

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Lizette Trujillo, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

Cara M Christ, et al.,
Defendants.

No. CV-20-00484-TUC-JAS
ORDER

The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs’ request for a status conference (Doc. 73). Defendants shall file a response by no later than 7/8/21 and Plaintiffs shall file a reply by no later than 7/13/21. If this schedule interferes with any summer vacation/holiday plans of counsel, or they just need more time in light of any other demands on their time, they may file a stipulation and proposed order setting out a different schedule; the stipulation will be approved, but may not be filed right away given vacation/holiday plans within the Court/Clerk’s Office in light of the upcoming 4th of July weekend.


As a general matter, the Court notes that it nearly always adopts stipulations of counsel to adjust deadlines or craft better litigation procedures to suit: the parties’ sensible needs and suggestions (i.e., more practical and efficient ways to proceed in the case - saving time and costs for the parties), and the parties’ schedules (i.e., vacation plans, family/personal/other litigation demands on schedules). As such, the parties are always highly encouraged to confer with each other prior to filing any motions, responses or replies (and to submit stipulations and proposed orders in lieu of those filings to hopefully obviate

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

the need for a motion/response/reply/hearing).

After the Court has reviewed the parties' filings pertaining to Plaintiffs' request (Doc. 73), the Court will issue an Order pertaining to Plaintiffs' request.

Dated this 2nd day of July, 2021.



Honorable James A. Soto
United States District Judge