

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Russell B Toomey,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
State of Arizona, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. CV-19-00035-TUC-RM (LAB)  
**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is Defendants State of Arizona, Andy Tobin, and Paul Shannon’s Motion for Reconsideration. (Doc. 261.) The Motion requests that the Court reconsider its September 21, 2021 Order compelling production of documents withheld by the State Defendants pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. (Doc. 241.) Defendants aver that reconsideration is warranted based upon new information that came to light during oral argument before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on March 10, 2022. (Doc. 261.)

“No response to a motion for reconsideration and no reply to the response may be filed unless ordered by the Court, but no motion for reconsideration may be granted unless the Court provides an opportunity for response.” LRCiv 7.2(g)(2). The court finds good cause to order a Response and Reply to the pending Motion for Reconsideration.

Accordingly,

....  
....

