



would not have objected. Responding Defendants do not object to the stay remaining in effect pending the outcome of mediation. Responding Defendants object only to Jenkins' misrepresentation of the record (even after, in pre-filing communications, Defendants pointed out Jenkins' erroneous reading of dkt. 301), and object to the "stay gymnastics" that Jenkins apparently requests from the Court.

Respectfully Submitted,

Anthony R. Duprey  
DUPREY LAW, PLLC  
11 Main Street, Suite B110F  
Vergennes, VT 05491  
802-870-6563  
anthony@dupreylaw.com

/s/ Horatio G. Mihet  
Horatio G. Mihet\*  
Roger K. Gannam\*  
Daniel J. Schmid\*  
LIBERTY COUNSEL  
P.O. Box 540774  
Orlando, FL 32854  
407-875-1776  
hmihet@LC.org  
rgannam@LC.org  
dschmid@LC.org

\*Admitted pro hac vice

*Attorneys for Defendants Liberty Counsel, Inc. and Rena M. Lindevaldsen*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this May 26, 2022, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be electronically filed with this Court. Service will be effectuated on all counsel of record via this Court's ECF/electronic notice system.

/s/ Horatio G. Mihet  
*Attorney for Defendants  
Liberty Counsel, Inc. and  
Rena M. Lindevaldsen*