Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 57 PageID# 13029

# EXHIBIT 33

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 2 of 57 PageID# 13 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 4 5 x 6 NICHOLAS HARRISON, et al., : 7 Plaintiffs, : 8 : Case No. VS. 9 PATRICK M. SHANAHAN, et al., : 1:18-cv-641-LMB-IDD 10 Defendants. : 11 - - - X 12 RICHARD ROE, et al., : 13 Plaintiffs, : 14 vs. : Case No. 15 PATRICK M. SHANAHAN, et al., : 1:18-cv-1565-LMB-IDD 16 Defendants. : 17 - X 18 Washington, D.C. 19 Tuesday, May 7, 2019 20 Deposition of WILLIAM DAVID HARDY, M.D., a witness herein, called for examination by counsel for 21 22 the Defendants in the above-entitled matter, pursuant to notice, the witness being duly sworn by KAREN 23 24 YOUNG, a Notary Public in and for the District of 25 Columbia, taken at the offices of Winston & Strawn

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 3 of 57 PageID# 13031

1	LLP, 1700 K Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C., at
2	9:19 a.m. on Tuesday, May 7, 2019, and the
3	proceedings being taken down by stenotype and
4	transcribed by KAREN YOUNG.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 4 of 57 PageID# 13032 Page 3

```
APPEARANCES:
 1
         On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 2
 3
                SCOTT A. SCHOETTES, ESQ.
 4
                Lambda Legal
                 105 West Adams, Suite 2600
 5
                Chicago, Illinois 60603-6303
 6
 7
                 SSCHOETTES@LAMBDALEGAL.ORG
 8
                 (312) 663-4413
 9
         On Behalf of the Defendants:
10
11
                REBECCA CUTRI-KOHART, ESQ.
12
                U.S. Department of Justice
13
                Federal Programs Branch
14
                1100 L Street, Northwest
15
                Washington, D.C. 20005
16
                rebecca.cutri-kohart@usdoj.gov
17
                 (202) 514-0265
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 5 of 57 PageID# 13033 Washington, DC

	Washington, DC	1 450 -
1	CONTENTS	
2	THE WITNESS:	
3	WILLIAM DAVID HARDY, M.D.	
4	By Ms. Cutri-Kohart	6
5	By Mr. Schoettes	232
6	By Ms. Cutri-Kohart	257
7	By Mr. Schoettes	266
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

William David Hardy M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 6 of 57 PageID# 13034

1		EXHIBITS	
2	HARDY	EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO.	
3	1:	Subpoena, Harrison vs. Shanahan	9
4	2:	Subpoena, Roe vs. Shanahan	9
5	3:	Expert Report of W. David Hardy, M.D	22
6	4:	Plaintiff's Expert Rebuttal Report of W.	
7		David Hardy, M.D	23
8	5:	AIDS Info, Guidelines for the Use of	
9		Antiretroviral Agents in Adults and	
10		Adolescents Living with HIV	99
11	6:	Paper by Eisinger et al	131
12	7:	Paper by Colby et al	136
13	8:	Chapter 127 from Textbook, by Siddiqi and	
14		Koralnik	189
15	9:	Paper by Moyo et al	221
16	10:	Paper by Nash et al	225
17	11:	HIV Update: Current Status, Policy &	
18		Deployment Considerations	244
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

William David Hardy M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 7 of 57 PageID# 1 at any time for any reason, just tell me or your attorney or Scott. We will finish your answer. 2 Τf 3 I've already asked a question and once your answer is 4 complete, we'll talk about when to have a break. 5 Does that work for you? 6 Α. Yes. Sometimes it happens you give an answer and 7 Q. 8 you think you've answered it completely but later on 9 you remember there's some additional information or 10 you perhaps -- you think some clarification is in 11 order. If that happens, please tell us at any time 12 and if you'd like to add something to an earlier 13 answer, and we'll try to take care of it right away 14 while it's still on your mind. Does that make sense? 15 Α. Yes. 16 In addition, sometimes it occurs to you Ο. 17 that your previous answer might not have been 18 entirely accurate. Kind of the same thing, if that 19 happens, just tell us and we will take the time to 20 make the necessary corrections to your answer, okay?

21 Α. Okay.

Now, I've got a few other preliminary 22 Q. 23 matters to take care of. This is kind of pro forma 24 in a deposition, but I want to make sure that you're 25 fully capable of testifying today. Are you taking

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 8 of 57 PageID# 13036 Page 13

any medications or drugs of any kind that might make 1 it difficult for you to either understand my 2 3 questions or answer truthfully today? 4 Α. No. 5 Have you had anything alcoholic to drink in Q. 6 the last eight hours? 7 Not in the last 16 years. Α. 8 Okay. Pretty competent in that one. Ο. Is 9 there any other reason that you can think of why you 10 would not be able to answer my questions fully and accurately? 11 12 Α. No. 13 And as I mentioned before, there's some Ο. 14 water and coffee and soda over there. If you want, 15 let me know if you need to take a break to get 16 anything, and I'm happy to oblige, okay? 17 Α. Yes, okay. Okay, so let's talk about your involvement 18 Q. 19 in this case. When were you first retained as an 20 expert in the Harrison or in the Roe cases? I was retained officially -- it's in one of 21 Α. 22 the reports because it was an addendum to one of the 23 reports that we created when I became a -- a expert witness. 24 I don't remember the exact date, but it was 25 sometime in April this year.

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9. Filed 06/03/20 Page 9 of 57 PageID#13037 Page 14

1	Q. And were you involved in these cases before
2	you were officially retained?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. When did your involvement begin?
5	A. Again, probably in late sometime in
6	March of this year.
7	Q. March of 2019?
8	A. March of 2019.
9	Q. You weren't involved at all before March of
10	2019?
11	A. No.
12	Q. How were you contacted to become involved
13	in this case?
14	A. Mr. Scott Schoettes contacted me by e-mail.
15	Q. And what's what are the terms of your
16	engagement as an expert?
17	A. Again, this was a subject of one of the
18	addendum that we sent in I know. I think I am being
19	remunerated at a rate of \$150 an hour for depositions
20	and other kinds of work, or I think there's a daily
21	rate of \$1,500 for courtroom days.
22	Q. Is there a duration of your retainer? Does
23	it end after a certain day or is it continuous
24	through the case?
25	A. As far as I know, it's continuous through

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 57 PageID# 13038 Washington, DC

replication cycle. 1 Do you know how many FDA-approved pill 2 Q. 3 regimens there are? 4 Α. Oh, my God. I've kind of lost count. I've lost count probably -- I'm going to say there are 5 6 well over 35 now. Are there pill regimens that require more 7 Q. 8 than one pill a day? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Why would someone be on those regimens Ο. instead of a one pill a day regimen? 11 12 Α. That's a good question. Many people ask 13 themselves that every day in my profession. As far 14 as what people start medication on now, the -- the 15 preponderance of patients start on one pill or at 16 most two pills once a day. The persons who are --17 and that is becoming less and less all the time. So I would say probably 90 percent of people who start 18 treatment these days start on one pill. 19 20 Those who didn't start on one pill are being -- there's a great interest in offering those 21 22 persons one pill with newer coformulated medications. 23 So part of it is that in the world of HIV, 24 one is -- is loathe to change a regimen that is 25 working well and being well tolerated, but one of the

Alderson Court Reporting

· ·

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 11 of 57 PageID# 13039 Page 64

very allowable and favorable things to do is to look 1 at a patient's regimen and say we could simplify this 2 to one pill a day and ask the patient if that would 3 4 be something they would like to happen, and if they 5 can, then we can offer them a prescription for a different pill that oftentimes may contain all the 6 7 medications someone's taking by taking multiple pills 8 maybe even multiple times a day, but we can actually 9 give them, offer them a much simpler once-a-day 10 regimen.

11 If that can happen, I for one do that 12 frequently to simplify a patient's life. It's 13 usually done -- I should say it's always done in the 14 -- in my practice in concert with the patient's agreement to do this because even though I think it 15 16 would be a great idea, if the person doesn't think 17 it's a good idea, it's not going to work. 18 So -- and I've learned over time of course that the people get attached to their pills in 19

different ways. They have different relationships with their pills that have to be learned -- learned and respected. So that's why someone may not be on one, is because they could be on a older regimen that was started when the single-tablet regimens were not available, because we get one of these new ones about

#### Alderson Court Reporting

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 57 PageID# 13040 # 13040

1 two or three times a year, and so that's why people may not be on single-tablet regimens. 2 3 Q. So you talked a little bit about reasons 4 the patient might not want to switch for various 5 personal reasons. Are there medical reasons why you 6 would not want to switch from -- switch them to a one 7 pill a day regimen? 8 Α. There can be. There can be, but those 9 reasons are -- are interestingly becoming less and 10 less all the time. The two single-tablet regimens that I use most frequently now and try to switch 11 12 patients as a -- as a starting point for therapy or 13 switch patients to as a change have very, very few 14 side effects, have very, very few drug interactions, 15 and so they're really what I would call -- at this 16 point in time have really created a pinnacle of where we have been able to offer people very simple, very 17 well tolerated, very -- regimens that have very 18 little drug-drug interaction. So the reasons for not 19 20 being able to put someone on a single-tablet regimen are getting less and less and less all the time. 21 22 Ο. What -- what are those reasons though? 23 Α. Rarely -- God, it's hard to think of now. 24 For example, one of our regimens that is commonly used today which is a combination of three 25

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 57 PageID#13041

1	A. Patient population that I have seen here in
2	D.C. and continue to see is a rather complex patient
3	population, meaning that not every single patient in
4	the in the in every in every not every
5	answering your question, probably about 50 percent of
6	my patients are on are on a single-tablet regimen,
7	and increasingly more so all the time.

Q. And you were about to explain that your population is more complex. Why is the other 50 percent not on a single-tablet regimen?

A. Many of the patients that I deal with are individuals who have had discontinuous medical care for a variety of reasons, either because they didn't have insurance or they lost insurance, and so the ability for -- their access to continuous medical care and continuous medications has been such that they would take the medication, stop the medication.

18 While they were off the medication, resistance may have developed, especially if they 19 20 were on older medication, therefore, the virus that we're dealing with with them has more resistance 21 because of discontinuous therapy, either because they 22 lost that access, they went to jail and lost access, 23 or they just stopped taking the medication for 24 25 reasons that can happen for lots of different things.

## Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

So discontinuous therapy is associated in some cases, not every case, with resistance, and when resistance occurs in a virus, we have to take that into account for the next regimen that we use.

5 The places that I have worked have been 6 taking care of individuals who classically have been 7 termed individuals who have -- who have encountered 8 challenges to health care, and therefore, their 9 regimens tend to be -- their viruses tend to be more 10 complex, more complicated, and therefore, their 11 regimens have to be a bit more like that as well 12 because of the fact the clinics I've worked in 13 usually care for people who do not have a great 14 history of continuous medical care.

15 I think in your next paragraph, Q. Okay. 16 paragraph 16, if you want to turn the page, you say 17 after beginning an ART regimen, within four to six 18 weeks, most people's HIV will become virally 19 suppressed, as defined as 200 -- less than 200 copies 20 of the virus per millimeter -- milliliter, excuse me, of blood; is that correct? 21 22 Α. Correct. 23 Ο. What does this mean in layman's terms?

A. What does it mean? What I was referring to

25 here specifically was using the guideline HHS and IAS

Alderson Court Reporting

# William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 57 PageID# 13043

1 USA quideline recommended medications. One of the 2 reasons that the integrase class has been so highly 3 recommended is because it drops viral load the fastest of any of our classes of antiretroviral 4 5 medication such that we have seen in -- in multiple studies that by four weeks, upwards of 65 to 70 6 7 percent of patients' virus has reached 8 undetectability.

9 As they continue to take the medication, 10 usually by 12 weeks, 95 percent -- 90 to 95 percent 11 of individuals taking the medication will have 12 suppressed virus. That used to take as long as 24 13 weeks for that to happen. With integrase inhibitors, 14 that suppression across the board in more people 15 happens very quickly, so at four weeks, it's about 80 16 percent. By six weeks, it's close to -- by four 17 weeks, it's about 70 percent. By six weeks, it's 18 about 80 percent, and by 12 weeks, it's usually about 19 90 percent. 20 So this opinion is based on integrase Ο. inhibitor --21 22 Α. Yes. 23 -- class of ART? 0. 24 Α. This is integrase inhibitor ART. 25 Q. Okay, and then -- but even though there --

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 57 PageID#13044

> these percentages become virally suppressed in four to six weeks, what is recommended regarding testing their viral load again?

4 Α. Usually we test a viral load several -between four to six weeks after starting therapy. 5 That's our first check, to make sure the medication, 6 7 number one, is being taken. It's rare for -- rare to 8 nonexistent that the medication will not work even 9 though it's being taken. So it's a double-check that 10 we have a patient come back to, number one, make sure they're taking the medication, or if they encountered 11 12 any side effect or problem or access issue or 13 whatever, if their dog ate their food -- medication, 14 who knows.

15 So we check to make sure the medication actually was picked up, taken, is in the person's 16 body, and our best way of doing that is checking 17 18 their viral load in four to six weeks. If that is --19 if that is at undetectable or is near undetectable, 20 what I generally do and what is usually recommended is the next visit is somewhere about three months 21 22 later, and that one is -- has a very high probability on integrase inhibitor of being undetectable. 23 24 The first one should be probably less than 25 a thousand at four to six weeks, and the second one

#### 1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

at, say, probably -- no, let's say four plus another three, which would be seven weeks -- four plus three, yeah, seven weeks, would be undetectable. Not seven weeks. Four weeks plus three months, sorry, three months. Twelve weeks -- 16 weeks would be undetectable.

Q. And what's the standard of care to test8 after that?

Usually about every four months for the 9 Α. 10 first two years, and because if undetected -- if -if there is a consistent -- say 16 weeks is 11 12 undetectable, 20 weeks is undetectable, 24 weeks is 13 undetectable, many practitioners will start to 14 stretch out that monitoring out to six months at first, and if there's a couple undetectable at six 15 16 months and there is a good feeling that the 17 continuation of the patient's therapy, primarily the 18 continuation of the access to therapy is going to be 19 very high and very stable, then some practitioners 20 will be able to stretch that out to once a year. 21 After about two years of every six-month 22 indetectables, they can stretch it out to even longer 23 if they feel like that there has been a very stable 24 and continuous treatment experience. 25 Q. Let's talk about that first two-year

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

period. Why does the standard of care require more
 frequent testing?

3 Α. The main reason is to make sure it's working, because if, for example -- if, for example, 4 5 medication was started and the patient didn't come 6 back for six months, what could potentially happen, 7 although it doesn't happen very often, is if the 8 patients, for example, became non-adherent during 9 that six months, and usually that first six months is 10 a time the patient is learning to take a pill every day because in large part -- not in all part, but in 11 12 large part, we are most commonly diagnosing people 13 who are starting therapy under the age of 30, and 14 many people under the age of 30 have never taken 15 medications in their entire life on a regular basis, 16 and so HIV's the first medication that they start 17 taking and are told they have to take every day without exception for a long time. 18

19 So there's a real learning curve that 20 people have to go through in terms of sort of getting 21 their head around how do I remember to take this pill 22 every single day? And so not only is there a reason 23 to have them come back at four to six weeks just to 24 make sure that their viral load's going down, but 25 also to talk to them about what technique, what

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 19 of 57 PageID#13047 lifestyle changes they have incorporated into their 1 life to remember to take that pill every day, because 2 3 that's the learning curve that's so important. 4 And to tell you the truth, the physician 5 does very little of that these days. The persons who 6 actually do that are medical cases managers, nurses, 7 adherence counselors, people who actually work in the 8 field who might be positive themselves who offer 9 their own experience to this new patient and says 10 here, here's what I do. 11 So doctors prescribe, doctors check and 12 watch viral loads, but a lot of the more socio --13 what do I call it? Behavioral work is actually now 14 done in large part by people who work in concert with the prescriber to help the person learn to take the 15 16 medications, because we learned early on that just 17 having the patient come in and hear from the doctor 18 and walk out the door is never enough, is never 19 enough, because this not a ten-day or 14-day course 20 of antibiotics, which would probably work if -- even

if they got half of it in their body. This is a 21 22 situation where we expect the adherence, number one, 23 to be good. At least 85 percent is what we're 24 looking for, and long term. So we're really trying 25 to do -- instill a long-term behavioral change in

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

these people who become HIV positive. 1 2 Also in this paragraph, you talk about Ο. consistent adherence leads to a CD4 T cell count 3 4 rebound. Does the -- the CD4 cell count of every 5 person taking this therapy rebound? 6 Α. Good question. I would say in my 7 experience, yes. I've not had a patient -- other 8 than the ones that die from opportunistic infections 9 because they got treated too late, that one percent, 10 I've had -- I've been amazingly surprised that patients who even come in to start seeing me who have 11 12 initially five T cells, as long as they -- in concert 13 with them taking the medication and bringing their 14 viral load down consistently undetectable, that their 15 T cells even starting that low will build. It takes 16 -- it may take a longer period of time, but they will build even starting as low as five T cells, and 17 18 clinical trials have shown the same thing. It takes longer if you're starting lower, but it will actually 19 20 What is key here is getting the virus and occur. keeping the virus undetectable. 21 And by a longer period of time, what do you 22 Ο. 23 mean by that? 24 The period of time that T cells -- it Α. 25 depends on where a T cell count is starting. Our

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 21 of 57 PageID# 13049

1	goal of the T cell counts are to get them over 500.
2	500 is considered to be the normal range. 500 up are
3	in the normal range. So if someone is starting with
4	400, they'll be in the normal range probably within
5	six months. If someone's starting at 200, it may
6	take a year. If someone's starting at a hundred, it
7	may take a year and a half. If someone is starting
8	at six T cells, it may take two years.
9	Q. I think we've talked about this already,
10	but you state let's see. It goes from virally
11	suppressed to shortly after, undetectable. What is
12	that time range that you're referring to in here?
13	A. Let me just say that again.
14	Q. Yeah, between virally suppressed and
15	undetectable.
16	A. Okay, what paragraph are you
17	Q. Still in 16.
18	A. Okay.
19	Q. The second sentence, you state that you go
20	from virally suppressed, and shortly after that
21	A. Oh.
22	Q. It becomes undetectable.
23	A. I guess what I was kind of referring to
24	there is that well, virally suppressed defined at
25	less than 200 copies. The definition of what is

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

www. Alderson Reporting. com

considered to be undetectable has changed over time as our assays to be able to measure HIV in the blood have gotten more and more sensitive. There was a time when less than 200 was in fact undetectable, but since that time, better tests have come along that have been able to measure virus down as low as 50, 40 and 20 copies of virus per drop of blood.

8 So we consider less than 200 to be virally 9 suppressed, and that's primarily coming from research 10 studies that have documented that there's really no 11 difference between less than 200 and less than 50, 12 but in clinical practice, what patients and treating practitioners shoot for is not less than 200, because 13 14 that test is no longer available and they can't even What they shoot for is less than 50, less 15 order it. 16 than 40 or less than 20, depending upon what test 17 they are using, which is all of course dictated by the financing of medicine and which group they're in, 18 19 but less than 50, less than 40 or less than 20 is 20 really probably not different at all, but those have really become what is considered to be undetectable. 21 22 We know that less than 200 is in fact virally 23 suppressed, but what we really call undetectable 24 technically now is less than 50, less than 40 or less 25 than 200 -- less than 20.

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 23 of 57 PageID#13051 Washington, DC

tell, yeah. Currently what we're seeing is that 1 2 long-term detectability is really depending upon several issues, but it's very simply the fact the 3 4 patient who has committed to take the pill every day. 5 Access to the pill is another important part of this because even though the patient wants to take the 6 7 pill every day and can take the pill every day, if the patient doesn't have access to the pill, that's a 8 9 problem. So it's really, you know, the issue of a 10 patient being and remaining adherent to taking the 11 pill every day, number one. Number two, having 12 access to that pill.

13 Q. Can you define what you mean by consistent 14 adherence?

15 Daily. Daily, but I'll say at least 85 Α. 16 What studies have shown is that even with percent. 17 -- with our integrase inhibitors, we know that if 18 even 85 percent adherence is reached, taking 85 percent of the pills over, say, a three-month period, 19 20 that -- that undetectability will be preserved, and 21 that's kind of what I would call consistent, at least 22 85 percent.

Q. Okay. I think in the -- yeah, in paragraph 17, if you want to turn to paragraph 17 --A. Uh-huh.

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 24 of 57 PageID# 13052 Page 84

> Q. You state there factors such as lack of consistent access to health care, which we've talked about, unstable housing, and food insecurity as factors that make adherence more difficult; is that correct?

6 A. True.

7 What's the basis for your opinion on this? 0. 8 Α. Well, the basis for this have been studies 9 which have -- which have sought out reasons that 10 patients were asked why they became undetectable -- I 11 mean to why they became detectable again, because one of the -- ever since adherence was identified as 12 13 being a critical issue in keeping virus suppressed, 14 the -- a tremendous amount of research has been done 15 to investigate the reasons why patients who were 16 undetectable became un -- were undetectable became 17 detectable.

18 So these are the reasons that have usually 19 popped up when patients were interviewed in clinical 20 research studies to say your -- you were doing great, but what happened? It was because I got kicked out 21 of my house, I didn't have any place to live, I 22 23 didn't have -- I was looking -- looking for a place to live, I hadn't eaten in three days and any money I 24 got I was spending on food, not buying my 25

# Alderson Court Reporting

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

medications, things like that. 1 2 Can you explain just in a little bit more 0. detail beyond that other circumstances that would 3 4 create these types of issues? 5 MR. SCHOETTES: Objection, vague. You can 6 answer. 7 The ones I would say are losing a job, Α. 8 losing a home, losing a place to live, I'd say, not 9 being able to have access to food. Losing insurance 10 has been one that's been a problem for many people. 11 Losing the medication somehow, having medication and 12 then having it whisked away for one reason or 13 another. Sometimes pharmacies will give them new 14 medication, which isn't always -- which is not always 15 -- which is -- remedies that problem very quickly, 16 but that may depend upon their insurance company. 17 Being put in jail. That's one that has occurred with 18 many of my patients. Once they get thrown in jail, 19 they lose -- they -- medications are usually taken 20 away from them and not represcribed until they leave -- leave the jail, or -- yeah, those are the main 21 22 ones that I've encountered. 23 0. Would you consider living in a tent 24 unstable housing? 25 Α. No.

do. 1 If, for example, they find themselves in a 2 3 situation where they are about to run out of 4 medication and they have, say, for example, five 5 pills left, and they think well, I'm going to make 6 these last for ten days. I'll take one today, none 7 tomorrow, one the next day. We say don't do that. 8 Take it every day as -- as directed, and then when 9 you run out, you run out. 10 A -- a clean stop is the best way to stop 11 HIV medications, because in that way, what happens is 12 is the levels of the medication drop quickly, and we 13 know that that is not associated with viral 14 resistance for some reason. It's been done many times and studied many times. So we tell patients if 15 16 you run out or you find yourself near the end of your 17 bottle, just run out and then come in and tell --18 start again because that's the way we train people to avoid resistance. 19 20 You mentioned when you first started Ο. talking that the clinical studies involved a couple 21 22 of classes of drugs. Trying to remember which ones. 23 Α. Integrase. 24 Right. Q. 25 Α. And boosted protease.

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 27 of 57 PageID# 13055

1	Q. Boosted protease. Is this opinion about
2	stopping or discontinuing the medication limited to
3	those, or is it a opinion for all treatment regimens?
4	A. Just those two classes.
5	Q. Your next sentence actually, let's
6	you relied on this article. Let me pull it out.
7	We'll call it Exhibit 6.
8	(Hardy Exhibit No. 6
9	was marked for
10	identification.)
11	BY MS. CUTRI-KOHART:
12	Q. Before we get into this article, it talks
13	about U equals U. What does that mean?
14	A. U equal U is just a acronym for the short
15	little phrase of undetectable equals untransmittable,
16	which means that a viral load that is undetectable
17	a person who has a viral load that is undetectable is
18	unable to transmit virus to another person by a
19	sexual route.
20	Q. Okay. You look to the kind of the top
21	left-hand corner box on the back side, yeah, sorry,
22	on the reverse side, the fourth bullet down says,
23	"Stopping therapy negates the validity of assuming
24	that U equals U." Can you explain what that means?
25	A. Yes, stopping therapy means that the viral

Alderson Court Reporting

load suppression will be lost, and that the viremia 1 will occur. 2 So even in situations where resistance 3 Ο. 4 doesn't develop on these classes of drugs we were 5 just talking about, it does negate the validity of assumptions regarding transmissibility? 6 7 MR. SCHOETTES: Objection, vague. You can 8 answer if you understand. 9 That is part of the assumption, that U Α. 10 equals U is based upon a -- the concept that there will be continuous suppression of virus by continuous 11 12 taking of antiretroviral therapy by HIV positive 13 individual. If that taking of therapy is -- is 14 interrupted, then there -- there will eventually not 15 be undetectability and there will be measurable virus 16 in the blood again, yes. 17 How fast can resistance possibly develop? Ο. 18 How fast. It depends upon the class of the Α. medication again. We know, for example, that when 19 20 integrase inhibitors and protease inhibitors have 21 been stopped or even taken intermittently, that 22 resistance does not seem to occur at all, which is 23 why those two classes of medications have been 24 recommended for treatment, because of their 25 resistance to resistance.

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

# William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 29 of 57 PageID# 13057

However, other classes of medications, such as the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor class, intermittent medication is associated with resistance very easily, as well as the nucleoside class. So it is very much a class-dependent sort of situation.

7 You can put that aside. We're going to Q. 8 refer back to that article again a couple more times. 9 Leave that out for now. You state in paragraph 18 --10 put it aside myself there. In paragraph 18, the second to last sentence, that you would expect that 11 12 someone who stopped taking their medication would 13 continue to have a suppressed viral load for four to 14 12 weeks, can you explain the basis of that opinion? 15 Two of them came from -- you know, where Α. that came from was the fact that -- part of it's been 16 17 my clinical experience, and part of it has been 18 looking at the results of different studies in which 19 what's called analytic treatment interruptions or 20 structured treatment interruptions have been done, and it's been variable from study to study, but it's 21 usually been usually within as quick as two to four 22 weeks and sometimes as long as eight to no -- no 23 24 resumption. So it's a very broad area overall, but 25 the number I chose was sort of in the middle of that,

#### Alderson Court Reporting

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 30 of 57 PageID# 13058

somewhere between about four to eight weeks that most
 patients who go off medication will have detectable
 virus in their blood within that period of time for
 the first time again.
 Q. And then you rely on this -- now this study

6 from Nature, if you want to mark this as Exhibit 7?
7 MR. SCHOETTES: Can we clarify something
8 that I think is just going to create a messier record
9 --

10 MS. CUTRI-KOHART: Sure.

MR. SCHOETTES: -- for you? At the end of the doctor's statement, he said he picked the number -- or he chose four to eight weeks, and that is not what is in the report as what he chose.

15 BY MS. CUTRI-KOHART:

Q. That's correct. Do you want to correct what's in the report to four to eight weeks or do you want to stand by your opinion?

A. Four to eight's fine. Four to eight willbe fine to correct that, sure.

21 Q. Okay.

A. Just to make sure that it actually jiveswith the reference.

24 MR. SCHOETTES: Wait. So are you saying 25 that -- well, I can come back and do this later if

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 31 of 57 PageID# 13059

> first thing. There are several studies that check 1 viral load every two weeks. I'm sorry, some studies 2 that check viral load as often as two to three times 3 4 a week and with more frequent checking, and also the 5 other thing -- the other variable that's important is what is considered to be the threshold for calling it 6 7 detectable again, is it above 50, is it above 200, is 8 it above a thousand.

Different studies have used different 9 10 thresholds for what they consider to be a rebound of viral load. Different studies have used different 11 12 time points when they're checking for virus after 13 someone goes off medication. That's what has really 14 created I think a lot of variability as to when the people will call a rebound. There's not been a 15 16 standardization of what that is like.

17 So if, for example, someone who's checking viral load once every two weeks after medication is 18 stopped, and the first time they find it is after 19 20 four weeks, but they didn't find it at two weeks, they don't know exactly when exactly it occurred 21 between two and four. If they're checking it every 22 23 month, for example, it could be even worse discrimination. 24 25 So if they're checking it every -- on the

### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 32 of 57 PageID# 13060

1 other end, if they're checking it, say, twice a week, they can get blips up to 200, then go back down, then 2 3 they get a blip and it goes back down. That could be 4 -- that's been a pattern that's been seen as well. 5 So the difficult thing about this and the way that 6 it's been hard to generalize is that we know that 7 there's about 20 percent of patients who when they go 8 off their medication, especially if they were --9 started treatment within a fairly early time after 10 they were diagnosed, 20 percent of patients will never rebound, interestingly, and a couple -- couple 11 12 studies have actually borne that out pretty well. 13 Other patients who have a very large viral 14 reservoir, meaning that they usually were started treatment after they'd been on -- had been infected 15 16 for a long period of time, a large reservoir of -- of 17 -- of latent virus usually predicts a fast -- a faster rebound. 18 19 So there's lots of variables that are found

in here, all of which we don't know, but we do know that probably 80 percent of people will have a rebound of virus off the medication as early as two to four weeks but maybe as far out as 24 weeks too. Q. What's the fastest a viral load rebound can occur in? William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 57 PageID# 13061

A. It depends upon how often you check it.
Q. Could you see a viral load rebound in a few
days, or would that only be a blip?

4 Α. When I use the word "blip," we're talking about something pretty specific. A blip has to be 5 6 under 200 and it has to be undetectable to begin with 7 and undetectable again. So a blip is just an up and 8 down but never greater than 200. If it goes -- if it 9 goes on what we call undetectable, a thousand, and 10 undetectable, that's not really a blip. We still consider that to be a breakthrough, but there's been 11 12 resumption of some control to account for the second 13 undetectable.

14 So blips just mean that something's coming up, going down, coming up, going down. 15 The word 16 "blip" is -- has been coined to say that this is probably not active viral replication that we are 17 picking up on by our very sensitive viral load test. 18 It is probably what's called heterogeneous -- excuse 19 20 me, homogeneous proliferation of cells, which means that latently infected cells will increase in number, 21 and one of them will reactivate and react to -- in 22 23 response to an antigen and a little virus is 24 released. It doesn't mean the virus is replicating. 25 It just means that it's been released into the blood,

Alderson Court Reporting

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 34 of 57 PageID# 13062

1	none. So they take two of the medications but not
2	the third because the pharmacist didn't give it to
3	them yet because they hadn't come in. We call that
4	selectively selective nonadherence, meaning that
5	they took two of the pills but not all three.
6	Single-tablet regimens get around that
7	because they put all three pills into one pill, all
8	three medications in the same in one pill, so
9	there can't be this situation of I'll take two of the
10	three, or I don't like the way this one pill makes me
11	feel when I take it so I'm just not going to take it.
12	I'll take the other two. Single-tablet regimens get
13	around it. So it is all or nothing, which is how we
14	feel like medications work best with integrases and
15	with protease inhibitors.
16	Q. What would you consider minimal side
17	effects?
18	A. You know, in the world of medicine, there's
19	not a medication alive today that doesn't have some
20	sort of side effect that some person will say I feel
21	different when I take it. So transient why why
22	I say minimal and transient is because almost every
23	medication that we have used ever, someone who has
24	taken it says I feel different, I feel nauseous, I
25	feel bloated, I feel like I'm going to have diarrhea,

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

www. Alderson Reporting. com

#### William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 35 of 57 PageID# 13063 Washington, DC

1	I have a headache, my skin feels itchy.
2	That usually lasts for no more than a week
3	to two weeks, but that's a lot better than where we
4	were ten, 15 years ago with medications in which side
5	effects were very pronounced, were very predictable
6	across the majority of patients, such as profuse
7	diarrhea, such as severe anemia, such as very bad
8	stomach upsets. HIV medications have progressed
9	fantastically from being very difficult medications
10	to take to being very easy medications to take.
11	Q. And so are you primarily basing your
12	opinion as stated here about the side the single-
13	tablet side effects being minimal and transient and
14	well tolerated on your experience prescribing them?
15	A. Both that and clinical trials.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. Clinical trials have documented that very
18	nicely.
19	Q. And different people taking the same
20	medication can potentially have different side
21	effects; is that correct?
22	A. Could.
23	Q. And different HIV medicines, we've talked
24	about a number of different regimens that have been
25	approved by the FDA, those can have differing side

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

1 effects.	•
------------	---

2	A. They can, but what has been interesting is
3	that in the past five years, since especially
4	since our last two integrase inhibitors have come
5	out, dolutegravir or Tivicay, and bictegravir in
6	Biktarvy, the percentage of patients having side
7	effects has gotten to be so low, especially side
8	effects that have caused patients to stop taking the
9	medications, that over 95 percent of people don't
10	have side effects really at all. It's been
11	remarkable how we have landed upon medications which
12	have the least amount of side effects we've ever seen
13	before in HIV, and that's pretty remarkable if it's
14	across the board.
15	0 And your opinion here about the minimal

15 Q. And your opinion here about the minimal 16 transient and well tolerated -- minimal and transient 17 side effects and well tolerated medicines, does that 18 apply to all the single-tablet regimens available?

19 A. No.

20 Q. What are the exceptions?

A. The exceptions are the very first singletablet regimen that was licensed in 2006 called Atripla has an annoying what's called -- has a very annoying neuropsychiatric medication in it called Sustiva or efavirenz that causes people to get groggy

Alderson Court Reporting
#### William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 37 of 57 PageID# 13065

when they first start taking it. It makes them feel 1 uncomfortable when they wake up. It makes them feel 2 3 cloudy headed when they wake up. It causes them to 4 have sometimes very vivid and sometimes violent 5 dreams, and because of those side effects, that 6 medication has dropped out of the preferred category 7 to three categories down because of side effects. So 8 -- but of course, that was the first one that we had. 9 Other ones along the way have had much less 10 side effects, which is why they were developed. would say the majority of our medications, of our 11 12 single-tablet regimens have minimal side effects. 13 Are there other single-tablet regimens Ο. 14 besides that one that you would not say have minimal or transient side effects? 15 Only the ones that I would say that are 16 Α. ones that contain what's called a booster. One of 17 18 the strategies that has been used in HIV therapy to 19 make a medication last 24 hours so that it only has 20 to be dosed once a day has been to couple it with a what's called pharmacoenhancer, a medication that 21 22 causes the medication to last longer in the patient's 23 blood, and that booster works to prolong the exposure 24 of the patients to the medication for a 24-hour 25 period so it can be dosed once a day.

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 38 of 57 PageID# 13066

1	A booster, however, oftentimes brings on
2	side effects, such as in ten to 15 percent of
3	patients, stomach upset, bloating and diarrhea. So
4	there are one, two, three three single-tablet
5	regimens that do have boosters in them, and because
6	of the boosters, they have less tolerability.
7	Q. Is it true that you can some of the
8	antiretroviral therapy medications may have side
9	effects that could appear months or even years after
10	the treatment starts?
11	A. Yes. I'll qualify that by saying though
12	that the medications we use today have been honed and
13	chosen because of both their short-term and long-term
14	minimal side effects. So although we can never say
15	never, this is not going to occur, experience with
16	medications like Tivicay, which came out in 2012,
17	seven years ago, has not evidenced any new longer
18	term side effects since that time. There's a new
19	medication called TAF that came out in 2015 that is
20	an improvement over an older medication that has
21	reduced kidney and bone mineralization side effects,
22	and that's been out now for four years, and that
23	seems to be well tolerated.
24	But so far what what what is becoming
25	and I should say there is a new regimen that was

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

### William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 39 of 57 PageID# 13067

1	just just licensed about three weeks ago called
2	Dovato, which is for the first time not a three-drug
3	regimen, but a two-drug regimen, and that is becoming
4	a regimen a a strategy of using just two drugs
5	rather than three, and the reason the FDA decided to
6	approve that regimen was because it had equal
7	efficacy but actually less toxicity than a three-drug
8	regimen. So the movement in HIV treatment is to
9	maintain good viral suppression but offer patients
10	regimens that even have even less side effects,
11	both short term and long term.
12	Q. But it is true that some of these
13	medications do have long-term side effects, even if
14	they're rare?
15	MR. SCHOETTES: Objection, mischaracterizes
16	the prior testimony. To the extent you can answer.
17	A. Older ones do, yes, older ones have had.
18	The newer ones have less and less.
19	Q. So there's no kidney problem side effects
20	from the current medications?
21	A. The TAF medications that I mentioned that
22	are becoming more and more common today have minimal
23	kidney side effects by design. The medication
24	that particular those medications that contain
25	TAF, those single-tablet regimens that contain TAF

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

www. Alderson Reporting. com

because they can stay on the medications continuously 1 and they don't have side effects anymore. 2 3 Ο. You qualify this statement with referring 4 only to a person that's diagnosed with HIV in a 5 timely manner. How do you define timely manner? 6 Α. That they're diagnosed as soon as possible, 7 because we do know that the earlier someone's 8 diagnosed in the course of the disease and started on 9 therapy, the better outcome -- the better life span 10 they seem to -- it seems they will have. 11 We know very clearly that by treating 12 people as early as possible after diagnosis, that the least amount of damage is done to the immune system 13 14 under a short -- under a shorter period of time, the 15 lesser amount of what's called latent viral reservoir 16 is formed, and the -- the return to health is much 17 faster than someone who's been infected for, say, ten years before they're actually treated. So that's 18 where rapid identification of HIV infection and 19 20 treatment is really important. So by rapid, do you mean within a few 21 Q. months of acquiring the infection or a few years? 22 23 Α. Really as soon as possible. I mean, that's 24 why -- you know, that's why the recommendation is 25 that persons who know that they're at risk for HIV

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

### William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 41 of 57 PageID# 13069

1	infection or a health care provider recognizes their
2	risk for HIV infection tests that person at least
3	annually if not more often, so that if a positive
4	test is recognized, that action is taken immediately,
5	not somewhere down the road. But it's really all
6	about screening, which is not unlike what we do for
7	annual cholesterol checking, blood pressure checking,
8	checking someone's weight, looking at some sort of
9	measurement of health, and HIV testing really needs
10	to be part of that.
11	Q. In the same paragraph in the very last
12	sentence, you talk about the higher prevalence of
13	things like cardiac disease, kidney disease and bone
14	demineralization.
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. We just talked a little bit about the side
17	effects of antiretroviral therapy.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Is this sentence saying that these things
20	aren't related to those side effects?
21	A. We don't know. We don't know. This is
22	where things have been really kind of murky, because
23	while we know that, for example, tenofavir has been
24	associated with kidney disease, and that lots of
25	patients around the world have been on tenofavir or

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

www. Alderson Reporting. com

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 42 of 57 Page 12870 Washington, DC

> were on tenofovir when it became available in 2003 to 1 where people were switched over to TAF in 2015, and 2 there's a long history there of people being on the 3 medication. We also know that there are other 4 5 factors inside of a HIV positive person's body, such 6 as the fact that HIV itself can cause kidney disease, 7 and then when we treat HIV infection, kidney disease actually improves. So we have kind of conflicting 8 9 evidence here sometimes.

10 We also know that one of the factors that may be associated with more co-morbid conditions in 11 12 general, heart disease, bone disease, kidney disease, 13 liver disease, might be the fact that there's a 14 condition called immune activation going on in people who's HIV positive, meaning this is the result of HIV 15 16 itself, and it causes inflammation in lots of organs throughout the body that cause them to change and 17 18 become less functional.

Bringing that level -- that inflammation down with therapy is very helpful, and it's the most helpful thing to deal with it as far as we know. So the medications are a benefit in that way of taking the pressure that HIV causes organs all over the body, and we've always outweighed the benefit over any toxicity of the medications, but at the same

Alderson Court Reporting

www.AldersonReporting.com

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 43 of 57 PageID# 13071

1	time, we've worked to make the medications more and
2	more tolerable, like no longer using tenofavir, but
3	using TAF instead, and maybe with this in two-drug
4	regimen we won't even use TAF anymore. So there's
Ē	menomenta in terma of traina to make really
Э	movements in terms of trying to make really
6	looking at long-term treatment of HIV.
7	But the thing we may not be able to fix
8	with even with our treatment is the chronic
9	inflammation that still persists at a very low level
10	and treat HIV positive people. That's something that
11	may cause some of may account for some of the
12	heart, liver, kidney, bone disease even in treated
13	people. It's a complex situation, still doing a lot
14	of research.
15	MS. CUTRI-KOHART: This would be a good
16	quick break.
17	(Recessed at 2:17 p.m.)
18	(Reconvened at 2:38 p.m.)
19	BY MS. CUTRI-KOHART:
20	Q. Okay, I'd like to turn to the next segment
21	of your opinions on the transmission of HIV, which I
22	believe starts on page 7 of your disclosure
23	A. Right.
24	Q part C. Can you tell me what the
25	primary routes of transmission of HIV are?

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 44 of 57 PageID# 13072

1	A. Yes, primary route is sexual, followed by
2	what we call parenteral, meaning blood-to-blood
3	contact, and the third one is by mother-to-child
4	contact. Those are the three primary routes.
5	Q. What are other routes of transmission?
6	A. That's really the only three that have been
7	proven to really have chances of transmitting HIV.
8	Q. You talk in your opinion that you could
9	also transmit via rectal fluids and
10	A. Oh, I consider that to be sexual.
11	Q. Okay.
12	A. Sexual transmission, yeah, blood, semen,
13	presemen. So the big pictures are sexual
14	transmission with sexual secretions, including semen,
15	preseminal fluid, rectal fluids, vaginal fluids,
16	blood-to-blood contact, and then mother to child
17	either through pregnancy, birth or breast milk.
18	Q. Okay, great. Can we turn to paragraph 22
19	of your opinion, which starts on page 8? You mention
20	that the risk of transmission through blood
21	transfusion you talk about the risk of
22	transmission through blood transfusion, and you say
23	that such a transmission is rare; is that correct?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. What is the basis for that belief?

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 45 of 57 PageID# 13073

1	A. Basis for that belief are publications from
2	the FDA that has demonstrated that in the United
3	States since the ability to screen blood for HIV in
4	1985, the transmission of HIV via blood products has
5	dropped dramatically to be less than one in a
6	million.
7	Q. So the basis is assuming that there is
8	screening in place?
9	A. Correct, that's what that's what I was
10	referring to here.
11	Q. Okay, and you so you would agree that
12	recipients of unscreened blood or blood products from
13	HIV infected donors would be at a higher risk for HIV
14	infection.
15	A. If blood is unscreened and is coming from
16	an HIV positive person, yes.
17	Q. And that would be true even if the donor is
18	on antiretroviral therapy?
19	A. That's unknown, unknown. That's never been
20	as far as I know, that's never been tested. Never
21	been tested.
22	Q. However, if a person receives an HIV a
23	single donor blood product from somebody who is HIV
24	infected, the likelihood of them also becoming HIV
25	infected would would be high?

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 46 of 57 Page 102 Washington, DC

1 Α. I wish --MR. SCHOETTES: Objection. Sorry, lack of 2 3 foundation, vague. You can answer. 4 Α. That is unknown. That is unknown, and the reason that's unknown right now is that in our 5 6 current blood system in the United States, if an HIV 7 positive person who has an undetectable viral load 8 donates blood, their blood product would be not 9 allowed for human -- not -- not be allowed for 10 further transfusion because their antibody in the blood would still be positive. It would still be 11 12 identifiable as a positive blood unit. So I do not 13 know of any data that has ever tested that concept, 14 that with an undetectable plasma viral load, would 15 there be transmission of HIV infection, that's never 16 been tested as far as I know. 17 When you're at a detectable viral load Q. amount though or above virally suppressed, say, above 18 19 200 milliliters per -- or 200 cells per milliliter --20 Α. Yeah, yeah, 200 viral particles --21 Q. Thank you. -- per milliliter. 22 Α. 23 Milliliter, would -- would transmission Ο. occur then in a blood transfusion? 24 25 MR. SCHOETTES: Objection, calls for

Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 47 of 57 PageID# 13075

1	A. I would include I would differentiate
2	needle sticks from drug injection equipment. Needle
3	sticks there I was using needle sticks in the
4	in the in the context of being in a health care
5	setting, yes.
6	Q. Are there other routes of transmission in
7	health care settings that are not on this list that
8	you considered?
9	A. Usually what goes along with needle sticks
10	are splash, body fluid splash situations that have a
11	lower quantitative risk factor, risk percentage, I
12	should say, but that's why I didn't include it,
13	because it was even less than needle sticks, but
14	splash injuries could be the same.
15	Q. Let's turn to paragraph 24 of your opinion.
16	Would it be correct to say that paragraph 24 of your
17	opinion is a summary of the undetectable means
18	untransmittable work that the CDC has been put out
19	recently?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. The CDC study is that focused primarily
22	on sexual conduct or sexual transmission?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And so are you taking the results of the
25	CDC studies on sexual transmission and applying that

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

to your opinions on other forms of transmission? 1 Α. 2 No. 3 Ο. So you're not assuming that the CDC results 4 undetectable means untransmissable applies to other routes of transmission besides sexual conduct? 5 6 Α. The only thing we can really apply it --7 Ο. Contact 8 Α. -- to is sexual contact. The only thing 9 that U equals U can be applied to is sexual 10 transmission. 11 Okay. Can a mother transmit HIV via breast 0. 12 feeding even if she is at undetectable levels of HIV? 13 Not to my knowledge, although I wouldn't be Α. 14 surprised if there were cases of that, but as far as I know, transmission via breast milk is low in a --15 in a breast feeding mother who is -- who has 16 suppressed viral load, but it probably can --17 probably has occurred. 18 And then likewise, undetectable means 19 0. 20 untransmittable is not meant to apply in the transfusion of HIV infected blood contacts, correct? 21 It's not been tested, no. 22 Α. 23 0. And again, undetectable means untransmittable is not meant to be used in the 24 25 context of exposure to blood in other ways, such as

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 49 of 57 PageID# 13077

by needle sticks or splash. 1 Has not been tested, no. 2 Α. 3 Q. Okay. Just want to make sure I've covered all of this. In the context of sexual transmission, 4 the U equals U or undetectable equals untransmittable 5 6 concept depends on the undetectable patient achieving 7 and maintaining an undetectable viral load. That's 8 correct, right? 9 Α. Correct. 10 And that includes taking the antiretroviral Ο. medication as prescribed, correct? 11 12 Α. Correct. 13 And once that person has achieved the Q. 14 undetectable viral load, the person needs to continue to take that medication as prescribed to maintain 15 16 their undetectable viral load, correct? 17 Α. Correct. Does the risk of sexually transmitting HIV 18 Q. continue during the first six months of 19 20 antiretroviral therapy? 21 Α. There seems to be at least a few variables, 22 and that's how long it takes for the viral load to fall from being detectable to being undetectable. 23 There has been at least one or two cases in which 24 someone was on antiretroviral medication but the 25

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

## William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 50 of 57 PageID# 13078

1	viral load was still detectable that transmission did
2	occur. So that's where the U equals U is important,
3	because it doesn't mean on ART equals U. It means U
4	equals U, assuming that the person has been on ART
5	long enough and with a great enough adherence to be
6	able to have produced multiple undetectable
7	laboratory tests. So whether it's 24 months or
8	whether it's something shorter than that can only be
9	proven by when the first undetectable virus
10	undetectable blood test is is established.
11	Q. Does the U equals U context define any
12	specific viral load testing intervals, the six-month
13	interval, the 12-month interval?
14	A. No.
15	MR. SCHOETTES: I'm sorry let me
16	objection, vague. You can answer.
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay, let's turn to the next segment of
19	your opinions on neurocognitive impairment
20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q which starts on page 10, in paragraph
22	27. Can you first, can you define neurocognitive
23	impairment?
24	A. Sure. Neurocognitive neurocognitive
25	impairment is something that was recognized early in

Alderson Court Reporting

#### William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 51 of 57 PageID# 13079

important. So what U equals U is really trying to 1 express is that it is the viral load at the time of 2 3 sexual contact that's important to determine whether 4 or not sexual transmission can or cannot happen. So let me ask another question. Does it 5 Ο. 6 matter when the person was last tested if they have 7 an undetectable viral load -- let me try that again. 8 Does the recency of the last test matter in terms of 9 transmission risk if the person has an undetectable 10 viral load at the time of the sexual exposure? 11 Α. I'll try to answer this the best I can. Ιf 12 it's known that the patient has an undetectable viral 13 load at the time of sexual encounter, then that's 14 what really matters. What the viral load was two 15 months ago, three months ago or would be in the 16 future is not as important as what it was at the time of the sexual encounter. That's what counts. 17 18 If you look at the statement that's made Q. 19 here that stopping therapy negates the validity of 20 assuming that U equals U, does that say that as soon 21 as the person stops therapy, they are no longer noninfectious? 22 23 It's not an immediate situation because we Α. know it does take time for viral load to become 24 25 detectable in blood after medication is stopped.

#### Alderson Court Reporting

1-800-FOR-DEPO

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 52 of 57 PageID# 13080

1 There is a period of time anywhere from as -- perhaps 2 as short as two weeks to as long as six months or 3 longer that it will take for viral load to become 4 detectable once again after therapy is stopped. 5 So if you look at the statement itself, it Q. 6 says that stopping therapy negates the validity of 7 assuming that U equals U. Is it ever true that 8 undetectable does not equal untransmittable? 9 Not based upon the concept that we have in Α. 10 clinical trial research. 11 Ο. Is this statement actually saying that you 12 can't assume that you are noninfectious or that you 13 -- I'm sorry, does this statement actually mean that 14 you can't assume that you have an undetectable viral load if you have stopped therapy? 15 16 Well, I think what U equals U is saying is Α. 17 once there's a correlation between taking therapy on 18 a daily basis or at least -- as long as there's an undetectable viral load while someone's taking 19 20 medication on a regular basis with regular access to 21 it, that as long as someone is continuing that 22 medication at the same rate and at the same kind of 23 basis, then the viral load will stay undetectable. 24 If the medication is stopped, it is also presumed 25 that at some point, the large majority of people who

1-800-FOR-DEPO

Alderson Court Reporting

William David Hardy, M.D. Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-9 Filed 06/03/20 Page 53 of 57 PageID# 13081

1	stop medi	cation will once again have a detectable
2	viral loa	d at some point down into the future.
3	Q.	And just to get a little more clarity here,
4	if you lo	ook at the statement with me, it says that
5	stopping	therapy negates the validity of assuming
6	that U eq	uals U.
7	Α.	Right.
8	Q.	Does U, undetectable, ever not equal
9	untransmi	ttable?
10		MS. CUTRI-KOHART: Objection, asked and
11	answered.	
12	A.	Only if the person has stopped taking the
13	medication, but when it's going to occur is unknown.	
14	Q.	I'm going to try one more time.
15	Α.	Okay.
16	Q.	Just take the statement out of context, and
17	maybe thi	s is what I did before, but does an
18	undetecta	ble viral load
19	Α.	Uh-huh.
20	Q.	Regardless of whether you took your
21	medicatio	on the last time you took your medication
22		
23	Α.	Yeah.
24	Q.	always mean an untransmittable virus?
25	Α.	Yes, yes.

Alderson Court Reporting

1 correct? Α. Correct. 2 3 Q. Two weeks is less than 12 days, correct? 4 I'm sorry. Two weeks is more than 12 days, right? 5 Α. Fourteen days, right. So if someone went off of their medication 6 Q. for 12 days, would you expect to see viral rebound? 7 8 Α. Probably not, no. MR. SCHOETTES: That's all. 9 10 MS. CUTRI-KOHART: Okay. 11 (Whereupon, at 5:52 p.m., the taking of the 12 instant deposition ceased.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) 2 ss: 3 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ) 4 5 I, KAREN YOUNG, a Notary Public within and for the District of Columbia, do hereby certify that the 6 7 witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth was duly sworn and that the within transcript is a true 8 9 record of the testimony given by such witness. 10 I further certify that I am not related to 11 any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage 12 and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of 13 this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 14 15 hand this day of , 20 . 16 17 Karen Young 18 19 20 My Commission Expires: 21 July 31, 2019 22

-		_	
Deponent: Will	iam David Hardy, M.	D.	
Case Name: Har	rison, et al. v. Sh	anahan	
Page:Line	Now Reads	Should Read	
	·		
	·		
	······································		
	······································		

#### CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT

I hereby certify that I have read and examined the foregoing transcript, and the same is a true and accurate record of the testimony given by me. Any additions or corrections that I feel are necessary, I will attach on a separate sheet of paper to the original transcript.

# Signature of Deponent I hereby certify that the individual representing himself/herself to be the above-named individual, appeared before me this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_, and executed the above certificate in my presence.

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR

County Name

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 14 PageID# 13086

# EXHIBIT 34

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 2 of 14 PageID# 13087 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 3 RICHARD ROE, ET AL., 4 Plaintiffs, 5 VS. CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 1:18-CV-01565 6 PATRICK M. SHANAHAN, ET AL., 7 Defendants. 8 9 10 ORAL/VIDEO DEPOSITION OF 11 LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D. 12 MARCH 20, 2019 13 14 15 ORAL DEPOSITION OF LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D., 16 produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiffs, 17 was duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and 18 numbered cause on the MARCH 20, 2019, from 1:36 p.m. to 19 5:30 p.m., before Chris Carpenter, CSR, in and for the 20 State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the 21 offices of Hoffman Reporting, 206 E. Locust Street, San 22 Antonio, TX 78212, pursuant to the Federal Rules of 23 Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record 24 or attached hereto. 25

1 2 3 A P P E A R A N C E S 4 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: 5 Scott Schoettes LAMBDA LEGAL 6 105 West Adams, Suite 2600 Chicago, IL 60603-6316 7 (312) 663-4413 sschoettes@lambdalegal.org 8 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 9 Keri Berman 10 US DOJ, CIVIL DIV. 1100 L Street 11 Washington DC 20001 (202) 616-8366 12 keri.l.berman@usdoj.gov 13 ALSO PRESENT: 14 Major Gregory Morgan Steve Lopez, videographer 15 Chris Carpenter, CSR, RPR 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 4 of 14 PageID# 13089 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 INDEX 2 3 LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D. 4 Examination by Mr. Schoettes.....4 5 Signature and Changes.....136 Reporter's Certificate.....138 6 7 EXHIBITS 8 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE MARKED 9 Exhibit 1 Deposition Notice 8 10 Exhibit 2 Article: Physical Fitness 76 Characteristics of Active Duty Air Force 11 Members with HIV Infection 12 <mark>Exhibit 3</mark> Study: Evaluation of HIV Post Exposure 83 Prophylaxis for Occupational and Non 13 Occumational Exposure at a Deployed U.S. Military Trauma Hospital 14 Exhibit 4 Article: Occupational Exposures and 101 15 Prevalence of Blood-Borne Pathogens in a Deployed Setting: Data from a U.S. 16 Military Trauma Center in Afghanistan 17 Exhibit 5 Special Report: Operation United 105 Assistance: Infectious Disease Threats 18 to Deployed Military Personnel 19 <mark>Exhibit 6</mark> E-Mail Chain Ending June 2, 2017 108 20 Exhibit 7 Memorandum for Honorable Members of the 121 Board 21 (CONFIDENTIAL) 22 Exhibit 8 126 Asymptomatic HIV Case Summaries (CONFIDENTIAL) 23 24 25

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 5 of 14 PageID# 13090 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 and HIV would be the third. 2 I can't remember now whether I saw in this Ο. 3 article or another, but there is a reference to the rarity or the lack of provision of PEP in the context of 4 5 blast injuries. Can you explain why PEP would not be 6 offered after potential exposure through blast injuries? 7 MS. BERMAN: Objection, vague and calls 8 for speculation. 9 You can answer if you know. 10 The CDC has specific guidelines for blast Α. 11 injury, mass casualty scenarios which could be -- an 12 example of that could be an in-theater explosion 13 environment or it could be a civilian event, an 14 unfortunate -- let's say a terrorist event where there's 15 an explosion. And for lack of better description, 16 material from human beings can be embedded into other 17 human beings, and essentially be an exposure, an 18 occupational or nonoccupational exposure, essentially. 19 The reason why those guidelines exist was 20 to try to -- in my opinion, is to try to frame this 21 difficult to assess circumstance. But to my knowledge, 22 there is not really good evidence to -- to guide us in 23 these types of scenarios. 24 (By Mr. Schoettes) And without that guidance, Ο. 25 in the absence of that guidance, the CDC does not

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 6 of 14 PageID# 13091 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 recommend provision of PEP to individuals who have been a part of a blast zone? 2 3 Α. My recollection of that guidance is that PEP is 4 not -- is generally not recommended unless there is a 5 suspicion of HIV. I am not certain how I would know, 6 have a suspicious of HIV, but the guidelines say -- they 7 use the word I believe generally again saying which 8 would -- which would assume that general practice would 9 be just to not use PEP in -- in most of these scenarios. 10 Okay, we'll do one more and then we'll take a Ο. 11 break. 12 MR. SCHOETTES: If you would, mark this as 13 the Exhibit 5. 14 (Exhibit 5 marked for identification.) 15 (By Mr. Schoettes) Do you recognize Exhibit 5? Ο. 16 Yes, I recognize Exhibit 5. Α. 17 And what is **Exhibit 5**? Ο. 18 This is an opinion piece that a number of Α. 19 infectious disease physicians, including myself, in the 20 services wrote and published in 2015 regarding 21 infectious disease threat to deployed military 22 personnel. Specifically, for Operation United 23 Assistance, was when -- for the Ebola outbreak. 24 And that's Operation United Assistance? Ο. 25 Α. Correct.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 7 of 14 PageID# 13092 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 2019, in response to the promulgation of the DODI on the 2 subject? 3 Α. I believe I have seen that document, yes. 4 Ο. You used the term deployable with limitations 5 to describe airmen living with HIV; is that correct? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 Do you know if the Air Force has adopted use of Ο. 8 that terminology? 9 I'm not aware of the Air Force adopting that Α. 10 terminology. That has been my assessment on someone 11 with HIV who can go overseas with a waiver, someone with 12 HIV who can have a deployment that's not CENTCOM. Ιf 13 that person is deployable, then -- then my -- I tag --14 my assessment is that they're deployable with 15 limitations, the limitations essentially being the 16 CENTCOM AOR at this point. So that's not an official 17 Air Force position that I've heard. 18 And if they were deployable with limitations, Ο. 19 is it your understanding that under the new DODI on this 20 topic, they would not be subject to the retention review 21 called for by the new policy? 22 MS. BERMAN: Objection, calls for 23 speculation. 24 You can answer. 25 Α. My understanding is that if the deployable with Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 8 of 14 PageID# 13093 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1	limitations description exists on a DOD, on a DODI
2	level, my personal opinion would be is that would also
3	apply on the service level, as well, to HIV infection,
4	as I previously mentioned.
5	Q. (By Mr. Schoettes) I want to switch back up for
6	a moment to talk about treatment interruptions in a
7	deployed environment. What happens if an HIV-positive
8	service member with viral suppression and preserved
9	immune function experiences a treatment interruption in
10	their HIV medications?
11	MS. BERMAN: Objection, calls for
12	speculation.
13	You can answer.
14	A. The vast majority of individuals will become
15	viremic again. Essentially meaning that their their
16	suppressed virus will no longer be suppressed, it will
17	detectable and at a higher high level.
18	Q. (By Mr. Schoettes) Assuming the person has an
19	undetectable viral load to start, how long of a
20	treatment lapse is generally required before a person's
21	viral load is no longer virally suppressed?
22	MS. BERMAN: Objection, calls for
23	speculation.
24	You can answer.
25	A. That would vary upon the individual. It could

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 9 of 14 PageID# 13094 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 be in the order of weeks. There are some individuals 2 who have not had a viral rebound after stopping their medication. Although, those individuals are very rare. 3 4 Ο. (By Mr. Schoettes) Are there individuals for 5 whom it would be shorter than weeks? 6 MS. BERMAN: Same objection. 7 My opinion, my medical opinion would be that it Α. 8 would take weeks for us to visualize a viral rebound 9 after cessation of medications. 10 (By Mr. Schoettes) Approximately, when you say 0. 11 weeks, can you put me in a range of how many? 12 Α. I would think it may be possible to see as 13 early as two weeks, but maybe -- maybe four to six 14 weeks. I believe that there may be a more concrete 15 answer to the usual appearance of viremia following 16 cessation of antiretroviral, so I'd have to refer to the 17 literature to get a specific -- a specific number. 18 Again, assuming a person had an undetectable Ο. 19 viral load to start and preserved immune functions, how 20 long of a treatment lapse is generally required before a 21 person's viral load is significant enough to conduct a 22 genotype of the virus? 23 Same objection. MS. BERMAN: 24 You can answer. 25 Α. A viral load has to reach a level of

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 14 PageID# 13095 Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al. 1 essentially, manifest or visible possibly even decades That being said, it could -- it's highly 2 later. 3 variable when -- when these effects may occur. It's 4 unlikely to be in the short-term for the majority of 5 individuals. 6 I'm done. MR. SCHOETTES: 7 MS. BERMAN: Okay. Do you want to talk --8 take two like minutes to see if I have any follow-up 9 questions? 10 MR. SCHOETTES: Sure. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 5:27. 12 (Recess.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 14 5:29. 15 Okay. I also don't have any MS. BERMAN: 16 questions, but I wanted to let the court reporter know 17 that we want the witness to read and sign the 18 deposition. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This 20 concludes the testimony given by Jason Okulicz, M.D. 21 Time off the record is 5:30. 22 (Deposition concluded at 5:30 p.m.) 23 24 25

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDDDocument 278-10Filed 06/03/20Page 11 of 14 PageID#Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D.13096Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2	RE: RICHARD ROE, ET AL., VS. SHANAHAN ET AL.
3	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	I, LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D., have read the
21	foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that
22	same is true and correct, except as noted above.
23	
24	
25	LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDDDocument 278-10Filed 06/03/20Page 12 of 14 PageID#Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D.13097Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1	THE STATE OF)
2	COUNTY OF)
3	
4	Before me,, on this day
5	personally appeared LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D., known
б	to me (or proved to me under oath or
7	through (description of identity
8	card or other document) to be the person whose name is
9	subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged
10	to me that they executed the same for the purposes and
11	consideration therein expressed.
12	Given under my hand and seal of office
13	thisday of, 2019.
14	
15	
16	NOTARY DURLTO IN AND FOR
17	THE STATE OF
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-10 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 14 PageID# Deposition of Lt. Col. Jason Okulicz, M.D. 13098 Roe Richard, et al. vs. Patrick M. Shanahan, et al.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 3 RICHARD ROE, ET AL., 4 Plaintiffs, 5 VS. CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: 1:18-CV-01565 ) 6 PATRICK M. SHANAHAN, ET AL., ) 7 Defendants. 8 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION ORAL/VIDEO DEPOSITION OF LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D. 9 MARCH 20, 2019 10 I, Chris Carpenter, Certified Shorthand Reporter in 11 and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the 12 following: 13 That the witness, LT. COL. JASON OKULICZ, M.D., was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the 14 15 oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given 16 by the witness; 17 That the deposition transcript was submitted on the 18 day of , 2019, to the witness or to the 19 attorney for the witness for examination, signature and 20 return to , by 21 \_\_\_\_\_, 2019; and if returned, the original 22 transcript will forwarded to Scott Schoettes, the 23 custodial attorney; 24 That the amount of time used by each party at the 25 deposition is as follows:

1	Mr Schoettes: 3 hours 56 minutes
2	T further contifu that T or neither coursel for
2	I further certify that I am heither counsel for,
3	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
4	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
5	taken, and further that I am not financially or
6	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
7	Certified to by me this 1st day of April, 2019.
8	
9	
10	
11	Expiration Date: 6/30/2021
12	206 East Locust Street San Antonio, TX 78212
13	(210) 736-3555
14	Firm Registration No. 93
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 89 PageID# 13100

# EXHIBIT 35
Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION - - - - - x 4 5 NICHOLAS HARRISON and : OUTSERVE-SLDN, INC., : Plaintiffs, 6 vs. : No. 1:18-cv-00641 7 JAMES N. MATTIS, In His : LMB-IDD Official Capacity As Secretary: 8 of Defense; MARK ESPER, In His: Official Capacity As the : 9 Secretary of the Army; and the: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF : 10 DEFENSE, Defendants. : - - - - - x 11 VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF 12 13 UNITED STATES ARMY GIVEN BY JASON BLAYLOCK 14 15 Wednesday, February 27, 2019 DATE: 16 9:04 a.m. TIME: 17 LOCATION: Winston & Strawn 18 1700 K Street, N.W. 19 Washington, D.C. 20 REPORTED BY: Denise M. Brunet, RPR 21 Reporter/Notary 2.2 23 Veritext Legal Solutions 24 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 350 Washington, D.C. 20005 25

Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S 1 2. On behalf of the Plaintiffs: 3 SCOTT A. SCHOETTES, ESQUIRE 4 Lambda Legal 5 11 East Adams 6 7 Suite 1008 Chicago, Illinois 60603 8 9 (312) 663-4413 10 sschoettes@lambdalegal.org 11 12 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: 13 KERI L. BERMAN, ESQUIRE JOSHUA ABBUHL, ESQUIRE 14 15 U.S. Department of Justice 16 Civil Division 17 1100 L Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20005 18 (202) 353-4537 19 20 keri.l.berman@usdoj.gov 21 2.2 23 24 (Appearances continued on the next page.) 25

```
Page 3
       APPEARANCES (continued):
1
 2.
       On behalf of the U.S. Department of Defense:
 3
                 STUART C. SPARKER, ESQUIRE
 4
                 U.S. Department of Defense
 5
                 Office of the General Counsel
 6
 7
                 1600 Defense Pentagon
                 Room 3B688
8
9
                 Washington, D.C. 20301
10
                 (703) 571-0802
11
                 stuart.c.sparker.civ@mail.mil
12
13
      On behalf of the U.S. Department of the Army:
                 MAJOR W. CASEY BIGGERSTAFF, ESQUIRE
14
15
                 U.S. Army Legal Services Agency
16
                 9275 Gunston Road
17
                 Suite 3018
                 Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060
18
19
                 (703) 693-1040
20
                 william.c.biggerstaff.mil@mail.mil
21
2.2
      ALSO PRESENT: Solomon Francis, Videographer
23
2.4
25
```

		Page 4
1	CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE:
3	Counsel for Plaintiffs	6
4	Counsel for U.S. Department of Justice	206
5	Counsel for Plaintiffs	212
6		
7	BLAYLOCK DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	PAGE:
8	Exhibit 1 - Plaintiffs' Notice of Deposition of	=
9	Defendants Pursuant to FED.R.CIV.P.	
10	30(B)(6)	11
11	Exhibit 2 - Bates US00005454-5487	48
12	Exhibit 3 - Bates US00025970-25973	122
13	Exhibit 4 - Bates US00025671-25673	126
14	Exhibit 5 - Army Directive 2018-22	131
15	Exhibit 6 - Bates 00025695-25697	137
16	Exhibit 7 - Bates 00005451-5452	142
17	Exhibit 8 - Interim Procedures Memorandum 18-02	20 147
18		
19	(*Exhibits attached to the transcript.)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 28 1 years. 2 Q Not a bad posting. Not bad at all. 3 А And then prior to that? 4 0 Prior to that, I was in medical school 5 Α 6 for four years at Georgetown University school of 7 medicine. Georgetown is also my alma mater, law 8 0 9 school. And what year did you obtain your M.D.? 10 Α I obtained my M.D. -- it would have been 11 2005. 12 And did you have a specialty at that 0 13 point? 14 Α So upon obtaining my medical degree, No. 15 I completed my internal medicine residency at 16 Tripler, so completed that in 2008. And then went 17 to my infectious disease fellowship at the former 18 Walter Reed from 2008 to 2011. Then spent one 19 year in the viral diseases branch right after 20 that, prior to coming to Walter Reed National 21 Military Medical Center. 2.2 Obviously, as a part of your training, Q 23 you spent some amount of time learning about HIV 24 transmission and diagnosis, correct? 25 Α Correct.

Page 29

Do you have any training that is specific 1 Ο 2. to service members living with HIV? I'm talking 3 about training that related to that specific context. 4 I'm not aware that there is any training 5 Α specific to service members living with HIV. 6 7 So some of these next questions -- we're 0 going to talk a little bit about HIV -- may seem 8 9 basic to you and -- but it's still important to 10 sort of get down on the record. So what bodily 11 fluids are capable of transmitting HIV? 12 Some bodily fluids that are capable of Α 13 transmission include blood, also via sexual exposure, so semen, vaginal fluids. I can tell 14 15 you that, you know, there's a lot of body fluids 16 that are not found to really be highly 17 transmissible for HIV: Saliva, sweat, tears. 18 Any other bodily fluids that do present a 0 significant risk of transmission besides blood, 19 20 semen, vaginal fluids? 21 When I say blood, I mean, you know, blood А 2.2 components, you know, as well. So -- but other... What about breast milk? 23 0 2.4 Α Okay. Breast milk, yes. Should be on that list as well? 25 0

Page 30 Yes, it should. 1 А 2 Q Okay. What factors influence the risk of HIV transmission? 3 So the major factors that influence risk 4 Α include, probably most importantly, the patient's 5 viral load, so number of copies per milliliter of 6 7 blood. Also, how -- with that, how adherent the patient is to taking their antiretroviral therapy 8 9 also affects that. 10 And so those two things are related --Ο 11 Δ Yes. 12 -- viral load is related to medication 0 13 adherence? 14 А Correct. 15 0 While we're there, is it true that a patient who adheres to their treatment regimen 16 17 will obtain an undetectable viral load? 18 Not in all cases, but to some extent, in А 19 the majority of cases, if somebody adheres to what 20 today is considered first-line regimens for 21 antiretroviral therapy, they should be able to 2.2 attain an undetectable viral load. And if someone is unable to reach an 23 0 24 undetectable or suppressed viral load on the first-line regimens, are there second-line 25

1	Q What approximately is the per-act risk of
2	transmission from receptive anal intercourse?
3	A I would have to have the CDC's the CDC
4	has a nice table that depicts these different
5	modes of transmission. It's fairly low, but it's
6	not I want to say, off the top of my head, like
7	ten out of a hundred thousand, or something close
8	to that. Or maybe it's out of 10,000. I'd have
9	to see the document right in front of me, but
10	Q What is the risk of transmission via oral
11	sex?
12	A Oral sex is very, very low risk of
13	transmission. I'm not sure if the CDC document
14	says negligible for oral sex, but it's as far
15	as modes of sexual transmission, that's the
16	lowest.
17	Q Is it possible to transmit HIV by biting
18	someone?
19	A It is possible. A couple of factors
20	would have to play. You would have to it would
21	have to be a decent bite that breaks whatever
22	mucosal surface you're biting. And that person
23	who's biting would typically have to have also a
24	break in their mucosal surface somewhere in their
25	mouth, whether a lesion in their gumline or in

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 89 PageID# 13109

	Page 37
1	their oral pharynx somewhere that was bleeding.
2	Q Has there ever been a documented case of
3	HIV transmission via biting?
4	A I have not reviewed the literature
5	recently on that, so I wouldn't be able to tell
6	you definitively.
7	Q What is the risk of transmission of HIV
8	via blood splash?
9	A Again, assuming an intact mucosal
10	surface, it's negligible.
11	Q And actually, will you define blood
12	splash for us?
13	A When I my definition of blood splash
14	is if blood or splashed onto a person who
15	let's say it was a health care provider caring for
16	a patient, and the blood landed on intact skin,
17	then that's a negligible risk of transmission.
18	Q Has there ever been a documented case of
19	transmission via blood splash?
20	A Not to my knowledge, but I have not
21	reviewed the literature on that recently.
22	Q How is HIV treated?
23	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.
24	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
25	Q What is the common treatment for HIV?

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 11 of 89 PageID# 13110

1	A So combination antiretroviral therapy is
2	the preferred and first-line treatment regimen for
3	HIV infection. And there's a handful of them. A
4	couple of them are slated as first-line regimens.
5	Q And the first-line treatments today, are
6	those all single-tablet regimens?
7	A The first-line regimens today, there are
8	two single-tablet, one pill one a day, regimens,
9	and then there's a it ends up being three,
10	three pills a day regimen that is also still on
11	the first line.
12	Q And are there some individuals who are on
13	a regimen that's two pills once a day?
14	A Two separate pills once a day? Yes, one
15	of those pills being a pill that you do take twice
16	a day, though, as well. I don't know if you're
17	thinking of raltegravir as a BID dosing.
18	Q Okay.
19	(Discussion held off the record.)
20	THE WITNESS: Twice daily dosing, BID.
21	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
22	Q Can you tell us what you referred
23	earlier to pre-exposure prophylaxis. Can you tell
24	us how pre-exposure prophylaxis works?
25	A So pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 89 PageID# 13111

1	involves taking one medication. It's a
2	combination pill of emtricitabine and tenofovir
3	disoproxil fumarate.
4	THE REPORTER: You need to say those
5	slower, please.
6	THE WITNESS: And this pre-exposure
7	prophylaxis for HIV involves taking one pill that
8	is a combination of two drugs, emtricitabine,
9	E-M-T-R-I-C-I-B-I-N-E [sic], and tenofovir,
10	T-E-N-O-F-O-V-I-R, disoproxil, D-I-S-I-P-R-O-X-I-L
11	[sic], fumarate, F-U-M-A-R-A-T-E.
12	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
13	Q I'll try to avoid asking questions that
14	involve medication names in the answers.
15	A Once daily to prevent if someone were
16	to get exposed to HIV infection, to prevent that
17	virus from being transmitted to that person.
18	Q And without using medication names, can
19	you explain how post-exposure prophylaxis, or PEP,
20	works?
21	A Yes. So post-exposure prophylaxis for
22	HIV infection is designed in the sense that if a
23	person were to present for medical care after
24	being potentially exposed to somebody with HIV
25	infection or a high suspicion of HIV infection,

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 89 PageID# 13112

1	they would be started on at least a three-drug
2	regimen for HIV empiric treatment within 72 hours,
3	is what the guidance is for that exposure. And
4	you continue on that regimen for 28 days, for four
5	weeks. And during that time, you undergo
6	subsequent follow-up, HIV testing and toxicity
7	testing based on the regimen that you started on
8	to ensure that you're tolerating it well and that
9	you do not acquire HIV infection after that
10	exposure.
11	Q Are the side effects of PEP that is
12	administered currently considered significant?
13	A No.
14	Q Have you heard the treatment as
15	prevention?
16	A I have.
17	Q Can you tell us what treatment as
18	prevention is?
19	A So the idea of treatment as prevention is
20	identifying patients or personnel that are at high
21	risk of HIV infection, identifying them and
22	identifying if they are actively infected with HIV
23	and getting them on treatment as quickly as
24	possible and, by doing that, preventing
25	transmission to other other individuals.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 14 of 89 PageID# 13113

1	circumstance, it's it depends on a lot of
2	variables that we've already discussed, if they're
3	taking their HIV medications regularly, what kind
4	of environment they're in, what access they have
5	to medications and lab testing. It depends on a
6	lot of different variables, where they are in the
7	world.
8	Q So the risk of transmission in that
9	context would have to take into account all of
10	those different variables?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And the likelihood that each of those
13	circumstances would be occurring at that time?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Is the probability of HIV transmission
16	affected by the role in which the HIV-positive
17	soldier is serving?
18	A To to some extent, yes.
19	Q And can you describe how the role affects
20	the risk of transmission?
21	A So based on where a service member may be
22	in the world let's say, for example, if a
23	service member is deployed to a combat environment
24	with little to no capabilities for access to
25	pharmacy, access to laboratory testing and medical

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 89 PageID# 13114

1	services in general, and based on the stresses in
2	that combat environment, that would potentially
3	affect a service member's HIV infection ability
4	to maintain control of their HIV infection.
5	Q And are both of those factors that you
6	just cited, access to pharmacy/medical services
7	and stressors, are you saying that those things
8	would affect the soldier's ability to adhere to
9	their medications?
10	A Potentially, yes.
11	Q And that that's the mechanism by which
12	there could then be a risk to other personnel?
13	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes
14	the testimony.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, to other personnel or
17	themselves, yes.
18	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
19	Q So let's just focus on other personnel
20	for a moment.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Can you describe the mechanism by which,
23	then, the increased viral load creates a risk to
24	other personnel?
25	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Lack of

Page 55

foundation. 1 2 You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: So an increase in viral load -- you wanted to refer to other personnel, 4 how -- its effects on other personnel first, you 5 6 said? 7 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 8 0 Yes. So somebody with an increased viral load 9 Α 10 in a deployed setting, the biggest concern is the 11 potential need for activation of emergent blood 12 transfusions in the deployed setting. So should 13 that person donate blood in a deployed setting where we don't have the -- oftentimes the 14 15 appropriate resources to exclude HIV infection 16 prior to blood donation, then that would pose a 17 significant risk to somebody receiving that unit of blood or units of blood. 18 19 Setting aside blood donation, which we Q 20 will return to, what are the other mechanisms by 21 which a person with a non-suppressed viral load 2.2 would present a risk to the health of other 23 personnel? Via sexual transmission is the other most 2.4 Α 25 likely.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 17 of 89 PageID# 13116

1	Q Anything else?
2	A No. We don't allow pregnant,
3	breast-feeding women in theater, so it would not
4	include that.
5	Q So if a soldier has an undetectable viral
6	load, what is the probability that that soldier
7	will endanger the health of other personnel?
8	A Again, it depends on the scenario. If we
9	take that previous scenario of an HIV-infected
10	service member in a deployed setting and in the
11	potential setting of an emergent blood transfusion
12	need, there is still a significant risk of
13	transmission of HIV infection.
14	Q Then again, setting aside or not even
15	setting aside any other potential risk other
16	than the service member donating blood?
17	A So the other risk we didn't mention,
18	other than blood donation, would still be blood
19	exposure, but a needle stick exposure would also
20	be a significant could be a significant risk.
21	Sexual exposure with an undetectable viral load
22	would be a very, very low risk, but again, not
23	zero.
24	Q But approximately zero, correct?
25	A Approximately zero, correct.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 18 of 89 PageID# 13117

Page 61 their home duty station. 1 2 Q So you referred to appointments every six months --3 Correct. 4 Α -- at which an evaluation is done and 5 Ο blood is drawn? 6 7 Uh-huh. Α Would the time that is required for that 8 Ο 9 care in theater be considered excessive time lost? 10 When you say "in theater," mean in a Α 11 deployed setting? 12 Ο Yes. Within a theater of operations. 13 Α So currently, in a theater of operations, 14 we don't have access to care at a military 15 treatment facility that would include an 16 infectious disease specialist and all of the 17 laboratory testing required that would need to be 18 obtained for somebody's biannual visit with HIV. 19 Q And where -- sorry. 20 Is an infectious disease specialist 21 required to provide the follow-up evaluation for an individual living with HIV in a deployed 2.2 23 setting? 2.4 Α So again, in a deployed setting, we -there's no precedent for that. We don't have 25

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 19 of 89 PageID# 13118

Page 62 capabilities to -- you know, as far as lab 1 2. capabilities and stuff needed. So there has never 3 been an evaluation of an HIV-infected person in a deployed setting. So ... 4 So because you're not deploying people 5 Ο 6 living with HIV, there are not the mechanisms set 7 up --8 Α Right. 9 0 -- to do that --10 Α Correct. 11 -- right now? Ο 12 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes 13 the testimony. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes. That's what 14 I'm... 15 16 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 17 So let me back up and make my question Q 18 more general. Is it required that a physician be 19 an infectious disease specialist in order to 20 provide an individual living with HIV with this 21 type of follow-up care? 2.2 Α So by our Army regulation, it is 23 specified that they are followed by an infectious 24 disease provider. On a national level, there are providers that are not infectious disease 25

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 20 of 89 PageID# 13119

1	specialists who still are slated as HIV
2	specialists who do follow patients. And there are
3	a handful of primary care providers in the on a
4	national level that do follow HIV patients.
5	Q Can the is it possible to do a blood
6	draw within theater?
7	A Yes, it's possible to do a blood draw in
8	theater. However, it depends on what you want to
9	do with that blood draw in theater.
10	Q So
11	A It depends on what you're testing for.
12	Q Okay. So tell me explain that to me.
13	Explain why that makes a difference.
14	A So different tests, depending on what you
15	would like to order in theater, require different
16	processing of the specimen and different ways to
17	preserve that specimen in order to do the
18	appropriate testing based on where you need that
19	specimen to go to get that testing accomplished,
20	if that makes sense.
21	Q I think it does. Let me unpack it a
22	little bit more. So there could be particular
23	ways that you have to conduct the blood draw or
24	preserve the specimen
25	A Yes.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 21 of 89 PageID# 13120

	Page 64
1	Q in order to make it viable for the
2	kind of test that's going to be performed?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And are you saying that, for HIV, there
5	are currently not the capabilities to do those
6	types of preservation of the specimen within
7	theater?
8	A At most levels of care within theater.
9	So if I can I explain to you
10	Q Sure.
11	A the different roles of care for
12	patients in theater?
13	Q Yes.
14	A So we have role one capabilities, which
15	is our most minimal capability for care, which is
16	usually at the front line where the unit is in
17	very near to combat operations. So that
18	essentially is what we typically call it as a
19	battalion aid station. It's a tented facility
20	that really is designed for acute trauma care,
21	life lifesaving care to get them to the next
22	level of care. So it's run by, most of the time,
23	a PA, sometimes a medical physician, and a handful
24	of medics, to sometimes include, like, a senior
25	medic. So there's that level of care which really

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 22 of 89 PageID# 13121

Page 65 typically has little to no lab testing capability 1 2. at all. So the next level of care --3 I'm sorry. Follow-up question there. Ο 4 Α Yes. 5 6 0 You said lab testing capability. 7 Α Correct. Is that differentiated from the actual 8 Ο 9 draw of the blood? 10 They kind of go hand in hand because you Α 11 wouldn't draw somebody's blood if you weren't 12 going to be able to test it from there. 13 Q So that's part of my question, is can --14 Α Oh, you're saying --15 Can blood be shipped -- can a specimen be 0 16 shipped to a different location? 17 Yes, but you would need to know -- you Α 18 would need to be able to process that blood at 19 that role one facility. And those capabilities 20 are typically not in place. 21 The processing capabilities --0 2.2 Α Correct. 23 -- for the draw? 0 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Okay. Go ahead. You were going to talk

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 23 of 89 PageID# 13122

Page 66

1 about, I think, role two.

2	A Okay. But if I could also clarify. So
3	processing typically what it involves is
4	spinning down the blood to separate it out
5	between there's different, you know,
6	compartments of the blood. There's plasma, serum,
7	and there's actually whole, you know, red blood
8	cells. So they separate that out. Then they
9	typically need to freeze it, particularly for HIV
10	testing. They freeze it to minus 20 degrees
11	Celsius. And that capability is not present at
12	role one facilities.
13	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
14	Q Thank you. Let's talk about the next
15	level of care.
16	A Sure. So the next level of care is
17	role two facilities. That is typically run by a
18	medical company, which could be anywhere from, you
19	know, 30 to as many as, like, a hundred personnel
20	in that medical company. It includes essentially
21	the capability maybe to hold somebody for a 24 to
22	maybe 48-hour period, depending on the type of
23	environment you're working in. We also include
24	our forward surgical teams as kind of a role two
25	facility. And that's they provide acute

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 24 of 89 PageID# 13123

1	surgical care fairly close to the front line. And
2	so they're considered, just because of their
3	surgical capabilities, a role two level of care.
4	Again, at role two facilities, very
5	limited laboratory services as well. Maybe
6	chemistries, like checking somebody's electrolytes
7	or I I can't speak to the exact lab assets
8	at the role two, but they're fairly limited and
9	your more, like, benign, day-to-day lab testing
10	capabilities.
11	Q And then so they also would not have
12	the capability of processing the blood, spinning
13	it down, as you say?
14	A For the most part. Again, it probably
15	depends on what assets they decide to deploy with
16	in a given circumstance, but typically not.
17	Q Can you talk about the next level of
18	care?
19	A So role three is the next level of care,
20	and that's your combat support hospitals, which
21	are in the deployed setting, they're usually at
22	major hubs of military bases in the deployed
23	setting. So, for example, in our current theater
24	in Afghanistan, we've got role three facilities in
25	Bagram and I think also in Kandahar. And that's

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 25 of 89 PageID# 13124

Page 69

current theater of operations, their ability to 1 2 fly aircraft in and out to transport specimen. Historically, and what we've seen, what 3 I've seen over the past, you know, ten years now, 4 is that it's typically at -- the least amount of 5 6 time we've seen is about 28 days to get a result 7 for an HIV test, an HIV -- and that's an HIV 8 antibody test from theater. 9 And you don't have the -- any type of 0 metric by which to measure the other types of 10 11 testing related to an HIV follow-up because you're 12 not generally currently doing those kinds of 13 tests; is that correct? 14 Α Correct, yeah. 15 How would a 28-day delay in receiving the 0 results of the test affect the ability to monitor 16 17 a person's HIV while in a deployed setting? 18 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation. 19 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: I just want to make sure I 2.2 understand. So we're assuming somebody who 23 already has HIV infection in the deployed setting, 24 if they needed to get blood work to --25 BY MR. SCHOETTES:

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 26 of 89 PageID# 13125

Page 70

Yeah. So --1 0 2 Α I just want to make sure we're talking about the same --3 Yeah. Ο 4 Α -- scenario. 5 6 0 Yeah. Let's assume a person who has been 7 diagnosed with HIV and they're on a regimen and they've been virally suppressed for some period of 8 9 time and relatively stable, and they're going in 10 to get their six-month evaluation. Doctor does 11 follow-up. You referred to the fact that it takes 12 a while to get the results back. Does that in any 13 way impact the validity of those results or the ability to monitor that relatively stable person 14 15 in the deployed setting? MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 16 17 speculation and compound. 18 Go ahead. 19 THE WITNESS: So we're assuming that 20 there's a lot of variables that fall into place 21 here too. So we're assuming that that individual 2.2 can get to a role three facility fairly easily 23 to -- which also depends on the combat environment 24 in a deployed setting. We're assuming that, once 25 that blood test, the lab work is drawn, we would

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 27 of 89 PageID# 13126

#### Page 71

be sending, in this case, for a viral load, which 1 2 also requires, you know, spinning down the blood, 3 freezing it to minus 20 -- so this is assuming that the cold chain for this specimen is preserved 4 as the specimen gets all the way back to the 5 6 United States, which -- we know that there's a 7 handful of times that it's -- lab specimens 8 frequently can get lost in theater or are not 9 usable by the time they get to the lab for processing. So we're assuming that all of these 10 11 variables occur successfully.

12 Then, in that setting, we're looking 13 about -- anywhere from a month to longer, depending on any hiccups in the travel of the 14 15 specimen -- because it typically goes through 16 Landstuhl in Germany and then into the United 17 States. It may be processed in Germany, but right now, our -- the algorithm is that it goes to our 18 19 HIV diagnostics and research laboratory, or HDRL, 20 in Silver Spring and Rockville, Maryland. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 21

Q Can you explain why that is? Why does it come all the way back to the United States if it could be processed in Germany, for instance? A So far as the -- I can't speak to the lab

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 28 of 89 PageID# 13127

1	capabilities in Germany. I think they actually
2	may send it out to the civilian sector in Germany
3	for some HIV testing because of their lab
4	capabilities at Landstuhl, but our for the
5	Army, and we do for the Army, our HIV
6	diagnostics and research lab that has there's a
7	few different antibody tests for HIV as well as
8	viral load testing, and those are all processed at
9	our HDRL in Maryland, in Silver Spring.
10	Q I guess I'm still wondering I
11	understand that that is what happens. Is there a
12	reason that it needs to be that way as opposed to
13	having this testing done in Germany?
14	A I'm not a lab personnel so I don't want
15	to speak on something that I'm not aware of the
16	nuanced details of, but that is our current Army
17	processing
18	Q Presumably
19	A algorithm.
20	Q Go ahead. Presumably, other places know
21	how to do this testing that is required for people
22	living with HIV and it could be done in Germany.
23	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Argumentative.
24	Calls for speculation.
25	Go ahead.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 29 of 89 PageID# 13128

1	THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm sure, you know,
2	different European countries are probably verv
3	savvy at obtaining a viral load and the
4	pavvy at obtaining a vitar road and the
4	appropriate HIV diagnostics, but the Army does not
5	have an agreement with any of these countries,
6	SO
7	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
8	Q And is that what would be required, some
9	type of agreement?
10	A I don't know. That's not my thing.
11	Q Okay. Going back to my original
12	question, which is, let's say the physician who is
13	conducting this follow-up evaluation receives
14	these results 28 days after the blood is drawn, or
15	even 45 days or 60 days
16	A Sure.
17	Q what effect would that lapse of time
18	have on their ability to effectively monitor the
19	individuals with HIV?
20	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for
21	speculation. It's outside the scope of what this
22	witness is being offered to testify about.
23	Go ahead.
24	THE WITNESS: So, I mean, it's the
25	effects are you know, there are several

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 30 of 89 PageID# 13129

1	different, you know, effects that can occur in
2	that span of it depends on a lot of variables,
3	too. It depends on was that service member taking
4	his medication regularly. If he had been
5	somewhere where he lost access to his
6	antiretroviral regimen and, say, it took him a
7	month to two months to get back to this role three
8	facility to actually get his labs drawn, I mean,
9	in that span of time, he probably had a fairly
10	high viral load and, in that setting, depending on
11	what's going on in that combat environment, that
12	might affect his ability to perform his job. It
13	certainly would become an issue in an emergent
14	blood transfusion setting.
15	I mean, there's it depends on the
16	scenario and it depends on a lot of different
17	variables again.
18	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
19	Q And I want to talk about all those
20	things, but I'm still not hearing the doctor
21	who is evaluating this individual, I want to know
22	what effect the delay in receiving those test
23	results has on that doctor's ability to monitor
24	this individual.
25	MS. BERMAN: Same objections.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 31 of 89 PageID# 13130

Page 76 medication, that it would be important to get that 1 individual back on their medication. 2 Α Yes. 3 And that would not be reliant upon the --Ο 4 getting the test results from that patient, 5 6 correct? 7 Α Correct. So I want to know what the effect would 8 Ο 9 be on the doctor's ability to provide the type of 10 monitoring and care that the doctor is being asked to provide if they got the labs back 30 days 11 12 later, 45 days later? 13 MS. BERMAN: Same objections and asked 14 and answered. 15 You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't see an effect to 16 17 the doctor managing the patient in that setting. 18 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 19 You talked about -- would it likely Q 20 result in excessive time lost from duty for an 21 individual with HIV to get to a role three medical 2.2 facility twice a year? 23 So again, I think you're mixing up -- so А a role three medical facility in our current Army 24 25 policy does not have an infectious disease

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 32 of 89 PageID# 13131

1	an a siglist there are the second duration as the s
T	specialist there. So they would never go to a
2	a role three facility, that's only what we talk
3	about in the deployed setting.
4	In the United States, we have role four
5	facilities, which include all of our major medical
6	treatment facilities where, at the most of them
7	infectious disease specialists reside.
8	Q Can you tell can you describe a
9	role four medical facility?
10	A Yeah. So I can use Walter Reed, where
11	I work, for example, is a role four military
12	treatment facility. Has, you know, robust
13	services that you would expect in any other
14	civilian hospital in the United States, great
15	laboratory capabilities, radiology capabilities,
16	primary care and subspecialty care capabilities.
17	Q So does the Army believe that an
18	individual living with HIV must return to the
19	United States from a deployed setting in order to
20	get their six-month follow-up evaluation?
21	A Currently, yes.
22	Q And I understand that that's the current
23	policy, but what I'm asking is, is there any
24	reason why an individual living with HIV would not
25	be able to get the kind of follow-up care they

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 89 PageID# 13132

1	need at a role three facility?
2	A Yes. So again, it depends on what lab
3	capabilities they have at the role three facility.
4	And not every primary care physician is
5	knowledgeable and knows how to treat a patient
6	with HIV infection. So it really is dependent on
7	exactly who is at that role three facility and
8	their comfort and their experience with managing
9	an HIV-infected patient.
10	Q And that includes the type of follow-up
11	care we're talking about for an individual who has
12	well-controlled HIV?
13	A Yes.
14	Q A primary care physician couldn't be
15	expected to handle that type of care?
16	A No, it's not they couldn't be expected.
17	It's just some some are more savvy and
18	knowledgeable about our newest HIV regimens and
19	some are not at all, have no experience. So it
20	really depends on the individual experience level
21	of that provider.
22	Q And you're saying that kind of training
23	potentially could be provided to those providers?
24	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes
25	the testimony.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 34 of 89 PageID# 13133

Page 86 that could be deemed an excessive loss of time for 1 2 that unit. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 3 Are soldiers with HIV medically capable 0 4 of satisfactorily completing required training? 5 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 6 7 speculation. 8 You can answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. Assuming they are 10 well controlled and otherwise asymptomatic 11 HIV-infected service members, yes. 12 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 13 Ο Are HIV-positive soldiers -- I'm sorry. Is an HIV-positive soldier adaptable to the 14 Yes. 15 military environment without the necessity of geographic area limitations? 16 17 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 18 speculation. 19 THE WITNESS: Currently, no. 20 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 21 Ο And why is that? 2.2 Currently, because we do not deploy Α 23 service members into combat operations or 24 contingency operations. They are -- for the various variables that we've already discussed, it 25

1	depends on the austerity of the environment, the
2	access to laboratory capabilities and medical
3	service capabilities, the access to pharmacy
4	capabilities should that service member require
5	refills of his medications or lose his
6	medications.
7	And I would also add the confidentiality
8	issue that we had already discussed as well.
9	Q So we already talked about the access to
10	care and we talked about the confidentiality
11	provision. Let's talk about the austerity of the
12	environment. What factors influence an individual
13	living with HIV in terms of the austerity of the
14	environment?
15	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.
16	THE WITNESS: So when we talk about
17	austere environments, so it depends on, you
18	know, again, the austerity in the environment kind
19	of goes hand in hand with what capabilities are
20	available in that environment.
21	If all I guess I'll I can use an
22	example from personal experience. Being deployed
23	in the middle of the desert in Afghanistan only
24	next to a role one facility that has essentially
25	no diagnostic laboratory capabilities and no

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 36 of 89 PageID# 13135

1	access to pharmaceuticals for treatment of HIV
2	infection at that role one, outside of a short
3	supply of antiretrovirals for use as PEP if
4	needed so that's a pretty austere environment
5	where there's a lot of variables that could come
6	into play where an HIV-infected service member
7	might need care at that role one and not be able
8	to receive it.
9	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
10	Q So that's what I I what I want to
11	know about is what those factors are. So I
12	understand and I want to set aside access to
13	care and access to medication. We're going to
14	talk about that in a moment. And I just want to
15	know what the austere environment factors,
16	environmental factors, are that would create a
17	need for more immediate care.
18	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.
19	You can answer.
20	THE WITNESS: I guess I mean,
21	that's I kind of lump all of this access to
22	austerity, if you get what I'm saying. Are you
23	talking about, like, extremes in temperature,
24	extremes in the you know, just maybe staying up
25	for 48 hours straight without sleep?

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 37 of 89 PageID# 13136

Page 102 1 You can answer. 2. THE WITNESS: To clarify, this is assuming on a deployment? 3 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 4 Yes, I'm sorry. 5 0 If -- on a deployment, the service member 6 Α 7 would be given whatever number of medications they needed to span that deployment, in most cases. 8 9 0 How long are the longest deployments? 10 Α The longest deployments currently are 11 slated as nine-month deployments, but it varies 12 based on combat operations. 13 So for a nine-month deployment, the Q soldier would be given 270 days' worth of 14 15 medication, approximately? 16 Α Yes. 17 If a deployed soldier's medications were Ο 18 lost, stolen or destroyed, how would they be 19 provided with a replacement supply? 20 That depends on the scenario and where А they're located in -- in theater. 21 2.2 Can we do it for each -- for someone with 0 23 a role one, someone with a -- a soldier with a role two and then a soldier near a role three? 24 25 Α Sure.
1	Q Okay.
2	A So at a role one facility, there would be
3	no pharmaceutical capability to immediately
4	replenish that medication supply. The role one
5	provider would probably reach out to a role three
6	facility, the closest role three, and ask for
7	their capability to supply an immediate course of
8	that medication until that service member can
9	actually order it through TRICARE Express Scripts
10	and have that new shipment sent out to him.
11	Q How long would it likely take for the
12	supply at the role three to reach a soldier at a
13	role one?
14	A Again, that completely depends on combat
15	operations at the time, whether there's no-fly
16	restrictions, what that unit is doing. If they're
17	out in the field somewhere and not even going back
18	to that role one battalion aid station for a week
19	or so, then it might span anywhere from 48 hours
20	to get that supply to them out to a couple of
21	weeks to a month, depending on what they're doing.
22	Q Are there currently any HIV medications
23	that are stocked or on the formulary within
24	theaters of operations?
25	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.

1	You can answer if you know.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. There are usually
3	stockpiles for PEP of HIV medications, so for
4	post-exposure prophylaxis, but it usually is as
5	minimal as, like, one course of PEP. So like a
6	28-day supply of an antiretroviral regimen.
7	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
8	Q So a change in the policy that would
9	allow soldiers living with HIV to deploy would
10	require a change to the formularies to include HIV
11	treatment regimens, correct?
12	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for
13	speculation. It's outside the scope of what this
14	witness is being offered to testify about.
15	But you can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
18	Q I didn't let you finish before. We
19	talked about a role one a soldier at a role one
20	unit, but what about a soldier in a role two unit
21	or at a role three unit?
22	MS. BERMAN: Can you clarify which
23	question?
24	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
25	Q Yeah. So we were talking about how a

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 40 of 89 PageID# 13139

Page 105

1	soldier who living with HIV who had lost
2	actually, scratch the HIV part. Try again.
3	If a deployed soldier's medications are
4	lost, stolen or destroyed, how are they provided
5	with a replacement supply? You indicated that it
6	would depend in part on the type of medical
7	facility to which they were adjacent. So could
8	you please answer the question with respect to
9	role two and role three facilities?
10	A Yes. So similar to a role one, a
11	role two facility would have to likely reach out
12	to a role three facility and see if that
13	medication was readily available at that pharmacy
14	there. And if if so, they would give them a
15	temporary supply, typically like a 30-day supply
16	or so, until Express Scripts can actually deliver
17	a that medication to that service member's
18	location.
19	Q And then if they were adjacent to a
20	role three facility, I'm assuming that they would
21	be able to get it readily from that role three
22	facility if they had the medication in stock?
23	A That's correct. So if they had that
24	particular medication at that role three, it would
25	be given to them.

Veritext Legal Solutions

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 41 of 89 PageID# 13140

Page 106 Until they were able to get their full 1 0 2 prescription from Express Scripts? 3 Α Correct. How long approximately does it take for 4 0 an Express Scripts prescription medication to 5 6 reach a soldier at a role one facility, or at a 7 post with a role one medical facility? 8 Α So that completely depends on the 9 location of that role one facility. If -- it 10 depends on how remote it is and what the -- what the plane flight route is of Express Scripts. 11 And 12 that could be very variable. 13 Can you give us a range? Can you give me Q 14 a range? 15 A range would be anywhere from two weeks А 16 out to over a month. 17 Q Can you put a top end on -- you say over 18 a month. How much over a month? 19 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation. 21 THE WITNESS: I mean, again, I can't -- I 2.2 can't give you an upper range because it depends on -- if that unit is hunkered down somewhere and 23 24 engaged in combat with the enemy, they're not 25 going to fly an Express Scripts shipment in to a

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 42 of 89 PageID# 13141

1	service member. So I mean, it could be
2	outwards of a month to I can't put a top end
3	range to it.
4	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
5	Q It could be more than two months?
6	A Sure.
7	Q It could be more than three months?
8	A Potentially. Unlikely, but potentially.
9	Q So would three months be a top-end range?
10	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes
11	the testimony.
12	THE WITNESS: I can't definitively give
13	you a top-end range because I don't know I
14	can't account for every variable that could occur
15	in that setting.
16	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
17	Q But more than three months is unlikely?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Is more than two months unlikely?
20	A Less likely than more than three months.
21	Less unlikely than more than three months.
22	Q Do antiretroviral medications have any
23	storage or handling restrictions?
24	A So all medications that are FDA-approved
25	come with a package insert that lists storage

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 43 of 89 PageID# 13142

Page 108 requirements. And antiretrovirals are no 1 exclusion to that. 2 3 Are they -- do HIV antiretroviral 0 medications have any special storage or handling 4 restrictions that are not -- that are out of the 5 6 ordinary in some way? 7 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague. 8 You can answer. 9 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. 10 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 11 Do they tolerate -- do any of them Ο 12 require refrigeration? 13 Α Not any of the commonly used regimens that we use today. 14 15 Ο Do they tolerate heat relatively well? MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague. 16 17 You can answer. THE WITNESS: As well as antimalarials or 18 19 other medications we take in a deployed setting. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 20 21 0 Do they tolerate cold very well? 2.2 MS. BERMAN: Same objection. 23 THE WITNESS: Same response. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 24 25 Q I'm going to move on to topics 24 and 25.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 44 of 89 PageID# 13143

1	You described earlier concerns over transmission
2	via the blood supply. Are soldiers who have been
3	diagnosed with HIV told that they are not to
4	donate blood?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Given that soldiers are told not to
7	donate blood, what are the concerns with
8	transmission via a donation from a soldier living
9	with HIV?
10	A So the biggest concern that we've seen
11	actually has been concerns for the soldier
12	breaching confidentiality of his HIV status to
13	fellow service members. And we have seen cases
14	at least one case that I am aware of of a
15	service member who attempted to donate blood
16	within the United States who knew he was
17	HIV-infected and was flagged by the blood bank,
18	because we have very good blood testing
19	capabilities for HIV infection in the United
20	States. And that was brought to the attention of
21	the Army public health or the I believe this
22	was a marine soldier, so it was a whatever
23	public the public health service for that
24	governs them. And it was also brought to the
25	soldier's attention too. They notified the

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 45 of 89 PageID# 13144

Page 110 individual donating blood, obviously. 1 2 Q And you said that was done in the United States --3 Uh-huh. Α 4 -- where the requisite testing is done on 5 Ο 6 the blood to identify a bloodborne pathogen like 7 HIV, correct? 8 Α Correct. 9 And that's how the blood was flagged and Ο discarded, correct? 10 11 Correct. Α 12 In the setting of deployment, what kind 0 13 of testing is done on the blood that is drawn for transfusion? 14 15 Α So in a deployed setting, in preparation 16 for the potential of a need for emergent blood 17 transfusions, there's kind of two groups of 18 personnel. So there's a blood donor pool, so 19 people who sign up at the start of the deployment 20 or prior to deployment and say, yeah, I'm going to 21 be ready and willing to donate blood if needed. 2.2 And then there's also an emergent blood donor pool, so folks who are asked on the spot, hey, we 23 24 need blood, you weren't in the original donor 25 pool, can you emergently give us blood?

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 46 of 89 PageID# 13145

1	So there's kind of two separate pools.
2	That first pool is screened, obviously, for HIV,
3	hepatitis B, hepatitis C, all the potential
4	bloodborne transmitted infections at when they
5	sign up for that, to be in that donor pool. And
6	then as there's the guidance says there's
7	attempts to get them rescreened throughout the
8	deployment, but that is typically not possible,
9	so but they are, you know, it's a pool that we
10	know at the start of this deployment they are HIV
11	uninfected, or hepatitis B and C uninfected.
12	Q And presumably someone who was diagnosed
13	with HIV would not volunteer to become a part of
14	the blood donor pool, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for
17	speculation.
18	THE WITNESS: Correct.
19	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
20	Q The second pool that you talked about, is
21	that sometimes referred to as the walking blood
22	bank?
23	A Correct.
24	Q What kind of testing is done on the blood
25	drawn for the as a part of the walking blood

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 47 of 89 PageID# 13146

Page 112 bank? 1 2 Α So there are attempts to test for 3 hepatitis B, hepatitis C and HIV in those settings. Those tests are not always run reliably 4 before blood is transfused in the activation of a 5 6 walking blood bank. 7 And can -- why is that? 0 It's based on the emergence of the need 8 Α 9 for blood. So each of those tests take 10 approximately 15 minutes to run, to get results, 11 and sometimes you don't have 15 minutes before you 12 need to give somebody blood. 13 Now, anyone who is participating in the Q walking blood bank is presumably asked to consent 14 15 to giving blood, correct? 16 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 17 speculation. 18 You can answer. 19 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, there's no 20 written consent when a walking blood bank is 21 activated. 2.2 BY MR. SCHOETTES: No soldier is asked to donate blood 23 0 against his will? 24 25 Α No.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 48 of 89 PageID# 13147

Page 113 So a soldier has the ability to decline 1 0 to donate blood? 2 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 3 speculation. 4 You can answer. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. But you can imagine 7 how that's perceived by the unit in a setting of a MASCAL if a soldier declines to give blood for a 8 9 fellow service member. 10 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 11 But it is -- my question remains, it is Ο 12 possible for a soldier to decline to give blood. 13 Α Yes. And then there's a concern that you've 14 Ο 15 expressed with something that happened in the United States, that a solder, in an effort to 16 17 maintain confidentiality around his HIV status, 18 might choose to give blood even though he knows he has HIV? 19 20 Α Correct. 21 Would there be a method for a soldier to 0 indicate or identify that his blood was not to be 2.2 23 used for transfusion even though it had been donated as there is in the United States for 24 25 people in similar situations?

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 49 of 89 PageID# 13148

1	Let me give you an example. They're
2	doing a blood drive at work at a place of
3	employment. And there's a person living with HIV
4	who doesn't want to be seen as not who wants
5	his employees [sic] to believe that he is a person
6	who would give blood, but he doesn't want to
7	reveal his HIV status. And lots of blood
8	collection centers have a box you can check that
9	says, don't use this blood.
10	Is there any mechanism or would it be
11	possible for a soldier to indicate that so that
12	their blood would not be used?
13	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Form. And
14	compound.
15	But you can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, there is
17	no mechanism in place, but that would certainly
18	not be feasible to fill out a checklist to donate
19	blood if it was needed urgently in a MASCAL
20	scenario.
21	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
22	Q Is there are there other
23	individuals are there other reasons why a
24	person could not donate blood?
25	A Yes.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 50 of 89 PageID# 13149

1	A Correct.
2	Q This sentence says, "Some HIV-infected,
3	virally suppressed patients on ART will develop
4	illnesses associated with premature aging."
5	Do you know that to be true?
6	A Yes. There's quite a bit of literature
7	to demonstrate that HIV-infected service members
8	are more likely to develop cardiovascular disease,
9	osteoporosis, yes.
10	Q Do you know at what how long it takes
11	for those illnesses to develop?
12	A It's very variable. It depends on a lot
13	of different factors.
14	Q When it talks about premature aging, is
15	there an age at which we would start to see that
16	kind of premature aging or is it contingent
17	upon well, I'll just stop there. Is there a
18	particular age at which we would be likely to see
19	these illnesses associated with premature aging
20	for virally suppressed, HIV-positive people?
21	A No, it's very variable.
22	Q Is there a time from infection, amount of
23	time from infection, that would be an indication
24	of when we might expect to see illnesses
25	associated with premature aging?

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 51 of 89 PageID# 13150

Page 149 Again, it's variable on several factors. 1 А 2 Q Can you tell me what those factors are? 3 So if somebody is taking their ART Α regularly, is probably the biggest factor, how 4 well controlled their HIV is. However, on the 5 flip side, there's also bodies of literature that 6 7 express concern about certain ART regimens and their effects on the cardiovascular system as 8 9 well. 10 So I just want to pause for a moment and 0 11 say --12 And osteoporosis. I'm sorry. Α 13 Ο -- that in the sentence, though, it talks about someone virally suppressed. 14 15 Α Okay. 16 So wouldn't that indicate that that Ο 17 person is regularly taking their HIV medications? 18 Α Yes. So that wouldn't be a factor for that 19 Ο 20 individual. 21 Α Correct. 2.2 But now I heard you say the regimen Q 23 they're on could affect when we might see the 24 possibility of illnesses associated with premature 25 aging, correct?

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 52 of 89 PageID# 13151

1	that I have seen this individual study.
2	Q Okay. The next sentence admits that,
3	"The impact of these potential neurocognitive
4	impairments on a service member's readiness,
5	resilience and/or retention is currently unknown."
6	Would you agree with that statement?
7	A Yes.
8	Q The next sentence talks about the
9	HIV-positive population on "As the HIV-positive
10	population on ART ages" ART is capitalized,
11	A-R-T "there is greater recognition that
12	cerebrovascular disease risk factors such as
13	hypertension, diabetes and hypercholesterolemia
14	may become risk factors for cognitive impairment."
15	Are those also risk factors for cognitive
16	impairment for people who are not HIV-positive?
17	A Yes. They certainly are.
18	Q So right now, we're not sure the extent
19	to which HIV-positive service members will be
20	disproportionately impacted with neurocognitive
21	impairments; is that correct?
22	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Again, he's
23	the scope of his testimony will only be for the
24	Army. And also this calls for speculation.
25	But you can answer.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 53 of 89 PageID# 13152

1	A Yes, that's correct. But even
2	Q What other
3	A Even in virally suppressed patients there
4	is evidence to show that HIV-infected persons,
5	even with suppressed viral loads, still have
6	whether it's related to an increased inflammatory
7	state in their body or their immune system, for
8	lack of a better term, is ramped up to control the
9	HIV virus, that that has effects on the
10	cardiovascular system and other organ systems as
11	well.
12	Q Which is indeed what this sentence says,
13	"Some HIV-infected virally suppressed patients on
14	ART will develop illnesses associated with
15	premature aging."
16	A Yes.
17	Q So what I'm trying to understand is, are
18	there factors what the factors are that you
19	described that would influence if that happened?
20	One thing you said would be the treatment regimen
21	that they were on. Are there other factors that
22	would influence when we might see that?
23	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Form.
24	You can answer.
25	THE WITNESS: So I had mentioned the

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 54 of 89 PageID# 13153

1	body's own kind of inflammatory state. So there's
2	various inflammatory chemicals in the body,
3	cytokines in particular and interleukins and these
4	different factors that a lot of research has
5	looked at their role in inducing conditions like
6	cardiovascular disease, renal disease,
7	osteoporosis in the setting of HIV infection.
8	So even with a suppressed viral load,
9	perhaps, the thought is and some of the
10	research is showing that these this ramp-up of
11	these inflammatory factors are affecting an
12	individual's cardiovascular system or bone system
13	or renal system.
14	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
15	Q Am I is it correct that those
16	response the level of those responses may vary
17	from individual to individual?
18	A Yes, correct.
19	Q So that would be another factor is how
20	a particular person's response, immune system
21	response in terms of these inflammatory
22	conditions?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Is there other factors that would
25	influence how rapidly one might see the onset of

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 55 of 89 PageID# 13154

1	these illnesses associated with premature aging?
2	A So the other, you know, very common
3	comorbid factors to a lot of Americans: Smoking,
4	alcohol use, lifestyle habits. Those, combined
5	with HIV infection, might portend a higher
б	likelihood of development of cardiovascular
7	disease and osteoporosis.
8	Q Anything else?
9	A Not that I can think of right now.
10	Q Further down, it talks about, "Some
11	patients may experience a fluctuating course of
12	neurocognitive impairments over time, including
13	symptom normalization; however, it is unknown
14	whether these changes reflect biological
15	alterations induced by responses to (or failures)
16	of ART, or occur independently of viral load and
17	changes to ART regimens."
18	So we're still figuring this all out. Is
19	that an accurate statement?
20	A Yes. That's very accurate.
21	Q And some of this is speculative as to how
22	these things are associated?
23	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.
24	THE WITNESS: Some of it is could be
25	speculative, but a lot of it is based on studies

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 56 of 89 PageID# 13155

1	that have been done as well. So it's I think
2	there's data on both sides of the spectrum so far,
3	and we're waiting for more data to make firmer
4	firmer conclusions from this.
5	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
6	Q Actually, I'm going to go back to the
7	factors. You did not identify length of time that
8	the person has been infected with HIV. Is that
9	not a factor in whether we you would see
10	illnesses associated with premature aging in that
11	individual?
12	A There are studies that have shown length
13	of time on ART is more likelihood of
14	development of cardiovascular disease. And the
15	issue is, is it due to the HIV itself or is it due
16	to a bunch of regimens that that person had seen
17	over the course of their HIV illness, and is it an
18	ART-related issue or is it both? I mean,
19	there's
20	Q Is it also possible that the onset of the
21	illnesses associated with premature aging could be
22	affected by the regimen used on a particular
23	individual?
24	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Asked and
25	answered.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 57 of 89 PageID# 13156

Page 154 1 You can answer. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 3 Are some of the people that are now aging 4 0 5 with HIV, did they take regimens that are no longer used? 6 7 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 8 speculation. 9 You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 12 And we have newer medications to treat 0 13 HIV on a fairly regular basis, correct? 14 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 15 speculation. 16 You can answer. 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 18 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 19 And because we're not sure exactly what 0 20 the cause of this is, no one can say whether the 21 newer regimens will have the same types of effect as some of the older regimens, correct? 2.2 23 The newer regimens have not А Correct. 24 been around long enough either for us to have that body of data to show. So I think time will tell 25

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 58 of 89 PageID# 13157

Page 155

any potential long-term side effects of our newer
 regimens.

Q How many regimens, if you know, have been phased out of use over the course of the 35 years of the HIV epidemic?

6 A So there have been a handful of 7 antiretroviral medications that are no longer 8 being produced and have certainly been taken off 9 of our formulary within the military health care 10 system. A couple of them -- like didanosine and 11 stavudine are two that come to the top of my mind.

12 Q And, before, you talked about first-line 13 regimens and second-line regimens. Are there 14 third-line regimens?

A So I believe I would have to refresh my memory of the DHHS guidelines for ART treatment, but I believe they list them as first-line and then alternative regimens, there's a category for. And that includes, I think, all of the -- and then there are -- there is a not recommended category as well for ART.

Q And the two medications that you just identified would fall into the not recommended category at this point?

25

A They would, yes.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 59 of 89 PageID# 13158

Page 156

1 And how many medications are in the not 0 2 recommended category at this point? 3 I would be speculating off the top of my А head, but I'm sure it's a handful. 4 5 There's a sentence here that says, 0 Okav. "A longitudinal cohort observation study found 6 7 that numerous patients with asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI), even with a 8 9 suppressed plasma viral load, eventually developed 10 symptomatic neurocognitive impairment." 11 Are you familiar with the longitudinal 12 cohort observation study referenced there? 13 Α Perhaps not this exact study, but I am aware of different studies that have looked at 14 15 this and have shown that this is to be the case, 16 that even HIV-infected service -- or personnel 17 with suppressed viral loads can still demonstrate 18 asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment. 19 Would you look to page 30 and it's -- the 0 20 longitudinal study is identified there. 21 Α Reference 8. 2.2 Yes. Is this one with which you are 0 23 familiar? Again, I'd have to see the exact -- I'd 2.4 Α have to see the article to -- to really confirm 25

Page 158

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I would say that's
2	correct.
3	BY MR. SCHOETTES:

Q Is there any reason the Army would not be able to identify and address neurocognitive impairments in HIV-positive people just as it would in the population not living with HIV?

A So the diagnosis of HAND, HIV-associated
9 neurocognitive disorder, is fairly complicated.
10 It's actually -- by definition, it's a clinical
11 diagnosis. We don't have MRI imaging or
12 electroencephalogram -- EEG -- readouts that say,
13 yes, based on this study, this person definitively
14 has HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder.

15 It's based on more of what's called 16 neuro -- neuropsychiatric testing or 17 neuropsychological testing profiles that are 18 pretty complex and have a lot of different variables to them. So it's more of a clinical 19 20 diagnosis based on that testing. And we use brain 21 imaging, like MRIs and EEGs and lumbar punctures, 2.2 to rule out other infections, to make sure we're 23 not missing another diagnosis. 2.4 So it's very -- bottom line, it's very --

25 it's a very unique testing panel that goes into

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 61 of 89 PageID# 13160

Page 159

1

formal diagnoses of HAND.

2	Q So that's specific with respect to HAND,
3	which is HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder,
4	which, I'm assuming, a person who is not living
5	with HIV would not have could not have. So
6	what I actually am asking is just neurocognitive
7	impairments as they occur in the general
8	population, is there any reason why the
9	neurocognitive impairments that might be the
10	result of HAND could not be addressed in the same
11	way as neurocognitive impairments that occur in
12	the general population?
13	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Assumes facts
14	not in evidence.
15	You can answer the question.
16	THE WITNESS: I don't think so. I'm a
17	little confused, though, at what you're trying to
18	ask.
19	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
20	Q Well, I'm assuming that the Army has some
21	way of identifying and addressing neurocognitive
22	impairments that might occur to service members in
23	general. Correct?
24	A I mean, we do neuropsychologic testing
25	is our battery that is performed for most cases of

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 62 of 89 PageID# 13161

1	neurocognitive impairment, whether it's
2	HIV-related or not. So so in answer to your
3	question, yes, there is a way to identify that.
4	Q And what I'm asking is, is there any
5	reason that HIV-associated neurocognitive
6	impairment would not be diagnosed using those
7	tests in the same way?
8	A The only issue is that there's a lot of
9	unknowns, as this is stating, regarding, you know,
10	the extent of neurocognitive impairment and, you
11	know, further details of exactly the
12	pathophysiology and the mechanism of what's
13	causing this neurocognitive impairment.
14	So I think I think that's what
15	you're I mean, so that's the issue that I have,
16	I guess, is that
17	Q Yeah.
18	A based on what they are saying in here
19	as well, that there's there's a lot of unknowns
20	regarding this. So giving everybody a formal
21	diagnosis of, yes, you have neurocognitive
22	impairment or, no, you do not, I don't think it's
23	as it's not black and white because of all
24	these unknowns.
25	Q And I understand, in terms of a diagnosis

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 63 of 89 PageID# 13162

1	and being able to say it is HIV-associated or not
2	and I guess what I'm asking is, to the extent
3	that it's having an impact on a person's memory,
4	concentration, attention, motor skills, it seems
5	to me that that could be diagnosed in a person
6	with HIV or without HIV in the same manner. Am I
7	correct?
8	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Form.
9	You can answer.
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know how to best
11	answer that, to be honest with you. I mean, I
12	a service member without HIV infection who had
13	these similar symptoms would undergo similar
14	testing, if that answers your question.
15	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
16	Q Yeah. So I guess what I'm asking is, you
17	offered neurocognitive impairments as a reason why
18	HIV-positive individuals should not be deployed or
19	are not fit to serve. And I'm asking why, given
20	its relatively low occurrence in all populations,
21	why wouldn't it just be diagnosed as if the person
22	didn't have HIV, and addressed as a neurocognitive
23	impairment separately from the fact that the
24	person has HIV?
25	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Mischaracterizes

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 64 of 89 PageID# 13163

Page 162

1 the testimony. And form.

THE WITNESS: I see what you're -- I think I see what you're saying. The issue with -regardless of HIV infection, if we take that even out of the picture here, whether somebody has HIV infection or not, it's still a clinical diagnosis. So it's fairly subjective based on the results of testing.

9 So there's certainly potential for some people to get diagnosed, some people not to get 10 11 diagnosed formally. Right? So I guess in that 12 setting, it's not -- again, it's not a black or 13 white, like, you obviously have neurocognitive impairment, we're not going to deploy you, versus 14 15 you're cleared -- you're cleared to deploy, if 16 that's what you're...

17 BY MR. SCHOETTES:

18 Q Do you know what the current prevalence 19 of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder is among 20 the population in the military living with HIV?

A In the military living with HIV overall, no, I don't know that. So when you talk about HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder, it's a spectrum, so everything from asymptomatic neurocognitive disorder to HIV-associated

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 65 of 89 PageID# 13164

1	dementia. So every and there's a couple, you
2	know, gray areas between there.
3	So I mean, it's kind of obviously, you
4	can see that if somebody has asymptomatic
5	neurocognitive disorder, it's very hard to
6	calculate that because they're not demonstrating
7	any obvious symptoms or signs to suggest that. So
8	it's
9	Q And is that the reason why you don't have
10	an estimate of prevalence within the military or
11	is that why you don't have an estimate across the
12	general population?
13	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Compound. Lack
14	of foundation.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: Exactly the latter, that we
17	don't have a good estimate of that nationwide, let
18	alone within the military.
19	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
20	Q And do you have an estimate of the
21	percentage of the population with HIV in the
22	military who are exhibiting symptoms in a way that
23	has impacted their ability to perform their
24	duties?
25	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 66 of 89 PageID# 13165

Page 175 "Pharmaceutical supplies intended for emergency 1 2 nPEP will not be compromised for PrEP." 3 So nPEP is, as you said, nonoccupational exposures. So nPEP is kept on hand for potential 4 5 sexual exposures? 6 А So I think this could classify as nPEP or 7 PEP, you know, in general, needle stick exposures. Like I had mentioned before, we do keep --8 9 currently the pharmacies that are in OCONUS settings keep a short supply of antiretrovirals --10 and it's typically Truvada plus another 11 12 antiretroviral -- for use as PEP and -- or nPEP if 13 needed --14 Ο Okay. And nPEP --15 Α -- for these situations. So -- I guess I'm wondering how nPEP is 16 Ο 17 used in the military. I'm imagining potentially after a sexual assault? 18 19 Α Correct. 20 Is it ever used for someone who comes in 0 21 and says, I think I may have been exposed sexually 2.2 to HIV? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. But you're saying that really this Q sentence, the "n" could be taken off of PEP there? 25

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 67 of 89 PageID# 13166

Page 176 Α That's what I'm saying. 1 Yes. 2 Q Okay. I think I'm good there. You can put that document aside. Give me a second. 3 Ι need to find this document to do this part of my 4 5 outline. (Pause.) 6 7 BY MR. SCHOETTES: We're going to continue on and I will 8 Q 9 come back to this after we've taken a break. 10 I'm going to talk about topic 23 which is 11 accessions and deployment policies for other 12 conditions requiring daily medication. Are you familiar with the medical condition of 13 14 dyslipidemia? 15 Α Yes, I am. 16 What kind of treatment does dyslipidemia Ο 17 generally require? 18 Generally, it requires a А 19 cholesterol-lowering medication, typically from a 20 class we call statins. 21 Taken daily? 0 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Generally one pill? 0 24 Α Yes. 25 Q Once a day?

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 68 of 89 PageID# 13167

Page 177 Α 1 Yes. 2 Q What is the accession policy for individuals with dyslipidemia? 3 I don't believe it specifically mentions 4 Α dyslipidemia as a limiting restriction to joining 5 6 the military. 7 And if it's not listed specifically, then 0 it would not be a bar to enlisting or 8 9 commissioning? 10 Α I believe there's a line in our accessions policy that says every medication can 11 12 be looked at on an individual basis for 13 consideration for whether it merits accessioning 14 or not. 15 MS. BERMAN: Counsel, I just want to 16 reiterate that this witness is here to provide medical testimony as it applies to these 17 18 questions. We did offer someone to talk about 19 accessions in a more policy-specific way. And 20 deployment as well. 21 MR. SCHOETTES: Okay. MS. BERMAN: But you --2.2 23 MR. SCHOETTES: I just want to try to 24 understand what portion of the topic -- I mean, I don't want to waste our time if he's not 25

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 69 of 89 PageID# 13168

Page 178 testifying on the topic. 1 So... 2 MS. BERMAN: As we discussed earlier, 3 it's just -- he's going to talk about these conditions and how they might be different than 4 HIV, might be treated same or different than HIV. 5 But I think it's fine. You can --6 7 MR. SCHOETTES: Okay. 8 MS. BERMAN: -- continue your 9 questioning. 10 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 11 All right. So if a soldier is diagnosed 0 12 with dyslipidemia after enlisting or 13 commissioning, are they discharged? 14 Α No. 15 0 If a soldier is required to start taking daily medication for dyslipidemia, are they 16 17 discharged? 18 Α No. 19 What is the deployment policy for Q 20 individuals with dyslipidemia? 21 Α So I don't know exactly what the 2.2 deployment policy is with [sic] somebody with 23 dyslipidemia, but if it is very well controlled on 24 a once-daily statin regimen, they would be allowed 25 to deploy.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 70 of 89 PageID# 13169

	Page 181
1	BY MR. SCHOETTES:
2	Q And they're certainly not referred into
3	the DES automatically upon diagnosis?
4	A No.
5	Q Are you familiar with the medical
6	condition of hypothyroidism?
7	A Yes.
8	Q What kind of treatment does
9	hypothyroidism generally require?
10	A It generally requires a pill called
11	levothyroxine. It's a it's a synthetic thyroid
12	replacement medication taken once daily.
13	Q I'm going to go back for a moment. What
14	are the consequences of not taking one's
15	dyslipidemia medication?
16	A So the consequences of not taking that
17	daily, it depends on the duration of time that you
18	go without it, but your cholesterol levels
19	increase and, over time, you may be at higher risk
20	of heart disease, stroke, all the other
21	complications of dyslipidemia that goes untreated.
22	Q Including some potentially fatal
23	conditions?
24	A Yes.
25	Q So what are the consequences of untreated

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 71 of 89 PageID# 13170

1	Q And are individuals with dyslipidemia
2	supplied with their medication during
3	deployment well, let me go back.
4	How are individuals living with
5	dyslipidemia supplied with their medication during
6	deployment?
7	A So if they don't already bring a 270-day
8	or whatever duration their deployment is, supply
9	with them, they can sign up via TRICARE Express
10	Scripts to have it mailed to them throughout their
11	deployment.
12	Q If they go with 180-day supply on a
13	270-day deployment, how long into their deployment
14	before they can request a refill of their
15	prescription?
16	A I don't know the exact time frame that
17	TRICARE would mandates for you before you can
18	get a refill of a medication.
19	Q Would it take into account the fact that
20	it might take some time for that refill to get to
21	the individual who is deployed?
22	A I would hope so, but I can't tell you
23	definitively.
24	Q And if the medication of a person with
25	dyslipidemia was lost, stolen or destroyed while

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 72 of 89 PageID# 13171

Page 180 deployed, would they be resupplied in the manner 1 that we discussed earlier for all of their 2 medications? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 Besides for [sic] receiving treatment, 0 are soldiers handled -- are soldiers with 6 7 dyslipidemia handled differently in any respect? 8 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague. 9 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 10 I can ask a more specific question. 0 Are 11 individuals living with dyslipidemia referred into 12 the DES under 1332.18? 13 Α I don't know -- I don't know how to 14 exactly address that, because I would imagine it 15 would -- if they have very uncontrolled 16 dyslipidemia that -- despite being on appropriate 17 statins or other agents to reduce cholesterol, 18 then they very well may be referred to the DES. 19 And to clarify, the DES is the Disability 0 20 Evaluation System? 21 Α Correct. 2.2 MS. BERMAN: And I want to reiterate that 23 this witness is not being offered to talk about 24 retentions, so... 25 MR. SCHOETTES: Right.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 73 of 89 PageID# 13172

1	hypothyroidism?
2	A So if it goes untreated, then you
3	develop in many cases, you can develop
4	symptomatic hypothyroidism which is manifested by
5	a number of symptoms to include extreme fatigue,
6	weight gain, cold intolerance, constipation.
7	Q All things that could affect one's
8	ability to perform one's duties as a soldier,
9	correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q What is the accession policy for
12	individuals with hypothyroidism?
13	A Individuals with hypothyroidism are, if
14	it's well controlled, are allowed to accession.
15	Q And if a service member is diagnosed with
16	hypothyroidism after enlisting or commissioning,
17	are they discharged?
18	A No.
19	Q If they're required to start taking daily
20	medication for their hypothyroidism, are they
21	discharged?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you know what the deployment policy is
24	for individuals with hypothyroidism?
25	A As long as it's well controlled, then
# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 74 of 89 PageID# 13173

Page 197

1 noticeable symptoms as a result of that loss of 2 medication? 3 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Calls for 4 speculation. 5 You can answer. THE WITNESS: So that time frame is very 6 7 variable. It depends on a lot of factors that we've already discussed, in particular, the 8 9 environment that that person is working in with 10 regards to their potential exposure to environment 11 stimulants that affect the immune system. 12 Also, what that person's baseline CD4 13 count was at the time they stopped taking the 14 medication. And that person's individual immune 15 system, how it reacts to not being on 16 antiretroviral therapy for a period of time. 17 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 18 Is there a -- are you able to provide 0 19 what the average time would be before you would 20 see a significant increase in the person's viral 21 load assuming they were -- had a suppressed viral 2.2 load before the loss of medication? So there are studies that have looked at 23 Α this before, kind of what's called viral load 2.4 25 rebound, or rebound viremia, after stopping

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 75 of 89 PageID# 13174

1	antiretroviral therapy. The majority of the	
2	studies seem to show that within even as early as	
3	nine days, you can see evidence of viral load,	
4	significant viral load rebound after stopping	
5	antiretroviral therapy.	
6	There is some data to show that the	
7	earlier somebody is started on antiretroviral	
8	therapy shortly after their diagnosis, if they do	
9	stop it later on, that rebound may not occur for	
10	outwards of 50 days or so. But the most of the	
11	studies have shown what I've seen to be an average	
12	of about nine days.	
13	Q And when you say significant viral	
14	rebound, do you have a demarcation point at which	
15	you are setting significant viral	
16	A No, I don't. I'd have to look closer at	
17	those studies to see what the actual viral loads	
18	are that they're depicting.	
19	Q Are you familiar with genotype testing to	
20	identify resistance in the HIV virus?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Do you have a sense or can you tell us	
23	how long it would take before there was enough	
24	virus in the blood to do genotype testing after	
25	stopping treatment?	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 76 of 89 PageID# 13175

1	their HIV as a result of stopping medication?	
2	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.	
3	You can answer.	
4	THE WITNESS: I mean, again, that it	
5	depends on what you're categorizing as symptoms	
6	and then also it depends on that person's baseline	
7	immune status. And there's a very broad range of	
8	time period between symptom onset after	
9	stopping or when having uncontrolled HIV	
10	infection.	
11	BY MR. SCHOETTES:	
12	Q If HIV is left untreated, for how long	
13	after infection does it remain asymptomatic?	
14	Again, it would have to be an average, because I	
15	understand everyone is different	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q but what's the average amount of time?	
18	MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague.	
19	Go ahead.	
20	THE WITNESS: So average amount of time	
21	in our early studies of HIV infection prior to	
22	treatment were anywhere from two years to ten	
23	years. So on average, maybe around five years,	
24	you could say. But typically, again, those	
25	studies were conducted prior to combination	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 77 of 89 PageID# 13176

1	antiretroviral therapy and those we're talking
2	pretty severe symptoms, like opportunistic
3	infections, malignancy, to include lymphoma,
4	Kaposi's sarcoma, so pretty significant infections
5	and disease processes that occur with untreated
6	for that long.
7	Q The things that could actually lead to a
8	person being disabled by their HIV?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What is the course of treatment after
11	treatment interruption? What would the normal
12	plan be for such an individual?
13	A To restart your antiretroviral therapy as
14	soon as possible.
15	Q To restart the regimen you were on?
16	A Correct. And then hope to obtain a
17	genotype on after being on that therapy for
18	typically three weeks to a month, because that
19	would be our best assessment of if you've
20	developed any resistance to that regimen after
21	stopping it for periods of time.
22	Q You would do a genotype at that point or
23	would a viral load test indicate whether the same
24	regimen was being was still effective?
25	A So you can't do a genotype without

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 78 of 89 PageID# 13177

1	getting a viral load. So they kind of go hand in	
2	hand, because you get the genotype based on that	
3	viral load, if that makes sense.	
4	Q So the individual who goes back on	
5	treatment would be on treatment for some period of	
б	time, the same treatment?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q And you would see if the viral load went	
9	back to suppressed or undetectable?	
10	A Correct. So you would assess that at the	
11	three to four-week period after they restart that	
12	regimen. You would want to get a viral load with	
13	a genotype at three to four weeks after being back	
14	on that regimen.	
15	Q What if they didn't have enough viral	
16	virus at that point to do a genotype?	
17	A Then that would be great. Then we	
18	wouldn't need a genotype.	
19	Q Right.	
20	A The goal is to see that they've either	
21	suppressed their viral load again or, if not,	
22	we're going to try to get a genotype to see if	
23	they've developed resistance.	
24	Q Got it. Is it possible that they would	
25	have that it would have been reduced, but they	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 79 of 89 PageID# 13178

Page 203 1 maybe had not gotten all the way back down to 2 suppressed at that point, in which case what do 3 you do? We would still --4 Α 5 Objection. MS. BERMAN: Form. 6 THE WITNESS: We -- can I still answer? 7 MS. BERMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: We would still want to 8 9 check a genotype because it might give us a 10 glimpse into a new resistance panel and it may 11 prompt us to switch that regimen. 12 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 13 0 But that would require at least a 14 thousand copies if you used the one test? 15 Α Right, 1,000 to 2,000 copies, yes. 16 Okay. What concerns, if any, is there Ο 17 around -- well, I guess we were just talking about 18 this. Do you know what the likelihood is of 19 resistance after treatment interruption? 20 MS. BERMAN: Objection. Vague and calls 21 for speculation. 2.2 You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, it depends on a lot of different variables. So it's -- you 24 25 are more likely to acquire resistance if you're

Page 204

1 intermittently taking your medications. So one 2 thing that we always try to couch [sic] our 3 patients about is when you're -- sometimes what our patients do -- because, for various reasons, 4 5 they may not come back in to get refills of their medications or run out of their meds -- sometimes 6 7 what they do is they start spacing out the dosing of their medication. So they'll say, oh, I'll 8 9 take it every other day or every third day to make 10 it last longer. That's exactly how you develop 11 resistance. So it's actually better to stop it 12 cold turkey and then restart it up later. That's 13 your best option for not developing resistance.

So it depends on if somebody is taking their meds intermittently to space them to -- or stops it altogether.

17 BY MR. SCHOETTES:

Q So in the context of lost medication, and it's a sustained stop, that would be less likely to develop resistance than someone intermittently taking their medication?

A Correct. The other thing that you have to take into account is the half life of the particular drug in the body. So there's different antiretroviral regimens that have longer half

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 81 of 89 PageID# 13180

Page 205 lives or shorter half lives. So missing one or 1 2 two medications of one drug might be not as --3 might be less forgiving than missing one or two days of another drug. 4 5 And that's more of a concern in that 0 6 intermittent drug-taking scenario --7 Α Yes. -- than it would be in a full stop? 8 0 9 Α Yes. 10 Because the half life on all of them is 0 11 going to sort of run out relatively guickly and 12 then there won't be anything that the virus could 13 mutate around, because there's only one of the 14 medications left, right? 15 Α Correct. 16 MR. SCHOETTES: I think I'm done. 17 MS. BERMAN: Okay. I think we want to 18 talk for a minute about whether I have any 19 follow-up questions. And -- so if we want to go 20 off the record for a minute, I may have a few 21 more. 2.2 MR. SCHOETTES: Sounds good. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:28 p.m. 24 We are going off the record. 25 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 82 of 89 PageID# 13181

1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:35 p.m.	
2	We are back on the record. Please proceed,	
3	Counsel.	
4	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE	
5	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
6	BY MS. BERMAN:	
7	Q Colonel Blaylock, I just want to clarify	
8	a few things. You and counsel were talking about	
9	the CDC guidelines earlier about that state	
10	there's essentially zero risk, or approximately	
11	zero risk, of transmission of HIV from a person	
12	with a suppressed viral load. Does that guideline	
13	specifically concern sexual transmission?	
14	A Yes. That guideline does concern sexual	
15	transmission only.	
16	Q Is there any similar statement about	
17	transmission by blood transfusion from the CDC?	
18	A No, there is not.	
19	Q Okay. And I think this is in the record,	
20	but before, when you were talking about the risk	
21	of transmission by blood transfusion, I believe	
22	you and counsel were talking about the scenario of	
23	someone with an increased viral load, someone who	
24	was not fully suppressed. Is there also a	
25	significant risk of transmission from a person	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 83 of 89 PageID# 13182

#### Page 207

with a suppressed viral load by blood transfusion? 1 2 Α Yes. There would still be a significant risk, even if the viral load was suppressed under 3 20 copies because, again, that's not zero copies; 4 5 that's -- could be ten copies per milliliter of 6 blood. 7 So when you're looking at a total of 250 to 300 milliliters of blood in a whole unit of 8 9 blood, that risk becomes more substantial. 10 Okay. Do you have any estimate of the 0 11 percentage risk of transmission in both of those 12 scenarios, both the suppressed viral load scenario 13 and the unsuppressed viral load scenario? So, unfortunately, the only solid data 14 Α 15 that we have is pretty old CDC data from -- I 16 believe it was 1990 when it was published that 17 talked about the risk via blood transfusion. And 18 they did not separate it out into folks who had 19 very low viral loads, or even undetectable viral 20 load, and those that had high viral load. 21 We just know across the board, across 2.2 everybody with HIV, there was upwards of 23 90 percent transmission rate from somebody who was HIV-infected donating blood. 24 Okay. And we talked about this somewhat, 25 0

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 84 of 89 PageID# 13183

Page 219 between a person with a high viral load and one with a suppressed viral load? I think it's -- I don't think there are А studies that have looked at somebody with an undetectable viral load, their ability to transmit virus to a seronegative person. That would be a very unethical study. 0 Right. MR. SCHOETTES: Okay. That's all I have. MS. BERMAN: Okay. We're done, then. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:54 p.m. This concludes today's testimony given by the United States Army and Dr. Jason Blaylock. We are now off the record. (Whereupon, at 3:54 p.m., the deposition of JASON BLAYLOCK was concluded.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC	
2	I, Denise M. Brunet, the officer before	
3	whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby	
4	certify that the witness whose testimony appears	
5	in the foregoing deposition was sworn by me; that	
6	the testimony of said witness was taken by me	
7	stenographically and thereafter reduced to print	
8	by means of computer-assisted transcription by me	
9	to the best of my ability; that I am neither	
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of	
11	the parties to this litigation and have no	
12	interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome	
13	of this matter.	
14	Dening M B. T	
15	many 1.1. Dunne	
16	Denise M. Brunet	
17	Notary Public in and for	
18	The District of Columbia	
19		
20	My commission expires:	
21	December 14, 2022	
22		
23		
24		
25		

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 86 of 89 PageID# 13185

Page 221 1 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave 2 Suite 1820 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 3 Phone: 216-523-1313 4 March 12, 2019 5 To: Keri L. Berman, Esq. б Case Name: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N., et al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 3235700 8 Witness: Jason Blaylock Deposition Date: 2/27/2019 9 10 Dear Sir/Madam: 11 Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the 13 included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and 14 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and 15 forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address shown 16 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com. 17 18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely, Production Department 22 23 24 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 87 of 89 PageID# 13186

1       DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS         2       ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700         3       CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	1     DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS       2     ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700       3     CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019       4     WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock       5     In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of       6     my testimony or it has been read to me.       7     I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.       8		Page 222
CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700 CASE NAME: HARRISON, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. B Date Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They have read the transcript; They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. This day of, 20 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700 GASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. B Date Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. In have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Fublic Notary Fublic Commission Expiration Date	1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
2       ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700         3       CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE of DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony         as transcribed by the court reporter.         8         9       Date         9       They support the foregoing Sworn         9       Statement; and         11       Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.         12       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       Notary Public         17       Commission Expiration Date	2       ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700         3       CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony         as transcribed by the court reporter.         8         9       Date         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I h		CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700 CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Public Notary Public Motary Public Commission Expiration Date	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700 CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITTNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Public	2	
3       CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	3       CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N.         DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony         as transcribed by the court reporter.         8         9       Date         9       They have read the transcript;         13       They have read the transcript;         14       <		ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700
DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.  Date Jason Blaylock Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. Thave affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Public Motary	A       DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019         4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	3	CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N.
4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	4       WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock         5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8		DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019
5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony         as transcribed by the court reporter.         8         9       Date         9       Date         9       Date         9       Date         9       Date         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         5       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       I have affixed my name and official seal         17       In the signed the communication of this statement is of         18       Notary Public         19	5       In accordance with the Rules of Civil         Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of         6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony         as transcribed by the court reporter.         8         9       Date         9       Date         9       Date         9       Date         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         and acknowledge that:         12         13       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         Statement; and         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of	4	WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock
Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter. Date Jason Blaylock Jason Blaylock Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Public Motary Public Commission Expiration Date	5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	6       my testimony or it has been read to me.         7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8		Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	7       I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.         8	6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
as transcribed by the court reporter.  A  Date Jason Blaylock  Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:  They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.  Inhave affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20  Notary Public  Notary Public Commission Expiration Date	as transcribed by the court reporter.  A	7	I have made no changes to the testimony
8	8		as transcribed by the court reporter.
9       Date       Jason Blaylock         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	9       Date       Jason Blaylock         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20	8	
9       Date       Jason Blaylock         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	9       Date       Jason Blaylock         10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       The referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         Statement; and       Their execution of this Statement is of         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17		
10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         Statement; and       Their execution of this Statement is of         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20	10       Sworn to and subscribed before me, a         11       Notary Public in and for the State and County,         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         11       the referenced witness did personally appear         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         Statement; and       Their execution of this Statement is of         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of	9	Date Jason Blaylock
Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 This day of, 20 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date	Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 Notary Public Motary Public P Commission Expiration Date	10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a
11       the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:         12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and         14       Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	<pre>11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 13 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24</pre>		Notary Public in and for the State and County,
and acknowledge that: 12 13 14 14 14 14 15 15 16 16 16 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	and acknowledge that: 12 13 They have read the transcript; 13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and 14 Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24 25	11	the referenced witness did personally appear
12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	12       They have read the transcript;         13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17		and acknowledge that:
They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 This day of, 20 Notary Public Commission Expiration Date	They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 This day of, 20 Notary Public  Commission Expiration Date	12	
13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Statement; and         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	13       They signed the foregoing Sworn         14       Their execution of this Statement is of         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17		They have read the transcript;
Statement; and Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 this day of, 20 Notary Public Notary Public Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23	Statement; and Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 This day of, 20 Notary Public Notary Public Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24	13	They signed the foregoing Sworn
14     Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.       15     I have affixed my name and official seal       16     this day of, 20       17	14     Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.       15     I have affixed my name and official seal       16     this day of, 20       17	20	Statement; and
their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this day of, 20 17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23	11       Interfere act and deed.         15       I have affixed my name and official seal         16       this day of, 20         17	14	Their execution of this Statement is of
I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 this day of, 20 Notary Public  Commission Expiration Date	15     I have affixed my name and official seal       16     this day of, 20       17		their free act and deed
I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 This day of, 20 Notary Public Notary Public Commission Expiration Date Commission Expiration Date	I have affixed my name and official seal this day of, 20 this day of, 20 Notary Public Notary Public  Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24 25	15	
16       this day of, 20, 20         17	16       this day of, 20, 20         17	10	I have affixed my name and official seal
this day of, 20         17         18         19         20         21         22         23         24	10       this day of, 20         17	16	i have affiked my hame and official sear
17	17	τU	this day of 20
17 18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24	17	17	<u> </u>
18 Notary Public 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24	18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<b>1</b> /	
10 Notary Fublic 19Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24 25	10 Inotally Fublic 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24 25	1.8	Notary Public
Commission Expiration Date Commission Expiration Date	Commission Expiration Date Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 24 25	10	Notary Fublic
20 21 22 23 24	20 21 22 23 24 25	19	Commiggion Expiration Data
20 21 22 23 24	20       21       22       23       24       25	20	Commission Expiration Date
22 23 24	22 23 24 25	⊿∪ ว1	
22 23 24	22 23 24 25	⊿⊥ 2.2	
24	23 24 25	∠∠ วว	
	25	∠3 01	
	40 J	∠4 25	
		40	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 88 of 89 PageID# 13187

Page 223 DEPOSITION REVIEW 1 CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235700 CASE NAME: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N. 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 2/27/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Jason Blaylock 4 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. б I have listed my changes on the attached 7 Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as 8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize 11 that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. 12 13 Date Jason Blaylock 14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 15 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 16 17 They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; 18 They signed the foregoing Sworn 19 Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of 20 their free act and deed. 21 I have affixed my name and official seal this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, 22 23 Notary Public 24 25 Commission Expiration Date

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-11 Filed 06/03/20 Page 89 of 89 PageID# 13188

	Page 224	
1	ERRATA SHEET	
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST	
2	ASSIGNMENT NO: 2/27/2019	
3	PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON	
4		
5		
б		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	Date Jason Blaylock	
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS	
22	DAY OF, 20	
23		
	Notary Public	
24		
25	Commission Expiration Date	

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 213 PageID# 13189

# EXHIBIT 36

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 2 of 213 PageID# 13190

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

NICHOLAS HARRISON, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
V.	No. 1:18-cv-641 (LMB/IDD)
MARK ESPER, Secretary of Defense, et al.,	
Defendants.	
RICHARD ROE, et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
V.	No. 1:18-cv-1565 (LMB/IDD)
MARK ESPER, Secretary of Defense, et al.,	
Defendants.	

#### DECLARATION OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL JASON M. BLAYLOCK

I, Jason Michael Blaylock, hereby state and declare as follows,

1. I am an active duty member of the United States Army, currently serving as the Chief of Medicine at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and the Army clinical lead of the Defense Health Agency (DHA) HIV Tri-Service Working Group. I have served in the latter position since July 2017. As the former Chief of Infectious Diseases at Walter Reed from May 2018 to January 2020, I oversaw all aspects of inpatient and outpatient infectious disease care at the medical center. As the Army's Clinical Lead for

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 3 of 213 PageID# 13191

HIV Infection and co-chair of the DHA's HIV Tri-Service Working Group, I continue to serve as a subject matter expert for the development of policy regarding clinical management of HIV within the DHA. Since July 2018, I have also served as the Infectious Diseases consultant to the White House Medical Unit and the Office of the President, providing clinical support and information briefs regarding HIV infection and other infectious diseases as needed.

- 2. Between 2013 and 2017, I served as the Associate Program Director for Walter Reed's Infectious Disease Fellowship Program while serving as the officer in charge of the Infectious Disease Outpatient Clinic. In the latter role, I stood up Walter Reed's HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PreP) Clinic. On June 30, 2011, I completed a three year fellowship in Walter Reed's Infectious Disease Fellowship Program.
- 3. Based on my education as an Infectious Disease doctor and my official duties and responsibilities as an Army Infectious Disease specialist with experience treating HIV, I have an understanding of HIV infection, transmission, progression, treatment, and management within the United States military.
- 4. I make this declaration based upon my own personal knowledge and upon information that has been provided to me in the course of my official duties. I submit this declaration in support of the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment in the above-captioned case.

#### HIV Disease Progression

5. The clinical progression of HIV infection varies greatly based on if and when effective treatment is initiated and on subsequent compliance with antiretroviral therapy (ART). Intervention with ART generally has significant implication for viral load,<sup>1</sup> CD4 lymphocyte count,<sup>2</sup> and rate of disease progression. However, ART is not a cure for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Viral load is the number of copies of a virus per milliliter of blood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CD4 lymphocyte count is the number of white blood cells (T cells) per milliliter of blood. The number of cells provides an indication of immune function.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 4 of 213 PageID# 13192

HIV infection.

- 6. Most patients who become infected with HIV and are not immediately treated will present with evidence of an acute infection including early rapid viral replication and infection of CD4 lymphocytes. Although approximately 60% of such patients present clinically as asymptomatic, most will typically display very high viral loads, often more than one million copies per milliliter of blood, accompanied by a transient drop in CD4 count.
- 7. Individuals infected with HIV develop detectable antibodies against the infection within the first several weeks of infection, a process known as seroconversion. Within approximately six months of infection, an untreated individual's viral set point will generally be established. A higher viral load set point and lower early CD4 count in the absence of ART are commonly associated with an increased rate of disease progression. Although viral load typically stabilizes over time for untreated patients, at an average of 30,000 to 50,000 copies per milliliter of blood, an untreated HIVinfected individual's CD4 lymphocyte count continues to progressively decline, rapidly within the first year, and then more slowly thereafter.
- 8. For patients who are not treated with ART, the average time from infection to development of AIDS is eight to ten years. The rate of disease progression is influenced by a number of factors, including subtype of infection, coinfection with other diseases such as tuberculosis and syphilis, and increased age of the patient. A diagnosis of AIDS is premised on a patient's CD4 count falling below 200 lymphocytes per milliliter of blood and/or the presence of an AIDS-defining illness. In this setting, continuous high-level replication of HIV virus causes viral and immune-mediated destruction of CD4 lymphocytes,<sup>3</sup> and ultimately results in such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In immune-mediated destruction of T cells, the human body's natural immune response to HIV infection causes the body's immune cells to attempt to kill the HIV virus. Because the virus is essentially hiding in CD4 cells, however, in doing so the immune system can also destroy those cells.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 5 of 213 PageID# 13193

opportunistic infections as esophageal candidiasis and Kaposi's sarcoma.

- 9. HIV infection is also associated with the development of comorbid conditions at younger ages than are generally observed in uninfected populations, including cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, cognitive dysfunction, and certain cancers. There is data to suggest that untreated HIV infection results in immune activation that causes inflammatory cascades and accelerated aging of T cells (immunosenescence). The implication of this is that persons living with HIV (PLWH) are predisposed to comorbid conditions associated with aging, such as atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, neurodegeneration, and certain malignancies. It is not clear that these inflammatory effects on T cells are reversed by initiation of ART. *See* T. Sokoya, et al. *HIV as a Cause of Immune Activation and Immunosenescence*. Mediators of Inflam., Oct. 25, 2017;<sup>4</sup> Steven G. Deeks, *HIV infection, inflammation, immunosenescence, and aging*, 62 Ann. Rev. of Med. 141 (2011).<sup>5</sup>
- 10. With the advent of more effective and well-tolerated ART regimens, PLWH have longer life expectancies similar to the uninfected population. However, several studies continue to demonstrate that PLWH remain at increased risk for other comorbidities, to include hypertension, myocardial infarctions, peripheral vascular disease, liver disease, renal disease, and non-AIDS cancers (e.g. non-Hodgkins lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and anal and cervical cancer) that could reduce life expectancy. *See* J. Schouten, et al., *Cross-sectional comparison of the prevalence of age-associated comorbidities and their risk factors between HIV-infected and uninfected individuals: the AGE*<sub>b</sub>IV *cohort study.* 59 Clin. Infect. Dis. 1787 (2014);<sup>6</sup> Robert S Rosenson, et al., *Excess Risk for Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Outcomes Among US Adults With HIV in the Current Era*, 9(1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit A to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit B to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit C to this Declaration.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 6 of 213 PageID# 13194

J. Am. Heart Assoc., Jan. 2020.<sup>7</sup> While these comorbidities occur earlier in life and are more commonly diagnosed in untreated or poorly-controlled HIV infection, they also remain prevalent in well-controlled HIV infection. *Id.* 

- 11. Neurocognitive issues are also of concern in PLWH. It is still unknown whether mild cognitive impairment in virally suppressed HIV-infected persons is a residual effect of the virus itself, the particular ART regimen, and/or other comorbidities such as substance abuse and depression. *See* Kevin Robertson, et al., *Antiretroviral Neurotoxicity*, 18(5) J. Neurovirology 388 (2012);<sup>8</sup> RK Heaton, et al., *Neurocognitive change in the era of HIV combination antiretroviral therapy: the longitudinal CHARTER study*, 60(3) Clin. Infect. Dis. 473 (2015).<sup>9</sup> Diagnosis of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders is typically conducted via formal neurocognitive testing. However, it is difficult to completely exclude other concomitant diagnoses such as psychiatric disorders. In addition, the testing requires administration by specialized professionals who are generally not readily accessible.
- 12. As newer ART regimens are being utilized, more data is accruing to suggest that more commonly used regimens are associated with bone mineral density loss, renal dysfunction, weight gain, and increased lipid profiles. See Jason J Schafer, et al., Changes in Body Mass Index and Atherosclerotic Disease Risk Score After Switching From Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate to Tenofovir Alafenamide, 6(10) Open Forum Infect. Dis., Oct. 4 2019;<sup>10</sup> GD Huhn, et al., Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk Profile of Tenofovir Alafenamide Versus Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate, 7(1) Open Forum Infect. Dis. Nov. 11 2019;<sup>11</sup> SK Gupta, et al., Renal safety of tenofovir alafenamide vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit D to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit E to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit F to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit G to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit H to this Declaration.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 7 of 213 PageID# 13195

pooled analysis of 26 clinical trials, 33(9) AIDS 1455 (2019);<sup>12</sup> CA Moran, et al., Bone Loss in HIV Infection, 9(1) Curr. Treat. Options Infect. Dis. 52 (2017);<sup>13</sup> A Carr, et al., The rate of bone loss slows after 1–2 years of initial antiretroviral therapy: final results of the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) bone mineral density substudy, 21 HIV Med. 64 (2020).<sup>14</sup> The clinical implications of these findings are as yet unknown, however, there is concern within the military infections disease (ID) community about the potential effects, particularly of weight gain, on the ability of an HIV-infected service member to maintain standards for military medical readiness.

13. With ART, HIV infection becomes a manageable condition for most patients. Although factors such as older age, lower pre-ART CD4 count, delay in initiation of ART, and the presence of coinfections can complicate the ability to attain viral load suppression, in general effective initiation of ART results in sustained viral suppression. In most cases, effective ART can reduce the HIV viral load to levels below our current limits of detection and can result in, on average, an increase of 50-150 CD4 lymphocytes per milliliter of blood each year for the first four years of treatment. However, strains of HIV do exist in circulation that have developed extensive resistance to current ART. While relatively rare, this can result in inability to effectively maintain viral suppression in a small number of PLWH.

#### Recommended Treatment Guidelines

14. Use of ART for treatment of HIV infection is strongly recommended by various expert medical organizations including: the United States Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, the United States Panel of the International Antiviral Society, and the World Health Organization. These organizations recommend that all patients with acute or early HIV infection initiate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit I to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit J to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit K to this Declaration.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 8 of 213 PageID# 13196

ART as soon as possible and regardless of CD4 cell count because the potential benefits to individual and public health outweigh any potential drawbacks of ART initiation.<sup>15</sup>

- 15. Early initiation of ART improves public and individual health outcomes in both HIV morbidity and HIV mortality. ART allows for rapid suppression of viral load in most patients; approximately 97% of patients treated with ART achieve an undetectable viral load within 11 weeks of beginning treatment. ART also allows for maintenance of viral suppression; approximately 92% of patients who have initial success with ART remain virally suppressed 18 months after beginning treatment.
- 16. Early initiation of ART can also reduce the ability of an HIV-infected individual to transmit infection, as approximately 50% of new HIV infections are transmitted from individuals in the acute stage of infection. In addition, initiation of ART immediately after infection can result in decreased viral reservoir and immune activation in the patient.
- 17. While use of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis by an HIV-uninfected sexual contact can further reduce risk of transmission, other factors in an HIV-infected individual, such as infections, including concomitant sexually transmitted diseases, can increase viral load, viral shedding, and risk of transmission.
- 18. In most cases, ART can be initiated safely and effectively on the same day that HIV infection is diagnosed. At the time of diagnosis, baseline resistance to common ART regimens should be tested to allow for modification of treatment if necessary when the results become available.
- 19. Once a patient initiates ART, the United States Department of Health and Human Services recommends ongoing monitoring of the patient's viral load at two weeks and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> To avoid duplication, the various CDC/HHS guidelines related to HIV discussed in this declaration are attached as exhibits to the Defendants' Brief in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 9 of 213 PageID# 13197

at four to eight week intervals until the patient's viral load becomes undetectable. After viral load suppression is achieved, viral load should be monitored at least every three to six months until the patient's CD4 count has been measured as greater than 300 cells per milliliter of blood for two years, after which viral load can be measured every six months. If a patient changes his or her ART regimen or experiences a deterioration of clinical status, viral load should be measured at two to eight weeks and more frequently thereafter, as needed, to ensure suppression.

- 20. ART treatment also requires monitoring of a patient's CD4 lymphocyte count. CD4 monitoring is recommended every three to six months following ART initiation, until the patient's CD4 count has been measured as greater than 300 cells per milliliter of blood for two years, after which viral load can be measured every twelve months.
- 21. The CDC has also established guidelines for Post-exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) for HIV seronegative individuals who are exposed to the blood or other bodily fluids of individuals with known or suspected HIV infection. To maximize effectiveness of HIV prevention, it is recommended that PEP be initiated as soon as possible, and within 72 hours of known or suspected exposure. The CDC guidelines also instruct that individuals being treated with PEP be monitored during the course of the treatment to determine whether the individual has contracted HIV and if he or she is tolerating the regimen.

#### Management of HIV in the Military

- 22. The Army, Navy, Marines, and Air Force follow the Department of Health and Human Services' guidelines for HIV treatment and monitoring.
- 23. A recent study tracked use of ART and viral suppression among Army service members with HIV between January 2012 and June 2018. During that period 1050 Army service members were newly diagnosed with HIV; of this group 89.4% received

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 213 PageID# 13198

ART within 6 months of diagnosis; 95.4% within 12 months; and 98.7% by end of surveillance period. During the period of the study, 793 service members remained on ART for over one year and 99% of those achieved viral load suppression within one year. *See* S Stahlman, et al., *Antiretroviral Therapy and Viral Suppression Among Active Duty Service Members with Incident HIV Infection - United States, January 2012-June 2018.* 69(13) MMWR Morb. Mortal Wkly. Rep. 366 (2020).<sup>16</sup> It is important to note that none of these service members were taking ART in the deployed setting.

24. For service members with HIV, clinical evaluations are performed by an infectious disease specialists at least every six to twelve months after diagnosis in addition to the lab monitoring recommended by the treatment guidelines established by the Department of Health and Human Services.

#### Management of HIV in a Deployed Setting

- 25. The availability of medical assets in deployed settings varies widely and is dependent on the resources available in the particular deployed setting and the current pace of military operations.<sup>17</sup> Military medical facilities are designated by their capabilities as Role 1, Role 2, Role 3, or Role 4 with Role 4 having the most extensive capabilities. In general, less mature theaters of operation have less extensive medical capabilities. The availability of medical and supporting logistical resources is also typically more limited the closer a military position is to active combat.
- 26. Laboratory capabilities such as those needed to conduct HIV viral load testing and CD4 count monitoring are currently not available at Role 1 facilities and most Role 2 facilities. Under current conditions, sending blood and other products to laboratories at higher level facilities with more expansive resources typically creates a delay of two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit L to this Declaration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The pace of operations or "ops tempo" is a highly variable measure reflecting current conditions, such as engagement in active combat, need to change locations or urgently evacuate, no-fly zones, 24-hour operations, and numerous other potentialities.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 11 of 213 PageID# 13199

to four weeks for results depending on the location of the forward military position from which they are being sent. Future near-peer conflicts or operations in less mature theaters could face additional complications. It is not possible to send out products or people for laboratory testing from certain locations or under certain circumstances due to potential mission operation tempo, safety or logistical concerns.

- 27. Role 1 and Role 2 facilities have a limited number of medical personnel and are unlikely to have access to an infectious disease specialist. Although Role 3 facilities have more resources, most do not have an infectious disease specialist available. Nonspecialist medical personnel often do not have substantial familiarity with HIV monitoring and treatment including CD4 count and viral load testing, appropriate implementation of PEP, and knowledge of potential drug interactions and side effects of various ART regimens. The current Army standard of care for PLWH includes every 6 month evaluations by an Infectious Diseases specialist, which is not feasible in a deployed setting due to the limited numbers of such personnel.
- 28. Forward military positions also have less access to pharmacy capabilities, limiting the variety of medications available and the possibility of resupply or replacement of medications as needed. In far forward positions close to front lines, and in austere settings, there is minimal or no access to mail-order pharmacy or other resupply. Even in more established areas of operation, pharmacies must often be limited to common medications for operational reasons including mobility needs and the cost of maintaining pharmacy supplies. If a service member's ART were lost or destroyed in most deployed settings, it is very unlikely that that individual's specific medication would be readily available from existing medical supplies.
- 29. There are scenarios in which combat operations or circumstances at forward military positions interfere with movement in and out of those locations, such as no fly restrictions. These restrictions can result in interruptions to medication adherence,

10

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 213 PageID# 13200

either because an individual cannot timely obtain an anticipated resupply, or because an individual has lost his or her supply of medication and resupply is unavailable. Supplies may also be destroyed by attacks targeting logistical operations. Additionally, moving service members to higher levels of care can result in both interruptions in the mission as well as degradation of mission readiness.

- 30. Interruptions in medication adherence for HIV-infected individuals on ART result in a rising viral load that increases with the duration of the interruption. For patients who are virally suppressed on ART, an interruption to medication will result in a detectable viral load, typically within two to eight weeks, but rebound has been observed in as little as nine days. See KJ Bar, et al., Effect of HIV Antibody VRC01 on Viral Rebound after Treatment Interruption, 375(21) N. Engl. J. Med. 2037 (2016).<sup>18</sup>
- 31. An increased viral load results in an increased ability to transmit HIV infection via blood exposure, blood transfusion, and sexual contact. Interruptions to ART adherence can also lead to the development of antiretroviral resistance to an individual's ART regime. In this case, it would be important to obtain an HIV viral load and, if elevated to > 1000 copies/mL, to obtain a resistance panel to assess for development of resistance to the current ART regimen and need for switching to another regimen. Typically, a PLWH is more likely to develop resistance to a particular ART regimen if taking intermittently and inconsistently, as opposed to stopping the regimen altogether. The duration of intermittent ART use and association with development of resistance varies based on several factors, including the exact frequency of ART use and the half-life of the specific antiretroviral.
- 32. Generally, high stress settings have a known negative effect on viral and bacterial infections, for example reactivation of shingles, mononucleosis, and tuberculosis disease processes. The effects of a high-stress setting such as on a military deployment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> A true and correct copy of this document is attached as Exhibit M to this Declaration.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 213 PageID# 13201

on an HIV infection are unknown. Given the potential for sleep disruptions, dehydration, and increased stress of mission requirements and pace of operations, it is possible that these concomitant stressors could contribute to immune dysregulation and affect the body's ability to adequately suppress HIV infection.

33. Medical needs in a deployed setting also risk the confidentiality of an HIV-infected service member. Service members may be required to submit to a medical evaluation (e.g. as result of laceration or other significant trauma) during which the member would need to divulge their HIV status to the physician and any other exposed members. Confidentiality could also be breached by medication resupply or the unavailability of resupply and its consequences in the deployed setting. Additionally, if it is necessary for the military to activate a walking blood bank and call for immediate blood donations from service members who have not previously or recently been screened, an HIV-infected service member may feel significant pressure to disclose his or her status to avoid donating blood.

#### Transmission of HIV

- 34. Most new HIV infections are acquired through sexual intercourse or exposure to infected blood. Risk of infection varies based on the type of exposure, including type of sexual contact or blood exposure, and by the viral load of the HIV-infected source. A higher viral load increasing the risk of transmission in the setting of either a sexual or blood exposure. Other cofactors, such as the presence of other sexually transmitted infections, may also affect the risk of transmission.
- 35. Exposure to HIV through blood transfusion has, by far, the highest risk of HIV transmission, with early studies indicating risk of infection in 9 out of 10 exposures. Other potential blood exposures at risk of transmission of HIV infection include percutaneous needle stick (one infection per 435 exposures) and mucous membrane exposure to blood (one infection per 1,000 exposures). Observational studies have

12

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 14 of 213 PageID# 13202

determined that receptive anal intercourse is the sexual exposure with the highest risk of transmission (one transmission per 72 sex acts).

- 36. Although studies conducted since widespread use of ART indicate that viral suppression vastly reduces the risk of sexual transmission of HIV, no similar studies have been conducted concerning blood exposure transmissions. It is unknown to what extent the risk of transmission via blood exposure might be reduced by use of ART. In addition, currently available commercial diagnostics are only able to quantitatively assess HIV viral load down to a threshold of 20 copies per milliliter of blood. Therefore, the risk of HIV transmission via a blood transfusion remains substantial even in the setting of reported viral suppression as diagnosed by current testing capabilities. CDC guidance requires that individuals with undetectable viral loads refrain from donating blood.
- 37. In the deployed setting, risks of blood borne transmission of HIV, even from service members who are virally suppressed, are real yet unquantifiable. There is a negligible risk of transmission via mucous membrane or by exposure of intact skin to HIV-infected blood. However in battlefield trauma circumstances, such as a mass casualty event, there remains an unknown non-negligible risk of transmission from blood exposure to another service member's non-intact skin.
- 38. There is also a significant risk of transmission through blood transfusion, even from an HIV-infected service member with an undetectable viral load,<sup>19</sup> in an emergent situation where donated blood cannot be reliably pre-screened, such as the activation of a walking blood bank. Under such circumstances, when asked to donate urgently needed blood, an HIV-infected service member would be put in the untenable position of either disclosing his or her HIV status or proceeding to donate blood to avoid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Due to current limits viral load detection, only down to 20 copies/mL of blood, it is entirely feasible that a whole blood transfusion of 250mL (1 unit), might transmit HIV from a well-controlled HIV-infected Soldier to an uninfected Soldier.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 213 PageID# 13203

disclosure. Rapid HIV tests are not readily available at all deployed locations, and there currently are no rapid point-of-care HIV tests that are FDA-approved for use in screening whole blood for planned transfusion. I am aware of at least one case where an HIV-infected service member knowingly donated blood in the United States which was fortunately identified by American Red Cross screening procedures that are not readily available in combat situations.

\* \* \*

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 2nd day of June 2020.

Μ WS

LT. COL. JASON M. BLAYLOCK Chief, Department of Medicine, WRNMMC Army Chair, DHA Tri-Service Working Group United States Army

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 213 PageID# 13204

# EXHIBIT A

Hindawi Mediators of Inflammation Volume 2017, Article ID 6825493, 16 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/6825493

### Review Article **HIV as a Cause of Immune Activation and Immunosenescence**

#### T. Sokoya,<sup>1</sup> H. C. Steel,<sup>1</sup> M. Nieuwoudt,<sup>2</sup> and T. M. Rossouw<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0001, South Africa

<sup>2</sup>South African Department of Science and Technology (DST)/National Research Foundation (NRF) Centre of *Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA), Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch 7600, South Africa* 

Correspondence should be addressed to T. M. Rossouw; theresa.rossouw@up.ac.za

Received 30 June 2017; Revised 9 October 2017; Accepted 11 October 2017; Published 25 October 2017

Academic Editor: Carmela R. Balistreri

Copyright © 2017 T. Sokoya et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Systemic immune activation has emerged as an essential component of the immunopathogenesis of HIV. It not only leads to faster disease progression, but also to accelerated decline of overall immune competence. HIV-associated immune activation is characterized by an increase in proinflammatory mediators, dysfunctional T regulatory cells, and a pattern of T-cell-senescent phenotypes similar to those seen in the elderly. These changes predispose HIV-infected persons to comorbid conditions that have been linked to immunosenescence and inflamm-ageing, such as atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease, neurodegeneration, and cancer. In the antiretroviral treatment era, development of such non-AIDS-defining, age-related comorbidities is a major cause of morbidity and mortality. Treatment strategies aimed at curtailing persistent immune activation and inflammation may help prevent the development of these conditions. At present, the most effective strategy appears to be early antiretroviral treatment initiation. No other treatment interventions have been found effective in large-scale clinical trials, and no adjunctive treatment is currently recommended in international HIV treatment guidelines. This article reviews the role of systemic immune activation in the immunopathogenesis of HIV infection, its causes and the clinical implications linked to immunosenescence in adults, and the therapeutic interventions that have been investigated.

#### 1. Introduction

More than 3 decades following the discovery that the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) causes the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), there is an increasing evidence that systemic immune activation plays a significant role in the disease pathogenesis [1]. High levels of systemic immune activation and inflammation not only promote viral replication and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell apoptosis, but also may lead to more rapid decline of immune function and competence. This resembles the phenomenon of immunosenescence that has been associated with ageing [2]. While combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) has improved the quality of life and reduced mortality and morbidity in HIV-infected persons, long-term virally suppressive treatment has not been successful in normalizing elevated markers of systemic immune activation [3]. HIV-infected individuals remain at a high risk of developing degenerative, dysfunctional, or

malignant non-AIDS-defining diseases; many of which have been linked to immunosenescence and inflamm-ageing [4].

An ageing immune profile is characterized by decreased production of naïve T-cells and an increase in the proportion of memory T-cells with oligoclonal expansion [5]. The senescent T-cell phenotype is marked by a lack of CD28 expression, decreased homing receptors (e.g., CD62L and CCR7), and increased expression of the senescence marker, CD57 [6]. In addition, senescent cells are characterized by decreased proliferative capacity as indicated by shortened telomere length (TL), cell cycle arrest, increased  $\beta$ galactosidase activity, limited proliferation in response to antigen stimulation, and activation of proinflammatory secretory pathways [6]. Several immunological changes seen in HIV-1-infected people are comparable to those observed in the aged. Proinflammatory cytokines, which are increased in HIV infection, including tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- $\alpha$ , interleukin (IL)-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6, are known to play a role in

Mediators of Inflammation

ageing [7, 8]. Increased secretion of interferon (IFN)- $\alpha$  and reduced production of IL-2 are observed in both HIV infection and ageing [9]. Similarities in T-cell differentiation also exist, such as a reduction in the longevity of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells, reduced production of naïve CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, increased numbers of late-differentiated CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells, and shortened TL [9].

2

In HIV-infected persons, systemic immune activation and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell function are inextricably linked to immunosenescence, in what appears to be a self-perpetuating cycle. The changes in immune and cytokine release resulting from HIV-induced immune activation increase susceptibility to activation-induced cell death [10-13]; consequent immune exhaustion results in senescence and programmed CD4<sup>+</sup> Tcell death, which further drive immune activation [14-17]. In both the aged and in HIV, immunosenescence has been associated with negative immune outcomes, such as thymic involution, reduction in the overall T-cell repertoire, autoimmunity, and poor antigen responsiveness [6]. Immunosenescence seems to be of particular importance in the pathogenesis of conditions where inflammation represents a significant risk factor, such as atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD), neurodegeneration, and cancer [6]. Indeed, in the ART era, development of non-AIDS-defining, age-related comorbidities, such as osteoporosis, atherosclerosis, and neurocognitive decline, is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in HIV-infected persons [18]. The Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) study demonstrated that deaths were mostly due to non-AIDSdefining malignancies (19%) and CVD (13%), while opportunistic diseases only accounted for 8% [19].

This study reviews the role of systemic immune activation in the immunopathogenesis of HIV infection and the causes of systemic immune activation and inflammation. We also review the clinical implications of accelerated ageing and age-related morbidity in adults and therapeutic interventions investigated to date. Data for this review were identified through searches of publicly available databases, for example, Medline and Pubmed, and in the references of studies found through these searches. Particular attention was paid to biologically *mechanistic* studies and review articles focused on systemic immune activation in HIV-infected persons. Preference was given to recent studies, that is, published in the last decade, but earlier studies that were relevant were also included.

#### 2. Systemic Immune Activation in the Immunopathogenesis of HIV Infection

Introduction of HIV into host cells activates a complex network of protective responses originating from both the innate and adaptive immune systems [20]. These responses are either insufficient or too late to eliminate the virus. This enables life-long viral latency and chronic infection, which drives ongoing immune activation and progressive immunodeficiency, characterized by high cell turnover, apoptosis, and activation-induced death of immune cells [21].

Studies of pathogenic and nonpathogenic models of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) infection have provided insights into the role of systemic immune activation in the progression to AIDS [22]. The natural hosts of SIV, such as the African green monkey and sooty mangabey, are able to live normally with the virus and do not progress to immunodeficiency, regardless of high levels of viral replication. On the other hand, inoculating other nonhuman primates, such as rhesus macaques and Asian pigtailed macaques, with SIV results in immunodeficiency and progression to AIDS similar to that in HIV-infected humans [23-26]. During both pathogenic SIV (pSIV) and nonpathogenic SIV (npSIV) infection, robust viral replication and early antiviral responses occur during the acute phase of infection. However, it appears that the natural hosts have devised an evolutionary strategy to maintain an effective response, which enables symbiotic coexistence [27, 28]. This adaptive response appears to be associated with early resolution of acute T-cell activation, rather than an improved viral control.

It is thought that differences in immune response determine whether pSIV or npSIV infection develops. pSIV studies have demonstrated substantial loss of mucosal T-helper (Th) 17 cells, with subsequent microbial translocation as evidenced by high levels of plasma lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and soluble CD14 (sCD14) [28]. pSIV is associated with dysregulation of cell cycle and T regulatory cell (Treg) loss. This indicates a failure to the control of T-cell activation/proliferation and contributes to poor outcome [28]. Other characteristics distinguishing natural from unnatural hosts include superior cell homeostasis, higher numbers of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, the presence of anti-inflammatory mechanisms such as attenuated IFN signalling, maintenance of progenitor cell regeneration, and more limited immune activation, and T-cell apoptosis [27, 28].

In humans, elite controllers are a unique yet heterogeneous group of people that maintain adequate control of viral replication even in the absence of cART [22, 29]. Unlike in npSIV, elite controllers are able to downregulate viral replication in lymphoid tissue. They also have powerful and durable anti-HIV immune responses, with significantly higher activation of T-cells compared to uninfected individuals. However, this is relatively less than that seen in HIV-infected persons who are not elite controllers [29, 30]. Many elite controllers do eventually experience immune-mediated depletion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells and develop AIDS-defining diseases. It has been shown that basal levels of immune activation determine this progression [31].

#### 3. Causes of Systemic Immune Activation in HIV

3.1. Direct Effects of Virions and/or Viral Proteins. HIV gene products, such as gp120 and Nef, directly stimulate the activation of lymphocytes and macrophages, resulting in the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines [32]. Certain HIV proteins imitate and/or enhance TNF-receptor signalling, causing persistent HIV replication in infected cells through activation of nuclear factor (NF)- $\kappa$ B, a prototypical proinflammatory signalling pathway [33], and apoptosis of uninfected bystander T-cells [34].

Mediators of Inflammation

3.2. Viral Coinfections. Coinfection with other viruses, such as cytomegalovirus (CMV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), and hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV), is common in HIV-infected individuals. Pathogenic gene products enhance the replication of HIV by transactivation of HIV long terminal repeats (LTRs) [35]. HIV-induced immunodeficiency and replicative senescence, which result in the loss of CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell populations that control viral replication, may, in turn, reactivate other pre-existing viruses or exacerbate infection by increasing viral load (VL) and consequent viral persistence [2]. This accelerates disease progression and contributes to systemic immune activation [36, 37]. CMV accounts for approximately 10% of the circulating memory T-cell repertoire in healthy, asymptomatic, HIV-uninfected CMV-seropositive individuals. The vast majority of HIV-infected individuals, between 75% and 90%, elicit significant CMV-specific T-cell responses [37, 38]. Chronic coinfection with CMV has been associated with immunological senescence, that is, gradual age-related deterioration of the immune system, homeostatic changes, and low CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts. It is noteworthy that the latter is particular for naïve T-cell counts, possibly due to decreased T-cell renewal capacity and thymic involution, which lead to inadequate T-cell reconstitution [39].

HIV-1-infected individuals normally have a higher content of EBV in their lymphoid tissues, or a larger number of EBV-infected cells in their peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), than HIV-uninfected individuals. It is thought that the expansion of EBV-positive B-cells may be caused by chronic B-cell stimulation driven by HIV proteins and impaired immune surveillance against EBV secondary to immunodeficiency [40]. A strong association has been found between HIV viremia, markers of immune activation, and EBV DNA load in PBMCs [41].

Hepatocytes and Kupffer cells, the latter of which are liver macrophages, are derived from blood monocytes, phagocytose, and clear particles draining through the portal system. Decreased Kupffer and CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts have been found in individuals coinfected with HIV and HCV [42-44]. This cell loss may be due to the direct cytotoxic effects of HIV, specifically induced programmed cell death due to soluble viral or host factors, and altered Kupffer cell trafficking to target sites [44]. In coinfected people, elevated levels of sCD14 and LPS are found in the blood, due to a decrease in the clearance of particles and microbial products following diminished Kupffer cell numbers [42-44]. The reduction in CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells occurring during HIV-1 infection may also lead to inadequate viral control, thereby permitting reactivation of HCV, which perpetuates the cycle of viral replication and immune activation [32].

3.3. Persistent Elevation of Type I and II Interferons (IFNs). IFNs I and II are produced by the innate immune system during HIV infection. IFN I plays an important role in mediating continuous inflammation. It is produced by plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) following direct activation of toll-like receptor (TLR)-7 and toll-like receptor (TLR)-8 by HIV RNA [45–47]. IFN I levels increase with plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and decrease with CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts

[48]. IFN I leads to the synthesis and recruitment of more target cells for HIV by upregulating the HIV coreceptor, C-C chemokine receptor type 5 (CCR5), and inducing pDC production of CCR5 ligands. IFN I also suppresses thymic output, limits CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell recovery, induces CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell apoptosis, and limits antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell responses [49]. IFN I further stimulates expression of the immunosuppressive enzyme, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), leading to dysfunctional and immunosuppressive Tregs [48]. The elevated production of IFN- $\alpha$ leads to upregulation of proapoptotic molecules [50]. The administration of IFN II to HIV-infected individuals reduces the number of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells [49]. There is a close association between the elevation of types I and II IFN, IL-12, monocyte- and DC-derived inflammatory cytokines, and T-cell activation in HIV-infected individuals on ART [51]. The inadequate regulation of IFN responses drives chronic immune activation [52, 53].

3

3.4. Microbial Translocation. In the early stages of infection, HIV preferentially infects and depletes CCR5-expressing CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) [54–58]. The accumulation of inflammatory cells, such as pDCs, neutrophils, and monocytes, and a concomitant decrease in cells that regulate epithelial homeostasis, such as IL-17 and IL-22-producing CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, progressively compromise mucosal integrity [59–64]. In turn, this inflammatory environment may lead to alterations in tight junction protein expression, decreased expression of claudins, upregulation of channel-forming claudins (e.g., claudin 2), and possibly increased epithelial barrier in the GIT then allows translocation of microbial products from the intestinal lumen into the systemic circulation [70].

Pattern recognition receptors, such as nucleotidebinding oligomerization domains (NODs) and TLRs, detect microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), such as peptidoglycan, LPS, flagellin, and CpG DNA. The binding of microbial products to these receptors on cells of the innate immune system, most notably monocytes, macrophages, and DCs, activates a signalling cascade resulting in the production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and type-1 IFNs, such as IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\beta$  [43, 71]. For example, when TLR-4 recognises LPS, peripheral macrophages and DCs are directly stimulated to secrete proinflammatory cytokines [32]. This results in local and systemic immune activation and inflammation [65, 72–74].

Elevated levels of intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (I-FABP), originating from enterocytes, are found in the bloodstream of HIV-infected individuals [75]. I-FABP is a marker of enterocyte damage, which is associated with impaired intestinal function and microbial translocation. Enterocyte loss may be due to their reduced glucose uptake and increased expression of proinflammatory markers, such as TNF- $\alpha$  [43]. In response to the interaction between cell surface TLR-4 and monocyte activation, sCD14 is secreted into the blood [76–78]. sCD14 is a marker of LPS bioactivity and monocyte activation and is an independent predictor of mortality in HIV infection [75]. It may consequently be a

clinically useful surrogate marker of immune activation [51]. The interaction between LPS and LPS binding protein (LBP) leads to activation of NF- $\kappa$ B and increased cytokine expression. LPS-induced monocyte activation may also trigger the coagulation cascade through increased production of procoagulant tissue factor (TF), which correlates with increased levels of sCD14, D-dimer, and LBP [79]. Microbial translocation correlates with poor CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell recovery, HIV disease progression, and susceptibility to non-AIDS conditions such as CVD and neurocognitive impairment [80].

4

#### 4. The Detrimental Consequences of Systemic Immune Activation

The detrimental consequences of systemic immune activation are multifaceted. While some are particular to HIV, for instance immune system dysregulation, many are similar to the human ageing process and affect multiple organ systems.

4.1. Immune System Dysregulation. Immune dysregulation is characterized by a shift in leukocyte activity and an imbalance in cytokine levels. Derangement of both the innate and adaptive immune systems is associated with increased apoptosis of CD4<sup>+</sup> T- and B-cells, immunoparalysis of monocytes, and endotoxemia following microbial translocation [81]. In addition, continuous viral replication leads to a loss of T-cell homeostasis, characterized by increased T-cell turnover, an increase in the differentiation of naïve to antigen-exposed cells, T-cell replicative exhaustion, and apoptosis.

Immune activation also leads to depletion of T-cells and memory T-cell pools by other mechanisms, such as a decrease in the overall half-lives of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells, irregular T-cell trafficking within T-cell subsets, and selective T-cell clonal exhaustion [21, 57]. A reduction in CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells compromises the host's ability to combat pathogens and results in frequent and recurrent opportunistic and nonopportunistic infections. Inhibition of the normal functions of B-cells, NK, and other antigen-presenting cells also results in suboptimal viral control, further contributing to continuous activation of the immune system [82]. T-cells reach a state of persistent replicative senescence and immune exhaustion with the loss of antigen specificity in the immune system [83].

Cytokines play a vital role in coordinating host inflammatory response and are consequently useful markers of inflammation and systemic immune activation. Excessive production of either proinflammatory, for example, IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2, IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- $\alpha$ , or anti-inflammatory cytokines, for example, IL-4, IL-10, and IL-13, imbalances immune responses [84]. Activation of T-, B-, and NK cells by HIV antigens and their components may increase the secretion of proinflammatory cytokines, chemotactic cytokines, for example, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1 $\alpha$ , and adhesion molecules associated with inflammation, such as intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM) and vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) [85–87]. Activation of monocytes, pDCs, and myeloid DCs may increase secretion of CXCL9, (monokine induced by gamma interferon (MIG)), CXCL10 (IFN gamma-induced protein 10 (IP-10)), CCL2 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1)), and TNF- $\alpha$  [51]. This culminates in T-cell activation and cytokine-driven T-cell apoptosis [88]. Increased proinflammatory cytokine levels increase susceptibility to inflammation-related conditions, such as osteoporosis, arteriosclerosis, cardiovascular conditions, and cancers [32].

Mediators of Inflammation

Infection of pDCs by HIV may also increase immunosuppressive IDO and transforming growth factor (TGF)- $\beta$ 1, which impact immune dysregulation and T-cell homeostasis. The predominant origin of TGF- $\beta$ 1 is likely to be Tregs, but platelets, macrophages of the M2 phenotype, and immunoregulatory CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells may also produce it [88]. Activation of TGF- $\beta$ 1 signalling in fibroblasts triggers increased procollagen and chitinase 3-like-1 production. This leads to collagen deposition, tissue fibrosis, and fibroblastic reticular cell network loss within the parafollicular T-cell zone of lymph nodes [89-91]. The interaction between mucosal intestinal myofibroblasts (IMFs) and LPS also leads to an increase in the soluble mediators of fibrogenesis (IL-6 and TGF- $\beta$ 1), which directly increase collagen deposition by IMFs [92]. This may contribute to the disproportionate depletion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells in the GIT [90]. The ratio of Th17 to Tregs remains diminished during ART [93]. Such an imbalance may drive elevated IDO production by DCs, with subsequent impaired production of IL-17 and IL-22, leading to compromised antimicrobial immunity and tissue repair at barrier surfaces, with sustained immune activation [94, 95].

4.2. Thymic Function Alteration. During successful HIV suppression, CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell numbers are replenished, either through de novo thymic production, or through the proliferation of existing cells. As thymic output diminishes with age, naïve cells are mainly created through the latter process [96]. HIV infection can induce thymic damage through direct infection and killing of thymocytes, apoptosis, or disruption of the thymic stromal architecture, resulting in defective thymopoiesis and apoptosis of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells [97]. These changes mimic those induced by ageing, characterized by a decrease in the size and compartments of the thymus, and reduced thymopoiesis [5]. Thymic involution is associated with immunosenescence, with dysfunction of the immune system secondary to alterations in T-cell composition, most notably a shift from naïve to terminally differentiated cells [5, 98]. Thymic recovery may occur in some patients on ART; however, extensive thymic damage generally hampers immune reconstitution.

Systemic immune activation, independent of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell count and HIV VL, also results in inflammatory damage to the thymus [99]. In this case, thymic dysfunction through suboptimal production of naïve T-cells and greater differentiation of naïve into effector/memory cells occurs [100]. Immune reconstitution in HIV-infected individuals has been directly associated with thymic cellularity and volume, with the efficacy of reconstitution inversely correlated with age [101–103].

4.3. Systemic Inflammation. The proinflammatory state is associated with the development of major degenerative
diseases in the elderly [104]. In HIV-associated immune activation, there is an increase in proinflammatory mediators, TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\alpha$ , IL-2, and IL-8, and dysfunctional Tregs, which lead to such an inflammatory state. HIV-infected individuals are predisposed to chronic inflammatory conditions leading to a host of progressive age-related diseases, so-called "Inflamm-ageing" [18]. This includes inflammatory bowel disease, osteoarthritis, heart disease, kidney and liver diseases, metabolic syndrome, dementia, cancer, and frailty [105, 106]. Inflammatory biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-6, and D-dimer, are elevated in HIV-infected persons compared to HIV-uninfected persons. Randomized clinical trials have demonstrated correlations between these biomarkers, disease progression, and mortality [18, 107].

4.4. Development of Non-AIDS-Associated Disease. The most significant consequence of systemic immune activation, especially in terms of long-term morbidity and mortality, is the development of non-AIDS-associated diseases. In fact, increased inflammatory biomarkers are predictive of the development of non-AIDS conditions, independent of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell count and HIV VL [32]. Many of these are also associated with ageing and have been linked to immunosenescence. The most common non-AIDS conditions related to immune activation include the following.

4.4.1. Cardiovascular Disease. Individuals in the chronic phase of HIV disease have a greater risk of endothelial dysfunction and subclinical atherosclerosis than HIV-uninfected persons [108]. Endothelial dysfunction is characterized by elevated levels of endothelial lesion biomarkers and endothelial cell adhesion molecules, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, E-selectin, P-selectin, thrombomodulin, class 1 tissue plasminogen activator, and class 1 tissue plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) [109]. When HIV infects endothelial cells, endothelial dysfunction may result from the release of cytokines by activated monocytes or directly by gp120 and transactivator of transcription (Tat) HIV proteins altering cell signalling pathways [110, 111].

Both HIV and its treatment have been associated with vasculopathy and hypercoaguability with subsequent thrombosis [112]. In vitro studies have demonstrated that HIV may affect the storage and secretion of proteins that affect homeostasis, such as von Willebrand factor. HIV may also affect the fibrinolytic system through the release of TNF- $\alpha$ , which in turn increases the expression of PAI-1 in endothelial cells, a known risk factor for thrombosis. HIV proteins, specifically gp120, activate arterial smooth muscle cells to release TF, triggering coagulation through the extrinsic pathway. Conversely, HIV infection is also associated with reduced levels of anticoagulant proteins C and S and antithrombin III [113]. Thrombosis, often in the context of the metabolic syndrome, has also been linked to the protease inhibitor (PI) class of HIV treatment [114]. High levels of TNF- $\alpha$ and PAI-1, and increased expression of the scavenger receptor, CD36, with subsequent increased absorption of cholesterol, have been found in PI-treated individuals [115, 116].

A key component of atherogenesis in both HIV and ageing is the formation of lipid-laden macrophages (i.e.,

foam cells), secondary to unregulated uptake of modified lipoproteins, especially oxidized low-density lipoprotein (oxLDL), under the influence of CD36 [117]. HIV-infected persons have been shown to have increased levels of oxLDL and higher expression of CD36 and TLR-4 in monocytes [118]. OxLDL levels correlate with markers of monocyte activation, for example, sCD14, and TF expression on inflammatory monocytes [118]. Oxidized lipids are thought to play a role in atherosclerosis through alteration of nitric oxide (NO) signalling, initiation of endothelial activation, and expression of adhesion molecules that promote leukocyte homing [119]. The ensuing inflammatory process releases downstream biomarkers, such as IL-6, VCAM-1, selectins, fibrinogen, D-dimer, CRP, and TF, that predispose the patient to accelerated coronary atherosclerosis and arteriosclerosis and subsequent CVD including myocardial infarction, heart failure, stroke, and sudden cardiac death [120-123]. A recent mouse model has shown that the pathological process is driven by macrophages in the subendothelial space expressing senescence markers, namely elevated senescence-associated  $\beta$ -galactosidase activity, p16<sup>Ink4a</sup>, p53, and p21. This increases expression of key atherogenic and inflammatory cytokines and chemokines and promotes plaque instability by elevating metalloprotease production [124].

5

4.4.2. Renal Disease. Individuals living with HIV are at an increased risk of renal diseases such as acute tubular necrosis, HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) [125], HIV immune complex kidney disease, hypertensive and atherosclerotic renal diseases, and toxicity secondary to potentially nephrotoxic medication, such as tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) [126]. HIVAN is one of the major risk factors of end-stage renal disease and is histologically defined as a collapsing form of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), microcystic tubular dilation, tubointerstitial inflammation, and fibrosis [127]. FSGS is similar to atherosclerosis and involves the buildup of cholesterol, activation of monocytes, release of lipid-laden macrophages, and fibrosis, suggesting that similar inflammatory processes may be involved [128]. The pathogenesis of HIVAN is not entirely understood; however, it has been suggested that it is triggered by direct viral infection of renal epithelial cells, Nef-induced podocyte dysfunction, renal tubular epithelial cell apoptosis induced by Vpr, and upregulation of proinflammatory mediators, especially those induced by NF- $\kappa$ B [127].

In ageing, senescent cells are important sources of inflammation and increased levels of biomarkers of inflammation, coagulation, and endothelial dysfunction, such as TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, MCP-1, CRP, and PAI-1, are commonly seen in this population [128]. Recruitment of T-cells into the renal tubulointerstitial compartment has been implicated in many renal inflammatory diseases and is an important mediator of tubular injury leading to progressive renal failure in HIVAN [129, 130]. Interactions between primary renal tubule epithelial cells (RTECs) and HIV-infected T-cells induce potent inflammatory gene responses. The consequent release of cytokines/chemokines from RTECs may then attract additional T-cells. Resident proximal tubular epithelial cells also

6

Mediators of Inflammation

participate in the inflammatory process by enhancing cytokine/chemokine communication with interstitial immune cells [131]. Activation of RTECs by infiltrating T-cells perpetuate local inflammatory responses through upregulation of proinflammatory chemokine/cytokine production mediated by soluble factors or by direct cell-to-cell contact [132]. The HIV-upregulated cytokines/chemokines in the RTECs include inflammatory cytokines CCL20, IL-6-, and the IL-8 related chemokines: CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, CXCL6, and CXCL8 (IL-8). The receptors to these chemokines are expressed on certain populations of T-cells (reviewed in [133]) and, thus, may also be involved in promoting the mononuclear infiltration observed in HIVAN. The infiltration of HIV-infected cells into the kidney and activation of chemokines have been implicated in reduced survival of kidney allografts after transplantation, despite undetectable viremia [134] and the high prevalence of interstitial nephritis found in kidney biopsies in HIV-infected patients [135].

4.4.3. Cognitive Impairment. HIV-infected individuals manifest a spectrum of cognitive, motor, and psychological dysfunctions similar to that found in ageing, ranging from asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment to HIV dementia. Following infection, HIV is believed to enter the central nervous system (CNS) in infected mature CD14<sup>+</sup>CD16<sup>+</sup> monocytes that traffic to the CNS as part of the turnover of perivascular macrophages [136]. Once inside the CNS, the virus infects microglia and may remain dormant for an extended period of time. HIV does not directly destroy cells of the CNS in large quantities; instead, it triggers a cascade of deleterious inflammatory changes affecting cellular signalling and resulting in oxidative stress [137]. Proinflammatory cytokines may damage neurons, while high levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) may damage DNA and RNA [138]. The HIV VL in the brain does not determine the extent of the inflammatory response. In individuals on ART, minuscule amounts of residual virus may be sufficient to maintain a self-perpetuating inflammatory response [137]. High levels of macrophage activation markers, such as sCD163, sCD14, and CCL2 in cerebrospinal fluid and blood, together with inflammatory biomarkers, such as CRP, IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IP-10, and neopterin, have been implicated in the development of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) [139, 140]. This is similar to what has been observed in the elderly, where inflammatory markers, particularly IL-6 and CRP, have been linked to cognitive decline and an increased risk of dementia [141].

The CNS and microglial cells may potentially serve as anatomical and cellular reservoirs, respectively, where HIV-1 may persist during chronic infection despite successful cART. The persistence of HIV in the CNS and microglia may result in immune activation with consequent microglia senescence [142]. Brain imaging of HIV-1-infected patients on cART using positron emission tomography imaging and <sup>11</sup>C-PK11195 as an *in vivo* marker of microglia activation reveals activation of microglia even in the absence of neurological symptoms [143]. The CSF from HIV-1 patients also contains increased levels of inflammatory cytokines including TNF- $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin and neopterin, IL-1 $\alpha$ , and S100 $\beta$  [144]. The latter, an intraneuronal calciuminducing cytokine, could further contribute to neuronal degeneration [145]. Microglia have been demonstrated to undergo telomere shortening, which is a characteristic of senescence, in an animal model [146]. Emerging evidence from in vitro models also suggests that microglia could potentially develop a senescence-like phenotype characterized by reduced phagocytic and migratory capacities of microglia [147]. A dystrophic microglial phenotype has been observed to increase with ageing and has been detected in neuropathological conditions, such as Alzheimer's disease [148]. Although the progression and exact nature of microglial "ageing" remains unclear, activation and senescence appear to be integral parts of the process. Moreover, HIV-1 infection or bystander effects of HIV-1 infection seem to disrupt the delicate balance of cell survival, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis, which could contribute to the development of HAND [142].

4.4.4. Osteoporosis. HIV-infected persons have an increased prevalence of osteoporotic fractures compared to agematched, HIV-uninfected individuals [149]. In addition to traditional risk factors, such as smoking, alcohol, low body weight, and vitamin D deficiency, HIV-infected patients have additional risk factors brought about by the virus' direct and inflammatory effects on bone resorption [150], as well as the effects of ART, especially TDF [151]. The major inflammatory pathways that have been identified involved cytokines that have also been shown to be elevated during senescence [152]. For example, TNF- $\alpha$  increases the expression of the receptor activator of NF- $\kappa$ B (RANKL), which accelerates osteoclastic bone resorption [150]. In addition, TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1 inhibit osteoblast function and stimulate osteoblast apoptosis through activation of the inflammatory mediator, NO [152].

4.4.5. Cancer. Due to immune deficiency, HIV-infected persons are at an increased risk of developing non-AIDSdefining malignancies, such as Hodgkin's lymphoma, head and neck, lung, liver, kidney, skin, and anal cancers [153, 154]. Factors contributing to the development of non-AIDs defining cancers include the virus itself, tobacco exposure, and possibly ART [154]. HIV may activate protooncogenes, alter the regulation of the cell cycle, inhibit tumour suppressor genes, or cause endothelial abnormalities, such as proangiogenesis signalling that may facilitate tumour growth and metastasis [154]. Other persistent viral coinfections commonly found in HIV-infected persons, such as HBV, HCV, human papillomavirus, and EBV, also play a role. Elevated levels of EBV-positive B-cells, which express latent membrane protein 1, a key viral protein in EBVmediated transformation of B-cells, correlate with an increased long-term risk for such individuals to develop Hodgkin's lymphoma [40].

The risk of cancer increases with lower CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts; however, there appears to be an added risk even among infected people with well-preserved immune systems. CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells and NK cells maintain surveillance of the body

and kill cells showing signs of anomalous growth or malignant modification. However, in HIV infection, the signalling cascades that control cell development and tissue restoration may be disrupted, leading to uncontrolled cell proliferation [155].

In HIV-infected and uninfected persons, inflammation contributes to cancer development, primarily by causing oxidative stress and DNA damage. ROS and proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- $\alpha$ , activate NF- $\kappa$ B, which induces the expression of genes involved in cell proliferation, apoptosis, and carcinogenesis. This leads to further production of proinflammatory cytokines [156]. Macrophages, platelets, fibroblasts, and tumour cells are all sources of inflammatory angiogenic mediators, for example, basic fibroblast growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor, and prostaglandin-E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> that increase the production of ROS. Additionally, many oncogenes inhibit apoptosis and, in doing so, facilitate survival of preneoplastic and malignant cells [156]. This combination of DNA damage and unchecked proliferation contribute to an increased risk of cancer.

IL-7 is important in T-cell homeostasis as it maintains the survival of the naïve T-cell pool during HIV infection [157]. Increased IL-7 leads to abnormal B-cell differentiation [158] and the upregulation of both programmed cell death protein (PD-1) and its ligands [159]. Under physiological conditions, PD-1, a negative costimulatory molecule, prevents excessive T-cell activation and assists in peripheral tolerance through promotion of Tregs [160]. The expression of PD-1, together with its cognate ligand PD-L1, is upregulated during chronic HIV infection. This is caused by the HIV Nef protein via a p38 MAPK-dependent mechanism, the cytokine-rich microenvironment, T-cell receptor-independent stimulation, and persistent activation of the innate immune system [161]. Persistently elevated levels of PD-1 expression have been observed on exhausted CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells. The PD-1/PD-L1 signalling pathway is critical in tumour immune surveillance. Tumours may escape host immune surveillance by expressing PD-L1 [162]. PD-1 signal inhibitors have emerged as a useful therapeutic strategy in the treatment of many cancers. They are also being investigated as approaches to reverse HIV latency and facilitate eradication [160, 162].

#### 5. Immune Activation and Early Initiation of ART

Owing to improved ART access, the prognosis of HIVinfected patients has improved, although increased morbidity and mortality persist. This is caused by clinical events such as CVD, malignancy, and inflammatory conditions exacerbated by incomplete immune recovery and residual immune activation [29, 163]. The timing of ART initiation is thought to play an important role in immune activation [53]. Data indicate that an immunologic activation set point develops in the acute phase of HIV infection, which determines the rate at which CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells are lost over time [164]. Early ART initiation may protect and preserve lymphoid gut homeostasis and reduce microbial translocation through maintenance of epithelial integrity, maturation of mucosal DCs, and conservation of intestinal lymphoid structures [165]. Other long-term benefits include conservation of HIV-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells, reduction of the turnover rate and activation of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells, and in prevention of viral evolution [166–171].

#### 6. Therapeutic Interventions

A number of therapeutic measures have been explored with the aim of reducing systemic immune activation in HIV-infected persons. To date, most studies have been observational in nature, making it impossible to rule out confounding factors, and to our knowledge, no human trials have used markers of immunosenescence as the primary outcome. Prospective interventional studies have rather focused on the causes of immunosenescence, such as immune activation and inflammation, linked with specific outcomes [6]. Unfortunately, there is no consensus regarding the optimal combination of biomarkers for measuring either immune activation or treatment success. No single strategy has been found effective in large-scale clinical trials, and no adjunctive treatment is currently recommended in international HIV treatment guidelines.

6.1. ART Intensification and Strengthening. Intensification with the integrase strand transfer inhibitor, raltegravir, in virally suppressed persons on ART has been found to lead to a rapid increase in 2-LTR circles with a significant decrease in levels of D-dimer [172]. Most studies have not shown any significant change in CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation with this strategy [173–176]. Intensification with maraviroc, a selective, reversible CCR5-receptor antagonist that inhibits the binding and signalling of CCR5 ligands, produced no effect on CD4<sup>+</sup> or CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts and actually increased LPS and sCD14 levels [177, 178].

6.2. Gastrointestinal Repair Strategy. The use of prebiotics and probiotics to modify the imbalance in the bacterial profile in the GIT of HIV-infected persons has been explored. Prebiotic use showed a significant reduction in levels of sCD14 and improved the functional capability of CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cells [179–181]. Supplementation with probiotics in infected macaques demonstrated reduced IDO-1 activity, indicating improved ability to maintain mucosal homeostasis [182, 183]. Other studies have shown increased CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts and lower levels of IL-6 and LBP with probiotic use [180, 181]. Administering bovine colostrum containing LPS-specific antibodies/immunoglobulin did not yield any significant change in LPS, sCD14 levels, or CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts [173, 184].

Recently, it has been reported that elite controllers, who spontaneously maintain sustained control of HIV, possess a microbiota that is richer and differs in predicted functionality from treatment naïve HIV progressors, resembling the micobiota of HIV-uninfected persons [185]. Therapeutic interventions that modulate gut microbiota richness, not only composition, are important in reducing HIV-related inflammation [185]. In addition to bacterial composition, other factors such as stability, resistance, resilience, and

redundancy contribute to the functional properties of the microbiota [186]. Confirmation of microbiota-related control of HIV infection in elite controllers by metabolomic studies may result in new intervention strategies, such as faecal transplants, to control HIV [185, 187].

8

6.3. Treatment of Coinfections. Treatment of CMV seropositive patients with valganciclovir has demonstrated significant decreases in CMV DNA expression and activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell, but had no effect on CRP, IL-6, and sCD14 [188]. The treatment of HCV with IFN- $\alpha$  and ribavirin did, however, correlate with a significant decrease in TNF receptor-1 and endothelial dysfunction markers, for example, soluble E-selectin and sVCAM-1 [189].

6.4. Interleukins. The coadministration of IL-21 and probiotics to SIV-infected animals was found to increase the production of polyfunctional Th17 and reduce pathobiont translocation [190]. Administering IL-7 to patients on ART restored functionality of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells, enhanced CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell production, and restored intestinal Th17 and Th22 populations [191]. In addition, IL-7 significantly decreased the viral reservoir by activating latent virus replication [192]. Reconstitution of the immune system with excitatory cytokines such as IL-2 or IL-15 has improved CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts and HIV-specific T-cell responses [9, 193].

6.5. *Immune Suppressive Agents*. Administering cyclosporine A as a conjunctive therapy increases average CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts, possibly through the inhibition of T-cell activation and proliferation [194].

6.6. Reducing Activation of Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine prevent the endosomal acidification and fusion in pDCs and also inhibit IDO, a regulator of T-cell responses [195]. There is some controversy regarding the effect of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in HIV-infected people. Studies on chloroquine report a substantial reduction in VL in newly ART-treated patients [196, 197], a reduction in memory CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation and CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell proliferation [195, 198]. Additional beneficial effects, such as reduced levels of LPS, IFN- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and TNF- $\alpha$  and an increase in CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts, have also been demonstrated [195, 198, 199]. On the other hand, there have also been reports of no significant changes in CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation and proliferation [200]. An increase in VL and a reduction, or no change, in CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts have also been found [196, 197, 201].

6.7. Immune Modulators. Administering 3-hydroxy-3methyl-glutharyl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors was found to reduce D-dimer and CRP [202–207]. A study of atorvastatin demonstrated a significant reduction in CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cells compared to the control group [202]. Another study observed that the addition of statins to ART correlates with a decline in the occurrence of non-AIDS-associated cancer, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, and a decreased mortality rate [206]. Selective cyclooxygenase type 2 (COX-2) inhibitors have been found to reduce CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation and immune activation levels [208]. The active metabolite of leflunomide, a disease-modifying antirheumatic drug, reduced activated T-cell proliferation in an *in vitro* study while no significant change was observed in HIV VL or CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell counts in patients treated with leflunomide in a randomised clinical trial [209–212]. Studies administering rapamycin and mycophenolate as a supplementary therapy with ART have shown to lower activation and proliferation of T-cells [213, 214].

6.8. Senolytics. Senescent cells are known to accumulate in various tissues during the aging process [215], and even a small number of these cells can cause adverse age- and disease-related phenotypes due to their "proinflammatory senescence-associated secretory phenotype" [216]. Senolytics are drugs that selectively promote apoptosis of senescent cells by temporarily disabling prosurvival signalling pathways, for example, those involving "PI3K/AKT, p53/ p21/serpines, dependence receptor/tyrosine kinases, and BCL-2/BCL-X<sub>L</sub>." This has delayed or alleviated the appearance of age- and disease-related phenotypes in several animal models [216]. These drugs consequently hold promise in attenuating the appearance of age-related cell phenotypes and chronic diseases, such as diabetes, pulmonary fibrosis, osteoporosis, cardiovascular disease, and cancers [216, 217].

Various drug candidates have been identified, for example, the tyrosine kinase inhibitor, dasatinib; the naturally occurring flavonoids and related compounds, such as quercetin, fisetin, and piperlongumine; drugs that target components of the BCL-2 pathway, for example, navitoclax; and the specific BCL-X<sub>L</sub> inhibitors, A1331852 and A1155463 [215–219]. However, none of these drugs have demonstrated efficacy on all senescent cell types, significant side effects have been observed, none have yet successfully completed preclinical studies, and concerns exist regarding toxicity following long-term use. Fisetin, A1331852, and A1155463 appear to have more favorable side effect profiles and are potentially better candidates for use in humans [215, 216].

#### 7. Conclusion

Systemic immune activation has become a focus of research into the immunopathogenesis of HIV. This immune activation is characterized by an increase in proinflammatory mediators, dysfunctional Tregs, and a pattern of T-cellsenescent phenotypes similar to those observed in the elderly. These changes predispose HIV-infected persons to comorbid conditions that have been linked to immunosenescence and inflamm-ageing. Treatment strategies aimed at curtailing persistent immune activation may help prevent the development of these conditions. At present, early ART initiation appears to be the most effective strategy although there is difficulty in achieving this in many settings. More studies of supplementary strategies are required. Consensus should also be reached regarding the optimal combination of biomarkers for measuring systemic immune activation and its successful treatment.

#### Disclosure

Opinions expressed and conclusions arrived at are those of the authors and are not necessarily attributed to NRF or SACEMA.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is based on the research supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa, Unique Grant no. 93944, and DST/NRF Centre of Excellence in Epidemiological Modelling and Analysis (SACEMA).

#### References

- F. Lori, "Treating HIV/AIDS by reducing immune system activation: the paradox of immune deficiency and immune hyperactivation," *Current Opinion in HIV AIDS*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 99–103, 2008.
- [2] V. Appay, J. R. Almeida, D. Sauce, B. Autran, and L. Papagno, "Accelerated immune senescence and HIV-1 infection," *Experimental Gerontology*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 432–437, 2007.
- [3] H. Hatano, "Immune activation and HIV persistence: considerations for novel therapeutic interventions," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 211–216, 2013.
- [4] I. Sereti and M. Altfeld, "Immune activation and HIV: an enduring relationship," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 129-130, 2016.
- [5] J. Gui, L. M. Mustachio, D. M. Su, and R. W. Craig, "Thymus size and age-related thymic involution: early programming, sexual dimorphism, progenitors and stroma," *Aging and Disease*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 280–290, 2012.
- [6] S. G. Deeks, E. Verdin, and J. M. McCune, "Immunosenescence and HIV," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 501–506, 2012.
- [7] H. Y. Chung, H. J. Kim, J. W. Kim, and B. P. Yu, "The inflammation hypothesis of aging: molecular modulation by calorie restriction," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 928, pp. 327–335, 2001.
- [8] H. Bruunsgaard, M. Pedersen, and B. K. Pedersen, "Aging and proinflammatory cytokines," *Current Opinion in Hematology*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 131–136, 2001.
- [9] V. Appay and S. L. Rowland-Jones, "Premature ageing of the immune system: the cause of AIDS?," *Trends in Immunology*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 580–585, 2002.
- [10] K. C. Wolthers, G. Bea, A. Wisman et al., "T cell telomere length in HIV-1 infection: no evidence for increased CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell turnover," *Science*, vol. 274, no. 5292, pp. 1543– 1547, 1996.
- [11] M. Dagarag, H. Ng, R. Lubong, R. B. Effros, and O. O. Yang, "Differential impairment of lytic and cytokine functions in senescent human immunodeficiency virus type 1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 3077–3083, 2003.
- [12] O. Franzese, R. Adamo, M. Pollicita et al., "Telomerase activity, hTERT expression, and phosphorylation are downregulated

in CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1)," *Journal of Medical Virology*, vol. 79, no. 5, pp. 639–646, 2007.

9

- [13] L. Meyaard, S. A. Otto, R. R. Jonker, M. J. Mijnster, R. P. Keet, and F. Miedema, "Programmed death of T cells in HIV-1 infection," *Science*, vol. 257, no. 5067, pp. 217–219, 1992.
- [14] F. Aiuti and I. Mezzaroma, "Failure to reconstitute CD4+ T-cells despite suppression of HIV replication under HAART," AIDS Reviews, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 88–97, 2006.
- [15] O. Benveniste, A. Flahault, F. Rollot et al., "Mechanisms involved in the low-level regeneration of CD4<sup>+</sup> cells in HIV-1-infected patients receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy who have prolonged undetectable plasma viral loads," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 191, no. 10, pp. 1670–1679, 2005.
- [16] G. Marchetti, A. Gori, A. Casabianca et al., "Comparative analysis of T-cell turnover and homeostatic parameters in HIV-infected patients with discordant immune-virological responses to HAART," *AIDS*, vol. 20, no. 13, pp. 1727– 1736, 2006.
- [17] M. Massanella, E. Negredo, N. Perez-Alvarez et al., "CD4 T-cell hyperactivation and susceptibility to cell death determine poor CD4 T-cell recovery during suppressive HAART," *AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 959–968, 2010.
- [18] D. E. Nixon and A. L. Landay, "Biomarkers of immune dysfunction in HIV," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 498–503, 2010.
- [19] Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) Study Group, W. M. El-Sadr, J. Lundgren et al., "CD4<sup>+</sup> count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 355, no. 22, pp. 2283–2296, 2006.
- [20] D. Sauce, C. Elbim, and V. Appay, "Monitoring cellular immune markers in HIV infection: from activation to exhaustion," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 125–131, 2013.
- [21] T. H. Mogensen, J. Melchjorsen, C. S. Larsen, and S. R. Paludan, "Innate immune recognition and activation during HIV infection," *Retrovirology*, vol. 7, p. 54, 2010.
- [22] M. C. Cumont, O. Diop, B. Vaslin et al., "Early divergence in lymphoid tissue apoptosis between pathogenic and nonpathogenic simian immunodeficiency virus infections of nonhuman primates," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 1175–1184, 2008.
- [23] S. Palmer, F. Maldarelli, A. Wiegand et al., "Low-level viremia persists for at least 7 years in patients on suppressive antiretroviral therapy," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 105, no. 10, pp. 3879–3884, 2008.
- [24] H. Hatano, E. L. Delwart, P. J. Norris et al., "Evidence of persistent low-level viremia in long-term HAART-suppressed, HIV-infected individuals," *AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 16, pp. 2535– 2539, 2010.
- [25] J. M. Brenchley, G. Silvestri, and D. C. Douek, "Nonprogressive and progressive primate immunodeficiency lentivirus infections," *Immunity*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 737–742, 2010.
- [26] A. Chahroudi, S. E. Bosinger, T. H. Vanderford, M. Paiardini, and G. Silvestri, "Natural SIV hosts: showing AIDS the door," *Science*, vol. 335, no. 6073, pp. 1188–1193, 2012.
- [27] N. R. Klatt, G. Silvestri, and V. Hirsch, "Nonpathogenic simian immunodeficiency virus infections," Cold Spring

Harbor Perspective in Medicine, vol. 2, no. 1, article a007153, 2012.

- [28] S. Lederer, D. Favre, K. A. Walters et al., "Transcriptional profiling in pathogenic and non-pathogenic SIV infections reveals significant distinctions in kinetics and tissue compartmentalization," *PLoS Pathogens*, vol. 5, no. 2, article e1000296, 2009.
- [29] P. W. Hunt, J. Brenchley, E. Sinclair et al., "Relationship between T cell activation and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count in HIVseropositive individuals with undetectable plasma HIV RNA levels in the absence of therapy," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 197, no. 1, pp. 126–133, 2008.
- [30] M. Saag and S. G. Deeks, "How do HIV elite controllers do what they do?," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 239–241, 2010.
- [31] N. Noel, N. Lerolle, C. Lecuroux et al., "Immunologic and virologic progression in HIV controllers: the role of viral "blips" and immune activation in the ANRS CO21 CODEX study0131922," *PLoS One*, vol. 10, no. 7, 2015.
- [32] V. Appay and D. Sauce, "Immune activation and inflammation in HIV-1 infection: causes and consequences," *The Journal of Pathology*, vol. 214, no. 2, pp. 231–241, 2008.
- [33] S. Pasquereau, A. Kumar, and G. Herbein, "Targeting TNF and TNF receptor pathway in HIV-1 infection: from immune activation to viral reservoirs," *Virus*, vol. 9, no. 4, 2017.
- [34] G. Herbein and K. A. Khan, "Is HIV infection a TNF receptor signalling-driven disease?," *Trends in Immunology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 61–67, 2008.
- [35] J. C. Gaardbo, H. J. Hartling, J. Gerstoft, and S. D. Nielsen, "Incomplete immune recovery in HIV infection: mechanisms, relevance for clinical care, and possible solutions," *Clinical and Developmental Immunology*, vol. 2012, Article ID 670957, 17 pages, 2012.
- [36] E. A. Operskalski and A. Kovacs, "HIV/HCV co-infection: pathogenesis, clinical complications, treatment, and new therapeutic technologies," *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 12–22, 2011.
- [37] P. W. Hunt, "HIV and inflammation: mechanisms and consequences," *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 139–147, 2012.
- [38] A. W. Sylwester, B. L. Mitchell, J. B. Edgar et al., "Broadly targeted human cytomegalovirus-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells dominate the memory compartments of exposed subjects," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 202, no. 5, pp. 673–685, 2005.
- [39] V. Appay, S. Fastenackels, C. Katlama et al., "Old age and anti-cytomegalovirus immunity are associated with altered T-cell reconstitution in HIV-1-infected patients," *AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 15, pp. 1813–1822, 2011.
- [40] E. Righetti, G. Ballon, L. Ometto et al., "Dynamics of Epstein–Barr virus in HIV-1-infected subjects on highly active antiretroviral therapy," *AIDS*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 63– 73, 2002.
- [41] M. R. Petrara, A. M. Cattelan, M. Zanchetta et al., "Epstein-Barr virus load and immune activation in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected patients," *Journal of Clinical Virology*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 195–200, 2012.
- [42] P. Ancuta, A. Kamat, K. J. Kunstman et al., "Microbial translocation is associated with increased monocyte activation and dementia in AIDS patients," *PLoS One*, vol. 3, no. 6, article e2516, 2008.

- [43] N. G. Sandler and D. C. Douek, "Microbial translocation in HIV infection: causes, consequences and treatment opportunities," *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 655–666, 2012.
- [44] A. Balagopal, S. C. Ray, R. M. De Oca et al., "Kupffer cells are depleted with HIV immunodeficiency and partially recovered with antiretroviral immune reconstitution," *AIDS*, vol. 23, no. 18, pp. 2397–2404, 2009.
- [45] A. S. Beignon, K. McKenna, M. Skoberne et al., "Endocytosis of HIV-1 activates plasmacytoid dendritic cells via toll-like receptor-viral RNA interactions," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 11, pp. 3265–3275, 2005.
- [46] M. D. Hyrcza, C. Kovacs, M. Loutfy et al., "Distinct transcriptional profiles in ex vivo CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are established early in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection and are characterized by a chronic interferon response as well as extensive transcriptional changes in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 81, no. 7, pp. 3477– 3486, 2007.
- [47] P. Bharaj and H. S. Chahar, "Immune activation and HIV pathogenesis: implications for therapy," *Journal of Antivirals and Antiretrovirals*, vol. 07, no. 1, pp. 15–21, 2015.
- [48] A. Sivro, R. C. Su, F. A. Plummer, and T. B. Ball, "Interferon responses in HIV infection: from protection to disease," *AIDS Reviews*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 43–51, 2014.
- [49] N. S. Utay and D. C. Douek, "Interferons and HIV infection: the good, the bad, and the ugly," *Pathogens and Immunity*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 107–116, 2016.
- [50] H. Valdez and M. M. Lederman, "Cytokines and cytokine therapies in HIV infection," *AIDS Clinical Review*, pp. 187– 228, 1997.
- [51] A. Kamat, V. Misra, E. Cassol et al., "A plasma biomarker signature of immune activation in HIV patients on antiretroviral therapy," *PLoS One*, vol. 7, no. 2, article e30881, 2012.
- [52] A. Biancotto, J. C. Grivel, S. J. Iglehart et al., "Abnormal activation and cytokine spectra in lymph nodes of people chronically infected with HIV-1," *Blood*, vol. 109, no. 10, pp. 4272–4279, 2007.
- [53] R. Rajasuriar, G. Khoury, A. Kamarulzaman, M. A. French, P. U. Cameron, and S. R. Lewin, "Persistent immune activation in chronic HIV infection: do any interventions work?," *AIDS*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1199–1208, 2013.
- [54] S. Mehandru, M. A. Poles, K. Tenner-Racz et al., "Primary HIV-1 infection is associated with preferential depletion of CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocytes from effector sites in the gastrointestinal tract," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 200, no. 6, pp. 761–770, 2004.
- [55] T. L. Hayes, D. M. Asmuth, J. W. Critchfield et al., "Impact of highly active antiretroviral therapy initiation on CD4+ T-cell repopulation in duodenal and rectal mucosa," *AIDS*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 867–877, 2013.
- [56] S. N. Gordon, B. Cervasi, P. Odorizzi et al., "Disruption of intestinal CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell homeostasis is a key marker of systemic CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell activation in HIV-infected individuals," *Journal* of *Immunology*, vol. 185, no. 9, pp. 5169–5179, 2010.
- [57] J. M. Brenchley, D. A. Price, T. W. Schacker et al., "Microbial translocation is a cause of systemic immune activation in chronic HIV infection," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1365–1371, 2006.
- [58] M. Mavigner, M. Cazabat, M. Dubois et al., "Altered CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell homing to the gut impairs mucosal immune

10

reconstitution in treated HIV-infected individuals," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 62–69, 2012.

- [59] N. R. Klatt, J. D. Estes, X. Sun et al., "Loss of mucosal CD103+ DCs and IL-17+ and IL-22+ lymphocytes is associated with mucosal damage in SIV infection," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 646–657, 2012.
- [60] L. Guglani and S. A. Khader, "Th17 cytokines in mucosal immunity and inflammation," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 120–127, 2010.
- [61] K. Sugimoto, A. Ogawa, E. Mizoguchi et al., "IL-22 ameliorates intestinal inflammation in a mouse model of ulcerative colitis," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 534–544, 2008.
- [62] H. Park, Z. Li, X. O. Yang et al., "A distinct lineage of CD4 T cells regulates tissue inflammation by producing interleukin 17," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1133–1141, 2005.
- [63] J. M. Brenchley, M. Paiardini, K. S. Knox et al., "Differential Th17 CD4 T-cell depletion in pathogenic and nonpathogenic lentiviral infections," *Blood*, vol. 112, no. 7, pp. 2826– 2835, 2008.
- [64] M. Paiardini, "Th17 cells in natural SIV hosts," Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 166–172, 2010.
- [65] J. M. Brenchley and D. C. Douek, "Microbial translocation across the GI tract," *Annual Review of Immunology*, vol. 30, pp. 149–173, 2012.
- [66] S. Prasad, R. Mingrino, K. Kaukinen et al., "Inflammatory processes have differential effects on claudins 2, 3 and 4 in colonic epithelial cells," *Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 85, no. 9, pp. 1139–1162, 2005.
- [67] H. Chiba, T. Kojima, M. Osanai, and N. Sawada, "The significance of interferon-γ-triggered internalization of tight-junction proteins in inflammatory bowel disease," *Science Signaling*, vol. 2006, no. 316, article pe1, 2005.
- [68] A. J. Smith, T. W. Schacker, C. S. Reilly, and A. T. Haase, "A role for syndecan-1 and claudin-2 in microbial translocation during HIV-1 infection," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 306–315, 2010.
- [69] F. M. Ruemmele, J. F. Beaulieu, S. Dionne et al., "Lipopolysaccharide modulation of normal enterocyte turnover by tolllike receptors is mediated by endogenously produced tumour necrosis factor α," *Gut*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 842–848, 2002.
- [70] A. Suy, P. Castro, M. Nomdedeu et al., "Immunological profile of heterosexual highly HIV-exposed uninfected individuals: predominant role of CD4 and CD8 T-cell activation," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 196, no. 8, pp. 1191– 1201, 2007.
- [71] F. Hayashi, K. D. Smith, A. Ozinsky et al., "The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by tolllike receptor 5," *Nature*, vol. 410, no. 6832, pp. 1099–1103, 2001.
- [72] L. Shan and R. F. Siliciano, "Unraveling the relationship between microbial translocation and systemic immune activation in HIV infection," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 124, no. 6, pp. 2368–2371, 2014.
- [73] N. R. Klatt, L. D. Harris, C. L. Vinton et al., "Compromised gastrointestinal integrity in pigtail macaques is associated with increased microbial translocation, immune activation, and IL-17 production in the absence of SIV infection," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 387–398, 2010.
- [74] J. D. Neaton, J. Neuhaus, and S. Emery, "Soluble biomarkers and morbidity and mortality among people infected with

HIV: summary of published reports from 1997 to 2010," *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 480–490, 2010.

- [75] N. G. Sandler, H. Wand, A. Roque et al., "Plasma levels of soluble CD14 independently predict mortality in HIV infection," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 6, pp. 780–790, 2011.
- [76] D. Nakanjako, I. Ssewanyana, H. Mayanja-Kizza et al., "High T-cell immune activation and immune exhaustion among individuals with suboptimal CD4 recovery after 4 years of antiretroviral therapy in an African cohort," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 11, p. 43, 2011.
- [77] S. Fernandez, A. Lim, and M. French, "Immune activation and the pathogenesis of HIV disease: implications for therapy," *Journal of HIV Therapy*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 52–56, 2009.
- [78] S. R. Ostrowski, "Immune activation in chronic HIV infectionB4122," *Danish Medical Bulletin*, vol. 57, no. 3, 2010.
- [79] N. T. Funderburg, E. Mayne, S. F. Sieg et al., "Increased tissue factor expression on circulating monocytes in chronic HIV infection: relationship to in vivo coagulation and immune activation," *Blood*, vol. 115, no. 2, pp. 161–167, 2010.
- [80] G. Marchetti, C. Tincati, and G. Silvestri, "Microbial translocation in the pathogenesis of HIV infection and AIDS," *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 2–18, 2013.
- [81] A. R. Bukh, J. Melchjorsen, R. Offersen et al., "Endotoxemia is associated with altered innate and adaptive immune responses in untreated HIV-1 infected individuals," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 6, article e21275, 2011.
- [82] M. Muller-Trutwin and A. Hosmalin, "Role for plasmacytoid dendritic cells in anti-HIV innate immunity," *Immunology* and Cell Biology, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 578–585.
- [83] Z. Grossman, M. Meier-Schellersheim, A. E. Sousa, R. M. Victorino, and W. E. Paul, "CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell depletion in HIV infection: are we closer to understanding the cause?," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 319–323, 2002.
- [84] S. Duggal, T. D. Chugh, and A. K. Duggal, "HIV and malnutrition: effects on immune system," *Clinical and Developmental Immunology*, vol. 2012, Article ID 784740, 8 pages, 2012.
- [85] J. W. Cohen Stuart, M. D. Hazebergh, D. Hamann et al., "The dominant source of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation in HIV infection is antigenic stimulation," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 203–211, 2000.
- [86] R. P. Bucy, R. D. Hockett, C. A. Derdeyn et al., "Initial increase in blood CD4<sup>+</sup> lymphocytes after HIV antiretroviral therapy reflects redistribution from lymphoid tissues," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 103, no. 10, pp. 1391–1398, 1999.
- [87] K. Wolf, D. A. Tsakiris, R. Weber, P. Erb, and M. Battegay, "Swiss HIVCS. Antiretroviral therapy reduces markers of endothelial and coagulation activation in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 185, no. 4, pp. 456–462, 2002.
- [88] G. Malherbe, H. C. Steel, S. Cassol et al., "Circulating biomarkers of immune activation distinguish viral suppression from nonsuppression in HAART-treated patients with advanced HIV-1 subtype C infection," *Mediators of Inflammation*, vol. 2014, Article ID 198413, 7 pages, 2014.
- [89] J. D. Estes, S. Wietgrefe, T. Schacker et al., "Simian immunodeficiency virus-induced lymphatic tissue fibrosis is mediated by transforming growth factor β1-positive regulatory T cells

and begins in early infection," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 195, no. 4, pp. 551–561, 2007.

- [90] J. Estes, J. V. Baker, J. M. Brenchley et al., "Collagen deposition limits immune reconstitution in the gut," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 198, no. 4, pp. 456– 464, 2008.
- [91] M. Zeng, A. J. Smith, S. W. Wietgrefe et al., "Cumulative mechanisms of lymphoid tissue fibrosis and T cell depletion in HIV-1 and SIV infections," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 121, no. 3, pp. 998–1008, 2011.
- [92] D. M. Asmuth, I. V. Pinchuk, J. Wu et al., "Role of intestinal myofibroblasts in HIV-associated intestinal collagen deposition and immune reconstitution following combination antiretroviral therapy," *AIDS*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 877– 888, 2015.
- [93] M. M. Lederman, N. T. Funderburg, R. P. Sekaly, N. R. Klatt, and P. W. Hunt, "Residual immune dysregulation syndrome in treated HIV infection," *Advances in Immunology*, vol. 119, pp. 51–83, 2013.
- [94] R. K. Reeves, P. A. Rajakumar, T. I. Evans et al., "Gut inflammation and indoleamine deoxygenase inhibit IL-17 production and promote cytotoxic potential in NKp44<sup>+</sup> mucosal NK cells during SIV infection," *Blood*, vol. 118, no. 12, pp. 3321–3330, 2011.
- [95] D. Favre, J. Mold, P. W. Hunt et al., "Tryptophan catabolism by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 alters the balance of TH17 to regulatory T cells in HIV disease," *Science Translational Medicine*, vol. 2, no. 32, p. 32ra6, 2010.
- [96] G. Khoury, R. Rajasuriar, P. U. Cameron, and S. R. Lewin, "The role of naive T-cells in HIV-1 pathogenesis: an emerging key player," *Clinical Immunology*, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 253–267, 2011.
- [97] P. Ye, D. E. Kirschner, and A. P. Kourtis, "The thymus during HIV disease: role in pathogenesis and in immune recovery," *Current HIV Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 177–183, 2004.
- [98] D. Sauce, M. Larsen, S. Fastenackels et al., "Evidence of premature immune aging in patients thymectomized during early childhood," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 119, no. 10, pp. 3070–3078, 2009.
- [99] T. Manjati, B. Nkambule, and H. Ipp, "Immune activation is associated with decreased thymic function in asymptomatic, untreated HIV-infected individuals," *Southern African Journal of HIV Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 1, 2016.
- [100] R. Ho Tsong Fang, A. D. Colantonio, and C. H. Uittenbogaart, "The role of the thymus in HIV infection: a 10 year perspective," *AIDS*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 171–184, 2008.
- [101] L. Kolte, A. M. Dreves, A. K. Ersboll et al., "Association between larger thymic size and higher thymic output in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 185, no. 11, pp. 1578–1585, 2002.
- [102] A. Vigano, S. Vella, N. Principi et al., "Thymus volume correlates with the progression of vertical HIV infection," *AIDS*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. F29–F34, 1999.
- [103] F. T. Hakim, S. A. Memon, R. Cepeda et al., "Age-dependent incidence, time course, and consequences of thymic renewal in adults," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 930–939, 2005.
- [104] T. K. Howcroft, J. Campisi, G. B. Louis et al., "The role of inflammation in age-related disease," *Aging*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 84–93, 2013.

- [105] L. Y. Kam and S. R. Targan, "Cytokine-based therapies in inflammatory bowel disease," *Current Opinion in Gastroenterology*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 302–307, 1999.
- [106] J. Olsson, M. Poles, A. L. Spetz et al., "Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection is associated with significant mucosal inflammation characterized by increased expression of CCR5, CXCR4, and β-chemokines," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 182, no. 6, pp. 1625–1635, 2000.
- [107] D. R. Boulware, K. H. Hullsiek, C. E. Puronen et al., "Higher levels of CRP, D-dimer, IL-6, and hyaluronic acid before initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) are associated with increased risk of AIDS or death," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 11, pp. 1637–1646, 2011.
- [108] R. C. Kaplan, E. Sinclair, A. L. Landay et al., "T cell activation and senescence predict subclinical carotid artery disease in HIV-infected women," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 4, pp. 452–463, 2011.
- [109] J. Macias, J. Berenguer, M. A. Japon et al., "Fast fibrosis progression between repeated liver biopsies in patients coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus/hepatitis C virus," *Hepatology*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 1056–1063, 2009.
- [110] L. Calza, D. Pocaterra, M. Pavoni et al., "Plasma levels of VCAM-1, ICAM-1, E-selectin, and P-selectin in 99 HIVpositive patients versus 51 HIV-negative healthy controls," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 430–432, 2009.
- [111] N. R. Klatt, N. Chomont, D. C. Douek, and S. G. Deeks, "Immune activation and HIV persistence: implications for curative approaches to HIV infection," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 254, no. 1, pp. 326–342, 2013.
- [112] S. Karpatkin, M. Nardi, and D. Green, "Platelet and coagulation defects associated with HIV-1-infection," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 389–401, 2002.
- [113] M. C. Jacobson, B. J. Dezube, and D. M. Aboulafia, "Thrombotic complications in patients infected with HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: a case series," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 8, pp. 1214–1222, 2004.
- [114] D. Periard, A. Telenti, P. Sudre et al., "Atherogenic dyslipidemia in HIV-infected individuals treated with protease inhibitors. The Swiss HIV cohort study," *Circulation*, vol. 100, no. 7, pp. 700–705, 1999.
- [115] K. Koppel, G. Bratt, S. Schulman, H. Bylund, and E. Sandstrom, "Hypofibrinolytic state in HIV-1-infected patients treated with protease inhibitor-containing highly active antiretroviral therapy," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 441–449, 2002.
- [116] J. Dressman, J. Kincer, S. V. Matveev et al., "HIV protease inhibitors promote atherosclerotic lesion formation independent of dyslipidemia by increasing CD36-dependent cholesteryl ester accumulation in macrophages," *Journal* of Clinical Investigation, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 389–397, 2003.
- [117] X. H. Yu, Y. C. Fu, D. W. Zhang, K. Yin, and C. K. Tang, "Foam cells in atherosclerosis," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 424, pp. 245–252, 2013.
- [118] D. A. Zidar, S. Juchnowski, B. Ferrari et al., "Oxidized LDL levels are increased in HIV infection and may drive monocyte activation," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 154–160, 2015.
- [119] E. Galkina and K. Ley, "Immune and inflammatory mechanisms of atherosclerosis," *Annual Review of Immunology*, vol. 27, pp. 165–197, 2009.

12

- [120] M. S. Freiberg, C. C. Chang, L. H. Kuller et al., "HIV infection and the risk of acute myocardial infarction," *JAMA Internal Medicine*, vol. 173, no. 8, pp. 614–622, 2013.
- [121] A. A. Butt, C. C. Chang, L. Kuller et al., "Risk of heart failure with human immunodeficiency virus in the absence of prior diagnosis of coronary heart disease," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 171, no. 8, pp. 737–743, 2011.
- [122] J. J. Sico, C. C. Chang, K. So-Armah et al., "HIV status and the risk of ischemic stroke among men," *Neurology*, vol. 84, no. 19, pp. 1933–1940, 2015.
- [123] Z. H. Tseng, E. A. Secemsky, D. Dowdy et al., "Sudden cardiac death in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 59, no. 21, pp. 1891–1896, 2012.
- [124] B. G. Childs, D. J. Baker, T. Wijshake, C. A. Conover, J. Campisi, and J. M. van Deursen, "Senescent intimal foam cells are deleterious at all stages of atherosclerosis," *Science*, vol. 354, no. 6311, pp. 472–477, 2016.
- [125] F. M. Islam, J. Wu, J. Jansson, and D. P. Wilson, "Relative risk of renal disease among people living with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 12, p. 234, 2012.
- [126] K. Meir-Shafrir and S. Pollack, "Accelerated aging in HIV patients," *Rambam Maimonides Medical Journal*, vol. 3, no. 4, article e0025, 2012.
- [127] R. K. Medapalli, J. C. He, and P. E. Klotman, "HIV-associated nephropathy: pathogenesis," *Current Opinion in Nephrology* and Hypertension, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 306–311, 2011.
- [128] D. E. Rifkin and M. Sarnak, "Does inflammation fuel the fire in CKD?," *American Journal of Kidney Disease*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 572–575, 2009.
- [129] G. J. Becker and T. D. Hewitson, "The role of tubulointerstitial injury in chronic renal failure," *Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 133–138, 2000.
- [130] G. Remuzzi, P. Ruggenenti, and A. Benigni, "Understanding the nature of renal disease progression," *Kidney International*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 2–15, 1997.
- [131] C. van Kooten and M. R. Daha, "Cytokine cross-talk between tubular epithelial cells and interstitial immunocompetent cells," *Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 55–59, 2001.
- [132] P. Chen, Z. Yi, W. Zhang, M. E. Klotman, and B. K. Chen, "HIV infection-induced transcriptional program in renal tubular epithelial cells activates a CXCR2-driven CD4+ T-cell chemotactic response," *AIDS*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 1877–1888, 2016.
- [133] S. Segerer, P. J. Nelso, and D. Schlöndorff, "Chemokines, chemokine receptors, and renal disease: from basic science to pathophysiologic and therapeutic studies," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 152–176, 2000.
- [134] G. Canaud, N. Dejucq-Rainsford, V. Avettand-Fenoel et al., "The kidney as a reservoir for HIV-1 after renal transplantation," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 407–419, 2014.
- [135] S. M. Parkhie, D. M. Fine, G. M. Lucas, and M. G. Atta, "Characteristics of patients with HIV and biopsy-proven acute interstitial nephritis," *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 798–804, 2010.
- [136] N. S. Ivey, A. G. MacLean, and A. A. Lackner, "Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome and the blood-

brain barrier," Journal of Neurovirology, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 111-122, 2009.

- [137] L. Highleyman, "Inflammation, immune activation, and HIV," BETA, vol. 2, pp. 12–26, 2010.
- [138] A. N. Valdez, L. H. Rubin, and G. N. Neigh, "Untangling the Gordian knot of HIV, stress, and cognitive impairment," *Neurobiology of Stress*, vol. 4, pp. 44–54, 2016.
- [139] A. Bora, C. Ubaida Mohien, R. Chaerkady et al., "Identification of putative biomarkers for HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment in the CSF of HIV-infected patients under cART therapy determined by mass spectrometry," *Journal* of *Neurovirology*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 457–465, 2014.
- [140] T. Burdo, A. Weiffenbach, S. Woods, S. Letendre, R. J. Ellis, and K. C. Williams, "Elevated sCD163 is a marker of neurocognitive impairment in HIV-infected individuals on effective ART," in *in the Program and Abstracts from the* 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Seattle, WA, 2012Abstract #81.
- [141] A. C. Sartori, D. E. Vance, L. Z. Slater, and M. Crowe, "The impact of inflammation on cognitive function in older adults: implications for healthcare practice and research," *Journal of Neuroscience Nursing*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 206–217, 2012.
- [142] N. C. Chen, A. T. Partridge, C. Sell, C. Torres, and J. Martin-Garcia, "Fate of microglia during HIV-1 infection: from activation to senescence?," *Glia*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 431–446, 2017.
- [143] L. J. Garvey, N. Pavese, M. Politis et al., "Increased microglia activation in neurologically asymptomatic HIV-infected patients receiving effective ART," *AIDS*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 2014.
- [144] W. R. Tyor, J. D. Glass, J. W. Griffin et al., "Cytokine expression in the brain during the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome," *Annals of Neurology*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 349– 360, 1992.
- [145] L. C. Stanley, R. E. Mrak, R. C. Woody et al., "Glial cytokines as neuropathogenic factors in HIV infection: pathogenic similarities to Alzheimer's disease," *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 231– 238, 1994.
- [146] B. E. Flanary and W. J. Streit, "Progressive telomere shortening occurs in cultured rat microglia, but not astrocytes," *Glia*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 75–88, 2004.
- [147] C. Caldeira, A. F. Oliveira, C. Cunha et al., "Microglia change from a reactive to an age-like phenotype with the time in culture," *Frontiers of Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 8, 2014.
- [148] B. Spittau, "Aging microglia—phenotypes, functions and implications for age-related neurodegenerative diseases," *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, vol. 9, p. 194, 2017.
- [149] V. A. Triant, T. T. Brown, H. Lee, and S. K. Grinspoon, "Fracture prevalence among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected versus non-HIV-infected patients in a large U.S. healthcare system," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism, vol. 93, no. 9, pp. 3499–3504, 2008.
- [150] J. M. Fakruddin and J. Laurence, "HIV envelope gp120mediated regulation of osteoclastogenesis via receptor activator of nuclear factor  $\kappa$ B ligand (RANKL) secretion and its modulation by certain HIV protease inhibitors through interferon- $\gamma$ /RANKL cross-talk," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 48, pp. 48251–48258, 2003.
- [151] H. J. Stellbrink, C. Orkin, J. R. Arribas et al., "Comparison of changes in bone density and turnover with abacavir-

lamivudine versus tenofovir-emtricitabine in HIV-infected adults: 48-week results from the ASSERT study," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 963–972, 2010.

- [152] L. Ginaldi, M. C. Di Benedetto, and M. De Martinis, "Osteoporosis, inflammation and ageing," *Immunity and Ageing*, vol. 2, p. 14, 2005.
- [153] N. F. Crum-Cianflone, K. H. Hullsiek, V. Marconi et al., "Trends in the incidence of cancers among HIV-infected persons and the impact of antiretroviral therapy: authors' reply," *AIDS*, vol. 23, no. 13, pp. 1791-1792, 2009.
- [154] J. F. Deeken, A. L. A. Tjen, M. A. Rudek et al., "The rising challenge of non-AIDS-defining cancers in HIV-infected patients," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 1228–1235, 2012.
- [155] R. M. Peek Jr., S. Mohla, and R. N. DuBois, "Inflammation in the genesis and perpetuation of cancer: summary and recommendations from a national cancer institute-sponsored meeting," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 19, pp. 8583–8586, 2005.
- [156] N. Khansari, Y. Shakiba, and M. Mahmoudi, "Chronic inflammation and oxidative stress as a major cause of agerelated diseases and cancer," *Recent Patents on Inflammation and Allergy Drug Discovery*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 73–80, 2009.
- [157] K. S. Schluns, W. C. Kieper, S. C. Jameson, and L. Lefrancois, "Interleukin-7 mediates the homeostasis of naive and memory CD8 T cells in vivo," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 426–432, 2000.
- [158] F. Chiodi, Y. Bekele, R. Lantto Graham, and A. Nasi, "IL-7 and CD4 T follicular helper cells in HIV-1 infection," *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 8, no. APR, p. 451, 2017.
- [159] A. L. Kinter, E. J. Godbout, J. P. McNally et al., "The common y-chain cytokines IL-2, IL-7, IL-15, and IL-21 induce the expression of programmed death-1 and its ligands," *Journal* of *Immunology*, vol. 181, no. 10, pp. 6738–6746, 2008.
- [160] F. Porichis and D. E. Kaufmann, "Role of PD-1 in HIV pathogenesis and as target for therapy," *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 81–90, 2012.
- [161] V. Velu, R. D. Shetty, M. Larsson, and E. M. Shankar, "Role of PD-1 co-inhibitory pathway in HIV infection and potential therapeutic options," *Retrovirology*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 14, 2015.
- [162] Y. Iwai, J. Hamanishi, K. Chamoto, and T. Honjo, "Cancer immunotherapies targeting the PD-1 signaling pathway," *Journal of Biomedical Science*, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 26, 2017.
- [163] G. Guaraldi, G. Orlando, S. Zona et al., "Premature agerelated comorbidities among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 53, no. 11, pp. 1120–1126, 2011.
- [164] S. G. Deeks, C. M. Kitchen, L. Liu et al., "Immune activation set point during early HIV infection predicts subsequent CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell changes independent of viral load," *Blood*, vol. 104, no. 4, pp. 942–947, 2004.
- [165] A. Kök, L. Hocqueloux, H. Hocini et al., "Early initiation of combined antiretroviral therapy preserves immune function in the gut of HIV-infected patients," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 127–140, 2015.
- [166] D. D. Ho, "Time to hit HIV, early and hard," New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 333, no. 7, pp. 450-451, 1995.
- [167] E. S. Rosenberg, M. Altfeld, S. H. Poon et al., "Immune control of HIV-1 after early treatment of acute infection," *Nature*, vol. 407, no. 6803, pp. 523–526, 2000.
- [168] H. Mohri, A. S. Perelson, K. Tung et al., "Increased turnover of T lymphocytes in HIV-1 infection and its reduction by

antiretroviral therapy," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 194, no. 9, pp. 1277–1288, 2001.

- [169] R. Tilling, S. Kinloch, L. E. Goh et al., "Parallel decline of CD8+/CD38++ T cells and viraemia in response to quadruple highly active antiretroviral therapy in primary HIV infection," *AIDS*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 589–596, 2002.
- [170] P. W. Hunt, J. N. Martin, E. Sinclair et al., "T cell activation is associated with lower CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell gains in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients with sustained viral suppression during antiretroviral therapy," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 187, no. 10, pp. 1534–1543, 2003.
- [171] L. Hocqueloux, T. Prazuck, V. Avettand-Fénoël et al., "Longterm immunovirologic control following antiretroviral therapy interruption in patients treated at the time of primary HIV-1 infection," *AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 1598–1601, 2010.
- [172] H. Hatano, M. C. Strain, R. Scherzer et al., "Increase in 2-long terminal repeat circles and decrease in D-dimer after raltegravir intensification in patients with treated HIV infection: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 208, no. 9, pp. 1436–1442, 2013.
- [173] H. Byakwaga, M. Kelly, D. F. Purcell et al., "Intensification of antiretroviral therapy with raltegravir or addition of hyperimmune bovine colostrum in HIV-infected patients with suboptimal CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell response: a randomized controlled trial," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 204, no. 10, pp. 1532–1540, 2011.
- [174] R. T. Gandhi, L. Zheng, R. J. Bosch et al., "The effect of raltegravir intensification on low-level residual viremia in HIV-infected patients on antiretroviral therapy: a randomized controlled trial," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 8, article e1000321, 2010.
- [175] H. Hatano, T. L. Hayes, V. Dahl et al., "A randomized, controlled trial of raltegravir intensification in antiretroviral-treated, HIV-infected patients with a suboptimal CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell response," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 7, pp. 960–968, 2011.
- [176] S. A. Yukl, A. K. Shergill, K. McQuaid et al., "Effect of raltegravir-containing intensification on HIV burden and T-cell activation in multiple gut sites of HIV-positive adults on suppressive antiretroviral therapy," *AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 16, pp. 2451–2460, 2010.
- [177] T. J. Wilkin, C. M. Lalama, J. McKinnon et al., "A pilot trial of adding maraviroc to suppressive antiretroviral therapy for suboptimal CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell recovery despite sustained virologic suppression: ACTG A5256," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 206, no. 4, pp. 534–542, 2012.
- [178] C. Gutiérrez, L. Diaz, A. Vallejo et al., "Intensification of antiretroviral therapy with a CCR5 antagonist in patients with chronic HIV-1 infection: effect on T cells latently infected," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 12, article e27864, 2011.
- [179] A. Gori, G. Rizzardini, B. Van't Land et al., "Specific prebiotics modulate gut microbiota and immune activation in HAART-naïve HIV-infected adults: results of the 'COPA' pilot randomized trial," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 554–563, 2011.
- [180] S. Rakoff-Nahoum, J. Paglino, F. Eslami-Varzaneh, S. Edberg, and R. Medzhitov, "Recognition of commensal microflora by toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis," *Cell*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 229–241, 2004.
- [181] N. R. Klatt, L. A. Canary, X. Sun et al., "Probiotic/prebiotic supplementation of antiretrovirals improves gastrointestinal

14

immunity in SIV-infected macaques," *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 123, no. 2, pp. 903–907, 2013.

- [182] C. Tincati, D. C. Douek, and G. Marchetti, "Gut barrier structure, mucosal immunity and intestinal microbiota in the pathogenesis and treatment of HIV infection," *AIDS Research and Therapy*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 19, 2016.
- [183] I. Vujkovic-Cvijin, L. A. Swainson, S. N. Chu et al., "Gutresident lactobacillus abundance associates with IDO1 inhibition and Th17 dynamics in SIV-infected macaques," *Cell Reports*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1589–1597, 2015.
- [184] L. Trois, E. M. Cardoso, and E. Miura, "Use of probiotics in HIV-infected children: a randomized double-blind controlled study," *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 19–24, 2008.
- [185] J. Vesterbacka, J. Rivera, K. Noyan et al., "Richer gut microbiota with distinct metabolic profile in HIV infected elite controllers," *Scientific Reports*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 6269, 2017.
- [186] A. Moya and M. Ferrer, "Functional redundancy-induced stability of gut microbiota subjected to disturbance," *Trends in Microbiology*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 402–413, 2016.
- [187] T. Hensley-McBain, A. S. Zevin, J. Manuzak et al., "Effects of fecal microbial transplantation on microbiome and immunity in simian immunodeficiency virus-infected macaques," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 90, no. 10, pp. 4981–4989, 2016.
- [188] P. W. Hunt, J. N. Martin, E. Sinclair et al., "Valganciclovir reduces T cell activation in HIV-infected individuals with incomplete CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell recovery on antiretroviral therapy," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 10, pp. 1474– 1483, 2011.
- [189] M. Guzmán-Fulgencio, J. Berenguer, I. F. de Castro et al., "Sustained virological response to interferon-α plus ribavirin decreases inflammation and endothelial dysfunction markers in HIV/HCV co-infected patients," *The Journal Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 645–649, 2011.
- [190] A. M. Ortiz, Z. A. Klase, D. N. SR et al., "IL-21 and probiotic therapy improve Th17 frequencies, microbial translocation, and microbiome in ARV treated, SIV-infected macaques," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 458–467, 2015.
- [191] M. Paiardini, "Hijacking the IL-7/IL-7R system in HIV infection," *Journal of Leukocyte Biology*, vol. 89, no. 4, pp. 491–493, 2011.
- [192] N. Chomont, M. El-Far, P. Ancuta et al., "HIV reservoir size and persistence are driven by T cell survival and homeostatic proliferation," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 15, no. 8, pp. 893–900, 2009.
- [193] E. M. Wilson and I. Sereti, "Immune restoration after antiretroviral therapy: the pitfalls of hasty or incomplete repairs," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 254, no. 1, pp. 343–354, 2013.
- [194] E. A. Emmel, C. L. Verweij, D. B. Durand, K. M. Higgins, E. Lacy, and G. R. Crabtree, "Cyclosporin a specifically inhibits function of nuclear proteins involved in T cell activation," *Science*, vol. 246, no. 4937, pp. 1617–1620, 1989.
- [195] J. A. Martinson, C. J. Montoya, X. Usuga, R. Ronguillo, A. L. Landay, and S. N. Desai, "Chloroquine modulates HIV-1-induced plasmacytoid dendritic cell alpha interferon: implication for T-cell activation," *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, vol. 54, no. 2, pp. 871–881, 2010.
- [196] K. Sperber, M. Louie, T. Kraus et al., "Hydroxychloroquine treatment of patients with human immunodeficiency virus type 1," *Clinical Therapeutics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 622–636, 1995.

- [197] K. Sperber, G. Chiang, H. Chen et al., "Comparison of hydroxychloroquine with zidovudine in asymptomatic patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1," *Clinical Therapeutics*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 913–923, 1997.
- [198] S. Piconi, S. Parisotto, G. Rizzardini et al., "Hydroxychloroquine drastically reduces immune activation in HIV-infected, antiretroviral therapy-treated immunologic nonresponders," *Blood*, vol. 118, no. 12, pp. 3263–3272, 2011.
- [199] S. M. Murray, C. M. Down, D. R. Boulware et al., "Reduction of immune activation with chloroquine therapy during chronic HIV infection," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 84, no. 22, pp. 12082–12086, 2010.
- [200] M. Raffatellu, R. L. Santos, D. E. Verhoeven et al., "Simian immunodeficiency virus-induced mucosal interleukin-17 deficiency promotes salmonella dissemination from the gut," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 421–428, 2008.
- [201] N. I. Paton, R. L. Goodall, D. T. Dunn et al., "Effects of hydroxychloroquine on immune activation and disease progression among HIV-infected patients not receiving antiretroviral therapy: a randomized controlled trial," *JAMA*, vol. 308, no. 4, pp. 353–361, 2012.
- [202] A. Ganesan, N. Crum-Cianflone, J. Higgins et al., "High dose atorvastatin decreases cellular markers of immune activation without affecting HIV-1 RNA levels: results of a double-blind randomized placebo controlled clinical trial," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 203, no. 6, pp. 756–764, 2011.
- [203] S. De Wit, M. Delforge, C. V. Necsoi, and N. Clumeck, "Downregulation of CD38 activation markers by atorvastatin in HIV patients with undetectable viral load," *AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1332-1333, 2011.
- [204] E. Aslangul, S. Fellahi, L. K. Assoumou, J. P. Bastard, J. Capeau, and D. Costagliola, "High-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels fall during statin therapy in HIV-infected patients receiving ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors," *AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1128–1131, 2011.
- [205] C. J. Fichtenbaum, T. M. Yeh, S. R. Evans, and J. A. Aberg, "Treatment with pravastatin and fenofibrate improves atherogenic lipid profiles but not inflammatory markers in ACTG 5087," *Journal of Clinical Lipidology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 279–287, 2010.
- [206] C. Chao, L. Xu, D. I. Abrams et al., "HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) use and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma in HIV-positive persons," *AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 14, pp. 1771– 1777, 2011.
- [207] R. D. Moore, J. G. Bartlett, and J. E. Gallant, "Association between use of HMG CoA reductase inhibitors and mortality in HIV-infected patients," *PLoS One*, vol. 6, no. 7, article e21843, 2011.
- [208] F. O. Pettersen, E. A. Torheim, A. E. Dahm et al., "An exploratory trial of cyclooxygenase type 2 inhibitor in HIV-1 infection: downregulated immune activation and improved T cell-dependent vaccine responses," *Journal of Virology*, vol. 85, no. 13, pp. 6557–6566, 2011.
- [209] E. Schlapfer, M. Fischer, P. Ott, and R. F. Speck, "Anti-HIV-1 activity of leflunomide: a comparison with mycophenolic acid and hydroxyurea," *AIDS*, vol. 17, no. 11, pp. 1613– 1620, 2003.
- [210] J. P. Davis, G. A. Cain, W. J. Pitts, R. L. Magolda, and R. A. Copeland, "The immunosuppressive metabolite of leflunomide is a potent inhibitor of human dihydroorotate dehydrogenase," *Biochemistry*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 1270–1273, 1996.

16

- [211] H. M. Cherwinski, R. G. Cohn, P. Cheung et al., "The immunosuppressant leflunomide inhibits lymphocyte proliferation by inhibiting pyrimidine biosynthesis," *The Journal of Pharmacologyand Experimental Therapeutics*, vol. 275, no. 2, pp. 1043–1049, 1995.
- [212] S. W. Read, M. DeGrezia, E. J. Ciccone et al., "The effect of leflunomide on cycling and activation of T-cells in HIV-1-infected participants," *PLoS One*, vol. 5, no. 8, article e11937, 2010.
- [213] A. G. Chapuis, G. Paolo Rizzardi, C. D'Agostino et al., "Effects of mycophenolic acid on human immunodeficiency virus infection in vitro and in vivo," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 762–768, 2000.
- [214] F. Di Benedetto, S. Di Sandro, N. De Ruvo et al., "First report on a series of HIV patients undergoing rapamycin monotherapy after liver transplantation," *Transplantation*, vol. 89, no. 6, pp. 733–738, 2010.
- [215] J. L. Kirkland and T. Tchkonia, "Cellular senescence: a translational perspective," *eBioMedicine*, vol. 21, pp. 21–28, 2017.
- [216] J. Chang, Y. Wang, L. Shao et al., "Clearance of senescent cells by ABT263 rejuvenates aged hematopoietic stem cells in mice," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 78–83, 2016.
- [217] Y. Zhu, E. J. Doornebal, T. Pirtskhalava et al., "New agents that target senescent cells: the flavone, fisetin, and the BCL-XL inhibitors, A1331852 and A1155463," Aging, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 955–963, 2017.
- [218] M. J. Schafer, T. A. White, K. Iijima et al., "Cellular senescence mediates fibrotic pulmonary disease," *Nature Communications*, vol. 8, article 14532, 2017.
- [219] Y. Wang, J. Chang, X. Liu et al., "Discovery of piperlongumine as a potential novel lead for the development of senolytic agents," *Aging*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 2915–2926, 2016.

Mediators of Inflammation

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 213 PageID# 13221

# EXHIBIT B



# NIH Public Access 13222

# Author Manuscript

Annu Rev Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 02.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Annu Rev Med. 2011; 62: 141–155. doi:10.1146/annurev-med-042909-093756.

# HIV Infection, Inflammation, Immunosenescence, and Aging

#### Steven G. Deeks

Department of Medicine, San Francisco General Hospital, University of California San Francisco; sdeeks@php.ucsf.edu

## Abstract

Although antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection prevents AIDS related complications and prolongs life, it does not fully restore health. Long-term treated patients remain at higher than expect risk for a number of complications typically associated with aging, including cardiovascular disease, cancer, osteoporosis and other end-organ diseases. The potential effect of HIV on health is perhaps most clearly exhibited by a number of immunologic abnormalities that persist despite effect suppression of HIV replication. These changes are consistent with some of the changes to the adaptive immune system that are seen in the very old ("immunosenescence") and that are likely related in part to persistent inflammation. HIV-associated inflammation and immunosenescence have been implicated as causally related to the premature onset of other end organ diseases. Novel therapeutic strategies aimed at preventing or reversing these immunologic defects may be necessary if HIV infected patients are achieve normal life span.

## INTRODUCTION

The development of antiretroviral therapy for the treatment of HIV infection is one of the greatest achievements of modern medicine. In a matter of a few years, the overall prognosis for HIV-infected patients shifted from years to decades. Although the initial regimens were complex and associated with significant short-term and long-term toxicity, current regimens are generally easy to administer, safe, and well tolerated. It is generally accepted that we are entering a phase of the epidemic in which we can achieve, and indefinitely maintain, control of HIV replication in the vast majority of patients.

Despite unquestioned success, combination antiretroviral therapy does not fully restore health. For reasons that remain poorly defined, long-term treated HIV-infected persons have an expected life span that is substantially shorter than that of their HIV-uninfected peers (1–4). This shortened life span is largely due to an increased risk of a number of "non-AIDS" complications, including heart disease, cancer, liver disease, kidney disease, bone disease, and neurocognitive decline. Many of these complications are similar to that observed among the elderly. Given the degenerative nature of most of these diseases, their impact on quality of life and function can be dramatic. These observations have led to growing concern that HIV-infected persons suffer from accelerated or premature "aging." This vaguely defined clinical scenario likely reflects a complex condition characterized by increased burden of comorbid diseases, higher prevalence of traditional behavioral risk factors (e.g., substance abuse), antiretroviral treatment toxicity, and chronic inflammation. These collectively result in functional decline and a higher than expected vulnerability to stressors or injury (5).

This review summarizes the complex epidemiologic, clinical, and pathogenesis data supporting the concept that (*a*) antiretroviral-treated HIV-infected persons are at higher than normal risk for certain age-associated diseases, and (*b*) this risk is due in part to irreversible HIV-associated immunologic dysfunction. This review does not seek to summarize the related but distinct effect of aging on HIV infection and its management, a topic that has been well-reviewed elsewhere (5, 6).

# RISK OF AGE-ASSOCIATED DISEASE IS HIGHER IN ANTIRETROVIRAL-TREATED HIV PATIENTS THAN IN HIV-UNINFECTED PERSONS

Several recent studies have attempted to determine the ability of modern antiretroviral treatment regimens to fully restore health. Each of these studies has significant limitations, including short-term follow-up, lack of proper adjustment for unmeasured confounders and the failure to consistently exclude patients who failed to achieve durable viral suppression. Despite these limitations, the data collectively strongly suggest that the risk of non-AIDS morbidity is higher among antiretroviral-treated HIV-infected individuals than their age matched uninfected peers for reasons directly related to the disease or its treatment (1–4).

Page 2

#### **Cardiovascular Disease**

Most (but not all) studies have found higher rates of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected populations than in age-matched HIV-uninfected populations (7). For example, in one large U.S. healthcare system, the risk of a myocardial infarction was much higher in HIV-infected versus uninfected persons (8). Similar results have been reported by other groups using either clinical events or well-validated surrogate markers as the outcome measure (e.g., carotid intima thickening, brachial artery flow-mediated dilation) (7–9).

The mechanism that accounts for the higher than expected rates of cardiovascular disease is the focus of intense investigation. People with HIV often have more traditional risk factors for heart disease (e.g., hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia), but these factors do not account for all of the increased risk (8, 10, 11). Abacavir and the various protease inhibitors have been associated with cardiovascular toxicity (12, 13). Because HIV-associated biomarkers such as CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell nadir, proximal CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count, and markers of inflammation consistently predict an elevated risk of cardiovascular disease independent of other factors (14, 15), it is almost certain that HIV infection contributes to the elevated risk of cardiovascular disease. Our observation that the HIV-infected persons who are able to durably control HIV infection in the absence of therapy ("elite controllers") have more carotid disease than age-matched uninfected persons also argues for an effect of HIVassociated factors that is independent of direct toxicity, high viral replication, and advanced immunodeficiency (16).

#### Cancer

Another emerging dataset suggests that HIV infection is associated with a higher than expected rate of many non-AIDS cancers (17, 18). This risk is particularly evident for those non-AIDS-defining cancers that are known or believed to be caused by chronic infections (e.g., anal cancer, Hodgkin's disease, liver cancer), while the risk of other cancers (e.g., lung, colorectal, melanoma) is only slightly higher. This higher cancer rate is apparent even among long-term antiretroviral-treated patients, and it is strongly related to the degree of immunodeficiency—as defined by the on-therapy CD4<sup>+</sup> cell count (19). Because the spectrum of cancers among HIV-infected persons is similar to that in the post-transplantation population (20), it has been argued that HIV-associated immune dysfunction may be the primary factor driving any excess risk.

#### Frailty

Aging is often defined on the basis of functional capacity rather than the collection of ageassociated diseases (21). Aging is hence quantified by a series of metrics that cover specific domains. One such domain is frailty, which includes measures of sarcopenia (loss of muscle mass), osteoporosis, and muscle weakness. Although frailty has not been formally measured in HIV-infected populations, an estimate of frailty (the "frailty-related phenotype") was

Page 3

determined using interview data collected in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study (MACS). During the course of the study, ~14% of the HIV-infected population met the study definition of frailty at least once, whereas only 2% of the HIV-uninfected persons met this definition. The risk was most apparent after prolonged HIV infection and was strongly predicted by the peripheral CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count (in both treated and untreated individuals) (22). Since clinically apparent frailty as seen in the very old is likely uncommon in younger HIV-infected individuals, it has been proposed that other, more subtle measures of functional capacity be used in future studies (5).

#### Liver, Kidney, and Bone Disease

HIV-infected persons have a higher risk of both liver and kidney disease than age-matched uninfected persons (23, 24). Among HIV-infected persons, untreated disease (or persistent viral replication) is associated with a higher risk than treated disease, suggesting that HIV replication directly or indirectly harms these organs (25). The extent of viral replication appears to be a strong determinant of kidney disease (26, 27), while the peripheral CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count may be a more important determinant of either kidney or liver disease (14, 28). The extent to which effective antiretroviral therapy normalizes liver and kidney function is unknown and may be difficult to discern given that many antiretroviral drugs have are directly toxic to these tissues.

In some studies, the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis is at least three times higher in HIV-infected persons than HIV-uninfected controls (29). Fractures are also more common in HIV-infected persons (30). Persistent inflammation during therapy may be causally related to disease, as many of the inflammatory markers known to be higher in HIV disease have been associated with bone disease in HIV-uninfected persons (31). Other factors—including antiretroviral drug toxicity and vitamin D deficiency—also contribute to bone disease.

#### **Neurologic Complications**

The harmful effect of untreated HIV on peripheral and central nervous system (CNS) function was apparent very early in the epidemic. HIV-associated inflammation is believed to be a central factor in CNS disease. Effective therapy clearly prevents and often reverses this process, but residual disease often persists (32, 33). One of the most contentious issues in clinical HIV medicine is whether harm continues to accumulate during therapy and, if so, whether this ongoing harm is due to inadequate penetration of certain drugs into the CNS (thus allowing ongoing viral replication) or to residual inflammation (34, 35). Although persistent defects noted in treated patients are often subtle and of unclear clinical relevance, even subtle increases in the rate of progression could over time result in the early onset of clinically relevant conditions such as dementia.

#### AGING OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM (IMMUNOSENESCENCE)

As with any organ system, the immune system exhibits characteristic changes as people get older. These changes are most apparent (or at least most studied) in T cells. Compared to younger adults, the immune system in older adults is marked by a number of characteristics, including (*a*) reduced number and function of hematopoietic stem cells, (*b*) thymic involution, (*c*) reduced circulating naive T cells, (*d*) increased frequencies of well-differentiated memory CD28<sup>-</sup> T cells with limited proliferative potential, (*e*) increased levels of many proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-6 and TNFa, and (*f*) decreased CD4/CD8 ratios (36, 37). Although most of these changes pertain to the adaptive immune system, other aspects of the immune system—including NK cells—exhibit reduced

Page 4

function in the very old, but data are limited. The few mucosa-based studies suggest that age-associated changes in peripheral blood are comparable to those seen in tissues.

These age-associated changes in immune function are often referred to as immunosenescence, a vaguely defined condition that refers to the age-associated changes in the immune system that are associated with morbidity and mortality. Among Swedish octogenarians and nonagenarians enrolled in a small, pathogenesis-oriented, population-based longitudinal cohort (the OCTO Immune Longitudinal Study), an inverted CD4/CD8 ratio was associated with short-term mortality (38). Comparable findings have been observed in other small cohorts (39). Other parameters from the OCTO cohort (and the subsequent NONA cohort) that predicted morbidity and mortality included reduced T cell proliferation, increased frequency of CD28<sup>-</sup> T cells and increased IL-6 (38, 40). The rare individuals who are able to survive to 100 or more years of age often lack these immunologic abnormalities (41).

The optimal T cell response is characterized by dramatic clonal expansion and generation of effector responses. The initiation of the T cell response requires interaction of antigen with the T cell receptor and at least one potent costimulatory receptor. Perhaps the most important costimulatory molecule is CD28, which is gradually downregulated as central memory cells differentiate into effector cells. The resulting CD28<sup>-</sup> cell population has shorter telomeres and is less able to proliferate. Although many of these cells rapidly die, some may become apoptosis resistant and long-lived (althoug *in vivo* data regarding the turnover of these cells is limited).. These so-called "senescent" cells are proinflammatory and hence may have a viable effector function, but their expansion can contribute to heightened systemic inflammation and collateral harm (42). Because chronic antigen exposure and inflammation result in the gradual expansion of these CD28<sup>-</sup> cells, it is not surprising that chronic viral infections are associated with a progressive expansion of these cells.

Cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection may prove to be very instructive with regard to the mechanism whereby HIV causes the premature onset of age-associated diseases. Among the very old, CMV seropositivity is associated with dramatic expansion of CD8<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>-</sup> T cells, with many of these cells directed at CMV (43). This remodeling of the immune system is associated with vaccine unresponsiveness, cardiovascular disease, and mortality (44–46).

#### HIV INFECTION AND IMMUNOSENESCENCE

Many of the T cell abnormalities associated with aging are similar to those observed in untreated HIV infection (42, 47, 48). These similarities are based on a diverse set of isolated nondefinitive observations, and hence the superficial similarities summarized here should be used to justify more intense investigation. Untreated HIV-infected adults and the elderly often exhibit low CD4/CD8 ratios, low naive/memory ratios, reduced T cell repertoire, reduced responsiveness to vaccines, and an expansion of CD28<sup>-</sup> effector T cells (see Table 1) (49, 50). The degree to which long-term antiretroviral therapy reverses these HIV-associated changes in the T cell compartment is the focus of ongoing investigation. In one recent study of treated HIV-infected adults and both young and old uninfected controls, the T cell phenotype (CD57<sup>+</sup>CD28<sup>-</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, naive/memory T cell ratios, activated T cells) of the HIV-infected cohort was more similar to the much older uninfected cohort (48).

Many of the T cell characteristics associated with immunosenescence—including thymic dysfunction, T cell activation, and a reduced T cell regenerative potential—are more common among individuals who fail to exhibit robust CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell gains during therapy than among those who achieve a normal CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count (51–53). Because a low CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell count on therapy is a consistent proximal predictor of non-AIDS morbidity (54), these

observations collectively suggest that HIV-associated immunosenescence contributes to persistent immunodeficiency and the early onset of age-associated diseases (see Figure 1). Focused investigation regarding this hypothetical pathway could lead to novel therapeutic interventions for both HIV-infected persons and the elderly.

The clinical significance of immunosenescence is often explored using vaccine responsiveness as the outcome. Effective antiretroviral therapy improves vaccine responsiveness, but residual defects remain, particularly if therapy is initiated late in the disease course. For example, among a cohort of 29 long-term treated patients (all of whom had high CD4 T cell counts), lymphoproliferatve responses to KLH, tetanus, and diphtheria toxoid were lower than that observed in HIV-seronegative controls, and predicted by both CD4 nadir and percentage of CD28<sup>-</sup>CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (but not current CD4) (55).

#### HIV INFECTION AND IMMUNOSENESCENCE

Untreated HIV infection is associated with persistently high levels of inflammation, as defined by levels of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, and TNF $\alpha$ . The coagulation system is also activated (23). Most if not all of these markers of inflammation decline with combination antiretroviral therapy, indicating that active HIV replication is either directly or indirectly responsible for this inflammatory response. Notably, the level of inflammation—as defined by IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP), cystatin C- and D-dimers—remains elevated despite durable and possibly complete suppression of HIV replication with antiretroviral therapy. This persistent inflammation during therapy is probably due to a number of factors, including ongoing HIV production (if not HIV replication), increased copathogen load (particularly CMV, but likely other herpesviruses as well), translocation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) across a damaged gut mucosa, loss of T regulatory cells and other immunoregulatory cells, and the irreversible fibrosis of the thymus and lymphoid infrastructure (see Figure) (56–59).

The association between HIV infection and inflammation shares many similarities with the association between advanced age and inflammation. Indeed, many of the markers now being studied in HIV disease were first validated in cohorts of older individuals (60, 61), and many of the mechanisms thought to be causally associated with inflammation in HIV disease are also thought to be causally associated with the inflammation of aging (56). The strength of the association between certain inflammatory markers (e.g., IL-6, D-dimers) and risk of age-associated diseases and mortality is very strong in both patient groups (62), and generally higher than that seen in younger HIV-uninfected populations.

### **BIOLOGY OF AGING**

From a biologic perspective, aging is typically defined as the progressive deterioration in physiologic function that occurs as a consequence of cumulative molecular, cellular, and organ damage. These changes invariably result in an increased susceptibility to disease, decreased responses to stress, and death. The clinical manifestations of this process include loss in end organ function (e.g., liver, kidney, heart), bone loss (osteoporosis), muscle wasting (sarcopenia), neurocognitive decline, and loss in immunologic function (immunosenescence). There is a subtle distinction, however, between aging and age-associated diseases. The former is not generally considered a disease but rather a normal and well-conserved evolutionary process that is highly regulated and affects all organ systems. The latter is a series of clinical syndromes that increase in frequency as we age and are ultimately what cause death (to a purist, one cannot die of "old age"). This distinction can be confusing as many of the mechanisms known to regulate the aging process have been implicated in the pathogenesis of specific age-associated diseases. Also, the accumulation of

comorbid diseases may be causally associated with accelerated aging, defined biologically or clinically.

#### Genetics of Aging

The rate at which a person ages is defined in part by his or her genetic background. Studies performed on elderly Danish twins in the modern era suggest that ~25% of the variability in life expectancy can be attributed to genetic factors (63). The genetic basis for these observations has been the focus of extensive research. To date, only the defective lipid carrier apolipoprotein E4 (apoE4) gene and the FOXO transcription factors (see below) have been consistently associated with longevity (64). Mutations that influence the expression of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) receptor are enriched in Ashkenazi Jewish centenarians (65). Because many of the genes known to increase longevity in animal models may result in a higher risk of cancer (by preventing cell death) and/or reduced fertility (by shifting resources from reproduction and growth to maintenance), it is likely that strong evolutionary pressures are acting to prevent exceptional longevity (66).

Notably, the harmful apoE4 gene has been associated with mortality in HIV disease (67), whereas the protective transcription factor FOXO3a has been associated with central memory T cell persistence in HIV infection. FOXO3a may represent one mechanism whereby certain individuals are able to remain healthy without antiretroviral therapy for years (68).

#### Molecular Biology of Aging

The best-characterized external (and hence potentially modifiable) factor associated with healthy aging is moderate caloric restriction. In nearly all species studied to date, experimental restriction of caloric intake to levels below that when fed ad libitum but above that which causes starvation is associated with increased longevity (69, 70). In a recently published study that took decades to complete, rhesus monkeys randomized to a 30% reduction in caloric intake exhibited a reduced risk of dying from age-associated diseases (although this mortality benefit was not significant when a large number of non-ageassociated deaths were included in the analysis) (71). Caloric restriction in these monkeys reduced the risk of cancer, diabetes, and heart disease. Caloric restriction may also enhance T cell function and prevent immunosenescence in aging nonhuman primates (72). Whether this approach will work in humans is not known because such diets are nearly impossible to maintain; however, in a recent short-term prospective clinical trial, calorie restriction resulted in reduced energy expenditure, increased mitochondrial content, and increased expression of many genes associated with mitochondrial function and longevity (73).

Many of the experimental mutations that prolong life expectancy affect the caloric and nutrient signaling pathways, providing definitive proof for the role of diet in aging. Genetic mutations that decrease the activity of the insulin/IGF pathway equivalents in C. elegans double the life spans of these worms. The FOXO transcription factors regulate a wide range of genes known to be involved in the stress response and are critically important in mediating the antiaging effects of caloric restriction and reduced insulin/IGF in worms (74). Similar albeit less dramatic observations have been made in flies and mice. The apparent enrichment of certain genetic mutations within the insulin and IGF pathways among human centenarians strongly suggests that the ability of these crucial pathways to regulate longevity is conserved from worms to humans (74).

The target of rapamycin (TOR) is also involved in regulating the cellular response to nutrients and is a key component of the insulin/IGF pathways. Activation of TOR in many experimental models results in a shift in metabolism toward growth and reproduction,

whereas inhibition of this enzyme results in a number of outcomes associated with cell maintenance, including higher levels of autophagy (i.e., the recycling of digested cellular components) (75, 76). TOR is of high interest clinically because the administration of low

doses of rapamycin-an immunosuppressant approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration to prevent transplant rejections-prolongs the life of various species, including mice (77).

The silent information regulator protein deacetylases (sirtuins) are yet another family of proteins that influence the aging process. The sirtuins regulate many aspects of cellular metabolism, and therapeutic activation of at least one sirtuin (SIRT1) in experimental models is associated with reduced activity of cellular activation (via NFkB and other regulatory enzymes) and prolonged life span. The impact of caloric restriction on health may be mediated via the sirtuin family (73).

#### **Cellular Biology of Aging**

Normal cells cannot proliferate indefinitely. After multiple rounds of cell division, an irreversible state of replicative senescence occurs (the "Hayflick Limit"). This phenomenon is regulated in part by the progressive shortening of telomeres, the repeated DNA sequences (TTAGGG) that cap the ends of the chromosomes (78). In addition to stimulating specific pathways that influence the cell cycle (79), telomere length also influences the activity of p53 tumor suppressor pathways, resulting in either apoptosis or cell senescence and the prevention of malignant transformation. Genomic damage and mitochondrial damagewhich are caused by many environmental exposures that are common in HIV infectionalso activate many of these pathways, leading to either apoptosis or cell senescence and the prevention of cancer (80, 81).

The fate of senescent cells may be a key determinant of health outcomes. Campisi and colleagues have argued that senescent cells often secrete [\*\*AU: word missing?\*\*] inflammatory and other regulatory factors, resulting in chronic, low-level, "sterile" inflammation. These cells are known to accumulate in degenerating cells and may be causally associated with development of certain age-associated diseases (81). Although these observations have largely focused on stromal and epithelial cells, they may also apply to T cells and other immune cells. Chronic viral infections (e.g., HIV, CMV) cause excessive T cell turnover and the apparent accumulation of phenotypically senescent proinflammatory CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, as described above.

# BIOLOGY OF AGING AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR THE PATHOGENESIS OF NON-AIDS MORBIDITY DURING ANTIRETROVIRAL TREATMENT

Many of the biologic factors that are thought to accelerate aging have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of HIV disease. It is the central hope of this review that these two distinct fields of study could merge, as knowledge gained in one could accelerate progress in the other.

#### Visceral Fat, Insulin Resistance, and the Metabolic Syndrome

HIV infection and/or its treatment may cause peripheral fat wasting (lipoatrophy) and central fat gain. Visceral obesity is a well-established risk factor for many age-associated complications, including vascular disease and dementia. Visceral obesity is also a wellknown source for many of the chronic inflammatory proteins known to influence both aging and HIV disease outcomes (82). Finally, visceral obesity is a strong predictor of insulin resistance, which is common in HIV-infected patients and is a strong determinant of aging (83, 84). Development of therapeutic agents aimed at reversing the complex effect of HIV

infection on visceral fat, insulin resistance, and the lipodystrophy syndrome is the focus of intense investigation.

#### **Genotoxicity and Mitochondrial Dysfunction**

DNA damage and telomere shortening are strong determinants of cellular aging; each can activate the p53 and other tumor suppressor pathways, leading to apoptosis or cellular senescence and the inability to maintain tissue homeostasis (81, 85). Mitochondria dysfunction may also contribute to cellular aging, either by the release of potentially harmful reactive oxygen species (86) or by directly activating p53 and similar pathways. Release of mitochondrial products into the circulation may result in harmful levels of inflammation (87).

Zidovudine, stavudine, and perhaps other nucleoside analogs inhibit mitochondria synthesis, cause the release of mitochondrial DNA, and increase the risk of oxidative damage. Mitochondrial toxicity is thought to be a major contributor to fat redistribution and other metabolic abnormalities that are commonly seen with certain antiretroviral drug regimens (88). It has also been suggested that certain nucleoside analogs might inhibit telomerase (which is a reverse transcriptase); this could theoretically contribute to cellular and tissue aging (89).

#### **T Cell Regenerative Failure**

A reduced ability to regenerate T cells is another feature common to both HIV disease and advanced age. The progressive loss of hematopoietic progenitor cells is likely a major factor in the normal aging process (90). Age-associated factors that may accelerate the loss of these cells include excessive turnover, damage to the microenvironment, exposure to oxidative stress, and the accumulation of genetic and epigenetic alteration. Cellular senescence or apoptosis is often the final outcome (91). HIV may be able to directly infect hematopoietic stems or may negatively affect their function via exhaustion and/or local damage to the stem cell microenvironment (92). The double insult of aging and HIV to hematopoietic stem cells can contribute to many of the factors associated with immunosenescence, including reduced number of naive T cells, reduced T cell proliferation, and reduced ability of the immune system to mount an effective response to vaccines and infection. A loss of these stem cells may also contribute to a reduction in the number of endothelial progenitor cells, which can contribute to vascular dysfunction and cardiovascular disease.

This same story could be told with regard to thymic function. Advanced age and HIV infection are both associated with a progressive and possibly irreversible loss of thymic function; this process in theory could contribute to progressive immunologic dysfunction (including chronic inflammation) and the development of many age-associated diseases (37, 92).

#### Inflammation

Chronic inflammation is strongly associated with the development of morbidity and mortality in the elderly and in those with HIV disease. Chronic viral infections such as the herpes and hepatitis viruses are an important cause of this persistent inflammation in both settings. CMV causes lifelong antigenic stimulation and the eventual development of an expanded population of well-differentiated, apoptosis-resistant, senescent T cells with limited proliferative potential (93, 94). The end result is an immune system with limited capacity to recognize novel antigens and hence prevent disease. Because copathogens are more common in people with HIV, and because they appear to have a deleterious immunologic and clinical impact in HIV disease (58, 95), it seems reasonable to postulate

coinfections may contribute to the "accelerated aging" syndrome now being observed in HIV-infected individuals (96).

#### CONCLUSION

The consistent observation that HIV-infected persons have a higher than expected risk for a number of conditions commonly associated with aging has led to the widespread assumption that HIV accelerates the aging process. It should be emphasized, however, that it is impossible to truly define the independent effect of HIV infection on this risk, as infected and uninfected persons differ with regard to many important and difficult to measure factors that influence the risk of developing an age-associated complication. Certain biomarkers that predict morbidity in the very old are higher than expected in younger HIV-infected persons, and this consistent observation provides indirect evidence that HIV infection might accelerate the aging process.

A careful integration of the basic biology of aging with the biology of HIV infection may lead to novel insights into the larger questions of why people age and why antiretroviral therapy fails to restore health. An integration of these two disciplines could provide the rationale for the codevelopment of novel therapeutics. For example, the National Institute of Aging has sponsored a collaborative program aimed at identifying possible therapeutic agents that delay physiologic aging (97). Any drug that advances into clinical trials among the elderly might also be considered in younger antiretroviral-treated HIV-infected patients. Interventions that have shown promise as antiaging therapeutics in experimental systems include resveratrol, rapamycin, acetyl-L-carnitine and alpha-lipoic acid, telomerase activators, caloric restriction, and stem cell therapy (97). Approved drugs that have an antiinflammatory effect and are often used in older adults—including aspirin, omega-3 fatty acids, vitamin D, and the statins—are now being studied as adjuncts to antiretroviral therapy in younger HIV-infected individuals. It is expected, but not yet the focus of prospective investigation, that lifestyle modification—including moderate exercise and dietary changes —might also prove very beneficial as an adjunct to standard antiretroviral regimens.

#### Acknowledgments

The author's work that contributed to this review was supported by the NIAID (RO1 AI087145, R01 HL095130, P01 AI071713, K24AI069994) the UCSF Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) (PO AI27763), the UCSF Clinical and Translational Science Institute (UL1 RR024131), and CFAR Network of Integrated Clinical Systems (CNICS; grant 1 R24 AI067039-1). The author would like to acknowledge the input of his colleauges for the work outlined in this summary. Ongoing discussions with Drs. Peter Hunt, Priscilla Hsue, Mike McCune, Ken Covinsky, Carl Grunfeld, Amy Justice, Victor Appay, Eric Verdin, Melanie Ott and Elizabeth Blackburn were particularly helfpul.

#### DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The author has received grant funding from Pfizer to explore the impact of certain antiretroviral drugs in reversing some of the immunologic abnormalities associated with aging in HIV infected individuals.

### LITERATURE CITED

- The Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet. 2008; 372:293–299. [PubMed: 18657708]
- 2. Bhaskaran K, Hamouda O, Sannes M, et al. Changes in the risk of death after HIV seroconversion compared with mortality in the general population. JAMA. 2008; 300:51–59. [PubMed: 18594040]
- 3. Lohse N, Hansen AB, Pedersen G, et al. Survival of persons with and without HIV infection in Denmark,1995–2005. Ann. Intern. Med. 2007; 146:87–95. [PubMed: 17227932]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

19845472]

- 4. Losina E, Schackman BR, Sadownik SN, et al. Racial and sex disparities in life expectancy losses among HIV-infected persons in the United States: impact of risk behavior, late initiation, and early discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2009; 49:1570-1578. [PubMed:
- 5. Justice AC. HIV and aging: time for a new paradigm. Curr. HIV/AIDS Rep. 2010; 7:69–76. [PubMed: 20425560]
- 6. Effros RB, Fletcher CV, Gebo K, et al. Aging and infectious diseases: workshop on HIV infection and aging: what is known and future research directions. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2008; 47:542-553. [PubMed: 18627268]
- 7. Currier JS, Lundgren JD, Carr A, et al. Epidemiological evidence for cardiovascular disease in HIVinfected patients and relationship to highly active antiretroviral therapy. Circulation. 2008; 118:e29e35. [PubMed: 18566319]
- 8. Triant VA, Lee H, Hadigan C, et al. Increased acute myocardial infarction rates and cardiovascular risk factors among patients with HIV disease. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2007 [\*\*AU: volume number and pagespan\*\*].
- 9. Klein D, Hurley LB, Quesenberry CP Jr, et al. Do protease inhibitors increase the risk for coronary heart disease in patients with HIV-1 infection? J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 2002; 30:471-477. [PubMed: 12154337]
- 10. Grinspoon SK, Grunfeld C, Kotler DP, et al. State of the science conference: initiative to decrease cardiovascular risk and increase quality of care for patients living with HIV/AIDS: executive summary. Circulation. 2008; 118:198-210. [PubMed: 18566320]
- 11. Grunfeld C, Delaney JA, Wanke C, et al. Preclinical atherosclerosis due to HIV infection: carotid intima-medial thickness measurements from the FRAM study. AIDS. 2009; 23:1841-1849. [PubMed: 19455012]
- 12. Friis-Moller N, Reiss P, Sabin CA, et al. Class of antiretroviral drugs and the risk of myocardial infarction. N. Engl. J. Med. 2007; 356:1723-1735. [PubMed: 17460226]
- 13. Sabin CA, Worm SW, Weber R, et al. Use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients enrolled in the D:A:D study: a multi-cohort collaboration. Lancet. 2008; 371:1417-1426. [PubMed: 18387667]
- 14. Baker JV, Peng G, Rapkin J, et al. CD4+ count and risk of non-AIDS diseases following initial treatment for HIV infection. AIDS. 2008; 22:841-848. [PubMed: 18427202]
- 15. Ho JE, Deeks SG, Hecht FM, et al. Initiation of antiretroviral therapy at higher nadir CD4+ T-cell counts is associated with reduced arterial stiffness in HIV-infected individuals. AIDS. 2010; 12:1897-1905. [PubMed: 20543654]
- 16. Hsue, PY.; Hunt, PW.; Waters, DD., et al. Role of antiretroviral therapy, viral replication, and HIV infection in atherosclerosis; Presented at Conf. Retrovir. Opportun. Infect.; 15th, Feb. 3-6; Boston, MA. 2008. (abstr.)
- 17. Bini EJ, Park J, Francois F. Use of flexible sigmoidoscopy to screen for colorectal cancer in HIVinfected patients 50 years of age and older. Arch. Intern. Med. 2006; 166:1626-1631. [PubMed: 16908796]
- 18. Kirk GD, Merlo C, O'Driscoll P, et al. HIV infection is associated with an increased risk for lung cancer, independent of smoking. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2007; 45:103-110. [PubMed: 17554710]
- 19. Monforte A, Abrams D, Pradier C, et al. HIV-induced immunodeficiency and mortality from AIDS-defining and non-AIDS-defining malignancies. AIDS. 2008; 22:2143-2153. [PubMed: 18832878]
- 20. Grulich AE, van Leeuwen MT, Falster MO, Vajdic CM. Incidence of cancers in people with HIV/ AIDS compared with immunosuppressed transplant recipients: a meta-analysis. Lancet. 2007; 370:59-67. [PubMed: 17617273]
- 21. Walston J, Hadley EC, Ferrucci L, et al. Research agenda for frailty in older adults: toward a better understanding of physiology and etiology: summary from the American Geriatrics Society/ National Institute on Aging Research Conference on Frailty in Older Adults. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 2006; 54:991-1001. [PubMed: 16776798]

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 44 of 213 PageID# 13232

Deeks

- Page 11
- Desquilbet L, Jacobson LP, Fried LP, et al. HIV-1 infection is associated with an earlier occurrence of a phenotype related to frailty. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2007; 62:1279– 1286. [PubMed: 18000149]
- Neuhaus J, Jacobs DR, Baker JV Jr, et al. Markers of inflammation, coagulation, and renal function are elevated in adults with HIV infection. J. Infect. Dis. 2010; 201:1788–1795. [PubMed: 20446848]
- Odden MC, Scherzer R, Bacchetti P, et al. Cystatin C level as a marker of kidney function in human immunodeficiency virus infection: the FRAM study. Arch. Intern. Med. 2007; 167:2213– 2219. [PubMed: 17998494]
- El-Sadr WM, Lundgren JD, Neaton JD, et al. CD4+ count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006; 355:2283–2296. [PubMed: 17135583]
- Choi AI, Shlipak MG, Hunt PW, et al. HIV-infected persons continue to lose kidney function despite successful antiretroviral therapy. AIDS. 2009; 23:2143–2149. [PubMed: 19684507]
- 27. Longenecker CT, Scherzer R, Bacchetti P, et al. HIV viremia and changes in kidney function. AIDS. 2009; 23:1089–1096. [PubMed: 19352136]
- Weber R, Sabin CA, Friis-Moller N, et al. Liver-related deaths in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus: the D:A:D study. Arch. Intern. Med. 2006; 166:1632–1641. [PubMed: 16908797]
- 29. Brown TT, Qaqish RB. Antiretroviral therapy and the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis: a meta-analytic review. AIDS. 2006; 20:2165–2174. [PubMed: 17086056]
- Triant VA, Brown TT, Lee H, et al. Fracture prevalence among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected versus non-HIV-infected patients in a large U.S. healthcare system. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2008; 93:3499–3504. [PubMed: 18593764]
- Cauley JA, Danielson ME, Boudreau RM, et al. Inflammatory markers and incident fracture risk in older men and women: the Health Aging and Body Composition Study. J. Bone Miner. Res. 2007; 22:1088–1095. [PubMed: 17419681]
- Robertson KR, Smurzynski M, Parsons TD, et al. The prevalence and incidence of neurocognitive impairment in the HAART era. AIDS. 2007; 21:1915–1921. [PubMed: 17721099]
- Ances BM, Vaida F, Yeh MJ, et al. HIV infection and aging independently affect brain function as measured by functional magnetic resonance imaging. J. Infect. Dis. 2010; 201:336–340. [PubMed: 20047503]
- Cysique LA, Vaida F, Letendre S, et al. Dynamics of cognitive change in impaired HIV-positive patients initiating antiretroviral therapy. Neurology. 2009; 73:342–348. [PubMed: 19474412]
- Sinclair E, Ronquillo R, Lollo N, et al. Antiretroviral treatment effect on immune activation reduces cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 infection. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 2008; 47:544–552. [PubMed: 18362693]
- Czesnikiewicz-Guzik M, Lee WW, Cui D, et al. T cell subset-specific susceptibility to aging. Clin. Immunol. 2008; 127:107–118. [PubMed: 18222733]
- 37. Deng Y, Jing Y, Campbell AE, Gravenstein S. Age-related impaired type 1 T cell responses to influenza: reduced activation ex vivo, decreased expansion in CTL culture in vitro, and blunted response to influenza vaccination in vivo in the elderly. J. Immunol. 2004; 172:3437–3446. [PubMed: 15004143]
- Wikby A, Maxson P, Olsson J, et al. Changes in CD8 and CD4 lymphocyte subsets, T cell proliferation responses and nonsurvival in the very old: the Swedish longitudinal OCTO-immune study. Mech. Ageing Dev. 1998; 102:187–198. [PubMed: 9720651]
- Huppert FA, Pinto EM, Morgan K, et al. Survival in a population sample is predicted by proportions of lymphocyte subsets. Mech. Ageing Dev. 2003; 124:449–451. [PubMed: 12714252]
- 40. Wikby A, Ferguson F, Forsey R, et al. An immune risk phenotype, cognitive impairment, and survival in very late life: impact of allostatic load in Swedish octogenarian and nonagenarian humans. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 2005; 60:556–565. [PubMed: 15972602]
- Strindhall J, Nilsson BO, Lofgren S, et al. No Immune Risk Profile among individuals who reach 100 years of age: findings from the Swedish NONA immune longitudinal study. Exp. Gerontol. 2007; 42:753–761. [PubMed: 17606347]

- 42. Effros RB, Dagarag M, Spaulding C, et al. The role of CD8+ T-cell replicative senescence in human aging. Immunol. Rev. 2005; 205:147-57. [PubMed: 15882351]
- 43. Vescovini R, Biasini C, Fagnoni FF, et al. Massive load of functional effector CD4+ and CD8+ T cells against cytomegalovirus in very old subjects. J. Immunol. 2007; 179:4283-4291. [PubMed: 17785869]
- 44. Trzonkowski P, Mysliwska J, Szmit E, et al. Association between cytomegalovirus infection, enhanced proinflammatory response and low level of antihemagglutinins during the anti-influenza vaccination-an impact of immunosenescence. Vaccine. 2003; 21:3826-3836. [PubMed: 12922116]
- 45. Hadrup SR, Strindhall J, Kollgaard T, et al. Longitudinal studies of clonally expanded CD8 T cells reveal a repertoire shrinkage predicting mortality and an increased number of dysfunctional cytomegalovirus-specific T cells in the very elderly. J. Immunol. 2006; 176:2645-2653. [PubMed: 16456027]
- 46. Wang GC, Kao WH, Murakami P, et al. Cytomegalovirus infection and the risk of mortality and frailty in older women: a prospective observational cohort study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2010; 171:1144–1152. [PubMed: 20400465]
- 47. Appay V, Almeida JR, Sauce D, et al. Accelerated immune senescence and HIV-1 infection. Exp. Gerontol. 2007; 42:432-437. [PubMed: 17307327]
- 48. Desai S, Landay A. Early immune senescence in HIV disease. Curr. HIV/AIDS Rep. 2010; 7:4-10. [PubMed: 20425052]
- 49. Kalayjian RC, Landay A, Pollard RB, et al. Age-related immune dysfunction in health and in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease: association of age and HIV infection with naive CD8+ cell depletion, reduced expression of CD28 on CD8+ cells, and reduced thymic volumes. J. Infect. Dis. 2003; 187:1924–1933. [PubMed: 12792869]
- 50. van Baarle D, Tsegaye A, Miedema F, Akbar A. Significance of senescence for virus-specific memory T cell responses: rapid ageing during chronic stimulation of the immune system. Immunol. Lett. 2005; 97:19–29. [PubMed: 15626472]
- 51. Molina-Pinelo S, Vallejo A, Diaz L, et al. Premature immunosenescence in HIV-infected patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy with low-level CD4 T cell repopulation. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2009; 64:579–588. [PubMed: 19608579]
- 52. Teixeira L, Valdez H, McCune JM, et al. Poor CD4 T cell restoration after suppression of HIV-1 replication may reflect lower thymic function. AIDS. 2001; 15:1749–1756. [PubMed: 11579235]
- 53. Robbins GK, Spritzler JG, Chan ES, et al. Incomplete reconstitution of T cell subsets on combination antiretroviral therapy in the AIDS Clinical Trials Group protocol 384. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2009; 48:350–361. [PubMed: 19123865]
- 54. Phillips AN, Neaton J, Lundgren JD. The role of HIV in serious diseases other than AIDS. AIDS. 2008; 22:2409-2418. [PubMed: 19005264]
- 55. Lange CG, Lederman MM, Medvik K, et al. Nadir CD4+ T-cell count and numbers of CD28+ CD4+ T-cells predict functional responses to immunizations in chronic HIV-1 infection. AIDS. 2003; 17:2015-2023. [PubMed: 14502004]
- 56. Appay V, Sauce D. Immune activation and inflammation in HIV-1 infection: causes and consequences. J. Pathol. 2008; 214:231-241. [PubMed: 18161758]
- 57. Brenchley JM, Price DA, Schacker TW, et al. Microbial translocation is a cause of systemic immune activation in chronic HIV infection. Nat. Med. 2006; 12:1365–1371. [PubMed: 17115046]
- 58. Naeger DM, Martin JN, Sinclair E, et al. Cytomegalovirus-specific T cells persist at very high levels during long-term antiretroviral treatment of HIV disease. PLoS One. 2010; 5:e8886. [PubMed: 20126452]
- 59. Schacker TW, Nguyen PL, Beilman GJ, et al. Collagen deposition in HIV-1 infected lymphatic tissues and T cell homeostasis. J. Clin. Invest. 2002; 110:1133–1139. [PubMed: 12393849]
- 60. Walston J, McBurnie MA, Newman A, et al. Frailty and activation of the inflammation and coagulation systems with and without clinical comorbidities: results from the Cardiovascular Health Study. Arch. Intern. Med. 2002; 162:2333-2341. [PubMed: 12418947]

- 61. Shlipak MG, Fried LF, Crump C, et al. Elevations of inflammatory and procoagulant biomarkers in elderly persons with renal insufficiency. Circulation. 2003; 107:87-92. [PubMed: 12515748]
- 62. Kuller LH, Tracy R, Belloso W, et al. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and mortality in patients with HIV infection. PLoS Med. 2008; 5:e203. [PubMed: 18942885]
- 63. Herskind AM, McGue M, Holm NV, et al. The heritability of human longevity: a population-based study of 2872 Danish twin pairs born 1870–1900. Hum. Genet. 1996; 97:319–323. [PubMed: 8786073]
- 64. Flachsbart F, Caliebe A, Kleindorp R, et al. Association of FOXO3A variation with human longevity confirmed in German centenarians. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2009; 106:2700-2705. [PubMed: 19196970]
- 65. Suh Y, Atzmon G, Cho MO, et al. Functionally significant insulin-like growth factor I receptor mutations in centenarians. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2008; 105:3438-3442. [PubMed: 18316725]
- 66. Vaupel JW. Biodemography of human ageing. Nature. 2010; 464:536-542. [PubMed: 20336136]
- 67. Burt TD, Agan BK, Marconi VC, et al. Apolipoprotein (apo) E4 enhances HIV-1 cell entry in vitro, and the APOE e4/e4 genotype accelerates HIV disease progression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2008; 105:8718-8723. [PubMed: 18562290]
- 68. van Grevenynghe J, Procopio FA, He Z, et al. Transcription factor FOXO3a controls the persistence of memory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells during HIV infection. Nat. Med. 2008; 14:266–274. [PubMed: 18311149]
- 69. Fontana L, Partridge L, Longo VD. Extending healthy life span-from yeast to humans. Science. 2009; 328:321-326. [PubMed: 20395504]
- 70. Lawler DF, Evans RH, Larson BT, et al. Influence of lifetime food restriction on causes, time, and predictors of death in dogs. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 2005; 226:225–231. [PubMed: 15706972]
- 71. Colman RJ, Anderson RM, Johnson SC, et al. Caloric restriction delays disease onset and mortality in rhesus monkeys. Science. 2009; 325:201-204. [PubMed: 19590001]
- 72. Messaoudi I, Warner J, Fischer M, et al. Delay of T cell senescence by caloric restriction in aged long-lived nonhuman primates. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 2006; 103:19448-19453. [PubMed: 17159149]
- 73. Civitarese AE, Carling S, Heilbronn LK, et al. Calorie restriction increases muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in healthy humans. PLoS Med. 2007; 4:e76. [PubMed: 17341128]
- 74. Kenyon CJ. The genetics of ageing. Nature. 2010; 464:504–512. [PubMed: 20336132]
- 75. Kaeberlein M, Powers RW, Steffen KK 3rd, et al. Regulation of yeast replicative life span by TOR and Sch9 in response to nutrients. Science. 2005; 310:1193-1196. [PubMed: 16293764]
- 76. Vellai T, Takacs-Vellai K, Zhang Y, et al. Genetics: influence of TOR kinase on lifespan in C. elegans. Nature. 2003; 426:620. [\*\*AU: only one page?\*\*]. [PubMed: 14668850]
- 77. Harrison DE, Strong R, Sharp ZD, et al. Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in genetically heterogeneous mice. Nature. 2009; 460:392-395. [PubMed: 19587680]
- 78. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. Nature. 1991; 350:569-573. [PubMed: 1708110]
- 79. Harley CB, Futcher AB, Greider CW. Telomeres shorten during ageing of human fibroblasts. Nature. 1990; 345:458-460. [PubMed: 2342578]
- 80. Vijg J, Campisi J. Puzzles, promises and a cure for ageing. Nature. 2008; 454:1065-1071. [PubMed: 18756247]
- 81. Campisi J, d'Adda d, Fagagna F. Cellular senescence: when bad things happen to good cells. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2007; 8:729–740. [PubMed: 17667954]
- 82. Greenfield JR, Samaras K, Jenkins AB, et al. Obesity is an important determinant of baseline serum C-reactive protein concentration in monozygotic twins, independent of genetic influences. Circulation. 2004; 109:3022–3028. [PubMed: 15184288]
- 83. Grunfeld C, Rimland D, Gibert CL, et al. Association of upper trunk and visceral adipose tissue volume with insulin resistance in control and HIV-infected subjects in the FRAM study. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. 2007; 46:283–290. [PubMed: 18167644]

- 84. Weyer C, Yudkin JS, Stehouwer CD, et al. Humoral markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in relation to adiposity and in vivo insulin action in Pima Indians. Atherosclerosis. 2002; 161:233-242. [PubMed: 11882337]
- 85. Sahin E, Depinho RA. Linking functional decline of telomeres, mitochondria and stem cells during ageing. Nature. 2010; 464:520-528. [PubMed: 20336134]
- 86. Schriner SE, Linford NJ, Martin GM, et al. Extension of murine life span by overexpression of catalase targeted to mitochondria. Science. 2005; 308:1909–1911. [PubMed: 15879174]
- 87. Zhang Q, Raoof M, Chen Y, et al. Circulating mitochondrial DAMPs cause inflammatory responses to injury. Nature. 2010; 464:104-107. [PubMed: 20203610]
- 88. Crain MJ, Chernoff MC, Oleske JM, et al. Possible mitochondrial dysfunction and its association with antiretroviral therapy use in children perinatally infected with HIV. J. Infect. Dis. 2010 [\*\*AU: volume number and pagespan\*\*].
- 89. Strahl C, Blackburn EH. Effects of reverse transcriptase inhibitors on telomere length and telomerase activity in two immortalized human cell lines. Mol. Cell Biol. 1996; 16:53-65. [PubMed: 8524329]
- 90. Rossi DJ, Jamieson CH, Weissman IL. Stems cells and the pathways to aging and cancer. Cell. 2008; 132:681-96. [PubMed: 18295583]
- 91. Sharpless NE, DePinho RA. How stem cells age and why this makes us grow old. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2007; 8:703-713. [PubMed: 17717515]
- 92. McCune JM. The dynamics of CD4+ T-cell depletion in HIV disease. Nature. 2001; 410:974-979. [PubMed: 11309627]
- 93. Effros RB, Pawelec G. Replicative senescence of T cells: does the Hayflick Limit lead to immune exhaustion? Immunol. Today. 1997; 18:450–454. [PubMed: 9293162]
- 94. Targonski PV, Jacobson RM, Poland GA. Immunosenescence: role and measurement in influenza vaccine response among the elderly. Vaccine. 2007; 25:3066–3069. [PubMed: 17275144]
- 95. Hsue PY, Hunt PW, Sinclair E, et al. Increased carotid intima-media thickness in HIV patients is associated with increased cytomegalovirus-specific T-cell responses. AIDS. 2006; 20:2275-2283. [PubMed: 17117013]
- 96. Kovacs A, Al-Harthi L, Christensen S, et al. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell activation in women coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 and hepatitis C virus. J. Infect. Dis. 2008; 197:1402-1407. [PubMed: 18444798]
- 97. Sierra F, Hadley E, Suzman R, Hodes R. Prospects for life span extension. Annu. Rev. Med. 2009; 60:457-469. [PubMed: 18817460]



Figure 1.

# 13237

Page 16

## Table 1

Possible similarities between HIV-associated and age-associated immunologic changes

Outcome measure	Age >70 years, HIV- uninfected [**AU: OK?**]	Untreated HIV infection	Long-term (5–10 years) treated HIV infection <sup><i>a</i></sup>
Low CD4/CD8 ratio	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Low naive/memory ratio	Yes	Yes	Possible
Low T cell proliferative potential	Yes	Yes	Possible (low CD4 nadir)
Expanded CMV-specific CD8 cells	Yes	Yes	Yes
Expanded CD28 <sup>-</sup> CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cells	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Expanded CD57 <sup>+</sup> T cells	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Reduced T cell repertoire	Yes	Yes	Possible
Increased IL-6	Yes	Yes	Possible
Increased T cell activation	Unclear	Yes	Possible
Reduced thymus function	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Low IL-2, high IFN- $\gamma$ (CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cells)	Yes	Yes	Unknown
Reduced response to vaccines	Yes	Yes	Possible (CD4 nadir)
Reduced T cell telomere lengths	Yes	Yes (CD8)	Controversial

<sup>*a*</sup>A number of studies have suggested persistent immunologic impairment during HAART, but the subjects of these studies have generally received therapy for only a short period of time (<3 years). Also, most subjects at the time of the study had lower than normal peripheral  $CD4^+$  T cell counts.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 50 of 213 PageID# 13238

# EXHIBIT C

MAJOR ARTICLE

#### HIV/AIDS

# Cross-sectional Comparison of the Prevalence of Age-Associated Comorbidities and Their Risk Factors Between HIV-Infected and Uninfected Individuals: The AGE<sub>h</sub>IV Cohort Study

#### Judith Schouten,<sup>1,2</sup> Ferdinand W. Wit,<sup>1,3</sup> Ineke G. Stolte,<sup>3,4</sup> Neeltje A. Kootstra,<sup>5</sup> Marc van der Valk,<sup>3</sup> Suzanne E. Geerlings,<sup>3</sup> Maria Prins,<sup>3,4</sup> and Peter Reiss<sup>1,3,6</sup>; for the AGE<sub>h</sub>IV Cohort Study Group<sup>a</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Global Health and Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, <sup>2</sup>Neurology, <sup>3</sup>Internal Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Center for Infection and Immunity Amsterdam, Academic Medical Center, <sup>4</sup>Public Health Service Amsterdam, Infectious Diseases Research, <sup>5</sup>Department of Experimental Immunology, Academic Medical Center, and <sup>6</sup>HIV Monitoring Foundation, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Background.** Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected individuals may be at increased risk of ageassociated noncommunicable comorbidities (AANCCs).

*Methods.* Cross-sectional analyses of AANCC prevalence (including cardiovascular, metabolic, pulmonary, renal, bone, and malignant disease) and risk factors in a prospective cohort study of HIV type 1–infected individuals and HIV-uninfected controls, who were aged  $\geq$ 45 years and comparable regarding most lifestyle and demographic factors.

**Results.** HIV-infected participants (n = 540) had a significantly higher mean number of AANCCs than controls (n = 524) (1.3 [SD, 1.14] vs 1.0 [SD, 0.95]; P < .001), with significantly more HIV-infected participants having  $\ge 1$  AANCC (69.4% vs 61.8%; P = .009). Hypertension, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, and impaired renal function were significantly more prevalent among HIV-infected participants. Risk of AANCC by ordinal logistic regression was independently associated with age, smoking, positive family history for cardiovascular/metabolic disease, and higher waist-to-hip ratio, but also with HIV infection (odds ratio, 1.58 [95% confidence interval, 1.23–2.03]; P < .001). In those with HIV, longer exposure to CD4 counts <200 cells/µL, and, to a lesser extent, higher levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and soluble CD14, and longer prior use of high-dose ritonavir (≥400 mg/24 hours) were each also associated with a higher risk of AANCCs.

**Conclusions.** All AANCCs were numerically more prevalent, with peripheral arterial, cardiovascular disease, and impaired renal function significantly so, among HIV-infected participants compared with HIV-uninfected controls. Besides recognized cardiovascular risk factors, HIV infection and longer time spent with severe immunodeficiency increased the risk of a higher composite AANCC burden. There was a less pronounced contribution from residual inflammation, immune activation, and prior high-dose ritonavir use.

Keywords. HIV infection; aging; comorbidity.

AIDS-associated morbidity and mortality have dramatically declined with the advent of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) [1–3]. However, the life expectancy of individuals infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) on average remains shorter than for the general population [3–5], and non-AIDS comorbidities have gained increasing importance as causes of death in cART-treated patients [2, 3, 6, 7]. As HIV-infected individuals on cART age, they increasingly experience non-AIDS comorbidities [7, 8], which in HIV may be both accentuated and/or accelerated, thereby possibly occurring at younger ages [8–10]. Potential contributors may include a higher prevalence of recognized risk factors, as well as ART exposure and

Received 20 March 2014; accepted 21 June 2014; electronically published 2 September 2014.

 $<sup>{}^{</sup>a}\mbox{The members of the AGE}_{h}\mbox{IV}$  Cohort Study Group are listed in the Appendix Section.

Correspondence: Judith Schouten, MD, Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Trinity Building C, 3rd Floor, Pietersbergweg 17, 1105 BM Amsterdam Zuidoost, The Netherlands (j.schouten@amc.nl).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2014;59(12):1787–97

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciu701

toxicity, HIV infection, immune dysfunction/dysregulation, and chronic immune activation/inflammation associated with the infection [11–16].

By 2015, half of the HIV-infected population in the United States will be aged >50 years, with similar trends observed in Europe and resource-limited settings [7, 9, 17]. More insight into prevalence, incidence, and risk factors of non-AIDS comorbidity among HIV-infected individuals is therefore essential to optimize policy for prevention and management [18]. Most published studies thus far did not include a comparable uninfected control group. Whether different comorbidities occur more often and possibly at a younger age among HIVinfected individuals therefore remains unclear.

To clarify these issues, the  $AGE_hIV$  Cohort Study was implemented in 2010 in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, to compare the prevalence, incidence and risk factors of agingassociated noncommunicable comorbidities (AANCCs) and organ dysfunction among HIV type 1 (HIV-1)–infected individuals and HIV-uninfected controls. We report a crosssectional comparison at the time of enrollment of AANCC prevalence between the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected groups, and analyzed both recognized and potential HIVassociated risk factors.

#### **METHODS**

#### **Study Design and Data Collection**

HIV-1-infected participants were recruited from the HIV outpatient clinic of the Academic Medical Center in Amsterdam, and HIV-uninfected participants (controls) were recruited from the sexual health clinic of the Amsterdam Public Health Service or among uninfected participants in the existing Amsterdam Cohort Studies on HIV/AIDS [19]. To ensure comparability of the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected study groups, we regularly monitored age, sex, and ethnicity in both study groups, and adjusted enrollment of underrepresented categories among HIV-uninfected participants accordingly.

All participants were aged  $\geq$ 45 years with laboratoryconfirmed presence or absence of HIV-1 infection. All subjects who provided written informed consent within the 2-year enrollment period were included. Of 1100 eligible patients from the HIV outpatient clinic, between 600 and 800 were expected to be enrolled, and we therefore aimed to include a similar number of uninfected controls. This sample size would provide sufficient statistical power to investigate associations between a broad range of AANCCs and potential risk factors.

At baseline, 2 years later, and depending on sufficient resources every 2 years thereafter, participants undergo standardized screening for AANCCs and organ dysfunction.

Participants are requested to complete a standardized questionnaire concerning demographics, (family) medical history, use of medications (both prescribed and over-the-counter), participation in population screening programs, substance use, quality of life, depression, sexual orientation/behavior/dysfunction, cognitive complaints, calcium/vitamin D intake, physical exercise, social behavior, and work participation/income. All participants undergo measurements of blood pressure, height, weight, and hip/waist circumference, as well as electrocardiography, measurement of vascular elasticity, spirometry, screening cognitive tests, frailty, bone densitometry, and quantification of advanced glycation end products in the skin. Blood and urine samples are obtained for extensive laboratory testing, and cryopreserved for future analyses.

Detailed information concerning HIV and ART history is obtained from the Dutch HIV Monitoring Foundation, formally responsible for capturing detailed HIV/ART-related data from all individuals in care for HIV at an HIV treatment facility in the Netherlands [20]. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics review committee and registered at www. clinicaltrials.gov (identifier NCT01466582). All participants provided written informed consent.

#### **Study Participants**

All study participants who underwent baseline assessments (between 1 October 2010 and 30 September 2012), and completed a study questionnaire were included in the analyses.

#### Definitions

Data were available on hypertension, angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, ischemic cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus type 2, obstructive pulmonary disease, impaired renal function, non-AIDS cancer, and atraumatic fractures/osteoporosis.

Hypertension was considered to be present if diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 90 mm Hg and/or systolic blood pressure  $\geq$ 140 mm Hg in all 3 measurements (Omron 705IT) with a 1-minute interval, and if on antihypertensive medication [21]; diabetes mellitus type 2 if hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine [IFCC]) was  $\geq$ 48 mmol/mol and/or elevated blood glucose (nonfasting  $\geq$ 11.1 mmol/L or fasting  $\geq$ 7.0 mmol/L), and if on antidiabetic medication [22]; obstructive pulmonary disease if 1-second forced expiratory volume (FEV<sub>1</sub>) to forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio was <0.7 in all 3 forced expiratory spirometric measurements (MicroDirect SpiroUSB) without bronchodilation, in those on bronchodilators, or in those self-reporting obstructive pulmonary disease by questionnaire [23]; impaired renal function if estimated glomerular filtration rate was <60 mL/minute using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula; atraumatic fractures/osteoporosis in case of a dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (Hologic QDR 4500 W and Hologic Discovery A densitometers, software versions

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 53 of 213 PageID# 13241

12.4–13.3) *T* score  $\leq -2.5$  standard deviations (postmenopausal women and men aged  $\geq 50$ ) or *z* score  $\leq -2$  standard deviations (premenopausal women and men aged <50), or in those reporting atraumatic fracture by questionnaire [24, 25].

Angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, ischemic cerebrovascular disease, and non-AIDS cancer (including nonmelanoma skin cancers) were diagnosed in participants self-reporting these diseases by questionnaire. All self-reported diagnoses were validated using hospital records for HIV-infected participants, and, general practitioners' records for controls, provided the latter had consented to contact with their general practitioner. If not, unvalidated diagnoses were used. This may result in a conservative estimate of the difference in AANCC prevalence between the HIV-infected and -uninfected cohorts by likely overestimating the true number of AANCCs among controls.

Physical activity was defined according to Dutch healthy physical activity guidelines ("Combinorm"): moderate physical activity  $\geq 5$  days per week for  $\geq 30$  minutes, or heavy physical activity at least twice a week for  $\geq 20$  minutes [26].

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Study groups were compared using the  $\chi^2$ , Wilcoxon rank-sum, nonparametric test for trend, or Student *t* test as appropriate. All reported *P* values are 2-sided.

Multivariable ordinal logistic regression analysis (proportional odds model) was performed to assess the contribution of HIV and recognized risk factors toward AANCCs. The outcome measure was the total number of AANCCs per participant. All models were adjusted for age, sex, Dutch origin, sexual orientation, positive family history (for myocardial infarction, hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, or hypercholesterolemia), smoking, use of cocaine/ecstasy/cannabis, severe alcohol use, and hepatitis B/C coinfection. Biologically plausible determinants of AANCC (including HIV/ART-related factors, and markers of systemic inflammation/monocyte activation/coagulation) were explored using a stepwise model selection. Continuous variables were transformed or categorized when necessary. Exposure to HIVrelated factors was set to zero for HIV-uninfected participants, as were pack-years for nonsmokers. All models used data from both study groups (including those exploring HIV-related risk factors), except where explicitly stated otherwise.

Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2.

#### RESULTS

A total of 598 HIV-infected participants and 550 HIV-uninfected controls completed a baseline visit between 1 October 2010 and 30 September 2012. Data from 540 HIV-infected and 524 HIV-uninfected participants were available for analysis, after excluding 58 HIV-infected and 26 HIV-uninfected participants with a

missing questionnaire. Age, DXA results, glucose/HbA1c, blood pressure,  $FEV_1/FVC$  ratio, and renal function were not significantly different between HIV-infected and -uninfected participants with or without a completed questionnaire.

#### **Baseline Characteristics of Participants**

Participants in both study groups were very comparable in terms of baseline characteristics; the median age was around 52 years, and the majority were male, men who have sex with men (MSM), and of Dutch origin. Significantly fewer HIV-infected participants were of Dutch, and more of African, origin (72.2% vs 81.3%; P < .001 and 7.4% vs 1.3%; P < .001, respectively). Significantly fewer controls were hepatitis B/C coinfected (0.6% vs 3.9%; P < .001 and 0.8% vs 2.8%; P = .029, respectively) (Table 1). No statistically significant difference in age distribution was found between the two study groups.

On average, HIV-infected participants were known to be infected for a prolonged period of time, and 30% had prior AIDS. Virtually all were on cART for many years, and currently had undetectable HIV-1 plasma viral loads. The majority had experienced immune recovery on treatment, with a median nadir CD4 count of 180 cells/µL and current median CD4 count of 565 cells/µL.

Significantly more HIV-infected participants were current smokers (32.0% vs 24.6%; P = .007), whereas ecstasy use was significantly more prevalent among controls (4.3% vs 8.6%; P = .004) (Table 2).

Body mass index (BMI) was lower (24.2 [interquartile range {IQR}, 22.3–26.6] vs 24.5 [IQR, 22.8–27.0] kg/m<sup>2</sup>; P = .019) and above-normal waist-to-hip ratio was significantly more prevalent among HIV-infected participants (84.0% vs 62.4%; P < .001). Systolic (135 [IQR, 126–147] vs 133 [IQR, 125–143] mm Hg; P = .006) and diastolic blood pressure (81 [IQR, 75–89] vs 79 [IQR 72–85] mm Hg; P < .001) were significantly higher among HIV-infected participants. Significantly fewer HIV-infected participants were physically active (44.3% vs 53.0%; P = .005) and they had significantly lower levels of 25-hydroxy vitamin D2 + D3 (47 [IQR, 29–71] vs 54 [IQR, 39–72] nmol/L; P < .001).

#### AANCC Prevalence

All self-reported diagnoses of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, ischemic cerebrovascular disease, and non-AIDS cancer could be validated among HIV-infected participants: of the total 155 self-reported diagnoses, 100 were confirmed and 55 rejected. Fourteen controls did not consent to contact their general practitioner for validation of 16 self-reported diagnoses, which accounted for 21.6% of 74 self-reported diagnoses that could be validated, 39 were confirmed and 19 rejected.

#### Table 1. Baseline Demographic and HIV-Related Characteristics

Characteristic	HIV-Uninfected Participants (n = 524)	HIV-Infected Participants (n = 540)	<i>P</i> Value
Age, y	52.1 (47.9–58.3)	52.9 (48.3–59.6)	.200*
Male sex	85.1%	88.1%	.146**
Dutch origin	81.3%	72.2%	<.001**
African origin	1.3%	7.4%	<.001**
MSM <sup>a</sup>	69.7%	73.9%	.125**
Hepatitis C RNA positive	0.8%	2.8%	.029**
Hepatitis B antigen and/or hepatitis B DNA positive	0.6%	3.9%	<.001**
Time since HIV-1 diagnosis, y		12.1 (6.2–17.1)	
Diagnosed with HIV-1 prior to 1996		32.8%	
CD4 count at enrollment, cells/µL		565 (435–745)	
Nadir CD4 count, cells/µL		180 (78–260)	
Known cumulative duration of CD4 count <200 cells/µL, mo		0.8 (0.0–9.6)	
Plasma viral load >200 copies/mL among cART-treated participants within 4 mo before or at enrollment <sup>b</sup>		1.5%	
Last plasma viral load within 4 mo before or at enrollment, log <sub>10</sub> copies/mL <sup>b</sup>		1.6 (1.6–1.6)	
Duration of plasma viral load ≤200 copies/mL, y <sup>c</sup>		5.8 (2.4–10.2)	
Prior clinical AIDS <sup>d</sup>		31.3%	
On cART <sup>e</sup>		95.7%	
Time since ART was first initiated, y		10.4 (4.4–14.5)	
Naive at start of cART		79.1%	
High-dose ritonavir (≥400 mg daily) use			
Prior exposure among all non-ART-naive participants		31.5%	
Cumulative exposure among all non-ART-naive participants, mo		0.0 (0.0-6.3)	
Cumulative exposure among participants that used high-dose ritonavir, mo		17.6 (7.6–40.4)	

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or percentage.

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; cART, combination antiretroviral therapy; HIV-1, human immunodeficiency virus type 1; MSM, men who have sex with men.

<sup>a</sup> Male participants who stated in the questionnaire to feel mostly or exclusively sexually attracted to men.

<sup>b</sup> Only a plasma HIV-1 load that was measured ≤4 months prior to enrollment was used. If such a recent test result was not available, plasma HIV-1 load was measured at enrollment.

<sup>c</sup> Duration since last plasma viral load >200 copies/mL.

<sup>d</sup> Previous AIDS-defining condition following the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention classification.

<sup>e</sup> Combination of  $\geq$ 3 antiretroviral drugs, other than ritonavir used as a booster.

\* Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

\*\*  $\chi^2$  test.

HIV-infected individuals had a significantly higher mean number of AANCCs than controls (1.3 [SD, 1.14] vs 1.0 [SD, 0.95]; P < .001). The proportion of participants with  $\ge 1$  AANCCs was also significantly higher among those with HIV (69.4% vs 61.8%; P = .009).

The mean number of AANCCs within the 50–54, 60– 64, and  $\geq$ 65 age categories was significantly higher among HIV-infected than HIV-uninfected participants (Figure 1). Furthermore, the distribution of the number of AANCCs for HIV-infected participants in each 5-year age stratum resembled the distribution for controls who were 5 years older. Each individual AANCC was numerically more prevalent among HIV-infected participants, with hypertension (45.4% vs 30.5%; P < .001), myocardial infarction (3.9% vs 1.5%; P = .018), peripheral arterial disease (2.6% vs 0.6%; P = .008), and impaired renal function (4.3% vs 2.1%; P = .044) being significantly more prevalent among HIV-infected participants (Figure 2).

#### Factors Contributing to the Risk of AANCC HIV-Related Risk Factors

After adjustment for age, sex, Dutch origin, sexual orientation, positive family history (for myocardial infarction, hypertension,

#### Table 2. Prevalence of Comorbidity Risk Factors

Characteristic	HIV-Uninfected Participants (n – 524)	HIV-Infected Participants ( $n = 540$ )	P Value
Smoking status			
Never smoked	36.5%	33.0%	028*
Ever smoked	38.9%	35.0%	.020
Currently smoking <sup>a</sup>	24.6%	32.0%	
Pack-years of smoking among ever-smokers	15 0 (4 5-28 8)	22.2 (7.8–36.8)	001**
Severe alcohol use <sup>b</sup>	7.3%	4.8%	.001
Daily to monthly use of cannabis	11.6%	13.5%	.000
Daily to monthly use of cocaine	2.9%	3.7%	442***
Daily to monthly use of ecstasy	8.6%	4.3%	004***
BML kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24 5 (22 8–27 0)	24 2 (22 3–26 6)	019**
BMI categories kg/m <sup>2</sup>	24.0 (22.0 27.0)	24.2 (22.0 20.0)	.010
<20	3.3%	82%	121*
20 to <25	54.1%	50.7%	.121
25 to <30	32.7%	33.2%	
>30	9.9%	8.0%	
Waist-to-hin ratio higher than normal <sup>c</sup>	62.4%	84.0%	< 001***
Blood pressure systelic mm Ha	133 (125–143)	135 (126–147)	006****
Blood pressure, diastolic, mm Hg	79 (72–85)	81 (75–89)	< 001****
Positive family history for myocardial infarction, hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, or hypercholesterolemia <sup>d</sup>	66.5%	70.8	.139***
Physical activity <sup>e</sup>	53.0%	44.3%	.005***
25-hydroxy vitamin D2 + D3, nmol/L	54 (39–72)	47 (29–71)	<.001**

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or percentage.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

<sup>a</sup> Smoked during the last month before completing the questionnaire.

<sup>b</sup> Alcohol intake >4 units (for men) or >2 units (for women) daily or almost daily.

<sup>c</sup> If  $\geq$ 0.9 in males and  $\geq$ 0.85 in females.

<sup>d</sup> Participants were considered to have a positive family history for myocardial infarction/hypertension/diabetes mellitus type 2/hypercholesterolemia when they stated in the questionnaire to have a first-degree family member who experienced a myocardial infarction before the age of 60, or to have a first-degree family member suffering from hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, or hypercholesterolemia.

<sup>e</sup> Physical activity was defined following the Dutch guidelines for healthy physical activity ("Combinorm"): at least 5 days per week at least 30 minutes of moderate physical activity or at least twice per week at least 20 minutes of heavy physical activity [26].

\* Nonparametric test for trend.

\*\* Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

\*\*\* χ<sup>2</sup>test.

\*\*\*\* Student t test.

diabetes mellitus type 2, or hypercholesterolemia), smoking, use of cocaine/ecstasy/cannabis, severe alcohol use, and hepatitis B/C coinfection, HIV infection remained independently associated with a higher number of AANCCs (odds ratio [OR], 1.58; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.23–2.03; P < .001). Age, positive family history, and smoking were also strongly independently associated with AANCCs. Analyzing the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected study groups separately, the OR for age was higher in the HIV-infected study group (OR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.41–1.81; P < .001) compared with the uninfected controls (OR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.25–1.60; P < .001), the difference being borderline significant (P value for interaction = .06). In univariable analyses (though adjusting conform previous models), several HIV-related variables were significantly associated with AANCCs: time since HIV diagnosis (OR, 1.03 per additional year; 95% CI, 1.02–1.05; P < .001), duration of ART use (OR, 1.04 per additional year; 95% CI, 1.02–1.06; P < .001), and duration of CD4 count <200 cells/µL (OR, 1.30 per additional year; 95% CI, 1.17–1.45; P < .001). In multivariable analysis, only duration of having CD4 counts <200 cells/µL remained an independent risk factor for AANCCs.

In multivariable analyses nadir CD4-count, prior AIDS, (cumulative) duration of undetectable plasma HIV-1 viral load, being diagnosed before 1996, and being pretreated with



**Figure 1.** Distribution of the number of age-associated noncommunicable comorbidities stratified by age across both study groups. Abbreviations: AANCC, age-associated noncommunicable comorbidities; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

mono-/dual therapy before starting cART were not significantly associated with risk of AANCC.

#### Inflammation, Coagulation, and Innate Immune Activation

We subsequently analyzed the potential contribution of markers of systemic inflammation (high-sensitivity C-reactive protein [hs-CRP]), coagulation (D-dimer), and monocyte activation (soluble CD14 [sCD14] and soluble CD163 [sCD163]).

The median levels of each of these biomarkers, except Ddimer, were significantly higher among HIV-infected vs HIVuninfected participants (Table 3). Adding hs-CRP and sCD14 to the above-mentioned regression model (analyzing both study groups jointly) showed both markers to be (borderline) significantly associated with AANCCs (hs-CRP: OR, 1.03/mg/L higher; 95% CI, 1.00–1.07; P = .037; sCD14: OR, 1.02 per 100 ng/mL higher; 95% CI, 1.00–1.03; P = .057), whereas this was not the case for hs-CRP >10 mg/L, D-dimer, D-dimer >0.5 mg/L, and sCD163. Analyzing the effect of hs-CRP and sCD14 in the 2 study groups separately, both were independent risk factors for AANCC in the HIV-infected cohort, but not in controls. None of these differences, however, reached statistical significance.

#### Other (Lifestyle-Related) Risk Factors

An above-normal waist-to-hip ratio was an independent risk factor for AANCCs, both in the cohorts combined (OR, 1.49 per 0.1 higher ratio; 95% CI, 1.23–1.80; P < .001) and in the

HIV-infected (OR, 1.35 per 0.1 higher ratio; 95% CI, 1.04–1.76; P = .024) and HIV-uninfected groups separately (OR, 1.78 per 0.1 higher ratio; 95% CI, 1.34–2.37; P < .001). No significant interaction between waist-to-hip ratio and HIV infection was found.

Level of physical activity and vitamin D status were not associated with risk of AANCCs.

#### Specific ART and the Risk of AANCCs

Current or cumulative use of abacavir, stavudine, and didanosine were not significantly associated with risk of AANCCs, whereas cumulative use of ritonavir was identified as an independent risk factor for AANCC (OR, 1.29 per 5 years of ritonavir use; 95% CI, 1.04–1.60; P = .018). Exploring this further, only cumulative duration of high ( $\geq$ 400 mg/24 hours) but not of lower doses of ritonavir remained borderline significantly associated with risk of AANCCs (OR, 1.08 per year high-dose ritonavir use; 95% CI, .99–1.18; P = .083; Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

HIV-infected participants, compared with uninfected controls of similar age, had a significantly higher prevalence of AANCCs, both in terms of composite comorbidity burden, and more specifically of hypertension, cardiovascular and peripheral vascular disease, and impaired renal function.




HIV infection was independently associated with a higher total number of AANCCs, as were age, smoking, and positive family history for myocardial infarction, hypertension, diabetes mellitus

Table 3.	Values of Several Markers of Systemic Inflammation,
Compared	Between the 2 Study Groups

Marker	HIV-Uninfected Participants (n = 524)	HIV-Infected Participants (n = 540)	<i>P</i> Value
hs-CRP, mg/L	1.0 (0.6–1.9)	1.5 (0.7–3.5)	<.001*
hs-CRP >10 mg/L	1.6%	6.7%	<.001**
D-dimer, mg/L	0.24 (0.20-0.38)	0.23 (0.20-0.36)	.078*
D-dimer >0.5 mg/L	14.1%	13.2%	.659**
sCD14, ng/mL	1356 (1080–1738)	1576 (1305– 2011)	<.001*
sCD163, ng/mL	252 (182–342)	289 (207–419)	<.001*

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or percentage.

Abbreviations: HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; sCD14, soluble CD14; sCD163, soluble CD163.

\* Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

\*\*  $\chi^2$  test.

type 2, or hypercholesterolemia. These traditional risk factors, as well as higher waist-to-hip ratio, independently also contributed to risk of AANCCs in each of the study groups. A borderline significant interaction between age and HIV infection suggested a stronger age effect among HIV-infected participants.

A longer time spent at a CD4 count <200 cells/ $\mu$ L and, to a lesser extent, more systemic inflammation and innate immune activation, as reflected in higher hs-CRP and sCD14 levels, as well as longer prior use of high-dose ritonavir ( $\geq$ 400 mg/24 hours), were additional factors contributing to AANCC burden.

Our finding that comorbidity was significantly more prevalent among HIV-infected individuals (the majority having sustained suppression of viremia on cART) compared with uninfected controls of similar age is compatible with earlier reports [8, 27–36]. Earlier studies, however, either did not include a comparable uninfected control group but used general population [8, 29–32, 35] or patient registry data for comparison [27, 28, 33, 34, 36], or were not designed a priori to prospectively capture data on comorbidity and comorbidity risk factors with similar detail and rigor [35]. To try and overcome these limitations, we purposely recruited our HIV-uninfected participants from a setting where they were expected to exhibit similar lifestyle and

### Table 4. Risk Factors for Age-Associated Noncommunicable Comorbidities, Multivariably Analyzed Using the HIV-Infected and HIV-Uninfected Study Groups Jointly

	Univariable Analysis			Multivariable Analysis		
Risk Factor	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age (per 5 years)	1.50	1.39–1.63	<.001	1.39	1.27–1.52	<.001
Smoking (per 5 pack-years)	1.10	1.07–1.13	<.001	1.08	1.05–1.12	<.001
Positive family history <sup>a</sup> (yes/no)	1.57	1.23-2.01	<.001	1.88	1.45-2.44	<.001
HIV infection (yes/no)	1.68	1.34–2.10	<.001	1.07	0.80-1.42	.661
Known cumulative duration of immunodeficiency (per year with a CD4 count <200 cells/µL)	1.33	1.20–1.48	<.001	1.23	1.10–1.38	<.001
Waist-to-hip ratio (per 0.1)	1.94	1.67–2.25	<.001	1.49	1.23–1.80	<.001
hs-CRP (per mg/mL)	1.06	1.03-1.09	<.001	1.03	0.99–1.06	.107
sCD14 (per 100 ng/mL)	1.02	1.01-1.04	.007	1.02	1.00-1.03	.074
Cumulative duration of ritonavir use in high dosages (≥400 mg/daily) (per year)	1.19	1.10–1.28	<.001	1.08	0.99–1.18	.083

The outcome variable is the number of age-associated noncommunicable comorbidities (AANCCs) per participant. Analyses were performed using ordinal logistic regression. This model was adjusted for sex, Dutch origin, sexual orientation, smoking, use of cocaine/ecstasy/cannabis, severe alcohol use, and hepatitis B/C coinfection (all of which were not significantly associated with risk for AANCC).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; sCD14, soluble CD14.

<sup>a</sup> Positive family history: a first-degree family member suffering from myocardial infarction, hypertension, diabetes mellitus type 2, or hypercholesterolemia.

sexual risk-taking behavior as HIV-infected study participants. Although smoking and hepatitis B/C were more prevalent in HIV-infected participants and ecstasy use was more prevalent in controls (which also consisted of more native Dutch persons), overall the differences between both study groups were relatively minor. Our findings thus add robustness to the notion that AANCCs indeed are more prevalent among those living with HIV, including in those with a sustained response to ART.

Unraveling underlying mechanisms and risk factors for this increased comorbidity burden among HIV-infected individuals is the subject of ongoing research. A central question concerns the contributions of HIV infection itself (by viral- and immune-related mechanisms), coinfections (including cytomegalovirus and chronic viral hepatitis), and ART [18]. A study by Guaraldi et al identified longer ART exposure and lower nadir CD4 count as independent risk factors for non-AIDS comorbidities [8].

We found that although HIV infection status, duration of HIV infection, duration of ART use, and duration of immune deficiency (ie, duration of having CD4 counts <200 cells/ $\mu$ L) were each univariably associated with AANCCs, these associations were all confounded by duration of immunodeficiency.

HIV infection is associated with inflammation, innate immune activation, and altered coagulation [37–39], which are generally considered important drivers for comorbidity in both HIV-uninfected and HIV-infected individuals [15, 16, 40, 41]. Higher levels of sCD14 and hs-CRP, but not of sCD163 or D-dimer, were borderline significantly associated with increased risk for AANCCs. Additional work is needed to determine which specific inflammatory, innate and adaptive immune system, and coagulatory pathways are driving comorbidity risk, and to which extent this differs for individual comorbidities. Innate immune and particularly monocyte activation have recently been reported to be more relevant than T-cell activation in enhancing cardiovascular disease risk in HIV [42, 43].

Duration of exposure to high-dose ritonavir ( $\geq$ 400 mg/24 hours) in our analyses was borderline significantly associated with risk for AANCCs. Currently, ritonavir is almost exclusively used at lower doses, and exposure to higher doses in this cohort therefore occurred many years previously. Although identified in cross-sectional analyses and potentially driven by bias, plausible mechanisms by which ritonavir may contribute to AANCC risk include its known dose-dependent effect on lipids, induction of endothelial dysfunction [44, 45], and cellular accumulation of prelamin A, which may result in premature cellular senescence similar to what is observed in some genetically determined premature aging syndromes [46, 47].

Our results being those of cross-sectional analyses, we are merely able to demonstrate associations rather than causality. Of note, risk factors identified for the presence of the composite number of different AANCCs may differ in (the magnitude of) their effect when addressing specific comorbidities separately. Although the HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected study groups were largely comparable, differences in some demographic and lifestyle-related factors were present, which was addressed by adjusting all regression analyses for a broad range of demographic and lifestyle-related factors. Nonetheless, differences in remaining unmeasured confounders potentially influencing our results cannot be excluded.

In conclusion, all AANCCs were numerically more prevalent, and peripheral arterial, cardiovascular disease, and impaired renal function significantly so, in this cohort of HIV-infected individuals with largely sustained suppressed viremia on cART. Besides cardiovascular risk factors, HIV infection and longer time spent with severe immunodeficiency increased the risk of higher AANCC burden. Less pronounced contributions were identified from residual inflammation, immune activation, and prior high-dose ritonavir use. The trend toward a stronger association between age and AANCC burden among HIV-infected participants might support the hypothesis of premature or accelerated aging in HIV [8-10]. Whether this reflects HIV acting as an additive risk factor for comorbidity development in conjunction with traditional risk factors, or includes HIV impacting on and accelerating the biology of aging itself, remains to be elucidated [18, 48].

#### Notes

Acknowledgments. We thank Yolanda Ruijs-Tiggelman, Lia Veenenberg-Benschop, Tieme Woudstra, Sima Zaheri, and Mariska Hillebregt at the Stichting HIV Monitoring for their contributions to data management. We thank Aafien Henderiks and Hans-Erik Nobel for their advice on logistics and organization at the Academic Medical Center. We thank Katherine Kooij, Barbara Elsenga, Aafien Henderiks, Jane Berkel, Sandra Moll, and Marjolein Martens for running the study program and capturing our data with such care and passion.

We thank all HIV-physicians and HIV-nurses at the Academic Medical Center for their efforts to include the HIV-infected participants into the  $AGE_hIV$  Cohort Study. We thank all Public Health Service Amsterdam personnel for their efforts to include the HIV-uninfected participants into the  $AGE_hIV$  Cohort Study. We thank all study participants without whom this research would not be possible.

**Disclaimer.** None of the funding bodies had a role in the design or conduct of the study, nor in the analysis and interpretation of or the decision to publish the results.

*Financial support.* This work was supported by The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMW) together with AIDS Fonds (grant number 300020007), along with additional unrestricted scientific grants from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Merck & Co.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** J. S. has received travel grants from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, and Boehringer-Ingelheim. F. W. W. has received travel grants from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, Boehringer-Ingelheim, AbbVie, and Bristol-Myers Squibb. M. V. has received consultancies fees from AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag BV, and ViiV Healthcare, and has received research support by Janssen-Cilag BV. P. R. through his institution has received independent scientific grant support from Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc, Merck & Co, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and ViiV Healthcare; has received travel support through his institution from Gilead Sciences; has served on a scientific advisory board for Gilead Sciences; and serves on a data safety monitoring committee for Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc, for which his institution has received renumeration. All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

#### References

- Palella FJ Jr, Delaney KM, Moorman AC, et al. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. HIV Outpatient Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 1998; 338:853–60.
- Weber R, Ruppik M, Rickenbach M, et al. Decreasing mortality and changing patterns of causes of death in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. HIV Med 2013; 14:195–207.
- Wada N, Jacobson LP, Cohen M, French A, Phair J, Munoz A. Causespecific life expectancies after 35 years of age for human immunodeficiency syndrome-infected and human immunodeficiency syndromenegative individuals followed simultaneously in long-term cohort studies, 1984-2008. Am J Epidemiol 2013; 177:116–25.
- Lohse N, Hansen A-BE, Pedersen G, et al. Survival of persons with and without HIV infection in Denmark, 1995-2005. Ann Intern Med 2007; 146:87–95.
- The Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet 2008; 372:293–9.
- Antiretroviral Therapy Cohort Collaboration. Causes of death in HIV-1-infected patients treated with antiretroviral therapy, 1996-2006: collaborative analysis of 13 HIV cohort studies. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50:1387–96.
- Hasse B, Ledergerber B, Furrer H, et al. Morbidity and aging in HIVinfected persons: the Swiss HIV cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:1130–9.
- Guaraldi G, Orlando G, Zona S, et al. Premature age-related comorbidities among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:1120–6.
- Effros RB, Fletcher CV, Gebo K, et al. Aging and infectious diseases: workshop on HIV infection and aging: what is known and future research directions. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 47:542–53.
- Pathai S, Bajillan H, Landay AL, High KP. Is HIV a model of accelerated or accentuated aging? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2014; 69:833–42.
- Deeks SG, Phillips AN. HIV infection, antiretroviral treatment, ageing, and non-AIDS related morbidity. BMJ 2009; 338:a3172.
- Deeks SG. HIV infection, inflammation, immunosenescence, and aging. Annu Rev Med 2011; 62:141–55.
- Justice AC. HIV and aging: time for a new paradigm. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2010; 7:69–76.
- Deeks SG, Tracy R, Douek DC. Systemic effects of inflammation on health during chronic HIV infection. Immunity 2013; 39:633–45.
- Capeau J. Premature aging and premature age-related comorbidities in HIV-infected patients: facts and hypotheses. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:1127–9.
- Armah KA, McGinnis K, Baker J, et al. HIV status, burden of comorbid disease, and biomarkers of inflammation, altered coagulation, and monocyte activation. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55:126–36.
- Negin J, Wariero J, Cumming RG, Mutuo P, Pronyk PM. High rates of AIDS-related mortality among older adults in rural Kenya. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010; 55:239–44.
- 18. High KP, Brennan-Ing M, Clifford DB, et al. HIV and aging: state of knowledge and areas of critical need for research. A report to the NIH Office of AIDS Research by the HIV and Aging Working Group. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 60(suppl 1):S1-18.
- 19. Amsterdam Cohort Studies. Available at: http://www.amsterdam cohortstudies.org. Accessed 20 December 2013.
- HIV Monitoring Foundation. Available at: http://www.hiv-monitoring. nl/english. Accessed 20 December 2013.
- Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans: an AHA scientific statement from the Council on High Blood Pressure Research Professional and Public Education Subcommittee. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2005; 7: 102–9.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 60 of 213 PageID# 13248

- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2012; 35(suppl 1):S64–71.
- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Available at: http://www.goldcopd.org/uploads/users/files/GOLD\_Spirometry\_ 2010.pdf. Accessed 20 December 2013.
- 24. The International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD). Available at: http://www.iscd.org/official-positions. Accessed 20 December 2013.
- Kanis JA, McCloskey EV, Johansson H, Oden A, Melton LJ, Khaltaev N. A reference standard for the description of osteoporosis. Bone 2008; 42:467–75.
- 26. Chow FC, Regan S, Feske S, Meigs JB, Grinspoon SK, Triant VA. Comparison of ischemic stroke incidence in HIV-infected and non– HIV-infected patients in a US health care system. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 60:351–8.
- Goulet JL, Fultz SL, Rimland D, et al. Aging and infectious diseases: do patterns of comorbidity vary by HIV status, age, and HIV severity? Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45:1593–601.
- Hansen A-BE, Gerstoft J, Kronborg G, et al. Incidence of low and highenergy fractures in persons with and without HIV infection: a Danish population-based cohort study. AIDS 2012; 26:285–93.
- Lang S, Mary-Krause M, Cotte L, et al. Increased risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients in France, relative to the general population. AIDS 2010; 24:1228–30.
- Obel N, Thomsen HF, Kronborg G, et al. Ischemic heart disease in HIVinfected and HIV-uninfected individuals: a population-based cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 44:1625–31.
- Rasmussen LD, Engsig FN, Christensen H, et al. Risk of cerebrovascular events in persons with and without HIV: a Danish nationwide population-based cohort study. AIDS 2011; 25:1637–46.
- 32. Triant VA, Brown TT, Lee H, Grinspoon SK. Fracture prevalence among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected versus non-HIVinfected patients in a large U.S. healthcare system. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008; 93:3499–504.
- Triant VA, Lee H, Hadigan C, Grinspoon SK. Increased acute myocardial infarction rates and cardiovascular risk factors among patients with human immunodeficiency virus disease. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007; 92:2506–12.
- Seaberg EC, Wiley D, Martínez-Maza O, et al. Cancer incidence in the multicenter aids cohort study before and during the HAART era: 1984 to 2007. Cancer 2010; 116:5507–16.
- Freiberg MS, Chang C-CH, Kuller LH, et al. HIV infection and the risk of acute myocardial infarction. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:614–22.
- Sandler NG, Wand H, Roque A, et al. Plasma levels of soluble CD14 independently predict mortality in HIV infection. J Infect Dis 2011; 203:780–90.
- Neuhaus J, Jacobs DR Jr, Baker JV, et al. Markers of inflammation, coagulation, and renal function are elevated in adults with HIV infection. J Infect Dis 2010; 201:1788–95.
- Cassol E, Malfeld S, Mahasha P, et al. Persistent microbial translocation and immune activation in HIV-1-infected South Africans receiving combination antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2010; 202:723–33.
- Luc G, Bard J-M, Juhan-Vague I, et al. C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and fibrinogen as predictors of coronary heart disease: the PRIME Study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003; 23:1255–61.
- Kuller LH, Tracy R, Belloso W, et al. Inflammatory and coagulation biomarkers and mortality in patients with HIV infection. PLoS Med 2008; 5:e203.
- 41. Baker J, Huppler Hullsiek K, Singh A, et al. Monocyte activation, but not T cell activation, predicts progression of coronary artery calcium in a contemporary HIV cohort. In: 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Atlanta, GA, 3–6 March 2013. Abstract 66LB.
- 42. Tenorio A, Zheng E, Bosch R, et al. Soluble markers of inflammation and coagulation, but not T cell activation, predict non-AIDS-defining events during suppressive ART. In: 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Atlanta, GA, 3–6 March 2013. Abstract 790.

- Stein JH, Klein MA, Bellehumeur JL, et al. Use of human immunodeficiency virus-1 protease inhibitors is associated with atherogenic lipoprotein changes and endothelial dysfunction. Circulation 2001; 104:257–62.
- 44. Johnsen S, Dolan SE, Fitch KV, et al. Carotid intimal medial thickness in human immunodeficiency virus-infected women: effects of protease inhibitor use, cardiac risk factors, and the metabolic syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2006; 91:4916–24.
- Hudon SE, Coffinier C, Michaelis S, Fong LG, Young SG, Hrycyna CA. HIV-protease inhibitors block the enzymatic activity of purified Ste24p. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008; 374:365–8.
- Barrowman J, Wiley PA, Hudon-Miller SE, Hrycyna CA, Michaelis S. Human ZMPSTE24 disease mutations: residual proteolytic activity correlates with disease severity. Hum Mol Genet 2012; 21: 4084–93.
- 47. Perrin S, Cremer J, Faucher O, et al. HIV protease inhibitors do not cause the accumulation of prelamin A in PBMCs from patients receiving first line therapy: the ANRS EP45 'Aging' Study. PLoS One 2012; 7: e53035.
- Martin J, Volberding P. HIV and premature aging: a field still in its infancy. Ann Intern Med 2010; 153:477–9.

#### **APPENDIX**

#### **AGE<sub>h</sub>IV Cohort Study Group**

Scientific oversight and coordination: P. Reiss (principal investigator), F. W. N. M. Wit, M. van der Valk, J. Schouten, K. W. Kooij, R. A. van Zoest, B. C. Elsenga (Academic Medical Center (AMC), Department of Global Health and Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development (AIGHD). M. Prins (co-principal investigator), I. G. Stolte, M. Martens, S. Moll, J. Berkel, L. Möller, G. R. Visser, C. Welling (Public Health Service Amsterdam, Infectious Diseases Research Cluster). Data management: S. Zaheri, M. M. J. Hillebregt, L. A. J. Gras, Y. M. C. Ruijs, D. P. Benschop, P. Reiss (HIV Monitoring Foundation). Central laboratory support: N. A. Kootstra, A. M. Harskamp-Holwerda, I. Maurer, M. M. Mangas Ruiz, A. F. Girigorie, E. van Leeuwen (AMC, Laboratory for Viral Immune Pathogenesis and Department of Experimental Immunology). Project management and administrative support: F. R. Janssen, M. Heidenrijk, J. H. N. Schrijver, W. Zikkenheiner (AIGHD), M. Wezel, C. S. M. Jansen-Kok (AMC). Participating HIV physicians and nurses: S. E. Geerlings, M. H. Godfried, A. Goorhuis, J. T. M. van der Meer, F. J. B. Nellen, T. van der Poll, J. M. Prins, P. Reiss, M. van der Valk, W. J. Wiersinga, F. W. N. M. Wit; J. van Eden, A. Henderiks, A. M. H. van Hes, M. Mutschelknauss, H. E. Nobel, F. J. J. Pijnappel, A. M. Westerman (AMC, Division of Infectious Diseases). Other collaborators: J. de Jong, P. G. Postema (AMC, Department of Cardiology); P. H. L. T. Bisschop, M. J. M. Serlie (AMC, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism); P. Lips (VU University Medical Center Amsterdam); E. Dekker (AMC, Department of Gastroenterology); S. E. J. A. de Rooij (AMC, Division of Geriatric Medicine); J. M. R. Willemsen, L. Vogt (AMC, Division of Nephrology); J. Schouten,

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 61 of 213 PageID# 13249

P. Portegies, B. A. Schmand, G. J. Geurtsen, J. A. ter Stege, M. Klein Twennaar (AMC, Department of Neurology); B. L. F. van Eck-Smit, M. de Jong (AMC, Department of Nuclear Medicine); D. J. Richel (retired) (AMC, Division of Clinical Oncology); F. D. Verbraak, N. Demirkaya (AMC, Department of Ophthalmology); I. Visser, H. G. Ruhé (AMC, Department of Psychiatry); P. T. Nieuwkerk (AMC, Department of Medical Psychology); R. P. van Steenwijk, E. Dijkers (AMC, Department of Pulmonary Medicine); C. B. L. M. Majoie, M. W. A. Caan, T. Su (AMC, Department of Radiology); H. W. van Lunsen, M. A. F. Nievaard (AMC, Department of Gynaecology); B. J. H. van den Born, E. S. G. Stroes, (AMC, Division of Vascular Medicine); W. M. C. Mulder (HIV Vereniging Nederland). Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 62 of 213 PageID# 13250

# EXHIBIT D



Robert S. Rosenson, MD; Demetria Hubbard, MSPH; Keri L. Monda, PhD; Stephanie R. Reading, PhD; Ligong Chen, PhD; Paul J. Dluzniewski, PhD; Greer A. Burkholder, MD, MSPH; Paul Muntner, PhD; Lisandro D. Colantonio, MD, PhD

Background—In the 2000s, adults with HIV had a higher risk for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) compared with those without HIV. There is uncertainty if this excess risk still exists in the United States given changes in antiretroviral therapies and increased statin use.

Methods and Results—We compared the risk for ASCVD events between US adults aged ≥19 years with and without HIV who had commercial or supplemental Medicare health insurance between January 1, 2011, and December 31, 2016. Beneficiaries with HIV (n=82 426) were frequency matched 1:4 on age, sex, and calendar year to those without HIV (n=329 704). Beneficiaries with and without HIV were followed up through December 31, 2016, for ASCVD events, including myocardial infarction, stroke, and lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations. Most beneficiaries were aged <55 years (79%) and men (84%). Over a median follow-up of 1.6 years (maximum, 6 years), there were 3287 ASCVD events, 2190 myocardial infarctions, 891 strokes, and 322 lower extremity artery disease events. The rate per 1000 person-years among beneficiaries with and without HIV was 5.53 and 3.49 for ASCVD, respectively, 3.58 and 2.34 for myocardial infarction, respectively, 1.49 and 0.94 for stroke, respectively, and 0.65 and 0.31 for lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations, respectively. The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) for ASCVD, myocardial infarction, stroke, and lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations comparing beneficiaries with versus without HIV was 1.29 (1.18–1.40), 1.26 (1.13–1.39), 1.30 (1.11–1.52), and 1.46 (1.11–1.92), respectively.

Conclusions—Adults with HIV in the United States continue to have a higher ASCVD risk compared with their counterparts without HIV. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2020;9:e013744. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.013744.)

Key Words: HIV • myocardial infarction • peripheral artery disease • stroke

T IV infection is a global epidemic, and its prevalence  $\square$  continues to increase.<sup>1</sup> At the end of 2015, >1 million people had HIV in the United States.<sup>1</sup> Among US adults and adolescents diagnosed with HIV, 63% received some HIV medical care, 49% received continuous HIV care, and 51% achieved viral suppression.<sup>1</sup> The use of antiretroviral therapies (ARTs), in societies where they are widely available, has reduced deaths from opportunistic infections.<sup>2,3</sup> This has resulted in an increased proportion of deaths among people with HIV being attributed to atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD).<sup>1,4–7</sup>

American Heart Association

Studies conducted in the United States from the 1990s and early 2000s reported that people with HIV infection have a higher risk for ASCVD events, including myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke, than their counterparts without HIV.<sup>8–11</sup> A retrospective cohort study using data from 1996 to 2011 on HIV-positive and HIV-negative members of Kaiser Permanente Southern California and Kaiser Permanente Northern California health plans reported that an excess risk for MI may no longer exist for US adults with HIV.<sup>12</sup> The authors hypothesized that the similar rates of MI in people with and without HIV may have resulted from increased awareness of HIVassociated cardiovascular risk and use of statins and antihypertensive agents, in addition to decreased use of hyperlipidemia-inducing protease inhibitors (PIs).<sup>12,13</sup> Other studies conducted during this time period suggest that HIV may still be associated with higher ASVD risk outside the United States.<sup>6</sup>

The main aim of the current study was to determine whether the risk for ASCVD, including MI, stroke, and lower

From the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY (R.S.R.); University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL (D.H., L.C., G.A.B., P.M., L.D.C.); and Amgen, Inc, Thousand Oaks, CA (K.L.M., S.R.R., P.J.D.).

Accompanying Tables S1 through S9 and Figure S1 are available at https:// www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.013744

Correspondence to: Robert S. Rosenson, MD, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1030, New York, NY 10029-6574. E-mail: robert.rosenson@mssm.edu

Received August 2, 2019; accepted November 7, 2019.

<sup>© 2019</sup> The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

#### HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD HIV and Cardiovascular Outcomes 5-LMB-IDD 13252 Filed 06/03/20 Page 64 of 213 PageID#

### **Clinical Perspective**

#### What Is New?

 The current analysis suggests that HIV is associated with a higher risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease events, including myocardial infarction, stroke, and lower extremity arterial disease, in the contemporary era, despite more extensive use of antiretroviral therapy and increased use of statins.

#### What Are the Clinical Implications?

• Clinicians should be aware of the higher risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in people living with HIV and provide guideline-recommended therapy to lower their risk.

extremity artery disease (LEAD) events, is higher among patients with HIV compared with their counterparts without HIV in a contemporary cohort of US adults. We examined these associations overall and within subgroups of patients taking and not taking statins. To accomplish this aim, we conducted a retrospective cohort study using data from the MarketScan database (Truven Health Analytics, IBM Watson Health, Ann Arbor, MI).

### Methods

### **Study Population**

The MarketScan database contains administrative and claims data from individuals enrolled in various employer-sponsored healthcare plans and Medicare supplemental plans. We identified beneficiaries in the MarketScan database who had HIV infection, defined by meeting either of the following criteria between January 1, 2011, and December 31, 2016: (1)  $\geq$ 1 hospitalization with a discharge diagnosis code for HIV in any position or (2)  $\geq$ 2 pharmacy claims for ART. Discharge diagnosis codes for HIV included an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), code of 042.x to 044.x or V08 or an ICD-10 code of B20.xx to B22.xx, B24.xx, or Z21.xx. Table S1 shows the list of ART medication by drug classes used in the current study. We restricted the study population to beneficiaries meeting the definition of HIV who were aged  $\geq$ 19 years; had continuous health insurance coverage, including pharmacy benefits; and lived in the United States for the 365 days before being identified as having HIV in the MarketScan database. For each beneficiary, the index date was defined as the earliest date for which they had a diagnosis of HIV or at least 2 prescription fills for ART while meeting all of the criteria described above.

Beneficiaries without HIV were frequency matched to those with HIV. Specifically, for each beneficiary in the MarketScan

database without HIV, we selected a random date between January 1, 2011, and December 31, 2016, and defined this as their index date. We further restricted the population to beneficiaries aged  $\geq$ 19 years who had continuous health insurance coverage, including pharmacy benefits, and lived in the United States for the 365 days before their index date. For each beneficiary in the HIV cohort, we randomly selected 4 beneficiaries without HIV from the same age group (ie, 19– 44, 45–54, 55–64, and  $\geq$ 65 years), sex, and calendar year of their index date. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Alabama at Birmingham approved the current analysis and waived the requirement to obtain informed consent. Data used in the current study are available from Truven Health Analytics. Other study information is available from the corresponding author.

### **Beneficiary Characteristics**

Beneficiary characteristics analyzed included age, sex, calendar year of their index date, and geographic region of residence. We used claims in the 365 days before each beneficiary's index date from the MarketScan database to identify the presence of comorbidities, including a history of coronary heart disease, stroke, peripheral artery disease, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, and depression. In addition, we used claims to identify receipt of cardiologist care, a hospitalization for any reason, tobacco use, use of antihypertensive medication, statin use and intensity, use of nonstatin lipid-lowering medication, and polypharmacy. Table S2 provides definitions for each of these variables. Use of ART medication was defined by  $\geq 2$  prescription fills for a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI), PI, fusion inhibitor, entry inhibitor, integrase strand transfer inhibitor, or pharmacokinetic enhancer within 365 days before each beneficiary's index date, inclusive.

### Cardiovascular Events During Follow-Up

Beneficiaries were followed up from their index date for the composite of ASCVD, including MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations.<sup>14,15</sup> In addition, each component of the composite outcome was analyzed separately. Definitions of ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations are shown in Table S3. ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations were assessed through December 31, 2016, the last date for which we had outcome data available. For each outcome, beneficiaries were followed up until the earliest occurrence of their first event, loss of health insurance coverage, or December 31, 2016. Data on mortality are not available in the MarketScan database.

### **Statistical Analysis**

We calculated baseline characteristics, the cumulative incidence of ASCVD using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the rate of ASCVD among beneficiaries with HIV and matched controls without HIV. Cox regression models were used to calculate hazard ratios for ASCVD comparing beneficiaries with versus without HIV. In addition to an unadjusted model, 2 models with progressive adjustment for covariates were used. Model 1 included adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographical region of residence, and history of coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, stroke, peripheral artery disease, and heart failure. Model 2 included adjustment for variables in model 1 and chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, a prior hospitalization for any reason, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, statin use and high versus low/moderate intensity, and nonstatin lipidlowering medication use. We also calculated the cumulative incidence, event rate, and unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for an MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization, separately, comparing beneficiaries with versus without HIV.

We used Cox regression models to calculate multivariableadjusted hazard ratios for an ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization comparing beneficiaries with versus without HIV within subgroups defined by each of the characteristics included in the multivariable-adjusted model. These models included adjustment for all variables in model 2 described above. To test whether hazard ratios for an ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization were different across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics, calculations were repeated in the overall population, including interaction terms between HIV infection status and each characteristic (eg, HIV $\times$ sex). We used the likelihood ratio test to calculate the P value for the interaction between HIV and characteristics with >2 levels (eg, age or calendar year). All the analyses described above were repeated stratified by statin use. Analyses were conducted in SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) using a 2-sided level of significance  $\alpha < 0.05$ .

### Results

### **Baseline Characteristics of Study Population**

There were 82 426 beneficiaries aged  $\geq$ 19 years with commercial or supplemental Medicare health insurance in the MarketScan database with HIV who met the inclusion criteria for the current analysis (Figure S1). The characteristics of beneficiaries with HIV and matched controls without HIV (n=329 704) are shown in Table 1. Most beneficiaries with HIV and their matched controls were aged <55 years and men. There was a <1% difference in the percentage with diabetes mellitus, history of coronary heart disease, history of stroke, and history of peripheral artery disease between beneficiaries

with and without HIV. A history of chronic kidney disease and liver disease, cardiologist care, a prior hospitalization for any reason, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, and antihypertensive medication use were more common among beneficiaries with HIV than their counterparts without HIV. Overall, 18.9% and 16.3% of beneficiaries with and without HIV were taking a statin, respectively. Most beneficiaries with HIV (96.0%) had  $\geq 2$  fills for ART medication within the 365 days before their index date, inclusive. Among beneficiaries with HIV, 50.2% were taking a nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, 44.2% were taking an NNRTI, 25.1% were taking a PI, and 21.7% were taking other ART classes.

### Incidence of ASCVD Events

Over a median follow-up of 1.6 years (maximum, 6.0 years), there were 3287 ASCVD events, 2190 MIs, 891 strokes, and 322 LEAD events. Compared with beneficiaries without HIV, those with HIV had a higher cumulative incidence of ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations (Figure 1). The risk for each outcome was higher among beneficiaries with versus without HIV in unadjusted and multivariable-adjusted models (Table 2). The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for ASCVD comparing beneficiaries with versus without HIV was 1.29 (95% Cl, 1.18–1.40). The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (95% Cls) for an MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization in beneficiaries with versus without HIV were 1.26 (1.13–1.39), 1.30 (1.11–1.52), and 1.46 (1.11–1.92), respectively.

Beneficiaries with HIV had a higher risk for an ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization versus those without HIV within most subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics (Figure 2 and Table S4). The hazard ratio for ASCVD was higher among beneficiaries aged 19 to 44 years versus those aged  $\geq$ 45 years (*P*=0.04). Hazard ratios for ASCVD and stroke hospitalizations associated with HIV infection were statistically significantly higher among beneficiaries with versus without a prior hospitalization for any reason (each *P*-interaction<0.05). Hazard ratios for LEAD hospitalization were higher in beneficiaries without diabetes mellitus or liver disease. No other statistically significant differences were present when comparing hazard ratios for an ASCVD, MI, stroke, or LEAD hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups.

### Analyses Stratified by Statin Use

Characteristics of beneficiaries with and without HIV who were taking and not taking a statin, separately, are presented in Table S5. The rates of ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations were higher in beneficiaries with versus without HIV among both those taking and not taking statins (Table 3). Among beneficiaries taking and not taking statins,

## HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 66 of 213 PageID# 13254

 Table 1. Characteristic of Beneficiaries With HIV and Age-, Sex-, and Calendar Year–Matched Beneficiaries Without HIV in the MarketScan Database

Characteristics	Beneficiaries Without HIV (n=329 704)	Beneficiaries With HIV (n=82 426)
Calendar year		
2011	136 516 (41.4)	34 129 (41.4)
2012	53 244 (16.1)	13 311 (16.1)
2013	36 516 (11.1)	9129 (11.1)
2014	36 832 (11.2)	9208 (11.2)
2015	32 092 (9.7)	8023 (9.7)
2016	34 504 (10.5)	8626 (10.5)
Age, y		
19–44	140 600 (42.6)	35 150 (42.6)
45–54	118 472 (35.9)	29 618 (35.9)
55–64	60 328 (18.3)	15 082 (18.3)
≥65	10 304 (3.1)	2576 (3.1)
Male sex	276 548 (83.9)	69 137 (83.9)
Geographic region of residence		
Northeast	61 519 (18.7)	15 330 (18.6)
North central	75 444 (22.9)	11 186 (13.6)
South	126 531 (38.4)	38 255 (46.4)
West	62 749 (19.0)	16 557 (20.1)
Unknown	3461 (1.0)	1098 (1.3)
Diabetes mellitus	24 719 (7.5)	6664 (8.1)
History of CHD	9704 (2.9)	2815 (3.4)
History of stroke	907 (0.3)	596 (0.7)
History of peripheral artery disease	579 (0.2)	309 (0.4)
History of heart failure	1004 (0.3)	700 (0.8)
Chronic kidney disease	4629 (1.4)	3849 (4.7)
Liver disease	1295 (0.4)	2325 (2.8)
Cardiologist care	6627 (2.0)	2807 (3.4)
Any hospitalization	12 981 (3.9)	10 128 (12.3)
Depression	39 379 (11.9)	19 669 (23.9)
Tobacco use	9418 (2.9)	5640 (6.8)
Polypharmacy	32 883 (10.0)	27 602 (33.5)
Antihypertensive medication use	77 733 (23.6)	23 740 (28.8)
Statin use		
Overall	53 842 (16.3)	15 619 (18.9)
Low-/moderate-intensity statin use	44 421 (13.5)	12 049 (14.6)
High-intensity statin use	9421 (2.8)	3570 (4.3)
Nonstatin lipid-lowering medication use	14 744 (4.5)	6558 (8.0)
ART use		79 095 (96.0)
NRTIS		41 372 (50.2)
NNRTI		36 465 (44.2)

Continued

## HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 67 of 213 PageID# 13255

Table 1. Continued

Characteristics	Beneficiaries Without HIV (n=329 704)	Beneficiaries With HIV (n=82 426)
Protease inhibitors		20 713 (25.1)
Other*		17 890 (21.7)

Data are given as number (percentage) of each group. ART indicates antiretroviral therapy; CHD, coronary heart disease; NNRTI, non-NRTI; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor. \*Other ART includes fusion inhibitors, entry inhibitors, integrase strand transfer inhibitors, and pharmacokinetic enhancers.

HIV was associated with an increased risk for ASCVD and MI after multivariable adjustment. After multivariable adjustment, the hazard ratio for stroke associated with HIV infection was 1.30 (95% Cl, 1.07–1.58) among beneficiaries not taking statins and 1.25 (95% Cl, 0.95–1.64) among their

counterparts taking statins. The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for LEAD hospitalization associated with HIV infection was 1.62 (95% Cl, 1.13–2.32) among beneficiaries not taking statins and 1.27 (95% Cl, 0.83–1.94) among their counterparts taking statins. There was no evidence of effect



**Figure 1.** Cumulative incidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, myocardial infarction, stroke, and lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations among beneficiaries with HIV and age-, sex-, and calendar year–matched beneficiaries without HIV in the MarketScan database. Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease includes myocardial infarction, stroke, and lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations.

### HIV and Case 1:18-CV-01565-LMB-IDD Accounted and Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 68 of 213 PageID# 13256

**Table 2.** Rate and Hazard Ratios for ASCVD, MI, Stroke, and LEAD Hospitalizations Among Beneficiaries With HIV Versus Age-,Sex-, and Calendar Year–Matched Beneficiaries Without HIV in the MarketScan Database

Variables	Beneficiaries Without HIV (n=329 704)	Beneficiaries With HIV (n=82 426)
ASCVD	·	·
Events	2356	931
Follow-up in person-years	675 955	168 294
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	3.49 (3.34–3.63)	5.53 (5.18–5.89)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.57 (1.46–1.70)
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.51 (1.40–1.64)
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.29 (1.18–1.40)
MI		
Events	1586	604
Follow-up in person-years	677 211	168 780
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	2.34 (2.23–2.46)	3.58 (3.29–3.86)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.53 (1.39–1.68)
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.47 (1.34–1.62)
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.26 (1.13–1.39)
Stroke		
Events	638	253
Follow-up in person-years	678 673	169 412
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	0.94 (0.87–1.01)	1.49 (1.31–1.68)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.59 (1.37–1.84)
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.50 (1.29–1.75)
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.30 (1.11–1.52)
LEAD		
Events	212	110
Follow-up in person-years	679 271	169 547
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	0.31 (0.27–0.35)	0.65 (0.53–0.77)
Hazard ratio (95% CI)		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.96 (1.52–2.53)
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.81 (1.40–2.35)
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.46 (1.11–1.92)

ASCVD includes MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations. The median (maximum) follow-up for all outcome events was 1.6 (6.0) years. Model 1 adjusts for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, stroke, peripheral artery disease, and heart failure. Model 2 adjusts for variables in model 1 plus chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, statin use and statin intensity, and nonstatin lipid-lowering medication use. ASCVD indicates atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LEAD, lower extremity artery disease; MI, myocardial infarction.

modification between statin use and HIV status on any of the outcomes after multivariable adjustment (all P values for interaction>0.10). Hazard ratios for ASCVD, MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalization associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics stratified by statin use are shown in Tables S6 through S9.

### Discussion

In the current analysis of US adults with commercial or Medicare health insurance, those with HIV had a higher risk for ASCVD events versus their counterparts without HIV. The risks for MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations were each higher in

### HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 69 of 213 PageID# 13257

e vears	1	1	1	1
19-44	7			
15 54		and and a second se	the second se	
55 GA	1223			
20-04				
200	J	the second second		
alendar year		1.4.1		
2011	-			
2012				
2013	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2014				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2015				
2016		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
n		-8-		
omen				
nion of residence				
Vortheast				
North control				and the second sec
South				
Alast	1		12.24	
west	1.1.1		and the second second	
story of CHD				
thout history of CHD	101	1.00		and the second sec
abetes				····
ithout diabetes	-	-8-		
roke		· · · ·	<del></del>	e
ithout stroke		-8-	1- <b>8</b> -4	1
pripheral artery disease		· · · · ·		
ithout peripheral artery disease	-			
art failure				<del></del>
ithout heart failure		1 mm 4	1- <b>-</b>	and and
nonic kidney disease		44		
ithout chronic kidnou direnne				
or disasse			and the second se	
ithout lives diagona				*
infour liver disease			2.	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
ardiologist care				
ithout cardiologist care	-	-		
ior hospitalization	*		*	
ithout prior hospitalization		- <b>-</b> -		te and the second
pression				
thout depression		+=+	- Fer 📲 - H	·····
bacco use				
tobacco use		-	H <b>B</b> -1	
lypharmacy				
thout polypharmacy				
tihypertensive medication use	1			
antihypertensive medication use	++++			
statin use	+=+			
w/moderate-intensity statin use				
ah intensity statin use				
atotin linid lowaring modication use				
n-statin lipid lowering medication use				
non-statin lipid lowering medication use				

**Figure 2.** Hazard ratios (HRs) for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, and lower extremity artery disease (LEAD) hospitalizations among beneficiaries with vs without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics. Squares represent mean point estimates for HRs, and horizontal bars represent 95% CIs. HRs and 95% CIs are shown in Table S4. HRs include adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of coronary heart disease (CHD), diabetes mellitus, stroke, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, statin use and statin intensity, and nonstatin lipid-lowering medication use. \**P*<0.05 comparing HRs for outcome events associated with HIV infection across subgroups. All other *P* values comparing HRs for outcome events associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics were  $\geq 0.05$ . <sup>†</sup>Data not shown given the small number of events. Specifically, there were 6 LEAD hospitalizations during follow-up among beneficiaries in 2016.

beneficiaries with versus without HIV. The higher risk for ASCVD events associated with HIV was present within most subgroups and did not differ between people taking and not taking a statin. Results from the current analysis suggest that US adults with HIV continue to have a higher risk for ASCVD events versus those without HIV in the contemporary era, despite more extensive use of ART and increased use of statin therapy.

A meta-analysis of observational studies conducted between 1990 and 2015 found that the risk ratio associated with HIV infection was 1.79 (95% Cl, 1.54–2.08) for MI and 2.56 (95% Cl, 1.43–4.81) for stroke.<sup>6</sup> This meta-analysis included 4 studies from the United States that were all conducted before 2006. Data from a cohort study evaluating MI risk from 1996 to 2011 in Kaiser Permanente Southern California and Kaiser Permanente Northern California health

plan members with and without HIV found that the multivariable-adjusted relative risk for MI associated with HIV infection declined over time, from 1.8 (95% Cl, 1.3–2.6) in 1996 to 1999 to 1.0 (95% Cl, 0.7–1.4) in 2010 to 2011.<sup>12</sup> Both Kaiser Permanente Southern California and Kaiser Permanente Northern California use a system-wide, integrated risk reduction strategy that may result in higher use of preventive interventions, lower viral loads, and higher CD4 levels versus patients receiving care elsewhere in the United States.<sup>12,13</sup> Therefore, these results may not be generalizable to all US adults with HIV. Results from the current analysis of a contemporary cohort of US adults with various employersponsored healthcare plans or Medicare supplemental health care suggest that US adults with HIV continue to have a higher risk for ASCVD, including MI, stroke, and LEAD

#### HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD HIV and Cardiovascular Outcomes 5-LMB-IDD 13258 Filed 06/03/20 Page 70 of 213 PageID#

**Table 3.** Risk and Hazard Ratios for ASCVD, MI, Stroke, and LEAD Hospitalizations Among Beneficiaries With HIV Versus Age-,Sex-, and Calendar Year–Matched Beneficiaries Without HIV, Stratified by Statin Use in the MarketScan Database

	Taking Statin Therapy		Not Taking Statin Therapy		
Variables	Beneficiaries Without	Beneficiaries With	Beneficiaries Without	Beneficiaries With	
ASCVD	111V (II=55 642)	IIIV (II=13 017)			/ Value
Events	920	366	1436	565	
Follow-up in person-years	118 585	35 211	557 370	133 083	
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	7.76 (7.26–8.26)	10.39 (9.33–11.46)	2.58 (2.44–2.71)	4.25 (3.90-4.60)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)					
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.33 (1.18–1.50)	1 (Reference)	1.63 (1.48–1.80)	0.01
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.44 (1.27–1.63)	1 (Reference)	1.50 (1.36–1.66)	0.50
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.28 (1.12–1.46)	1 (Reference)	1.24 (1.12–1.39)	0.90
MI					
Events	635	253	951	351	
Follow-up in person-years	119 040	35 396	558 171	133 384	
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	5.33 (4.92–5.75)	7.15 (6.27–8.03)	1.70 (1.60–1.81)	2.63 (2.36–2.91)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)		·	·		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.34 (1.16–1.55)	1 (Reference)	1.54 (1.37–1.74)	0.14
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.44 (1.24–1.67)	1 (Reference)	1.42 (1.26–1.62)	>0.99
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.29 (1.10–1.51)	1 (Reference)	1.18 (1.03–1.35)	0.47
Stroke			·		
Events	225	85	413	168	
Follow-up in person-years	119 737	35 739	558 936	133 672	
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	1.88 (1.63–2.12)	2.38 (1.87–2.88)	0.74 (0.67–0.81)	1.26 (1.07–1.45)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)			·		
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.27 (0.99–1.63)	1 (Reference)	1.70 (1.42–2.03)	0.06
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.40 (1.08–1.81)	1 (Reference)	1.55 (1.28–1.86)	0.38
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.25 (0.95–1.64)	1 (Reference)	1.30 (1.07–1.58)	0.61
LEAD					
Events	102	45	110	65	
Follow-up in person-years	119 898	35 749	559 373	133 798	
Rate (95% Cl), per 1000 person-years	0.85 (0.69–1.02)	1.26 (0.89–1.63)	0.20 (0.16–0.23)	0.49 (0.37–0.60)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)					
Unadjusted	1 (Reference)	1.36 (0.92–2.03)	1 (Reference)	2.35 (1.68–3.29)	0.04
Model 1	1 (Reference)	1.52 (1.01–2.27)	1 (Reference)	2.00 (1.42–2.80)	0.27
Model 2	1 (Reference)	1.27 (0.83–1.94)	1 (Reference)	1.62 (1.13–2.32)	0.33

ASCVD includes MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations. Model 1 adjusts for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of coronary heart disease, diabetes mellitus, stroke, peripheral artery disease, and heart failure. Model 2 adjusts for variables in model 1 plus chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, and nonstatin lipid-lowering medication use. ASCVD indicates atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; LEAD, lower extremity artery disease; MI, myocardial infarction.

\*Comparing hazard ratios associated with HIV infection among beneficiaries taking vs not taking statin therapy.

hospitalizations. These results are consistent with a prior publication of the VACS (Veterans Aging Cohort Study) conducted from 2003 to 2014, showing that people with HIV have a higher risk for LEAD events compared with their counterparts without HIV.<sup>16</sup>

The current results highlight the need for implementing interventions aimed to reducing the excess risk for ASCVD among individuals with HIV in the contemporary era. According to the 2018 American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology guideline on the management of blood cholesterol, HIV should be considered as a risk-enhancing factor for ASCVD when starting a clinician-patient discussion on statin therapy initiation.<sup>17</sup> The importance of ASCVD prevention and treatment in people with HIV was further stressed in the 2019 American Heart Association Scientific Statement "Characteristics, Prevention, and Management of Cardiovascular Disease in People Living With HIV."<sup>7</sup> In the current analysis, adults with HIV had a higher prevalence of chronic kidney disease, tobacco use, and use of antihypertensive medication in addition to a higher risk for ASCVD events versus their counterparts without HIV. Despite their higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and higher risk for ASCVD events, the proportion of adults with HIV who were taking a statin was similar to those without HIV. Although we were not able to assess all indications for statin use (eg, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]  $\geq$ 190 mg/dL), only 16.3% of beneficiaries with HIV were taking a statin, suggesting that statin therapy may be underused in this high-risk population. A higher prevalence of comorbidities, including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/lipodystrophy, viral hepatitis, chronic kidney disease, and polypharmacy, and concerns of drug interactions with certain classes of ART (eg, Pls and cobicistat) may contribute to an underuse of statin therapy in beneficiaries with HIV.<sup>18</sup>

Several factors may contribute to the higher risk for ASCVD events among beneficiaries with versus without HIV. The LDL-C reduction after the initiation of a statin may be smaller among patients with HIV.<sup>19</sup> NNRTIs reduce blood-statin levels and diminish the LDL-C response to statins.<sup>20</sup> As a result, high-risk HIV patients taking a statin who are treated with NNRTIs may have higher LDL-C levels than their counterparts without HIV. In the current analysis, 44.2% of beneficiaries with HIV were taking an NNRTI. It is possible that a small LDL-C reduction with statins among people with HIV may have contributed to the higher risk for ASCVD events associated with HIV infection in the current study. Other mechanisms that may contribute to the higher risk for ASCVD events associated with HIV infection include higher concentrations of atherogenic remnant lipoproteins,<sup>21</sup> impaired macrophage cholesterol efflux,<sup>22,23</sup> and a higher prevalence of nonlipid risk factors, such as smoking,<sup>24</sup> visceral adiposity,<sup>25,26</sup> insulin resistance,<sup>26,27</sup> chronic kidney disease,<sup>28</sup> chronic inflammation and immune activation,<sup>29,30</sup> and coagulation disorders.<sup>29,31</sup> In 3 large international HIV treatment trials, higher biomarkers of inflammation (interleukin-6 and high sensitivity CRP [C-reactive protein]) and coagulation (D-dimer) were associated with a greater risk of a fatal ASCVD event and all-cause mortality.<sup>31</sup>

Some ARTs can increase the risk for ASCVD events. Prior studies have reported that the PIs indinavir and ritonavirboosted lopinavir increase the risk for cardiovascular events.9,32 Currently, the most commonly used ritonavirboosted PIs are darunavir and atazanavir.<sup>4,33</sup> In the prospective D:A:D (Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs) study, the use of ritonavir-boosted darunavir but not ritonavirboosted atazanavir was associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular events.<sup>9,32</sup> We have previously shown that the use of PI-based ART regimens declined from 50.8% in 2007 to 25.5% in 2015 among beneficiaries with HIV in the MarketScan database.<sup>18</sup> It is not known whether the reduction in PI-based ART among people with HIV will be accompanied by subsequent declines in ASCVD events.

Currently, there are no data from large clinical trials to guide interventions for the prevention of ASCVD in people with HIV. Despite the lack of data, statin therapy may have antiatherothrombotic properties in HIV-infected people, beyond LDL-C lowering, because of direct effects on reducing atherogenic lipoproteins and indirect effects on mitigating proinflammatory responses.<sup>30,34</sup> The contribution of statin therapy to reduce ASCVD events in people with HIV is being examined in the REPRIEVE (Randomized Trial to Prevent Vascular Events in HIV).<sup>35</sup> Specifically, REPRIEVE is testing whether pitavastatin reduces ASCVD risk among low- to moderate-risk patients with HIV who have LDL-C <130 mg/dL. Pitavastatin has no major drug interactions with ART regimens and is considered a safer agent in HIV-infected patients. Results from REPRIEVE may expand current indications for statin therapy among people with HIV.

The current analysis has several strengths, including using contemporary data from a large, nationwide cohort of US adults. Patients were followed for up to 6 years. Beneficiaries with HIV were matched by age, sex, and calendar year to controls without HIV selected from the same data source. The current analysis also has potential limitations. We analyzed data from beneficiaries with and without HIV who had commercial health insurance or Medicare supplemental health insurance. Therefore, results from the current study may not be generalizable to adults with HIV without health insurance. We used claims-based algorithms to identify cardiovascular risk factors that may result in some misclassification. The algorithm used to define tobacco use has high specificity, but low sensitivity.<sup>36</sup> Therefore, tobacco use was likely underestimated in the current study. Frazier and colleagues estimated that the prevalence of smoking in 2014 was 33.6% among adults with HIV in the Medical Monitoring Project, a surveillance system of US adults with HIV, and 16.8% among US adults from the

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on May 27, 2020

### HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 72 of 213 PageID# 13260

general population using data from the National Health Interview Survey.<sup>37</sup> Data on race and ethnicity, diet, exercise, illicit drug use, and mortality are not available in MarketScan. Data on LDL-C and other lipids and estimated glomerular filtration rate are not available for most beneficiaries in the MarketScan database and, therefore, were not analyzed. In addition, we did not have access to HIV viral load, CD4 count, and inflammatory markers to explore the potential contribution of immunodeficiency and residual inflammatory risk, which may play a role in the risk for ASCVD events among individuals with HIV. We defined statin use with pharmacy fills in the 365 days before each beneficiary's index date. Some beneficiaries may have stopped or started taking a statin after their index date, which may result in misclassification.

### Conclusions

In the current analysis of contemporary data, US adults with health insurance and HIV had a higher risk for ASCVD compared with their counterparts without HIV. Beneficiaries with HIV also had a higher risk for MI, stroke, and LEAD hospitalizations versus their counterparts without HIV when each of these outcomes was analyzed separately. The higher risk of ASCVD among US adults with versus without HIV was present for those taking and not taking a statin. Clinicians should assess ASCVD risk for their patients with HIV and provide guideline-recommended treatment to lower this risk.

### Sources of Funding

The design and conduct of the study, interpretation of the results, and preparation of the manuscript were supported through a research grant from Amgen, Inc (Thousand Oaks, CA). The academic authors conducted all analyses and maintained the rights to publish this article.

### Disclosures

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on May 27, 2020

Dr Rosenson discloses that his institution receives research grants on his behalf from Akcea, Amgen, Astra Zeneca, Medicines Company, Novartis, and Regeneron; he receives honoraria from Akcea, Amgen, Kowa, Pfizer, and Regeneron; he serves as a consultant on Advisory Boards for Amgen, C5, CVS Caremark, and Corvidia; he has stock holdings in MediMergent; and he receives royalties from UpToDate. Dr Monda is employed by Amgen and has stock holdings in Amgen. Dr Reading is employed by Amgen. Dr Dluzniewski is employed by Amgen. Dr Burkholder receives research support from Amgen and Bristol-Myers Squibb; and receives consulting fees from Medscape and Definicare. Dr Muntner receives research support from Amgen. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

### References

- Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS VH, STD, and TB Prevention. HIV in the United States and dependent areas. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. May 6, 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/ hiv/pdf/statistics/overview/cdc-hiv-us-ataglance.pdf. Accessed May 6, 2019.
- May MT, Gompels M, Delpech V, Porter K, Orkin C, Kegg S, Hay P, Johnson M, Palfreeman A, Gilson R, Chadwick D, Martin F, Hill T, Walsh J, Post F, Fisher M, Ainsworth J, Jose S, Leen C, Nelson M, Anderson J, Sabin C. Impact on life expectancy of HIV-1 positive individuals of CD4+ cell count and viral load response to antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS*. 2014;28:1193–1202.
- Marcus JL, Chao CR, Leyden WA, Xu L, Quesenberry CP Jr, Klein DB, Towner WJ, Horberg MA, Silverberg MJ. Narrowing the gap in life expectancy between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected individuals with access to care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016;73:39–46.
- Guaraldi G, Orlando G, Zona S, Menozzi M, Carli F, Garlassi E, Berti A, Rossi E, Roverato A, Palella F. Premature age-related comorbidities among HIV-infected persons compared with the general population. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2011;53:1120– 1126.
- Schouten J, Wit FW, Stolte IG, Kootstra NA, van der Valk M, Geerlings SE, Prins M, Reiss P. Cross-sectional comparison of the prevalence of age-associated comorbidities and their risk factors between HIV-infected and uninfected individuals: the AGEhIV cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2014;59:1787–1797.
- Shah ASV, Stelzle D, Lee KK, Beck EJ, Alam S, Clifford S, Longenecker CT, Strachan F, Bagchi S, Whiteley W, Rajagopalan S, Kottilil S, Nair H, Newby DE, McAllister DA, Mills NL. Global burden of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in people living with HIV. *Circulation*. 2018;138:1100–1112.
- Feinstein MJ, Hsue PY, Benjamin LA, Bloomfield GS, Currier JS, Freiberg MS, Grinspoon SK, Levin J, Longenecker CT, Post WS. Characteristics, prevention, and management of cardiovascular disease in people living with HIV: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2019;140:e98–e124.
- Vachiat A, McCutcheon K, Tsabedze N, Zachariah D, Manga P. HIV and ischemic heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:73–82.
- Friis-Moller N, Sabin CA, Weber R, d'Arminio Monforte A, El-Sadr WM, Reiss P, Thiebaut R, Morfeldt L, De Wit S, Pradier C, Calvo G, Law MG, Kirk O, Phillips AN, Lundgren JD; Data Collection on Adverse Events of Anti-HIV Drugs (DAD) Study Group. Combination antiretroviral therapy and the risk of myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:1993–2003.
- Durand M, Sheehy O, Baril JG, Lelorier J, Tremblay CL. Association between HIV infection, antiretroviral therapy, and risk of acute myocardial infarction: a cohort and nested case-control study using Quebec's public health insurance database. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;57:245–253.
- Chow FC, Regan S, Feske S, Meigs JB, Grinspoon SK, Triant VA. Comparison of ischemic stroke incidence in HIV-infected and non-HIV-infected patients in a US health care system. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012;60:351–358.
- Klein DB, Leyden WA, Xu L, Chao CR, Horberg MA, Towner WJ, Hurley LB, Marcus JL, Quesenberry CP Jr, Silverberg MJ. Declining relative risk for myocardial infarction among HIV-positive compared with HIV-negative individuals with access to care. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60:1278–1280.
- Silverberg MJ, Leyden WA, Xu L, Horberg MA, Chao CR, Towner WJ, Hurley LB, Quesenberry CP Jr, Klein DB. Immunodeficiency and risk of myocardial infarction among HIV-positive individuals with access to care. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014;65:160–166.
- Colantonio LD, Levitan EB, Yun H, Kilgore ML, Rhodes JD, Howard G, Safford MM, Muntner P. Use of Medicare claims data for the identification of myocardial infarction: the reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study. *Med Care*. 2018;56:1051–1059.
- 15. Kumamaru H, Judd SE, Curtis JR, Ramachandran R, Hardy NC, Rhodes JD, Safford MM, Kissela BM, Howard G, Jalbert JJ, Brott TG, Setoguchi S. Validity of claims-based stroke algorithms in contemporary Medicare data: reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke (REGARDS) study linked with Medicare claims. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2014;7:611–619.
- Beckman JA, Duncan MS, Alcorn CW, So-Armah K, Butt AA, Goetz MB, Tindle HA, Sico JJ, Tracy RP, Justice AC, Freiberg MS. Association of human immunodeficiency virus infection and risk of peripheral artery disease. *Circulation*. 2018;138:255–265.
- 17. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, Braun LT, de Ferranti S, Faiella-Tommasino J, Forman DE, Goldberg R, Heidenreich PA, Hlatky MA, Jones DW, Lloyd-Jones D, Lopez-Pajares N, Ndumele CE, Orringer CE, Peralta CA, Saseen JJ, Smith SC Jr, Sperling L, Virani SS, Yeboah J. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2019;139:e1082–e1143.

### HIV and Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 73 of 213 PageID# 13261

- Rosenson RS, Colantonio LD, Burkholder GA, Chen L, Muntner P. Trends in utilization of statin therapy and contraindicated statin use in HIV-infected adults treated with antiretroviral therapy from 2007 through 2015. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e010345. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.010345.
- Burkholder GA, Muntner P, Zhao H, Mugavero MJ, Overton ET, Kilgore M, Drozd DR, Crane HM, Moore RD, Mathews WC, Geng E, Boswell S, Floris-Moore M, Rosenson RS. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol response after statin initiation among persons living with human immunodeficiency virus. J Clin Lipidol. 2018;12:988–998.e985.
- Wiggins BS, Lamprecht DG Jr, Page RL II, Saseen JJ. Recommendations for managing drug-drug interactions with statins and HIV medications. *Am J Cardiovasc Drugs*. 2017;17:375–389.
- Stein JH, Merwood MA, Bellehumeur JB, McBride PE, Wiebe DA, Sosman JM. Postprandial lipoprotein changes in patients taking antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2005;25:399–405.
- El Khoury P, Ghislain M, Villard EF, Le Goff W, Lascoux-Combe C, Yeni P, Meyer L, Vigouroux C, Goujard C, Guerin M. Plasma cholesterol efflux capacity from human THP-1 macrophages is reduced in HIV-infected patients: impact of HAART. J Lipid Res. 2015;56:692–702.
- Tort O, Escriba T, Egana-Gorrono L, de Lazzari E, Cofan M, Fernandez E, Gatell JM, Martinez E, Garcia F, Arnedo M. Cholesterol efflux responds to viral load and CD4 counts in HIV+ patients and is dampened in HIV exposed. *J Lipid Res.* 2018;59:2108–2115.
- Rasmussen LD, Helleberg M, May MT, Afzal S, Kronborg G, Larsen CS, Pedersen C, Gerstoft J, Nordestgaard BG, Obel N. Myocardial infarction among Danish HIV-infected individuals: population-attributable fractions associated with smoking. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60:1415–1423.
- Hadigan C, Meigs JB, Wilson PW, D'Agostino RB, Davis B, Basgoz N, Sax PE, Grinspoon S. Prediction of coronary heart disease risk in HIV-infected patients with fat redistribution. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2003;36:909–916.>
- Glesby MJ, Hanna DB, Hoover DR, Shi Q, Yin MT, Tien PC, Cohen M, Anastos K, Sharma A. Abdominal fat depots, insulin resistance, and incident diabetes mellitus in women with and without HIV infection. *AIDS*. 2018;32:1643–1650.
- Society European AIDS Clinical Society Guidelines version 9.0. http:// www.eacsociety.org/files/guidelines\_9.0-english.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2018.

- Rosenberg AZ, Naicker S, Winkler CA, Kopp JB. HIV-associated nephropathies: epidemiology, pathology, mechanisms and treatment. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2015;11:150–160.
- Hemkens LG, Bucher HC. HIV infection and cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:1373–1381.
- Aberg JA, Sponseller CA, Ward DJ, Kryzhanovski VA, Campbell SE, Thompson MA. Pitavastatin versus pravastatin in adults with HIV-1 infection and dyslipidaemia (INTREPID): 12 week and 52 week results of a phase 4, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, superiority trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2017;4: e284-e294.
- Nordell AD, McKenna M, Borges AH, Duprez D, Neuhaus J, Neaton JD. Severity of cardiovascular disease outcomes among patients with HIV is related to markers of inflammation and coagulation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000844. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000844.
- 31. Ryom L, Lundgren JD, El-Sadr W, Reiss P, Kirk O, Law M, Phillips A, Weber R, Fontas E, d' Arminio Monforte A, De Wit S, Dabis F, Hatleberg CI, Sabin C, Mocroft A. Cardiovascular disease and use of contemporary protease inhibitors: the D:A:D international prospective multicohort study. *Lancet HIV*. 2018;5:e291–e300.
- 32. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf. Accessed July 20, 2018.
- Longenecker CT, Eckard AR, McComsey GA. Statins to improve cardiovascular outcomes in treated HIV infection. *Curr Opin Infect Dis.* 2016;29:1–9.
- Gilbert JM, Fitch KV, Grinspoon SK. HIV-related cardiovascular disease, statins, and the REPRIEVE trial. *Top Antivir Med.* 2015;23:146–149.
- Desai RJ, Solomon DH, Shadick N, Iannaccone C, Kim SC. Identification of smoking using Medicare data—a validation study of claims-based algorithms. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2016;25:472–475.
- Frazier EL, Sutton MY, Brooks JT, Shouse RL, Weiser J. Trends in cigarette smoking among adults with HIV compared with the general adult population, United States—2009–2014. *Prev Med.* 2018;111:231–234.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 74 of 213 PageID# 13262

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Drug class	Medications
Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors	Abacavir Didanosine Stavudine Zidovudine
Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors	Delavirdine Efavirenz Etravirine Nevirapine Rilpivirine
Protease inhibitors	Atazanavir Darunavir Fosamprenavir Indinavir Lopinavir Nelfinavir Ritonavir (excluding combinations containing paritaprevir) Saquinavir Tipranavir
Fusion inhibitors	Enfuvirtide
Entry inhibitors	Maraviroc
Integrase strand transfer inhibitors	Dolutegravir Elvitegravir Raltegravir
Pharmacokinetic enhancers	Cobicistat

### Table S1. List of antiretroviral therapy included in the current analysis by drug classes.

Lamivudine, tenofovir and emtricitabine were not included in the list of antiretroviral drugs as these medications are also used to treat hepatitis C infection or for human immunodeficiency virus preexposure prophylaxis.

Characteristic	Definition
Age	Calculated on the index date using MarketScan beneficiary summary data.
Sex	Based on MarketScan beneficiary summary data.
Calendar year	Based on the index date.
Geographic region of residence	Based on MarketScan beneficiary summary data.
History of CHD <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date: <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with an ICD-9 diagnosis code of 410.xx-414.xx, V45.81 or V45.82.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with an ICD-9 diagnosis code of 410.xx-414.xx, V45.81 or V45.82.</li> <li>(c) At least 1 inpatient or outpatient claim with an ICD-9 procedure code of 00.66, 36.0, 36.01-36.19, 36.2 or a current procedural terminology (CPT) code of 33510-33519, 33521-33523, 33530, 33533-33536, 92980-92982, 92984, 92995, 92996, 92920, 92921, 92924, 92925, 92928, 92929, 92933, 92934, 92937, 92938, 92941, 92943, 92944.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date: <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with an ICD-10 diagnosis code of I21.09, I21.19, I21.11, I21.29, I21.4, I21.3, I25.10, I25.810, I25.811, I25.812, I25.3, I25.41, I25.42, Z95.1 or Z9861.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with an ICD-10 diagnosis code of I21.09, I21.4, I21.3, I25.10, I25.812, I25.3, I25.41, I25.42, Z95.1 or Z9861.</li> <li>(c) At least 1 inpatient or outpatient claim with an ICD-10 procedure code of 0210, 0211, 0212, 0213, 0270, 0271, 0272, 0273, 02C0, 02C1, 02C2, 02C3, 3E07 or a CPT code 33510-33519, 33521-33523, 33530, 33533-33536, 92980-92982, 92984, 92995, 92996, 92920, 92921, 92924, 92925, 92928, 92929, 92933, 92934, 92937, 92938, 92941, 92943, 92944.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

### Table S2. Definitions for beneficiary characteristics analyzed in the current study.

Characteristic	Definition
Stroke <sup>2</sup>	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	<ul><li>(a) At least 1 inpatient ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 430.xx, 431.xx, 433.x1, 434.x1 or 436.x.</li></ul>
	(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 430.xx, 431.xx, 433.x1, 434.x1 or 436.x.
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date, inclusive:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient ICD-10 diagnosis (primary or secondary position) of I60.xx, I61.xx, I63.xx, I67.89.
	(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnoses (any position) of I60.xx, I61.xx, I63.xx, I67.89.
History of peripheral	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
artery disease <sup>3</sup>	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 440.20- 440.24, 440.31, 444.2x, 443.9, or 444.81.</li> </ul>
	(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with an ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 440.20-440.24, 440.31, 444.2x, 443.9, or 444.81, with the 2 claims on separate days.
	(c) At least 1 inpatient or outpatient claim with a CPT code 37205 or 75962.
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of I70.209, I70.219, I70.229, I70.25, I70.269, I70.499, I73.9.
	(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with an ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of I70.209, I70.219, I70.229, I70.25, I70.269, I70.499, I73.9, with the 2 claims on separate days
	(c) At least 1 inpatient or outpatient claim with a CPT code 37205 or 75962.

Characteristic	Definition
Diabetes <sup>4-6</sup>	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with discharge ICD-9 diagnosis (any
	position) of 250.xx, 357.2, 362.0x, or 366.41.
	(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims
	with ICD-9 diagnosis (any position) of 250.xx, 357.2, 362.0x, or
	366.41, with the 2 claims occurring at least 7 days apart
	(c) At least 1 prescription drug event record for an oral antidiabetic
	drug fill or insulin.
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with a discharge ICD-10 diagnosis (any
	position) of E0836, E0842, E0936, E0942, E1010, E1011, E1029,
	E10311, E10319, E1036, E1039, E1040, E1042, E1051, E10618,
	E10620, E10621, E10622, E10628, E10630, E10638, E10641,
	E10649, E1065, E1069, E108, E109, E1100, E1101, E1129,
	ETT3TT, ETT3T9, ETT329, ETT339, ETT349, ETT309, ETT30, E1120, E1140, E1142, E1151, E11619, E11620, E11621, E11622
	ETTS9, ETT40, ETT42, ETT51, ETT010, ETT020, ETT021, ETT022, ET1629, ET1620, ET1629, ET1641, ET1640, ET165, ET160, ET19
	E11020, E11030, E11030, E11041, E11049, E1103, E1109, E110, E110 E1310 E1336 E1372
	(b) At least 2 carrier physician evaluation and management claims with
	ICD-10 diagnosis (any position) of E0836 E0842 E0936 E0942
	E1010 E1011 E1029 E10311 E10319 E1036 E1039 E1040
	E1042, E1051, E10618, E10620, E10621, E10622, E10628.
	E10630, E10638, E10641, E10649, E1065, E1069, E108, E109,
	E1100, E1101, E1129, E11311, E11319, E11329, E11339,
	E11349, E11359, E1136, E1139, E1140, E1142, E1151, E11618,
	E11620, E11621, E11622, E11628, E11630, E11638, E11641,
	E11649, E1165, E1169, E118, E119, E1310, E1336, E1342, with
	the 2 claims occurring at least 7 days apart.
	(c) At least 1 prescription drug event record for an oral antidiabetic
History of beart failure?	Algorithm based on ICD 9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
Thistory of heart failure	365 days prior to or on the index date.
	(a) >1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of
	402 01 402 11 402 91 404 01 404 03 404 11 404 13 404 91
	404.93, 428.X
	(b) $\geq 2$ outpatient physician evaluation and management claims on
	separate calendar days with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of
	402.01, 402.11, 402.91, 404.01, 404.03, 404.11, 404.13, 404.91,
	404.93, 428.x.
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date.
	(a) $\geq 1$ inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnoses (any position) of 1110.
	1130, 1132, 1501, 15020, 15021, 15022, 15023, 15030, 15031, 15032.
	15033, 15040, 15041, 15042, 15043, 1509
	(b) ≥2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims on
	separate calendar days with ICD-10 diagnoses (any position) of
	1110, 1130, 1132, 1501, 15020, 15021, 15022, 15023, 15030, 15031,
	15032, 15033, 15040, 15041, 15042, 15043, 1509.

Characteristic	Definition
History of chronic kidney	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
disease <sup>8</sup>	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 016.0, 095.4, 189.0, 189.9, 223.0, 236.91, 250.4, 271.4, 274.1, 283.11, 403.x1, 403.x0, 404.x2, 404.x3, 404.x0, 404.x1, 440.1, 442.1, 447.3, 572.4, 580–588, 591, 642.1, 646.2, 753.12–753.17, 753.19, 753.2, 794.4.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 016.0, 095.4, 189.0, 189.9, 223.0, 236.91, 250.4, 271.4, 274.1, 283.11, 403.x1, 403.x0, 404.x2, 404.x3, 404.x0, 404.x1, 440.1, 442.1, 447.3, 572.4, 580–588, 591, 642.1, 646.2, 753.12–753.17, 753.19, 753.2, 794.4</li> </ul>
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	<ul> <li>365 days prior to or on the index date:</li> <li>(a) ≥1 inpatient claim with a discharge diagnosis code of chronic kidney disease (ICD-10 CM diagnosis code of A1811, A5275, C649, C689, D3000, D4100, D4120, D593, E1021, E1029, E1121, E1129, E748, I120, I129, I130, I1310, I1311, I132, I701, I722, K767, M1030, N003, N008, N009, N013, N022, N032, N033, N035, N038, N039, N040, N043, N044, N048, N049, N052, N055, N058, N059, N08, N1330, N170, N171, N172, N178, N179, N181, N182, N183, N184, N185, N186, N189, N19, N250, N251, N2581, N2589, N259, N269,Q6102, Q6119, Q612, Q613, Q614, Q615, Q618, Q6210, Q6211, Q6212, Q6231, Q6239, R944) in any discharge diagnosis position.</li> <li>(b) ≥1 physician evaluation and management claim with a diagnosis code of chronic kidney disease (ICD-10 diagnosis code of A1811, A5275, C649, C689, D3000, D4100, D4120, D593, E1021, E1029.</li> </ul>
	E1121, E1129, E748, I120, I129, I130, I1310, I1311, I132, I701, I722, K767, M1030, N003, N008, N009, N013, N022, N032, N033, N035, N038, N039, N040, N043, N044, N048, N049, N052, N055, N058, N059, N08, N1330, N170, N171, N172, N178, N179, N181, N182, N183, N184, N185, N186, N189, N19, N250, N251, N2581, N2589, N259, N269,Q6102, Q6119, Q612, Q613, Q614, Q615, Q618, Q6210, Q6211, Q6212, Q6231, Q6239, R944) in any position.

<ul> <li>Liver disease<sup>4.6</sup></li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date:         <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.64, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2x-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date:         <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Depression<sup>7.9</sup></li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvening/axine, doxepin, duloxetine, escilalopram, Invoxetine, Invoxamie, impramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxatine, prehenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venl</li></ul>	Characteristic	Definition
<ul> <li>365 days prior to or on the index date:</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2x-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2x-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 571.xx, 572.2x-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date: <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I89.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, 294.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pubatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.x, I86.4, I89.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K76.9x, 294.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 26.2x, 270.2x, 270.4x, 294.4.</li> <li>(c) At least 1 inpatient physician evaluation and management claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 26.2x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxamine, imigramine, isocarboxazid, levomilinacipran, maprotiline, milinacipran, mitrappine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, parcetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venifaxine.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x</li></ul></li></ul>	Liver disease <sup>4, 6</sup>	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
<ul> <li>(a) Å i least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.32, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2x-572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x-456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2-572.8, 573.3, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date: <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I86.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I88.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Depression<sup>7,9</sup> Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenine, impramine, isocarboxazid, levomilinacipran, maprotiline, milacipran, mirazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, parcetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertaline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or veniafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, ecsicalopram, intrazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, parcetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertaline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimiprami</li></ul>		365 days prior to or on the index date:
<ul> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x–456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2–572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date: <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, 294.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, 294.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, 294.4.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Depression<sup>7, 9</sup> Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date. <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 290.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, minacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine. Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date. <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1,</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>		<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x–456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2x–572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date:         <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Depression<sup>7.9</sup></li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.         <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 unpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designamine, desveniafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fituroxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, portriptyline, selegille, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> </ul> </li> <li>At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 1 physicina evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x</li></ul>		<ul> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 070.22, 070.23, 070.32, 070.33, 070.44, 070.54, 070.6, 070.9, 456.0x–456.2x, 570.xx, 571.xx, 572.2–572.8, 573.3, 573.4, 573.8, 573.9, V42.7.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>Depression<sup>7.9</sup> Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> </ul>		Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date:
<ul> <li>(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> <li>Depression<sup>7,9</sup> Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date. <ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 309.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designamine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxition, activation, activation function of F20.4, F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Depression<sup>7.9</sup></li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, the Iot outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> </ul>		(b) At least 2 outpatient physician evaluation and management claims with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of B18.x, I85.xx, I86.4, I98.2, K70.x, K71.1x, K71.3-K71.5x, K71.7, K72.xx-K74.xx, K76.0, K76.2x-K76.9x, Z94.4.
<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxoamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, ot alignosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designamine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, accidence on the physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F43.2x.</li> </ul>	Depression <sup>7,9</sup>	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: defined by any of the following using
<ul> <li>(b) At least 1outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F32.x, F31.5x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, excitalopram fluoxetine fluoxetine, dimension.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 300.4x, 309.xx, 311.xx.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.</li> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designamine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxetine, ducyting, fluoxetine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxetine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxetine, impremine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxeti</li></ul>		(b) At least 1outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-9 diagnosis code (any position) of 296.2x, 296.3x, 296.5x, 200.4x, 200.xx, 211.xx
<ul> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designamine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloyetine, assisted param fluoyetine, fluoyetine, imipramine</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazapine, nefazodone, nortriptyline, paroxetine, perphenazine, phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, tranylcypr</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, designation, dulovating, designation, dulovating, designation, dulovating, assisted param fluovating, fluovating, imparamine, designation</li> </ul>		
isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mirtazanine, nefazodone, nortrintyline, narovetine, nerphenazine		<ul> <li>Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: defined by any of the following using claims within 365 days prior to or on the index date.</li> <li>(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim with ICD-10 diagnosis code (any position) of F20.4, F31.3x-F31.5x, F32.x, F33.xx, F34.1, F41.2, F43.2x.</li> <li>(c) At least 2 pharmacy claims for amitriptyline, amoxapine, bupropion, citalopram, clomipramine, desipramine, desvenlafaxine, doxepin, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, imipramine, isocarboxazid, levomilnacipran, maprotiline, milnacipran, mitazapine, pefazodone, portriptyline, parovetine, perphenazine</li> </ul>
phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.	Cardiologist coro	phenelzine, protriptyline, selegiline, sertraline, tranylcypromine, trazodone, trimipramine or venlafaxine.

provider type code 250 within 365 days prior to or on the index date.

Characteristic	Definition
Any hospitalization	Defined by $\geq$ 1 inpatient claim within 365 days prior to or on the index date.
Tobacco use <sup>10</sup>	Algorithm based on ICD-9 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with an ICD-9 diagnosis code of 305.1,
	649.0x, 989.84, or V15.82
	(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim
	with an ICD-9 diagnosis code of 305.1, 649.0x, 989.84, or V15.82
	(c) At least 1 inpatient or E/M outpatient claim with a CPT code of 99406, 99407, G0436, G0437, G9016, S9453, S4995, G9276, G9458, 1034E, 4004E, 4001E
	(d) At least 1 pharmacy claim for nicotine or varenicline
	(u) At least 1 pharmacy claim for moothe of varemenne
	Algorithm based on ICD-10 codes: Any of the following using claims within
	365 days prior to or on the index date:
	(a) At least 1 inpatient claim with an ICD-10 diagnosis code of
	T65 224A T65 291A T65 292A T65 293A T65 294A 772 0
	Z87.891
	(b) At least 1 outpatient physician evaluation and management claim
	with an ICD-10 diagnosis code of F17.200, O99.330-O99.335,
	T65.221A, T65.222A, T65.223A, T65.224A, T65.291A, T65.292A,
	T65.293A, T65.294A, Z72.0, Z87.891
	(c) At least 1 inpatient or E/M outpatient claim with a CPT code of
	99400, 99407, G0430, G0437, G9010, S9453, S4995, G9270, C0458, 1034E, 4004E, 4001E
	(d) At least 1 pharmacy claim for nicotine or varenicline
Antihypertensive	Defined by ≥1 prescription fill for any thiazides, angiotensin-converting-
medication use	enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, calcium channel
	blockers, diuretics, beta blockers, direct renin inhibitors, alpha-1 blockers,
	central alpha1-agonists or direct vasodilators within 365 days prior to or on
	the index date.
Statin use and intensity	No statin use was defined by having no prescription fill for any statin dose
	and type within 365 days prior to or on the index date. Use of
	low/moderate-intensity statin was defined by ≥1 prescription fill for a statin
	but no high-intensity statin fills within 365 days prior to or on the index date.
	Use of high-intensity statin was defined by $\geq 1$ prescription fill for any high-
	intensity statin in the 365 days prior to the MI hospital discharge date,
	Inclusive. High-intensity statin includes atorvastatin 40-80 mg, rosuvastatin
	20-40 mg and sinvasiant 60 mg.
Non-statin lipid-lowering	Defined by ≥1 prescription fill for ezetimibe, fibrates, niacin, or bile acid
medication use	sequestrants, within 365 days prior to or on the index date.
Polypharmacy	Defined by having prescription fills for ≥10 different medications (based on
	the generic names) within 365 days prior to or on the index date. Fills for
	the same generic name were counted only once. For example, 10
	prescription hits for atorvasiatin were count as hitting i medication.

CHD: coronary heart disease; CPT: current procedural terminology; ICD-9: international classification of diseases, ninth revision; ICD-10: international classification of diseases, tenth revision.

Outcome	Definition
Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease hospitalization	Includes myocardial infarction, stroke, or lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations, as defined below
Myocardial infarction hospitalization	Overnight inpatient claim with an ICD-9 discharge diagnosis code of 410.xx except 410.x2 which represents a subsequent episode of care or an ICD-10 code I21.xx in any position.
Stroke hospitalization	Inpatient claim with an ICD-9 discharge diagnosis code of 430.xx, 431.xx, 433.x1, 434.x1 or 436.xx or an ICD-10 discharge diagnosis code of I60, I61 or I63 in the primary position.
Lower extremities artery disease hospitalization	The earliest of the following events:
	• An overnight inpatient claim with a discharge diagnosis code for acute limb ischemia in the primary discharge diagnosis position.
	• An overnight inpatient claim with a procedure code for embolectomy, thrombectomy or peripheral surgical revascularization in any position.
	• An overnight inpatient claim with a procedure code for thrombolysis in the absence of a discharge diagnosis code for acute myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke or pulmonary embolism in any position.
	• An overnight inpatient claim with a procedure code for lower extremity amputation above the ankle in any position, in the absence of a discharge diagnosis code for traumatic amputation of a leg on the same hospitalization. Amputations were counted as an event only if the patient had ≥1 inpatient or outpatient claim with a diagnosis code for peripheral artery disease in any position prior to or on the date of the amputation.
	<i>List of codes:</i> ICD9 diagnosis codes for acute limb ischemia: 444.0, 444.01, 444.09, 444.22, 444.81.
	ICD10 diagnosis codes for acute limb ischemia: I74.01, I74.09, I74.3, I74.5.
	CPT procedure codes for embolectomy or thrombectomy: 34201, 34203.
	ICD9 procedure codes for peripheral surgical revascularization: 38.08, 38.16, 38.18, 38.38, 38.48, 38.68, 38.88, 39.25, 39.29.
	ICD10 procedure codes for peripheral surgical revascularization: 0312096, 0312097, 0312098, 0312099, 031209B, 031209C, 03120A6, 03120A7, 03120A8, 03120A9, 03120AB, 03120AC, 03120J6, 03120J7, 03120J8, 03120J9, 03120JB, 03120JC, 03120K6, 03120K7, 03120K8, 03120K9, 03120KB, 03120KC, 03120Z6, 03120Z7, 03120Z8, 03120Z9, 03120ZB, 03120ZC, 031309B, 031309C, 03130A6, 03130A7, 03130A8, 03130A9, 03130AB, 03130AC, 03130J6, 03130J7, 03130J8, 03130J9, 03130JB, 03130JC, 03130K6, 03130K7, 03130K8, 03130K9, 03130KB,

### Table S3. Definition for outcomes events analyzed in the current study.

03130KC, 03130Z6, 03130Z7, 03130Z8, 03130Z9, 03130ZB, 03130ZC, 0314096. 0314097. 0314098. 0314099. 031409B. 031409C. 03140A6. 03140A7, 03140A8, 03140A9, 03140AB, 03140AC, 03140J6, 03140J7, 03140J8, 03140J9, 03140JB, 03140JC, 03140K6, 03140K7, 03140K8, 03140K9. 03140KB. 03140KC. 03140Z6. 03140Z7. 03140Z8. 03140Z9. 03140ZB, 03140ZC, 0315096, 0315097, 0315098, 0315099, 031509B, 031509C, 03150A6, 03150A7, 03150A8, 03150A9, 03150AB, 03150AC, 03150J6, 03150J7, 03150J8, 03150J9, 03150JB, 03150JC, 03150K6, 03150K7, 03150K8, 03150K9, 03150KB, 03150KC, 03150Z6, 03150Z7, 03150Z8, 03150Z9, 03150ZB, 03150ZC, 0316096, 0316097, 0316098, 0316099, 031609B, 031609C, 03160A6, 03160A7, 03160A8, 03160A9, 03160AB, 03160AC, 03160J6, 03160J7, 03160J8, 03160J9, 03160JB, 03160JC, 03160K6, 03160K7, 03160K8, 03160K9, 03160KB, 03160KC, 03160Z6, 03160Z7, 03160Z8, 03160Z9, 03160ZB, 03160ZC, 0410096, 0410097, 0410098, 0410099, 041009B, 041009C, 041009D, 041009F, 041009G, 041009H, 041009J, 041009K, 041009Q, 041009R, 04100A6, 04100A7, 04100A8, 04100A9, 04100AB, 04100AC, 04100AD, 04100AF, 04100AG, 04100AH, 04100AJ, 04100AK, 04100AQ, 04100AR, 04100J6, 04100J7, 04100J8, 04100J9, 04100JB, 04100JC, 04100JD, 04100JF, 04100JG, 04100JH, 04100JJ, 04100JK, 04100JQ, 04100JR, 04100K6, 04100K7, 04100K8, 04100K9, 04100KB, 04100KC, 04100KD, 04100KF, 04100KG, 04100KH, 04100KJ, 04100KK, 04100KQ, 04100KR, 04100Z6, 04100Z7, 04100Z8, 04100Z9, 04100ZB, 04100ZC, 04100ZD, 04100ZF, 04100ZG, 04100ZH, 04100ZJ, 04100ZK, 04100ZQ, 04100ZR, 0410496, 0410497, 0410498, 0410499, 041049B, 041049C, 041049D, 041049F, 041049G, 041049H, 041049J, 041049K, 041049Q, 041049R, 04104A6, 04104A7, 04104A8, 04104A9, 04104AB, 04104AC, 04104AD, 04104AF, 04104AG, 04104AH, 04104AJ, 04104AK, 04104AQ, 04104AR, 04104J6, 04104J7, 04104J8, 04104J9, 04104JB, 04104JC, 04104JD, 04104JF, 04104JG, 04104JH, 04104JJ, 04104JK, 04104JQ, 04104JR, 04104K6, 04104K7, 04104K8, 04104K9, 04104KB, 04104KC, 04104KD, 04104KF, 04104KG, 04104KH, 04104KJ, 04104KK, 04104KQ, 04104KR, 04104Z6, 04104Z7, 04104Z8, 04104Z9, 04104ZB, 04104ZC, 04104ZD, 04104ZF, 04104ZG, 04104ZH, 04104ZJ, 04104ZK, 04104ZQ, 04104ZR, 041C096, 041C097. 041C098. 041C099. 041C09B. 041C09C. 041C09D. 041C09F. 041C09G. 041C09H. 041C09J. 041C09K. 041C09Q. 041C0AH. 041C0AJ, 041C0AK, 041C0J6, 041C0J7, 041C0J8, 041C0J9, 041C0JB, 041C0JC, 041C0JD, 041C0JF, 041C0JG, 041C0JH, 041C0JJ, 041C0JK, 041C0JQ, 041C0K6, 041C0K7, 041C0K8, 041C0K9, 041C0KB, 041C0KC, 041C0KD, 041C0KF, 041C0KG, 041C0KH, 041C0KJ, 041C0KK, 041C0Z6, 041C0Z7, 041C0Z8, 041C0Z9, 041C0ZB, 041C0ZC, 041C0ZD, 041C0ZF, 041C0ZG, 041C0ZH, 041C0ZJ, 041C0ZK, 041C0ZQ, 041C496, 041C497, 041C498, 041C499, 041C49B, 041C49C, 041C49D, 041C49F, 041C49G, 041C49H, 041C49J, 041C49K, 041C49Q, 041C4A6, 041C4A7, 041C4A8, 041C4A9, 041C4AB, 041C4AC, 041C4AD, 041C4AF, 041C4AG, 041C4AH, 041C4AJ. 041C4AK. 041C4J6. 041C4J7. 041C4J8. 041C4J9. 041C4JB. 041C4JC, 041C4JD, 041C4JF, 041C4JG, 041C4JH, 041C4JJ, 041C4JK, 041C4JQ, 041C4K6, 041C4K7, 041C4K8, 041C4K9, 041C4KB, 041C4KC, 041C4KD, 041C4KF, 041C4KG, 041C4KH, 041C4KJ, 041C4KK, 041C4KQ, 041C4Z6, 041C4Z7, 041C4Z8, 041C4Z9, 041C4ZB, 041C4ZC, 041C4ZD, 041C4ZF, 041C4ZG, 041C4ZH, 041C4ZJ, 041C4ZK, 041C4ZQ, 041D098, 041D099, 041D09B, 041D09C, 041D09D, 041D09F, 041D09G, 041D09H, 041D09J, 041D09K, 041D09Q, 041D0A6, 041D0A7, 041D0A8, 041D0A9, 041D0AB, 041D0AC, 041D0AD, 041D0AF, 041D0AG, 041D0AH, 041D0AJ,

041D0AK, 041D0AQ, 041D0J6, 041D0J7, 041D0J8, 041D0J9, 041D0JB, 041D0JC, 041D0JD, 041D0JF, 041D0JG, 041D0JH, 041D0JJ, 041D0JK, 041D0JQ, 041D0K6, 041D0K7, 041D0K8, 041D0K9, 041D0KB, 041D0KC, 041D0KD, 041D0KF, 041D0KG, 041D0KH, 041D0KJ, 041D0KK. 041D0KQ. 041D0Z6. 041D0Z7. 041D0Z8. 041D0Z9. 041D0ZB, 041D0ZC, 041D0ZD, 041D0ZF, 041D0ZG, 041D0ZH, 041D0ZJ, 041D0ZK, 041D0ZQ, 041D496, 041D497, 041D498, 041D499, 041D49B, 041D49C, 041D49D, 041D49F, 041D49G, 041D49H, 041D49J, 041D49K, 041D49Q, 041D4A6, 041D4A7, 041D4A8, 041D4A9, 041D4AB, 041D4AC, 041D4AD, 041D4AF, 041D4AG, 041D4AH, 041D4AJ, 041D4AK, 041D4AQ, 041D4J6, 041D4J7, 041D4J8, 041D4J9, 041D4JB, 041D4JC, 041D4JD, 041D4JF, 041D4JG, 041D4JH, 041D4JJ, 041D4JK, 041D4JQ, 041D4K7, 041D4K8, 041D4K9, 041D4KB, 041D4KC, 041D4KD, 041D4KF, 041D4KG, 041D4KH, 041D4KJ, 041D4KK, 041D4KQ, 041D4Z6, 041D4Z7, 041D4Z8, 041D4Z9, 041D4ZB, 041D4ZC, 041D4ZD, 041D4ZF, 041D4ZG, 041D4ZH, 041D4ZJ, 041D4ZK, 041D4ZQ, 041D4ZR, 041E099, 041E09B, 041E09C, 041E09D, 041E09F, 041E09G, 041E09H, 041E09J, 041E09K, 041E0A9, 041E0AB, 041E0AC, 041E0AD, 041E0AF, 041E0AG, 041E0AH, 041E0AJ, 041E0AK, 041E0AP, 041E0AQ, 041E0J9, 041E0JB, 041E0JC, 041E0JD, 041E0JF, 041E0JG, 041E0JH, 041E0JJ, 041E0JK, 041E0JP, 041E0JQ, 041E0K9, 041E0KB, 041E0KC, 041E0KD, 041E0KF, 041E0KG, 041E0KH, 041E0KJ, 041E0KK, 041E0KP, 041E0KQ, 041E0Z9, 041E0ZB, 041E0ZC, 041E0ZD, 041E0ZF, 041E0ZG, 041E0ZH, 041E0ZJ, 041E0ZK, 041E0ZP, 041E0ZQ, 041E499, 041E49B, 041E49C, 041E49D, 041E49F, 041E49G, 041E49H, 041E49J, 041E49K, 041E49P, 041E49Q, 041E4A9, 041E4AB, 041E4AC, 041E4AD, 041E4AF, 041E4AG, 041E4AH, 041E4AJ, 041E4AK, 041E4AP, 041E4AQ, 041E4J9, 041E4JB, 041E4JC, 041E4JD, 041E4JF, 041E4JG, 041E4JH, 041E4JJ, 041E4JK, 041E4JP, 041E4JQ, 041E4K9, 041E4KB, 041E4KC, 041E4KD, 041E4KF, 041E4KG, 041E4KH, 041E4KJ, 041E4KK, 041E4KP, 041E4KQ, 041E4Z9, 041E4ZB, 041E4ZC, 041E4ZD, 041E4ZF, 041E4ZG, 041E4ZH, 041E4ZJ, 041E4ZK, 041E4ZP, 041E4ZQ, 041F099, 041F09B, 041F09C, 041F09D, 041F09F, 041F09G, 041F09H, 041F09J, 041F09K, 041F09P, 041F09Q, 041F0A9, 041F0AB, 041F0AC, 041F0AD, 041F0AF, 041F0AG, 041F0AH, 041F0AJ, 041F0AK, 041F0AP, 041F0AQ, 041F0J9, 041F0JB, 041F0JC, 041F0JD, 041F0JF, 041F0JG, 041F0JH, 041F0JJ, 041F0JK, 041F0JP, 041F0JQ, 041F0K9, 041F0KB, 041F0KC, 041F0KD, 041F0KF, 041F0KG, 041F0KH, 041F0KJ, 041F0KK, 041F0KP, 041F0KQ, 041F0Z9, 041F0ZB, 041F0ZC, 041F0ZD, 041F0ZF, 041F0ZG, 041F0ZH, 041F0ZJ, 041F0ZK, 041F0ZP, 041F0ZQ, 041F499, 041F49B, 041F49C, 041F49D. 041F49F, 041F49G, 041F49H, 041F49J, 041F49K, 041F49P, 041F49Q, 041F4A9, 041F4AB, 041F4AC, 041F4AD, 041F4AF, 041F4AG, 041F4AH, 041F4AJ, 041F4AK, 041F4AP, 041F4AQ, 041F4J9, 041F4JB, 041F4JC, 041F4JD, 041F4JF, 041F4JG, 041F4JH, 041F4JJ, 041F4JK, 041F4JP. 041F4JQ. 041F4K9. 041F4KB. 041F4KC. 041F4KD. 041F4KF. 041F4KG, 041F4KH, 041F4KJ, 041F4KK, 041F4KP, 041F4KQ, 041F4Z9, 041F4ZB, 041F4ZC, 041F4ZD, 041F4ZF, 041F4ZG, 041F4ZH, 041F4ZJ, 041F4ZK. 041F4ZP. 041F4ZQ. 041H099. 041H09B. 041H09C. 041H09D. 041H09F, 041H09G, 041H09H, 041H09J, 041H09K, 041H09P, 041H09Q, 041H0A9, 041H0AB, 041H0AC, 041H0AD, 041H0AF, 041H0AG, 041H0AH, 041H0AJ, 041H0AK, 041H0AP, 041H0AQ, 041H0J9, 041H0JB, 041H0JC, 041H0JD, 041H0JF, 041H0JG, 041H0JH, 041H0JJ, 041H0JK, 041H0JP, 041H0JQ, 041H0K9, 041H0KB, 041H0KC, 041H0KD, 041H0KF, 041H0KG, 041H0KH, 041H0KJ,

041H0KK, 041H0KP, 041H0KQ, 041H0Z9, 041H0ZB, 041H0ZC, 041H0ZD. 041H0ZF. 041H0ZG. 041H0ZH. 041H0ZJ. 041H0ZK. 041H0ZP, 041H0ZQ, 041H499, 041H49B, 041H49C, 041H49D, 041H49F, 041H49G, 041H49H, 041H49J, 041H49K, 041H49P, 041H49Q, 041H4A9, 041H4AB, 041H4AC, 041H4AD, 041H4AF. 041H4AG, 041H4AH, 041H4AJ, 041H4AK, 041H4AP, 041H4AQ, 041H4J9, 041H4JB, 041H4JC, 041H4JD, 041H4JF, 041H4JG, 041H4JH, 041H4JJ, 041H4JK, 041H4JP, 041H4JQ, 041H4K9, 041H4KB, 041H4KC, 041H4KD, 041H4KF, 041H4KG, 041H4KH, 041H4KJ, 041H4KK, 041H4KP, 041H4KQ, 041H4Z9, 041H4ZB, 041H4ZC, 041H4ZD, 041H4ZF, 041H4ZG, 041H4ZH, 041H4ZJ, 041H4ZK, 041H4ZP, 041H4ZQ, 041J099, 041J09B, 041J09C, 041J09D, 041J09F, 041J09G, 041J09H, 041J09J, 041J09K, 041J09P, 041J09Q, 041J0A9, 041J0AB, 041J0AC, 041J0AD, 041J0AF, 041J0AG, 041J0AH, 041J0AJ, 041J0AK, 041J0AP, 041J0AQ, 041J0J9, 041J0JB, 041J0JC, 041J0JD, 041J0JF, 041J0JG, 041J0JH, 041J0JJ, 041J0JK, 041J0JP, 041J0JQ, 041J0K9, 041J0KB, 041J0KC, 041J0KD, 041J0KF, 041J0KG, 041J0KH, 041J0KJ, 041J0KK, 041J0KP, 041J0KQ, 041J0Z9, 041J0ZB, 041J0ZC, 041J0ZD, 041J0ZF, 041J0ZG, 041J0ZH, 041J0ZJ, 041J0ZK, 041J0ZP, 041J0ZQ, 041J499, 041J49B, 041J49C, 041J49D, 041J49F, 041J49G, 041J49H, 041J49J, 041J49K, 041J49P, 041J49Q, 041J4A9, 041J4AB, 041J4AC, 041J4AD, 041J4AF, 041J4AG, 041J4AH, 041J4AJ, 041J4AK, 041J4AP, 041J4AQ, 041J4J9, 041J4JB, 041J4JC, 041J4JD, 041J4JF, 041J4JH, 041J4JJ, 041J4JK, 041J4JP, 041J4JQ, 041J4K9, 041J4KB, 041J4KC, 041J4KD, 041J4KF, 041J4KG, 041J4KH, 041J4KJ, 041J4KK, 041J4KP, 041J4KQ, 041J4Z9, 041J4ZB, 041J4ZC, 041J4ZD, 041J4ZF, 041J4ZG, 041J4ZH, 041J4ZJ, 041J4ZK, 041J4ZP, 041J4ZQ, 041K09H, 041K09J, 041K09K, 041K09L, 041K09M, 041K09N, 041K09P, 041K09Q, 041K0AH, 041K0AJ, 041K0AK, 041K0AL, 041K0AM, 041K0AN, 041K0AP, 041K0AQ, 041K0JH, 041K0JJ, 041K0JK, 041K0JL, 041K0JM, 041K0JN, 041K0JP, 041K0JQ, 041K0KH, 041K0KJ, 041K0KK, 041K0KL, 041K0KM, 041K0KN, 041K0KP, 041K0KQ, 041K0ZH, 041K0ZJ, 041K0ZK, 041K0ZL, 041K0ZM, 041K0ZN, 041K0ZP, 041K0ZQ, 041K49H, 041K49J, 041K49K, 041K49L, 041K49M, 041K49N, 041K49P, 041K49Q, 041K4AH, 041K4AJ, 041K4AK, 041K4AL, 041K4AM, 041K4AN. 041K4AP. 041K4AQ. 041K4JH. 041K4JJ. 041K4JK. 041K4JL. 041K4JM, 041K4JN, 041K4JP, 041K4JQ, 041K4KH, 041K4KJ, 041K4KK, 041K4KL, 041K4KM, 041K4KN, 041K4KP, 041K4KQ, 041K4ZH, 041K4ZJ, 041K4ZK, 041K4ZL, 041K4ZM, 041K4ZN, 041K4ZP, 041K4ZQ, 041L09H, 041L09J, 041L09K, 041L09L, 041L09M, 041L09N, 041L09P, 041L09Q, 041L0AH, 041L0AJ, 041L0AK, 041L0AL, 041L0AM, 041L0AN, 041L0AP, 041L0AQ, 041L0JH, 041L0JJ, 041L0JK, 041L0JL, 041L0JM, 041L0JN, 041L0JP, 041L0JQ, 041L0KH, 041L0KJ, 041L0KK, 041L0KL, 041L0KM, 041L0KN, 041L0KP, 041L0KQ, 041L0ZH, 041L0ZJ, 041L0ZK, 041L0ZL, 041L0ZM, 041L0ZN, 041L0ZP, 041L0ZQ, 041L49H, 041L49J, 041L49K, 041L49L, 041L49M, 041L49N, 041L49P, 041L49Q, 041L4AH. 041L4AJ. 041L4AK. 041L4AL. 041L4AM. 041L4AN. 041L4AP. 041L4AQ, 041L4JH, 041L4JJ, 041L4JK, 041L4JL, 041L4JM, 041L4JN, 041L4JP, 041L4JQ, 041L4KH, 041L4KJ, 041L4KK, 041L4KL, 041L4KM, 041L4KN, 041L4KP, 041L4KQ, 041L4ZH, 041L4ZJ, 041L4ZK, 041L4ZL, 041L4ZM, 041L4ZN, 041L4ZP, 041L4ZQ, 041M09L, 041M09M, 041M09P, 041M09Q, 041M0AL, 041M0AM, 041M0AP, 041M0AQ, 041M0JL, 041M0JM, 041M0JP, 041M0JQ, 041M0KL, 041M0KM, 041M0KP, 041M0KQ, 041M0ZL, 041M0ZM, 041M0ZP, 041M0ZQ, 041M49L, 041M49M, 041M49P, 041M49Q, 041M4AL, 041M4AM, 041M4AP, 041M4AQ, 041M4JL, 041M4JM, 041M4JP, 041M4JQ,

041M4KL, 041M4KM, 041M4KP, 041M4KQ, 041M4ZL, 041M4ZM, 041M4ZP, 041M4ZQ, 041N09L, 041N09M, 041N09P, 041N09Q, 041N0AL, 041N0AM, 041N0AP, 041N0AQ, 041N0JL, 041N0JM, 041N0JP, 041N0JQ, 041N0KL, 041N0KM, 041N0KP, 041N0KQ, 041N0ZL. 041N0ZM. 041N0ZP. 041N0ZQ. 041N49L. 041N49M. 041N49P, 041N49Q, 041N4AL, 041N4AM, 041N4AP, 041N4AQ, 041N4JL, 041N4JM, 041N4JP, 041N4JQ, 041N4KL, 041N4KM, 041N4KP, 041N4KQ, 041N4ZL, 041N4ZM, 041N4ZP, 041N4ZQ, 041T09P, 041T09Q, 041T0AP, 041T0AQ, 041T0JP, 041T0JQ, 041T0KP, 041T0KQ, 041T0ZP, 041T0ZQ, 041T49P, 041T49Q, 041T4AP, 041T4AQ, 041T4JP, 041T4JQ, 041T4KP, 041T4KQ, 041T4ZP, 041T4ZQ, 041U09P, 041U09Q, 041U0AP, 041U0AQ, 041U0JP, 041U0JQ, 041U0KP, 041U0KQ, 041U0ZP, 041U0ZQ, 041U49P. 041U49Q, 041U4AP, 041U4AQ, 041U4JP, 041U4JQ, 041U4KP 041U4KQ, 041U4ZP, 041U4ZQ, 041V09P, 041V09Q, 041V0AP, 041V0AQ, 041V0JP, 041V0JQ, 041V0KP, 041V0KQ, 041V0ZP, 041V0ZQ, 041V49P, 041V49Q, 041V4AP, 041V4AQ, 041V4JP, 041V4JQ, 041V4KP, 041V4KQ, 041V4ZP, 041V4ZQ, 041W09P, 041W09Q, 041W0AP, 041W0AQ, 041W0JP, 041W0JQ, 041W0KP, 041W0KQ, 041W0ZP, 041W0ZQ, 041W49P, 041W49Q, 041W4AP, 041W4AQ, 041W4JP, 041W4JQ, 041W4KP, 041W4KQ, 041W4ZP, 041W4ZQ, 04BK0ZZ, 04BK3ZZ, 04BK4ZZ, 04BL0ZZ, 04BL3ZZ, 04BL4ZZ, 04BM0ZZ, 04BM3ZZ, 04BM4ZZ, 04BN0ZZ, 04BN3ZZ, 04BN4ZZ, 04BP0ZZ, 04BP3ZZ, 04BP4ZZ, 04BQ0ZZ, 04BQ3ZZ, 04BQ4ZZ, 04BR0ZZ, 04BR3ZZ, 04BR4ZZ, 04BS0ZZ, 04BS3ZZ, 04BS4ZZ, 04BT0ZZ, 04BT3ZZ, 04BT4ZZ, 04BU0ZZ, 04BU3ZZ, 04BU4ZZ, 04BV0ZZ, 04BV3ZZ, 04BV4ZZ, 04BW0ZZ, 04BW3ZZ, 04BW4ZZ, 04BY0ZZ, 04BY3ZZ, 04BY4ZZ, 04CC0ZZ, 04CC3ZZ, 04CC4ZZ, 04CD0ZZ, 04CD3ZZ, 04CD4ZZ, 04CE0ZZ, 04CE3ZZ, 04CE4ZZ, 04CF0ZZ, 04CF3ZZ, 04CF4ZZ, 04CH0ZZ, 04CH3ZZ, 04CH4ZZ, 04CJ0ZZ, 04CJ3ZZ, 04CJ4ZZ, 04CK0Z6, 04CK0ZZ, 04CK3ZZ, 04CK4Z6, 04CK4ZZ, 04CL0Z6, 04CL0ZZ, 04CL3ZZ, 04CL4Z6, 04CL4ZZ, 04CM0Z6, 04CM0ZZ, 04CM3ZZ, 04CM4Z6, 04CM4ZZ, 04CN0Z6, 04CN0ZZ, 04CN3ZZ, 04CN4Z6, 04CN4ZZ, 04CP0Z6, 04CP0ZZ, 04CP3ZZ, 04CP4Z6, 04CP4ZZ, 04CQ0Z6, 04CQ0ZZ, 04CQ3ZZ, 04CQ4Z6, 04CQ4ZZ, 04CR0Z6, 04CR0ZZ, 04CR3ZZ, 04CR4Z6, 04CR4ZZ, 04CS0Z6, 04CS0ZZ, 04CS3ZZ, 04CS4Z6, 04CS4ZZ, 04CT0Z6, 04CT0ZZ, 04CT3ZZ, 04CT4Z6, 04CT4ZZ, 04CU0Z6, 04CU0ZZ, 04CU3ZZ, 04CU4Z6, 04CU4ZZ, 04CV0Z6, 04CV0ZZ, 04CV3ZZ, 04CV4Z6, 04CV4ZZ, 04CW0Z6, 04CW0ZZ, 04CW3ZZ, 04CW4Z6, 04CW4ZZ, 04CY0Z6, 04CY0ZZ, 04CY3ZZ, 04CY4Z6, 04CY4ZZ, 04HY02Z, 04HY42Z, 04PY0YZ, 04PY3YZ, 04PY4YZ, 04RK07Z, 04RK0JZ, 04RK0KZ, 04RK47Z, 04RK4JZ, 04RK4KZ, 04RL07Z, 04RL0JZ, 04RL0KZ, 04RL47Z, 04RL4JZ, 04RL4KZ, 04RM07Z, 04RM0JZ, 04RM0KZ, 04RM47Z, 04RM4JZ, 04RM4KZ, 04RN07Z, 04RN0JZ, 04RN0KZ, 04RN47Z, 04RN4JZ, 04RN4KZ, 04RP07Z, 04RP0JZ, 04RP0KZ, 04RP47Z, 04RP4JZ, 04RP4KZ, 04RQ07Z, 04RQ0JZ, 04RQ0KZ, 04RQ47Z, 04RQ4JZ, 04RQ4KZ, 04RR07Z, 04RR0JZ, 04RR0KZ, 04RR47Z, 04RR4JZ, 04RR4KZ. 04RS07Z. 04RS0JZ. 04RS0KZ. 04RS47Z. 04RS4JZ. 04RS4KZ, 04RT07Z, 04RT0JZ, 04RT0KZ, 04RT47Z, 04RT4JZ, 04RT4KZ, 04RU07Z, 04RU0JZ, 04RU0KZ, 04RU47Z, 04RU4JZ, 04RU4KZ, 04RV07Z, 04RV0JZ, 04RV0KZ, 04RV47Z, 04RV4JZ, 04RV4KZ, 04RW07Z, 04RW0JZ, 04RW0KZ, 04RW47Z, 04RW4JZ, 04RW4KZ, 04RY07Z, 04RY0JZ, 04RY0KZ, 04RY47Z, 04RY4JZ, 04RY4KZ, 04WY0YZ, 04WY3YZ, 04WY4YZ, 313096, 313097, 313098,

313099.

CPT procedure codes for peripheral surgical revascularization: 35302, 35303, 35304, 35305, 35351, 35355, 35361, 35363, 35371, 35372, 35381, 35480, 35481, 35482, 35483, 35485, 35521, 35537, 35538, 35539, 35540, 35541, 35546, 35548, 35549, 35551, 35556, 35558, 35563, 35565, 35566, 35570, 35571, 35583, 35585, 35587, 35621, 35623, 35641, 35646, 35647, 35651, 35654, 35656, 35661, 35663, 35665, 35666, 35671, 35875, 35876.

ICD9 procedure codes for thrombolysis: 99.10.

ICD10 procedure codes for thrombolysis: 3E03317, 3E04317, 3E05317, 3E06317, 3E08317.

CPT procedure codes for thrombolysis: 37184, 37211, 37213.

ICD9 diagnosis codes for acute myocardial infarction: 410.x0, 410.x1.

ICD10 diagnosis codes for acute myocardial infarction: I21.x, I21.xx.

ICD9 diagnosis codes for ischemic stroke: 433.x1, 434.x1.

ICD10 diagnosis codes for ischemic stroke: I63, I63.x, I63.xx, I63.xxx.

ICD9 diagnosis code for pulmonary embolism: 415.1x.

ICD10 diagnosis codes for pulmonary embolism: T80.0XXA, T81.718A, T81.72XA, T82.817A, T82.818A, I26.90, I26.99.

ICD9 procedure codes for lower extremity amputation above the ankle: 84.13, 84.14, 84.15, 84.16, 84.17.

ICD10 procedure codes for lower extremity amputation above the ankle: 0Y6M0Z0, 0Y6N0Z0, 0Y6H0Z3, 0Y6J0Z3, 0Y670ZZ, 0Y680ZZ, 0Y6C0Z1, 0Y6C0Z3, 0Y6D0Z1, 0Y6D0Z2, 0Y6D0Z3, 0Y6F0ZZ, 0Y6G0ZZ, 0Y6H0Z1, 0Y6H0Z2, 0Y6J0Z1, 0Y6J0Z2, 0Y620ZZ, 0Y630ZZ, 0Y640ZZ.

CPT procedure codes for lower extremity amputation above the ankle: 27590, 27591, 27592, 27598, 27880, 27881, 27882, 27888.

ICD9 diagnosis code for traumatic amputation of a leg: 897.x.

ICD10 diagnosis codes for traumatic amputation of a leg: S78.xxxA, S88.xxxA, where xxx can be any 3-digit number.

ICD9 diagnosis codes for peripheral artery disease: 440.2, 440.20, 440.21, 440.22, 440.23, 440.24, 440.29, 440.3, 440.30, 440.31, 440.32, 440.4, 443.9.

ICD10 diagnosis codes for peripheral artery disease: I70.2, I70.20, I70.201, I70.202, I70.203, I70.208, I70.209, I70.21, I70.211, I70.212, I70.213, I70.213, I70.219, I70.22, I70.221, I70.222, I70.223, I70.228, I70.229, I70.23, I70.231, I70.232, I70.233, I70.234, I70.235, I70.238, I70.239, I70.239, I70.241, I70.242, I70.243, I70.244, I70.245, I70.248,

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 88 of 213 PageID# 13276

170.249, 170.25, 170.26, 170.261, 170.262, 170.263, 170.268, 170.269, 170.29, 170.291, 170.292, 170.293, 170.298, 170.299, 170.3, 170.30, 170.301, 170.302, 170.303, 170.308, 170.309, 170.31, 170.311, 170.312, 170.313, 170.318, 170.319, 170.32, 170.321, 170.322, 170.323, 170.328, 170.329, 170.33. 170.331. 170.332. 170.333. 170.334. 170.335. 170.338. 170.339. 170.34, 170.341, 170.342, 170.343, 170.344, 170.345, 170.348, 170.349, 170.35, 170.36, 170.361, 170.362, 170.363, 170.368, 170.369, 170.39, 170.391, 170.392, 170.393, 170.398, 170.399, 170.4, 170.40, 170.401, 170.402, 170.403, 170.408, 170.409, 170.41, 170.411, 170.412, 170.413, 170.418, 170.419, 170.42, 170.421, 170.422, 170.423, 170.428, 170.429, 170.43, 170.431, 170.432, 170.433, 170.434, 170.435, 170.438, 170.439, 170.44, 170.441, 170.442, 170.443, 170.444, 170.445, 170.448, 170.449, 170.45, 170.46, 170.461, 170.462, 170.463, 170.468, 170.469, 170.49, 170.491, 170.492, 170.493, 170.498, 170.499, 170.5, 170.50, 170.501, 170.502, 170.503, 170.508, 170.509, 170.51, 170.511, 170.512, 170.513, 170.518, 170.519, 170.52, 170.521, 170.522, 170.523, 170.528, 170.529, 170.53, 170.531, 170.532, 170.533, 170.534, 170.535, 170.538, 170.539, 170.54, 170.541, 170.542, 170.543, 170.544, 170.545, 170.548, 170.549, 170.55, 170.56, 170.561, 170.56, 170.562, 170.563, 170.568, 170.569, 170.59, 170.591, 170.592, 170.593, 170.598, 170.599, 170.6, 170.60, 170.601, 170.602, 170.603, 170.608, 170.609, 170.61, 170.611, 170.612, 170.613, 170.618, 170.619, 170.62, 170.621, 170.622, 170.623, 170.628, 170.629, 170.63, 170.631, 170.632, 170.633, 170.634, 170.635, 170.638, 170.639, 170.64, 170.641, 170.642, 170.643, 170.644, 170.645, 170.648, 170.649, 170.65, 170.66, 170.661, 170.662, 170.663, 170.668, 170.669, 170.69, 170.691, 170.692, 170.693, 170.698, 170.699, 170.7, 170.70, 170.701, 170.702, 170.703, 170.708, 170.709, 170.71, 170.711, 170.712, 170.713, 170.718, 170.719, 170.72, 170.721, 170.722, 170.723, 170.728, 170.729, 170.73, 170.731, 170.732, 170.733, 170.734, 170.735, 170.738, 170.739, 170.74, 170.741, 170.742, 170.743, 170.744, 170.745, 170.748, 170.749, 170.75, 170.76, 170.761, 170.762, 170.763, 170.768, 170.769, 170.79, 170.791, 170.792, 170.793, 170.798, 170.799, 170.92, 173.9.

CPT: current procedural terminology; ICD-9: international classification of diseases, ninth revision; ICD-10: international classification of diseases, tenth revision.

		)						
by beneficiary characteris	tics in the Market	<u>Scan data</u>	abase.					
	ASCVD HR (95% CI)	p-value*	Myocardial infa HR (95% CI)	arction p-value*	Stroke HR (95% CI)	p-value*	LEAD HR (95% CI)	p-valuê
Age, years		-		-		-		
19-44	1.78 (1.40, 2.26)		1.60 (1.18, 2.16)		2.10 (1.37, 3.21)		1.96 (0.74, 5.18)	
45-54	1.24 (1.08, 1.42)	0.04	1.25 (1.06, 1.47)	0.36	1.10 (0.83, 1.46)	0.08	1.46 (0.93, 2.30)	080
55-64	1.23 (1.07, 1.40)	5	1.17 (0.99, 1.38)	0.0	1.34 (1.05, 1.72)	0.00	1.39 (0.90, 2.16)	5
≥65	1.17 (0.93, 1.48)		1.24 (0.94, 1.66)		1.12 (0.73, 1.73)		1.04 (0.48, 2.23)	
Calendar year								
2011	1.28 (1.15, 1.43)		1.23 (1.08, 1.41)		1.34 (1.09, 1.65)		1.52 (1.06, 2.18)	
2012	1.33 (1.08, 1.63)		1.33 (1.04, 1.70)		1.14 (0.76, 1.69)		1.49 (0.70, 3.19)	•
2013	1.15 (0.87, 1.52)	77 0	1.04 (0.74, 1.48)	010	1.38 (0.82, 2.32)		1.42 (0.57, 3.53)	+
2014	1.32 (0.96, 1.81)	0.44	1.35 (0.91, 2.03)	0.10	1.58 (0.92, 2.72)	0.34	0.60 (0.17, 2.07)	_
2015	1.72 (1.25, 2.36)		2.12 (1.43, 3.14)		1.18 (0.64, 2.17)		1.82 (0.71, 4.70)	
2016	1.02 (0.57, 1.85)		0.93 (0.44, 1.97)		1.17 (0.39, 3.51)			
Men	1.30 (1.19, 1.42)		1.27 (1.14, 1.42)	V 7 A	1.32 (1.11, 1.56)	0 60	1.45 (1.08, 1.93)	
Women	1.27 (0.99, 1.62)	0.03	1.20 (0.87, 1.64)	4	1.47 (0.97, 2.25)	20.0	1.13 (0.46, 2.75)	51
Region of residence								32
Northeast	1.24 (1.03, 1.49)		1.21 (0.97, 1.52)		1.23 (0.87, 1.74)		0.98 (0.50, 1.92)	77
North central	1.25 (1.03, 1.51)	0.07	1.21 (0.96, 1.53)	0 81	1.39 (0.97, 1.98)	0 8.0	1.56 (0.77, 3.16)	020
South	1.33 (1.18, 1.51)	0.0	1.30 (1.11, 1.52)	-0.0	1.29 (1.01, 1.63)	20.0	1.65 (1.13, 2.41)	
West	1.29 (1.04, 1.59)		1.36 (1.06, 1.74)		1.50 (0.99, 2.26)		0.73 (0.31, 1.74)	
History of CHD	1.35 (1.13, 1.63)	0.56	1.38 (1.12, 1.71)	0.33	1.26 (0.82, 1.93)	0.81	1.22 (0.66, 2.25)	0 576
Without history of CHD	1.26 (1.15, 1.39)	0.0	1.21 (1.08, 1.36)	0.0	1.33 (1.12, 1.57)		1.46 (1.07, 1.98)	5
Diabetes	1.17 (1.00, 1.38)	0.26	1.21 (0.99, 1.48)	0.60	1.22 (0.90, 1.65)	0 7 0	0.74 (0.41, 1.32)	0 010
Without diabetes	1.32 (1.20, 1.45)	04.0	1.27 (1.13, 1.43)	00.0	1.31 (1.09, 1.58)	0	1.73 (1.26, 2.38)	5
Stroke	0.99 (0.63, 1.56)	0 27	1.07 (0.49, 2.31)	0.62	0.89 (0.49, 1.61)	0 2 0	2.19 (0.47, 10.15)	0.53
Without stroke	1.30 (1.20, 1.42)		1.28 (1.16, 1.42)		1.34 (1.14, 1.58)		1.40 (1.06, 1.86)	5
Peripheral artery disease	1.19 (0.74, 1.93)	0.71	0.63 (0.29, 1.39)	0.08	3.97 (0.86, 18.27)	0.19	1.55 (0.79, 3.04)	0.715
Without peripheral artery disease	1.29 (1.19, 1.41)		1.29 (1.16, 1.42)	)	1.29 (1.10, 1.52)	)	1.33 (0.98, 1.80)	5
Heart failure	1.62 (1.08, 2.43)	0.25	1.53 (0.94, 2.49)	0.44	1.73 (0.70, 4.29)	0.53	0.88 (0.28, 2.81)	0.44
Without heart failure	1.27 (1.17, 1.38)		1.25 (1.13, 1.39)		1.30 (1.10, 1.52)	)	1.40 (1.05, 1.87)	
Chronic kidney disease	1.19 (0.94, 1.50)	0.50	1.01 (0.77, 1.33)	0.11	1.64 (1.04, 2.58)	0.33	1.09 (0.50, 2.37)	0.48
Vulthout chronic klaney alsease	1.29 (1.18, 1.41)		1.29 (1.10, 1.44)		(1.6.1, 0.0.1) 1.2.1		1.49 (1.11, 1.99)	`
Liver disease Without liver disease	0.97 (0.56, 1.69) 1.30 (1.20, 1.42)	0.27	1.25 (0.01, 2.54) 1.28 (1.15, 1.41)	0.93	0.76 (0.22, 2.62) 1.32 (1.13, 1.54)	0.40	0.18 (0.03, 0.98) 1.50 (1.13, 1.98)	0.01
Cardiologist care	1.49 (1.09, 2.03)		1.44 (1.00, 2.08)		1.74 (0.90, 3.37)		1.28 (0.46, 3.56)	
Without cardiologist care	1.28 (1.17, 1.39)	U.30	1.26 (1.13, 1.40)	0.47	1.28 (1.09, 1.50)	0.39	1.38 (1.04, 1.84)	0.8.0

0.23	0.18		0.79	0,40	5	0.18		0.48			0.60	ounio	132	78	1 liou	00,00,20	i ug
1.04 (0.63, 1.71) 1.53 (1.10, 2.12)	0.98 (0.55, 1.76)	1.33 (1.12, 2.09) 2.01 (1.01, 4.00)	1.33 (0.98, 1.80)	1.17 (0.81, 1.69)	1.71 (1.15, 2.55)	1.24 (0.88, 1.74)	1.62 (1.10, 2.67) 1.59 (1.11-2.28)	1.07 (0.63, 1.83)	1.38 (0.66, 2.89)	1.21 (0.63, 2.32)	1.45 (1.07, 1.97)	leficiency virus;	eral artery olypharmacy,		l beneficiaries in		
0.02	0.42		0.92	0 21		0.99		0.88		0 1 0	0.76	immunod	ke, periph cco use, p		teristics. up among		
1.77 (1.27, 2.46) 1.16 (0.97, 1.40)	1.18 (0.86, 1.63) 1.25 (1.12, 1.62)	1.25 (0.75, 2.10)	1.30 (1.10, 1.53)	1.14 (0.91, 1.44)	1.41 (1.14, 1.74)	1.26 (1.02, 1.55)	1.27 (0.39, 1.02) 1.33 (1.00, 1.61)	1.25 (0.92, 1.68)	1.16 (0.64, 2.11)	1.22 (0.80, 1.86)	1.30 (1.10, 1.54)	e interval; HIV: human	of CHD, diabetes, strol titon, depression, toba medication use		by beneficiary charact lizations during follow-		
0.24	0.63		0.83	0.25	04.0	0.34		0.66			0.24	confidence	e, history ( lospitaliza -lowering		s defined D hospita		
1.40 (1.13, 1.73) 1.20 (1.07, 1.35)	1.23 (1.00, 1.51) 1.20 (1.16, 1.46)	1.30 (1.10, 1.40) 1.32 (0.94, 1.85)	1.26 (1.14, 1.40)	1.18 (1.02, 1.37)	1.31 (1.14, 1.51)	1.28 (1.13, 1.46)	1.10(0.39, 1.37)	1.32 (1.10, 1.59)	1.18 (0.88, 1.60)	1.11 (0.87, 1.41)	1.30 (1.16, 1.45)	ry heart disease; CI: c	ic region of residence ardiologist care, any h v and non-statin linid-		tion across subgroup: Ily, there were 6 LEAI		
0.04	0.18		10.0	0.06	0.0	0.63		0.84			0.23	): coronal se.	geograph isease, ca n intensity		HIV infec Specifical		
1.47 (1.24, 1.75) 1.20 (1.09, 1.32)	1.18 (1.00, 1.40)	1.34 (1.22, 1.47) 1.39 (1.07, 1.80)	1.28 (1.17, 1.39)	1.17 (1.03, 1.32)	1.36 (1.22, 1.52)	1.27 (1.14, 1.42)	1.23 (1.06, 1.40) 1.26 (1.13, 1.40)	1.29 (1.11, 1.51)	1.18 (0.91, 1.53)	1.14 (0.93, 1.40)	1.31 (1.20, 1.43)	vascular disease; CHI extremity artery disea	e, sex, calendar year, cidney disease, liver di e statin use and stati	<u>,</u>	vents associated with all number of events.		
Prior hospitalization Without prior hospitalization	Depression	without depression Tobacco use	No tobacco use	Polypharmacy	Without polypharmacy	Antihypertensive medication use	No anunypertensive meaicauon use No statio use	Low/moderate-intensity statin use	High-intensity statin use	Non-statin lipid lowering medication use	No non-statin lipid lowering medication use	ASCVD: atherosclerotic cardio HR: hazard ratio; LEAD: lower	HRs include adjustment for age disease, heart failure, chronic k antihypertensive medication us		* Comparing HR for outcome e † Data not shown given the srr 2016.		

	Taking	statins	Not taking	g statins
	Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries	Beneficiaries
	without HIV	with HIV	without HIV	with HIV
	(n=53.842)	(n=15.619)	(n=275 862)	(n=66.807)
Age vers $n(\%)$	(11 00,012)	(11 10,010)	(11 210,002)	(11 00,001)
10-11	5 223 (0 7%)	2 100 (14 0%)	135 377 (/0 1%)	32 060 (40 3%)
15-44	3,223(3.770)	2,130(14.070)	05,377(+3.170)	32,300(+3.370)
40-04	22,741(42.2%)	0,742 (43.270)	90,731(34.770)	22,070(34.270)
	20,732(38.5%)	5,454(34.9%)	39,590 (14.4%)	9,028 (14.4%)
205	5,146 (9.6%)	1,233 (7.9%)	5,158 (1.9%)	1,343 (2.0%)
Calendar year, n (%)				
2011	24,412 (45.3%)	7,558 (48.4%)	112,104 (40.6%)	26,571 (39.8%)
2012	8,423 (15.6%)	2,366 (15.1%)	44,821 (16.2%)	10,945 (16.4%)
2013	5,467 (10.2%)	1,509 (9.7%)	31,049 (11.3%)	7,620 (11.4%)
2014	5,521 (10.3%)	1,442 (9.2%)	31,311 (11.4%)	7,766 (11.6%)
2015	4,706 (8.7%)	1,303 (8.3%)	27,386 (9.9%)	6,720 (10.1%)
2016	5,313 (9.9%)	1,441 (9.2%)	29,191 (10.6%)	7,185 (10.8%)
Male sex, n (%)	47,810 (88.8%)	13,650 (87.4%)	228,738 (82.9%)	55,487 (83.1%)
Geographic region of residence, n (%)				
Northeast	10.114 (18.8%)	3.027 (19.4%)	51,405 (18,6%)	12.303 (18.4%)
North central	12,756 (23,7%)	1,990 (12,7%)	62,688 (22,7%)	9,196 (13,8%)
South	21 658 (40 2%)	6 700 (42 9%)	10 4873 (38 0%)	31 555 (47 2%)
West	8 746 (16 2%)	3 671 (23 5%)	54 003 (19 6%)	12 886 (19 3%)
Linknown	568 (1 1%)	231 (1 5%)	2 803 (1 0%)	867 (1 3%)
History of CHD n (%)	7 370 (13 7%)	1 005 (12 2%)	2,000 (1.0%)	Q10 (1.0%)
Diabatos $n (%)$	14 571 (27 1%)	2 252 (20 8%)	2,334 (0.070)	2 /11 (5 1%)
History of stroke %	547 (27.170)	3,233(20.070)	260 (0.1%)	221 (0 50/)
History of parinharol artery diagona n (0/)	347(1.0%)	203 (1.770)	300(0.1%)	331(0.3%)
History of peripheral aftery disease, fr (%)	333(0.7%)	155(1.0%)	220 (0.1%)	100 (0.2%)
History of neart failure, n (%)	585 (1.1%)	2/3 (1./%)	419 (0.2%)	427 (0.6%)
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	2,245 (4.2%)	1,218 (7.8%)	2,384 (0.9%)	2,631 (3.9%)
Liver disease, n (%)	267 (0.5%)	340 (2.2%)	1,028 (0.4%)	1,985 (3.0%)
Cardiologist care, n (%)	2,728 (5.1%)	1,229 (7.9%)	3,899 (1.4%)	1,578 (2.4%)
Any hospitalization, n (%)	4,054 (7.5%)	1,884 (12.1%)	8,927 (3.2%)	8,244 (12.3%)
Depression, n (%)	10,635 (19.8%)	4,949 (31.7%)	28,744 (10.4%)	14,720 (22.0%)
Tobacco use, n (%)	2,577 (4.8%)	1,038 (6.6%)	6,841 (2.5%)	4,602 (6.9%)
Polypharmacy, n (%)	15,515 (28.8%)	8,611 (55.1%)	17,368 (6.3%)	18,991 (28.4%)
Antihypertensive medication use, n (%)	35,045 (65.1%)	8,762 (56.1%)	42,688 (15.5%)	14,978 (22.4%)
Statin use, n (%)				
No statin use	-	-	275,862 (100%)	66,807 (100%)
Low/moderate-intensity statin use	44.421 (82.5%)	12.049 (77.1%)	-	-
High-intensity statin use	9,421 (17,5%)	3,570 (22,9%)	-	-
Non-statin lipid-lowering medication use n (%)	9 266 (17 2%)	3,599 (23,0%)	5 478 (2 0%)	2 959 (4 4%)
ART use n (%)	-	15 243 (97 6%)	-	63 852 (95 6%)
NRTIS	_	6 672 ( <i>J</i> 7%)	-	34 700 (51 0%)
NNIDTI	-	6 768 (12 20/)	-	20.607 (11.5%)
Drotogog inhibitors	-	0,100 (40.070) 1 000 (07 10/)	-	29,091 (44.070)
	-	4,220 (21.1%)	-	10,400 (24.7%)
Other	-	3,043 (19.5%)	-	14,847 (22.2%)

# Table S5. Characteristics of beneficiaries with HIV and age, sex and calendar year-matched beneficiaries without HIV stratified by statin use in the MarketScan database.

ART: antiretroviral therapy; CHD: coronary heart disease; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; NNRTI: non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; NRTI: nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Other ART includes fusion inhibitors, entry inhibitors, integrase strand transfer inhibitors, and pharmacokinetic enhancers.

HR (95% Cl)p-value*HR (95% Cl)p-value*Age, yearsp-value*HR (95% Cl)p-value*19-441.36 (0.79, 2.33)1.80 (1.38, 2.36)45-541.43 (1.13, 1.80)0.761.14 (0.97, 1.35)55-641.20 (0.99, 1.47)0.761.23 (1.03, 1.48) $\geq 65$ 1.26 (0.91, 1.74)1.06 (0.75, 1.50)Calendar year20111.29 (1.09, 1.53)1.23 (1.07, 1.42)20121.24 (0.89, 1.72)1.30 (1.00, 1.69)20131.38 (0.87, 2.19)>0.990.92 (0.64, 1.33)20141.17 (0.70, 1.97)>0.991.36 (0.90, 2.04)20151.24 (0.65, 2.35)1.93 (1.33, 2.80)0.08
Age, years1.36 (0.79, 2.33)1.80 (1.38, 2.36) $45-54$ $1.43 (1.13, 1.80)$ $0.76$ $1.14 (0.97, 1.35)$ $55-64$ $1.20 (0.99, 1.47)$ $1.23 (1.03, 1.48)$ $0.03$ $\geq 65$ $1.26 (0.91, 1.74)$ $1.06 (0.75, 1.50)$ Calendar year $1.29 (1.09, 1.53)$ $1.23 (1.07, 1.42)$ $2011$ $1.29 (1.09, 1.53)$ $1.30 (1.00, 1.69)$ $2012$ $1.38 (0.87, 2.19)$ $0.99$ $2014$ $1.17 (0.70, 1.97)$ $0.99$ $2015$ $1.24 (0.65, 2.35)$ $1.93 (1.33, 2.80)$ $2016$ $1.29 (0.49, 3.36)$ $0.74 (0.33, 1.63)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$45-54$ $1.43 (1.13, 1.80)$ $0.76$ $1.14 (0.97, 1.35)$ $0.03$ $55-64$ $1.20 (0.99, 1.47)$ $1.23 (1.03, 1.48)$ $0.03$ $\geq 65$ $1.26 (0.91, 1.74)$ $1.06 (0.75, 1.50)$ Calendar year $1.29 (1.09, 1.53)$ $1.23 (1.07, 1.42)$ $2012$ $1.24 (0.89, 1.72)$ $1.30 (1.00, 1.69)$ $2013$ $1.38 (0.87, 2.19)$ $>0.99$ $2014$ $1.17 (0.70, 1.97)$ $>0.99$ $2015$ $1.24 (0.65, 2.35)$ $1.93 (1.33, 2.80)$ $2016$ $1.29 (0.49, 3.36)$ $0.74 (0.33, 1.63)$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Calendar year       1.29 (1.09, 1.53)       1.23 (1.07, 1.42)         2011       1.29 (1.09, 1.53)       1.33 (1.00, 1.69)         2012       1.24 (0.89, 1.72)       1.30 (1.00, 1.69)         2013       1.38 (0.87, 2.19)       >0.99       0.92 (0.64, 1.33)         2014       1.17 (0.70, 1.97)       >0.99       1.36 (0.90, 2.04)         2015       1.24 (0.65, 2.35)       1.93 (1.33, 2.80)         2016       1.29 (0.49, 3.36)       0.74 (0.33, 1.63)
2011       1.29 (1.09, 1.53)       1.23 (1.07, 1.42)         2012       1.24 (0.89, 1.72)       1.30 (1.00, 1.69)         2013       1.38 (0.87, 2.19)       >0.99       0.92 (0.64, 1.33)         2014       1.17 (0.70, 1.97)       >0.99       1.36 (0.90, 2.04)         2015       1.24 (0.65, 2.35)       1.93 (1.33, 2.80)         2016       1.29 (0.49, 3.36)       0.74 (0.33, 1.63)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
2016 1.20 (0.03, 2.03) 1.30 (1.03, 2.00)
1.29 (0.73, 0.00)    0.74 (0.00, 1.00)    1.00    1.
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Wolfield 1.22 (0.02, 1.02) 1.20 (0.92, 1.73)
Northeast 1.33 (1.00, 1.77) 1.12 (0.88, 1.42)
North central $1.28 (0.94, 1.74) = 0.57 (0.94, 1.55) = 0.61$
South 1.19 (0.97, 1.47) 1.40 (1.19, 1.64)
West         1.43 (1.03, 1.97)         1.17 (0.88, 1.55)
History of CHD 1.29 (1.04, 1.61) 0.96 1.55 (1.09, 2.21) 0.24
Without history of CHD         1.28 (1.08, 1.50)         1.22 (1.09, 1.37)
Diabetes 1.11 (0.89, 1.38) 0.14 1.24 (0.96, 1.60) 0.99
Without diabetes         1.38 (1.17, 1.63)         1.24 (1.10, 1.39)         0.00
Stroke 0.67 (0.35, 1.30) 0.06 1.41 (0.71, 2.79) 0.66
Without stroke 1.32 (1.15, 1.50) 1.25 (1.12, 1.39)
Peripheral artery disease 0.75 (0.36, 1.55) 0.13 2.15 (1.03, 4.49) 0.11
Without peripheral artery disease         1.31 (1.14, 1.49)         1.23 (1.11, 1.38)
Heart failure 2.43 (1.40, 4.20) 1.18 (0.64, 2.17)
Without heart failure         1.24 (1.08, 1.42)         0.02         1.25 (1.12, 1.39)         0.07
Chronic kidney disease 1.28 (0.93, 1.77) 1.07 (0.77, 1.49)
Without chronic kidney disease 1.28 (1.11, 1.48) 1.26 (1.12, 1.41)
Liver disease 4.07 (1.24, 13.32) 0.52 (0.26, 1.02)
Without liver disease 1.25 (1.10, 1.43) 1.28 (1.15, 1.43)
Cardiologist care 1.53 (1.04, 2.24) 1.52 (0.90, 2.59)
Without cardiologist care 1.24 (1.08, 1.43) 1.25 (1.12, 1.40) 0.49
Prior hospitalization 1.58 (1.23, 2.04) 0.05 1.37 (1.09, 1.73) 0.25
Without prior hospitalization 1.18 (1.01, 1.38) 1.18 (1.04, 1.33) 0.25
Depression 1.30 (1.02, 1.65) 1.04 (0.82, 1.32)
Without depression 1.27 (1.09, 1.49) 1.32 (1.17, 1.49) 0.07
Tobacco use 1.33 (0.88, 2.01) 1.40 (0.99, 1.98)
No tobacco use 1.26 (1.10, 1.45) 0.85 1.23 (1.10, 1.38) 0.45
Polypharmacy 1.17 (1.00, 1.38) and 1.14 (0.95, 1.37)
Without polypharmacy $1.55(1.24, 1.93) = 0.05 = 1.27(1.11, 1.45) = 0.33$
Antihypertensive medication use 1.27 (1.10, 1.47) 1.25 (1.07, 1.47)
No antihypertensive medication use 1.28 (0.95 1.72) 0.97 1.20 (1.03, 1.17) 0.65
Non-statin lipid lowering medication use $1.04 (0.81, 1.34)$ $1.40 (0.97, 2.01)$
No non-statin lipid lowering medication use 1.37 (1.18, 1.60) 0.08 1.24 (1.11, 1.38) 0.59

# Table S6. Hazard ratios for an atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics stratified by statin use.

CHD: coronary heart disease; CI: confidence interval; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HR: hazard ratio.
Hazard ratios include adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of CHD, diabetes, stroke, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, and non-statin lipid-lowering medication use.

\* Comparing HR for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease hospitalizations associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics.

	Taking a sta	itin	Not taking a	statin
	HR (95% CI)	p-value*	HR (95% CI)	p-value*
Age, vears	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•		•
19-44	1 14 (0 60 2 18)		1 66 (1 18 2 35)	
45-54	1 50 (1 15 1 96)		1 10 (0 90 1 35)	
55 64	1 17 (0 92 1 50)	0.57	1.10(0.00, 1.00) 1.14(0.00, 1.44)	0.19
55-0 <del>4</del> S65	1.17(0.92, 1.90)		1.14(0.30, 1.44)	
	1.34 (0.90, 1.96)		1.08 (0.71, 1.00)	
Calendar year			4 4 0 (0 07 4 00)	
2011	1.28 (1.05, 1.56)		1.16 (0.97, 1.39)	
2012	1.21 (0.81, 1.80)		1.32 (0.96, 1.81)	
2013	1.48 (0.86, 2.53)	0.92	0.71 (0.44, 1.14)	0.01
2014	1.14 (0.58, 2.24)	0.02	1.41 (0.84, 2.36)	0.01
2015	1.84 (0.86, 3.93)		2.14 (1.34, 3.42)	
2016	1.93 (0.62, 5.99)		0.47 (0.15, 1.47)	
Men	1.32 (1.12, 1.56)	0.44	1.19 (1.04, 1.37)	0.07
Women	1.05 (0.65, 1.70)	0.41	1.30 (0.85, 1.98)	0.67
Geographic region of residence				
Northeast	1 38 (0 98 1 94)		1 01 (0 74 1 37)	
North central	1 46 (1 02 2 07)		1 04 (0 75 1 42)	
South	1 15 (0 80 1 48)	0.75	1 37 (1 13 1 67)	0.46
West	1.13 (0.03, 1.40)		1.07 (1.10, 1.07)	
History of CHD	1.32 (1.03, 2.20)		1.21(0.00, 1.70)	
	1.32 (1.03, 1.09)	0.93	1.00 (1.00, 2.41)	0.15
Without history of CHD	1.28 (1.05, 1.57)		1.15 (1.00, 1.32)	
Diabetes	1.16 (0.89, 1.50)	0.32	1.25 (0.91, 1.72)	0.74
Without diabetes	1.39 (1.14, 1.69)		1.16 (1.01, 1.35)	••••
Stroke	0.68 (0.22, 2.15)	0.25	1.36 (0.39, 4.78)	0.91
Without stroke	1.32 (1.13, 1.55)	0.20	1.20 (1.05, 1.37)	0.01
Peripheral artery disease	0.40 (0.11, 1.49)	0.07	0.93 (0.29, 2.98)	0.62
Without peripheral artery disease	1.33 (1.14, 1.56)	0.07	1.20 (1.05, 1.37)	0.02
Heart failure	2.35 (1.19, 4.65)	0.40	1.12 (0.55, 2.30)	0.00
Without heart failure	1.27 (1.08, 1.49)	0.10	1.18 (1.03, 1.35)	0.93
Chronic kidnev disease	1.17 (0.79, 1.72)	0.50	0.85 (0.57, 1.27)	
Without chronic kidney disease	1 32 (1 11 1 57)	0.58	1 21 (1 05 1 40)	0.09
l iver disease	5 63 (0 97 32 61)		0.67 (0.29, 1.57)	
Without liver disease	1 28 (1 09 1 50)	0.14	121(106, 139)	0.17
Cardiologist care	1.60 (1.02, 2.50)		1.26 (0.67, 2.39)	
Without cardiologist caro	1.00(1.02, 2.00)	0.31	1.20 (0.07, 2.00)	0.88
Driar beenitelization	1.20 (1.00, 1.49)		1.20(1.00, 1.00)	
Mitheut reier beeritelization	1.00 (1.23, 2.29)	0.08	1.17 (0.00, 1.30)	>0.99
	1.20 (1.00, 1.44)		1.10 (1.00, 1.35)	
Depression	1.44 (1.08, 1.93)	0.41	1.01 (0.76, 1.36)	0.17
Without depression	1.26 (1.04, 1.52)		1.26 (1.09, 1.46)	••••
Tobacco use	1.54 (0.91, 2.62)	0.51	1.11 (0.71, 1.73)	0.76
No tobacco use	1.28 (1.08, 1.51)	0.01	1.19 (1.04, 1.37)	0.70
Polypharmacy	1.20 (0.99, 1.46)	0.10	1.12 (0.89, 1.41)	0.64
Without polypharmacy	1.56 (1.20, 2.02)	0.10	1.18 (1.00, 1.40)	0.04
Antihypertensive medication use	1.33 (1.12, 1.58)	0.40	1.20 (0.98, 1.46)	0.70
No antihypertensive medication use	1.16 (0.80, 1.67)	0.46	1.13 (0.95, 1.36)	0.70
Non-statin lipid lowering medication use	1.04 (0.77. 1.40)		1.27 (0.81, 1.98)	o ==
No non-statin linid lowering medication use	1 42 (1 18 1 70)	0.09	1 18 (1 02 1 35)	0.77
			1.10(1.02, 1.00)	

#### Table S7. Hazard ratios for a myocardial infarction hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics stratified by statin use.

CHD: coronary heart disease; CI: confidence interval; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HR: hazard ratio.

Hazard ratios include adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of CHD, diabetes, stroke, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, and non-statin lipid-lowering medication use.

\* Comparing HR for myocardial infarction hospitalizations associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics.

	Taking a sta	atin	Not taking a	statin
	HR (95% CI)	p-value*	HR (95% CI)	p-value*
Age, vears		•		•
19-44	1.67 (0.58, 4.82)		2.14 (1.34, 3.41)	
45-54	1 28 (0 75, 2 20)		1 04 (0 74 1 45)	
55-6 <i>1</i>	1 28 (0 87 1 80)	0.93	1 39 (1 00 1 92)	0.09
>65	1.20(0.07, 1.00) 1 10(0.50, 2.04)		1 12 (0 61 2 06)	
	1.10 (0.59, 2.04)		1.12 (0.01, 2.00)	
	4 00 (0 04 4 70)		4 00 (4 07 4 70)	
2011	1.20 (0.84, 1.73)		1.38 (1.07, 1.78)	
2012	1.29 (0.69, 2.38)		1.00 (0.58, 1.73)	
2013	1.70 (0.65, 4.42)	+	1.28 (0.68, 2.42)	0.84
2014	1.28 (0.51, 3.22)	I	1.72 (0.86, 3.45)	0.01
2015	0.59 (0.15, 2.37)		1.50 (0.75, 2.99)	
2016	†		0.92 (0.23, 3.73)	
Men	1.19 (0.89, 1.59)	0.07	1.37 (1.11, 1.69)	0.00
Women	1.87 (0.92, 3.82)	0.27	1.26 (0.74, 2.15)	0.83
Geographic region of residence				
Northeast	1 20 (0 66 2 17)		1 21 (0 78 1 87)	
North central	0.82(0.40, 1.69)		1 72 (1 13 2 61)	
South	1.02(0.40, 1.00) 1.46(0.08, 2.18)	0.75	1 16 (0 86 1 58)	0.53
West	1.40 (0.90, 2.10)		1.10 (0.00, 1.00)	
	1.23(0.00, 2.00)		1.01 (0.90, 2.00)	
	1.17 (0.71, 1.95)	0.81	1.53 (0.66, 3.52)	0.83
Without history of CHD	1.29 (0.94, 1.77)		1.32 (1.08, 1.61)	
Diabetes	1.08 (0.72, 1.63)	0 48	1.39 (0.88, 2.18)	0 78
Without diabetes	1.32 (0.92, 1.90)	0110	1.30 (1.04, 1.61)	0.1.0
Stroke	0.62 (0.24, 1.60)	0 13	1.26 (0.52, 3.04)	>0 99
Without stroke	1.34 (1.01, 1.77)	0.10	1.32 (1.08, 1.61)	- 0.00
Peripheral artery disease	†	+	+	+
Without peripheral artery disease	1.23 (0.93, 1.61)	I	1.31 (1.07, 1.59)	I
Heart failure	1.55 (0.47, 5.17)	0.50	2.72 (0.51, 14.45)	0.00
Without heart failure	1.21 (0.91, 1.59)	0.58	1.32 (1.08, 1.60)	0.36
Chronic kidney disease	1.42 (0.74, 2.72)		1.84 (0.95, 3.59)	
Without chronic kidney disease	1 22 (0.91, 1.64)	0.70	1 29 (1 05, 1 58)	0.35
l iver disease	+		+	
Without liver disease		†	1 36 (1 12 1 65)	†
Cardiologist care	1.20 (0.31, 1.37)		3 05 (0 88 10 55)	
Without pardialogist care	1.32(0.00, 3.42)	0.60	1.20(1.06, 1.03)	0.20
Drian haaritaliaatian	1.21(0.91, 1.01)		1.30(1.00, 1.30)	
Phor hospitalization	1.40 (0.87, 2.27)	0.49	2.09 (1.30, 3.33)	0.02
vvitnout prior nospitalization	1.16 (0.84, 1.61)		1.14 (0.91, 1.43)	
Depression	0.94 (0.58, 1.52)	0.17	1.39 (0.89, 2.16)	0.86
Without depression	1.39 (1.01, 1.92)	0.11	1.31 (1.05, 1.63)	0.00
Tobacco use	0.97 (0.41, 2.29)	0.56	1.64 (0.83, 3.25)	0.46
No tobacco use	1.25 (0.94, 1.67)	0.50	1.29 (1.05, 1.58)	0.40
Polypharmacy	1.16 (0.84, 1.60)	0.47	1.10 (0.79, 1.54)	0.00
Without polypharmacy	1.47 (0.92, 2.33)	0.47	1.40 (1.10, 1.78)	0.20
Antihypertensive medication use	1.14 (0.84, 1.54)	0.00	1.38 (1.04, 1.84)	0.40
No antihypertensive medication use	1.65 (0.92, 2.95)	0.28	1.20 (0.92, 1.58)	0.49
Non-statin lipid lowering medication use	0.99 (0.58, 1.70)		1 80 (0 88, 3 68)	
No non-statin linid lowering medication use	1 32 (0 07 1 70)	0.41	1 29 (1 06 1 50)	0.43
	1.32 (0.37, 1.73)		1.23 (1.00, 1.39)	

# Table S8. Hazard ratios for a stroke hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics stratified by statin use. Table S8. Hazard ratios for a stroke hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics Stratified by statin use.

CHD: coronary heart disease; CI: confidence interval; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HR: hazard ratio.

Hazard ratios include adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of CHD, diabetes, stroke, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, and non-statin lipid-lowering medication use.

\* Comparing HR for stroke hospitalizations associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics.

† Data not shown given the small number of events. Specifically, among beneficiaries taking a statin, there were 6 stroke events in those in 2016, 9 stroke events in those with a history of peripheral artery disease, and 4 stroke events in those with a history of liver disease. Among beneficiaries not taking a statin, there were 3 stroke events in those with a history of peripheral artery disease and 9 stroke events in those with a history of liver disease.

	Taking a sta	tin	Not taking a s	statin
	HR (95% CI)	p-value*	HR (95% CI)	p-value*
Age, years				
19-44	1.16 (0.11, 11.82)		2.11 (0.72, 6.19)	
45-54	0.64 (0.24, 1.71)	0.04	1.95 (1.16, 3.30)	0.50
55-64	1.66 (0.94, 2.94)	0.31	1.11 (0.56, 2.20)	0.56
≥65	0.91 (0.31, 2.64)		1.23 (0.39, 3.90)	
Calendar vear				
2011	1.55 (0.90, 2.68)		1.47 (0.91, 2.39)	
2012	0.82(0.20, 3.42)		270(108,677)	
2013	1 10 (0 28 4 29)		1 43 (0 37 5 58)	
2014	0.71(0.12, 4.37)	†	0.27 (0.03, 2.82)	†
2015	+		1 78 (0 57 5 56)	
2016	+		+	
Men	1 28 (0 83 1 97)			
Women	0.13(0.05, 1.07)	0.35	1 11 (0 51 3 03)	0.79
Region of residence	0.40 (0.00, 0.80)		1.41 (0.01, 0.00)	
Northeast	0 /1 (0 13 1 33)		1 70 (0 71 / 06)	
North control	1.47(0.13, 1.33)		1.70 (0.71, 4.00)	
South	1.42 (0.50, 4.01)	0.41	1.91(0.09, 5.29)	0.10
West	1.19(0.02, 2.20)		2.04(1.20, 3.20)	
West	2.23 (0.04, 7.95)		0.20(0.04, 0.99)	
	1.49 (0.72, 3.00)	0.52	0.01 (0.21, 2.11)	0.32
	1.08 (0.03, 1.83)		1.00 (1.12, 2.43)	
	0.73(0.33, 1.03)	0.12	0.77(0.33, 1.81)	0.09
	1.56 (0.93, 2.62)		1.76 (1.17, 2.65)	
Stroke		+		+
Without stroke	1.22 (0.78, 1.91)	•	1.53 (1.06, 2.21)	·
Peripheral aftery disease	1.08 (0.39, 2.95)	0.92	2.96 (0.96, 9.10)	0.17
Without peripheral artery disease	1.21 (0.75, 1.95)		1.38 (0.93, 2.05)	
Heart failure	1.62 (0.36, 7.20)	0.73	0.12 (0.00, 3.85)	0.13
Without heart failure	1.14 (0.72, 1.78)		1.62 (1.11, 2.34)	
Chronic kidney disease	1.18 (0.41, 3.36)	0.90	1.19 (0.33, 4.33)	0.63
Without chronic kidney disease	1.27 (0.79, 2.04)	0.00	1.66 (1.14, 2.42)	0.00
Liver disease	†	+	<u>†</u>	+
Without liver disease	1.24 (0.81, 1.90)		1.73 (1.20, 2.49)	
Cardiologist care	1.41 (0.40, 4.97)	0.66	0.97 (0.13, 7.41)	0.62
Without cardiologist care	1.11 (0.70, 1.75)	0.00	1.57 (1.08, 2.28)	0.02
Prior hospitalization	0.93 (0.42, 2.03)	0.43	1.22 (0.62, 2.38)	0.56
Without prior hospitalization	1.33 (0.80, 2.22)	0.40	1.66 (1.07, 2.56)	0.00
Depression	1.38 (0.63, 3.00)	0.67	0.61 (0.25, 1.46)	0.03
Without depression	1.10 (0.66, 1.85)	0.07	1.85 (1.24, 2.75)	0.05
Tobacco use	1.40 (0.49, 4.01)	0 00	2.43 (0.89, 6.61)	0.21
No tobacco use	1.18 (0.73, 1.89)	0.00	1.45 (0.97, 2.17)	0.51
Polypharmacy	1.00 (0.60, 1.64)	0.20	1.50 (0.84, 2.67)	0.96
Without polypharmacy	1.80 (0.85, 3.80)	0.20	1.64 (1.02, 2.64)	0.00
Antihypertensive medication use	1.15 (0.72, 1.83)	0.47	1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	0.44
No antihypertensive medication use	1.72 (0.64, 4.63)	0.47	1.87 (1.12, 3.13)	0.44
Non-statin lipid lowering medication use	1.04 (0.47, 2.30)	0.74	2.88 (0.86, 9.69)	0.40
No non-statin lipid lowering medication use	1.24 (0.75, 2.06)	0.74	1.55 (1.05, 2.27)	0.40

#### Table S9. Hazard ratios for a lower extremity artery disease hospitalization among beneficiaries with versus without HIV across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics stratified by statin use.

CHD: coronary heart disease; CI: confidence interval; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; HR: hazard ratio.

Hazard ratios include adjustment for age, sex, calendar year, geographic region of residence, history of CHD, diabetes, stroke, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, liver disease, cardiologist care, any hospitalization, depression, tobacco use, polypharmacy, antihypertensive medication use, and non-statin lipid-lowering medication use.

\* Comparing HR for lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations associated with HIV infection across subgroups defined by beneficiary characteristics.

† Data not shown given the small number of lower extremity artery disease hospitalizations (n<10) in each cell.

Figure S1. Flow-chart of beneficiaries with HIV in the MarketScan database included in and excluded from the current analysis.



ART: antiretroviral therapy; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus.

Persons without HIV were matched 4:1 on age, sex and calendar year with persons with HIV in the MarketScan database.

#### **Supplemental References:**

- Kent ST, Safford MM, Zhao H, Levitan EB, Curtis JR, Kilpatrick RD, Kilgore ML, Muntner P. Optimal Use of Available Claims to Identify a Medicare Population Free of Coronary Heart Disease. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2015;182:808-819.
- Kumamaru H, Judd SE, Curtis JR, Ramachandran R, Hardy NC, Rhodes JD, Safford MM, Kissela BM, Howard G, Jalbert JJ, Brott TG, Setoguchi S. Validity of claims-based stroke algorithms in contemporary Medicare data: reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke (REGARDS) study linked with medicare claims. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2014;7:611-619.
- Hirsch AT, Hartman L, Town RJ, Virnig BA. National health care costs of peripheral arterial disease in the Medicare population. *Vasc Med*. 2008;13:209-215.
- 4. Elixhauser A, Steiner C, Harris DR, Coffey RM. Comorbidity measures for use with administrative data. *Med Care*. 1998;36:8-27.
- Gandra SR, Lawrence LW, Parasuraman BM, Darin RM, Sherman JJ, Wall JL. Total and component health care costs in a non-Medicare HMO population of patients with and without type 2 diabetes and with and without macrovascular disease. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2006;12:546-554.
- Quan H, Li B, Saunders LD, Parsons GA, Nilsson CI, Alibhai A, Ghali WA. Assessing validity of ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data in recording clinical conditions in a unique dually coded database. *Health Serv Res*. 2008;43:1424-1441.

- Schneider KM, O'Donnell BE, Dean D. Prevalence of multiple chronic conditions in the United States' Medicare population. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2009;7:82.
- Muntner P, Gutierrez OM, Zhao H, Fox CS, Wright NC, Curtis JR, McClellan W, Wang H, Kilgore M, Warnock DG, Bowling CB. Validation study of medicare claims to identify older US adults with CKD using the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2015;65:249-258.
- Noyes K, Liu H, Lyness JM, Friedman B. Medicare beneficiaries with depression: comparing diagnoses in claims data with the results of screening. *Psychiatr Serv*. 2011;62:1159-1166.
- Desai RJ, Solomon DH, Shadick N, Iannaccone C, Kim SC. Identification of smoking using Medicare data--a validation study of claims-based algorithms.
   *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2016;25:472-475.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 103 of 213 PageID# 13291

## EXHIBIT E



## 18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 104 of 213 PageID# NIH Public Access 13292

#### Author Manuscript

JNeurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 October 01.

#### Published in final edited form as:

J Neurovirol. 2012 October; 18(5): 388–399. doi:10.1007/s13365-012-0120-3.

#### Antiretroviral neurotoxicity

#### Kevin Robertson, Jeff Liner, and Rick B. Meeker

Department of Neurology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

Rick B. Meeker: meekerr@neurology.unc.edu

#### Abstract

Combination antiretroviral therapy (CART) has proven to effectively suppress systemic HIV burden, however, poor penetration into the central nervous system (CNS) provides incomplete protection. Although the severity of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) has been reduced, neurological disease is expected to exert an increasing burden as HIV-infected patients live longer. Strategies to enhance penetration of antiretroviral compounds into the CNS could help to control HIV replication in this reservoir but also carries an increased risk of neurotoxicity. Efforts to target antiretroviral compounds to the CNS will have to balance these risks against the potential gain. Unfortunately, little information is available on the actions of antiretroviral compounds in the CNS, particularly at concentrations that provide effective virus suppression. The current studies evaluated the direct effects of 15 anti-retroviral compounds on neurons to begin to provide basic neurotoxicity data that will serve as a foundation for the development of dosing and drug selection guidelines. Using sensitive indices of neural damage, we found a wide range of toxicities, with median toxic concentrations ranging from 2 to 10,000 ng/ml. Some toxic concentrations overlapped concentrations currently seen in the CSF but the level of toxicity was generally modest at clinically relevant concentrations. Highest neurotoxicities were associated with abacavir, efavarenz, etravirine, nevaripine, and atazanavir, while the lowest were with darunavir, emtracitabine, tenofovir, and maraviroc. No additive effects were seen with combinations used clinically. These data provide initial evidence useful for the development of treatment strategies that might reduce the risk of antiretroviral neurotoxicity.

#### Keywords

HIV; Dementia; Neurons; Therapy; Treatment

#### Introduction

HIV-1 rapidly enters the central nervous system (CNS) after infection and establishes a persistent viral reservoir. CNS HIV infection frequently results in neurological disease marked by a set of cognitive, motor, and behavioral symptoms known as HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) (Antinori et al. 2007). Potent combination antiretroviral (ARV) therapies have been shown to improve cognition and reduce the prevalence of HIV-associated Dementia, the most severe form of HAND (Dilley et al. 2005; Sacktor et al. 2001; Saksena and Smit 2005). Recent studies have shown that mild–moderate neurocognitive manifestations of HIV infection persist in about 40 % of patients on treatment (Sacktor et al. 2002; Villa et al. 1996). In addition, studies indicate that the prevalence of neurocognitive disorders is increasing as patients live longer (Heaton et al. 2011; Robertson et al. 2007). To control viral replication in the brain, strategies are under development to increase the penetration of ARV compounds across the blood–brain barrier

Correspondence to: Rick B. Meeker, meekerr@neurology.unc.edu.

Page 2

(Bressani et al. 2010; Mahajan et al. 2010; Manda et al. 2010; Prabhakar et al. 2011; Saiyed et al. 2010). Although these compounds have well described toxic actions systemically and in the peripheral nervous system (Bartlett and Lane 2012), little is known about the toxicity of the compounds to neurons in the CNS. One recent study reported that cognition improved for up to 96 weeks in a group of immunologically and virologically stable patients who elected to come off of treatment (Robertson et al. 2010). These results raised the possibility that even low concentrations of ARVs that penetrate the brain may have some detrimental effects. If this is true, future efforts at delivering higher concentrations of ARVs to the CNS will have to take into consideration the potential adverse effects. Careful studies of the effects of ARV compounds at concentrations required to suppress viral replication are needed to evaluate this possibility and to guide the use of compounds that will minimize CNS complications. To provide a comparative analysis of the neurotoxicity of ARV compounds and six different combinations of ARV compounds on primary cultures of rat neurons.

#### Methods

#### Primary cultures of rat forebrain

Fetuses were harvested at E17 from pregnant female Long-Evans rats, washed with ice cold HEPES-buffered Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) and the brain removed. The euthanasia and tissue harvest protocols were done in accordance with NIH and institutional guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The cortex/hippocampus was dissected from the brain and cleaned of duraarachnoid membrane and visible vessels. The tissue was transferred to a 15-ml tube containing 5 ml calciummagnesium free-HBSS + 2.4 U/ml dispase + 2 U/ml DNase I and incubated for 20-30 min at 37 °C. Tissue was triturated and allowed to settle for 2 min, and the suspended cells were transferred to a 50-ml culture tube containing 25 ml of complete medium (Minimum Essential Medium [MEM] + 10 % fetal bovine serum [Invitrogen, Certified FBS] + 20  $\mu$ g/ ml gentamicin). The trituration/collection cycle was repeated until most of the tissue was dispersed. Dissociated cells were seeded at a density of 20,000-100,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> on poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips or 100,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in poly-D-lysine-coated 96-well plates. Cultures were >90 % neurons 3 days after seeding based on morphology and stain for microtubule-associated protein-2 (MAP-2). Cultures were fed by 50 % medium exchange three times a week. Cultures were allowed to mature normally for 6 days without the use of mitotic inhibitors.

#### Antiretroviral compounds

ARV compounds were obtained through the NIH AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID. Concentrated  $(1,000\times-10,000\times)$  stocks were made in water (ddC, ddI, AZT, FTC, TDF, 3TC, ATV, MVC), DMSO (ABC, EFV, NVP, APV, DRV, RTV), or ethanol (ETV) and diluted in culture medium for use (plasma therapeutic concentrations in Table 1 were designated as 1X). Compounds were added to the cultures in concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 300 µg/ml to investigate the dose response of each individual compound. Combinations of compounds were tested using the reported plasma levels for each drug in the combination (see Table 1).

#### **ARV** challenge

At 6 days in culture, the rat cortical neurons were challenged for 1 week with one of 15 ARVs at concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 300  $\mu$ g/ml to determine single drug toxicities. At 6 days neurons express extensive synaptophysin immunoreactivity and excellent responsiveness to glutamate. The period from 6 to 13 days represents a time in which the neurons are healthy and stable providing a solid baseline for the toxicity studies.

Page 3

Concentrations were chosen to represent a range of at least one order of magnitude above and below the therapeutic plasma concentrations used in patients to suppress HIV replication. A summary of this information is provided in Table 1. In addition, six combinations of ARVs currently in the DHHS guidelines were tested to determine the impact of multiple drugs.

#### MAP-2 immunostaining for assessment of neuronal loss and damage

Neurons were identified by MAP-2 immunostaining. Cells were fixed in ice cold 97 % methanol, 3 % acetic for 10 min at room temperature and washed in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS,  $3 \times 5$  min). Cells were incubated in blocking buffer containing 3 % normal goat serum in 0.01 PBS for 60 min at room temp. Polyclonal rabbit anti-MAP-2 (Chemicon/Millipore, Bilerica, MA) was then applied at a dilution of 1:500 in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The cells were washed three times in PBS and incubated in goat anti-rabbit Alexa488 or mouse anti-rabbit Alexa568 (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at a dilution of 1:500 for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were then washed  $3 \times 5$  min, counterstained with bis-benzimide (0.5  $\mu$ M; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 20 min in PBS and washed  $2 \times 5$  min in PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto slides with Fluoromount (Southern Biotech, Birmingham AL) and 96-well plates were filled with 50 % glycerol solution in PBS.

#### MAP-2 data analysis

Three or four images were digitally captured from each well at a magnification of 193× using the MetaMorph<sup>™</sup> System. An intensity threshold was set to highlight the MAP-2 stained neurons and proximal dendrites within each image while limiting background. The thresholded area was then measured as a percent of total area. Neuronal damage typically included beading and pruning of dendrites with a corresponding decrease in MAP-2 staining. The decrease in MAP-2+ processes was apparent in intact neurons and proved to be a very sensitive index of neuronal damage. However, a caveat of this approach is that the use of sensitive measures also introduces greater error in the assessments which must be taken into consideration in the interpretation of the results. The accuracy of estimates from the data was therefore calculated based on the variation seen. Data from each image were averaged for each well within a 96-well plate and then across three to four replicate experiments from different cultures. Concentration-effect curves and an estimate of the median toxic concentration  $(TC_{50})$  were generated from the average data using Graphpad Prism software. Since some damage (e.g., beading of dendrites) may not correlate with decreases in MAP-2 stain intensity, we also confirmed damage by quantifying the extent of beading and by rating the quality of the neurons on a scale of 1 to 10 (10 being very healthy with abundant neurons and intact processes, 5 representing abundant, well stained neurons with moderate beading and/or loss of dendrites and 1 representing an almost complete loss of MAP-2+ neurons). The bead density correlated well with the MAP-2 stain intensity values ( $r=0.534\pm0.036$ ) indicating that the beading paralleled changes in the density of the processes. Thus, the independent influence of beading in the analysis was small. In addition, the qualitative ratings verified that the MAP-2 quantification matched the visible damage to the neurons. Over the concentrations studied, no treatments resulted in complete loss of MAP-2 staining. Thus, the  $TC_{50}$  value reflects the relative potency of the compound but not the total amount of damage. The maximum amount of MAP-2 loss or damage was recorded separately to provide an estimate of the extent of damage.

#### **Correction for DMSO damage**

Several of the drugs used were not soluble in aqueous solutions and were therefore dissolved in DMSO to make the stock solutions. These stocks were prepared at concentrations 1,000 to 10,000 times the therapeutic concentration to minimize toxicity of the vehicle. However,

Page 4

to provide an accurate correction for toxicity of the DMSO, a dose response curve was run on each plate. The dose–response curve for both the Metamorph MAP-2 stain measurements (% threshold) and visual damage assessments are illustrated in Fig. 1. Values represent mean  $\pm$  SEM. In addition, the DMSO dose–response curve for loss of mitochondrial activity based on conversion of MTT is shown. In each case, there was no consistent DMSO toxicity until concentrations exceeded 0.44 % (log % = -0.356, the point at which the best-fit curve begins to drop). By 4 % there was extensive loss of MAP-2 stain (52–88 %), neuron integrity and MTT conversion. Toxicity due to DMSO was subtracted from each compound at the matched concentration to provide the best estimate of the toxicity of the compound. Concentrations with DMSO greater than 1 % (0 value for log DMSO) were not included in the TC<sub>50</sub> calculations.

#### Calculation of the toxicity index and toxicity risk

To provide a relevant endpoint, we asked whether the toxic effects seen in vitro occurred at ARV concentrations achieved in patients on ARV therapy. The toxicity index was calculated as the log of the reported therapeutic plasma concentration divided by the estimated  $TC_{10}$  value from the data in Fig. 3. A concentration one log lower than the  $TC_{50}$  was used to provide an estimate of the toxicity threshold and corresponded to about 10 % loss of the MAP-2 stain ( $TC_{10}$ ). A value of 0 was obtained when the  $TC_{10}$  was equal to the therapeutic plasma concentration in Table 1. Negative values reflect favorable toxicity profiles while positive values reflect less favorable profiles. Toxicity risk was estimated in the same fashion from current estimates of ARV concentrations in the CSF. Values greater than or equal to 0 indicate that concentrations in the CSF under current treatment conditions are in the toxic range.

#### Antiretroviral compounds and glutamate-induced changes in intracellular calcium

Neuronal cultures were incubated for 2 days in medium containing ARV compounds at concentrations that matched the therapeutic concentrations in plasma. Cells were then transferred to HEPES-buffered artificial CSF (aCSF: NaCl 137 mM, KCl 5.0 mM, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2.3 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 1.3 mM, glucose 20 mM, HEPES 10 mM, adjusted pH 7.4 with NaOH) and pre-loaded with the calcium indicator dye Fluo-4 NW (1:4 dilution; Molecular Probes/ Invitrogen) in serum-free medium at 37 °C. After 30 min, the coverslip was transferred to a specialized stage for imaging (Warner Instruments). Time lapse digital images were captured automatically by the Metamorph system. Three pre-stimulation images were taken to establish baseline calcium in each cell. In some experiments, the acute and delayed response to glutamate was then measured after the application of 10  $\mu$ M glutamate to the chamber. The increase in fluorescence intensity was then calculated relative to the baseline fluorescence for each neuron within the field to correct for any differences in dye loading or intrinsic fluorescence. Data from several runs was consolidated and the average cellular response and standard error calculated.

#### Estimates of mitochondrial membrane potential with TMRM and MTT

To image changes in mitochondrial membrane potential at high resolution, neuronal cultures on coverslips at 20,000 cells/cm<sup>2</sup> were incubated for 2 days with ARV compounds and then 100 nM tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM) was added to the medium. Cultures were incubated for 10 min at 37 °C and the neuronal mitochondria imaged at 1680× in aCSF using the MetaMorph<sup>TM</sup> System. The intensity of stain was measured, averaged across cells and compared between compounds. Images of the stained mitochondria were taken to provide an indication of changes in morphology. Higher throughput analyses for dose–response studies were conducted using MTT conversion in 96 well plates at a neuron density of 10<sup>5</sup> cells/cm<sup>2</sup>. Briefly, 10 µl of a 12 mM stock of MTT in PBS was added to 100 µl of culture medium and the cells were incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. The medium was then

removed and 50  $\mu$ l of DMSO added to each well. After mixing, the plate was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. The plate was again mixed and the OD of the solution measured on a plate reader at 540 nm.

#### Results

#### Antiretroviral compounds have a wide range of neurotoxic potencies

Examples of the types of damage seen in neural cultures treated for 7 days with ARV compounds are illustrated in Fig. 2. Untreated cultures have large, well stained MAP-2+ neurons (green) with elaborate outgrowth of processes (Fig. 2a). In general, the ARVs were not highly toxic. Typical damage included beading (Fig. 2b), simplification of the dendritic processes (Fig. 2c), and neuronal shrinkage. The images illustrate changes in response to efavirenz (Fig. 2b, d) or atazanavir (Fig. 2c) but are representative of all ARVs. Extensive cell death and damage was only seen at the highest concentrations of some compounds (Fig. 2d; efavarenz, 300  $\mu$ g/ml) and was confounded by the toxicity of DMSO. Most cultures showed a combination of effects (e.g., beading and pruning) which resulted in a loss of MAP-2 stained processes. The ability of the measures of MAP-2 staining to track with damage to the neurons was verified using blinded ratings of the quality of the neural cultures on a scale of 1–10 (1 = extensive damage and loss of MAP-2 stain, 10 = no damage, excellent stain). The damage ratings closely paralleled the MAP-2 analysis but were generally less sensitive than the quantitative measures of MAP-2 density.

The curves in Fig. 3 illustrate the change in total area of MAP-2+ cells and processes relative to the vehicle-treated control cultures with increasing concentrations of each compound. To provide a comparison to concentrations present in vivo, we have included a solid vertical line to indicate the concentration reported in plasma of patients on therapy. Concentrations measured in CSF are indicated by the dashed vertical line. The median toxic concentration (TC<sub>50</sub>) calculated from these curves for each compound is summarized in Table 1. The maximum loss of MAP-2 immunoreactivity is also included to indicate the extent of damage since each compound induced only a partial loss of neuron staining over the concentrations tested. The NRTI's ddC, DDI, FTC and AZT induced the greatest amount of damage (42-52 % loss of MAP-2). The NNRTI's and the protease inhibitors generally produced less total loss of MAP-2 (17-36 %). DRV, MVC and TDF produced the least amount of damage (17-22 %). Cell death was assessed by a semi-automated count of small condensed bis-benzimide stained nuclei using Metamorph integrated morphometry. The relative number of nuclei consistent with dead or apoptotic cells ranged from 0.4 % to 2.5 % for the 15 compounds compared to  $1.6\pm0.9$  % for vehicle controls. The highest values (ABC 1.4 %, 3TC 1.7 %, EFV 1.9 % and ATV 2.5 %) were generally consistent with the MAP-2 results but no changes were significantly different from controls and all were in the range of normal cell death typically seen in these cultures. Therefore, cell death contributes very little if at all to the neurotoxicity.

### Therapeutic concentrations of most ARVs would be predicted to have moderate neurotoxic activity

To generate a profile of relative toxicities, a toxicity index was calculated for each compound. To provide a conservative estimate of potential toxicity, we elected to base the toxicity index on the lowest concentration at which toxicity might begin to develop. A threshold concentration one log lower than the  $TC_{50}$  was chosen to represent approximately a 10 % drop in the MAP-2 intensity ( $TC_{10}$ ). The log transform of the plasma concentration seen in patients on therapy divided by the  $TC_{10}$  is illustrated in Fig. 4a. A score of 0 on this scale is obtained when the therapeutic plasma concentration is equal to the  $TC_{10}$  (the toxic

Page 6

threshold). Negative scores reflect less neurotoxicity and positive scores greater neurotoxicity. Based on this analysis, eight compounds (ABC, DDI, 3TC, EFV, ETR, NVP, APV and ATV) have a relatively high risk of neurotoxic effects (index = 1.79-3.11) assuming plasma levels were achieved in CNS. TDF, AZT, DRV and RTV have a lower risk of toxicity (index = 0.58-1.07) and ddC, FTC and MVC would be predicted to have no significant toxic effects (index  $\leq 0$ ). To provide an estimate of the potential risk of toxicity given current values for CSF penetration of each compound, the TC<sub>10</sub> was divided by the reported CSF concentration for each drug. In this case, values greater than or equal to 0 indicate that CSF concentrations are potentially high enough to produce neuronal damage. Based on this assessment, three compounds (ABC, DDI, NVP) have a relatively high potential risk (index = 1.34-2.76). 3TC, ETR, APV and ATV have some low risk (index = 0.12-0.81), whereas ddC, FTC, TDF, EFV, DRV, RTV and MVC have no predicted risk (index <0).

#### Combinations of antiretroviral drugs did not have additive neurotoxic effects

To determine if combination ARV therapy poses an increased risk of neurotoxicity, we challenged neurons with six different combinations. The plasma concentration from Table 1 was used for each compound. The loss of MAP-2 immunoreactivity after 7 days is summarized in Fig. 5 relative to matched, untreated cultures. The amount of damage at therapeutic concentrations was not extensive suggesting that the combinations did not have additive neurotoxic effects. The greatest loss of MAP-2 immunoreactivity was seen for the combination, ATV, RTV, TDF, FTC (28.8 %), followed by DRV, ABC, 3TC (24.6 %), EFV, ABC, 3TC (17.1 %) and NVP, TDF, FTC (12.5 %). The combinations EFV, TDF, FTC and DRV, TDF, FTC did not produce significant damage. The density of neurons/mm<sup>2</sup> did not change (range =  $216\pm8$  to  $241\pm12/mm^2$ ) indicating that there was no significant neuronal loss under these conditions. The low level of cell death was verified by an analysis of condensed and fragmented bisbenzimide stained nuclei indicative of apoptotic cells. The relative number of nuclei with apoptotic profiles ranged from  $1.55\pm0.79$  % to  $3.01\pm0.35$  % versus 1.15±0.60 % for untreated cultures. Although no changes were significantly different from controls, an inverse correlation was seen between MAP-2 density and apoptotic nuclei (r=-0.766), suggesting a possible small effect on cell viability.

### Different ARV combinations may either sensitize or desensitize neurons to the toxic actions of glutamate

To evaluate the functional response of neurons after exposure to ARV combinations for 2 days, we challenged the neurons with a moderate concentation of glutamate (10  $\mu$ M) and measured both the acute and delayed intra-cellular calcium response (Fig. 6). The mean peak calcium response to glutamate was 508±51 brightness units above baseline. Drug combinations that produced the greatest damage (DRV, ABC, 3TC) showed larger acute and delayed increases in intracellular calcium in response to glutamate. Combinations with more moderate toxicity (ATV, RTV, TDF, FTC) showed unaltered acute responses to glutamate but a significant delayed response. A less toxic combination (EFV, TDF, FTC) showed a decreased acute response to glutamate followed by a small delayed increase. When neural cultures were challenged acutely with the most toxic combination, DRV, ABC, 3TC, in the absence of glutamate no change in intracellular calcium was seen relative to the aCSF controls. Thus, although the ARVs alter neuronal responsiveness to excitatory neurotransmission, they have no direct effect on calcium accumulation.

#### Toxicity did not correlate with changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential

Since damage to mitochondria is a known effect of NRTIs (Kline et al. 2009; Lewis et al. 2006; Moyle 2005; Saitoh et al. 2007, 2008; Venhoff et al. 2007), we assessed changes in the mitochondrial membrane potential in response to selected ARVs using the dye TMRM

Page 7

and MTT assays of mitochondrial viability. Two NRTIs (DDI, TDF) with high toxic potential, one NNRTI (EFV) with moderate toxic activity, one PI with high toxicity (ATV) and one PI with low toxicity (RTV) were selected for a high resolution analysis of mitochondria after acute exposure for 2 days. Examples of the staining with the membrane potential probe, TMRM, are shown in Fig. 7a. TMRM intensity was variable, as illustrated by the mean values in Fig. 7b and the distribution of intensities in Fig. 7c, but no clear relationship was seen to neurotoxic activity. The least toxic compound tested (RTV) had the lowest TMRM staining whereas NRTIs with relatively high toxicity displayed either increased (+74.2 %, DDI) or decreased (-15.6 %, ATV) staining. Changes in mitochondrial morphology were seen under all treatment conditions. The morphology changed from the typical long fingerlike structures to slightly more rounded structures, particularly within the cell body. Again there was no clear relationship between mitochondrial morphology and TMRM intensity or neurotoxicity.

To further evaluate if mitochondrial changes might emerge with longer treatments, five NRTIs (ddC, ddI, FTC, TDF, AZT) expected to have effects on mitochondria, one NNRTI (EFV) and two protease inhibitors (ATV, RTV) were added to neural cultures at eight different concentrations and incubated for a period of 1 week. The cells were then tested using the MTT assay as a quantifiable index of mitochondrial activity and the dose–response relationship evaluated. None of the conditions showed changes in MTT conversion in response to ARV treatment at doses up to  $10 \,\mu$ g/ml (not shown). MTT tetrazolium product OD values ranged from 0.185 to 0.203 versus 0.178 to 0.204 for vehicle-treated cultures and the dose–response curve was flat (not shown) with the exception of decreases at high concentrations which could be attributed to the effects of DMSO (e.g., see Fig. 1).

#### Discussion

Eradication of HIV from the CNS reservoir has been difficult due, in part, to the poor penetration of ARV compounds across the blood-brain barrier. HIV within the CNS continues to produce damage and is a source of infectious virus (Schnell et al. 2009, 2010; Smit et al. 2004). Protection of the brain and elimination of the CNS viral reservoir are high priorities in the treatment of HIV. As newer compounds and methods are developed that improve delivery across the blood-brain barrier, more consideration will have to be given to potential neurotoxicity. Numerous studies indicate that long-term HAART treatment is associated with a range of adverse effects including hepatic steatosis, neuropathy, cardiomyopathy, pancreatitis, lactic acidosis, ototoxicity, retinal lesions and possibly lipodystrophy (Bartlett and Lane 2012). Many of these effects are thought to be associated with the loss of mitochondrial DNA resulting from NRTI inhibition of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma (Kakuda 2000). However the mechanisms that give rise to the damage are not well understood and in some cases damage to cells does not correlate with mitochondrial DNA depletion (Kline et al. 2009; Maagaard et al. 2006; Maagaard and Kvale 2009). Substantial differences in NRTI toxicity have been noted between different tissues reinforcing the need to examine the direct effects on neural tissue. Our in vitro studies provide a comparison of the relative neurotoxicity of different ARV compounds using measures of neuronal dysfunction that are sensitive and designed to reflect the earliest forms of HIV-associated damage. The studies were optimized to measure direct effects of the compounds on neurons. However, it is important to note that this is but one of many possible endpoints that may be relevant. These studies cannot address the potential impact of long-term exposure (months to years) or potential interactions in vivo that may affect neurotoxicity. Nevertheless, these studies begin to provide information on potential risks associated with CNS penetration of ARVs.

Page 8

Robertson et al.

## Neurotoxicity of individual ARVs ranged from undetectable to moderate and was not due to neuron death

No compound was highly toxic but neural damage in the form of dendritic beading and pruning was a common observation. This pathology was reflected in the loss of MAP-2 staining. Since beading and pruning have been documented under a variety of pathological conditions (Bellizzi et al. 2005; Greenwood et al. 2007; Takeuchi et al. 2005), they most likely reflect a general endpoint associated with neuronal dysfunction (Greenwood et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2010; Masliah et al. 1992). Under these in vitro test conditions, a wide range of median toxic concentrations (TC<sub>50</sub>) was seen with a low value of 2.2 ng/ml and high values of  $>5 \mu g/ml$  (Table 1). The TC<sub>50</sub> of ABC, ddI, and NVP fell within the range of concentrations seen in CSF of patients on ARV therapy. The median toxic concentration of ABC, APV, ATV, ddI, EFV, ETR, NVP, RTV, TDF and 3TC, fell within the range of concentrations seen in the plasma suggesting that they may have significant neurotoxic effects if present in CNS at therapeutic concentrations. DRV, FTC, and MVC produced little toxicity at relevant plasma and CSF concentrations. The ratio of the therapeutic plasma concentration to the  $TC_{10}$  provided an index of the potential toxicity at concentrations that would suppress HIV replication. The  $TC_{10}$  value was used to reflect the earliest drop in the dose-response curve (approximately a 10 % decrease) in an effort to provide a conservative estimate of the concentration at which toxicity might begin. Twelve of the compounds were above this theoretical threshold and some exceeded the threshold by two logs. The range was greater than three logs indicating considerable variation in the relative risk. The lower toxicity indices for ddC, FTC, DRV, RTV and MVC indicate that it may be possible to deliver therapeutic concentrations of some drugs into the CNS with minimal relative risk.

The ratio of the CSF concentration of each drug to the  $TC_{10}$  provided an index of the risk of toxicity based on current use. The relatively high penetration of ABC and NVP yielded toxicity risk values much greater than zero indicating that they may be present in CSF at levels capable of producing neurotoxicity. DDI, 3TC, APV and ATV also had scores greater than zero but the predicted amount of toxicity would be minimal. Even in cases where higher levels of toxicity were seen, it is noteworthy that the toxic effects were not due to cell death. The toxic effects associated with dendrite beading and possibly pruning are likely to be reversible, raising the possibility that neuroprotective treatments may be effective in preventing or reversing ARV-associated damage.

#### Acute effects of the ARVs on neurons may be unrelated to effects on mitochondria

Of the few studies that have looked at the effects of ART on neural tissue. A recent study by Divi et al. (2010) in year-old patas monkey offspring treated in utero with various NRTIs showed a 28.8-51.8 % depletion of mitochondrial DNA. Similar depletion was seen in the liver, suggesting a similar susceptibility between brain and liver. Most toxicity studies have focused on the ability of NRTIs to cause a long-term suppression of mitochondrial DNA through the inhibition of mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma. However, these compounds also have effects on the ADP/ATP translocator and adenylate kinase, suggesting that other effects may contribute to toxicity (Ciccosanti et al. 2010; Kakuda 2000). Primary neural cultures limit the ability to do long-term studies but clearly demonstrate that damage can be seen with exposures of 2-7 days. Some studies in cell lines have shown that longterm exposure to NRTIs was necessary to deplete mitochondrial DNA and damage cells (Kline et al. 2009), whereas other studies have shown significant depletion of mitochondrial DNA in myoblasts and myotubes by ddI (Saitoh et al. 2008) and human hepatoma cells by various NRTIs (Venhoff et al. 2007) with more modest exposures of 5-25 days. Large tissue differences in the sensitivity to NRTIs have been reported. Based on the slow turnover of mitochondrial DNA in neurons one might expect that they would be less sensitive to the effects of the NRTIs (Wang et al. 1997). The relatively rapid appearance of damage within 7

Page 9

days in our primary neural cultures and minimal changes in markers of mitochondrial function suggests that other factors may contribute to toxicity. This possibility is consistent with in vitro studies of synaptosomes and isolated mitochondria incubated with CSF achievable concentrations of ddC (6–11 ng/ml) (Opii et al. 2007). Signs of oxidative stress, release of cytochrome C and a reduction in anti-apoptotic proteins were apparent within 6 h. Thus, further analyses of ARV toxicity should explore various potential mechanisms of action of the ARV compounds.

Damage was not restricted to the NRTIs as the NNRTIs and PIs also produced damage equal to or greater than the NRTIs. Less is known about the potential neurotoxicity of NNRTIs and PIs although both are associated with significant side effects. All NNRTIs tested in our studies had neurotoxic potencies that were comparable to the NRTIs. This translated to a high toxicity index for all NNRTIs. The PIs had a wider range of potencies with DRV showing very low neurotoxic potency relative to APV and ATV. This was associated with a favorable toxicity index for DRV. RTV was also less toxic than APV and ATV but slightly more toxic than DRV. The fusion inhibitor, maraviroc (MVC) had a relatively low neurotoxic potency and the lowest toxicity index of all drugs tested. Distinctive differences in the potential neurotoxicity of various PIs and entry inhibitors indicate that a rational selection of ARVs may minimize CNS side effects.

#### Antiretroviral combinations did not have additive toxic effects

ARV combinations at estimated plasma concentrations produced similar types of damage as seen with individual compounds with little indication of strong additive effects. In some combinations, compounds with a favorable toxicity index appeared to offset the deleterious effects of compounds with a high toxicity index. This was seen not only in the neuronal damage but also in the calcium signaling of neurons in response to glutamate. This observation is similar to studies by Venhoff et al. (2007), where TDF and 3TC attenuated ddI cytotoxicity in human hepatoma cells. These observations indicate that neurotoxicity may be controlled not only by selecting compounds based on their individual properties but also based on interactions between compounds. The ability of the combination of EFV, TDF, FTC to suppress calcium signaling in response to a glutamate challenge raises the possibility that particular combinations could offer some level of protection by reducing the delayed accumulation of calcium. However, the potential impact of the acute "protective" effects will not be entirely clear until we have a better understanding of the toxic mechanisms.

#### Comparison of ART toxicity to HIV-associated neuronal damage

While the intent of these studies was to identify potential toxic interactions of ARVs with neurons using sensitive measures of neuronal dysfunction, it is important to weigh the potential benefit against the risks. When compared to conditions that recapitulate the toxic effects of HIV under the same culture conditions, the effects of the ARVs are small. For example, direct effects of the ARVs on calcium homeostasis are small relative to the large changes following exposure of the neurons to conditioned medium from HIV-treated human monocyte-derived macrophages.

Estimates of the loss of MAP-2 immunoreactivity at plasma concentrations ranged from approximately 9 % to 28 %. This contrasts with losses of approximately 70 % under conditions that mimic HIV infection in vitro (unpublished data). Based on these in vitro studies, the risk of ARV-associated damage in the CNS relative to the risks of HIV infection would appear to be low.

#### Conclusions

As we develop new antiviral approaches to target HIV in the CNS greater attention to strategies that minimize the risk to neurons will be needed. Our studies indicate that there is a risk of direct neurotoxic interactions that varies substantially between compounds and that informed selection of ARVs and ARV combinations used to target the CNS may minimize adverse effects. While these data begin to identify potential risks, they should not be viewed as guidelines for the clinical use of ARVs. Similar studies will need to correlate in vitro measures to clinical observations to establish the validity of the in vitro toxicity measures. In addition, long-term effects of the compounds may give rise to other forms of damage and will need to be evaluated. Our ability to make rational choices for therapies directed to the CNS is currently limited by a paucity of data on the complex interactions of ARV compounds with neural tissue. Our studies presented here illustrate that the potential for neural damage and dysfunction is significant and highlight the need for further exploration of the mechanism of neurotoxicity of these compounds individually and in combinations.

Page 10

#### Acknowledgments

Antiretroviral compounds were obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program

#### References

- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, Brew BJ, Byrd DA, Cherner M, Clifford DB, Cinque P, Epstein LG, Goodkin K, Gisslen M, Grant I, Heaton RK, Joseph J, Marder K, Marra CM, McArthur JC, Nunn M, Price RW, Pulliam L, Robertson KR, Sacktor N, Valcour V, Wojna VE. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology. 2007; 69:1789–1799. [PubMed: 17914061]
- Bartlett, JC.; Lane, HC. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of AIDS Research; 2012. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents; p. 1-166.http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf
- Bellizzi MJ, Lu SM, Masliah E, Gelbard HA. Synaptic activity becomes excitotoxic in neurons exposed to elevated levels of platelet-activating factor. J Clin Invest. 2005; 115:3185–3192. [PubMed: 16276420]
- Bressani RF, Nowacek AS, Singh S, Balkundi S, Rabinow B, McMillan J, Gendelman HE, Kanmogne GD. Pharmacotoxicology of monocyte-macrophage nanoformulated antiretroviral drug uptake and carriage. Nanotoxicol. 2011; 5:592–605.
- Ciccosanti F, Corazzari M, Soldani F, Matarrese P, Pagliarini V, Iadevaia V, Tinari A, Zaccarelli M, Perfettini JL, Malorni W, Kroemer G, Antinori A, Fimia GM, Piacentini M. Proteomic analysis identifies prohibitin down-regulation as a crucial event in the mitochondrial damage observed in HIV-infected patients. Antivir Ther. 2010; 15:377–390. [PubMed: 20516557]
- Dilley JW, Schwarcz S, Loeb L, Hsu L, Nelson K, Scheer S. The decline of incident cases of HIVassociated neurological disorders in San Francisco, 1991–2003. AIDS. 2005; 19:634–635. [PubMed: 15802986]
- Divi RL, Einem TL, Fletcher SL, Shockley ME, Kuo MM, St Claire MC, Cook A, Nagashima K, Harbaugh SW, Harbaugh JW, Poirier MC. Progressive mitochondrial compromise in brains and livers of primates exposed in utero to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs). Toxicol Sci. 2010; 118:191–201. [PubMed: 20702595]
- Greenwood SM, Mizielinska SM, Frenguelli BG, Harvey J, Connolly CN. Mitochondrial dysfunction and dendritic beading during neuronal toxicity. J Biol Chem. 2007; 282:26235–26244. [PubMed: 17616519]
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, McCutchan JA, Letendre SL, Leblanc S, Corkran SH, Duarte NA, Clifford DB, Woods SP, Collier AC, Marra CM, Morgello S, Mindt MR, Taylor MJ, Marcotte TD, Atkinson JH, Wolfson T, Gelman BB, McArthur JC, Simpson DM, Abramson I, Gamst A,

Fennema-Notestine C, Jernigan TL, Wong J, Grant I. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates, nature, and predictors. J Neurovirol. 2011; 17:3–16. [PubMed: 21174240]

- Kakuda TN. Pharmacology of nucleoside and nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor-induced mitochondrial toxicity. Clin Ther. 2000; 22:685–708. [PubMed: 10929917]
- Kim JY, Shen S, Dietz K, He Y, Howell O, Reynolds R, Casaccia P. HDAC1 nuclear export induced by pathological conditions is essential for the onset of axonal damage. Nat Neurosci. 2010; 13:180–189. [PubMed: 20037577]
- Kline ER, Bassit L, Hernandez-Santiago BI, Detorio MA, Liang B, Kleinhenz DJ, Walp ER, Dikalov S, Jones DP, Schinazi RF, Sutliff RL. Long-term exposure to AZT, but not d4T, increases endothelial cell oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction. Cardiovasc Toxicol. 2009; 9:1–12. [PubMed: 19067249]
- Lewis W, Kohler JJ, Hosseini SH, Haase CP, Copeland WC, Bienstock RJ, Ludaway T, McNaught J, Russ R, Stuart T, Santoianni R. Antiretroviral nucleosides, deoxynucleotide carrier and mitochondrial DNA: evidence supporting the DNA pol gamma hypothesis. AIDS. 2006; 20:675– 684. [PubMed: 16514297]
- Maagaard A, Kvale D. Mitochondrial toxicity in HIV-infected patients both off and on antiretroviral treatment: a continuum or distinct underlying mechanisms? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009; 64:901–909. [PubMed: 19740910]
- Maagaard A, Holberg-Petersen M, Kollberg G, Oldfors A, Sandvik L, Bruun JN. Mitochondrial (mt)DNA changes in tissue may not be reflected by depletion of mtDNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in HIV-infected patients. Antivir Ther. 2006; 11:601–608. [PubMed: 16964828]
- Mahajan SD, Roy I, Xu G, Yong KT, Ding H, Aalinkeel R, Reynolds J, Sykes D, Nair BB, Lin EY, Prasad PN, Schwartz SA. Enhancing the delivery of anti retroviral drug "Saquinavir" across the blood brain barrier using nanoparticles. Curr HIV Res. 2010; 8:396–404. [PubMed: 20426757]
- Manda VK, Mittapalli RK, Bohn KA, Adkins CE, Lockman PR. Nicotine and cotinine increases the brain penetration of saquinavir in rat. J Neurochem. 2010; 115:1495–1507. [PubMed: 20950334]
- Masliah E, Ge N, Morey M, DeTeresa R, Terry RD, Wiley CA. Cortical dendritic pathology in human immunodeficiency virus encephalitis. Lab Invest. 1992; 66:285–291. [PubMed: 1538584]
- Moyle G. Mechanisms of HIV and nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor injury to mitochondria. Antivir Ther. 2005; 10(Suppl 2):M47–M52. [PubMed: 16152705]
- Opii WO, Sultana R, Abdul HM, Ansari MA, Nath A, Butterfield DA. Oxidative stress and toxicity induced by the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI)—2',3'-dideoxycytidine (ddC): relevance to HIV-dementia. Exp Neurol. 2007; 204:29–38. [PubMed: 17069802]
- Prabhakar K, Afzal SM, Kumar PU, Rajanna A, Kishan V. Brain delivery of transferrin coupled indinavir submicron lipid emulsions—pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2011; 86:305–313. [PubMed: 21565469]
- Robertson KR, Smurzynski M, Parsons TD, Wu K, Bosch RJ, Wu J, McArthur JC, Collier AC, Evans SR, Ellis RJ. The prevalence and incidence of neurocognitive impairment in the HAART era. AIDS. 2007; 21:1915–1921. [PubMed: 17721099]
- Robertson KR, Su Z, Margolis DM, Krambrink A, Havlir DV, Evans S, Skiest DJ. Neurocognitive effects of treatment interruption in stable HIV-positive patients in an observational cohort. Neurology. 2010; 74:1260–1266. [PubMed: 20237308]
- Sacktor N, Lyles RH, Skolasky R, Kleeberger C, Selnes OA, Miller EN, Becker JT, Cohen B, McArthur JC. HIV-associated neurologic disease incidence changes: Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study, 1990–1998. Neurology. 2001; 56:257–260. [PubMed: 11160967]
- Sacktor N, McDermott MP, Marder K, Schifitto G, Selnes OA, McArthur JC, Stern Y, Albert S, Palumbo D, Kieburtz K, De Marcaida JA, Cohen B, Epstein L. HIV-associated cognitive impairment before and after the advent of combination therapy. J Neurovirol. 2002; 8:136–142. [PubMed: 11935465]
- Saitoh A, Fenton T, Alvero C, Fletcher CV, Spector SA. Impact of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors on mitochondria in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected children receiving

highly active antiretroviral therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007; 51:4236–4242. [PubMed: 17893156]

- Saitoh A, Haas RH, Naviaux RK, Salva NG, Wong JK, Spector SA. Impact of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors on mitochondrial DNA and RNA in human skeletal muscle cells. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008; 52:2825–2830. [PubMed: 18541728]
- Saiyed ZM, Gandhi NH, Nair MP. Magnetic nanoformulation of azidothymidine 5'-triphosphate for targeted delivery across the blood-brain barrier. Int J Nanomedicine. 2010; 5:157–166. [PubMed: 20463931]
- Saksena NK, Smit TK. HAART & the molecular biology of AIDS dementia complex. Indian J Med Res. 2005; 121:256–269. [PubMed: 15817943]
- Schnell G, Spudich S, Harrington P, Price RW, Swanstrom R. Compartmentalized human immunodeficiency virus type 1 originates from long-lived cells in some subjects with HIV-1associated dementia. PLoS Pathog. 2009; 5:e1000395. [PubMed: 19390619]
- Schnell G, Price RW, Swanstrom R, Spudich S. Compartmentalization and clonal amplification of HIV-1 variants in the cerebrospinal fluid during primary infection. J Virol. 2010; 84:2395–2407. [PubMed: 20015984]
- Smit TK, Brew BJ, Tourtellotte W, Morgello S, Gelman BB, Saksena NK. Independent evolution of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) drug resistance mutations in diverse areas of the brain in HIV-infected patients, with and without dementia, on antiretroviral treatment. J Virol. 2004; 78:10133–10148. [PubMed: 15331746]
- Takeuchi H, Mizuno T, Zhang G, Wang J, Kawanokuchi J, Kuno R, Suzumura A. Neuritic beading induced by activated microglia is an early feature of neuronal dysfunction toward neuronal death by inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and axonal transport. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:10444– 10454. [PubMed: 15640150]
- Venhoff N, Setzer B, Melkaoui K, Walker UA. Mitochondrial toxicity of tenofovir, emtricitabine and abacavir alone and in combination with additional nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Antivir Ther. 2007; 12:1075–1085. [PubMed: 18018766]
- Villa G, Solida A, Moro E, Tavolozza M, Antinori A, De Luca A, Murri R, Tamburrini E. Cognitive impairment in asymptomatic stages of HIV infection. A longitudinal study. Eur Neurol. 1996; 36:125–133. [PubMed: 8738940]
- Wang GJ, Nutter LM, Thayer SA. Insensitivity of cultured rat cortical neurons to mitochondrial DNA synthesis inhibitors: evidence for a slow turnover of mitochondrial DNA. Biochem Pharmacol. 1997; 54:181–187. [PubMed: 9296365]



#### Fig 1.

DMSO toxicity dose–response curve. Neuronal toxicity due to DMSO relative to untreated controls was similar in three different analyses which measured the area occupied by MAP-2 stained cells (% threshold), damage scores based on morphology of MAP-2 stained cells (damage) and results from an MTT assay for mitochondrial activity. In each case, damage was first apparent after reaching a concentration of 1 % DMSO (log DMSO=0). Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM



#### Fig 2.

Examples of the types of damage seen and measured in neural cultures treated for 1 week with efavirenz or atazanavir. Neurons were stained for MAP-2 (green) and counterstained with the nuclear stain, bisbenzimide (blue). The images illustrate the types of damage that contribute to toxicity but were not matched for neuron density and do not necessarily reflect the average extent of damage for the compounds illustrated since cultures often contained a mix of each type of damage. a Untreated cultures contained healthy neurons with extensive outgrowth of processes. b Beading of the dendrites was an early sign of damage and often appeared adjacent to other normal looking neurons (7 days efavirenz). c Some neurons showed normal cell bodies but had less extensive elaboration of dendrites relative to matched untreated cultures (7 days atazanavir). d The most severe damage such as shrinkage of the neuropil and an extensive loss of dendrites was seen only at the highest concentrations of some drugs (efavarenz at 30 µg/ml) and was generally confounded by the presence of high concentrations of DMSO. However, similar elements could be seen in many cultures with less severe overall damage. In each case, the damage causes a loss of area occupied by MAP-2 stained neurons which could be quantified to provide a measure of toxic damage in live neurons



#### Fig 3.

Dose–response curves for damage induced by each of 15 different antiretroviral compounds incubated in neural cultures for 1 week (day 6 to day 13). The proportion of total area occupied by MAP-2 stained neurons and processes relative to untreated control cultures is plotted versus the log of the drug concentration (ng/ml). The best-fit sigmoidal curve was determined using Graphpad Prism software and was used to calculate the median toxic concentration (TC<sub>50</sub>) for each compound which is summarized in Table 1. The shape and midpoint of the curves varied widely between compounds indicating variable toxic properties. No compound caused a complete loss of MAP-2 immunoreactivity at the concentration reported in plasma of HIV patients. *Dashed lines* indicate the reported concentration in the CSF. Values are mean  $\pm$  SEM

Page 16

Robertson et al.



#### Fig 4.

Illustration of the estimated toxicity index and toxicity risk for each of 15 antiretroviral compounds. To reflect the threshold concentration at which toxicity might first begin to develop, the toxicity index was calculated as the log of the reported therapeutic plasma concentration divided by the estimated  $TC_{10}$  value from the data in Fig. 3. **a** Six compounds had a toxicity index >2 and three more an index >1 indicating a relatively high risk of toxic effects from most compounds. AZT, DRV and RTV had a modest index between 0 and 1 while ddC, FTC and MVC had negative values indicating a relatively low risk of neural damage at therapeutic concentrations. **b** Toxicity risk was estimated in the same fashion from the current estimates of ARV concentrations in the CSF. ABC, DDI, and NVP had values >1 (1.34–2.76), indicating significant current risk of toxicity. APV (0.71) and ATV (0.81) had a low risk of toxicity, whereas all other compounds had negligible risk of toxicity based on this assessment



#### Fig 5.

Loss of MAP-2 stain in neural cultures treated for 7 days with one of five different antiretroviral combinations relative to untreated cultures. The concentration of each drug was matched to the therapeutic plasma concentration in Table 1. Four combinations significantly decreased the MAP-2 stained area (\*p<0.05), although the extent of damage was moderate with a maximum loss of 31 % of the MAP-2 stained area. Values are mean ± SEM



#### Fig 6.

Neuronal calcium responses to a 10 µM glutamate challenge after incubation in the indicated antiretroviral cocktail for 2 days. The mean fluorescence of neurons loaded with the calcium indicator dye Fluo-4 was measured over time. Fluo-4 fluorescence is proportional to the intracellular calcium concentration. A robust acute response to glutamate was seen in the control neurons (*filled circles*) receiving no antiretroviral treatment followed by a slight rise in the delayed phase. Pre-treatment of the neurons with the ARV combination ATV, RTV, TDF, FTC (*open squares*) resulted in a similar acute and delayed response. Pre-treatment with the more toxic combination of DRV, ABC, 3TC (*open triangles*) resulted in a much greater acute response followed by a larger delayed accumulation of calcium. The less toxic combination of EFV, TDF, FTC (*open circles*) reduced the magnitude of the acute response to glutamate. Although the net accumulation of calcium was lower, the rate of accumulation in the delayed phase was similar to the other ARV combinations. Neurons directly challenged with the ARV cocktail (DRV, ABC, 3TC; *open diamonds*) in the absence of glutamate had no effect on intracellular calcium and were indistinguishable from aCSF controls



#### Fig 7.

**a** Examples of cultured neurons stained with the mitochondrial potential sensitive dye TMRM. Control mitochondria were seen as brightly stained, long fingerlike structures in the neurons. Treatment with RTV depleted the mitochondrial membrane potential as indicated by the low intensity of the TMRM stain. EFV had a small effect on the intensity of TMRM. DDI induced an increase in the TMRM fluorescence indicating an increase in the mitochondrial membrane potential. Each compound tested caused a slight rounding of the mitochondria. **b** Quantification of the average TMRM fluorescence in neurons treated with different ARVs. All ARVs tested resulted in a significant decrease in the TMRM fluorescence (\*p<0.05). **c** A frequency analysis illustrating the shift in the distribution of TMRM intensities for each ARV. Most ARVs induced a small shift to the left (decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential). RTV induced the largest shift to the left, whereas DDI produced a notable shift to the right

_
_
_
_
_
-
-
~
_
_
-
0
0
Q
9
P
or N
or N
or M
or Ma
or Ma
or Mai
or Mar
or Man
or Manu
or Manu
or Manu:
or Manus
or Manus
or Manusc
or Manusc
or Manuscr
or Manuscri
or Manuscrip
or Manuscrip
or Manuscrip

summary of AKV conce						
Drug	Molecular weight	Max % MAP-2 loss	% Threshold TC50	Plasma concentration (ng/ml)	CSF concentration (ng/ml)	Reference
Abacavir (ABC)	286.3	27.2	2.2	139 (median)	128 (median)	Caparelli et al. 2005
2',3'-Dideoxycytidine (ddC)	211.2	52.5	1065	25.3 (Cmax)	2.1 (Cmax)	ddC package insert
2',3'-Dideoxyinosine (DDI)	236	42.5	18.4	840 (Cmax)	40 (mean	Burger et al. 1995; Hoetelmans et al. 1998
Emtricitabine [(-) FTC]	247.2	47.3	5287	261 (median)	109 (median)	Best et al. 2009a
Tenofovir (TDF)	305.2	18	80.9	96 (median)	5 (median)	Best et al. 2008
Lamivudine (3TC)	229.3	34.9	193.4	1195 (Cmax)	46 (median)	van Praag 2002
Zidovudine (AZT)	267	42.4	1638	635 (Cmax)	38 (median)	van Praag 2002
Efavirenz (EFV)	315.7	36.5	199.5	2145 (median)	13.9 (median)	Best et al. 2009a
Etravirine (ETR)	435.3	23.1	6.8	875.7 (Cmax)	0.9 (Cmax * 0.001)	Kakuda et al 2008
Nevirapine (NVP)	266.3	34.7	151.2	6199 (Cmax)	932 (median)	van Praag 2002
Amprenavir (APV)	505.6	29.1	49	2150 (median	25 (median)	Letendre et al. 2008
Atazanavir Sulfate (ATV)	802.9	33.5	15.8	1278 (median)	10.3 (median)	Best et al. 2009b
Darunavir (DRV)	593.7	17	10452	3930 (median)	34.2 (median)	Yilmaz et al. 2009
Ritonavir (RTV)	721	31.4	2375	1400 (Cmax)	23	Liu et al. 2007; Kravcik et al. 1999
Maraviroc (MVC)	513.7	22	2978	94.9 (median)	3.6 (median)	Yilmaz et al. 2009

2 NR TI nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, NNR TI non-nucleoside reverse transcr concentration, ND no damage Page 20

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 October 01.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 124 of 213 PageID# 13312

## EXHIBIT F

MAJOR ARTICLE

#### HIV/AIDS

### Neurocognitive Change in the Era of HIV Combination Antiretroviral Therapy: The Longitudinal CHARTER Study

Robert K. Heaton,<sup>1</sup> Donald R. Franklin Jr,<sup>1</sup> Reena Deutsch,<sup>1</sup> Scott Letendre,<sup>1</sup> Ronald J. Ellis,<sup>1</sup> Kaitlin Casaletto,<sup>1</sup> Maria J. Marquine,<sup>1</sup> Steven P. Woods,<sup>1</sup> Florin Vaida,<sup>1</sup> J. Hampton Atkinson,<sup>1,2</sup> Thomas D. Marcotte,<sup>1</sup> J. Allen McCutchan,<sup>1</sup> Ann C. Collier,<sup>3</sup> Christina M. Marra,<sup>3</sup> David B. Clifford,<sup>4</sup> Benjamin B. Gelman,<sup>5</sup> Ned Sacktor,<sup>6</sup> Susan Morgello,<sup>7</sup> David M. Simpson,<sup>7</sup> Ian Abramson,<sup>1</sup> Anthony C. Gamst,<sup>1</sup> Christine Fennema-Notestine,<sup>1</sup> David M. Smith,<sup>1</sup> and Igor Grant<sup>1</sup>; for the CHARTER Group<sup>a</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of California, San Diego, <sup>2</sup>Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, California; <sup>3</sup>University of Washington, Seattle; <sup>4</sup>Washington University, St Louis, Missouri; <sup>5</sup>University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston; <sup>6</sup>Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; and <sup>7</sup>Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York

#### (See the Editorial Commentary by Cysique on pages 481-2.)

**Background.** Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) can show variable clinical trajectories. Previous longitudinal studies of HAND typically have been brief, did not use adequate normative standards, or were conducted in the context of a clinical trial, thereby limiting our understanding of incident neurocognitive (NC) decline and recovery.

*Methods.* We investigated the incidence and predictors of NC change over 16–72 (mean, 35) months in 436 HIV-infected participants in the CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research cohort. Comprehensive laboratory, neuromedical, and NC assessments were obtained every 6 months. Published, regression-based norms for NC change were used to generate overall change status (decline vs stable vs improved) at each study visit. Survival analysis was used to examine the predictors of time to NC change.

**Results.** Ninety-nine participants (22.7%) declined, 265 (60.8%) remained stable, and 72 (16.5%) improved. In multivariable analyses, predictors of NC improvements or declines included time-dependent treatment status and indicators of disease severity (current hematocrit, albumin, total protein, aspartate aminotransferase), and baseline demographics and estimated premorbid intelligence quotient, non-HIV-related comorbidities, current depressive symptoms, and lifetime psychiatric diagnoses (overall model P < .0001).

**Conclusions.** NC change is common in HIV infection and appears to be driven by a complex set of risk factors involving HIV disease, its treatment, and comorbid conditions.

*Keywords.* cognitive change; HIV; antiretroviral therapy; comorbidities.

Availability of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) has substantially improved medical morbidity and life expectancy in human immunodeficiency virus

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2015;60(3):473-80

(HIV)-infected (HIV<sup>+</sup>) individuals. Nevertheless, HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) remain common [1–3]. Although the prevalence of the most severe form of HAND, HIV-associated dementia, has declined since the introduction of cART, milder forms of HAND persist and may be more prevalent in "earlier" disease stages that are maintained much longer than in the pre-cART era [2, 4, 5]. Recent reviews suggest modest neurocognitive (NC) improvement in HIV<sup>+</sup> groups after beginning cART [6–8], but little is known about the incidence and predictors of NC change over time.

So far only 1 study has used formal NC "norms for change" to classify significant NC improvement or

Received 7 May 2014; accepted 19 August 2014; electronically published 31 October 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The CHARTER Group members are listed in the Appendix.

Correspondence: Robert K. Heaton, PhD, Psychiatry Department (0603), University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0603 (rheaton@ucsd.edu).

<sup>©</sup> The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciu862

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 126 of 213 PageID# 13314

decline in individual participants [9]. One hundred ninety-two HIV<sup>+</sup> and 101 HIV-uninfected (HIV<sup>-</sup>) Chinese former plasma donors were followed for 1 year, and results of the HIV<sup>-</sup> group were used to develop regression-based norms for change that adjusted for known factors that may affect follow-up results of medically stable people (eg, baseline level of performance, normal variability, and practice effects). Twenty-seven percent of the HIV<sup>+</sup> group evidenced NC decline over 1 year; this change was predicted by baseline AIDS and lower CD4, and at follow-up was associated with lack of viral suppression on cART and lower current CD4.

Here we present the longitudinal CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) findings, including both baseline and time-dependent predictors of NC change. We used recently published regression-based norms for NC change, developed with a large US sample that was administered the CHARTER test battery over multiple visits [10].

#### **METHODS**

#### **Subjects**

Four hundred thirty-six CHARTER participants who underwent 4–7 study visits (16–72 months of follow-up; mean, 35 months) were identified from the longitudinal cohort (n = 699). This group had a total of 2680 visits, and its demographic, HIV disease, and treatment characteristics at baseline are summarized in Table 1.

#### Procedures

At all study visits, subjects completed a venipuncture, neuromedical assessment, comprehensive NC testing, detailed substance use history, a fully structured psychiatric interview for lifetime and current (30-day) diagnoses of major depression and alcohol and other psychoactive substance use disorders, and a measure of mood symptoms in the previous 14 days. At visits where the participant consented (n = 2408), lumbar puncture was performed. Details of the CHARTER assessments, including assessment of comorbidities, are provided in prior publications [1, 2]. All procedures were approved by the human subjects protection committees of each participating institution. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

#### **Determination of Overall NC Change**

To determine NC change, we generated a z score for each of 15 neuropsychological variables based on published normative data [10]. These z scores reflect how well or poorly the person performed at follow-up, relative to normal expectation for someone with the same baseline NC and other relevant characteristics (eg, age, education). The z scores were then averaged to provide a summary regression change score (sRCS). The top 5% of the sRCS distribution of the normative sample defined the "improve" 
 Table 1.
 Baseline Demographic, HIV Disease, and Treatment

 Characteristics of CHARTER Sample With 4–7 Study Visits (n = 436)

Characteristic	Mean (SD), Median (IQR), or %
Age, y, mean (SD)	43.9 (8.4)
Education, y, mean (SD)	12.9 (2.5)
Sex, male	80%
Race/ethnicity	
Non-Hispanic white	43%
Non-Hispanic black	44%
Hispanic	11%
Other	2%
Comorbidity status	
Incidental	59%
Contributing	29%
Confounding	12%
AIDS	60%
Nadir CD4 count, cells/µL, median (IQR)	184 (49–320)
Current CD4 count, cells/µL, median (IQR)	459 (289–644)
Currently on cART	70%
Duration current regimen, mo, mean (SD)	18.0 (21.2)
Prior cART only	12%
ART naive	18%
Undetectable HIV in plasma (n = 436)	41% (58% if on ART)
Undetectable HIV in CSF (n = 395)	66% (85% if on ART)
Neurocognitive impairment	46%

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; cART, combination antiretroviral therapy; CHARTER, CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research; CNS, central nervous system; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

range and the bottom 5% defined the "decline" range [10]. The remaining middle 90% was defined as "stable." NC change status from baseline was generated for each follow-up visit. The individual visit change status for each participant was then merged into an overall change status: (1) decline: if a participant had at least 1 "decline" status and no "improve" status across visits; (2) improve: if a participant had at least 1 "improve" status and no "decline" status; (3) stable: if a participant had no "decline" or "improve" NC change status (all visits "stable"). Two participants out of an original cohort of 438 met criteria for both "improve" and "decline" during the follow-up period (at different visits) and were thus excluded from analyses.

The published normative standards for detecting NC change were derived from 172 HIV<sup>-</sup> controls and 124 HIV<sup>+</sup> individuals who were selected based on strict criteria for clinical stability [10]. This provided a broader range of baseline NC performance in the total normative sample. The sRCS results were virtually identical for the HIV<sup>-</sup> and stable HIV<sup>+</sup> subgroups (means across visits in *z* score units were 0.00 [standard deviation (SD), 0.32] for HIV<sup>+</sup> and 0.01 [SD, 0.34] for HIV<sup>-</sup>; *P* = .93).

#### **Statistical Methods**

Participants classified by overall change status were compared on baseline characteristics using analysis of variance and Tukey honestly significant difference tests or  $\chi^2$  tests. No other adjustments for multiple testing were applied. Initially, potential time-varying and static predictors of the first time when any NC change occurred were screened individually using Cox proportional hazards modeling. Variables univariably significant at a liberal 10% level were then combined into a Cox time-dependent multivariable model, and backward elimination with a minimal Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to reduce the model. Because the resultant model was based only on visits having nonmissing data for all variables in the initial full model, the AIC-based modeling was repeated on reduced models, thus allowing inclusion of more visits. The procedure continued until no further variable reduction occurred. This method identifies a concise combination of predictors based on maximal available data and after eliminating variables that fail to contribute to a better fit if included in the model. The procedure includes variables contributing important information without regard to P values.

#### RESULTS

Over the total follow-up period, 99 participants (22.7%) were defined as NC "decliners," 72 (16.5%) were "improvers," and 265 (60.8%) were "stable" (Supplementary Digital Data Figure 1).

Decliners had a mean sRCS (z score units) of -0.52 compared with -0.01 for stable participants and 0.42 for improvers (P < .001); these mean z score differences approximate medium effect sizes. At the last visit, 61% of decliners were NC impaired, compared with 37% of the stable participants and 24% of the improvers (decline > stable > improve; P < .0001). Of the 99 decliners, 66 (66.7%) met criteria for symptomatic NC impairment (impairment with documented functional decline [1]) during their follow-up. This contrasts with 37.5% with symptomatic impairment for the improvers and 40.4% for stable participants ( $\chi^2_{df=2}$  = 22.5, *P* < .0001). Figure 1 shows the 3 groups' mean changes in average, demographically corrected NC standard scores (T scores) from baseline to last visit. This demonstrates that, even though the visit where a change occurred usually was well before visit 7 (62% were before visit 4), the groups evidence very different trajectories across the entire follow-up period: Although NC performance was quite comparable at baseline, the 3 groups were very different at the final visit (F = 42.98; P < .0001). Importantly, however, although individuals within the total CHARTER group showed variable NC trajectories, the overall prevalence of HAND did not increase: 45.9% had NC impairment at baseline and 40.1% were impaired at their final visit.



Figure 1. Mean neurocognitive T score at baseline and last follow-up for 3 change groups.

#### **Baseline Predictors of NC Change**

Although decliners, improvers, and stable participants did not differ on most baseline demographics, disease, or treatment variables, there were a few differences (Table 2). Decliners were more likely than improvers to be female, Hispanic, and to have detectable virus in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) (overall, and if on antiretroviral therapy [ART]); in all of these respects, the stable group was intermediate between decliners and improvers. Although decliners tended to have more severe non-HIV comorbidities than both other groups, the comparison was statistically significant only vs the stable group for confounding conditions.

#### **Stability of Viral Suppression and NC Change**

We classified participants as being "always undetectable" (plasma HIV RNA at all visits ≤50 copies/mL), "always detectable" (plasma HIV RNA at all visits >50 copies/mL), and "sometimes detectable" (plasma HIV RNA at least 1 visit ≤50 copies/mL, and at least 1 visit >50 copies/mL). We then compared these groups on their average sRCS across all visits. The "always detectable" group had a lower average sRCS score than both the "always undetectable" and "sometimes detectable" groups (-0.26 vs -0.06 and 0.003, respectively; P = .002), indicating greater NC decline in the "always detectable" group compared with the other groups (see Figure 2).

#### Predictors of Earlier NC Decline or Improvement

Univariable survival analyses using a combination of both timeinvariant and time-dependent predictors were run to explore the effects of these predictors on incident NC change. "Time dependent" in this context means that the values of these variables are subject to change from visit to visit. Potential predictors univariably screened for subsequent multivariable analysis included demographic, disease, treatment, laboratory, and psychiatric variables. Table 3 displays the predictors identified as candidates for multivariable modeling (P < .10).

Table 2.	Comparison o	of Neurocognitive	<b>Change Groups</b>	on Baseline	Demographic,	<b>Treatment, and Disease</b>	Characteristics
----------	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	-------------	--------------	-------------------------------	-----------------

Characteristic	Decline	Stable	Improve	Group Differences <sup>a</sup> P< .05
No. of visits, mean (SD)	6.2 (1.1)	6.1 (1.2)	6.2 (1.2)	
Age, y, mean (SD)	42.7 (8.4)	44.2 (8.7)	43.8 (7.5)	
Education, mean (SD)	12.5 (2.6)	12.9 (2.4)	13.3 (2.4)	
Male sex	72%	81%	86%	D < 1
Race/ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic white	36%	44%	50%	
Non-Hispanic black	39%	46%	44%	
Hispanic	20%	8%	6%	D > I, S
Other	5%	2%	0%	
WRAT-3 Reading subtest, mean (SD)	92.8 (15.2)	93.8 (14.8)	96.6 (15.0)	
Comorbidity				
Incidental	48%	65%	58%	
Contributing	32%	27%	31%	
Confounding	20%	8%	11%	D > S
NC impaired	51%	45%	42%	
AIDS	55%	62%	61%	
Nadir CD4, median (IQR)	206 (60–354)	180 (41–327)	171 (26–303)	
Current CD4, median (IQR)	432 (264–617)	469 (295–659)	432 (245–588)	
ART	68%	70%	72%	
CPE score <sup>b</sup>	7.8 (2.2)	7.6 (1.9)	7.8 (2.0)	
Detectable plasma	66%	59%	50%	l < D
Detectable on ART	50%	44%	31%	l < D
Detectable CSF	47%	40%	28%	l < D, S
Detectable on ART	30%	24%	8%	l < D, S
PI-based regimen	60%	56%	46%	
HCV infected	31%	24%	25%	

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; CPE, central nervous system penetration effectiveness; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IQR, interquartile range; NC, neurocognitive; PI, protease inhibitor; SD, standard deviation; WRAT-3, Wide Range Achievement Test, 3rd ed.

<sup>a</sup> D, decliner; I, improver; S, stable.

<sup>b</sup> Letendre et al [11].



**Figure 2.** Plasma viral load over time vs average neurocognitive (NC) change score. Abbreviations: Det, detectable; Undet, undetectable.

Candidate predictors for multivariable modeling of either decline or improvement included time-dependent HIV treatment status (on/off ART) and disease severity indicators (degree of immunosuppression, HIV RNA load in plasma and CSF, serum total protein, albumin, hematocrit, high-density lipoprotein [HDL], and aspartate aminotransferase [AST]), all in the "expected" direction of worse medical management/status being associated with decline, and better management/status with improvement. In addition, overall severity of non-HIV comorbidities [2] and some specific (substance and mood related) psychiatric diagnoses as well as higher depressive symptoms were associated with earlier NC decline, whereas absence of lifetime major depression and substance use diagnoses were predictors of earlier improvement.
		Decline	Improvement					
Predictor	Risk	Reference	RR	P Value	Risk	Reference	RR	P Value*
Age	Younger	1 y <sup>a</sup>	1.02	.0937				
Sex	Female	Male	1.76	.0153				
Ethnicity	Hispanic	Non-Hispanic	2.35	.0018				
Education					Higher	1 y <sup>b</sup>	1.10	.0534
Premorbid IQ <sup>c</sup>					Higher	1 unit <sup>b</sup>	1.02	.0473
ART status <sup>d</sup>	Off ART	On ART	1.91	.0038				
CD4 <sup>d</sup>	Lower	100 cells <sup>a</sup>	1.14	.0024				
Nadir CD4	Higher	100 cells <sup>b</sup>	1.09	.0833				
Plasma VL <sup>d</sup>	Higher	1 log <sup>b</sup> <sub>10</sub>	1.26	.0026	Lower	1 log <sup>a</sup> <sub>10</sub>	1.27	.0295
Det/Undet <sup>d</sup>					Undet	Det	1.53	.0876
CSF VL <sup>d</sup>	Higher	1 log <sup>b</sup> <sub>10</sub>	1.26	.0552	Lower	1 log <sup>a</sup> <sub>10</sub>	1.47	.0476
Det/Undet <sup>d</sup>	Det	Undet	1.50	.0790	Undet	Det	1.73	.0952
AST <sup>d</sup>					Lower	1 unit <sup>a</sup>	1.01	.0172
Protein total <sup>d</sup>					Lower	1 unit <sup>a</sup>	1.96	<.0001
Albumin <sup>d</sup>	Lower	1 unit <sup>a</sup>	2.36	<.0001				
HDL <sup>d</sup>	Lower	1 unit <sup>a</sup>	1.01	.0367				
HCT <sup>d</sup>	Lower	1 unit <sup>a</sup>	1.10	<.0001	Higher	1 unit <sup>b</sup>	1.06	.0244
Comorbidity <sup>e</sup>	Severe	Minimal	2.47	.0007				
Utox <sup>d</sup>	Positive	Negative	1.58	.0497				
LT cannabis Dx <sup>d</sup>					No	Yes	1.58	.0863
LT methamphetamine Dx <sup>d</sup>	Yes	No	1.81	.0148				
LT any substance Dx <sup>d</sup>					No	Yes	1.63	.0576
MDD (last 30 d) <sup>d</sup>	Yes	No	1.68	.0659				
LT MDD <sup>d</sup>	Yes	No	1.71	.0118	No	Yes	1.63	.0396
Beck (total) <sup>d</sup>	Higher	1 unit <sup>b</sup>	1.03	.0051				

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; Beck, Beck Depression Inventory II; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; Det, detectable viral load; Dx, history of abuse or dependence diagnosis; HCT, hematocrit; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IQ, intelligence quotient; LT, lifetime; MDD, major depressive disorder; RR, relative risk; SD, standard deviation; Undet, undetectable viral load; Utox, urine toxicology for drugs with central nervous system effects; VL, viral load. <sup>a</sup> Higher/older.

<sup>b</sup> Lower.

<sup>c</sup> Measured using Wide Range Achievement Test, 3rd ed, Reading Standard Score (population mean = 100, SD = 15).

<sup>d</sup> Variable modeled in a time-dependent manner.

<sup>e</sup> Comorbidity rating [1, 2]: minimal/incidental, moderate/contributing, severe/confounded.

\*P < .10 was considered significant.

Finally, younger age, female sex, and Hispanic ethnicity increased risk for decline, whereas higher education level and premorbid intelligence quotient (IQ) estimate (reading level) were associated with improvement.

In the final multivariable model, Hispanic ethnicity (vs non-Hispanic: relative risk [RR], 2.16 [95% confidence interval {CI}, 1.29–3.61]); confounded comorbidity status (vs incidental: RR, 2.12 [95% CI, 1.22–3.67]); being off ART (vs on ART: RR, 1.94 [95% CI, 1.26–3.00]); having low albumin (vs 1 unit higher: RR, 1.58 [95% CI, .99–2.52]) and low hematocrit (vs 1 unit higher: RR, 1.08 [95% CI, 1.03–1.13]); and having a lifetime methamphetamine use diagnosis (vs none: RR, 1.87 [95% CI, 1.16– 3.02]) and more depressive symptoms (vs 1 unit lower: RR, 1.02 [95% CI, 1.00–1.04]) were associated with earlier time to NC decline (model P < .0001). The multivariable combination of predictors of improvement included higher premorbid IQ estimate (vs 1 unit less: RR, 1.02 [95% CI, 1.00–1.04]); lower total protein (vs 1 unit higher: RR, 1.85 [95% CI, 1.30–2.63]); lower AST (vs 1 unit higher: RR, 1.01 [95% CI, 1.00–1.03]); and no lifetime major depressive disorder (vs positive history: RR, 2.09 [95% CI, 1.27–3.45]) (model P < .0001).

### DISCUSSION

Whereas previous cross-sectional and longitudinal research assessed clinical correlates of NC impairment in HIV-infected

individuals (ie, HAND), our focus was on the incidence, nature, and predictors of NC change. Importantly, we employed recently published, regression-based norms that allowed us to detect significant NC change in individual participants, while controlling for normal test-retest variability, practice effects, and statistical artifacts. We found that almost 40% of subjects showed NC change, with 23% declining and 17% improving. The clinical significance of "decliner" status, in particular, is supported by significantly higher rates of symptomatic NC impairment in this group compared with those who were stable or improved.

We identified many univariable candidate predictors of NC decline or improvement when time-dependent clinical and laboratory findings were considered. These included several predictors specific to HIV and its treatment (ART status, immunosuppression, and plasma and CSF HIV RNA load), as well as others reflecting more general health status (AST, serum protein, albumin, HDL, and hematocrit). The multivariable model predicting time to decline reflected significant combined effects of 5 time-dependent variables (being off ART and having a lower hematocrit, lower albumin, a lifetime methamphetamine use diagnosis, and more depressive symptoms) and 2 static predictors (more significant non-HIV risks for NC impairment and Hispanic ethnicity). By contrast, a significant combination of multivariable predictors of NC improvement included 3 time-dependent variables (lower serum protein, lower AST, and absence of any lifetime history of major depressive disorder) and 1 static predictor (higher estimated premorbid IQ).

As an observational study, CHARTER is unable to demonstrate ART effects. Nevertheless, beneficial effects of cART on VL and immune function are well established [6–8], so the joint, time-dependent links of ART status and associated HIV biomarkers with both positive and negative NC outcomes are potentially important. Specifically, our findings suggest that being off ART uniquely increases risk for NC decline, and current virologic control and degree of immunocompetence were univariable predictors of both types of NC change. Also consistent with the CHARTER findings, in the 1 prior observational study that used regressionbased NC norms for change to identify significant NC decline, such decline was associated with lower follow-up CD4 and lack of viral suppression in a large HIV<sup>+</sup> Chinese sample [9].

Considered together, the current and previously published findings suggest that protection of the central nervous system (CNS) and favorable NC outcomes may be achieved by instituting cART early [12] and monitoring patients carefully to ensure maintenance of viral suppression and immunocompetence. In practice, however, these treatment goals often are not fully realized: Only 79 of the 436 (18.1%) longitudinal participants in CHARTER had undetectable virus in plasma during all study visits. Furthermore, sustained viral suppression does not in itself preclude persisting or even incident HAND [7]. The mechanisms of NC decline in virally suppressed patients are uncertain, but ART toxicity [13] and other non-HIV-related factors may be involved in some cases.

In addition to HIV disease and treatment predictors, we identified several other participant characteristics that may influence NC outcomes over time (Table 3). Factors that appeared beneficial include the combination of higher education level and readingbased estimate of premorbid IQ. These 2 variables are indicators of "cognitive reserve" [14], a concept used to explain individual differences in the threshold of CNS insult required to produce symptomatic neurologic disease. In particular, our finding that higher premorbid IQ was a unique predictor of NC improvement extends prior cross-sectional [15, 16] and longitudinal [17] studies supporting positive effects of cognitive reserve in HIV.

As noted in the CHARTER baseline report, this HIV<sup>+</sup> population had many and diverse non-HIV-related comorbid conditions that may confer increased risks for NC impairment [2]. All participants were classified at baseline into 1 of the 3 specified comorbidity levels [1], and each successive level had more of such comorbidities (averaging 1.5, 3.2, and 4.2 conditions, respectively; P < .01 for all comparisons). In addition, successive groups had more severe comorbidities, as well as higher rates of NC impairment (40%, 59%, 83%). Past research has tended to exclude people with the highest level of comorbidities (those that represent "confounds"), and we are not aware of any previous, systematic attempt to relate comorbidity level to NC change over time. Here we found that the rate of NC decline was much higher in the participants who were classified as confounded at baseline (38.5%, vs 24.6% for those with "contributing" and 18.3% for those with "incidental" comorbidities). The specific reasons for these differences undoubtedly are as varied and complex as the patterns of comorbid conditions involved, and could not be established here with any certainty. Nevertheless, the published comorbidity classification system [1] has shown good interrater reliability in CHARTER [2], and successive levels of comorbidity appear to confer increased risk not only for cross-sectional NC impairment, but also for NC decline over time. These associations may justify more frequent or intensive medical monitoring and support for HIV<sup>+</sup> patients who have higher comorbidity burdens.

We propose that, in univariable analyses, lower age and higher nadir CD4 appeared as marginal (P < .10) predictors of time to NC decline only because of their associations with other participant characteristics in this cohort. In fact, among our NC decliners, lower age was significantly associated not only with shorter duration of HIV infection and higher nadir CD4 counts, but also with higher likelihood of coinfection with hepatitis C virus, higher AST, and increased likelihood of a lifetime methamphetamine use diagnosis. As noted, neither age nor nadir CD4 cell count was identified as a contributor to the multivariable prediction models.

We did not anticipate a higher rate of NC decline to be associated with Hispanic ethnicity, but there are multiple factors that may be contributing to worse outcomes in this group. In general, Hispanics in the United States tend to have lower access to healthcare than non-Hispanic whites [18, 19]. They are much less likely to have health insurance coverage [18] or a usual source of healthcare [19]. HIV<sup>+</sup> Hispanics tend to be late to be tested for the virus [20, 21], to obtain medical care, and to initiate therapy after diagnosis [22]. They also may be more likely to receive suboptimal HIV care [23]. Thus, not surprisingly, they have been observed to have worse HIV disease characteristics, including lower CD4 counts, higher plasma viral loads, more opportunistic infections, and higher rates of AIDS [24, 25]. Among HIV<sup>+</sup> adults, reduced life expectancy from late initiation of therapy and from early discontinuation of therapy are greatest for Hispanics [26]. Few studies have examined the prevalence and pattern of NC impairment among HIV<sup>+</sup> Hispanics. The limited data available are cross-sectional and typically include selected groups with small numbers [27-30]. Although there have been inconsistent findings [22], most studies have found Hispanic ethnicity to be associated with worse NC status [27, 29, 30].

Future research should attempt to clarify factors within the HIV<sup>+</sup> Hispanic population that may influence disease outcomes in general, and NC decline in particular. CHARTER was not designed for this goal. Even though all CHARTER participants were receiving care during this study, it is of interest that, compared to non-Hispanic whites, Hispanics in CHARTER had a higher rate of AIDS (74% vs 58%, P = .03) and lower nadir CD4 cell count (median of 96 vs 190, P = .04). Other factors that may be relevant to consider in future research include immigration status, country of origin, time living in the United States, housing, employment, nutrition, acculturation, language barriers, and health literacy, to name a few (see [31]).

A major limitation of the current study is that it is observational, without control (other than statistical adjustments) over the many treatments, diseases, and other factors that may affect NC outcomes over time. Causation is difficult to assign in observational research. However, our finding of significant, timedependent clinical and biological predictors of NC change, and the fact that many such associations are consistent with those in prior longitudinal studies, suggests that the observed associations may be clinically meaningful. Furthermore, they suggest that consistent use of ART to maintain virologic control and avoid serious immunosuppression may have beneficial longterm effects in protecting the CNS and improving NC outcomes. Finally, increased comorbidity burden and factors associated with Hispanic ethnicity deserve more attention in clinical care of HIV<sup>+</sup> individuals.

### Notes

*Acknowledgments.* The CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research group is supported by the National Institutes of Health (award N01 MH22005).

**Disclaimer.** The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States government.

Potential conflicts of interest. S. L. has received support for research projects from Abbott, Merck, Tibotec, and GlaxoSmithKline; has consulted for Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, and Tibotec; and has received lecture honoraria from Abbott and Boehringer-Ingelheim. R. J. E. has received consultant fees from NeurogesX. F. V. has received research support from the Precision Photonics Corporation, and has served on a data safety and management board for Ardea Biosciences, Inc. J. H. A. is a consultant for Eli Lilly Pharmaceuticals. J. A. M. authors chapters on human immunodeficiency virus for the Merck Manual. A. C. C. has received research support from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Gilead Sciences, Merck & Co, Roche Molecular Systems, Schering-Plough, and Tibotec-Virco; is a member of a data safety monitoring board for a Merck-sponsored study; participated on an advisory board for Pfizer in 2009; and (along with an immediate family member) previously owned stock in Abbott Laboratories, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Johnson & Johnson, and Pfizer. C. M. M. receives royalties from Lippincott Williams & Wilkins and UptoDate. D. B. C. is supported by the Alzheimer Association; has also received research support from Lilly, Roche, Pfizer, Bavarian Nordic, and Biogen; and has been a scientific advisor or consultant to Amgen, Biogen Idec, Drinker, Biddle & Reath (PML Consortium Scientific Advisory Board), Quintiles, Roche, Genentech, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline, Millennium, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Genzyme, and Pfizer. D. M. S. has provided consultancy to GlaxoSmithKline and Gilead. C. F.-N. serves as an Associate Editor for the Journal of Alzheimer's Disease (2012). I. G. has received honoraria from Abbott Pharmaceuticals as part of their Educational Speaker Program. All other authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

### **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary materials are available at *Clinical Infectious Diseases* online (http://cid.oxfordjournals.org). Supplementary materials consist of data provided by the author that are published to benefit the reader. The posted materials are not copyedited. The contents of all supplementary data are the sole responsibility of the authors. Questions or messages regarding errors should be addressed to the author.

#### References

- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology 2007; 69:1789–99.
- Heaton RK, Clifford DB, Franklin DR Jr, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders persist in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy: CHARTER Study. Neurology 2010; 75:2087–96.
- Sevigny JJ, Albert SM, McDermott MP, et al. Evaluation of HIV RNA and markers of immune activation as predictors of HIV-associated dementia. Neurology 2004; 63:2084–90.
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates, nature, and predictors. J Neurovirol 2011; 17:3–16.
- McArthur JC, Brew BJ. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: is there a hidden epidemic? AIDS 2010; 24:1367–70.
- Cysique LA, Brew BJ. Neuropsychological functioning and antiretroviral treatment in HIV/AIDS: a review. Neuropsychol Rev 2009; 19:169–85.
- Tozzi V, Balestra P, Bellagamba R, et al. Persistence of neuropsychologic deficits despite long-term highly active antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV-related neurocognitive impairment: prevalence and risk factors. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2007; 45:174–82.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 132 of 213 PageID# 13320

- Al-Khindi T, Zakzanis KK, van Gorp WG. Does antiretroviral therapy improve HIV-associated cognitive impairment? A quantitative review of the literature. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2011; 17:956–69.
- 9. Cysique LA, Letendre SL, Ake C, et al. Incidence and nature of cognitive decline over 1 year among HIV-infected former plasma donors in China. AIDS **2010**; 24:983–90.
- Cysique LA, Franklin D Jr, Abramson I, et al. Normative data and validation of a regression based summary score for assessing meaningful neuropsychological change. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2011; 33: 505–22.
- 11. Letendre S, Marquie-Beck J, Capparelli E, et al. Validation of the CNS penetration-effectiveness rank for quantifying antiretroviral penetration into the central nervous system. Arch Neurol **2008**; 65:65–70.
- 12. Marcotte TD, Deutsch R, McCutchan JA, et al. Prediction of incident neurocognitive impairment by plasma HIV RNA and CD4 levels early after HIV seroconversion. Arch Neurol **2003**; 60:1406–12.
- Al-Khindi T, Zakzanis KK, van Gorp W. Does antiretroviral therapy improve HIV-associated cognitive impairment? J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2011; 17:956–69.
- 14. Stern Y. Cognitive reserve. Neuropsychologia 2009; 47:2015-28.
- Morgan EE, Woods SP, Smith C, Weber E, Scott JC, Grant I. Lower cognitive reserve among individuals with syndromic HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND). AIDS Behav 16:2279–85.
- Thames AD, Foley JM, Panos SE, Singer EJ, El-Saden S, Hinkin C. Cognitive reserve masks neurobehavioral expression of human immunodeficiency virus associated neurological disorder in older patients. Neurobehav HIV Med 2011; 3:87–93.
- Basso MR, Bornstein RA. Estimated premorbid intelligence mediates neurobehavioral change in individuals with HIV across 12 months. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2000; 22:208–18.
- Adams PF, Kirzinger WK, Martinez ME. Summary health statistics for the US population: National Health Interview Survey, 2011. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 2012; 10:48–9.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health, United States, 2012: with special feature on emergency care. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 2013.
- Chen NE, Gallant JE, Page KR. A systematic review of HIV/AIDS survival and delayed diagnosis among Hispanics in the United States. J Immigr Minor Health 2012; 14:65–81.
- Dennis AM, Napravnik S, Sena AC, Eron JJ. Late entry to HIV care among Latinos compared with non-Latinos in a southeastern US cohort. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:480–7.
- 22. Turner BJ, Cunningham WE, Duan N, et al. Delayed medical care after diagnosis in a US national probability sample of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Arch Intern Med **2000**; 160: 2614–22.
- Shapiro MF, Morton SC, McCaffrey DF, et al. Variations in the care of HIV-infected adults in the United States: results from the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study. JAMA 1999; 281:2305–15.
- Giordano TP, Bartsch G, Zhang Y, et al. Disparities in outcomes for African American and Latino subjects in the Flexible Initial Retrovirus Suppressive Therapies (FIRST) trial. AIDS Patient Care STDs 2010; 24:287–95.
- Swindells S, Cobos DG, Lee N, et al. Racial/ethnic differences in CD4T cell count and viral load at presentation for medical care and in followup after HIV-1 infection. AIDS 2002; 16:1832–4.
- 26. Losina E, Schackman BR, Sadownik SN, et al. Racial and sex disparities in life expectancy losses among HIV-infected persons in the United States: Impact of risk behavior, late initiation, and early discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49:1570–8.
- Durvasula RS, Miller EN, Myers HF, Wyatt GE. Predictors of neuropsychological performance in HIV positive women. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2001; 23:149–63.

- Levin B, Berger JR, Didona T, Duncan R. Cognitive function in asymptomatic HIV-1 Infection: the effects of age, education, ethnicity, and depression. Neuropsychology 1992; 6:303–13.
- 29. Mindt MR, Byrd D, Ryan EL, et al. Characterization and sociocultural predictors of neuropsychological test performance in HIV+ Hispanic individuals. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor Psychol **2008**; 14:315–25.
- Wojna V, Skolasky RL, Hechavarria R, et al. Prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus-associated cognitive impairment in a group of Hispanic women at risk for neurological impairment. J Neurovirol 2006; 12:356–64.
- 31. Escarce JJ, Kanika K. Access to and quality of health care. In: Mitchell MT, Mitchell F, eds. In: Hispanics and the future of America. National Research Council. Panel on Hispanics in the United States. Committee on Population, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2006.

### APPENDIX

The CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) group is affiliated with the Johns Hopkins University; Mount Sinai School of Medicine; University of California, San Diego; University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston; University of Washington, Seattle; and Washington University, St Louis; and is headquartered at the University of California, San Diego. Members are as follows. Director: Igor Grant, MD; Co-directors: J. Allen McCutchan, MD, Ronald J. Ellis, MD, PhD, Thomas D. Marcotte, PhD; Center Manager: Donald Franklin Jr; Neuromedical Component: Ronald J. Ellis, MD, PhD (Principal Investigator [PI]), J. Allen McCutchan, MD, Terry Alexander, RN; Laboratory, Pharmacology and Immunology Component: Scott Letendre, MD (PI), Edmund Capparelli, PharmD; Neurobehavioral Component: Robert K. Heaton, PhD (PI), J. Hampton Atkinson, MD, Steven Paul Woods, PsyD, Matthew Dawson; Virology Component: David M. Smith, MD (PI); Imaging Component: Christine Fennema-Notestine, PhD (Co-PI), Michael J. Taylor, PhD (Co-PI), Rebecca Theilmann, PhD; Data Management Unit: Anthony C. Gamst, PhD (PI), Clint Cushman; Statistics Unit: Ian Abramson, PhD (PI), Florin Vaida, PhD; Protocol Coordinating Component: Thomas D. Marcotte, PhD (PI), Jennifer Marquie-Beck, MPH; Johns Hopkins University site: Justin McArthur (PI), Vincent Rogalski, RN; Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai site: Susan Morgello, MD (Co-PI) and David Simpson, MD (Co-PI), Letty Mintz, NP; University of California, San Diego site: J. Allen McCutchan, MD (PI), Will Toperoff, NP; University of Washington site: Ann Collier, MD (Co-PI) and Christina Marra, MD (Co-PI), Trudy Jones, MN, ARNP; University of Texas site: Benjamin Gelman, MD, PhD (PI), Eleanor Head, RN, BSN; and Washington University site: David Clifford, MD (PI), Muhammad Al-Lozi, MD, Mengesha Teshome, MD.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 133 of 213 PageID# 13321

## EXHIBIT G

MAJOR ARTICLE

## Changes in Body Mass Index and Atherosclerotic Disease Risk Score After Switching From Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate to Tenofovir Alafenamide

13322

Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 134 of 213 PageID#

Society of America

hiv medicine association

Jason J. Schafer,<sup>1</sup> Kaitlin N. Sassa,<sup>1</sup> Jaclyn R. O'Connor,<sup>1</sup> Ayako Shimada,<sup>2</sup> Scott W. Keith,<sup>2</sup> and Joseph A. DeSimone,<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, Jefferson College of Pharmacy, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, <sup>2</sup>Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Division of Biostatistics, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA, <sup>3</sup>Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Division of Infectious Diseases, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

**Background.** Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) to tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)-containing antiretroviral therapy (ART) can improve renal function and bone mineral density in people with human immunodeficiency virus (PWH). The switch can also negatively influence cholesterol, but changes in body mass index (BMI) and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk are unknown.

*Methods.* This retrospective observational study evaluated BMI and ASCVD risk score changes in virologically suppressed PWH who switched from TDF to TAF without switching other ART regimen components. Adults on TDF for  $\geq$ 1 year with 2 consecutive HIV ribonucleic acid values <200 copies/mL before a TAF switch were included. Body weight, BMI, cholesterol, and ASCVD risk score were collected for the year before and after the switch. Pre- and postswitch values were compared with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Changes in BMI and ASCVD scores were modeled using generalized estimating equations regression.

*Results.* One hundred ten patients were included. In unadjusted analyses, there were significant increases in weight, BMI, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and ASCVD risk score in the year after switching from TDF to TAF (each  $P \le .01$ ). In regression models, switching from TDF to TAF was associated with a 0.45 kg/m<sup>2</sup> increase in BMI (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.14–0.76) and a 13% increase in ASCVD risk score (95% CI, 4%–23%).

*Conclusions.* We observed significant BMI and ASCVD score increases in PWH 1 year after switching from TDF to TAF. The mechanism of changes is unclear and requires additional study.

Keywords: BMI; cardiovascular disease risk; HIV; tenofovir alafenamide; weight gain.

Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) to tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)-containing antiretroviral therapy (ART) can maintain virologic efficacy, while preserving or improving renal function and bone mineral density in people with human immunodeficiency virus (PWH) [1–3]. The switch may also negatively influence cholesterol. Patients that switched to TAF-containing ART in clinical trials had significant increases in total (Tchol) high-density lipoprotein (HDL-C) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C) cholesterol levels [3–5]. The impact of these changes on atherosclerotic cardiovascular

### Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

disease (ASCVD) risk is unclear [6]. In addition, recent studies suggest that initiating TAF alongside an integrase inhibitor in treatment-naive patients or switching from TDF to TAF in treatment-experienced patients can lead to weight gain [7, 8]. Patients in the switch study often had changes in other ART regimen components, thereby confounding the ability to analyze TAF alone [7]. The purpose of this study was to determine whether changes in weight, body mass index (BMI), and ASCVD risk score occur after switching from TDF to TAF, without switching other ART regimen components.

### **METHODS**

This was a retrospective, observational study involving virally suppressed PWH who switched from TDF to TAF-containing ART between January 2016 and March 2018 at an urban, academic medical center. Institutional review board approval was obtained before data collection. Adult patients on TDFcontaining ART for at least 1 year were included if they had evidence of persistent viral suppression. This was defined as having at least 2 consecutive human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) viral load values <200 copies/mL and no values >200

Received 12 August 2019; editorial decision 30 August 2019; accepted 16 September 2019. Presented in part: IDWeek, October 4, 2019, Washington DC.

Correspondence: Joseph A. DeSimone, MD, Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Division of Infectious Diseases, Thomas Jefferson University, 1015 Chestnut St., Suite 1020, Philadelphia, PA 19107 (joseph.desimonejr@jefferson.edu).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofz414

copies/mL in the year before the TAF switch. Patients were excluded if any other component of their ART regimen changed in the year before or after the TAF switch.

Demographic data including age, sex, and race were extracted from medical records of eligible patients along with preswitch CD4 cell counts, concomitant ART agents, duration of HIV infection, and total years on ART. Any non-ART medications prescribed for chronic use in the year after the TDF to TAF switch were also collected. Each non-ART medication was labeled as being associated with weight gain, weight loss, or no weight change according to package labeling.

To assess the study endpoints, body weight, BMI, Tchol, LDL-C, HDL-C, and triglyceride values were collected for the year before and after the switch. Pre- and postswitch median values for each of these parameters were then calculated.

To determine pre- and postswitch ASCVD risk scores, the 2018 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines on treating blood cholesterol were used [6]. According to these guidelines, ASCVD scores can estimate the 10-year risk of a cardiovascular event in patients between 40 and 75 years old. As a result, only patients between these ages with all other necessary data points to perform the ACSVD calculation were assessed. Using age, sex, race, cholesterol, blood pressure, diabetes, and smoking status, ASCVD risk scores were generated for all eligible patients at the time of the ART switch and between 6 and 12 months thereafter for comparison.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Patient demographics were summarized with counts and percentages for categorical variables and summarized with means and standard deviations or medians and interguartile ranges (IQRs) for numeric variables. Unadjusted distributions of the pre- and postswitch values for all study endpoints were summarized with medians and IQRs and compared with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. To further investigate the association of switching from TDF to TAF with BMI and ASCVD risk score, 2 separate generalized estimating equation regression models were constructed. The covariates selected as candidates for each model included the following: a pre-versus post-TAF switch indicator, age, sex, race, concomitant medications that can cause weight gain, concomitant medications that can cause weight loss, and time since HIV diagnosis. Two-way interactions with covariates and the pre- versus post-TAF indicator were also assessed. Interaction and main effect terms were removed from the model one at a time using a hierarchical variable selection procedure (ie, never removing a main term that is part of an interaction term in the model) with a final retention criterion of 0.05. The ASCVD risk scores were highly right-skewed, so those data were log-transformed before modeling. All the analyses and visualizations were performed and created with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The significance level of each test was  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### RESULTS

A total of 110 patients met study criteria and were included in the analysis (Table 1). The majority were African American (58.2%) and male (72.7%) with a mean age of 50 years old. Patients had been living with HIV and receiving ART for a median of 12 and 8 years, respectively, with persistent viral suppression and immunologic recovery in response to ART. Approximately half of the patients were receiving integrase inhibitor-based regimens before their TAF switch.

The majority of subjects (65.5%) were either overweight or obese at the time they switched to TAF. The median patient weight was more than 185 pounds with a BMI of 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. In the year after their switch to TAF, patients had significant unadjusted increases in both weight and BMI. On average, patients gained 3 pounds and their BMI increased by 0.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (each  $P \leq .01$ ) (Table 2). In the regression model for BMI, only sex was retained as a covariate. The results of the model were consistent with the unadjusted analysis, suggesting that switching from TDF to TAF was associated with a 0.45 kg/m<sup>2</sup> mean increase in BMI (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.14–0.76).

Unadjusted analyses also showed significant increases in Tchol, LDL-C, and HDL-C in the year after patients switched from TDF to TAF (each  $P \le .01$ ) (Table 2). It is notable that, although the total cholesterol to HDL-C ratio did not change significantly after the switch, significant changes in ASCVD risk scores were observed. A total of 91 of 110 patients were between the ages of 40 and 75 and were eligible for ASCVD score calculations. Of these, 68 had all other data necessary to perform the calculations both before and after the switch. For these patients, the median ASCVD score rose from 6.9% to 8.1% after switching to TAF (P < .01). Our regression model, adjusting for age, sex, race, concomitant medications that can cause weight gain, and time with HIV, suggested that switching from TDF to TAF was associated with a 13% average increase in ASCVD risk score (95% CI, 4%–23%).

### DISCUSSION

We observed significant increases in both BMI and ASCVD risk in PWH who switched from TDF to TAF without changing any other ART regimen components. Importantly, patients in these analyses had longstanding HIV infection that was persistently controlled by ART. Patients were also at or above a normal BMI when switching to TAF. Therefore, it is unlikely that the weight gain patients experienced in this study represented a return to health, which commonly occurs after individuals initiate ART for the first time [9, 10].

In patients with advanced HIV who are underweight before starting ART, weight gain can prolong survival [11, 12]. In contrast, being overweight when initiating treatment or becoming obese on ART can increase a patient's risk for dyslipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) [13–15].

#### Table 1. Demographics and Other Patient Characteristics Summary

Characteristic	All Patients ( $n = 110$ )
Age, mean (SD)	50 (11.7)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	80 (72.7)
Female	30 (27.3)
Race, n (%)	
African American	64 (58.2)
White	38 (34.5)
Hispanic	6 (5.5)
Asian	2 (1.8)
Years since HIV diagnosis, median (IQR)	12.0 (11.0)
Years on ART, median (IQR)	8.0 (8.0)
Preswitch CD4 count (cell/mm <sup>3</sup> ), median (IQR)	627.5 (381.0)
Preswitch BMI category, n (%)	
Underweight	4 (3.6)
Normal weight	34 (30.9)
Overweight	31 (28.2)
Obese	41 (37.3)
Other ART agent, n (%)	
Integrase inhibitor	54 (49.1)
Protease inhibitor	18 (16.4)
Nonnucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitor	32 (29.1)
Other	6 (5.4)
Concomitant medication cause weight gain, n (%)	34 (30.9)
Concomitant medication cause weight loss, n (%)	29 (26.4)

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

This is concerning because obesity is becoming increasingly prevalent in PWH, and these individuals already carry a disproportionate risk for CVD [16–18]. More than half of the patients in this analysis were overweight or obese at baseline and experienced modest increases in BMI 1 year after switching to TAF. Importantly even modest increases in BMI may be clinically relevant. In a recent analysis of over 9000 PWH enrolled in the Data-collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study, the risk of diabetes and CVD increased by approximately 20% per unit of BMI gained in the year after starting ART [13]. The extent to which the BMI changes we observed after

#### Table 2. Unadjusted Outcomes Summary

switching ART could influence a patient's risk of developing diabetes or CVD is unclear.

In terms of dyslipidemia, we observed significant increases in Tchol, LDL-C, and HDL-C in our patients after switching to TAF. These findings are consistent with observations from clinical trials. Also consistent with previous trials, we did not observe changes in Tchol/HDL-C ratios. Current guidelines on treating blood cholesterol recommend calculating an ASCVD risk score using cholesterol data in addition to a person's age, sex, race, blood pressure, and smoking status [6]. When performing this assessment for our patients, we observed a 13% increase in ASCVD risk scores after switching to TAF. This resulted in many patients becoming eligible for treatment with a statin medication for ASCVD risk reduction. More specifically, before TAF, the median ASCVD risk score in our sample was 6.9%, indicating that over half (53.3%) of the sample was below the threshold of 7.5% to meet statin eligibility criterion. After switching to TAF, the average 13% increase in ASCVD risk scores shifted 50.7% of our sample over the 7.5% statin criterion. Taken together with the increases in BMI and cholesterol, the changes in ASCVD risk score may indicate an increased risk for CVD in PWH after switching from TDF to TAF.

This study has several limitations. First, as an observational study, the results cannot establish causal relationships between TAF and increases in BMI or ASCVD risk. Second, as a retrospective chart review, we relied on the accuracy and completeness of medical records, but omissions or inaccuracies that influenced the results were possible. In addition, although we made every attempt to control for confounding variables with restrictive inclusion criteria and statistical analyses, it remains possible that data not measured or collected could have influenced our results. For example, we were unable to collect data on patients' caloric intake and physical activity. Finally, our cohort was predominantly African American and male from a single academic center in the Northeastern United States. As a result, the findings may not be generalizable to other populations. Given these limitations, additional investigations will be necessary to determine whether there are causal relationships

Outcome Variable	Preswitch (TDF)	Postswitch (TAF)	Change (Post–Pre)	<i>P</i> Value
Weight (lbs), median (IQR)	185.4 (55.8)	190.5 (60.5)	3.0 (9.2)	<.01
BMI (kg/m²), median (IQR)	28.0 (10.8)	28.2 (10.0)	0.5 (1.4)	<.01
Total cholesterol, median (IQR)	173.8 (44.0)	195.0 (42.0)	12.5 (32.3)	<.01
LDL cholesterol, median (IQR)	98.6 (40.2)	112.1 (46.6)	8.2 (21.0)	<.01
HDL cholesterol, median (IQR)	51.0 (19.0)	55.8 (24.0)	3.0 (12.0)	<.01
Total to HDL cholesterol ratio, median (IQR)	3.5 (1.6)	3.5 (1.7)	0.1 (0.6)	.25
Triglyceride levels, median (IQR)	103.5 (68.0)	109.5 (93.0)	4.0 (64.0)	.28
Atherosclerotic CVD risk score, median (IQR)	6.9 (8.1)	8.1 (10.9)	0.4 (1.9)	<.01
Creatinine clearance, median (IQR)	104.0 (38.0)	102.5 (42.0)	-1.0 (17.0)	.82

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; IQR, interquartile range; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 137 of 213 PageID# 13325

between TAF exposure and increases in BMI or ASCVD risk. If relationships do exist, the associated mechanisms and any additional risk factors should be determined to optimize treatment for all PWH.

### CONCLUSIONS

We observed significant increases in BMI and ASCVD risk in PWH 1 year after a switch from TDF to TAF without changes in other ART regimen components. The mechanisms associated with these metabolic changes are unclear and require additional study.

### Acknowledgments

*Author contributions.* J. J. S. and K. N. S. contributed to study concept and design. J. J. S., K. N. S., J. R. O., and J. A. D. contributed to acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data. A. S. and S. W. K. performed statistical analysis. J. J. S. and J. R. O. drafted the manuscript. J. J. S., K. N. S., J. R. O., A. S., S. W. K., and J. A. D. critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** JJS has received research grants from Merck and Gilead Sciences and has served as an advisory board member for Merck. All other authors have nothing to disclose. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

### References

- Mills A, Arribas JR, Andrade-Villanueva J, et al. Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide in antiretroviral regimens for virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1 infection: a randomised, active-controlled, multicentre, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority study. Lancet Infect Dis 2016; 16:43–52.
- Pozniak A, Arribas JR, Gathe J, et al. Switching to tenofovir alafenamide, coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine, in HIV-infected patients with renal impairment: 48-week results from a single-arm, multicenter, open-label phase 3 study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 71:530–7.
- 3. Rijnders B, Stephan C, Lazzarin A, et al. Switching from ritonavir or cobicistat boosted atazanavir (ATV) plus emtricitabine (FTC)/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) to a tenofovir alafenamide (TAF)-based single tablet regimen (STR): week 48 data in virologically suppressed adults. 15th European AIDS Conference (EACS) (Barcelona, Spain). October 21–24, 2015.

- Sax PE, Zolopa A, Brar I, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in single tablet regimens for initial HIV-1 therapy: a randomized phase 2 study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 67:52–8.
- Mills A, Crofoot G Jr, McDonald C, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in the first protease inhibitor-based single-tablet regimen for initial HIV-1 therapy: a randomized phase 2 study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015; 69:439–45.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Baily AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2014; 129:S1–45.
- Gomez M, Seybold U, Roider J, et al. A retrospective analysis of weight changes in HIV-positive patients switching from a tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF)to a tenofovir alafenamide fumarate (TAF)-containing treatment regimen in one German university hospital in 2015-2017. Infection 2019; 47:95–102.
- Ventner WDF, Moorehouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two difference prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. N Engl J Med 2019; 381:803–815.
- Tate T, Willig AL, Willig JH, et al. HIV infection and obesity: where did all the wasting go? Antivir Ther 2012; 17:1281–9.
- Lakey W, Yang LY, Yancy W, et al. Short communication: from wasting to obesity: initial antiretroviral therapy and weight gain in HIV-infected persons. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2013; 29:435–40.
- 11. Yuh B, Tate J, Butt AA, et al. Weight change after antiretroviral therapy and mortality. Clin Infect Dis **2015**; 60:1852–9.
- 12. Madec Y, Szumilin E, Genevier C, et al. Weight gain at 3 months of antiretroviral therapy is strongly associated with survival: evidence from two developing countries. AIDS **2009**; 23:853–61.
- Achhra AC, Mocroft A, Reiss P, et al. Short-term weight gain after antiretroviral therapy initiation and subsequent risk of cardiovascular disease and diabetes: the D:A:D study. HIV Med 2016; 17:255–68.
- Herrin M, Tate JP, Akgün KM, et al. Weight gain and incident diabetes among HIV-infected veterans initiating antiretroviral therapy compared with uninfected individuals. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 73:228–36.
- Maia Leite LH, De Mattos Marinho Sampaio AB. Progression to overweight, obesity and associated factors after antiretroviral therapy initiation among Brazilian persons with HIV/AIDS. Nutr Hosp 2010; 25:635–40.
- Koethe JR, Jenkins CA, Lau B, et al. Rising obesity prevalence and weight gain among adults starting antiretroviral therapy in the United States and Canada. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2016; 32:50–8.
- Drozd DR, Kitahata MM, Althoff KN, et al. Increased risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected individuals in North America compared with the general population. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75:568–76.
- Freiberg MS, Chang CC, Kuller LH, et al. HIV infection and the risk of acute myocardial infarction. JAMA Intern Med 2013; 173:614–22.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 138 of 213 PageID# 13326

# EXHIBIT H

Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 139 of 213 PageID#

### MAJOR ARTICLE

## Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk Profile of Tenofovir Alafenamide Versus Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate

Gregory D. Huhn,<sup>1</sup> David J. Shamblaw,<sup>2</sup> Jean-Guy Baril,<sup>3</sup> Priscilla Y. Hsue,<sup>4</sup> Brittany L. Mills,<sup>5</sup> Thai Nguyen-Cleary,<sup>6</sup> Scott McCallister,<sup>7</sup> and Moupali Das<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ruth M Rothstein CORE Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA, <sup>2</sup>La Playa Medical Group and Clinical Research, San Diego, California, USA, <sup>3</sup>Clinique Medicale Du Quartier Latin, Montreal, Canada, <sup>4</sup>San Francisco General Hospital, San Francisco, California, USA, <sup>5</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, <sup>6</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, <sup>8</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, <sup>8</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California, USA, <sup>9</sup>Gilead Sciences, Foster City,

**Background.** In human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) treatment, tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is associated with greater increases in all fasting cholesterol subgroups compared with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF). Because lipid abnormalities may contribute to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, cardiovascular risk assessment is integral to routine HIV care. This post hoc study evaluates the impact of lipid changes on predicted atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk and statin eligibility in treatment-naive adults living with HIV treated with TAF or TDF.

*Methods.* Participants (N = 1744) were randomized (1:1) to initiate TAF or TDF, each coformulated with elvitegravir/cobicistat/ emtricitabine (studies GS-US-292-0104 and GS-US-292-0111). Eligibility for statin therapy and estimated 10-year ASCVD risk among adults aged 40–79 years treated with TAF or TDF for 96 weeks (W96) were analyzed based on American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Pooled Cohort Equations. Categorical shifts in 10-year ASCVD risk from <7.5% to  $\geq$ 7.5% by W96 on TAF versus TDF were calculated.

**Results.** Participants initiating TAF versus TDF in the overall study population showed small but significant increases in median fasting lipid parameters at W96, including total cholesterol (191 vs 177 mg/dL; P < .001), low-density lipoprotein ([LDL] 119 vs 112 mg/dL; P < .001), and high-density lipoprotein ([HDL] 51 vs 48 mg/dL; P < .001), respectively. At baseline, 18% and 23% on TAF versus TDF had a 10-year ASCVD risk score  $\geq$ 7.5%, with mean risk scores low overall for TAF versus TDF at baseline (4.9% vs 5.4%; P = .35) and W96 (6.1% vs 6.2%; P = .04). Increases in ASCVD risk from baseline to W96 were driven by both increasing age and changes in total cholesterol (TC) and HDL cholesterol. At W96, TC/HDL ratios (median) were 3.7 for both groups (P = .69). There was no difference between shifts in categorical risk for TAF versus TDF (9% vs 5%; P = .19). Eligibility for high-intensity statin therapy were similar for TAF versus TDF groups (19% vs 21%; P = .47).

*Conclusions.* Lipid changes with TAF as part of coformulated regimens do not substantively affect CVD risk profiles compared with TDF.

Keywords. atherosclerosis; cardiovascular disease; HIV; tenofovir alafenamide; tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

Advances in antiretroviral therapy (ART) have significantly reduced mortality from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/ acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), but a gap in survival remains between people with HIV (PWH) and HIVuninfected individuals. The leading causes of death in PWH have shifted from AIDS-related events to serious non-AIDS events, including cardiovascular disease (CVD), liver disease,

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

kidney disease, and non-AIDS-related cancer [1]. Multiple studies have shown that the risk of CVD is ~2.0-fold higher in PWH versus HIV-uninfected individuals, even after controlling for traditional CVD risk factors, although the underlying mechanisms are controversial [2, 3]. Methods for assessing cardiovascular risk in the setting of HIV are needed as well as strategies to reduce risk.

Diseases Society of America

hiv medicine association

Cardiovascular disease risk assessment tools conjoined from traditional CVD risk factors exist for the general population and have largely been derived from longitudinal cohorts [4]. These CVD risk prediction tools when applied to PWH consistently underestimate their risk of CVD [5, 6]. Independent HIV-specific factors, such as exposure to certain ART and inflammatory responses, that likely play a key role in HIVassociated atherosclerosis are not captured in these assessments.

The effect of ART on cardiovascular (CV) risk is complex with different risks associated with short-term and long-term

Received 6 August 2019; editorial decision 26 October 2019; accepted 2 November 2019. Presented in part: 18th International Workshop on Co-morbidities and Adverse Drug Reactions in HIV. September 12–13. 2016. New York. NY.

Correspondence: Gregory D. Huhn, MD, MPHTM, The Ruth M. Rothstein CORE Center, 2020 W. Harrison St., Chicago, IL 60612 (ghuhn@cookcountyhhs.org).

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s)2019. Published by Oxford University Presson behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofz472

ART and also risks attributable to different agents. Evidence from clinical trials and cohorts demonstrate that there appears to be a significant benefit of ART associated with reduction in morbidity and mortality from CVD, particularly in the short term [7]. However, despite the benefits of ART, use of some ART agents has been associated with increased risk of CVD, including some ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitors (lopinavir, indinavir, darunavir) and some nucleoside reverse-transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) (didanosine and abacavir [ABC]) [8, 9]. Other ART agents (efavirenz), although not specifically shown to increase CVD risk, have been associated with dyslipidemia [10].

The tenofovir (TFV) prodrug tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) has not been associated with increased risk of CVD in multiple cohort studies [11]. Treatment with TDF has consistently been associated with lower lipids compared with other NRTI-containing regimens in either ART-naive or virologically suppressed individuals [12]. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate has a lipid-lowering effect that involves all lipid fractions, believed to be associated with the plasma levels of TFV [11], although the mechanism by which the lowering of lipids occurs is not well understood, and the degree of lipid lowering is lower compared with traditional lipid-lowering agents such as statins. However, TDF has been associated with declines in renal function and bone mineral density (BMD). These renal and bone associations prompted the development of tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), a novel prodrug of TFV, which enables a lower dose of TFV to be used (either 10 or 25 mg TFV, depending on the regimen, vs 300 mg of TFV in TDF). Tenofovir alafenamide has shown less impact on kidney function and BMD decline than TDF in Phase 3 clinical trials and similar impact compared with ABC [13–16].

The fasting lipid profiles of ART-naive adults treated with elvitegravir (EVG, E) 150 mg, cobicistat (COBI, C) 150 mg, and emtricitabine (FTC, F) 200 mg coformulated with either TAF 10 mg or TDF 300 mg for 144 weeks have been reported [16]. Namely, TAF was associated with a larger median increase in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) from baseline (18 vs 8 mg/dL, P < .001). The purpose of this post hoc study was to evaluate the impact of lipid changes on predicted atherosclerotic CVD (ASCVD) risk and statin eligibility in ART-naive adults with HIV treated with either E/C/F/TAF or E/C/F/TDF [17].

### **METHODS**

### **Study Population**

Studies GS-US-292-0104 and GS-US-292-0111 were 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, international trials comparing initiation of ART with TAF 10 mg versus TDF 300 mg, both of which were coformulated with E/C/F in singletablet regimens (STRs) [13–16]. Antiretroviral therapy-naive adults (N = 1733) with HIV-1 ribonucleic acid (RNA) ≥1000 copies/mL, estimated glomerular filtration rate by Cockcroft-Gault (eGFR<sub>CG</sub>) ≥50 mL/minute, and genotypic sensitivity to all components of the 2 STRs were randomized 1:1 to initiate E/C/F/TAF or E/C/F/TDF. As previously described, the primary endpoint of the study was achievement of virologic success (HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL) at Week 48; subjects continued through secondary endpoints at Week 96 and 144 [13–16].

These studies were done according to protocol without significant deviations and are registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, numbers NCT01780506 and NCT01797445.

### **Cardiovascular Risk Prediction Equations**

The American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) 2013 Pooled Cohort Risk Equations were used to estimate the 10-year risk for a first-hard atherosclerotic cardiovascular event in individuals enrolled in the study who were aged  $\geq$ 40 years without evidence of pre-existing ASCVD (Figure 1) [3]. Patients in this analysis ranged in age from 40 to 79 years old [17] (Table 1) and included those with data at baseline and at least 1 post-baseline visit to calculate the ASCVD risk score. The choice of the ACC/AHA 2013 Pooled Cohort Risk Equation was guided by the fact that this equation has been previously shown to be the most accurate of the 4 CVD risk equations (also including Framingham, ATPIII, and Data Collection on Adverse events of Anti-HIV Drugs [D:A:D] CVD risk equations) at discerning Type 1 versus Type 2 myocardial infarction (MI) and predicting observed MI rate in PWH from the CFAR Network of Integrated Clinical Systems (CNICS) Cohort [19].

### **Outcome Measures**

The primary endpoint used to characterize the CVD risk profile of fasting lipid changes measured in adults treated with either E/C/F/TAF or E/C/F/TDF from baseline to Week 96 was the mean estimated 10-year ASCVD risk score in participants aged 40 to 79 years derived from the Pooled Cohort Risk Equations. (Adults <40 years of age are excluded from this analysis because the Pooled Cohort Risk Equation is not validated for this population. Only participants with baseline data and data from at least 1 post-baseline visit used to calculate changes in ASCVD risk were included in this analysis.)

Secondary endpoints included the following: (1) proportion of subjects with virologic suppression in the overall population; (2) proportion of participants with high-density lipoprotein (HDL) <40 mg/dL and HDL ≥60 mg/dL; (3) proportion of participants with an estimated 10-year ASCVD risk of ≥7.5%; (4) proportion of participants eligible for high-intensity statin therapy based on any 1 of 4 criteria proposed by the ACC/AHA 2013 Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines [4]: and (5) CV adverse events (AEs) and discontinuation due to CV AEs.

### **Statistical Analyses**

Rates of virologic suppression were reported as a proportion who achieved HIV-1 RNA <50 copies/mL at Week 48 and Week

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics

	Statin I Analysis	Eligibility Population	ASVCD Risk Analysis Population	
Characteristics	E/C/F/TAF N = 866	E/C/F/TDF N = 867	E/C/F/TAF N = 219 <sup>c</sup>	E/C/F/TDF N = 272 <sup>c</sup>
Age, median years	33	35	47	47
Male	85%	85%	81%	79%
Race and Ethnicity				
White	56%	57%	65%	68%
Black or African descent	26%	25%	22%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	19%	19%	16%	12%
BMI, median kg/m²	24.4	24.5	26.5	26.4
Estimated GFR by Cockcroft-Gault, median mL/min	117	114	108	106
Diabetes mellitius <sup>a</sup>	3%	5%	7%	9%
Hypertension <sup>a</sup>	14%	17%	27%	31%
Hyperlipidemiaª	11 %	12%	23%	21%
HIV-1 RNA >100 000 copies/mL	23%	23%	23%	20%
CD4 cell count <200 cells/µL	13%	14%	17%	14%
Symptomatic HIV infection or AIDS diagnosis	10%	7%	9%	4%
Smoker <sup>b</sup>	30.6%	29.3%	21.9%	25.0%

Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immune deficiency syndrome; ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; BMI, body mass index; C, cobicistat; E, elvitegravir; F, emtricitabine; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; RNA, ribonucleic acid; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

NOTE: P > .05 for all differences between groups.

<sup>a</sup>Based on medical history.

<sup>b</sup>Based on patient report at Week 48.

<sup>c</sup>The number of participants age 40 years to 79 years with data at baseline and at least 1 post-baseline visit to calculate the ASCVD risk score.

96 by the US Food and Drug Administration Snapshot algorithm; for comparison of efficacy by treatment, a noninferiority margin of 12% was used. Statistical analysis of the efficacy endpoints has previously been described [15]. Data for fasting lipids at baseline and Week 96 were reported as median values (mg/dL). Data for CVD risk by HDL were reported as proportions of 1 of 3 categories: (1) HDL ≥60 mg/dL, (2) HDL 40-59 mg/dL, and (3) HDL <40 mg/dL. The analysis of statin eligibility is based on all participants in the safety analysis set, thus the participants who initiated statin therapy were not excluded. The proportion eligible for high-intensity statin was described as a percentage of the population eligible based on each of the 4 ACC/AHA 2013 criteria. A subject may have been eligible for statin therapy based on any of the 4 criteria and was counted in each individual criteria. When calculating the overall proportion of subjects eligible for statin therapy based on any of these criteria, each subject was counted only once.

The mean estimated 10-year ASCVD risk score was calculated as a mean percentage at all time points. The proportion of participants with an estimated 10-year ASCVD risk of <7.5% or  $\geq$ 7.5% was described as a percentage of the study population. In the ASCVD analysis, baseline differences were analyzed using an analysis of variance model with treatment as a fixed effect. For post-baseline differences in ASCVD risk, a covariance effect model, with treatment as a fixed effect and baseline ASCVD risk score as a covariate, was used. The CV AE data were described as a percentage experiencing 3 defined CV endpoints: rate of

CV AEs, rate of serious CV AEs, and discontinuation due to CV AEs.

### RESULTS

Of 1744 randomized subjects, 1733 were treated with at least 1 dose of study drug: N = 866 E/C/F/TAF and N = 867 E/C/F/ TDF. Baseline characteristics, efficacy, and safety through Weeks 48, 96, and 144 have been reported previously [13-16]. All subjects treated were assessed for statin eligibility, and 491 (N = 219 E/C/F/TAF and N = 272 E/C/F/TDF) subjects met the required criteria for the ASCVD risk subanalysis. Baseline characteristics for subjects in the ASCVD analysis were well balanced (P > .05 for baseline parameters). For those on E/C/F/ TAF, baseline characteristics were as follows: median age, 47 years old; male, 81%; white, 65%; median eGFR $_{CG}$ , 108 mL/ minutes; 7% diabetes mellitus; 27% hypertension; 23% hyperlipidemia; and 21.9% cigarette smoking (Table 1). Small and not significantly different proportions of subjects at baseline in both groups had documented CVD in their medical histories (TAF 10 of 866, 1.1%; TDF 14 of 867, 1.6%).

Elvitegravir/C/F/TAF was noninferior in virologic efficacy to E/C/F/TDF at Weeks 48 and 96 [13–15]. In the overall study population, those initiating TAF versus TDF showed small but significant increases in median fasting lipid parameters at Week 96, including total cholesterol ([TC] 191 vs 177 mg/dL; P < .001), LDL (119 vs 112 mg/dL; P < .001), and HDL (51 vs 48 mg/dL; P < .001), respectively (Figure 2). Nevertheless, the

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 142 of 213 PageID# 13330



The Pooled Cohort Risk Equations estimate the 10-year risk for a first atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) event among patients age 40 to 79 years without pre-existing cardiovascular disease. Approximately 32.9% of the ASCVD-free, non-pregnant U.S. population between 40 and 79 years of age have a 10-year risk of a first hard ASCVD of at least 7.5%. Therefore, an estimated 10-year ASCVD risk score of  $\geq$ 7.5% is considered high risk for ASCVD.<sup>3,50</sup>

Figure 1. American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) 2013 Pooled Cohort Risk Equations. BP, blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic BP.

TC/HDL ratios, often viewed as a clinically relevant surrogate for prediction of future CVD events [20], were identical between the TAF and TDF arms at Week 96 (3.7 in both, P = .69). When considering those subjects with HDL cholesterol data at both baseline and Week 96 (TAF, n = 741; TDF, n = 731), the baseline proportions with high risk HDL (<40 mg/dL) were well balanced (33% each). At Week 96, a smaller proportion on TAF versus TDF had high-risk HDL (16% vs 24%, respectively) (Figure 3).

At baseline, a small proportion of subjects were on a lipidmodifying agent (TAF, 21 of 866, 2.4%; TDF 27 of 867, 3.1%). Using the ACC/AHA 2013 Guidelines on the treatment of cholesterol (Appendix 1), the proportions of participants eligible for high-intensity statin therapy based on any 1 of 4 criteria were similar for subjects treated with TAF versus TDF (19% vs 21%, P = .47). The 4 individual criteria for highintensity statin eligibility were generally well balanced between the 2 groups (Figure 4). During the study, additional subjects in each group initiated lipid-modifying agents with no difference in proportion between the 2 groups by Week 96 (TAF, 3.8% vs TDF, 4.4%; P = .63). There were 2 key criteria that drove statin eligibility through Week 96: criteria no. 3 in the E/C/F/TDF arm had smaller increases in HDL, and criteria no. 4 in the E/C/F/ TAF arm had larger increases in LDL (Figure 5).



Figure 2. Fasting lipids at baseline and Week 96 results. C, cobicistat; E, elvitegravir; F, emtricitabine; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TC, total cholesterol; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 143 of 213 PageID# 13331



\*Only participants with HDL cholesterol data at baseline and week 96 are included in this analysis (N = 741 TAF; N = 731 TDF). BL, baseline; W, week.



Compared with the overall study, the subpopulation in the analysis of 10-year ASCVD risk, representing 491 subjects of 1733 enrolled subjects (TAF, n = 219; TDF, n = 272), was older (approximately a decade) and had higher rates of diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia (Table 1). The overall cigarette smoking rate within the ASCVD risk group (23.6%) was significantly lower compared with individuals aged <40 years (33.3%; P = .0001). At baseline, 18% and 23% of individuals on TAF or TDF, respectively, had a 10-year ASCVD risk score  $\geq$ 7.5%. Overall, the estimated mean 10-year ASCVD risk scores for the

TAF or TDF groups were low (<7.5%) at all time points analyzed through Week 96 (baseline [4.9% vs 5.4%; P = .35], Week 48 [5.9% for both arms; P = .075], and Week 96 [6.1% vs 6.2%; P = .04], respectively) (Figure 5). Although a statistical difference was noted by Week 96, the increases in ASCVD risk from baseline to Week 96 were driven by both increasing age and changes in TC and HDL cholesterol. Consequently, by Week 96, the proportions with ASCVD risk  $\geq$ 7.5% had increased in both the TAF and TDF groups with similar proportions in both (27% and 28%, respectively). Within the subpopulation analyzed

	E/C/F/TAF (N = 866)	E/C/F/TDF (N = 867)
Proportion eligible for statin based on each criteria		
1. Diabetes & LDL of 70–189 mg/dL $\ast$	3%	3%
2. Clinical atherosclerotic CVD $\ast$	3%	5%
3. Estimated ASCVD risk of $\geq 7.5\%$ & LDL of 70–189 mg/dL*	7%	9%
4. LDL $\geq 190 \text{ mg/dL}^*$	9%	6%
Proportion eligible for statin based on any criteria above <sup><math>\perp</math></sup>	19%	21%

Eligibility for statin can occur at any visits from baseling to Week 96.

\* A subject may be eligible for statin based on multiple criteria and is counted in each eligible criteria.

<sup>1</sup>Participants eligible for statin based on any <u>one</u> criteria ie those eligible based on multiple criteria are counted only once. P = .47.

Key criteria that drove statin eligibility through Week 96

• Criteria #3 (for TDF group): Due to smaller increase in HDL

• Criteria #4 (for TAF group): Due to larger increase in LDL

Figure 4. Proportion eligible for high-intensity statin. ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; C, cobicistat; CVD, cardiovascular disease; E, elvitegravir; F, emtricitabine; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 144 of 213 PageID# 13332

Mean % (standard deviation)	E/C/F/TAF	E/C/F/TDF
Baseline $\perp$	4.9% (4.91%)	5.4% (5.52%)
Week 48 <sup>+</sup>	5.9% (6.20%)	5.9% (6.53%)
Week 96 §	6.1% (5.70%)	6.2% (6.27%)

 $^{\perp}P = .35 + P = .075 + P = .04$ *P* value for baseline difference (analysis of variance model with treatment as a fixed effect).

P values for post-baseline differences (covariance effect model with treatment as a fixed effect and basline ASCVD risk score as a covariate).

 $\bullet$  Overall ASCVD risk at Week 96 were low (<7.5%) for both groups

• Increases in ASCVD risk from baseline to Week 96 were driven by increasing age and changes in total and HDL cholesterol

Figure 5. Mean estimated 10-year atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk score. C, cobicistat; E, elvitegravir; F, emtricitabine; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

for ASVD risk, categorical shifts in 10-year ASCVD risk from <7.5% to  $\geq$ 7.5% or vice versa were also defined. Of subjects on TAF versus TDF, there was no statistical difference between the groups in shifts in their categorical risk (9% and 5%, respectively) (*P* = .19).

In the overall study, the occurrence of CVD AEs in either group was infrequent (TAF or TDF, 2% vs 3%; P = .46). Similar discontinuation due to CV AEs rates were observed in subjects treated with TAF or TDF (0.6% vs 0.5%; P = .75) (Figure 6). There were 2 deaths in the TAF arm due to stroke and alcohol intoxication, 1 each, and 3 deaths in the TDF arm due to MI (2) and alcohol and drug intoxication (1).

### DISCUSSION

In 2 large Phase 3 clinical trials of HIV treatment-naive adults, rates of virologic suppression at 96 weeks were high for participants randomized to TAF versus TDF, each coformulated with E/C/F. Rates of CV AEs were low and similar for participants treated with TAF and TDF, with few serious CVD events occurring in either arm. Using a prediction equation optimized for PWH, the 10-year risk for ASCVD incorporating fasting lipid changes was low in antiretroviral (ARV)-naive PWH aged  $\geq$ 40 years treated with TAF or TDF [19]. There was no difference in the number of participants taking TAF or TDF eligible for high-intensity statin therapy, with approximately 1 in 5 meeting at least 1 category by current ACC/AHA guidelines, a proportion consistent with trends for increasing rates of hyperlipidemia in North American HIV cohorts [21]. Although 20% of subjects overall were eligible to use statin medications, lipid-modifying agents were initiated at a rate of only 4% over 96 weeks during this study. Similar trends have been observed in large clinical cohorts in Western countries [22] despite multiple studies having documented that PWH are at increased risk of CVD. More initiatives are needed to reinforce the necessity of ASCVD risk assessment and implementation of primary interventions, namely, statin therapy, to reduce CVD risk in PWH.

In both groups, the mean 10-year ASCVD risk scores at Week 96 remained below 7.5%, the threshold above which identifies persons with high ASCVD risk. The overall cigarette smoking rate at 23.6% among individuals included in the ASCVD risk analysis was significantly lower compared with individuals aged <40 years (33.3%), a rate that is more than double the overall proportion of smokers (14%) in the United States [23]. There were no significant differences between smoking rates among the TAF and TDF groups within the ASCVD risk cohort. Small increases in scores from baseline in both groups were driven by increasing age and shifts in lipid parameters, notably larger increases in LDL with TAF, and smaller increases in HDL with

	E/C/F/TAF n = 866	E/C/F/TDF n = 867
Rate of cardiovascular AEs*	2%	3%
Rate of serious cardiovascular AEs <sup>+</sup>	0.6%	0.5%
Discontinuation due to Cardiovascular AEs, n	0	$1^{\perp}$

\* P = .46 +  $\!P$  = .75  $^{\perp}\!\mathrm{Cardiac}$  arrest, not considered related to study drug

Figure 6. Cardiovascular adverse events (AEs) results. C, cobicistat; E, elvitegravir; F, emtricitabine; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.

TDF. These mean lipid changes were primarily established by Week 48, with minimal changes from Week 48 to 96, suggesting static ARV contributions after the first year of ART initiation. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate's lipid-lowering attributes, the mechanism of which is unknown, have been consistently observed in studies among virologically suppressed patients, including a randomized double-blind, crossover, placebocontrolled study in subjects with hypercholesterolemia on stable protease inhibitor monotherapy that showed significant decreases in TC and LDL at 12 weeks after adding TDF/FTC [11, 24–26]. With TAF-containing regimens, the lower dose of TFV delivered abrogates the lipid-lowering effect, and small increases in lipid fractions are observed in PWH initiating TAFcontaining regimens. The scale of lipid changes observed on TAF is similar to those observed on non-TDF regimens.

Congruent to the LDL increases observed with TAF, HDL elevations also occurred at a higher magnitude with TAF compared with TDF, a potentially important phenomenon because HDL levels >60 mg/dL independently reduce risk of CVD [27]. High-density lipoprotein is generally accepted to have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties [28]. Human immunodeficiency virus viremia may directly affect HDL metabolism by upregulating cholesteryl ester transfer protein activity, which enhances transfer of cholesterol to apoB lipoproteins that promote atherogenesis [29]. The capacity of HDL to increase cholesterol efflux from macrophages is a critical function of HDL and may restrict development of atherosclerosis [30]. A greater proportion of participants taking TAF, approximately 30%, shifted from a high risk to low risk, or protective stratum of HDL compared with TDF (20%). As a result, the TC/HDL ratios remained virtually unchanged and matched for participants taking either TAF or TDF at 96 weeks. The TC/HDL ratio represents a cumulative index of metabolic abnormalities of an atherogenic dyslipidemic profile that predicts ischemic CVD risk more accurately than others ratios, such as LDL/HDL [31]. The Framingham Heart Study indicates that for men, a TC/HDL ratio of 5 signifies average risk for CVD, with 3.4 indicating approximately half the average risk. Women tend to have higher HDL levels, with a ratio of 4.4 signifying average risk, and 3.3 denoting approximately half the average risk [32]. For both TAF and TDF, the TC/HDL ratios in our study suggest a similar, lower-than-average, 10-year risk for CVD, with the higher TC balanced by higher HDL in participants taking TAF. However, there is clinical equipoise regarding the impact of therapeutically raising HDL to avert cardiovascular events [33-37]. Lipid-lowering drug trial data support the approach that LDL cholesterol lowering should be pursued more aggressively when HDL cholesterol is low [38-42]. In our study, although rates of statin introduction were low overall, there were 2 main criteria that drove statin eligibility through Week 96: the TDF arm had smaller increases in HDL, and the TAF arm had larger increases in LDL.

The relative paucity of lipid-modifying agents initiated relative to participants on TAF or TDF eligible for high-intensity therapy underscores the imperative for greater scrutiny towards the "statin gap" in the comprehensive care of PWH in the United States. In the North American AIDS Cohort Collaboration on Research and Design (NA-ACCORD) and HIV Outpatient Study (HOPS) cohorts, this gap-the difference between patients indicated for statin therapy by ATP III criteria and actual number of patients prescribed statins-stands at 46%-53% [5, 22]. In our study, which enrolled participants contemporaneously with the NA-ACCORD and HOPS data, the gap was 80% and 79% for participants taking TAF and TDF, respectively. Modeling future CVD burden for PWH from the ATHENA cohort using the D.A.D. CVD risk equation, it has been proposed that CVD incidence will increase by 55% between 2015 and 2030. Monitoring and treatment of dyslipidemia and hypertension will have the greatest impact on averting CVD events (17%-20%) along with benefits associated with HIV-specific interventions, including early HIV diagnosis and treatment, and avoiding antiretrovirals associated with increased CVD risk [43]. This model only accounts for the LDL-lowering effects of statins, and it does not consider the immunologic mechanisms of statins hypothesized to attenuate inflammation and immune activation that may further reduce CVD risk in PWH [44-50].

We acknowledge several limitations in our study. This was a post hoc analysis, and the study was not powered to determine differences in CVD risk by randomized drug assignment. Likewise, the actual number of CVD occurrences were minimal in each group, and a 2- to 3-year follow-up may be too short to see any difference in ASCVD. The ACC/AHA 2013 Pooled Cohort Risk Equation is validated for adults aged 40 to 79 years; ASCVD risk was only assessed for approximately one quarter of the study population. As such, TC and HDL values used to calculate risk prediction scores may not be fully representative of comparative mean fasting lipid changes occurring among participants exposed to TAF and TDF. The performance of predictive equations may vary with the primary prevention interventions, and none of the equations accounted for documented statin therapy, although overall statin use was minimal in both TAF and TDF groups.

### CONCLUSIONS

In summary, lipid changes in treatment-naive patients taking TAF as part of coformulated single tablet regimens do not substantively affect the CVD risk profile in comparison to TDF. Although predicted CVD risk was low over 96 weeks, approximately 1 in 5 participants overall irrespective of randomized ART met criteria for statin therapy, yet only 1 in 5 of those eligible were prescribed lipid-modifying agents. Because prediction equations may underestimate CVD risk in PWH, and further research is investigating immunomodulatory features of statins, intensified primary care strategies are required to appropriately identify PWH that could potentially benefit from preventative CVD interventions.

### Acknowledgments

We thank the patients, their partners and families, and all participating study investigators.

Financial support. This study was funded by Gilead Sciences, Inc.

**Potential conflicts of interest.** All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

### References

- 1. Kaplan-Lewis E, Aberg JA, Lee M. Aging with HIV in the ART era. Semin Diagn Pathol **2017**; 34:384–97.
- Diaz CM, Segura ER, Luz PM, et al. Traditional and HIV-specific risk factors for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected adults in Brazil: a retrospectiv0065 cohort study. BMC Infect Dis 2016; 16:376.
- Tarr PE, Ledergerber B, Calmy A, et al. Subclinical coronary artery disease in Swiss HIV-positive and HIV-negative persons. European Heart Journal 2018;39:2147–2154.
- Goff DC Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2014; 129(25 Suppl 2):849–73.
- Thompson-Paul AM, Lichtenstein KA, Armon C, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk prediction in the HIV Outpatient Study. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:1508–16.
- Triant VA, Perez J, Regan S, et al. Cardiovascular risk prediction functions underestimate risk in HIV infection. Circulation 2018; 137:2203–14.
- Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy (SMART) Study Group, El-Sadr WM, Lundren JD, Neaton JD, et al. CD4+ count-guided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. N Eng J Med 2006; 355:2283–96.
- Ryom L, Lundgren JD, El-Sadr W, et al.; D:A:D Study Group. Cardiovascular disease and use of contemporary protease inhibitors: the D:A:D international prospective multicohort study. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e291–300.
- 9. Friis-Møller N, Thiebaut R, Reiss P, et al. Predicting the risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients: the data collection on adverse effects of anti-HIV drugs study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil **2010**; 00:1–11.
- Sinxadi PZ, McIlleron HM, Dave JA, et al. Plasma efavirenz concentrations are associated with lipid and glucose concentrations. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95:e2385.
- Santos JR, Saumoy M, Curran A, et al. The lipid-lowering effect of tenofovir/ emtricitabine: a randomized, crossover, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis 2015; 61:403–8.
- Gallant JE, Staszewski S, Pozniak AL, et al.; 903 Study Group. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir DF vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naive patients: a 3-year randomized trial. JAMA 2004; 292:191–201.
- Sax PE, DeJesus E, Mills A, et al.; GS-US-236-0102 Study Team. Co-formulated elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir versus co-formulated efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial, analysis of results after 48 weeks. Lancet 2012; 379:2439–48.
- 14. Sax PE, DeJesus E, Mills A, et al.; GS-US-236-0102 Study Team. Co-formulated elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir versus co-formulated efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial, analysis of results after 48 weeks. Lancet 2012; 379:2439–48.
- Wohl D, Oka S, Clumeck N, et al.; GS-US-2,92-01040111 and Study Team. Brief report: a randomized, double-blind comparison of tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, each coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine for initial HIV-1 treatment: week 96 results. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 72:58–64.
- Arribas JR, Thompson M, Sax PE, et al. A randomized, double-blind comparison of tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), each coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine (E/C/F) for initial HIV-1 treatment: week 144 results. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75:211–218.
- 17. Huhn G, Shamblaw D, Baril JG, et al. Atherosclerotic CVD risk profile of tenofovir alafenamide vs tenofovir disoproxil fumarate [presentation no. P13].

18th International Workshop on Co-morbidities and Adverse Drug Reactions in HIV (CADRH) (New York, NY). September 12–13, 2016.

- Pooled Cohort Risk Assessment Equations: Predicts 10-Year Risk for a First Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Event. Available at: http://clincalc.com/ cardiology/ascvd/pooledcohort.aspx. Accessed 24 June 2019.
- Crane HM, Nance RM, Feinstein M, et al. Optimal cardiovascular disease risk score for HIV [presentation 42]. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) (Boston, MA). February 22–25, 2016.
- Friis-Moller N, Ryom L, Smith C, et al. An updated prediction model of the global risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-positive persons: the data-collection on Adverse Effects of Anti-HIV Drugs (D:A:D) study. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2016; 23:214–23.
- Wong C, Gange SJ, Moore RD, et al.; North American AIDS Cohort Collaboration on Research and Design (NA-ACCORD). Multimorbidity among persons living with human immunodeficiency virus in the United States. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 66:1230–8.
- Althoff KN, Horberg MA, Eron J, et al. The large gap between statin eligibility and prescription in the NA-ACCORD [poster P619]. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) (Seattle, WA). February 13–16, 2017.
- 23. Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults in the United States. Available at: https:// www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data\_statistics/fact\_sheets/adult\_data/cig\_smoking/index. htm. Accessed 14 October 2019.
- 24. Valantin MA, Bittar R, de Truchis P, et al.; TOTEM trial group. Switching the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor backbone to tenofovir disoproxil fumarate + emtricitabine promptly improves triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in dyslipidaemic patients. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65:556–61.
- Tungsiripat M, Kitch D, Glesby MJ, et al. A pilot study to determine the impact on dyslipidemia of adding tenofovir to stable background antiretroviral therapy: ACTG 5206. AIDS 2010; 24:1781–4.
- Fabbiani M, Bracciale L, Doino M, et al. Lipid-lowering effect of tenofovir in HIVinfected patients. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011; 66:682–3.
- National Institute of Health (NIH). ATP III guidelines at-a-glance quick desk reference. 2001. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/files/docs/guidelines/ atglance.pdf. Assessed 25 November 2019.
- Khovidhunkit W, Memon RA, Feingold KR, Grunfeld C. Infection and inflammation-induced proatherogenic changes of lipoproteins. J Infect Dis 2000; 181(Suppl 3):S462–72.
- Rose H, Hoy J, Woolley I, et al. HIV infection and high density lipoprotein metabolism. Atherosclerosis 2008; 199:79–86.
- Khera AV, Cuchel M, de la Llera-Moya M, et al. Cholesterol efflux capacity, high-density lipoprotein function, and atherosclerosis. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:127–35.
- Lemieux I, Lamarche B, Couillard C, et al. Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio vs LDL cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio as indices of ischemic heart disease risk in men: the Quebec Cardiovascular Study. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161:2685–92.
- D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham heart study. Circulation 2008; 743–53.
- 33. Briel M, Ferreira-Gonzalez I, You JJ, et al. Association between change in high density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. BMJ 2009; 338:b92.
- Madsen CM, Varbo A, Nordestgaard BG. Extreme high high-density lipoprotein cholesterol is paradoxically associated with high mortality in men and women: two prospective cohort studies. Eur Heart J 2017; 38:2478–86.
- Ko DT, Alter DA, Guo H, et al. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and causespecific mortality in individuals without previous cardiovascular conditions: the CANHEART study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2016; 68:2073–83.
- Bowe B, Xie Y, Xian H, et al. High density lipoprotein cholesterol and the risk of all-cause mortality among U.S. veterans. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2016; 11:1784–93.
- 37. Zanoni P, Khetarpal SA, Larach DB, et al.; CHD Exome+ Consortium; CARDIoGRAM Exome Consortium; Global Lipids Genetics Consortium. Rare variant in scavenger receptor BI raises HDL cholesterol and increases risk of coronary heart disease. Science 2016; 351:1166–71.
- Manninen V, Elo MO, Frick MH, et al. Lipid alterations and decline in the incidence of coronary heart disease in the Helsinki Heart Study. JAMA 1988; 260:641–51.
- Ballantyne CM, Olsson AG, Cook TJ, et al. Influence of low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and elevated triglyceride on coronary heart disease events and response to simvastatin therapy in 4S. Circulation 2001; 104:3046–51.
- 40. Gotto AM Jr, Whitney E, Stein EA, et al. Relation between baseline and on-treatment lipid parameters and first acute major coronary events in the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS/TexCAPS). Circulation 2000; 101:477–84.
- Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20 536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002; 360:7.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 147 of 213 PageID# 13335

- 42. Colquhoun D, Keech A, Hunt D, et al.; LIPID Study Investigators. Effects of pravastatin on coronary events in 2073 patients with low levels of both low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: results from the LIPID study. Eur Heart J 2004; 25:771–7.
- 43. Smit M, van Zoest RA, Nichols BE, et al.; Netherlands AIDS Therapy Evaluation in The Netherlands (ATHENA) Observational HIV Cohort. Cardiovascular disease prevention policy in human immunodeficiency virus: recommendations from a modeling study. Clin Infect Dis 2018; 66:743–50.
- Bjorkbacka H, Fredrikson GN, Nilsson J. Emerging biomarkers and intervention targets for immune-modulation of atherosclerosis - a review of the experimental evidence. Atherosclerosis 2013; 227:9–17.
- Yilmaz A, Jennbacken K, Fogelstrand L. Reduced IgM levels and elevated IgG levels against oxidized low-density lipoproteins in HIV-1 infection. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14:1–8.
- Baker JV, Neuhaus J, Duprez D, et al. Inflammation predicts changes in high-density lipoprotein particles and apolipoprotein A1 following initiation of antiretroviral therapy. AIDS 2011; 25:1–16.
- Antonopoulos AS, Margaritis M, Lee R, Channon K, Antoniades C. Statins as anti-inflammatory agents in atherogenesis: molecular mechanisms and lessons from the recent clinical trials. Curr Pharm Des 2012; 18:1519–30.
- 48. Mora S, Ridker PM. Justification for the use of statins in primary prevention: an intervention trial evaluating rosuvastatin (JUPITER)-can C-reactive protein be used to target statin therapy in primary prevention? Am J Cardiol 2006; 97:33A–41A.

- Bu DX, Griffin G, Lichtman AH. Mechanisms for the anti-inflammatory efects of statins. Curr Opin Lipidol 2011; 22:165–70.
- 50. Kelesidis T, Flores M, Tseng CH, Currier J, Yang O. HIV-infected adults with suppressed viremia on antiretroviraltherapy have dysfunctional HDL that is associated with T cell activation [abstract 662]. ID Week<sup>™</sup> (Infectious Diseases Society of America) (San Diego, CA). October 17–21, 2012.

### APPENDIX 1: ACC/AHA 2013 Guidelines on the Treatment of Cholesterol

Four groups that may benefit from high-intensity statin therapy

- 1. Diabetics aged 40 to 75 years; LDL 70 to 189 mg/dL; without clinical ASCVD
- 2. Individuals with clinical ASCVD\*
- Individuals with estimated 10-year ASCVD risk of ≥7.5%<sup>‡</sup>; LDL 70 to 189 mg/dL; without clinical ASCVD or diabetes
- 4. Individuals with primary elevations of LDL ≥ 190 mg/dL

\*Clinical ASCVD is defined as acute coronary syndrome or a history of myocardial infarction (MI), stable or unstable angina, coronary or other arterial revascularization, stroke, transient ischemic attack, or peripheral arterial disease presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>†</sup>The estimated 10-year ASCVD risk (for nonfatal MI, coronary heart disease death, nonfatal and fatal stroke) is determined using the Pooled Cohort Risk Equations.<sup>3</sup> <sup>‡</sup>An estimated 10-year ASCVD risk of ≥7.5% is considered high.<sup>3</sup> Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 148 of 213 PageID# 13336

# EXHIBIT I

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 149 of 213 PageID# 13337

### Renal safety of tenofovir alafenamide vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: a pooled analysis of 26 clinical trials

 Samir K. Gupta<sup>a</sup>, Frank A. Post<sup>b</sup>, José R. Arribas<sup>c</sup>, Joseph J. Eron Jr<sup>d</sup>, David A. Wohl<sup>e</sup>, Amanda E. Clarke<sup>f</sup>, Paul E. Sax<sup>g</sup>, Hans-Jürgen Stellbrink<sup>h</sup>, Stefan Esser<sup>i</sup>, Anton L. Pozniak<sup>j</sup>, Daniel Podzamczer<sup>k</sup>, Laura Waters<sup>1</sup>, Chloe Orkin<sup>m</sup>, Jürgen K. Rockstroh<sup>n</sup>, Tatiana Mudrikova<sup>o</sup>, Eugenia Negredo<sup>p</sup>, Richard A. Elion<sup>q</sup>, Susan Guo<sup>r</sup>, Lijie Zhong<sup>r</sup>, Christoph Carter<sup>r</sup>, Hal Martin<sup>r</sup>, Diana Brainard<sup>r</sup>, Devi SenGupta<sup>r</sup> and Moupali Das<sup>r</sup>

**Objective:** Compared with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) has been associated with improvement in markers of renal dysfunction in individual randomized trials; however, the comparative incidence of clinically significant renal events remains unclear.

**Design:** We used a pooled data approach to increase the person-years of drug exposure analysed, maximizing our ability to detect differences in clinically significant outcomes.

**Methods:** We pooled clinical renal safety data across 26 treatment-naive and antiretroviral switch studies to compare the incidence of proximal renal tubulopathy and discontinuation due to renal adverse events between participants taking TAF-containing regimens vs. those taking TDF-containing regimens. We performed secondary analyses from seven large randomized studies (two treatment-naive and five switch studies) to compare incidence of renal adverse events, treatment-emergent proteinuria, changes in serum creatinine, creatinine clearance, and urinary biomarkers (albumin, beta-2microglobulin, and retinol binding protein-to-creatinine ratios).

**Results:** Our integrated analysis included 9322 adults and children with HIV (n = 6360 TAF, n = 2962 TDF) with exposure of 12519 person-years to TAF and 5947 to TDF. There were no cases of proximal renal tubulopathy in participants receiving TAF vs. 10 cases in those receiving TDF (P < 0.001), and fewer individuals on TAF (3/6360) vs. TDF (14/2962) (P < 0.001) discontinued due to a renal adverse event. Participants initiating

Correspondence to Samir K. Gupta, MD, MS, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Emerson Hall, Suite 421, 545 Barnhill Drive, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA.

Tel: +1 317 274 7926; fax: +1 317 274 1587; e-mail: sgupta1@iu.edu

Received: 20 December 2018; revised: 15 February 2019; accepted: 18 February 2019.

DOI:10.1097/QAD.00000000002223

ISSN 0269-9370 Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA, <sup>b</sup>King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, <sup>c</sup>Instituto de Investigación Hospital Universitario La Paz, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain, <sup>d</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, UNC School of Medicine, <sup>e</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA, <sup>f</sup>Sexual Health and Clinical Trials, Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton, UK, <sup>g</sup>Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, <sup>h</sup>Infectious Disease Medical Center, Hamburg, <sup>i</sup>Universitatsklinikum, Essen, Germany, <sup>i</sup>Chelsea and Westminster Hospital and St Stephens AIDS Trust, London, UK, <sup>k</sup>Infectious Diseases Service, Hospital Universitario de Bellvitge, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>i</sup>Mortimer Market Centre, <sup>m</sup>Barts Health NHS Trust, Ambrose King Centre, Royal London Hospital, London, UK, <sup>n</sup>University Hospital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, <sup>o</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands, <sup>p</sup>Universitat de Vic-Universitat Central de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain, <sup>q</sup>Department of Clinical Investigations, Whitman-Walker Health, Washington, District of Columbia, and <sup>r</sup>Departments of Biometrics and HIV & Emerging Viral Infections Clinical Research, Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, California, USA.

TAF-based vs. TDF-based regimens had more favourable changes in renal biomarkers through 96 weeks of therapy.

**Conclusion:** These pooled data from 26 studies, with over 12500 person-years of follow-up in children and adults, support the comparative renal safety of TAF over TDF. Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

AIDS 2019, 33:1455-1465

Keywords: adverse drug event, drug safety biomarkers, HAART, HIV, proximal renal tubular dysfunction, renal fanconi syndrome, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate

### Introduction

Tenofovir (TFV) disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is a nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor that is highly efficacious and generally well tolerated. However, TDF is associated with renal adverse events, including proximal renal tubulopathy (PRT), which occurs in less than 1% of individuals [1,2]. TFV alafenamide (TAF), a TFV prodrug, is associated with a mean 91% lower plasma TFV exposure compared with TDF [3]. As higher plasma TFV levels have been associated with nephrotoxicity [4,5], reduced circulating TFV levels are hypothesized to result in fewer renal adverse events. In phases 2 and 3 clinical trials of both treatment-naive and virologically suppressed adults and children [3,6-35], TAF-containing regimens have demonstrated high efficacy and favorable changes in renal biomarkers including creatinine clearance (CrCl), total and tubular proteinuria, and albuminuria compared with a variety of unboosted and ritonavir (RTV)-boosted or cobicistat (COBI)-boosted TDF-containing regimens. It has been more challenging to determine whether the favourable biomarker profile of TAF translates into improved renal clinical outcomes, due to the low rates of renal events in individual trials, although the 144 week follow-up of the pooled pivotal trials for elvitegravir (EVG)/COBI/emtricitabine (FTC)/TAF had zero cases of PRT and zero renal discontinuations compared with four cases of PRT and 12 renal discontinuations in the EVG/COBI/FTC/TDF group [8]. To better understand the renal clinical outcomes in TAF vs. TDF-containing HIV regimens, we conducted a large integrated analysis of people living with HIV (PLH) from 26 TAF clinical trials. These trials included cumulative exposures of 12519 person-years to TAF and 5947 person-years to TDF, thereby providing increased statistical power to evaluate the comparative impact on renal adverse events and renal function over time.

### Methods

### Study design and participants

We included 26 phases 2 and 3 multicenter, multinational, clinical studies of TAF-containing regimens in PLH

including adults, adolescents, and children (aged  $\geq 6$  years) who were either ART-naive or virologically suppressed on a stable ART regimens containing TDF. These studies were conducted between 28 December 2011 and 4 December 2017. Study design and inclusion criteria, including minimum renal function, of each trial are described in Appendix Table 1, http://links.lww.-com/QAD/B470. Of the 26 studies, 14 were double blinded and randomized, six were open label and randomized, and six were single arm. All trials were undertaken in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by central or site-specific review boards or ethics committees. All participants or their legal guardians (if minors) provided written, informed consent.

### **Procedures**

Postbaseline study visits were conducted at weeks 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, and 48 and every 12 weeks thereafter until week 96. Renal laboratory tests included serum creatinine (SCr), CrCl by Cockcroft–Gault, treatment-emergent proteinuria by dipstick, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR), and tubular proteinuria [urine retinol binding protein-to-creatinine ratio (RBP: Cr) and  $\beta$ 2-micro-globulin-to-creatinine ratio ( $\beta$ 2M: Cr)] (Covance Laboratories, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA).

Renal safety was assessed by recording of adverse events, which were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA, version 18.1–19.1) (Appendix Table 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470).

### Analysis of primary renal safety outcomes

The primary renal safety outcomes were incidence of PRT events, and study drug renal discontinuation events. For primary outcomes analysis, we pooled all participants from the 26 available trials who received at least one dose of study drug (safety analysis set). We derived safety measures data using all data collected on or after study drug was first given up to either the data cut date for participants still on study drug or up to 30 days after the last dose of study drug for participants who permanently discontinued treatment early. We summarized baseline demographics and characteristics of the included participants with descriptive statistics.

We defined 'renal discontinuation events' as investigator-reported discontinuation events for which the attributable MedDRA code exists in selected renal preferred terms from the 'renal and urinary disorders' System Organ Class (Appendix Table 2, http:// links.lww.com/QAD/B470). Similarly, PRT cases were defined as investigator-reported adverse events indicative of tubular disorders, including reported terms of PRT and Fanconi syndrome (preferred terms are provided in Appendix Table 3, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470), regardless of study drug relatedness. The cumulative incidence rates of investigator-reported cases of PRT and renal adverse events leading to study drug discontinuation were calculated as the number of events divided by the total numbers of participants pooled from the 26 trials treated with TAF-containing or TDF-containing regimens, respectively. The differences in the cumulative incidence rates between treatment groups were compared using Fisher's exact test. To minimize type I error resulting from multiple hypothesis testing, we performed primary endpoint analysis in a predetermined sequence, only proceeding to the second endpoint (renal discontinuation events) if the first endpoint (PRT events) analysis demonstrated statistical significance with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

### Analysis of secondary renal outcomes

We assessed secondary renal outcomes including treatmentemergent renal adverse events, SCr, CrCl, treatmentemergent gross proteinuria (by dipstick), UACR, and tubular proteinuria (urine RBP: Cr and  $\beta$ 2M: Cr). Treatment-emergent proteinuria was defined as 1+ or greater proteinuria by dipstick on any occasion during trial follow-up, regardless of persistence. Urine protein-tocreatinine ratio was monitored during the trials, but a change in assay methodology occurring partway through several trials resulted in data unsuitable for integrated analysis. For the analysis of these secondary renal outcomes, we selected a subset of trials that satisfied the following predetermined criteria: randomized design; TAF and TDF arms; and at least 48 weeks of follow-up. Based on these criteria, a total of seven trials were selected, including two treatment-naive studies and five virologically suppressed studies (referred to as switch studies) (Fig. 1). To facilitate accurate assessment of CrCl changes in study participants, we excluded participants who switched from an ART regimen lacking a known creatinine transport inhibitor to a regimen containing a known creatinine transport inhibitor (rilpivirine, dolutegravir, bictegravir, COBI, or RTV) [36-41]. This approach allowed us to reduce confounding caused by SCr increases attributable to initiation of a creatinine transport inhibitor.

Study Population	Study no.	Study design	N	Treatment	
The second s	292-0102	DB, R	170	E/C/F/TAF vs E/C/F/TDF	Primary outcomes
	141-1475	DB, R	98	BIC+F/TAF vs DTG+F/TAF	(N=26 trials 0.222 participants)
	380-1490	DB, R	645	B/F/TAF vs DTG+F/TAF	(N=20 triais, 9,322 participants)
TN adults (n=7)	299-0102	DB, R	153	DRV/COBI/FTC/TAF vs DRV+COBI+FTC/TDF	1) PRT events 2) Discontinuations due to
	380-1489	DB, R	629	B/F/TAF vs ABC/DTG/3TC	
	292-0104	DB, R	867	E/C/F/TAF vs E/C/F/TDF	
	292-0111	DB, R	866	E/C/F/TAF vs E/C/F/TDF	
	366-1160	DB, R	875	EFV/FTC/TDF vs FTC/RPV/TAF	
the second s	366-1216	DB, R	630	FTC/RPV/TAF vs FTC/RPV/TDF	Secondary outcomes
	311-1089	DB, R	663	F/TAF+3rd agent vs F/TDF+3rd agent	(N=7 trials; n=2 naïve
	292-0109	OL, R	1436	E/C/F/TAF vs TDF-containing regimens	<ul> <li>[1733 participants].</li> </ul>
	380-1878	OL, R	577	B/F/TAF vs boosted PI-regimens	n=5 suppressed
and the second	380-1844	DB, R	563	B/F/TAF vs ABC/DTG/3TC	[4002 participante])
VS adulta (n=12)	311-1717	DB, R	556	F/TAF+3rd agent vs ABC/3TC+3rd agent	[4092 participants])
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER	292-1823	OL, R	274	E/C/F/TAF vs ABC/3TC+3rd agent	<ol> <li>Treatment-emergent renal AEs</li> </ol>
	366-1992	OL, R	148	E/C/F/TAF vs R/F//TAF	2) SCr (mg/dL)
1.1	380-1961	OL, R	470	B/F/TAF vs E/C/F/TAF, E/C/F/TDF or ATV+RTV+F/TDF	3) CrCl (mL/min)
	236-0128	OL, R	212	E/C/F/TAF vs ATV/r + FTC/TDF	<ol> <li>Treatment-emergent</li> </ol>
Contractor States	292-1824	Single arm	37	E/C/F/TAF	proteinuria (dipstick)
TN & VS adults (n=1)	292-1249	Single arm	77	E/C/F/TAF	5) UACR
TE adults (n=2)	292-0117	DB, R	37	TAF+failing regimen vs placebo+failing regimen	6) Tubular proteinuria (urine RBP:Cr and 62M:Cr)
And a feature of the state of the	292-0119	OL, R	133	E/C/F/TAF+DRV vs pre-existing regimen	Contract of the second se
TN & VS children (n=1)	292-0106	Single arm	102	E/C/F/TAF	
VS adolescents (n=1)	292-1515	Single arm	60	E/C/F/TAF	
TN & VS children &	311-1269	Single arm	28	F/TAF	
adolescents (n=2)	380-1474	Single arm	24	B/F/TAF	

**Fig. 1. Characteristics of studies included in the integrated analysis.** Treatment-naive studies included in the secondary analysis are highlighted in blue, virologically suppressed people living with HIV studies are highlighted in green. 3TC, lamivudine; ATV, atazanavir; AE, adverse event; B, BIC, bictegravir; C, COBI, cobicistat; DRV, darunavir; DTG, dolutegravir; DB, double blind; E, elvitegravir; FTC, emtricitabine; OL, open label; PI, protease inhibitor; R, randomized; R, RPV, rilpivirine; RTV, ritonavir; STR, single tablet regimen; TE, treatment-experienced; TN, treatment-naive; VS, virologically suppressed.

**1458 AIDS** 2019, Vol 33 No 9

Using these data, we evaluated the incidence rates of treatment-emergent renal adverse events (Appendix Table 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470) and of proteinuria by dipstick. We also summarized change from baseline in SCr and CrCl and percentage change from baseline in UACR, RBP: Cr, and  $\beta$ 2M: Cr. We used logistic regression models to compare the differences in incidence rates between treatment groups and linear regression and rank analysis of covariance (adjusted for baseline demographics and disease characteristics selected from step-wise procedure) for change and percentage change from baseline in renal parameters, respectively.

To control for type I error in the testing of multiple secondary renal outcomes hypotheses, we employed the following testing strategies. First, the primary comparisons of PRT and renal discontinuation events in all 26 studies were analyzed using a predefined sequence as described above. Subsequently, hypothesis testing for secondary outcomes was performed using the Holm–Bonferroni method; *P* values reported in the text are Holm– Bonferroni adjusted [42,43]. We used SAS Software Version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) for all analyses. All studies were conducted according to protocol without substantial deviations

### Results

We included a collective 9322 individuals across 26 studies (Appendix Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470). Participants either initiated or switched to regimens containing TAF (n=6360) or initiated or continued on regimens containing TDF (n=2962) (Table 1). Baseline median age was 42 years, 21% were women, and 27% were of black race. Pooled data included exposure of 12519 person-years to TAF and 5947 person-years to TDF.

### Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics.

### **Primary analyses**

### Incidence of proximal renal tubulopathy events

In the dataset including all 26 studies, 14 of which were double blinded, there were no cases of PRT or Fanconi syndrome reported in the TAF group (Fig. 2). Ten cases of PRT, including Fanconi syndrome, were reported by site investigators for the TDF group (0.34% of participants, P < 0.001 vs. TAF). Of the PRT cases, nine of 10 were investigator reported as study drug related, nine of 10 occurred during blinded therapy, and eight of 10 resulted in study drug discontinuation. Appendix Fig. 1, http:// links.lww.com/OAD/B470 shows the specific ART regimens, duration of study drug exposure relative to onset of PRT and relatedness to study drug as determined by the site investigator. The timing of PRT development was variable but often occurred well into therapy, including three of 10 cases developing in participants who were virologically suppressed on TDF for at least 6 months at the time of enrolment (Appendix Fig. 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470).

### Discontinuations due to renal adverse events

In the dataset including all 26 studies, three of 6360 individuals (0.05%) who received TAF discontinued study drug due to renal adverse events compared with 14 of 2962 (0.47%) participants in the TDF group (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2). Of the 14 participants in the TDF group, four were in open-label studies and the remainder were in double-blinded studies; 12 of 14 discontinuations were reported as study drug-related. All three participants in the TAF group were enrolled in open-label studies, and no discontinuations were reported as study-drug related. Appendix Fig. 2, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470 shows the specific ART regimens, duration of study drug exposure relative to onset of the renal adverse event, as well as relatedness to the study drug as determined by the investigator. Appendix Table 4, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470 provides clinical narratives describing the renal discontinuation events.

Characteristic		TAF, N=6360	TDF, N=2962	Total, <i>N</i> = 9322
Age (years)		41 (7, 80)	42 (18, 79)	42 (7, 80)
Sex	Male	4966 (78%)	2436 (82%)	7402 (79%)
	Female	1394 (22%)	526 (18%)	1920 (21%)
Race	White	3796 (60%)	1884 (64%)	5680 (61%)
	Black	1799 (28%)	739 (25%)	2538 (27%)
	Asian	373 (6%)	181 (6%)	554 (6%)
	Other	376 (6%)	153 (5%)	529 (6%)
	Declined to respond	16 (<1%)	5 (<1%)	21 (<1%)
Ethnicity	Hispanic or Latino	1188 (19%)	537 (18%)	1725 (19%)
Treatment status	Naive	2191 (34%)	975 (33%)	3166 (34%)
	Experienced	4169 (66%)	1987 (67%)	6156 (66%)
CrCl (ml/min)	1	108.8 (91.2, 129.6)	107.7 (90.9, 128.4)	108.6 (91.1, 129.3)

Data are median (IQR) or *n* (%), except for age, which is median (range). CrCl, creatinine clearance; IQR, interquartile range; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate.



**Fig. 2.** Cases of proximal renal tubulopathy and renal adverse events leading to study drug discontinuation across 26 clinical studies. The incidence of proximal renal tubulopathy and renal discontinuation events were determined using pooled data from 26 studies as described in the Methods section. Differences between treatment groups compared using Fisher exact test.

### Secondary analyses

We next sought to compare secondary renal outcomes between TAF-based and TDF-based regimens both in the settings of treatment-naive ART initiation and regimen switch in virologically suppressed PLH. To this end, we identified two ART-naive studies and five switch studies that were randomized, included both TAF and TDF arms, and included at least 48 weeks of follow-up (Fig. 1).

## Total of all renal adverse events in antiretroviral therapy-naive people living with HIV

Based on pooled data from two randomized, doubleblinded studies of treatment-naive PLH, clinical renal adverse events through week 96 were reported significantly less frequently in the TAF group than in the TDF group [47/866 (5.4%) vs. 74/867 (8.5%), P=0.042].

### Changes in renal laboratory parameters and

biomarkers in antiretroviral therapy-naive people living with HIV

In treatment-naive PLH, median change from baseline at weeks 48 and 96 in SCr was significantly lower in the TAF group compared with TDF group [difference in least squares mean (LSM) -0.03 mg/dl,  $P \le 0.001$  at week 96] (Fig. 3a). Similarly, we noted that median CrCl had declined less in the TAF group compared with the TDF group (difference in LSM 6.0 ml/min,  $P \le 0.001$  for week 96) (Fig. 3b).

In treatment-naive PLH, we observed that treatmentemergent proteinuria at week 96 (defined as 1+ or greater proteinuria by dipstick on any occasion) was reported for fewer people in the TAF group compared with those in the TDF group [307/862; (36%) vs. 354/865 (41%); P = 0.034].

Treatment-naive PLH initiating TAF-based regimens had greater decreases or smaller increases from baseline through week 96 in median urinary biomarkers (UACR, RBP:Cr,  $\beta$ 2M:Cr) compared with TDF (Fig. 4). At week 96, median UACR decreased by 5.2% with TAF vs. an increase of 4.9% with TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4a). Median RBP:Cr increased by 13.8% with TAF compared with an increase of 74.2% on TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4b). Median  $\beta$ 2M:Cr declined by 32.1% with TAF compared with an increase of 33.5% on TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4c).

### Total of all renal adverse events in virologically suppressed people living with HIV

We evaluated pooled data from five randomized studies (two open-label, three blinded) of virologically suppressed PLH who switched from TDF-containing to TAF-containing regimens or continued their baseline TDF-based regimen. We observed no difference in the rate of reported clinical renal adverse events in these switch studies [114/2291 (5%) vs. 89/1801 (5%), P=1.00].

## Changes in renal biomarkers in virologically suppressed people living with HIV

For virologically suppressed PLH, there was a greater reduction in median SCr from baseline in the TAF group compared with the TDF group (difference in LSM -0.03 mg/dl,  $P \le 0.001$  for week 96) (Fig. 3a). Median



**Fig. 3. Longitudinal changes in renal laboratory parameters.** Serum creatinine (a) and creatinine clearance (b) were determined longitudinally as described in the Methods section, and are depicted as median change from baseline (purple = tenofovir alafenamide, orange = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate). In each panel, the first plot depicts pooled data from two treatment-naive studies, and the second plot depicts data from five virologically suppressed studies. Differences between treatment groups in changes from baseline were compared using linear regression (baseline demographics and disease characteristics selected from step-wise procedure adjusted).

CrCl increased in the TAF group while no change was seen in the TDF group (difference in LSM 5.2 ml/min,  $P \le 0.001$  for week 96) (Fig. 3b).

In virologically suppressed PLH, we observed that treatment-emergent proteinuria at week 96 (defined as 1+ or greater proteinuria by dipstick on any occasion) was reported for fewer people in the TAF group compared with those in the TDF group [636/2287 (28%) vs. 561/1794 (31%); P=0.04].

In virologically suppressed participants switching from TDF to TAF, TAF-based regimens had greater decreases or smaller increases from baseline through week 96 in median renal biomarkers (UACR, RBP: Cr,  $\beta$ 2M: Cr) compared with TDF (Fig. 4). Median UACR decreased by 5.4% on TAF and increased by 27.0% on TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4a). Median RBP: Cr decreased by 2.3% on TAF and increased 61.2% on TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4b). Median  $\beta$ 2M: Cr decreased by 25.8% with TAF and increased by 53.0% on TDF ( $P \le 0.001$ ) (Fig. 4c).



**Fig. 4. Longitudinal changes in renal biomarkers.** Urine albumin to creatinine ratio (a), retinol binding protein-to-creatinine ratio (b), and  $\beta$ 2-microglobulin-to-creatinine ratio (c) were determined longitudinally as described in the Methods section and are depicted as median percentage change from baseline (purple = tenofovir alafenamide, orange = tenofovir disoproxil fumarate). In each panel, the first plot depicts pooled data from two treatment-naive studies, and the second plot depicts data from five virologically suppressed studies. Differences between treatment groups in changes from baseline were compared using linear regression (baseline demographics and disease characteristics selected from step-wise procedure adjusted).

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 156 of 213 PageID#

**1462 AIDS** 2019, Vol 33 No 9

### Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated more favourable renal biomarker profiles in TAF-containing regimens compared with TDF-containing regimens; however, the sample sizes of individual trials and the overall low rate of clinically significant renal adverse events in these trials limited the ability to detect differences in the rates of these events with the exception of the pooled pivotal EVG trials. In the present analysis, we integrated data from 26 individual trials and were able to demonstrate the renal safety of TAF over TDF across a broad range of PLH, including those who were treatment naive and those who were virologically suppressed at switch. After 12 519 person-years of exposure to TAF, there were no cases of PRT or Fanconi syndrome (identified objectively and independently by the primary investigator caring for the participant) and significantly fewer discontinuations due to renal adverse events in the TAF group compared with the TDF group. Notably, only three (0.02%) renal discontinuation events were reported in participants on TAF; none of these were reported as study drug-related by the investigators, and all had plausible alternative causes.

In treatment-naive participants, we observed fewer overall renal adverse events in participants taking TAFcontaining regimens compared with those taking TDFcontaining regimens. No difference in overall renal adverse events was observed in participants enrolled in switch studies; this may be explained by the fact that participants in those studies were already maintained on TDF at the time of enrolment, and thus self-selected as less likely to develop renal adverse events.

By using an integrated analysis, we were able to demonstrate favourable changes in renal biomarkers in participants taking TAF-containing regiments compared with those taking TDF, both in treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients who switched to TAFcontaining regimens. Our findings demonstrate favourable changes in CrCl as well as in proximal tubule function (RBP and  $\beta$ 2M ratios). We also observed a lower incidence of treatment-emergent proteinuria in participants taking TAF-containing regimens. The observed incidences of proteinuria were high, but notably these are cumulative incidences over 96 weeks of follow-up, and are consistent with previously reported incidences of proteinuria in PLH [44]. These biomarker findings in combination with the clinical outcomes suggest that TAF does not induce proximal tubule dysfunction.

The mechanism for the improved renal safety profile of TAF is likely related to the approximately 90% lower plasma levels of TFV seen in participants receiving TAF compared with those receiving TDF. This mechanism is supported by the reported association between declines in renal tubular function and higher TFV plasma concentrations [45–47].

Conversely, the use of boosting agents such as RTV and COBI increase TFV exposure, and accordingly the use of boosting agents has been associated with an increased risk of renal adverse events [2,48]. A recent meta-analysis sought to compare the renal safety profiles of TDFcontaining regimens in the presence and absence of boosting agents, and suggested that unboosted TDF could have a similar renal safety profile as TAF [48]. However, the aforementioned meta-analysis is limited by a relatively small number of participants and short duration of followup. In the findings presented here, nine out of 10 PRT cases occurred in participants receiving boosted regimens; however, one severe case of PRT occurred in a participant receiving TDF without a boosting agent. Our data support the principle that boosting agents increase the risk of TFV-associated renal adverse events; however, our ability to make robust conclusions about the renal safety of unboosted TDF is limited by the comparatively small number of participants taking such regimens (of 9322 total participants, 2962 were on TDF, and of those 1101 were on TDF without a boosting agent). Although the question of renal safety of TDF in unboosted regimens warrants more evaluation, the available data indicate that TAF can be safely used with boosted as well as unboosted third agents with a very low incidence of clinically significant renal events.

We note several limitations to our analyses. It is challenging to diagnose PRT, and no commonly accepted single diagnostic exists in the clinic to confirm PRT. As such, we utilized investigator-reported events to document PRT, which may have underestimated the number of PRT cases. A reporting bias is possible given the use of investigator reported events, but is unlikely to have affected our findings as most of the included trials were double-blinded, and the majority of reported renal discontinuation events and PRT cases were reported during blinded trial phases. Our clinical trial participants may have been healthier than the general population of PLH due to the presence of inclusion and exclusion criteria in the trials, although TAF was found to safe in patients with impaired renal function (CrCl 30-70 ml/ min, many of whom with diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and proteinuria), with no reported cases of PRT and overall stable renal function through 96 weeks of followup [49]. We also acknowledge that we did not have individual level data on the duration of prior TDF therapy in our trials and therefore could not adjust the rates accordingly.

Despite these limitations, the integrated analysis presented here is based on the large cumulative exposure in person-years to TAF, both in antiretroviral naïve and virally suppressed populations. Furthermore, the pooled data used for analysis includes a demographically diverse population with a wide age range, a large number of women, and diverse ethnic background. It is also notable that a proportion of participants had relatively low CrCl, with variable CrCl eligibility cut-offs of 30, 50, or 70 ml/ min in the trials included in this analysis (Appendix Table 1, http://links.lww.com/QAD/B470). The clinical trial data are supported by experience from the postapproval use in PLH in which currently there has been no renal safety signal with 1.1 million cumulative person-years exposure to TAF.

In conclusion, the pooled data from 26 clinical studies, representing over 12 500 patient-years of follow-up in children and adults on TAF, suggests that the favourable renal biomarker profile observed with TAF vs. TDF in the individual trials translates into a lower rate of clinically significant renal events. These data support a comparative renal safety advantage of TAF over TDF in a broad range of PLH.

### **Acknowledgements**

We thank the participants and their families, the study investigators and their staff, the Gilead study staff, and Anna Kido (Gilead employee) for providing editorial assistance.

All authors were involved in the development of the primary article, interpretation of data, have read and approved the final version, and have met the criteria for authorship as established by the ICMJE. S.K.G., F.A.P., J.R.A., J.J.E.J., D.A.W., A.E.C., P.E.S., H.-J.S., S.E., A.L.P., D.P., L.W., C.O., J.K.R., T.M., E.N., and R.A.E. enrolled participants, analysed data, independently interpreted the results, and edited and approved the article. C.C., H.M., D.B., D.S., and M.D. were project physicians and assisted with study design, medical monitoring of the study, data interpretation, critical review, and discussion of the article. S.G. and L.Z. performed the data analyses. The first draft was written by S.K.G. and M.D. All authors contributed to edits of the final report.

These studies were sponsored by Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Gilead).

### **Conflicts of interest**

S.K.G. reports having received consultancy/advisory fees from Gilead Sciences, GSK-ViiV, and BMS and travel support to current study results at conferences from Gilead Sciences. F.A.P. reports grants to King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust from ViiV Healthcare and Gilead Sciences, and personal fees from Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV Healthcare, and Merck. J.R.A. has received advisory fees, speaker fees, and grant support from ViiV Healthcare, Janssen, Gilead, Merck Sharp & Dohme, and Alexa. J.J.E.J. is an ad-hoc consultant to Gilead Sciences, Merck, Janssen, and ViiV Healthcare. D.A.W. participated in

advisory boards convened by Gilead Sciences and Janssen Therapeutics. Merck and Co., Gilead Sciences, and GlaxoSmithKline have provided the University of North Carolina with funding for his research. A.E.C. reports receiving consultancy fees from ViiV Healthcare and Gilead Sciences; conference travel sponsorship from ViiV; and conference attendance sponsorship from Gilead. P.E.S. is a Scientific Advisory Board member for Gilead, GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV Healthcare, Merck, and Janssen; and has received grant support to his institution from BMS, Gilead, Merck, and GSK/ViiV. H.-J.S. reports honoraria for presentations or scientific advice from Gilead Sciences, Janssen, AbbVie, BMS, Merck, and Teva, and trial documentation fees for clinical trials from ViiV Healthcare, GlaxoSmithKline, and Janssen. S.E. has received honoraria for lectures or advisory boards and his institution has received research grants from ViiV, Gilead, MSD, AbbVie, BMS, and Janssen. A.L.P. has received honoraria for lectures or advisory boards, and his institution has received research grants from ViiV, Gilead, MSD, and Janssen. D.P. reports research grants and honoraria for participation in advisories or conferences from ViiV Healthcare, Pfizer, BMS, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, and Merck. L.W. has received support for attending conferences and/or honoraria for lectures or advisory boards from Gilead, ViiV, MSD, AbbVie, and Janssen. C.O. has received research grants, personal fees, and nonfinancial support for lectureships and serving on advisory boards from Gilead, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, ViiV Healthcare, Abbvie, and Janssen. J.K.R. has received grant or research support from Gilead Sciences; served as a consultant or advisor to Abbott, AbbVie, Bionor, Gilead Sciences, Hexal, Janssen, Merck, and ViiV Healthcare; and was a speaker at educational events for AbbVie, Gilead Sciences, Janssen, and Merck. E.N. has received speaker honoraria or consulting fees from ViiV Healthcare, Merck, Janssen Cilag, BMS, Gilead Sciences, and AbbVie. R.A.E. has received grants from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare, and Merck & Co. C.C., H.M., D.B., D.S., and M.D. are employees of Gilead and hold stock interest in the company. All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Nelson MR, Katlama C, Montaner JS, Cooper DA, Gazzard B, Clotet B, et al. The safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate for the treatment of HIV infection in adults: the first 4 years. *AIDS* 2007; 21:1273–1281.
- Hamzah L, Jose S, Booth JW, Hegazi A, Rayment M, Bailey A, et al. Treatment-limiting renal tubulopathy in patients treated with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. J Infect 2017; 74:492–500.
- Sax PE, Zolopa A, Brar I, Elion R, Ortiz R, Post F, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide vs. tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in single tablet regimens for initial HIV-1 therapy: a randomized phase 2 study. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2014; 67:52–58.
   Hall AM, Hendry BM, Nitsch D, Connolly JO. Tenofovir-asso-
- Hall AM, Hendry BM, Nitsch D, Connolly JO. Tenofovir-associated kidney toxicity in HIV-infected patients: a review of the evidence. Am J Kidney Dis 2011; 57:773–780.

**1464 AIDS** 2019, Vol 33 No 9

- 13346
- Ray AS, Cihlar T, Robinson KL, Tong L, Vela JE, Fuller MD, et al. Mechanism of active renal tubular efflux of tenofovir. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50:3297–3304.
- Sax PE, Wohl D, Yin MT, Post F, DeJesus E, Saag M, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: two randomised, double-blind, phase 3, noninferiority trials. *Lancet* 2015; 385:2606–2615.
- Wohl D, Oka S, Clumeck N, Clarke A, Brinson C, Stephens J, et al. Brief report: a randomized, double-blind comparison of tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, each coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine for initial HIV-1 treatment: week 96 results. J Acquir Immune Defic Synd 2016; 72:58–64.
- Arribas JR, Thompson M, Sax PE, Haas B, McDonald C, Wohl DA, et al. Brief report: randomized, double-blind comparison of tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) vs tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF), each coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine (E/C/F) for initial HIV-1 treatment: week 144 results. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 75:211-218.
- Gaur AH, Kizito H, Prasitsueubsai W, Rakhmanina N, Rassool M, Chakraborty R, et al. Safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics of a single-tablet regimen containing elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide in treatment-naive, HIV-infected adolescents: a single-arm, open-label trial. Lancet HIV 2016; 3:e561–e568.
- Natukunda E, Gaur AH, Kosalaraksa P, Batra J, Rakhmanina N, Porter D, et al. Safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics of singletablet elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide in virologically suppressed, HIV-infected children: a single-arm, open-label trial. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2017; 1:27–34.
- Strehlau R, Hellstrom E, Violari A, et al. Safety & efficacy of elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide single-tablet regimen in HIV-1 Infected virologically suppressed adolescents. Presented at the 10th International Workshop on HIV Pediatrics, 21–22 July 2018, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Abstract 30. Available at regist2.virology-education.com/abstractbook/2018/abstractbook\_10ped.pdf. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Hodder S, Squires K, Kityo C, Hagins D, Avihingsanon A, Kido A, et al. Brief report: efficacy and safety of switching to coformulated elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide (E/C/F/TAF) in virologically suppressed women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2018; 78:209-213.
- Mills A, Arribas JR, Andrade-Villanueva J, DiPerri G, Van Lunzen J, Koenig E, et al. Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide in antiretroviral regimens for virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1 infection: a randomised, active-controlled, multicentre, open-label, phase 3, noninferiority study. Lancet Infect Dis 2016; 16:43–52.
- 14. DeJesus E, Haas B, Segal-Maurer S, Ramgopal MN, Mills A, Margot N, et al. Superior efficacy and improved renal and bone safety after switching from a tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to a tenofovir alafenamide-based regimen through 96 weeks of treatment. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2018; **34**:337–342.
- NIH U.S. National Library of Medicine. Study GS-US-292-0117: efficacy of tenofovir alafenamide versus placebo added to a failing regimen followed by treatment with elvitegravir/ cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide plus atazanavir in HIV-1 positive, antiretroviral treatment-experienced adults, 2018. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/ NCT01967940. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Huhn GD, Tebas P, Gallant J, Wilkin T, Cheng A, Yan M, et al. A randomized, open-label trial to evaluate switching to elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide plus darunavir in treatment-experienced HIV-1-infected adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 74:193–200.
- Gallant J, Brunetta J, Crofoot G, Benson P, Mills A, Brinson C, et al. Brief report: efficacy and safety of switching to a singletablet regimen of elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide in HIV-1/hepatitis B-coinfected adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2016; 73:294–298.

- Maggiolo F, Rizzardini G, Raffi F, et al. Effect of age on efficacy and safety of elvitegravir, cobicistat, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide (E/C/F/TAF) in virologically-suppressed, HIV-1-infected participants aged ≥65 years: a pooled analysis of two phase 3 trials. Presented at HIV Glasgow, 28–31 October 2018, Glasgow, UK. Poster P146. Available at: hivglasgow.org/wpcontent/uploads/2018/11/P146.pdf. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Perez-Valero I, Llibre JM, Lazzarin A, et al. A Phase 3b openlabel pilot study to evaluate switching to elvitegravir/cobicistat/ emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (E/C/F/TAF) single-tablet regimen in virologically-suppressed HIV-1 infected adults harboring the NRTI resistance mutation M184V and/or M184I (GS-US-292-1824): week 24 results. Presented at 22nd International AIDS Conference, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 23–27 July 2018. Poster TUAB0104. Available at: programme.aids2018.org/Programme/Session/95. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- 20. Mills A, Crofoot G Jr, McDonald C, Shalit P, Flamm JA, Gathe J Jr, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in the first protease inhibitor-based single-tablet regimen for initial HIV-1 therapy: a randomized phase 2 study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2015; 69:439–445.
- 21. Custodio JM, Chuck SK, Chu H, Cao H, Ma G, Flaherty J, et al. Lack of clinically important PK interaction between coformulated ledipasvir/sofosbuvir and rilpivirine/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide. *Pharmacol Res Perspect* 2017; 5:e00353.
- 22. DeJesus E, Ramgopal M, Crofoot G, Ruane P, LaMarca A, Mills A, et al. Switching from efavirenz, emtricitabine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide coformulated with rilpivirine and emtricitabine in virally suppressed adults with HIV-1 infection: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3b, noninferiority study. Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e205–e213.
- 23. Orkin C, DeJesus E, Ramgopal M, Crofoot G, Ruane P, LaMarca A, et al. Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide coformulated with rilpivirine and emtricitabine in virally suppressed adults with HIV-1 infection: a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3b, noninferiority study. Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e195–e204.
- 24. Gallant JE, Daar ES, Raffi F, Brinson C, Ruane P, DeJesus E, et al. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate given as fixed-dose combinations containing emtricitabine as backbones for treatment of HIV-1 infection in virologically suppressed adults: a randomised, double-blind, active-controlled phase 3 trial. Lancet HIV 2016; 3:e158–e165.
- Winston A, Post FA, DeJesus E, Podzamczer D, Di Perri G, Estrada V, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide plus emtricitabine versus abacavir plus lamivudine for treatment of virologically suppressed HIV-1-infected adults: a randomised, double-blind, active-controlled, noninferiority phase 3 trial. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e162–e171.
- 26. Raffi F, Orkin C, Clarke A, Slama L, Gallant J, Daar E, *et al.* **Brief** report: long-term (96-week) efficacy and safety after switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide in HIV-infected, virologically suppressed adults. *J Acquir Immune* Defic Syndr 2017; 75:226–231.
- Chen JŚ, Saez-Llorens A, Castaño E, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and efficacy of FTC/TAF in HIV-infected adolescen ts (12–18 years). Presented at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 4–7 March 2018, Boston, MA. Abstract 843. Available at: http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/safety-pk-efficacy-ftctaf-hiv-infectedadolescents-12-18-yrs. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Sax PE, DeJesus E, Crofoot G, Ward D, Benson P, Dretler R, et al. Bictegravir versus dolutegravir, each with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: a randomised, double-blind, phase 2 trial. Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e154–e160.
- 29. Gallant J, Lazzarin A, Mills A, Orkin C, Podzamczer D, Tebas P, et al. Bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir, abacavir, and lamivudine for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380-1489): a double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, randomised controlled noninferiority trial. *Lancet* 2017; **390**:2063–2072.
- Sax PE, Pozniak A, Montes ML, Koenig E, DeJesus E, Stellbrink HJ, et al. Coformulated bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380-1490): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, noninferiority trial. *Lancet* 2017; 390:2073–2082.

- 31. Molina JM, Ward D, Brar I, Mills A, Stellbrink HJ, López-Cortés L, et al. Switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from dolutegravir plus abacavir and lamivudine in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, active-controlled, phase 3, noninferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e357–e365.
- 32. Daar ES, DeJesus E, Ruane P, Crofoot G, Oguchi G, Creticos C, et al. Efficacy and safety of switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from boosted protease inhibitor-based regimens in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, openlabel, multicentre, phase 3, noninferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e347–e356.
- 33. Gaur A, Rodriguez C, McGrath EJ, et al. Bictegravir/FTC/TAF single-tablet regimen in adolescents: week 24 results. Presented at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 4–7 March 2018, Boston, MA. Abstract 844. Available at: http:// www.croiconference.org/sessions/bictegravirftctaf-single-tablet-regimenadolescents-week-24-results. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Cotton M, Liberty A, Rodriguez CA, et al. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/ F/TAF) single-tablet regimen in HIV-1-infected children (6 to <12 years). Presented at 22nd International AIDS Conference (AIDS 2018), 23–27 July 2018, Amsterdam, Netherlands. Abstract WEAB0205. Available at: programme.aids2018.org/Abstract/ Print/?abstractid=5141. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- Kityo C, Hagins D, Koenig E, et al. Switching to bictegravir/ emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (B/F/TAF) in women. Presented at the Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, 4–7 March 2018, Boston, MA. Abstract 500. Available at: http://www.croiconference.org/sessions/switching-bictegraviremtracitabinetenofovir-alafenimide-bftaf-women. [Accessed 12 November 2018].
- NORVIR? (ritonavir) US prescribing information. September 2017. North Chicago, IL: AbbVie Inc. Available at: http://www.rxabbvie.com/pdf/norvirtab\_pi.pdf. [Accessed 17 October 2018].
- vie.com/pdf/norvirtab\_pi.pdf. [Accessed 17 October 2018].
  37. TYBOST? (cobicistat) US prescribing information. August 2017. Foster City, CA: Gilead Sciences. Available at: www.gilead.com/~/media/files/pdfs/medicines/hiv/tybost/tybost\_pi.pdf. [Accessed 17 October 2018].
- EDURANT? (rilpivirine) US prescribing information. February 2018. Titusville NJ: Janssen Products, LP. Available at: http:// www.janssenlabels.com/package-insert/product-monograph/ prescribing-information/EDURANT-pi.pdf. [Accessed 17 October 2018].

- BICTARVY? (bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide) US prescribing information. February 2018. Foster City, CA: Gilead Sciences. Available at https://www.gilead.com/-/ media/files/pdfs/medicines/hiv/biktarvy/biktarvy\_pi.pdf. [Accessed 17 October 2018].
- TIVICAY? (dolutegravir) US prescribing information. September 2018. Research Triangle Park, NC: ViiV Healthcare. Available at: https://www.gsksource.com/pharma/content/dam/GlaxoSmithKline/US/en/Prescribing\_Information/Tivicay/pdf/TIVI-CAY-PI-PIL.PDF. [Accessed 17 October 2018].
- 41. Gallant JE, Koenig E, Andrade-Villanueva J, Chetchotisakd P, DeJesus E, Antunes F, et al. Cobicistat versus ritonavir as a pharmacoenhancer of atazanavir plus emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in treatment-naive HIV type 1-infected patients: week 48 results. / Infect Dis 2013; 208:32–39.
- 42. Holm S. A simple sequential rejective multiple test procedure. *Scand J Stat* 1979; 6:65–70.
- 43. Aickin M, Gensler H. Adjusting for multiple testing when reporting research results: the Bonferroni vs Holm methods. *Am J Public Health* 1996; **86**:726–728.
- Scherzer R, Estrella M, Li Y, Choi AI, Deeks SG, Grunfeld C, Shlipak MG. Association of tenofovir exposure with kidney disease risk in HIV infection. *AIDS* 2012; 26:867–875.
- Poizot-Martin I, Solas C, Allemand J, Obry-Roguet V, Pradel V, Bregigeon S, et al. Renal impairment in patients receiving a tenofovir-cART regimen: impact of tenofovir trough concentration. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 62:375–380.
- 46. Baxi SM, Scherzer R, Greenblatt RM, Minkoff H, Sharma A, Cohen M, et al. Higher tenofovir exposure is associated with longitudinal declines in kidney function in women living with HIV. AIDS 2016; 30:609–618.
- Rodríguez-Nóvoa S, Labarga P, D'avolio A, Barreiro P, Albalate M, Vispo E, et al. Impairment in kidney tubular function in patients receiving tenofovir is associated with higher tenofovir plasma concentrations. *AIDS* 2010; 24:1064–1066.
- Hill A, Hughes SL, Gotham D, Pozniak Al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: is there a true difference in efficacy and safety? *J Virus Erad* 2018; 4:72–79.
- 49. Post FA, Tebas P, Clarke A, Cotte L, Short WR, Abram ME, et al. Brief report: switching to tenofovir alafenamide, coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine, in HIV-infected adults with renal impairment: 96-week results from a single-arm, multicenter, open-label phase 3 study. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2017; 74:180–184.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 160 of 213 PageID# 13348

# EXHIBIT J



cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 161 of 213 PageID#

Author manuscript *Curr Treat Options Infect Dis.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

Published in final edited form as: *Curr Treat Options Infect Dis.* 2017 March ; 9(1): 52–67. doi:10.1007/s40506-017-0109-9.

### **Bone Loss in HIV Infection**

Caitlin A. Moran, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Neale Weitzmann, PhD<sup>3,4</sup>, and Ighovwerha Ofotokun, MD, MSc<sup>1,2,#</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine

<sup>2</sup>Grady Healthcare System, Atlanta, GA USA

<sup>3</sup>Atlanta Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Decatur, GA USA

<sup>4</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism & Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA USA

### **Opinion Statement**

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection is an established risk factor for low bone mineral density (BMD) and subsequent fracture, and treatment with combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) leads to additional BMD loss, particularly in the first 1–2 years of therapy. The prevalence of low BMD and fragility fracture is expected to increase as the HIV-infected population ages with successful treatment with cART. Mechanisms of bone loss in the setting of HIV infection are likely multifactorial, and include viral, host, and immune effects, as well as direct and indirect effects of cART, particularly tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and the protease inhibitors (PIs). Emerging data indicate that BMD loss following cART initiation can be mitigated by prophylaxis with either long-acting bisphosphonates or vitamin D and calcium supplementation. In addition, newer antiretrovirals, particularly the integrase strand transfer inhibitors and tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), are associated with less intense bone loss than PIs and TDF. However, further studies are needed to establish optimal bone sparing cART regimens, appropriate screening intervals, and preventive measures to address the rising prevalence of fragility bone disease in the HIV population.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any new studies with human or animal involvement performed by the authors.

### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Address Correspondence to: Ighovwerha Ofotokun, Infectious Diseases, Emory University School of Medicine, 49 Jesse Hill Jr Drive, Atlanta, GA 30303; Tel: (404) 616-0659; Fax: (404) 616 0592; iofotok@emory.edu.

**Conflicts of Interest** 

Dr. Caitlin A. Moran, Dr. M. Neale Weitzmann, and Dr. Ighovwerha Ofotokun declare no conflicts of interest.

The authors' research activities are supported by the National Institute on Aging (NIA) under Award Number R01AG040013 and the National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (NIAMS) under Award Numbers R01AR059364, R01AR068157 and R01AR070091 to M.N.W. and I.O. M.N.W. is also supported by a grant from the Biomedical Laboratory Research & Development Service of the VA Office of Research and Development (5101BX000105). C.A.M. is supported by National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number UL1TR000454. The authors gratefully acknowledge services provided by the Emory Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) funded though NIAID (P30AI050409) and the Atlanta Clinical and Translational Science Institute (ACTSI), funded though the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (UL1TR000454).

Page 2

Moran et al.

### Keywords

HIV; osteopenia; osteoporosis; combination antiretroviral therapy; immune reconstitution; bisphosphonates

### I. Introduction

HIV-infected individuals treated with combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) can expect to attain a near-normal life expectancy [1]; however, they will experience age-related comorbidities including cardiovascular disease, musculoskeletal abnormalities, and renal impairment at rates that exceed those of the general population [2, 3]. As the HIV population ages, the prevalence of these comorbidities in this population is expected to increase significantly [3]. Therefore, it is becoming increasingly important for clinicians caring for HIV-infected patients to be aware of the risks of noninfectious comorbidities in this population, as well as strategies to mitigate these risks.

Osteopenia and osteoporosis as defined by the World Health Organization criteria [femoral neck or lumbar spine T-score as measured by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) between -1.0 and -2.5 (osteopenia) and less than or equal to -2.5 (osteoporosis)] [4] are associated with HIV infection itself, as well as with cART [5, 6]. Indeed, cART further aggravates the bone mineral density (BMD) loss associated with HIV infection: patients experience an additional 2% to 6% BMD loss in the first 1-2 years of cART, a rate of bone loss similar to that seen in postmenopausal osteoporosis [7, 8]. Because this higher prevalence of bone disease in the HIV population is accompanied by a clinically significant increased rate of bone fractures [9, 10], it is important to understand the mechanisms underlying HIV-associated bone loss, as well as current options to prevent further bone loss in this population. This review will focus on new evidence regarding the pathogenesis of HIV-associated bone loss due to both HIV infection itself and the effects of cART, as well as strategies for mitigating metabolic bone disease and fragility fractures in the HIV-infected population.

### II. The scope and burden of HIV-associated bone loss

Current estimates suggest that the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in the setting of HIV infection ranges from 48% to 55% and 10% to 34%, respectively [11–13], and is expected to increase as the HIV population ages [3]. This high prevalence of bone disease is associated with an elevated rate of bone fractures despite the relatively young age of the HIV population [14]. The landmark population-based study by Triant *et al.*, which involved 8,525 HIV-infected patients and 2,208,792 HIV-uninfected controls, found that fracture prevalence among HIV-infected patients of both sexes was two- to four-fold higher than among the HIV-uninfected controls [9]. These findings were confirmed in more recent studies of fracture prevalence involving patients in the United States (U.S.) in the HIV Outpatient Study (HOPS) cohort [15], the Veterans Aging Cohort Study Virtual Cohort (VASC-VC) [16], and the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) cohort [17]. Internationally, a more recent Spanish population-based cohort study of 2,489 HIV-infected and 1,115,667 HIV-uninfected participants over the age of 40 demonstrated an overall age- and sex-adjusted hip

Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

Moran et al.

Page 3

fracture hazard ratio (HR) for HIV infection of 4.7, with a stronger association in older age groups [10]. In Denmark, a case-control study using nationwide health registry data analyzed 124,655 non-traumatic fracture cases and 373,962 age- and sex-matched controls, and found an almost 9-fold increased risk for hip fracture among HIV-infected patients compared to their HIV-uninfected counterparts [18]. Taken together, these population-based studies demonstrate the high burden of fragility fractures in the HIV population, which is projected to increase as the HIV population ages.

### III. Proposed mechanisms of HIV-associated bone loss

The maintenance of skeletal health involves ongoing bone remodeling that requires a balance between bone deposition mediated by mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-derived osteoblasts and bone resorption mediated by monocyte-macrophage-derived osteoclasts [19]. The osteoblast-osteoclast balance is mediated by cross talk between these bone cells and immune cells [6]. Osteoclast differentiation is stimulated by the binding of receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B (RANK) ligand (RANKL) to RANK expressed on cells of the monocytic lineage. In physiological conditions RANKL is secreted predominantly by cells of the osteoblast lineage including osteoblast progenetors (MSCs), osteoblasts [19], and osteocytes, as well as by hypertrophic chondrocytes [20, 21]. The activity of RANKL is inhibited by osteoprotegerin (OPG), a decoy receptor of RANKL, which, under normal conditions, is produced predominantly by B cells in the bone marrow in response to T cell signaling, as well as by MSCs and osteoblasts. However, under inflammatory conditions, T and B cells are further activated to produce RANKL instead of OPG [22•, 23]. This production of RANKL and OPG by immune cells suggests a link between the skeletal and immune systems, the "immunoskeletal interface". Furthermore, pro-inflammatory cytokines including interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-7, IL-17, macrophage-colony stimulating factor (M-CSF) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a stimulate osteoclast differentiation either by promoting RANKL, stimulating expression of RANK, or by suppressing production of OPG, favoring enhanced bone loss. TNF-a further amplifies RANKL activity while interferon (IFN)- $\gamma$  has direct inhibitory effects on osteoclast differentiation [19, 24...], but can potently stimulate osteoclastogensis through immune mediated signals. Many of these cytokines including IL-7 and TNF-a further disregulate bone turnover by suppressing osteoblast differentiation [24••]. Therefore, any perturbations in this complex "immunoskeletal interface" [25] that result in an increase in osteoclastic bone resorption relative to osteoblastic bone formation will lead to BMD loss.

The development of metabolic bone disease in the setting of HIV infection is likely multifactorial. Traditional risk factors for low BMD, including low body mass index (BMI), smoking, hypogonadism or menopause, and corticosteroid use are highly prevalent among HIV-infected individuals [12, 26–29]. However, individuals with HIV experience BMD loss beyond what would be expected from these traditional risk factors alone [30], and there is evidence that both the HIV virus itself, and treatment with cART, contribute to ongoing bone loss in the HIV population.

Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

Moran et al.

### III.A Mechanisms of virus-associated bone damage

Data suggest that HIV has both direct and indirect effects on osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and their cross-talk regulation [31]. In a prospective cohort study by Hileman et al., treatmentnaïve, HIV-infected individuals experienced a greater rate of BMD loss than age, race, and sex matched HIV-uninfected controls over 48 weeks [32]. And studies of cART-naïve, HIVinfected individuals have shown that longer duration of HIV infection [26, 33], more advanced WHO HIV stage [34], and greater levels of HIV viremia [35] are all associated with greater BMD loss, suggesting that the virus itself and the inflammation associated with HIV infection have an effect on BMD.

### III.A.i Effects of inflammation and adaptive immune dysregulation on bone—

Markers of inflammation and immune activation are associated with BMD loss in HIVinfected individuals. Hileman et al. found that higher plasma levels of IL-6 were associated with greater BMD loss among HIV-infected, but not HIV-uninfected, participants [32]. In addition, in a cross-sectional analysis of 457 Tanner stage 5 behaviorally HIV-infected males and females aged 14-25 and seronegative controls, soluble CD14 (sCD14), a marker of macrophage activation, was greater in HIV-infected males than in HIV-uninfected males [36], and a negative correlation between bone mass and sCD14 was seen in both sexes [36]. Taken together, these results suggest that inflammation and innate immune activation play a role in HIV-induced bone loss.

HIV infection also causes dysfunction in adaptive immunity that results in bone loss. In HIV-uninfected persons, activated T cells have been shown to produce RANKL and stimulate osteoclastogenesis in a number of inflammatory conditions including rheumatoid arthritis [37] and postmenopausal osteoporosis [38]. In a cross-sectional study of 78 HIVinfected patients who underwent DXA screening, patients with low BMD (osteopenia or osteoporosis) had a greater frequency of activated CD4<sup>+</sup> (CD4<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>+</sup>) and activated CD8<sup>+</sup> (CD8<sup>+</sup>HLA-DR<sup>+</sup>) T cells; in a subset of 57 patients virologically suppressed on cART, those with low BMD continued to display a greater frequency of activated CD8<sup>+</sup>, but not activated CD4<sup>+</sup>, T cells, suggesting that some immune activation leading to decreased BMD persists despite virologic suppression [39]. However, the clinical significance of these findings is unclear. In a retrospective analysis of the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) Longitudinal-Linked Randomized Trial (ALLRT), a longitudinal cohort of participants enrolled in other ACTG studies, markers of T cell activation (CD8+CD38+HLA-DR+) were not associated with an increased incidence of fracture, although this study had low power to detect associations [40].

B cells are also affected by HIV infection. Our group has shown that B cells switch from OPG production to RANKL production in animal models of HIV infection [41], and that B cells isolated from cART-naïve HIV-infected individuals displayed increased RANKL production and decreased OPG production compared to B cells isolated from HIVuninfected controls [22•]. Furthermore, these changes were associated with an increase in bone turnover markers and a decrease in BMD in HIV-infected individuals compared with HIV-uninfected controls [22•].

Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.
**III.A.ii Direct effects of HIV on bone**—There is also *in vitro* evidence that HIV directly affects bone remodeling. Human osteoblasts exposed to HIV protein p55-gag and envelope glycoprotein gp120 had decreased alkaline phosphatase activity, calcium deposition, and cell proliferation and viability [42, 43], while exposure of CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells to gp120 resulted in a significant increase of RANKL production and subsequent osteoclast differentiation [44, 45]. Furthermore, MSCs chronically exposed over 20 days to HIV proteins Tat and Nef *in vitro* exhibited premature senescence, increased oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction resulting in decreased osteoblastic differentiation [46]. These data suggest that the effect of HIV on BMD may be partially mediated by a range of HIV proteins; however, additional studies are needed to confirm these findings *in vivo*.

# III.B Mechanisms of antiretroviral therapy-associated bone damage

There is compelling evidence that HIV-associated bone loss is paradoxically worsened by cART. In a meta-analysis by Brown and Qaqish, HIV-infected individuals on cART had a 2.5-fold increased odds of prevalent low BMD compared with those who were cART-naïve [47]. This effect is universal across all antiretroviral drug classes, although the magnitude of BMD loss may vary by drug regimen [5, 48–50]. Of particular interest is the observation that the vast majority of bone loss occurs within the first 1–2 years after cART initiation, with subsequent stabilization of BMD thereafter [51–53]. It also has been observed that a lower baseline CD4 count is associated with a greater degree of BMD loss after cART initiation [54•]. These data suggest that, in addition to the direct effects of specific antiretrovirals on bone, some of the bone loss seen immediately after cART initiation may be due to HIV disease reversal and immune reconstitution.

**III.B.i Role of T cell restoration in cART-associated bone damage**—Recently, our group has explored the role of immune reconstitution and T cell repopulation in BMD loss after cART initiation. Our group created an animal model of immune reconstitution by adoptive transfer of T cells into T cell knock-out mice to mimic cART-induced T cell re-expansion, and observed an increase in the osteoclastogenic cytokines RANKL and TNF-a, along with corresponding decreases in cortical and trabecular bone mass 12 weeks after adoptive transfer of T cells [24••]. These findings were confirmed in part in humans in a prospective cohort study of 20 cART-naïve HIV-infected participants initiating cART. A rapid increase in plasma bone turnover markers was observed as early as two weeks after cART initiation, and lasted through 24 weeks [55••]. Peak bone resorption was noted at around 12 weeks: the time at which T cell recovery after cART initiation reaches a significant level [56]. Therefore, it seems likely that in humans, as was described in the mouse model, T cell repopulation plays a role in cART-induced bone loss.

**III.B.ii Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-associated bone loss**—Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) is a prodrug of the nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NtRTI) tenofovir (TFV). Both cART-naïve and virologically suppressed HIV-infected patients exposed to TDF experience 1–3% more bone loss than those exposed to other NRTIs in [57–61]. Additionally, reversible TDF-associated bone loss has been observed in healthy, HIV-uninfected men and women taking TDF for pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) [62–64], thus confirming the effects of TDF on bone beyond what can be attributed to viral or immune

Page 6

factors. Although there is some *in vitro* evidence that TDF directly affects osteoblast and osteoclast gene expression [65, 66], the putative mechanism of TDF-associated bone loss is phosphate wasting caused by proximal renal tubular dysfunction. TDF is metabolized to TFV in the plasma. In the kidney, TFV is taken up from the plasma by the organic anion transporter at the proximal tubular cells and is then excreted into urine in the tubular space at a slower rate than it is taken up [67, 68]. Accumulation of TFV in the proximal tubular cells can lead to proximal renal tubular dysfunction, the most severe form of which is a Fanconi-like syndrome (hyperphosphaturia, hyperaminoaciduria, and glucosuria) that can result in osteomalacia (poorly mineralized bone matrix), even with preserved glomerular function [67, 69, 70]. Milder TDF-associated renal tubular dysfunction and alterations in phosphate metabolism can still result in a reduction in BMD [71, 72]. Indeed, hyperphosphaturia has been correlated with BMD loss even in the setting of normal phosphatemia [73].

In contrast to TDF, tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) is an alanine ester prodrug of TFV whose pharmacokinetic properties result in greater concentrations of TFV in HIV-target cells with approximately 90% lower TFV plasma concentrations than are seen with TDF [68, 74, 75]. This lower plasma concentration results in less TFV uptake by the kidney and lower proximal tubule TFV concentrations, which in turn leads to less proximal tubule dysfunction [75] and subsequent BMD loss. In clinical trials, cART-naïve HIV-infected patients experienced less BMD loss when started on a TAF-containing regimen compared with a TDF-containing regimen [76, 77], and HIV-infected patients who were virologically suppressed on a TDF-containing regimen saw improvements in their renal function and BMD when switched to a TAF-containing regimen [78]. Whether the long-term bone effects of TAF are similar to those of other non-TDF NRTIs remains to be seen.

**III.B.iii** Protease inhibitor associated bone loss—The effects of protease inhibitors (PIs) on BMD are somewhat controversial: most, but not all, clinical studies point to an association between PI use and BMD loss [50, 79-83]. In randomized clinical trials, PIbased regimens are associated with a greater degree of BMD loss than either non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimens [58, 84] or integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI)-based regimens [85, 86]. The mechanisms behind PI-associated bone loss are unclear, and in vitro data are conflicting and vary by drug. Gibellini et al. demonstrated that treatment of osteoblasts with fosamprenavir (FPV), but not indinavir (IDV), saquinavir (SQV), atazanavir (ATV), tipranavir (TPV) or darunavir (DRV) results in an increase in OPG expression and subsequent decrease in RANKL production [87], conditions unfavorable for osteoclastic bone resorption. In contrast, Fakruddin et al. showed that, in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), physiologic blocks to osteoclastogenesis are inhibited by ritonavir (RTV) and SQV, two PIs that have been associated with osteopenia, but not IDV and nelfinavir (NFV) [44]. Other in vitro studies have demonstrated that PIs can alter osteoblast gene expression [88], decrease osteoblast differentiation by MSCs [89], and increase osteoclast differentiation [45, 90, 91]. Given that the effects observed in vitro vary by specific drug, and are not all consistent with clinical observations, there are likely additional or alternative mechanisms by which PIs affect BMD.

In addition to the direct effects of PIs on osteoblasts and osteoclasts, there are data to suggest that a portion of the bone loss associated with PIs can be attributed to concomitant TDF use. In clinical trials of viremic and virologically suppressed HIV-infected patients on standard PI-based cART regimens, maintaining PIs while removing the TDF-containing NRTI backbone results in less BMD loss compared with continuing an NRTI-containing regimen [92–94]. Indeed, RTV has been shown to inhibit active TFV secretion by the proximal tubule, resulting in an increase of plasma TFV concentrations by 25–35% [95–97]. However, compared with other drug classes, PIs are associated with decreased BMD regardless of NRTI backbone [58, 84], suggesting that the mechanism of their effects go beyond alterations in TFV metabolism.

# III.C Role of altered vitamin D metabolism in HIV-associated bone loss

Vitamin D is important for maintaining adequate serum calcium levels. Inadequate vitamin D (insufficiency and deficiency) can lead to secondary hyperparathyroidism, which in turn stimulates RANKL production that results in osteoclastogenesis and subsequent bone loss [98]. Likely due in part to chronic inflammation and viral replication [99], inadequate vitamin D is highly prevalent in the HIV-infected population, with estimates ranging from 24–87% in different cohorts and geographic locations [100–103]. cART can lead to further reduction of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25-(OH)D] levels, with the greatest decrease seen with the NNRTI efavirenz (EFV) [101]. In addition, PIs have been shown to inhibit conversion of 25-(OH)D to the active metabolite 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25-(OH)<sub>2</sub>D] *in vitro* [104], but the clinical importance of these cART-associated alterations in vitamin D metabolism is unclear.

# IV. Management of HIV-associated bone loss

Due to the complex pathogenesis of bone loss in the setting of HIV infection, screening, risk factor modification, prophylaxis, and treatment continue to present clinical challenges. Fortunately, there is emerging evidence that HIV-associated bone loss can be attenuated by a variety of interventions including the use of newer bone sparing cART regimens, calcium and vitamin D supplementation, and prophylaxis with bisphosphonates.

# **IV.A Screening for low BMD in HIV infection**

Screening for osteopenia and osteoporosis in HIV-infected individuals remains challenging because current screening modalities, including the Fracture Risk Assessment Tool (FRAX) and DXA, are not validated in the relatively young HIV population. Current recommendations from the Osteo Renal Exchange Program (OREP) suggest that all HIV-infected men between the ages of 40–49 and all HIV-infected premenopausal women 40 years of age or older should undergo screening for 10-year fragility fracture risk with a FRAX assessment every 2–3 years, and that men 50 years of age and older, postmenopausal women, and others at high risk such as those with a history of fragility fracture or who are on chronic glucocorticoid therapy should undergo DXA screening, if available [105•]. European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) guidelines are generally similar [106]. While these recommendations provide some guidance to practicing clinicians, they are limited by the availability of evidence. DXA and FRAX are each well validated in the general population

[107]; however, their utility in the HIV-infected population is less clear. As the OREP guidelines note, the WHO diagnostic T-score criteria for DXA were designed for postmenopausal women, and men 50 years of age and older, and may not be applicable to a younger, HIV-infected population [4, 105•]. Additionally, studies involving the VACS-VC show that each modality underestimates fracture risk in the setting of HIV infection, although FRAX improves when HIV is included as a cause of secondary osteoporosis [108, 109]. In HIV-infected men in the United Kingdom with a median age of 45 years, FRAX had a poor sensitivity and specificity for osteoporosis compared with DXA [110]. However, alternative methods of screening, such as bone turnover markers, are not practical in the clinical setting, and DXA is often not available in resource-limited settings. The development of a more accurate screening tool for fragility fracture in the setting of HIV infection is needed.

# **IV.B Prophylaxis and treatment**

Several strategies exist for the prevention and management of osteoporosis in the setting of HIV infection. These include selection of cART regimens associated with less BMD loss, calcium and vitamin D supplementation, and potentially, prophylaxis with long-acting bisphosphonates.

**IV.B.i Selection of cART regimen**—It should first be noted that the benefits of cART far outweigh any risks of future bone disease, and current WHO guidelines recommend initiation of therapy in all HIV-infected persons regardless of CD4 count [111]. The selection of any cART regimen is complex and involves a number of viral and host factors. TDF and PIs, both of which are known to carry a greater risk of bone loss, remain important components of recommended first-line and salvage regimens [111, 112]. However, for HIVinfected patients at particularly high risk for fragility bone disease, it is reasonable to consider cART regimens that are not associated with a higher risk of bone loss, such as abacavir (ABC)-containing NRTI backbones and INSTI-based regimens [105•], or to avoid regimens containing both TDF and a PI. Furthermore, the development of TAF has allowed for the use of a TFV prodrug in some patients for whom TDF has been contraindicated, and may offer an additional option for those at high risk for bone disease. While its long-term effects on fracture are not known, TAF in the short-term has been associated with the same degree of bone loss as other TDF-sparing regimens [76], and is an attractive option for those in whom either ABC is contraindicated, or who have another indication for TFV, such as chronic hepatitis B infection. Although much of the cART-associated bone loss occurs in the first 1-2 years of therapy, there does appear to be some benefit in switching from TDF to TAF [78], and this strategy may be beneficial in those who develop additional risk factors for fragility bone disease while on cART.

**IV.B.ii Calcium and vitamin D supplementation**—In HIV-infected patients with vitamin D deficiency, supplementation with vitamin D has been shown to improve BMD and reduce PTH levels [113]. Furthermore, Overton *et al.*, in a prospective randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial of cART-naïve HIV-infected individuals initiating TDF/ emtricitabine (FTC)/EFV, who had baseline 25-(OH)D levels above 25 nmol/L, showed that calcium and vitamin D3 (cholecalciferol) supplementation attenuates BMD loss by about

Page 9

50% at 48 weeks [114•]. Therefore, although there is little evidence for widespread use of vitamin D supplementation in the general population to prevent osteoporosis [98], it may be beneficial to prevent bone loss in HIV-infected patients who are initiating cART even in the absence of vitamin D deficiency. Further data on adequate dosing and duration of vitamin D supplementation are lacking and require further study as some data suggest that while a low concentration of vitamin D is needed to prevent osteomalacia, higher doses may block bone formation [115]. Besides, emerging data also seem to suggest that calcium supplementation may be associated with increase risk of CVD [116].

**IV.B.iii Bisphosphonates**—Bisphosphonates inhibit bone resorption, are the most widely used drugs in the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis, and are associated with a decreased risk of fracture in that population [117]. Data regarding their use in the HIVinfected population are also promising: bisphosphonates have been shown to improve BMD in HIV-infected men and women in a number of clinical trials [118–120]. In a meta-analysis of the effects of bisphosphonates in HIV-infected patients with low BMD, a mean increase in BMD of 2.85% at the lumbar spine, 1.18% at the femoral neck, and 2.12% at the total hip was seen at 48 weeks [121]. Interestingly, in a phase IIb trial of viremic, cART-naïve, HIVinfected patients without osteoporosis, our group demonstrated that a single infusion of zoledronic acid at the start of therapy with TDF/FTC + ATV/RTV prevented BMD loss at the lumbar spine, hip and femoral neck at 48 weeks [122...]. Although the long-term effects of bisphosphonates in the HIV population on clinically important outcomes such as fracture remain to be determined, these data are promising. Given the challenge of screening all HIV patients initiating cART, the inaccuracy of current screening tools, and the magnitude of bone loss associated with some of the cART regimens, this is a simple, safe and practical step to completely prevent cART-induced bone loss, especially for patients who are being treated with regimens associated with greater bone loss including TDF- and PI-containing regimens. Further study regarding the use of bisphosphonates for either prevention or treatment of HIV-associated bone loss is warranted.

**IV.B.iv Other treatment modalities**—There are fewer data regarding the use of other pharmacologic agents for the treatment of low BMD in the setting of HIV infection. Teriparatide is a human recombinant PTH used to treat severe osteoporosis in the general population, but its efficacy in HIV-infected individuals is unknown. There is one case report of successful teriparatide use in an HIV-infected man with severe osteoporosis [123] although robust data regarding its use in HIV-infected patients are lacking. In addition, the 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitor (statin) simvastatin has been shown to increase osteoblast activity and decrease osteoclastogenesis, and is associated with increased fracture healing in *in vivo* animal models [124], while pravastatin has been shown to prevent PI-induced senescence in MSCs and restore osteoblastic potential *in vitro* [89]. Clinically however, in HIV-infected individuals on stable cART who had heightened immune activation, treatment with rosuvastatin for 96 weeks was not associated with any changes in BMD, although it was associated with improvement in lean body mass [125]. More data on the BMD effects of these medications in HIV-infected patients are needed.

# **V. Conclusions**

HIV infection is associated with a clinically important loss of BMD that is compounded by treatment with cART. This problem is likely to increase as the HIV-infected population ages. Although much remains unknown about the pathogenesis of low BMD in HIV-infected individuals, current research suggests that immune reconstitution is responsible for a significant proportion of the bone loss seen after cART initiation, and that this effect can be mitigated by bisphosphonate therapy. Further research regarding optimal screening modalities and intervals, cART regimens, and treatment modalities are needed to stem the tide of bone loss and fragility fracture in the aging HIV population.

# References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- Harrison KM, Song R, Zhang X. Life expectancy after HIV diagnosis based on national HIV surveillance data from 25 states, United States. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2010; 53(1):124–30. [PubMed: 19730109]
- 2. Aberg JA. Aging, inflammation, and HIV infection. Topics in antiviral medicine. 2012; 20(3):101–5. [PubMed: 22954610]
- Smit M, Brinkman K, Geerlings S, Smit C, Thyagarajan K, Sighem A, et al. Future challenges for clinical care of an ageing population infected with HIV: a modelling study. The Lancet Infectious diseases. 2015; 15(7):810–8. [PubMed: 26070969]
- 4. Cosman F, de Beur SJ, LeBoff MS, Lewiecki EM, Tanner B, Randall S, et al. Clinician's Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2014; 25(10):2359–81.
- Rey D, Treger M, Sibilia J, Priester M, Bernard-Henry C, Cheneau C, et al. Bone mineral density changes after 2 years of ARV treatment, compared to naive HIV-1-infected patients not on HAART. Infectious diseases (London, England). 2015; 47(2):88–95.
- Ofotokun I, McIntosh E, Weitzmann MN. HIV: inflammation and bone. Current HIV/AIDS reports. 2012; 9(1):16–25. [PubMed: 22179898]
- McComsey GA, Tebas P, Shane E, Yin MT, Overton ET, Huang JS, et al. Bone disease in HIV infection: a practical review and recommendations for HIV care providers. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2010; 51(8):937–46. [PubMed: 20839968]
- Finkelstein JS, Brockwell SE, Mehta V, Greendale GA, Sowers MR, Ettinger B, et al. Bone mineral density changes during the menopause transition in a multiethnic cohort of women. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2008; 93(3):861–8. [PubMed: 18160467]
- Triant VA, Brown TT, Lee H, Grinspoon SK. Fracture prevalence among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected versus non-HIV-infected patients in a large U.S. healthcare system. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2008; 93(9):3499–504. [PubMed: 18593764]
- 10. Guerri-Fernandez R, Vestergaard P, Carbonell C, Knobel H, Aviles FF, Castro AS, et al. HIV infection is strongly associated with hip fracture risk, independently of age, gender, and comorbidities: a population-based cohort study. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2013; 28(6):1259–63.
- Bonjoch A, Figueras M, Estany C, Perez-Alvarez N, Rosales J, del Rio L, et al. High prevalence of and progression to low bone mineral density in HIV-infected patients: a longitudinal cohort study. AIDS (London, England). 2010; 24(18):2827–33.

Curr Treat Options Infect Dis. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 March 01.

Page 10

- Escota GV, Mondy K, Bush T, Conley L, Brooks JT, Onen N, et al. High Prevalence of Low Bone Mineral Density and Substantial Bone Loss over 4 Years Among HIV-Infected Persons in the Era of Modern Antiretroviral Therapy. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2016; 32(1):59–67. [PubMed: 26366785]
- Cazanave C, Dupon M, Lavignolle-Aurillac V, Barthe N, Lawson-Ayayi S, Mehsen N, et al. Reduced bone mineral density in HIV-infected patients: prevalence and associated factors. AIDS (London, England). 2008; 22(3):395–402.
- 14. Battalora L, Buchacz K, Armon C, Overton ET, Hammer J, Patel P, et al. Low bone mineral density and risk of incident fracture in HIV-infected adults. Antiviral therapy. 2015
- Young B, Dao CN, Buchacz K, Baker R, Brooks JT. Increased rates of bone fracture among HIVinfected persons in the HIV Outpatient Study (HOPS) compared with the US general population, 2000–2006. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2011; 52(8):1061–8. [PubMed: 21398272]
- Womack JA, Goulet JL, Gibert C, Brandt C, Chang CC, Gulanski B, et al. Increased risk of fragility fractures among HIV infected compared to uninfected male veterans. PloS one. 2011; 6(2):e17217. [PubMed: 21359191]
- Sharma A, Shi Q, Hoover DR, Anastos K, Tien PC, Young MA, et al. Increased Fracture Incidence in Middle-Aged HIV-Infected and HIV-Uninfected Women: Updated Results From the Women's Interagency HIV Study. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2015; 70(1): 54–61. [PubMed: 26322667]
- Prieto-Alhambra D, Guerri-Fernandez R, De Vries F, Lalmohamed A, Bazelier M, Starup-Linde J, et al. HIV infection and its association with an excess risk of clinical fractures: a nationwide casecontrol study. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2014; 66(1):90–5. [PubMed: 24457634]
- Loi F, Cordova LA, Pajarinen J, Lin TH, Yao Z, Goodman SB. Inflammation, fracture and bone repair. Bone. 2016; 86:119–30. [PubMed: 26946132]
- Xiong J, Onal M, Jilka RL, Weinstein RS, Manolagas SC, O'Brien CA. Matrix-embedded cells control osteoclast formation. Nat Med. 2011; 17(10):1235–41. [PubMed: 21909103]
- Nakashima T, Hayashi M, Fukunaga T, Kurata K, Oh-Hora M, Feng JQ, et al. Evidence for osteocyte regulation of bone homeostasis through RANKL expression. Nat Med. 2011; 17(10): 1231–4. [PubMed: 21909105]
- •22. Titanji K, Vunnava A, Sheth AN, Delille C, Lennox JL, Sanford SE, et al. Dysregulated B cell expression of RANKL and OPG correlates with loss of bone mineral density in HIV infection. PLoS pathogens. 2014; 10(10):e1004497. This immuno-skeletal profiling of HIV-uninfected and cART-naïve HIV-infected adults provides evidence that B cell dysfunction in the setting of HIV infection is associated with dysregulated RANKL and OPG expression, which is correlated with BMD loss, thus providing an immuno-centric mechanism for HIV-induced bone loss. [PubMed: 25393853]
- Li Y, Toraldo G, Li A, Yang X, Zhang H, Qian WP, et al. B cells and T cells are critical for the preservation of bone homeostasis and attainment of peak bone mass in vivo. Blood. 2007; 109(9): 3839–48. [PubMed: 17202317]
- ••24. Ofotokun I, Titanji K, Vikulina T, Roser-Page S, Yamaguchi M, Zayzafoon M, et al. Role of T-cell reconstitution in HIV-1 antiretroviral therapy-induced bone loss. Nature communications. 2015; 6:8282. This study is a proof of concept that immune reconstitution/regeneration, as occurs in HIV-infected subjects initiating cART, can promote significant bone loss, thus providing a mechanism by which all cART classes contribute to bone loss.
- Ofotokun I, Weitzmann MN. HIV and bone metabolism. Discovery medicine. 2011; 11(60):385– 93. [PubMed: 21616037]
- 26. Carr A, Grund B, Neuhaus J, Schwartz A, Bernardino JI, White D, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for low bone mineral density in untreated HIV infection: a substudy of the INSIGHT Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) trial. HIV medicine. 2015; 16(Suppl 1): 137–46.
- 27. Kooij KW, Wit FW, Bisschop PH, Schouten J, Stolte IG, Prins M, et al. Low bone mineral density in patients with well-suppressed HIV infection: association with body weight, smoking, and prior

Page 12

advanced HIV disease. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2015; 211(4):539-48. [PubMed: 25180239]

- 28. Lo Re V 3rd, Volk J, Newcomb CW, Yang YX, Freeman CP, Hennessy S, et al. Risk of hip fracture associated with hepatitis C virus infection and hepatitis C/human immunodeficiency virus coinfection. Hepatology (Baltimore, Md). 2012; 56(5):1688-98.
- 29. O'Neill TJ, Rivera L, Struchkov V, Zaheen A, Thein HH. The effect of HIV-hepatitis C coinfection on bone mineral density and fracture: a meta-analysis. PloS one. 2014; 9(7):e101493. [PubMed: 25033046]
- 30. Cotter AG, Sabin CA, Simelane S, Macken A, Kavanagh E, Brady JJ, et al. Relative contribution of HIV infection, demographics and body mass index to bone mineral density. AIDS (London, England). 2014; 28(14):2051-60.
- 31. Takeda K, Adhikari R, Yamada KM, Dhawan S. Hemin activation of innate cellular response blocks human immunodeficiency virus type-1-induced osteoclastogenesis. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2015; 464(1):7-12. [PubMed: 25998388]
- 32. Hileman CO, Labbato DE, Storer NJ, Tangpricha V, McComsey GA. Is bone loss linked to chronic inflammation in antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected adults? A 48-week matched cohort study. AIDS (London, England). 2014; 28(12):1759-67.
- 33. Short CE, Shaw SG, Fisher MJ, Walker-Bone K, Gilleece YC. Prevalence of and risk factors for osteoporosis and fracture among a male HIV-infected population in the UK. International journal of STD & AIDS. 2014; 25(2):113–21. [PubMed: 23970632]
- 34. Masyeni S, Utama S, Somia A, Widiana R, Merati TP. Factors influencing bone mineral density in ARV-naive patients at Sanglah Hospital, Bali. Acta medica Indonesiana. 2013; 45(3):175–9. [PubMed: 24045386]
- 35. Grijsen ML, Vrouenraets SM, Steingrover R, Lips P, Reiss P, Wit FW, et al. High prevalence of reduced bone mineral density in primary HIV-1-infected men. AIDS (London, England). 2010; 24(14):2233-8.
- 36. Ruan A, Tobin NH, Mulligan K, Rollie A, Li F, Sleasman J, et al. Brief Report: Macrophage Activation in HIV-Infected Adolescent Males Contributes to Differential Bone Loss by Sex: Adolescent Trials Network Study 021. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2016; 72(4):372-5. [PubMed: 26885808]
- 37. Kong YY, Feige U, Sarosi I, Bolon B, Tafuri A, Morony S, et al. Activated T cells regulate bone loss and joint destruction in adjuvant arthritis through osteoprotegerin ligand. Nature. 1999; 402(6759):304-9. [PubMed: 10580503]
- 38. D'Amelio P, Grimaldi A, Di Bella S, Brianza SZ, Cristofaro MA, Tamone C, et al. Estrogen deficiency increases osteoclastogenesis up-regulating T cells activity: a key mechanism in osteoporosis. Bone. 2008; 43(1):92-100. [PubMed: 18407820]
- 39. Gazzola L, Bellistri GM, Tincati C, Ierardi V, Savoldi A, Del Sole A, et al. Association between peripheral T-Lymphocyte activation and impaired bone mineral density in HIV-infected patients. Journal of translational medicine. 2013; 11:51. [PubMed: 23448662]
- 40. Kendall MA, Tassiopoulos K, McComsey GA, Yin MT. Fractures Are Not Associated with CD8(+) T Cell Activation: An Analysis of the ACTG ALLRT Study. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2015; 31(8):769-71. [PubMed: 26087394]
- 41. Vikulina T, Fan X, Yamaguchi M, Roser-Page S, Zayzafoon M, Guidot DM, et al. Alterations in the immuno-skeletal interface drive bone destruction in HIV-1 transgenic rats. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2010; 107(31):13848-53. [PubMed: 20643942]
- 42. Butler JS, Dunning EC, Murray DW, Doran PP, O'Byrne JM. HIV-1 protein induced modulation of primary human osteoblast differentiation and function via a Wnt/beta-catenin-dependent mechanism. Journal of orthopaedic research : official publication of the Orthopaedic Research Society. 2013; 31(2):218–26. [PubMed: 23281130]
- 43. Cotter EJ, Malizia AP, Chew N, Powderly WG, Doran PP. HIV proteins regulate bone marker secretion and transcription factor activity in cultured human osteoblasts with consequent potential implications for osteoblast function and development. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2007; 23(12):1521-30. [PubMed: 18160010]

- 44. Fakruddin JM, Laurence J. HIV envelope gp120-mediated regulation of osteoclastogenesis via receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa B ligand (RANKL) secretion and its modulation by certain HIV protease inhibitors through interferon-gamma/RANKL cross-talk. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2003; 278(48):48251–8. [PubMed: 12975380]
- 45. Modarresi R, Xiang Z, Yin M, Laurence J. WNT/beta-catenin signaling is involved in regulation of osteoclast differentiation by human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor ritonavir: relationship to human immunodeficiency virus-linked bone mineral loss. The American journal of pathology. 2009; 174(1):123–35. [PubMed: 19095956]
- 46. Beaupere C, Garcia M, Larghero J, Feve B, Capeau J, Lagathu C. The HIV proteins Tat and Nef promote human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell senescence and alter osteoblastic differentiation. Aging cell. 2015; 14(4):534–46. [PubMed: 25847297]
- 47. Brown TT, Qaqish RB. Antiretroviral therapy and the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis: a meta-analytic review. AIDS (London, England). 2006; 20(17):2165–74.
- Brown TT, McComsey GA, King MS, Qaqish RB, Bernstein BM, da Silva BA. Loss of bone mineral density after antiretroviral therapy initiation, independent of antiretroviral regimen. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2009; 51(5):554–61. [PubMed: 19512937]
- de Menezes Barbosa EG, de Paula FJ, Machado AA, de Assis Pereira F, Barbosa F Junior, Navarro AM. Impact of antiretroviral therapy on bone metabolism markers in HIV-seropositive patients. Bone. 2013; 57(1):62–7. [PubMed: 23891908]
- Briot K, Kolta S, Flandre P, Boue F, Ngo Van P, Cohen-Codar I, et al. Prospective one-year bone loss in treatment-naive HIV+ men and women on single or multiple drug HIV therapies. Bone. 2011; 48(5):1133–9. [PubMed: 21276883]
- 51. Bolland MJ, Wang TK, Grey A, Gamble GD, Reid IR. Stable bone density in HAART-treated individuals with HIV: a meta-analysis. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2011; 96(9):2721–31. [PubMed: 21715534]
- 52. Grund B, Peng G, Gibert CL, Hoy JF, Isaksson RL, Shlay JC, et al. Continuous antiretroviral therapy decreases bone mineral density. AIDS (London, England). 2009; 23(12):1519–29.
- Grant PM, Kitch D, McComsey GA, Collier AC, Koletar SL, Erlandson KM, et al. Long-term Bone Mineral Density Changes in Antiretroviral-Treated HIV-Infected Individuals. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2016; 214(4):607–11. [PubMed: 27330053]
- •54. Grant PM, Kitch D, McComsey GA, Dube MP, Haubrich R, Huang J, et al. Low baseline CD4+ count is associated with greater bone mineral density loss after antiretroviral therapy initiation. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2013; 57(10):1483–8. This study shows that lower CD4 nadir is associated with more robust cART-induced bone loss, supporting an immune regeneration component to cART bone loss. [PubMed: 23943825]
- ••55. Ofotokun I, Titanji K, Vunnava A, Roser-Page S, Vikulina T, Villinger F, et al. Antiretroviral therapy induces a rapid increase in bone resorption that is positively associated with the magnitude of immune reconstitution in HIV infection. AIDS (London, England). 2016; 30(3): 405–14. This study demonstrates that early bone loss after cART initiation is associated with the degree of immune reconstitution, thus providing a mechanism by which all cART classes contribute to bone loss.
- Franco JM, Rubio A, Martinez-Moya M, Leal M, Merchante E, Sanchez-Quijano A, et al. T-cell repopulation and thymic volume in HIV-1-infected adult patients after highly active antiretroviral therapy. Blood. 2002; 99(10):3702–6. [PubMed: 11986226]
- 57. Stellbrink HJ, Orkin C, Arribas JR, Compston J, Gerstoft J, Van Wijngaerden E, et al. Comparison of changes in bone density and turnover with abacavir-lamivudine versus tenofovir-emtricitabine in HIV-infected adults: 48-week results from the ASSERT study. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2010; 51(8):963–72. [PubMed: 20828304]
- 58. McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES, Tierney C, Jahed NC, Tebas P, et al. Bone Mineral Density and Fractures in Antiretroviral-Naive Persons Randomized to Receive Abacavir-Lamivudine or Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate-Emtricitabine Along With Efavirenz or Atazanavir-Ritonavir:

AIDS Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a Substudy of ACTG A5202. Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2011; 203(12):1791-801. [PubMed: 21606537]

- 59. Huang JS, Hughes MD, Riddler SA, Haubrich RH, the ACTGAST, Bone Mineral Density Effects of Randomized Regimen and Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitor (NRTI) Selection from ACTG A5142. HIV clinical trials. 2013; 14(5):224-34. [PubMed: 24144899]
- 60. Brown TT, Ross AC, Storer N, Labbato D, McComsey GA. Bone turnover, osteoprotegerin/ RANKL and inflammation with antiretroviral initiation: tenofovir versus non-tenofovir regimens. Antiviral therapy. 2011; 16(7):1063–72. [PubMed: 22024522]
- 61. Wohl DA, Bhatti L, Small CB, Edelstein H, Zhao HH, Margolis DA, et al. The ASSURE study: HIV-1 suppression is maintained with bone and renal biomarker improvement 48 weeks after ritonavir discontinuation and randomized switch to abacavir/lamivudine + atazanavir. HIV medicine. 2016; 17(2):106-17. [PubMed: 26176344]
- 62. Mulligan K, Glidden DV, Anderson PL, Liu A, McMahan V, Gonzales P, et al. Effects of Emtricitabine/Tenofovir on Bone Mineral Density in HIV-Negative Persons in a Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015; 61(4):572–80. [PubMed: 25908682]
- 63. Kasonde M, Niska RW, Rose C, Henderson FL, Segolodi TM, Turner K, et al. Bone mineral density changes among HIV-uninfected young adults in a randomised trial of pre-exposure prophylaxis with tenofovir-emtricitabine or placebo in Botswana. PloS one. 2014; 9(3):e90111. [PubMed: 24625530]
- 64. Mirembe BG, Kelly CW, Mgodi N, Greenspan S, Dai JY, Mayo A, et al. Bone Mineral Density Changes Among Young, Healthy African Women Receiving Oral Tenofovir for HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2016; 71(3):287-94. [PubMed: 26866954]
- 65. Grigsby IF, Pham L, Gopalakrishnan R, Mansky LM, Mansky KC. Downregulation of Gnas, Got2 and Snord32a following tenofovir exposure of primary osteoclasts. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2010; 391(3):1324–9. [PubMed: 20026012]
- 66. Grigsby IF, Pham L, Mansky LM, Gopalakrishnan R, Carlson AE, Mansky KC. Tenofovir treatment of primary osteoblasts alters gene expression profiles: implications for bone mineral density loss. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2010; 394(1):48-53. [PubMed: 20171173]
- 67. Labarga P, Barreiro P, Martin-Carbonero L, Rodriguez-Novoa S, Solera C, Medrano J, et al. Kidney tubular abnormalities in the absence of impaired glomerular function in HIV patients treated with tenofovir. AIDS (London, England). 2009; 23(6):689-96.
- 68. Ray AS, Fordyce MW, Hitchcock MJ. Tenofovir alafenamide: A novel prodrug of tenofovir for the treatment of Human Immunodeficiency Virus. Antiviral research. 2016; 125:63-70. [PubMed: 26640223]
- 69. Casado JL. Renal and Bone Toxicity with the Use of Tenofovir: Understanding at the End. AIDS reviews. 2016; 18(2):59-68. [PubMed: 27230467]
- 70. Lucey JM, Hsu P, Ziegler JB. Tenofovir-related Fanconi's syndrome and osteomalacia in a teenager with HIV. BMJ case reports. 2013
- 71. Hamzah L, Samarawickrama A, Campbell L, Pope M, Burling K, Walker-Bone K, et al. Effects of renal tubular dysfunction on bone in tenofovir-exposed HIV-positive patients. AIDS (London, England). 2015; 29(14):1785-92.
- 72. Masia M, Padilla S, Robledano C, Lopez N, Ramos JM, Gutierrez F. Early changes in parathyroid hormone concentrations in HIV-infected patients initiating antiretroviral therapy with tenofovir. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2012; 28(3):242-6. [PubMed: 21639815]
- 73. Casado JL, Santiuste C, Vazquez M, Banon S, Rosillo M, Gomez A, et al. Bone mineral density decline according to renal tubular dysfunction and phosphaturia in tenofovir-exposed HIV-infected patients. AIDS (London, England). 2016; 30(9):1423-31.
- 74. Lee WA, He GX, Eisenberg E, Cihlar T, Swaminathan S, Mulato A, et al. Selective intracellular activation of a novel prodrug of the human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase inhibitor tenofovir leads to preferential distribution and accumulation in lymphatic tissue. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2005; 49(5):1898-906. [PubMed: 15855512]

- 75. Ruane PJ, DeJesus E, Berger D, Markowitz M, Bredeek UF, Callebaut C, et al. Antiviral activity, safety, and pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of tenofovir alafenamide as 10-day monotherapy in HIV-1-positive adults. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2013; 63(4): 449–55. [PubMed: 23807155]
- 76. Sax PE, Wohl D, Yin MT, Post F, DeJesus E, Saag M, et al. Tenofovir alafenamide versus tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, coformulated with elvitegravir, cobicistat, and emtricitabine, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: two randomised, double-blind, phase 3, non-inferiority trials. Lancet (London, England). 2015; 385(9987):2606–15.
- 77. Mills A, Crofoot G Jr, McDonald C, Shalit P, Flamm JA, Gathe J Jr, et al. Tenofovir Alafenamide Versus Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate in the First Protease Inhibitor-Based Single-Tablet Regimen for Initial HIV-1 Therapy: A Randomized Phase 2 Study. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2015; 69(4):439–45. [PubMed: 25867913]
- 78. Mills A, Arribas JR, Andrade-Villanueva J, DiPerri G, Van Lunzen J, Koenig E, et al. Switching from tenofovir disoproxil fumarate to tenofovir alafenamide in antiretroviral regimens for virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1 infection: a randomised, active-controlled, multicentre, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority study. The Lancet Infectious diseases. 2016; 16(1):43–52. [PubMed: 26538525]
- Kinai E, Nishijima T, Mizushima D, Watanabe K, Aoki T, Honda H, et al. Long-term use of protease inhibitors is associated with bone mineral density loss. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2014; 30(6):553–9. [PubMed: 24494779]
- Tebas P, Powderly WG, Claxton S, Marin D, Tantisiriwat W, Teitelbaum SL, et al. Accelerated bone mineral loss in HIV-infected patients receiving potent antiretroviral therapy. AIDS (London, England). 2000; 14(4):F63–7.
- Zuccotti G, Vigano A, Gabiano C, Giacomet V, Mignone F, Stucchi S, et al. Antiretroviral therapy and bone mineral measurements in HIV-infected youths. Bone. 2010; 46(6):1633–8. [PubMed: 20211284]
- Bedimo R, Maalouf NM, Zhang S, Drechsler H, Tebas P. Osteoporotic fracture risk associated with cumulative exposure to tenofovir and other antiretroviral agents. AIDS (London, England). 2012; 26(7):825–31.
- 83. Tebas, P., Umbleja, T., Dube, MP., Parker, RA., Mulligan, K., Roubenoff, R., et al. Initiation of ART is associated with bone loss independent of the specific ART regimen. The results of ACTG A5005s. 14th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; 2007; Los Angeles, CA.
- Duvivier C, Kolta S, Assoumou L, Ghosn J, Rozenberg S, Murphy RL, et al. Greater decrease in bone mineral density with protease inhibitor regimens compared with nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor regimens in HIV-1 infected naive patients. AIDS (London, England). 2009; 23(7):817–24.
- 85. Rockstroh JK, DeJesus E, Henry K, Molina JM, Gathe J, Ramanathan S, et al. A randomized, double-blind comparison of coformulated elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir DF vs ritonavir-boosted atazanavir plus coformulated emtricitabine and tenofovir DF for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: analysis of week 96 results. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2013; 62(5):483–6. [PubMed: 23337366]
- 86. Brown TT, Moser C, Currier JS, Ribaudo HJ, Rothenberg J, Kelesidis T, et al. Changes in Bone Mineral Density After Initiation of Antiretroviral Treatment With Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/ Emtricitabine Plus Atazanavir/Ritonavir, Darunavir/Ritonavir, or Raltegravir. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2015; 212(8):1241–9. [PubMed: 25948863]
- Gibellini D, Borderi M, de Crignis E, Clo A, Miserocchi A, Viale P, et al. Analysis of the effects of specific protease inhibitors on OPG/RANKL regulation in an osteoblast-like cell line. The new microbiologica. 2010; 33(2):109–15. [PubMed: 20518272]
- Malizia AP, Cotter E, Chew N, Powderly WG, Doran PP. HIV protease inhibitors selectively induce gene expression alterations associated with reduced calcium deposition in primary human osteoblasts. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2007; 23(2):243–50. [PubMed: 17331030]
- Hernandez-Vallejo SJ, Beaupere C, Larghero J, Capeau J, Lagathu C. HIV protease inhibitors induce senescence and alter osteoblastic potential of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells: beneficial effect of pravastatin. Aging cell. 2013; 12(6):955–65. [PubMed: 23795945]

- 90. Yin MT, Modarresi R, Shane E, Santiago F, Ferris DC, McMahon DJ, et al. Effects of HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy with ritonavir on induction of osteoclast-like cells in postmenopausal women. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2011; 22(5):1459–68.
- Santiago F, Oguma J, Brown AM, Laurence J. Noncanonical Wnt signaling promotes osteoclast differentiation and is facilitated by the human immunodeficiency virus protease inhibitor ritonavir. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2012; 417(1):223–30. [PubMed: 22142846]
- 92. Guaraldi G, Zona S, Cossarizza A, Vernacotola L, Carli F, Lattanzi A, et al. Switching to darunavir/ritonavir monotherapy vs. triple-therapy on body fat redistribution and bone mass in HIV-infected adults: the Monarch randomized controlled trial. International journal of STD & AIDS. 2014; 25(3):207–12. [PubMed: 24216034]
- 93. Haskelberg H, Mallon PW, Hoy J, Amin J, Moore C, Phanuphak P, et al. Bone mineral density over 96 weeks in adults failing first-line therapy randomized to raltegravir/lopinavir/ritonavir compared with standard second-line therapy. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2014; 67(2):161–8. [PubMed: 25072617]
- 94. Bedimo RJ, Drechsler H, Jain M, Cutrell J, Zhang S, Li X, et al. The RADAR study: week 48 safety and efficacy of RAltegravir combined with boosted DARunavir compared to tenofovir/ emtricitabine combined with boosted darunavir in antiretroviral-naive patients. Impact on bone health. PloS one. 2014; 9(8):e106221. [PubMed: 25170938]
- Cihlar T, Ray AS, Laflamme G, Vela JE, Tong L, Fuller MD, et al. Molecular assessment of the potential for renal drug interactions between tenofovir and HIV protease inhibitors. Antiviral therapy. 2007; 12(2):267–72. [PubMed: 17503669]
- 96. Kearney BP, Mathias A, Mittan A, Sayre J, Ebrahimi R, Cheng AK. Pharmacokinetics and safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate on coadministration with lopinavir/ritonavir. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2006; 43(3):278–83. [PubMed: 17079992]
- 97. Baxi SM, Greenblatt RM, Bacchetti P, Scherzer R, Minkoff H, Huang Y, et al. Common clinical conditions age, low BMI, ritonavir use, mild renal impairment affect tenofovir pharmacokinetics in a large cohort of HIV-infected women. AIDS (London, England). 2014; 28(1):59–66.
- Reid IR, Bolland MJ, Grey A. Effects of vitamin D supplements on bone mineral density: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet (London, England). 2014; 383(9912):146–55.
- 99. Pinzone MR, Di Rosa M, Celesia BM, Condorelli F, Malaguarnera M, Madeddu G, et al. LPS and HIV gp120 modulate monocyte/macrophage CYP27B1 and CYP24A1 expression leading to vitamin D consumption and hypovitaminosis D in HIV-infected individuals. European review for medical and pharmacological sciences. 2013; 17(14):1938–50. [PubMed: 23877860]
- 100. Hileman CO, Overton ET, McComsey GA. Vitamin D and bone loss in HIV. Current opinion in HIV and AIDS. 2016; 11(3):277–84. [PubMed: 26890209]
- 101. Havers FP, Detrick B, Cardoso SW, Berendes S, Lama JR, Sugandhavesa P, et al. Change in vitamin d levels occurs early after antiretroviral therapy initiation and depends on treatment regimen in resource-limited settings. PloS one. 2014; 9(4):e95164. [PubMed: 24752177]
- 102. Cervero M, Agud JL, Garcia-Lacalle C, Alcazar V, Torres R, Jusdado JJ, et al. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and its related risk factor in a Spanish cohort of adult HIV-infected patients: effects of antiretroviral therapy. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2012; 28(9):963–71. [PubMed: 22242918]
- 103. Hidron AI, Hill B, Guest JL, Rimland D. Risk factors for vitamin D deficiency among veterans with and without HIV infection. PloS one. 2015; 10(4):e0124168. [PubMed: 25898185]
- 104. Cozzolino M, Vidal M, Arcidiacono MV, Tebas P, Yarasheski KE, Dusso AS. HIV-protease inhibitors impair vitamin D bioactivation to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D. AIDS (London, England). 2003; 17(4):513–20.
- 105. Brown TT, Hoy J, Borderi M, Guaraldi G, Renjifo B, Vescini F, et al. Recommendations for evaluation and management of bone disease in HIV. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2015; 60(8):1242–51. This evidence-

Page 17

based guideline is a useful resource for clinicians in diagnosing and treating bone disease in HIVinfected patients. [PubMed: 25609682]

- 106. European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) Guidelines, version 8.0. European AIDS Clinical Society; 2015. http://www.eacsociety.org/files/guidelines 8.0-english-revised 20160610.pdf [Accessed October 13 2016]
- 107. Hillier TA, Cauley JA, Rizzo JH, Pedula KL, Ensrud KE, Bauer DC, et al. WHO absolute fracture risk models (FRAX): do clinical risk factors improve fracture prediction in older women without osteoporosis? Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2011; 26(8):1774-82.
- 108. Yin MT, Shiau S, Rimland D, Gibert CL, Bedimo RJ, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, et al. Fracture Prediction With Modified-FRAX in Older HIV-Infected and Uninfected Men. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2016; 72(5):513-20. [PubMed: 27003493]
- 109. Stephens KI, Rubinsztain L, Payan J, Rentsch C, Rimland D, Tangpricha V. DUAL-ENERGY X-RAY ABSORPTIOMETRY AND CALCULATED FRAX RISK SCORES MAY UNDERESTIMATE OSTEOPOROTIC FRACTURE RISK IN VITAMIN D-DEFICIENT VETERANS WITH HIV INFECTION. Endocrine practice : official journal of the American College of Endocrinology and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. 2016; 22(4):440-6.
- 110. Short CE, Shaw SG, Fisher MJ, Gilleece YC, Walker-Bone K. Comparison of peripheral forearm DXA and clinical risk factor screening using FRAX(R) to assess the risk of HIV-associated low bone mass: a cross-sectional study. Archives of osteoporosis. 2014; 9:181. [PubMed: 24847675]
- 111. Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection: recommendations for a public health approach. World Health Organization; 2016. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/208825/1/9789241549684 eng.pdf?ua=1 [Accessed October 12 2016]
- 112. Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents. DHHS Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents - A working Group of the Office of AIDS Research Advisory Council (OARAC); 2016. https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/ lvguidelines/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf [Accessed October 12 2016]
- 113. Bech A, Van Bentum P, Telting D, Gisolf J, Richter C, De Boer H. Treatment of calcium and vitamin D deficiency in HIV-positive men on tenofovir-containing antiretroviral therapy. HIV Clin Trials. 2012; 13(6):350-6. [PubMed: 23195673]
- •114. Overton ET, Chan ES, Brown TT, Tebas P, McComsey GA, Melbourne KM, et al. Vitamin D and Calcium Attenuate Bone Loss With Antiretroviral Therapy Initiation: A Randomized Trial. Annals of internal medicine. 2015; 162(12):815-24. This ACTG clinical trial demonstrates that HIV-associated bone loss can be mitigated by calcium and vitamin D supplementation initiated at the start of cART. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation is therefore a potential strategy to prevent BMD loss in high-risk HIV-infected patients. [PubMed: 26075752]
- 115. Yamaguchi M, Weitzmann MN. High dose 1,25(OH)2D3 inhibits osteoblast mineralization in vitro. Int J Mol Med. 2012; 29(5):934–8. [PubMed: 22307202]
- 116. Waldman T, Sarbaziha R, Merz CN, Shufelt C. Calcium Supplements and Cardiovascular Disease: A Review. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2015; 9(4):298-307. [PubMed: 26345134]
- 117. Black DM, Rosen CJ, Clinical Practice, Postmenopausal Osteoporosis, The New England journal of medicine. 2016; 374(3):254-62. [PubMed: 26789873]
- 118. Rozenberg S, Lanoy E, Bentata M, Viard JP, Valantin MA, Missy P, et al. Effect of alendronate on HIV-associated osteoporosis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 96-week trial (ANRS 120). AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2012; 28(9):972–80. [PubMed: 22353022]
- 119. Bolland MJ, Grey AB, Horne AM, Briggs SE, Thomas MG, Ellis-Pegler RB, et al. Annual zoledronate increases bone density in highly active antiretroviral therapy-treated human immunodeficiency virus-infected men: a randomized controlled trial. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2007; 92(4):1283-8. [PubMed: 17227801]
- 120. Pepe J, Isidori AM, Falciano M, Iaiani G, Salotti A, Diacinti D, et al. Effect of risedronate in osteoporotic HIV males, according to gonadal status: a pilot study. Endocrine. 2014; 47(2):456-62. [PubMed: 25104272]

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 178 of 213 PageID# 13366

Moran et al.

- 121. Pinzone MR, Moreno S, Cacopardo B, Nunnari G. Is there enough evidence to use bisphosphonates in HIV-infected patients? A systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS reviews. 2014; 16(4):213–22. [PubMed: 25300622]
- ••122. Ofotokun I, Titanji K, Lahiri CD, Vunnava A, Foster A, Sanford SE, et al. A Single-dose Zoledronic Acid Infusion Prevents Antiretroviral Therapy-induced Bone Loss in Treatment-naive HIV-infected Patients: A Phase IIb Trial. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2016; 63(5):663–71. In this clinical trial, a single zoledronic acid infusion prevented cART-induced bone loss up to 48 weeks after administration thus potentially providing a simple pharmacologic strategy for preventing cART-associated bone loss. Long term follow-up data are needed. [PubMed: 27193748]
- 123. Wheeler AL, Tien PC, Grunfeld C, Schafer AL. Teriparatide treatment of osteoporosis in an HIVinfected man: a case report and literature review. AIDS (London, England). 2015; 29(2):245–6.
- 124. Moshiri A, Sharifi AM, Oryan A. Role of Simvastatin on fracture healing and osteoporosis: a systematic review on in vivo investigations. Clinical and experimental pharmacology & physiology. 2016; 43(7):659–84. [PubMed: 27061579]
- 125. Erlandson KM, Jiang Y, Debanne SM, McComsey GA. Effects of 96 Weeks of Rosuvastatin on Bone, Muscle, and Fat in HIV-Infected Adults on Effective Antiretroviral Therapy. AIDS research and human retroviruses. 2016; 32(4):311–6. [PubMed: 26477698]

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 179 of 213 PageID# 13367

# EXHIBIT K

13368

© 2019 British HIV Association

SHORT COMMUNICATION

# DOI: 10.1111/hiv.12796 HIV Medicine (2020), 21, 64-70



# The rate of bone loss slows after 1–2 years of initial antiretroviral therapy: final results of the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) bone mineral density substudy

A Carr <sup>1</sup> B Grund,<sup>2</sup> AV Schwartz,<sup>3</sup> A Avihingsanon,<sup>4</sup> S Badal-Faesen,<sup>5</sup> Jl Bernadino,<sup>6</sup> V Estrada,<sup>7</sup> A La Rosa,<sup>8</sup> PWG Mallon,<sup>9</sup> S Pujari,<sup>10</sup> D White,<sup>11</sup> N Wyman Engen,<sup>2</sup> K Ensrud<sup>2,12</sup> and JF Hoy<sup>13</sup> for the International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials START bone mineral density substudy group

<sup>1</sup>St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia, <sup>2</sup>University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA, <sup>3</sup>University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA, <sup>4</sup>Thai Red Cross AIDS Research Center and Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, <sup>5</sup>Clinical HIV Research Unit, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, <sup>6</sup>Hospital Universitario La Paz, IdiPAZ, Madrid, Spain, <sup>7</sup>Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain, <sup>8</sup>Asociacion Civil Impacta Salud y Educacion, Lima, Peru, <sup>9</sup>University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland, <sup>10</sup>Institute of Infectious Diseases, Pune, India, <sup>11</sup>Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK, <sup>12</sup>Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN, USA and <sup>13</sup>The Alfred Hospital, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

# **Objectives**

Initial antiretroviral therapy (ART) causes loss of bone mineral density (BMD) over the first 1-2 years. Whether this loss continues with longer therapy is unclear. We determined changes in bone and spine BMD over 5 years in adults receiving immediate or deferred initial ART.

# Methods

In the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) BMD substudy, ART-naïve adults with CD4 counts > 500 cells/µL were randomized to immediate or deferred ART. Deferred group participants not yet on ART were offered ART after May 2015. Mean per cent changes in total hip and lumbar spine BMD (measured annually by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry) were compared between groups using longitudinal mixed models. Fracture rates were also compared between groups for all START participants.

# Results

Substudy participants (immediate group, n = 201; deferred group, n = 210; median age 32 years; 80% non-white; 24% female) were followed for a mean 4.5 years until December 2016. In the immediate group, > 96% used ART throughout. In the deferred group, 16%, 58% and 94% used ART at years 1, 3 and 5, respectively. BMD decreased more in the immediate group initially; groups had converged by year 3 at the spine and year 4 at the hip by intent-to-treat (ITT). BMD changes after year 1 were similar in the immediate group and in those off ART in the deferred group [mean difference: spine, 0.03% per year; 95% confidence interval (CI) -0.4, 0.4; P = 0.88; hip, -0.2% per year; 95% CI -0.7, 0.3; P = 0.37]. Fracture incidence did not differ significantly between groups (immediate group, 0.86/100 person-years versus deferred group, 0.85/100 personyears; hazard ratio 1.01; 95% CI 0.76, 1.35; *P* = 0.98).

# Conclusions

Significant ART-induced bone loss slowed after the first year of ART and became similar to that in untreated HIV infection.

Keywords: antiretroviral therapy, bone mineral density, clinical trial, HIV

Accepted 30 July 2019

Correspondence: Professor Andrew Carr, HIV, Immunology and Infectious Diseases Unit, and Centre for Applied Medical Research, St Vincent's Hospital, 390 Victoria St, Darlinghurst, Sydney, NSW 2010, Australia. Tel: +61-2-83823359; fax: +61-2-83822090; e-mail: andrew.carr@svha.org.au

# Introduction

Bone mineral density (BMD) declines in the first 1 to 2 years of initial antiretroviral therapy (ART) [1]. This decline is greater in those receiving tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and/or a boosted protease inhibitor. But even in those not receiving either of these medications, there appears to be a decline of about 1% over 1–2 years [2–5], a decline that is greater than would be expected in a young adult population and has been attributed to altered immune function following suppression of HIV replication [6,7].

Whether BMD continues to decline after the first year of initial ART is less clear. Some studies have reported stable BMD after year 1 [8], in some cases up to year 5 [9]. But other studies have reported ongoing decline [10]. Unfortunately, most studies only have follow-up of 1 or 2 years.

Data on the impact of treated versus untreated HIV infection are sparse. The International Network for Strategic Initiatives in Global HIV Trials Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) study randomized ART-naïve adults with CD4 lymphocyte counts > 500 cells/µL to immediate ART versus deferring ART until CD4 count < 350 cells/µL; participants in the deferred group were advised to commence ART, after a planned analysis in May 2015 found that immediate ART decreased the risk of clinical events. At that time, we reported that immediate ART in the START BMD substudy resulted in steeper BMD decline (1-2%) relative to deferred ART after a mean follow-up of 2.2 years [11]. After May 2015, all participants were offered ART. We now report final START BMD data collected up to December 2016 after a mean follow-up of 4.5 years, complemented with fracture data collected for all participants in the parent START study.

# Methods

#### Study design and participants

Details regarding the START trial's design, participants and assessments have been published previously [12], as have the baseline characteristics, assessments, endpoints, sample size calculations and statistical plan of its BMD substudy [13].

START randomized 4684 HIV-positive, ART-naïve adults to immediate ART initiation versus deferring ART. ART regimens were not protocol-specified, but were selected pre-randomization. All remaining untreated participants in the deferred group were offered ART in May 2015 after mean follow-up of 3.0 years as a consequence of demonstration of because significant clinical benefit was demonstrated for immediate ART [12].

The START BMD substudy co-enrolled 424 START participants at 33 clinical sites in 11 countries between June 2011 and June 2013, with follow-up completed on 31 December 2016. Participants underwent annual dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) on a single Hologic (Marlborough, MA, USA) or Lunar scanner (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) to measure BMD at the hip and lumbar spine (L1–L4); all scans were performed using a standardized protocol and were centrally analysed [11].

The substudy was approved by the institutional review board at each participating site and performed in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and local regulatory requirements. All participants provided written, informed consent prior to enrolment.

#### Study outcomes

The co-primary outcomes were the per cent changes from baseline in total hip BMD and lumbar spine BMD. Prespecified secondary outcomes included change in femoral neck BMD, and rates of BMD loss upon ART initiation in the immediate ART group and among participants in the deferred group prior to ART start (patients with untreated HIV infection). Evaluation of clinical parameters associated with rates of BMD change was also planned. Fractures were reported for all participants in the parent START study at baseline and annually.

#### Statistical analyses

Changes in BMD from baseline were expressed as per cent of baseline BMD. The primary analysis was the intent-to-treat (ITT) comparison between the immediate and deferred ART groups for per cent change in BMD using longitudinal mixed models, adjusted for baseline BMD and visit. Groups were compared for changes in BMD to each year of follow-up using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) models adjusted for baseline BMD. We also compared the immediate group (excluding those who did not start ART during year 1) versus the deferred group censored at ART start. Methods to estimate annual rates of BMD change, for subgroup analyses, and to estimate associations of baseline factors with changes in BMD were described previously [11].

Fracture incidence rates were estimated in the parent START population using data acquired up to 31 December 2016. Treatment groups were compared by ITT using a Cox proportional hazards model, stratified by region.

Analyses were performed with sAs version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and R version 3 [14].

### Results

#### Participant characteristics

The present analysis included 411 (96.9%) of the 424 BMD substudy participants (immediate group, n = 201;

66 A Carr et al.

deferred group, n = 210; we excluded 13 participants with no analysable DXA scan at baseline or during follow-up (Fig. S1). Baseline characteristics of these two groups were well matched (Table S1). The racially diverse population had a median age of 32 years; 26% were female and 80% non-Caucasian.

Participants were followed for a mean 4.5 [standard deviation (SD) 0.5] years; data completeness was > 90% at each visit (Table S2). In the immediate group, > 96% used ART each year up to year 5. In the deferred group, 16%, 29%, 58%, 84% and 94% used ART at years 1–5, respectively (Fig. S2). Initial ART contained TDF for 82.8% of participants, efavirenz for 78.1% and a protease inhibitor for 13.0%. No tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) was used.

#### Changes in BMD

Mean per cent changes in BMD from baseline and annual rates of BMD change at the spine and total hip are summarized in Table 1. BMD had declined more in the immediate than in the deferred group by year 1 [estimated difference -1.7% (95% confidence interval (CI) -2.3, -1.2) at the spine and -1.6% (95% CI -2.2, -0.9) at the total hip; Fig. 1a,b). BMD values in the immediate and deferred group shad largely converged by years 3–4 as deferred group after year 1, rates of change in BMD were stable at the spine, but continued to decline by about 0.5% per year at the total hip.

When follow-up was censored at ART start in the deferred group, the immediate minus deferred treatment difference at year 1 was -2.0% (95% CI -2.6, -1.3) at the spine, and -2.0% (95% CI -2.6, -1.4) at the hip. After year 1, the annual rates of BMD change in the immediate group and those remaining off ART in the deferred group were not significantly different (Fig. 1d–f).

In subgroup analyses, the treatment difference in spine BMD was larger among those with baseline CD4 counts  $\geq 650$  cells/µL compared with those with lower CD4 counts (-1.7% and -0.3%, respectively; P = 0.008 for heterogeneity). BMD losses were less steep among smokers than among nonsmokers (spine -0.7% and -2.2%, respectively; hip -0.7% and -2.8%, respectively; P = 0.05 for heterogeneity at both the hip and spine) (Fig. S3). There was no evidence for heterogeneity of the treatment effect among subgroups defined by age, sex, race, geographical region, baseline HIV RNA level and TDF in the pre-specified ART regimen.

#### Predictors of BMD decline

In the immediate ART group, no baseline variable consistently predicted greater loss of BMD at both the hip and spine (Table S3). Higher HIV viral load was associated with steeper decline of total hip BMD. A higher CD8 count was associated with steeper decline of spine BMD, and smoking was associated with steeper BMD loss at the hip. Within the deferred group (censored at ART start), a lower CD4 count was associated with steeper BMD decline at the spine and femoral neck (Table S4).

### Incidence of fractures

In the parent START study, 182 (3.9%) of the 4684 participants experienced a fracture: 91 (0.86 per 100 personyears) in the immediate group and 91 (0.85 per 100 person-years) in the deferred group (hazard ratio 1.01; 95% CI 0.76, 1.35; P = 0.98) (Table S5, Fig. S4).

# Discussion

These final data from the START BMD substudy confirm that initial ART significantly accelerates BMD loss over the first 1–2 years in young adults. With up to 5 years of follow-up, there was evidence for ongoing BMD loss in both groups, but the between-group difference in later years was modest and not significant.

Our data provide reassurance that the steep rate of BMD loss in the first year of ART is ameliorated in subsequent years, as observed in other cohorts [15-17]. Indeed, after year 1, the rate of loss in the immediate ART group was similar to that in patients who remained ART naïve in the deferred group. This finding supports similar data comparing adults on stable ART and matched HIV-negative controls [15]. However, the rate of decline after year 1 remained greater than might be expected in a population of this age [18]. The normal rate of decline in BMD in later years is influenced by race/ethnicity and age [19,20]. Our participants were racially diverse, so a comparison with a cohort such as National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III may not be appropriate. Longitudinal data from a Canadian study suggest that there is virtually no loss of BMD at either hip or spine until after the age of 40 years [18].

There was no consistent signal for CD4 count, CD8 count or CD4:CD8 ratio as a predictor of greater bone loss at both the hip or the spine. Our results are in agreement with those of others who reported that a higher pre-ART viral load predicted greater bone loss with initiation of ART [2,17]. Our study is also in agreement with another randomized trial of ART in which a lower CD4 count did not predict greater bone loss on initiation of ART [4], in contrast to other studies [17]. The finding that higher CD8 counts were associated with greater bone loss in those initiating ART is

	lmr	iediate ART group versu:	s deferre	ed ART group by intentio	m to treat		Imme	diate group versus defe	rred AR	T group participants pri	or to ART start <sup>*</sup>	
	lmr	rediate ART	Defe	rred ART			Imme	diate ART	Defer	red ART		
	2	Mean change (95% CI)	2	Mean change (95% CI)	Difference (95% CI)	Р	"	Mean change (95% CI)	2	Mean change (95% CI)	Difference (95% Cl)	Ь
Spine												
Year 1	194	-2.1 (-2.5, -1.6)	197	-0.3 (-0.7, 0.1)	-1.7 (-2.3, -1.2)	< 0.001	189	-2.1 (-2.5, -1.6)	171	-0.04(-0.5, 0.4)	-2.0 (-2.6, -1.4)	< 0.001
Year 2	189	-1.5 (-2.1, -1.0)	195	-0.4(-0.9, 0.1)	-1.1 (-1.9, -0.4)	< 0.01	184	-1.5 (-2.1, -0.9)	134	0.5 (-0.1, 1.0)	-2.0 (-2.8, -1.2)	< 0.001
Year 3	184	-1.6 (-2.2, -1.0)	194	-1.1 (-1.7, -0.5)	-0.5 (-1.4, 0.4)	0.26	180	-1.6 (-2.2, -0.9)	79	0.2 (-0.6, 1.0)	-1.8 (-2.9, -0.7)	< 0.01
Year 4	146	-1.8 (-2.6, -1.0)	147	-1.4 (-2.1, -0.7)	-0.4 (-1.4, 0.7)	0.52	142	-1.7 (-2.5, -1.0)	27	-0.6 (-2.3, 1.0)	-1.1 (-3.0, 0.9)	0.27
Year 5	27	-4.1 (-5.7, -2.6)	32	-1.7 (-3.4, 0.02)	-2.5 (-4.8, -0.1)	0.05	27	-4.1 (-5.7, -2.6)	2	4.9 (1.7, 8.0)	-9.0 (-15.1, -3.0)	< 0.01
Overall	201	-1.8 (-2.3, -1.4)	210	-0.9(-1.3, -0.4)	-1.0 (-1.6, -0.3)	< 0.01	196	-1.8 (-2.2, -1.4)	176	0.2 (-0.3, 0.7)	-2.0 (-2.7, -1.3)	< 0.001
Rate of change												
Year 1 to 2	184	0.5 (0.03, 0.9)	185	-0.1 (-0.5, 0.3)	0.6 (-0.1, 1.2)	0.08	179	0.5 (0.1, 0.9)	130	0.5 (0.1, 1.0)	-0.02 (-0.6, 0.6)	0.95
Year 2 to 3	177	0.02 (-0.4, 0.5)	185	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.3)	0.8 (0.1, 1.4)	0.02	173	-0.01 (-0.5, 0.4)	75	-0.5 (-1.2, 0.1)	0.5 (-0.3, 1.3)	0.20
Year 3 to 4	143	0.2 (-0.4, 0.7)	145	-0.7 (-1.3, -0.2)	0.9 (0.1, 1.7)	0.02	139	0.2 (-0.3, 0.8)	25	0.1 (-1.2, 1.4)	0.12 (-1.3, 1.5)	0.86
Year 4 to 5	26	-0.9 (-2.2, 0.4)	32	-0.4 (-1.6, 0.7)	-0.5 (-2.3, 1.2)	0.55	26	-0.9 (-1.8, -0.1)	2	2.2 (-0.9, 5.2)	-3.1 (-6.5, 0.4)	0.08
From year	1 197	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3)	204	-0.5 (-0.7, -0.3)	0.5 (0.3, 0.8)	< 0.001	192	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3)	140	0.2 (-0.1, 0.5)	0.03 (-0.4, 0.4)	0.88
overall												
Total hip												
Year 1	193	-2.0 (-2.6, -1.5)	197	-0.5(-0.9, -0.1)	-1.6 (-2.2, -0.9)	< 0.001	188	-2.2 (-2.7, -1.6)	171	-0.2(-0.6, 0.2)	-2.0 (-2.6, -1.3)	< 0.001
Year 2	188	-2.8 (-3.5, -2.1)	195	-1.4 (-2.0, -0.8)	-1.3 (-2.2, -0.4)	< 0.01	183	-2.9 (-3.5, -2.2)	133	-0.7 (-1.2, -0.1)	-2.2 (-3.1, -1.3)	< 0.001
Year 3	183	-3.2 (-3.9, -2.5)	193	-2.1 (-2.9, -1.4)	-1.0 (-2.0, 0.0)	0.05	179	-3.2 (-3.9, -2.5)	79	-0.6 (-1.3, 0.1)	-2.6 (-3.8, -1.5)	< 0.001
Year 4	146	-3.5 (-4.4, -2.6)	148	-3.2 (-4.0, -2.4)	-0.2 (-1.4, 0.9)	0.68	142	-3.6 (-4.5, -2.7)	27	-1.2 (-3.1, 0.6)	-2.4 (-4.6, -0.3)	0.03
Year 5	27	-5.6 (-7.6, -3.6)	32	-3.8 (-5.8, -1.8)	-2.0 (-4.9, 1.0)	0.20	27	-5.6 (-7.6, -3.6)	2	5.7 (-1.9, 13.2)	-11.3 (-19.0, -3.5)	< 0.01
Overall	200	-2.8 (-3.3, -2.2)	210	-1.7 (-2.2, -1.1)	-1.1 (-1.9, -0.3)	< 0.01	195	-2.9 (-3.3, -2.4)	176	-0.5 (-1.0, 0.1)	-2.1 (-2.9, -1.4)	< 0.001
Rate of change												
Year 1 to 2	183	-0.8 (-1.3, -0.4)	185	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.3)	0.0 (-0.7, 0.7)	> 0.99	178	-0.8 (-1.2, -0.4)	129	-0.3 (-0.8, 0.2)	-0.5 (-1.1, 0.2)	0.18
Year 2 to 3	176	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.4)	184	-0.8 (-1.3, -0.2)	0.6 (-0.2, 1.4)	0.12	172	-0.1 (-0.7, 0.5)	75	-0.2 (-1.1, 0.7)	0.1 (-0.9, 1.2)	0.84
Year 3 to 4	143	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.3)	145	-1.1 (-1.6, -0.6)	0.9 (0.2, 1.6)	0.02	139	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.2)	25	-0.1 (-1.1, 1.0)	-0.1 (-1.3, 1.1)	0.88
Year 4 to 5	26	-1.3 (-2.0, -0.5)	32	-0.6 (-1.2, 0.11)	-0.8 (-1.8, 0.3)	0.13	26	-1.3 (-2.2, -0.4)	2	0.6 (-2.7, 3.8)	-1.8 (-5.4, 1.8)	0.31
From year	1 196	-0.5 (-0.7, -0.2)	204	-0.9 (-1.1, -0.6)	0.4 (0.02, 0.7)	0.04	191	-0.4 (-0.7, -0.2)	139	-0.3 (-0.6, 0.1)	-0.2 (-0.7, 0.3)	0.37
overall												
*Participants in t dence interval	ne immec	diate ART group who di	d not st	art ART within the first:	year are excluded, an	id follow-u	ıp in th	ie deferred ART group	is censc	ored at ART start. ART, a	antiretroviral therapy; C	l, confi-

Table 1 Mean per cent changes in bone mineral density (BMD) from baseline, and annual rates of change, by treatment group

HIV Medicine (2020), 21, 64-70

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 184 of 213 PageID# 13372

68 A Carr et al.



**Fig. 1** Mean per cent change in bone mineral density (BMD) with 95% confidence intervals (Cls) from baseline to follow-up. Panels (a)–(c) show the intent-to-treat comparison between the immediate and deferred antiretroviral therapy (ART) groups. In panels (d)–(f), follow-up in the deferred group was censored at ART start, and in the immediate group, participants who did not start ART in the first year were excluded. (a, d) Lumbar spine; (b, e) total hip; (c, f) femoral neck. In the immediate group, five participant who did not start ART in the first year were excluded.

novel and not previously reported. The finding that none of the traditional risk factors for bone loss predicted change in BMD may have been attributable to the young age of our study population.

Our analysis has limitations. Most participants in the deferred group had initiated ART by year 4. Therefore, the ITT comparison between the immediate and deferred groups does not accurately quantify the effect of ART versus untreated HIV infection in the later years, while comparison of the immediate group versus patients with untreated HIV infection. However, given that immediate ART is now standard-of-care, it is highly unlikely that another study will ever evaluate the long-term effects of ART relative to no ART. Most ART regimens contained TDF, which is a well-known cause of BMD loss; TDF remains a common backbone, in part because of its

preferred status within the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines [21].

In summary, bone loss with initial ART slowed after the first year of ART, and the rates of change in BMD after the first year were similar with and without ART. In this relatively young population, ART-related BMD loss did not translate into a greater incidence of fractures.

# Acknowledgements

We thank all study participants, site co-ordinators and investigators, and staff at the radiology sites, the coordinating centres, and the UCSF QA Centre. See Ref. [[11]] for a complete list of the START bone mineral density substudy investigators and see Ref. [[12]] for a complete list of all START investigators. *Conflicts of interest:* AC has received research funding from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and ViiV Healthcare; in-kind research support from Novartis; conference travel sponsorships from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, and ViiV Healthcare; and has served on advisory boards for Gilead Sciences, MSD and ViiV Healthcare. KE received travel support from Merck Sharp & Dohme for attendance at DMC meetings. AL received honoraria and salary from MSD and Janssen. AS has received a grant from Hologic Inc. JH's institution received funding for her participation in advisory boards for Gilead Sciences, AbbVie and ViiV Healthcare. BG, NWE, AVS, AA, SBF, JIB, VE, ALR, PWGM, SP and DW declare no conflict of interest.

Financial disclosure: This work was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (Grants U01-AI068641, UM1-AI120197 and 1U01-AI136780), and National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (Grant R01 - AR060057-01). The parent START study was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health Clinical Center, National Cancer Institute, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le SIDA et les Hépatites Virales (France), National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia), National Research Foundation (Denmark), Bundes ministerium für Bildung und Forschung (Germany), European AIDS Treatment Network, Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), National Institute for Health Research, National Health Service (United Kingdom), and University of Minnesota. Antiretroviral drugs were donated to the central drug repository by AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV Healthcare, Janssen Scientific Affairs, and Merck. The content is solely that of the authors and does not represent the views of the National Institutes of Health, nor those of AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline/ViiV Healthcare, Janssen Scientific Affairs, or Merck.

# References

- 1 Brown TT, Qaqish RB. Antiretroviral therapy and the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis: a meta-analytic review. *AIDS* 2006; 20 : 2165–2174.
- 2 McComsey GA, Kitch D, Daar ES *et al*. Bone mineral density and fractures in antiretroviral-naive persons randomized to

receive abacavir-lamivudine or tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine along with efavirenz or atazanavirritonavir: Aids Clinical Trials Group A5224s, a substudy of ACTG A5202. *J Infect Dis* 2011; **203** : 1791–1801.

- 3 Stellbrink HJ, Orkin C, Arribas JR *et al*. Comparison of changes in bone density and turnover with abacavir-lamivudine versus tenofovir-emtricitabine in HIV-infected adults: 48-week results from the ASSERT study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **51** : 963–972.
- 4 Brown TT, Moser C, Currier JS *et al.* Changes in bone mineral density after initiation of antiretroviral treatment with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate/emtricitabine plus atazanavir/ritonavir, darunavir/ritonavir, or raltegravir. *J Infect Dis* 2015; 212 : 1241–1249.
- 5 Duvivier C, Kolta S, Assoumou L *et al*. Greater decrease in bone mineral density with protease inhibitor regimens compared with nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor regimens in HIV-1 infected naive patients. *AIDS* 2009; 23 : 817–824.
- 6 Ofotokun I, Titanji K, Vunnava A *et al.* Antiretroviral therapy induces a rapid increase in bone resorption that is positively associated with the magnitude of immune reconstitution in HIV infection. *AIDS* 2016; **30** : 405–414.
- 7 McGinty T, Mirmonsef P, Mallon PW, Landay AL. Does systemic inflammation and immune activation contribute to fracture risk in HIV? *Curr Opin HIV AIDS* 2016; 11 : 253– 260.
- 8 Gallant JE, Staszewski S, Pozniak AL *et al*. Efficacy and safety of tenofovir DF vs stavudine in combination therapy in antiretroviral-naive patients: a 3-year randomized trial. *JAMA* 2004; **292** : 191–201.
- 9 Bolland MJ, Grey A, Horne AM *et al.* Stable bone mineral density over 6 years in HIV-infected men treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART). *Clin Endocrinol* 2012; 76: 643–648.
- 10 Grund B, Peng G, Gibert CL *et al.* Continuous antiretroviral therapy decreases bone mineral density. *AIDS* 2009; 23 : 1519–1529.
- 11 Hoy JF, Grund B, Roediger M *et al.* Immediate initiation of antiretroviral therapy for HIV infection accelerates bone loss relative to deferring therapy: findings from the START Bone mineral density substudy, a randomized trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2017; 32 : 1945–1955.
- 12 INSIGHT Start Study Group, Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, *et al.* Initiation of antiretroviral therapy in early asymptomatic HIV infection. *N Engl J Med* 2015; **373** : 795–807.
- 13 Carr A, Grund B, Neuhaus J *et al.* Prevalence of and risk factors for low bone mineral density in untreated HIV infection: a substudy of the INSIGHT Strategic Timing of AntiRetroviral Treatment (START) trial. *HIV Med* 2015; 16 (Suppl 1): 137–146.

70 A Carr et al.

- 14 R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016[Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.
- 15 Tinago W, Cotter AG, Sabin CA *et al.* Predictors of longitudinal change in bone mineral density in a cohort of HIV-positive and negative patients. *AIDS* 2017; 31 : 643– 652.
- McComsey G, Kitch D, Daar E *et al.* Bone and Limb Fat
  Outcomes of ACTG A5224s, a Substudy of ACTG A5202: A
  Prospective, Randomized, Partially Blinded Phase III Trial of
  ABC/3TC or TDF/FTC with EFV or ATV/r for Initial
  Treatment of HIV-1 Infection. 17th Conference on
  Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); San
  Francisco2010.
- 17 Grant PM, Kitch D, McComsey GA *et al.* Long-term Bone Mineral Density Changes in Antiretroviral-Treated HIV-Infected Individuals. *J Infect Dis* 2016; 214 : 607–611.
- 18 Berger C, Langsetmo L, Joseph L *et al.* Change in bone mineral density as a function of age in women and men and association with the use of antiresorptive agents. *CMAJ* 2008; **178** : 1660–1668.
- 19 Nam HS, Kweon SS, Choi JS *et al.* Racial/ethnic differences in bone mineral density among older women. *J Bone Miner Metab* 2013; 31 : 190–198.
- 20 Araujo AB, Travison TG, Harris SS, Holick MF, Turner AK, McKinlay JB. Race/ethnic differences in bone mineral density in men. *Osteoporos Int* 2007; 18 : 943–953.
- 21 Organization WH. Updated recommendations on first-line and second-line antiretroviral regimens and post-exposure

prophylaxis and recommendations on early infant diagnosis of HIV: interim guidance.Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018[Available from: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/ ARV2018update/en/.

# **Supporting Information**

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

# Table S1. Baseline characteristics

**Table S2.** Participant disposition at years 1–5

**Table S3.** Predictors of per cent change in BMD in the immediate ART group, excluding participants who did not start ART within the first year

**Table S4.** Predictors of per cent change in BMD in the

 deferred ART group, censored at ART start date

**Table S5.** Sites of fractures, with numbers and rates per 100 person-years, for all fractures and those that occurred with minimal trauma

Figure S1. CONSORT diagram

Figure S2. Per cent of participants on ART and per cent with HIV RNA < 200 copies/mL

Figure S3. Subgroup analyses for changes in BMD

**Figure S4.** Kaplan–Meier curves for the cumulative percentage of participants with fractures over time, by treatment group Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 187 of 213 PageID# 13375

# EXHIBIT L

# Antiretroviral Therapy and Viral Suppression Among Active Duty Service Members with Incident HIV Infection — United States, January 2012–June 2018

Shauna Stahlman, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Shilpa Hakre, DrPH<sup>2,3</sup>; Paul T. Scott, MD<sup>4</sup>; Brian K. Agan, MD<sup>3,5</sup>; Donald Shell, MD<sup>6</sup>; Todd Gleeson, MD<sup>7</sup>; Jason M. Blaylock, MD<sup>8</sup>; Jason F. Okulicz, MD<sup>9</sup>

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection is a deployment-limiting medical condition for U.S. armed forces in the Department of Defense (DoD) (1). HIV management using contemporary antiretroviral therapy (ART) regimens permits effective suppression of viremia among persons in clinical care. Although service members with HIV infection can remain in military service, treatment outcomes have not been fully described. Data from the Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS) were analyzed to estimate ART use and viral suppression among DoD service members with diagnosed HIV infection during January 2012–June 2018 (2). Among 1,050 service members newly diagnosed with HIV infection during January 1, 2012–December 31, 2017, 89.4% received ART within 6 months of HIV diagnosis, 95.4% within 12 months, and 98.7% by the end of the surveillance period on June 30, 2018. Analyses determined that, among 793 persons who initiated ART and remained in military service for  $\geq 1$  year, 93.8% received continuous ART, 99.0% achieved viral suppression within 1 year after ART initiation, and 96.8% were virally suppressed at receipt of their last viral load test. The DoD model of HIV care demonstrates that service members with HIV infection who remain in care receive timely ART and can achieve both early and sustained viral suppression.

DoD routinely screens its service members for HIV infection to ensure force health protection and to protect the battlefield blood supply (1). All active duty service members with HIV infection receive care through the Military Health System and can be retained in service if they can perform their duties. Clinical evaluations are performed by military infectious disease physicians following diagnosis of HIV infection and at least every 6 to 12 months thereafter.

Demographic information, military service personnel records, and laboratory data were extracted from the DMSS, which maintains longitudinal service-related and clinical surveillance data for all personnel throughout their military service. All cases of incident HIV infection occurring among active duty service members during January 1, 2012–December 31, 2017, were identified from surveillance data validated against HIV case lists maintained by each military service. Activated reservists and National Guard members were excluded because DMSS does not record accurate follow-up time for reserve or National Guard members. Pharmacy records for dispensed ART prescriptions were obtained from the DoD Pharmacy Data Transaction Service. This analysis was conducted by the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch as part of routine medical surveillance efforts on the health outcomes of service members living with HIV infection. Because the branch was conducting this analysis in its capacity as a public health authority providing medical surveillance support to DoD policymakers, institutional review board approval was not required.

ART initiation was assessed for 1,050 service members with incident HIV infection who remained in service for  $\geq 6$  months after diagnosis. ART initiation was defined as dispensation of an initial ART prescription during a specified time frame following diagnosis of HIV infection (within 6 months, within 12 months, or by the end of the study period).

Among 1,050 service members with incident HIV infection, 243 (23.1%) were excluded from analysis of continuous ART and viral suppression because of inadequate follow-up time (206; 19.6%) or incomplete viral load testing (37; 3.5%) and an additional 14 (1.3%) because ART history was missing, leaving 793 (75.5%) service members with incident HIV infection and at least 1 year of follow-up for analysis.\* The 243 service members who initiated ART but were not included in additional analysis for continuous ART and viral suppression were similar demographically to the 793 who were included and had no evidence of being immunocompromised (median baseline CD4 count = 513 [interquartile range (IQR) = 386–659] cells/ $\mu$ L).

Continuous receipt of ART and viral suppression were assessed among the 793 persons who remained in service for at least 1 year after ART initiation and who had documented viral suppression within 6 months of ART initiation or a viral load test 6–12 months after ART initiation. Continuous ART was defined as dispensation of at least a 6 months' supply of ART within 6 months of initiating ART. Viral suppression was defined as a viral load measurement of <200 copies of HIV RNA per mL within 1 year of ART initiation. Viral suppression was also reported at the last viral load test during follow-up 1 year after ART initiation and at the last viral load

<sup>\*</sup> Chart review determined that among the 14 persons without documentation of ART receipt, four received ART through civilian care, three were "elite controllers" who had spontaneous viral suppression without ART, three refused ART, three started ART after the end of the surveillance period, and one had provider documentation stating "no indication" because of a CD4 count >500 cells/ $\mu$ L.

test of the surveillance period. In addition, viral suppression was calculated for each year of follow-up after HIV diagnosis, as the percentage of service members whose last viral load test during each year of follow-up was <200 copies of HIV RNA per mL, among service members with at least one viral load test during that follow-up year.

The median interval from diagnosis of HIV infection to the first viral load test indicating viral suppression was also calculated overall and stratified by year of HIV diagnosis. In addition, the overall median interval from HIV diagnosis to the last viral load test in the surveillance period was calculated. Median CD4 counts were calculated at baseline and at the last CD4 test during the surveillance period. SAS statistical software (version 9.4; SAS Institute) was used for all analyses.

Among 1,050 service members with incident HIV infection, 939 (89.4%) initiated ART within 6 months of diagnosis, 1,002 (95.4%) within 12 months, and 1,036 (98.7%) by the end of the surveillance period (Table 1). ART initiation within 6 months of diagnosis was more common among older service members, males, and those in the Air Force (Table 1). Initial ART regimens were anchored by integrase strand transfer inhibitors (63.0%), nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (28.2%), protease inhibitors (6.2%), or other combinations of these agents with or without nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (2.6%). After exclusion of the 243 service members with inadequate follow-up or viral load testing and the 14 with missing history of ART, among the remaining 793 service members, 744 (93.8%) received continuous ART, and 785 (99.0%) had at least one viral load result indicating viral suppression within 1 year after ART initiation (Table 2). Continuous receipt of ART was more prevalent among older service members, non-Hispanic whites, non-Hispanic blacks, males, officers, and pilot/aircrew personnel, compared with their respective counterparts. A high percentage of viral load suppression within 1 year after ART initiation (>96%) was achieved among all demographic subgroups. A total of 772 (97.4%) service members were virally suppressed at their last viral load test during follow-up 1 year after ART initiation and 768 (96.8%) were virally suppressed at their last viral load test of the surveillance period (Table 2). The percentage of service members with HIV infection who achieved viral suppression ranged from 91.6% of 787 persons in the first year of follow-up to 100% of 15 persons in the seventh year (Table 3). The interval from HIV diagnosis to first viral load test indicating viral suppression ranged from 6.9 months (IQR = 4.9–10.9) in 2012 to 2.9 months (IQR = 2.5–4.3) in 2017 (median = 4.6 months ([IQR = 2.9-7.2]). The median CD4 count at baseline was 486 cells/ $\mu$ L (IQR = 342–625) and 717 (IQR = 565–909) at the last test during the surveillance period.

# Discussion

In 2014, based on surveillance data, CDC indicated that 96% of adults with HIV infection in the United States receiving outpatient medical care self-reported currently taking ART, and 98% reported ever taking ART (3). In addition, national data indicate that 81.5% to 85.9% of persons engaged in HIV clinical care during 2016–2017 were virally suppressed at their last test (4,5). Findings from the current analysis suggest that a high percentage of active duty service members receive ART and achieve viral suppression. The Military Health System permits free universal access for active duty service members throughout all aspects of the HIV care continuum, such as routine testing, specialty care evaluations, laboratory monitoring, and ART. The DoD model of HIV care demonstrates that ART and viral suppression goals can be achieved among a segment of the U.S. population who receive clinical care in a large health care system, despite high mobility and geographic dispersal.

Viral suppression among U.S. service members with HIV infection has increased over time. A study of Air Force service members with HIV infection found that 93% attained viral suppression 1 year after ART initiation during 2006–2011, an increase from 78.6% during 2000–2005 (6). The U.S. Military HIV Natural History Study, an observational study of military service members and beneficiaries with HIV infection, determined that viral suppression at 1 year after diagnosis among active duty patients who initiated ART during 2000-2007 was 84%, compared with 64% during 1996–1999 (7). Since the 1990s, duration of military service after diagnosis of HIV infection has increased substantially, and the number of AIDSdefining illnesses has decreased (8,9). The combination of more potent ART with fewer adverse effects and the increased availability of single-tablet regimens have likely contributed to improved outcomes, including the high ART uptake and levels of viral suppression noted in this analysis. In addition, the U.S. military mandates periodic evaluations for service members with HIV infection. DoD and service-specific HIV-related policies stipulate that progressive clinical illness or immune deficiency necessitates duty restrictions and, potentially, a referral for medical evaluation for continued service.<sup>†,§,¶,\*\*</sup> Cumulatively, these policies likely enhance adherence to ART among service members with HIV infection. Viral suppression also has population-level benefits; a recent CDC study of HIV transmission along the continuum of care in 2016 reported that the Treatment as Prevention<sup>††</sup> strategy can effectively

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/ dodi/648501p.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> https://www.med.navy.mil/sites/nmcphc/Documents/nbimc/648501p.pdf.

Inttps://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/af\_sg/publication/afi44-178/

afi44-178.pdf. \*\* https://www.army.mil/e2/downloads/rv7/r2/policydocs/r600\_110.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>††</sup> https://www.hiv.gov/tasp.

TABLE 1. Service members<sup>\*</sup> who initiated antiretroviral therapy (ART) within 6 months, 12 months, or by the end of the surveillance period after diagnosis of incident human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection — U.S. Armed Forces, January 2012–June 2018

	т	ime of ART initiation after HIV diagno no. (%)	osis
Characteristic <sup>†</sup> (total no.)	6 mos	12 mos	Ever <sup>§</sup>
Total (1,050)	939 (89.4)	1,002 (95.4)	1,036 (98.7)
Sex			
Male (1,023)	916 (89.5)	976 (95.4)	1,009 (98.6)
Female (27)	23 (85.2)	26 (96.3)	27 (100.0)
Age group, yrs			
<20 (31)	27 (87.1)	29 (93.5)	30 (96.8)
20–29 (744)	659 (88.6)	709 (95.3)	733 (98.5)
30–39 (224)	204 (91.1)	215 (96.0)	222 (99.1)
40–49 (44)	42 (95.5)	42 (95.5)	44 (100.0)
≥50 (7)	7 (100.0)	7 (100.0)	7 (100.0)
Race/Ethnicity			
White, non-Hispanic (296)	271 (91.6)	283 (95.6)	293 (99.0)
Black, non-Hispanic (483)	418 (86.5)	459 (95.0)	475 (98.3)
Hispanic (160)	150 (93.8)	155 (96.9)	159 (99.4)
Asian/Pacific Islander (30)	27 (90.0)	30 (100.0)	30 (100.0)
Other/Unknown (81)	73 (90.1)	75 (92.6)	79 (97.5)
Marital status			
Married (352)	318 (90.3)	338 (96.0)	349 (99.1)
Single (659)	587 (89.1)	627 (95.1)	648 (98.3)
Other (39)	34 (87.2)	37 (94.9)	39 (100.0)
Service			
Army (422)	348 (82.5)	394 (93.4)	414 (98.1)
Navy (345)	322 (93.3)	335 (97.1)	343 (99.4)
Air Force (190)	187 (98.4)	187 (98.4)	188 (98.9)
Marine Corps (93)	82 (88.2)	86 (92.5)	91 (97.8)
Bank			
Enlisted (965)	861 (89.2)	920 (95.3)	951 (98.5)
Officer (85)	78 (91.8)	82 (96.5)	85 (100.0)
Occupation		()	
Combat-specific (105)	91 (86 7)	99 (94 3)	103 (98 1)
Motor transport (51)	46 (90.2)	49 (96.1)	50 (98.0)
Pilot/Aircrew (16)	14 (87 5)	14 (87 5)	15 (93.8)
Repair/Engineer (264)	244 (92.4)	256 (97.0)	261 (98.9)
Communications/Intelligence (305)	273 (89.5)	290 (95.1)	300 (98.4)
Health care (127)	111 (87.4)	121 (95.3)	127 (100.0)
Other (182)	160 (87.9)	173 (95.1)	180 (98.9)

\* Service members were required to have at least 6 months follow-up time after diagnosis of incident HIV infection.

<sup>†</sup> All demographic and military characteristics ascertained at the time of incident HIV infection diagnosis.

<sup>§</sup> By June 30, 2018.

eliminate secondary sexual transmission of HIV from persons virally suppressed on ART (*10*).

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, records of dispensed ART medications were used to estimate ART initiation and continued use; no data on adherence were available. However, viral load determinations following ART dispensation suggest a high level of adherence. Second, DoD service members constitute an open population with varying entry and exit dates; therefore, rates of ART use and viral suppression could only be assessed for persons who remained in service during specified periods.

DoD embodies a contemporary national model of successful HIV care, given the high uptake of HIV treatment and achievement of viral suppression by its service members. DoD will continue to review its policies and the scientific literature and report findings of health outcomes among service members living with HIV infection.

#### Acknowledgments

Mildred Figueroa, Vanessa Miranda-Santiago, Navy Bloodborne Infection Management Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Elizabeth Bianchi, Rosemary Casimier, Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, Maryland; U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland; James Mancuso, Stephen Taubman, Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch, Silver Spring, Maryland.

Corresponding author: Shauna Stahlman, shauna.stahlman.ctr@mail.mil, 301-319-3252.

TABLE 2. Continuous antiretroviral therapy (ART)\*,<sup>†</sup> and viral suppression within 1 year after ART initiation and at last viral load test during the surveillance period,<sup>§</sup> among active duty service members in military human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) care<sup>¶</sup> — U.S. Armed Forces, January 2012–June 2018

	No. (%)		
Characteristic (total no.)	Continuous ART	Viral suppression within 1 year	Viral suppression, last test
Total (793)	744 (93.8)	785 (99.0)	768 (96.8)
Sex			
Male (771)	728 (94.4)	763 (99.0)	746 (96.8)
Female (22)	16 (72.7)	22 (100.0)	22 (100.0)
Age group, vrs			
<20 (23)	22 (95.7)	23 (100.0)	20 (87.0)
20–29 (553)	512 (92.6)	547 (98.9)	534 (96.6)
30–39 (178)	171 (96.1)	176 (98.9)	175 (98.3)
40-49 (35)	35 (100.0)	35 (100.0)	35 (100.0)
≥50 (4)	4 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	4 (100.0)
Bace/Ethnicity			
White non-Hispanic (207)	199 (96 1)	206 (99 5)	203 (98.1)
Black non-Hispanic (370)	355 (95.9)	365 (98.6)	357 (96 5)
Hispanic (137)	118 (86 1)	135 (98 5)	132 (96.4)
Asian/Pacific Islander (23)	19 (82.6)	23 (100 0)	23 (100 0)
Other/Unknown (56)	53 (94.6)	56 (100.0)	53 (94.6)
Marital status			55 (5 116)
Married (257)	241 (02.9)	256 (00.6)	254 (09 9)
Single (507)	241 (93.0)	230 (99.0) 501 (08.8)	234 (90.0)
Other (29)	20 (96.6)	28 (96.6)	465 (95.7)
	29 (90.0)	28 (90.0)	29 (100:0)
Service	270 (22 7)	205 (20.2)	202 (07.2)
Army (300)	278 (92.7)	295 (98.3)	292 (97.3)
Navy (277)	257 (92.8)	274 (98.9)	266 (96.0)
Air Force (149)	144 (96.6)	149 (100.0)	143 (96.0)
Marine Corps (67)	65 (97.0)	67 (100.0)	67 (100.0)
Rank			
Enlisted (724)	675 (93.2)	716 (98.9)	699 (96.5)
Officer (69)	69 (100.0)	69 (100.0)	69 (100.0)
Occupation			
Combat-specific (74)	72 (97.3)	73 (98.6)	73 (98.6)
Motor transport (37)	34 (91.9)	36 (97.3)	36 (97.3)
Pilot/Aircrew (11)	11 (100.0)	11 (100.0)	11 (100.0)
Repair/Engineer (213)	202 (94.8)	212 (99.5)	209 (98.1)
Communications/Intelligence (231)	212 (91.8)	227 (98.3)	222 (96.1)
Health care (103)	95 (92.2)	102 (99.0)	99 (96.1)
Other (124)	118 (95.2)	124 (100.0)	118 (95.2)

\* Continuous ART was defined as having been dispensed at least 180 days' supply of ART medications within 6 months of initiating ART.

<sup>+</sup> Service members were required to have at least 1-year follow-up time after ART initiation. In addition, they must have been virally suppressed within 6 months of ART initiation or have a viral load test on file from 6 to 12 months after ART initiation.

§ Viral suppression was defined as having a viral load <200 copies of HIV RNA per mL according to any viral load test that was performed within 1 year after ART initiation.

<sup>¶</sup> All demographic/military characteristics measured at the time of incident HIV diagnosis.

<sup>1</sup>Armed Forces Health Surveillance Branch, Silver Spring, Maryland; <sup>2</sup>U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland; <sup>3</sup>Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., Bethesda, Maryland; <sup>4</sup>Emerging Infectious Diseases Branch, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland; <sup>5</sup>Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland; <sup>6</sup>Office of Health Services Policy and Oversight, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Falls Church, Virginia; <sup>7</sup>Navy Bloodborne Infection Management Center, Bethesda, Maryland; <sup>8</sup>Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; <sup>9</sup>Infectious Disease Service, San Antonio Military Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas.

All authors have completed and submitted the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors form for disclosure of potential conflicts of interest. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

#### References

- 1. US Department of Defense. Department of Defense instruction number 6485.01: human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in military service members. Washington, DC: US Department of Defense; 2013. https:// www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodi/648501p.pdf
- 2. Rubertone MV, Brundage JF. The Defense Medical Surveillance System and the Department of Defense Serum Repository: glimpses of the future of public health surveillance. Am J Public Health 2002;92:1900-4. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.92.12.1900

TABLE 3. Viral suppression among active duty service members in
military human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) care (N = 793), <sup>*</sup> by year
of follow-up — U.S. Armed Forces, January 1, 2012–June 30, 2018

No. of follow-up <sup>†</sup> yrs	No. with ≥1 viral load test	No. (%) virally suppressed <sup>§</sup>
1	787 <sup>¶</sup>	721 (91.6)
2	727	705 (97.0)
3	511	500 (97.8)
4	315	305 (96.8)
5	182	177 (97.3)
6	78	76 (97.4)
7	15	15 (100.0)

\* Service members were required to have at least 1 year of follow-up after ART initiation and to have been virally suppressed within 6 months of ART initiation or have a viral load test on file from 6 to 12 months after ART initiation.

<sup>†</sup> After diagnosis of HIV infection.

<sup>§</sup> Last viral load of each follow-up year <200 copies of HIV RNA per mL.

 $^{\$}$  No. of persons who had a viral load test within 1 year of HIV diagnosis = 787 of 793.

- 3. CDC. Behavioral and clinical characteristics of persons receiving medical care for HIV infection: Medical Monitoring Project, United States, 2014 cycle (June 2014-May 2015). HIV surveillance special report, no. 17. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hivsurveillance-special-report-number-17.pdf
- 4. CDC. Monitoring selected national HIV prevention and care objectives by using HIV surveillance data—United States and 6 dependent areas, 2016. HIV surveillance supplemental report, vol. 23, no. 4. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2018. https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hivsurveillance-supplemental-report-vol-23-4.pdf
- 5. Health Resources and Services Administration. Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program annual client-level data report 2018. Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Resources, Health Resources and Services Administration; 2018. https://hab.hrsa.gov/sites/default/files/hab/ data/datareports/RWHAP-annual-client-level-data-report-2018.pdf
- 6. Matthews PE, Le T, Delmar J, Okulicz JF. Virologic suppression among HIV-infected US Air Force members in a highly-structured programme with free access to care. Int J STD AIDS 2015;26:951-9. https://doi. org/10.1177/0956462414563631

#### **Summarv**

What is already known about this topic?

U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) service members with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection can remain in military service; however, treatment outcomes have not been fully described.

#### What is added by this report?

During January 2012–June 2018, 93.8% of service members with HIV infection who remained in care received continuous antiretroviral therapy (ART). Viral suppression was achieved in 99.0% within 1 year of ART initiation and in 96.8% at the last test during the surveillance period.

What are the implications for public health practice?

The DoD model of HIV care demonstrates that the goals of high ART uptake and viral suppression can be achieved and maintained in a large health care system.

- 7. Marconi VC, Grandits GA, Weintrob AC, et al.; Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program HIV Working Group (IDCRP). Outcomes of highly active antiretroviral therapy in the context of universal access to healthcare: the U.S. Military HIV Natural History Study. AIDS Res Ther 2010;7:14. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-6405-7-14
- 8. Brundage JF, Hunt DJ, Clark LL. Durations of military service after diagnoses of HIV-1 infections among active component members of the U.S. Armed Forces, 1990-2013. MSMR 2015;22:9-12.
- 9. Crum-Cianflone N, Hullsiek KH, Marconi V, et al. Trends in the incidence of cancers among HIV-infected persons and the impact of antiretroviral therapy: a 20-year cohort study. AIDS 2009;23:41-50. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e328317cc2d
- 10. Li Z, Purcell DW, Sansom SL, Hayes D, Hall HI. Vital signs: HIV transmission along the continuum of care-United States, 2016. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:267-72. https://doi. org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6811e1

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 193 of 213 PageID# 13381

# EXHIBIT M



Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 194 of 213 PageID#

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

N Engl J Med. 2016 November 24; 375(21): 2037-2050. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1608243.

N Engl J Med. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 24.

# Effect of HIV Antibody VRC01 on Viral Rebound after Treatment Interruption

K.J. Bar, M.C. Sneller, L.J. Harrison, J.S. Justement, E.T. Overton, M.E. Petrone, D.B. Salantes, C.A. Seamon, B. Scheinfeld, R.W. Kwan, G.H. Learn, M.A. Proschan, E.F. Kreider, J. Blazkova, M. Bardsley, E.W. Refsland, M. Messer, K.E. Clarridge, N.B. Tustin, P.J. Madden, K.S. Oden, S.J. O'Dell, B. Jarocki, A.R. Shiakolas, R.L. Tressler, N.A. Doria-Rose, R.T. Bailer, J.E. Ledgerwood, E.V. Capparelli, R.M. Lynch, B.S. Graham, S. Moir, R.A. Koup, J.R. Mascola, J.A. Hoxie, A.S. Fauci, P. Tebas, and T.-W. Chun

# Abstract

**BACKGROUND**—The discovery of potent and broadly neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) against human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) has made passive immunization a potential strategy for the prevention and treatment of HIV infection. We sought to determine whether passive administration of VRC01, a bNAb targeting the HIV CD4-binding site, can safely prevent or delay plasma viral rebound after the discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy (ART).

**METHODS**—We conducted two open-label trials (AIDS Clinical Trials Group [ACTG] A5340 and National Institutes of Health [NIH] 15-I-0140) of the safety, side-effect profile, pharmacokinetic properties, and antiviral activity of VRC01 in persons with HIV infection who were undergoing interruption of ART.

**RESULTS**—A total of 24 participants were enrolled, and one serious alcohol-related adverse event occurred. Viral rebound occurred despite plasma VRC01 concentrations greater than 50  $\mu$ g per milliliter. The median time to rebound was 4 weeks in the A5340 trial and 5.6 weeks in the NIH trial. Study participants were more likely than historical controls to have viral suppression at week 4 (38% vs. 13%, P = 0.04 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test in the A5340 trial; and 80% vs. 13%, P<0.001 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test in the NIH trial) but the difference was not significant at week 8. Analyses of virus populations before ART as well as before and after ART interruption showed that VRC01 exerted pressure on rebounding virus, resulting in restriction of recrudescent viruses and selection for preexisting and emerging antibody neutralization–resistant virus.

**CONCLUSIONS**—VRC01 slightly delayed plasma viral rebound in the trial participants, as compared with historical controls, but it did not maintain viral suppression by week 8. In the small number of participants enrolled in these trials, no safety concerns were identified with passive

Address reprint requests to Dr. Bar at the Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 502D Johnson Pavilion, 3610 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104, or at bark@mail.med.upenn.edu; or to Dr. Chun at the Laboratory of Immunoregulation, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892, or at twchun@nih.gov.

Drs. Bar and Sneller and Drs. Fauci, Tebas, and Chun contributed equally to this article.

The authors' full names, academic degrees, and affiliations are listed in the Appendix.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

Page 2

immunization with a single bNAb (VRC01). (Funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and others; ACTG A5340 and NIH 15-I-0140 ClinicalTrials.gov numbers, NCT02463227 and NCT02471326.)

> Therapeutic administration of monoclonal antibodies has revolutionized treatment options in oncology, rheumatology, endocrinology, gastroenterology, neurology, and the field of infectious diseases.<sup>1,2</sup> The use of broadly neutralizing antibodies (bNAbs) against human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a potential approach to the prevention of HIV infection and its therapy and cure.<sup>3,4</sup> VRC01 is a bNAb that targets the CD4-binding site of the HIV envelope glycoprotein. VRC01 has been shown to neutralize approximately 90% of a broad panel of 190 group M HIV envelope pseudotyped viruses with a mean 50% inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) of 0.33 µg per milliliter.<sup>5</sup> Passive administration of bNAbs, including VRC01, has been shown to prevent HIV transmission in animal models<sup>6–9</sup> and is now being tested in clinical trials of vertical and horizontal transmission in humans.

> Combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) potently suppresses HIV replication; however, it does not eradicate the persistent viral reservoir.<sup>10</sup> In most HIV-infected persons, plasma viral rebound predictably occurs within days after treatment interruption.<sup>11–14</sup> HIV-specific bNAbs hold potential advantages over current ART. First, bNAbs can be administered as long-acting agents by means of antibody engineering<sup>6</sup> or vectored delivery. <sup>15,16</sup> Second, unlike classic ART, antibody Fc effector functions enable the killing of HIV-infected cells, which may assist in the clearance of the persistent viral reservoir.<sup>4,17</sup> Finally, bNAbs engage the host immune system and may augment antiviral responses.<sup>18,19</sup>

> Preclinical studies of single and combination bNAbs in animal models have shown virus suppression, enhanced viral killing, augmented anti-HIV immune responses, and reduction of the cellular reservoir.<sup>18,20,21</sup> In clinical trials involving humans, no safety concerns have been identified thus far with passive administration of bNAbs targeting the CD4-binding site in healthy uninfected persons and in participants with chronic HIV infection who have either viremia or viral suppression.<sup>22–24</sup> Passive administration of VRC01 to HIV viremic persons led to a reduction of 1.1 to 1.8 log<sup>10</sup> in plasma HIV viremia, although it was ineffective in the quarter of study participants who had baseline resistance to the antibody.<sup>24</sup> In addition, we previously found that HIV isolated from the latent viral reservoir of many, but not all, infected persons was inhibited by VRC01 ex vivo.<sup>17</sup> Collectively, these findings suggest that passive immunotherapy with VRC01 could potentially prevent plasma viral rebound in HIVinfected persons after analytic treatment interruption.

Here, we report the results of two phase 1 clinical trials designed to investigate the feasibility of achieving sustained suppression of plasma viremia (virologic remission) in HIV-infected persons by means of multiple infusions of VRC01 after the discontinuation of ART that had been successfully suppressing plasma viremia below the detectable concentration. Our goals were to ascertain whether the passive administration of VRC01 is safe and has an acceptable adverse-event and side-effect profile, leads to high VRC01 plasma concentrations, can suppress plasma viremia after the discontinuation of ART, and could inform our understanding of recrudescent viruses after immunologic intervention.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 196 of 213 PageID# 13384

Page 3

Bar et al.

# METHODS

# STUDY DESIGN AND OVERSIGHT

We conducted two clinical trials with similar designs to evaluate the safety, adverse-event and side-effect profile, pharmacokinetic characteristics, and antiviral activity of the human monoclonal antibody VRC-HIVMAB060-00-AB (VRC01) in HIV-infected persons who were undergoing analytic treatment interruption. The first trial, AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) A5340, was conducted at the clinical research sites of the University of Pennsylvania and University of Alabama (see the protocol, available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org). The second trial (NIH 15-I-0140, hereafter referred to as the National Institutes of Health [NIH] trial) was conducted at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH, in Bethesda, Maryland (see the protocol).

Both trials had similar entry criteria and recruited participants who had chronic HIV infection with fully suppressed plasma viremia while receiving ART (details are provided in the Supplementary Methods section in the Supplementary Appendix, available at NEJM.org). Study participants were not prescreened for sensitivity of the virus to neutralization by VRC01 in either trial.

The trials were reviewed by the institutional review boards of each participating institution. All participants provided written informed consent. All the authors vouch for the accuracy and completeness of the data and analyses and the fidelity of the trial to the respective protocol. There was no commercial support for these trials.

# TREATMENT PROCEDURES, STUDY OBJECTIVES, AND STUDY OUTCOMES

In step 1 of the A5340 trial, 14 participants received up to three doses of VRC01 (40 mg per kilogram of body weight administered intravenously) at 3-week intervals (Fig. 1). One week after the first dose of VRC01, participants discontinued ART and were followed at weekly intervals until they had a confirmed CD4 T-cell count of less than 350 cells per cubic millimeter or a return of HIV viremia, which was defined as an HIV RNA level of 200 copies or more per milliliter followed by a confirmation level of 1000 copies or more per milliliter or three consecutive measurements of 200 copies or more per milliliter. On confirmation of viral rebound or a decrease in CD4 T cells, participants entered step 2, at which point ART was reinitiated and participants were followed until the HIV viral load was less than 50 copies per milliliter.

The primary objectives of the A5340 trial were to assess the safety and side-effect profile of multiple doses of VRC01 administered to persons with plasma viremia suppressed to below detectable levels and to estimate the proportion of participants with a return of viremia in the presence of high plasma levels of VRC01 at 8 weeks of analytic treatment interruption. Secondary objectives were the frequency of rebound viremia at 4 weeks and the evaluation of the pharmacokinetic characteristics of the product. Key exploratory objectives were the frequency of development of antibodies against VRC01 and the genetic and phenotypic characterization of the rebound virus. We calculated that 13 participants with data that could be evaluated would be required to provide this trial with 95% power to detect a difference of

Page 4

40 percentage points (i.e., a return of viremia by 8 weeks in 50% of trial participants vs. 90% of historical controls)<sup>14</sup> at a two-sided alpha level of 0.10.

In the NIH trial, 10 participants received infusions of VRC01 (at a dose of 40 mg per kilogram) intravenously 3 days before and 14 and 28 days after the discontinuation of ART and monthly thereafter (Fig. 1). Plasma viremia and CD4 T-cell counts were measured at baseline (day -3) and subsequently (Fig. 1). Participants who met any of the following criteria discontinued VRC01 infusions and resumed ART: a decrease of more than 30% in the baseline CD4 T-cell count or an absolute CD4 T-cell count below 350 cells per cubic millimeter, a sustained ( $\geq$ 2 weeks) HIV plasma viremia greater than 1000 copies per milliliter, any HIV-related symptoms, or pregnancy.

The primary end point of the NIH trial was safety, as defined according to the rate of occurrence of grade 3 or higher adverse events, including serious adverse events, that were possibly related to infusion of VRC01. The secondary end point was virologic efficacy, as defined according to the number of participants who met protocol-defined, virologic, immunologic, or clinical criteria to discontinue VRC01 infusions and restart ART. Post hoc analyses of the sequence diversity at the time of plasma viral rebound and the neutralization capacity of VRC01 and other bNAbs against autologous HIV before and after antibody infusions were performed.

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of the time to the first confirmed HIV RNA level of 200 copies or more per milliliter during the analytic treatment interruption was performed in both trials (post hoc in the NIH trial). Measurements of HIV RNA levels that were taken closest to each scheduled week were obtained, and the cumulative probability of continued virologic suppression (i.e., no confirmed HIV RNA level ≥200 copies per milliliter) was calculated by means of Kaplan–Meier methods.

In both trials, a two-sided Fisher's exact test was used to compare the percentage of trial participants with viral rebound at 4 and 8 weeks (post hoc in the NIH trial) with the percentage of historical controls with viral rebound in previous treatment-interruption trials conducted by the ACTG.<sup>14</sup> In the A5340 trial, the proportion of participants with adverse events was estimated with an exact 95% confidence interval. The proportion of participants who had return of viremia at 8 weeks of analytic treatment interruption and who had data that could be evaluated was estimated with a prespecified exact 90% confidence interval. Details of the historical control group, participant monitoring, and laboratory and statistical methods are outlined in the Supplementary Methods section in the Supplementary Appendix.

# RESULTS

# STUDY PARTICIPANTS

The A5340 trial enrolled 14 participants, all of whom were male, with a median CD4 T-cell count at enrollment of 896 cells per cubic millimeter (interquartile range, 579 to 1053) and a median duration from the initiation of ART to study entry of 4.7 years (interquartile range,

13386 Page 5

3.8 to 6.0). One participant was excluded from the analyses of time to viral rebound because he discontinued ART before the administration of VRC01.

The NIH trial enrolled 10 participants (8 men and 2 women) with a median CD4 T-cell count of 724 cells per cubic millimeter (interquartile range, 630 to 926) (Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Appendix) and a median duration from the initiation of ART to study entry of 10.0 years (interquartile range, 7.7 to 13.3). In the NIH participants, the median frequency of CD4 T cells carrying HIV proviral DNA was 881 copies per 10<sup>6</sup> cells (range, 154 to 2079) (Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Appendix). Table 1 lists the baseline characteristics of the participants in both trials and the historical controls.

# SAFETY

All participants completed VRC01 infusions per protocol. One serious adverse event occurred in a participant who required a brief hospital admission to recover from conscious sedation following upper gastrointestinal endoscopy to evaluate a history of possible hematemesis after alcohol ingestion. In the A5340 trial, 14 participants received from 1 to 3 infusions of VRC01, and none had a grade 3 or higher adverse event or a grade 2 VRC01-related adverse event (0%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0 to 23). In the NIH trial, participants received 2 to 6 infusions (median, 3.5) of VRC01, and no adverse events occurred during the infusion or immediate postinfusion period. Complete data on adverse events are provided in the Supplementary Appendix. These safety results are consistent with those of much larger and ongoing studies of the same product.

In 24 participants, ART was reinitiated on confirmation of viral rebound and their plasma viremia was suppressed again. In the A5340 trial, participants had not received ART for a median of 6 weeks (range, 3 to 13). The median time from the first detectable HIV RNA level of 200 copies per milliliter or more to the first suppressed HIV level of less than 200 copies per milliliter after ART reinitiation was 6 weeks (range, 3 to 14). In the NIH trial, participants did not receive ART for a median of 8 weeks (range, 3 to 17). The median time from the first detectable HIV RNA level of 200 copies or more per milliliter to the first suppressed HIV level of less than 200 copies or more per milliliter to the first suppressed HIV level of less than 200 copies per milliliter after ART reinitiation was 11 weeks (range, 4 to 20). No participant had a confirmed decrease in the CD4 T-cell count below 350 cells per milliliter; 1 participant had a decrease of more than 30% from the baseline CD4 T-cell count; this led to the reinitiation of ART per protocol.

# TIME TO VIRAL REBOUND

In both trials, the administration of VRC01 did not produce durable suppression of plasma viremia. In the A5340 trial, 12 of 13 participants with data that could be evaluated had viral rebound of more than 200 copies per milliliter at or before week 8 (92%; 90% CI, 68 to 100), with a median time to rebound of 4 weeks (interquartile range, 3 to 5) (Fig. 2A, and Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Appendix). This is a small delay in viral rebound as compared with the delay in historical controls from previous ACTG studies; 38% of the participants versus 13% of the controls had viral suppression at week 4 (P = 0.04 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test) and 8% and 3%, respectively, had viral suppression at week 8 (P = 0.44 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test) (Fig. 2C). One participant (Participant A07) in the A5340 trial had

prolonged viral suppression, and detectable plasma viremia developed at week 11 of the analytic treatment interruption when plasma VRC01 levels had waned significantly (plasma VRC01 concentration, 25 µg per milliliter) (Fig. 2A, and Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Appendix). One participant (Participant A11) was excluded from the evaluation of time to viral rebound, since he had detectable plasma viremia at the time of VRC01 infusion (Fig.

Page 6

In the NIH trial, viral rebound to more than 40 copies per milliliter occurred during VRC01 treatment in all 10 participants, with a median time to rebound of 39 days (interquartile range, 29 to 39) or 5.6 weeks (interquartile range, 4.1 to 5.6) (Fig. 2B, and Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Appendix). However, as compared with the time to plasma viral rebound (HIV RNA level, ≥200 copies per milliliter) in historical controls, VRC01 infusion led to a longer time to rebound (≥200 copies per milliliter), and 80% of the participants versus 13% of the controls had viral suppression at week 4 (P<0.001 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test) and 10% and 3%, respectively, had viral suppression at week 8 (P = 0.37 by a two-sided Fisher's exact test) (Fig. 2C). Nine of 10 study participants resumed ART because of virologic failure; ART was reinitiated in the remaining participant (Participant N03) because of a significant decrease in the CD4 T-cell count (>30%) (Figs. S1 and S5 in the Supplementary Appendix) associated with low-level plasma viremia (HIV RNA level, 471 copies per milliliter). One participant (Participant N04) self-administered antiretroviral drugs for 3 days off protocol after the first ART interruption; self-administration of antiretroviral drugs may have contributed to a brief period of aviremia (Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Appendix). However, his plasma viremia rebounded shortly after the second ART interruption.

## **VRC01 PHARMACOKINETIC CHARACTERISTICS**

S3 in the Supplementary Appendix).

Plasma levels of VRC01 that were achieved by passive infusion were similar to levels reported in previous trials.<sup>23,24</sup> In the A5340 trial, participants received 40 mg of VRC01 per kilogram every 3 weeks for three doses and maintained measured plasma VRC01 levels well above 50  $\mu$ g per milliliter for 8 weeks (median, 175  $\mu$ g per milliliter; range, 68 to 1494) (Fig. S6 in the Supplementary Appendix).

In the NIH trial, participants received 40 mg of VRC01 per kilogram every 2 weeks for the first three doses, then monthly for up to 6 months. NIH trial participants maintained levels of VRC01 in plasma above 100  $\mu$ g per milliliter at almost all time points throughout the trial (Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Appendix).

Measured values of plasma VRC01 at the time of rebound were greater than 50 µg per milliliter in all trial participants, except for Participant A07 in the A5340 trial, who had delayed rebound at week 11 of analytic treatment interruption with VRC01 levels of approximately 25 µg per milliliter (Fig. 2D). No anti-VRC01 antibodies were identified in any trial participants.

### SEQUENCE EVIDENCE OF VRC01-MEDIATED VIRUS RESTRICTION

Different strategies were applied in each trial to elucidate the mechanisms leading to early viral rebound. To characterize rebounding viral populations in the A5340 trial, single-

genome sequencing<sup>25</sup> of plasma HIV *env* genes from pre-ART plasma samples (available in 8 participants) and rebound plasma samples from the first and second weeks of detectable viremia (available in 13 participants) was performed. When analyzed together in a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree, the pre-ART and rebound sequences of the 13 participants with data that could be evaluated clustered independently, indicating the relatedness of pre-ART and rebound viruses (Fig. S7 in the Supplementary Appendix).

Page 7

Three independent studies have recently shown that without any additional intervention besides ART, viral rebound after analytic treatment interruption is consistently polyclonal because of the reactivation of multiple latent viruses.<sup>26–28</sup> Genetic evidence of VRC01-mediated restriction of viral rebound was assessed by analyzing the clonality of rebound virus or by enumerating genetically distinct virus populations that composed rebound viremia. In 3 of 12 participants (25%) in the A5340 trial who had viral rebound in the presence of high concentrations of VRC01, sequence evidence suggested VRC01-mediated restriction of viral rebound. As shown in Participant A04 in Figure 3A and Participants A02 and A12 in Figure S8 in the Supplementary Appendix, pre-ART plasma virus from persons with chronic infection formed characteristic diverse trees,<sup>29</sup> whereas rebound virus clustered into single low-diversity lineages of nearly identical sequences (Figs. S9 and S10 in the Supplementary Appendix).

The remaining 9 of 12 participants (75%) had polyclonal rebound akin to what is reported in historical analytic treatment interruption without intervention,<sup>26,27</sup> suggesting possible preexisting resistance. As shown in Figure 3B, rebound virus in Participant A05 clustered into multiple genetically distinct rebound lineages that align throughout the pre-ART virus phylogeny, whereas multiple rebound lineages in Participant A03 clustered unevenly within the pre-ART virus population (Fig. 3C). The rebound sequences in Participant A07 in the A5340 trial (Fig. 3D), who had virus suppression maintained until week 11 of analytic treatment interruption and had rebound with lower VRC01 concentrations, formed two closely related lineages.

Evidence of selective pressure exerted by VRC01 was also explored at the molecular level in the NIH trial by amplifying *env* genes by means of single-genome sequencing from pre-ART (a median of 1.8 months before the initiation of ART) and rebound plasma samples. As in the A5340 trial, the majority of NIH trial participants had phylogenetic evidence of multiple viral lineages in rebound plasma virus (Fig. S11 in the Supplementary Appendix). Specific amino acid changes within the VRC01 antibody-binding site were examined with the use of a neutralization-based epitope prediction algorithm.<sup>30</sup> In four of the six NIH trial participants, changes were identified in or near the VRC01 epitope, mainly in the V5 loop and the CD4-binding loop (Fig. S12 in the Supplementary Appendix); this outcome suggested VRC01-mediated selective pressure on rebounding virus. Similar patterns were seen in the VRC01-binding site in participants in the A5340 trial (Fig. S10 in the Supplementary Appendix).

# SELECTION FOR VRC01-RESISTANT REBOUNDING VIRUSES

The role of resistance to VRC01 at viral rebound in the presence of high VRC01 concentrations in the A5340 trial was assessed by cloning selected *env* genes from 46 quasi-
species and lineages collected throughout the pre-ART and rebound periods of the trial (median, 3 pre-ART and 3 rebound *env* genes per participant). These *env* genes were cloned, expressed as pseudoviruses, and tested for sensitivity to neutralization by VRC01. Notably, nearly all participants who had viral rebound early with high concentrations of plasma VRC01 had rebound Env pseudoviruses with IC<sub>50</sub> neutralization titers higher than 1  $\mu$ g per milliliter (median, 4.1  $\mu$ g per milliliter; range, 1.9 to >50.0), conferring what is generally perceived to be at least moderate resistance to VRC01.<sup>5,31,32</sup> Only Participants A02 and A07, who had rebound at week 8 and 11 after analytic treatment interruption, respectively, had rebound viruses with IC<sub>50</sub> neutralization titers below 1  $\mu$ g per milliliter (Fig. 3E).

Page 8

Similarly, all participants who had viral rebound early had preexisting resistant virus as either dominant or minor populations in the pre-ART virus, as shown in the phylogenetic trees of the four participants in Figure 3A through 3D. The prevalence of preexisting resistance predicted the pattern of rebound. In participants with VRC01-resistant virus in multiple pre-ART variants (e.g., Participants A05, A06, and A09) (Fig. 3, and Fig. S8 in the Supplementary Appendix), VRC01 therapy was followed by rapid, polyclonal rebound with highly resistant virus. In participants in whom there was a range of neutralization sensitivities in the baseline virus (e.g., Participants A03 and A04 [Fig. 3] and Participant A12 [Fig. S8 in the Supplementary Appendix]), VRC01 therapy led to monoclonal or compartmentalized rebound after variable durations of suppression (range, 2 to 6 weeks). Finally, Participants A02 and A07, who had highly sensitive virus throughout their tested pre-ART populations, had suppression maintained for 7 and 10 weeks, respectively, and had rebound with relatively sensitive monoclonal or oligoclonal virus.

Regardless of the time to rebound, resistance to VRC01 increased almost universally in participants in the A5340 trial during treatment with VRC01. In an exploratory analysis, pre-ART and rebound Env pseudoviruses were compared in the eight participants in whom both samples were available. This analysis showed significantly increased VRC01 resistance at rebound by  $IC_{50}$  (mean increase by a factor of 3.44, P = 0.006 by a two-sided random-effects model) and  $IC_{80}$  (mean increase by a factor of 3.79, P = 0.004 by a two-sided random-effects model) (Fig. 3E and 3F, and Fig. S13 in the Supplementary Appendix).

#### NEUTRALIZATION CAPACITY OF VRC01 AND OTHER BNABS AGAINST AUTOLOGOUS HIV BEFORE AND AFTER ANTIBODY INFUSIONS

In the NIH trial, the role of resistance to VRC01 and other bNAbs was explored by testing fully replication-competent autologous HIV isolates recovered from the CD4 T cells of trial participants before and after antibody infusions. Multiple viral isolates (182 total) were generated from peripheral-blood mononuclear cells obtained from trial participants before infusions of VRC01 (eight participants, 75 isolates) and after infusions of VRC01 (nine participants, 107 isolates) and the discontinuation of ART. The susceptibility of the infectious isolates to neutralization by VRC01 and other bNAbs (3BNC117, 10–1074, and PGT121), and anti-CD4 antibody (UB-421) was then measured with the latter antibodies serving as controls, both for comparison with antibodies currently being tested in monotherapy trials as well as for their possible inclusion in future combination antibody trials. As shown in Figure 4A, the capacity of VRC01 to neutralize the preinfusion viral

Page 9

isolates was significantly lower than that of 3BNC117 (P = 0.008), 10–1074 (P = 0.03), and UB-421 (P = 0.008); this finding strongly suggests that the preexisting viral reservoir of the majority of NIH trial participants harbored VRC01-resistant HIV.

Next, the capacity of VRC01 to suppress the preinfusion and postinfusion autologous virus was evaluated in the seven participants from whom infectious isolates could be recovered at both time points (Fig. 4B, and Figs. S14 and S15 in the Supplementary Appendix). Notably, virus sensitivity to VRC01 diminished significantly after multiple infusions of VRC01 during analytic treatment interruption in several participants. Participant-to-participant variability prevented us from concluding that the observed decrease in sensitivity was universal (P = 0.08). Nonetheless, in Participants N03, N04, N08, and N10, there was strong evidence of the emergence of HIV isolates that were less sensitive to VRC01, highly resistant to VRC01, or both (Fig. 4B). It is noteworthy that the preinfusion isolates obtained from Participant N09 were already highly resistant to VRC01 and remained resistant after infusion. In contrast, there were no detected changes in susceptibility of pre-ART versus rebound viral isolates to neutralization by 3BNC117, 10-1074, PGT121, and UB-421 (Figs. S14 and S15 in the Supplementary Appendix). The rebound VRC01-resistant isolates from two participants (Participants N04 and N08) were also resistant to the CD4-binding site bNAb 3BNC117 (Figs. S14 and S15 in the Supplementary Appendix). Collectively, the analyses of viral isolates in the NIH trial corroborate the sequence-based analysis observed in the A5340 trial and show selection for preexisting VRC01-resistant virus and the capacity for VRC01 to further drive resistance during analytic treatment interruption.

#### DISCUSSION

In two similarly designed clinical trials, we found that the passive administration of multiple doses of VRC01 monotherapy generated high plasma VRC01 concentrations, and no safety concerns were identified. In persons with chronic HIV infection who were undergoing analytic treatment interruption, as compared with historical controls, VRC01 therapy slightly delayed plasma viral rebound; however, viral suppression beyond 8 weeks was not achieved. Sequence-based and neutralization analyses suggest that VRC01 can restrict the clonality of rebounding virus in some participants, select for preexisting resistance, and drive the emergence of VRC01-resistant virus. Baseline resistance to VRC01 was common in both trials, suggesting that persons with chronic infection may frequently harbor archived resistant virus to this antibody.

Our results suggest that the prevalence of clinically significant archived resistance to VRC01 may present a considerable challenge in the use of bNAbs as therapeutic agents for HIV infection. Preexisting resistance to bNAbs is biologically plausible, since before the initiation of ART, persons with chronic HIV infection have extensive exposure to a polyclonal autologous B-cell response that results in archived escape variants to many antibody specificities, including those of bNAb target epitopes.<sup>33–36</sup> Indeed, a previous study tested replication-competent viral isolates derived from the latent viral reservoir and showed resistance to VRC01 in a substantial proportion of persons in an autologous culture system.<sup>17</sup> In participants with only sensitive pre-ART virus (tested as infectious isolates or envelope-pseudo-typed virus) who had rebound with VRC01-resistant virus, it is unclear

Page 10

whether this rebound indicates selection for low-frequency resistant viruses that were not sampled or the emergence of new VRC01 resistance. The development of methods to characterize the phenotypic characteristics (e.g., neutralization sensitivity) of the persistent replication-competent viral reservoir will be needed to distinguish between these different mechanisms of failure of HIV-specific bNAbs.

Although extensive preexisting resistance limited the efficacy of VRC01 in both trials, it is notable that in a previous study of viral isolates<sup>17</sup> and the present NIH clinical trial, other tested bNAbs appeared to have less prevalent archived resistance (Fig. 4A). The efficacy of any given bNAbs in persons with chronic HIV infection will be dependent in part on whether these persons have resistant virus to that bNAb, even at very low frequencies, in persistent viral reservoirs. Future clinical trials may consider prescreening for resistance, although this is a complex task.

The emergence of VRC01-resistant HIV after infusions of VRC01 and discontinuation of ART was observed in both trials. However, a fraction of infectious HIV isolates in some trial participants remained sensitive to VRC01 despite viral rebound in the presence of high levels of VRC01 in plasma. This could be explained by a possible artifact of culture whereby isolates from the persistent viral reservoir<sup>37–39</sup> were induced by the ex vivo conditions needed to stimulate cells into producing replication-competent viral isolates, but they may not have been actively replicating after discontinuation of ART. In the A5340 trial, virus that rebounded early in the presence of high concentrations of VRC01 was almost universally resistant to VRC01. Only two participants (including Participant A07, who had viral rebound after plasma VRC01 concentrations had waned substantially) had VRC01-sensitive envelope glycoproteins.

Our findings highlight an important consideration for the design of future clinical trials of passive immunotherapy in HIV-infected persons. During the early years of development of antiretroviral drugs for HIV infection, the nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor zidovudine used as a single agent resulted in a decrease of approximately 0.5 log copies per milliliter in plasma viremia that almost invariably rebounded<sup>40</sup> with zidovudine-resistant mutants.<sup>41</sup> The advent of additional antiretroviral drugs directed at different viral targets and used in combinations led to more potent viral suppression for longer durations of time.<sup>42,43</sup> Analogous to current regimens of highly successful combination ART that targets multiple HIV gene products,<sup>44</sup> our data suggest that immunotherapy will probably require multiple bNAbs that target different sites on the HIV envelope glycoprotein.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Acknowledgments

Supported by an award from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (U01AI068636); grants from the Penn Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI045008), the Penn Clinical Trials Unit (AI069534), the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI027767), the UAB Clinical Trials Unit (AI069452), the AIDS Clinical Trials Group Statistical and Data Analysis Center (UM1 AI068634), the NIAID (1-R21-AI118431, for A5340 viral analyses); and a Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award

### Page 11

(F30 AI112426, to Dr. Kreider). The National Institutes of Health (NIH) study was funded by the Intramural Research Program of the NIAID, NIH.

We thank Kathleen Gittens, Olivia Fankuchen, Jennifer Bell, Christian Geisler, and the NIAID HIV Outpatient Clinic staff for their assistance in the execution of the NIH trial, the NIH AIDS Reagent Program for providing HIV-specific mononuclear antibodies, Dr. Sarah Read for helpful discussion, and the study volunteers of both trials for their participation.

#### References

- 1. Marasco WA, Sui J. The growth and potential of human antiviral monoclonal antibody therapeutics. Nat Biotechnol. 2007; 25:1421-34. [PubMed: 18066039]
- 2. Brekke OH, Sandlie I. Therapeutic antibodies for human diseases at the dawn of the twenty-first century. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2003; 2:52-62. [PubMed: 12509759]
- 3. Burton DR, Mascola JR. Antibody responses to envelope glycoproteins in HIV-1 infection. Nat Immunol. 2015; 16:571-6. [PubMed: 25988889]
- 4. Halper-Stromberg A, Nussenzweig MC. Towards HIV-1 remission: potential roles for broadly neutralizing antibodies. J Clin Invest. 2016; 126:415-23. [PubMed: 26752643]
- 5. Wu X, Yang ZY, Li Y, et al. Rational design of envelope identifies broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies to HIV-1. Science. 2010; 329:856-61. [PubMed: 20616233]
- 6. Ko SY, Pegu A, Rudicell RS, et al. Enhanced neonatal Fc receptor function improves protection against primate SHIV infection. Nature. 2014; 514:642-5. [PubMed: 25119033]
- 7. Pegu A, Yang ZY, Boyington JC, et al. Neutralizing antibodies to HIV-1 envelope protect more effectively in vivo than those to the CD4 receptor. Sci Transl Med. 2014; 6:243ra88.
- 8. Mascola JR, Stiegler G, VanCott TC, et al. Protection of macaques against vaginal transmission of a pathogenic HIV-1/SIV chimeric virus by passive infusion of neutralizing antibodies. Nat Med. 2000; 6:207–10. [PubMed: 10655111]
- 9. Gautam R, Nishimura Y, Pegu A, et al. A single injection of anti-HIV-1 antibodies protects against repeated SHIV challenges. Nature. 2016; 533:105-9. [PubMed: 27120156]
- 10. Chun TW, Moir S, Fauci AS. HIV reservoirs as obstacles and opportunities for an HIV cure. Nat Immunol. 2015; 16:584-9. [PubMed: 25990814]
- 11. Davey RT Jr, Bhat N, Yoder C, et al. HIV-1 and T cell dynamics after interruption of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in patients with a history of sustained viral suppression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999; 96:15109-14. [PubMed: 10611346]
- 12. Chun TW, Davey RT Jr, Engel D, Lane HC, Fauci AS. Re-emergence of HIV after stopping therapy. Nature. 1999; 401:874-5. [PubMed: 10553903]
- 13. The Strategies for Management of Anti retroviral Therapy (SMART) Study Group. CD4+ countguided interruption of antiretroviral treatment. N Engl J Med. 2006; 355:2283-96. [PubMed: 17135583]
- 14. Li JZ, Etemad B, Ahmed H, et al. The size of the expressed HIV reservoir predicts timing of viral rebound after treatment interruption. AIDS. 2016; 30:343-53. [PubMed: 26588174]
- 15. Balazs AB, Chen J, Hong CM, Rao DS, Yang L, Baltimore D. Antibody-based protection against HIV infection by vectored immunoprophylaxis. Nature. 2012; 481:81-4.
- 16. Johnson PR, Schnepp BC, Zhang J, et al. Vector-mediated gene transfer engenders long-lived neutralizing activity and protection against SIV infection in monkeys. Nat Med. 2009; 15:901-6. [PubMed: 19448633]
- 17. Chun TW, Murray D, Justement JS, et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies suppress HIV in the persistent viral reservoir. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014; 111:13151-6. [PubMed: 25157148]
- 18. Barouch DH, Whitney JB, Moldt B, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of potent neutralizing HIV-1specific monoclonal antibodies in SHIV-infected rhesus monkeys. Nature. 2013; 503:224-8. [PubMed: 24172905]
- 19. Schoofs T, Klein F, Braunschweig M, et al. HIV-1 therapy with monoclonal antibody 3BNC117 elicits host immune responses against HIV-1. Science. 2016; 352:997-1001. [PubMed: 27199429]

Author Manuscript

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 205 of 213 PageID# 13393

Bar et al.

- Shingai M, Nishimura Y, Klein F, et al. Antibody-mediated immunotherapy of macaques chronically infected with SHIV suppresses viraemia. Nature. 2013; 503:277–80. [PubMed: 24172896]
- 21. Klein F, Halper-Stromberg A, Horwitz JA, et al. HIV therapy by a combination of broadly neutralizing antibodies in humanized mice. Nature. 2012; 492:118–22. [PubMed: 23103874]
- Caskey M, Klein F, Lorenzi JC, et al. Viraemia suppressed in HIV-1-infected humans by broadly neutralizing antibody 3BNC117. Nature. 2015; 522:487–91. [PubMed: 25855300]
- Ledgerwood JE, Coates EE, Yamshchikov G, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics and neutralization of the broadly neutralizing HIV-1 human monoclonal antibody VRC01 in healthy adults. Clin Exp Immunol. 2015; 182:289–301. [PubMed: 26332605]
- Lynch RM, Boritz E, Coates EE, et al. Virologic effects of broadly neutralizing antibody VRC01 administration during chronic HIV-1 infection. Sci Transl Med. 2015; 7:319ra206.
- Keele BF, Giorgi EE, Salazar-Gonzalez JF, et al. Identification and characterization of transmitted and early founder virus envelopes in primary HIV-1 infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008; 105:7552–7. [PubMed: 18490657]
- 26. Rothenberger MK, Keele BF, Wietgrefe SW, et al. Large number of rebounding/founder HIV variants emerge from multifocal infection in lymphatic tissues after treatment interruption. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015; 112(10):E1126–34. [PubMed: 25713386]
- Kearney MF, Wiegand A, Shao W, et al. Origin of rebound plasma HIV includes cells with identical proviruses that are transcriptionally active before stopping of antiretroviral therapy. J Virol. 2015; 90:1369–76. [PubMed: 26581989]
- Bednar MM, Hauser BM, Zhou S, et al. Diversity and tropism of HIV-1 rebound virus populations in plasma level after treatment discontinuation. J Infect Dis. 2016; 214:403–7. [PubMed: 27132284]
- Parrish NF, Gao F, Li H, et al. Phenotypic properties of transmitted founder HIV-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013; 110:6626–33. [PubMed: 23542380]
- Zhou T, Georgiev I, Wu X, et al. Structural basis for broad and potent neutralization of HIV-1 by antibody VRC01. Science. 2010; 329:811–7. [PubMed: 20616231]
- Kong R, Louder MK, Wagh K, et al. Improving neutralization potency and breadth by combining broadly reactive HIV-1 antibodies targeting major neutralization epitopes. J Virol. 2015; 89:2659– 71. [PubMed: 25520506]
- 32. Bouvin-Pley M, Morgand M, Meyer L, et al. Drift of the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein gp120 toward increased neutralization resistance over the course of the epidemic: a comprehensive study using the most potent and broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies. J Virol. 2014; 88:13910–7. [PubMed: 25231299]
- Binley JM, Lybarger EA, Crooks ET, et al. Profiling the specificity of neutralizing antibodies in a large panel of plasmas from patients chronically infected with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 subtypes B and C. J Virol. 2008; 82:11651–68. [PubMed: 18815292]
- 34. Lynch RM, Tran L, Louder MK, et al. The development of CD4 binding site antibodies during HIV-1 infection. J Virol. 2012; 86:7588–95. [PubMed: 22573869]
- Gray ES, Madiga MC, Moore PL, et al. Broad neutralization of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 mediated by plasma antibodies against the gp41 membrane proximal external region. J Virol. 2009; 83:11265–74. [PubMed: 19692477]
- 36. Bar KJ, Tsao CY, Iyer SS, et al. Early low-titer neutralizing antibodies impede HIV-1 replication and select for virus escape. PLoS Pathog. 2012; 8(5):e1002721. [PubMed: 22693447]
- Chun TW, Stuyver L, Mizell SB, et al. Presence of an inducible HIV-1 latent reservoir during highly active antiretroviral therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1997; 94:13193–7. [PubMed: 9371822]
- Finzi D, Blankson J, Siliciano JD, et al. Latent infection of CD4+ T cells provides a mechanism for lifelong persistence of HIV-1, even in patients on effective combination therapy. Nat Med. 1999; 5:512–7. [PubMed: 10229227]
- Wong JK, Hezareh M, Günthard HF, et al. Recovery of replication-competent HIV despite prolonged suppression of plasma viremia. Science. 1997; 278:1291–5. [PubMed: 9360926]

- Fischl MA, Richman DD, Grieco MH, et al. The efficacy of azidothymidine (AZT) in the treatment of patients with AIDS and AIDS-related complex. N Engl J Med. 1987; 317:185–91. [PubMed: 3299089]
- Larder BA, Darby G, Richman DD. HIV with reduced sensitivity to zidovudine (AZT) isolated during prolonged therapy. Science. 1989; 243:1731–4. [PubMed: 2467383]
- Hammer SM, Squires KE, Hughes MD, et al. A controlled trial of two nucleoside analogues plus indinavir in persons with human immunodeficiency virus infection and CD4 cell counts of 200 per cubic millimeter or less. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:725–33. [PubMed: 9287227]
- Gulick RM, Mellors JW, Havlir D, et al. Treatment with indinavir, zidovudine, and lamivudine in adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection and prior antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 1997; 337:734–9. [PubMed: 9287228]
- 44. Delaney M. The development of combination therapies for HIV infection. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2010; 26:501–9. [PubMed: 20455763]

#### Appendix

The authors' full names and academic degrees are as follows: Katharine J. Bar, M.D., Michael C. Sneller, M.D., Linda J. Harrison, M.Sc., J. Shawn Justement, B.S., Edgar T. Overton, M.D., Mary E. Petrone, B.S., D. Brenda Salantes, B.S., Catherine A. Seamon, R.N., Benjamin Scheinfeld, B.A., Richard W. Kwan, P.A.-C., Gerald H. Learn, Ph.D., Michael A. Proschan, Ph.D., Edward F. Kreider, M.S., Jana Blazkova, Ph.D., Mark Bardsley, B.S.N., Eric W. Refsland, Ph.D., Michael Messer, R.N., Katherine E. Clarridge, M.D., Nancy B. Tustin, B.S., Patrick J. Madden, B.S., KaSaundra Oden, Ph.D., Sijy J. O'Dell, M.Sc., Bernadette Jarocki, B.S., Andrea R. Shiakolas, B.A., Randall L. Tressler, M.D., Nicole A. Doria-Rose, Ph.D., Robert T. Bailer, Ph.D., Julie E. Ledgerwood, D.O., Edmund V. Capparelli, Pharm.D., Rebecca M. Lynch, Ph.D., Barney S. Graham, M.D., Ph.D., Susan Moir, Ph.D., Richard A. Koup, M.D., John R. Mascola, M.D., James A. Hoxie, M.D., Anthony S. Fauci, M.D., Pablo Tebas, M.D., and Tae-Wook Chun, Ph.D.

From the University of Pennsylvania (K.J.B., D.B.S., B.S., G.H.L., E.F.K., M.B., J.A.H., P.T.) and Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (N.B.T.) — both in Philadelphia; the Laboratory of Immunoregulation (M.C.S., J.S.J., M.E.P., J.B., E.W.R., K.E.C., S.M., A.S.F., T.-W.C.), Biostatistics Research Branch (M.A.P.), Vaccine Research Center (P.J.M., S.J.O., A.R.S., N.A.D.-R., R.T.B., J.E.L., R.M.L., B.S.G., R.A.K., J.R.M.), and Division of AIDS (R.L.T.), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the Critical Care Medicine Department, Clinical Center, NIH (C.A.S., R.W.K.), Bethesda, the AIDS Clinical Trials Group, Silver Spring (K.O.), and Columbus Technologies and Services, Greenbelt (R.L.T.) — all in Maryland; Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston (L.J.H.); the University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham (E.T.O., M.M.); Frontier Science and Technology Research Foundation, Amherst, NY (B.J.); and the University of California, San Diego, La Jolla (E.V.C.).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



#### **Figure 1. Trial Designs**

As shown in Panel A, the A5340 trial had two steps. In step 1, participants received an intravenous infusion of VRC01 (at a dose of 40 mg per kilogram of body weight) (triangles) 1 week before and 2 and 5 weeks after discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy (ART). ART was discontinued 1 week after the first VRC01 infusion. The treatment interruption was open-ended, and participants were monitored weekly until viral rebound. On confirmed plasma viral rebound, participants entered step 2 and ART was reinitiated. Participants were then followed until plasma viremia decreased below 50 copies per milliliter. HIV *env* sequencing (gray circles) was performed with the use of plasma samples obtained before the initiation of ART and after viral rebound. As shown in Panel B, in the National Institutes of Health (NIH) trial, participants received infusions of VRC01 (at a dose of 40 kg per kilogram) (triangles) 3 days before and 14 to 28 days after discontinuation of ART, and monthly thereafter. Infectious viral isolates (orange circles) were generated from samples obtained before VRC01 infusion and after plasma viral rebound. HIV *env* sequencing (gray circles) was performed with the use of plasma viral rebound of ART, and monthly thereafter. Infectious viral isolates (orange circles) were generated from samples obtained before VRC01 infusion and after plasma viral rebound. HIV *env* sequencing (gray circles) was performed with the use of plasma samples obtained before the initiation of ART and after viral rebound.



### Figure 2. Plasma Viremia and Levels of VRC01 in Trial Participants after Discontinuation of ART

Panel A shows the plasma viremia of participants in the A5340 trial after the interruption of therapy. The gray dotted line indicates the limit of detection of the assay (HIV RNA level, 20 copies per milliliter). Panel B shows the plasma viremia of NIH trial participants after interruption of therapy. The gray dotted line indicates the limit of detection of the assay (HIV RNA level, 40 copies per milliliter). Panel C shows the Kaplan–Meier curve of plasma viral suppression (<200 copies per milliliter) after VRC01 administration and analytic treatment interruption in A5340 and NIH trial participants as compared with historical participants in AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) trials who underwent interruption of therapy without other immunotherapeutic interventions. Panel D shows in vivo plasma levels of VRC01 at the first detectable plasma viremia. The limit of detection of VRC01 was less than 0.98 µg per milliliter.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 209 of 213 PageID# 13397

Bar et al.



#### Figure 3. Rebound Virus Clonality and Resistance to VRC01

Panels A through D show maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of single-genome sequencing–derived *env* sequences from pre-ART and rebound plasma virus and neutralization titers to VRC01 from four participants. Participants A04, A05, and A03 had early viral rebound despite high levels of VRC01; Participant A07 had delayed rebound with lower plasma VRC01 levels. Black rectangles indicate pre-ART plasma *env* sequences, and red and orange rectangles indicate the *env* sequences from the first and second weeks of detectable viremia. The scale bar indicates genetic distance. Fifty percent inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>50</sub>) neutralization titers are shown to the side of each tree aligned to the

specific envelope glycoprotein that was cloned and tested for phenotypic features. Asterisks indicate bootstrap support of greater than 80%. As shown in Panel A, Participant A04 had monoclonal rebound with VRC01-resistant virus. As shown in Panel B, Participant A05 had polyclonal rebound with VRC01-resistant virus. As shown in Panel C, Participant A03 had polyclonal rebound with VRC01-resistant virus. Multiple rebound lineages arise clustered within one area of the phylogeny. Sequences from Participant A03 were tested for clustering; Slatkin-Maddison and Hudson's "nearest neighbor" tests support sequence compartmentalization (P<0.001 and P = 0.004, respectively). As shown in Panel D, Participant A07 had polyclonal rebound of VRC01-sensitive virus. As shown in Panels E and F, pre-ART and rebound Env pseudotyped virus from the eight participants with available samples were compared for changes in neutralization sensitivity by IC50 (truncated at 25 µg per milliliter) (Panel E) and 80% inhibitory concentration (IC<sub>80</sub>) (truncated at 50 µg per milliliter) (Panel F) with the use of multilevel random-effects models (random intercept and slope) to account for multiple clones per participant at each time point. A two-sided P value for the estimated difference in pre-ART and rebound resistance was calculated. Mean titers are shown for pre-ART virus on the left and rebound virus on the right.

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-12 Filed 06/03/20 Page 211 of 213 PageID# 13399

Bar et al.

Page 18



### Figure 4. Characterization of Autologous, Replication-Competent HIV Isolates before and after Infusions of VRC01 and Discontinuation of ART in the NIH Trial

Panel A shows neutralization of preinfusion autologous viral isolates by VRC01 and other monoclonal antibodies. Susceptibility of preinfusion infectious isolates obtained from eight trial participants to neutralization by VRC01 and other broadly neutralizing antibodies (3BNC117, 10–1074, and PGT121) and anti-CD4 antibody (UB-421) is shown. The percent suppression of HIV was calculated with the use of the following formula: (1 - [luciferaseactivity in the presence of test antibody  $\div$  luciferase activity in the presence of control antibody IgG]) × 100. Luciferase activity was expressed in relative light units. Gray horizontal bars indicate mean values. P values were computed with the use of a paired permutation test. Panel B shows neutralization of preinfusion and postinfusion viral isolates by VRC01 in seven trial participants from whom infectious isolates could be recovered at both time points. Gray horizontal bars indicate mean values. The P value for each participant was computed with the use of the Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test.

## Page 19

#### Table 1

Characteristics of the Participants at Baseline.\*

Characteristic	A5340 Trial (N = 14)	NIH Trial (N = 10)	Historical Controls from Previous ACTG Studies (N = 61)
Sex — no. (%)			
Male	14 (100)	8 (80)	53 (87)
Female	0	2 (20)	8 (13)
Age — yr			
Median (IQR)	38 (34-44)	51 (44–56)	44 (40–50)
Range	27–52	33–59	27–73
Race or ethnic group — no. (%) $^{\dagger}$			
White non-Hispanic	6 (43)	6 (60)	41 (67)
Black non-Hispanic	6 (43)	3 (30)	13 (21)
Hispanic, regardless of race	2 (14)	1 (10)	7 (11)
Weight — kg			
Median (IQR)	86 (77–102)	83 (78–89)	NA
Range	60–115	75–100	NA
HIV RNA — copies/no. (%)			
<50 copies/ml	13 (93)	10 (100)	61 (100)
≥50 copies/ml	1 (7)	0	0
CD4 T-cell count — cells/mm <sup>3</sup>			
Median (IQR)	896 (579–1053)	724 (630–926)	852 (686–1048)
Range	470–1586	577–1616	350–1667
Nadir CD4 T-cell count — no. (%)			
<201 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	0	2 (20)	3 (5)
201–500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	12 (86)	3 (30)	39 (64)
>500 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	2 (14)	4 (40)	16 (26)
Unknown	0	1 (10)	3 (5)
Duration from initiation of ART to study entry — yr			
Median (IQR)	4.7 (3.8–6.0)	10.0 (7.7–13.3)	5.6 (4.1–6.7)
Range	2.7–14.5	7.0–17.2	0.7–16.8
Duration of suppression — yr			
Median (IQR)	NA	8.3 (6.8–12.9)	NA
Range	NA	3.0–16.8	NA
ART regimen — no. (%)			
Abacavir-lamivudine-dolutegravir	4 (29)	3 (30)	0
Abacavir-lamivudine-atazanavir	0	1 (10)	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-ritonavir-boosted atazanavir	1 (7)	1 (10)	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-ritonavir-boosted darunavir	3 (21)	0	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-dolutegravir	2 (14)	0	0

Characteristic	A5340 Trial (N = 14)	NIH Trial (N = 10)	Historical Controls from Previous ACTG Studies (N = 61)
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-efavirenz	0	1 (10)	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-elvitegravir-cobicistat	3 (21)	2 (20)	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-raltegravir	1 (7)	0	0
Emtricitabine-tenofovir-rilpivirine	0	2 (20)	0
Zidovudine-lamivudine-nelfinavir	0	0	15 (25)
Zidovudine-lamivudine-indinavir	0	0	10 (16)
Zidovudine-lamivudine-ritonavir-boosted indinavir	0	0	4 (7)
Stavudine-lamivudine-indinavir	0	0	6 (10)
Stavudine-lamivudine-nelfinavir	0	0	5 (8)
Stavudine-didanosine-nelfinavir	0	0	2 (3)
Other protease inhibitor-based regimen	0	0	19 (31)

\* ACTG denotes AIDS Clinical Trials Group, ART antiretroviral therapy, HIV human immunodeficiency virus, IQR interquartile range, NA not available, and NIH National Institutes of Health.

 $\dot{\tau}_{Race or ethnic group was self-reported.}$ 

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-13 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 11 PageID# 13402

# EXHIBIT 37



# NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

*AIDS*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 10.

Published in final edited form as:

AIDS. 2010 November 27; 24(18): 2803–2808. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e328340a239.

# Rebound of plasma viremia following cessation of antiretroviral therapy despite profoundly low levels of HIV reservoir: implications for eradication

Tae-Wook Chun<sup>a</sup>, J. Shawn Justement<sup>a</sup>, Danielle Murray<sup>a</sup>, Claire W. Hallahan<sup>a</sup>, Janine Maenza<sup>b</sup>, Ann C. Collier<sup>b</sup>, Prameet M. Sheth<sup>c</sup>, Rupert Kaul<sup>c</sup>, Mario Ostrowski<sup>c</sup>, Susan Moir<sup>a</sup>, Colin Kovacs<sup>c</sup>, and Anthony S. Fauci<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland <sup>b</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA <sup>c</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

#### Abstract

**Objectives**—Sustained suppression of plasma viremia in HIV-infected individuals is attainable with antiretroviral therapy (ART); however, eradication of virus that would allow discontinuation of ART has been hampered by the persistence of HIV reservoirs. It is of great interest to identify individuals who had received ART for prolonged periods of time with extremely low or undetectable HIV reservoirs and monitor plasma viremia following discontinuation of therapy.

**Methods**—We measured the size of HIV reservoirs in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells of individuals on long-term ART and monitored plasma viremia following cessation of ART in one individual with an exceptionally low viral burden after a decade of therapy.

**Results**—We demonstrated undetectable levels of HIV DNA in the blood of eight of 45 infected individuals on long-term ART. Among those eight individuals, the frequency of cells carrying infectious virus was significantly lower in those who initiated ART during the early versus the chronic phase of infection. One individual with undetectable HIV DNA in both blood and tissue and a profoundly low level of infectious virus experienced plasma viral rebound 50 days following discontinuation of ART.

**Conclusions**—Our data suggest that a significant reduction in the size of viral reservoirs may be achievable in selected individuals who initiate standard ART early in infection. However, given re-emergence of plasma viremia in an individual with an extraordinarily low viral burden, therapeutic strategies aimed at specifically targeting these extremely rare HIV-infected cells with novel interventions may be necessary in order to achieve eradication of virus.

<sup>© 2010</sup> Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

Correspondence to Tae-Wook Chun, PhD, Laboratory of Immunoregulation, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, Room 6A32, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Tel: +1 301 496 0890; fax: +1 301 402 5920; twchun@nih.gov.

Author contributions: T.-W.C. and A.S.F designed research, T.-W.C., D.M. and J.S.J. performed research, T.-W.C, C.W.H, and S.M. analyzed data, J.M., A.C.C., P.M.S, R.K., M.O., and C.K. provided research materials, and T.-W.C. and A.S.F. wrote the paper.

Potential conflicts of interest: A.C.C. has received research grants from Boehringer-Ingelheim, Hoffman-La Roche, Gilead Sciences, Schering-Plough, and Tibotec-Virco, and consulted for Glaxo-Smith-Kline, Tibotec-Virco, and Pfizer.

Page 2

#### Keywords

antiretroviral therapy; discontinuation of therapy; eradication; human immunodeficiency virus; viral reservoirs

#### Introduction

Despite the development of successful therapeutic strategies [1], it has thus far been impossible to eradicate HIV in infected individuals receiving effective antiretroviral therapy (ART), mainly due to the persistence of viral reservoirs [2-4]. Previous studies have demonstrated the persistence of HIV in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in blood as well as in gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) of infected individuals receiving ART who had maintained undetectable levels of plasma viremia for significant periods of time [5], an observation that may help explain the relatively rapid rebound of plasma viremia upon treatment interruption [6,7]. In this regard, it has been demonstrated that detectable levels of plasma viremia (>50 copies of HIV RNA per ml) re-emerge on average 9 days following discontinuation of ART in individuals who experienced ART-induced suppression of plasma viremia [7]. However, the vast majority, if not all, of such infected individuals carried readily detectable HIV reservoirs prior to cessation of ART and had received antiretroviral drugs for less than 3-5 years [6,7]. Although viral reservoirs, which persist in spite of clinically effective ART, pose a major impediment to complete eradication of virus, evidence for continual decay of a pool of infected CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells has also been demonstrated in a subset of HIV-infected individuals, especially those who initiated ART during the early phase of infection [8,9]. In this regard, it would be of interest to determine if treatment with ART for extended periods of time (up to and longer than a decade) could bring the viral reservoirs to extremely low and possibly undetectable levels, and whether under such conditions the occurrence, timing, and level of viral rebound would be influenced upon discontinuation of therapy. We conducted the present study to address this issue.

#### Materials and methods

#### **Patient population**

Forty-four individuals with documented HIV infection who had received ART for a median of 7.7 years (range 3.0–10.5) and who had achieved prolonged suppression of plasma viremia were studied. One of 44 patients had been reported in a previously published paper [9]. Nine of the 44 individuals initiated ART during the early phase of infection (<6 months after acquisition of HIV). All participants included in this study maintained undetectable levels of plasma viremia (<50 copies/ml) without viral 'blips' after initiation of ART as determined by frequent blood sampling (at least three times per year). Any individual with detectable plasma viremia (>50 copies/ml) during the course of ART was excluded from this study. Blood and tissue specimens were collected from the study participants in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, University of Washington, Seattle, and by the Office of Human Subjects Research at the National Institutes of Health.

## Isolation of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in blood and preparation of cells in gut-associated lymphoid tissue

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from blood draw and leukapheresis by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation. CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were isolated from PBMCs of HIV-infected individuals using either a column-based cell or an automated separation technique (StemCell Technologies) as previously described [10]. The purity of

Page 3

enriched CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was generally greater than 95% assessed by flow cytometry. In order to examine cells in GALT, sigmoidoscopy was conducted in two study participants. Tissue samples (>10 biopsies) were incubated with 0.5 mg/ml collagenase (Type II-S; Sigma) in RPMI containing 5% fetal bovine serum, HEPES, and Pen/Strep at 37°C for 30 min. After frequent pipetting and vortexing, cells were washed and stored on ice and the remaining undigested tissue was treated with 1.0 mg/ml collagenase for an additional 30 min. The cells obtained above were subjected to CD8<sup>+</sup> cell depletion (Invitrogen-Dynal).

#### Quantitative real-time PCR for measurements of HIV DNA

In order to determine the frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells carrying HIV proviral DNA in infected individuals, realtime PCR was carried out on genomic DNA isolated from  $1-2 \times 10^6$ purified CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells using the Puregene DNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer's specifications (Gentra). 1 µg of DNA was then used as a template for real-time PCR in an iCycler (Bio-Rad). The amplification reaction was carried out in triplicate using 0.5 µmol/l primers, 0.2 µmol/l fluorescent probe, 0.8 mmol/l dNTPs, 5 mmol/l MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 2.5 U Platinum Taq Polymerase (Invitrogen) in 50 µl total volume. The following primers were used: 5'-GGTCT CTCTGGTTAGACCAGAT-3' (5' primer) and 5'-CTG CTAGAGATTTTCCACACTG-3' (3' primer) along with the fluorescent probe 5'-6FAM-AGTAGTGTGTGCCCGTCTGTT-TAMRA-3'. PCR conditions consisted of a denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min followed by 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 59°C. Serially diluted ACH-2 DNA (40 000, 8000, 1600, 320, 64, 12.8, 2.56, and 0.56 cell equivalents per well in triplicates) was also subjected to the above PCR to obtain standard curves. The detection limit of the assay was 2.6 copies of HIV DNA. In order to determine the frequency of HIV infection in GALT, approximately 200 000 CD8-depleted cells were lyzed in 10 mmol/l Tris-HCl pH8 containing 100 µg/ml proteinase K (Roche Applied Science) for 1 h at 56°C followed by heat inactivation of the enzyme. Real-time PCR specific for human βactin DNA (Applied Biosystems) was carried out on the above cell lysates in order to determine the exact copy number of cells per µl of cell lysate. Serially diluted ACH-2 DNA was also subjected to the above PCR to obtain standard curves. Finally, real-time PCR specific for HIV DNA was carried out as described above and the copy number of HIV DNA per  $1 \times 10^6$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was calculated based on the results obtained from the PCR experiments.

#### High-input quantitative coculture assays

In order to determine the frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells carrying replication-competent HIV, high-input quantitative coculture assays were carried out in which multiple wells containing  $1 \times 10^7$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were subjected to activation in 12-well tissue culture plates as described previously [11]. Briefly, highly enriched CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were enumerated using an automated cell counter (Guava PCA, Guava Technologies) and precisely  $10 \times 10^6$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells were seeded to each well in 12-well plates. Subsequently,  $8 \times 10^6$  irradiated PBMCs from HIV-negative healthy donors were added to each well along with anti-CD3 antibody and incubated overnight in the presence of culture medium including recombinant IL-2 (20 units/ml).  $1 \times 10^6$  CD8-depleted and anti-CD3 stimulated PBMC blasts from HIV-negative donors were added to each well the following day and again on day 7. The cultures were subjected to removal of 33% of the cell suspension every three days and replenished with fresh media. The culture supernatants were subjected to HIV p24 ELISA between days 14 and 21. The viability of cultures was periodically measured using dyes that stain cell membrane and DNA (Guava PCA, Guava Technologies). The infectious units per million cells (IUPM) values from the high-input coculture (HIC) assays were determined as described [2] except that the Newton-Raphson algorithm with a convergence criteria of relative change of the estimated IUPM value less than  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  was used. When high-HICs

Page 4

were negative, the IUPM value was estimated to be lower than a number that assumes that one well containing 10 million cells was indeed culture-positive.

#### Determination of plasma viremia following discontinuation of antiretroviral therapy

Plasma viral loads were monitored longitudinally using a branched DNA assay (the limit of detection of 50 copies of HIV RNA per ml) after one study participant voluntarily discontinued all antiretroviral drugs.

#### Results

In order to determine the frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells carrying HIV DNA from the study participants, genomic DNA was prepared from highly purified CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells and subjected to real-time PCR specific for HIV proviral DNA (limit of detection 2.6 copies of HIV DNA per  $\mu$ g of genomic DNA or 150 000 cell equivalent) [10]. The median copy number of HIV proviral DNA for all study participants examined was 417.1 (range <2.6–8804.4) per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Fig. 1a). The median copy number of HIV proviral DNA in the study participants who had initiated ART within 6 months of infection was significantly lower (4.6 copies per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells) compared to those who had initiated ART during the chronic phase of infection (949.4 copies per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells) (P = 0.003). Of note, no measurable HIV proviral DNA was detected in four of nine early treated (44.4%) and four of 35 chronic treated (11.4%) individuals.

In order to examine the frequency of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells carrying infectious virus, a HIC assay [11], which allows examination of large numbers of cells, was conducted using highly enriched CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from the eight infected individuals in whose cells no measurable HIV proviral DNA had been detected. As shown in Fig. 1b, infectious virus was recovered in all infected individuals using the HIC assay on CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. In one particular individual, the first HIC assay involving  $3 \times 10^8$  purified CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells failed to produce replicationcompetent virus (7.6 years after initiation of ART). The infectious viral burden was estimated to be below 0.0012 per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, using the assumption that one additional well would have resulted in HIV p24-positive outcome. However, a subsequent HIC assay conducted 10.5 years after initiation of ART using  $1.56 \times 10^9$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells resulted in one out of 156 wells being positive for infectious virus. This translated into an infectious viral burden of 0.00064 per  $10^6$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells or one infected cell per  $1.7 \times 10^9$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. This is the lowest infectious HIV burden recorded to date in our laboratory and is considerably lower than the previously reported average frequency of 0.059 infectious units per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in HIV-infected individuals having received ART for more than 7.6 years [10]. When the coculture data were stratified by time of initiation of ART, the frequency of cells carrying infectious virus in infected individuals who initiated therapy within 6 months of infection was significantly lower (median: 0.0074 infectious units per 10<sup>6</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, range 0.00064–0.0173) than that of infected individuals who initiated therapy during the chronic phase of infection (median 0.0666 infectious units per  $10^6$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, range 0.0345-0.0847) (P = 0.03).

Colonoscopy was performed on two infected individuals in order to measure levels of HIV in GALT. As shown in Table 1, real-time PCR conducted on CD8-depleted cells from sigmoid colon biopsies from one infected individual (who initiated ART during the chronic phase of infection) in whom HIV proviral DNA was undetectable in peripheral blood CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, but in whom infectious virus was recovered, revealed readily detectable HIV DNA (89 copies of DNA per million cells). However, HIV DNA was undetectable in CD8depleted cells isolated from the sigmoid colon biopsies of the infected individual (who initiated ART during the early phase of infection) in whom HIV proviral DNA was undetectable and the level of infectious virus was extraordinarily low (one infected cell per

Page 5

 $1.7 \times 10^9$  CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells) in peripheral blood CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells (Table 1), suggesting that a profound reduction in the size of viral reservoir was achieved in this study participant.

In order to investigate whether discontinuation of ART in the individual who was started on ART early in the course of infection and who had an extraordinarily low HIV reservoir would result in rebound of plasma viremia, and what the kinetics of such a rebound would be if it occurred, we discontinued ART in the patient upon his consent and monitored plasma viremia. As shown in Fig. 2, plasma viremia was not detected for the first 50 days following discontinuation of therapy. Subsequently, plasma viremia rebounded to 1593 copies of HIV RNA per ml followed by spontaneous suppression to an undetectable level. However, plasma viremia then rebounded again to 8684 copies of HIV RNA per ml on day 143 at which point ART was re-initiated.

#### Discussion

The persistence of HIV proviral DNA and/or infectious virus in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells of infected individuals receiving ART and in whom plasma viremia was suppressed below the level of detection for prolonged periods of time has thus far made the prospect of eradicating virus extremely problematic [2–4,10]. In the present study, we demonstrated undetectable levels of HIV DNA in the blood of eight infected individuals on long-term ART, including one individual in whom HIV proviral DNA could not be detected in the GALT and infectious HIV burden was extraordinarily low. Among the eight individuals whose peripheral blood CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells had undetectable HIV DNA, the frequency of cells carrying infectious virus was significantly lower in those in whom ART was initiated during the acute/early phase of infection compared to those who began therapy during the chronic phase of infection. It is not clear whether differential decay rates of virus in subsets of memory CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [12] and/or efficacy of different drug regimens contributed to rapid clearance of HIV in some infected individuals receiving ART. Although we cannot rule out the existence of low levels of HIV replication [13,14] or the persistence of virus in other lymphoid tissue [5,8], our data clearly suggest that, at least in a subset of infected individuals, the profound suppression of viral replication by long-term effective ART that had been initiated early in the course of infection may lead to substantially greater reduction of residual HIV compared to those in whom ART was initiated after HIV infection had already been established as a chronic process. Of note, it is likely that a standard quantitative coculture assay would not have detected any replication competent virus in one study participant, given the unusually large number of cells (over one billion CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells) used to detect one well containing infectious HIV in the CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Nonetheless, the present study clearly demonstrated that the combination of early initiation of ART, an extended duration of therapy, and a profoundly low HIV burden in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells did not eradicate HIV, nor did it indefinitely suppress the re-emergence of plasma viremia; however, it did lead to a longer period (50 days) of aviremia compared to previous studies (average 9 days) after cessation of antiretroviral drugs [7]. It is possible that profoundly low HIV burden and/or HIV-specific immune responses may have contributed to the long delay of plasma viral rebound in this infected individual [15]. The secondary plasma viral rebound may have been due to emergence of escape mutants [16]. Our data also suggest that the vast majority of, if not all, infected individuals receiving ART will experience plasma viral rebound regardless of the level of HIV in their CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell compartment at the time of discontinuation of ART.

Several attempts have been made in the past to 'flush' out HIV from CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in infected individuals receiving ART without providing definitive evidence for eradication of virus [6,17,18]. Of note, we have previously demonstrated that co-administration of IL-2 and ART could lead to a dramatic diminution in the size of the CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell viral reservoir [11]. Yet, despite the diminution in the size of the viral reservoir, HIV proviral DNA was

Page 6

still readily detectable in their CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells prior to cessation of antiviral drugs. Given the presence of detectable proviral DNA, it should not have been surprising that these patients experienced rebound of plasma viremia upon discontinuation of therapy [6]. However, the present study demonstrates that despite the fact that prolonged treatment with ART initiated early in the course of HIV infection resulted in undetectable levels of proviral DNA and profoundly low levels of infectious HIV in peripheral blood CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, virus still rebounded upon discontinuation of therapy. It appears that currently available antiretroviral drugs, even when initiated early in the course of infection and continued for prolonged periods of time resulting in 'undetectable' HIV DNA, do not eradicate HIV [19]. In order to achieve a condition under which HIV does not rebound for extended periods of time in the absence of ART, novel therapeutic strategies aimed at more specifically targeting these extremely rare infected cells may be necessary with or without the use of therapeutic vaccination to boost immune system control of viral rebound. In addition, prior to interrupting antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected individuals, exhaustive laboratory assays, especially HIC assays that allow detection of infectious virus in large numbers of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, should be conducted given rebound of plasma viremia following cessation of therapy is all but certain as long as infectious viral reservoirs are present.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the study volunteers for their participation in this study. We also thank Erika Benco for her invaluable assistance in the execution of this study.

Financial support: This research was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health grants (MO1 RR-00037, AI41535, and AI57005) and the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health.

#### References

- Walensky RP, Paltiel AD, Losina E, Mercincavage LM, Schackman BR, Sax PE, et al. The survival benefits of AIDS treatment in the United States. J Infect Dis. 2006; 194:11–19. [PubMed: 16741877]
- Chun TW, Stuyver L, Mizell SB, Ehler LA, Mican JA, Baseler M, et al. Presence of an inducible HIV-1 latent reservoir during highly active antiretroviral therapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997; 94:13193–13197. [PubMed: 9371822]
- Finzi D, Hermankova M, Pierson T, Carruth LM, Buck C, Chaisson RE, et al. Identification of a reservoir for HIV-1 in patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy. Science. 1997; 278:1295– 1300. [PubMed: 9360927]
- Wong JK, Hezareh M, Gunthard HF, Havlir DV, Ignacio CC, Spina CA, et al. Recovery of replication-competent HIV despite prolonged suppression of plasma viremia. Science. 1997; 278:1291–1295. [PubMed: 9360926]
- Chun TW, Nickle DC, Justement JS, Meyers JH, Roby G, Hallahan CW, et al. Persistence of HIV in gut-associated lymphoid tissue despite long-term antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis. 2008; 197:714–720. [PubMed: 18260759]
- Chun TW, Davey RT Jr, Engel D, Lane HC, Fauci AS. Reemergence of HIV after stopping therapy. Nature. 1999; 401:874–875. [PubMed: 10553903]
- Davey RT Jr, Bhat N, Yoder C, Chun TW, Metcalf JA, Dewar R, et al. HIV-1 and T cell dynamics after interruption of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) in patients with a history of sustained viral suppression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999; 96:15109–15114. [PubMed: 10611346]
- Zhang L, Ramratnam B, Tenner-Racz K, He Y, Vesanen M, Lewin S, et al. Quantifying residual HIV-1 replication in patients receiving combination antiretroviral therapy. N Engl J Med. 1999; 340:1605–1613. [PubMed: 10341272]

- Page 7
- Chun TW, Justement JS, Moir S, Hallahan CW, Maenza J, Mullins JI, et al. Decay of the HIV reservoir in patients receiving antiretroviral therapy for extended periods: implications for eradication of virus. J Infect Dis. 2007; 195:1762–1764. [PubMed: 17492591]
- Chun TW, Nickle DC, Justement JS, Large D, Semerjian A, Curlin ME, et al. HIV-infected individuals receiving effective antiviral therapy for extended periods of time continually replenish their viral reservoir. J Clin Invest. 2005; 115:3250–3255. [PubMed: 16276421]
- 11. Chun TW, Engel D, Mizell SB, Hallahan CW, Fischette M, Park S, et al. Effect of interleukin-2 on the pool of latently infected, resting CD4+ T cells in HIV-1-infected patients receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy. Nat Med. 1999; 5:651–655. [PubMed: 10371503]
- Chomont N, El-Far M, Ancuta P, Trautmann L, Procopio FA, Yassine-Diab B, et al. HIV reservoir size and persistence are driven by T cell survival and homeostatic proliferation. Nat Med. 2009; 15:893–900. [PubMed: 19543283]
- Sharkey ME, Teo I, Greenough T, Sharova N, Luzuriaga K, Sullivan JL, et al. Persistence of episomal HIV-1 infection intermediates in patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy. Nat Med. 2000; 6:76–81. [PubMed: 10613828]
- 14. Strain MC, Gunthard HF, Havlir DV, Ignacio CC, Smith DM, Leigh-Brown AJ, et al. Heterogeneous clearance rates of longlived lymphocytes infected with HIV: intrinsic stability predicts lifelong persistence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:4819–4824. [PubMed: 12684537]
- Rosenberg ES, Altfeld M, Poon SH, Phillips MN, Wilkes BM, Eldridge RL, et al. Immune control of HIV-1 after early treatment of acute infection. Nature. 2000; 407:523–526. [PubMed: 11029005]
- McMichael AJ, Borrow P, Tomaras GD, Goonetilleke N, Haynes BF. The immune response during acute HIV-1 infection: clues for vaccine development. Nat Rev Immunol. 2010; 10:11–23. [PubMed: 20010788]
- Kulkosky J, Nunnari G, Otero M, Calarota S, Dornadula G, Zhang H, et al. Intensification and stimulation therapy for human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reservoirs in infected persons receiving virally suppressive highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis. 2002; 186:1403– 1411. [PubMed: 12404155]
- Lehrman G, Hogue IB, Palmer S, Jennings C, Spina CA, Wiegand A, et al. Depletion of latent HIV-1 infection in vivo: a proof-of-concept study. Lancet. 2005; 366:549–555. [PubMed: 16099290]
- Richman DD, Margolis DM, Delaney M, Greene WC, Hazuda D, Pomerantz RJ. The challenge of finding a cure for HIV infection. Science. 2009; 323:1304–1307. [PubMed: 19265012]

Page 8



#### Fig. 1. Frequencies of HIV proviral DNA (a) and infectious virus (b) in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells of HIVinfected individuals receiving effective ART for prolonged periods of time

(a) Levels of HIV proviral DNA in highly enriched CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was determined by realtime PCR as previously described [10]. The open and closed squares represent data obtained from the 'early treated' and 'chronic treated' individuals, respectively. (b) Levels of replication-competent HIV in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from infected individuals were determined by high input coculture assay as previously described [11]. The median is shown as gray bars.

AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 10.

DX234 Page 8 of 10

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-13 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 11 PageID# 13411

Chun et al.

Page 9



**Fig. 2. Levels of plasma viremia following discontinuation and re-initiation of ART** Plasma viremia was determined by a branched DNA assay with the detection limit of 50 copies of HIV RNA per ml of plasma. ARV, antiretroviral.

AIDS. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 August 10.

DX234 Page 9 of 10

~	
Ð	
q	
<u>a</u>	

Immunologic and virologic profiles of selected HIV-infected individuals in whom sigmoid colon biopsies were preformed.

Chun et al.

Participant	Sex	Age	Time of initiation of antiretroviral therapy	Duration of antiretroviral therapy at time of study (year)	Antiretroviral therapy at time of study <sup>d</sup>	CD4 cell count at time of study (cells/µl)	CD8 cell count at time of study (cells/µl)	CD4/CD8 ratio	Plasma HIV RNA at time of study (copies/ml) <sup>b</sup>	Level of HIV DNA in blood (copies/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)	Level of infectious HIV in blood (/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)	Level of HIV DNA in sigmoid colon (copies/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)
1	Male	4	Chronic	8.6	ABC/3TC/EFV	410	580	0.7	<50	<2.56	0.05750	89.0
2	Male	46	Early	10.5	ABC/3TC/EFV	1060	840	1.3	<50	<2.56 <sup>c</sup>	0.00064	<2 56
<sup>a</sup> 3TC, lamivud	ine; ABC	C, abaca	ıvir; EFV, efaviren	ız.								

 $^b$ Measured by a branched DNA assay with a detection limit of 50 copies per ml of plasma.

<sup>c</sup>80 wells containing 1 µg of genomic DNA per well resulted in undetectable levels of HIV proviral DNA.

A-00449

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 50 PageID# 13413

# EXHIBIT 38

Page 1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION 4 5 RICHARD ROE, et al., 6 7 Plaintiffs, 8 Civil Action No. 9 vs. 10 1:18 - cv - 0156511 PATRICK M. SHANAHAN, et al., 1213 Defendants. 14 15 Deposition of 16 CAPTAIN DEVIN KELLY, DO 17 March 13, 2019 18 10:10 a.m. 19 Taken at: Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP 20 1 South Main Street, Suite 1300 Dayton, Ohio 21 2.2 Kimberly A. Kaz, RPR, Notary Public 23 24 25

Page 2 1 **APPEARANCES:** On behalf of the Plaintiffs: 2 3 Winston & Strawn, LLP, by 4 JULIE A. BAUER, ESQ. 5 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60601 6 7 (312) 558-5973 8 jbauer@winston.com 9 On behalf of the Defendants: 10 11 U.S. Department of Justice, Civil 12Division, by 13 LISA ZEIDNER MARCUS, ESQ. 14 ROBERT M. NORWAY, ESO. 15 Ben Franklin Station 16 P.O. Box 480 17 Washington, D.C. 20044 18 (202) 353-0889 19 lisa.marcus@usdoj.gov 20 robert.m.norway@usdoj.gov 21 ~ ~ ~ ~ 2.2 23 ALSO PRESENT: 24 Major Gregory Morgan, Esq. 25

	Page 3	
1	TRANSCRIPT INDEX	
2		
3	APPEARANCES	
4		
5	INDEX OF EXHIBITS 4	
6		
7	EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN DEVIN KELLY, DO	
8	By Ms. Bauer 5	
9	By Ms. Zeidner Marcus 143	
10	By Ms. Bauer 184	
11	By Ms. Zeidner Marcus 188	
12	By Ms. Bauer 192	
13		
14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 194	
15		
16	EXHIBIT CUSTODY	
17	EXHIBITS RETAINED BY COURT REPORTER	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					Page 4	
1			INDEX OF	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER		DESCRI	PTION	MARKED	
3	Exhibit	1	Deposition 1	Notice	16	
4	Exhibit	2	DoDI 6485.0	1	44	
5	Exhibit	3	Air Force I	nstruction 44-178	51	
6	Exhibit	4	DoDI 6490.0	7	65	
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

-

Page 25 misstate this acronym, JTS, which is, I 1 2 believe, joint trauma system; CPG, clinical practice guideline on fresh blood transfusion. 3 That's a written document, is it? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes. And did you read that document 6 Ο. 7 after she referred you to it? Α. 8 Yes. And what information did you get 9 0. 10 from that document that's relevant to your 11 testimony today? 12 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 13 Form. 14 What was the reason that you were Ο. 15 looking at the article on fresh blood 16 transfusions? 17 A. I was looking at that article to review what the infectious risk might be with 18 19 fresh whole blood transfusion. Within that 20 article, it stated that there is an increased 21 risk for transmission of infectious diseases 22 such as HIV, hepatitis C with fresh whole blood transfusion. 23 24 0. Is there anything else that 25 Lieutenant Colonel Hughes pointed you to?

Page 26 1 Α. No. That is the -- the only 2 resource. 3 Okay. Were there any other Ο. personnel within the Air Force that you spoke 4 5 to in preparation for your deposition today? I spoke with personnel within the 6 Α. 7 optometry clinic. Optometry? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Optometry. 10 Okay. And why did you speak with Ο. 11 persons in the optometry clinic? 12I was -- I was asking or I was Α. 13 looking into if a member requires corrective 14 lenses such as glasses who are deploying, what are they supplied with, and if they could be --15 16 if they need to be replaced, is there a 17 mechanism in place for that. 18 Ο. Okay. And what did you learn with 19 respect to deployed members who use glasses? 20 They stated that prior to Α. 21 deployment a member should have two sets of 22 glasses and one set of gas mask inserts. 23 And what did you learn with respect Ο. 24 to how replacements would be provided to them, 25 if necessary?

1	A. The person I talked to felt that
2	glasses may potentially be shipped through a
3	logistic chain to get it there, however, not
4	clear how quick those could get to a member who
5	needs a replacement. And there are some
6	situations where someone may actually have to
7	return from a forward-operating area to get a
8	replacement at a more fixed facility.
9	Q. Okay. Do you know whether a
10	situation's ever occurred that a deployed Air
11	Force member needs to obtain a replacement pair
12	of corrective lenses?
13	A. Yes, I do know of one situation.
14	Q. Okay. And when did that occur?
15	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
16	May call for privileged information with
17	respect to doctor/patient confidentiality. If
18	we could take a break. Is that
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: We have a
21	consent to have privileged information.
22	MS. BAUER: Okay. Then I'll have a
23	break. But, obviously, he is the 30(b)(6)
24	designee on this and I think he has to answer
25	the questions, but we can go off the record.

Page 28 1 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Okay. Thank 2 you. (Recess taken.) 3 THE WITNESS: On a personal level, 4 5 I know of one situation because my wife is an active duty Air Force physician who is deployed 6 7 to a location, I'm not sure I can say, was in the Middle East region, and an active duty 8 9 member had to be removed from that location and 10 taken back to Kuwait to replace corrective 11 lenses. 12Okay. And can you tell me Ο. 13 approximately when this occurred? 14 It occurred approximately in the Α. 15 year 2017. 16 Okay. And did the optometry clinic Ο. 17 provide you with any information in preparation for the deposition today about any other 18 19 instances where that had occurred? 20 Α. No. 21 Okay. And you also told me that 0. 22 you reviewed some HIV medical literature in 23 preparation for your deposition today; is that 24 right? 25 Α. Yes.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 50 PageID# 13422

1	medications?
2	A. Those medications are considered
3	some of the first line treatment options for
4	HIV, and I was interested in seeing if there
5	were any particular storage requirements that
6	the manufacturer has listed for those
7	medications.
8	Q. And did the manufacturers list any
9	particular storage requirements for any of
10	those four medications?
11	A. Manufacturers give a range of
12	temperatures in which the medications should be
13	stored at.
14	Q. And do you recall what the range of
15	temperatures was?
16	A. I do not know all the ranges. Some
17	of them varied, but in general, most, if not
18	all the medications should not be in
19	temperatures greater than 30 degrees Celsius.
20	Q. Okay. And did they indicate a
21	minimum temperature that they should not be
22	exposed to?
23	A. Yes, they did indicate a minimum
24	temperature, which I cannot recall at this
25	time.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 11 of 50 PageID# 13423

1	Q. Okay. Did they indicate if there
2	were any other special storage or handling
3	requirements for these four medications?
4	A. That is all the special storage or
5	handling requirements that I can recall.
6	Q. Okay. Temperature restrictions?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Do you agree that persons
9	who are diagnosed with HIV in a timely manner
10	and provided with appropriate care and
11	treatment with the antiretroviral medications
12	experience no noticeable effects on their
13	physical health?
14	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
15	Compound.
16	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
17	question?
18	Q. Sure. Would you agree that persons
19	who are HIV positive who are diagnosed in a
20	timely manner and provided with appropriate
21	care and treatment with antiretroviral
22	medications experience no noticeable effects on
23	their physical health?
24	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Same
25	objection. Form.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 50 PageID# 13424

1	THE WITNESS: In general, persons
2	who are living with HIV who are diagnosed and
3	treated and have virologic suppression may have
4	a expectancy similar to someone who's living
5	without HIV, however, there are some documented
6	impacts on that person's health who is living
7	with HIV. Some of that may be HIV associated
8	neurocognitive disorder, increased risk for
9	cardiovascular disease, and potentially
10	affecting other organ systems as well.
11	Q. And do you know, have you read
12	anything strike that. I'll come back to
13	that.
14	In your role as chief of infectious
15	diseases at Wright-Patterson Air Force Medical
16	Center, have you seen any HIV positive
17	individuals who are suffering from HIV related
18	neurocognitive disorder?
19	A. While serving as chief of
20	infectious disease at Wright-Patterson Air
21	Force Medical Center, I cannot definitively say
22	I've seen my patient who have been diagnosed
23	with an HIV associated neurocognitive disorder,
24	however, some of those findings or diagnoses
25	may be very subtle and may require specialized
# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 50 PageID# 13425

1	neurocognitive testing. And as my role in
2	training as an infectious disease fellow, I
3	have seen at least one patient who may have had
4	an HIV associated neurocognitive disorder.
5	Q. And why do you say that? Why do
6	you believe that a patient may have had HIV
7	associated neurocognitive disorder?
8	A. HIV neurocognitive disorder can be
9	a complex diagnosis. There are multiple
10	factors that go into it. The patient did not
11	have an alternative diagnosis, and based on the
12	prevalence of HIV neurocognitive disorder,
13	which ranges from asymptomatic nerve cognitive
14	impairment to moderate cognitive disorder and
15	the most severe, but less common form, HIV
16	associated dementia. If you take all those
17	combined, some studies around the prevalence
18	may be around 50 percent. And in a patient who
19	is displaying impaired neurocognition with
20	longstanding diagnosis of HIV is is as a
21	diagnosis, we strongly consider in that
22	patient.
23	Q. But it was not a diagnosis that was
24	made in the patient that you were talking
25	about?

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 14 of 50 PageID# 13426

Page 36

From my knowledge, I don't know if 1 Α. that was ever a firm diagnosis placed in the 2 3 chart. Okay. And what is your 4 Ο. 5 understanding as to how long a person -- what the time period is between an HIV diagnosis and 6 7 the showing some victims of some neurocognitive impairment related to HIV? 8 9 Α. In general, that is a variable 10 period and more severe neurocognitive 11 impairment that may go to HIV associated 12dementia was more common in the past when 13 treatment was not as available, however, I 14 can't say a particular time frame. The -- it 15 is variable and may be present early or late in 16 the disease process. 17 And is the HIV associated Ο. 18 neurocognitive impairment thought to stem from 19 the underlying HIV or the treatment, or is it 20 unclear, or both, I suppose? 21 It is unclear the exact mechanism Α. 2.2 for the cause. There is literature that shows 23 even persons living with HIV who are 24 virologically suppressed may have some form of HIV associated neurocognitive disorder, and 2.5

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 50 PageID# 13427

1	that it may be related to increased
2	inflammation within the central nervous system
3	which may be related to increased glutamate
4	levels. The exact mechanism, I believe, is
5	still unclear, but some progression of
6	neurocognitive disorders can be seen even on
7	even in patients living with HIV who are
8	virologically suppressed.
9	Q. Okay. What is the current
10	treatment regiment within the Air Force for a
11	person after they have been diagnosed as HIV
12	positive?
13	A. Can you clarify treatment regimen?
14	Q. Sure. What I want to know not a
15	very good question, but after they have been
16	tested as HIV positive, how often are they
17	seen? I take it they go quickly on an
18	antiretroviral therapy; is that right?
19	A. Yes. After initial diagnosis,
20	they members diagnosed with HIV are started
21	on HIV therapy quickly.
22	Q. Okay. And how frequently after
23	that initial diagnosis, then, are they seen by
24	a doctor?
25	A. Can you clarify what type of

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 50 PageID# 13428

Page 71 1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. Okay. And how is Section E2 to Enclosure 3 being applied in the -- by the Air 3 Force? 4 5 For any active duty member who is Α. 6 seropositive for HIV prior to deployment, the 7 cognizant combatant command must approve that deployment. 8 9 Q. So the way the Air Force is 10 applying the section, even those persons who 11 are -- who are -- have asymptomatic HIV cannot 12 deploy without the permission of the cognizant 13 combatant command surgeon. Is that your 14 understanding? 15 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 16 Form. 17 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, yes. 18 The Air Force is implementing this Department 19 of Defense Instruction that requires the 20 cognizant combatant command to approve medical 21 clearance for deployment. 2.2 Ο. Okay. And the cognizant combatant 23 command surgeon is not consulted only in cases 24 of HIV antibody positive with the presence of 25 progressive clinical illness or immunological

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 17 of 50 PageID# 13429

Page 72 deficiencies; is that correct? 1 2 Α. Can you repeat? Sure. Let me ask a different 3 Ο. 4 question. 5 Is the medical condition that 6 usually precludes contingency deployment that's 7 referred to in Section E2, a diagnosis of HIV positive with the presence of progressive 8 9 clinical illness or immunological deficiency, 10 as opposed to all persons who are HIV positive? 11 The cognizant combatant command Α. 12must review all cases of HIV seropositively 13 prior to clearance. 14 Regardless of whether that person Ο. 15 is showing signs of progressive clinical 16 illness or immunological deficiency? 17 Α. Yes. That's correct. 18 Okay. And when you say the Ο. 19 cognizant combatant command surgeon shall be a 20 consultant, do you mean that the cognizant 21 combatant command surgeon has to approve the 22 deployment? 23 In general, yes. Α. 2.4 As an infectious disease Ο. 25 specialist, would you say that there's any

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 18 of 50 PageID# 13430

1	reason that a person with asymptomatic HIV who
2	does not have clinical progressive illness or
3	immunological deficiency cannot deploy?
4	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Can we just
5	pause for one second? I just want to look at
6	the question 'cause I wasn't
7	Will you just read back the
8	question, please?
9	(Question read back as requested.)
10	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection to
11	scope.
12	THE WITNESS: There are certain
13	considerations when you're talking about
14	someone who is seropositive for HIV in a
15	deployed setting. In a for example, in a
16	contingency deployment or other situation,
17	there may be a situation in which there is a
18	mass casualty event that would require fresh
19	whole blood transfusion which may have an
20	increased risk for transmission of bloodborne
21	pathogens, for which HIV is considered a
22	bloodborne pathogen. The safety of the blood
23	supply is an important factor when considering
24	who is able to deploy.
25	Other considerations may include

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 19 of 50 PageID# 13431

1	access to medical care, if needed. The
2	Department of Health and Human Service
3	guidelines do recommend every three- to
4	six-month visits with an HIV provider, which
5	may include laboratory testing depending on the
6	length of deployment. Someone living with HIV
7	may not reach those intervals for recommended
8	guideline follow-up with their provider.
9	Medication supply potentially could
10	be impacted. Active duty Air Force members can
11	deploy to austere environments, which may be
12	remote and have limited supply chains to reach
13	them. If they do not have their HIV
14	medications, there are potential health risks
15	to someone living with HIV. Going a period of
16	time without HIV medications can lead
17	potentially to resistance, which then may
18	require further testing, different types of HIV
19	treatment regimens, who may have more side
20	effects that are less tolerated than their
21	current regimen. There are several published
22	studies looking at interrupted therapy in
23	persons who are HIV seropositive.
24	Interruptions in therapy can lead to increased
25	for progression to AIDS, opportunistic

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 20 of 50 PageID# 13432

Page 75

infections, and the presence of noninfectious
complications from HIV, which may involve the
central nervous system, liver, kidneys.

Q. In general, how long does the treatment need to be interrupted for an HIV positive person to develop resistance to their treatment regimen?

It's difficult for me to say the 8 Α. 9 exact time that is required for that to occur. 10 There is one published study that's showing 11 interruption of three months can lead to 12adverse outcomes in a person living with HIV. 13 Certain medications that we use to treat HIV include NNRTIS, non-nucleoside reverse 14 15 transcriptase inhibitor, and that may be in 16 combination -- it's usually in combination with 17 two NRTIS, nucleoside reverse transcriptase 18 inhibiters. True NRTIs are a typical backbone 19 in combination with another class of 20 medication, which may be an NNRTI, it may be an 21 integrase inhibiter, potential a protease 2.2 inhibitor. So it's fairly standard to give a two NRTI backbone plus another class of 23 24 medication and NRTIs have a longer half-life, 25 meaning that they stay in the body longer than

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 21 of 50 PageID# 13433

Page 80 1 Did you finish your answer? Ο. 2 Α. Yes. Okay. And just so I'm clear, in 3 Q. the first part of that answer where you're 4 5 saying that there's literature that suggests in a stressful environment, HIV positive people 6 7 might be less compliant with their treatment regimen? 8 9 Α. CDC, on their website for patient 10 information, does state that. 11 For HIV positive persons in Ο. 12 particular? 13 Α. To my knowledge, yes, that is 14 located under information for persons living 15 with HIV. 16 Okay. And is that true also for Ο. 17 people who take medication for other chronic medical conditions that -- that stressful 18 19 situations can make them less compliant with 20 their treatment regimens? 21 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 22 Exceeds the scope of designated testimony. 23 In general, as a THE WITNESS: 24 medical provider, that is -- that is -- yes, 2.5 that may apply to other medical conditions.

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 22 of 50 PageID# 13434

1	Q. Tell me with respect to
2	Paragraph 3, which provides that any required
3	ongoing health care medications anticipated to
4	be needed for the duration of the deployment
5	are available in theater within the military
6	health system, and then it goes on to talk
7	about medication. Tell me how that condition
8	might not be met by HIV positive Air Force men.
9	A. To my knowledge, HIV medications
10	are not available are not readily available
11	within theater. Depending on location,
12	temperature requirements as laid out by the
13	manufacturer may be outside of the range of
14	what is recommended.
15	Q. Okay. And we discussed those
16	temperature ranges earlier this morning, right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Do you know if preexposure
19	prophylaxis for HIV is available in theater?
20	A. Can you repeat?
21	Q. Sure. You're familiar with
22	pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Is that medication available
25	in theater?

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 23 of 50 PageID# 13435

Page 82 In general, no, pre-exposure 1 Α. 2 prophylaxis is not available. 3 How about post-exposure prevention 0. treatments, are those available in theater? 4 5 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 6 Exceeds the scope of designated testimony. 7 THE WITNESS: Post-exposure prophylaxis may be available at higher levels 8 9 of care, but may not be available in 10 different -- in different areas. 11 Okay. Tell me what you mean by Ο. 12 "higher levels of care." 13 Α. There's a tiered system with health care in a deployed setting, level one, level 14 two, level three, level four, level five. 15 16 Level one may be the most basic of care such as 17 buddy-care, self-care, tourniquets, very 18 minimal. Level two may have some surgical 19 capabilities for stabilization. Level three 20 will have higher surgical capabilities, more 21 reliable labs, imaging, ICU care. Level four, 2.2 the facilities would include locations in Germany and Hawaii, which those hospitals 23 24 operate on a level of hospitals here in the 25 states. Level five are larger medical centers

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 24 of 50 PageID# 13436

Page 99 hepatitis C, that you're unaware of any order 1 2 that a service member would hepatitis C not give blood? 3 In my practice, I do treat 4 Α. 5 hepatitis C in a similar situation of my 6 hepatitis B patients. All the patients I have 7 treated for hepatitis C have been beneficiaries, not active duty to date. I 8 9 would advise them not to donate blood, however, 10 I do not know of an order such as 11 Attachment 13. 12 Okay. You don't know of an order? Ο. 13 Α. No, I do not know of an order. 14 Okay. I just wanted to make sure I Ο. 15 heard you correctly. 16 Α. Yeah. 17 If I could direct your attention to Q. 18 Topic 14 in Kelly Deposition Exhibit No. 1. 19 Topic No. 14 provides the process by which the 20 Air Force provides airmen requiring daily 21 medication with that medication while they're 22 deployed in the cent com area of 23 responsibility. Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And are you prepared to address

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 25 of 50 PageID# 13437

Page 100

that topic on behalf of the Air Force today? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Okay. What can you tell me about 0. the process by which the Air Force provides 4 5 airmen requiring daily medication with that medication while they're deployed in the cent 6 7 com area of responsibility? MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 8 9 Calls for a narrative. 10 THE WITNESS: In general, when 11 deploying to the cent com area take with them 12an adequate supply of medication with them, 13 however, there may be some situations in which a refill may be needed or medication could be 14 15 lost, destroyed. If that were the case, 16 refilling the medication or resupplying would 17 depend on the area where the service member is. 18 Are they in a remote austere area in which it 19 may be difficult to send medications? Certain 20 areas may have a mission in which different 21 supplies. For example, ammunition may be the 22 highest priorities in the logistic chain to get 23 to that area depending where it is, what 24 location that may be through air, may be 25 through convoy. There is a -- it's a priority,

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 26 of 50 PageID# 13438

1	depending on the mission, to get certain
2	supplies there, and there is a possibility that
3	medications may not be able to be restocked in
4	certain time frames. It depends on the
5	situation and the location.
6	Q. Okay. And, again, just to make
7	sure I understand, you're saying even within
8	cent com, the answer to that question can vary
9	depending on the specific location within cent
10	com?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Okay. And when you say in general,
13	the service members take with them an adequate
14	supply of medicine with them, they take with
15	them tell me if I'm wrong, but more pills
16	than they think they're going to need for the
17	length of their anticipated deployment. Is
18	that the idea?
19	A. Service members should take supply
20	for approximately 180 days.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. Approximately, and there's some
23	situations in which deployments can get
24	extended or return can be delayed because of
25	logistical reasons.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 27 of 50 PageID# 13439

1	Force service members with those chronic
2	infectious diseases have deployed?
3	A. I am not aware of those situations.
4	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Can I just
5	clarify? Were you talking about is he
6	personally aware as opposed to the Air Force?
7	MS. BAUER: Well, I'll ask I
8	guess I can ask it both ways, right?
9	Q. So you're not personally aware of
10	anyone with a chronic infectious disease who's
11	been deployed?
12	A. I'm not personally aware.
13	Q. Okay. But, presumably, the Air
14	Force has information on whether or not people
15	with chronic infectious diseases have been
16	deployed or not?
17	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
18	Exceeds the scope of the designated testimony,
19	but you can answer.
20	THE WITNESS: I am not aware, but a
21	chronic infectious disease that is well
22	controlled and poses no risk of health to the
23	service member or others, someone may have
24	deployed, but I'm not aware of that situation.
25	Q. Okay. We were talking a minute ago

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 28 of 50 PageID# 13440

1	about how medications were provided to Air
2	Force service members while deployed to cent
3	com, and you you said in certain
4	circumstances, a refill might be needed or
5	their medication might be lost or destroyed; is
6	that right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And do I understand your answer to
9	mean that in some circumstances, a refill of
10	that medication could just not be gotten to the
11	deployed service member?
12	A. There is a possibility that a
13	medication may not be able to get to that
14	service member or it may be delayed in that
15	time frame. I cannot state specifically.
16	It'll depend on each situation.
17	Q. Okay. What happens if an Air Force
18	service member develops some type of an acute
19	health problem while they're deployed, how does
20	the Air Force treat that person?
21	A. So it would depend on location,
22	services available. Can that acute medical
23	problem be handled sufficiently by the medical
24	personnel and resources available and location?
25	If there's an acute problem that is beyond the

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 29 of 50 PageID# 13441

1	Exceeds the scope of designated testimony.
2	THE WITNESS: The screening for
3	infectious diseases of fresh whole blood may
4	depend upon the location and situation and
5	resources. Fresh whole blood can be screened
6	with rapid tests, which may include tests for
7	HIV. Rapid tests are not the standard for
8	screening blood as far as FDA approved. Those
9	samples will also be sent back for testing in
10	states for those FDA approved rapid tests. The
11	rapid tests may not have the same performance
12	characteristics at FDA approved tests, meaning
13	they may not be as sensitive and they may miss
14	some cases, but that is what is feasible in
15	certain locations. I speak in general terms.
16	In reviewing the fresh whole blood clinical
17	practice guideline, however, I'm not the expert
18	on blood blank safety. That would probably be
19	best be answered by members who are involved in
20	the blood blank and publishing such guidelines.
21	Q. Okay. And we've seen in earlier
22	documents today that the Air Force orders HIV
23	positive service members not to donate blood;
24	is that right?
25	A. Yes. That is correct.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 30 of 50 PageID# 13442

1	Q. Okay. And do you know in the case
2	of a transfusion, is the person who is donating
3	the blood also asked at that time whether they
4	have a condition that would preclude them from
5	donating blood?
6	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
7	Exceeds the scope of designated testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: In a controlled
9	situation, someone donating blood will often be
10	asked if they have a condition that would
11	preclude them from donating blood. It may be
12	possible in certain situations that there may
13	be limited members who are available to donate
14	blood, and having that having a safe blood
15	supply is important to reduce risks of
16	transmission to members requiring blood
17	transfusions.
18	Q. Okay. I'm not sure that I
19	understood that answer.
20	A. In certain situations, there may be
21	a mass casualty event that would require
22	activation of a walking blood bank and
23	donations of fresh whole blood. And depending
24	on the situation, there may be very limited
25	amount of individuals who would be able to

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 31 of 50 PageID# 13443

1	donate fresh whole blood. Having members
2	available who can donate blood would increase
3	the chances of having members who do not
4	have an infectious disease that can be
5	transmitted through blood will increase the
6	chance of having available members who can
7	donate blood, if needed.
8	Q. Okay. But you're not suggesting
9	that in any incidents when it was determined
10	that the person was not eligible to donate
11	blood because they had an infectious disease,
12	they wouldn't use that blood, would they, or do
13	they, in some circumstances, use it
14	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
15	Q despite the risk?
16	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Exceeds the
17	scope of designated testimony.
18	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
19	question?
20	Q. Sure. Let me phrase it
21	differently.
22	What I'm trying to understand is if
23	there's a situation where they need to activate
24	a walking blood bank and someone is asked can
25	you donate blood and they say no, I'm not

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 32 of 50 PageID# 13444

1	facility or duty station that has does not
2	have an infectious disease provider, the
3	treating providers from San Antonio Military
4	Medical Center can coordinate labs remotely
5	that they need out of that particular lab.
б	Q. Okay. But do I understand they
7	could not coordinate those labs if the person
8	was if the service member was deployed to
9	cent com?
10	A. It depends on their location and
11	resource available.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. There will be locations where there
14	are no blood tests available.
15	Q. And are there other locations
16	within cent com where there would be blood
17	testing facilities available?
18	A. I do not know of a location in cent
19	com that does an HIV viral load.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. How about it may be present, but
22	I do not know of them.
23	Q. Okay. Do you know of other
24	combatant commands where those blood testing
25	facilities are available?

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 50 PageID# 13445

Page 132 1 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection. 2 Exceeds the scope of designated testimony. THE WITNESS: I'm unsure of the 3 exact location where all testing is available 4 5 as in general, Germany has capabilities to function similar to a hospital in the states. 6 7 If they cannot get testing within their own lab, there may be local labs that they can get 8 9 testing. 10 In Germany specifically? Ο. 11 Correct. Α. 12 Q. Okay. 13 Α. There may be other locations that 14 I'm not knowledgeable of. 15 Ο. Okay. The second concern that you 16 listed was that medications could be lost or 17 destroyed and there might be logistical 18 difficulties in getting a refill to the service 19 member; is that right? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Are there any -- does that risk 0. 2.2 present any different risk than for any other 23 deployed service member who needs medication on 24 a daily basis? 25 That depends on location and Α.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 34 of 50 PageID# 13446

1	availability of certain medications. If
2	just in general, if a medication is lost or
3	destroyed and that is not in stock in a remote
4	area, it may be difficult to replace certain
5	medications, and that's that could be all
6	medications depending on how that can get
7	resupplied. Part of consideration with HIV is
8	going without medication for a period of time
9	can lead to significant long-term health
10	complications. As a physician who takes care
11	of persons living with HIV, we advise to take
12	medications every day and not to miss a dose.
13	A longer a break in taking medications,
14	although difficult to find to say exactly how
15	long that is, we know that three months risk
16	involve risk goes up for long-term health
17	complications. Where does that start? I can't
18	say exactly, but we advise not to miss any days
19	of therapy.
20	Q. Okay. And that's true for any
21	number of medications as you're taking them to
22	manage chronic medications; is that right?
23	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
24	Exceeds the scope of designated testimony.
25	THE WITNESS: It depends on the

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 35 of 50 PageID# 13447

1	THE WITNESS: I am uncertain that
2	that the HIV medications are available. There
3	are many different preferred regimens for HIV
4	and, oftentimes, members living with HIV could
5	be on different preferred regimens, or even
6	further down the list, other regimens that are
7	not on the preferred list. There are many
8	different medication treatment regimens for
9	HIV, and I'm unsure if all that may be needed.
10	Q. Is there any reason that you're
11	aware of that the HIV treatment medications
12	could not be provided in cent com?
13	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Objection.
14	Exceeds the scope of designated testimony.
15	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
16	question?
17	Q. Sure. Is there any reason that
18	you're aware of that the HIV treatment
19	medications could not be made available in cent
20	com?
21	MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: Same
22	objection.
23	THE WITNESS: That area falls
24	outside my scope as a physician treating HIV
25	and depends more upon logistics, combatant

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 36 of 50 PageID# 13448

Page 140 1 commands. 2 Ο. Okay. 3 MS. BAUER: Okay. Why don't we take another break and let me look through my 4 5 notes. (Recess taken.) 6 7 Several hours ago, you told me that Ο. in preparation for your deposition, you talked 8 9 to a gentleman named Richard Davis, who I 10 understand was an endocrinologist. And why did 11 you talk to Dr. Davis? 12I was interested in gathering Α. 13 information if a service member has diabetes or 14 hypothyroidism, if they would require a waiver 15 for deployment. 16 And what did you learn from Ο. 17 Dr. Davis on that topic? 18 Α. That once stable on therapy, that they may require a waiver. It would depend on 19 20 the combatant command potentially, individual 21 combatant commands. 2.2 Ο. Okay. And was that true for both diabetes and for hyperthyroidism? 23 24 Α. For those diagnoses, those may require a waiver. 2.5

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 37 of 50 PageID# 13449

1	Q. Okay. For both of them?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. And did he indicate to you
4	whether those waivers are being granted for
5	either of those conditions?
6	A. No. He was he said for further
7	information, you could contact PEBLO, which I
8	did not have a contact at PEBLO to get further
9	information on that.
10	Q. Let me ask you: A few minutes ago
11	when we were talking about Topic 15, the
12	concerns about the Air Force's ability to
13	provide necessary health care to airmen
14	deployed to cent com, and you talked about the
15	concern about the ability to provide HIV
16	positive service members with their routine
17	medical visits and testing. Do you remember
18	that?
19	A. Can you repeat that?
20	Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it.
21	Just a few minutes ago, we were
22	talking about the Air Force's concerns with its
23	ability to provide necessary health care to HIV
24	positive service members if they were deployed
25	to cent com, and you enumerated four separate

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 38 of 50 PageID# 13450

Page 160 1 occur? If testing is needed and not 2 Α. 3 available at a particular location, that member may be evacuated to a location that had those 4 5 capabilities. Are there situations in which 6 Ο. 7 evacuation would be a response because of a lack of treatment as well as a lack of testing? 8 9 Α. Yes, potentially. 10 What means might be used to Ο. 11 evacuate a service member on a deployment in 12cent com? 13 MS. BAUER: Objection. 14 THE WITNESS: It depends on the 15 location, how remote the person is. Is it safe 16 to evacuate? It may involve helicopter 17 evacuation, airplane evacuation, potentially land vehicle. It really depends on location, 18 19 what kind of vehicle resources are available to 20 get to that area and return to an area where 21 the capabilities are located. 2.2 Ο. And being evacuated -- the 23 possibility of being evacuated a concern 24 related to airmen living with HIV who may be deployed to cent com? 2.5

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 39 of 50 PageID# 13451

1	A. Can you restate the question?
2	Q. Yeah. The possibility of is the
3	possible need to evacuate a service member who
4	is living with HIV part of the concern of
5	providing necessary health care to individuals
6	who are deployed in cent com?
7	A. The need to evacuate a patient
8	would be a concern and the ability to provide
9	medical care in that area because evacuating
10	any service member for any reason may impact
11	the mission of where they're located. That
12	individual may be required for their skills
13	they have for the mission.
14	Q. Are there other problems or
15	concerns with related to evacuations? For
16	example, are there safety concerns?
17	MS. BAUER: Objection.
18	THE WITNESS: There can be safety
19	concerns with evacuation depending on location.
20	It may be certain times, situations where it
21	may be unsafe to have the appropriate mechanism
22	for evacuation to get to that area and also
23	relieve that area. There may be dynamic
24	situations in that area or threats that may
25	make it unsafe to evacuate at certain points in

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 40 of 50 PageID# 13452

Page 162

1 time. Is evacuation resource intensive? 2 Ο. 3 MS. BAUER: Objection. THE WITNESS: Evacuation can be 4 5 resource intensive. What resources are 6 required depend on location, distance, 7 geography, factors that all play a role. Okay. When you testified earlier 8 Ο. 9 that you did not know whether cent com includes 10 any areas where HIV blood testing was possible, 11 were you talking about your personal knowledge 12as opposed to the Air Force's knowledge? 13 Α. That's my personal knowledge. 14 Would the combatant command know Ο. 15 what HIV blood testing was available in an area 16 of deployment? 17 My understanding is that a Α. combatant command will -- will know what 18 19 resources are available. 20 Is that a reason for the waiver Q. 21 process? 2.2 Α. Yes. The reason we have a waiver 23 process is to ensure that the appropriate 24 resources to take care of a member with any 25 chronic condition has those -- has those

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 41 of 50 PageID# 13453

Page 171

Can you clarify? 1 Α. 2 Ο. How is a rapid test of blood 3 conducted? Rapid tests can mean many different 4 Α. 5 things. There are different techniques that 6 may depend on which type of testing you're 7 doing or what you're testing for. So for a transfusion purposes, 8 Ο. 9 what -- how are rapid tests of blood to be used in a full body transfusion conducted? 10 11 In general, I'm not the expert on Α. 12 rapid diagnostics or screening blood supply, 13 and I'm unsure of the exact techniques the 14 rapid tests that are used or utilized, what 15 type of mechanism, how much of the exact 16 mechanism of the rapid test that are utilized. 17 Q. Could be like a dipstick? 18 MS. BAUER: Objection. 19 Just trying to get -- do you have Ο. 20 any sense of what the rapid test consists of? 21 Α. A rapid test may detect antigen or 22 antibody or a combination of both, and that may 23 be something that reacts with the antibody or 24 an antigen and has potential colorimetric 25 change, change in distance that it's on, say,

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 42 of 50 PageID# 13454

1	capillary paper. There's when you add, say,			
2	blood for a rapid test from a fingerstick or			
3	other specimen, the rapid test needs to be able			
4	to recognize something and indicate that to the			
5	person interpreting the test.			
6	Q. How quickly can rapid tests provide			
7	a result?			
8	A. It depends on individual rapid			
9	tests. It may be within hours. I'm not sure			
10	what the quickest turnaround is.			
11	Q. What is the are rapid tests			
12	always available in deployment locations within			
13	cent com?			
14	A. There may be situations or			
15	locations where rapid tests are not available.			
16	Q. Does it depend on the location of			
17	the deployment within cent com?			
18	A. It may depend on location,			
19	resources available, resources that members may			
20	be able to carry with them. There's many			
21	factors that go into that.			
22	Q. Would the combatant command know			
23	the availability of rapid tests within a			
24	particular deployment location?			
25	A. To my understanding, I believe that			

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 43 of 50 PageID# 13455

Page 192 1 medication to service members deployed 2 overseas? 3 Α. It can be variable. I mean, there's a large range. I cannot speak to 4 5 exactly what that time frame is, but depending on location and safety of transport, it's 6 7 possible it could be weeks. I'm not sure of the exact time frame. Perhaps a logistician 8 9 may be the best person to answer those exact 10 time frames. 11 MS. ZEIDNER MARCUS: I have no 12 further questions. Thank you. 13 EXAMINATION OF CAPTAIN DEVIN KELLY, DO BY MS. BAUER: 14 15 Q. Captain Kelly, do the 16 antiretroviral medications that you identified 17 earlier in your deposition require 18 refrigeration? 19 From my review of the manufacturer Α. 20 information, refrigeration was not a 21 requirement. 2.2 MS. BAUER: Thank you very much. 23 Nothing further. 24 (The deposition was concluded at 25 5:40 p.m.)

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 44 of 50 PageID# 13456

	Page 193
1	Whereupon, counsel was requested to give
2	instruction regarding the witness's review of
3	the transcript pursuant to the Civil Rules.
4	
5	SIGNATURE:
6	Transcript review was requested pursuant to the
7	applicable Rules of Civil Procedure.
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT DELIVERY:
10	Counsel was requested to give instruction
11	regarding delivery date of transcript.
12	Ms. Bauer original regular.
13	Ms. Zeidner Marcus copy regular.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 45 of 50 PageID# 13457

Page 194 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 The State of Ohio, ) 3 SS: County of Fairfield. ) 4 5 6 I, Kimberly A. Kaz, RPR, a Notary 7 Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify 8 that the within named witness, CAPTAIN DEVIN 9 10 KELLY, DO, was by me first duly sworn to 11 testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing 12but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the 13 testimony then given by the above-referenced 14 witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards 15 16 transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true 17 and correct transcription of the testimony so 18 given by the above-referenced witness. 19 I do further certify that this 20 deposition was taken at the time and place in 21 the foregoing caption specified and was 2.2 completed without adjournment. 23 2.4 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 46 of 50 PageID# 13458

	Page 195
1	I do further certify that I am not
2	a relative, counsel or attorney for either
3	party, or otherwise interested in the event of
4	this action.
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
6	set my hand and affixed my seal of office at
7	Cleveland, Ohio, on this 5th day of
8	April, 2019.
9	
10	
11	
12	t' TE
13	
14	Kimberly A. Kaz, RPR, Notary Public
15	within and for the State of Ohio
16	
17	My commission expires March 31, 2023.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

-

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 47 of 50 PageID# 13459

Page 196 1 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave 2 Suite 1820 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 3 Phone: 216-523-1313 4 April 5, 2019 5 To: Lisa Zeidner Marcus, Esq. б Case Name: Roe, Richard, et al. v. Shanahan, Patrick M., et al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 3255391 8 Witness: Captain Devin Kelly, DO Deposition Date: 3/13/2019 9 10 Dear Sir/Madam: 11 Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the 13 included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and 14 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and 15 forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address shown 16 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com. 17 18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely, Production Department 22 23 24 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 48 of 50 PageID# 13460

		Page 197	
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW		
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS		
2			
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3255391		
3	CASE NAME: Roe, Richard, et al. v. Shanahan,	Patrick M.	
	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 3/13/2019		
4	WITNESS' NAME: Captain Devin Kelly, DO		
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil		
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of		
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.		
7	I have made no changes to the testimony		
	as transcribed by the court reporter.		
8			
٩	Data Captain Dovin Kolly DO		
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me		
τU	Notary Public in and for the State and County		
11	the referenced witness did personally appear		
	and acknowledge that:		
12			
	They have read the transcript;		
13	They signed the foregoing Sworn		
	Statement; and		
14	Their execution of this Statement is of		
	their free act and deed.		
15			
	I have affixed my name and official seal		
16			
	this day of, 20,		
17			
18	Notary Public		
19			
	Commission Expiration Date		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 49 of 50 PageID# 13461

Page 198 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3255391 3 CASE NAME: Roe, Richard, et al. v. Shanahan, Patrick M. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 3/13/2019 4 WITNESS' NAME: Captain Devin Kelly, DO 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 6 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 8 9 I request that these changes be entered as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well 11 as this Certificate, and request and authorize that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. 12 30 Apr 2019 13 Date Captain Devin Kelly, DO 14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a 15 Notary Public in and for the State and County, the referenced witness did personally appear 16 and acknowledge that: 17 They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections 18 in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn 19 Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of 20 their free act and deed. 21 I have affixed my name and official seal 22 this 30th day, of April , 2019 . 23 ENO KATHLEEN O BISER Notary Public 24 In and For the State of Ohio **Recorded in Montgomery County** 25 ss My Commission Expres Date March 9, 2024 Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-14 Filed 06/03/20 Page 50 of 50 PageID# 13462

Page 199 1 ERRATA SHEET VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 3/13/2019 3 PAGE/LINE(S) CHANGE /REASON believe to be written/troscribil 4 incorent 5 6 dise 7 CONT 8 9 ON 10 15 11 9 10 11 11 24 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 - 2015 20 Date Captain Devin Kelly, DO SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 30th 21 22 DAY OF , 20 19 . April 23 DISER **Notary Public** 24 In and For the State of Ohio Recorded in Montgomery County My Commission Expires SSI March 9, 2024 ration Date 25 Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 10 PageID# 13463

# EXHIBIT 39

# Low prevalence of neurocognitive impairment in early diagnosed and managed HIV-infected persons

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To describe the prevalence of neurocognitive impairment (NCI) among early diagnosed and managed HIV-infected persons (HIV+) compared to HIV-negative controls.

**Methods:** We performed a cross-sectional study among 200 HIV+ and 50 matched HIV-uninfected (HIV-) military beneficiaries. HIV+ patients were categorized as earlier (<6 years of HIV, no AIDS-defining conditions, and CD4 nadir >200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) or later stage patients (n = 100 in each group); both groups were diagnosed early and had access to care. NCI was diagnosed using a comprehensive battery of standardized neuropsychological tests.

**Results:** HIV+ patients had a median age of 36 years, 91% were seroconverters (median window of 1.2 years), had a median duration of HIV of 5 years, had a CD4 nadir of 319, had current CD4 of 546 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, and 64% were on highly active antiretroviral therapy (initiated 1.3 years after diagnosis at a median CD4 of 333 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>). NCI was diagnosed among 38 (19%, 95% confidence interval 14%-25%) HIV+ patients, with a similar prevalence of NCI among earlier and later stage patients (18% vs 20%, p = 0.72). The prevalence of NCI among HIV+ patients was similar to HIV- patients.

**Conclusions:** HIV+ patients diagnosed and managed early during the course of HIV infection had a low prevalence of NCI, comparable to matched HIV-uninfected persons. Early recognition and management of HIV infection may be important in limiting neurocognitive impairment. *Neurology*<sup>®</sup> 2013;80:371-379

#### GLOSSARY

BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CI = confidence interval; GDS = Global Deficit Score; HAART = highly active antiretroviral therapy; HCV = hepatitis C virus; IQR = interquartile range; NCI = neurocognitive impairment; OR = odds ratio.

Despite the availability of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), HIV-infected persons remain at risk for neurocognitive impairment (NCI).<sup>1</sup> Although severe forms of neurologic disease (e.g., HIV-associated dementia) have declined, the risk of other forms of NCI remains elevated compared to the general population.<sup>1-4</sup> The burden of NCI among HIV-infected persons remains substantial, occurring in approximately half (range 18%–73%) of patients.<sup>1,5-7</sup>

Since most studies have evaluated HIV-positive patients with unknown dates of HIV seroconversion and 35%–45% of newly diagnosed HIV-positive patients in the United States meet AIDS-defining criteria within 1 year of diagnosis,<sup>8</sup> elevated rates of NCI may result from late diagnosis and uninhibited viral replication in the CNS causing irreversible brain injury prior to diagnosis or initiation of therapy. A history of AIDS and low nadir CD4 counts has been associated with NCI.<sup>1,2,9</sup> However, few studies have determined the rate of NCI among HIV-positive patients managed in an optimized setting of early diagnosis, free access to care, and few concurrent comorbidities.

371

Cianflone, MD, MPH David J. Moore, PhD Scott Letendre, MD Mollie Poehlman Roediger, MS Lynn Eberly, PhD Amy Weintrob, MD Anuradha Ganesan, MD Erica Johnson, MD Raechel Del Rosario, MPH Brian K. Agan, MD Braden R. Hale, MD, MPH

Nancy F. Crum-

Correspondence to Dr. Crum-Cianflone: nancy.crum@med.navy.mil

From the Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program (N.F.C.-C., M.P.R., L.E., A.W., A.G., E.J., R.D., B.K.A., B.R.H.), Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD; HIV Clinic (N.F.C.-C., R.D., B.R.H.), Naval Medical Center San Diego, San Diego; Naval Health Research Center (N.F.C.-C., B.R.H.), San Diego; Health Neurobehavioral Research Program (D.J.M., S.L.), University of California, San Diego; Biostatistics Division (M.P.R., L.E.), University of Minnesota, Minneapolis; Infectious Disease Clinic (A.W.), Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, DC; Infectious Disease Clinic (A.G.), National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, MD; and Infectious Disease Service (E.J.), San Antonio Military Medical Center, San Antonio, TX.

Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 3 of 10 PageID# 13465

We determined the prevalence of NCI among US military HIV-infected persons who have routine HIV testing, mandatory follow-up evaluations after diagnosis, open access to early antiretroviral treatment, and low rates of comorbidities including active substance use. We assessed the prevalence of neurocognitive impairment evaluating HIV-positive patients both earlier (i.e., <6 years of HIV infection since diagnosis, no AIDS-defining condition, and CD4 nadir >200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>) and later in the course of HIV infection, and compared these participants with a matched HIVuninfected group of military beneficiaries.

**METHODS Study design and participants.** We performed a cross-sectional study among 200 HIV-infected and 50 HIV-uninfected military beneficiaries (active duty members, retirees, or dependents). All active duty members, including those in this analysis, are HIV seronegative upon entry into military service and undergo repeated mandatory HIV testing. Active duty members who become HIV-positive are evaluated by an HIV specialist at least semiannually, and all military beneficiaries have open access to early antiretroviral treatment and low rates of comorbidities including active substance use.

Of the 200 HIV-infected participants, 100 were classified as earlier stage (<6 years of HIV infection since diagnosis, no prior AIDS-defining condition, and CD4 nadir >200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>), and 100 as later stage (not meeting all 3 criteria). A control group of military beneficiaries (n = 50) were matched to the HIV-infected subjects by age (<35 vs 35–50 years), gender, race/ethnicity (Caucasian vs other), and rank (officer vs enlisted vs other including retirees and spouses). Inclusion criteria for both HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected groups included military beneficiaries who were 18–50 years of age. Exclusion criteria were current/recent suicidal ideation, inability or unwillingness to complete the neuropsychological battery, and presence of an acute medical condition that could impact the participant's ability to complete the tests (e.g., febrile illness). The control group had an HIV-negative ELISA test within 1 year of study enrollment.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. All study participants provided written informed consent and the study was approved by a central military institutional review board. The trial was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (registration #NCT00893815).

**Data collection.** Clinical data were abstracted from medical records including medical conditions, medications, body mass index, and fasting lipid levels. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) was defined as a positive antibody or RNA viral load. Among HIV-infected subjects, data on last HIV seronegative and first HIV seropositive dates, AIDS-defining conditions,<sup>10</sup> CD4 cell counts (including current, nadir, and recovery [current CD4 – CD4 nadir]), HIV RNA levels, and antiretroviral therapy (type, CNS penetration effectiveness,<sup>11</sup> duration, and percentage of time since diagnosis on medications) were collected. HIV infection in our cohort was primarily acquired by sexual routes<sup>12</sup>; data on sexual orientation were not available.

Questionnaire data included demographics, military rank and duty status, education, substance use, history of loss of consciousness or traumatic brain injury, and self-reported assessment of cognitive impairment. Illicit drug use was ascertained by a confidential questionnaire regarding drug use (past and current) and prior failure of military mandatory drug screening. Questionnaires assessed lipodystrophy, neuropathy (AIDS Clinical Trial Group Peripheral Neuropathy Screening Tool),<sup>13</sup> current and lifetime psychiatric diagnoses (Composite International Diagnostic Interview modules A, E, F, J, K, O, and X), and current mood (Beck Depression Inventory [BDI]–II).

All participants underwent a comprehensive battery of standardized neuropsychological tests and questionnaires (administration time 3.5-4 hours) shown to be sensitive to HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders.1 The neuropsychological measures included an estimate of premorbid functioning (Wechsler Test of Adult Reading), verbal fluency (letter fluency [FAS], category fluency [animals], and action fluency [verbs]), attention/working memory (Paced Auditory Serial Addition Task, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III [WAIS-III] Digit Span), visuospatial functioning (Judgment of Line Orientation Tests, form H; Hooper Visual Organization Test), speeded information processing (WAIS-III Symbol Search, WAIS-III Digit Symbol, Trail Making Test [TMT] A, Stroop Word and Color Tests), learning and recall (Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-R, Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-R), abstraction/executive functioning (Wisconsin Card Sorting Tests, 64-card version; TMT B; Stroop Word and Color Tests), motor speed and dexterity (Grooved Pegboard Test [both hands]), and effort (Hiscock Digit Memory Test). Neuropsychological tests were scored by trained psychometrists and raw scores were converted to demographically adjusted t scores corrected for effects of age, education, gender, and ethnicity. Scores were then converted to deficit scores that give differential weight to impaired rather than normal scores as previously described.14,15 The Global Deficit Score (GDS) was used to summarize neuropsychological test results by quantifying the number and degree of impaired performances. A score  $\geq 0.5$  has been shown to be a sensitive and specific indicator of global NCI,14 and a deficit score >0.5 was used within each domain. Importantly, impairment on the GDS has been found to be associated with biomarkers of HIV disease progression (e.g., CD4 count)<sup>16</sup> as well as aspects of everyday functioning declines (e.g., medication adherence).17,18

Statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics are presented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) or as counts with percents, as appropriate. The Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum test was used to compare medians, and x<sup>2</sup> tests to compare percentages. For each analysis, there were 2 comparisons: earlier vs later stage HIVinfected participants, and HIV-infected vs HIV-uninfected participants. The relationships between self-reported cognitive problems and GDS and depression were explored with linear and logistic regression. Univariate and multivariate associations of factors with NCI were determined by logistic regression. Odds ratios (OR) for the prevalence of NCI were estimated with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Prespecified factors of interest (age, gender, race/ethnicity, years since HIV seropositivity, and cumulative years on antiretroviral therapy since diagnosis) along with factors with a p value  $\leq 0.15$  in univariate models were included in the multivariate model. All p values are 2-sided. Analyses were conducted using SAS software (version 9.1; SAS).

**RESULTS Study population.** Two hundred HIVinfected persons were studied (table 1); 91% were documented HIV seroconverters with a median seroconversion window of 1.2 years. The study population consisted of a population with low prevalence of

372

Neurology 80 January 22, 2013

DX005<sup>©</sup> 2013 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 2 of 9

Table 1	Baseline characteristics of study population								
		HIV+ stage, n (%) or median (IQR)							
		HIV+	Earlier stage	Later stage	p Value <sup>a,b</sup>	HIV-	p Value <sup>a,c</sup>		
No.		200	100	100		50			
Demographics									
Age, y		36.4 (28.1-43.6)	28.8 (25.6-35.9)	42.1 (36.9-46.5)	<0.001	36.0 (27.0-44.0)	0.56		
Male		191 (95.5)	97 (97.0)	94 (94.0)	0.50	48 (96.0)			
Race					0.15		0.001		
White		97 (48.5)	44 (44.0)	53 (53.0)		25 (50.0)			
Black		58 (29.0)	27 (27.0)	31 (31.0)		4 (8.0)			
Hispanic		28 (14.0)	17 (17.0)	11 (11.0)		9 (18.0)			
Other		17 (8.5)	12 (12.0)	5 (5.0)		12 (24.0)			
Education									
Total years		14.0 (12.0-16.0)	13.0 (12.0-15.0)	14.0 (13.0-16.0)	<0.001	13.0 (12.0-14.0)	0.06		
Highest comp	pleted level				0.001		0.02		
Less than h	high school	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.0)		1 (2.0)			
High schoo	nl/diploma	130 (65.0)	77 (77.0)	53 (53.0)		39 (78.0)			
Bachelor de	egree	37 (18.5)	15 (15.0)	22 (22.0)		9 (18.0)			
Higher deg	ree (e.g., master, PhD)	31 (15.5)	8 (8.0)	23 (23.0)		1 (2.0)			
Medical history	1								
Depression (B	BDI ≥ 20)	15 (7.5)	6 (6.0)	9 (9.0)	0.42	0 (0.0)	0.05		
Significant m	nedical conditions <sup>d</sup>	75 (37.5)	20 (20.0)	55 (55.0)	<0.001				
Hypertension	ı	56 (28.0)	18 (18.0)	38 (38.0)	0.002	2 (4.0)	<0.001		
Diabetes		6 (3.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (6.0)	0.03	1 (2.0)	>0.99		
Ever sympton	ms of peripheral neuropathy	63 (31.5)	21 (21.0)	42 (42.0)	0.001				
HIV history									
Years HIV se	ropositive	5.2 (2.1-11.1)	2.3 (1.1-3.5)	11.1 (8.0-16.0)	<0.001				
Seroconverte	er	181 (90.5)	94 (94.0)	87 (87.0)	0.09				
Seroconversi	ion window⁵ (in years) <sup>e</sup>	1.2 (0.8-1.9)	1.3 (0.8-2.0)	1.2 (0.8-1.9)	0.89				
CD4+ (cells/r	mm³)	546 (417-706)	542 (434-688)	555 (397-737)	0.79				
Nadir CD4+	(cells/mm³)	319 (239-425)	366 (283-512)	278 (173-342)	<0.001				
Nadir CD4+	< 200 cells/mm³	30 (15.0)	0 (0.0)	30 (30.0)					
CD4 recovery	y <sup>f</sup> (cells/mm³)	195 (75-351)	119 (22-236)	291 (181-431)	<0.001				
HIV RNA (log	110 copies/mL)	1.7 (1.7-3.5)	2.8 (1.7-4.1)	1.7 (1.7-1.7)	<0.001				
HIV RNA, und	detectable (<50 copies/mL)	108 (55.1)	33 (34.4)	75 (75.0)	<0.001				
Antiretrovira	ıl use				<0.001				
On HAART		128 (64.0)	40 (40.0)	88 (88.0)					
Prior HAAR	RT use	13 (6.5)	4 (4.0)	9 (9.0)					
ART naive		59 (29.5)	56 (56.0)	3 (3.0)					
CPE rank of o	current regimen <sup>g</sup>	7.0 (7.0-8.0)	7.0 (7.0-7.0)	7.0 (7.0-9.0)	0.007				
Current regin	men contains EFV <sup>f</sup>	74 (57.8)	33 (82.5)	41 (46.6)	<0.001				
Cumulative y	rears on HAART <sup>g,h</sup>	4.3 (1.8-8.4)	1.4 (0.4-2.7)	7.1 (4.0-10.3)	<0.001				
Percentage o	of time on HAART <sup>g,h</sup>	62.3 (37.5-86.1)	58.2 (32.3-83.4)	63.0 (43.6-86.7)	<0.001				

Abbreviations: ART = antiretroviral therapy; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CPE = CNS penetration effectiveness; EFV = efavirenz; HAART = highly active antiretroviral therapy; IQR = interquartile range.

<sup>a</sup> p Values are calculated with  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher exact test, as appropriate, for proportions and Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests for medians.

<sup>b</sup>Earlier stage vs later stage.

°HIV+ vs HIV-.

<sup>d</sup> Hepatitis C virus, clinical AIDS, cardiac disease, cerebrovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, cirrhosis, renal failure, CNS infection, seizures.

<sup>e</sup> Time between last documented HIV-negative date and first documented HIV-positive date, limited to the 181 participants with both.

 $^{\rm f}{\rm Current}$  CD4 count - nadir CD4 count.

<sup>g</sup>Limited to participants who have received ART (excludes ART-naive participants).

<sup>h</sup>Taking into account starts and stops of regimens, calculated from documented HIV+ date to enrollment date.

Neurology 80 January 22, 2013

DX005<sup>©</sup> 2013 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 3 of 9

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 5 of 10 PageID# 13467

substance use—18% used tobacco, 5.5% consumed  $\geq 6$  alcoholic drinks per week, and 3.5% used illicit drugs. Sixty-four percent were receiving HAART, which was initiated a median of 1.3 years after HIV diagnosis at a median CD4 count of 333 (IQR 248– 423) cells/mm<sup>3</sup>; 81% had a HIV RNA <50 copies/mL at the time of enrollment. Among those off HAART, their median CD4 count was 523 (IQR 417–685) cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. Characteristics by earlier vs later stage HIV-infected persons are shown in table 1. The HIV-negative control group (n = 50) was similar to the HIV-infected group except they were less likely to be African American and more likely to be "other" races, less likely to have a higher education degree, and less likely to have hypertension (table 1).

**Prevalence of NCI among HIV-infected persons.** NCI (GDS  $\geq$  0.5) was diagnosed among 38 (19%, 95% CI 14%–25%) HIV-infected participants. The prevalence of NCI was similar among earlier and later stage patients (18% vs 20%, p = 0.72). Later stage patients were more likely to have impairments in verbal fluency, learning, and recall, although none were statistically different from earlier stage patients

(figure). The number of domains in which participants were impaired was also similar between earlier and later stage HIV participants (earlier 1.27 and later 1.31, p = 0.84).

We evaluated the association between self-reported cognitive problems ("Do you feel that you have a problem with memory loss or cognitive functioning?") and battery-identified NCI and found no relationship of self-reported cognitive complaints with NCI (OR 1.3, p = 0.53) or with the mean GDS (p = 0.44). Among the 55 participants who complained of cognitive issues, 12 (22%) had NCI, whereas 26 (18%) of those who did not complain of cognitive issues had NCI (p = 0.53). Those with symptoms of depression (BDI  $\geq$  20) were more likely to self-report cognitive dysfunction compared to those without depression (73% vs 24%, p < 0.001); however, there was no association of depression with NCI or with GDS.

Prevalence of NCI among HIV-uninfected persons. Fifteen of the HIV-uninfected participants (30%, 95% CI 17%–43%) had NCI (figure). The percentage with NCI among HIV-infected vs HIV-uninfected persons was not statistically significantly different



\*Domain deficit score >0.50, global deficit score ≥0.50.

374

Neurology 80 January 22, 2013

DX005© 2013 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 4 of 9

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 6 of 10 PageID# 13468

(p = 0.09). HIV-infected persons had elevated rates of impairment in speed of information processing, attention/working memory, and recall, but none were significantly different from HIV-uninfected persons (all p values > 0.05). HIV-uninfected participants were more likely to have impairment in learning than HIV-infected persons (p = 0.01) (figure). Additional models adjusting for years of education and ethnicity compared HIV-infected to HIV-uninfected participants for the outcomes of NCI and each domain, separately, showed similar nonsignificant findings.

**Factors associated with neurocognitive impairment among HIV-infected persons.** Factors associated with NCI among HIV-infected participants in the univariate models are shown in table 2. No demographic, behavioral, or medical history variable was significantly associated with NCI. A higher number of years of education was associated with NCI (OR 1.2 per year, p = 0.02). Regarding HIV-specific factors, a higher current CD4 count (OR 1.07 per 50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, p = 0.08) and higher CD4 recovery (OR 1.07 per 50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, p = 0.10) were marginally associated with NCI (table 2).

In a multivariate model including factors of interest (age, gender, race, years HIV seropositive, and cumulative years on antiretroviral therapy) and univariate factors with a p value  $\leq 0.15$ , only more years of education was associated with NCI (OR 1.24 per year, p = 0.02). Current CD4 count continued to have a marginal association with NCI (OR 1.07, p = 0.07); no association was found with either CD4 nadir or CD4 recovery. Analyses were also performed stratified by earlier vs later stage and for each neurocognitive domain with similar findings (data not shown).

We repeated our analyses restricted to HIVinfected participants receiving HAART who had an HIV RNA level <50 copies/mL. Similar associations were noted with additional findings that higher CD4 cell counts (OR 1.10 per 50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, p = 0.04) and greater CD4 recovery (OR 1.15 per 50 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, p =0.01) were significantly associated with NCI. The nadir CD4 count, HIV RNA level, and HAART information were not associated with NCI (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION** We found a low prevalence of NCI among HIV-infected persons diagnosed and managed early during the course of HIV infection, and nearly identical NCI rates among earlier and later HIV-infected patients in this cohort. Of note, most patients classified as later in their course of HIV infection met criteria by a longer duration of HIV infection, but the majority had preserved CD4 counts and few had prior AIDS-defining conditions. Further, the prevalence of NCI among our HIV-infected participants was not significantly greater than a matched HIV-uninfected group. These data provide important and novel information suggesting that

the early recognition and management of HIV infection may be important in limiting NCI.

The importance of preventing NCI is severalfold as it impacts both the quantity and quality of life. Regarding survival, HIV-infected patients with NCI are at increased risk of death, even after controlling for other medical factors.<sup>3,19</sup> Further, NCI can have a substantial impact on patients' daily functioning and their ability to pursue career endeavors.<sup>20</sup> Finally, NCI may reduce antiretroviral adherence, hence adversely affecting the outcome of HIV-infected persons.<sup>17</sup> Hence, preserving cognitive function among HIV-infected persons may be an important step in further improving quality and life expectancies of patients.

During the pre-HAART era, 16% of patients with AIDS had HIV dementia, with an annual incidence of 7%.<sup>21</sup> After the introduction of HAART in 1996, there was a sharp decrease in HIV dementia; however, milder forms of neurocognitive disease continued to be diagnosed.<sup>4,22</sup> Studies demonstrated that patients with symptomatic seroconverting illness as well as high HIV RNA levels and low CD4 counts early after infection were at highest risk.<sup>23,24</sup>

The prevalence of NCI in the HAART era has varied in prior studies, likely related to clinical disease stage, comorbid diseases, and other factors.<sup>6,7</sup> A recent study found a NCI prevalence of 52% among HIV-infected patients seen at academic US HIV clinics regardless of comorbidity level.1 Our study found a much lower NCI rate (19%), but was similar to a recent study evaluating HIV-infected persons with suppressed HIV RNA levels.<sup>25</sup> The relatively low prevalence in our study may be due to a combination of factors. Early diagnosis and active disease management, few comorbid conditions (low prevalence of concurrent medical conditions including HCV, illicit drug use, and alcohol), young age, frequent monitoring of vocational functioning, and the lack of AIDS events or low CD4 counts likely contributed to our low impairment rate. Further, despite the later group having HIV for a median of 11 years, their risk of NCI remained similar to the earlier group (median 2 years). This suggests that length of HIV duration itself may not be a risk factor if patients maintain good HIV control, avoiding AIDS-defining events and low nadir CD4 counts.6

In our study, HIV-infected persons had a similar prevalence of NCI compared to matched HIVuninfected persons. Further, HIV-infected patients were not more likely to be impaired in any of the 7 cognitive domains. A recent Danish study found the overall risk of severe neurocognitive disorders is now similar among HIV-positive and -negative persons.<sup>3</sup> It should be noted that the HIV-negative controls in this study are likely to be an accurate control population relative to prior studies, as the military is relatively homogeneous in

375

Neurology 80 January 22, 2013

Table 2 Factors associated with neurocognitive impairment (GDS ≥0.5) among HIV-infected persons									
		Neurocognitive impairment, n	Univariate models						
		Yes, n = 38	No, n = 162	OR (95% CI)	p Value				
Demographics									
Age, y		36.7 (8.7)	35.7 (8.5)	1.01 (0.97-1.06)	0.51				
Race									
White		18 (47.4)	79 (48.8)	1.0	-				
Black		9 (23.7)	49 (30.2)	0.81 (0.34-1.94)	0.63				
Hispanic		8 (21.1)	20 (12.3)	1.76 (0.67-4.62)	0.25				
Other		3 (7.9)	14 (8.6)	0.94 (0.24-3.62)	0.93				
Education									
Total years		15.2 (2.3)	14.2 (2.3)	1.20 (1.03-1.39)	0.02				
Highest com	pleted level								
Less than	high school	0 (0.0)	1 (0.6)	-	-				
High scho	ol/diploma	19 (50.0)	111 (68.5)	1.0	-				
Bachelor o	legree	11 (28.9)	26 (16.0)	0.53 (0.21-1.36)	0.19				
Higher des	gree (e.g., master, PhD)	8 (21.1)	23 (14.2)	1.32 (0.46-3.83)	0.61				
Medical history									
Depression	(BDI ≥20)	4 (10.5)	11 (6.8)	1.61 (0.48-5.38)	0.43				
Significant r	nedical conditions <sup>a</sup>	14 (36.8)	61 (37.7)	0.97 (0.46-2.01)	0.93				
Hypertensio	'n	10 (26.3)	46 (28.4)	0.90 (0.41-2.00)	0.80				
Diabetes		0 (0.0)	6 (3.7)	-	-				
Ever sympto	oms of peripheral neuropathy	11 (28.9)	52 (32.1)	0.86 (0.40-1.87)	0.71				
HIV history									
Years HIV s	eropositive	8.1 (6.9)	7.1 (6.2)	1.03 (0.97-1.08)	0.35				
Seroconvert	er, yes	34 (89.5)	147 (90.7)	0.87 (0.27-2.78)	0.81				
Seroconvers	sion window <sup>b</sup> (in years)	1.4 (0.9)	1.5 (1.0)	0.97 (0.66-1.44)	0.90				
HIV stage (e	arlier vs later)	18 (47.4)	82 (50.6)	0.88 (0.43-1.78)	0.72				
Current CD4	4+ (cells/mm³)	640.7 (282.2)	566.5 (214.2)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.08				
Nadir CD4+	(cells/mm³)	344.0 (197.3)	339.1 (158.7)	1.01 (0.91-1.12)	0.87				
Nadir CD4+	<200 cells/mm³	7 (18.4)	23 (14.2)	1.36 (0.54-3.46)	0.51				
CD4 recover	ry <sup>c</sup> (cells/mm³)	296.7 (298.8)	231.6 (192.6)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	0.10				
HIV RNA (log	g <sub>10</sub> copies/mL)	2.6 (1.3)	2.5 (1.1)	1.09 (0.81-1.47)	0.55				
HIV RNA, un	idetectable (<50 copies/mL)	22 (57.9)	86 (54.4)	1.15 (0.56-2.36)	0.70				
Antiretrovira	al use								
On HAAR1	Г	23 (60.5)	105 (64.8)	0.86 (0.39-1.87)	0.70				
Prior HAA	RT use	3 (7.9)	10 (6.2)	1.18 (0.28-4.95)	0.83				
ART naive		12 (31.6)	47 (29.0)						
CPE rank of	current regimen <sup>d</sup>	7.9 (2.1)	7.8 (2.0)	1.02 (0.83-1.27)	0.82				
Current regi	imen contains EFV <sup>d</sup>	15 (65.2)	59 (56.2)	1.46 (0.57-3.74)	0.43				
Cumulative	years on HAART <sup>d.e.</sup>	6.5 (4.6)	5.1 (3.9)	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	0.27				
Percenters	of time on HAADTde	61 7 (27 5)	50.0 (29.6)	1 00 (0 00 0 70)	0.06				

Abbreviations: ART = antiretroviral therapy; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; CI = confidence interval; CPE = CNS penetration effectiveness; EFV = efavirenz; GDS = Global Deficit Score; HAART = highly active antiretroviral therapy; OR = odds ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Hepatitis C virus, clinical AIDS, cardiac disease, cerebrovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes, cirrhosis, renal failure, CNS infection, seizures.

<sup>b</sup>Time between last documented HIV-negative date and first documented HIV-positive date, limited to the 181 participants with both.

 $^{\rm c}\,{\rm Current}$  CD4 count - nadir CD4 count.

 $^{\rm d}\mbox{Limited}$  to participants who have received ART (excludes ART-naive participants).

<sup>e</sup> Taking into account starts and stops of regimens, calculated from documented HIV+ date to enrollment date.

376

DX005<sup>©</sup> 2013 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 6 of 9

regards to socioeconomic status, lifestyle, and other factors such as substance abuse.

Early events in HIV infection such as loss of vital CD4 reserves and uncontrolled HIV replication may trigger irreversible CNS damage and may cause the "residual" NCI seen in long-term survivors. Prospective studies are needed to determine if early diagnosis and initiation of antiretroviral therapy would reduce the burden of NCI among HIV-infected persons.<sup>26</sup> A recent study showed that patients with early HIV infection had similar neurocognitive functioning compared to HIV-uninfected persons, suggesting that detrimental effects of HIV on the brain may not occur immediately, potentially providing an opportunity for early intervention.<sup>27</sup> Clinical trials are underway, including a substudy of the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Treatment (START) trial, examining neurocognitive functioning among those treated immediately compared to later in their disease course.

We did not detect strong associations between immunologic or virologic control and the presence of NCI. A low CD4 nadir was not associated with NCI as seen in other studies<sup>1,2,7,9</sup> including a recent study which suggested that these factors may lead to structural brain damage.<sup>28</sup> Our lack of association may reflect that few of our patients experienced very low CD4 nadirs, or that CD4 nadirs are not predictive in persons who are managed early in infection and who avoid reaching very low counts (<200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>). Regarding current HIV counts, we noted a marginal association between higher current CD4 counts and CD4 recovery with NCI. Interestingly, when restricting our analyses to participants receiving HAART with a HIV RNA <50 copies/mL, these associations became stronger. We examined the association of PI use (which may result in higher CD4 counts, but has limited CNS penetration) and found no associations between specific antiretroviral class and NCI. These data suggest a possible immunologic component, such as immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome-like reaction, in the pathogenesis of NCI; further studies are needed. Finally, we found no associations between HAART use and NCI. Although prior studies have shown that cognition improves shortly after HAART initiation,<sup>5,29</sup> our data suggest that NCI may persist despite ongoing HAART use, signifying that chronic neuronal inflammation and injury may continue. Since the benefit of HAART is incomplete,<sup>30,31</sup> strategies to prevent the initial development of NCI are paramount.

The prevalence of NCI among our HIV-uninfected persons was higher than expected with the estimated rate in the general population of 16%.<sup>32</sup> Although the reasons for this are unknown, it may have been due to self-selection bias as this group was enrolled from different settings (military bases) than the HIV-positive group (within HIV clinics). There

were also differences in education and ethnicity, which may have contributed to the observed differences. The HIV-infected group had a reasonable proportion of individuals with higher degrees (e.g., Master, PhD, MD), whereas only one individual in our HIV-uninfected group had a higher degree. It may be that the more highly educated subjects in our HIV-infected group have greater levels of cognitive reserve, making declines due to HIV infection less likely. Moreover, our normative data adjust for African American and Caucasian ethnicities; the higher proportion of Hispanic and "other" ethnicities in our HIV-uninfected group may not have been appropriately corrected for among the HIV-uninfected group, leading to higher rates of NCI.

Our study had some limitations. We conducted a cross-sectional study, hence could not assess temporality or causation between factors of interest and the development of NCI. Furthermore, the low prevalence of NCI may have limited our ability to identify associated factors. We also evaluated a distinct population consisting of military members who may differ from other HIV-infected populations; however, our data provide important information about NCI in an optimized setting of early diagnosis, comprehensive medical care, stable socioeconomic factors, and few comorbidities (e.g., illicit drug use, HCV). Further, our data provide important information about the cognitive functioning of HIV-positive military personnel, and suggest that rather than disqualifying all seropositive members from performing certain occupations (e.g., aviators) due to concerns of NCI, it may be more prudent to perform neurocognitive testing in these groups.33 Additionally, our study advocates for formal neurocognitive testing as self-reports of neurocognitive complications were more strongly related to depressed mood than cognitive functioning. Since we evaluated a US military population consisting of mostly men, our study cannot be generalized to women. Finally, the main objective of the study was to determine the prevalence of NCI among HIV-infected persons, and it was not specifically powered for comparisons to the HIV-uninfected arm.

HIV-infected persons diagnosed and managed early in infection have low rates of NCI, which are comparable to those of HIV-uninfected persons. Patients with longstanding HIV infection (median >10 years) had similar NCI rates compared to those with more recent infection, suggesting that early management and avoidance of comorbid conditions may be important in preserving cognitive function.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Nancy F. Crum-Cianflone, MD, MPH: study concept and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. David

377

Neurology 80 January 22, 2013

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 9 of 10 PageID# 13471

J. Moore, PhD: study concept and design, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Scott Letendre, MD: study concept and design, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Mollie Poehlman Roediger, MS: study concept and design, analysis and interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Lynn Eberly, PhD: study concept and design, analysis and interpretation, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Amy Weintrob, MD: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Anuradha Ganesan, MD: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Erica Johnson, MD: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Raechel DelRosario: acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Brian K. Agan, MD: study concept and design, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision. Braden R. Hale, MD, MPH: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, and study supervision.

#### STUDY FUNDING

Support for this work (IDCRP-016) was provided by the Infectious Disease Clinical Research Program (IDCRP), a Department of Defense (DoD) program executed through the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. This project has been funded in whole, or in part, with federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, NIH, under Inter-Agency Agreement Y1-AI-5072. Support was also obtained by the Neurobehavioral Health Research Center from the National Institute of Mental Health (UCSD HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center, P30 MH62512). The content of this publication is the sole responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the NIH or the Department of Health and Human Services, the DoD, or the Departments of the Army, Navy, or Air Force. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the US Government. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

#### DISCLOSURE

The authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received March 5, 2012. Accepted in final form September 11, 2012.

#### REFERENCES

- Heaton RK, Clifford DB, Franklin DR Jr, et al; CHAR-TER Group. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders persist in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy: CHARTER Study. Neurology 2010;75:2087–2096.
- Bhaskaran K, Mussini C, Antinori A, et al; CASCADE Collaboration. Changes in the incidence and predictors of human immunodeficiency virus-associated dementia in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. Ann Neurol 2008;63:213–221.
- Lescure FX, Omland LH, Engsig FN, et al. Incidence and impact on mortality of severe neurocognitive disorders in persons with and without HIV infection: a Danish nationwide cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2011;52:235–243.
- Sacktor N, McDermott MP, Marder K, et al. HIV-associated cognitive impairment before and after the advent of combination therapy. J Neurovirol 2002;8:136–142.
- Cysique LA, Vaida F, Letendre S, et al. Dynamics of cognitive change in impaired HIV-positive patients initiating antiretroviral therapy. Neurology 2009;73:342–348.
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, et al; CHARTER Group; HNRC Group. HIV-associated neurocognitive

disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates, nature, and predictors. J Neurovirol 2011;17:3–16.

- Muñoz-Moreno JA, Fumaz CR, Ferrer MJ, et al. Nadir CD4 cell count predicts neurocognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2008;24:1301–1307.
- Gardner EM, McLees MP, Steiner JF, Del Rio C, Burman WJ. The spectrum of engagement in HIV care and its relevance to test-and-treat strategies for prevention of HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis 2011;52:793–800.
- Robertson KR, Smurzynski M, Parsons TD, et al. The prevalence and incidence of neurocognitive impairment in the HAART era. AIDS 2007;21:1915–1921.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1993 revised classification system for HIV infection and expanded surveillance case definition for AIDS among adolescents and adults. MMWR Recomm Rep 1992;41:1–19.
- Letendre S, Marquie-Beck J, Capparelli E, et al; CHARTER Group. Validation of the CNS penetration-effectiveness rank for quantifying antiretroviral penetration into the central nervous system. Arch Neurol 2008;65:65–70.
- Brodine SK, Shaffer RA, Starkey MJ, et al. Drug resistance patterns, genetic subtypes, clinical features, and risk factors in military personnel with HIV-1 seroconversion. Ann Intern Med 1999;131:502–506.
- Cherry CL, Wesselingh SL, Lal L, McArther JC. Evaluation of a clinical screening tool for HIV-associated sensory neuropathies. Neurology 2005;13:1778–1781.
- Carey CL, Woods SP, Gonzalez R, et al. Predictive validity of global deficit scores in detecting neuropsychological impairment in HIV infection. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2004;26:307–319.
- Heaton RK, Velin RA, McCutchan JA, et al. Neuropsychological impairment in human immunodeficiency virusinfection: implications for employment: HNRC Group. Psychosom Med 1994;56:8–17.
- Gonzalez R, Heaton RK, Moore DJ, et al; for the HNRC Group. Computerizes reaction time battery versus a traditional neuropsychological battery: detecting HIV-related impairments. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2003;9:64–71.
- Hinkin CH, Hardy DJ, Mason KI, et al. Medication adherence in HIV-infected adults: effect of patient care, cognitive status, and substance abuse. AIDS 2004;18:S19–S25.
- Levine AJ, Hinkin CH, Castellon SA, et al. Variations in patterns of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) adherence. AIDS Behav 2004;9:355–362.
- Ellis RJ, Deutsch R, Heaton RK, et al. Neurocognitive impairment is an independent risk factor for death in HIV infection: San Diego HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group. Arch Neurol 1997;54:416–424.
- Heaton RK, Marcotte TD, Mindt MR, et al; HNRC Group. The impact of HIV-associated neuropsychological impairment on everyday functioning. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2004;10:317–331.
- McArthur JC, Hoover DR, Bacellar H, et al. Dementia in AIDS patients: incidence and risk factors: multicenter AIDS cohort study. Neurology 1993;43:2245–2252.
- Sacktor N, Lyles RH, Skolasky R, et al; multicenter AIDS cohort study. HIV-associated neurologic disease incidence changes: multicenter AIDS cohort study, 1990–1998. Neurology 2001;56:257–260.
- Marcotte TD, Deutsch R, McCutchan JA, et al; San Diego HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center (HNRC) Group.

378

### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-15 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 10 PageID#

13472

Prediction of incident neurocognitive impairment by plasma HIV RNA and CD4 levels early after HIV seroconversion. Arch Neurol 2003;60:1406–1412.

- Wallace MR, Nelson JA, McCutchan JA, et al. Symptomatic HIV seroconverting illness is associated with more rapid neurological impairment. Sex Transm Infect 2001; 77:199–201.
- Cysique LA, Brew BJ. Prevalence of non-confounded HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment in the context of plasma HIV RNA suppression. J Neurovirol 2011;17: 176–183.
- 26. Liner KJ II, Ro MJ, Robertson KR. HIV, antiretroviral therapies, and the brain. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2010;7:85–91.
- Moore DJ, Letendre SL, Morris S, et al; CHARTER Group. Neurocognitive functioning in acute or early HIV infection. J Neurovirol 2011;17:50–57.
- Jernigan TL, Archibald SL, Fennema-Notestine C, et al; for the CHARTER Group. Clinical factors related to brain structure in HIV: the CHARTER study. J Neurovirol 2011;17:248–257.

- Joska JA, Gouse H, Paul RH, Stein DJ, Flisher AJ. Does highly active antiretroviral therapy improve neurocognitive function? A systematic review. J Neurovirol 2010;16:101–114.
- Ellis R, Langford D, Masliah E. HIV and antiretroviral therapy in the brain: neuronal injury and repair. Nat Rev Neurosci 2007;8:33–44.
- Tozzi V, Balestra P, Bellagamba R, et al. Persistence of neuropsychologic deficits despite long-term highly active antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV-related neurocognitive impairment: prevalence and risk factors. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2007;45:174–182.
- 32. Heaton RK, Miller S, Taylor M, Grant I. Revised Comprehensive Norms for an Expanded Halstead-reitan Battery: Demographically Adjusted Neuropsychological Norms for African American and Caucasian Adults Scoring Program. Lutz: Psychological Assessment Resources; 2004.
- Mapou RL, Kay GG, Rundell JR, Temoshok L. Measuring performance decrements in aviation personnel infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. Aviat Space Environ Med 1993;64:158–164.

## Guide the Future of Neurology—Become a Mentor!

The Academy's Neurology Career Center is working to bring experienced members together with members who seek guidance on their career path. AAN Mentor Connect needs volunteer Mentors who are willing to share their expertise, insights, and experiences with Mentees.

This flexible program, available only to AAN members, matches prospective Mentors and Mentees, and enables you to develop a plan with the Mentee that has a mutually agreeable schedule and expectations.

Enjoy the personal satisfaction of making a valued contribution to the career of a fellow AAN member. Visit *www.aan.com/view/Mentor* to learn more and register to be a Mentor today.

# Neurology<sup>®</sup> WriteClick: Join the Debate!

The editors encourage comments about recent articles through WriteClick:

Go to *www.neurology.org* and click on the "WriteClick" tab at the top of the page. Responses will be posted within 72 hours of submission.

Before using WriteClick, remember the following:

- WriteClick is restricted to comments about studies published in *Neurology* within the last eight weeks
- Read previously posted comments; redundant comments will not be posted
- Your submission must be 200 words or less and have a maximum of five references; reference one must be the article on which you are commenting
- · You can include a maximum of five authors (including yourself)

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-16 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 8 PageID# 13473

# EXHIBIT 40

# HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Neurovirol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Neurovirol. 2018 April; 24(2): 141–145. doi:10.1007/s13365-017-0556-6.

## Changing Clinical Phenotypes of HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorders

#### Ned Sacktor, MD

Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center, Johns Hopkins Neurology School of Medicine

#### Abstract

HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) remains a common cause of cognitive impairment and persists in 15-55% of HIV+ individuals in the CART era. Combination antiretroviral therapy (CART) is now the primary treatment for HAND, but it is effective in only a subset of patients. In the pre-CART era, HIV-associated dementia was the most common form of HAND. However, in CART-treated patients the prevalence of HIV-associated dementia has declined substantially, and milder stages of HAND, *i.e.*, ANI and MND predominate. HIV+ patients with mild neurocognitive disorder (MND) can still have significant functional impairment in some activities of daily living. There have been several other significant changes in the clinical features of HAND in the CART era. The mean survival for an individual diagnosed with HIV dementia has increased dramatically. In HIV+ individuals on CART with a suppressed systemic viral load, the majority of individuals with HAND remain stable, with a small proportion showing deterioration. Extrapyramidal signs are now less common in patients with HAND on CART. In the CART era, HAND may have a mixed pattern of both cortical and subcortical features with greater deficits in executive functioning and working memory. Despite the milder clinical phenotype, in the CART era, patients with HAND still have persistent laboratory and neuroimaging abnormalities in the central nervous system even with systemic viral suppression. As the HIV+ patient population ages, cerebrovascular disease risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia are increasingly recognized as risk factors for cognitive impairment in HIV+ patients on CART. HAND remains a common neurological condition globally in the CART era, necessitating the need for new animal models to examine pathogenesis and potential treatments for HAND.

#### Keywords

HIV; dementia; cognitive disorder

In the past 20 years, there have been several advancements in the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. Combination antiretroviral therapy (CART), introduced in 1996, can provide effective systemic suppression of HIV replication (1). The

Address correspondence to: Ned Sacktor, MD, Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins Bayview, Medical Center, 4940 Eastern Avenue, 301 Building, Suite 2100, Baltimore MD 21224, Tel: 410-550-1045, Fax#-410-550-0539, sacktor@jhmi.edu. Disclosure: The author has no financial disclosures to report

Page 2

introduction of CART has resulted in a 50% decline in mortality rates associated with HIV infection, and CART has reduced the incidence of opportunistic infections associated with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Another advancement in the management of HIV-seropositive (HIV+) patients is the ability to monitor the efficacy of CART with CD4+ helper T cells, ultrasensitive plasma HIV RNA levels, and antiretroviral resistance profiles which are now used commonly in clinic settings.

In addition, results from the Strategic Timing of Antiretroviral Therapy (START) trial (2) have proven the benefits and safety of early CART initiation on AIDS and non-AIDS related events in this international study of >4600 CART-naïve HIV+ individuals with CD4+ T cells counts > 500 cells/ $\mu$ L and HIV+ individuals with CD4+ T cells counts <350 cells/ $\mu$ L. CART is now begun as early as possible in an HIV+ patient, regardless of the CD4+ T cell counts.

The syndrome of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) was defined in 2007 with 3 stages of cognitive impairment: (1) asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI), (2) mild neurocognitive disorder (MND), and (3) HIV-associated dementia (3). ANI is defined by neuropsychological test performance that is at least 1 SD below the mean of demographically adjusted normative scores in at least two cognitive areas, but without impairment in everyday functioning. MND is defined by mild-to-moderate cognitive impairment with neuropsychological test performance that is at least 1 SD below the mean of demographically adjusted normative scores in at least two cognitive areas, and is associated with mild interference in daily functioning. HAD is defined by a moderate-severe cognitive impairment with neuropsychological test performance that is at least 2 SD below the mean of demographically adjusted normative scores in at least two cognitive areas, and is associated with marked interference with day-to-day functioning. The ANI category was added to this modified staging criteria by emphasize this mildest stage of cognitive impairment in CART-treated HIV+ patients (4).

CART is now the only option to prevent or delay progression of HAND, but it is effective in only a subset of patients. Indeed, in the START trial, early CART initiation did not have an effect on HAND (2). However, a recent study suggests that CART initiation very shortly after HIV acquisition results in greater improvement in HIV+ individuals' neurocognitive performance over time compared to deferred CART treatment 24 weeks later (5). HAND remains a common cause of cognitive impairment and persists in 15–55% of HIV+ individuals in the CART era (1). Over the past 20 years there has been little change in the overall prevalence of HAND (6). In the CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) cohort, HAND was present in 47% of the cohort, with ANI present in 33%, MND in 12%, and HIV-associated dementia in only 2% of the cohort. In the pre-CART era, HIV-associated dementia was the most common form of HAND. However, in CART-treated HIV+ patients the prevalence of HIV-associated dementia has declined substantially, and milder stages of HAND, *i.e.*, ANI and MND predominate (7).

Although HIV+ patients with the stage of ANI are asymptomatic, the stage may still be clinically relevant. In a CHARTER cohort study, HIV+ individuals who had a diagnosis of ANI at baseline were two to six times more likely to develop symptomatic HAND [(MND) and HIV-associated dementia] during several years of follow-up than those individuals who

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

Page 3

were neurocognitively normal at baseline (8). However, confounding causes of cognitive impairment can contribute to the neuropsychological test impairment seen with ANI, so a diagnosis of ANI should be reserved for research studies, and not used in clinical settings.

In the past decade increasing attention is being given to HAND in resource limited settings. Almost 70% of the global HIV+ population resides in Sub-Saharan Africa, and multiple studies suggest that HIV+ individuals in this region have worse neurocognitive performance than HIV- individuals (1, 9–15). In a study among CART naïve HIV+ participants in Uganda 49% had HAND, with 20% of the cohort diagnosed with MND and 18% diagnosed with HIV-associated dementia (16). After two years on CART in this study from Uganda, 15% had HAND, with 10% of the cohort diagnosed with MND and only 2% with HIVassociated dementia. In another study of CART-naïve HIV+ individuals from South Africa, 42% of the cohort had MND and 25% had HIV-associated dementia. If these proportions are seen throughout resource-limited countries in the world, than HAND would likely be the most common form of neurocognitive impairment in young adults worldwide (1).

In the CART era, there have been significant changes in the clinical features of HAND. With respect to survival, the mean survival for an individual diagnosed with HIV-associated dementia in 1993–1995 was five months, but the mean survival for an individual diagnosed with HIV-associated dementia in 1996–2000 was 38.5 months (17). HIV+ patients diagnosed with HAND on current CART regimens have a near normal lifespan. However, it is not a full lifespan, as a population based study of 1602 HIV+ individuals demonstrated an approximately 3 fold increased mortality risk among individuals with HAND compared to individuals without HAND (18).

The temporal progression of HAND has also changed in the CART era. Prior to the use of CART, HIV-associated dementia was a rapidly progressive dementia. In HIV+ individuals on CART with a suppressed systemic viral load, the majority of individuals with HAND remain stable. In a four year study of 197 HIV+ participants receiving CART, 77% remained neurocognitively stable, 13% deteriorated, and 10% improved their neurocognitive performance (4).

Clinical features of HAND have also changed in the CART era. Extrapyramidal signs such as bradykinesia, rigidity, and tremor which were common in the pre-CART era in patients with HIV-associated dementia, are now less common in patients with HAND on CART.

The neuropsychological profile of HAND may also be different in CART-treated patients. The overall severity of cognitive impairment is milder, in HIV+ patients on CART. Also, the overall neuropsychological test profile may be different as well. In the pre-CART era, HAND was characterized as a subcortical disorder characterized by psychomotor slowing, motor dysfunction, and memory impairment. In the CART era, HAND may have a mixed pattern of both cortical and subcortical features with greater deficits in executive functioning and working memory (7).

Risk factors for HAND have also changed in the pre-CART era. Low CD4+ T cell count, high plasma and cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA levels prior to antiretroviral therapy, HIV-related medical symptoms (*e.g.* anemia, low weight, and fatigue), and the presence of

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

Author Manuscript

Page 4

extrapyramidal signs on neurological examination, depression, and psychomotor slowing on neuropsychological testing were all associated with an increased risk for dementia in HIV+ patients (19–21). In CART-treated individuals, many of these risk factors are no longer associated with HAND, as patients on CART have less immunosuppression and commonly have suppressed viral replication in both plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. Risk factors for HAND in the CART era include advanced age, a low CD4 nadir, cardiovascular risk factors, use of illicit drugs (*e.g.*, methamphetamine), sleep disorders as well as psychiatric comorbidly including depression and anxiety (1).

Despite the milder clinical phenotype, in the CART era, patients with HAND still have persistent abnormalities in the central nervous system even with systemic viral suppression. Biomarkers for HAND which remain elevated in CART-treated patients include cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) markers of inflammation such as neopterin (22), and markers of active axonal injury such as neurofilament protein light chain (23). Additional laboratory biomarkers of HAND currently under evaluation include markers of neuronal injury (*e.g.*, CSF quinolinic acid), immune activation (*e.g.*, plasma sCD14 and sCD163, CSF fractalkine, osteopontin and MCP-1), oxidative stress (*e.g.*, CSF ceramide, sphingomyelin, protein carbonyls), and energy metabolism (*e.g.*, CSF Krebs cycle substrates) (1, 24–29).

In addition, neuroimaging markers demonstrate both caudate/putamen atrophy and cortical atrophy in HIV+ individuals with suppressed viral load (30, 31). These structural changes in the brain indicate ongoing CNS injury in HIV+ patients despite systemic virological suppression of the HIV virus.

Autopsy studies of HAND in the CART era suggest that HIV encephalitis and neuronal loss do not explain the cognitive impairment seen in HIV+ patients on CART. In one study, the frequency of HIV encephalitis at autopsy was reduced from 54% in the pre-CART era to 15% in the CART era. The pathogenesis of HAND is associated with macrophage and microglial activation, increased cytokines, chemokines, glutamate, and neurotoxic viral proteins, and bioenergetics disturbance causing functional alterations in neurons rather than neuronal death (1). Additional discussion of the neuropathology of HAND can be found in the accompanying article by Dr. Benjamin Gelman.

An important demographic change in the CART era is the aging of the HIV+ population (32). As of 2015, more than one-half of all HIV-infected individuals in the United States are greater than 50 years old (33). In a study of 202 HIV+ adults in the Hawaii Aging with HIV-1 Cohort, older HIV+ individuals greater than age 50 years are twice as likely to have HIV-associated dementia compared to younger HIV+ individuals in an age range of 20 to 39 years (25). In the CHARTER study, age and HIV infection may have synergistic effects leading to worse performance on a summary measure of neuropsychological testing than either factor alone (33, 34).

Cerebrovascular disease risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolemia are increasingly recognized as risk factors for cognitive impairment in HIV+ patients on CART. In the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study (MACS) cohort, hyperglycemia and increased carotid intima media thickness as measured by carotid

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

Page 5

ultrasound, a measure of large vessel atherosclerotic disease were both predictors of poor psychomotor speed performance among older HIV+ individuals (35). In another study, HIV + patients with pre-existing cardiovascular disease risk factors had a 6.2 fold higher odds of cognitive impairment compared to HIV+ patients without cardiovascular risk factors (36).

Neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease is another potential cause of cognitive impairment in patients with HIV infection. One autopsy study suggests that amyloid plaques are more frequently seen in HIV+ cases compared to age-matched HIV– control brains among subjects between age 30 and 59 years (37). Amyloid deposition also can be detected using position emission tomography (PET) radioligands, and studies of increased cortical uptake using the [<sup>18</sup>F] AV-45 ligand in HIV+ individuals have been described (38). However, additional studies are needed to evaluate the association of amyloid deposition and cognitive impairment among older HIV+ individuals.

In summary, HAND remains a common neurological condition globally in the CART era. HIV dementia is rare in HIV+ patients with suppressed viral replication, and less severe forms of HAND predominate. The risk of HAND increases with age and when an HIV+ individual has cardiovascular risk factors. Latent HIV persists in the brain even when systemic virological control is achieved with CART, thereby hampering efforts to eradicate HIV, with the brain serving as a potential reservoir for the virus. Although many biomarkers have been evaluated, an easily obtainable, validated surrogate marker for HAND has not entered clinical practice in the CART era. The primary treatment for HAND remains CART, though trials for adjunctive therapies are ongoing.

Because of the changing phenotype of HAND, new animal models are needed to examine the pathogenesis and potential treatment for HAND. The remainder of this issue will describe some of these novel animal models for HAND.

#### Acknowledgments

Supported by MH075673

#### References

- Saylor D, Dickens AM, Sacktor N, Haughey N, Slusher B, Pletnikov M, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder - pathogenesis and prospects for treatment. Nature reviews Neurology. 2016; 12(5):309.
- Lundgren JD, Babiker AG, Gordin F, Emery S, Grund B, Sharma S, et al. Initiation of Antiretroviral Therapy in Early Asymptomatic HIV Infection. The New England journal of medicine. 2015; 373(9):795–807. [PubMed: 26192873]
- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, Brew BJ, Byrd DA, Cherner M, et al. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology. 2007; 69(18):1789–99. [PubMed: 17914061]
- Sacktor N, Skolasky RL, Seaberg E, Munro C, Becker JT, Martin E, et al. Prevalence of HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. Neurology. 2016; 86(4): 334–40. [PubMed: 26718568]
- Robertson, KR. Can we afford to wait? ART and the CNS Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); Feb. 13, 2017; Seattle, WA. p. 2017

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-16 Filed 06/03/20 Page 7 of 8 PageID# 13479

Sacktor

Page 6

- 6. Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, McCutchan JA, Letendre SL, Leblanc S, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates, nature, and predictors. Journal of neurovirology. 2011; 17(1):3–16. [PubMed: 21174240]
- McArthur JC, Steiner J, Sacktor N, Nath A. Human immunodeficiency virus-associated neurocognitive disorders: Mind the gap. Annals of neurology. 2010; 67(6):699–714. [PubMed: 20517932]
- Grant I, Franklin DR Jr, Deutsch R, Woods SP, Vaida F, Ellis RJ, et al. Asymptomatic HIVassociated neurocognitive impairment increases risk for symptomatic decline. Neurology. 2014; 82(23):2055–62. [PubMed: 24814848]
- 9. Joska JA, Westgarth-Taylor J, Myer L, Hoare J, Thomas KG, Combrinck M, et al. Characterization of HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorders among individuals starting antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. AIDS and behavior. 2011; 15(6):1197–203. [PubMed: 20614176]
- Lawler K, Mosepele M, Ratcliffe S, Seloilwe E, Steele K, Nthobatsang R, et al. Neurocognitive impairment among HIV-positive individuals in Botswana: a pilot study. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2010; 13:15. [PubMed: 20406460]
- 11. Kwasa J, Cettomai D, Lwanya E, Osiemo D, Oyaro P, Birbeck GL, et al. Lessons learned developing a diagnostic tool for HIV-associated dementia feasible to implement in resourcelimited settings: pilot testing in Kenya. PLoS One. 2012; 7(3):e32898. [PubMed: 22412945]
- 12. Royal W 3rd, Cherner M, Carr J, Habib AG, Akomolafe A, Abimiku A, et al. Clinical features and preliminary studies of virological correlates of neurocognitive impairment among HIV-infected individuals in Nigeria. Journal of neurovirology. 2012; 18(3):191–9. [PubMed: 22528480]
- Akolo C, Royal W 3rd, Cherner M, Okwuasaba K, Eyzaguirre L, Adebiyi R, et al. Neurocognitive impairment associated with predominantly early stage HIV infection in Abuja, Nigeria. Journal of neurovirology. 2014; 20(4):380–7. [PubMed: 24927825]
- Kanmogne GD, Kuate CT, Cysique LA, Fonsah JY, Eta S, Doh R, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders in sub-Saharan Africa: a pilot study in Cameroon. BMC neurology. 2010; 10:60. [PubMed: 20626870]
- Kelly CM, van Oosterhout JJ, Ngwalo C, Stewart RC, Benjamin L, Robertson KR, et al. HIV associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) in Malawian adults and effect on adherence to combination anti-retroviral therapy: a cross sectional study. PLoS One. 2014; 9(6):e98962. [PubMed: 24915530]
- Sacktor, N. Antiretrovirals Improve HAND Stage in HIV+ patients with subtype D and A in Uganda; Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); Seattle, WA. 2017.
- Dore GJ, McDonald A, Li Y, Kaldor JM, Brew BJ. Marked improvement in survival following AIDS dementia complex in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. AIDS (London, England). 2003; 17(10):1539–45.
- Vivithanaporn P, Heo G, Gamble J, Krentz HB, Hoke A, Gill MJ, et al. Neurologic disease burden in treated HIV/AIDS predicts survival: a population-based study. Neurology. 2010; 75(13):1150–8. [PubMed: 20739646]
- Childs EA, Lyles RH, Selnes OA, Chen B, Miller EN, Cohen BA, et al. Plasma viral load and CD4 lymphocytes predict HIV-associated dementia and sensory neuropathy. Neurology. 1999; 52(3): 607–13. [PubMed: 10025796]
- Marder SR. First and second generation antipsychotics: translating the results from pragmatic trials into clinical practice. Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences. 2013; 22(3):239–40. [PubMed: 23388189]
- Sacktor N, Tarwater PM, Skolasky RL, McArthur JC, Selnes OA, Becker J, et al. CSF antiretroviral drug penetrance and the treatment of HIV-associated psychomotor slowing. Neurology. 2001; 57(3):542–4. [PubMed: 11502933]
- 22. Hagberg L, Cinque P, Gisslen M, Brew BJ, Spudich S, Bestetti A, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid neopterin: an informative biomarker of central nervous system immune activation in HIV-1 infection. AIDS research and therapy. 2010; 7:15. [PubMed: 20525234]
- Jessen Krut J, Mellberg T, Price RW, Hagberg L, Fuchs D, Rosengren L, et al. Biomarker evidence of axonal injury in neuroasymptomatic HIV-1 patients. PLoS One. 2014; 9(2):e88591. [PubMed: 24523921]

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-16 Filed 06/03/20 Page 8 of 8 PageID# 13480

Sacktor

Page 7

- 24. Kamat A, Lyons JL, Misra V, Uno H, Morgello S, Singer EJ, et al. Monocyte activation markers in cerebrospinal fluid associated with impaired neurocognitive testing in advanced HIV infection. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999). 2012; 60(3):234–43. [PubMed: 22569268]
  - Bandaru VV, Mielke MM, Sacktor N, McArthur JC, Grant I, Letendre S, et al. A lipid storage-like disorder contributes to cognitive decline in HIV-infected subjects. Neurology. 2013; 81(17):1492– 9. [PubMed: 24027056]
  - Bandaru VV, McArthur JC, Sacktor N, Cutler RG, Knapp EL, Mattson MP, et al. Associative and predictive biomarkers of dementia in HIV-1-infected patients. Neurology. 2007; 68(18):1481–7. [PubMed: 17470750]
  - Mielke MM, Bandaru VV, McArthur JC, Chu M, Haughey NJ. Disturbance in cerebral spinal fluid sphingolipid content is associated with memory impairment in subjects infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. Journal of neurovirology. 2010; 16(6):445–56. [PubMed: 21087113]
  - Cassol E, Misra V, Dutta A, Morgello S, Gabuzda D. Cerebrospinal fluid metabolomics reveals altered waste clearance and accelerated aging in HIV patients with neurocognitive impairment. AIDS (London, England). 2014; 28(11):1579–91.
  - 29. Dickens AM, Anthony DC, Deutsch R, Mielke MM, Claridge TD, Grant I, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid metabolomics implicate bioenergetic adaptation as a neural mechanism regulating shifts in cognitive states of HIV-infected patients. AIDS (London, England). 2015; 29(5):559–69.
  - Becker JT, Sanders J, Madsen SK, Ragin A, Kingsley L, Maruca V, et al. Subcortical brain atrophy persists even in HAART-regulated HIV disease. Brain imaging and behavior. 2011; 5(2):77–85. [PubMed: 21264551]
  - 31. Becker J, Maruca V, Kingsley L, Sanders J, Alger JR, Barker PB, et al. Factors affecting brain structure in men with disease in the post-HAART era. Neuroradiology. 2012; 2:113–21.
- Luther VP, Wilkin AM. HIV infection in older adults. Clinics in geriatric medicine. 2007; 23(3): 567–83. vii. [PubMed: 17631234]
- Saylor D, Sacktor N. Cognitive Impairment Among Older Individuals with HIV Infection. Current Geriatrics Reports. 2016; 5(2):63–70.
- 34. Carey CL, Woods SP, Gonzalez R, Conover E, Marcotte TD, Grant I, et al. Predictive validity of global deficit scores in detecting neuropsychological impairment in HIV infection. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2004; 26(3):307–19. [PubMed: 15512922]
- Becker JT, Kingsley L, Mullen J, Cohen B, Martin E, Miller EN, et al. Vascular risk factors, HIV serostatus, and cognitive dysfunction in gay and bisexual men. Neurology. 2009; 73(16):1292–9. [PubMed: 19841381]
- Wright EJ, Grund B, Robertson K, Brew BJ, Roediger M, Bain MP, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors associated with lower baseline cognitive performance in HIV-positive persons. Neurology. 2010; 75(10):864–73. [PubMed: 20702792]
- 37. Vago L, Bonetto S, Nebuloni M, Duca P, Carsana L, Zerbi P, et al. Pathological findings in the central nervous system of AIDS patients on assumed antiretroviral therapeutic regimens: retrospective study of 1597 autopsies. AIDS (London, England). 2002; 16(14):1925–8.
- Sacktor, N., Weiguo, Y., Zhou, Y., Roosa, H., Skolasky, R., Wong, D., Mohamed, M. Brain Amyloid Deposition is increased in a subset of older HIV+ individuals Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI). Boston MA: 2014.

J Neurovirol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 April 01.

DX006 Page 7 of 7 Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 34 PageID# 13481

# EXHIBIT 41

# **UpToDate**°

Official reprint from UpToDate<sup>®</sup> <u>www.uptodate.com</u> ©2019 UpToDate, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All Rights Reserved.

🖲 Wolters Kluwer

# HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnosis

Author: Richard W Price, MD Section Editor: John G Bartlett, MD Deputy Editor: Allyson Bloom, MD

All topics are updated as new evidence becomes available and our peer review process is complete.

Literature review current through: May 2019. | This topic last updated: Feb 14, 2017.

### INTRODUCTION

Changes in memory, concentration, attention, and motor skills are common in HIV-infected patients and present a diagnostic challenge to the clinician [1]. Since these symptoms can be caused by a variety of disorders, accurate diagnosis is critical for patient treatment [2]. When not clearly attributable to an alternate cause other than HIV infection, such impairments have been collectively classified as HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND).

The epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnosis of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders will be discussed here. Management of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders are discussed elsewhere. (See <u>"HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: Management"</u>.)

An overview of the range of neuropsychiatric conditions associated with HIV infection and more detailed reviews of other specific conditions are discussed separately. (See <u>"Overview</u> of the neuropsychiatric aspects of HIV infection and AIDS" and <u>"Depression, mania, and</u> schizophrenia in HIV-infected patients" and <u>"Substance abuse and addiction in HIV-infected patients"</u>.)

## TERMINOLOGY

The presence of neurocognitive deficits in certain HIV-infected individuals without alternative explanation other than HIV infection has long been recognized. However, the terminology to refer to this phenomenon has undergone substantial evolution since its initial characterization. In order to assist in diagnosis and categorization for research and clinical purposes, a working group supported by the United States National Institutes of Health published a classification scheme in 2007 that was initially proposed by the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center at the University of California, San Diego [3]. This classification, often referred to as the "Frascati criteria," has been widely, but not universally, adopted [4]. It includes three levels of impaired neuropsychological test performance and functional impairment within an umbrella term, HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND):

- Asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI) defined by a score of one standard deviation or more below the mean in at least two cognitive domains on standardized neuropsychological testing without a symptomatic or observable functional impairment.
- Mild neurocognitive disorder (MND) defined by a score of one standard deviation or more below the mean in at least two cognitive domains on standardized neuropsychological testing with at least mild symptomatic or functional impairment.
- HIV-associated dementia (HAD) defined by a score of two standard deviations or more below the mean in at least two cognitive domains on standardized neuropsychological testing with concomitant impairment in activities of daily living.

The definitions are applied only when the observed impairment cannot be explained by other conditions, either alternative neurological diagnoses (such as opportunistic infection, stroke, or metabolic or toxic encephalopathy) or underlying "confounding" comorbidities that might alter neuropsychological test performance (such as severe substance abuse, prior head trauma, or severe psychiatric disease).

These diagnostic categories were developed to apply a common set of criteria for research studies and, in the strict sense, they should not be used in the clinical setting without formal neuropsychological testing. However, because of the functional impairment associated with HAD, it is usually possible to apply this term to the severe form. The two milder forms of HAND require this testing for characterization, and, in particular, ANI cannot be diagnosed without formal testing.

HAD has also been previously referred to as AIDS dementia complex, HIV encephalitis, or HIV encephalopathy, all terms that referred to a circumscribed, often subacute syndrome of progressive cognitive and motor dysfunction. (See <u>'HIV-associated dementia'</u> below.)

### PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

HIV disseminates to the central nervous system (CNS) during the initial days of systemic infection and can be detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of most untreated patients thereafter [5-7]. However, the character of CSF infection changes over the course of infection and disease evolution. Initially, CSF viruses are genetically identical to those in blood and likely originate from trafficking CD4 cells. Later, CNS infection can become "compartmentalized," with the virus evolving independently from the virus found in blood. Additionally, the cell tropism of the CNS virus may change to become largely macrophage-tropic (M-tropic), in contrast to blood virus which characteristically maintains tropism for T-lymphocytes.

Whether viruses with M-tropism originate within the CNS or are introduced by infected monocytes is uncertain. However, macrophages and microglia are clearly critical to the switch from seemingly benign meningeal infection involving mainly T-lymphocytes to a more invasive encephalitis. Perivascular macrophages and related cells sustain compartmentalized brain infection and, whether infected or not, serve as important sources of the toxic signaling pathways involved in brain dysfunction that underlie HIV-associated dementia (HAD) and perhaps milder forms of HIV-neurocognitive disorders [8].

While HIV also appears to infect astrocytes [9], this is usually nonproductive (ie, does not propagate infection), and its pathogenic significance remains uncertain. There is little evidence that HIV infects neurons or oligodendrocytes. Thus, alteration of the metabolism or death of such cells occurs by "indirect" mechanisms, through the aforementioned toxic signaling pathways, which likely involve both viral and cellular molecules [10].

Autopsy studies of AIDS patients with HAD show characteristic white matter pallor, microglial nodules, multinucleated giant cells, and perivascular infiltrates [<u>11-13</u>]. Basal ganglia and nigrostriatal structures can be affected early in the course of the dementia, with

subsequent diffuse neuronal loss resulting in up to 40 percent reduction in frontal and temporal neurons. More subtle structural brain changes (eg, decreased cortical grey matter volume) may be detected even within the first year of HIV infection in the absence of overt encephalitis more clearly associated with HAD [14].

Although antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces HIV RNA in CSF, a substantial proportion of patients continue to show biomarker evidence of mild immune activation within the CNS even after years of durable viral suppression [15]. The pathophysiologic mechanisms that drive this persistent inflammatory response are unknown, although persistent CNS infection below levels detected in CSF is one possible explanation [16-20].

Other comorbidities that are common in HIV-infected patients may also play a pathogenic role in the development of neurocognitive impairment. (See <u>'Comorbidities'</u> below.)

### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

**Prevalence in the ART era** — The widespread use of suppressive combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has been associated with a marked decrease in the incidence of more severe neurocognitive deficits (ie, HIV-associated dementia [HAD]) [21]. Data from 15,380 HIV-infected patients followed in the CASCADE cohort (Concerted Action on Seroconversion to AIDS and Death in Europe) demonstrated a decrease in the incidence of HAD from 6.49 per 1000 person-years in the pre-ART era to 0.66 per 1000 person-years by 2003 to 2006 [22]. Similarly, a Danish population study reported that the incidence of severe neurological deficits in those with HIV infection was approaching that of the uninfected population [23].

In contrast to the major impact of ART on the incidence of HAD, a number of reports document a continued, substantial prevalence of milder impairment on testing in the setting of HIV infection (ranging from 20 to 69 percent in various series), even among patients with viral suppression [24-31]. In a study of 1521 HIV-infected patients from the eras before and after combination ART, neurocognitive impairment of any type was seen slightly more frequently in the post-ART compared with pre-ART cohorts (40 versus 33 percent, respectively) [32]. In a separate analysis of the same post-ART cohort, the CNS HIV Antiretroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) study, asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI) was most common, occurring in 33 percent, with mild neurocognitive

disorder in 12 percent and HAD in only 2 percent of 1316 HIV-infected patients (the majority were on ART) [28].

The pattern of neuropsychological impairment seen in HIV-infected patients may also be affected by the use of ART. Whereas early reports of HAD emphasized the "subcortical" character of the dementia associated with HIV, with prominent slowing of processing and difficulty with attention and concentration, more recent observations have suggested a more "cortical" pattern of deficits [33]. In a smaller study of HIV-infected patients, the prevalence of neurocognitive deficits was similar among 51 patients treated with single-drug ART and 90 patients treated with combination ART [34]. However, the patterns of deficits differed: the use of combination ART specifically was associated with improvement in attention, verbal fluency, and visuoconstruction defects, but deterioration in learning efficiency and complex attention.

A critical issue is whether milder cognitive impairment in virally suppressed patients is a residual effect of earlier, possibly subclinical brain injury that developed before treatment was started or whether brain injury can continue despite effective viral suppression. This issue is not yet fully resolved. Additionally, the frequency or degree to which the cognitive impairments in treated patients can be attributed to HIV infection itself is unclear. Other unrecognized co-morbidities that may contribute include substance use, medical morbidities, and the effects of aging that may advance more rapidly in those with HIV infection than those without. Finally, there is concern that ART itself may have chronic toxic effects on the brain and contribute to the impairments in test performance [35]. A study that followed a subset of patients from the CHARTER cohort with repeated neuropsychological testing over a mean of three years noted a stable neurocognitive status in 61 percent, improvement in 17 percent, and worsening in 23 percent [30]. The factors associated with neurocognitive deterioration were complex and related to HIV disease and treatment status, but also involved other comorbid conditions.

**Compared with the uninfected** — Neurocognitive deficits are reported to be more common in HIV-infected individuals, including those using or not using antiretroviral therapy (ART), than in those without HIV infection in many [32,36,37], but not all [24,38], studies. One large study compared the prevalence of neurocognitive impairment as measured by comprehensive neuropsychological testing among several cohorts of HIV-infected (n = 1521) and uninfected patients (n = 273) from the eras before and after the introduction of combination ART (1988 to 1995 and 1999 to 2004, respectively) [32]. The prevalence of any

impairment was 16 to 19 percent among HIV-uninfected patients, but ranged from 25 to 52 percent among HIV-infected individuals, depending on the era (pre- or post-ART) and disease state. In a prospective cohort study from China, 53 of 192 HIV-infected patients (over half of whom had clinical AIDS and were on ART) developed cognitive decline after 12 months of follow-up based upon serial neuropsychological testing, compared with only 5 of 101 HIV-uninfected controls [36]. In contrast, in a subsequent study of 200 HIV-infected patients, among whom the median CD4 cell count was 546 cells/microL and HIV viral suppression was achieved in 55 percent, the rate of cognitive impairment was comparable to that observed in 50 HIV-uninfected controls [24]. Similarly, in a study of over 1000 women, the effect of HIV status on cognition was less than that of years of education, age, race, income, and reading level [39].

**Risk factors** — Risk factors for the development of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) include HIV disease factors, other comorbidities, and possibly host genetic factors.

**HIV disease factors** — In several studies, lower nadir CD4 cell count has been associated with an increased risk of neurocognitive impairment among HIV-infected patients on ART [26,28,40]. In the CASCADE cohort of 15,380 HIV-infected patients followed longitudinally, risk factors for the development of HAD specifically included lower CD4 cell counts, older age at seroconversion, duration of HIV infection, and the presence of a prior AIDS-defining diagnosis [22]. A period of severe immunosuppression appears to confer a long-lasting impact on neuropsychiatric performance regardless of subsequent viral suppression and immune reconstitution.

The underlying reasons for this are not known. There is possibly a higher incidence of HIVassociated brain injury in those with more advanced immunosuppression, which leaves residual impairment and a legacy of diminished "brain reserve" [7]. Alternatively, advanced infection and immune injury may initiate a neuropathic process that continues even after treatment and CD4 cell restoration, regardless of ongoing viral replication or gene expression within the CNS. Evolution of compartmentalized infection with macrophage tropism, which is more common in advanced infection, may predispose to persistent CNS infection [41].

**Comorbidities** — HIV-infected adults older than 50 years have an increased prevalence of neurocognitive deficits and dementia compared with patients younger than 40 years [42-

<u>44</u>]. However, whether increased age affects cognitive function in HIV-infected patients to a greater extent than in HIV-uninfected patients is unclear. In one study, age greater than 50 years was associated with similarly decreased performance on neuropsychological testing among 115 HIV-infected and 30 uninfected individuals [<u>45</u>] (see <u>"HIV infection in older</u> <u>adults"</u>). Furthermore, while it has been speculated that brain aging accelerates in those with HIV infection, the pathological basis of this is not clear. HIV-infected patients have not yet been shown to have a higher incidence of Alzheimer's disease, and the CSF and imaging findings characteristic of Alzheimer's disease are not found in HAD patients [<u>46-48</u>].

Other general comorbidities that have been associated with HAND include anemia, vascular disease, and metabolic abnormalities (including increased waist circumference and insulin resistance), particularly in older adults [49-55]. Coinfection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) has also been identified as a possible, but not universally identified [56], risk factor for greater neurocognitive deficits in the setting of HIV infection, possibly because of independent neurotoxic effects of HCV [57-59]. As an example, HCV RNA as well as nonstructural and core proteins have been detected in cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue; HCV may traffic into the CNS via macrophages and infect microglia and astrocytes, and HCV core protein may cause neuronal damage through the production of proinflammatory cytokines [60-65]. Prior infection with toxoplasma has also been associated with neurocognitive deficits [66].

**Host genetic factors** — Polymorphisms in several genes, including those encoding apolipoprotein E4, the chemokine receptor CCR2, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, have been associated with the presence or development of HAD [67-69]. However, a genome-wide association study that involved 1287 patients from the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study did not detect an association between those or any other polymorphisms and HAND or HAD [70].

### **CLINICAL FEATURES**

**Spectrum of findings by ART status** — Antiretroviral treatment (ART) status impacts the presentation of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND). The most severe form, HIV-associated dementia (HAD), typically occurs in patients with advanced, untreated HIV infection, with CD4 cell counts <200 cells/microL and high plasma viral levels. This is much less likely to occur in patients on ART, among whom it is more common to find milder deficits that are residual or develop more indolently. Rarely, successfully treated patients

can present with a "CNS escape syndrome," in which deficits as severe and subacutely progressive as those seen in HAD develop with detectable HIV in the CSF despite plasma viral suppression on antiretroviral therapy (ART).

The following sections discuss the clinical findings of these syndromes in more detail.

**HIV-associated dementia** — HIV-associated dementia (HAD), in its classic form, is primarily characterized by subcortical dysfunction, with attention-concentration impairment, depressive symptoms, and impaired psychomotor speed and precision; this is consistent with pathology suggesting that HIV affects predominantly subcortical and deep grey matter structures [71,72]. It occurs predominantly in untreated patients with advanced HIV infection. The onset of the impairments is typically subacute. Some emphasize that the deficits associated with HAND may wax and wane over time [73], unlike the progressive neurological decline seen in other neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease.

**Cognitive deficits** — Prominent features of HAD include substantial memory deficits, impaired executive functioning, poor attention and concentration, mental slowing, and apathy [74,75]. Typically, cognitive deficits are evident and clearly impair functional status. Patients with HAD are often too slow and forgetful to work or prepare meals and can get lost while walking or driving [76]. Judgement, however, frequently remains relatively intact.

The absence of higher cortical dysfunction including aphasia, agnosia, and apraxia help distinguish HAD from classical "cortical" dementia, such as Alzheimer's disease. However, the distinction between cortical and subcortical dementias can be blurred as a patient with late and severe HAD may have dysfunction in both language and praxis.

**Behavioral and mood changes** — Behavioral changes in HAD are commonly characterized by apathy and lack of motivation [76]. Patients with HAD may also display irritable mood, sleeplessness, weight loss, restlessness, and anxiety. Although these changes may be attributed to depression, patients with HAD are not typically dysphoric, and lack crying spells or reported sadness.

However, mood-changes associated with HAD may progress to psychosis with paranoid ideas and hallucinations. Furthermore, a small proportion of patients with HAD may develop mania. (See <u>"Depression, mania, and schizophrenia in HIV-infected patients"</u>.)

**Motor symptoms and signs** — Most patients with frank HAD exhibit slowness of movement (tested by rapid finger opposition or toe tapping). In addition, patients can experience impaired saccadic eye movements, marked difficulty with smooth limb movement (especially in the lower extremities), dysdiadochokinesia, hyperreflexia, and frontal release signs such as grasp, root, snout, and glabellar reflexes.

**Imaging findings** — In patients with HAD, cerebral atrophy is typically evident on brain imaging [77,78]. It affects mainly the basal ganglia (particularly the caudate) and white matter, but also cortical regions. On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), T2-weighted images also demonstrate diffuse or patchy white matter hyperintensity (<u>image 1</u>), which may correlate neuropathologically with high levels of HIV in those regions of the brain [79].

More advanced neuroimaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy, functional MRI, and positron emission tomography (PET), also demonstrate abnormalities in the subcortical regions, in some cases even in patients with more mild neurocognitive deficits [46,77,80,81].

**CSF findings** — In observational studies, patients with HAD who are not on antiretroviral therapy often have elevated CSF protein and elevated HIV levels in the CSF. Lymphocytic pleocytosis in the CSF is variable. However, these findings are not specific to patients with HAD and are observed in many untreated HIV-infected patients without neurocognitive impairment. As an example, in a cross-sectional study that included 46 untreated HIV-infected patients, the mean CSF viral level in this population was 3.6 log copies/mL, and the CSF WBC count ranged from 0 to 11 [82]. There was no association between the presence of neurological deficits and the CSF HIV level.

Additionally, in untreated patients with HAD, the drug resistance profile of the CSF virus may differ from that of the plasma virus, although there has been no direct evidence that such differences are clinically important [83].

Of note, testing of HIV viral levels and genotype in the CSF is not widely available, is not diagnostically informative in most cases of HAD, and is not routinely performed. (See <u>'Severe deficits, not on ART'</u> below.)

**Milder neurocognitive deficits** — In the full spectrum of HAND, the cognitive deficits reported are more diverse and variable than those initially reported in HAD [84]. Successfully treated patients typically present with milder cognitive impairments.

The main cognitive deficits reported in milder presentations of HAND include difficulty with attention and working memory, executive functioning (eg, complex problem solving), and speed of informational processing [3,77]. The majority of HIV-infected patients who have evidence of such neurocognitive defects on testing actually have no evident symptoms or impairment in functioning (ie, asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment [ANI]). For those with mild symptomatic disease (ie, mild neurocognitive disorder [MND]), these deficits may manifest as difficulty with reading, performing complex tasks, or maintaining concentration in conversations or activities. Such symptoms may be subtle and overlooked or attributed to fatigue or other illness. Early language deficits are uncommon [85].

The onset and time course of cognitive deficits in milder forms of HAND is generally more indolent than the typically subacute presentation of HAD, and deficits may remain stable or seemingly unchanged for years [76].

Motor problems are not common in milder cases of HAND. Early motor symptoms can include unsteady gait, slowed or clumsy fine hand coordination (eg, a change in handwriting), or tremor [85]. Individuals may notice difficulties in performing activities that depend on fine coordination or rapid movements. On exam, rapid movement and gait may be slowed.

Affective disturbance is frequently associated with HAND [86]. Early manifestations may include apathy, lethargy, loss of sexual drive, and diminished emotional responsiveness. HAND-associated mood disturbances are frequently distinguished by lack of crying spells or reported sadness, in contrast to patients with major depressive disorder.

Some patients with mild neurocognitive deficits have brain imaging findings similar to those of HAD, with cerebral atrophy or white matter abnormalities; others have normal imaging. Thus, imaging findings cannot distinguish these patients. Likewise, routine CSF studies do not distinguish them from HIV-infected patients without neurocognitive impairment. (See <u>'Imaging findings'</u> above and <u>'CSF findings'</u> above.)

Although some patients with mild neurocognitive deficits may have imaging findings characteristic of HAD (see <u>'Imaging findings'</u> above), many have normal neuroimaging.

**CNS viral escape syndrome** — Several studies have described an uncommon condition in ART-treated patients who present with severe new-onset neurological deficits and exhibit "CNS viral escape," in which there is evidence of CNS HIV replication in the CSF despite

low viral levels or viral suppression in the plasma [87-90]. In most, there is also documented drug resistance in the CSF virus.

As examples, two retrospective studies described 10 and 11 patients, respectively, who developed new neurocognitive symptoms without alternate diagnosis and had detectable CSF HIV RNA (range 558 to 12885 and 134 to 9056 copies/mL) despite stable ART and virological control in the plasma (at least <500 copies/mL, most had <50 copies/mL) [87,89]. The clinical presentations were heterogeneous, with some having a HAD-like presentation and others with more focal neurological manifestations accompanied by multifocal MRI lesions. CSF pleocytosis was present in most. A predominance of CD8 pleocytosis has also been described in a few patients with potential CNS escape syndrome [90]. Viral drug resistance mutations were identified in the majority of cases in which genotyping of the CSF virus was performed, suggesting "compartmentalization" of resistant virus within the CSF. In this isolated clinical setting, assessment of the level of CSF HIV RNA for diagnosis and drug resistance for selecting changed treatment can be valuable in clinical management. (See <u>'Severe deficits, on ART'</u> below.)

This syndrome of symptomatic CNS escape in a previously asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic patient, as described in these cases, is rare. It should be clearly distinguished from asymptomatic, minor elevations of CSF HIV RNA levels despite plasma viral suppression that have been reported in several studies. As an example, in a study of 849 HIV-infected patients with viral suppression on ART who were followed for a median of 30 months and underwent serial lumbar puncture testing, detectable CSF HIV RNA was observed during 88 visits (2.7 percent) in 60 patients (7.1 percent) [91]. Detectable CSF HIV RNA on two consecutive visits was observed in only nine patients (1 percent), and there was no association with detectable CSF HIV RNA and neurocognitive performance. Previous smaller retrospective series, generally consisting of less than 100 patients with plasma virological suppression, described detectable CNS HIV RNA >50 copies/mL in 5 to 23 percent [88,89,92]. There were no clear associations with detectable CSF HIV and neurocognitive function in those studies either, although there was an association with mild elevations of CSF neopterin, a marker of macrophage activation.

### SCREENING FOR DEFICITS

For patients who do not report or have evident neurocognitive deficits, screening may be able to identify mild deficits. However, the value of broadly screening HIV-infected patients for neurocognitive impairment is controversial. Arguments in its favor include the potential value of identifying deficits that might affect medication adherence. However, the speculation that patients identified by neurocognitive impairment screening might benefit from use of antiretroviral regimens that optimally penetrate the central nervous system has not been supported by clinical trial evidence [93]. Other arguments against the practice include its consumption of precious resources in the busy clinical setting. Given limited resources and the absence of clear evidence to support changing management on the basis of mild deficits, we do not routinely screen for such deficits. However, when resources allow, screening is reasonable to establish a baseline assessment of a patient's neurocognitive function in case there is subsequent deterioration.

Screening for deficits can be done by inquiring about symptoms and/or performing brief neurocognitive tests in the clinic. Some experts have suggested the following series of questions [25,94]:

- Do you experience frequent memory loss (eg, do you forget the occurrence of special events, even the more recent ones, appointments, etc.)?
- Do you feel that you are slower when reasoning, planning activities, or solving problems?
- Do you have difficulties paying attention (eg, to a conversation, a book, or a movie)?

For each question, patients can answer "never," "hardly ever," or "yes, definitely." A "yes, definitely" answer to any of the three questions can prompt further evaluation. While a symptom-based approach would overlook the subset of patients with asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI), it may be the easiest for clinicians to implement.

Additional screening tools for neurocognitive function have been evaluated in HIV-infected patients. The <u>Montreal Cognitive Assessment</u> (MoCA) can be performed in the office in less than 10 minutes and may be the most practical bedside test for periodic assessment [95-99]. The CogState-based assessment has reasonably good performance but is not publicly available [100]. The HIV Dementia Scale and the International Dementia Scale may not be highly sensitive for mild cognitive impairments [101-103]. Additionally, the mini-mental status examination does not thoroughly test executive function and is an insensitive tool for detection of HAND [101,104].

Some experts have recommended a screening interval of 6 to 24 months, depending on the presence of risk factors for HAND (see <u>'Risk factors'</u> above) [105,106]. As an alternative, an approach that involves regularly screening every patient presenting for HIV care (such as having them complete a self-report screen in the waiting room), if feasible, allows the clinician to focus on determining next steps for those who screen positive instead of trying to decide whom and how often to screen.

### **EVALUATION**

The possibility of an HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder (HAND) is suspected in HIVinfected patients who present with symptomatic cognitive deficits or are found to have deficits on screening tests. The diagnosis requires evaluation for pre-existing or alternative disorders that could account for the observed cognitive impairment, including other neurologic or neurodegenerative disorders, primary psychiatric disorders (eg, major depression, schizophrenia), and severe substance use disorders. Depending on the presentation, excluding alternate conditions may involve a number of laboratory tests and potentially neuroimaging (MRI) followed by cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis.

Because of the frequency with which comorbid conditions exist in those with HAND, the assessment for persistent cognitive deficits should be made when pre-existing conditions are under optimal control. The differential diagnosis of cognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients is discussed in further detail below. (See <u>'Differential diagnosis'</u> below.)

**Initial assessment** — The goal of the initial assessment is to characterize the degree, time course, and functional impact of any cognitive deficits, establish the stage of HIV disease and treatment status, and start to evaluate for other potential causes of cognitive symptoms.

**Characterization of the cognitive impairment** — Characterizing cognitive deficits begins with a history from the patient and, if possible, family members or someone who knows the patient well. History should assess the domains affected by the cognitive impairment (eg, learning and memory, language, executive function), any accompanying behavioral or personality changes, the time course of symptoms, and particularly, the degree that any of these difficulties lead to functional impairment in common activities and in work. (See 'Cognitive deficits' above and 'Milder neurocognitive deficits' above.)

For patients who present with subacute or progressive symptoms typical of HIV-associated dementia (HAD), cognitive impairment that leads to functional deterioration is usually evident on such history and confirmed on bedside cognitive testing (see <u>"Evaluation of cognitive impairment and dementia"</u>, section on 'Cognitive testing'). In such cases, formal neuropsychological testing is superfluous.

When the history is less clear and suggests a more static impairment or if impairments are only detected on screening tests, formal neuropsychological testing can be useful for establishing both the magnitude and profile of impairment. If performed, neuropsychological testing usually evaluates at least five of the following cognitive abilities [3]:

- Verbal/language
- Attention/working memory
- Abstraction/executive functioning
- Learning/recall
- Speed of informational processing

Additional history and physical exam — In addition to elucidating the extent and impact of cognitive impairment, history should evaluate for other potential causes of neurological impairment, including prior neurologic insults (eg, traumatic brain injury, vascular insults, other CNS infections) and major depressive, anxiety, and substance use disorders.

Both a general physical exam to evaluate for signs of alternate causes of cognitive impairment (eg, physical findings suggestive of thyroid or liver disease) and a dedicated neurologic exam should be performed. Findings of psychomotor slowness and hyperreflexia are suggestive of HAD. Other neurological findings could be suggestive of other causes of cognitive decline, such as rigidity and tremors in Parkinson's disease and a focal deficit in stroke or other focal brain lesions. Patients with HAND are characteristically alert; altered consciousness would be suggestive of alternative conditions. (See <u>'Cognitive deficits'</u> above and <u>'Behavioral and mood changes'</u> above and <u>'Motor symptoms and signs'</u> above.)

**Laboratory testing** — Assessment of the stage of HIV infection (ie, with CD4 cell count and plasma viral load) is an important element in the evaluation of HAND. The CD4 cell count informs the likelihood that progressive cognitive impairment is due to HAD, which occurs predominantly (though not exclusively) at counts <200 cells/microL in untreated patients. It also informs the differential diagnosis, as opportunistic infections that could

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 34 PageID# 13496

cause cognitive impairment are more likely at lower CD4 cell counts. (See <u>'Differential</u> <u>diagnosis'</u> below.)

Other laboratory testing that should be performed to evaluate for other causes of cognitive declines include a complete metabolic panel that includes liver function tests and glucose, vitamin B12 level, folate level, thyroid stimulating hormone, and serologic testing for syphilis and hepatitis C virus. (See <u>"Evaluation of cognitive impairment and dementia"</u>, section on <u>'Laboratory testing'</u> and <u>"Neurosyphilis"</u>, section on 'Diagnosis' and <u>"Diagnosis and evaluation of chronic hepatitis C virus infection", section on 'Diagnosis and testing approach'.)</u>

**Further evaluation** — The focus of further diagnostic evaluation depends on the severity of the presentation and whether the patient is on ART, as outlined below.

**Severe deficits, not on ART** — HAD develops almost exclusively in untreated HIVinfected patients, particularly when the CD4 cell count is <200 cells/microL. Thus, additional diagnostic evaluation usually focuses on ruling out other opportunistic processes that such patients are at risk for and that can present with neurocognitive decline.

Neuroimaging with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is typically performed first to evaluate for other neurological disorders (infection, neoplasm, infarction, leukoencephalopathy) that can occur in untreated HIV-infected patients. Although these disorders are often suspected on the basis of history or exam, these disorders may sometimes present with predominantly cognitive impairment and no other localizing findings (see <u>"Approach to HIV-infected patients with central nervous system lesions"</u>). Diffuse cerebral atrophy and subcortical or periventricular white-matter changes (hypodense on computed tomography and bright on T2-weighted MRI) are consistent with HAD, but are neither sensitive nor specific. (See <u>'Imaging findings'</u> above.)

Similarly, performance of other tests, including lumbar puncture for CSF evaluation, is done mainly to assess for other possible diagnoses. Such testing is guided by the clinical presentation and imaging findings:

 In patients with focal clinical or imaging features, toxoplasma blood serology (to assess susceptibility), CSF JC virus PCR (for diagnosis of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy), CSF EBV PCR (for primary CNS lymphoma), and CSF CMV
PCR may be useful. (See <u>"Approach to HIV-infected patients with central nervous</u> system lesions", section on 'CSF examination'.)

• In patients with a nonfocal presentation, CSF syphilis serology, CSF cryptococcal antigen, and CSF CMV PCR are usually assessed.

CSF findings in HAD can include elevated CSF protein and elevated ratio of CSF to blood albumin, but these routine assessments do not distinguish these patients from those without HAD. CSF HIV RNA levels in HAD also do not distinguish HAD patients. (See <u>'CSF findings'</u> above.)

Severe deficits, on ART — In patients who are on ART, particularly if viremia is suppressed and the CD4 cell count has rebounded, neurological signs and symptoms are more likely due to non-HIV-related processes, such as cerebrovascular or neurodegenerative disease. Thus, the evaluation of such patients focuses on other non-HIV-related causes of neurocognitive decline, as would be done for uninfected individuals with cognitive decline. MRI and lumbar puncture are typically included in the evaluation. This is discussed in detail elsewhere. (See <u>"Early-onset dementia in adults", section on</u> <u>'Initial evaluation'</u> and <u>"Early-onset dementia in adults", section on 'Additional testing'</u>.).

If no other causes are identified, there is the rare possibility that CNS escape syndrome underlies the cognitive decline. If this is suspected, CSF HIV RNA should also be evaluated, as CNS escape is characterized by detectable CSF HIV RNA despite plasma viral suppression. CSF pleocytosis in this setting may also be suggestive of CSF escape, since a normal CSF cell count is typically seen in patients on ART. Additionally, genotypic testing of the CSF virus can potentially help guide management in this limited setting. CSF HIV viral load testing is not readily available to all clinicians since it is not a generally approved laboratory test. If the clinical laboratory will not perform HIV viral load or genotypic testing on CSF, CSF can be frozen and sent to a research or commercial laboratory that does perform those assays. (See <u>"HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: Management", section on</u> <u>'Evaluating CSF for HIV'.)</u>

Even more rarely, new-onset severe neurological symptoms may represent immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) related to HIV CNS infection itself. This can present with severe CD8 encephalitis, with diffuse white and gray matter abnormalities on MRI and CD8 cell pleocytosis in the CSF [90]. MRI and CSF findings should be helpful in suggesting this syndrome.

**Mild deficits** — Whether additional neurological evaluation is warranted for patients with mild deficits depends on the severity of symptoms and signs, the presence of alternate explanations, and whether the impairment is of recent onset or longstanding. In general, for patients with very mild symptoms, without functional impairment in work or daily life, and without recent onset or progression, it is reasonable to follow symptoms and signs without further dedicated neurological evaluation. In those with more prominent symptoms, functional impairment, or recent onset of deficits, additional evaluation is similar to that for patients with more severe deficits, with MRI and, in some instances, lumbar puncture for CSF evaluation. (See <u>'Severe deficits, not on ART'</u> above and <u>'Severe deficits, on ART'</u> above.)

## DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of an HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder (HAND) is made in an HIVinfected patient who has neurocognitive impairment (particularly if it is new onset or there is suggestion of progression) by history and exam or by detection of cognitive impairment through neuropsychological testing, and whose deficits cannot be fully explained by alternate conditions or pre-existing causes after thorough evaluation. (See <u>'Evaluation</u>' above.)

Strictly speaking, classification of deficits as HIV-associated dementia (HAD), minor neurocognitive disorder (MND), or asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI) requires formal neuropsychological testing. However, comprehensive neuropsychological testing is costly, requires highly skilled professionals for administration and interpretation, and may not be readily accessible. From a practical standpoint, HAD can often be diagnosed in the absence of formal neuropsychological testing on the basis of more severe cognitive and motor dysfunction that substantially impairs functioning, and MND on the basis of symptoms or signs of milder cognitive decline that impair functioning. ANI, by definition, can only be diagnosed by formal testing. (See <u>'Terminology'</u> above.)

The rare CNS viral escape syndrome can be diagnosed in patients who have virologic suppression on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and yet develop subacute progressive cognitive deficits that are unexplained by other conditions and accompanied by detectable CSF HIV RNA levels.

## **DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

Cognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients may be a presenting symptom of numerous other disease processes. The differential diagnosis depends on the degree of immunosuppression and whether the patient is off or on antiretroviral agents. Among these are the following:

- Central nervous system (CNS) infections In patients with CD4 cell counts <200 cells/microL, other CNS infections that can cause cognitive deficits are toxoplasmosis and progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML, caused by JC virus infection), both of which usually present with focal deficits and can be detected by MRI. Cryptococcal meningitis is also relatively common in this setting, is usually nonfocal with headache and altered mentation, and is diagnosed by cryptococcal antigen or growth in the CSF. CMV encephalitis also can occur in these patients and may be nonfocal or occasionally include focal features; it is diagnosed by CSF CMV PCR testing. In patients with any CD4 cell count, neurosyphilis is an important consideration and can be differentiated by CSF testing for syphilis. (See <u>"Toxoplasmosis in HIV-infected patients"</u> and <u>"Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy: Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnosis"</u> and <u>"Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, and diagnosis"</u> and <u>"Syphilis in the HIV-infected patient"</u>, section on 'Neurosyphilis'.)
- CNS malignancies (eg, primary CNS lymphoma) Primary CNS lymphoma associated with EBV infection presents much like toxoplasmosis and PML with focal manifestation and a mass lesion on imaging, although depending on the location of the mass, it may present only with changes in cognition and behavior. Imaging findings usually distinguish it from HIV-associated dementia (HAD). (See <u>"AIDS-related</u> lymphomas: Primary central nervous system lymphoma".)
- Other dementia syndromes With longer survival, patients with well-controlled HIV infection on antiretroviral treatment may develop other dementing illnesses. Alzheimer's disease is usually distinguished by early and relatively isolated memory loss followed by other "cortical" abnormalities, such as aphasia and apraxia. In difficult cases, CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease (t- and p-tau and amyloid beta 1-42) or amyloid positron emission tomographic (PET) scanning can be used to establish the Alzheimer's diagnosis. Vascular dementia can present much like HAD with subcortical

features, but is usually distinguished by a background of hypertension, episodes of lacunar stroke, and distinct MRI findings. (See <u>"Evaluation of cognitive impairment and dementia"</u>.)

- Nutritional deficiencies (eg, vitamin B12 deficiency) Cognitive impairment secondary to vitamin B12 deficiency can be accompanied by other neurologic symptoms, including paresthesias and sensory deficits. Vitamin B12 deficiency is not uncommon in the setting of HIV infection and can be easily identified through laboratory testing. (See <u>"Treatment of vitamin B12 and folate deficiencies"</u>.)
- Endocrine disorders (eg, thyroid dysfunction and adrenal insufficiency) Hormonal aberrations are also frequent findings in HIV infection and can lead to confusion and other cognitive deficits. These can also be easily identified through laboratory testing. While gonadal insufficiency is a common finding in the aging HIV population, the impact of that on cognition is not well established. (See <u>"Clinical manifestations of hypothyroidism"</u> and <u>"Clinical manifestations of adrenal insufficiency in adults"</u> and <u>"Hypogonadism in HIV-infected males"</u>.)
- Severe substance use or psychiatric disorder These are frequent comorbidities and confounders in the diagnosis of HAND and are often evident on detailed history. (See <u>"Substance abuse and addiction in HIV-infected patients"</u> and <u>"Depression, mania, and</u> <u>schizophrenia in HIV-infected patients"</u>.)
- Delirium Patients with delirium have a reduced ability to sustain, focus, or shift attention, which occurs over a short period of time. The presence of a disturbance in consciousness is a key factor in distinguishing delirium from HAND, in which the patient has a clear level of consciousness. (See <u>"Overview of the neuropsychiatric aspects of HIV infection and AIDS", section on 'Delirium'</u>.)

## SOCIETY GUIDELINE LINKS

Links to society and government-sponsored guidelines from selected countries and regions around the world are provided separately. (See <u>"Society guideline links: Primary care of the HIV-infected adult"</u>.)

## **INFORMATION FOR PATIENTS**

UpToDate offers two types of patient education materials, "The Basics" and "Beyond the Basics." The Basics patient education pieces are written in plain language, at the 5<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> grade reading level, and they answer the four or five key questions a patient might have about a given condition. These articles are best for patients who want a general overview and who prefer short, easy-to-read materials. Beyond the Basics patient education pieces are longer, more sophisticated, and more detailed. These articles are written at the 10<sup>th</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> grade reading level and are best for patients who want in-depth information and are comfortable with some medical jargon.

Here are the patient education articles that are relevant to this topic. We encourage you to print or e-mail these topics to your patients. (You can also locate patient education articles on a variety of subjects by searching on "patient info" and the keyword(s) of interest.)

• Basics topics (see "Patient education: HIV-associated dementia (The Basics)")

### SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Changes in memory, concentration, attention, and motor skills are common in HIVinfected patients. When these occur without an evident cause other than HIV infection, such impairments have been collectively classified as HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND). Depending on the severity and impact on daily functioning, cognitive deficits can be classified into three conditions: asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI), HIV-associated mild neurocognitive disorder (MND), and HIV-associated dementia (HAD). (See <u>'Introduction'</u> above and <u>'Terminology'</u> above.)
- The widespread use of combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) has been associated with a decrease in the prevalence of more severe neurocognitive deficits (ie, HAD), but milder cognitive deficits without alternative explanation remain common in the setting of HIV infection, even among patients with viral suppression. Risk factors for HAND include low nadir CD4 cell count, age, and other comorbidities, such as cardiovascular and metabolic disease. (See <u>'Epidemiology'</u> above.)
- HAD occurs predominantly in untreated patients with advanced HIV infection. In its classic form, it is primarily characterized by subcortical dysfunction, with attention-

concentration impairment, depressive symptoms, and impaired psychomotor speed and precision. The onset of the impairments is typically subacute. Cerebral atrophy is typically evident on brain imaging, which can also demonstrate diffuse or patchy white matter hyperintensity. (See <u>'HIV-associated dementia'</u> above.)

- The main cognitive deficits reported in milder presentations of HAND include difficulty with attention and working memory, executive functioning, and speed of informational processing. The onset and time course is generally more indolent than the typically subacute presentation of HAD, and deficits may remain stable or seemingly unchanged for years. There are no specific imaging findings. (See <u>'Milder neurocognitive deficits'</u> above.)
- The value of broadly screening HIV-infected patients for neurocognitive impairment is controversial. Given limited resources and the absence of clear evidence to support changing management on the basis of mild deficits, we do not routinely screen for such deficits. However, when resources allow, screening is reasonable to establish a baseline assessment of a patient's neurocognitive function in case there is subsequent deterioration. (See <u>'Screening for deficits'</u> above.)
- The possibility of HAND is suspected in HIV-infected patients who present with symptomatic cognitive deficits or are found to have deficits on screening tests. The goal of the initial assessment is to characterize the degree, time course, and functional impact of any cognitive deficits, establish the stage of HIV disease and treatment status, and start to evaluate for other potential causes of cognitive symptoms, such as other neurologic or neurodegenerative disorders, primary psychiatric disorders, and severe substance use disorders. (See <u>'Initial assessment'</u> above and <u>'Differential diagnosis'</u> above.)
- The focus of further diagnostic evaluation depends on the severity of the presentation and whether the patient is on ART. For patients with very mild symptoms, without functional impairment in work or daily life, and without recent onset or progression, it is reasonable to follow symptoms and signs without further dedicated neurological evaluation. For patients with severe deficits, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and lumbar puncture for cerebrospinal fluid evaluation are generally performed to evaluated for other potential etiologies. In patients not on ART with CD4 cell counts <200 cells/microL, these include mainly opportunistic processes, and in patients with viral

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 23 of 34 PageID# 13503

suppression on ART, these include non-HIV-related processes, such as cerebrovascular or neurodegenerative disease, or the rare CNS escape syndrome. (See <u>'Further evaluation'</u> above and <u>'Differential diagnosis'</u> above.)

 The diagnosis of HAND is made in an HIV-infected patient who has neurocognitive impairment (particularly if it is new onset or there is suggestion of progression) by history and exam or by detection of cognitive impairment through neuropsychological testing, and whose deficits cannot be fully explained by alternate conditions or preexisting causes after thorough evaluation. (See 'Diagnosis' above.)

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT** — The editorial staff at UpToDate would like to acknowledge Alexander Thompson, MD, MBA, MPH, Andrew Pieper, MD, PhD, and Glenn Treisman, MD, PhD, who contributed to an earlier version of this topic review.

Use of UpToDate is subject to the <u>Subscription and License Agreement</u>.

## REFERENCES

- 1. <u>Shapshak P, Kangueane P, Fujimura RK, et al. Editorial neuroAIDS review. AIDS</u> 2011; 25:123.
- 2. Price RW. Neurological complications of HIV infection. Lancet 1996; 348:445.
- 3. <u>Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated</u> <u>neurocognitive disorders. Neurology 2007; 69:1789.</u>
- 4. <u>Gisslén M, Price RW, Nilsson S. The definition of HIV-associated neurocognitive</u> <u>disorders: are we overestimating the real prevalence? BMC Infect Dis 2011; 11:356.</u>
- McCutchan JA, Wu JW, Robertson K, et al. HIV suppression by HAART preserves cognitive function in advanced, immune-reconstituted AIDS patients. AIDS 2007; 21:1109.
- 6. <u>Valcour V, Chalermchai T, Sailasuta N, et al. Central nervous system viral invasion</u> <u>and inflammation during acute HIV infection. J Infect Dis 2012; 206:275.</u>

- 7. <u>Price RW, Spudich SS, Peterson J, et al. Evolving character of chronic central</u> <u>nervous system HIV infection. Semin Neurol 2014; 34:7.</u>
- 8. <u>González-Scarano F, Martín-García J. The neuropathogenesis of AIDS. Nat Rev</u> <u>Immunol 2005; 5:69.</u>
- 9. <u>Wang T, Gong N, Liu J, et al. HIV-1-infected astrocytes and the microglial proteome. J</u> <u>Neuroimmune Pharmacol 2008; 3:173.</u>
- 10. <u>Moroni M, Antinori S. HIV and direct damage of organs: disease spectrum before and during the highly active antiretroviral therapy era. AIDS 2003; 17 Suppl 1:S51.</u>
- 11. <u>Masliah E, DeTeresa RM, Mallory ME, Hansen LA. Changes in pathological findings</u> at autopsy in AIDS cases for the last 15 years. AIDS 2000; 14:69.
- 12. Langford TD, Letendre SL, Larrea GJ, Masliah E. Changing patterns in the neuropathogenesis of HIV during the HAART era. Brain Pathol 2003; 13:195.
- 13. <u>Gray F, Chrétien F, Vallat-Decouvelaere AV, Scaravilli F. The changing pattern of HIV</u> <u>neuropathology in the HAART era. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2003; 62:429.</u>
- 14. <u>Ragin AB, Du H, Ochs R, et al. Structural brain alterations can be detected early in</u> <u>HIV infection. Neurology 2012; 79:2328.</u>
- Edén A, Price RW, Spudich S, et al. Immune activation of the central nervous system is still present after >4 years of effective highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2007; 196:1779.
- 16. <u>Burdo TH, Ellis RJ, Fox HS. Osteopontin is increased in HIV-associated dementia. J</u> Infect Dis 2008; 198:715.
- 17. <u>Ancuta P, Kamat A, Kunstman KJ, et al. Microbial translocation is associated with</u> <u>increased monocyte activation and dementia in AIDS patients. PLoS One 2008;</u> <u>3:e2516.</u>
- Lyons JL, Uno H, Ancuta P, et al. Plasma sCD14 is a biomarker associated with impaired neurocognitive test performance in attention and learning domains in HIV infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011; 57:371.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 25 of 34 PageID# 13505

- 19. Pulliam L, Rempel H, Sun B, et al. A peripheral monocyte interferon phenotype in HIV infection correlates with a decrease in magnetic resonance spectroscopy metabolite concentrations. AIDS 2011; 25:1721.
- 20. <u>Kamat A, Lyons JL, Misra V, et al. Monocyte activation markers in cerebrospinal fluid</u> <u>associated with impaired neurocognitive testing in advanced HIV infection. J Acquir</u> <u>Immune Defic Syndr 2012; 60:234.</u>
- 21. <u>d'Arminio Monforte A, Cinque P, Mocroft A, et al. Changing incidence of central</u> nervous system diseases in the EuroSIDA cohort. Ann Neurol 2004; 55:320.
- 22. <u>Bhaskaran K, Mussini C, Antinori A, et al. Changes in the incidence and predictors of</u> <u>human immunodeficiency virus-associated dementia in the era of highly active</u> <u>antiretroviral therapy. Ann Neurol 2008; 63:213.</u>
- 23. <u>Lescure FX, Omland LH, Engsig FN, et al. Incidence and impact on mortality of severe</u> <u>neurocognitive disorders in persons with and without HIV infection: a Danish</u> <u>nationwide cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 52:235.</u>
- 24. <u>Crum-Cianflone NF, Moore DJ, Letendre S, et al. Low prevalence of neurocognitive</u> impairment in early diagnosed and managed HIV-infected persons. Neurology 2013; 80:371.
- 25. <u>Simioni S, Cavassini M, Annoni JM, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in HIV patients</u> <u>despite long-standing suppression of viremia. AIDS 2010; 24:1243.</u>
- 26. <u>Robertson KR, Smurzynski M, Parsons TD, et al. The prevalence and incidence of</u> <u>neurocognitive impairment in the HAART era. AIDS 2007; 21:1915.</u>
- 27. Pumpradit W, Ananworanich J, Lolak S, et al. Neurocognitive impairment and psychiatric comorbidity in well-controlled human immunodeficiency virus-infected Thais from the 2NN Cohort Study. J Neurovirol 2010; 16:76.
- Heaton RK, Clifford DB, Franklin DR Jr, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders persist in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy: CHARTER Study. Neurology 2010; 75:2087.

- 29. Bonnet F, Amieva H, Marquant F, et al. Cognitive disorders in HIV-infected patients: are they HIV-related? AIDS 2013; 27:391.
- 30. <u>Heaton RK, Franklin DR Jr, Deutsch R, et al. Neurocognitive change in the era of HIV</u> <u>combination antiretroviral therapy: the longitudinal CHARTER study. Clin Infect Dis</u> <u>2015; 60:473.</u>
- Sacktor N, Skolasky RL, Seaberg E, et al. Prevalence of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders in the Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. Neurology 2016; 86:334.
- 32. <u>Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders</u> <u>before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates,</u> <u>nature, and predictors. J Neurovirol 2011; 17:3.</u>
- 33. Brew BJ. Evidence for a change in AIDS dementia complex in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy and the possibility of new forms of AIDS dementia complex. AIDS 2004; 18 Suppl 1:S75.
- 34. Cysique LA, Maruff P, Brew BJ. Prevalence and pattern of neuropsychological impairment in human immunodeficiency virus-infected/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS) patients across pre- and post-highly active antiretroviral therapy eras: a combined study of two cohorts. J Neurovirol 2004; 10:350.
- 35. <u>Robertson K, Liner J, Meeker RB. Antiretroviral neurotoxicity. J Neurovirol 2012;</u> <u>18:388.</u>
- 36. <u>Cysique LA, Letendre SL, Ake C, et al. Incidence and nature of cognitive decline over</u> <u>1 year among HIV-infected former plasma donors in China. AIDS 2010; 24:983.</u>
- 37. <u>Mateen FJ, Shinohara RT, Carone M, et al. Neurologic disorders incidence in HIV+ vs</u> <u>HIV- men: Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study, 1996-2011. Neurology 2012; 79:1873.</u>
- 38. <u>McDonnell J, Haddow L, Daskalopoulou M, et al. Minimal cognitive impairment in UK</u> <u>HIV-positive men who have sex with men: effect of case definitions and comparison</u> <u>with the general population and HIV-negative men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr</u> <u>2014; 67:120.</u>

- 39. <u>Maki PM, Rubin LH, Valcour V, et al. Cognitive function in women with HIV: findings</u> from the Women's Interagency HIV Study. Neurology 2015; 84:231.
- 40. Valcour V, Yee P, Williams AE, et al. Lowest ever CD4 lymphocyte count (CD4 nadir) as a predictor of current cognitive and neurological status in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection--The Hawaii Aging with HIV Cohort. J Neurovirol 2006; 12:387.
- 41. <u>Dunfee RL, Thomas ER, Gorry PR, et al. The HIV Env variant N283 enhances</u> <u>macrophage tropism and is associated with brain infection and dementia. Proc Natl</u> <u>Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103:15160.</u>
- 42. <u>Cherner M, Ellis RJ, Lazzaretto D, et al. Effects of HIV-1 infection and aging on</u> <u>neurobehavioral functioning: preliminary findings. AIDS 2004; 18 Suppl 1:S27.</u>
- 43. <u>Valcour V, Shikuma C, Shiramizu B, et al. Higher frequency of dementia in older HIV-1</u> individuals: the Hawaii Aging with HIV-1 Cohort. Neurology 2004; 63:822.
- 44. <u>Sacktor N, Skolasky R, Selnes OA, et al. Neuropsychological test profile differences</u> between young and old human immunodeficiency virus-positive individuals. J <u>Neurovirol 2007; 13:203.</u>
- 45. <u>Cysique LA, Maruff P, Bain MP, et al. HIV and age do not substantially interact in HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2011; 23:83.</u>
- 46. <u>Ances BM, Christensen JJ, Teshome M, et al. Cognitively unimpaired HIV-positive</u> <u>subjects do not have increased 11C-PiB: a case-control study. Neurology 2010;</u> <u>75:111.</u>
- 47. <u>Gisslén M, Krut J, Andreasson U, et al. Amyloid and tau cerebrospinal fluid</u> <u>biomarkers in HIV infection. BMC Neurol 2009; 9:63.</u>
- 48. Ortega M, Ances BM. Role of HIV in amyloid metabolism. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 2014; 9:483.
- 49. <u>McCutchan JA, Marquie-Beck JA, Fitzsimons CA, et al. Role of obesity, metabolic</u> variables, and diabetes in HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder. Neurology 2012; <u>78:485.</u>

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 28 of 34 PageID# 13508

- 50. <u>Valcour VG, Sacktor NC, Paul RH, et al. Insulin resistance is associated with cognition</u> <u>among HIV-1-infected patients: the Hawaii Aging With HIV cohort. J Acquir Immune</u> <u>Defic Syndr 2006; 43:405.</u>
- 51. <u>McArthur JC, Hoover DR, Bacellar H, et al. Dementia in AIDS patients: incidence and</u> risk factors. Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. Neurology 1993; 43:2245.
- 52. <u>Becker JT, Kingsley L, Mullen J, et al. Vascular risk factors, HIV serostatus, and</u> <u>cognitive dysfunction in gay and bisexual men. Neurology 2009; 73:1292.</u>
- 53. <u>Kalayjian RC, Wu K, Evans S, et al. Proteinuria is associated with neurocognitive</u> impairment in antiretroviral therapy treated HIV-infected individuals. J Acquir Immune <u>Defic Syndr 2014; 67:30.</u>
- 54. Dufouil C, Richert L, Thiébaut R, et al. Diabetes and cognitive decline in a French cohort of patients infected with HIV-1. Neurology 2015; 85:1065.
- 55. <u>Marquine MJ, Montoya JL, Umlauf A, et al. The Veterans Aging Cohort Study (VACS)</u> Index and Neurocognitive Change: A Longitudinal Study. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:694.
- 56. <u>Clifford DB, Vaida F, Kao YT, et al. Absence of neurocognitive effect of hepatitis C</u> infection in HIV-coinfected people. Neurology 2015; 84:241.
- 57. Parsons TD, Tucker KA, Hall CD, et al. Neurocognitive functioning and HAART in HIV and hepatitis C virus co-infection. AIDS 2006; 20:1591.
- 58. <u>Tozzi V, Balestra P, Lorenzini P, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for human</u> <u>immunodeficiency virus-associated neurocognitive impairment, 1996 to 2002: results</u> <u>from an urban observational cohort. J Neurovirol 2005; 11:265.</u>
- 59. <u>Sun B, Abadjian L, Rempel H, et al. Differential cognitive impairment in HCV</u> coinfected men with controlled HIV compared to HCV monoinfection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 62:190.
- 60. <u>Laskus T, Radkowski M, Bednarska A, et al. Detection and analysis of hepatitis C</u> virus sequences in cerebrospinal fluid. J Virol 2002; 76:10064.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 29 of 34 PageID# 13509

- 61. <u>Radkowski M, Wilkinson J, Nowicki M, et al. Search for hepatitis C virus negative-</u> <u>strand RNA sequences and analysis of viral sequences in the central nervous system:</u> <u>evidence of replication. J Virol 2002; 76:600.</u>
- 62. <u>Fishman SL, Murray JM, Eng FJ, et al. Molecular and bioinformatic evidence of</u> hepatitis C virus evolution in brain. J Infect Dis 2008; 197:597.
- 63. <u>Laskus T, Radkowski M, Adair DM, et al. Emerging evidence of hepatitis C virus</u> neuroinvasion. AIDS 2005; 19 Suppl 3:S140.
- 64. <u>Vivithanaporn P, Maingat F, Lin LT, et al. Hepatitis C virus core protein induces</u> <u>neuroimmune activation and potentiates Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1</u> <u>neurotoxicity. PLoS One 2010; 5:e12856.</u>
- 65. Letendre S, Paulino AD, Rockenstein E, et al. Pathogenesis of hepatitis C virus coinfection in the brains of patients infected with HIV. J Infect Dis 2007; 196:361.
- 66. <u>Bharti AR, McCutchan A, Deutsch R, et al. Latent Toxoplasma Infection and Higher</u> <u>Toxoplasma gondii Immunoglobulin G Levels Are Associated With Worse</u> <u>Neurocognitive Functioning in HIV-Infected Adults. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:1655.</u>
- 67. <u>Gonzalez E, Rovin BH, Sen L, et al. HIV-1 infection and AIDS dementia are influenced</u> by a mutant MCP-1 allele linked to increased monocyte infiltration of tissues and MCP-1 levels. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2002; 99:13795.
- 68. <u>Valcour V, Shikuma C, Shiramizu B, et al. Age, apolipoprotein E4, and the risk of HIV</u> dementia: the Hawaii Aging with HIV Cohort. J Neuroimmunol 2004; 157:197.
- 69. <u>Singh KK, Ellis RJ, Marquie-Beck J, et al. CCR2 polymorphisms affect</u> <u>neuropsychological impairment in HIV-1-infected adults. J Neuroimmunol 2004;</u> <u>157:185.</u>
- Levine AJ, Service S, Miller EN, et al. Genome-wide association study of neurocognitive impairment and dementia in HIV-infected adults. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2012; 159B:669.
- 71. <u>Navia BA, Jordan BD, Price RW. The AIDS dementia complex: I. Clinical features.</u> <u>Ann Neurol 1986; 19:517.</u>

- 72. <u>Navia BA, Cho ES, Petito CK, Price RW. The AIDS dementia complex: II.</u> <u>Neuropathology. Ann Neurol 1986; 19:525.</u>
- Sevigny JJ, Albert SM, McDermott MP, et al. Evaluation of HIV RNA and markers of immune activation as predictors of HIV-associated dementia. Neurology 2004; 63:2084.
- 74. Berger JR, Brew B. An international screening tool for HIV dementia. AIDS 2005; 19:2165.
- 75. <u>Gallego L, Barreiro P, López-Ibor JJ. Diagnosis and clinical features of major</u> <u>neuropsychiatric disorders in HIV infection. AIDS Rev 2011; 13:171.</u>
- 76. <u>Grill MF, Price RW. Central nervous system HIV-1 infection. Handb Clin Neurol 2014;</u> <u>123:487.</u>
- 77. <u>Schouten J, Cinque P, Gisslen M, et al. HIV-1 infection and cognitive impairment in</u> <u>the cART era: a review. AIDS 2011; 25:561.</u>
- 78. Dal Pan GJ, McArthur JH, Aylward E, et al. Patterns of cerebral atrophy in HIV-1infected individuals: results of a quantitative MRI analysis. Neurology 1992; 42:2125.
- 79. <u>Archibald SL, Masliah E, Fennema-Notestine C, et al. Correlation of in vivo</u> <u>neuroimaging abnormalities with postmortem human immunodeficiency virus</u> <u>encephalitis and dendritic loss. Arch Neurol 2004; 61:369.</u>
- 80. Roc AC, Ances BM, Chawla S, et al. Detection of human immunodeficiency virus induced inflammation and oxidative stress in lenticular nuclei with magnetic resonance spectroscopy despite antiretroviral therapy. Arch Neurol 2007; 64:1249.
- <u>Castelo JM, Courtney MG, Melrose RJ, Stern CE. Putamen hypertrophy in</u> nondemented patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection and cognitive compromise. Arch Neurol 2007; 64:1275.
- Spudich SS, Nilsson AC, Lollo ND, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV infection and pleocytosis: relation to systemic infection and antiretroviral treatment. BMC Infect Dis 2005; 5:98.

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 31 of 34 PageID# 13511

- 83. <u>Antinori A, Perno CF, Giancola ML, et al. Efficacy of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)</u> <u>-penetrating antiretroviral drugs against HIV in the neurological compartment: different</u> <u>patterns of phenotypic resistance in CSF and plasma. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41:1787.</u>
- 84. <u>Tross S, Price RW, Navia B, et al. Neuropsychological characterization of the AIDS</u> dementia complex: a preliminary report. AIDS 1988; 2:81.
- 85. <u>Nomenclature and research case definitions for neurologic manifestations of human</u> <u>immunodeficiency virus-type 1 (HIV-1) infection. Report of a Working Group of the</u> <u>American Academy of Neurology AIDS Task Force. Neurology 1991; 41:778.</u>
- 86. <u>Stern Y, McDermott MP, Albert S, et al. Factors associated with incident human</u> <u>immunodeficiency virus-dementia. Arch Neurol 2001; 58:473.</u>
- 87. Peluso MJ, Ferretti F, Peterson J, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV escape associated with progressive neurologic dysfunction in patients on antiretroviral therapy with well controlled plasma viral load. AIDS 2012; 26:1765.
- 88. Edén A, Fuchs D, Hagberg L, et al. HIV-1 viral escape in cerebrospinal fluid of subjects on suppressive antiretroviral treatment. J Infect Dis 2010; 202:1819.
- 89. <u>Canestri A, Lescure FX, Jaureguiberry S, et al. Discordance between cerebral spinal</u> <u>fluid and plasma HIV replication in patients with neurological symptoms who are</u> <u>receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50:773.</u>
- 90. <u>Lescure FX, Moulignier A, Savatovsky J, et al. CD8 encephalitis in HIV-infected</u> patients receiving cART: a treatable entity. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57:101.
- 91. Perez-Valero I, Letendre S, Deutsch R, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and neurocognitiv e impact of cerebrosinal fluid viral escape: Longitudinal analysis of the central nervous system HIV ART effects research and HIV neurobehavioral research program cohorts. Presented at the 20th annual Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infection s, March 3-6, 2013, Atlanta, Georgia. Abstract #402.
- 92. <u>Rawson T, Muir D, Mackie NE, et al. Factors associated with cerebrospinal fluid HIV</u> <u>RNA in HIV infected subjects undergoing lumbar puncture examination in a clinical</u> <u>setting. J Infect 2012; 65:239.</u>

- 93. <u>Ellis RJ, Badiee J, Vaida F, et al. CD4 nadir is a predictor of HIV neurocognitive</u> impairment in the era of combination antiretroviral therapy. AIDS 2011; 25:1747.
- European AIDS Clinical Society Guidelines, October 2011. http://www.europeanaidscli nicalsociety.org/images/stories/EACS-Pdf/EACSGuidelines-v6.0-English.pdf (Accesse d on May 22, 2013).
- 95. <u>Sacktor NC, Wong M, Nakasujja N, et al. The International HIV Dementia Scale: a</u> new rapid screening test for HIV dementia. AIDS 2005; 19:1367.
- 96. Power C, Selnes OA, Grim JA, McArthur JC. HIV Dementia Scale: a rapid screening test. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1995; 8:273.
- Montral Cognitive Assessment. http://www.mocatest.org/ (Accessed on August 08, 20 12).
- 98. <u>Koski L, Brouillette MJ, Lalonde R, et al. Computerized testing augments pencil-and-paper tasks in measuring HIV-associated mild cognitive impairment(\*). HIV Med 2011; 12:472.</u>
- 99. <u>Milanini B, Wendelken LA, Esmaeili-Firidouni P, et al. The Montreal cognitive</u> <u>assessment to screen for cognitive impairment in HIV patients older than 60 years. J</u> <u>Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2014; 67:67.</u>
- 100. <u>Bloch M, Kamminga J, Jayewardene A, et al. A Screening Strategy for HIV-Associated</u> <u>Neurocognitive Disorders That Accurately Identifies Patients Requiring Neurological</u> <u>Review. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:687.</u>
- 101. <u>Valcour V, Paul R, Chiao S, et al. Screening for cognitive impairment in human</u> <u>immunodeficiency virus. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53:836.</u>
- 102. <u>Bottiggi KA, Chang JJ, Schmitt FA, et al. The HIV Dementia Scale: predictive power in</u> <u>mild dementia and HAART. J Neurol Sci 2007; 260:11.</u>
- 103. <u>Sakamoto M, Marcotte TD, Umlauf A, et al. Concurrent classification accuracy of the HIV dementia scale for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders in the CHARTER Cohort. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2013; 62:36.</u>

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 34 PageID# 13513

- 104. <u>Skinner S, Adewale AJ, DeBlock L, et al. Neurocognitive screening tools in HIV/AIDS:</u> <u>comparative performance among patients exposed to antiretroviral therapy. HIV Med</u> <u>2009; 10:246.</u>
- 105. <u>Mind Exchange Working Group. Assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder: a consensus report of the mind exchange program. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 56:1004.</u>
- 106. European AIDS Clinical Society Guidelines. Updated yearly. http://www.eacsociety.or g/Guidelines.aspx.

Topic 3723 Version 22.0

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-17 Filed 06/03/20 Page 34 of 34 PageID# 13514

### **GRAPHICS**

MRI of the brain from a patient with HIV-associated dementia



Bilateral symmetrical high T2 signals without mass effect are present in the white matter of both frontal lobes, associated with subcortical atrophy.

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging.

Graphic 82180 Version 4.0

### **Contributor Disclosures**

**Richard W Price, MD** Other Financial Interests: Gilead Sciences, Inc [Honorarium and travel expenses as speaker at a meeting (Tenofovir Alafenanamide and Fumerate, Rilpivarine, Emtriva, Elvitegravir and various combinations of these in single pill doses)], Merck & Co [Honorarium and travel expenses as speaker at a meeting (raltegravir)]. John G Bartlett, MD Nothing to disclose Allyson Bloom, MD Nothing to disclose

Contributor disclosures are reviewed for conflicts of interest by the editorial group. When found, these are addressed by vetting through a multi-level review process, and through requirements for references to be provided to support the content. Appropriately referenced content is required of all authors and must conform to UpToDate standards of evidence.

#### Conflict of interest policy

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-18 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 6 PageID# 13515

# EXHIBIT 42

**Original Article** 

## Risk factors for neurocognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients and comparison of different screening tools

Elisa Moreira de Souza<sup>1</sup>, Caroline Schleiffer Buoniconti<sup>1</sup>, Frederico Cunha Valim<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre Sampaio Moura<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder (HAND) is relatively frequent among HIV-infected patients and is often underdiagnosed. Assessment of HAND in daily clinical practice is challenging and different tools have been proposed. **Objective:** To evaluate risk factors and compare different screening tools for neurocognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients. **Methods:** HIV-infected patients were evaluated using the International HIV-Dementia Scale (IHDS), Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and a neurocognitive self-perception questionnaire recommended by the European AIDS Clinical Society. Sociodemographic, clinical and laboratory data were obtained through chart review and patient interview. **Results:** Among the 63 patients included, low performance on the IHDS was observed in 54.0% and IHDS score was inversely associated with age (OR 0.13; 95%CI [0.02–0.67]). Regarding cognitive self-perception, 63.5% of patients reported no impairment on the three domains covered by the questionnaire. Among those patients self-reporting no problems, 42.1% had low performance on the IHDS. None of the patients scored below the education-adjusted cut-off on the MMSE. **Conclusion:** IHDS scores suggestive of HAND were observed in more than half of the patients and lower scores were found among older patients. There was low agreement between the different tools, suggesting that the MMSE may be inadequate for assessing HAND. The self-assessment questionnaire had low sensitivity and might not be useful as a screening tool.

Key words: HIV, dementia, Mini-Mental State Examination, International HIV Dementia Scale.

#### FATORES DE RISCO PARA ALTERAÇÕES NEUROCOGNITVAS EM PACIENTES INFECTADOS PELO HIV E COMPARAÇÃO DE DIFERENTES FERRAMENTAS DE TRIAGEM

**RESUMO.** As alterações neurocognitivas associadas ao HIV (HAND) são relativamente frequentes entre pacientes infectados pelo HIV, porém são subdiagnosticadas. Avaliação de HAND na prática clínica diária é desafiador e diferentes ferramentas têm sido propostas. **Objetivo:** Avaliar fatores de risco e comparar diferentes ferramentas de rastreamento de alterações neurocognitivas em pacientes infectados pelo HIV. **Métodos:** Pacientes infectados pelo HIV foram avaliados usando a Escala Internacional de Demência pelo HIV (IHDS), Mini Exame do Estado Mental (MEEM) e um questionário de autopercepção neurocognitiva recomendado pela Sociedade Clínica Europeia de AIDS. Dados sociodemográficos, clínicos e laboratoriais foram obtidos por revisão de prontuário e entrevista com o paciente. **Resultados:** Entre os 63 pacientes incluídos no estudo, um baixo desempenho no IHDS foi observado em 54,0% e o escore no IHDS esteve inversamente associado à idade (OR 0,13; IC95% [0,02–0,67]). Em relação à autopercepção cognitiva, 63,5% dos pacientes não relataram nenhum prejuízo nos três domínios avaliados pelo instrumento. Nenhum paciente apresentou escore no MEEM abaixo do ponto de corte ajustado para escolaridade. **Conclusão:** Escores no IHDS sugestivos de HAND foram observados em mais da metade dos pacientes mátodos de avaliação, sugerindo que o MEEM é inadequado para avaliação de HAND e o questionário de auto-avaliação tem uma baixa sensibilidade, não parecendo ser útil como ferramenta de triagem. **Palavras-chave:** HIV, demência, Mini Exame do Estado Mental, Escala Internacional de Demência pelo HIV.

This study was conducted at the Universidade José do Rosário Vellano, Belo Horizonte MG, Brazil.

Alexandre Sampaio Moura. Curso de Medicina / Universidade José do Rosário Vellano – Rua Líbano, 66 – 31710-030 Belo Horizonte MG – Brasil. E-mail: alexandresmoura@gmail.com

Disclosure: The authors report no conflits of interest.

Received August 05, 2015. Accepted in final form January 29, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Estudante de Gradução. Curso de Medicina, Universidade José do Rosário Vellano, Belo Horizonte MG, Brasil. <sup>2</sup>Doutor Professor de Curso de Medicina, Universidade José do Rosário Vellano, Belo Horizonte MG, Brasil.

#### INTRODUCTION

The central nervous system (CNS) is a major target for HIV infection with high viral loads often observed in cerebrospinal fluid and in different anatomical sites such as the caudate nucleus and the hippocampus of HIV-infected patients.<sup>1</sup> In the CNS, HIV infects and replicates on macrophages, microglia and multicellular glia, resulting in the release of neurotoxic factors and subsequent cell damage.<sup>2</sup>

In 1991, the American Academy of Neurology divided HIV-associated neurocognitive disease (HAND) into two different categories: HIV-associated dementia (HAD) and mild neurocognitive disorder. HAD is characterized by impairment in multiple domains, particularly learning of new information, information processing, and attention or concentration, that impact at least two activities of daily living and result in at least one functional or psychosocial change. In mild neurocognitive disorder, there is a reduction in mental accuracy with loss of efficiency at work and reduced performance on domestic tasks,<sup>1</sup> but with a much lower impact on activities of daily living.

A decade later, Antinori et al.,<sup>3</sup> introduced a new category of asymptomatic neurocognitive disorders based on the finding that some individuals have subclinical impairment on neurocognitive evaluation without any impact on activities of daily living. Prevalences of asymptomatic neurocognitive disorder (ANA), mild neurocognitive disorder and HAD are 30–35%, 20–25% and 2–3%, respectively.<sup>4</sup> CD4+ cell counts lower than 200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>, age greater than 50 years and low educational status were found to be risk factors for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND).<sup>4</sup>

The gold standard for diagnosing neurocognitive impairment is a battery of neuropsychological tests applied by a trained neuropsychologist. However, such comprehensive assessment is not feasible in daily clinical practice and simpler screening tools are needed.<sup>4,5</sup>

The IHDS is a rapid assessment tool that evaluates memory-recall and both motor and psychomotor speed.<sup>5,6</sup> It consists of three subtests: [1] timed finger tapping which measures motor speed; [2] timed alternating hand sequence test which assesses psychomotor speed; and [3] recall of 4 words (blue, dog, hat and apple) at 2 minutes which assesses memory registration and recall. Each of these tests is rated on a scale of 0–4 and the maximum possible score on the IHDS is 12. Validation of the IHDS in Brazil was conducted by Rodrigues et al.,<sup>5</sup> and showed sensitivity and specificity for detecting HAND of 55% and 80%, respectively. A moderate-tohigh interobserver agreement was observed and a there was reasonable agreement between the IHDS and other neuropsychological tests.  $^{\rm 5}$ 

Another neurocognitive assessment tool is the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) that evaluates orientation, attention and calculation, registration, recall, language and the ability to follow simple commands.<sup>7</sup> However, the MMSE was originally developed to screen for cortical dementia such as Alzheimer's disease and there might be limitations on its use to assess subcortical disorders, such as those observed among HIV-infected patients.<sup>7</sup>

A self-perception questionnaire was recently proposed by the European AIDS Clinical Society as a first step in neurocognitive evaluation of HIV-infected patients.<sup>9</sup> The questionnaire has three items related to memory, attention and information processing, based on a previous study conducted by Simioni et al.<sup>8</sup> However, the performance of the questionnaire in clinical setting has not been systematically evaluated.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the factors associated with performance on the IHDS and MMSE and level of agreement between scores on these screening tools and patients' self-perception of neurocognitive status.

#### **METHODS**

Between October 2013 and February 2014, consecutive HIV-infected patients were recruited for the study at CEASC-UNIFENAS, a public university-based ambulatory-care unit for infectious diseases located in Belo Horizonte, Brazil. All patients included were adults and had confirmed HIV diagnosis. Patients were excluded if they were illiterate, had severe psychiatric conditions or current CNS opportunistic infection. Sociodemographic, clinical and laboratory data were obtained through chart review and patient interview.

Neurocognitive evaluation using validated versions of the IHDS<sup>5</sup> and MMSE<sup>7</sup> was conducted by trained researchers. For the IHDS, a score less than or equal to 10 was considered to be altered, based on the study of Rodrigues et al. that showed a sensitivity and specificity for detection of HAND of 55% and 80%, respectively.<sup>5</sup> For the MMSE, a cut-off score based on years of education was used as following: 18 points for patients with four years of education or less and 26 points for those with more than four years of education.<sup>7</sup>

Cognitive self-perception was assessed by a questionnaire recommended by the European AIDS Clinical Society guideline.<sup>8,9</sup> The questionnaire includes three items: [1] "Do you experience frequent memory loss?"; [2] "Do you feel that you are slower when reasoning, planning

activities or solving problems?"; [3] "Do you have difficulties paying attention?". For each of the questions, patients must choose one of the following answers: [a] never, [b] hardly ever or [c] yes, definitely. The EACS guideline recommends that patients be submitted to a more thorough neurocognitive evaluation if the response on at least one of the items is "yes, definitely".

Patients' depressive symptoms were assessed using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI),<sup>10</sup> a self-rated 21-item questionnaire validated in Brazil by Gorenstein and Andrade.<sup>11</sup> A score of < 14 suggests the presence of no or minimal depressive symptoms while scores from 14–19, 20–28 and 29–63 are suggestive of the presence of mild, moderate or severe depressive symptoms, respectively.

Demographic information, CD4+ cell count, HIV viral load, antiretroviral regimen, smoking history, use of illicit drugs and alcohol abuse were obtained from patients' medical records.

Descriptive analysis of frequency and proportions were used for categorical variables. Comparison of proportions was conducted using Pearson's Chi-square test. Means and standard deviation were used for normally distributed continuous variables. Statistical significance was set up at 0.05. The Epi info statistical package (Version 3.5.4, July 30 (2012)) was used to conduct all analyses.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and approved by the local Research Ethics Committee. All subjects gave their written informed consent.

#### RESULTS

DX008 Page 3 of 5

Sixty-four patients were assessed throughout the study period and one was excluded due to illiteracy. Among the 63 patients included, 45 (71.4%) were male, with a mean age of 42.9 years (range 19.0–73.0), 39 (61.9%) individuals were non-white and 38 (60.3%) had eight or less years of education. Only two patients (3.2%) had a CD4+ count less than 200cells/mm<sup>3</sup>. Fifty-eight patients were on antiretroviral therapy (ART) and 30 (51.7%) of these were using efavirenz. Among the fortyfour patients that had been on ART for more than 24 weeks, 37 (84.1%) had undetectable viral load.

Twenty-four (33.9%) patients showed symptoms of depression, where most of these were suggestive of mild depression. Only nine patients had a BDI score suggestive of moderate or severe depression (Table 1).

Neurocognitive assessment showed that 34 (54.0%) patients had low performance (<11) on the IHDS (Table 2) and scores were inversely associated with age (OR

0.13; 95%CI 0.02-0.67). Performance on the IHDS was not significantly associated with efavirenz use, gender, CD4+ cell count; viral load or depressive symptoms (Table 3).

None of the patients included had an MMSE score below the cut-off level.

Regarding the self-assessment questionnaire, 25.7% of the patients answered positively for at least one of the

 Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of all patients included.

	N=63
Age (years)	42.9 ± 10.9*
Years of education (%)	
1-8	60.3%
9-12	30.1%
>12	9.5%
Male (%)	71.4%
Non-white (%)	61.9%
CD4+ T-cell count $< 200 / mm^3$ (%)	3.2%
CD4+ T-cell count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> )	556.6 ± 189.1*
Viral load <50 copies/ml (%)	58.7%
Current ARV use (%)	92.0%
Efavirenz use	51.7%
Beck Depression Inventory score (%)	
0-13 points	66.1%
14-19 points	25.4%
20-28 points	8.5%
>29 points	6.3%

\*mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2. Performance of HIV-infected patients on different neurocognitive assessment tools.

	N=63
IHDS	
≥ 11	36.0%
<11	54.0%
MMSE	
≥ cut-off point*	100%
< cut-off point*	0%
EACS self-perception questionnaire	
Reported no problems	74.3%
Reported at least one problem	25.7%

EACS: European AIDS Clinical Society; IHDS: International HIV Dementia Scale; MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination; \*Adjusted by educational level

	IHDS≤10 (n=34)	IHDS>10 (n=29)	OR	95% CI	P value
Age $\leq$ 50 (years)	22 (64.7%)	27 (93.0%)	0.13	0.02-0.67	< 0.01
Efavirenz use	18 (54.9%)	12 (41.3%)	1.73	0.61-4.90	0.30
CD4 count < 200 cell/mm <sup>3</sup>	1 (2.9%)	1 (3.4%)	0.84	0.05-14.19	0.90
Viral load $\ge$ 50 copies/ml	14 (41.1%)	12 (41.3%)	1.0	0.36-2.75	0.49
BDI score < 13	20 (58.8%)	19 (65.5%)	0.75	0.26-2.09	0.66

#### Table 3. Univariate analysis of factors associated with performance on the International HIV Dementia Scale (IHDS).

BDI: Beck Depression Inventory.

questions (Table 2). Among those patients self-reporting no problems, 42.1% had low performance on the IHDS.

#### DISCUSSION

A high proportion of HIV-infected patients were found to have impaired performance on the IHDS. A similar high prevalence of HAND, as suggested by low scores on the IHDS, was also reported by Oshinaike et al. in Nigeria<sup>12</sup> and Rodrigues et al. in Brazil.<sup>5</sup>

With the introduction of highly-active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), a reduction in the incidence of HAND was observed but its prevalence has increased due to improved patient survival.<sup>5,10</sup> Currently, as the HIV population is becoming older, both incidence and prevalence of HAND appear to be increasing.<sup>13</sup> Indeed, we have found age to be associated with performance on the IHDS - a finding also reported by others.<sup>14,15</sup>

We failed to find an association between CD4+ cell count and performance on the IHDS, such as the associations found by Antinori et al.<sup>3</sup> However, the number of patients with CD4+ cell count less than 200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> in the present study was too small to make meaningful comparisons.

Efavirenz is associated with a variety of psychiatric and neurological conditions due to its neurotoxicity.<sup>16</sup> However, we found no association between efavirenz use and performance on the IHDS, in agreement with the results of Lopardo et al.<sup>15</sup>

Depression is frequent among HIV-infected patients. Kagee & Martin conducted a study in South Africa using BDI and estimated a prevalence of moderate and severe depression of 37.4% and 20%, respectively.<sup>17</sup> Our prevalence of depressive symptoms was much lower and was not associated with IHDS performance.

None of the patients in the present study showed alterations on the MMSE. This finding reinforces the claim made by Sacktor et al.<sup>6</sup> that the MMSE is useful for cortical dementias but might lack sensitivity when

evaluating subcortical neurological disorders such as those associated with HIV.

Regarding the self-assessment neurocognitive questionnaire recommended by the EACS,<sup>8</sup> we have found an unacceptable high rate of patients without complaints (42.1%) that had impaired performance on the IHDS, thereby limiting its utility as a screening tool. Simioni et al.,<sup>8</sup> (also reported a high prevalence of HAND among HIV-infected patients with long-standing undetectable viral load without neurocognitive complaints.

Misdiagnosis of HAND can have a significant impact on HIV care. HAND overdiagnosis might reduce patients' self-esteem, lead to inappropriate medical interventions and increases the already high cost of AIDS treatment.<sup>18</sup> Conversely, lack of early diagnosis might delay appropriate interventions such as antiretroviral therapy modifications.<sup>19</sup>

The present study has several limitations. First, patients were recruited from a single referral center and might not reflect the overall HIV-infected population. In addition, the small sample size may have limited the power for identifying some risk factors for HAND such as CD4 cell count. Finally, the study did not include a thorough neuropsychological assessment. However, the IHDS has been previously shown to have good performance compared to more comprehensive neurocognitive assessment.<sup>5</sup>

In summary, a high proportion of HIV-infected patients had poor performance on the IHDS, suggestive of HAND, a trait that seemed to increase with age. The self-assessment questionnaire recommended by the EACS and the MMSE might have a limited role as screening tools for neurocognitive impairment in HIV-infected patients.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank the HIV multidisciplinary care team at CEASC-UNIFENAS for their contribution in patient recruitment.

**Financial support.** This research was partially funded by a grant from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

**Author contributions.** All authors have contributed significantly and are in agreement with the content of the manuscript. Elisa M. Souza participated in the design of the study, undertook the collection of data, performed

the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. Caroline S. Buoniconti participated in the design of the study, collection of data and reviewed the manuscript. Frederico C. Valim performed the statistical analysis and reviewed the manuscript. Alexandre S. Moura participated in the design of the study, undertook the collection of data, performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Christo PP. Alterações cognitivas na infecção pelo HIV e AIDS. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2010;56:242-247.
- Valcour V, Sithinamsuwan P, Letendre S, Ances B. Pathogenesis of HIV in the central nervous system. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2011;8:54-61.
- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. Updated research nosology for HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology 2007;69:1789-1799.
- Zipursky AR, Gogolishvili D, Rueda S, et al. Evaluation of brief screening tools for neurocognitive impairment in HIV/AIDS: a systematic review of the literature. AIDS 2013;27:2385-2401.
- Rodrigues RA, Oliveira RL, Grinsztejn B, Silva MTT. Validity of the International HIV dementia scale in Brazil. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2013;71:376-379.
- Sacktor NC, Wong M, Nakasujja N, et al. The International HIV Dementia Scale: a new rapid screening test for HIV dementia. AIDS 2005;19: 1367-1374.
- Brucki, SM, Nitrini, R, Caramelli, P, Bertolucci, PH, Okamoto, IH. Sugestões para o uso do mini-exame do estado mental no Brasil. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 2013;61:777-81.
- Simioni S, Cavassini M, Annoni JM, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in HIV patients despite long-standing suppression of viremia. AIDS 2010;24: 1243-1250.
- EACS European AIDS Clinical Society. Guideline version 8.0 October 2015. Available at: http://www.eacsociety.org/files/2015\_ eacsguidelines\_8.0-english\_rev-20151221.pdf. Accessed on October 30, 2015.
- Beck AT, Ward C. Mendelson M. Beck depression inventory (BDI). Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561-571.
- 11. Gorenstein, C, Andrade, LHSG. Validation of a Portuguese version of

the Beck Depression Inventory and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory in Brazilian subjects. Brazilian journal of medical and biological research. Rev Bras Pesq Med Biol 1996;29:453-457.

- Oshinaike OO, Akinbami AA, Ojo OO, Ojini IF, Okubadejo UN, Danesi AM. Comparison of the Minimental State Examination Scale and the International HIV Dementia Scale in Assessing Cognitive Function in Nigerian HIV Patients on Antiretroviral Therapy. AIDS Res Treat 2012; 1:1-6.
- Pacheco Filho, JR, Santos, FH. Estudos brasileiros sobre demência associada ao HIV. J Bras Doenças Sex Transm 2008;20:196-203.
- Mossie, TB, Tegegne, MT. HIV dementia among HIV positive people at Debre markos hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. Am J Psychol Neurosci 2014;2:18-24.
- Lopardo GD, Bissio E, Del C lannella M, Crespo AD, Garone DB, Cassetti LI. Good neurocognitive performance measured by the international HIV dementia scale in early HIV-1 infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2009;52:488-492.
- Ciccarelli N, Fabbiani M, Di Giambenedetto S, et al. Efavirenz associated with cognitive disorders in otherwise asymptomatic HIV-infected patients. Neurology 2011;76:1403-1409.
- Kagee A, Martin L. Symptoms of depression and anxiety among a sample of South African patients living with HIV. AIDS Care 2010;22: 159-165.
- Nunn AS, Fonseca EM, Bastos FI, Gruskin S. AIDS Treatment In Brazil: Impacts And Challenges. Health Affair 2009;28:1103-1113.
- Mind Exchange Working Group. Assessment, diagnosis, and treatment of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder: a consensus report of the mind exchange program. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;56:1004-1117.

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-19 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 9 PageID# 13521

# EXHIBIT 43

# Asymptomatic HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment increases risk for symptomatic decline

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** While HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) remain prevalent despite combination antiretroviral therapy (CART), the clinical relevance of asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI), the most common HAND diagnosis, remains unclear. We investigated whether HIV-infected persons with ANI were more likely than those who were neurocognitively normal (NCN) to experience a decline in everyday functioning (symptomatic decline).

**Methods:** A total of 347 human participants from the CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) cohort were NCN (n = 226) or had ANI (n = 121) at baseline. Neurocognitive assessments occurred approximately every 6 months, with median (interquartile range) follow-up of 45.2 (28.7-63.7) months. Symptomatic decline was based on self-report (SR) or objective, performance-based (PB) problems in everyday functioning. Proportional hazards modeling was used to generate risk ratios for progression to symptomatic HAND after adjusting for baseline and time-dependent covariates, including CD4+ T-lymphocyte count (CD4), virologic suppression, CART, and mood.

**Results:** The ANI group had a shorter time to symptomatic HAND than the NCN after adjusting for baseline predictors: adjusted risk ratios for symptomatic HAND were 2.0 (confidence interval [CI] 1.1-3.6; p = 0.02) for SR, 5.8 (CI 3.2-10.7; p < 0.0001) for PB, and 3.2 (CI 2.0-5.0; p < 0.0001) for either SR or PB. Current CD4 and depression were significant time-dependent covariates, but antiretroviral regimen, virologic suppression, and substance abuse or dependence were not.

**Conclusions:** This longitudinal study demonstrates that ANI conveys a 2-fold to 6-fold increase in risk for earlier development of symptomatic HAND, supporting the prognostic value of the ANI diagnosis in clinical settings. Identifying those at highest risk for symptomatic decline may offer an opportunity to modify treatment to delay progression. *Neurology*® 2014;82:2055-2062

#### GLOSSARY

Combination antiretroviral therapy (CART) has reduced morbidity and mortality among those living with HIV (HIV+), but HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) remain prevalent.<sup>1-3</sup> While the prevalence of the most severe form of HAND, HIV-associated dementia (HAD), is now uncommon ( $2\%^2$ ), milder forms of HAND (termed asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment [ANI] and mild neurocognitive disorder [MND] according to the Frascati criteria<sup>1</sup>) have been reported in 40%–56% of HIV+ cases and may be more prevalent at earlier (less severe) disease stages in the CART era.<sup>2,4,5</sup>

From the University of California (I.G., D.R.F., R.D., S.P.W., F.V., R.J.E., S.L.L., T.D.M., J.H.A., J.A.M., I.A., A.G., C.F.-N., D.M.S., R.K.H.), San Diego; the University of Washington (A.C.C., C.M.M.), Seattle; Washington University (D.B.C.), St. Louis, MO; University of Texas Medical Branch (B.B.G.), Galveston; Johns Hopkins University (J.C.M.), Baltimore, MD; and The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai (S.M., D.M.S.), New York, NY.

Coinvestigators are listed on the Neurology® Web site at Neurology.org.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Government. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

© 2014 American Academy of Neurology

2055

Igor Grant, MD Donald R. Franklin, Jr., BS Reena Deutsch, PhD Steven P. Woods, PsyD Florin Vaida, PhD Ronald J. Ellis, MD, PhD Scott L. Letendre, MD Thomas D. Marcotte, PhD J.H. Atkinson, MD Ann C. Collier, MD Christina M. Marra, MD David B. Clifford, MD Benjamin B. Gelman, MD, PhD Justin C. McArthur, MBBS Susan Morgello, MD David M. Simpson, MD John A. McCutchan, MD Ian Abramson, PhD Anthony Gamst, PhD Christine Fennema-Notestine, PhD Davey M. Smith, MD Robert K. Heaton, PhD For the CHARTER Group

Correspondence to Dr. Grant: igrant@ucsd.edu

Editorial, page 2046

Supplemental data at Neurology.org

ANI is the most common form of HAND,<sup>2</sup> accounting for approximately 70% of cases, and is characterized by impairment on neurocognitive testing with no obvious accompanying interference in daily functioning.1 Recent commentaries have called into question the clinical relevance of ANI,6,7 suggesting that the diagnostic criteria for ANI generate an unacceptably high false-positive rate<sup>6</sup> and a lack of evidence that patients with ANI are at greater risk for progression to more severe impairment.7 Although previous studies have shown that an antemortem ANI diagnosis is related to both increased dendritic injury and HIV encephalitis in individuals without significant comorbidities,8,9 these studies involved terminally ill patients, limiting generalizability to medically stable HIV+ patients on CART. The clinical relevance of ANI would be bolstered if ANI predicted future symptomatic disease, i.e., problems with everyday functioning. To evaluate this, we performed a longitudinal study comparing risk of developing symptomatic decline in HIV+ persons who at baseline were neurocognitively normal (NCN) vs those with ANI.

**METHODS Participants.** Study participants were from the CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) study, details of which were reported previously.<sup>2</sup> Individuals from the longitudinal CHARTER cohort were selected for this study on the basis of being classified as ANI (n = 121) or NCN (n = 226) at baseline according to the Frascati criteria based on comprehensive neuromedical, neurocognitive, psychiatric, and functional evaluations.<sup>1</sup> No individuals had non-HIV, severely confounding comorbidities<sup>2</sup> that would preclude a HAND diagnosis (table 1). A total of 2,749 visits were analyzed.

**Procedures.** *Neuromedical examination.* The standardized neuromedical examination included a medical history, structured neurologic and medical examination, as well as collection of blood, CSF, and urine samples.<sup>2</sup>

*Laboratory assessment.* Laboratory measurements included routine clinical chemistry panels, complete blood counts, rapid plasma reagin, hepatitis C virus antibody, CD4+T lymphocytes, and HIV RNA levels measured in plasma and CSF.<sup>2</sup>

*Neurocognitive examination.* The CHARTER comprehensive neurocognitive test battery covered 7 cognitive domains: motor function (perceptual-motor speed), verbal fluency, executive function, attention/working memory, speed of information processing, learning, and memory.<sup>2,10</sup> ANI and NCN diagnoses were rendered according to Frascati criteria.<sup>1</sup> Premorbid verbal IQ estimates were obtained using the oral reading subtest from the Wide Range Achievement Test.<sup>11</sup>

*Psychiatric examination.* The computer-assisted Composite International Diagnostic Interview<sup>12</sup> was administered to establish current and lifetime diagnoses of mood disorders and substance use disorders. Current depressive symptoms were assessed with the Beck Depression Inventory–II (BDI). $^{13}$ 

Self-report measures of daily functioning. Reports of cognitive difficulties in everyday life were assessed using the Patient's Assessment of Own Functioning Inventory (PAOFI).<sup>14</sup> Increased dependence in performing instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) was assessed with a modified version of the Lawton and Brody Scale.<sup>15</sup> We also administered an employment questionnaire that asks about any decreases in work productivity, accuracy/quality of work, increased effort required to do one's usual job, and increased fatigue in association with the usual workload.

**Performance-based measures of daily functioning.** Medication management was assessed via a revised version of the Medication Management Test (MMT-R<sup>15</sup>). Briefly, the MMT-R consists of both pill dispensing and medication inference questions. Vocational function was assessed using standardized work samples (MESA SF2)<sup>16</sup> and the next-generation Valpar COMPASS programs.<sup>17</sup> The Valpar assessment consists of multimodal, criterion-referenced instruments designed to establish participant skill level in areas of vocational functioning.<sup>15</sup>

**Classification of symptomatic status.** Data-driven formulas were used to determine symptomatic status 3 ways: (1) using only self-report (SR) measures of daily functioning; (2) using only performance-based (PB) measures of daily functioning; and (3) a dual method that classifies a person as symptomatic if he or she meets any 2 of the SR or PB criteria for symptomatic impairment of daily functioning. Employment status that was associated with cognitive decline (reported decline in work ability or inability to work due at least in part to cognitive issues on the IADL) counted as one area of functional decline in all formulas, in accordance with the Frascati criteria.<sup>1</sup>

To determine functional decline in the SR formula, scores on the PAOFI and IADL were examined. A PAOFI score of 3 or higher (reflecting at least 3 cognitive symptoms) was used to indicate functional impairment on that measure. To control for depression in SR, participants with elevated BDI scores (BDI  $\geq$  17) needed to exhibit a higher level of complaint on the PAOFI (PAOFI ≥10 complaints) to qualify for functional impairment on this measure. Scores on the IADL that showed decline from "best" to "now" in 2 or more areas that were identified as being at least partially due to cognitive problems (vs physical impairment) also qualified as functionally impaired.<sup>1,18,19</sup> In order to be called symptomatic by SR, participants had to be (1) PAOFI and IADL impaired or (2) PAOFI or IADL impaired and either unemployed or employed, but with self-reported difficulty in job performance, which was at least partially due to cognitive problems.

Since published, demographically adjusted normative standards are not available for the performance-based tests, we derived cutpoints for the MMT-R and Valpar from the neurocognitively normal subset of CHARTER participants (n = 375; mean age = 43.4 years; 80% male; 42% Caucasian; mean education = 12.5 years). Based on prior studies,<sup>15</sup> cutpoints were determined based on a normal distribution, so that 16% of the NCN cohort would be impaired at 1 SD (cutoff scores: MMT-R <5 and Valpar <24) and 2% of the cohort would be impaired at 2 SDs (cutoff scores: MMT-R <2 and Valpar <17). The MMT-R and Valpar were administered at the first longitudinal visit (6 months after the CHARTER baseline) and every 6 months thereafter, but were not performed at the baseline (entry) visit.

In the PB formula, functional impairment was defined as scores 1 or 2 SDs below the mean on the MMT-R and Valpar, also consistent with the Frascati criteria.<sup>1</sup> Participants were coded as symptomatic if (1) both MMT-R and Valpar scores were at least 1 SD below the mean or (2) one task was 2 or more SDs

Neurology 82 June 10, 2014

Page 2 of 8

2056

Table 1	Comparison of participants who were neurocognitively normal and asymptomatically
	neurocognitively impaired at baseline

	NCN (n = 226), mean (SD), %, or median (IQR)	ANI (n = 121), mean (SD), %, or median (IQR)	p Valueª
Age, y <sup>b</sup>	43.0 (8.6)	44.8 (8.0)	
Education, y <sup>b</sup>	12.9 (2.4)	13.5 (2.2)	0.04
Estimated verbal IQ <sup>b</sup>	97.4 (13.2)	92.6 (14.5)	0.002
% Male <sup>c</sup>	81.9	81.8	
% Caucasian <sup>c</sup>	45.6	46.3	
% Lifetime substance use diagnosis <sup>c</sup>	71.2	69.4	
% Lifetime major depression <sup>c</sup>	52.6	45.4	
% With contributing comorbidity <sup>c,d</sup>	22.6	44.6	< 0.0001
% AIDS°	56.2	62.8	
Current CD4, cells/mm <sup>3e</sup>	459 (290-669)	425 (286-578)	
Nadir CD4, cells/mm <sup>3e</sup>	201 (61-370)	162 (38-273)	0.03
% On ART <sup>c</sup>	66.2	72.7	
% Undetectable in plasma <sup>c</sup>	38.6	45.8	
% Undetectable in CSF <sup>c</sup>	59.6	75.9	0.006
Estimated duration HIV+, $\mathrm{mo}^\mathrm{b}$	117.7 (75.0)	120.7 (81.6)	
% HCV+°	20.4	27.3	

Abbreviations: ANI = asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment; ART = antiretroviral therapy; CHARTER = CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research; HCV = hepatitis C virus; IQR = interquartile range; NCN = neurocognitively normal. <sup>a</sup> Only p values <0.05 are reported.

<sup>b</sup>t test.

 $^{c}\chi^{2}$  test.

<sup>d</sup> Comorbidity status was based on CHARTER classification.<sup>2</sup> Contributing comorbidities are non-HIV factors that can influence neurocognitive impairment but are not considered the primary cause of the impairment. <sup>e</sup> Wilcoxon rank test

below the mean and the subject was unemployed due to cognitive issues.

All the diagnostic criteria described above for functional decline were also used to define functional impairment in the SR/PB method. Measures included in each formula criterion are summarized in table e-1 on the *Neurology®* Web site at Neurology.org (at least 2 criteria were necessary for functional impairment).

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. These procedures were approved by the Human Subjects Protection Committees of each participating institution. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Statistical methods. Kaplan-Meier estimates were generated comparing NCN and ANI participants on time to symptomatic threshold defined by the 3 criteria: using only SR symptoms, only PB symptoms, and having SR, PB, or both (SR/PB). Proportional hazards modeling was used to generate risk ratios and their 95% confidence interval (CI) estimates for symptomatic HAND, after adjusting for baseline or time-dependent variables (table 1). Separate models were developed based on each of the 3 criteria: SR, PB, and SR/PB. Multivariable models initially included all univariably significant (p < 0.10) predictors. Time-varying predictors of earlier decline to symptomatic status in univariable survival analyses that were screened for inclusion in multivariate models included current CD4 count, current major depressive disorder, antiretroviral therapy (ART) use status, ART regimen type (protease inhibitor [PI]-based vs non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor [NNRTI]-based/PI-NNRTI- based/other), CNS penetration effectiveness score of ART regimen,<sup>20</sup> viral load in plasma and CSF, and current substance use disorder. Nonsignificant ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) predictors were subsequently removed from the final multivariable models. Interactions of the remaining variables were tested and retained if significant at a p < 0.05 level. Baseline demographic characteristics, HIV disease–related laboratory measures, AIDS status, treatment-related variables, substance use variables, and psychiatric variables were compared using *t* tests, Wilcoxon rank tests, or  $\chi^2$  tests, as appropriate, between ANI vs NCN cases (table 1) and between participants who became symptomatic vs those who remained asymptomatic (table 2).

**RESULTS Survival analysis of ANI as a predictor of symptomatic HAND.** Kaplan-Meier estimates comparing ANI and NCN on time to symptomatic HAND showed that ANI was a predictor of earlier time to symptomatic status using any of the 3 measures: SR (p = 0.003), PB (p < 0.0001), or SR/PB (p < 0.0001) (figure). The survival analyses were repeated considering only those cases that were virally suppressed in plasma at baseline (NCN = 85, ANI = 55). ANI remained a strong predictor of earlier time to symptomatic status using PB and SR/PB (p < 0.0001). For SR only, the relationship did not attain significance, but was suggestive of an association (p = 0.08). At baseline, the ANI group had higher education than the NCN

2057

Neurology 82 June 10, 2014

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 3 of 8

Table 2	Adjusted relative risk for symptomatic progression: Asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment vs neurocognitively normal			
Criteria for	symptomatic status	Relative risk <sup>a</sup>	95% CI	p Value
Self-report		2.00	1.09-3.62	0.02
Performanc	e-based	5.81	3.24-10.75	< 0.0001
Self-report	or performance-based	3.18	2.04-4.99	< 0.0001

Abbreviations: ANI = asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment; CI = confidence interval; NCN = neurocognitively normal.

<sup>a</sup> Relative risk for ANI vs NCN; all risk ratios adjusted for baseline education, estimated verbal IQ, nadir CD4, log<sub>10</sub> CSF viral load, and comorbidity classification<sup>2</sup> in proportional hazards models.

group (13.5 [2.2] vs 12.9 [2.4], p = 0.04), but had lower verbal IQ estimates (92.5 [14.5] vs 97.4 [13.2], p = 0.002), lower nadir CD4 counts (162 [38–273] vs 201 [61–370], p = 0.03), were more likely to have an undetectable viral load in CSF (75.9% vs 59.6%; p =0.004), and a greater percent of people with moderate "contributing" vs "incidental" (minimal) comorbidities (table 1). Using proportional hazards modeling, we generated risk ratios for earlier decline to symptomatic HAND that adjusted for these variables. After adjustment, ANI remained a predictor of earlier decline to symptomatic HAND using all 3 methods of measurement (SR, PB, and SR/PB, all p values <0.05, table 3).

Baseline predictors of decline to symptomatic HAND (other than ANI status). Overall, 110 (31.7%) of the entire group (50.4% for ANI and 21.7% for NCN, p < 0.0001) experienced a decline to symptomatic HAND measured by either SR or PB. When comparing baseline characteristics between those who declined to symptomatic HAND and those who did not, those who declined were older, had less education, were more often female, were more likely to have a lifetime substance use disorder, had greater than incidental (minimal) comorbidity, were more likely to have an AIDS diagnosis, had a lower nadir CD4, and were more likely to be hepatitis C virus (HCV)+. Race/ethnicity, CART status, current CD4 count, plasma and CSF viral load, and estimated duration of HIV infection did not predict decline (table 3). Of the 49 patients with NCN who ultimately became symptomatic, 13 developed neurocognitive impairment at a visit before the SR or PB decline, and 36 experienced the NC decline simultaneously with the SR/PB decline. The patients with NCN who declined had worse initial global deficit scores than nondecliners and scored worse in the ability areas of learning, recall, working memory, and motor coordination (all p < 0.05; table e-2).

Time-dependent predictors of earlier symptomatic status. Together, baseline ANI vs NCN status and a timedependent current diagnosis of major depressive disorder were significant predictors of time to decline using SR-based measures to define symptomatic status. Baseline ANI vs NCN status and current CD4 count, in combination, were significant predictors of time to decline using PB only and SR/PB measures to define symptomatic status (table 4).

**DISCUSSION** While the introduction of CART has markedly reduced the rate of the most severe form of HAND—HAD—from an estimated 15% in the 1980s<sup>5</sup> to perhaps 2% currently,<sup>2</sup> this has not been accompanied by a similar reduction in the milder forms of HAND (ANI and MND),<sup>1</sup> with at least 40% of HIV+ patients manifesting some level of neurocognitive impairment.<sup>4</sup> In the cross-sectional CHARTER study of 1,316 patients without major neurologic comorbidities, 617 (47%) had some level of NCI; of these, 70% were classified as ANI, 25% as MND, and 5% as HAD.

Given that ANI is the most prevalent form of HAND, the clinical relevance of this diagnosis is clearly important. It has been argued that since ANI is "asymptomatic," it may have little clinical significance. Indeed, some have contended that establishing the diagnosis may be wasteful of resources and needlessly worrying to patients. For instance, ANI could represent brain injury that occurred in the early stages of HIV infection, is not progressive, and has no future consequences. However, our findings of increased progression in ANI argue against that interpretation. ANI patients progress to symptomatic status faster than those without ANI regardless of whether functional worsening was measured by self-report, performance, or either of these indices. Therefore, a major criticism of ANI-that it does not predict anything clinically important-is inaccurate according to this study's findings.

The magnitude of ANI as a predictor of decline to symptomatic status was substantial, ranging from relative risk of 2.3 CI (1.4–3.9) for decline based on self-report, arguably the weakest measure, to 4.7 CI (2.4–7.7) on performance-based criteria, which likely has greater reliability. Considering both criteria (the most likely scenario in many settings), the relative risk is 3.0 CI (2.1–4.4) (figure, all participants analyses). The size of this effect, therefore, is clinically meaningful.

Second, it has been suggested that ANI may simply be a statistical artifact of the particular testing procedures and algorithms proposed in the Frascati criteria.<sup>6</sup> While a detailed treatment of the statistical argument is beyond the scope of this article, the following 2 comments may be warranted: (1) statistical arguments rooted primarily in assumptions of Gaussian distributions of test scores may not be the most appropriate<sup>7</sup>; and (2) it seems unlikely that the growing number of studies that report differences in rates of impairment between HIV+ and HIV- participants can be dismissed as artifactual. In

2058

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Page 4 of 8



Relative risk for asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI) vs neurocognitively normal (NCN) as a predictor of earlier decline to symptomatic HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND) using self-report only, performance-based only, and self-report or performance-based criteria for symptomatic HAND. Viral suppression = plasma viral load  $\leq$ 50 copies per mL at baseline. CI = confidence interval. <sup>a</sup> Total sample: ANI = 121; NCN = 22. <sup>b</sup> Sample with viral suppression at baseline: ANI = 55; NCN = 85.

addition, neuroimaging studies find detectable structural, functional, and spectroscopic evidence of brain abnormalities even in the acute and early phases of HIV infection.<sup>21-25</sup>

Of interest, those with ANI at baseline had evidence of more advanced prior HIV disease, e.g., lower nadir CD4 and greater likelihood of an AIDS diagnosis. This finding supports the concept that ANI is an HIV-driven process that, like more severe forms of HAND, is more likely with greater levels of prior immunosuppression.

We noted that women were overrepresented among those who experience symptomatic decline (table 3). Possible explanations may include that they had less education (13.4 years men vs 11.9 women; p < 0.0001) and had higher lifetime rates of major depression (47.5% men vs 61.9% women), both of which track with worse everyday functioning. They were also less likely to be on ART at baseline (ART = 71% men vs 51% women; p = 0.0.03) and had more visits during which HIV was detectable in plasma (23.0% men vs 31.8% women).

Several other cofactors such as substance use disorders and HCV coinfection were independently associated with symptomatic progression of ANI. This

2059

Neurology 82 June 10, 2014

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 5 of 8

Fable 3	Baseline characteristics of nondecliners and decliners to symptomatic HAND (SR/PB)

	No decline (n = 237), mean (SD), %, or median (IQR)	Decline (n = 110), mean (SD), %, or median (IQR)	p Value	Cohen d/OR (95% CI)ª
Background factors				
Age, y <sup>b</sup>	42.6 (8.7)	45.7 (7.4)	0.002	0.37
Education, y <sup>b</sup>	13.2 (2.3)	12.6 (2.2)	0.007	-0.26
% Male <sup>c</sup>	86.9	70.9	0.0003	2.7 (1.6-4.8)
% Lifetime substance use diagnosis <sup>c</sup>	65.8	80.9	0.004	2.2 (1.3-3.8)
% With comorbidity <sup>c</sup>	24.9	41.8	0.001	2.2 (1.3-3.5)
Disease factors				
% AIDS <sup>c</sup>	54.4	67.3	0.02	1.7 (1.1-2.8)
Nadir CD4, cells/mm <sup>3d</sup>	204 (56-378)	163 (55-277)	0.03	-0.26
% HCV+°	18.1	32.7	0.003	2.2 (1.3-3.7)

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; HAND = HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders; IQR = interquartile range; OR = odds ratio; PB = performance-based; SR = self-report.

Ethnicity, on/off antiretroviral therapy, CD4, plasma viral load, CSF viral load, and estimated duration of HIV infection were nonsignificant ( $p \ge 0.05$ ).

<sup>a</sup>t test.

 $^{b}\chi^{2}$  test.

<sup>c</sup> Wilcoxon rank test.

<sup>d</sup>Cohen d = effect size.

is consistent with cross-sectional data showing that, for example, methamphetamine confers greater risk of poorer functional outcomes in HIV.<sup>26</sup> Together with the 3-year greater age of the decliners, these cofactors may produce greater CNS vulnerability to HIV-associated decline. Only 2 time-dependent factors, current CD4 and current major depression, predicted decline to symptomatic status using 1 or more criteria for symptomatic status. It is interesting that current CART, CART regimen, and virologic control did not contribute to the relative risk of decline. Self-report of cognitive symptoms, at any point in time, requires not only the presence of everydayfunctioning difficulties themselves but also awareness or insight on the part of the individual being assessed. We are unable to tell whether our participants' "declines" to symptomatic status by SR reflect actual increases in functional impairment or simply increased awareness of such impairment that may have existed even at baseline. Such increased awareness might occur if a person is faced with more cognitively demanding situations in everyday life or if there is reduced support

Table 4 Time-depende	nt correlates of decline	to symptomatic HA	AND	
		Multivariable	e	
	Univariable p Value	RR	95% CI for RR	p Value
Self-report				
ANI vs NCN	0.0007	2.81	1.65-4.76	0.0001
Current MDD	0.011	3.00	1.56-5.77	0.001
Performance-based				
ANI vs NCN	<0.0001	5.17	3.19-8.39	<0.0001
Current CD4	0.0014	1.21	1.08-1.35	0.0006
SR or PB				
ANI vs NCN	<0.0001	3.41	2.33-5.00	<0.0001
Current CD4	0.033	1.10	1.01-1.20	0.021

Abbreviations: ANI = asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment; CI = confidence interval; HAND = HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders; MDD = major depressive disorder; NCN = neurocognitively normal; PB = performance-based; RR = relative risk; SR = self-report.

Antiretroviral therapy treatment, regimen type, CNS penetration effectiveness score, plasma viral load, CSF viral load, and current substance use diagnoses were nonsignificant in univariable analyses.

Neurology 82 June 10, 2014

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

2060

from others in such situations. Whatever the mechanisms, SR of functional decline should be of clinical concern, requiring further evaluation.

Some limitations of this study include the selection of the sample and the lack of demographically adjusted norms for the performance-based measures. It is possible that requirements of participation in the longitudinal component of CHARTER (i.e., visits every 6 months, willingness to complete extra assessments) resulted in sample bias where the highest functioning (employed) participants would be less represented since they might not have the time to spare, whereas lower functioning or disabled participants might not be able to participate due to physical or cognitive limitations. In regard to norms, we used the best data available to set cutpoints for the performance-based measures that have shown evidence of construct validity in prior studies<sup>27</sup>; however, norms based on HIV- controls with similar demographics would improve the accuracy of any such cutpoints.

This study found that patients with ANI were about 3 times more likely to develop everyday life problems as those who were initially cognitively normal. This finding suggests that those in whom ANI has been detected deserve regular monitoring in terms of progression to symptomatic status. Future intervention studies may need to focus on such individuals to thwart further neurocognitive and functional decline.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Dr. Grant is the primary author on this manuscript and as such he was responsible for study conceptualization and design. All study data were available to him and he planned the statistical analyses and performed the interpretation of the results. Dr. Grant thereby assumes responsibility for the accuracy of the data, analysis, and interpretation. Donald R. Franklin is the CHARTER center manager and he provides integral coordination and dissemination of CHARTER data. Additionally, he contributed to this manuscript by assisting with study design, data analysis, drafting and revision of the manuscript. Dr. Deutsch contributed to all aspects of the manuscript, including study design, statistical analysis, and interpretation of results. Dr. Woods significantly contributed to all aspects of the manuscript, including study design, statistical analysis, and interpretation of results. He also strongly contributed in revising the manuscript. Dr. Vaida assisted with the interpretation of results along with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Ellis made considerable contributions through management and coordination of the neuromedical data, and assisted with study design, analysis, and interpretation, as well as revisions to the manuscript. Dr. Letendre made considerable contributions through management and coordination of the laboratory data, and assisted with study design, analysis, and interpretation, as well as revisions to the manuscript. Dr. Marcotte assisted with the interpretation of results along with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Collier assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. Marra assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. Clifford assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. Gelman assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. McArthur assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript, Dr. Morgello assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. Simpson assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. McCutchan assisted with primary data collection, drafting, and revising the manuscript. Dr. Abramson assisted with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Gamst assisted with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Fennema-Notestine assisted with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Smith assisted with drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr. Heaton significantly contributed to all aspects of the manuscript, including study design, statistical analysis, and interpretation of results. He also strongly contributed in revising the manuscript.

#### STUDY FUNDING

The CNS HIV Anti-Retroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) study is supported by awards N01 MH22005, HHSN271201000036C, and HHSN271201000030C from the NIH.

#### DISCLOSURE

I. Grant receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH P01 DA12065, NIH U01 MH83506, NIH R01 MH78748, NIH R01 MH83552, NIH/University of Nebraska P01 DA026146, HHSN271201000030C, and HHSN271201000036C. He has also received honoraria from Abbott Pharmaceuticals as part of their Educational Speaker Program. D. Franklin receives support from HHSN271201000030C and HHSN271201000036C. R. Deutsch receives support from HHSN271201000036C, NIH P30 MH62512, and NIH P50 DA26306. S. Woods receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH R01 MH73419, NIH T32 DA031098, and NIH R01 MH084794. F. Vaida receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH R01 MH083552, NIH R01 AI47033, NIH U01 AI74521, NIH R01 MH085608, HHSN271201000030C, HHSN271201000036C, and Precision Photonics Corporation AI068543. Dr. Vaida has also served on a data safety and management board for Ardea Biosciences, Inc. R. Ellis received consultant fees from NeurogesX and is funded by NIH grants R01MH058076, U01MH83506, P30MH62512, R01MH83552, P50DA26306, R01MH095621, 2U01NS32228, and HHSN271201000036C. S. Letendre's salary is funded by NIH research awards, including HHSN271201000036C, R01 MH58076, R01 MH92225, P50 DA26306, and P30 MH62512. He has received support for research projects from Abbott, Merck, Tibotec, and GlaxoSmithKline. He has consulted for Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, and Tibotec and has received lecture honoraria from Abbott and Boehringer-Ingelheim. T. Marcotte receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH HHSN271201000030C, NIH R01 MH83552, NIH R01 MH78748, and NIH R01 MH73433. J. Atkinson has received research support from NIH: P50 DA26306, U01 MH83506, HHSN271201000036C, HHSN271201000036C; coinvestigator; 2010-2015; Department of Veterans Affairs: NURA 01909-S, B4767-R; Principal Investigator, 2009-2015. A. Collier has ongoing research support from HHSN271201000036C, NIH UM1 AI069434, AI27757, AI057005, and R01 AI090783, current research support from Merck & Company, and past research support from Schering-Plough, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Gilead Sciences, Koronis, and Tibotec-Virco. She and an immediate family member previously owned stock in Abbott Laboratories, Bristol Myers Squibb, Johnson & Johnson, and Pfizer. C. Marra receives research support from the NIH (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke and NIMH). She receives royalties from Lippincott Williams & Wilkins and from UptoDate. D. Clifford is supported by NIH grants NS077384, AI69495, DA022137, HHSN271201000036C, NR012907, and the Alzheimer Association. He has also received research support from Lilly, Roche, Pfizer, Bavarian Nordic, and Biogen. In addition, Dr. Clifford has provided scientific advisory or consulting to Amgen, Biogen Idec, Drinker, Biddle and Reath (PML Consortium Scientific Advisory Board), Quintiles, Roche, Genentech, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline, Millennium, Bristol Meyers Squibb, Genzyme, and Pfizer. B. Gelman receives support for NIH grants U24MH100930-01, R01NS079166, R01NS072005, 1R01MH101017, and HHSN271201000036C. J. McArthur receives support from HHSN271201000036C. S. Morgello reports no disclosures. D. Simpson receives research support from the NIH (National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke and NIMH). He provided consultancy to GlaxoSmithKline and Gilead. J. McCutchan authors chapters on HIV for the Merck Manual and receives research funding related to NIH P30 MH62512, NIH U01 MH83506, NIH/Centers for Disease Control

2061

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited. Page 7 of 8 and Prevention (CDC) U2G PS00623, NIH U01 AI69432, HHSN271201000036C, NIH K30 RR22681, NIH R01 MH58076, and NIH U13 MH81676. I. Abramson receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH R01 MH61146, NIH HHSN271201000036C, NIH R01 DA21115, and NIH R21 MH78728. A. Gamst receives ongoing research support from NIH P30 MH62512, NIH P50 DA26306, NIH R01 HL95089, NIH U01 MH83506, NIH R01 HL84229, NIH R01 MH79752, NSF DBI-0446389, NIH HHSN271201000030C, and NIH U01 AG10483. C. Fennema-Notestine has received research support from the NIH (R21 NS069355, N01 MH22005, HHSN271201000036C, P30 MH062512, P50 DA026306, R01 MH079752, R01 AG031224, R01 AG022381, R01 MH084796, R01 MH042984-18S1, R01 MH092225-02S1) and from the Alzheimer's Association (IIRG-09-132430), and she serves as an Associate Editor for the Journal of Alzheimer's Disease (2012). D. Smith receives ongoing research support grants from the NIH: DA034978, MH62512, MH83552, MH097520, AI100665, and AI36214, R. Heaton receives ongoing research support from R01 MH92225, P50 DA26306, P30 MH62512, R01 MH60720, R01 MH58076, R01 MH78737, U01 MH83506, R01 MH83552, R01 MH80150, and HHSN271201000036C. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received October 29, 2013. Accepted in final form February 13, 2014.

#### REFERENCES

- Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology 2007;69:1789–1799.
- Heaton RK, Clifford DB, Franklin DR Jr, et al. HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders persist in the era of potent antiretroviral therapy: CHARTER Study. Neurology 2010;75:2087–2096.
- Sevigny JJ, Albert SM, McDermott MP, et al. An evaluation of neurocognitive status and markers of immune activation as predictors of time to death in advanced HIV infection. Arch Neurol 2007;64:97–102.
- Heaton RK, Franklin DR, Ellis RJ, et al. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders before and during the era of combination antiretroviral therapy: differences in rates, nature, and predictors. J Neurovirol 2011;17:3–16.
- McArthur JC, Hoover DR, Bacellar H, et al. Dementia in AIDS patients: incidence and risk factors: Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study. Neurology 1993;43:2245–2252.
- Gisslen M, Price RW, Nilsson S. The definition of HIVassociated neurocognitive disorders: are we overestimating the real prevalence? BMC Infect Dis 2011;11:356.
- Torti C, Foca E, Cesana BM, Lescure FX. Asymptomatic neurocognitive disorders in patients infected by HIV: fact or fiction? BMC Med 2011;9:138.
- Cherner M, Masliah E, Ellis RJ, et al. Neurocognitive dysfunction predicts postmortem findings of HIV encephalitis. Neurology 2002;59:1563–1567.
- Masliah E, Heaton RK, Marcotte TD, et al. Dendritic injury is a pathological substrate for human immunodeficiency virus-related cognitive disorders: HNRC Group: The HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center. Ann Neurol 1997;42:963–972.
- 10. Carey CL, Woods SP, Gonzalez R, et al. Predictive validity of global deficit scores in detecting neuropsychological

impairment in HIV infection. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2004;26:307-319.

- Wilkinson GS. The Wide Range Achievement Test, third edition, Wilmington, DE: Wide Range, Inc.; 1993.
- World Health Organization. Composite International Diagnostic Interview, version 2.1, Geneva: WHO; 1997.
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. Beck Depression Inventory, second edition manual, San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation; 1996.
- Chelune GJ, Heaton RK, Lehman RA. Neuropsychological and personality correlates of patients complaints of disability. In: Goldstein G, Tarter RE, eds. Advances in Clinical Neuropsychology, third edition. New York: Plenum Press; 1986: 95–126.
- Heaton RK, Marcotte TD, Mindt MR, et al. The impact of HIV-associated neuropsychological impairment on everyday functioning. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2004;10:317–331.
- Valpar International Corporation. Microcomputer Evaluation and Screening Assessment (MESA) Short Form 2. Tucson, AZ: Valpar International Corporation; 1986.
- Valpar International Corporation. Computerized Assessment (COMPASS). Tucson, AZ: Valpar International Corporation; 1992.
- Blackstone K, Moore DJ, Heaton RK, et al. Diagnosing symptomatic HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: self-report versus performance-based assessment of everyday functioning. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2012;18:79–88.
- Woods SP, Rippeth JD, Frol AB, et al. Interrater reliability of clinical ratings and neurocognitive diagnoses in HIV. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2004;26:759–778.
- Letendre S, Marquie-Beck J, Capparelli E, et al. Validation of the CNS Penetration-Effectiveness rank for quantifying antiretroviral penetration into the central nervous system. Arch Neurol 2008;65:65–70.
- Lentz MR, Kim WK, Lee V, et al. Changes in MRS neuronal markers and T cell phenotypes observed during early HIV infection. Neurology 2009;72:1465–1472.
- Ragin AB, Du H, Ochs R, et al. Structural brain alterations can be detected early in HIV infection. Neurology 2012;79:2328–2334.
- Sailasuta N, Ross W, Ananworanich J, et al. Change in brain magnetic resonance spectroscopy after treatment during acute HIV infection. PLoS One 2012;7:e49272.
- Spudich SS, Ances BM. Central nervous system complications of HIV infection. Top Antivir Med 2011;19:48–57.
- Valcour V, Chalermchai T, Sailasuta N, et al. Central nervous system viral invasion and inflammation during acute HIV infection. J Infect Dis 2012;206:275–282.
- Blackstone K, Iudicello JE, Morgan EE, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus infection heightens concurrent risk of functional dependence in persons with long-term methamphetamine use. J Addict Med 2013;7:255–263.
- Patton DE, Woods SP, Franklin D Jr, et al. Relationship of Medication Management Test–Revised (MMT-R) performance to neuropsychological functioning and antiretroviral adherence in adults with HIV. AIDS Behav 2012;16: 2286–2296.

DX011 © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

2062

# FILED UNDER SEAL EXHIBIT 44

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 20 PageID# 13531

# EXHIBIT 45

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
3	
4	
Б	NICHOLAS HARRISON and :
5	COTSERVE-SEDN, INC., :
6	Plaintiffs, :
-	: No.
7	v. : 1:18-CV-00641-LMB-IDD
	:
8	JAMES N. MATTIS, in his:
	official capacity as :
9	Secretary of Defense; :
	MARK ESPER, in his :
10	official capacity as :
1 1	Secretary of the Army; :
ΤΤ	DEDARTMENT OF DEFENSE
12	DEFARIMENT OF DEFENSE, .
	Defendants. :
13	:
14	
15	Tuesday, January 9, 2019
16	
17	Videotape Deposition of LT. COL. LISA
18	M. LUTE, taken at the Law Offices of Winston &
19	Strawn LLP, located at 1700 K Street Northwest,
20	Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:26 a.m.,
21	before Ryan K. Black, a Registered Professional
22 22	Reporter, certified Livenote Reporter and Notary
23 24	FUDILE IN ANA LOL CHE DISCLICE OF COLUMDIA.
25	

-
Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: 1 2 LAMBDA LEGAL 3 BY: SCOTT SCHOETTES, ESQUIRE 4 105 West Adams 5 Suite 2600 6 7 Chicago, Illinois 60603 312.663.4413 8 9 sschoettes@lambdalegal.org 10 Representing - Plaintiffs 11 12 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 13 BY: CYRUS T. FRELINGHUYSEN, ESQUIRE 1700 K Street NW 14 15 Washington, DC 20006 16 202.282.5890 17 cfrelinghuysen@winston.com Representing - Plaintiffs 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd): 1 2. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 3 CIVIL DIVISION - FEDERAL PROGRAMS BRANCH 4 5 BY: ROBERT M. NORWAY, ESQUIRE 6 REBECCA CUTRI-KOHART, ESQUIRE 7 KERI L. BERMAN, ESQUIRE Ben Franklin Station 8 P.O. Box 480 9 Washington, D.C. 20044 10 11 202.353.0889 12 robert.m.norway@usdoj.qov rebecca.cutri.kohart@usdoj.gov 13 keri.l.berman@usdoj.gov 14 15 Representing - Defendants 16 17 U.S. ARMY LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY 18 LITIGATION DIVISION 19 BY: MAJOR W. CASEY BIGGERSTAFF, ESQUIRE 20 9275 Gunston Road 21 Suite 3018 2.2 Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 23 703.693.1040 william.c.biggerstaff.mil@mail.mil 24 Representing - Department of the Army 25

	Page 4
1	ALSO PRESENT:
2	Alexandra Hemmings, Winston & Strawn Law Clerk
3	Solomon Francis, Legal Videographer
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Pa	ige 5
1		I N D E X	
2	TESTIMONY (	OF: LT. COL. LISA M. LUTE	PAGE
3	By Mr. Scho	pettes	10
4			
5		EXHIBITS	
6	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7	Exhibit No. 1	the 30(b)(6) Notice of	
8		Deposition	21
9	Exhibit No. 2	a document titled Department of	
10		Defense Personnel Policies Regardin	ng
11		Members of the Armed Forces Infecte	ed
12		with HIV	33
13	Exhibit No. 3	a photocopy of AR 600-110	99
14	Exhibit No. 4	a document Bates Numbered US0002558	39
15		through 25590	122
16	Exhibit No. 5	a document Bates Numbered US0002594	15
17		through 25947	134
18	Exhibit No. 6	an e-mail Bates Numbered US00025970	C
19		through 25973	147
20	Exhibit No. 7	an e-mail Bates Numbered	
21		US00025689	161
22	Exhibit No. 8	an e-mail Bates Numbered US00025924	1
23		through 25925	168
24	Exhibit No. 9	a document titled Declaration of	
25		Lt. Col. Lisa Lute	178

		Page	6
1		INDEX (Cont'd)	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit No. 10	a document titled Department of	
4		Defense Instruction 1332.45	201
5	Exhibit No. 11	a document Bates Numbered	
6		US00006912 through 6919	203
7	Exhibit No. 12	a one-page Memorandum dated 9	
8		November 2018, signed by Marshall	
9		M. Williams	213
10	Exhibit No. 13	a document titled Department of	
11		Defense Instruction Number	
12		6490.07	216
13	Exhibit No. 14	a document Bates Numbered	
14		US00025937	228
15	Exhibit No. 15	an e-mail Bates Numbered US0002498	
16		through 2500	232
17	Exhibit No. 16	a document Bates Numbered US00025518	
18		through 25540	235
19	Exhibit No. 17	a document Bates Numbered	
20		US00001136	246
21	Exhibit No. 18	a document Bates Numbered	
22		US00001136	278
23	Exhibit No. 19	a document Bates Numbered US00000991	
24		through 996	282
25			

-

Page 47 condition to know whether or not they would --1 Their condition, their management, 2 Α. their --3 Whether or not they would pose --4 Q. 5 Α. Whether or not they -- they are 6 compliant with medication or anything like that, 7 okay? Let me finish my -- my question, --8 Ο. 9 Α. I'm sorry. 10 -- but I -- but I think you answered Ο. 11 it. So you don't know the extent to which their 12 HIV is under control, how it is being managed, 13 et cetera? Correct. 14 Α. 15 And what I heard you say was that if Ο. 16 the person's HIV was not well-managed, --17 Α. Mm-hmm. 18 -- and you said something about a Ο. 19 count, and I believe what you're referring to is the viral load --20 21 That would be correct. Α. 2.2 -- for HIV, that if it was -- if Ο. 23 it was not well-managed, that then they could 24 potentially present a risk in the context of a -- a battlefield transmission? 25

Page 48

1	A. There are other ways. I mean, they
2	could present a risk. They could if an
3	individual that is not properly managed or
4	forthcoming regarding their diagnosis and they
5	donated blood, it could impact the blood bank.
6	And and, yes, we have screening on the blood
7	bank that we use here, but we don't when you do
8	a combat situation. It's it's a buddy
9	donor-type system.
10	Q. Have you ever heard of anyone with HIV
11	in the military who knew their status attempting
12	to donate blood?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. And when did that occur, or tell
15	me how was it more than once or one time?
16	A. I'm only aware of one time.
17	Q. And when was that?
18	A. I was in San Antonio, and that's as
19	close as I can get you, okay? So I was there
20	from, let me see, '14 2014 to 2016.
21	MR. NORWAY: And I'm going to
22	interpose an objection that she's not being
23	offered for for this topic.
24	MR. SCHOETTES: Well, we'll come
25	we'll come back to that.

Veritext Legal Solutions

Page 49

THE WITNESS: Okay.

2

1

3

4

5

6

BY MR. SCHOETTES:

Q. So you've now identified battlefield transmission as -- as a concern for someone whose HIV is not well-controlled, and the possibility of blood donation.

7 Well, I -- I would like, if I may, Α. to -- to clarify something. Because in the 8 battlefield, it doesn't matter if they're 9 well-controlled or not with blood, because 10 11 there's no research to support whether or not 12 a significant exposure to a person with HIV's 13 blood would be reduced or limited if they were on medication and managed properly. So I -- I 14 15 -- you can't -- it would only -- the only 16 research that -- that has been put out that 17 -- that demonstrates that -- if they are effectively managed is that it reduces the 18 risk of transmission with sexual interactions. 19

Q. So I think what you just said contradicts what you said earlier, which is that if someone was virally suppressed and had less than the 200 copies per milliliter of HIV, that then would be a negligible risk, --

A. And --

25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 20 PageID# 13542

Page 192

1	that can definitively say I the Army G-1 will
2	not approve this. It at least needs to make it
3	there, and then they need to say, we can't
4	approve this, because it falls under the the
5	instruction and we don't have the authority.
6	Q. So when you say you would put the
7	packet together and process it, you would
8	process it within the Army
9	A. Mm-hmm.
10	Q and get that denial, if you will,
11	because there's not the authority, and then at
12	that point you would elevate it over to the
13	Department of Defense?
14	A. No. I would I would it goes
15	back to the service member.
16	Historically, if I know that what
17	they're requesting is outside of what we can do,
18	and I am involved in it in the beginning, I may
19	tell them I will process this for a response,
20	and I will ask them to familiarize themselves
21	with the policies and the directives, the the
22	DODIs that go along with the request.
23	Q. And then do you advise them after
24	either you tell them that or or you process
25	it, do you tell them that they need to submit

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 20 PageID# 13543

Page 193 this through a different channel? 1 I do not. I -- I recommend to them 2 Α. that -- that they might want to review the DODI 3 and consider using the DODI as a guideline to 4 5 make their request. Okay. Going back to your declaration, 6 Ο. 7 you make the statement that, I am aware of multiple soldiers who have been granted COCOM 8 waivers to deploy. 9 Objection; scope. 10 MR. NORWAY: 11 You may answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that. 13 Is that the question? I'm sorry. BY MR. SCHOETTES: 14 15 Ο. Yes. No, it is. That was just a baseline. 16 17 So are you -- is it -- are you saying that you're aware of soldiers living with HIV 18 19 who have been granted COCOM waivers to deploy? 20 MR. NORWAY: Objection; scope. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2.2 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 23 And how did you become aware that Q. 24 soldiers living with HIV have been granted 25 waivers to deploy?

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 14 of 20 PageID# 13544

Page 302 1 MR. NORWAY: Yeah. Let's go off the 2 record. 3 MR. SCHOETTES: Okay. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:09 4 5 We're going off the record. p.m. (Recess taken.) 6 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:15 We're back on the record. 8 p.m. 9 Please proceed, Counsel. 10 MR. SCHOETTES: I'd like to ask the 11 court reporter to record for us how much time we 12 spent on the record thus far. 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 5:51:36 and 14 counting. 15 MR. SCHOETTES: Thank you. MR. NORWAY: And we have no redirect. 16 17 MR. SCHOETTES: All right. Well, then 18 we're done. 19 MR. NORWAY: Great. And we're going 20 to read and sign. 21 THE REPORTER: Yeah. Okay. 2.2 MR. NORWAY: Thank you very much, 23 Scott. 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time is 4:15 p.m. This concludes today's testimony given by Lt. 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 20 PageID# 13545

	Page 303
1	Col. Lisa Lute. We're now off the record.
2	(Deposition concluded 4:15 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 20 PageID# 13546

204 -

	Page 304
1	Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	I do hereby certify that the aforesaid
6	testimony was taken before me, pursuant to
7	notice, at the time and place indicated; that
8	said deponent was by me duly sworn to tell the
9	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
10	truth; that the testimony of said deponent was
11	correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me
12	and thereafter transcribed under my supervision
13	with computer-aided transcription; that the
14	deposition is a true and correct record of the
15	testimony given by the witness; and that I am
16	neither of counsel nor kin to any party in said
17	action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.
18	
19	WITNESS my hand and official seal this
20	24th day of January 2019.
21	
22	Jopen K. Blan.
23	Ryan K. Black
24	
25	

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 17 of 20 PageID# 13547

Page 305 Veritext Legal Solutions 1 1100 Superior Ave Suite 1820 2 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 3 Phone: 216-523-1313 4 January 24, 2019 5 To: Robert M. Norway, Esq. 6 Case Name: Harrison, Nicholas, et al. v. Mattis, James N., et al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 3189088 8 Witness: Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute Deposition Date: 1/9/2019 9 Dear Sir/Madam: 10 11 Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the 13 included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and 14 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and 15 forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address shown 16 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com. 17 18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely, Production Department 22 23 24 25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 18 of 20 PageID# 13548

	Page 306
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3189088
3	CASE NAME: Harrison, et al. v. Mattis, James N., et al. DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/9/2019
4	WITNESS' NAME: Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute
5	In accordance with the Rules of Civil
	Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of
6	my testimony or it has been read to me.
7	I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.
8	
9	Date Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute
10	Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County,
11	the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:
12	
13	They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn
	Statement; and
14	Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed.
15	
16	I have affixed my name and official seal
	this day of , 20 .
17	
18	Notary Public
Т9	Commiggion Europation Data
20	Commission Expiration Date
∠∪ 21	
22	
23	
2.4	
25	

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 19 of 20 PageID# 13549

Page 307 1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3189088 CASE NAME: Harrison, et al. v. Mattis, James N., et al. 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 1/9/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute 4 In accordance with the Rules of Civil 5 Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 6 7 I have listed my changes on the attached Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as well as the reason(s) for the change(s). 8 I request that these changes be entered 9 as part of the record of my testimony. 10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well as this Certificate, and request and authorize 11 that both be appended to the transcript of my testimony and be incorporated therein. 12 13 Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute Date 14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a Notary Public in and for the State and County, 15 the referenced witness did personally appear 16 and acknowledge that: 17 They have read the transcript; They have listed all of their corrections in the appended Errata Sheet; 18 They signed the foregoing Sworn 19 Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of their free act and deed. 20 I have affixed my name and official seal 21 22 this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, 23 Notary Public 24 Commission Expiration Date 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-21 Filed 06/03/20 Page 20 of 20 PageID# 13550

	Page 308
1	ERRATA SHEET
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST
2	ASSIGNMENT NO: 1/9/2019
3	PAGE/LINE(S) / CHANGE /REASON
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Date Lt. Col. Lisa M. Lute
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS
22	DAY OF, 20
23	
	Notary Public
24	
25	Commission Expiration Date

Veritext Legal Solutions

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 1 of 34 PageID# 13551

# EXHIBIT 46

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 3 ALEXANDRIA DIVISION - - - - - - - x 4 5 NICHOLAS HARRISON and ٠ OUTSERVE-SLDN, INC., Plaintiffs, 6 : No. 1:18-cv-00641 vs. 7 JAMES N. MATTIS, In His : LMB-IDD Official Capacity As Secretary: 8 of Defense; MARK ESPER, In His: Official Capacity As the 9 Secretary of the Army; and the: UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ٠ DEFENSE, 10 Defendants. : 11 x RICHARD ROE, VICTOR VOE, and : 12 and OUTSERVE-SLDN, INC., Plaintiffs, : 13 vs. : No. 1:18-cv-01565 JAMES N. MATTIS, In His • 14 Official Capacity As Secretary: of Defense; HEATHER A. WILSON,: 15 In Her Official Capacity as : Secretary of the AIR FORCE; : and the UNITED STATES 16 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Defendants. 17 • - - - - - - - - x 18 19 VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANTS GIVEN BY AUDRA L. TAYLOR 20 Friday, March 1, 2019 21 DATE: 22 10:17 a.m. TIME: Winston & Strawn 23 LOCATION: 24 1700 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 25

	Page 2
1	REPORTED BY: Denise M. Brunet, RPR
2	Reporter/Notary
3	
4	
5	
6	Veritext Legal Solutions
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S 1 2. On behalf of the Plaintiffs: 3 SCOTT A. SCHOETTES, ESQUIRE 4 Lambda Legal 5 11 East Adams 6 7 Suite 1008 Chicago, Illinois 60603 8 9 (312) 663-4413 10 sschoettes@lambdalegal.org 11 12 On behalf of the U.S. Department of Justice: 13 JOSHUA ABBUHL, ESQUIRE REBECCA CUTRI-KOHART, ESQUIRE 14 15 U.S. Department of Justice 16 Civil Division 17 1101 L Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20005 18 (202) 353-4537 19 20 joshua.abbuhl@usdoj.gov 21 2.2 23 24 (Appearances continued on the next page.) 25

```
Page 4
      APPEARANCES (continued):
1
 2
      On behalf of the U.S. Department of Defense:
3
                 STUART C. SPARKER, ESQUIRE
4
5
                 U.S. Department of Defense
                 Office of the General Counsel
6
 7
                 1600 Defense Pentagon
                 Room 3B688
8
                 Washington, D.C. 20301
9
10
                 (703) 571-0802
11
                 stuart.c.sparker.civ@mail.mil
12
      ALSO PRESENT: Solomon Francis, Videographer
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 5 CONTENTS 1 2 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE: Counsel for Plaintiffs 3 8 Counsel for U.S. Department of Justice 132 4 5 Counsel for Plaintiffs 136 6 7 TAYLOR DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: PAGE: Exhibit 1 - Notice of Deposition in Harris 8 23 9 Exhibit 2 - Notice of Deposition in Roe 23 10 Exhibit 3 - Joint Trauma System Clinical Practice Guideline (JTS CPG) 11 81 12 Exhibit 4 - Armed Services Blood Program Medical 13 Conditions List 116 Exhibit 5 - OraQuick Advance Rapid HIV-1/2 Antibody 14 130 15 Test, Customer Letter 16 17 (\*Exhibits attached to the transcript.) 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

Page 6

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
3	going on the record at 10:17 a.m. on March 1st,
4	2019. Please note that the microphones are
5	sensitive and may pick up whispering, private
6	conversations and cellular interference. Please
7	turn off all cell phones or place them away from
8	the microphones as they can interfere with the
9	deposition audio. Audio and video recording will
10	continue to take place unless all parties agree to
11	go off the record.
12	This is media unit 1 of the
13	video-recorded deposition of Colonel Audra L.
14	Taylor taken by counsel for plaintiffs in the
15	matter of Nicholas Harrison and Outserve-SLDN,
16	Inc., plaintiffs, versus James N. Mattis, in his
17	official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.,
18	defendants, and Richard Roe and Victor Voe and
19	Outserve-SLDN, Inc., plaintiffs, versus James N.
20	Mattis, in his official capacity as Secretary of
21	Defense, et al., defendants, case numbers
22	1:18-CV-01565 and case number 1:18-CV-00641, filed
23	in the United States District Court for the
24	Eastern District of Virginia.
25	This deposition is being held at the law

Page 50

1	Q Are individuals who have not been
2	pre-screened as donors ever used as part of the
3	walking blood bank?
4	A Not routinely. They are not the first
5	priority. The first priority is a screened donor.
6	Q But it's possible that a non-screened
7	donor could be used if screened donors were not
8	available or had been exhausted?
9	A Yes, that is possible.
10	Q Are donors of fresh whole blood to the
11	walking blood bank also sometimes called
12	battlefield donors?
13	A Not officially, but I won't say that
14	you've never seen that term. I could see someone
15	using it, but
16	Q Let me ask this a different way. Is a
17	battlefield donor something different than a donor
18	through the walking blood bank?
19	A Not to me.
20	Q Are transfusions of fresh whole blood
21	sometimes called battlefield transfusions?
22	A Yes.
23	MR. ABBUHL: Counsel, could we take a
24	short break on the soon side?
25	MR. SCHOETTES: On the soon? Sure. Let

Page 54 quideline -- is slightly different than the form 1 that is used in one of the donor centers for -- if 2 3 you were to walk in today and donate blood. MR. SCHOETTES: I don't think we have the 4 form from the donor center, and I'd like to have 5 6 that. 7 MR. ABBUHL: Okay. MR. SCHOETTES: And I think it's 8 9 responsive to one of our requests. 10 MR. ABBUHL: I'd have to double-check if 11 we produced it, but I'll look into it. 12 MR. SCHOETTES: Okay. 13 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 14 So you described blood being -- tubes of Ο 15 blood from the unit being -- from the unit of 16 blood collected being sent to the United States 17 for testing. Are the tests that are performed on those tubes of fresh whole blood the same as the 18 19 tests that would -- that are performed on blood 20 collected at a blood center? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 And in addition, you indicated that rapid Q 23 testing was also conducted on fresh whole blood, 2.4 correct? 25 Α Yes, to the greatest extent possible.

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 10 of 34 PageID# 13560

Page 55 And for what transmitted TTDs are rapid 1 0 2. tests conducted? HIV, HCV, hep B surface antigen, malaria. 3 Α Is that it? Any others? Ο 4 Α That's it. 5 Is fresh whole blood FDA-approved? 6 0 7 Α Not in theater. And why is that? 8 0 9 Α If you collect the donor and then transfuse it before all of the FDA-required 10 testing is performed, it is not an FDA-licensed 11 12 product. 13 0 And so the rapid tests that are performed, are those -- would those meet the 14 15 standard required by the FDA? 16 Α No. 17 And the rapid tests are always performed, 0 18 but sometimes not completed before the blood is transfused, correct? 19 20 MR. ABBUHL: Objection. I think assumes 21 facts not in evidence. 2.2 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 23 Let me ask this question: Are the rapid 0 24 tests always conducted on the fresh whole blood collected in a deployed environment? 25

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 11 of 34 PageID# 13561

Page 56 I can't say that for certainty. 1 А 2 Q According to the standard operating 3 procedures, are the rapid tests supposed to be performed for all fresh whole blood collected in a 4 deployed environment? 5 6 Α To the greatest extent possible. 7 Ο So explain to me what that means. That means if time is an issue and the 8 Α 9 unit is needed before that testing can be completed, it's a -- it's a medical decision and 10 11 the physician would assume responsibility for the 12 unit. 13 If it's a logistical issue and the location doesn't have all of the rapid testing --14 15 let's say they had one of the four kits or two of 16 the four, for whatever reason -- then they would 17 perform what they have. So to the greatest extent 18 possible, just -- they'll do what they can with 19 what they have in the amount of time they have. 20 However, testing would continue. So if 21 the transfusion goes and they have the kits, they -- they should continue the testing. 2.2 23 So that's what I was trying to get at, I 0 24 think. So for the tests -- the rapid tests that 25 are available in a particular situation, they are

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 12 of 34 PageID# 13562

Page 57 performed on the individual regardless of whether 1 2 the results are going to be available by the time the blood is transfused? 3 Α Correct. 4 MR. SCHOETTES: I could go a few more 5 6 questions or I could break now. Up to you. 7 THE WITNESS: You can go a few more, then we can break. 8 9 MR. SCHOETTES: Actually, no. Let's 10 break -- let's break now. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:27 a.m. 12 This completes media unit number 1. We are now 13 off the record. 14 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:40 a.m. This begins media unit number 2. We are now on 16 17 the record. Please proceed, Counsel. 18 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 19 When is the walking blood bank activated, 0 20 in what circumstances? 21 It would be at the discretion of the --А 2.2 of the physician. They would take into account 23 what products are on hand, the potential for 24 resupply of blood products, as well as the 25 condition of the patient. And then they would

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 13 of 34 PageID# 13563

Page 58

make that decision to activate the walking blood 1 2 bank. Is the decision always made on an 3 0 individual basis per patient? 4 I don't know if you would want to confine 5 Α 6 that per patient or per event. Like, it could be 7 more than one patient. So that would dictate the -- the need to activate it if the number of 8 9 patients in an event or mass -- mass casualty are 10 going to go require more than what you have on 11 hand of what you can be resupplied within the time 12 frame. 13 0 And is a mass casualty event sometimes referred to as a MASCAL? 14 15 Α Yes. And is that -- the acronym for that 16 Ο 17 M-A-S-C-A-L?18 All caps. Yes. Α 19 All caps. So what you've described would Q 20 be a situation where the walking blood bank was 21 activated based on injuries that had already 2.2 occurred? 23 Right. An assessment of what -- what Α 24 they have coming in, yeah. So, yeah, they would 25 need to assess the injuries, know what they have

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 14 of 34 PageID# 13564

Page 59 on hand blood-wise, and then make a decision. 1 2 Q Are decisions ever made to activate the walking blood bank in anticipation of casualties? 3 I don't know. I can't confirm or... 4 Α Does the supply of stored whole blood --5 Ο 6 let me try again. 7 Is fresh whole blood generally used only when the supply of stored whole blood has been 8 exhausted or is unavailable? 9 Stored whole blood is fairly new into 10 Α 11 theater. It's not a practice that's been going on 12 for years. So, yes, they -- they should use 13 stored whole blood before activating a walking blood bank. 14 For how many years has stored whole blood 15 Ο 16 been used in theater? 17 Is it 2019 -- within the last two years. Α So stored whole blood was not used during 18 0 19 Operation Enduring Freedom? 20 No, not -- it's called something else А 21 now. So, no. No, it was not. 2.2 Did you say it was called something else? Q 23 No, I'm saying it's -- you know how they Α 24 change the name of the campaigns? So when you 25 said Operation Enduring Freedom, no, it has not

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 15 of 34 PageID# 13565

Page 60 historically been used in Operation Enduring 1 2. Freedom. 3 And was it used during Operation Iraqi 0 Freedom? 4 Stored whole blood, no, not per clinical 5 Α 6 practice quideline, no. 7 What about appropriate blood component 0 products? Are those generally exhausted or 8 unavailable before fresh whole blood is used? 9 10 They should be exhausted, but again, I Α 11 believe the decision -- the medical decision is 12 made based on the number of casualties, the type 13 of injury, the casualties, and what the physician feels is best for that casualty. 14 15 So depending on the nature of the -- the 16 injury and how many -- I think that they -- they 17 have to make that decision. They're not going to 18 exhaust the entire supply of components, I don't 19 believe, because you don't know what's coming in 20 next. So you have to take a lot of factors into 21 consideration when you decide to activate the 2.2 walking blood bank and collect fresh units. 23 And are there some injuries for which 0 24 blood component products might be better than fresh whole blood? 25 Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 16 of 34 PageID# 13566

Page 92 Actually, I should rephrase that. 1 0 2 Ideally the test results are obtained before the blood is used, correct? 3 Correct. 4 Α 5 Under what circumstances would the blood 0 be used before the results of the rapid screening 6 7 tests are obtained? The patient's condition and the 8 Α 9 physician -- the physician requesting the unit 10 immediately. 11 So presumably, it would be at a point Ο 12 where the need for that unit of blood exceeds the 13 risk of a TTI at that point? 14 Α Yes. 15 Ο If a unit has not been used prior to 16 obtaining a result, what happens to the units that 17 return a positive result after rapid testing for any of these conditions? 18 19 Say that again. Α 20 If a unit has not been transfused -- and 0 21 we're talking about in the context of the walking 2.2 blood bank -- and then a positive result occurs on 23 the blood that was tested from that unit, what 24 happens to the unit of blood? 25 Α Quarantined and destroyed.

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 17 of 34 PageID# 13567

Page 123 FF -- which product is on the horizon Α 1 2 or \_ \_ 3 0 Yes. Α -- which one would be augmented? 4 Which one would be augmented? 5 Ο 6 Α Fresh frozen plasma would be augmented 7 with freeze-dried plasma. That's a product that's on the horizon. So I don't want to -- I 8 9 wouldn't -- I wouldn't say substitute, because that's not the plan to substitute one of the 10 current components with something, but it's a 11 12 product that doesn't require the extensive cold 13 chain management and something that could go to POI. 14 15 Point of injury? 0 16 Α Point of injury, yes. 17 When it is used, the -- this new product 0 18 for plasma, freeze-dried plasma, would it be used on its own or would it need to be combined with 19 20 some liquid plasma? 21 А It would not be combined with liquid 2.2 plasma because FFP, liquid plasma and freeze-dried 23 plasma are all plasma products. The liquid plasma 24 and the FFP require freezer, refrigerators, the 25 cold chain management. Freeze-dried plasma would

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 18 of 34 PageID# 13568

Page 124

not. So it would be in that plasma suite of
products that would be available.
Q I guess what I'm trying to ask is, when
you said augmented, it isn't that you would use
freeze-dried plasma to augment a unit of fresh
frozen plasma. It is that you're augmenting the
supply?
A Yes. Yes.
Q What specific infections would be tracked
in terms of transfusion-transmitted infections
that have resulted through the walking blood bank?
A Any of the any of the tests that we
screen the supply for would be tracked.
Q So HIV, HBV, HCV
A Correct.
Q et cetera?
A Correct.
Q Have there been any documented
transmissions of HIV through the Armed Services
Blood Program blood supply in the past ten years?
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q In the past 20 years?
A I don't know.
Q What shout UDV2 Have there have any
Q what about HBV? Have there been any
## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 19 of 34 PageID# 13569

Page 125 Blood Program blood in the past 20 years? 1 2 Α Not that I'm aware of. Have there been any transmissions of HTLV 3 0 through the Armed Services Blood Program in the 4 past 20 years? 5 I believe there is one case potentially 6 А 7 linked to the transfusion. Have there been any transmissions of HCV 8 Q 9 through the Armed Services Blood Program in the 10 past 20 years? 11 Maybe one. And I don't know for certain Α 12 if either one of those was definitely linked to 13 the transfusion or suspected. I'm not sure. 14 Is testing for HBV required prior to 0 15 deployment? 16 I don't know. Α 17 I'm going to ask you some questions about 0 18 the process by which diagnostic and other blood tests are handled for service members who are 19 20 deployed --21 Α Okay. 2.2 -- to foreign bases, including those in Q combat zones. If an individual needs a blood 23 24 sample tested -- let's say they needed an HIV viral load test done --25

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 20 of 34 PageID# 13570

Page 130 1 -- products won't reach their destination А 2 in the correct --3 0 At the correct temperature. 4 А At the correct temperature, no. 5 MR. SCHOETTES: One last thing. We're 6 going to mark this as Exhibit 5. 7 (Taylor Deposition Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification.) 8 9 BY MR. SCHOETTES: 10 Do you recognize Exhibit 5? 0 11 Α Yes. 12 What is it? 0 13 Α It's the OraQuick Advance Rapid HIV-1/2 14 Antibody Test customer letter. 15 0 Is this the insert that you were 16 describing earlier? 17 Α Yes. 18 If you could turn to page 2. Q 19 Uh-huh. Α 20 And under biological principles of the Q 21 test --2.2 Α Uh-huh. 23 -- it says, "The OraQuick Advance Rapid 0 24 HIV-1/2 Antibody Test is a manually performed, visually read, 20-minute immunoassay for the 25

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 21 of 34 PageID# 13571

#### Page 131

qualitative detection of antibodies to HIV-1 and 1 2 HIV-2 in human oral fluid, whole blood obtained from a finger puncture or a venipuncture, and 3 plasma, " correct? 4 5 Α Correct. Is it the case, then, that this test is 6 0 7 one that can be -- results can be obtained within -- at 20 minutes? 8 9 Per the package insert, yes. It says 20 Α 10 minutes. 11 If you'll turn to page 10, the paragraph 0 12 underneath the chart, table 3 --13 Α Uh-huh. 14 -- talks about the sensitivity of the Ο 15 OraQuick Advance Rapid HIV-1/2 antibody test in 16 these studies was calculated to be 536 divided by 17 538, which is equal to 99.6 percent. 18 Do you agree that 99.6 percent is the 19 sensitivity of the HIV rapid test used in the 20 walking blood bank? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 MR. SCHOETTES: I am done. 23 MR. ABBUHL: We're going to need to take 24 a few minutes, but before going off the record, I just want, before I forget, say that we will 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 22 of 34 PageID# 13572

Page 132

1	reserve our right to read and sign the transcript.
2	But if we can go off the record for just
3	a bit while we confer.
4	MR. SCHOETTES: Sure.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:57 p.m.
6	We are going off the record.
7	(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:21 p.m.
9	We are back on the record. Please proceed,
10	Counsel.
11	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
12	THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
13	BY MR. ABBUHL:
14	Q Colonel, if you could please pick up the
15	document marked Exhibit 5 in front of you and turn
16	to page 10. This is the document about the rapid
17	test for HIV, correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And if you look at the text below
20	table 3, it says that it essentially says that
21	the sensitivity of the HIV test was about
22	99 percent, correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Do you know the conditions under which
25	that sensitivity was measured?

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 23 of 34 PageID# 13573

	Page 133					
1	A No.					
2	Q Do you know if it was measured in a					
3	battlefield environment?					
4	A No. I don't know.					
5	Q Okay. You can put away Exhibit 5 for					
6	now.					
7	You've discussed various testing and					
8	precautions that are done to protect the blood					
9	supply; is that correct?					
10	A Correct.					
11	Q And you testified that those protections					
12	are done to the extent possible, correct?					
13	A Correct.					
14	Q So there are situations where tests might					
15	not happen that you would do in an ideal					
16	situation, correct?					
17	A Correct.					
18	Q And there's screening that you might do					
19	in an ideal situation, but sometimes, in a					
20	military situation, you might not be able to do					
21	it; is that correct?					
22	A Correct.					
23	Q Is that particularly true in situations					
24	involving being near a battlefield?					
25	A Yes.					

## Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 24 of 34 PageID# 13574

Page 134 1 If you could also look at Exhibit 3, 0 2 which is in front of you. This is the joint 3 trauma system clinical practice guideline, 4 correct? 5 Α Correct. 6 0 Could you please turn to page 17? Could 7 you remind me what page 17 is? It's the blood donor pre-screening SOP 8 Α 9 enclosure 1, ASBP 572, emergency whole blood 10 (front). 11 And at the bottom of the page, there's a 0 12 place for donor's signature; is that correct? 13 Α Correct. 14 And could you please read the text above Ο 15 that line, please? 16 "I verify"? That part? Α 17 0 Yes. 18 "I verify that I have answered the Α 19 questions honestly, I had an opportunity to ask 20 questions. I consent to donating blood today and 21 I feel my blood is safe to be transfused. If I am 2.2 donating a unit of whole blood today, my blood 23 will not be tested for viral diseases prior to 24 transfusions due to the emergency situation. If 25 for any reason I feel that my blood may not be

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 25 of 34 PageID# 13575

Page 135 1 safe, I will not donate today." 2 0 Did you testify earlier that a donor should sign that line before donating blood? 3 They should. 4 Α 5 Are there instances where blood would be 0 collected without a signature on this form? 6 7 Α Yes. And if you would also on that same 8 0 9 page -- there's a footer at the very bottom. Do 10 you see that? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Could you please read the footer? 13 А Are you talking about the blue? 14 Yes, the blue text. 0 15 Α "Guideline only/not a substitute for 16 clinical judgment." 17 Thank you. And you can also put that 0 exhibit down. 18 19 Earlier in your testimony, you said if 20 someone was permanently deferred from donating 21 blood, they would not be recruited to donate 2.2 blood; is that correct? 23 Α Correct. 24 That is what you testified to earlier, 0 25 correct?

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 26 of 34 PageID# 13576

Page 136 1 А I believe so, yes. 2 0 Are there any military situations where you would transfuse blood before screening it? 3 I'm not sure I --4 Α 5 Is it possible that a blood transfusion 0 6 would take place in the military without it having 7 been screened and then you could screen the transfusion afterward? 8 9 Α In theater? 10 Correct. 0 In a theater situation? 11 Α 12 Q Is it possible? 13 Α You're asking is it possible for a 14 transfusion to take place prior to screening of 15 the unit or the donor or --16 Of the blood being transfused. 0 17 Yes. A transfusion can take place prior Α 18 to the screening --19 MR. ABBUHL: I have no further questions. 20 THE WITNESS: -- being completed. 21 MR. SCHOETTES: I just have one or two. 2.2 FURTHER EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS BY MR. SCHOETTES: 23 2.4 0 Going back to Exhibit 3, page 17, you just testified that there would be some instances 25

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 27 of 34 PageID# 13577

Page 137

1	in which blood would be donated without the
2	there could be instances in which the blood would
3	be donated without the signature of the donor on
4	this form. Can you tell me in what instances?
5	A I believe there are well, I used I
6	think I used the word "should." It should be
7	signed. There could be instances, maybe at a
8	at a role two, if they urgently, you know, based
9	on the number of personnel, the number of
10	casualties and the scenario or the situation where
11	they might not be able to get everything completed
12	and signed ahead of time.
13	There could be even at point of injury,
14	if they are to take like one of the pre
15	pre-made kits that are available on the market and
16	they're going out and they need to collect, I
17	believe there's a form, a donor questionnaire in
18	those kits should be signed, but, you know,
19	depending on what the scenario is and how it's
20	going, it may or may not be signed. So I just
21	think it's situation and scenario-driven. It
22	should be to the greatest extent possible, they
23	should all be screened, they should all answer all
24	the questions, have a chance to ask their
25	questions, but I can't for certainty say that that

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 28 of 34 PageID# 13578

Page 138

where ng used : not
where ng used t not
ng used t not
t not
t not
not
ations,
appened
tion.
In
the
indeed
j.
the
i like
) p.m.
lonel
ition

# Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 29 of 34 PageID# 13579

Page 139

1	CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC					
2	I, Denise M. Brunet, the officer before					
3	whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby					
4	certify that the witness whose testimony appears					
5	in the foregoing deposition was sworn by me; that					
6	the testimony of said witness was taken by me					
7	stenographically and thereafter reduced to print					
8	by means of computer-assisted transcription by me					
9	to the best of my ability; that I am neither					
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of					
11	the parties to this litigation and have no					
12	interest, financial or otherwise, in the outcome					
13	of this matter.					
14 15	Dering M. Brunet					
16	Denise M. Brunet					
17	Notary Public in and for					
18	The District of Columbia					
19						
20	My commission expires:					
21	December 14, 2022					
22						
23						
24						
25						

Veritext Legal Solutions

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 30 of 34 PageID# 13580

Page 140 1 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave Suite 1820 2 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Phone: 216-523-1313 3 4 March 18, 2019 5 To: Joshua Abbuhl, Esq. б Case Name: Roe, Richard, et al. v. Shanahan, Patrick M., Et Al. 7 Veritext Reference Number: 3235702 8 Witness: Audra L. Taylor Deposition Date: 3/1/2019 9 Dear Sir/Madam: 10 11 Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness 12 review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the 13 included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and 14 the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and 15 forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address 16 shown 17 above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com. 18 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of 19 this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely, 22 Production Department 23 24 25 NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

Veritext Legal Solutions

#### Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 31 of 34 PageID# 13581

Page 141 DEPOSITION REVIEW 1 CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS 2 ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235702 CASE NAME: Roe, Richard, et al. v. Shanahan, Patrick M. 3 DATE OF DEPOSITION: 3/1/2019 WITNESS' NAME: Audra L. Taylor 4 In accordance with the Rules of Civil 5 Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of my testimony or it has been read to me. 6 I have made no changes to the testimony 7 as transcribed by the court reporter. 8 9 Date Audra L. Taylor Sworn to and subscribed before me, a 10 Notary Public in and for the State and County, 11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that: 12 They have read the transcript; They signed the foregoing Sworn 13 Statement; and Their execution of this Statement is of 14 their free act and deed. 15 I have affixed my name and official seal 16 this 16th day of DONC Windy Legima y 17 Notary Public 18 Soptember 30,20 19 Commission Expiration Date 20 21 22 23 My Commission Expires 24 September 30, 2020 25 Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 32 of 34 PageID# 13582

	Page 142
1	DEPOSITION REVIEW
	CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS
2	
-	ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 3235702
3	CASE NAME: Roe. Richard, et al. v. Shanahan, Patrick M.
-	DATE OF DEPOSITION: $3/1/2019$
4	WITNESS! NAME: Audra L. Taylor
T E	In accordance with the Pules of Civil
5	Procedure I have read the entire transcript of
~	riocedure, i have read the encire transcript or
7	my cestimony of it has been read to me.
1	I have fisted my changes on the attached
_	Errata Sneet, fisting page and fine numbers as
8	well as the reason(s) for the change(s).
9	I request that these changes be entered
	as part of the record of my testimony.
10	
	I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well
1	as this Certificate, and request and authorize
	that both be appended to the transcript of my
L2 L3	testimony and be incorporated three $\frac{16400000}{10000000000000000000000000000000$
14	Date Rutta D. Tajiot
	Sworn to and subscribed before me
5	Notary Bublic in and for the State and County
	the referenced witness did personally appear
6	and acknowledge that.
17	They have read the transcript.
.,	They have listed all of their corrections
	in the annonded Errata Chest.
10	They signed the foregoing Sworn
	They signed the folegoing sworn $\leq z$ Mission $\leq z$ COMMISSION
.9	Their execution of this Statement is of Si 106091
	their free ach and dood
20	their free act and deed.
2 I	I have arrived my name and orricial sear $(1,1)$
2	Chis in any or April 1 , 20 th
:3	Notary Public
24	Septenber 30, 2020 My Commission Expires September 30, 2020
25	Commission Expiration Date

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

Case 1:18-cv-01565-LMB-IDD Document 278-22 Filed 06/03/20 Page 33 of 34 PageID# 13583

Page 143 ERRATA SHEET 1 VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS MIDWEST 2 ASSIGNMENT NO: 3/1/2019 3 PAGE/LINE(S) CHANGE / /REASON 4 5 6 7 de. 8 9 10 Central 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Date Audra L. Taylor 16th SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS 21 ADri 20 [ 22 DAY OF \*\*\*\*\*\* Onnd 23 mai 2 Notary Public MMONWEALTH My Commission Expires September 30, 2020 September 30, 2020 25 Commission Expiration Date Veritext Legal Solutions

[& - abbuhl]

Page 1

&	14 139:21	202 3:19	<b>572</b> 51:11 53:2
& 1:23	<b>14541</b> 139:15	<b>2022</b> 139:21	80:22 95:4,18
0	<b>15</b> 70:21 71:6 90:6	20301 4:9	96:18 134:9 138:9
	107:8	216-523-1313	6
01565 1:12 6:22	<b>15-6</b> 12:7,10	140:3	<b>6</b> 1:19 38:12 69:18
01505 1:15 0:22	1600 4:7	22 85:20 86:9	60603 3:8
	17 96:15,17 134.6	23 5:8,9	<b>65</b> 39:19
1 1:21 5:8 6:12	134:7 136:24	24 24:25	663-4413 3:9
23:2,3,23 38:12,16	1700 1:24 7:2	<b>28th</b> 22:4,6,10	7
57:12 98:18 131:1	18 39:11,15 107:25	2:21 132:0	703 4.10
134:9	114:1 140:4 1920 170-2	2:50 156,21,24	7700 8.15
1/2 5:14 130:13,24	<b>1020</b> 140.2 <b>1004</b> 13.4 15	5	Q
131:15	<b>1774</b> 15.4,15 <b>1.15</b> 115.2	3 5:10 64:13 81:1	0
10 38:16 90:6	1.13 115.2	81:2 94:12 96:16	8 5:3 98:14
105:15 131:11	6.22	96:17 115:7	81 5:11
152:10	<b>1:30</b> 114:24 115:6	131:12 132:20	9
100 65:24 109:4	<b>1:57</b> 132:5	134:1 136:24	90 86:23 87:6,10
1008 3.7	1st 6:3	3/1/2019 140:8	<b>99</b> 132:22
1000 5.7	2	141:3 142:3 143:2	<b>99.6</b> 131:17,18
10.17 1.22 0.5	2 5.0 22.11 12	30 1:19 69:18	a
<b>1100</b> 140·1	2 5:9 25:11,12	312 3:9	a.m. 1:22 6:3
1101 3:17	25.11 57.10 65.25	1/1.2 1/2.2	57:11,15 65:1,4
116 5:13	08.7 12 13 25 00.5	<b>353_4537</b> 3.10	ab 42:1
<b>11:27</b> 57:11	99.8 115.3 130.18	<b>365</b> 87·10	abbreviated 40:22
11:40 57:15	131:2	3b688 4:8	53:1,17
<b>11:52</b> 65:1	20 16:15 23:25.25	A	abbuhl 3:13 7:12
<b>11:59</b> 65:4	24:4 124:22 125:1	T	7:12 24:12 26:21
<b>12</b> 106:15,19,21	125:5.10 130:25	4 5:12 64:23 81:13	28:9,22 29:4,11,21
107:24 108:6,20	131:8,9 141:16	116:20,21,24	29:24 30:3 31:13
109:7,16,24 110:7	142:22 143:22	118:3	38:6 47:16 48:21
110:12,19 111:6,8	20005 3:18	4.0 60:15	48:25 50:23 51:4
111:19 116:10,10	2004 13:6	44114 140:2	53:22 54:7,10
<b>120</b> 33:10 65:20	2008 22:19	5	55:20 64:16,18,22
87:3	2009 22:12,20	5 5:14 78:18 82:17	69:17 71:10 74:8
<b>13</b> 25:12 94:8 98:4	2010 21:25	130:6,7,10 132:15	77:23 78:21 79:5
98:6,7	<b>2013</b> 21:5,7	133:5	83:8 86:3,10
130 5:15	2014 18:13 19:1	536 131:16	88:25 94:4,9
132 5:4	<b>2018</b> 13:25 16:14	538 131:17	99:21 102:7 118:8
136 5:5	<b>2019</b> 1:21 6:4	<b>571-0802</b> 4:10	119:5 129:20
	59:17 140:4		131:23 132:13

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com