

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TALLAHASSEE DIVISION**

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf
of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, *in his official
capacity as Florida's Surgeon General
of the Florida Department of Health,*
et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED UNDER PSEUDONYM

Plaintiffs Paul and Patricia Poe (the "Poe Family"), by and through their undersigned counsel, respectfully move this Court for leave to proceed pseudonymously.

Patricia Poe seeks to proceed under fictitious names to protect the identity of her son, Paul Poe, a minor. Though under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a), Paul Poe is entitled proceed anonymously through his initials, the identification of Patricia Poe by her legal name would likely erase the confidentiality that the rule affords Paul Poe in bringing this lawsuit because he would be readily identifiable by the name of his mother. Further, proceeding under pseudonym is necessary to protect the Poe Family

and, more particularly, Paul Poe, from undue harassment, discrimination, and even violence because Paul Poe is transgender, and Patricia Poe is an affirming parent of a transgender adolescent.

Because Paul Poe's privacy rights outweigh the presumption of openness in federal courts, Paul Poe and his mother, Patricia Poe, should be allowed to proceed under fictitious names. Moreover, Paul Poe should be allowed to proceed under that fictitious name (as opposed to his initials) for reasons of convenience.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

Without allowing the Poe Family to proceed anonymously, Paul Poe will effectively have no anonymity in this suit. Persons who learn Patricia Poe's identity will be able to readily determine Paul Poe's identity. Thus, the question here is whether Patricia Poe should be exempt from the general requirement that the parties be named in pleadings. Fed. R. Civ. P. 10(a). Paul Poe is confident in his gender identity and lives authentically as a boy without broad disclosure of his transgender status. Publicizing his name, or even his mother's name, will impact his quality of life and potentially even his mental health, and will create a safety risk for Paul Poe and his family.

“A party may proceed anonymously in a civil suit in federal court by showing that he has a substantial privacy right which outweighs the customary and

constitutionally-embedded presumption of openness in judicial proceedings.” *Plaintiff B. v. Francis*, 631 F.3d 1310, 1315-16 (11th Cir. 2011) (internal quotation marks omitted); *Doe v. Frank*, 951 F.2d 320, 323 (11th Cir. 1992). The ability to proceed anonymously is available both to minor litigants and their guardians in the same litigation when the standard for anonymity is met. *D.L. and K.L. ex rel. Phan L. v. Bateman*, 2012 WL 1565419, at *2 (M.D. Fla., May 2, 2012) (holding that a legal guardian may proceed anonymously where the “public disclosure of their identities would nullify any privacy protection given to their children alone”).

A court should carefully review all circumstances of a given case, including whether requiring openness would compel the plaintiff to disclose information of utmost intimacy, and then decide whether the customary practice of disclosing the party’s identity should yield to the party’s privacy concerns. *Plaintiff B.*, 631 F.3d at 1316. Relevant circumstances include: (1) whether the persons seeking anonymity are challenging government activity; (2) whether the litigation would require those persons to disclose information of the utmost intimacy; (3) whether those persons are minors; (4) whether disclosure would make those persons the subject of retaliatory harassment or violence; and (5) whether anonymity poses a unique threat of fundamental unfairness to the defendant. *Id.*

Under the circumstances relevant to this Motion, it is entirely appropriate to

allow the Poe Family to proceed anonymously through fictitious names. First, the Poe Family, along with other Plaintiffs in this litigation, seek to challenge government activity—specifically, the adoption of Florida Board of Medicine Rule 64B8-9.019 and the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine Rule 64B15-14.014, which prohibit doctors in Florida from providing treatment for gender dysphoria to transgender minors, in violation of the U.S. Constitution. Unlike private parties, the Members of the Florida Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine, who are named solely in their official capacities, and Defendant Ladapo, who is named solely in his official capacity as Surgeon General of the Florida Department of Health, lack the same interest in protecting their reputation from possible damaging allegations, and therefore have a lesser interest in the public disclosure of the identities of those plaintiffs challenging governmental action. *See S. Methodist Univ. Ass’n of Women L. Students v. Wynne & Jaffe*, 599 F.2d 707, 713 (5th Cir. 1979).

Second, the details of Paul Poe’s private medical history and experience as a transgender minor will be rendered public through this litigation. Courts have recognized that a person’s transgender status is a very intimate, private matter. *See, e.g., Powell v. Schriver*, 175 F.3d 107, 111 (2d Cir. 1999) (“The excruciatingly private and intimate nature of transsexualism, for persons who wish to preserve privacy in the matter, is really beyond debate”); *Foster v. Andersen*, No. 18-2552-

DDC-KGG, 2019 WL 329548, at *2 (D. Kan. Jan. 25, 2019) (“[T]he disclosure of [plaintiff]’s identity would reveal matters of a highly sensitive and personal nature, specifically [plaintiff]’s transgender status and his diagnosed medical condition—gender dysphoria.”); *Arroyo Gonzalez v. Rossello Nevares*, 305 F. Supp. 3d 333, 333 (D.P.R. 2018); *Love v. Johnson*, 146 F. Supp. 3d 848, 856 (E.D. Mich. 2015); *Doe v. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island*, 794 F. Supp. 72, 74 (D.R.I. 1992). In fact, the disclosure of much of this information by some third parties would normally be subject to stringent privacy protections. *See* Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a). This alone demonstrates that the information is of the utmost intimacy. *See Doe v. Stegall*, 653 F.2d 180, 186 (5th Cir. 1981) (requiring the district court to allow the plaintiffs to sue anonymously because, in part, juvenile and adoption court records were confidential under state law); *M.J. v Jacksonville Housing Authority*, No. 11-CV-771-J-37 MCR, 2011 WL 4031099, at *2 (M.D. Fla. September 12, 2011) (finding that a plaintiff’s juvenile arrest report, which would be at direct issue in the litigation, was information of the “utmost intimacy” because, in part, it was confidential under state law).

Third, Paul Poe is a minor. The rules already entitle Paul Poe to proceed anonymously through his initials. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a). Customarily, courts will

allow both minors and their guardians to proceed under pseudonym where the interests of minor children are at stake. *See, e.g., Blue Cross & Blue Shield United of Wisconsin*, 112 F.3d 869, 872 (7th Cir. 1997) (observing that fictitious names are allowed when necessary to protect children’s privacy); *Stegall*, 653 F.2d at 186 (permitting minor children and their mother to use fictitious names as minor children are more vulnerable to potential harassment or violence against the family); *Doe v. Banos*, 713 F. Supp. 2d 404, n.1 (D. N.J. 2010) (stating that the pseudonym of father and daughter was “used to protect the identity of a fifteen-year-old minor.”); *Sims v.*

Lakeside School, No. 06-1412 RSM, 2007 WL 4219347, at *1 (W.D. Wash. November 28, 2007) (finding that minor names and the names of the parents deserved use of pseudonyms to protect the interests of the minor children involved and that there is a strong public policy in favor of protecting the identity of children).

Fourth, transgender persons in the United States, and more particularly, transgender minors and their families, regularly face stigmatization, discrimination, and violence. Numerous courts have reached this conclusion. *See, e.g., Whitaker ex rel. Whitaker v. Kenosha Unified Sch. Dist. No. 1 Bd. of Educ.*, 858 F.3d 1034, 1051 (7th Cir. 2017) (“There is no denying that transgender individuals face discrimination, harassment, and violence because of their gender identity.”); *Foster*, 2019 WL 329548, at *2 (“[Plaintiff] alleges that disclosing his transgender status

will subject him to discrimination, harassment, and violence. The court finds [plaintiff]’s fears are justified.”); *F.V. v. Barron*, 286 F. Supp. 3d 1131, 1137 (D. Idaho 2018); *Arroyo*, 305 F. Supp. 3d 327 at 333; Order, *Ray v. Himes*, No. 2:18-cv-00272-MHW-CMV, at *2 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 5, 2018); *Love*, 146 F. Supp. 3d at 856; *Adkins v. City of New York*, 143 F. Supp. 3d 134, 139 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).

What is more, “this history of persecution and discrimination is not yet history.” *Adkins*, 143 F. Supp. 3d at 139. A 2015 study revealed that nearly half (48%) of transgender people have been denied equal treatment, verbally harassed, and/or physically attacked because of being transgender. *See* James, S. E., et al., *The Report of the 2015 U.S. Transgender Survey*, Nat’l Ctr. for Transgender Equality, 198 (2016), available at <https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf>. Specifically, nearly half (46%) of transgender people have been verbally harassed and almost one in ten (9%) have been physically attacked because of being transgender. *Id.*

These considerations are even more acute for transgender people in Florida, like Paul Poe. There is no question that transgender people in Florida face high levels of discrimination, harassment, and violence. For example, 75% of transgender people who were out or perceived as transgender in K-12 schools experienced mistreatment, including verbal harassment (50%), physical attack (23%), and sexual

assault (15%), because of being transgender. *See id.*, at 1. Further, according to the 2019 National School Climate Survey, 86.3% of LGBTQ+ students experienced harassment of assault based on their LGBTQ+ or perceived LGBTQ+ identity. Among transgender youth who experienced this kind of victimization, they were three times as likely to miss school. Kosciw, J. G., et al., *The 2019 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer youth in our nation's schools*, GLSEN, 19-20 (2020), available at https://www.glsen.org/sites/default/files/2020-10/NSCS-2019-Full-Report_0.pdf.

It is because of this stigma placed upon transgender people and the real risks of discrimination, harassment, and violence transgender people face on account of their transgender status that courts have allowed transgender plaintiffs like Paul Poe to proceed under pseudonyms. *See, e.g., Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island*, 794 F. Supp. at 73 (noting that “in this era of seemingly increased societal intolerance,” the court “will not strip plaintiff of the cloak of privacy which shields him from the stigmatization he might otherwise endure”); *In re E.P.L.*, 891 N.Y.S.2d 619, 621 (Sup. Ct. 2009) (shielding the publication of a transgender individual’s name in seeking a court order regarding a name change based upon his “right to feel threatened for his personal safety in the event his transgender status is made public”). Indeed, the “most compelling situations involve matters which are highly sensitive,

such as social stigmatization, real danger of physical harm, or where the injury litigated against would occur as a result of the disclosure of the plaintiff's identity.” *Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island*, 794 F. Supp. at 74.

Here, the risk of stigmatization, discrimination, and even violence against Paul Poe and his mother could only increase if the name of any member of the Poe Family were made public in this suit.

Fifth, and finally, Defendants will not be prejudiced by the Poe Family's proceeding pseudonymously. Indeed, the Poe Family does not seek to withhold their identities from Defendants or the Court, but only to proceed pseudonymously and to prevent disclosure of their identities in public documents. Allowing the Poe Family to proceed under pseudonyms under these conditions will not prejudice Defendants; Defendants will know their true identities, will have full discovery rights as the case progresses, and will only be barred from using or disclosing their names to the public or outside the litigation. *See Doe v. Porter*, 370 F.3d 558, 560-61 (6th Cir. 2004); *Foster*, 2019 WL 329548, at *2; *Doe v. United Services Life Ins. Co.*, 123 F.R.D. 437, 439 (S.D.N.Y. 1988). “[I]t is unclear how [the Court's grant of the requested relief] would . . . hinder[] [Defendants'] preparation” of the case, as Defendants here would still be able “to obtain all the necessary information to address” the issues in this case without public disclosure of the names of Paul and Patricia Poe. *Porter*,

370 F.3d at 561.

For these reasons, Paul Poe's privacy rights outweigh the presumption of openness in judicial proceedings. As such, his mother Patricia Poe should be permitted to proceed under pseudonym.

The Court should likewise allow Paul Poe to proceed under pseudonym to protect his identity and for the sake of consistency. This would not prejudice Defendants nor the public since Paul Poe is already entitled to proceed anonymously under Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a).

WHEREFORE, the Poe Family respectfully request that this Court grant their Motion to Proceed Under Pseudonym.

Respectfully submitted April 24, 2023.

SOUTHERN LEGAL COUNSEL

By: /s/ Simone Chriss

Simone Chriss

Florida Bar No. 124062

Chelsea Dunn

Florida Bar No. 1013541

1229 NW 12th Avenue

Gainesville, FL 32601

(352) 271-8890

Simone.Chriss@southernlegal.org

Chelsea.Dunn@southernlegal.org

**HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN
FOUNDATION**

**NATIONAL CENTER FOR
LESBIAN RIGHTS**

Christopher F. Stoll

(CA Bar No. 179046)

Kelly Jo Popkin

(NY Bar No. 5698220)*

National Center for Lesbian
Rights

870 Market Street, Suite 370

San Francisco, CA 94102

Tel. 415-365-1320

cstoll@nclrights.org

kpopkin@nclrights.org

Ami Patel* (CA No. 325647)
Jason Starr* (NY No. 5005194)
Human Rights Campaign Foundation
1640 Rhode Island Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
Telephone: (202) 993-4180
Facsimile: (202) 628-0517
Ami.Patel@hrc.org
Jason.Starr@hrc.org

**GLBTQ LEGAL
ADVOCATES &
DEFENDERS**

Jennifer Levi*
Chris Erchull*
18 Tremont, Suite 950
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 426-1350
jlevi@glad.org
cerchull@glad.org

** Admitted pro hac vice*

Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATION OF WORD LIMIT

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(F), undersigned counsel certifies that there are 2,073 words contained in this Motion and Memorandum.

/s/ Simone Chriss

Simone Chriss

**CERTIFICATE OF SATISFACTION OF
ATTORNEY-CONFERENCE REQUIREMENT**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(B), Counsel for Plaintiffs requested to meet and confer with Defendants' Counsel on April 20, 2023. On April 24, 2023, Counsel for Defendants indicated that Defendants do not oppose the relief sought.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on April 24, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Counsel for Defendants stated that they would accept service via email. I certify that I served by email the foregoing on the following non-CM/ECF participant:

Mohammad O. Jazil
Counsel for Defendants
Holtzman Vogel
(850) 391-0503
mjazil@holtzmanvogel.com

/s/ Simone Chriss

Simone Chriss