

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

F.V.,

Plaintiff,

v.

RICHARD ARMSTRONG, ET AL,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-000170-CWD

**LITIGATION ORDER AND NOTICE
OF TELEPHONIC SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE**

THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. Plaintiff(s) must serve this Order and a copy of Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order, Dkt. 4, on all parties who have not entered an appearance via PACER to date.

Within thirty days of the filing of the Complaint, the Plaintiff(s) must file with the Court a status report regarding service of the summons and complaint pursuant to [Dist. Idaho L. Rule 4.1](#).¹ Alternatively, Plaintiff(s) may file proof of service in lieu of the status report otherwise required.

2. Pursuant to [Dist. Idaho L. Rule 16.1](#), the parties must meet and determine:

A. A litigation plan and a discovery plan, including the issues related to discovery of electronically stored information, if either party contemplates such

¹ The Court's local rules may be found at the following URL address:
http://id.uscourts.gov/clerks/rules_orders/Civil_Local_Rules.cfm

discovery. The Court's litigation plan form and the discovery plan form may be found on the District Court's website, www.id.uscourts.gov, under [Forms](#).²

B. If the case is suitable for the Court's alternative dispute resolution (ADR) program, which type of ADR process is best suited to the specific circumstances of the case, and when the most appropriate time would be for the ADR session to be held. [Dist. Idaho L. Rule 16.4](#).

3. Initial disclosures must be made by the parties pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26.1 and Dist. Idaho L. Rule 16.1.

4. If this matter is assigned to a magistrate judge, Counsel must review and be familiar with [Dist. Idaho L. Rule 73.1](#) regarding the consent process for proceeding before a magistrate judge. Additional Information about the consent process may be found on the [Court's website](#).³

5. Unless the Plaintiff contacts the Courtroom Deputy, Amy Tate, and requests a different date and time, the Court will conduct a **telephonic scheduling conference** on **June 20, 2017**, at **4:00 PM** mountain time, for purpose of confirming the deadlines proposed by the parties in the joint Litigation Plan.

6. **Plaintiff** must initiate the conference call by placing it to (208) 334-9945 and must have all appropriate parties on the line.

² The URL address to access the Court's forms may be found here:
http://id.uscourts.gov/district/forms_fees_rules/Civil_Forms.cfm

³ Information about the consent process may be found at the following URL address:
http://www.id.uscourts.gov/district/judges/Magistrate_Judge_Consent.cfm

7. On or before **June 13, 2017**, the parties must file with the Court the joint Litigation Plan and Discovery Plan.



DATED: April 21, 2017

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "C. Dale". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Honorable Candy W. Dale
United States Magistrate Judge