above-referenced studies. This is quite simply 8 not so, as the vast majority of these papers compared the performance of children of the 9 same age (as demonstrated in the normative data presented in paragraphs 77-115 and the appendix of my declaration), and, as explained above, the male advantages have been 10 11 documented in a wide range of countries.

12 25. To further demonstrate that prepubertal boys exhibit advantages in measure 13 of physical fitness and motor control which give them advantages in sports compared to 14 girls of the same age, here are even more papers:

- a. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 38 articles studies were 16 carried out in 19 different countries (Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Britain, China, Croatia, Germany, Iran, Indonesia, Ireland, Japan, Korea, Myanmar, Poland, Portugal, Puerto Rico, Singapore, South Africa, and the USA representing data for 8394 children ages 3-6 years old who were assessed for object control skills. Significant differences were found, favoring boys vs. girls at ages 3, 4, 5, and 6 with at least some of the differences attributable to biology (Zheng et al, 2022).
 - b. 1,682 children and adolescent aged 6-17 years from central Spain, divided into prepubertal and pubertal groups based on Tanner stages demonstrating that pre-pubertal boys had more muscle mass, less fat mass, and performed better girls on tests of countermovement jump, handgrip strength, and 20 m shuttle run (Manzano-Carrasco et al. 2022).

3,179 preschool children (1678 boys) ages 2.8-6.4 years from 10 c. different cities and towns in Spain and found boys outperformed girls in the 20 m
Casse 4:2-3-60/200 D859J (22) 2 D d Dunhe 76 6076B, Ektech 06/29/2,3P agag te 811 of 21453

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

shuttle run, handgrip strength, standing long jump, and 4X 10 m shuttle run (Cadenas-Sanchez, 2019).

d. 31,484 children (16,023 boys and 15,461 girls) ages 6-11 years old from a representative sample of the French population with boys performing better on tests of Cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular endurance, and speed (Vanhelst et al. 2020).

e. 341 young Nigerian children (ages 3 to 5) At each age level the boys consistently performed better than the girls tests of catching, standing long jump, tennis ball throw and speed run (Toriola and Ingokwe, 1986).

f. 434 low-income preschool children from Santiago Chile (246 boys; 5.48 ± 0.31 years) showing that boys were heavier and taller thang girls, with boys performing better on handgrip strength test, standing long jump. and 20 m sprint (Cadenas-Sanchez, 2015).

14 26. It is also important to note that sports do not take into account socioeconomic
15 factors or location. For example, at a youth wrestling tournament the athletes may be
16 categorized based on sex, age, and body weight, but not socioeconomic status or location.
17 In a youth soccer tournament, the athletes may be categorized based on sex, age, or possibly
18 the team skill rating, but not socioeconomic status or location.

19 27. In Paragraph 12, Dr. Shumer claims that there has been wide replication of
20 the lack of difference in sporting performance between prepubertal boys and girls and states
21 that there is a general consensus that there are no sex-based differences in athletic
22 performance before puberty, and yet cites only two sources. Neither of these sources
23 professes to present a scientific consensus statement on the presence or lack of sex-based
24 difference in performance before puberty.

25 28. In reading Senefeld et al., Sex Differences in Youth Elite Swimming, 14
26 PLOS ONE 1, 1–2 (2019), these authors cite only two sources regarding the sex-based
27 differences in sporting performance in 10 to 12-year-olds, one of which is the Handelsman
28 (2018) paper and the other is a paper by Tonnessen et al. (Performance development in

A179 ¹¹

Casse 4:2-3-60/200 D859J (22) 2 D d Dunhe 76 876B, Elkechol6/29/2,3P agage 812 col f2153

1

2

3

4

5

adolescent track and field athletes according to age, sex and sport discipline. PloS one. 2015;10(6):e0129014). Indeed, Senefeld et al. state "However, the sex-based differences in performance prior to age 10 are unknown ..." (at page 2) and "However it is clear that these data provide one of the only examples of faster (or at least not slower) sports performance for girls than boys." (at page 8)

29. In paragraph 13, Dr. Shumer describes these data as "Demographic Data", 6 which is incorrect. Demographic data are used to help understand the statistical 7 8 characteristics of human populations. Demographic data can contain specific information 9 about the characteristics of a given population, such as the following: age range, race and ethnicity, sex, gender, level of education, income, employment status, occupation, 10 11 homeownership, birth rates, death rates, marriage rates, religious affiliation, political 12 affiliation, spoken language, geographic location, or hobbies and interests. Many of the 13 studies which Dr. Shumer calls demographic data are normative data, which are information from a population of interest that establishes a baseline distribution of results 14 for that particular population (Lee & Schuele, Abdi & Williams, Encyclopedia of Research 15 16 Design, Sage Publications, 2010).

- 30. The competition data presented in my report represent the under-8 and 9 to
 10-year-old records from USA Track & Field, and annual performance data gleaned from
 Athletic.net for the State of Arizona in 2022, and for the entire United States in 2021.
- 20 31. I recently (June 2, 2023) presented research at the 2023 annual meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine using nationwide results from Athletic.net 21 22 demonstrating that over the years 2017-2021, the top 10 boys ages 7-8 and 9-10 ran faster 23 than girls of the same ages and jumped higher and father in 100m, 200m, 400m, 800m, 600m, high jump and long jump by 3-10% than the girls in every event every year (Brown 24 25 GA, Brown CJ, Shaw I, Shaw B. Boy and Girls Differ in Track and Field Event Performance Before Puberty. 70th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports 26 27 Medicine. Denver CO. Presentation 2577. May 30 – June 2, 2023). There was another 28 presentation in the same session in which the authors used data from Athletic.net for the

Casse 4:2-3-60/200 D859J (22) 2 D d Dunhe 76 6076B, 10 ktech 06/20/2,3 P agag te 813 cof 2145

top 10 male and female athletes for the years 2019, 2020, and 2021 for ages 7-18 years, and observed that prepubertal males outperformed females of the same age by 3-10% in the 100m, 200m, 400m, 800, high jump and long jump every year and overall (Atkinson MA, Linde JJ, Hunter SK. Sex Differences in Performance of Elite Youth Track and Field Athletes. 70th Annual Meeting of the American College of Sports Medicine. Denver CO. Presentation 2572. May 30 – June 2, 2023). This demonstrates that (1) data from Athletic.net are considered sufficiently reliable for scholarly endeavors, and (2) prepubertal male advantages in running and jumping are consistently demonstrated in elite youth.

9 32. Additionally, The Motivational Times, from USA Swimming, show under
 10-year-old boys consistently swimming faster than under 10-year-old girls.²

11 33. In paragraphs 14-27, Dr. Shumer contends that "Transgender girls who 12 receive puberty suppressing medication at the onset of puberty have no athletic advantage over other girls." While Dr. Shumer is correct to state that there is no research showing 13 puberty suppression and or cross sex hormones does not eliminate male athletic 14 advantages, similarly there is no research showing it does. Dr. Shumer does not cite any 15 16 studies showing that puberty suppression results in transgender girls exhibiting athletic 17 performance that is the same as equally aged, gifted, and trained females. Dr. Shumer 18 attempts to deflect the research showing the males who take puberty blockers and/or cross 19 sex hormones retain male pattern advantages in lean body mass, muscle strength, body 20 height, and so forth, by stating that this research does not demonstrate athletic advantages. In this he ignores the commonly held tenet in the professions of exercise physiology and 21 strength & conditioning that lean body mass is one the major factors driving athletic 22 23 performance overall, and driving the sex-based differences in athletic performance (see my 24 declaration, paragraphs 61 and 81 for explanation of this tenet).

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

34. Overall, athletes spend an inordinate amount of time in the weight room, on the track, in the pool, etc. trying to improve their physical fitness, because improved

27

26

^{28 &}lt;sup>2</sup> https://swimswam.com/usa-swimming-releases-age-group-motivational-times-for-2021-2024/

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

physical fitness translates to improved athletic performance. Whether it is measured as a higher VO₂max in an endurance athlete, a higher 1-repetion maximum for a thrower or wrestler, or a larger amount of lean body mass in almost any athlete, these measures of improved physical fitness are indicators of a greater potential for successful athletic performance. The differences in physical fitness between males and females before and after puberty predispose males to a winning performance if they were to compete against females of the same age who have the same training and sports background.

8 35. Lean body mass is a significant determinant of muscle strength and sports 9 performance. As demonstrated by Almiray-Stot et al. (2022), in healthy children ages 5 to 19-years-old, lean body mass is significantly correlated to muscle strength in both boys 10 11 and girls "Highly positive correlations of muscle strength with lean mass in upper limbs 12 were found r-values 0.87-0.92 for boys and r = 0.80-0.86 for girls. High and moderate 13 positive correlations for lower limbs were also noted for upper limbs: r = 0.74-0.86 for boys and r = 0.67-0.82 for girls." (at 597). And, as observed by Zaras et al. (2020) in well 14 trained adult female weightlifters: "Very large to nearly perfect correlations were found 15 16 between snatch and clean and jerk for trunk lean body mass (r = 0.959 and 0.929) (at 1)." 17 The connection between lean body mass and muscle strength is quite clear, and the muscle 18 strength is very important to sports performance as stated by Comfort et al. (2023) in the 19 National Strength and Conditioning Association Position Statement on Weightlifting for Sports Performance, "strength underpins performance in athletic tasks." (at 1165) 20

21 36. In paragraph 19, Dr. Shumer cites the paper by Harper on Race Times for 22 Transgender Athletes as evidence that testosterone suppression and/or cross sex hormones 23 eliminates male advantages. Please see my report, paragraphs 155-159, for an explanation 24 of some of the numerous problems with the data from Harper. Also see my report 25 paragraphs 151-152 for analysis of the papers by Roberts et al. and Chicarelli et al. 26 regarding running times in transgender air force personnel, in which there is at least 27 objective evaluation of endurance performance in transwomen. Also see paragraph 169 28 for an explanation of the work by Alvares on VO₂max in transwomen.

A182 ¹⁴

37. In paragraphs 28-31, Dr. Shumer claims that the pre-pubertal male athletic advantages are not due to "minipuberty". At no point in my declaration are the male athletic advantages differences ascribed to "minipuberty" (indeed, the term "minipuberty" is not found within my expert report).

5 38. It is important to note that in their initial declarations, and in their rebuttal statements, neither Dr. Stephanie Budge nor Dr. Daniel Shumer cited any peer reviewed 6 publications or presented any data demonstrating that the use of gonadotropin-releasing 7 8 hormone (GnRH) analogues (aka puberty blockers) prevent juvenile males from 9 developing male sex-based advantages in sports performance. Specifically, neither Dr. Budge nor Dr. Shumer showed that the administration of puberty blockers causes males to 10 11 cease developing sex-based differences in lean body mass, body height, muscle strength, 12 muscle endurance, aerobic fitness, or any measure of sports-specific performance that gives males large athletic advantages over comparably aged, gifted and trained females before 13 14 and after puberty.

39. 15 In contrast, I presented considerable data and cited numerous peer reviewed 16 publications demonstrating that males have advantages in physical fitness and sports 17 performance before (see paragraphs 77-115, and the appendix, and the additional 18 information in my rebuttal to Dr. Shumer) and after puberty (see paragraphs 7-73). I also 19 cited and briefly summarized peer-reviewed publications demonstrating that administering 20 puberty blockers does not erase male sex-based advantages in lean body mass (see my 21 declaration paragraphs 117-121) and body height (see my declaration paragraphs 124 & 22 125).

23

1

2

3

4

24 I swear or affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/Dr. Gregory A. Brown, Ph.D., FACSM

- 25 Dated: June 29, 2023
- 26
- 27
- 28

Exhibit 18

REVIEW

Circulating Testosterone as the Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance

David J. Handelsman,^{1,2} Angelica L. Hirschberg,^{3,4} and Stephane Bermon^{5,6}

¹ANZAC Research Institute, University of Sydney, Concord, New South Wales 2139, Australia; ²Department of Andrology, Concord Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales 2139, Australia; ³Department of Women's and Children's Health, Karolinska Institutet, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁴Department of Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, Karolinska University Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁵Laboratoire Motricité Humaine, Education, Sport, Santé, Université Côte d'Azur, 06000 Nice, France; and ⁶Health and Science Department, International Association of Athletics Federations, 98000 Monaco

ABSTRACT Elite athletic competitions have separate male and female events due to men's physical advantages in strength, speed, and endurance so that a protected female category with objective entry criteria is required. Prior to puberty, there is no sex difference in circulating testosterone concentrations or athletic performance, but from puberty onward a clear sex difference in athletic performance emerges as circulating testosterone concentrations rise in men because testes produce 30 times more testosterone than before puberty with circulating testosterone exceeding 15-fold that of women at any age. There is a wide sex difference in circulating testosterone concentrations and a reproducible dose-response relationship between circulating testosterone and muscle mass and strength as well as circulating hemoglobin in both men and women. These dichotomies largely account for the sex differences in muscle mass and strength and circulating testosterone of hyperandrogenic athletes results in negative effects on performance, which are reversed when suppression ceases. Based on the nonoverlapping, bimodal distribution of circulating testosterone concentration (measured by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry) and making an allowance for women with mild hyperandrogenism, notably women with polycystic ovary syndrome (who are overrepresented in elite athletics) the appropriate eligibility criterion for female athletic events should be a circulating testosterone of <5.0 nmol/L. This would include all women other than those with untreated hyperandrogenic disorders of sexual development and noncompliant male-to-female transgender as well as testosterone-treated female-to-male transgender or androgen dopers. (*Endocrine Reviews* 39: 803 – 829, 2018)

irtually all elite sports are segregated into male and female competitions. The main justifica tion is to allow women a chance to win, as women have major disadvantages against men who are, on average, taller, stronger, and faster and have greater endurance due to their larger, stronger muscles and bones as well as a higher circulating hemoglobin level. Hence, elite female competition forms a protected category with entry that must be restricted by an objective eligibility criterion related, by necessity, to the relevant sex specific physical advantages. The practical need to establish an eligibility criterion for elite female athletic competition led the International Association of Athletic Federations (IAAF) to establish a rule in 2011. endorsed by the International Olympic Committee (IOC) in 2012, for hyperandrogenic women. That

IAAF regulation stated that for athletes to be eligi ble to compete in female events, the athlete must be legally recognized as a female and, unless she has complete androgen insensitivity, maintain serum testosterone <10 nmol/L. That IAAF eligibility rule was challenged by an athlete to the Court for Arbi tration in Sports, which ruled in 2015 that, although an eligibility criterion was justified, there was insufficient evidence of the extent of the competitive advantage enjoyed by hyperandrogenic athletes who had circu lating testosterone >10 nmol/L over female athletes with circulating testosterone in the normal female range. The Court for Arbitration in Sports suspended the rule pending receipt of such evidence. In that context, the present review presents the available evidence on the hormonal basis for the sex difference

ISSN Print: 0163-769X ISSN Online: 1945-7189 Printed: in USA Copyright © 2018 Endocrine Society This article has been published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY; https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/). Received: 28 January 2018 Accepted: 18 June 2018

First Published Online:

13 July 2018

ESSENTIAL POINTS

- · It is widely accepted that elite athletic competitions should have separate male and female events
- The main justification is that men's physical advantages in strength, speed, and endurance mean that a protected female category, with objective entry criteria, is required
- Prior to puberty, there is no sex difference in circulating testosterone concentrations and athletic performance
- From male puberty onward, the sex difference in athletic performance emerges as circulating testosterone concentrations rise as the testes produce 30 times more testosterone than before puberty, resulting in men having 15- to 20-fold greater circulating testosterone than children or women at any age
- This wide, bimodal sex difference in circulating testosterone concentrations and the clear dose-response relationships between circulating testosterone and muscle mass and strength, as well as the hemoglobin level, largely account for the sex differences in athletic performance
- Based on the nonoverlapping, bimodal distribution of circulating testosterone concentration (measured by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry) with 95% references ranges of 7.7 to 29.4 nmol/L in healthy men and 0 to 1.7 nmol/L in healthy premenopausal women making an allowance for women with the mild hyperandrogenism of polycystic ovary syndrome, who are overrepresented in elite athletics the eligibility criterion for female athletic events should be a circulating testosterone concentration of <5.0 nmol/L

in athletic performance. It concludes that the evidence justifies a revised eligibility criterion of a threshold

circulating testosterone concentration of 5 nmol/L (measured by a mass spectrometry method).

Sex, Fairness, and Segregation in Sport

If sports are defined as the organized playing of competitive games according to rules (1), fixed rules are fundamental in representing the boundaries of fair sporting competition. Rule breaking, whether by breaching eligibility or competition rules, such as use of banned drugs, illegal equipment, or match fixing, creates unfair competitive advantages that violate fair play. Cheating constitutes a fraud against not just competitors but also spectators, sponsors, the sport, and the public. In the absence of genuine fair com petition, elite sports would lose their wide popular appeal and ability to captivate and inspire with the authentic attraction of genuine contest between highly trained athletes.

Nevertheless, fairness is an elusive, subjective concept with malleable boundaries that may change over time as social concepts of fairness evolve. For example, until the late 19th century when orga nized sports trainers emerged, training itself was considered a breach of fairness because competition was envisaged at that time as a contest based solely on natural endowments. Similarly, sports once distin guished between amateurs and professionals. The concept of fairness has deep and complex philo sophical roots mainly focused on notions of dis tributive justice. These considerations affect sports through the universal application of antidiscrimina tion and human rights legislation. Less attention is given to the philosophical basis of fair competition in elite sports, where the objectives are not egalitarian but aim to discover a hierarchy of achievement derived

from a mixture of unequal natural talent and individual training effort. Excellent, insightful discussion of the legal and moral complexities of sex and fair competition in elite sports from a legal scholar and former elite female athlete is available (2).

The terms sex and gender are often confused and used as if interchangeable. Sex is an objective, specific biological state, a term with distinct, fixed facets, notably genetic, chromosomal, gonadal, hormonal, and phenotypic (including genital) sex, each of which has a characteristic defined binary form. Whereas all facets of biological sex are almost always aligned so that assignment of sex at birth is straightforward, rare instances in which two or more facets of biological sex conflict constitute an intersex state, now referred to as disorders (or differences) of sex development (DSDs) (3). In contrast, gender is a subjective, malleable, self identified social construct that defines a person's in dividual gender role and orientation. Prompted by biological, personal, and societal factors, volitional expression of gender can take on virtually any form limited only by the imagination, with some individuals asserting they have not just a single natal gender but two genders, none, a distinct third gender, or gender that varies (fluidly) from time to time. Hence, whereas gender is usually consistent with biological sex as assigned at birth, in a few it can differ during life. For example, if gender were the basis for eligibility for female sports, an athlete could conceivably be eligible to compete at the same Olympics in both female and male events. These features render the unassailable personal assertion of gender identity incapable of forming a fair, consistent sex classification in elite sports.

The strongest justification for sex classification in elite sports is that after puberty men produce 20 times more testosterone than women (4 7), resulting in circulating testosterone concentrations 15 fold higher than in children or women of any age. Age grade competitive sporting records show no sex differences prior to puberty, whereas from the age of male puberty onward there is a strong and ongoing male advantage (8). The striking male postpubertal increase in cir culating testosterone provides a major, ongoing, cu mulative, and durable physical advantage in sporting contests by creating larger and stronger bones, greater muscle mass and strength, and higher circulating hemoglobin as well as possible psychological (be havioral) differences. In concert, these render women, on average, unable to compete effectively against men in power based or endurance based sports.

Sex classification in sports therefore requires proof of eligibility to compete in the protected (female) category. This deceptively simple requirement for fairness is taken for granted by peer female compet itors who regard participation by males, or athletes with physical features closely resembling males, as unfair. This makes policing of eligibility inescapable for sports, to avoid unfair male participation in female events. However, such policing inevitably intrudes into highly personal matters so that it must be achieved with respect for dignity and privacy, demanding use of the least invasive, scientifically reliable means. Un surprisingly, this dilemma has always been highly contentious since it first entered international elite sports in the early 20th century, and it has become increasingly prominent and contentious in recent decades; nevertheless, the requirement to maintain fair play in female events will not disappear as long as separate female competitions exist. During recent decades, there has been progressively better un derstanding of the complex biology of genetic sex determination and the impact of pubertal sexual maturation in establishing phenotypic sex ual dichotomy in physical capabilities. These sex dichotomous physical features form the basis of, but remain quite distinct from, adult gender roles and identity. During the last century, as knowledge grew, the attempts to formalize a scientific basis for the unavoidable necessity of policing eligibility for the female category have been continually challenged. Most recently, the increasing assertion of gender self identification as a social criterion has further chal lenged the hegemony of biology for determining "sports sex," Coleman's apt term (2). Allowing sub jective gender self identification to become the sole criterion of sports sex would allow for gaming and perceptions of systematic unfairness to grow. The case for women's sports being defined by sex rather than gender, including the consequences of acceding to gender based classification, has been outlined (9) in arguing the importance of proper medical

management of athletes intending to compete in female events.

Separate male and female events in sports is a dominant form of classification that is superimposed on other graduated age group and weight classifica tions (e.g., in weightlifting, power lifting, wrestling, boxing, rowing), which reflect differences in strength, power, and speed to ensure fairness in terms of op portunity to win and, additionally, safety in contact sports. Age and weight classifications rely on objective criteria (birth date, weigh in weight) for eligibility, and so should sex classification. Nevertheless, some power sports dependent on explosive strength and power (e.g., throwing events, sprinting) do not segregate weight classes, whereas other sports where height is an advantage (e.g., basketball, jockeys) do not have height classifications. These sports disproportionately attract athletes with greater weight and/or power to weight ratio or advantageous stature, respectively. If sex classification were eliminated, such open or mixed competitions would be dominated almost exclusively by men. It therefore seems highly unlikely that sex classification would ever be discarded, despite calls on philosophical or sociological grounds to end "gender" classification in sport (10).

Sex Difference in Circulating Testosterone Levels

Testosterone biosynthesis, secretion, and regulation in men and women

An androgen is a hormone capable of developing and maintaining masculine characteristics in reproductive tissues (notably the genital tract, as well as in other tissues and organs associated with secondary sexual characteristics and fertility) and contributing to the anabolic status of nonreproductive body tissues (11). The two dominant bioactive androgens circulating in mature mammals, including humans testosterone and its more potent metabolite DHT account for the development and maintenance of all androgen dependent characteristics, and their circulating levels in men and nonpregnant women arise from steroids synthesized *de novo* in the testes, ovary, or adrenals (12).

The sexually undifferentiated gonads in the em bryo develop into either ovaries or testes according to whether a Y chromosome (or at least the *sry* gene) is present. After birth and until puberty commences, circulating testosterone concentrations are essentially the same in boys and girls, other than briefly in the neonatal period of boys when higher levels prevail. The onset of male puberty, a brain driven process triggered by a still mysterious hypothalamic or higher cerebral mechanism (13), initiates a hormonal cascade. In males, this leads to enhanced pituitary LH secretion that stimulates the 500 million Leydig cells in the testes to secrete 3 to 10 mg (mean, 7 mg) of testosterone daily (4, 6, 7, 14, 15). This creates a very high local con centration of testosterone within the testis as well as a steep downhill concentration gradient into the bloodstream that maintains circulating testosterone levels at adult male levels, which are tightly regulated by strong negative hypothalamic feedback of circu lating testosterone. In the absence of testes, these mechanisms do not function in females. In girls, serum testosterone increases during puberty (16), peaking at age 20 to 25 years before declining gradually with age (17, 18), but it remains ≤ 2 nmol/L at all ages, as determined by a reliable method (see below).

In adult women, circulating testosterone is derived from three roughly equal sources: direct secretion from the adrenal gland or the ovary and indirect extraglandular conversion (in liver, kidney, muscle, fat, skin) from testosterone precursors secreted by the adrenal and ovary. Only when circulating testosterone concentrations rise in male adolescents above the prepubertal concentrations does the virilization char acteristic of men commence, progress, and endure throughout adult life, at least until old age (18). In combination, these different sources produce ~0.25 mg of testosterone daily so that throughout life women maintain circulating testosterone levels of <2 nmol/L. Circulating testosterone concentrations in women are subject to little dynamic physiological regulation. As a result, circulating testosterone concentrations in healthy premenopausal women are stable (nonfluctuating) and not subject to strong negative feedback by exogenous testosterone (as happens in men). Even the small rise (50%) at the time of the mid cycle LH surge triggering ovulation (19) remains within the physiological range for premenopausal females.

Male and female reference ranges for circulating testosterone

A reliable threshold for circulating testosterone must be set using measurement by the reference method of liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC MS) rather than using one of the various available com mercial testosterone immunoassays. The necessary reliance on steroid mass spectrometry for clinical applications in endocrinology, reproductive medicine, and sports medicine is widely recognized. It has been standard for decades in antidoping science (20), and the growing consensus is that it is required for high quality clinical research and practice recognized by cognate professional societies (21, 22) and editorials in leading clinical endocrinology (23) and reproductive medicine (24) journals. The inherently limited spec ificity of testosterone immunoassays arises from an tibody cross reactivity with structurally related steroids (such as precursors and metabolites) other than the intended target. As a result, all steroid immunoassays, including for testosterone, display method specific bias whereby, for example, the lower limit of a

testosterone reference range in healthy young men varies from 7.3 to 12.6 nmol/L according to the im munoassay used, so that no consensus definition of a lower limit could be obtained independent of the commercial immunoassay method used (25). Fur thermore, testosterone immunoassays are optimized for circulating levels in men but display increasing inaccuracy at the lower, by an order of magnitude, circulating testosterone concentrations in women or children. In contrast to immunoassays, LC MS based methods are highly specific and do not depend on proprietary antibodies. Using LC MS based mea surements, method specific bias can be avoided and a fixed consensus lower reference limit defined (Table 1). Hence, for the precision required in sports medicine, whether for eligibility criteria or antidoping applications, testosterone in serum must be measured by LC MS methods.

Prior to puberty, levels of circulating testosterone as determined by LC MS are the same in boys and girls (16). They remain lower than 2 nmol/L in women of all ages. However, from the onset of male puberty the testes secrete 20 times more testosterone resulting in circulating testosterone levels that are 15 times greater in healthy young men than in age similar women. Using LC MS measurement, circulating testosterone in adults has a strikingly nonoverlapping bimodal distribution with wide and complete separation be tween men and women. Table 1 (25 36) summarizes data from appropriate reported studies using mass spectrometry based methods to measure serum tes tosterone in healthy men and women. Based on a number weighted pooling with conventional 95% two sided confidence limits of the eight available studies using LC MS measurements of serum tes tosterone, the reference range for healthy young men (18 to 40 years) is 7.7 nmol/L to 29.4 nmol/L. Similarly, summarizing the nine available studies for healthy menstruating women under 40 years, the 95% (two sided) reference range is 0 to 1.7 nmol/L. These ref erence limits do not control for factors such as oral contraceptive use (35, 36), menstrual phase (19), SHBG (37, 38), overweight (39, 40), fasting and smoking (41), diet (40), and physical activity (42, 43) in women and men, all of which have small effects on circulating testosterone but without materially influ encing the divergence between the nonoverlapping bimodal distribution of male and female reference ranges of circulating testosterone.

In creating a threshold for eligibility for female events it is also necessary to make allowance for women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) and nonclassical adrenal hyperplasia. PCOS is a relatively common disorder among women of reproductive ages with a prevalence of 6% to 10%, depending on the diagnostic criteria used (44), in which mild hyper androgenism is a key clinical feature and has higher than expected prevalence among elite female athletes

REVIEW

Study	Sample (Age 18 40 y)	N	Lower 95% CL (nmol/L)	Upper 95% CL (nmol/L)
Men				
Sikaris et al., 2005 (25)	Elite, eugonadal	124	10.4	30.1
Turpeinen et al., 2008 (26)	Convenience	30	10.1	31.2
Kushnir <i>et al.,</i> 2010 (27)	Convenience	132	72	24,2
Salameh <i>et al.,</i> 2010 (28)	Convenience	264	7.1	39.0
Neale et al., 2013 (29)	Convenience	67	10.6	31.9
Kelsey <i>et al.,</i> 2014 (30)	Secondary pooled analysis	1058	7.2	25.3
Hart et al., 2015 (31)	Birth cohort	423	7.4	28.0
Travison et al., 2017 (32)	Pooled two cohorts	1656	7.9	31.1
Number weighted mean			7.7	29.4
Women				
Turpeinen et al., 2008 (26)	Convenience	32	0.8	2.8
Kushnir <i>et al.,</i> 2010 (27)	Convenience	104	0.3	2.0
Salameh <i>et al.,</i> 2010 (28)	Convenience	235	0.03	1.5
Haring et al., 2012 (33)	Population based	263	0.04	2.0
Neale et al., 2013 (29)	Convenience	90	0	1.7
Bui et al., 2013 (34)	Convenience	25	0.30	1.69
Rothman et al., 2013 (19)	Convenience	31	0.4	0.92
Bermon and Garnier, 2017 (35)	Elite athletes	1652	0	1.62
Eklund et al., 2017 (36)	Elite athletes and controls	223	0.26	1.73
Number weighted mean			0.06	1.68

Table 1. Serum Testosterone Measurements by LC-MS Methods in Studies of Healthy Men and Women

Abbreviation: CL, confidence limit.

(36, 45 47). Nonclassical adrenal hyperplasia is a milder and later (adult) onset variant of classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia (48) with a much higher but still rare population prevalence (1:1000 vs 1:16,000 for the classical variant) (49). Table 2 (50 64) summarizes clinical studies (n = 16, \geq 40 women) reporting serum testosterone concentrations measured by LC MS in samples from women with PCOS.

The pooled data reveal that the upper limit of serum testosterone in women with PCOS is 3.1 nmol/ L (95% CI, one sided) or 4.8 nmol/L (using a 99.99% CI, one sided) (Table 3). Hence, a conservative threshold for circulating testosterone of 5 nmol/L measured by LC MS would identify <1:10,000 women with PCOS as false positives, based on cir culating testosterone measurement alone. Circulating testosterone higher than this threshold is likely to be due to testosterone secreting adrenal or ovarian tu mors, intersex/DSD, badly controlled or noncompliant male to female (M2F) transgender athletes, or tes tosterone doping.

The physiological effects of testosterone depend on the circulating testosterone, not its source (endogenous or exogenous)

Testosterone, whether of a natural endogenous or manufactured exogenous source, has an identical chemical structure and biological effects, aside from minor differences in isotopic composition, which are biologically insignificant. At equivalent doses and circulating levels, exogenous testosterone exerts the same biological and clinical effects on every known androgen responsive tissue or organ as endogenous testosterone, apart from effects on spermatogenesis, which as discussed below is only a matter of degree. Consequently, exogenous testosterone is a fully ef fective substitute for endogenous testosterone in therapeutic use, countering the effects of testosterone deficiency due to hypogonadism (reproductive system disorders). Any purported differences between en dogenous and exogenous testosterone are due to corresponding differences in the endogenous pro duction rate or exogenous dose. Such differences in

Table 2. Summary of Serum Testosterone (nmol/L) by LCANS in Women With PCOS From 16 Studies

REVIEW

Data taken directly from paper or interpolated from other data (e.g., median, quartiles, ranges, sample size) supplied as described by Wan *et al.*, 2014 (Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol 14: 135) are shown in italics.

,	-,		
Study	N	Mean	SD
Moran et al., 2017 (50)	92	0.24	0.08
Münzker et al., 2017 (51)	274	0.93	0.19
O'Reilly et al., 2017 (52)	114	0.55	0.19
Handelsman <i>et al.</i> , 2017 (53)	152	0.38	0.25
Pasquali <i>et al.</i> , 2016 (54)	156	1.17	0.47
Yang et al., 2016 (55)	1159	2.2	1,44
Tosi et al, 2016 (56)	116	1.33	0.55
Daan <i>et al.</i> , 2015 (57)	170	1.64	0.53
Bui et al., 2015 (58)	44	0.85	0.3
Keefe et al., 2014 (59)	52	1.7	0.97
Yasmin <i>et al.</i> , 2013 (60)	165	1.99	1,02
Janse <i>et al.</i> , 2011 (61)	200	1.12	0.47
Jedel <i>et al.</i> , 2011 (62)	72	0.23	0.08
Legro <i>et al.,</i> 2010 (Mayo) (63)	596	2.12	0.89
Legro et al., 2010 (Quest) (63)	596	1.98	0.97
Stener Victorin et al., 2010 (64)	74	1.53	0.62
Sum	4032		
Number weighted mean		1.69	0.87

effective exposure lead to corresponding differences in circulating testosterone levels and its effects according to the dose response curves for testosterone.

Similar to all hormones and drugs, over their ef fective range of biological activity the dose response relationship for testosterone is usually a sigmoidal curve with lower and upper plateaus joined by a monotonically rising middle region, which may be linear in the natural scale but more often log linear (linear on the log or similar transformed scale). In the middle portion of the typical sigmoidal dose response curve for the same increase in testosterone dose (or concentration), the response would be increased in simple proportional (i.e., linear) but more often on a logarithmic scale. In contrast, at the lower and upper plateaus of dose or concentrations, changes in tes tosterone exposure may evoke minimal or no response on the endpoint. For example, in women of any age circulating testosterone concentrations are along the lower plateau of the dose response curve, so that increases in circulating testosterone concentrations within that lower plateau may have minimal or no effect. In female athletes with the mild hyper androgenism of PCOS, higher performance has been shown (47), with their muscle mass and power per formance correlating with androgen levels (36).

However, beyond these effects where endogenous testosterone concentrations are in the high normal adult female range, it is only when the increases in circulating testosterone concentrations substantially and consistently exceed those prevailing in childhood (<2 nmol/L) and among women including those with PCOS (<5 nmol/L) that the effects would replicate the effects of rising testosterone concentrations of boys in middle to late puberty (typically >8 nmol/L), that is, the masculinizing effects of increased muscle, bone, and hemoglobin characteristics of men. As shown above, the circulating testosterone of most women never reaches consistently >5 nmol/L, a level that boys must sustain for some time to exhibit the masculin izing effects of male puberty.

In addition, the effects of testosterone are modu lated in a form of fine tuning by the patterns of ex posure, such as whether the circulating testosterone is delivered in the unphysiological steady state format (e.g., quasi steady state delivery by implant or trans dermal products) or by the peak and trough delivery of injections, as opposed to the natural state of en dogenous fluctuations in serum testosterone around the average adult male levels. However, these latter pattern effects are subtle and the dominant effect remains that of dose and average testosterone

REVIEW

concentrations in blood, however they arise. Fur thermore, there is evidence that the androgen sensi tivity of responsive tissues differs and may be optimal at different circulating testosterone concentrations (65).

Male sexual function is maintained by endogenous testosterone at adult male circulating concentrations. These effects can be replicated by exogenous testos terone if and only if it achieves comparable circulating testosterone concentrations. For example, in a well controlled prospective study of older men with prostate cancer (66), androgen deprivation achieving castrate levels of circulating testosterone sustained during 12 months markedly suppressed sexual desire and function, whereas those effects did not occur in age matched men having nonhormonal treatment of prostate cancer or those without prostate cancer. In healthy younger men whose endogenous testosterone was fully suppressed, sexual function completely re covered when circulating testosterone was restored to the physiological male range by administration of exogenous testosterone (67). Similar effects were also observed in healthy, middle aged men in whom male sexual function was fully maintained (compared with placebo) during 2 years of treatment with an exoge nous androgen (DHT) despite that treatment causing sustained, complete suppression of endogenous tes tosterone (68). This further supports the key in terpretation that the biological effects of exogenous or endogenous testosterone are the same at comparable circulating levels.

Clinically, exogenous testosterone replicates fully all effects of endogenous testosterone on every re productive and nonreproductive organ or tissue, with the sole exception of the testis. Sperm production in the testis requires a very high concentration of tes tosterone (typically 100 fold greater than in the general bloodstream), which is produced in nature only by the action of the pituitary hormone LH. LH stimulates the Leydig cells in the interstitial space of the testis be tween seminiferous tubules to produce high intra testicular concentrations of testosterone, which are necessary and sufficient to initiate and maintain sperm production in the adjacent seminiferous tubules. This high concentration of testosterone also provides a downhill gradient to supply the rest of the body, where circulating testosterone acts on androgen responsive tissues to produce and maintain masculine patterns of androgenization. When exogenous testosterone (or any other androgen) is administered to men, pituitary LH is suppressed by negative feedback and the sperm production halts for as long as exogenous testosterone or androgen exposure continues, after which it re covers (69). However, even the reduction in sper matogenesis and testis size when men are treated with exogenous testosterone is only a matter of degree. It is well established in rodents (70, 71) that spermato genesis is induced by exogenous testosterone when the testosterone concentrations in the testis are high enough to replicate what occurs naturally via LH stimulation (72). However, direct replication that high dose testosterone also initiates and maintains spermatogenesis in humans is not feasible, as these testosterone doses are 10 to 100 fold higher than could be safely given to humans. Nevertheless, con firmatory evidence in humans is available from rare cases of men with an activating mutation of the chorionic gonadotropin/LH receptor (73, 74). This mutation causes autonomous testicular testosterone secretion leading to precocious puberty arising from the premature adult male circulating testosterone concentrations that lead to complete suppression of circulating gonadotropin (LH, FSH) secretion. In this illustrative case the testis was exposed to non physiologically high testosterone concentrations (but without any gonadotropin stimulation) that induced sperm production and allowed for natural paternity (73). This indicates that even for spermatogenesis, exogenous testosterone can replicate all biological effects of endogenous testosterone in accordance with the relevant dose response characteristics.

The most realistic view is that increasing circulating testosterone from the childhood or female range to the adult male range will have the same physiological effects whether the source of the additional testos terone is endogenous or exogenous. This is strongly supported by well established knowledge about the relationship of circulating testosterone concentrations

Table 3. Upper Confidence Limits on Serum Testosterone in Women With PCOS				
Confidence Interval	Likelihood ^a	SD ^b	One-Sided ^c	Two-Sided ^c
95%	1:20	1.96	3.13	3.39
99%	1:100	2.35	3.47	3.73
99.9%	1:1000	3.10	4,21	4.39
99.99%	1:10,000	3.72	4.77	4.95

aLikelihood that a woman with PCOS would exceed that limit by chance.

^bNumber of SDs for each confidence limit.

^cTwo-sided CIs are conventional for a result that could exceed or fall below confidence limits, but here we focus only on values exceeding the upper limit, so that one-sided confidence limits are appropriate.

with the timing and manifestations of male puberty. The characteristic clinical features of masculinization (e.g., muscle growth, increased height, increased he moglobin, body hair distribution, voice change) appear only if and when circulating testosterone concentra tions rise into the range of males at mid puberty, which are higher than in women at any age even after the rise in circulating testosterone in female puberty. If and only if the pubertal rise in circulating testosterone fails will the males affected be clinically considered hypogonadal. Such a failure of male puberty may occur for genetic reasons (arising from mutations that in activate any of the cascade of proteins whose activity is critical in the hypothalamus to trigger male puberty) or as a result of acquired conditions, caused by patho logical disorders of the hypothalamus or pituitary or functional defects arising from severe deficits of en ergy or nutrition (e.g., extreme overtraining, un dernutrition), with the latter being comparable with hypothalamic amenorrhea or anorexia nervosa in female athletes/ballet dancers. If male puberty fails, testosterone replacement therapy is fully effective in replicating all of the distinctive masculine features apart from spermatogenesis.

Elevated circulating testosterone concentration caused by DSDs

Rare genetic intersex conditions known as DSDs can lead to markedly increased circulating testosterone in women. When coupled with ambiguous genitalia at birth, they may appear as undervirilized males or virilized females. This can cause athletes who were raised and identify as women to have circulating testosterone levels comparable to those of men and greatly exceeding those of non DSD (and nondoped) women, including those with PCOS. Key congenital disorders in this category are 46,XY DSDs, namely 5α reductase deficiency (75), 17β hydroxysteroid de hydrogenase type 3 deficiency (76), and androgen insensitivity (77, 78), as well as congenital adrenal hyperplasia (79), which is a 46,XX DSD. There is evidence that the first three conditions, components of 46,XY DSDs, are 140 fold more prevalent among elite female athletes than expected in the general pop ulation (80).

Genetic 5α reductase deficiency is due to an inactivating mutation in the 5α reductase type II enzyme (75). This leads to a deficit of DHT during fetal life when DHT is required for converting the sex undifferentiated embryonic and fetal tissue to form the sex differentiated masculine form external genitalia. Although genetic males (46,XY) with 5α reductase deficiency will develop testes, they usually remain undescended and labial fusion to form a scrotum and phallic growth does not occur. Hence, at birth the external genitalia may appear feminine, leading to a female assigned natal sex. Thus, individuals with 5α reductase deficiency may have male chromosomal sex

(46,XY), gonadal sex (testes), and hormonal sex (adult male testosterone concentrations), but such severely undervirilized genitalia that affected individuals may be raised from birth as females rather than as undervirilized males. However, from the onset of male puberty, testicular Leydig cells start producing large amounts of testosterone, and the steep rise in circu lating testosterone to adult male levels (with the permissive role of 5α reductase activity) leads to masculine virilization, including male patterns of muscle and bone growth, hemoglobin levels, and other masculine body habitus features (hair growth pattern, voice change), as well as phallic growth (80). Such changes of male puberty prompt around half affected individuals who had female sex assigned at birth and developed as girls prior to puberty to adopt a male gender identity and role at puberty (81). Sperm are formed in the testes so that, using in vitro fertilization, these individuals may father children (82).

 17β Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 3 de ficiency (76) has a natural history similar to that of 5α reductase deficiency. This disorder is due to inacti vating mutations in a steroidogenic enzyme expressed only in the testis and that is essential for testosterone formation in the fetus. In the absence of a functional enzyme, the testis makes little testosterone but instead secretes large amounts of androstenedione, the steroid immediately prior to the enzymatic block. In the circulation, the excess of androstenedione is converted to testosterone (mainly by the enzyme AKR1C3) (12). Although the circulating testosterone is then con verted to circulating DHT, insufficient DHT is formed locally within the urogenital sinus to virilize genitalia at birth. This causes the same severe undervirilization of the external genitalia of genetically male individuals, leading to ambiguous genitalia at birth despite male chromosomal, gonadal, and hormonal sex. When puberty arrives, the testes start producing the adult male testosterone output. Again, this leads to marked virilization and subsequent assumption of a male gender identity by some affected individuals, con flicting with a female assigned natal sex and childhood upbringing.

Androgen insensitivity, which arises from muta tion in the androgen receptor (AR), poses different but complex challenges for eligibility for female athletic events. As the AR is located on the X chromosome, genetic males (46,XY) are hemizygous, so that an inactivating mutation in the AR can be partially or fully insensitive to androgen action. Affected in dividuals have male internal genitalia (testes in the inguinal canal or abdomen with Wolffian ducts) and consequently adult male circulating testosterone concentrations after puberty. These nonlethal muta tions have a wide spectrum of functional effects, ranging from full resistance to all androgen action in complete androgen insensitivity syndrome (CAIS) where individuals have a full female phenotype with

810

normal female external genitalia, to partial androgen insensitivity syndrome (PAIS) where some androgen action is still exerted, leading to various degrees of ambiguous genitalia, or to mild androgen insensitivity, which produces a very mild, undervirilized male phenotype (normal male genital and somatic devel opment but with little body hair and no male pattern balding) (77). Testosterone (and dihydrotestosterone) have no consistent effect of inducing normal nitrogen retention (anabolic) responses in patients with CAIS (83 86), although some reduced androgen respon siveness is retained by patients with PAIS (84, 87 90). Athletes with CAIS can compete fairly as females because the circulating testosterone, although at adult male levels, has no physiological effect so that, in terms of androgen action and the ensuing physical somatic advantages of male sex, affected individuals are indistinguishable from females and gain no ben efits of the sex difference arising from unimpeded testosterone action. A more complex issue arises with athletes having PAIS reflecting the degree of in complete impairment of AR function. Residual an drogen action in such AR mutations is harder to characterize quantitatively, as there is no standardized, objective in vitro test to quantify AR functionality. Hence, individuals with PAIS may have adult male circulating testosterone concentrations but variable androgen sensitivity. At present, determination of eligibility to compete in the female category requires a case by case evaluation, primarily based on the degree of virilization. The current best available clinical ap proach to determining the functional impact (degree of functionality/sensitivity) of an AR mutation is based on the degree of somatic, primarily genital, virilization assessed according to the Quigley classification of grade of androgen sensitivity (91).

Congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) is a rela tively common defect in adrenal steroidogenesis in the enzymatic pathway, leading to synthesis of cortisol, aldosterone, and sex steroid precursors. The disease varies in severity from life threatening (adrenal failure) to mild (hirsutism and menstrual irregularity), or even asymptomatic and undiagnosed. The most common mutations causing CAH occur in the 21 hydroxylase enzyme, accounting for 95% of cases (79). The defect leads to a bottleneck, creating a major backing up of precursor steroids that then overflow into other steroid pathways, leading to diagnostic high levels of 17 hydroxyprogesterone and, in female patients, ex cessive circulating testosterone or other adrenal source androgen precursors (e.g., androstenedione, dehy droepiandrosterone) that may be converted to tes tosterone in tissues. A common clinical problem with management of CAH is that glucocorticoid/ mineralocorticoid treatment is not always fully ef fective partly due to variable compliance, which may leave high circulating testosterone, including well into or even above the normal male range (92). It is unlikely that mild nonclassical congenital adrenal hyperplasia is a major contributor to the mild hyperandrogenism prevalent among elite female athletes. The prevalence of PCOS (6% to 16%) is about 100 fold higher than mild nonclassical congenital adrenal hyperplasia (0.1%) (49), whereas a disproportionately high number of elite female athletes (especially in power sports) have PCOS (45). In one study of hyper androgenic female athletes, even mild nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia was ruled out by normal 17 hydroxyprogesterone (36) and, in another (47), reported serum androstenedione and cortisol did not differ from controls, ruling out significant congenital adrenal hyperplasia.

Sex Difference in Muscle, Hemoglobin, Bone, and Athletic Performance Relating to Adult Circulating Testosterone Concentrations

Following puberty, testosterone production increases (16) but remains $\leq 2 \text{ nmol/L}$ in women, whereas in men testosterone production increases 20 fold (from 0.3 mg/d to 7 mg/d), leading to 15 fold higher cir culating testosterone concentrations (15 vs 1 nmol/L). The greater magnitude of sex difference in testosterone production (20 fold) compared with circulating levels (15 fold) is due to women's higher circulating SHBG, which retards testosterone clearance, creating a slower circulating half time of testosterone. This order of magnitude difference in circulating testosterone concentrations is the key factor in the sex difference in athletic performance due to androgen effects princi pally on muscle, bone, and hemoglobin.

Muscle

Biology

It has been known since ancient times that castration influences muscle function. Modern knowledge of the molecular and cellular basis for androgen effects on skeletal muscle involves effects due to androgen (testosterone, DHT) binding to the AR that then releases chaperone proteins, dimerizes, and trans locates into the nucleus to bind to androgen response elements in the promoter DNA of androgen sensitive genes. This leads to increases in (1) muscle fiber numbers and size, (2) muscle satellite cell numbers, (3) numbers of myonuclei, and (4) size of motor neurons (93). Additionally, there is experimental evidence that testosterone increases skeletal muscle myostatin ex pression (94), mitochondrial biogenesis (95), myo globin expression (96), and IGF 1 content (97), which may augment energetic and power generation of skeletal muscular activity.

Customized genetic mouse models can provide unique experimental insight into mammalian physi ology that is unobtainable by human experimentation. "Sex differences in height,

where they exist, are largely

dependent on postpubertal differences in circulating

testosterone."

The tight evolutionary conservation of the mamma lian reproductive system explains why genetic mouse models have provided consistent, high fidelity repli cation of the human reproductive system (98, 99). Genetic males (46,XY) with androgen insensitivity displaying similar features occur through the spon taneous production of inactivating AR mutations in all mammalian species studied, including humans, where they are known as women with CAIS. The converse, genetic females (46,XX) resistant to all androgen ac tion cannot occur naturally in humans or other mammals. This is because fully androgen resistant females must have both X chromosomes carrying an inactivated AR. In turn, this requires acquiring one X chromosome from their father, and hemizygous males bearing a single X chromosome with an inactive AR produce no sperm, as a functional AR is bi ologically indispensable for making sperm in any mammal. However, androgen resistant females can be bred by genetic engineering using the Cre Lox system (100). An important finding from such studies is that androgen resistant female mice have essen tially the same muscle mass and function as wild type androgen sensitive females bearing normal AR, whereas androgen resistant male mice have smaller and weaker muscle mass and function than do wild type males and comparable instead with wild type females (101). This indicates that androgen action, represented by circulating testosterone, is the key determinant of the higher muscle mass and strength characteristic of males compared with females. Fur thermore, endogenous circulating testosterone has minimal effects on skeletal muscle mass and strength in female mice because of its low levels. Although these experiments cannot be replicated in humans, their key insight is that the higher circulating testosterone in males is the determinant of the male's greater muscle mass and function compared with females. Never theless, there is also evidence that hyperandrogenic women, mostly with PCOS, have increased muscle mass and strength that correlates with mildly increased circulating testosterone in the high normal female range (36, 47).

Observational data

There is a clear sex difference in both muscle mass and strength (102 104) even adjusting for sex differences in height and weight (104, 105). On average, women have 50% to 60% of men's upper arm muscle cross sectional area and 65% to 70% of men's thigh muscle cross sectional area, and women have 50% to 60% of men's upper limb strength and 60% to 80% of men's leg strength (106). Young men have on average a skeletal muscle mass of >12 kg greater than age matched women at any given body weight (104, 105). Whereas numerous genes and environmental factors (including genetics, physical activity, and diet) may contribute to muscle mass, the major cause of the sex difference in muscle mass and strength is the sex difference in circulating testosterone.

Age grade competitive sports records show mini mal or no female disadvantage prior to puberty, whereas from the age of male puberty onwards there is a strong and ongoing male advantage. Corre sponding to the endogenous circulating testosterone increasing in males after puberty to 15 to 20 nmol/L (sharply diverging from the circulating levels that remain ≤ 2 nmol/L in females), male athletic per formances go from being equal on average to those of age matched females to 10% to 12% better in running and swimming events, and 20% better in jumping events (8) (Fig. 1). Corroborative findings are provided by a Norwegian study that examined performance of adolescents in certain athletic events but without reference to contemporaneous circulating testosterone concentrations (107). The striking postpubertal in crease in male circulating testosterone provides a major, ongoing, cumulative, and durable advantage in sporting contests by creating greater muscle mass and strength. These sex differences render women unable to compete effectively against men, especially (but not only) in power sports.

These findings are supported by studies of non athletic women showing that muscle mass is increased in proportion to circulating testosterone in women with mildly elevated testosterone levels due to PCOS (108, 109), a condition that is more prevalent among elite female athletes who exhibit these features (36, 45, 47), often undiagnosed (46), but that may provide an ergogenic advantage (47), consistent with the graded effects of circulating testosterone on explosive per formance in men and women (110).

Studies of elite female athletes further corroborate these findings. One study demonstrates dose response effects of better performance in some (400 m running, 400 m hurdles, 800 m running, hammer throw, pole vault) but not all athletic events correlated with sig nificantly higher endogenous testosterone in female, but not male, athletes. Even within the low circulating testosterone levels prevailing within the normal female range, in these events there was a significant advantage of 1.8% to 4.5% among those in the highest tertile compared with the lowest tertile of endogenous tes tosterone (35). A further study of elite female athletes corroborates and extends these observations in that endogenous androgens are associated with a more anabolic body composition as well as enhanced muscular performance (36). In this study, 106 Swedish Olympic female athletes were compared with 117 age and weight (body mass index) matched sedentary control women for their muscle and bone mass (by dual energy X ray absorptiometry), their muscular strength (squat and countermovement jumps), and testosterone and DHT, as well as androgen precursors (dehydroepiandrosterone, androstenedione) and uri nary androgen glucuronide metabolites (androsterone,

REVIEW





© 2018 ENDOCRINE SOC ET

etiocholanolone, 3 and 17 3 α diols) measured by LC MS (36). The athletes displayed higher muscle (and bone) mass than did the sedentary control women, with strength tests correlating strongly with muscle mass whether in total or just in the legs. In turn, muscle mass and strength were correlated with androgens and an drogen precursors. Considering that such studies may be confounded by factors such as menstrual phase and dysfunction, as well as heterogeneous sports disciplines, which weaken the power of the study, these findings can be regarded as quite robust.

Interventional data

Dose response studies show that in men whose en dogenous testosterone is fully suppressed, add back administration of increasing doses of testosterone that produce graded increases in circulating testosterone causes a

dose dependent (whether expressed according to testos terone dose or circulating levels) increase in muscle mass (measured as lean body mass) and strength (65, 111). Taken together, these studies prove that testosterone doses leading to circulating concentrations from well below to well above the normal male range have unequivocal dose dependent effects on muscle mass and strength. These data strongly and consistently suggest that the sex difference in lean body mass (muscle) is largely, if not exclusively, due to the dif ferences in circulating testosterone between men and women. These findings have strong implications for power dependent sport performance and largely explain the potent efficacy of androgen doping in sports.

The key findings providing conclusive evidence that testosterone has prominent dose response effects in men are reported in studies by Bhasin and col leagues that proved a monotonic dose response, extending from subphysiological to supraphysiological range for men for testosterone effects on muscle mass, size, and strength in healthy young men, findings that have been replicated and confirmed by an independent group (65). Both sets of studies used a common design of fully suppressing all endogenous testosterone (to castrate levels) for the full duration of the experiment by administering a GnRH analog. In the Bhasin and colleagues studies, participants were then randomized to five groups and each received weekly injections of 25 mg, 50 mg, 125 mg, 300 mg, or 600 mg of tes tosterone enanthate for 20 weeks. In effect, this was two subphysiological and two supraphysiological testoster one doses. In these studies, the lowest testosterone dose produced a mean serum testosterone of 253 ng/dL (8.8 nmol/L) in younger men and 176 ng/dL (6.1 nmol/L) in older men. The studies showed a consistent dose response for muscle mass and strength that was clearly related to testosterone dose and consequential blood testosterone concentrations (Fig. 2, upper panel).

The study of Finkelstein et al. (65) involved the same design and involved 400 healthy men aged 20 to 50 years who had complete suppression of endogenous testosterone for the 16 weeks of the study, with tes tosterone added back using daily doses of 0, 1.25 g, 2.5 g, 5 g, or 10 g of a topical 1% testosterone gel. This again created a graded dose response curve for serum testosterone and for muscle mass and strength. The inclusion of a o (placebo) dose allowed differentiation between the o and lowest testosterone dose. The placebo (o) dose produced a serum testosterone of 0.7 nmol/L (the typical mean for castrated men, childhood, and women of any age). Meanwhile, the lowest testosterone dose (1.25 g of gel per day) produced a serum testosterone of 6.9 nmol/L, which is equivalent to that of a male in early to middle puberty. A key finding for this review is that, from this study of men, the increase in serum testosterone from mean of normal female concentration (0.9 nmol/L) to supra physiological female concentrations (6.9 nmol/L) produced significant increases of 2.3% for total body lean (muscle) mass, 3.0% for thigh muscle area, and 5.5% increase in leg press strength (digitized data pooling of both cohorts from lower panel, Fig. 2).

Studies of the ergogenic effects of supraphysiological concentrations of circulating testosterone require studies administering graded doses of exogenous testosterone for months. Owing to ethical con cerns regarding risks of unwanted virilization and hormone dependent cancers, however, few studies have administered supraphysiological testosterone doses to healthy women. One well designed, ran domized placebo controlled study of postmenopausal women investigated the effects of different testoster one doses on muscle mass and performance and physical function (112). Sixty two women (mean age, 53 years) all had a standard estrogen replacement dose administered during a 12 week run in period (to

Handelsman et al

eliminate any hypothetical confounding effects of estrogen deficiency), after which they were random ized to one of five groups receiving weekly injections of testosterone enanthate (doses: 0, 3 mg, 6.25 mg, 12.5 mg, and 25 mg, respectively) for 24 weeks. The increasing doses of testosterone produced an expected dose response in serum testosterone concentrations (by LC MS), with the highest testosterone dose (25 mg/wk) producing a mean nadir concentration of 7.3 nmol/L. The women whose testosterone concen trations were increased to 7.3 nmol/L achieved sig nificant increases in muscle mass and strength (Table 4), ranging from 4.4% for muscle (lean) mass to between 12% and 26% for measures of muscle strength (chest and leg press, loaded stair climb). As muscle strength measurement is effort dependent, the placebo controlled design of the Huang *et al.* (112) study supports the further interpretation that the highest dose of testosterone also had prominent mental motivational effects in the effort dependent tests of muscle strength. These findings provide salient direct evidence of the ergogenic effects of hyper androgenism in female athletes confirming that at least up to average circulating testosterone con centrations of 7.3 nmol/L, women display a dose response relationship similar to that of men, with supraphysiological doses of testosterone leading to significant gains in muscle mass and power.

These effects of testosterone administration on circulating testosterone concentrations and muscle mass and strength in females may be compared with the effects in males from the Finkelstein et al. (65) and Bhasin and colleagues studies. In men, the lowest testosterone dose (1.25 g/d) increased mean serum testosterone to 6.9 nmol/L (equivalent to levels seen in early to middle male puberty), resulting in significant increases of total body lean (muscle) mass (2.3%), thigh muscle area (3.0%), and leg press strength (5.5%) compared with the placebo dose that resulted in a serum testosterone of 0.7 nmol/L. In the Huang et al. (112) study (Fig. 3), muscle mass and strength in postmenopausal women displayed a flat response at the three lower doses, when circulating testosterone concentrations remain <5 nmol/L, and displayed a significant increase only when the mean circulating testosterone concentration produced by the highest testosterone dose first increased circulating testoster one concentrations >5 nmol/L. This pattern, flat at lower doses and rising at the highest dose, represents the lower plateau and the earliest rising portion, re spectively, of the sigmoidal dose response curve of testosterone for muscle.

Data corroborating the Huang *et al.* study results comes from another well controlled study in which postmenopausal women who were administered methyl testosterone following a run in period of es trogen replacement displayed a significant increase in lean (muscle) mass as well as upper and lower limb

REVIEW

Figure 2. Strong dose-response relationship between testosterone dose and circulating concentration with muscle mass and strength in men. The upper panels [from Bhasin *et al.* (111)] display the strong dose-response relationships of muscle mass shown as (A) "lean" or "fat-free" mass or volume of (D) thigh and (E) quadriceps muscle and (C) of leg muscle strength with increasing testosterone dose (upper row) or circulating concentration (middle row). Serum testosterone concentrations are in US units (ng/dL; divide by 28.8 to get nmol/L). Adapted with permission from Bhasin S, Woodhouse L, Casaburi R, et al. Testosterone dose-response relationships in healthy young men. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;**281**:E1172 E1181. The lower panels [from Finkelstein *et al.* (65)] show the strong dose-response relationships of (B) whole-body muscle mass, (E) thigh muscle mass, and (F) leg press strength with increasing testosterone dose. Cohorts 1 and 2 were treated with the same increasing doses of testosterone but either without (green fill, cohort 1) or with (purple fill, cohort 2) an aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole), which prevents conversion of testosterone to estradiol. The differences between cohorts (*i.e.*, use of anastrozole) was not significant for muscle mass and strength and can be ignored with results of the two cohorts being pooled. Reproduced with permission from Finkelstein JS, Lee H, Burnett-Bowie SA, Pallais JC, *et al.* Gonadal steroids and body composition, strength, and sexual function in men. N Engl J Med 2013;369:1011 1022.



power during a 16 week double blind, parallel group study (113).

Similarly, two prospective studies of the first 12 months of treatment of transmen [female to male

(F2M) transgender] shows a consistent major increase in muscle mass and strength due to testosterone ad ministration. In one study testosterone treatment of 17 transmen achieving adult male circulating testosterone levels

Table 4. Effects of Testosterone on Muscle Mass and Strength in Women				
Androgen-Sensitive Variable	Baseline	Increase	% Increase	
Lean muscle mass, kg	43 ± 6	1.9 ± 0.5	4,4	
Chest press, W	100 ± 26	26 ± 7	26	
Leg press, N	744 ± 172	90 ± 30	12	
Loaded stair climb power, W	406 ± 77	56 ± 13	14	

With data from Huang G, Basaria S, Travison TG, et al. Testosterone dose-response relationships in hysterectomized women with or without oophorectomy: effects on sexual function, body composition, muscle performance and physical function in a randomized trial. Menopause 2014/21:612 623. Data are shown as mean and SEM derived from Table 1 and digitized from Figure 4 from Huang et al. (112) showing the effects of testosterone (mean circulating concentration, 7.3 nmol/L) on muscle mass and strength in women treated with the highest testosterone dose (n = 11; 25 mg of testosterone enanthate per week).

(mean, 31 nmol/L) increased muscle mass by 19.2% (114). In a second study, 23 transmen administered adult male testosterone doses also produced striking increases in total body muscle size and limb muscle size (by 6.5% to 16.6%) and grip strength (by 18%) compared with age matched untreated control women (115). Conversely, testosterone suppression (using an estrogen based treatment regimen) in 20 transwomen (M2F transgender) that reduced circulating testosterone levels from adult male range to adult female range led to a 9.4% reduction in muscle mass (measured as cross sectional area).

Effects on athletic performance

Muscle growth, as well as the increase in strength and power it brings, has an obvious performance enhancing effect, in particular in sports that depend on strength and (explosive) power, such as track and field events (107, 110). There is convincing evidence that the sex differences in muscle mass and strength are sufficient to account for the increased strength and aerobic performance of men compared with women and is in keeping with the differences in world records between the sexes (116). The basis for the sex dif ference in muscle mass and strength is the sex dif ference in circulating testosterone as clearly shown (for example) by (1) the enhanced athletic performance of men compared with prepubertal boys and women (8); (2) the close correspondence of muscle growth (muscle size) with muscle strength in ascending dose studies in men by Bhasin et al. (111, 117 119) and Finkelstein et al. (65) and in postmenopausal women by Huang et al. (112); (3) the effect of male castration in reducing muscle size and strength, effects that are fully rectified by testosterone replacement; and (4) the striking ef ficacy of androgen doping on the sports performances of German Democratic Republic female athletes (120).

Hemoglobin

Biology

It is well known that levels of circulating hemoglobin are androgen dependent and consequently higher in men than in women by 12% on average; however, the physiological mechanism by which androgens such as testosterone boosts circulating hemoglobin is not fully understood (121). Testosterone increases secretion of and sensitivity to erythropoietin, the main trophic hormone for erythrocyte production and thereby hemoglobin synthesis, as well as suppressing hepcidin (122), a crucial iron regulatory protein that governs the body's iron economy. Hepcidin has to balance the need for iron absorption from foods (the only source of iron required for the body's iron containing pro teins) against the fact that the body has no mechanism to shed excess iron, which can be toxic. Adequate iron availability is essential for normal erythropoiesis and synthesis of key heme, iron containing oxygen transporting proteins such as hemoglobin and myo globin (123) as well as other iron dependent proteins such as cytochromes and DNA synthesis and repair enzymes. Experimental evidence in mice shows that testosterone increases myoglobin content of muscle with potential for augmenting aerobic exercise performance (96), but this has not been evaluated in humans.

Increasing the amount of hemoglobin in the blood has the biological effect of increasing oxygen transport from lungs to tissues, where the increased availability of oxygen enhances aerobic energy expenditure. This is exploited to its greatest effect in endurance sports (1). The experiments of Ekblom et al. (124) in 1972 (Fig. 4) demonstrated strong linear relationships between changes in hemoglobin [due to withdrawal or retransfusion of 1, 2 or 3 U (400 mL) of blood] and aerobic capacity, established by repeated testing of maximal exercise induced oxygen consumption before and after each procedure (124). As already noted, circu lating hemoglobin levels are on average 12% higher in men than women (125). It may be estimated that as a result the average maximal oxygen transfer will be ~10% greater in men than in women, which has a direct impact on their respective athletic capacities.

Observational data

The proposition that the sex difference in circulating hemoglobin levels is likely to be due to the sex difference in average circulating testosterone concentrations is sup ported by the fact that male castration (*e.g.*, for advanced prostate cancer) (126) and androgen deficiency due to reproductive system disorders (127) reduce circulating hemoglobin in men, eliminating the sex difference, whereas testosterone replacement therapy restores circu lating hemoglobin to adult male levels (121, 127, 128).

An unusually informative observational study of women with CAH provides unique insight into tes tosterone effects on circulating hemoglobin in oth erwise healthy women (92). Women with CAH require glucocorticoid replacement therapy but exhibit widely varying levels of hormonal control (79). The degree of poor control is associated with increasing levels of circulating testosterone ranging from normal female concentrations up to 36 nmol/L, and these levels correlate closely (r = 0.56) with levels of cir culating hemoglobin (Fig. 5). Interpolating from the dose response regression, increases in circulating testosterone measured by LC MS from 0.9 nmol/L to 5 nmol/L, 7 nmol/L, 10 nmol/L, and 19 nmol/L were associated with increases in circulating hemoglobin of 6.5%, 7.8%, 8.9%, and 11%, respectively, establishing a strong dose response relationship. An 11% increase in circulating hemoglobin translates to a 10% difference in maximal oxygen transfer (124), which may account for virtually all the 12% sex difference in male and female circulating hemoglobin (125). To put this into context, any drug that achieved such increases in he moglobin would be prohibited in sports for blood doping, as this difference is sufficient to have ergogenic effects, even without taking into account any testos terone effects on muscle mass or strength (for which data were not available in that study). Conversely, among elite female athletes with circulating testosterone in the healthy premenopausal female range, circulating hemoglobin does not correlate with athletic perfor mance (35). In women with the mild hyperandrogenism of PCOS, circulating hemoglobin and hematocrit are reported as not (129) or marginally increased (130), findings that may be influenced by the fact that PCOS is

associated with reduced or absent menstruation, thereby reducing the iron loss of regular menstruation.

Interventional data

In the Bhasin *et al.* (111) studies, in both young and older men the highest testosterone dose produced a 12% increase in blood hemoglobin compared with the lowest dose, reflecting a strong dose response re lationship (Fig. 6) (131). Analogous findings were reported for testosterone treatment effects in post menopausal women where the highest dose (25 mg weekly) of testosterone, which increased mean serum testosterone to 7.3 nmol/L, had the largest increase (3%) in blood hemoglobin and hematocrit (112).

Corroborative findings are available from studies of transmen (F2M transgender), that is, natal females who subsequently receive testosterone treatment at replacement doses to create adult male circulating testosterone concentrations, who exhibit increases in circulating hemoglobin to male levels [reviewed in (132 134)]. Testosterone treatment in 17 (F2M) transmen that created mean circulating testosterone levels of 31 nmol/L also increased hemoglobin levels by 15% (114). Conversely, one prospective 12 month study of transgender (nonathlete) individuals reported that testosterone suppression (by an estrogen based regimen) to normal female levels in 20 (M2F) transwomen reduced hemoglobin by 14%.

If such an increase in hemoglobin were produced by any chemical substance, it would be considered doping, according to the World Anti Doping Code.

Bone

Biology

There is extensive experimental evidence from genetic mouse models showing that the sex differences in bone



^{© 2018} ENDOCRINE SOCIETY

Figure 3. From Huang *et al.* (112): Dose-response effects on lean (muscle) mass and three measures of muscle strength as a result of increasing doses of weekly testosterone enanthate injections in women. Note the effects on all four parameters (three statistically significant) of the highest testosterone dose, the only one that produced circulating testosterone levels exceeding the normal female range. Reproduced with permission from Huang G, Basaria S, Travison TG, *et al.* Testosterone dose-response relationships in hysterectomized women with or without oophorectomy: effects on sexual function, body composition, muscle performance and physical function in a randomized trial. Menopause 2014;21:612 623.

REVIEW

Figure 4. Redrawn results from Ekblom *et al.* (124). Results from the transfusion of additional blood are shown in dark red circles and those after blood withdrawal in light red circles. Adapted with permission from Ekblom B, Goldbarg AN, Gullbring B. Response to exercise after blood loss and reinfusion. J Appl Physiol 1972;33:175 180.

Changes in hemoglobin vs maximal oxygen uptake



size, mass, and function are due to the sex difference in circulating testosterone. These effects have been re ported from studies of global and tissue or cell selective inactivation of ARs or estrogen receptors that show that androgen effects are mediated by both direct effects on the AR as well as indirect effects mediated via aromatization of testosterone to estradiol to act on estrogen receptors [reviewed in (135)]. Bone grows in length due to epiphyseal chondral growth plates that provide cartilage, forming the matrix for lengthening of long bone, which is terminated by an estrogen dependent mechanism that depends on aromatization of testosterone to estradiol. Similarly, bone width and density are increased through ap positional growth from periosteal and endosteal ex pansion that depend on bone loading and androgen exposure together with other factors. An important difference between androgen effects on bone com pared with effects on muscle or hemoglobin is that developmental bone effects of androgens are likely to be irreversible.

Observational data

Men have distinctively greater bone size, strength, and density than do women of the same age. As with muscle, sex differences in bone are absent prior to puberty but then accrue progressively from the onset of male puberty due to the sex difference in exposure to adult male circulating testosterone concentra tions [reviewed in (135)]. The earlier onset of puberty and the related growth spurt in girls as well as ear lier estrogen dependent epiphyseal fusion explains shorter stature of girls than boys. As a result, on average men are 7% to 8% taller with longer, denser, and stronger bones, whereas women have shorter humerus and femur cross sectional areas being 65% to 75% and 85%, respectively, those of men (106). These changes create an advantage of greater bone strength and stronger fulcrum power from longer bones. Additionally, whereas passing through pu berty enhances male physical performance, the widening of the female pelvis during puberty, bal ancing the evolutionary demands of obstetrics and locomotion (136, 137), retards the improvement in female physical performance, possibly driven by ovarian hormones rather than the absence of tes tosterone (138, 139).

Sex differences in height have been the most thoroughly investigated measure of bone size, as adult height is a stable, easily quantified measure in large population samples. Extensive twin studies show that adult height is highly heritable with predominantly additive genetic effects (140) that diverge in a sex specific manner from the age of puberty onwards (141, 142), the effects of which are likely to be due to sex differences in adult circulating testosterone concentrations.

Bone density (total and medullary cross sectional area) is increased in women with CAH with variably elevated serum testosterone (including into the male range) when it is only partially suppressed by gluco corticoid treatment (143), although more effective glucocorticoid suppression lowers bone density (144).

Interventional data

Well designed, placebo controlled direct interven tional studies of supraphysiological androgen effects on bone in females are few, rarely feasible, and unlikely to be performed for ethical and practical reasons. Unlike muscle, which responds relatively rapidly to androgen effects so that muscle studies in humans can be completed within 3 to 4 months (65, 111, 112, 119, 145), comparable bone studies would typically take a year or more to reach plateau effects. Hence, such direct investigational studies in otherwise healthy women would risk side effects of virilization that may be only slowly and partly reversible, if at all, as well as potential promotion of hormone dependent cancers making such studies ethically and practically not feasible.

Effects on athletic performance

The major effects of men's larger and stronger bones would be manifest via their taller stature as well as the larger fulcrum with greater leverage for muscular limb power exerted in jumping, throwing, or other ex plosive power activities. The greater cortical bone density and thereby resistance to long bone fractures is unlikely to be relevant to the athletic performance of young athletes, in whom fractures during competition are extremely rare and not expected to be linked to sex. Alternatively, stress fractures in athletes, mostly in volving the legs, are more frequent in females with the male protection attributable to their larger and thicker bones (146).

Other and rogen-sensitive sex dichotomous effects

Biology and observational data

Many if not most other aspects of physiology exhibit sex differences and may therefore enhance the impact of the male advantage in sports performance of the dominant determinants (muscle and hemoglobin). Examples include sex differences in exercise induced cardiac (147, 148) and lung (149) function and mito chondrial biogenesis and energetics (95). However, the limited knowledge of the magnitude and hor monal mechanisms involved, specifically the degree of androgen dependence of these mechanisms, means that it is difficult to estimate their contribution, if any, toward the sex difference in athletic performance. The sex difference in pulmonary function may be largely explained by the androgen sensitive sex difference in height, which is a strong predictor of lung capacity and function (149). Further physio logical studies of the androgen dependence of other physiological sex differences are awaited with interest.

Psychological differences between men and women on mental function (*e.g.*, rotational orientation) (150) as well as mood, motivation, and behavioral effects may involve androgen sensitive effects during pre natal and perinatal as well as postpubertal effects (151, 152).

Interventional data

There is some limited direct evidence from well designed, placebo controlled trials that administration of testosterone or other androgens at supraphysiological doses directly affect mood and behavior, notably in ducing hypomania (153). In a randomized placebo controlled study of testosterone administration in postmenopausal women (112), in case of those receiving the highest dose (the only one causing circulating testosterone levels to exceed the normal female range), there was not only an increase in muscle mass (4.4%) but a strikingly greater in crease in muscle strength (12% to 26%), suggesting an enhanced mental motivational effect of testosterone on the effort dependent tests of muscle strength.

Alternative Mechanisms Proposed to Explain Sex Differences in Athletic Performance

Alternative explanations for the sex difference in athletic performance, other than it being due to the sex difference in postpubertal circulating testosterone, have been proposed, including (1) sex differences in height because height is a predictor of muscle mass (116), (2) genetic sex differences due to the influence of unspecified Y chromosome genes (154), and (3) sex differences in GH secretion (116).

Effects of height

One proposal has been that, as men are taller than women, height differences may explain the sex dif ferences in muscle mass and function, which explains some athletic success (116). Numerous factors con tribute to the regulation of adult muscle mass, in cluding genetics, race, adiposity, hormones, physical activity (exercise/training), diet, birth order, and bone size (including height) [reviewed in (155)]. Among the nonhormonal factors, genetics explains a large pro portion [~50% to 60% from pooled twin studies (156)] of the variability in muscle mass and strength (157, 158) and may be explained in turn by the equally high genetic contributions to circulating testosterone (37, 38). Some factors influencing muscle mass and strength such as physical activity, adiposity, and bone size are also partly androgen dependent. Prior to puberty there is no sex difference in skeletal features, including height (159, 160). However, with the onset of puberty, girls aged 11 and 12 years are transiently taller than peer aged boys due to their earlier onset of the female pubertal growth spurt, but from the age of 14 years onward the taller stature in males emerges and stabilizes (141). Hence, similar to muscle mass, sex differences in bone size (including length, density, and height) arise after male puberty establishes the marked dichotomy between men and women in adult circu lating testosterone concentrations. Taller height is





advantageous in some sports (basketball, some football codes, combat sports), but in others (horse racing jockeys, cycling, gymnastics, weightlifting, body building) short stature provides a greater power/ strength to weight ratio as well as superior rota tional balance, speed, and agility. However, the male advantages in speed, strength, and endurance apply regardless of whether height is advantageous. Hence, the sex differences in height, where they exist, are largely dependent on postpubertal differences in cir culating testosterone when sex differences in height are first expressed.

Genetic effects of Y chromosome

It has also been proposed that the sex difference in athletic performance may be due to genetic effects of an unspecified Y chromosome gene that may dictate taller stature (154), as height is correlated with men's greater muscle mass. The small human Y chromosome has few functional genes and none with a known effect on height other than the short stature homeobox (SHOX) gene, located in the pseudoautosomal regions of the tip of the short arms of X and Y chromosomes (161). Adult height displays an apparent dose de pendency on SHOX gene copy number that is a major factor contributing to explaining both the short stature of 45,XO females (Turner syndrome), who have a single copy of the SHOX gene, as well as the tall stature of 47,XXY males (Klinefelter syndrome), who have three copies (161). However, when SHOX copy number is the same, men with additional supernu merary Y chromosomes (e.g., 47,XYY) are the same height as 47,XXY men (162). Hence, there is no ev idence supporting dosage dependent Y chromosomal gene effects on height independent of SHOX gene copy number, nor does men's possession of a Y chromosome explain the height difference between adult men and women. On the contrary, the tall stature of 47,XXY men is at least partly due to the con comitant androgen deficiency leading to pubertal



Figure 6. From Coviello *et al.* (131): Depicts the strong dose-response relationship between increasing testosterone dose with resulting change in blood hemoglobin in young and older men. Reproduced with permission from Coviello AD, Kaplan B, Lakshman KM, *et al.* Effects of graded doses of testosterone on erythropoiesis in healthy young and older men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:914 919.

delay. Pubertal delay prolongs long bone growth due to delayed epiphyseal closure, an estrogen dependent effect that requires adequate production of testoster one as a substrate for aromatization to estradiol, resulting in tall stature. Similar eunuchoidal features and taller stature are evident in 46,XY men with congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Kallmann syndrome and its variants) with comparable con genital onset of androgen deficiency, also manifest as pubertal delay and long bone overgrowth. Hence, taller height is better explained by impaired testic ular function with delayed puberty and epiphyseal closure rather than unspecified Y chromosome dosage effects. In any case, rare aneuploidies in themselves do not explain the sex difference in height in the general population of individuals with normal sex chromosomes.

Growth hormone

The proposal that the sex difference in muscle mass and function might be due to sex differences in endogenous GH secretion (116) is refuted by the extensive and conclusive clinical evidence that en dogenous GH secretion in young women is consis tently higher (typically twice as high) as in young men of similar age (163 170). Those findings cannot explain the male advantage in muscle mass and strength unless GH retards muscle growth/function, for which there is no evidence. Furthermore, estrogens inhibit GH dependent, hepatic IGF 1 production, the major pathway of GH action (171, 172). The weak observational association between low circulating IGF 1 and some, but not other, measures of weak muscle strength and limited mobility among older women may reflect general age associated debility rather than any specific hormonal effects (173). Finally, the evi dence that endogenous GH plays no role in sex dif ferences in muscle mass and function is supported by evidence from the most extensive interventional study of GH treatment to non GH deficient adults, daily GH administration for 8 weeks to healthy recreational athletes produced only marginally significant im provement in exercise performance of men and none in women (174). These findings are consistent with the speculation that GH (or IGF 1) may be an amplifier of testosterone effects and therefore be a consequence of the sex difference in circulating testosterone rather than its cause.

The Impact of Adult Male Circulating Testosterone Concentrations on Sports Performance

Plausible estimates of the magnitude of the ergogenic advantage of adult male circulating testosterone concentrations are feasible from the limited available observational and interventional studies. Population data on the ontogeny of puberty show that prior to puberty boys and girls have comparable athletic performance, whereas sex differences in ath letic performance emerge coinciding with the rise in circulating testosterone from the onset of male pu berty. Male puberty results in circulating testosterone concentrations rising from the prepubertal and female postpubertal range (<2 nmol/L) to adult male cir culating testosterone concentrations (18). This is as sociated with a 10% to 12% better performance in running and swimming events and 20% enhancement in jumping events (8).

A minimal estimate of the impact of adult male testosterone concentrations on muscle size and strength in females is provided by the Huang et al. (112) study of postmenopausal women. In this study the highest testosterone dose (weekly injections of 25 mg of testosterone enanthate) increased mean circulating testosterone from 0.9 nmol/L to 7.3 nmol/ L, which is equivalent to the circulating testosterone of boys in early to middle puberty. After 24 weeks of testosterone treatment, the increase in circulating testosterone concentrations led to significant increases in muscle size of 4.4% and in muscle strength of 12% to 26%. Given the limited testosterone dose (and con centration) as well as study duration, it is likely that these findings underestimate the magnitude of the impact that sex difference in circulating testosterone has on muscle mass and strength, and therefore on athletic performance.

Converse effects of reduced athletic performance in athletes who undergo suppression of circulating tes tosterone concentrations from those in the male into the female range have been reported. Among recre ational (nonelite) athletes, an observational study showed a consistent deterioration in athletic perfor mance of transwomen (M2F transgender) athletes corresponding closely to the suppression of circulating testosterone concentrations (175). Similarly, among elite athletes with circulating testosterone in the male range due to DSDs, comparable findings of athletic performance reduced by an average of 5.7% when circulating testosterone was suppressed from the male range to <10 nmol/L (176). Subsequently, when the IAAF hyperandrogenism rule was sus pended in 2015, and so these elite athletes could train and compete with unsuppressed serum testosterone levels, their athletic performances increased by a similar amount. Additionally, circulating hemoglo bin levels in these untreated DSD athletes were comparable with male athletes or with female athletes doping with erythropoietin (Fig. 7). However, when circulating testosterone was suppressed to <10 nmol/L the levels of circulating hemoglobin were 12% lower and again comparable with nondoped, non DSD females, corresponding to the 12% magnitude of the sex difference in hemoglobin between men and women (125).

Congruent findings are also known for an elite female athlete whose serial athletic performance based on publicly available best annual times between 2008 and 2016 for the 800 m running event are depicted in relationship to the original 2011 IAAF hyper androgenism regulation (Fig. 8).

Based on the established dose response re lationships, suppression of circulating testosterone to <10 nmol/L would not eliminate all ergogenic benefits of testosterone for athletes competing in fe male events. For example, according to the Huang et al. (112) study, reducing circulating testosterone to a mean of 7.3 nmol/L would still deliver a 4.4% increase in muscle size and a 12% to 26% increase in muscle strength compared with circulating testosterone at the normal female mean value of 0.9 nmol/L. Similarly, according to the Karunasena et al. (92) study, reducing circulating testosterone concentration to 7 nmol/L would still deliver 7.8% more circulating hemoglo bin than the normal female mean value. Hence, the magnitude of the athletic performance advantage in DSD athletes, which depends on the magnitude of elevated circulating testosterone concentrations, is considerably greater than the 5% to 9% difference observed in reducing levels to <10 nmol/L.

The physiological mechanism underlying these observations is further strengthened by prospective controlled studies of initiation of cross sex hormone treatment in transgender individuals (114, 177). These show that during the first 12 months muscle mass (area) was decreased by 9.4% and hemoglobin levels by 14% in 20 transwomen (M2F transgender) treated with an estrogen based regimen that reduced circulating testosterone concentrations from the male range to the female range. Conversely, in 17 transmen (F2M transgender) treated for the first time with testos terone for 12 months (which increased circulating testosterone levels to a mean of 31 nmol/L), muscle mass increased by 19.2% and hemoglobin by 15% (114). The muscle mass findings remained stable between 1 and 3 years after initiation of treatment, although fat mass continued to change between 1 and 3 years of testosterone treatment (177). These studies did not report muscle strength, but other studies of testosterone dose response relationships for muscle mass and strength show consistently positively correlation (65, 93, 117, 119), although with disproportionately greater effect on muscle strength than on muscle mass. Hence, the muscle mass estimates in these prospective treatment ini tiation studies in transgender individuals likely underestimate the muscle strength gains from ele vated testosterone levels where the circulating tes tosterone markedly exceeds female range to be within the male range as occurs in severe hyper androgenism of DSD females, poorly controlled transwomen (M2F transgender), or transmen (F2M transgender). These effects are also the biological

basis of the ergogenic efficacy of androgen doping in women.

Finally, to put these competitive advantages into context, the winning margin (the difference in per formance by which a competitor misses a gold medal, any medal, or making the final) in elite athletic or swimming events during the last three Olympics is <1% equally for both male and female events (Table 5).

Gaps in Knowledge and Research Limitations

The major limitations on scientific knowledge of the impact of adult male circulating testosterone con centrations on the sex difference in athletic perfor mance is the lack of well designed studies. Ideally, these would need to replicate adult male circulating testosterone concentrations for sufficient time in women to investigate the effects on muscle, hemo globin, bone, and other androgen sensitive measures that display consistent sex dichotomy in the pop ulation. However, the ethical and safety concerns preventing such studies hitherto are likely to remain formidable obstacles due to the risk of unacceptable and potentially irreversible virilization as well as of promoting hormone dependent cancers in women.

With the exception of one interventional study administering a relatively low testosterone dose (*i.e.*, low for males) to women (112), the available evidence comprises observational studies that can only examine the effects of serum testosterone within physiological female limits or sparse and mostly uncontrolled data from intersex/DSD athletes. Although the available observational findings in healthy females are in formative, the key question is the magnitude and dose



Figure 7. Mean hemoglobin concentrations (g/dL) of 12 elite athletes in 4 groups of 3 XY or XX middle-distance runners. The hemoglobin concentrations were collected as a part of the Athlete Biological Passport and analyzed according to the World Anti-Doping Agency standard methods. Each bar (athlete) is the mean of a minimum of three blood samples. In the 46,XY DSD group, blood was collected in a period when the athlete was not undergoing hormonal suppressive treatment.

response of effects at still higher circulating testos terone concentrations on the performances of women. Whereas a testosterone dose response relationship has been established in women at relatively low (for men) testosterone dose and circulating concentrations, it remains unproven (even if clearly plausible) that the testosterone dose response relationships established in men for muscle, hemoglobin, and bone can be ex trapolated to women when they are exposed to higher circulating testosterone concentrations (i.e., compa rable with male levels). It is theoretically possible there could be differences between men and women in muscle responses to testosterone, as muscle cell populations might express genetic differences in an drogen sensitivity (for which there are no data), or alternatively the long term prior pattern of testos terone exposure from conception to adulthood might lead to differences in testosterone dose responsiveness after maturity. Although the dose response relation ship in women may be similar to what is seen in men, there is also anecdotal evidence that the dose response curves may be left shifted so that testosterone has greater potency in women than in men at comparable doses and circulating levels. The prediction is sup ported by the anecdotal evidence from the surrepti tious East German national doping program in which the supervising doctors asserted from their experience of illicit cheating that androgens had more potent ergogenic effects in women than in men (120), a speculative opinion shared by many experienced sports medicine physicians.

There is no known means of increasing endoge nous testosterone in women to anything like the requisite degree to attempt to answer these questions. In healthy men, circulating testosterone originates almost exclusively from a single source (testicular Leydig cells) and is subject to tight hypothalamic negative feedback control, so that either direct stim ulation (by human chorionic gonadotropin) or in direct reflex effects (e.g., from estrogen blockers operating via negative feedback) to enhance Leydig cell testosterone secretion are feasible. However, similar mechanisms do not operate in women, in whom circulating testosterone originates from three different sources (adrenal, ovary, extraglandular conversion of androgen precursors), none of which is subject to tight testosterone negative feedback control. As a result, it is not feasible to produce a sufficient increase in cir culating testosterone in women either by direct ovarian stimulation or indirect reflex effects to test this hypothesis even if doing so were deemed ethical and safe. Alternatively, carefully controlled, graded dose studies in F2M transgender individuals might be in formative but are largely lacking at this time.

Hence, the only feasible design of such studies would be testosterone (or another androgen) ad ministration to healthy young women. The only well designed, placebo controlled study of testosterone in otherwise healthy postmenopausal women was re stricted to relatively low testosterone doses that, al though clearly supraphysiological for women, were only 20% to 25% of male testosterone replacement doses (112). We are currently performing a double blind, randomized, placebo controlled study of the effects of moderately increased testosterone concentra tion on physical performance and behavior in young healthy women (ClinicalTrials.gov no. NCT03210558). However, obtaining ethical approval to administer supraphysiological testosterone doses that maintain circulating testosterone in the male range for sufficiently prolonged periods, as well as the practical difficulties in recruitment, are likely to remain obstacles to definitive resolution of this question.

In men, analogous ethical concerns over short and long term adverse effects delayed the definitive studies of supraphysiological testosterone doses to healthy young and older men but were eventually overcome. This was despite the fact that, uniquely among hor mones, there is no known disease state in men due to pathologically excessive testosterone secretion. In contrast, in women, supraphysiological testosterone effects are known to produce virilization side ef fects that may be only slowly and partially, if at all, reversible. However, maintaining clearly supra physiological testosterone concentrations would re quire treatment of months (muscle) or years (bone) and would replicate not only a known hyper androgenic disease state (PCOS) but also potentially increasing risk of hormone dependent cancers. In these circumstances, it could only be justifiable to replicate in women the salient testosterone dose response studies available from men if the available evidence of dose response relationship in men was not sufficiently convincing and/or there was reason to think that these dose response characteristics would be substantially different in women. Overall, the un equivocal dose response evidence in men together with the available overlap evidence in women appears sufficiently persuasive, so that it is doubtful that women would respond differently from men if their circulating testosterone levels were raised to the male range. More broadly, there is no more reason to re quire separate studies in women vs men than there is for every different ethnic subgroup of people. An aesthetic preference for splitting categories is not a sound reason to require the virtually impossible standard of establishing fresh and comprehensive empirical evidence in women of testosterone dose response effects ranging into male circulating testos terone concentrations.

An analogy can be drawn to the World Anti Doping Agency's practice of accepting salient surro gate evidence for banning the plethora of existing and new drugs with potential but individually unproven ergogenic effects where it is not feasible or ethical to require direct proof of the ergogenic effects. In that context, the firmly established ergogenic efficacy of androgens (on muscle mass and strength) and increased hemoglobin (on endurance) [evidence reviewed in (1)] mean that chemical substances or methods that increase endogenous testosterone, erythropoietin, or hemoglobin are also considered ergogenic (178). By parity of reasoning, if a condition causes a female athlete's circulating testosterone levels to be in the male range, well exceeding normal female levels, with consequential increases in muscle, he moglobin, and bone effects (at least), an ergogenic effect may reasonably be assumed.

Conclusions

The available, albeit incomplete, evidence makes it highly likely that the sex difference in circulating testosterone of adults explains most, if not all, the sex differences in sporting performance. This is based on the dose response effects of circulating testosterone to increase muscle mass and strength, bone size and strength (density), and circulating hemoglobin, each of which alone increases athletic capacity, as well as other possible sex dichotomous, androgen sensitive con tributors such as mental effects (mood, motivation, aggression) and muscle myoglobin content. These facts explain the clear sex difference in athletic per formance in most sports, on which basis it is com monly accepted that competition has to be divided into male and female categories.

The first IAAF hyperandrogenism regulation specified a hormonal eligibility criterion of a serum testosterone of < 10 nmol/L for an androgen sensitive athlete's participation in the protected category of female athletic events. This threshold was based on serum testosterone measurements by immunoassays.



© 2018 ENDOCRINE SOCIETY



Table 5. The Winning Margi	in Elite Athletic or Swimming	g Events During the Last Three Olympics
----------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Median Margin (%) ^a	n	Win Gold	Win Medal	Make Final
Athletics ^b				
Running	81	0.62	0.31	0.22
Jumping	24	0.92	0.42	0.92
Throwing	24	1.93	0.70	0.75
Swimming ^e				
Backstroke	12	0.56	0.28	0.16
Breaststroke	12	0.84	0.14	0.17
Butterfly	12	0.52	0.48	0.12
Freestyle	30	0.49	0.23	0.14
Relay	18	0.37	0.35	0.12

^aWinning margin is defined as the difference (expressed as a percentage of the faster time) between first and second place (Win Gold), between third and fourth place (Win Medal), and between the last into the final and the first that missed out (Make Final). Years (2008, 2012, 2016) and sexes were combined as there were no significant differences in winning margin between them.

^bRunning includes 100 m, 200 m, 400 m, 800 m, 1500 m, 5000 m, 10,000 m, marathon, and 3000-m steeplechase, 110-m (male)/100-m (female) and 400-m hurdles, 4 × 100-m and 4 × 400-m relays, and 20-km and 50-km walk events. Jumping includes high jump, long jump, triple jump, and pole vault events. Throwing includes javelin, shot put, discus, and hammer events. Heptathlon and decathlon were not included as their final results are in points, not times.

^cEvents comprise 100 m and 200 m for the form strokes and 50 m, 100 m, 200 m, 400 m, 800 m (female)/1500 m (male) and marathon 10 km, with the relays being the 4×100 -m medley and 4×100 -m and 4×200 -m freestyle relays

However, no reliable method independent consensus threshold could be established using commercial testosterone immunoassays, as these assays differ systematically due to method specific bias arising unavoidably from the specificity of the different proprietary antibodies employed (25). Based on measurements using the more accurate and specific mass spectrometry methods, if the objective is to require female athletes with congenital conditions that cause them to have serum testosterone concentrations in the normal male range to bring those levels down to the same range as other female athletes, then (allowing for PCOS athletes) the threshold used should not be >5.0 nmol/L. This represents a conservative cri terion that includes all healthy young (<40 years) women, including those with PCOS. Conversely, this criterion is generous to intersex/DSD females in allowing them to maintain a higher serum testosterone (2 to 5 nmol/L) than most non PCOS competitors in female events even though increases in muscle mass and strength and hemoglobin would be expected in this range. This is so even though the range remains below the circulating testosterone levels of middle male puberty when the major biological effects of men's higher circulating testosterone begin to be fully expressed. Ongoing compliance with the eligibility criterion is also an important variable because the estrogen based suppression of circulating testosterone, typically using daily administered estrogen products, has a rapid onset and offset. Adequate monitoring to prevent gaming of eligibility criteria would require

regular random rather than announced blood sampling.

A related matter is how long such a threshold of circulating testosterone should be maintained prior to competition. In both intersex/DSD and transgender individuals, the developmental effects of adult male circulating testosterone concentrations will have established the sex difference in muscle, hemoglobin, and bone, some of which is fixed and irreversible (bone size) and some of which is maintained by the male circulating testosterone concentrations (muscle, he moglobin). The limited available prospective evidence from initiation of transgender cross sex hormone treatment suggests that the advantageous increases in muscle and hemoglobin due to male circulating tes tosterone concentrations are induced or reversed during the first 12 months and the androgenic effects may plateau after time. This time course is much faster than the somatic effects of male puberty, which evolve over years and for some variables (e.g., peak bone mass) are not complete for up to a decade after the start of puberty. However, the abrupt hormonal changes induced by medical treatment in intersex/ DSD or transgender individuals may be telescoped compared with male puberty where circulating tes tosterone concentrations increase irregularly and incompletely for some years. Additional data are available from the unique investigative model of men undergoing castration for prostate cancer. Just as androgen sensitivity to testosterone may differ be tween tissues (65), the time course of offset of androgen effects following withdrawal of male tes tosterone concentrations may also differ between the major androgen responsive tissues. For example, cir culating hemoglobin shows a progressive fall for 6 months reaching a nadir and plateau at 12 to 16 months in six studies involving 534 men un dergoing medical castration for prostate cancer (179 184). Although these studies of older men with prostate cancer must be extrapolated with caution, age, stage of disease, race, and baseline circulating testosterone concentration did not affect the rate or extent of decline in hemoglobin (179, 181). Compa rable longitudinal studies of muscle loss, strength, and performance following castration for prostate cancer are well summarized (185), showing progressive loss for 24 months (see Fig. 4). Further clinical studies to define the time course of changes, mainly offset, in testosterone dependent effects, notably on muscle and hemoglobin, are badly needed to determine the op timal duration for cross sex hormone effects in sports.

References

- Handelsman DJ. Performance enhancing hormones in sports doping. In: DeGroot LJ, Jameson JL, eds. *Endocrinology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2015:441 454.
- Coleman DL. Sex in sport. Available at: ssrn.com/ abstract=2928106. Accessed 22 October 2017.
- Lee PA, Nordenström A, Houk CP, Ahmed SF, Auchus R, Baratz A, Baratz Dalke K, Liao LM, Lin-Su K, Looijenga LH III, Mazur T, Meyer-Bahlburg HF, Mouriquand P, Quigley CA, Sandberg DE, Vilain E, Witchel S, Global DSD Update Consortium. Global disorders of sex development update since 2006: perceptions, approach and care [published correction appears in *Horm Res Paediatr.* 2016;85(3): 180]. *Horm Res Paediatr.* 2016;85(3):158 180.
- Southren AL, Tochimoto S, Carmody NC, Isurugi K. Plasma production rates of testosterone in normal adult men and women and in patients with the syndrome of feminizing testes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1965;25(11):1441 1450.
- Horton R, Tait JF. Androstenedione production and interconversion rates measured in peripheral blood and studies on the possible site of its conversion to testosterone. J Clin Invest. 1966;45(3):301 313.
- Southren AL, Gordon GG, Tochimoto S. Further study of factors affecting the metabolic clearance rate of testosterone in man. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1968;28(8):1105 1112.
- Saez JM, Forest MG, Morera AM, Bertrand J. Metabolic clearance rate and blood production rate of testosterone and dihydrotestosterone in normal subjects, during pregnancy, and in hyperthyroidism. *J Clin Invest.* 1972;**51**(5):1226–1234.
- Handelsman DJ. Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset of male puberty. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2017;87(1):68–72.
- Auchus RJ. Endocrinology and women's sports: the diagnosis matters. *Law Contemp Probl.* 2017;80: 127 138.
- Foddy B, Savulescu J. Time to re-evaluate gender segregation in athletics? Br J Sports Med. 2011; 45(15):1184 1188.
- Handelsman DJ. Androgen physiology, pharmacology and abuse. In: DeGroot LJ, Jameson JL, eds. *Endocrinology*. 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2015:2368 2393.
- Miller WL, Auchus RJ. The molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology of human steroidogenesis and its disorders. *Endocr Rev.* 2011;**32**(1):81 151.
- Abreu AP, Kaiser UB. Pubertal development and regulation. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2016;4(3): 254 264.
- 14. Horton R, Shinsako J, Forsham PH. Testosterone production and metabolic clearance rates with

volumes of distribution in normal adult men and women. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)*. 1965;**48**:446 458.

- Rivarola MA, Saez JM, Meyer WJ, Jenkins ME, Migeon CJ. Metabolic clearance rate and blood production rate of testosterone and androst-4-ene-3,17-dione under basal conditions, ACTH and HCG stimulation. Comparison with urinary production rate of testosterone. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1966; 26(11):1208 1218.
- Courant F, Aksglaede L, Antignac JP, Monteau F, Sorensen K, Andersson AM, Skakkebaek NE, Juul A, Bizec BL. Assessment of circulating sex steroid levels in prepubertal and pubertal boys and girls by a novel ultrasensitive gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(1):82 92.
- Davison SL, Bell R, Donath S, Montalto JG, Davis SR. Androgen levels in adult females: changes with age, menopause, and oophorectomy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90(7):3847 3853.
- Handelsman DJ, Sikaris K, Ly LP. Estimating agespecific trends in circulating testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin in males and females across the lifespan. Ann Clin Biochem. 2016;**53**(Pt 3): 377–384.
- Rothman MS, Carlson NE, Xu M, Wang C, Swerdloff R, Lee P, Goh VH, Ridgway EC, Wierman ME. Reexamination of testosterone, dihydrotestosterone, estradiol and estrone levels across the menstrual cycle and in postmenopausal women measured by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Steroids. 2011;**76**(1-2):177 182.
- 20. Müller RK. History of doping and doping control. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2010;(195):1 23.
- Rosner W, Hankinson SE, Sluss PM, Vesper HW, Wierman ME. Challenges to the measurement of estradiol: an Endocrine Society position statement. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;**98**(4):1376–1387.
- Rosner W, Auchus RJ, Azziz R, Sluss PM, Raff H. Position statement: utility, limitations, and pitfalls in measuring testosterone: an Endocrine Society position statement. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007,92(2): 405 413.
- Handelsman DJ, Wartofsky L. Requirement for mass spectrometry sex steroid assays in the Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(10):3971 3973.
- Handelsman DJ. Mass spectrometry, immunoassay and valid steroid measurements in reproductive medicine and science. *Hum Reprod.* 2017;**32**(6): 1147–1150.
- Sikaris K, McLachlan RI, Kazlauskas R, de Kretser D, Holden CA, Handelsman DJ. Reproductive hormone reference intervals for healthy fertile young men:

evaluation of automated platform assays. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;**90**(11):5928 5936.

- Turpeinen U, Linko S, Itkonen O, Hämäläinen E. Determination of testosterone in serum by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 2008;68(1):50 57.
- Kushnir MM, Blamires T, Rockwood AL, Roberts WL, Yue B, Erdogan E, Bunker AM, Meikle AW. Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry assay for androstenedione, dehydroepiandrosterone, and testosterone with pediatric and adult reference intervals. *Clin Chem.* 2010;56(7): 1138 1147.
- Salameh WA, Redor-Goldman MM, Clarke NJ, Reitz RE, Caulfield MP. Validation of a total testosterone assay using high-turbulence liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry: total and free testosterone reference ranges. *Steroids*. 2010;**75**(2): 169 175.
- Neale SM, Hocking R, Biswas M, Turkes A, Rees D, Rees DA, Evans C. Adult testosterone and calculated free testosterone reference ranges by tandem mass spectrometry. Ann Clin Biochem. 2013;50(Pt 2): 159 161.
- Kelsey TW, Li LQ, Mitchell RT, Whelan A, Anderson RA, Wallace WH. A validated age-related normative model for male total testosterone shows increasing variance but no decline after age 40 years [published correction appears in *PLoS One.* 2015;10(2): e0117674]. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):e109346.
- Hart RJ, Doherty DA, McLachlan RI, Walls ML, Keelan JA, Dickinson JE, Skakkebaek NE, Norman RJ, Handelsman DJ. Testicular function in a birth cohort of young men. *Hum Reprod.* 2015;30(12): 2713 2724.
- Travison TG, Vesper HW, Orwoll E, Wu F, Kaufman JM, Wang Y, Lapauw B, Fiers T, Matsumoto AM, Bhasin S. Harmonized reference ranges for circulating testosterone levels in men of four cohort studies in the United States and Europe. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(4):1161 1173.
- Haring R, Hannemann A, John U, Radke D, Nauck M, Wallaschofski H, Owen L, Adaway J, Keevil BG, Brabant G. Age-specific reference ranges for serum testosterone and androstenedione concentrations in women measured by liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97(2):408 415.
- Bui HN, Sluss PM, Blincko S, Knol DL, Blankenstein MA, Heijboer AC. Dynamics of serum testosterone during the menstrual cycle evaluated by daily measurements with an ID-LC-MS/MS method and a 2nd generation automated immunoassay. *Steroids.* 2013;**78**(1):96 101.

REVIEW

- Bermon S, Garnier PY. Serum androgen levels and their relation to performance in track and field: mass spectrometry results from 2127 observations in male and female elite athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;**51**(17):1309 1314.
- Eklund E, Berglund B, Labrie F, Carlström K, Ekström L, Hirschberg AL. Serum androgen profile and physical performance in women Olympic athletes. *Br J Sports Med.* 2017;**51**(17):1301 1308.
- Travison TG, Zhuang WV, Lunetta KL, Karasik D, Bhasin S, Kiel DP, Coviello AD, Murabito JM. The heritability of circulating testosterone, oestradiol, oestrone and sex hormone binding globulin concentrations in men: the Framingham Heart Study. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2014;80(2): 277 282.
- Coviello AD, Zhuang WV, Lunetta KL, Bhasin S, Ulloor J, Zhang A, Karasik D, Kiel DP, Vasan RS, Murabito JM. Circulating testosterone and SHBG concentrations are heritable in women: the Framingham Heart Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(9):E1491 E1495.
- Fui MN, Dupuis P, Grossmann M. Lowered testosterone in male obesity: mechanisms, morbidity and management. Asian J Androl. 2014;16(2): 223 231.
- Corona G, Rastrelli G, Monami M, Saad F, Luconi M, Lucchese M, Facchiano E, Sforza A, Forti G, Mannucci E, Maggi M. Body weight loss reverts obesity-associated hypogonadotropic hypogonadism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Endocrinol. 2013;168(6):829 843.
- Sartorius G, Spasevska S, Idan A, Turner L, Forbes E, Zamojska A, Allan CA, Ly LP, Conway AJ, McLachlan RI, Handelsman DJ. Serum testosterone, dihydrotestosterone and estradiol concentrations in older men self-reporting very good health: the healthy man study. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2012;**77**(5): 755 763.
- Webb ML, Wallace JP, Hamill C, Hodgson JL, Mashaly MM. Serum testosterone concentration during two hours of moderate intensity treadmill running in trained men and women. *Endocr Res.* 1984;**10**(1):27 38.
- Cano Sokoloff N, Misra M, Ackerman KE. Exercise, training, and the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis in men and women. *Front Horm Res.* 2016; 47:27 43.
- Bozdag G, Mumusoglu S, Zengin D, Karabulut E, Yildiz BO. The prevalence and phenotypic features of polycystic ovary syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod.* 2016;**31**(12): 2841 2855.
- Hagmar M, Berglund B, Brismar K, Hirschberg AL. Hyperandrogenism may explain reproductive dysfunction in Olympic athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;**41**(6):1241 1248.
- Eliakim A, Marom N, Galitskaya L, Nemet D. Hyperandrogenism among elite adolescent female athletes. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2010;23(8): 755 758.
- Rickenlund A, Carlström K, Ekblom B, Brismar TB, von Schoultz B, Hirschberg AL. Hyperandrogenicity is an alternative mechanism underlying oligomenorrhea or amenorrhea in female athletes and may improve physical performance. *Fertil Steril.* 2003; **79**(4):947 955.
- Falhammar H, Nordenström A. Nonclassic congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency: clinical presentation, diagnosis, treatment, and outcome. *Endocrine*. 2015;**50**(1):32 50.
- Auchus RJ. The classic and nonclassic concenital adrenal hyperplasias. *Endocr Pract.* 2015;**21**(4): 383 389.

- Moran LJ, Mundra PA, Teede HJ, Meikle PJ. The association of the lipidomic profile with features of polycystic ovary syndrome. J Mol Endocrinol. 2017; 59(1):93 104.
- Münzker J, Lindheim L, Adaway J, Trummer C, Lerchbaum E, Pieber TR, Keevil B, Obermayer-Pietsch B, High salivary testosterone-to-androstenedione ratio and adverse metabolic phenotypes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2017; 86(4) 567 575.
- O'Reilly MW, Kempegowda P, Jenkinson C, Taylor AE, Quanson JL, Storbeck KH, Arlt W. 11-Oxygenated C19 steroids are the predominant androgens in polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;102(3):840 848.
- Handelsman DJ, Teede HJ, Desai R, Norman RJ, Moran LJ. Performance of mass spectrometry steroid profiling for diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* 2017;**32**(2):418 422.
- Pasquali R, Zanotti L, Fanelli F, Mezzullo M, Fazzini A, Morselli Labate AM, Repaci A, Ribichini D, Gambineri A. Defining hyperandrogenism in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a challenging perspective. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016; 101(5):2013 2022.
- Yang Y, Han Y, Wang W, Du T, Li Y, Zhang J, Yang D, Zhao X. Assessing new terminal body and facial hair growth during pregnancy: toward developing a simplified visual scoring system for hirsutism. *Fertil* Steril. 2016;**105**(2):494 500.
- Tosi F, Fiers T, Kaufman JM, Dall'Alda M, Moretta R, Giagulli VA, Bonora E, Moghetti P. Implications of androgen assay accuracy in the phenotyping of women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(2):610 618.
- Daan NM, Jaspers L, Koster MP, Broekmans FJ, de Rijke YB, Franco OH, Laven JS, Kavousi M, Fauser BC. Androgen levels in women with various forms of ovarian dysfunction: associations with cardiometabolic features. *Hum Reprod.* 2015;**30**(10): 2376–2386.
- Bui HN, Sluss PM, Hayes FJ, Blincko S, Knol DL, Blankenstein MA, Heijboer AC. Testosterone, free testosterone, and free androgen index in women: reference intervals, biological variation, and diagnostic value in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2015;**450**:227 232.
- Keefe CC, Goldman MM, Zhang K, Clarke N, Reitz RE, Welt CK. Simultaneous measurement of thirteen steroid hormones in women with polycystic ovary syndrome and control women using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(4):e93805.
- Yasmin E, Balen AH, Barth JH. The association of body mass index and biochemical hyperandrogenaemia in women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2013;**166**(2):173 177.
- Janse F, Eijkemans MJ, Goverde AJ, Lentjes EG, Hoek A, Lambalk CB, Hickey TE, Fauser BC, Norman RJ. Assessment of androgen concentration in women: liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry and extraction RIA show comparable results. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2011;**165**(6):925 933.
- Jedel E, Gustafson D, Waern M, Sverrisdottir YB, Landén M, Janson PO, Labrie F, Ohlsson C, Stener-Victorin E. Sex steroids, insulin sensitivity and sympathetic nerve activity in relation to adfective symptoms in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2011;**36**(10): 1470–1479.
- Legro RS, Schlaff WD, Diamond MP, Coutifaris C, Casson PR, Brzyski RG, Christman GM, Trussell JC, Krawetz SA, Snyder PJ, Ohl D, Carson SA,

Steinkampf MP, Carr BR, McGovern PG, Cataldo NA, Gosman GG, Nestler JE, Myers ER, Santoro N, Eisenberg E, Zhang M, Zhang H; Reproductive Medicine Network. Total testosterone assays in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: precision and correlation with hirsutism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010;**95**(12):5305 5313.

- Stener-Victorin E, Holm G, Labrie F, Nilsson L, Janson PO, Ohlsson C. Are there any sensitive and specific sex steroid markers for polycystic ovary syndrome? J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(2): 810 819.
- Finkelstein JS, Lee H, Burnett-Bowie SA, Pallais JC, Yu EW, Borges LF, Jones BF, Barry CV, Wulczyn KE, Thomas BJ, Leder BZ. Gonadal steroids and body composition, strength, and sexual function in men. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(11):1011 1022.
- 66. Donovan KA, Gonzalez BD, Nelson AM, Fishman MN, Zachariah B, Jacobsen PB. Effect of androgen deprivation therapy on sexual function and bother in men with prostate cancer: a controlled comparison. *Psychooncology*. 2018;**27**(1):316–324.
- Buena F, Swerdloff RS, Steiner BS, Lutchmansingh P, Peterson MA, Pandian MR, Galmarini M, Bhasin S. Sexual function does not change when serum testosterone levels are pharmacologically varied within the normal male range. *Fertil Steril.* 1993; 59(5):1118 1123.
- Sartorius GA, Ly LP, Handelsman DJ. Male sexual function can be maintained without aromatization: randomized placebo-controlled trial of dihydrotestosterone (DHT) in healthy, older men for 24 months. J Sex Med. 2014;11(10):2562 2570.
- Liu PY, Swerdloff RS, Christenson PD, Handelsman DJ, Wang C; Hormonal Male Contraception Summit Group. Rate, extent, and modifiers of spermatogenic recovery after hormonal male contraception: an integrated analysis. *Lancet.* 2006;**367**(9520): 1412 1420.
- Walsh PC, Swerdloff RS. Biphasic effect of testosterone on spermatogenesis in the rat. *Invest Urol.* 1973;11(3):190 193.
- Singh J, O'Neill C, Handelsman DJ. Induction of spermatogenesis by androgens in gonadotropindeficient (*hpg*) mice. *Endocrinology*. 1995;**136**(12): 5311 5321.
- Handelsman DJ, Spaliviero JA, Simpson JM, Allan CM, Singh J. Spermatogenesis without gonadotropins: maintenance has a lower testosterone threshold than initiation. *Endocrinology*. 1999; 140(9):3938–3946.
- 73. Juel Mortensen L, Blomberg Jensen M, Christiansen P, Rønholt AM, Jørgensen A, Frederiksen H, Nielsen JE, Loya AC, Grønkær Toft B, Skakkebæk NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Juul A. Germ cell neoplasia in situ and preserved fertility despite suppressed gonadotropins in a patient with testotoxicosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017;**102**(12):4411 4416.
- Cunha-Silva M, Brito VN, Macedo DB, Bessa DS, Ramos CO, Lima LG, Barroso PS, Arnhold IJP, Segaloff DL, Mendonca BB, Latronico AC. Spontaneous fertility in a male patient with testotoxicosis despite suppression of FSH levels. *Hum Reprod.* 2018;**33**(5):914 918.
- Mendonca BB, Batista RL, Domenice S, Costa EM, Arnhold IJ, Russell DW, Wilson JD. Steroid 5α-reductase 2 deficiency. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;163:206 211.
- 76. Mendonca BB, Gomes NL, Costa EM, Inacio M, Martin RM, Nishi MY, Carvalho FM, Tibor FD, Domenice S. 46,XY disorder of sex development (DSD) due to 17 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 3 deficiency. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2017; **165**(Pt A):79 85.

- Quigley CA, De Bellis A, Marschke KB, el-Awady MK, Wilson EM, French FS. Androgen receptor defects: historical, clinical, and molecular perspectives. *Endocr Rev.* 1995;16(3):271 321.
- Lucas-Herald A, Bertelloni S, Juul A, Bryce J, Jiang J, Rodie M, Sinnott R, Boroujerdi M, Lindhardt Johansen M, Hiort O, Holterhus PM, Cools M, Guaragna-Filho G, Guerra-Junior G, Weintrob N, Hannema S, Drop S, Guran T, Darendeliler F, Nordenstrom A, Hughes IA, Acerini C, Tadokoro-Cuccaro R, Ahmed SF. The long-term outcome of boys with partial androgen insensitivity syndrome and a mutation in the androgen receptor gene. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(11):3959 3967.
- El-Maouche D, Arlt W, Merke DP. Congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Lancet.* 2017;**390**(10108): 2194 2210.
- Bermon S, Garnier PY, Hirschberg AL, Robinson N, Giraud S, Nicoli R, Baume N, Saugy M, Fénichel P, Bruce SJ, Henry H, Dollé G, Ritzen M. Serum androgen levels in elite female athletes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(11):4328 4335.
- Imperato-McGinley J, Peterson RE, Gautier T, Sturla E. Androgens and the evolution of male-gender identity among male pseudohermaphrodites with 5α-reductase deficiency. N Engl J Med. 1979;**300**(22): 1233 1237.
- Kang HJ, Imperato-McGinley J, Zhu YS, Rosenwaks Z. The effect of 5α-reductase-2 deficiency on human fertility. *Fertil Steril*. 2014;**101**(2):310–316.
- Strickland AL, French FS. Absence of response to dihydrotestosterone in the syndrome of testicular feminization. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1969;29(9): 1284 1286.
- Rosenfield RL, Lawrence AM, Liao S, Landau RL. Androgens and androgen responsiveness in the feminizing testis syndrome. Comparison of complete and "incomplete" forms. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1971;32(5):625–632.
- Hamilton CR Jr, Kliman B. Anabolic effect of dihydrotestosterone in testicular feminization syndrome. *Metabolism*. 1971;20(9):870–877.
- Zachmann M, Zagalak M, Völlmin JA, Gitzelmann RP, Prader A. Influence of testosterone on urinary ¹⁵N-balance in normal subjects and patients with testicular feminization. *Clin Chim Acta*. 1977;**77**(2): 147–157.
- Tincello DG, Saunders PT, Hodgins MB, Simpson NB, Edwards CR, Hargreaves TB, Wu FC. Correlation of clinical, endocrine and molecular abnormalities with in vivo responses to high-dose testosterone in patients with partial androgen insensitivity syndrome. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 1997;**46**(4): 497 506.
- Grino PB, Isidro-Gutierrez RF, Griffin JE, Wilson JD. Androgen resistance associated with a qualitative abnormality of the androgen receptor and responsive to high dose androgen therapy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1989;68(3):578 584.
- Lundberg Giwercman Y, Nikoshkov A, Lindsten K, Byström B, Pousette A, Knudtzon J, Alm J, Wedell A. Response to treatment in patients with partial androgen insensitivity due to mutations in the DNA-binding domain of the androgen receptor. *Horm Res.* 2000;**53**(2):83 88.
- Holterhus PM, Sinnecker GH, Hiort O. Phenotypic diversity and testosterone-induced normalization of mutant L712F androgen receptor function in a kindred with androgen insensitivity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85(9):3245 3250.
- Quigley CA. The androgen receptor: physiology and pathophysiology. In: Nieschlag E, Behre HM, eds. Testosterone: Action, Deficiency, Substitution. 2nd ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag: 1998:33 106.

- Karunasena N, Han TS, Mallappa A, Elman M, Merke DP, Ross RJ, Daniel E. Androgens correlate with increased erythropoiesis in women with congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2017;**86**(1):19 25.
- Herbst KL, Bhasin S. Testosterone action on skeletal muscle. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2004;7(3): 271 277.
- Dubois V, Laurent MR, Sinnesael M, Cielen N, Helsen C, Clinckemalie L, Spans L, Gayan-Ramirez G, Deldicque L, Hespel P, Carmeliet G, Vanderschueren D, Claessens F. A satellite cell-specific knockout of the androgen receptor reveals myostatin as a direct androgen target in skeletal muscle. *FASEB J.* 2014; 28(7):2979 2994.
- Usui T, Kajita K, Kajita T, Mori I, Hanamoto T, Ikeda T, Okada H, Taguchi K, Kitada Y, Morita H, Sasaki T, Kitamura T, Sato T, Kojima I, Ishizuka T. Elevated mitochondrial biogenesis in skeletal muscle is associated with testosterone-induced body weight loss in male mice. *FEBS Lett.* 2014;**588**(10): 1935–1941.
- Mänttäri S, Anttila K, Järvilehto M. Testosterone stimulates myoglobin expression in different muscles of the mouse. J Comp Physiol B. 2008;178(7): 899 907.
- Ferrando AA, Sheffield-Moore M, Yeckel CW, Gilkison C, Jiang J, Achacosa A, Lieberman SA, Tipton K, Wolfe RR, Urban RJ. Testosterone administration to older men improves muscle function: molecular and physiological mechanisms. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2002;**282**(3):E601 E607.
- Matzuk MM, Lamb DJ. The biology of infertility: research advances and clinical challenges. *Nat Med.* 2008;**14**(11):1197 1213.
- Matzuk MM, Lamb DJ. Genetic dissection of mammalian fertility pathways. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2002; 4(Suppl):S41 S49.
- Walters KA, Simanainen U, Handelsman DJ. Molecular insights into androgen actions in male and female reproductive function from androgen receptor knockout models. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2010;**16**(5):543 558.
- 101. MacLean HE, Chiu WS, Notini AJ, Axell AM, Davey RA, McManus JF, Ma C, Plant DR, Lynch GS, Zajac JD. Impaired skeletal muscle development and function in male, but not female, genomic androgen receptor knockout mice. FASEB J. 2008; 22(8):2676–2689.
- Morrow JR Jr, Hosler WW. Strength comparisons in untrained men and trained women athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1981;13(3):194 197.
- Miller AE, MacDougall JD, Tarnopolsky MA, Sale DG, Gender differences in strength and muscle fiber characteristics. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol*. 1993;66(3):254 262.
- 104. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Wang ZM, Ross R. Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and women aged 18 88 yr. J Appl Physiol. 2000;89(1): 81 88.
- 105. Hosler WW, Morrow JR Jr. Arm and leg strength compared between young women and men after allowing for differences in body size and composition. *Ergonomics*. 1982;**25**(4):309 313.
- Sale DG. Neuromuscular function. In: Tarnopolsky M, ed. Gender Differences in Metabolism: Practical and Nutritional Implications. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1999:61 86.
- 107. Tønnessen E, Svendsen IS, Olsen IC, Guttormsen A, Haugen T. Performance development in adolescent track and field athletes according to age, sex and sport discipline. *PLoS One.* 2015;**10**(6):e0129014.
- 108. Carmina E, Guastella E, Longo RA, Rini GB, Lobo RA. Correlates of increased lean muscle mass in women

with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2009;**161**(4):583 589.

- 109. Douchi T, Oki T, Yamasaki H, Kuwahata R, Nakae M, Nagata Y. Relationship of androgens to muscle size and bone mineral density in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2001; **98**(3):445–449.
- Cardinale M, Stone MH. Is testosterone influencing explosive performance? J Strength Cond Res. 2006; 20(1):103 107.
- 111. Bhasin S, Woodhouse L, Casaburi R, Singh AB, Bhasin D, Berman N, Chen X, Yarasheski KE, Magliano L, Dzekov C, Dzekov J, Bross R, Phillips J, Sinha-Hikim I, Shen R, Storer TW. Testosterone dose-response relationships in healthy young men. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2001;**281**(6): E1172 E1181.
- 112. Huang G, Basaria S, Travison TG, Ho MH, Davda M, Mazer NA, Miciek R, Knapp PE, Zhang A, Collins L, Ursino M, Appleman E, Dzekov C, Stroh H, Ouellette M, Rundell T, Baby M, Bhatia NN, Khorram O, Friedman T, Storer TW, Bhasin S. Testosterone dose-response relationships in hysterectomized women with or without oophorectomy: effects on sexual function, body composition, muscle performance and physical function in a randomized trial. *Menopause*. 2014;**21**(6):612 623.
- Dobs AS, Nguyen T, Pace C, Roberts CP. Differential effects of oral estrogen versus oral estrogenandrogen replacement therapy on body composition in postmenopausal women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2002;87(4):1509 1516.
- Elbers JM, Asscheman H, Seidell JC, Gooren LJ. Effects of sex steroid hormones on regional fat depots as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging in transsexuals. *Am J Physiol.* 1999;**276**(2 Pt 1): E317 E325.
- 115. Van Caenegem E, Wierckx K, Taes Y, Schreiner T, Vandewalle S, Toye K, Lapauw B, Kaufman JM, T'Sjoen G. Body composition, bone turnover, and bone mass in trans men during testosterone treatment: 1-year follow-up data from a prospective case-controlled study (ENIGI). *Eur J Endocrinol*. 2015; **172**(2):163 171.
- Sonksen P. Determination and regulation of body composition in elite athletes. Br J Sports Med. 2018; 52(4):219 229.
- 117. Storer TW, Woodhouse L, Magliano L, Singh AB, Dzekov C, Dzekov J, Bhasin S. Changes in muscle mass, muscle strength, and power but not physical function are related to testosterone dose in healthy older men. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2008;**56**(11):1991 1999.
- 118. Bhasin S, Parker RA, Sattler F, Haubrich R, Alston B, Umbleja T, Shikuma CM; AIDS Clinical Trials Group Protocol A5079 Study Team. Effects of testosterone supplementation on whole body and regional fat mass and distribution in human immunodeficiency virus-infected men with abdominal obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92(3):1049 1057.
- 119. Bhasin S, Woodhouse L, Casaburi R, Singh AB, Mac RP, Lee M, Yarasheski KE, Sinha-Hikim I, Dzekov C, Dzekov J, Magliano L, Storer TW. Older men are as responsive as young men to the anabolic effects of graded doses of testosterone on the skeletal muscle. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005,**90**(2):678 688.
- 120. Franke WW, Berendonk B. Hormonal doping and androgenization of athletes: a secret program of the German Democratic Republic government. *Clin Chem.* 1997;**43**(7):1262 1279.
- Shahani S, Braga-Basaria M, Maggio M, Basaria S. Androgens and erythropoiesis: past and present. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 2009;**32**(8):704–716.
- 122. Bachman E, Travison TG, Basaria S, Davda MN, Guo W, Li M, Connor Westfall J, Bae H, Gordeuk V,

Bhasin S. Testosterone induces erythrocytosis via increased erythropoietin and suppressed hepcidin: evidence for a new erythropoietin/hemoglobin set point. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2014;**69**(6): 725–735.

- Ordway GA, Garry DJ. Myoglobin: an essential hemoprotein in striated muscle. J Exp Biol. 2004; 207(Pt 20):3441 3446.
- Ekblom B, Goldbarg AN, Gullbring B. Response to exercise after blood loss and reinfusion. J Appl Physiol. 1972;33(2):175 180.
- 125. Murphy WG. The sex difference in haemoglobin levels in adults mechanisms, causes, and consequences. *Blood Rev.* 2014;**28**(2):41 47.
- Grossmann M, Zajac JD. Hematological changes during androgen deprivation therapy. Asian J Androl. 2012;14(2):187 192.
- 127. Snyder PJ, Peachey H, Berlin JA, Hannoush P, Haddad G, Dlewati A, Santanna J, Loh L, Lenrow DA, Holmes JH, Kapoor SC, Atkinson LE, Strom BL. Effects of testosterone replacement in hypogonadal men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85(8):2670 2677.
- 128. Roy CN, Snyder PJ, Stephens-Shields AJ, Artz AS, Bhasin S, Cohen HJ, Farrar JT, Gill TM, Zeldow B, Cella D, Barrett-Connor E, Cauley JA, Crandall JP, Cunningham GR, Ensrud KE, Lewis CE, Matsumoto AM, Molitch ME, Pahor M, Swerdloff RS, Cifelli D, Hou X, Resnick SM, Walston JD, Anton S, Basaria S, Diem SJ, Wang C, Schrier SL, Ellenberg SS. Association of testosterone levels with anemia in older men: a controlled clinical trial. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;**177**(4):480–490.
- 129. Berria R, Gastaldelli A, Lucidi S, Belfort R, De Filippis E, Easton C, Brytzki R, Cusi K, Jovanovic L, DeFronzo R. Reduction in hematocrit level after pioglitazone treatment is correlated with decreased plasma free testosterone level, not hemodilution, in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2006;80(2):105 114.
- Han Y, Kim HS, Lee HJ, Oh JY, Sung YA. Metabolic effects of polycystic ovary syndrome in adolescents. Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2015;20(3): 136 142.
- 131. Coviello AD, Kaplan B, Lakshman KM, Chen T, Singh AB, Bhasin S. Effects of graded doses of testosterone on erythropoiesis in healthy young and older men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008;**93**(3):914 919.
- Irwig MS. Testosterone therapy for transgender men. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017;5(4):301 311.
- Velho I, Fighera TM, Ziegelmann PK, Spritzer PM. Effects of testosterone therapy on BMI, blood pressure, and laboratory profile of transgender men: a systematic review. Andrology. 2017;5(5): 881 888.
- 134. Jacobeit JW, Gooren LJ, Schulte HM. Safety aspects of 36 months of administration of long-acting intramuscular testosterone undecanoate for treatment of female-to-male transgender individuals. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 2009;**161**(5):795–798.
- Almeida M, Laurent MR, Dubois V, Claessens F, O'Brien CA, Bouillon R, Vanderschueren D, Manolagas SC. Estrogens and androgens in skeletal physiology and pathophysiology. *Physiol Rev.* 2017; **97**(1):135 187.
- 136. Sharma K, Gupta P, Shandilya S. Age related changes in pelvis size among adolescent and adult females with reference to parturition from Naraingarh, Haryana (India). *Homo*. 2016;**67**(4):273–293.
- Fischer B, Mitteroecker P. Allometry and sexual dimorphism in the human pelvis. Anat Rec (Hoboken). 2017;300(4):698 705.
- Riesenfeld A. Functional and hormonal control of pelvic width in the rat. Acta Anat (Basel). 1978; 102(4):427 432.

- Berdnikovs S, Bernstein M, Metzler A, German RZ. Pelvic growth: ontogeny of size and shape sexual dimorphism in rat pelves. J Morphol. 2007;268(1): 12 22.
- 140. Polderman TJ, Benyamin B, de Leeuw CA, Sullivan PF, van Bochoven A, Visscher PM, Posthuma D. Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nat Genet.* 2015; 47(7):702 709.
- 141. Jelenkovic A, Sund R, Hur YM, Yokoyama Y, Hjelmborg JV, Möller S, Honda C, Magnusson PK, Pedersen NL, Ooki S, Aaltonen S, Stazi MA, Fagnani C, D'Ippolito C, Freitas DL, Maia JA, Ji F, Ning F, Pang Z, Rebato E, Busjahn A, Kandler C, Saudino KJ, Jang KL, Cozen W, Hwang AE, Mack TM, Gao W, Yu C, Li L, Corley RP, Huibregtse BM, Derom CA, Vlietinck RF, Loos RJ, Heikkilä K, Wardle J, Llewellyn CH, Fisher A, McAdams TA, Eley TC, Gregory AM, He M, Ding X, Bjerregaard-Andersen M, Beck-Nielsen H, Sodemann M, Tarnoki AD, Tarnoki DL, Knafo-Noam A. Mankuta D. Abramson L. Burt SA. Klump KL, Silberg JL, Eaves LJ, Maes HH, Krueger RF, McGue M, Pahlen S, Gatz M, Butler DA, Bartels M, van Beijsterveldt TC, Craig JM, Saffery R, Dubois L, Boivin M, Brendgen M, Dionne G, Vitaro F, Martin NG, Medland SE, Montgomery GW, Swan GE, Krasnow R, Tynelius P, Lichtenstein P, Haworth CM, Plomin R, Bayasgalan G, Narandalai D, Harden KP, Tucker-Drob EM, Spector T, Mangino M, Lachance G. Baker I.A. Tuyblad C. Duncan GE. Buchwald D. Willemsen G, Skytthe A, Kyvik KO, Christensen K, Öncel SY, Aliev F, Rasmussen F, Goldberg JH, Sørensen TI, Boomsma DI, Kaprio J, Silventoinen K. Genetic and environmental influences on height from infancy to early adulthood: an individualbased pooled analysis of 45 twin cohorts. Sci Rep. 2016:6(1):28496.
- 142. Jelenkovic A, Hur YM, Sund R, Yokoyama Y, Siribaddana SH, Hotopf M, Sumathipala A, Rijsdijk F, Tan Q, Zhang D, Pang Z, Aaltonen S, Heikkilä K, Öncel SY, Aliev F, Rebato E, Tarnoki AD, Tarnoki DL, Christensen K, Skytthe A, Kyvik KO, Silberg JL, Eaves LJ, Maes HH, Cutler TL, Hopper JL, Ordoñana JR, Sánchez-Romera JF, Colodro-Conde L, Cozen W, Hwang AE, Mack TM, Sung J, Song YM, Yang S, Lee K, Franz CE, Kremen WS, Lyons MJ, Busjahn A, Nelson TL, Whitfield KE, Kandler C, Jang KL, Gatz M, Butler DA, Stazi MA, Fagnani C, D'Ippolito C, Duncan GE, Buchwald D, Derom CA, Vlietinck RF, Loos RJ, Martin NG, Medland SE, Montgomery GW, Jeong HU, Swan GE, Krasnow R, Magnusson PK, Pedersen NL, Dahl-Aslan AK, McAdams TA, Eley TC, Gregory AM, Tynelius P, Baker LA, Tuvblad C, Bayasgalan G, Narandalai D, Lichtenstein P, Spector TD, Mangino M, Lachance G, Bartels M, van Beijsterveldt TC, Willemsen G, Burt SA, Klump KL, Harris JR, Brandt I, Nilsen TS, Krueger RF, McGue M, Pahlen S, Corley RP, Hjelmborg JV, Goldberg JH, Iwatani Y, Watanabe M, Honda C, Inui F, Rasmussen F, Huibregtse BM, Boomsma DI, Sørensen TI, Kaprio J, Silventoinen K. Genetic and environmental influences on adult human height across birth cohorts from 1886 to 1994. eLife. 2016;5: e20320.
- 143. Bechtold S, Beyerlein A, Bonfig W, Dalla Pozza R, Putzker S, Otto R, Schmidt H, Schwarz HP. Sexual difference in bone geometry of adult patients with classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia: data using peripheral quantitative computed tomography. *Horm Res Paediatr.* 2014;**82**(3): 171 178.
- 144. Falhammar H, Filipsson H, Holmdahl G, Janson PO, Nordenskjöld A, Hagenfeldt K, Thorén M. Fractures and bone mineral density in adult women with

21-hydroxylase deficiency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;**92**(12):4643 4649.

- 145. Bhasin S, Storer TW, Berman N, Callegari C, Clevenger B, Phillips J, Bunnell TJ, Tricker R, Shirazi A, Casaburi R. The effects of supraphysiologic doses of testosterone on muscle size and strength in normal men. N Engl J Med. 1996;**335**(1):1 7.
- Moreira CA, Bilezikian JP. Stress fractures: concepts and therapeutics. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017; 102(2):525 534.
- Foryst-Ludwig A, Kintscher U. Sex differences in exercise-induced cardiac hypertrophy. *Pflugers Arch.* 2013;**465**(5):731 737.
- 148. Gibala MJ, Gillen JB, Percival ME. Physiological and health-related adaptations to low-volume interval training: influences of nutrition and sex. *Sports Med.* 2014;**44**(Suppl 2):S127 S137.
- Townsend EA, Miller VM, Prakash YS. Sex differences and sex steroids in lung health and disease. *Endocr Rev.* 2012;33(1):1 47.
- Levine SC, Foley A, Lourenco S, Ehrlich S, Ratliff K. Sex differences in spatial cognition: advancing the conversation. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Cogn Sci.* 2016; 7(2):127 155.
- Hines M. Prenatal testosterone and gender-related behaviour. Eur J Endocrinol. 2006;155(Suppl 1): S115 S121.
- 152. Hines M, Spencer D, Kung KT, Browne WV, Constantinescu M, Noorderhaven RM. The early postnatal period, mini-puberty, provides a window on the role of testosterone in human neurobehavioural development. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2016;**38**:69 73.
- Pope HG Jr., Kouri EM, Hudson JI. Effects of supraphysiologic doses of testosterone on mood and aggression in normal men: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000;57(2): 133 140.
- 154. Ferguson-Smith MA, Bavington LD. Natural selection for genetic variants in sport: the role of Y chromosome genes in elite female athletes with 46, XY DSD. Sports Med. 2014;44(12):1629 1634.
- Heymsfield SB, Gonzalez MC, Lu J, Jia G, Zheng J. Skeletal muscle mass and quality: evolution of modern measurement concepts in the context of sarcopenia. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2015;**74**(4):355 366.
- 156. Silventoinen K, Sammalisto S, Perola M, Boomsma DI, Cornes BK, Davis C, Dunkel L, De Lange M, Harris JR, Hjelmborg JV, Luciano M, Martin NG, Mortensen J, Nistico L, Pedersen NL, Skytthe A, Spector TD, Stazi MA, Willemsen G, Kaprio J. Heritability of adult body height: a comparative study of twin cohorts in eight countries. *Twin Res.* 2003;6(5):399 408.
- Beunen G, Thomis M. Gene powered? Where to go from heritability (h2) in muscle strength and power? Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2004;32(4):148 154.
- Silventoinen K, Magnusson PK, Tynelius P, Kaprio J, Rasmussen F. Heritability of body size and muscle strength in young adulthood: a study of one million Swedish men. *Genet Epidemiol.* 2008;**32**(4):341 349.
- 159. Seeman E. Pathogenesis of bone fragility in women and men. *Lancet*. 2002;**359**(9320):1841 1850.
- 160. Nishiyama KK, Macdonald HM, Moore SA, Fung T, Boyd SK, McKay HA. Cortical porosity is higher in boys compared with girls at the distal radius and distal tibia during pubertal growth: an HR-pQCT study. J Bone Miner Res. 2012;**27**(2):273 282.
- Oliveira CS, Alves C. The role of the SHOX gene in the pathophysiology of Turner syndrome. *Endocrinol Nutr.* 2011;58(8):433 442.
- 162. Ottesen AM, Aksglaede L, Garn I, Tartaglia N, Tassone F, Gravholt CH, Bojesen A, Sørensen K, Jørgensen N, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Gerdes T, Lind AM, Kjaergaard S, Juul A. Increased number of sex

chromosomes affects height in a nonlinear fashion: a study of 305 patients with sex chromosome aneuploidy. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2010;**152A**(5): 1206 1212.

- Wideman L, Weltman JY, Shah N, Story S, Veldhuis JD, Weltman A. Effects of gender on exerciseinduced growth hormone release. J Appl Physiol. 1999;87(3):1154 1162.
- 164. Veldhuis JD, Roemmich JN, Rogol AD. Gender and sexual maturation-dependent contrasts in the neuroregulation of growth hormone secretion in prepubertal and late adolescent males and females a general clinical research center-based study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85(7):2385 2394.
- Veldhuis JD. Gender differences in secretory activity of the human somatotropic (growth hormone) axis. *Eur J Endocrinol.* 1996;**134**(3):287 295.
- 166. Ho KY, Evans WS, Blizzard RM, Veldhuis JD, Merriam GR, Samojlik E, Furlanetto R, Rogol AD, Kaiser DL, Thorner MO. Effects of sex and age on the 24-hour profile of growth hormone secretion in man: importance of endogenous estradiol concentrations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1987;54(1):51 58.
- 167. Veldhuis JD, Roelfsema F, Keenan DM, Pincus S. Gender, age, body mass index, and IGF-1 individually and jointly determine distinct GH dynamics: analyses in one hundred healthy adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(1):115 121.
- 168. Veldhuis JD, Patrie JT, Brill KT, Weltman JY, Mueller EE, Bowers CY, Weltman A. Contributions of gender and systemic estradiol and testosterone concentrations to maximal secretagogue drive of burst-like growth hormone secretion in healthy middle-aged and older adults. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004; 89(12):6291 6296.
- 169. Roelfsema F, Veldhuis JD. Growth hormone dynamics in healthy adults are related to age and sex and strongly dependent on body mass index. *Neuroendocrinology*. 2016;**103**(3-4):335–344.
- 170. Pritzlaff-Roy CJ, Widemen L, Weltman JY, Abbott R, Gutgesell M, Hartman ML, Veldhuis JD, Weltman A. Gender governs the relationship between exercise intensity and growth hormone release in young adults. J Appl Physiol. 2002;92(5):2053 2060.
- 171. Leung KC, Doyle N, Ballesteros M, Sjogren K, Watts CK, Low TH, Leong GM, Ross RJ, Ho KK. Estrogen inhibits GH signaling by suppressing GH-induced JAK2 phosphorylation, an effect mediated by SOCS-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2003;**100**(3):1016 1021.
- Ho KK, O'Sullivan AJ, Wolthers T, Leung KC. Metabolic effects of oestrogens: impact of the route of administration. *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)*. 2003; 64(2):170 177.

- 173. Cappola AR, Bandeen-Roche K, Wand GS, Volpato S, Fried LP. Association of IGF-I levels with muscle strength and mobility in older women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2001;86(9):4139 4146.
- 174. Meinhardt U, Nelson AE, Hansen JL, Birzniece V, Clifford D, Leung KC, Graham K, Ho KK. The effects of growth hormone on body composition and physical performance in recreational athletes: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010;**152**(9): 568 577.
- 175. Harper J. Race times for transgender athletes. Journal of Sporting Cultures and Identities. 2015;6(1):1 9.
- Bermon S. Androgens and athletic performance of elite female athletes. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes* Obes. 2017;**24**(3):246 251.
- 177. Elbers JM, Asscheman H, Seidell JC, Megens JA, Gooren LJ. Long-term testosterone administration increases visceral fat in female to male transsexuals. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1997;82(7):2044 2047.
- Handelsman DJ. Clinical review: the rationale for banning human chorionic gonadotropin and estrogen blockers in sport. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006,91(5):1646 1653.
- 179. Asbell SO, Leon SA, Tester WJ, Brereton HD, Ago CT, Rotman M. Development of anemia and recovery in prostate cancer patients treated with combined androgen blockade and radiotherapy. *Prostate.* 1996;**29**(4):243 248.
- 180. Strum SB, McDermed JE, Scholz MC, Johnson H, Tisman G. Anaemia associated with androgen deprivation in patients with prostate cancer receiving combined hormone blockade. Br J Urol. 1997;**79**(6):933 941.
- 181. Bogdanos J, Karamanolakis D, Milathianakis C, Repousis P, Tsintavis A, Koutsilieris M. Combined androgen blockade-induced anemia in prostate cancer patients without bone involvement. *Anticancer Res.* 2003;**23**(2C):1757 1762.
- 182. Choo R, Chander S, Danjoux C, Morton G, Pearce A, Deboer G, Szumacher E, Loblaw A, Cheung P, Woo T. How are hemoglobin levels affected by androgen deprivation in non-metastatic prostate cancer patients? *Can J Urol.* 2005;**12**(1):2547 2552.
- 183. Chander S, Choo R, Danjoux C, Morton G, Pearse A, Deboer G, Szumacher E, Loblaw A, Cheung P, Woo T. Effect of androgen suppression on hemoglobin in prostate cancer patients undergoing salvage radiotherapy plus 2-year buserelin acetate for rising PSA after surgery. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2005; 62(3):719 724.
- 184. Golfam M, Samant R, Eapen L, Malone S. Effects of radiation and total androgen blockade on serum hemoglobin, testosterone, and erythropoietin in

patients with localized prostate cancer. *Curr Oncol.* 2012;**19**(4):e258 e263.

 Storer TW, Miciek R, Travison TG. Muscle function, physical performance and body composition changes in men with prostate cancer undergoing androgen deprivation therapy. *Asian J Androl.* 2012; 14(2):204 221.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for helpful insights and comments from Alan Vernec and Osquel Barroso (World Anti-Doping Agency), Peter Harcourt (Australian Football League, Federation of International Basketball Associations), and Richard Budgett (IOC).

Correspondence and Reprint Requests: David J. Handelsman, PhD, ANZAC Research Institute, University of Sydney, Hospital Road, Concord Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales 2139, Australia. E-mail: djh@anzac.edu.au.

Disclosure Summary: D.J.H. is a medical and scientific consultant for the IAAF and to the Australian Sports Anti-Doping Agency. He is a member of the World Anti-Doping Agency's Health, Medicine and Research Committee and of the IOC working group on hyperandrogenic female and transgender athletes. He has received institutional grant support from Besins Healthcare and Lawley for investigatorinitiated clinical studies in testosterone pharmacology and has provided expert testimony in testosterone litigation. ALH is a medical and scientific consultant for the Swedish Olympic Committee and a member of the IAAF and IOC working groups on hyperandrogenic female athletes and transgender athletes. She has received grant support from the IAAF for a study on testosterone and physical performance in women. S.B. is a medical and scientific consultant for the IAAF and a member of the IAAF and IOC working groups on hyperandrogenic female athletes and transgender athletes. The authors have no other involvement with any entity having a financial interest in the material discussed in the manuscript. Opinions expressed in this review are the personal views of the authors and do not represent those of the IAAF, IOC, World Anti-Doping Agency, or Swedish Olympic Committee

Abbreviations

AR, androgen receptor; CAH, congenital adrenal hyperplasia; CAIS, complete androgen insensitivity syndrome; DSD, disorder (or difference) of sex development; F2M, female-tomale; IAAF, International Association of Athletic Federations; IOC, International Olympic Committee; LC-MS, liquid chromatography mass spectrometry; M2F, male-to-female; PAIS, partial androgen insensitivity syndrome; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; SHOX, short stature homeobox.

Exhibit 19

Received: 26 January 2017

Accepted: 6 April 2017

DOI: 10.1111/cen.13350

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Revised: 4 April 2017

WILEY

Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset of male puberty

David J Handelsman 回

ANZAC Research Institute, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Correspondence

David J. Handelsman, ANZAC Research Institute, Concord Hospital 2139 Sydney, NSW, Australia. Email: djh@anzac.edu.au

Summary

Background: Male performance in athletic events begins to exceed that of age-matched females during early adolescence, but the timing of this divergence relative to the onset of male puberty and the rise in circulating testosterone remains poorly defined.

Design: This study is a secondary quantitative analysis of four published sources which aimed to define the timing of the gender divergence in athletic performance and relating it to the rise in circulating testosterone due to male puberty.

Data: Four data sources reflecting elite swimming and running and jumping track and field events as well as hand-grip strength in nonathletes were analysed to define the age-specific gender differences through adolescence and their relationship to the rising circulating testosterone during male puberty.

Results: The onset and tempo of gender divergence were very similar for swimming, running and jumping events as well as the hand-grip strength in nonathletes, and all closely paralleled the rise in circulating testosterone in adolescent boys.

Conclusions: The gender divergence in athletic performance begins at the age of 12-13 years and reaches adult plateau in the late teenage years with the timing and tempo closely parallel to the rise in circulating testosterone in boys during puberty.

KEYWORDS

age group, performance, puberty, swimming, testosterone, track and field

1 | INTRODUCTION

It is well known that men's athletic performance exceeds that of women especially in power sports because of men's greater strength, speed and endurance. This biological physical advantage of mature males forms the basis for gender segregation in many competitive sports to allow females a realistic chance of winning events. This physical advantage in performance arises during early adolescence when male puberty commences after which men acquire larger muscle mass and greater strength, larger and stronger bones, higher circulating haemoglobin as well as mental and/or psychological differences. After completion of male puberty, circulating testosterone levels in men are consistently 10-15 times higher than in children or women at any age.¹ The age at which sex differences emerge is reported as around the age of 12 from a study of individual Norwegian athletes in two running and two jumping events² and at 13-14 years in four track and field skills in Polish athletes³; however, the

relationship to male puberty and circulating testosterone is not clear. This study investigates the age of the gender divergence in performance in elite swimming and a wider range of elite athletic events as well as a community-based study of grip strength among nonathletes to deduce the onset and progression of the gender divergence in performance of athletes and relates this to the timing and tempo of male puberty and the rise in circulating testosterone into adult male levels.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

Four sources of published data were used in this study for which no ethics approval was required. The first was the US Age Group Swimming time standards which lists the prevailing time standard for entry to the top level (AAAA long course criteria) of all boys and girls events for individual years from 1981 to 2016 (accessed Oct 2016).

-WILEY

69

http://www.usaswimming.org/DesktopDefault.aspx?TabId=2628&Al ias=Rainbow&Lang=en

HANDELSMAN

Age groups were classified into five categories 10 and under, 11-12 years, 13-14 year, 15-16 years and 17-18 years. The seven events in common to all age groups were freestyle (50 m, 100 m, 200 m), backstroke, breaststroke and butterfly (all 100 m) and individual medley (200 m).

A second data source was the current world records for boys and girls between the ages of 5 and 19 years available at http://age-records.125mb.com/ (curated by Dominique Eisold, accessed Oct 2016). This included sufficient data to cover the timing of puberty onset with some pre- and postpuberty ages (ages 9-19 years) for a wide range of boys and girls track and field events. For this study, the running events included were 50 m, 60 m, 100 m, 200 m, 300 m, 400 m, 500 m, 600 m, 800 m, 1000 m, 1500 m, 1 mile, 2000 m, 3000 m and 2 miles. Only records recorded by fully automatic timing devices were included whether set indoor or outdoor or at altitude (>1000 m), but wind-assisted records were excluded from this analysis. The jumping events included were high jump, pole vault, long jump, triple jump, standing long jump.

The third data source was from a published study¹ in which serum testosterone was measured in over 100 000 consecutive serum samples processed over 7 years from a single pathology laboratory which was analysed to estimate male and female age-specific reference ranges across the full lifespan.

The fourth was a meta-analysis of secular changes in hand-grip strength in nonathletic children and adolescents from Canada and United States⁴ using the data provided on 5676 males and 5489 females in 19 studies conducted between 1966 and 2009.

Data analysis was performed by analysis of variance and nonlinear curve fitting using NCSS 11 Statistical Software (NCSS LLC. Kaysville, Utah, USA). For each event used in this analysis, the age-specific record or age-group time standard was defined for boys (Tb) and girls (Tg) so the difference (expressed as a percentage) between boys and girls for any event was defined as D=(Tg-Tb)*100/Tg. For athletic jumping events, an analogous definition for record length was used

(Lb for boys, Lg for girls) with the male advantage defined as D=(Lb-Lg)*100/Lg. For the athletic events where individual year age records were available across the age of puberty, the age-specific difference (as a percentage) for each year of age were pooled into running or jumping categories. For track and field performance, the pooled data were fitted to a four-parameter sigmoidal curve which allowed for asymptotic estimation of the lower (prepubertal) and upper (postpubertal) plateaus from the four parameters. In addition, the timing and tempo of the pubertal increase were defined by the start of puberty, had occurred (ED₂₀), and mid-puberty as the time when half the ultimate increase had occurred (ED₅₀). For swimming, the pooled gender differences for all strokes and distances were fitted by a smoothed spline curve. For hand-grip strength, the differences were fitted to a piecewise linear-quadratic curve with a single inflexion point.

3 | RESULTS

In swimming performance, the overall gender differences were highly significant with age group ($F_{4,360}$ =1481, P<.0001) and stroke ($F_{4,360}$ =11.9, P<.0001) as main (between) effects (Figure 1). There was no significant difference according to year (as a within factor, P=.99) so that for further analysis, years were taken as replicates. Using a sigmoidal curve fit for the overall gender differences pooling all strokes and distances, the ED₂₀ was 11.4 years and the ED₅₀ was 12.8 years.

Within a single stroke (freestyle), in addition to expected age-group effects ($F_{4,525}$ =2174, P<.0001), there were also significant effects according to distance ($F_{2,525}$ =231.5, P<.0001) whereby the age-group effects was significantly greater the shorter the event distance (Figure 2, 50 m>100 m>200 m, age group x distance interaction, $F_{8,525}$ =55.9, P<.0001) (Figure 1). Similarly, for a fixed length of events (100 m) and after taking age-group effects into account, the four form strokes did differ significantly ($F_{3,700}$ =12.9, P<.0001) producing significant



FIGURE 1 Gender differences in performance (in percentage) according to age group and stroke (left panel) or distance in freestyle events (right) in swimming events. Data shown as mean and standard error of the mean. Note greatest increase after the age of 12 years by age in breaststroke and least in freestyle and magnitude of increases are 50 m>100 m>200 m in freestyle events. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
differences between strokes (interaction $F_{12,700}$ =23.4, *P*<.0001), the most prominent being for breaststroke, which displayed the greatest age-group effect, and butterfly followed by backstroke and then free-style, which showed the least age-group effect (Figure 1).

In track and field athletics, the effects of age on running performance (Figure 2 upper left panel) showed that the prepubertal differences of 3.0% increased to a plateau of 10.1% with an onset (ED_{20}) at 12.4 years and reaching midway (ED_{50}) at 13.9 years. For jumping (Figure 2 upper right panel), the prepubertal difference of 5.8% increased to 19.4% starting at 12.4 years and reaching midway at 13.9 years. The timing of the male advantage in running, jumping and swimming was similar and corresponded to the increases in serum testosterone in males (Figure 2 lower panel).

To examine age of gender divergence in strength in an analogous data set from a nonathletic population (Canadian and US children and adolescents), the age trends in hand-grip strength showed a difference in hand-grip strength commencing from the age of 12.8 years onwards (Figure 3). Prior to the age of 13 years, boys had a marginally significant greater grip strength than girls (n=45, t=2.0, P=.026), but after the

age of 13 years, there was a strong significant relationship between age and difference in grip strength (n=18, r=.89, P<.001).

4 | DISCUSSION

The present study shows that the gender divergence in performance for swimming and for running and jumping track and field events is very closely aligned to the timing of the onset of male puberty, which typically has onset at around 12 years of age.^{5,6} These findings are consistent with reports on the timing of the gender differences in performance observed among Norwegian athletes in two running and two jumping events² and for track and field skills among Polish athletes.³ This study extends the findings to swimming and a wider range of running and jumping track and field events. This timing is also consistent with the start of the gender divergence in fat-free (muscle) mass⁷ and strength increases.^{8,9}

In this study, the timing and tempo of male puberty effects on running and jumping performance were virtually identical and very similar





WILEY-

71



FIGURE 3 Hand-grip strength in children and adolescents from 19 studies including 5676 males (square) and 5489 females (circles) and the differences between male and females (diamonds) conducted between 1966 and 2009. The dotted line represents the fitted curve using a piecewise linear-quadratic curve fit with an automatically defined inflexion point at 12.8 years. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

to those in swimming events. Furthermore, these coincided with the timing of the rise in circulating testosterone due to male puberty. In addition to the strikingly similar timing and tempo, the magnitude of the effects on performance by the end of this study was 10.0% for running and 19.3% for jumping, both consistent with the gender differences in performance of adult athletes previously reported to be 10%-12% for running^{10,11,12} and 19% for jumping.¹² The similar magnitude of the plateau effects observed for the oldest (postpubertal) stages in this study with mature adult gender differences suggests there are likely minimal if any further divergences in gender performance among athletes after the age of 20 years.

In the swimming events, despite the continued progressive improvements in individual male and female event records, the stability of the gender difference over 35 years shown in this study suggests that the gender differences in performance are stable and robust. These findings are consistent with a previous report of no narrowing of the gender gap in swimming event performance over more than three decades.¹² These findings contribute to discounting previous suggestions that the gender gap in performance of athletes was narrowing and might even disappear,¹³ interpretations which were confounded by the increasing participation of females in elite sports through the 20th century that led to short-term accelerating improvement until women approached closer to contemporary female performance plateau.¹² The greater effect of male puberty on shorter freestyle events is consistent with the greater power demands of short sprint events than for longer freestyle events that involve more endurance. The consistent differences between form strokes over 100-m events, even after accounting for the very dominant age-group effect, suggest that the power demands on performance were most prominent in breaststroke and least in freestyle, presumably due to the different mechanical demands of the different strokes.

The gender divergence in hand-grip strength among nonathletic children and adolescents strengthens the view that these gender divergences are a feature of normal male puberty rather than being a feature that manifests only in elite athletes.

The similar time course of the rise in circulating testosterone with that of the gender divergences in swimming and track and field sports is strongly suggestive that these effects arise from the increase in circulating testosterone from the start of male puberty.¹ Somatic effects of male puberty differ in responsiveness to the postpubertal increase in serum testosterone. Muscle effects of testosterone have been established in well-controlled, interventional clinical experiments in healthy young^{14,15} and older¹⁶ men. Testosterone increases muscle mass and strength over weeks to months with a strong dose-response evident from below to above physiological testosterone doses and concentrations. Analogous findings are reported in androgen-deficient (hypogonadal) men administered testosterone replacement therapy¹⁷ and in women receiving appropriately lower testosterone doses,¹⁸ and observational dose-effect relationship between endogenous testosterone and upper or lower body muscle mass is reported in healthy men.¹⁹ Most if not all sex differences in maximal oxygen uptake are explained by differences in muscle mass.²⁰⁻²²

Adult male circulating testosterone also has marked effects on bone development leading to longer, stronger and denser bone than in age-matched females.²³ However, testosterone effects on bone are slower in onset and probably less reversible than effects on muscle. For example, men achieve peak bone mass at the end of skeletal maturation only in the early 1920s, about a decade after the start of sustained exposure to adult male testosterone levels. Furthermore, while testosterone deficiency may lead to loss of bone density,²³ the overall structural framework of the skeleton is likely to change slowly if at all. Hence, the extent to which testosterone-induced bone changes contribute to the male advantage in adolescent athletic performance is unclear but is probably at least not maximal until the third decade of life by which time the gender differences are already stabilized.

A further biological advantage of adult male circulating testosterone concentrations is the increased circulating haemoglobin. Men have ~10 g/L greater haemoglobin than women²⁴ with the gender differences also evident from the age of 13-14 years.²⁵ Testosterone effects on haemoglobin are replicated by administration of exogenous testosterone in a dose-dependent fashion²⁶ within 1-3 months.²⁷ Like the effects on muscle, the erythropoietic effect of testosterone is relatively rapid and reversible in contrast to the slower effects on bone. Although a higher haemoglobin is likely to provide advantages in endurance rather than power events, it is unclear how much the relatively modest magnitude of this gender difference contributes to the male advantage in athletic performance.

Finally, exposure to adult male testosterone concentrations is likely to produce some mental or psychological effects.²⁸ However, the precise nature of these remains controversial and it is not clear whether, or to what extent, this contributes to the superior elite sporting performance of men in power sports compared with the predominant effects on muscle mass and function.

The strength of the present study is that it includes a wide range of swimming as well as track and field running and jumping events as well as strength for nonathletes for males and females across the ages spanning the onset of male puberty. The similar timing of the gender divergence in each of these settings to that of the rise in circulating testosterone to adult male levels strongly suggests that they all reflect the increase in muscular size and strength although the impact of other androgen-dependent effects on bone, haemoglobin and psychology may also contribute. Limitations of this study include that it could not extend to all swimming or track and field events due to the restricted participation of younger age groups in more gruelling events. Furthermore, the testosterone measurements were not from the individual athletes included in the analysis of available published data so that the comparisons are cohort-wise rather than based on individuals.

It is concluded that the gender divergence in athletic performance begins at the age of 12-13 years and reaches adult plateau in the late teenage years. Although the magnitude of the divergence varies between athletic skills, the timing and tempo are closely parallel with each other and with the rise in circulating testosterone in boys during puberty to reach adult male levels.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professor Ken Fitch for helpful advice.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Nothing to declare.

72

WILEY

REFERENCES

- 1. Handelsman DJ, Sikaris K, Ly LP. Estimating age-specific trends in circulating testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin in males and females across the lifespan. *Annu Clin Biochem*. 2016;53:377-384.
- Tonnessen E, Svendsen IS, Olsen IC, Guttormsen A, Haugen T. Performance development in adolescent track and field athletes according to age, sex and sport discipline. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0129014.
- 3. Malina RM, Slawinska T, Ignasiak Z, et al. Sex differences in growth and performance of track and field athletes 11-15 years. *J Hum Kinet*. 2010;24:79-85.
- 4. Silverman IW. The secular trend for grip strength in Canada and the United States. *J Sports Sci.* 2011;29:599-606.
- Beccuti G, Ghizzoni L. Normal and abnormal puberty. In: De Groot LJ, Beck-Peccoz P, Chrousos G, et al., eds. *Endotext*. MDText.com, Inc.: South Dartmouth, MA; 2000.
- Day FR, Bulik-Sullivan B, Hinds DA, et al. Shared genetic aetiology of puberty timing between sexes and with health-related outcomes. *Nat Commun.* 2015;6:8842.
- Malina RM, Bouchard C, Beunen G. Human growth: selected aspects of current research on well-nourished children. *Ann Rev Anthropol.* 1988;17:187-219.
- Sartorio A, Lafortuna CL, Pogliaghi S, Trecate L. The impact of gender, body dimension and body composition on hand-grip strength in healthy children. J Endocrinol Invest. 2002;25:431-435.
- Henneberg M, Brush G, Harrison GA. Growth of specific muscle strength between 6 and 18 years in contrasting socioeconomic conditions. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 2001;115:62-70.
- Cheuvront SN, Carter R, Deruisseau KC, Moffatt RJ. Running performance differences between men and women:an update. *Sports Med.* 2005;35:1017-1024.

- 11. Seiler S, De Koning JJ, Foster C. The fall and rise of the gender difference in elite anaerobic performance 1952-2006. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39:534-540.
- 12. Thibault V, Guillaume M, Berthelot G, et al. Women and men in sport performance: the gender gap has not evolved since 1983. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2010;9:214-223.
- 13. Beneke R, Leithauser RM, Doppelmayr M. Women will do it in the long run. *Br J Sports Med.* 2005;39:410.
- Bhasin S, Storer TW, Berman N, et al. The effects of supraphysiologic doses of testosterone on muscle size and strength in normal men. N Engl J Med. 1996;335:1-7.
- Finkelstein JS, Lee H, Burnett-Bowie SA, et al. Gonadal steroids and body composition, strength, and sexual function in men. N Engl J Med. 2013;369:1011-1022.
- Bhasin S, Woodhouse L, Casaburi R, et al. Older men are as responsive as young men to the anabolic effects of graded doses of testosterone on the skeletal muscle. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90:678-688.
- Bhasin S, Storer TW, Berman N, et al. Testosterone replacement increases fat-free mass and muscle size in hypogonadal men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1997;82:407-413.
- Huang G, Basaria S, Travison TG, et al. Testosterone dose-response relationships in hysterectomized women with or without oophorectomy: effects on sexual function, body composition, muscle performance and physical function in a randomized trial. *Menopause*. 2014;21:612-623.
- Mouser JG, Loprinzi PD, Loenneke JP. The association between physiologic testosterone levels, lean mass, and fat mass in a nationally representative sample of men in the United States. *Steroids*. 2016;115:62-66.
- Jones NL, Makrides L, Hitchcock C, Chypchar T, McCartney N. Normal standards for an incremental progressive cycle ergometer test. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1985;131:700-708.
- Svedenhag J. Maximal and submaximal oxygen uptake during running: how should body mass be accounted for? *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 1995;5:175-180.
- Genberg M, Andren B, Lind L, Hedenstrom H, Malinovschi A. Commonly used reference values underestimate oxygen uptake in healthy, 50-year-old Swedish women. *Clin Physiol Funct Imaging*. 2016; doi: 10.1111/cpf.12377. [Epub ahead of print]
- 23. Vanderschueren D, Laurent MR, Claessens F, et al. Sex steroid actions in male bone. *Endocr Rev.* 2014;35:906-960.
- Murphy WG. The sex difference in haemoglobin levels in adults mechanisms, causes, and consequences. *Blood Rev.* 2014;28:41-47.
- Krabbe S, Christensen T, Worm J, Christiansen C, Transbol I. Relationship between haemoglobin and serum testosterone in normal children and adolescents and in boys with delayed puberty. *Acta Paediatr Scand.* 1978;67:655-658.
- Coviello AD, Kaplan B, Lakshman KM, Chen T, Singh AB, Bhasin S. Effects of graded doses of testosterone on erythropoiesis in healthy young and older men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93: 914-919.
- Bachman E, Travison TG, Basaria S, et al. Testosterone induces erythrocytosis via increased erythropoietin and suppressed hepcidin: evidence for a new erythropoietin/hemoglobin set point. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2014;69:725-735.
- 28. Celec P, Ostatnikova D, Hodosy J. On the effects of testosterone on brain behavioral functions. *Front Neurosci*. 2015;9:12.

How to cite this article: Handelsman DJ. Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset of male puberty. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2017;87:68–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13350

Exhibit 20

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Sex differences in youth elite swimming

Jonathon W. Senefeld^{1*}, Andrew J. Clayburn¹, Sarah E. Baker¹, Rickey E. Carter², Patrick W. Johnson², Michael J. Joyner¹

1 Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, United States of America, 2 Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, United States of America

* senefeld.jonathon@mayo.edu

Abstract

Background

The timing and magnitude of sex differences in athletic performance during early human development, prior to adulthood, is unknown.

Objective

To compare swimming velocity of boys and girls for all Olympic-length freestyle swimming events to determine the age of divergence in swimming performance.

Methods

We collected the all-time top 100 U.S. freestyle swimming performance times of boys and girls age 5 to 18 years for the 50m to 1500m events.

Results

Swimming performance improved with increasing age for boys and girls (p<0.001) until reaching a plateau, which initiated at a younger age for girls (15 years) than boys (17 years; sex×age; p<0.001). Prior to age 10, the top 5 swimming records for girls were 3% faster than the top boys (p<0.001). For the 10th-50th places, however, there were no sex-related differences in swimming performance prior to age 10 (p = 0.227). For both the top 5 and 10th-50th places, the sex difference in performance increased from age 10 (top 5, 2.5%; 10th-50th places, 1.0%) until age 17 (top 5, 7.6%; 10th-50th places, 8.0%). For all places, the sex difference at age 18 was larger for sprint events (9.6%; 50-200m) than endurance events (7.1%; 400-1500m; p<0.001). Additionally, the sex-related difference in performance increased across age and US ranking from 2.4% for 1st place to 4.3% for 100th place (p<0.001), indicating less depth of performance in girls than boys. However, annual participation was ~20% higher in girls than boys for all ages (p<0.001).

Conclusion

The top 5 girls demonstrated faster swimming velocities and the 10th-50th place girls demonstrated similar swimming velocities than boys (until ~10 years). After age 10, however, boys demonstrated increasingly faster swimming velocities than girls until 17 years. Collectively,



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Senefeld JW, Clayburn AJ, Baker SE, Carter RE, Johnson PW, Joyner MJ (2019) Sex differences in youth elite swimming. PLoS ONE 14 (11): e0225724. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.</u> <u>pone.0225724</u>

Editor: Daniel Boullosa, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul, BRAZIL

Received: September 18, 2019

Accepted: November 10, 2019

Published: November 22, 2019

Copyright: © 2019 Senefeld et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available from the publicly accessible online database found at the USA Swimming Data Hub website (<u>https://</u> www.usaswimming.org/Home/times/data-hub).

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

these data suggest girls are faster, or at least not slower, than boys prior to the performance-enhancing effects of puberty.

Introduction

Recent high profile cases have raised controversy about whether transgender athletes and XY (intersex) women with differences in sexual development should be allowed to participate in competitions restricted to women, for example Caster v. IAAF [1]. Stemming from the recognized performance-enhancing effects of androgens [2-6], regulation of endogenous androgen levels is now required to be eligible for participation in many women's sports competitions. To better understand the timing and magnitude of sex differences in athletic performance during human development we examined elite swimming performances in youth as a proxy to estimate the expected divergence of athletic performance between girls and boys during periods of low androgen concentrations (pre-puberty) and increasing concentrations of androgens throughout puberty. As reviewed previously [6], human androgens-primarily testosterone and its related metabolite dihydrotestosterone (DHT)-are key factors in the development of muscle, bone and hemoglobin. Androgens largely contribute to bigger and more powerful muscle mass, higher hemoglobin concentrations (and subsequent oxygen carrying capacity), and greater bone strength in men than women [6]. In combination, these and rogen-driven and sex-based differences in muscle, bone and hemoglobin contribute to a ~10% higher maximal oxygen consumption capacity ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$) in men compared with women [7, 8].

Androgen levels are not different between the sexes prior to puberty, however, after completion of puberty, circulating testosterone levels are on average ~10-20 times greater in men than children or women at any age [9, 10]. This sex-based difference in circulating testosterone is the basic premise to explain why men have faster performance times than women in many time-based sports including running, cycling, swimming, rowing, etc. [11–17]. Thus, prior to puberty, it would be theorized that sex differences in performance between boys and girls would be negligible—which has been observed previously in athletes $\sim 10-12$ years of age [5, 18]. However, the sex-based differences in performance prior to age 10 are unknown and there is no previous data on long distance swimming which likely has the smallest influence from sociocultural biases [13]. Historically, women have had less opportunity to participate in most sports than men, and these differences in opportunity are suspected to contribute to the larger sex differences in performance than would be predicted based on physiological differences between the men and women [14, 19]. Women have been permitted to participate in swimming at the highest levels for many years (since ~1912) and currently more girls typically participate than boys, thus, swimming is an ideal 'experiment of nature' for this question [15]. Elite swimmers are also generally homogenous for high socio-economic status, meaning that sex differences in nutrition or access to medical care are unlikely [20]. There is intensive training from a young age, and practices and competitions are inclusive of both sexes. Additionally, standardized environmental conditions along with state of the art facilities are widely available during championship competitions.

Accordingly, the objective of our study was to determine the age of the divergence of swimming performance between elite boys and girls. To our knowledge, our study is the first to analytically investigate the role of normal human hormonal changes on sex-related differences in sprint and endurance performance in elite youth swimming. We hypothesized that: 1) there would be no sex-differences in swimming performance of girls and boys with similar and low

testosterone concentrations (pre-pubescent years), 2) boys would be faster than girls after the initiation of puberty, and 3) the faster performance of boys would plateau after age 16, as androgen concentrations plateau [21].

Materials and methods

Methods

Finishing times of the top 100 All-Time Freestyle Swimming Records for Long Course Meters for boys and girls between 5 and 18 years of age in one-year age brackets were analyzed for all distances with full datasets (n = 100). Swimming times were downloaded from the USA Swimming Database (https://www.usaswimming.org /Home/times/data-hub) for six freestyle swimming distances from 50 to 1500 meters (50, 100, 200, 400, 800 and 1500 m) on April 4, 2019. Average swimming velocity (m·min⁻¹) was calculated from the finishing time as: (race distance) \times (finishing time)⁻¹. Sex differences in swimming velocity were calculated for each place and event distance as: $[(boy's velocity)-(girl's velocity)] \times (boy's velocity)^{-1} \times 100\%$. The reduction in swimming velocities of boys and girls across world record place (between 1st and 100th place) was calculated as: (velocity of n^{th} place) × (velocity of 1^{st} place)⁻¹ × 100%, for n = 1 to 100. Participation data was accessed via publicly-available membership demographics reports prepared by the USA Swimming Member Services staff for 2015 to 2018 (https://www. usaswimming.org). Additionally, circulating testosterone concentrations of a nationally representative sample of the United States population were downloaded from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) coordinated and conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ Search/DataPage.aspx?Compon ent = Laboratory). As described previously [22], testosterone was quantified via isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (ID-LC-MS/MS) based on the National Institute for Standards and Technology's reference method, optimized by the CDC. This analytical quantification method initiated in 2013–2014 testing cycle, and data were analyzed for two consecutive testing cycles (2013-2014 and 2015-2016). These data are representative of the national population in demographic characteristics, and notably, are not specific to an elite-athletic population. All procedures accessed public information and did not require ethical review as determined by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, 45 CFR 46.102, and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

Data were reported as means \pm SD within the text. Separate full factorial univariate analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were used to compare the dependent variables (swimming velocity and relative performance (%1st place) of boys and girls, and sex differences in swimming velocity) between three independent variables [age (5–18 years), US ranking (1st-100th) and event distance (50 m– 1500 m)]. *Post hoc* analyses (Tukey's HSD multiple comparisons) were used to test for differences between pairs within a data set when significant main effects or interactions were identified for age, US ranking or event distance. Recognizing early puberty may exhibit high statistical leverage on observed sex effects; a sensitivity analysis was conducted by filtering the data to only consist of the top 10th through 50th performance times by age, sex and distance. *Post hoc* Student's t-tests were used to test for differences between boys and girls when a significant interaction of sex was identified. Bonferroni corrected *p*-values for multiple comparisons (*p* < 0.025) were used for all *post hoc* analyses. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) were used to determine associations between the sex difference in swimming performance and average circulating testosterone concentrations. For all other analyses, significance was

determined at p < 0.05. All analyses were performed with IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 25 statistical package (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and R version 3.4.2 (Vienna, Austria).

Results

For boys and girls, swimming velocity improved with advancing age according to a quadratic growth curve that was reproducible for each swimming distance (Fig 1). The quadratic growth curve demonstrates rapid improvements in swimming velocity up to 10 years of age after which the age-related improvement in performance slows and approaches a plateau (horizontal asymptote). There were many distinct differences between the age-related performance enhancement curves between girls and boys however. The plateau of swimming velocity was 8.4% lower for girls than boys (p < 0.001), and the age at which the plateau in performance initiated was younger for girls (15 years) than boys (17 years) for all swimming distances aggregated (p < 0.001). These data indicate that boys had faster swimming performances than girls particularly at older ages, thus, there was a sex-related difference in swimming performance that increased with age (p < 0.001).



Fig 1. Elite swimming performance. Mean swimming velocity from 5 to 18 years of the top 100 fastest US boys (blue circles) and girls (red triangles) for the 50, 100, 200, 400, 800 and 1500m freestyle swimming distances.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225724 g001

Sex differences in youth elite swimming



Fig 2. Sex differences in performance of the top 5 places. The primary plot (heat map) displays the sex differences in swimming velocity (% boy's swimming velocity) of the *top 5 US rankings* in each freestyle event distance and age, negative values (red) indicate faster performance of girls. The top displays the mean sex difference across age, and the right plot displays the mean sex difference across swimming event distance.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225724.g002

Considering the most elite competitors in the top 5 places, girls had 3% faster swimming performance than boys prior to age 10 (p<0.001; Fig 2). However, considering the 10th-50th places, there were no sex-related differences in swimming performance between boys and girls prior to age 10 (p>0.05; Fig 3D). In the 50m for ages 5–9 years, for example, the average sex-related difference in performance was -2.5% for top 5 (indicating faster performance for girls)



Fig 3. Relative performance decline across US ranking. The decline in swimming performance (% 1st Place) across US ranking for boys (blue) and girls (red; mean ± 95% confidence interval; Panel A). The average annual membership numbers (Panel B) for boys (blue) and girls (red) of USA Swimming. The heat maps (Panels C and D) display the sex differences in swimming velocity of the top 5 US Rankings (Panel C) and the 10th 50th US Rankings (Panel D) using the same color values displayed in Fig 2.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225724.g003

and 1.2% (indicating faster performance for boys) for the 10th-50th places. Importantly, for both analyses, boys do not exhibit statistically faster swimming velocities than girls at young ages (<10 years). For both the top 5 and the 10th-50th places, pairwise comparisons indicated that the sex difference in performance increased from age 10 (top 5, boys 2.5% faster; 10th-50th places, boys 1.0% faster) incrementally increased for each age until the sex difference plateaued at age 17 (top 5, boys 7.6% faster; 10th-50th places, boys 8.0% faster). Thus, beginning at age 10, boys had faster swimming performance than girls and the sex-difference in performance plateaued at age 17 (Fig 3C & 3D). For top 100 places aggregated, the sex-related difference in performance of 17–18 year olds was larger for the sprint distance events (9.6%; 50-200m) compared with the endurance distance events (7.1%; 400-1500m; *p*<0.001). The larger sexrelated differences in performance for sprint distance events were observed for all ages (Fig 2).

Comparison of the relative reductions in velocity between the 1st and 100th place (age groups and event distances aggregated) demonstrated that the girls had greater reductions in relative velocity across place than boys (Fig 3A; sex × place, p < 0.001). The average 100th place US record holder swam at 90.7 ± 8.8% the velocity of the first place US record holder for the boys and 89.3 ± 9.0% for the girls (age groups and distances pooled). Thus, the sex difference in swimming performance progressively increased with US record place between first place (boys 2.4% faster) to 100th place (boys 4.3% faster) across all ages and distances (p < 0.001). These data indicate that there was less depth of performance in girls than boys (Fig 3). Despite the lesser depth of performance in girls, annual participation was higher in girls compared to boys (p < 0.001; Fig 3B).

Circulating testosterone concentration data comprised results from 2,085 measurements. Boys had a greater than 100-fold increase in serum testosterone from ages 6 to 18 (3.6 ± 16.4 to 482.0 ± 232.0 ng·dL⁻¹, p < 0.001), and this testosterone level began to plateau at 16 years. During the years of low testosterone for boys (<10 ng·dL⁻¹)—6 to 10 years—there was no association between testosterone (p = 0.500). However, during the years of rapidly increasing testosterone levels for boys—11 to 17 years—mean testosterone was strongly, linearly correlated with the mean sex difference in swimming performance (pooled for all race distances and places; p < 0.001, r = 0.990). See Fig 4.

Discussion

Using 'big data' as a proxy to estimate the ergogenic advantage of androgens in boys compared to girls, we determined the age of the sex-related divergence in elite swimming performance. As expected, boys had faster swimming performance than girls at 18 years in sprint and endurance distances. Participation data provides evidence that there were equal opportunities to participate in swimming between boys and girls (Fig 3B), thus, we propose that the observed mean sex difference in performance across all freestyle events (8.4%) is *solely* due to physiological differences between the sexes. In support of this, the sex difference in world record swimming performances is similar (8.5%) until ~50 years [13]. Between the ages of 11 and 17 years, the sex difference in performance was strongly associated with circulating testosterone concentrations of boys from a nationally-representative sample (r = 0.990, $r^2 = 0.980$). These data suggest that endogenous testosterone explains 98% of the variance of the sex difference in performance in performance in performance set of the sex difference in performance of the sex difference in performance is similar (8.5%) until ~60 years [6].

However, prior to the ergogenic effects of puberty/androgen hormones, there are no sexrelated differences in performance for the 10th-50th places and the top 5 girls have faster performances than the top 5 boys. Importantly, the faster performance of the top girls is clearly not due to earlier initiation of puberty because girls are faster at 5 years of age, well before the age



Fig 4. Correlation of boy's serum testosterone and sex differences in swimming performance across age. The mean circulating testosterone concentrations from NHANES database were strongly, linearly correlated with the mean sex difference in swimming performance during the years of rapidly increasing testosterone levels for boys (11 to 17 years; p < 0.001, r = 0.990), but not during the years of low testosterone for boys (6 to 10 years; p = 0.500). Each circle represents the mean sex difference in swimming for each age group pooled for all race distances and places (x axis) and mean circulating testosterone level for boys (y axis) with the age group denoted using corresponding Arabic numeral within each circle. The colored ellipses represent the standard error of three separate groupings for the correlation analysis, low testosterone group (6 10 years, red), increasing testosterone group (11 17 years, blue) and plateaued testosterone group (18 years, green).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225724 g004

of puberty. Although the precise mechanisms are unclear, these data suggest that girls are inherently faster swimmers than boys (or at least not slower) than boys *sans* the performance-enhancing effects of androgens and puberty. As expected before puberty, there are minimal sex differences between boys and girls in stature [23], hand grip strength [5] and hemoglobin content [24]. Thus, if girls are inherently faster than boys prior to puberty, these sex-based differences would likely be due to optimized composition of the genes encoded on the X chromosome (e.g. genes associated with regulation of blood pressure, angiotensin-related enzymes) in girls with two copies of the X chromosome than boys with only 1 copy of the X chromosome [25]. After puberty however, our data and previous data [5, 6] suggest that ~15× greater concentrations of androgens [21] and subsequent physiological changes in boys compared to girls account for the ~8.5% sex-related difference in performance [6].

These data also demonstrate greater participation in swimming for girls than boys, however, this sex-difference in participation narrows with advancing age (Fig 3B). Limited experimental data exist to explain the greater participation among girls, however, the leading

hypothesis is that more girls participate because of longstanding opportunity to participate and compete with (and often outperform) boys. Interestingly, these data also show that despite greater participation for girls than boys, girls have less depth in performance. A prevailing hypothesis (*'sociocultural conditions hypothesis'* [17]) suggests that decreased opportunities and participation contribute to sex differences in sports performance. Indeed, in a previous study examining collegiate rowing, a sport sanctioned by the US National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) for women but not men, greater participation for women was associated with greater depth of performance for women in the heavyweight class [17]. Thus it is unclear why in this study; girls have greater participation and less depth of performance. However it is clear that these data provide one of the only examples of faster (or at least not slower) sports performance for girls than boys.

Conclusion

We conclude that prior to the performance-enhancing effects of puberty; the best girls outperform the best boys at sprint and endurance swimming events. Our findings are in direct opposition to nearly universal findings in elite adult athletes that boys are faster than girls. These data provide evidence that the Y chromosome *per se* does not provide an advantage in sports performance. Rather, our data are consistent with 'doping' ideology and findings that sustained and augmented levels of endogenous androgens induce performance-enhancing adaptations regardless of genotype of the sex chromosomes. This information may be of use to governing bodies of athletic competitions as eligibility regulations for participation in female events are refined.

Acknowledgments

We thank USA Swimming for publicly available archives of swimming performances and demographics reports prepared by the USA Swimming Member Services staff.

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Jonathon W. Senefeld, Andrew J. Clayburn, Sarah E. Baker, Rickey E. Carter, Michael J. Joyner.
- Data curation: Jonathon W. Senefeld, Andrew J. Clayburn.
- Formal analysis: Jonathon W. Senefeld, Rickey E. Carter, Patrick W. Johnson.
- Writing original draft: Jonathon W. Senefeld, Andrew J. Clayburn, Sarah E. Baker, Rickey E. Carter, Michael J. Joyner.
- Writing review & editing: Jonathon W. Senefeld, Andrew J. Clayburn, Sarah E. Baker, Rickey E. Carter, Patrick W. Johnson, Michael J. Joyner.

References

- 1. CAS 2018/O/5794 Mokgadi Caster Semenya v. International Association of Athletics Federations: Hearing before the Court of Arbitration for Sport(2018).
- 2. Saudan C, Baume N, Robinson N, Avois L, Mangin P, Saugy M. Testosterone and doping control. British journal of sports medicine. 2006; 40 Suppl 1:i21–4.
- Wood RI, Stanton SJ. Testosterone and sport: current perspectives. Hormones and behavior. 2012; 61 (1):147–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2011.09.010 PMID: 21983229
- 4. Franke WW, Berendonk B. Hormonal doping and androgenization of athletes: a secret program of the German Democratic Republic government. Clin Chem. 1997; 43(7):1262–79. PMID: <u>9216474</u>

- Handelsman DJ. Sex differences in athletic performance emerge coinciding with the onset of male puberty. Clinical endocrinology. 2017; 87(1):68–72. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13350 PMID: 28397355
- Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating Testosterone as the Hormonal Basis of Sex Differences in Athletic Performance. Endocr Rev. 2018; 39(5):803–29. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00020 PMID: 30010735
- Joyner MJ. Physiological limiting factors and distance running: influence of gender and age on record performances. Exercise and sport sciences reviews. 1993; 21:103–33. PMID: 8504840
- Cheuvront SN, Carter R, Deruisseau KC, Moffatt RJ. Running performance differences between men and women:an update. Sports medicine. 2005; 35(12):1017–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200535120-00002</u> PMID: 16336006
- Handelsman DJ, Sikaris K, Ly LP. Estimating age-specific trends in circulating testosterone and sex hormone-binding globulin in males and females across the lifespan. Ann Clin Biochem. 2016; 53(Pt 3):377–84. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004563215610589 PMID: 26438522
- Vesper HW, Wang Y, Vidal M, Botelho JC, Caudill SP. Serum Total Testosterone Concentrations in the US Household Population from the NHANES 2011–2012 Study Population. Clin Chem. 2015; 61 (12):1495–504. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2015.245969 PMID: 26510959
- Joyner MJ, Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: the physiology of champions. The Journal of physiology. 2008; 586(1):35–44. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.143834 PMID: 17901124
- Senefeld J, Smith C, Hunter SK. Sex Differences in Participation, Performance, and Age of Ultramarathon Runners. International journal of sports physiology and performance. 2016; 11(7):635–42. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2015-0418 PMID: 26561864
- Senefeld J, Joyner MJ, Stevens A, Hunter SK. Sex differences in elite swimming with advanced age are less than marathon running. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports. 2016; 26(1):17–28.
- Hunter SK, Stevens AA. Sex differences in marathon running with advanced age: physiology or participation? Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2013; 45(1):148–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31826900f6 PMID: 22843112</u>
- Tanaka H, Seals DR. Age and gender interactions in physiological functional capacity: insight from swimming performance. Journal of applied physiology. 1997; 82(3):846–51. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1997.82.3.846 PMID: 9074973</u>
- Tanaka H, Seals DR. Endurance exercise performance in Masters athletes: age-associated changes and underlying physiological mechanisms. The Journal of physiology. 2008; 586(1):55–63. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.141879 PMID: 17717011
- Keenan KG, Senefeld JW, Hunter SK. Girls in the boat: Sex differences in rowing performance and participation. PloS one. 2018; 13(1):e0191504. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191504</u> PMID: 29352279
- Tonnessen E, Svendsen IS, Olsen IC, Guttormsen A, Haugen T. Performance development in adolescent track and field athletes according to age, sex and sport discipline. PloS one. 2015; 10(6): e0129014. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0129014 PMID: 26043192
- Hunter SK, Stevens AA, Magennis K, Skelton KW, Fauth M. Is there a sex difference in the age of elite marathon runners? Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2011; 43(4):656–64. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181fb4e00 PMID: 20881885</u>
- Dukes RL. Parental commitment to competitive swimming. Free inquiry in creative sociology. 2002; 30 (2):185–98.
- Buttler RM, Peper JS, Crone EA, Lentjes EGW, Blankenstein MA, Heijboer AC. Reference values for salivary testosterone in adolescent boys and girls determined using Isotope-Dilution Liquid-Chromatography Tandem Mass Spectrometry (ID-LC-MS/MS). Clin Chim Acta. 2016; 456:15–8. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cca.2016.02.015 PMID: 26920638
- Zhou H, Wang Y, Gatcombe M, Farris J, Botelho JC, Caudill SP, et al. Simultaneous measurement of total estradiol and testosterone in human serum by isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2017; 409(25):5943–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0529-x PMID: 28801832
- 23. Abbassi V. Growth and normal puberty. Pediatrics. 1998; 102(2 Pt 3):507-11. PMID: 9685454
- 24. Hawkins WW, Kline DK. Hemoglobin levels among 7 to 14 year old children in Saskatoon, Canada. Blood. 1950; 5(3):278–85. PMID: 15404069
- Araujo FC, Milsted A, Watanabe IK, Del Puerto HL, Santos RA, Lazar J, et al. Similarities and differences of X and Y chromosome homologous genes, SRY and SOX3, in regulating the renin-angiotensin system promoters. Physiol Genomics. 2015; 47(5):177–86. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics. 00138.2014 PMID: 25759379

Exhibit 21

Casse 4:2-3-60/200 D859J GZD 2 D d Dunhe 76 6862, IP ktech 06/29/2,3P apage 346 cof 25/8

ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307766116

Race Times for Transgender Athletes

Article in Journal of Sporting Cultures and Identities · January 2015 DOI: 10.18848/2381-6678/CGP/v06i01/54079

CITATIONS 51

RFADS 10,275

1 author:



Joanna Marie Harper Loughborough University 10 PUBLICATIONS 207 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Collaboartion with Brighton University View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Joanna Marie Harper on 26



Casse: 432-3-60/260 0859 J (220 2 3) d Dunhe 76 6868, IPK tEn 06/279/2, 3P agrege 342 roof 2548



VOLUME 6 ISSUE 1

Journal of

Sporting Cultures and Identities

Race Times for Transgender Athletes

JOANNA HARPER



JOURNAL OF SPORTING CULTURES AND IDENTITIES

http://sportandsociety com ISSN: 2381-6678 (Print) ISSN: 2381-6694 (Online) http://doi org/10 18848/2381-6678/CGP (Journal)

First published by Common Ground Research Networks in 2015 University of Illinois Research Park 2001 South First Street, Suite 202 Champaign, IL 61820 USA Ph: +1-217-328-0405 http://cgnetworks.org

The International Journal of Sport and Society is a peer-reviewed, scholarly journal

COPYRIGHT

© 2015 (individual papers), the author(s) © 2015 (selection and editorial matter), Common Ground Research Networks



Some Rights Reserved

Public Licensed Material: Available under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4 0 International Public License (CC BY-NC-ND 4 0) The use of this material is permitted for non-commercial use provided the creator(s) and publisher receive attribution No derivatives of this version are permitted Official terms of this public license apply as indicated here: https://creativecommons org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4 0/legalcode



Common Ground Research Networks, a member of Crossref

Race Times for Transgender Athletes

Joanna Harper, Providence Portland Medical Center, USA

Abstract In recent years, organizations such as the International Olympic Committee have created regulations to allow those athletes who have undergone gender reassignment to compete in their chosen gender. Despite these rules, there is still a widespread belief that transgender female athletes have an inherent advantage over 46,XX female competitors. Until now, there has not been any published data, based on performances of transgender athletes, to either support or refute this belief. There are two main stumbling blocks to creating such a study the first is to determine an appropriate metric to examine and the second is to find participants for the study. This study analyzed race times for eight transgender female runners, who have competed in distance races as both male and female, using a mathematical model called age grading. Collectively, the age graded scores for these eight runners are the same in both genders.

Keywords Transgender, Athletes, Distance Running, Gender, Research

Introduction

there have historically been divided into male and female for the purpose of most sporting competitions. Two components of biological sex, first external genitalia, and later chromosomes were used to make the determination of who was allowed to compete in women's sport. Chromosome testing was initiated for the 1968 Olympics (Elsas et al 2000, 249-254) and thereafter, only those people with XX sex chromosomes among their 23 chromosome pairs, or 46,XX females, were allowed into women's sports. Human biology, however, does not neatly divide into two categories. For instance, some people have neither a 46,XY nor a 46,XX karyotype. Additionally, some people are born with a 46,XY pattern, but with mutations which cause them to be assigned female gender at birth. Chromosome based requirements for participation in female athletics were discontinued in the 1990s (Elsas et al, 249-254), but controversy surrounding athletes with karyotypes other than 46,XX competing in women's sport continues. (Karkazis et al 2012, 3-16).

Transgender people are those whose innate sense of gender, or gender identity, does not match their biological sex. Some transgender people seek gender reassignment. Such people have been termed transsexual, and although the term is descriptive, it is now often viewed unfavorably within the transgender community. While transgender surgery can alter external and internal genitalia, and hormone therapy changes many secondary sex characteristics, neither can alter karyotype; hence it is questionable whether one could claim a change in sex as a result of any intervention. Unambiguous reassignment of gender is, however, possible.

Those who are satisfied with the gender assigned to them at birth can be described as cisgender.

Transgender athletes have sought to compete against other athletes on the basis of their reassigned gender, rather than on their biological sex. While there has been little resistance to the presence of transgender male athletes, sporting organizations were unwilling to allow transgender women to compete against 46,XX women prior to the 21st century. It is notable that in the 1970s, Rene Richards, probably the best-known transgender athlete in history, sued in the United States court system in order to be allowed to play women's tennis (Abrams 2010).

In 2004, the International Olympic Committee (IOC) enacted the Stockholm Consensus (Ljungqvist et al., 2003), that allows transgender women to compete in women's sport once a) gender reassignment surgery had been completed, b) the athlete was legally recognized as female, and c) they had undergone two years of hormone replacement therapy. Transgender men were permitted to compete against cisgender men, although transgender men must file a therapeutic use exception (TUE) form to cover their use of testosterone injections.

Journal of Sporting Cultures and Identities

© Common Ground, Joanna Harper, Some Rights Reserved, (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) Permissions: support@cgnetworks.org

https://doi.org/10.18848/2381-6678/CGP/v06i01/54079

COMMON

GROUND

Volume 6, Issue 1, 2015, www.sportandsociety.com, ISSN: 2381-6678

JOURNAL OF SPORTING CULTURES AND IDENTITIES

At the time of the Stockholm Consensus, there was no published scientific literature that would justify the inclusion of transgender women. The committee that created the Stockholm consensus relied heavily on information from Dr. Louis Gooren from Amsterdam (Ljungqvist 2104). Dr. Gooren was an expert in transgender studies and would go on to co-author an important paper which studied nineteen transgender women after commencement of hormone therapy (Gooren and Bunck, 2004, 425-429). After one year of testosterone suppression, the subjects had testosterone levels below those of 46,XX women, and hemoglobin levels equal to those of 46,XX women (red blood cell content is very important in endurance sports). Muscle mass differences between the two groups were cut in half. The height of the individuals did not change. There were no additional changes noted at three years. This study was not undertaken on athletes, nor did the researchers directly measure any physical component of athleticism, such as strength, speed, explosiveness, or endurance. The authors concluded that it was reasonable to allow transgender women to compete against cisgender women after appropriate hormone therapy.

It is notable that the Stockholm consensus required two years of hormone therapy, while the published study noted that there were no physical changes in the subjects after one year. This discrepancy was due to conservative estimates given to the committee by Dr Gooren prior to the publication of his study (Ljungqvist 2014).

Many sports followed the lead of the IOC, and in subsequent years there have been transgender women competing in sports such as golf (Mianne Bagger and Lana Lawless), cycling (Natalie Van Gogh, Michelle Dumaresq, and Kristin Worley), martial arts (Nong Toom, and Fallon Fox), and basketball (Gabrielle Ludwig). None of these women has been particularly successful at the highest levels of sport after gender reassignment, and one could argue that this lack of success over ten years would be a strong indication of the fairness of permitting transgender women to compete against cisgender women.

Instead of acceptance, however, there has been a substantial amount of controversy over the presence of transgender women in female athletics. Most people contend that transgender women have an unfair advantage in women's competition (Cavanagh and Sykes, 2006). Opponents of transgender inclusion often point to physical characteristics such as height and hand size, which are not changed by gender reassignment, and suggest that transgender women will always maintain an unfair advantage over cisgender women. These arguments continue today and are not confined to competition at the highest levels. Recently, there were 10,000 emails sent in to protest the decision by the State of Minnesota to allow high school transgender athletes to compete in their chosen gender (Minnesota Star Tribune 2014).

Those in favor of allowing transgender athletic participation inevitably point to the fact that every major sporting organization to look at the issue since 2004 has agreed to allow transgender women to compete against other women. Proponents also will often suggest that science is on their side. However, the only existing published study related to transgender women in sport is the original one by Gooren and Bunk. The science supporting transgender inclusion is very thin indeed.

A thorough literature review of studies applicable to transgender athletes was undertaken for the Canadian Government (Devries, 2008). This review found that "To date no study has conducted any sort of exercise test to assess athletic performance" and concluded that there were no data indicating any sporting advantage or disadvantage for transgender women as compared to over 46,XX women.

The lack of such a study should not come as a surprise. There are two major obstacles involved in compiling any study involving transgender athletes. The first problem is how to formulate a study to create a meaningful measurement of athletic performance, both before and after testosterone suppression. No methodology has been previously devised to make meaningful measurements.

HARPER: RACE TIMES FOR TRANSGENDER ATHLETES

The second problem is to find study participants. There are few transgender athletes, and even fewer who will want to be identified. In order to create a study, a small cohort of competitive transgender athletes must be found in one given sport. Fortunately, there is mass participation in distance running races throughout much of world. All major cities hold road races with many thousands of runners, giving the sport a large base of adult competitors. Thus, the sport of distance running is an obvious choice to try to find suitable candidates.

In 2011, the international governing body for track and field, the IAAF, amended its rules to allow anyone who was legally and hormonally female to compete in the women's category (IAAF, 2011). The portion of the ruling applicable to transgender women lists no requirement for surgical intervention, or specific duration of hormone therapy. It does require an endocrine evaluation prior to any declaration of eligibility. In many parts of the world, legal gender reassignment is not allowed, and this will be a barrier to participation for many transgender athletes.

In 2012, the IOC also adopted a testosterone-based rule for eligibility for women's sport (IOC, 2012); however, the IOC maintained their previous rules pertaining to transgender women. Hence, it would be possible for a transgender woman to compete against other women in the IAAF sponsored 2015 world track championships, but not be eligible to do so in the IOC-sponsored 2016 Olympics.

Methods

Race times from eight transgender women runners were collected over a period of seven years and, when possible, verified. The collection process consisted of seeking out female transgender distance runners, mostly online, and then asking them to submit race times. Even in 2014 few people are open about being transgender, so the submission of race times represented a large leap of faith for the participants. When possible, race times were then verified using online services listing race results. For six of the eight runners, online checking made it possible to verify approximately half of the submitted times. Two of the subjects, runners three and four, would only participate anonymously, creating an ethical dilemma over the use of their times, versus respect their privacy.

Seven of the eight subjects experienced a substantial reduction in running speed upon transition. There are a few methods of comparing men's and women's race times. The simplest involves the well-known approximation that men will, on average, run 10% faster than women (Berman et al. 2013 63–65). There are a couple of other comparison methods as well, but there is only one method that also factors in age. Correcting for age is important because most of the runners in the study were more than 30 years old, and would be faced with declining performance as they grew older, following their gender transition.

Age grading (Grubb, 1998, 509-521) is a method of comparing the performance of athletes of all ages and both sexes. For running events, the athlete's race time (RT) is compared to the fastest time ever run by a person of that age and sex, or the age standard (AS). The resultant age grade (AG) percentage is obtained by the following formula:

 $AG(\%) = (AS \times 100) / RT$

All times are measured in seconds.

In order to understand how age grading works, let's examine two forty-year-old runners who run a 5-kilometer race (5k). The male runner runs 19:30 (1170 seconds). In order to determine his age grade, one compares his time to the fastest time ever run by a forty-year-old male 5k runner, i.e. 13:39 (819 seconds). The equation becomes

 $AG = (819 \text{ seconds } x \ 100)/1170 \text{ seconds } = 70$

JOURNAL OF SPORTING CULTURES AND IDENTITIES

and our male runner gets a score of 70.

The female runner has a time of 21:51 (1311 seconds) and her time is compared to the fastest ever time by a forty-year-old woman, i.e. 15:18 (918 seconds). The equation for her AG is

 $AG = (918 \text{ seconds } x \ 100) / 1311 \text{ seconds} = 70$

Thus, our male and female runners score the same age grade despite the fact that the male ran more than two minutes faster than the female did. This is fair. Men run faster than women. The two runners are both well above average runners for their age and sex, and deserve to receive equal accolades.

Age grading has become the standard way of comparing performances by older track and field athletes of both sexes. The method has also been rigorously evaluated and improved, specifically with regard to the curve fitting that is needed to connect the age standards associated with different ages. Mathematician Alan Jones (Jones 2010) has made significant improvements to the age-graded tables that Howard Grubb developed in the 1990s.

Results

Collectively, the eight runners had much slower race times in the female gender than as males. Time differences were, in fact, so great, that age graded performances stayed virtually constant for the group. Tables one through four summarize the data from all eight runners over four frequently run race distances varying from 5k to the marathon (42 kilometers). Not all eight women submitted times for all four of these distances.

Table 1. SK Race Times						
	Male	Races		Female	Races	
Runner No.	Age	Time	AG	Age	Time	AG
One	48	18:27	78.7	52	22:43	75.7
Two	30	15:56	81.4	36	17:51	82
Four (a)	30	17:35	73.6	33	21:04	70.6
Five	34	19:39	66.7	35	23:43	63
Six (b)	24	15:07	83.5	53	20:22	85.5
Eight	27	20:29	62.2	30	22:51	64.8

Table 1: 5k Race Times

Table 2: 10k Race Times

	Male	Races		Female	Races	
Runner No.	Age	Time	AG	Age	Time	AG
One	49	0:39:05	77.9	56	0:48:45	76.1
Two (b)	22	0:32:37	82.4	36	0:36:58	83.1
Five	34	0:45:33	60.1	36	0:57:40	53.3
Six (a)	46	0:37:10	80	48	0:42:01	80.5

Table 3: Half-marathon Race Times

	Male	Races		Female	Races	
Runner No.	Age	Time	AG	Age	Time	AG
Five	33	1:53:06	52.4	37	2:05:38	53.3
Six (b) (d)	26	1:08:38	86.3	53	1:32:27	83.8
Six (a) (d)	46	1:23:11	77.8	48	1:34:01	77.5
Seven (c)	19	1:48:47	55.7	28	1:48:45	60.5

HARPER: RACE TIMES FOR TRANSGENDER ATHLETES

	Male	Races		Female	Races	
Runner No.	Age	Time	AG	Age	Time	AG
Three	49	3:18:58	69.5	54	4:12:31	67.2
Five	34	3:16:59	63.4	35	4:08:33	55.3
Seven (c)	19	3:49:55	55.7	31	2:59:10	75.7
Eight	29	3:08:53	66.1	30	3:44:55	60.2

Table 4: Marathon Race Times

Notes

- (a) These races were run over the same course within three years' time and represent the best comparison points.
- (b) Races compared over a long time period have more uncertainty associated with them, but both runner two and runner six reported stable training patterns over this time range. These races also help to confirm the age-grading methodology for tracking progress of a runner over the course of a multi-year time frame.
- (c) Runner seven represented the biggest evaluation challenge. She raced as a 19 year-old male recreational runner and then resumed running years later as a female. She got serious about the sport after she resumed, doubled her training load and dropped 10 kg of weight. Not surprisingly, she got faster. This improvement can be seen in the fact that her AG went from 60.5 at age 28 to 75.7 at age 31 (both in female gender). This 15 point change in age grade was much larger than the 5-point change she experienced after transition from male to female.
- (d) It is useful to compare times for the same runner over different race courses and at different time periods. The two lower scores occurred on a hilly course at a period of average fitness for runner six. The two higher scores were on flat courses at times of peak fitness.

Table five indicates the average AGs from all eight runners in each gender and the overall averages of all eight.

Table six shows the highest AGs from each runner and the average of these highest AGs. Two tailed t tests were run on both the mean and peak AGs. The p values were p=0.84 for the average AGs and p=0.68 for the highest AG. A p value of less than 0.05 is needed for the values to be considered significantly different, and these p values are very much higher.

Table 5: Average Age Credes

Table 5. Average Age Glades				
	Average male AG	Average female AG		
Runner 1	75.2	77.1		
Runner 2	81.8	82.8		
Runner 3	69.5	70.8		
Runner 4	71.4	64.8		
Runner 5	57.7	49.3		
Runner 6	83.8	81.9		
Runner 7	55.7	61.9 (e)		
Runner 8	54.3	59.1		
Average	68.7	68.5		

JOURNAL OF SPORTING CULTURES AND IDENTITIES

Table 6: Hignest Age Grade				
	Highest male AG	Highest female AG		
Runner 1	78.7	79.2		
Runner 2	82.9	83.2		
Runner 3	69.5	74.3		
Runner 4	74.1	74.1		
Runner 5	66.7	63.0		
Runner 6	87.5	85.6		
Runner 7	55.7	63.4 (e)		
Runner 8	66.1	64.8		
Average	72.7	73.4		

Table	6٠	Highes	tΛαρ	Grad
rable	Ο.	nignes	l Age	Grad

(e) The 2:59 marathon time by runner seven was considered an outlier, the result of her substantially altered training and was not used in these tables.

Discussion

The majority of scientists believe that testosterone is primarily responsible for the difference in athletic results between the sexes (Bermon et al. 2014, 4328–4335), although there are dissenters (Healy et al. 2014, 294-303). There have been multiple studies on men's and women's testosterone levels with some variation in results, but a typical set of values would be as follows: Men's range — 10 to 35 nmol/l; female range — 0.35 to 2.0 nmol/l (Haring et al. 2012, 408– 415).

Transgender women who have undertaken testosterone suppression change from normal male testosterone levels to normal female levels, in fact, after surgery their testosterone levels are below the mean for 46,XX women (Gooren and Bunck, 425-429). Largely as a result of their vastly reduced testosterone levels, transgender women lose strength, speed, and virtually every other component of athletic ability.

Since this study looks at endurance capabilities of athletes both pre and post testosterone suppression, it is also of significant interest to look at hematocrit or hemoglobin levels of transgender women. One year after testosterone suppression, hemoglobin levels in transgender women fell from 9.3 mmol/l to 8.0 mmol/l. This latter number is statistically identical to the mean hemoglobin level for cisgender women (Gooren and Bunck 425-429).

The reduction of testosterone and hemoglobin levels of transgender women after transition would suggest that endurance capabilities of transgender women athletes should be similar to those of 46,XX women.

The difficulty of finding suitable subjects is underscored by the fact that it took seven years to amass data from eight participants.

The times submitted by the eight runners were self-selected and self-reported. The selfreporting by the subjects certainly affects the strength of the findings. As mentioned previously, almost half of the race times were double checked by the author for accuracy. None of the subjects incorrectly reported any result.

Collectively, the eight runners were much slower in the female gender; slow enough, in fact, that their age graded performances were almost identical to their male AGs. Two of the runners had higher average AGs in male gender than in female gender, while one runner had higher female AGs than male ones. The changes in the age grades of these runners mirrored changes in their training habits.

After transition, runner four began to experience a significant number of injuries which prevented her from training as rigorously as she previously had. It is not surprising that her results got worse as time went on. Runner five experienced both weight gain and a loss

HARPER: RACE TIMES FOR TRANSGENDER ATHLETES

motivation in the years after her transition. In fact her motivation declined to the point that she gave up racing not long after the submission of her results.

On the other hand, runner seven blossomed as a runner after transition. Eventually, she doubled her weekly training distance. She also lost approximately 10 kg of body mass after she started to train harder. It is not surprising that her times and age grade scores showed a subsequent improvement.

The other runners in the study reported relatively stable training loads in both male and female mode, and this is reflected in their more stable age grades in both genders.

Since training loads vary over time for all runners, the author believes that highest age grade might be the best comparison of male versus female athletic potential. But, whether one uses average or highest age grades, the subjects scored statistically identical age grades both as male and as female.

It is significant to note that none of the eight subjects was a truly elite runner. An optimal study would use world-class runners and the results could be used to justify the presence of transgender women in events such as the Olympic Games. Unfortunately, there simply are no world-class transgender distance runners. Three of the eight runners have achieved notable success at the national level, and two of the other runners could be described as sub-elite. Resistance to the presence of transgender women occurs at all levels of sport, and so there is still much merit to the study.

One interesting trend was noted in runners five, six and eight, who age graded higher in shorter events as women than they did in longer events. Runners six and eight scored higher age grades in 5k races than they did as males but lower AGs in longer races – half marathon and up. Runner five scored lower across the board as female than as male but her 5k AGs were much closer to her male ones, than her marathon AGs were. Transgender women carry more muscle mass than 46,XX women (Gooren and Bunck 2004, 425–429). This extra muscle mass might cause increased speed when compared to cisgender women, and hence faster times and higher AGs at shorter distances. Increased muscle mass and heavier bones are not conducive to long distance running, and would actually be a disadvantage when running distances of a half marathon and higher, causing slower times and lower AGs. This effect is small in the three mentioned runners, and none of the other five runners submitted data over a wide enough range of distances to determine whether or not this pattern held true for them; more research would be needed to confirm or refute the hypothesis of distance related variations in age grade scores for transgender women.

It should be noted that these results are only valid for distance running. Transgender women are taller and larger, on average, than 46,XX women (Gooren and Bunck, 2004, 425-429), and these differences probably would result in performance advantages in events in which height and strength are obvious precursors to success - events such as the shot put and the high jump. Conversely, transgender women will probably have a notable disadvantage in sports such as gymnastics, where greater size is an impediment to optimal performance.

The Grubb and Jones age-grading methodology applies only to track-and-field and distance running, but, it should be possible to create a similar analytic method to compare results for other sports, such as swimming, weightlifting, or ski-jumping, which also measure results in times, distances or weights – the so called CGS (centimeter, grams, and seconds) sports. It would be very difficult, however, to devise such a method to analyze performances in most other types of sports.

Conclusions

Despite the fact that transgender women have been allowed to compete against cisgender ones since 2004, there has been no study used to justify this decision beyond the original work of Gooren and Bunck. It bears repeating that this original study was not undertaken on athletes, nor

JOURNAL OF SPORTING CULTURES AND IDENTITIES

did it directly measure any aspect of athleticism. In fact, this is the first time a study has been developed to measure the performance of transgender athletes. The author overcame two significant barriers which have prevented any previous study from being performed, i.e. the difficulty in determining an appropriate metric to measure athletic performance both before and after testosterone suppression, and the difficulty in finding enough willing study participants in any given sport.

The author chose to use the standard age-grading methodology which is commonly used in master's (over forty) track meets worldwide, to evaluate the performance of eight distance runners who had undergone gender transition from male to female. As a group, the eight study participants had remarkably similar age grade scores in both male and female gender, making it possible to state that transgender women run distance races at approximately the same level, for their respective gender, both before and after gender transition.

It should be noted that this conclusion only applies to distance running and the author makes no claims as to the equality of performances, pre and post gender transition, in any other sport. As such, the study cannot, unequivocally, state that it is fair to allow to transgender women to compete against 46,XX women in all sports, although the study does make a powerful statement in favor of such a position.

It should also probably be noted that the publication of this study will likely not appreciably change the resistance faced by transgender women who compete against cisgender ones. There will continue to be strong opposition by athletes, parents and fans to the inclusion of transgender women. It will take many more years before the average sports enthusiast understands that transgender women who have undergone testosterone suppression will not dominate women's sports.

REFERENCES

- Abrams, Roger I. "Sports justice: the law and the business of sports Boston, Mass".: Northeastern University Press, 2010
- Bermon, S., Garnier, P.Y., Hirschberg, A.L., et al. 2014. "Serum Androgen Levels in Elite Female Athletes." J Clin Endocrinol Metab. Nov;99(11):4328-35.
- Cavanagh, S.L., & Sykes, H. 2006. "Transsexual bodies at the Olympics: The International Olympic Committee's policy on transsexual athletes at the 2004 Athens Summer Games". *Body & Society*, *12*, 75-102.
- Devries, M. 2008. "Do Transitioned Athletes Compete at an Advantage or Disadvantage as compared with Physically Born Men and Women": A review of the Scientific Literature
- Elsas LJ, Ljungqvist A, Ferguson-Smith MA, et al. 2000. "Gender verification of female athletes." Genet Med;2:249–54
- Gooren L, and Bunck M. 2004. "Transsexuals and competitive sports." *European Journal of Endocrinology* 151: 425-429.
- Grubb, H.J. 1998. "Models for Comparing Athletic Performances". The Statistician, 47, 509-521.
- Haring, R., A. Hannemann, U. John, et al. 2012. "Age-specific reference ranges for serum testosterone and androstenedione concentrations in women measured by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry." *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 97(2): 408–415.
- Healy, M.L., Gibney, R., Pentecost, C., Wheeler, M.J., and Sonksen, P. H. 2014 "Endocrine profiles in 693 elite athletes in the post competition setting." Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). Aug;81(2):294-305.
- International Association of Athletics Federations 2011. "IAAF Regulations Governing Eligibility of Athletes who have Undergone Sex Reassignment to Compete in Women's Competition"

HARPER: RACE TIMES FOR TRANSGENDER ATHLETES

- International Olympic Committee 2012. "IOC Regulations on Female Hyperandrogenism". www.olympic.org/Documents/Commissions_PDFfiles/Medical_commission/2012-06-22-IOC-Regulations-on-Female-Hyperandrogenism-eng.pdf
- Jones, Alan. 2010. "Age Grading Running Races". http://home roadrunner.com/~alanjones/AgeGrade html
- Karkazis, K., R. Jordan-Young, G. Davis, and S. Camporesi. 2012. "Out of bounds? A critique of the new policies on hyperandrogenism in elite female athletes." American Journal of Bioethics 12(7): 3–16.
- Ljungqvist, A., Cohen-Haguenauer, O., Genel, M., Simpson, J., Ritzen, M., Fellous, M., Schamasch 2003. "Statement of the Stockholm consensus on sex reassignment in sports." www.olympic.org/Documents/Reports/EN/en report 905.pdf
- Ljungqvist, A., 2014. Private Communication. *Minnesota Star Tribune* http://www.startribune.com/opinion/commentaries/284678481.html

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Joanna Harper: Medical Physicist, Radiation Oncology, Providence Portland Medical Center, Portland, Oregon, USA

Journal of Sporting Culture and Identities is one of the four thematically focused journals that support the Sport and Society knowledge community—its journal collection, book series, and online community.

The journal focuses on sport's motivations, meanings and purposes. It publishes articles that examine the psychology of sport and the interplay between individual identities and access, equity, and participation in sports.

Journal of Sporting Culture and Identities is a peer-reviewed scholarly journal.