	Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 17	6-1 Filed 04/01/21 Page 1 of 3					
1	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Timothy J. Berg (No. 004170)						
2	Amy Abdo (No. 016346) Ryan Curtis (No. 025133)						
3	Shannon Cohan (No. 034429) 2394 E. Camelback Road, Suite 600						
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Telephone: (602) 916-5000 Email: tberg@fennemorelaw.com						
5	Email: amy@fennemorelaw.com						
6	Email: rcurtis@fennemorelaw.com Email: scohan@fennemorelaw.com						
7	Attorneys for Defendants						
8	State of Arizona, Andy Tobin, and Paul Shan						
9		DISTRICT COURT					
10		F ARIZONA					
11	Russell B. Toomey,	No. 4:19-cv-00035					
12	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF RYAN CURTIS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS					
13	V.	STATE OF ARIZONA'S, ANDY TOBIN'S, AND PAUL SHANNON'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S					
14	State of Arizona, <i>et al.</i>	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL					
15	Defendants.						
16							
17							
18		under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C.					
19	§ 1746 and declare as follows:						
20	1. I am a Director at Fennemore Craig, P.C., am licensed to practice law in the						
21	State of Arizona, and am lead counsel for Defendants State of Arizona, Andy Tobin, and						
22	Paul Shannon (collectively, the "State Defendants").						
23	2. I submit this declaration in support of the State Defendants' Response to						
24	Plaintiff's Motion to Compel, filed concurrently.						
25	3. I base this declaration on my personal knowledge.						
26	4. I met and conferred with Plainti	ff's counsel telephonically regarding the State					
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Phoenix							

Defendants' assertion of the deliberative process privilege. Regarding a discussion about
 the deliberative process privilege during a meet and confer on March 3, 2021, Plaintiff's
 counsel stated that unless the State Defendants produced all documents withheld pursuant
 to the deliberative process privilege, Plaintiff would file a motion to compel.

5 5. Plaintiff issued a subpoena to the Arizona Governor's Office. The 6 Governor's Office asserted the executive communications privilege, attorney-client 7 privilege, and common interest privilege over several communications between the 8 Governor's Office and the Arizona Department of Administration ("ADOA"). These 9 include some of the same communications that Plaintiff seeks to compel production of via 10 its Motion.

11

6. Attached as **Exhibit 13** is a true and correct copy of the Governor's Office's privilege log.

13

7.

12

Attached as Exhibit 14 is a true and correct copy of ADOA's 2016 EPO Plan.

14 8. Attached as Exhibit 15 is a true and correct copy of State Defendants'
15 produced document, Bates stamped AZSTATE.151751–AZSTATE.151761, which is also
16 Entry No. 153 on the State Defendants' privilege log.

17 9. The State Defendants have produced over 8,000 documents in this matter,
18 consisting of nearly 250,000 pages.

19 10. ADOA produced all of its internal communications and analyses regarding
20 transgender benefits and the Exclusion, and its communications with each of its four
21 insurance vendors regarding transgender benefits and with several healthcare consulting
22 firms. ADOA also produced meeting minutes from its meetings with insurance vendors
23 and from internal meetings.

24 11. Plaintiff has already deposed four ADOA witnesses: Yvette Medina, Michael
25 Meisner, Marie Isaacson, and Scott Bender. Plaintiff's counsel questioned each witness
26 regarding the reason for the Exclusion.

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

1	12. Plaintiff's counsel has indicated Plaintiff's intention to take the depositions
2	of three additional ADOA witnesses in April 2021: Paul Shannon, Kelly Sharritts and
3	Elizabeth Schafer.
4	13. Plaintiff's counsel has also indicated Plaintiff's intention to take the
5	deposition of Christina Corieri in April 2021.
6	14. Attached as <b>Exhibit 16</b> is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's First Set of
7	Interrogatories to the State Defendants.
8	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
9	EXECUTED this 1st day of April, 2021.
10	
11	By: Ryan Curtis
12	Ryan Curtis
13	18285734
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. Phoenix	

Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 1 of 6

# **EXHIBIT 13**

### Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 2 of 6 Toomey v. State of Arizona, et al.

Document Date	Document Type	Author/Sender	Recipients	Subject	Privilege Assertion
					Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
	Email Correspondence		Mike Liburdi, Matt Devlin, Monica		Communications Privilege; Common
5/11/2015	and Attachments	Gina Relkin	Coury	Public Records Requests	Interest Privilege
5/11/2015	Email Correspondence			i ubile Records Requests	interest i nvinege
6/17/2015	and Attachments	Gerrie Marks	Christina Corieri	Healthcare Plans	Executive Communications Privilege
	Email Correspondence		Christina Corieri, Tom Betlach, Beth Kohler, Monica Coury, Mike Liburdi,		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common
10/14/2015	and Attachment	Gina Relkin	Matt Devlin	Affordable Care Act	Interest Privilege
10/15/2015	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Gina Relkin, Tom Betlach, Beth Kohler, Monica Coury, Mike Liburdi, Matt Devlin	Affordable Care Act	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/15/2015	Email Correspondence	Gina Relkin	Christina Corieri, Tom Betlach, Beth Kohler, Monica Coury, Mike Liburdi, Matt Devlin	Affordable Care Act	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
6/8/2016	Email Correspondence	Dawn Northup	John Fry, Nicole Ong Colyer, Mike Liburdi	Recent Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
6/8/2016	Email Correspondence	Nicole Ong Colyer	Dawn Northup, John Fry, Mike Liburdi	Recent Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
8/26/2016	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Dominic Draye	Mike Liburdi	Potential Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
9/1/2016	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Kathryn Hackett King	Christina Corieri	Potential Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
9/12/2016	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Kathryn Hackett King	Mike Liburdi	Potential Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2016	Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Daniel Ruiz, Christina Corieri	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Monica Coury, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Daniel Scarpinato, Mike Liburdi	Media Response	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege

### Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 3 of 6 Toomey v. State of Arizona, et al.

Document Date	Document Type	Author/Sender	Recipients	Subject	Privilege Assertion
10/12/2016	6 Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Christina Corieri	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2010		Wollica Coury		Media Response	Executive Communications I rivilege
					Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/12/2016	6 Email Correspondence	Mike Liburdi	Daniel Scarpinato, Christina Corieri	Media Response	Communications Privilege
			Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz, Kathryn		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/12/2016	6 Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Hackett King, Matt Devlin, Beth Kohler	Media Response	Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
10/12/2010		Monica Coury	Komer	Media Response	Interest Filvnege
			Monica Coury, Christina Corieri, Daniel	1	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
			Ruiz, Matt Devlin, Kathryn Hackett		Communications Privilege; Common
10/12/2016	6 Email Correspondence	Beth Kohler	King	Media Response	Interest Privilege
10/12/2016	6 Email Correspondence	Kathryn Hackett King	Mike Liburdi, Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2010		Ratinyii Hackett King		hedia Response	Communications i nvilege
			Kathryn Hackett King, Mike Liburdi,		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/12/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Daniel Ruiz	Christina Corieri, Daniel Scarpinato	Media Response	Communications Privilege
10/12/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Mike Liburdi	Daniel Ruiz, Christina Corieri, Daniel Scarpinato, Kathryn Hackett King	Media Response	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/12/2010		Mike Liburai	Scarpinato, Kaunyn Hackett King	Media Response	Communications Privilège
			Mike Liburdi, Christina Corieri, Daniel		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Kathryn Hackett King	Ruiz, Daniel Scarpinato	Media Response	Communications Privilege
10/12/2014			Mike Liburdi, Kathryn Hackett King,		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Daniel Scarpinato	Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Communications Privilege
			Mike Liburdi, Kathryn Hackett King,		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Daniel Ruiz	Daniel Scarpinato, Christina Corieri	Media Response	Communications Privilege
10/10/000			Mike Liburdi, Kathryn Hackett King,		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Daniel Scarpinato, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Communications Privilege
					Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Danny Seiden	Media Response	Communications Privilege
10/42/2014		Duri 1 Carris	Mike Liburdi, Kathryn Hackett King,	M. P. D.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
10/13/2010	6 Email Correspondence	Daniel Scarpinato	Daniel Ruiz, Christina Corieri	Media Response	Communications Privilege

### Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 4 of 6 Toomey v. State of Arizona, et al.

Document Date	Document Type	Author/Sender	Recipients	Subject	Privilege Assertion
10/13/2016	Email Correspondence	Daniel Ruiz	Beth Kohler, Monica Coury, Christina Corieri, Kathryn Hackett King, Matt Devlin	Media Response	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
10/13/2016	Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Daniel Ruiz, Beth Kohler, Christina Corieri, Kathryn Hackett King, Matt Devlin	Media Response	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
10/14/2016	Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/14/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Monica Coury, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/14/2016	Email Correspondence	Daniel Ruiz	Monica Coury, Christina Corieri	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/14/2016	Email Correspondence	Monica Coury	Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
10/14/2016	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Dominic Draye	Mike Liburdi	Potential Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/18/2016	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Michael Bailey	Mike Liburdi	Recent Litigation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
11/25/2016	Email Correspondence	Marie Isaacson	Christina Corieri, Nicole Ong Colyer	Affordable Care Act	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
11/28/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Mike Liburdi	Affordable Care Act	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
11/28/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Marie Isaacson, Nicole Ong Colyer	Affordable Care Act	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege; Common Interest Privilege
11/28/2016	Email Correspondence	Marie Isaacson	Christina Corieri	Affordable Care Act	Executive Communications Privilege
12/14/2016	Email Correspondence	Marie Isaacson	Christina Corieri	Affordable Care Act	Executive Communications Privilege
12/15/2016	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Marie Isaacson	Affordable Care Act	Executive Communications Privilege

### Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 5 of 6 Toomey v. State of Arizona, et al.

Document Date	Document Type	Author/Sender	Recipients	Subject	Privilege Assertion
					Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
			Christina Corieri, Scott Bender, Nicole		Communications Privilege; Common
12/15/2016	Email Correspondence	Marie Isaacson	Ong Colyer	Affordable Care Act	Interest Privilege
	Email Correspondence				Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
1/1/2017	and Attachment	Rusty Crandell	Mike Liburdi, Dominic Draye	Recent Litigation	Communications Privilege
1 /1 = /2015	Email Correspondence				
1/17/2017	and Attachment	Art Harding	Christina Corieri, Tim Roemer	Department of Corrections	Executive Communications Privilege
1/10/2017		C1 $i$ $i$ $i$ $i$ $i$ $1$ $i$ $M$ $i$ $i$ $i$ $1$			
1/18/201/	Email Correspondence	Christopher Vinyard	Christina Corieri	Administrative Code	Executive Communications Privilege
1/10/2017	Email Correspondence	Beth Kohler	Christina Corieri	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
1/19/2017	Estitati Correspondence	Detti Komei		Media Response	Executive Communications Filvilege
1/19/2017	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Katie Fischer, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
1/17/2017	Email Correspondence	Chiristina Conen	Rate Tischer, Daniel Ruiz	incuta response	
1/19/2017	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Beth Kohler	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
1/12/2017					
1/19/2017	Email Correspondence	Beth Kohler	Christina Corieri, Laura Raymond	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1/19/2017	Email Correspondence	Beth Kohler	Christina Corieri, Daniel Ruiz	Media Response	Executive Communications Privilege
	1		Christina Corieri, Andrew Wilder, Beth		
1/23/2017	Email Correspondence	Daniel Ruiz	Kohler	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
			Gretchen Conger, Christina Corieri,		
1/23/2017	Email Correspondence	Danny Seiden	Katie Fischer	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
			Daniel Seiden, Gretchen Conger, Katie		
1/23/2017	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Fischer	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
1/24/2017	Email Correspondence	Aaron Favreau	Christina Corieri	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
1/24/2017	Email Correspondence	Christina Corieri	Aaron Favreau	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
1 /05 /58 /5					
1/25/2017	Email Correspondence	Tim Roemer	Christina Corieri	Proposed Legislation	Executive Communications Privilege
2/6/2010	Email Correspondence		Christina Corieri		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
2/0/2019	and Attachment	Nicole Colyer		Toomey v. State of AZ, et al.	Communications Privilege
			Daniel Scarpinato, Gretchen Conger, Patrick Ptak, Daniel Ruiz, Gilbert		
	Email Correspondence		Davidson, Katie Fischer, Christina		Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive
6/26/2010	and Attachment	Anni Foster	Corieri	Toomey v. State of AZ, et al.	Communications Privilege
0/20/2019		1 mm roster	Conch	Toomey v. State of AZ, et al.	Communications ritvilege

### Case 4:19-cv-00035-RM-LAB Document 176-2 Filed 04/01/21 Page 6 of 6 Toomey v. State of Arizona, et al.

# re: Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

Document Date	Document Type	Author/Sender	Recipients	Subject	Privilege Assertion
	Email Correspondence and Attachment	Nicole Colyer	Christina Corieri, Anni Foster	Toomey v. State of AZ, et al.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
10/1/2019		Tyleole Golyer		Toomey v. blate of 112, et al.	Communications i fivilege
8/6/2020	Email Correspondence	Jami Snyder	Christina Corieri	Healthcare Coverage	Executive Communications Privilege
8/6/2020	Email Correspondence	Anni Foster	Christina Corieri	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Executive Communications Privilege
8/6/2020	Email Correspondence	Jami Snyder	Christina Corieri	Administrative Code	Executive Communications Privilege
	Email Correspondence and Attachments	Matthew Devlin	Anni Foster, Christina Corieri, Nicole Sornsin	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Attorney Work Product; Common Interest Privilege
9/28/2020	Email Correspondence	Anni Foster	Matthew Devlin, Christina Corieri, Nicole Sornsin	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Attorney Work Product; Common Interest Privilege
9/28/2020	Email Correspondence	Matthew Devlin	Anni Foster, Christina Corieri, Nicole Sornsin	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Attorney Work Product; Common Interest Privilege
	Email Correspondence	Nicole Sornsin	Matthew Devlin, Kimberly Suciu, Anni Foster, Christina Corieri	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Attorney Work Product; Common Interest Privilege
9/28/2020	Email Correspondence	Anni Foster	Matthew Devlin, Christina Corieri, Nicole Sornsin	DH v Snyder	Attorney-Client Privilege; Attorney Work Product; Common Interest Privilege