

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
	included, or excluded because they did not meet the PICO criteria.
Connolly MD, Zervos MJ, Barone CJ 2nd et al. (2016) The Mental Health of Transgender Youth: Advances in Understanding. The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine 59(5): 489–495	Excluded on intervention - review did not investigate gender-affirming hormones
de Vries ALC, McGuire JK, Steensma TD et al. (2014) Young adult psychological outcome after puberty suppression and gender reassignment. Pediatrics 134(4): 696–704	Exclude on intervention – all participants had surgery after gender-affirming hormones. Unable to determine whether changes were due to hormones or surgery. Complete data only available for 40 patients. Details of gender-affirming hormones are poorly reported. Outcomes reported in other study (with a population that more closely matches PICO)
Elamin MB, Garcia MZ, Murad MH et al. (2010) Effect of sex steroid use on cardiovascular risk in transsexual individuals: a systematic review and meta-analyses. Clinical Endocrinology 72(1): 1–10	Exclude on population – all included studies conducted in adult population. Unclear whether hormones were started when participants were aged 18 years or less. Outcomes not reported by age at treatment initiation.
Fernandez JD and Tannock LR (2016) Metabolic effects of hormone therapy in transgender patients. Endocrine Practice: Official Journal of the American College of Endocrinology and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists 22(4): 383–8	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less (mean ages 31 and 27 years).
Figuera TM, Ziegelmann PK, Da Silva TR et al. (2019) Bone mass effects of cross-sex hormone therapy in transgender people: Updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Endocrine Society 3(5): 943–964	Excluded on population – all included studies conducted in adult population. Unclear whether hormones were started when participants were aged 18 years or less. Outcomes not reported by age at treatment initiation.
Getahun D, Nash R, Flanders WD et al. (2018) Cross-sex Hormones and Acute Cardiovascular Events in Transgender Persons: A Cohort Study. Annals of Internal Medicine 169(4): 205–213	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Gomez-Gil E, Zubiaurre-Elorza L, de Antonio IE et al. (2014) Determinants of quality of life in Spanish transsexuals attending a gender unit before genital sex reassignment surgery. Quality of Life Research: an International Journal of Quality of Life Aspects of Treatment, Care and Rehabilitation 23(2): 669–76	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people (age range 16 to 67), most participants were adults (mean age 31.2 years) and the proportion who started treatment when 18 years or less is not reported. Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less.
Gomez-Gil E, Zubiaurre-Elorza L, Esteva I et al. (2012) Hormone-treated transsexuals report less	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less (mean age 24.6 years).

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
social distress, anxiety and depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology 37(5): 662–70	
Gooren LJ, van Trotsenburg MAA, Giltay EJ et al. (2013) Breast cancer development in transsexual subjects receiving cross-sex hormone treatment. The Journal of Sexual Medicine 10(12): 3129–34	Excluded on population – study reports on cancer rates in people aged 18-80 years. The 3 cases of cancer all started gender-affirming hormone treatment >18 years.
Grimstad FW, Boskey E, Grey M (2020) New-Onset Abdominopelvic Pain After Initiation of Testosterone Therapy Among TransMasculine Persons: A Community-Based Exploratory Survey. LGBT health 7(5): Published Online:13 Jul 2020https://doi.org/10.1089/lgbt.2019.0258	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Hannema SE, Schagen SEE, Cohen-Kettenis PT et al. (2017) Efficacy and Safety of Pubertal Induction Using 17beta-Estradiol in Transgirls. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 102(7): 2356–2363	Excluded on better evidence available – small study (n=28) with high drop-out rate (n=16 at final follow-up). Same outcomes reported in larger studies.
Jarin J, Pine-Twaddell E, Trotman G et al. (2017) Cross-Sex Hormones and Metabolic Parameters in Adolescents With Gender Dysphoria. Pediatrics 139(5)	Excluded on population and better evidence available. Although the study included some younger people (age range 13 to 25; mean age 16 and 18), the proportion who started treatment when 18 years or less is not reported. Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less. Outcomes were limited to physiological results (including haemoglobin, lipids and BMI). Follow-up only 6 months, other included studies report same outcomes with longer follow-up (12 to 31 months).
Keo-Meier CL, Herman LI, Reisner SL et al. (2015) Testosterone treatment and MMPI-2 improvement in transgender men: a prospective controlled study. Journal of consulting and clinical psychology 83(1): 143–56	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people (age range 18 to 54), most participants were adults (mean age 26.6 years) and the proportion who started treatment when 18 years or less is not reported. Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less.
Klaver M, de Mutsert R, Wiepjes CM et al. (2018) Early Hormonal Treatment Affects Body Composition and Body Shape in Young Transgender Adolescents. The Journal of Sexual Medicine 15(2): 251–260	Excluded on outcomes – reported outcomes not included in PICO document. The risk of obesity with gender-affirmed hormones was reported in an included study.
McFarlane T, Zajac JD, Cheung AS (2018) Gender-affirming hormone therapy and the risk of sex hormone-dependent tumours in transgender individuals-A systematic review. Clinical Endocrinology 89(6): 700-711	Exclude on population – all included studies conducted in adult population.

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
Meriggiola MC, Armillotta F, Costantino A et al. (2008) Effects of testosterone undecanoate administered alone or in combination with letrozole or dutasteride in female to male transsexuals. <i>The Journal of Sexual Medicine</i> 5(10): 2442–53	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Nota NM, Wiepjes CM, de Blok, CJM et al. (2018) The occurrence of benign brain tumours in transgender individuals during cross-sex hormone treatment. <i>Brain: A Journal of Neurology</i> 141(7): 2047–2054	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Oda H and Kinoshita T (2017) Efficacy of hormonal and mental treatments with MMPI in FtM individuals: Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. <i>BMC Psychiatry</i> 17(1): 256	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people (age range 15 to 43), most participants were adults (mean age around 25.6 years) and the proportion who started treatment when 18 years or less is not reported. Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less.
Olson-Kennedy J, Okonta V, Clark LF et al. (2018) Physiologic Response to Gender-Affirming Hormones Among Transgender Youth. <i>The Journal of Adolescent Health: Official Publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine</i> 62(4): 397–401	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people (age range 12 to 23; mean age 18 years). Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less. Outcomes limited to physiological results (including haemoglobin, lipids, liver enzymes and BMI). Same outcomes reported in included studies that had a less indirect population and a longer follow-up.
Ott J, Kaufmann U, Bentz K et al. (2010) Incidence of thrombophilia and venous thrombosis in transsexuals under cross-sex hormone therapy. <i>Fertility and sterility</i> 93(4): 1267–72	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Pakpoor J, Wotton CJ, Schmierer K et al. (2016) Gender identity disorders and multiple sclerosis risk: A national record-linkage study. <i>Multiple Sclerosis Journal</i> . 22(13): 1759–1762	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people, outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less. Also exclude for intervention – unclear if people received gender-affirming hormones.
Pyra M, Casimiro I, Rusie L et al. (2020) An Observational Study of Hypertension and Thromboembolism among Transgender Patients Using Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy. <i>Transgender Health</i> 5(1): 1–9	Excluded on population – adult study (age range 20-70). Age at which gender-affirming hormones started not reported.
Quiros C, Patrascioiu I, Mora M et al. (2015) Effect of cross-sex hormone treatment on cardiovascular risk factors in transsexual individuals. Experience in a specialized unit in Catalonia. <i>Endocrinologia y nutricion : organo de la Sociedad Espanola de Endocrinologia y Nutricion</i> 62(5): 210–6	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
Rowniak S, Bolt L, Sharifi C (2019) Effect of cross-sex hormones on the quality of life, depression and anxiety of transgender individuals: A quantitative systematic review. JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports 17(9): 1826–1854	Exclude on population – all included studies conducted in adult population.
Sequeira GM, Kidd K, El Nokali NE et al. (2019) Early Effects of Testosterone Initiation on Body Mass Index in Transmasculine Adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health 65(6): 818–820	Exclude on outcome - study only reports BMI z-score over 12 month testosterone treatment. BMI not listed as an outcome of interest in the PICO document. Other included studies have investigated the impact of gender-affirming hormone treatment on CV risk profile, including longer term obesity rates, with a longer follow-up and more participants.
Shim JY, Laufer MR, Grimstad FW (2020) Dysmenorrhea and Endometriosis in Transgender Adolescents. Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology. Available online 11 June 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpag.2020.06.001	Exclude on population – only 2 participants taking testosterone before diagnosis of dysmenorrhea.
Slabbekoorn D, Van Goozen SHM, Gooren, LJG et al. (2001) Effects of cross-sex hormone treatment on emotionality in transsexuals. International Journal of Transgenderism 5(3): http://www.symposion.com/ijt/ijtvo05no03_02.htm	Excluded on population – adult study (age range 21 to 28 years)
Smith YLS., Van Goozen SHM, Kuiper AJ et al. (2005) Sex reassignment: Outcomes and predictors of treatment for adolescent and adult transsexuals. Psychological Medicine 35(1): 89–99	Excluded on population – results on adults only used to assess hormone treatment.
Sutherland N, Espinel W, Grotzke M et al. (2020) Unanswered Questions: Hereditary breast and gynecological cancer risk assessment in transgender adolescents and young adults. Journal of Genetic Counseling 29(4): 625–633	Excluded on study type – narrative review of 3 case reports.
van Velzen DM, Paldino A, Klaver M et al. (2019) Cardiometabolic Effects of Testosterone in Transmen and Estrogen Plus Cyproterone Acetate in Transwomen. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 104(6): 1937–1947	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
White Hughto JM and Reisner SL (2016) A Systematic Review of the Effects of Hormone Therapy on Psychological Functioning and Quality of Life in Transgender Individuals. Transgender Health 1(1): 21–31	Exclude on population – all included studies conducted in adult population.
Wiepjes CM, de Blok CJM, Staphorsius AS et al. (2020) Fracture Risk in Trans Women and Trans Men Using Long-Term Gender-Affirming Hormonal Treatment: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 35(1): 64–70	Excluded on population – adult study, all participants started gender-affirming hormones after 18 years.
Wierckx K, Mueller S, Weyers S et al. (2012) Long-term evaluation of cross-sex hormone treatment in	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.

Study reference	Reason for exclusion
transsexual persons. The Journal of Sexual Medicine 9(10): 2641–51	
Wierckx K, Van Caenegem E, Schreiner T et al. (2014) Cross-sex hormone therapy in trans persons is safe and effective at short-time follow-up: results from the European network for the investigation of gender incongruence. The journal of sexual medicine 11(8): 1999–2011	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Wilson R, Jenkins C, Miller H et al. (2006) The effect of oestrogen on cytokine and antioxidant levels in male to female transsexual patients. Maturitas 55(1): 14–8	Excluded on population – adult study, participants not 18 years or less.
Witcomb GL, Bouman WP, Claes L et al. (2018) Levels of depression in transgender people and its predictors: Results of a large matched control study with transgender people accessing clinical services. Journal of Affective Disorders 235: 308–315	Excluded on population – although study included some younger people (age range 15 to 79), most participants were adults (mean age around 30.4 years) and the proportion who started treatment when 18 years or less is not reported. Outcomes not reported separately for people aged 18 years or less.

Appendix E Evidence tables

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Achille, C., Taggart, T., Eaton, N.R. et al. (2020) Longitudinal impact of gender-affirming endocrine intervention on the mental health and well-being of transgender youths: Preliminary results. International Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology 2020(1): 8</p> <p>Study location Single centre, New York, United States</p> <p>Study type Prospective longitudinal study</p> <p>Study aim To assess the psychological wellbeing and quality of life in children and adolescents who have sought endocrine</p>	<p>Inclusion and exclusion not reported- it appears from the description in the publication that all people referred for gender dysphoria were invited to participate, and the vast majority agreed. Of the 95 treatment naive people who entered the study, 50 people completed all follow-up questionnaires and were included in the analysis. No description of the 45 people without follow-up data reported.</p> <p>The study included 50 children, adolescents and young adults with gender dysphoria.</p>	<p>Intervention</p> <p>Endocrine interventions (the collective term used by authors for puberty suppression and gender-affirming hormones) were introduced as per Endocrine Society and the World Professional Association for</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes Impact on mental health</p> <p>Depression symptoms were assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD-R). Statistically significant improvements in CESD-R score were observed from baseline (initial assessment; 21.4 points) to about 12 months follow-up (13.9 points; $p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Regression analysis, controlling for reported medicines for mental health problems and engagement in counselling, found no statistically significant change from baseline in transfemales ($p = 0.27$) and transmales ($p = 0.43$).</p> <p>The Patient Health Questionnaire Modified for Teens (PHQ 9_Modified for Teens) was also used to assess depression symptoms. Depression scores improved from baseline ($p < 0.001$; absolute scores not reported numerically).</p> <p>Regression analysis, controlling for reported medicines for mental health problems and engagement in counselling, found no statistically significant change from baseline in transfemales ($p = 0.07$) and transmales ($p = 0.67$).</p> <p>Suicidal ideation measured using the additional questions from the PHQ 9_Modified for Teens, was presented in 10% (5/50) of participants at baseline and 6% (3/50) at</p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> b) somewhat representative c) no-non exposed cohort a) secure record b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> c) no comparator <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> c) self-report a) yes – 6 monthly assessment up to 12 months (preliminary results from an ongoing study) c) Follow up rate less than 80% and no description of those lost <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: Although regression analysis results for some outcomes were controlled for use of medicines for mental health problems, details of these is not</p>

<p>intervention to help with gender dysphoria.</p> <p>Study dates Study recruitment ran from December 2013 to December 2018; study is ongoing</p>	<p>17 transfemales and 33 transmales.</p> <p>Diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria not reported.</p> <p>Mean age at baseline was 16.2 years (SD 2.2).</p> <p>Mean age at the start of gender-affirming hormone treatment not reported.</p>	<p>Transgender Health (WPATH) guidelines.</p> <p>Puberty suppression was:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GnRH agonist and/or anti-androgens (transfemales) GnRH agonist or medroxyprogesterone (transmales) <p>Average duration of GnRH analogue treatment not reported.</p> <p>Once eligible, gender-affirming hormones were offered, these were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oestradiol (transfemales) Testosterone (transmales) <p>Doses and route of administration not reported.</p> <p>After about 12-months treatment ('wave 3' in the study):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 24 people (48%) were on gender-affirming hormones alone 12 people (24%) were on puberty suppression alone 	<p>about 12-month follow-up, no statistical analysis reported.</p> <p>The study also reported results by gender:</p> <p>In transfemales, 11.8% (2/17) had suicidal ideation at baseline compared with 5.9% (1/17) at 12-month follow-up (no statistically analysis reported)</p> <p>In transmales, 9.1% (3/33) had suicidal ideation at baseline compared with 6.1% (2/33) at 12-month follow-up (no statistically analysis reported)</p> <p>Impact on quality of life</p> <p>Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLES-Q-SF) scores: there was no statistically significant change in score from baseline to about 12-months ($p=0.085$; absolute scores not reported numerically).</p> <p>Regression analysis, controlling for reported medicines for mental health problems and engagement in counselling, found not statistically significant change from baseline in transfemales ($p=0.06$) and transmales ($p=0.08$).</p> <p><i>No other critical or important outcomes reported</i></p>	<p>reported. Other co-morbidities not reported.</p> <p>Source of funding: None</p>
--	---	---	--	--

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11 people (22%) were on both gender-affirming hormones and puberty suppression 3 people (6%) were on no endocrine intervention <p>Results not represented separately for the subgroup of people who received gender-affirming hormones.</p> <p>Average duration of treatment with gender-affirming hormones not reported.</p> <p>Comparison</p> <p>No comparison group. Change overtime reported.</p>		

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Allen, LR, Watson, LB, Egan, AM et al. (2019) Well-being and suicidality among transgender youth after gender-affirming hormones. <i>Clinical Practice in Pediatric Psychology</i> 7(3): 302-311</p>	<p>The study included adolescents and young adults (age range 13-20 years) who received services for gender dysphoria in a clinic in the United States. Participants were required to have received gender-affirming hormones for</p>	<p>39 participants received gender-affirming hormones only</p> <p>8 participants received a GnRH analogue followed by gender-affirming hormones.</p> <p>Mean duration of treatment in the gender-</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes Impact on mental health The Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ) instrument was used to assess suicidality. Following an average of about 12 months treatment with gender-affirming hormones, adjusted mean ASQ score was statistically significantly lower (from 1.11 [standard error</p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> b) somewhat representative c) no-non exposed cohort

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Study location Single centre, Kansas City, United States</p> <p>Study type Retrospective longitudinal study</p> <p>Study aim To examine suicidality and general well-being following administration of gender-affirming hormones.</p> <p>Study dates Participants first presented to the clinic between 2015 and 2018.</p>	<p>at least 3 months, and have pre-test and final assessment data points. No exclusion criteria reported.</p> <p>In total 47 adolescents and young adults with gender dysphoria were included: 14 transfemales (sex assigned at birth male) and 33 transmales (sex assigned at birth female).</p> <p>Diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria not reported.</p> <p>Mean age at pre-test (before administration of gender-affirming hormones) was 16.59 years (range 13.73 to 19.04).</p> <p>Mean age at the start of treatment in the subgroup who received gender-affirming hormones-only was 16.72 years.</p> <p>Mean age at the start of treatment with gender-affirming hormones in people who previously received a GnRH</p>	<p>affirming hormones only subgroup was 366 days.</p> <p>Mean duration of gender-affirming hormone treatment in people who had previously received a GnRH analogue was not reported.</p> <p>Mean duration of treatment with a GnRH analogue was not reported.</p> <p>Participants were assessed at the start of treatment and at least 3 months after treatment.</p>	<p>(SE) 0.22] at baseline to 0.27 [SE 0.12] at final assessment; $p < 0.001$).</p> <p>The authors also reported change in ASQ separately for transfemales (from 1.21 [SE 0.36] at baseline to 0.24 [SE 0.19] at final assessment) and transmales (from 1.01 [SE 0.36] at baseline to 0.29 [0.13] at final assessment). There was no statistically significant difference in change from baseline between transfemales and transmales ($p = 0.79$)</p> <p>Impact on quality of life Assessed using the General Well-Being Scale (GWBS) of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory. Following an average of about 12 months treatment with gender-affirming hormones, adjusted mean GWBS score was statistically significantly higher (from 61.7 [SE 2.43] at baseline to 70.23 [2.15] at final assessment; $p < 0.002$).</p> <p>The authors also reported change in GWBS of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory for transfemales (from 58.44 [SE 4.09] at baseline to 69.52 [SE 3.62] at final assessment) and transmales (from 64.95 [SE 2.66] at baseline to 70.94 [2.35] at final assessment). There was no statistically significant difference in change from baseline between transfemales and transmales ($p = 0.32$)</p> <p><i>No other critical or important outcomes reported</i></p>	<p>3. a) secure record 4. b) no</p> <p>Domain 2: Comparability 2. c) no comparator</p> <p>Domain 3: Outcome 1. b) record linkage 2. a) yes – mean duration of treatment was 366 days 3. a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for</p> <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: Not reported</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Study details</p> <p>Full citation Kaltiala, R., Heino, E., Tyolajarvi, M. et al. (2020) Adolescent development and psychosocial functioning after starting cross-sex hormones for gender dysphoria. Nordic Journal of Psychiatry 74(3): 213-219</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Tampere, Finland</p> <p>Study type Retrospective chart review</p> <p>Study aim To evaluate the psychosocial functioning and need for mental health treatment during the gender identity diagnostic phase and after about a year on gender-affirming hormones.</p>	<p>Population</p> <p>The study included adolescents who were referred to the gender identity service before they 18 years old, were diagnosed with gender dysphoria, received gender-affirming hormones and completed a follow-up of approximately 12 months after starting hormones.</p> <p>In total 52 adolescents were included, comprising of 11 transfemales and 41 transmales.</p> <p>Gender dysphoria was diagnosed according to International Classification of Disease 10 (ICD-10). The authors state that the corresponding diagnosis to 'gender dysphoria' in</p>	<p>Interventions</p> <p>Intervention referred to as 'hormonal sex reassignment treatment' – details of intervention not reported, although gender-affirming hormones were prescribed to all participants. It is not clear from the study whether additional interventions were prescribed.</p> <p>Medical records reviewed for the 'real-life phase' – the approximately 12 months follow-up period for this population in Finland.</p>	<p>Study outcomes</p> <p>Critical Outcomes Impact on mental health</p> <p>Of the 52 people who received gender-affirming hormones, 50% (26/52) needed mental health treatment before or during the assessment and 46% (24/51) needed mental health treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference). For specific symptoms / conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> depression: 54% (28/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 15% (8/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (statistically significant reduction, p<0.001) anxiety: 48% (25/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 15% (8/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (statistically significant reduction, p<0.001) suicidality/self-harm: 35% (18/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 4% (2/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (statistically significant reduction, p<0.001) conduct problems/antisocial: 14% (7/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 6% (3/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase 	<p>Appraisal and Funding</p> <p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) record linkage 2. a) yes – 12 month follow-up 3. a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Study dates 2011 to 2017</p>	<p>the ICD-10 is 'transsexualism'. Mean age at diagnosis 18.1 years (range 15.2 to 19.9)</p>		<p>phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 0.18)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • psychotic symptoms/psychosis: 2% (1/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 4% (2/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 0.56) • substance abuse: 4% (2/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 2% (1/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 0.56) • autism: 12% (6/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 6% (3/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 0.30) • ADHD: 10% (5/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 2% (1/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 0.09) • eating disorder: 2% (1/52) needed treatment before or during the assessment and 2% (1/52) needed treatment during the 12-month 'real life' phase (no statistically significant difference, p= 1.0). <p>No details of actual treatment reported.</p> <p>Important Outcomes Psychosocial Impact Study reported on measures of functioning in different domains of adolescent development, reported over the approximately 12-month period after starting gender-affirming</p>	<p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: No source of funding reported</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<p>hormones (referred to as the 'real-life phase' in Finland)</p> <p>Significantly fewer participants were living with parent(s)/ guardians during the real-life phase (40%; 21/50) compared with during gender identity assessment (73%; 38/52; $p=0.001$)</p> <p>There was a statistically significant reduction in the number of participants with normative peer contacts, from gender identity assessment (89%; 46/52) to the real-life phase (81%; 42/52; $p<0.001$).</p> <p>There was no significant difference in the number of participants who were progressing normally in school or work during gender identity assessment (64%; 33/52) compared with the real-life phase (60%; 31/52).</p> <p>There was no significant difference in the number of participants who have been dating or were in steady relationships during gender identity assessment (62%; 32/50) compared with the real-life phase (58%; 30/52).</p> <p>There was no significant difference in the number of participants who were able to deal with matters outside of the home in an age-appropriate manner during gender identity assessment (81% (42/52) compared with the real-life phase (81%; 42/52)</p> <p><i>No other critical or important outcomes reported</i></p>	

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Khatchadourian K, Amed S, Metzger DL (2014) Clinical management of youth with gender dysphoria in Vancouver. The Journal of pediatrics 164(4): 906-11</p> <p>Study location Single centre study, Vancouver, Canada</p> <p>Study type Retrospective chart review</p> <p>Study aim To describe the patient characteristics, clinical management, and response to treatment in a cohort of people seen in a single clinic.</p> <p>Study dates 1998 to 2011</p>	<p>Inclusion criteria were at least Tanner stage 2 pubertal development, previous assessment by a mental health professional and a confirmed diagnosis of gender dysphoria (diagnostic criteria not specified). No exclusion criteria are specified.</p> <p>63 children, adolescents and young people with gender dysphoria who started gender-affirming hormones, out of 84 young people seen in the unit between 1998 and 2011.</p> <p>39 transfemales and 24 transmales.</p> <p>Diagnostic criteria for gender dysphoria not reported.</p> <p>Mean age at the start of gender-affirming hormone treatment was 17.4 years (SD 1.9).</p>	<p>Intervention Transfemales: Oestrogen (oral micronized 17β-oestradiol) Transmales: Testosterone (injectable testosterone enanthate and/or cypionate)</p> <p>19 participants (30%) had previously received a GnRH analogue. The median time from start of GnRH analogue to start of gender-affirming hormones was 11.3 months (range 2.2 to 42.0). 11 participants continued GnRH analogues after starting gender-affirming hormones.</p> <p>Average duration of treatment with a GnRH analogue not reported</p> <p>Comparison No comparator</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes No critical outcomes assessed.</p> <p>Important outcomes Safety Of the 63 participants who received gender-affirming hormones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No participants permanently discontinued gender-affirming hormones 3 participants (5%) temporarily discontinued treatment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 transmales due to concomitant mental health comorbidities 1 transmale due to androgenic alopecia. No transfemale stopped treatment. <p>The authors report that all patients eventually restarted gender-affirming hormones, although they do not report how long treatment was</p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record* 4. b) no</p> <p>Domain 2: Comparability 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders</p> <p>Domain 3: Outcome 1. b) record linkage 2. b) no – although follow-up time is reported for patients with more than 1 clinic visit, duration of treatment with gender-affirming hormones is not reported 3. c) incomplete - missing data</p> <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: Mental health comorbidity was reported for all participants but not for the gender-affirming hormone cohort separately.</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<p>stopped for, or what the effect of stopped treatment was.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No participants reported major complications • 12 participants (19%) had minor complications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 7 transfemales had severe acne (requiring isotretinoin) ○ 1 transfemale had androgenic alopecia ○ 3 transfemales had mild dyslipidaemia (levels not reported) ○ 1 transfemale had significant mood swings ○ No transfemales had minor complications 	<p>Concomitant use of other medicines was not reported.</p> <p>Source of funding: No source of funding identified.</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Klaver, Maartje, de Mutsert, Renee, van der Loos, Maria A T C et al. (2020) Hormonal Treatment and Cardiovascular Risk Profile in Transgender Adolescents. Pediatrics 145(3)</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands</p> <p>Study type</p>	<p>Participants were included if i) they had started GnRH analogue treatment before 18 years, ii) if whole body dual-energy radiograph absorptiometry was performed at least once during treatment (4 months before or after the start of GnRH analogues or gender-affirming hormones, or within 1.5 years before or after the 22nd birthday), iii) if</p>	<p>Transfemales: Oestrogen (17-β oestradiol [E2]) orally, starting with 5 mcg/kg body weight per day, which was increased every 6 months until the maintenance dose of 2 mg per day was reached.</p> <p>Transfemales: mixed testosterone esters (Sustanon), 25 mg/m² body surface area every 2 weeks intramuscularly,</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes No critical outcomes assessed.</p> <p>Important outcomes Safety Safety outcomes reported separately for transfemales and transfemales.</p> <p>For transfemales, from the start of gender-affirming hormone treatment to age 22 years: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean BMI statistically significantly increased (mean change +1.9, 95% CI 0.6 to 3.2, p<0.005; mean BMI at 22 years= 23.2, 95% CI 21.6 to 24.8). At age 22 years, 9.9% of the cohort were </p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record* 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Retrospective chart review</p> <p>Study aim To examine the effects of treatment on changes in cardiovascular risk factors, including BMI, blood pressure, insulin sensitivity, and lipid levels.</p> <p>Study dates 1998-2015</p>	<p>they were likely to have had at least 1 medical consultation in young adulthood.</p> <p>The study included 192 young people with dysphoria who met the above inclusion criteria: 71 transfemales and 121 transmales.</p> <p>Gender dysphoria was diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition criteria.</p> <p>Mean age at the start of gender-affirming hormones was 16.4 years (SD 1.1) for transfemales and 16.9 years (SD 0.9) for transmales.</p>	<p>increased every 6 months to maintenance dose of 250 mg every 3 to 4 weeks.</p> <p>When GnRH analogues were started after the age of 16 years a different hormone starter dose was used (1 mg oestrogen daily and 75 mg testosterone weekly).</p> <p>Median (IQR) duration of GnRH analogue (monotherapy) was 2.1 years (1.0 to 2.7) in transfemales and 1.0 (0.5 to 2.9) for transmales.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> obese, compared with 3.0% in reference cisgender population¹. Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) did not significantly change (mean change - 3 mmHg, 95% CI -8 to 2; mean SBP at 22 years= 117 mmHg, 95% CI 113 to 122) Mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) statistically significantly increased (mean change +6 mmHg, 95% CI 3 to 10, p<0.001; mean DBP at 22 years= 75 mmHg, 95% CI 72 to 78) Mean glucose level did not significantly change (mean change +0.1 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.1 to 0.2; mean glucose level at 22 years= 5.0 mmol/L, 95% CI 4.8 to 5.1) Mean insulin level did not significantly change (mean change +2.7 mU/L, 95% CI -1.7 to 7.1; mean insulin level at 22 years= 5.0 mU/L (4.8 to 5.1) Insulin resistance (mean Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance [HOMA-IR]) did not significantly change (mean change +0.7, 95% CI -0.2 to 1.5; mean HOMA-IR at 22 years 2.9, 95% CI 1.9 to 3.9) Mean total cholesterol did not significantly change (mean change +0.1 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.2 to 0.4; mean total cholesterol at 22 years 4.1 mmol/L, 95% CI 3.8 to 4.4) Mean HDL cholesterol did not significantly change (mean change +0.0 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.1 to 0.2; mean HDL cholesterol at 22 years 1.6 mmol/L, 95% CI 1.4 to 1.7) Mean LDL cholesterol did not significantly change (mean change +0.0 mmol/L, 95% 	<p>of the design or analysis controlled for confounders</p> <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> b) record linkage a) yes- follow-up from start of gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years, around 5 years a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: No external funding</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CI -0.3 to 0.2; mean LDL cholesterol at 22 years 2.0 mmol/L, 95% CI 1.8 to 2.3) • Mean triglycerides statistically significantly increased (mean change +0.2 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.0 to 0.5, p<0.05; triglyceride level at 22 years 1.1 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.9 to 1.4) <p>For transmales, from the start of gender-affirming hormone treatment to age 22 years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean BMI statistically significantly increased (mean change +1.4, 95% CI 0.8 to 2.0, p<0.005; mean BMI at 22 years= 23.9, 95% CI 23.0 to 24.7). At age 22 years, 6.6% of the cohort were obese, compared with 2.2% in reference cisgender population¹. • Mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) statistically significantly increased (mean change +5 mmHg, 95% CI 1 to 9; mean SBP at 22 years= 126 mmHg, 95% CI 122 to 130) • Mean diastolic blood pressure (DBP) statistically significantly increased (mean change +6 mmHg, 95% CI 4 to 9, p<0.001; mean DBP at 22 years= 74 mmHg, 95% CI 72 to 77) • Mean glucose level did not significantly change (mean change 0.0 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.2 to 0.2; mean glucose level at 22 years= 4.8 mmol/L, 95% CI 4.7 to 5.0) • Mean insulin level statistically significantly decreased (mean change -2.1 mU/L, 95% CI -3.9 to -0.3, p<0.05; mean insulin level at 22 years= 8.6 mU/L (6.9 to 10.2) • Insulin resistance (mean Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance [HOMA-IR]) statistically significantly decreased (mean change -0.5, 95% CI - 	
--	--	--	--

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<p>1.0 to -0.1, $p < 0.05$; mean HOMA-IR at 22 years 1.8, 95% CI 1.4 to 2.2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean total cholesterol statistically significantly increased (mean change +0.4 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.6, $p < 0.001$; mean total cholesterol at 22 years 4.6 mmol/L, 95% CI 4.3 to 4.8) • Mean HDL cholesterol statistically significantly decreased (mean change -0.3 mmol/L, 95% CI -0.4 to -0.2, $p < 0.001$; mean HDL cholesterol at 22 years 1.3 mmol/L, 95% CI 1.2 to 1.3) • Mean LDL cholesterol statistically significantly increased (mean change +0.4 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.2 to 0.6, $p < 0.001$; mean LDL cholesterol at 22 years 2.6 mmol/L, 95% CI 2.4 to 2.8) • Mean triglycerides statistically significantly increased (mean change +0.5 mmol/L, 95% CI 0.3 to 0.7, $p < 0.001$; triglyceride level at 22 years 1.3 mmol/L, 95% CI 1.1 to 1.5) 	

¹ Reference population taken from [Fredriks et al. \(2000\)](#)

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Klink D, Caris M, Heijboer A et al. (2015) Bone mass in young adulthood following gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog treatment and cross-sex hormone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 100(2): e270-5</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands</p> <p>Study type Retrospective longitudinal study</p> <p>Study aim To assess peak bone mass in young adults with gender dysphoria who had received GnRH analogues and gender-affirming hormones during their pubertal years.</p> <p>Study dates</p>	<p>34 young people with gender dysphoria who received GnRH analogues, gender-affirming hormones and gonadectomy.</p> <p>The study included 15 transfemales and 19 transmales; mean age at start of gender-affirming hormones was 16.6 years (SD 1.4) and 16.4 years (SD 2.3) respectively.</p> <p>Participants were required to meet the DSM-IV-TR criteria for gender identity disorder of adolescence. Participants were included if they had undergone gonadectomy between June 1998 and August 2012, and they were at least 21 years old when they had the surgery. Bone mineral density data were also required at the start of GnRH analogue, gender-affirming hormones and at the age of 22 years.</p> <p>No concomitant treatments were reported.</p>	<p>Intervention</p> <p>Transfemales - oral 17-β oestradiol (incremental dosing)</p> <p>Transmales – IM testosterone (Sustanon 250 mg/ml; incremental dosing)</p> <p>Median duration of treatment with gender-affirming hormones for transfemales was 5.8 years (range 3.0 to 8.0) and for transmales was 5.4 years (range 2.8 to 7.8).</p> <p>The GnRH analogue was SC triptorelin 3.75 mg every 4 weeks.</p> <p>No details of gonadectomy reported.</p> <p>Comparison</p> <p>No comparison group. Comparison over time reported.</p>	<p>Critical outcomes</p> <p>No critical outcomes reported</p> <p>Important outcomes</p> <p>Safety</p> <p>Bone density: lumbar spine</p> <p>Lumbar spine bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transfemales-Mean (SD); g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.22 (0.02) • Age 22 years: 0.23 (0.03) • p=0.003 • z-score (range) (0.80) • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.90 (0.80) • Age 22 years: -0.78 (1.03) • No statistically significant difference <p>Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transmales-Mean (SD); g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.24 (0.02) • Age 22 years: 0.25 (0.28) • p=0.001 • z-score (SD) (0.81) • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.50 (0.81) • Age 22 years: -0.033 (0.95) • p=0.002 	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record* 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) record linkage 2. a) yes – mean duration of gender-affirming hormone treatment was 5.8 and 5.4 years. 3. c) follow-up rate variable across timepoints and no description of those lost <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: Within person comparison. Small numbers of participants in each subgroup. No</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Gonadectomy took place between June 1998 and August 2012</p>	<p>At the start of gender-affirming hormone treatment, in the transfemale subgroup the median Tanner P was 4 (IQR 2) and the median Tanner G was 12 (IQR 11). In the transmale subgroup the median Tanner B was 5 (IQR 2) and the median Tanner P was 5 (IQR 0).</p>		<p>Lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD) Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transfemales- Mean (SD); g/m²</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.84 (0.11) • Age 22 years: 0.93 (0.10) • p<0.001 <p>z-score (range)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -1.01 (0.98) • Age 22 years: -1.36 (0.83) • No statistically significant difference <p>Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transmales- Mean (SD); g/m²</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.91 (0.10) • Age 22 years: 0.99 (0.13) • P<0.001 <p>z-score (range)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.72 (0.99) • Age 22 years: -0.33 (1.12) • No statistically significant difference 	<p>concomitant treatments or comorbidities were reported.</p> <p>Source of funding: None disclosed</p>
<p>Bone density: femoral region, nondominant side</p> <p>Femoral region, nondominant side BMAD Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transfemales- Mean (SD); g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.26 (0.04) • Age 22 years: 0.28 (0.05) • No statistically significant difference <p>z-score (SD)</p>				

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -1.57 (1.74) • Age 22 years: Not reported • No statistical analysis reported <p>Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transmales-Mean (SD); g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.31 (0.04) • Age 22 years: 0.33 (0.05) • p=0.010 <p>z-score (SD)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.28 (0.74) • Age 22 years: Not reported • No statistical analysis reported <p>Femoral region, nondominant side BMD</p> <p>Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transfemales-Mean (SD); g/m²</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.87 (0.08) • Age 22 years: 0.94 (0.11) • P=0.009 <p>z-score (SD)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.95 (0.63) • Age 22 years: -0.69 (0.74) • No statistically significant difference <p>Change from starting gender-affirming hormones to age 22 years in transmales-Mean (SD); g/m²</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.88 (0.09) • Age 22 years: 0.95 (0.10) • P<0.001 <p>z-score (SD)</p>	

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Kuper, Laura E, Stewart, Sunita, Preston, Stephanie et al. (2020) Body Dissatisfaction and Mental Health Outcomes of Youth on Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy. <i>Pediatrics</i> 145(4)</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Texas, USA</p> <p>Study type Prospective longitudinal study</p> <p>Study aim To:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> explore how baseline body dissatisfaction, and depression, and anxiety symptoms vary by gender, age at initial assessment, and 	<p>148 children and adolescents with gender dysphoria, n=148, of whom:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 25 received puberty suppression only 93 received gender-affirming hormone therapy only 30 received both Results for treatments reported separately. <p>Mean age at initial assessment was 15.4 years (range 9 to 18).</p> <p>Mean age at start of gender-affirming hormone therapy was 16.2 years (range 13.2 to 18.6).</p> <p>All participants met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition criteria for gender</p>	<p>Hormone therapy, guided by Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guidelines</p> <p>Follow-up at least 18 months from initial assessment at the clinic.</p> <p>Mean duration of gender-affirming hormone therapy before follow-up was 10.9 months (range 1 to 18; SD 3.3)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Start of gender-affirming hormones: -0.35 (0.79) Age 22 years: -0.35 (0.74) p=0.006 	
<p>Study details</p> <p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. d) assessors not blinded to treatment 2. a) yes – follow-up at least 18 months from initial assessment. Mean duration of gender-affirming hormone 	<p>Study outcomes</p> <p>Critical Outcomes</p> <p>Impact on mental health</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms (QIDS), self-reported was 9.6 (SD 5.0) at baseline and 7.4 (SD 4.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the QIDS, clinician-reported was 5.9 (SD 4.1) at baseline and 6.0 (SD 3.8) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean anxiety score, assessed using the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) questionnaire was 32.6 (SD 16.3) at baseline and 28.4 (SD 15.9) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming</p>	<p>Study outcomes</p> <p>Critical Outcomes</p> <p>Impact on mental health</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms (QIDS), self-reported was 9.6 (SD 5.0) at baseline and 7.4 (SD 4.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the QIDS, clinician-reported was 5.9 (SD 4.1) at baseline and 6.0 (SD 3.8) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean anxiety score, assessed using the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) questionnaire was 32.6 (SD 16.3) at baseline and 28.4 (SD 15.9) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming</p>	<p>Study outcomes</p> <p>Critical Outcomes</p> <p>Impact on mental health</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptoms (QIDS), self-reported was 9.6 (SD 5.0) at baseline and 7.4 (SD 4.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean depression score, assessed using the QIDS, clinician-reported was 5.9 (SD 4.1) at baseline and 6.0 (SD 3.8) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean anxiety score, assessed using the Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) questionnaire was 32.6 (SD 16.3) at baseline and 28.4 (SD 15.9) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming</p>	<p>Appraisal and Funding</p> <p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. d) assessors not blinded to treatment 2. a) yes – follow-up at least 18 months from initial assessment. Mean duration of gender-affirming hormone

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Tanner stage at first medical visit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> examine how body dissatisfaction, depression, and anxiety symptoms change over the first year of gender-affirming hormone treatment explore how any changes vary by affirmed gender, Tanner stage, age, type of treatment, months on gender-affirming hormone therapy, mental health treatment received, and whether chest surgery was also obtained (among transmales). <p>Study dates Initial participant assessments took place between August 2014 and March 2018.</p>	<p>dysphoria.</p> <p>Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria for the study are not reported. It would appear that all children and adolescents eligible for gender-affirming hormones were considered eligible for the study. The authors state that before initial assessment with a psychologist, psychiatrist, and/or clinical therapist, parents completed a phone intake survey. Around one-third of families did not follow-up after the phone intake.</p>		<p>hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean panic score, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 8.1 (SD 6.3) at baseline and 7.1 (SD 6.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean generalised anxiety score, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 10.0 (SD 5.1) at baseline and 8.8 (SD 6.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean social anxiety score, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 8.5 (SD 4.1) at baseline and 7.7 (SD 4.2) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean separation anxiety score, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 3.5 (SD 3.0) at baseline and 3.1 (SD 2.5) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-</p>	<p>treatment was 10.9 months.</p> <p>3. c) patient numbers vary by outcome with no explanation</p> <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: Supported by Children’s Health. The Research Electronic Data Capture database was funded by the Clinical and Translational Science Awards program</p>

		<p>affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>Mean school avoidance score, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 2.6 (SD 2.1) at baseline and 2.0 (SD 2.0) at follow-up. The authors did not present statistical analysis for the sub-group of participants receiving gender-affirming hormones and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p> <p>The authors also reported results separately for transfemales and transmales:</p> <p>Transfemales No statistical analyses were reported for this sub-group and it is unclear whether any changes in score were statistically significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean depression symptoms, assessed using the QIDS, self-reported was 7.5 (SD 4.9) at baseline and 6.6 (SD 4.4) at follow-up. • Mean depression symptoms, assessed using the QIDS, clinician-reported was 4.2 (SD 3.2) at baseline and 5.4 (SD 3.4) at follow-up. • Mean anxiety symptoms, assessed using the SCARED questionnaire was 26.4 (SD 14.2) at baseline and 24.3 (SD 15.4) at follow-up. • Mean panic symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 5.7 (SD 4.9) at baseline and 5.1 (SD 4.9) at follow-up. • Mean generalised anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from 	
--	--	---	--

		<p>the SCARED questionnaire was 8.6 (SD 5.1) at baseline and 8.0 (SD 5.1) at follow-up.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean social anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 7.1 (SD 3.9) at baseline and 6.8 (SD 4.4) at follow-up. • Mean separation anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 3.4 (SD 3.3) at baseline and 2.7 (SD 2.3) at follow-up. • Mean school avoidance symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 1.8 (SD 1.7) at baseline and 1.9 (SD 2.1) at follow-up. <p>Transmales No statistical analyses were reported for this sub-group and it is unclear whether any changes in score were statistically significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean depression symptoms, assessed using the QIDS, self-reported was 10.4 (SD 5.0) at baseline and 7.5 (SD 4.5) at follow-up. • Mean depression symptoms, assessed using the QIDS, clinician-reported was 6.7 (SD 4.4) at baseline and 6.2 (SD 4.1) at follow-up. • Mean anxiety symptoms, assessed using the SCARED questionnaire was 35.4 (SD 16.5) at baseline and 29.8 (SD 15.5) at follow-up. • Mean panic symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 9.3 (SD 6.5) at baseline and 7.9 (SD 6.5) at follow-up. 	
--	--	---	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean generalised anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 10.4 (SD 5.0) at baseline and 9.0 (SD 5.1) at follow-up. • Mean social anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 8.5 (SD 4.0) at baseline and 7.8 (SD 4.1) at follow-up. • Mean separation anxiety symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 4.2 (SD 3.4) at baseline and 3.4 (SD 2.6) at follow-up. • Mean school avoidance symptoms, assessed using specific questions from the SCARED questionnaire was 2.6 (SD 2.1) at baseline and 2.0 (SD 2.0) at follow-up. <p>No difference in impact on mental health found by Tanner age. Numerical results, statistical analysis and information on specific outcomes not reported. It is unclear from the paper whether Tanner age is at initial assessment, start of GnRH analogues, start of gender-affirming hormones, or another timepoint.</p> <p>Important Outcomes Impact on body image Mean Body Image Scale (BIS) score was 70.7 (SD 15.2) at baseline and 51.4 (SD 18.3) at follow-up. The authors do not present statistical analysis for this population and it is unclear whether the change in score was statistically significant.</p>	
--	--	---	--

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<p>The authors also reported body image results separately for transfemales and transmales. No statistical analyses were reported for this sub-groups and it is unclear whether changes in score were statistically significant.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In transfemales, BIS score was 67.5 (SD 19.5) at baseline and 49.0 (SD 21.6) at follow-up. In transmales, BIS score was 71.1 (SD 13.4) at baseline and 52.9 (SD 16.8) at follow-up. <p>No difference in body image score found by Tanner age. Numerical results, statistical analysis and information on specific outcomes not reported. It is unclear from the paper whether Tanner age is at initial assessment, start of GnRH analogues, start of gender-affirming hormones, or another timepoint.</p> <p><i>No other critical or important outcomes reported</i></p>	

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Study dates Lopez de Lara, D., Perez Rodriguez, O., Cuellar Flores, I. et al. (2020) Psychosocial assessment in transgender adolescents. <i>Anales de Pediatría</i></p>	<p>23 adolescents with gender dysphoria; 16 transmale and 7 transfemale. Participants were required to be at a stage of pubertal development of Tanner 2 or higher. People with mental</p>	<p>Gender-affirming hormones-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oral oestradiol Intramuscular testosterone <p>Participants had previously received gonadotropin-releasing</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes <i>Impact on gender dysphoria</i></p> <p>Following gender-affirming hormones for 12 months, mean (\pmSD) Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGDS) score statistically</p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> b) somewhat representative Not applicable – although a control group is reported

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Study location Single centre in Madrid, Spain</p> <p>Study type Prospective analytical study</p> <p>Study aim To assess the psychosocial status of patients seeking care in the paediatric endocrinology clinic for gender dysphoria, and the impact on psychosocial status of gender-affirming hormone therapy at 12 months of treatment</p> <p>Study dates Not reported</p>	<p>health comorbidity that could affect the experience of gender dysphoria were excluded.</p> <p>Mean age at baseline was 16 years (range 14 to 18).</p> <p>30 cisgender controls, matched for age, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status</p>	<p>hormone (GnRH) analogues in the intermediate pubertal stages (Tanner 2---3).</p>	<p>significantly improved, from 57.1 (± 4.1) at baseline to 14.7 (± 3.2; $p < 0.001$)</p> <p>Impact on mental health</p> <p>Mean depression score statistically significantly improved following treatment with gender-affirming hormones. Mean Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) score (\pmSD) reduced from 19.3 points (± 5.5) at baseline to 9.7 points (± 3.9) at 12 months ($p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Mean anxiety scores statistically significantly improved following treatment with gender-affirming hormones. Mean (\pmSD) State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) State subscale score improved from 33.3 points (± 9.1) at baseline to 16.8 points (± 8.1) at 12 months ($p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Mean (\pmSD) State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) Trait subscale score improved from 33.0 points (± 7.2) at baseline to 18.5 points (± 8.4) at 12 months ($p < 0.001$).</p> <p>Important Outcomes Psychosocial Impact</p> <p>There was not change in family functioning, measured using the Family APGAR test, from baseline (17.9 points) to 1 year after starting gender-affirming hormones (18.0 points; no statistical analysis reported).</p> <p>Results from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, Spanish Version (SDQ-Cas) showed statistically significant improvements from baseline (14.7 points; $SD \pm 3.3$) to 12</p>	<p>on, people in this group did not have gender dysphoria.</p> <p>3. a) secure record* 4. b) no</p> <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <p>1. Not applicable – although a control group is reported on, people in this group did not have gender dysphoria.</p> <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <p>1. d) assessors not blinded to treatment 2. a) yes – 12 months treatment with gender-affirming hormones 3. a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for</p> <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: Not reported</p>

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			months after gender-affirming hormones (10.3 points; SD±2.9; p<0.001) <i>No other critical or important outcomes reported</i>	

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Stoffers, Iris E; de Vries, Martine C; Hannema, Sabine E (2019) Physical changes, laboratory parameters, and bone mineral density during testosterone treatment in adolescents with gender dysphoria. The journal of sexual medicine 16(9): 1459-1468</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Leiden, Netherlands</p> <p>Study type Retrospective chart review</p> <p>Study aim To report changes in height, BMI, blood pressure, laboratory parameters and bone density.</p> <p>Study dates November 2010 to August 2018</p>	<p>62 transmales with gender dysphoria. Participants were required to have been receiving testosterone therapy for at least 6 months. Further inclusion or exclusion criteria not reported.</p> <p>Gender dysphoria was diagnosed according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition criteria.</p>	<p>Testosterone intramuscular injection (Sustanon 250 mg). Dose escalated every 6 months up to the standard adult dose of 125 mg every 2 weeks or 250 mg every 3-4 weeks. A more rapid dose escalation was using in patients who started GnRH analogue treatment at 16 years or older.</p> <p>Median age at start of testosterone treatment was 17.2 years (range 14.9 to 18.4)</p> <p>Median duration of testosterone treatment was 12 months (range 5 to 33)</p> <p>Median duration of GnRH analogue treatment was 8 months (range 3 to 39)</p>	<p>Critical Outcomes</p> <p>No critical outcomes assessed.</p> <p>Important outcomes</p> <p>Safety</p> <p>Bone mineral density (BMD): lumbar spine There was no statistically significant difference in lumbar spine bone mineral density (BMD) from start of testosterone treatment to any timepoint, up to 24 months follow-up. Mean (±SD), g/cm²:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: 0.90 (±0.11) • 6 months: 0.94 (±0.10) • 12 months: 0.95 (±0.09) • 24 months: 0.95 (±0.11) <p>z-score (±SD):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: -0.81 (±1.02) • 6 months: -0.67 (±0.95) • 12 months: -0.66 (±0.81) • 24 months: -0.74 (±1.17) <p>Bone mineral density (BMD): femoral neck (hip) There was no statistically significant difference in right or left femoral neck (hip) bone mineral density (BMD) from start of</p>	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record* 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) record linkage 2. a) yes – mean duration of gender-affirming hormone treatment was 5.8 and 5.4 years. 3. a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: None</p>

		<p>testosterone treatment to any timepoint, up to 24 months follow-up.</p> <p>Right</p> <p>Mean (\pmSD), g/cm²:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: 0.77 (\pm0.08) • 6 months: 0.84 (\pm0.11) • 12 months: 0.82 (\pm0.08) • 24 months: 0.85 (\pm0.11) <p>Z-score (\pmSD):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: -0.97 (0.79) • 6 months: -0.54 (\pm0.96) • 12 months: -0.80 (\pm0.69) • 24 months: -0.31 (\pm0.84) <p>Left</p> <p>Mean (\pmSD), g/cm²:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: 0.76 (\pm0.09) • 6 months: 0.83 (\pm0.12) • 12 months: 0.81 (\pm0.08) • 24 months: 0.86 (\pm0.09) <p>Z-score (\pmSD):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start of testosterone: -1.07 (0.85) • 6 months: -0.62 (\pm1.12) • 12 months: -0.93 (\pm0.63) • 24 months: -0.20 (\pm0.70) <p>Other safety-related outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alkaline phosphatase: statistically significant increases observed from start of testosterone treatment to 6 months and 12 months ($p < 0.001$), although difference at 24 months was not statistically significant. Median (IQR), U/L <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Start of testosterone: 102 (78 to 136) ○ 6 months: 115 (102 to 147) ○ 12 months: 112 (88 to 143) ○ 24 months: 81 (range 69 to 98) • Creatinine: statistically significant increases observed from start of testosterone treatment to 6, 12 and 	
--	--	--	--

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
			<p>24 months (p<0.001). Mean (±SD), umol/L</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Start of testosterone: 62 (±7) ○ 6 months: 70 (±9) ○ 12 months: 74 (±10) ○ 24 months: 81 (±10) <p>There was no statistically significant change from start of testosterone treatment in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● HbA1c ● Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) ● Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ● Gamma-glutamyl transferase ● Urea <p>Numerical results, follow-up duration and further details of statistical analysis not reported.</p>	

Study details	Population	Interventions	Study outcomes	Appraisal and Funding
<p>Full citation Vlot MC, Klink DT, den Heijer M et al. (2017) Effect of pubertal suppression and cross-sex hormone therapy on bone turnover markers and bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) in transgender adolescents. Bone 95: 11-19</p> <p>Study location Single centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands</p> <p>Study type Retrospective chart review</p> <p>Study aim To investigate the impact of GnRH analogues and gender-affirming hormones on bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) in transgender adolescents. The study also report on levels of bone turnover markers, although the authors concluded that the</p>	<p>70 adolescents with gender dysphoria (42 transmales and 28 transfemales).</p> <p>Median age (range) at the start of gender-affirming hormones was 16.3 years (15.9 to 19.5) for transmales and 16.0 years (14.0 to 18.9) for transfemales.</p> <p>Participants were included if they had a diagnosis of gender dysphoria according to DSM-IV-TR criteria who received GnRH analogues and then gender-affirming hormones.</p> <p>No concomitant treatments were reported.</p> <p>The study categorised participants into a young and old pubertal group, based on their bone age. The young transmales had a bone age of <14 years and the old transmales had a bone age of ≥14 years. The young transfemales group had a bone age of</p>	<p>Transfemales: Oestradiol oral Dose escalated every 6 months until standard adult dose of 2 mg daily was reached</p> <p>Transmales: Testosterone intramuscular injection (Sustanon 250 mg). Dose escalated every 6 months up to the standard adult dose of 250 mg every 4 weeks or 250 mg every 3-4 weeks.</p> <p>All participants previously received a GnRH analogue (triptorelin 3.75 mg subcutaneously every 4 weeks)</p> <p>Median duration of GnRH analogue therapy not reported.</p>	<p>Critical outcomes</p> <p>No critical outcomes reported</p> <p>Important outcomes</p> <p>Bone density: lumbar spine</p> <p>Lumbar spine bone mineral apparent density (BMAD)</p> <p>Transfemales (bone age <15 years), change from starting gender-affirming hormones to 24 months follow-up. Median (range), g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Start of gender-affirming hormones (C0): 0.20 (0.18 to 0.24) 24-month follow-up (C24): 0.22 (0.19 to 0.27) Statistically significant increase (p≤0.01) z-score (range) Start of gender-affirming hormones (C0): -1.52 (-2.36 to 0.42) 24-month follow-up (C24): Statistically significant increase (p≤0.05) <p>Transfemales (bone age ≥15 years), change from starting gender-affirming hormones to 24 months follow-up. Median (range), g/m³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Start of gender-affirming hormones: 0.22 (0.19 to 0.24) 24-months: 0.23 (0.21 to 0.26) Statistically significant increase (p≤0.05) z-score (range) Start of gender-affirming hormones: -1.15 (-2.21 to 0.08) 24-months: -0.66 (-1.66 to 0.54) 	<p>This study was appraised using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for cohort studies.</p> <p>Domain 1: Selection domain</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) somewhat representative 2. c) no-non exposed cohort 3. a) secure record* 4. b) no <p>Domain 2: Comparability</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. c) cohorts are not comparable on the basis of the design or analysis controlled for confounders <p>Domain 3: Outcome</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. b) record linkage 2. a) yes- 24 month follow-up 3. a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for <p>Overall quality is assessed as poor.</p> <p>Other comments: None</p> <p>Source of funding: grant from Abbott diagnostics</p>