

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Tallahassee Division**

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf
of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, M.D., *in his
official capacity as Florida's Surgeon
General of the Florida Department of
Health*, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF

**JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF (1) PROTECTIVE ORDER AND (2)
HIPAA QUALIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER AND ORDER TO DISCLOSE
PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and other applicable law, the parties to this action jointly move for entry of two orders to facilitate discovery and to govern the use of confidential information and documents. The first is a general protective order and the second is a HIPAA qualified protective order. The parties have discussed

these issues and agreed to the language on the proposed orders attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2.¹

By agreeing to the language of the proposed orders, the parties do not waive any objection they may have to requests for documents or information that would be covered by the proposed orders.

WHEREFORE, the parties jointly request that the Court enter the attached proposed orders.

Respectfully submitted, August 3, 2023.

By: /s/ Thomas E. Redburn, Jr.
Thomas E. Redburn, Jr.
Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Michael Beato
Michael Beato
Counsel for Medical Defendants

¹ This Motion shall apply to Defendants the Boards of Medicine and individual Board Members who are represented by the law firm Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak PLLC only. All other Defendants are not signatories to this Order and thus are not included in any reference to “party,” “parties,” or “Defendants.”

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP

Thomas E. Redburn, Jr.*

NY Bar No. 5822036

Maya Ginsburg*

NY Bar No. 5128152

1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

(212) 262-6700

treburn@lowenstein.com

mginsburg@lowenstein.com

SOUTHERN LEGAL COUNSEL

Simone Chriss

Florida Bar No. 124062

Chelsea Dunn

Florida Bar No. 1013541

1229 NW 12th Avenue

Gainesville, FL 32601

(352) 271-8890

Simone.Chriss@southernlegal.org

Chelsea.Dunn@southernlegal.org

**HUMAN RIGHTS CAMPAIGN
FOUNDATION**

Cynthia Cheng-Wun Weaver*

NY No. 5091848

Jason Starr*

NY No. 5005194

Ami Patel*

CA No. 325647

1640 Rhode Island Avenue NW

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 993-4180

Cynthia.Weaver@hrc.org

Jason.Starr@hrc.org

Ami.Patel@hrc.org

**NATIONAL CENTER FOR
LESBIAN RIGHTS**

Christopher F. Stoll*

CA Bar No. 179046

Kelly Jo Popkin*

NY Bar No. 5698220

National Center for Lesbian
Rights

870 Market Street, Suite 370

San Francisco, CA 94102

Tel. 415-365-1320

cstoll@nclrights.org

kpopkin@nclrights.org

**GLBTQ LEGAL ADVOCATES
& DEFENDERS**

Jennifer Levi*

Chris Erchull*

18 Tremont, Suite 950

Boston, MA 02108

(617) 426-1350

jlevi@glad.org

cerchull@glad.org

* Admitted by *pro hac vice*

Counsel for Plaintiffs

FOR THE MEDICAL DEFENDANTS:

Mohammad O. Jazil (FBN 72556)

Gary V. Perko (FBN 855898)

Michael Beato (FBN 1017715)

HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN

TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIK PLLC

119 S. Monroe St., Suite 500

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 270-5938

mjazil@holtzmanvogel.com

gperko@holtzmanvogel.com

mbeato@holtzmanvogel.com

Counsel for Medical Defendants

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Tallahassee Division**

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf
of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, M.D., *in his
official capacity as Florida's Surgeon
General of the Florida Department of
Health*, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

WHEREAS the Court has granted the motion of Plaintiffs Jane Doe, Susan Doe, Brenda Boe, Bennett Boe, Carla Coe, Christina Coe, Fiona Foe, Freya Foe, Gloria Goe, Gavin Goe, Linda Loe, Lisa Loe, Patricia Poe, and Paul Poe to proceed under pseudonyms, (Doc. 51);

WHEREAS the parties¹ stipulate that there is good cause to protect from public disclosure the actual identities of the parties proceeding by pseudonyms in

¹ This Order shall apply to Defendants the Boards of Medicine and individual Board Members who are represented by the law firm Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak PLLC only. All other Defendants are not signatories to this Order and thus are not included in any reference to "party," "parties," or "Defendants."

this case;

WHEREAS the parties recognize that discovery in the above-captioned matter may require the exchange of highly confidential and private information beyond the identities of the parties and witnesses; and

WHEREAS the parties stipulate to the terms of this protective order;

NOW THEREFORE, the Court orders as follows:

1. The parties may, in good faith, designate in whole or in part as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” any document or electronically stored information (collectively, “document”) that contains Confidential Information. For the purposes of this Protective Order, “Confidential Information” means information produced in this Action (regardless of how it is generated, stored, or maintained) that: (i) Qualifies for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(a) or 26(c); (ii) Constitutes, includes, or is contained in “records” subject to the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a; (iii) Contains personal identifying information, including names of any pseudonymous party (as well as the names of other individuals that would reveal the identity of any pseudonymous party), social security numbers, taxpayer identification numbers, birth dates, addresses, telephone numbers, or financial account information; (iv) Contains any individual’s privacy-protected personal health information; or (v) is other information the confidentiality of which the Producing Party is under a legal duty to protect. Such designation may be made

by stamping “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” on a document or, if a document cannot be stamped, by including “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” in the document file name. If a party inadvertently or mistakenly produces a document without designating it as provided in this order, the producing party may give written notice to the receiving party that the document at issue is “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” and should be treated as such in accordance with this order. In such instance, the producing party shall also provide copies of the material at issue properly designated as required under this order within a reasonable time. Upon receipt of such notice, the receiving party shall treat such information consistent with the redesignation.

2. For the purpose of this Protective Order, “document” shall include, but is not limited to: correspondence; memoranda; insurance information; email; clinician and/or patient information; materials that identify clinicians, and/or patients or potential patients; letters; statements; audio and/or video recordings; photographs; motion pictures; sketches; drawings; notes of discussions with third parties; other notes; business reports; instructions; disclosures; other writings; internet archives; answers to interrogatories; responses to requests for admissions; trial testimony; deposition testimony; and transcripts of trial testimony and depositions, including data, summaries, and compilations derived therefrom.

3. Production or designation of documents pursuant to this protective

order shall not constitute a ruling on discoverability, relevance, admissibility of the documents, or information contained therein.

4. All documents filed with this Court that are designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” or that otherwise contain Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above, shall be filed, if at all, under seal. Upon agreement of the parties, a document containing Confidential Information that has been redacted need not be filed under seal.

5. In all publicly filed documents and at all public hearings and trials before this Court, the pseudonymous parties shall be identified and referred to only by their pseudonyms.

6. If a party seeks to use in any way at any public hearing or trial before this Court a document designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” or otherwise containing Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above, without redacting all Confidential Information, then counsel for the parties shall confer on procedures necessary to protect the confidentiality of that document and the Confidential Information contained in the document. Such document and the Confidential Information contained in the document shall not lose its protected status through such agreed-upon use.

7. Upon request by another party, counsel for a pseudonymous party who will provide live, recorded, or written testimony in this case, shall disclose the

identity of the party to counsel for the requesting party. The identities of persons subject to this Order shall be used only for purposes of this Litigation, and may be shared only as set forth herein.

8. Documents designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” or that contain any Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above, shall be used solely for purposes of this litigation and may not be used for any other purpose, including for any law enforcement purpose.

9. Counsel for the parties may disclose the identities of the pseudonymous parties, as well as documents designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” and any other documents that contain Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above, to counsel for the parties and their employees and agents, including any expert witness retained for this case, but only to the extent necessary to litigate this case and only after the person to whom disclosure will be made has read this order and agreed to be bound by it by signing the undertaking attached to this order as Attachment A.

10. Defendants and their counsel shall not disclose the identities of the pseudonymous Plaintiffs, any documents designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” or any other Confidential Information as defined in paragraph 1 above, to any person authorized to or involved in investigating, prosecuting, or otherwise enforcing any actual, alleged, or possible violation of any law or regulation,

including Senate Bill 254 (“SB 254”), Board of Medicine Rule 64B8-9.019, Fla. Admin. Code, and Board of Osteopathic Medicine Rule 64B15-14.014, Fla. Admin. Code (collectively, the “Medical Bans”), except as required by law other than the Medical Bans or between the counsel of the parties to this Stipulated Protective Order. Nothing in this agreement shall prevent any person authorized to or involved in investigating, prosecuting, or otherwise enforcing any actual, alleged, or possible violation of any law or regulation from using independently developed evidence or information to enforce any law or regulation, including the Medical Bans.

11. Individuals to whom the pseudonymous parties’ identities, any documents designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only,” or any other Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above, are disclosed pursuant to paragraph 7 above shall not further disclose that information to any other person except as allowed by paragraph 7 above.

12. Except as provided in this order, under no circumstances shall any person disclose the identities of the pseudonymous parties, any documents designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only,” or any other Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1 above.

13. If a person discloses the identity of a pseudonymous party, a document designated as “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only,” Confidential Information, as defined in paragraph 1, in a manner not authorized in this order, that person must

immediately and in writing notify the producing party of all pertinent facts relating to such disclosure and, without prejudice to other rights and remedies of the producing party, make every effort to prevent further disclosure by the recipient or by the person to whom the recipient disclosed such information.

14. If any specific issues related to nondisclosure of the pseudonymous parties' identities arise during the course of this case, the parties shall seek to resolve those issues without court intervention. If the parties cannot agree, they shall seek further direction from this Court.

15. The parties agree to be bound by the terms of this order pending entry by the Court, and any violation of its terms shall be subject to the same penalties and sanctions as if this order had been entered by the Court.

16. Within 60 days after final termination of this case (including final disposition of any appeals), all unredacted documents designated as "Confidential" or "Confidential – Attorney's Eyes Only" or containing Confidential Information shall be returned to the producing party or destroyed, at the option of the producing party. At the request of the producing party, a party shall certify in writing that it has undertaken its best efforts to destroy or return such documents and that such documents have been returned or destroyed to the best of its knowledge.

17. This order shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this case, including any appeals, absent prior written consent of all parties or order of this

Court, except that there shall be no restriction on documents that are used as exhibits in Court unless such exhibits were filed under seal.

18. The parties agree that they shall designate as “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only” only such documents, electronically stored information (“ESI”), and other things that truly contain private and highly sensitive information relating to a party or to non-parties. The parties agree that if disputes arise about whether a document or information should be designated as “Confidential,” or about the applicability of this Order, such disputes shall be resolved, if possible, by agreement of the parties of this action, but that the Court will decide any disputes the parties are unable to resolve themselves.

DONE and **ORDERED** August ____, 2023.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Attachment A

Declaration and Agreement to be Bound by Stipulated Protective Order

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Tallahassee Division**

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf
of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, M.D., *in his
official capacity as Florida's Surgeon
General of the Florida Department of
Health*, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF
Hon. Robert L. Hinkle

**DECLARATION AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

I, _____, hereby attest to my understanding that information or documents designated “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only” are provided to me subject to the Stipulated Protective Order and HIPAA Qualified Protective Order (collectively, “Orders”) entered by the Court in the above-captioned litigation (“Litigation”), Dkts. ____ & ____; that I have been given a copy of and have read the Orders; and that I agree to be bound by their terms. I also understand that my execution of this Acknowledgment to the Orders, indicating my agreement to be bound by the Orders, is a prerequisite to my review of any

information or documents designated as “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorneys Eyes Only” pursuant to the Orders.

I further agree that I shall not disclose to others, except in accord with the Orders, any Confidential Information, in any form whatsoever, and that such Confidential Information and the information contained therein may be used only for the purposes authorized by the Orders.

I further agree to return all copies of any documents or information containing Confidential Information I have received to counsel who provided them to me upon completion of the purpose for which they were provided and no later than the conclusion of this Litigation.

I further agree and attest to my understanding that my obligation to honor the confidentiality of such Confidential Information material will continue even after this Litigation concludes.

I further agree and attest to my understanding that, if I fail to abide by the terms of the Orders, I may be subject to sanctions, including contempt of court, for such failure. I agree to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida, for the purposes of any proceedings relating to enforcement of the Orders, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.

I further agree to be bound by and to comply with the terms of the Orders as

soon as I sign this Acknowledgment.

Executed this _____ day of _____, _____.

Signature

Name (Printed)

EXHIBIT 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Tallahassee Division**

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf
of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE,
et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, M.D., *in his
official capacity as Florida's Surgeon
General of the Florida Department of
Health*, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:23-cv-00114-RH-MAF

**[PROPOSED] HIPAA QUALIFIED PROTECTIVE ORDER AND ORDER
TO DISCLOSE PROTECTED HEALTH INFORMATION**

The Court issues this stipulated HIPAA Qualified Protective Order in accordance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”) and the regulations promulgated thereunder, including specifically 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(B) and 164.512(e)(1)(v), to enable the production and limit the use or disclosure of Protected Health Information in this Litigation. This order incorporates the provisions of the Parties’¹ stipulated Protective Order that

¹ This Order shall apply to Defendants the Boards of Medicine and individual Board Members who are represented by the law firm Holtzman Vogel Baran Torchinsky & Josefiak PLLC only. All other Defendants are not signatories to this Order and thus are not included in any reference to “party,” “parties,” or “Defendants.”

governs the designation and use of documents marked “Confidential” or “Confidential – Attorney’s Eyes Only,” which applies to the documents and information that are the subject of this order.

A. DEFINITIONS

As used herein, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

1. “Litigation” means the above-captioned matter and any appeals thereof.
2. “Covered entity” shall have the same definition as set forth in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103.
3. “Protected Health Information” (“PHI”) shall have the same definition as set forth in 45 C.F.R. § 160.103.

B. AUTHORIZATIONS, PROHIBITIONS, AND REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PHI

1. The parties are hereby authorized through discovery to receive, subpoena, and transmit PHI, to the extent that such information is otherwise discoverable and not objectionable, subject to all conditions outlined herein.

Pursuant to this Order, all parties are:

- a. prohibited from using or disclosing PHI for any purpose other than the prosecution and defense of this Litigation; and

- b. required to securely destroy all copies of PHI or to return them to the disclosing entity within forty-five (45) days of the conclusion of this Litigation, including final disposition of any appeals.

2. **Subpoenas**. Based upon this HIPAA Qualified Protective Order and commencing immediately from the date of this Order, covered entities shall COMPLY with, and are hereby AUTHORIZED and ORDERED to use or disclose PHI in response to, any and all subpoenas for records without deposition pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 to which no objection has been timely filed as well as any other subpoenas served upon them at any future time in the course of this Litigation.

3. **Interrogatories, Requests for Production, Requests for Copies, and Expert Communications**. In addition to the foregoing, pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(i) and for purposes of HIPAA compliance, without waiver of any right to prepayment of costs or any other appropriate objection or privilege that may be timely asserted, the attorneys, employees, agents, and designees of each party to this HIPAA Qualified Protective Order are expressly and specifically AUTHORIZED and ORDERED to:

- a. respond to valid Requests for Production or Interrogatories served pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in the Litigation seeking PHI; and

b. respond to valid and timely Requests for Copies served pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in the Litigation for production of documents and things without deposition concerning PHI.

4. **Depositions**. In addition to the foregoing, pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(i) and for purposes of HIPAA compliance, without waiver of any right to the prepayment of costs or any other appropriate objection or privilege that may be timely asserted, each deponent duly noticed for deposition in the Litigation, including but not limited to a party, a fact witness, a records custodian, an expert, or a healthcare provider of any type, is expressly and specifically AUTHORIZED and ORDERED to use or to disclose to the attorneys, agents, employees, and designees of the parties to this HIPAA Qualified Protective Order the PHI of a person that is responsive to deposition questions or a valid subpoena duces tecum at such duly-noticed deposition in the Litigation, subject to the Parties' stipulated Protective Order.

5. **Judicial Proceedings**. In addition to the foregoing, pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(i) and for purposes of compliance with HIPAA, without waiver of any right to the prepayment of costs or any other appropriate objection or privilege that may be timely asserted, all witnesses duly appearing at, or subpoenaed for, any judicial proceeding related to this Litigation, including but not limited to trial, are specifically and expressly AUTHORIZED and ORDERED to use and

disclose the PHI of a person in any form at such judicial proceeding, subject to the Parties' stipulated Protective Order.

6. The parties and their attorneys shall be permitted to use PHI in any manner allowable under the Parties' stipulated Protective Order. Furthermore, consistent with the Protective Order, prior to the disclosure of any PHI, any party or counsel shall obtain a signed acknowledgement, as attached to the Protective Order, from the person to whom the PHI is being disclosed. Counsel providing access to PHI shall retain copies of the executed Acknowledgment(s) and provide them to another party as requested.

7. Nothing in the Order shall permit the counsel for any party, other than the party representing the patient, to engage in ex parte communications with the healthcare provider for a party to this Litigation, or a party's child, concerning the treatment of that party or party's child.

8. Nothing in this Order authorizes counsel to obtain medical records or information through means other than formal discovery requests, subpoenas, depositions, patient authorizations, or other lawful processes.

9. The provisions of this Order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this Litigation. This Order shall remain in full force and effect and each person subject to this Order shall continue to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States

District Court for the Northern District of Florida, for the purposes of enforcement of the terms of this Order.

10. A copy of this Order shall be valid as an original.

DONE and ORDERED this _____ day of _____, _____.

Robert L. Hinkle
U.S. District Judge