

1 GENEVIEVE SCOTT*
2 RABIA MUQADDAM*
3 CHRISTINE PARKER*
4 CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS
5 199 Water Street, 22nd Floor
6 New York, NY 10038
7 Tel: (917) 637-3605

8 *Counsel for Plaintiffs Other Than County of
9 Santa Clara*

10 *Admitted pro hac vice

11 LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)
12 MAYER BROWN LLP
13 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
14 3000 El Camino Real
15 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
16 Tel: (650) 331-2000
17 Fax: (650) 331-2060
18 lrubin@mayerbrown.com

19 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,
Defendants.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through
ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEX M. AZAR, et al.,
Defendants.

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA et al,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

No. C 19-02405 WHA
Related to
No. C 19-02769 WHA
No. C 19-02916 WHA

**DECLARATION OF COLLEEN P.
MCNICHOLAS, D.O., M.S.C.I.,
F.A.C.O.G., IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND IN
SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Date: October 30, 2019
Time: 8:00 AM
Courtroom: 12
Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup
Action Filed: 5/2/2019

1 I, Colleen P. McNicholas, D.O., M.S.C.I., F.A.C.O.G., declare:

2 1. I am an obstetrician/gynecologist certified by the American Board of Obstetrics
3 and Gynecology since 2011. I am licensed to practice in Washington, Missouri, Kansas, Illinois,
4 and Oklahoma. I have extensive experience in the provision of abortion in the outpatient setting,
5 as I am the Medical Director of Trust Women's clinics in Washington, Oklahoma, and Kansas. I
6 am also the Chief Medical Officer of Planned Parenthood of the St. Louis Region and Southwest
7 Missouri, and I am a former provider at Planned Parenthood in Columbia, Missouri and in Kansas
8 City, Missouri.

9 2. Additionally, I formally held the positions of Director of the Ryan Residency
10 Collaborative, a collaboration between Oklahoma University and Washington University School
11 of Medicine in St. Louis, Missouri, that offers formal training in abortion and family planning to
12 residents in obstetrics/gynecology; the Assistant-Director of the Fellowship in Family Planning at
13 Washington University School of Medicine; and an Associate Professor at Washington University
14 School of Medicine, in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology's Division of Family
15 Planning. Through my various academic roles, I have taught numerous medical students and
16 trained nearly 250 residents in family planning as well as a number of family planning fellows.

17 3. I also have experience providing healthcare services to LGBTQIA communities.¹
18 At Washington University School of Medicine, I helped develop specialized care for the
19 transgender community in both pediatric and adult settings. Within this multidisciplinary
20 approach, I have specifically helped develop and implement the integration of gynecologic
21 services for transgender patients. The gynecologic care I provide in this space ranges from talking
22 to families about ovary/sperm preservation prior to transition, pre-operative and operative
23 surgical care for hysterectomies, post-operative vaginal care for transgender women, management
24 of bleeding resulting from hormonal transition, and care surrounding sexually transmitted
25 infections.

26 4. Additionally, I have spoken and written extensively on the provision of family-
27

28 ¹ This term refers to lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer/questioning, intersex, and asexual people and other sexual and gender minority individuals.

1 building healthcare services to LGBTQIA communities within forums such as the American
2 Medical Association, the Association of American Medical Colleges, and the American College
3 of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Family-building healthcare services focus on assisting those
4 who fall outside the traditional two-person, opposite sex unit with achieving pregnancy, such as
5 through assisted reproductive technology, surrogacy, and adoption. I have also lectured in
6 multiple venues on the need for gender and sexual minorities to access contraception and abortion
7 care services. I serve on the advisory board of Washington University School of Medicine's
8 OUTmed, a coalition of faculty who work to improve visibility of LGBTQIA communities on
9 campus, ensure LGBTQIA patients and their families can identify competent and caring providers
10 in the network, and assist with evaluation and implementation of medical education curriculum as
11 it pertains to healthcare to LGBTQIA communities.

12 5. I am a 2007 graduate of the Kirksville College of Osteopathic Medicine. I also
13 have a Master of Science degree in clinical investigation from Washington University, with
14 which I am able to study public health from a research-focused perspective. I completed my
15 residency in obstetrics and gynecology at Washington University School of Medicine in 2011. I
16 then completed a two-year fellowship in family planning at Washington University. My
17 curriculum vitae, which sets forth my experience and credentials more fully, is attached here as
18 Exhibit A.

19 6. My practice focuses on providing patients with full-spectrum reproductive
20 healthcare, including second-trimester abortions, medical and surgical abortions in the first
21 trimester, contraceptive care, and specialized gynecologic care for LGBTQIA communities,
22 including gender-affirming surgeries and other therapies. I take a full-spectrum approach to the
23 care I provide because it centers on the patient and what is best for them. Being able to provide
24 full-spectrum reproductive healthcare allows me to develop a level of trust and strengthens the
25 relationship between myself and patients, as they don't have to worry whether all of their needs
26 will be met in ways that are consistent with their values and unique healthcare needs.

27 7. In many ways, my choice to center my work on abortion care and LGBTQIA
28 communities is predictable. In both instances, patients face tremendous stigma. Their health—

1 and, more broadly, their lives—are inappropriately influenced by ideology and unscientific
2 rhetoric. The consequences of these realities are that our system allows for systemic
3 discrimination, intentional oppression, and overt acceptance that the health and wellbeing of some
4 is more important than that of others. Although healthcare providers cannot assume all of the
5 responsibility to fix the injustices of such a system, they should seriously consider the
6 responsibility they bear for ensuring the best public health outcomes. Optimizing public health
7 outcomes requires equitable access to healthcare centered on scientific evidence, delivered across
8 all geographies, and absent external judgment and stigma, whether the patient be a transgender
9 man seeking a hysterectomy or a cisgender woman needing an abortion.

10 8. The importance of this approach and the availability of these necessary services
11 goes beyond the obvious health outcomes. Pay inequity, low or nonexistent paid parental leave,
12 and the general lack of supportive structures for pregnant persons and LGBTQIA individuals
13 make it difficult for these populations to attain the level of economic independence necessary to
14 parent the way they may want to. Equitable and comprehensive access to care is one important
15 step to combat these conditions and empower my patients to parent when and in the manner they
16 choose.

17 9. The services I provide also enable my patients to maximize their health and
18 participate fully in society. Planning for pregnancy and spacing pregnancy are often incredibly
19 important factors in optimizing pregnancy outcomes. Contraception and abortion are important
20 healthcare interventions that can prevent a host of physical and mental health conditions,
21 including life-threatening conditions that are diagnosed after or worsen during pregnancy.
22 Optimizing health through the use of contraception and abortion is important for pregnancy, but
23 also in the larger context of my patient's lives. My patients often note that their ability to control
24 their reproductive lives is essential to their ability to achieve career and educational goals, and to
25 maintain the economic stability essential for a healthy family unit.

26 10. The need for reproductive health services is not limited to cisgender, binary,
27 heteronormative populations alone. These services are just as important to patients across a
28 variety of identities, including LGBTQIA individuals. Members of these communities also seek

1 to prevent pregnancy, or build families, and access a whole host of other reproductive health
2 services.

3 11. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' challenge to the final rule
4 promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services relating to "Conscience Rights in
5 Health Care" (the "Denial or Care Rule," or the "Rule"). My opinions are based on my personal
6 knowledge, as well as my training, education, clinical experience, ongoing review of the relevant
7 professional literature, discussions with colleagues, participation in associations, and attendance
8 at conferences in the fields of obstetrics, gynecology, and gynecologic surgery.

9 **Trust Women Seattle**

10 12. Trust Women Seattle, located in Seattle, Washington, opened in June 2017 and
11 provides reproductive healthcare, including abortion services, contraceptive care, and general
12 gynecological care, as well as a growing number of services for LGBTQ patients, including the
13 provision of gender-affirming hormone therapies. The clinic receives Medicaid funding through
14 Washington State and is a "subrecipient" under the Rule.

15 13. Medicaid funding for non-abortion services at Trust Women allows the clinic to
16 continue providing a full range of reproductive healthcare services to patients. Without such
17 funding, it would be difficult, and likely impossible, for the clinic to stay open.

18 14. To the extent that the Rule would prevent Trust Women Seattle from continuing to
19 implement its compassionate and non-judgmental approach to care for all patients or its policies
20 regarding emergency treatment, it is unworkable and would undermine the very mission of the
21 clinic.

22 **Medical Ethics**

23 15. To the extent that the Rule permits or encourages staff at healthcare facilities to
24 delay and deny patients information and care based on religious and moral refusals, and to the
25 extent that the Rule conditions federal funding for recipients and subrecipients on permitting such
26 discrimination, it is contrary to medical ethics.

27 16. When a provider's personal beliefs conflict with a patient's need for care, medical
28 ethics as well as state and federal law require the needs of the patient to take precedence. This

1 expectation within the medical community is clear and well-accepted. In these situations, where
2 providers' interests conflict with patients' interests, providers have a duty to state upfront their
3 conflicting personal beliefs and ensure the patient is immediately transferred to the care of
4 another willing provider.²

5 17. The Denial of Care Rule contravenes medical ethics by prioritizing not only the
6 interests of the provider, but also the interests of those not directly providing care to the patient,
7 such as a receptionist, janitor, and other administrative staff. For example, if a receptionist were
8 to turn a patient away because of a disagreement with the healthcare choices of that patient, or
9 even the patient's mere existence as an authentic being, it would undermine patient health and the
10 clinic itself. This overt and allowable stigmatization could lead to loss of patient autonomy
11 through internalization of disapproval, leaving them feeling paralyzed to make the best decisions
12 for themselves or sometimes any decision at all. When patients are turned away or delayed in
13 accessing care, their health, well-being, and privacy suffer.

14 18. Moreover, medical ethics require healthcare providers to ensure that patients'
15 interests are protected, even in cases where a provider objects on moral or religious grounds to a
16 particular course of treatment. In my opinion, to the extent that the Rule would permit staff to
17 exercise effective veto power over a patient's opportunity to access a healthcare service by
18 omitting information, treatment, or a referral, the Rule runs counter to any reasonable
19 understanding of a healthcare provider's duty to patients. Providers hold knowledge related to
20 health and diseases, and our job as providers is to take that information, make it understandable,
21 and provide it to patients in a way that enables them to make an informed decision in the context
22 of their values and life circumstances. It is not our job to make decisions for our patients, nor is it
23 appropriate to color our care with our own values and circumstances. Moreover, were even

24 ² See, e.g., American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Ethics,
25 *Committee Opinion No. 385: The Limits of Conscientious Refusal in Reproductive Medicine*, 110
26 *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1203 (2007) ("Physicians and other health care providers have the duty
27 to refer patients in a timely manner to other providers if they do not feel that they can in
28 conscience provide the standard reproductive services that patients request."); American Medical
Association, *Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 1.1.7: Physician Exercise of Conscience*, Ethics,
<https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/physician-exercise-conscience> (last visited June 5,
2019) ("In general, physicians should refer a patient to another physician or institution to provide
treatment the physician declines to offer.").

1 administrative staff to exercise such a veto, it would be unconscionable. Staff without medical
2 training and knowledge of a patient's medical history may give a patient incomplete information
3 or deny them care without understanding the full implications for patient health.

4 **Impact on Patients**

5 19. Approximately 43 million pregnant persons in the United States are at risk of
6 unwanted pregnancy.³ Yet, state restrictions on abortion have contributed to the diminishing
7 number of abortion clinics across the country, which has in turn contributed to diminished access
8 to abortion care.⁴ According to the most recent data from 2014, the number of abortion clinics
9 decreased 17% from 2011.⁵ In many areas, the lack of abortion care is particularly acute: 89% of
10 counties in the United States do not have an abortion clinic at all,⁶ and several states have only
11 one clinic left.⁷

12 20. But even without state attacks on abortion, it can be difficult for clinics to survive
13 in today's world. Lack of funding, based on defunding efforts and insurance bans, already
14 hampers providers' ability to provide care. In addition, security concerns and provider
15 unavailability pose serious operational hurdles. As a result, clinics in many counties can only
16 provide abortion services on a limited basis, restricted to certain methods, certain gestational
17 ages, specific indications, or on certain days.⁸

18 21. Lower-income women are already unable to access contraception at the same rate
19

20 ³ *Contraceptive Use in the United States*, Guttmacher Institute (July 2018),
<https://www.guttmacher.org/fact-sheet/contraceptive-use-united-states>.

21 ⁴ See, e.g., Grossman D et al., *Change in Abortion Services after Implementation of a*
22 *Restrictive law in Texas*, 90(5) *Contraception* 496 (2014); see also White K et al., *The Impact of*
23 *Reproductive Health Legislation on Family Planning Clinic Services in Texas*, 105(5) *Am. J. of*
Pub. Health 851, 853-56 (2015).

24 ⁵ Jones RK & Jerman J, *Abortion Incidence and Service Availability In the United States,*
25 *2014*, 49(1) *Persp. on Sexual & Reprod. Health* 17 (2017).

26 ⁶ *Bad Medicine: How a Political Agenda is Undermining Abortion Care and Access*,
27 National Partnership for Women & Families (Mar. 2018),
<http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/repro/bad-medicine-third-edition.pdf>.

28 ⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Id.*

1 as higher-income women.⁹ These disparities, exacerbated by the increasing restrictions on family
2 planning services, including publicly-funded clinics and services, result in deepening poverty for
3 the most vulnerable women in the United States.¹⁰ In short, many low-income women cannot
4 access the contraceptive services and education they need to avoid unintended pregnancy, and
5 when they become pregnant, it is increasingly difficult to access abortion services.

6 22. There is no typical abortion patient. A recent study found that 24% were Catholic,
7 17% were mainline Protestant, 13% were evangelical Protestant, and 8% identified with some
8 other religion.¹¹

9 23. There are a variety of reasons people require pregnancy termination, and each is
10 valid. It is not uncommon for people with wanted pregnancies to require termination, because of
11 fetal anomalies, because the pregnancy threatens the patient's health, or because the pregnancy is
12 simply no longer viable. Yet, I am familiar with numerous instances in which many of these
13 patients are not provided with complete information about the option to terminate, even if it is the
14 most medically appropriate option, simply because their clinician has a personal objection.
15 Patients in these situations have been subjected to last-minute, dire transfers and have even been
16 rejected by providers of non-pregnancy related care as a result of their reproductive choices. I
17 hear stories like these every month, and I care for people who have been deceived and lied to,
18 resulting in unnecessary stress and delayed procedures.

19 24. Contraception, an essential form of healthcare, is also already under threat.¹² For
20 example, pharmacists have refused to provide over-the-counter emergency contraception and
21

22 ⁹ See Secura GM et al., *The Contraceptive CHOICE Project: reducing barriers to long-*
23 *acting reversible contraception*, 203(2) *Am. J. of Obstetrics & Gynecology* 115.e1 (2010).

24 ¹⁰ See Jenna Jerman, Rachel K. Jones & Tsuyoshi Onda, *Characteristics of U.S. Abortion*
25 *Patients in 2014 and Changes Since 2008*, Guttmacher Institute (May 2016),
[https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/characteristics-us-abortion-patients-](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/characteristics-us-abortion-patients-2014.pdf)
26 [2014.pdf](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/characteristics-us-abortion-patients-2014.pdf).

27 ¹¹ *Id.*

28 ¹² See American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Committee on Health Care
for Underserved Women, *Committee Opinion No. 615: Access to Contraception*, 125 *Obstetrics*
& *Gynecology* 250 (2015).

1 sought to vindicate their asserted right to deny it in court.¹³ And as of 2015, only 60% of federally
2 qualified health centers even offered contraceptive care to more than 10 female persons per
3 year.¹⁴ In my own practice, I have seen patients transferred to us because they were unable to
4 access contraception from their previous provider.

5 25. Title X is already under attack from another federal administrative rule, which was
6 recently enjoined nationwide by two district courts.¹⁵ In the healthcare system, including in
7 hospitals, there are already clinician and healthcare providers who impose religious beliefs above
8 scientific fact and refuse to provide the most effective means of contraception, such as IUD's
9 under the auspice that they are abortifacients despite concrete scientific evidence to the contrary.
10 If more individuals are denied access to contraception under the Rule, it will lead to an increase in
11 unintended pregnancy and abortion.

12 26. Additionally, access to LGBTQIA-specific care is limited, and members of these
13 communities are already experiencing discrimination and marginalization within the healthcare
14 system. For example, there are clinicians who explicitly refuse to provide care to LGBTQIA
15 patients or their children. In fact, most of my transgender patients report having had negative
16 experiences with other healthcare providers before their appointment with me. And almost all of
17 my transgender patients that require prolonged hospitalization prefer early discharge, out of fear
18 that hospital staff members might say something hurtful or treat them disrespectfully. Indeed, my
19 transgender patients have reported to me that other providers have repeatedly rescheduled their
20 appointments, intentionally used the wrong pronouns, and even refused to use pronouns at all,
21 calling them "it." I hear stories like this regularly.

22 27. The Denial of Care Rule threatens to exacerbate this preexisting lack of access to
23 abortion, contraception, and LGBTQIA-specific care. To the extent that it discourages entities

24
25 ¹³ See Yang YT & Sawicki NN, *Pharmacies' Duty to Dispense Emergency Contraception: A Discussion of Religious Liberty*, 129(3) *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 551 (2017).

26 ¹⁴ Jennifer J. Frost & Mia R. Zolna, *Response To Inquiry Concerning The Availability Of*
27 *Publicly Funded Contraceptive Care To U.S. Women*, Guttmacher Institute (May 2017),
<https://www.guttmacher.org/article/2017/05/guttmacher-murray-memo-2017>.

28 ¹⁵ *Oregon v. Azar*, No. 6:19-CV-00317-MC, 2019 WL 1897475 (D. Or. Apr. 29, 2019);
Washington v. Azar, No. 1:19-CV-03040-SAB, 2019 WL 1868362 (E.D. Wash. Apr. 25, 2019).

1 like Trust Women from offering any services to which our employees, volunteers, or contractors
 2 may possibly object and threatens to remove or even claw back funding from entities that do not
 3 comply with such broad requirements, it is unworkable and could force Trust Women and other
 4 providers across the country to drastically alter the care we offer to patients or close entirely.

5 28. The Rule also further stigmatizes abortion, contraception, and care to LGBTQIA
 6 communities. By specifically highlighting these types of care as religiously or morally
 7 objectionable the Rule suggests that the services are not common, necessary, and important to
 8 maintain health, and furthermore suggests that only certain Americans are deserving of
 9 comprehensive and dignified healthcare. We have seen the tremendous impact that stigma can
 10 have on patients. For example, abortion stigma fosters fear and psychological stress in patients.¹⁶
 11 When patients perceive the community's disapproval of their choice, they feel the need to
 12 maintain secrecy around their decision and experience shame, causing substantial stress.¹⁷
 13 Moreover, this stigma will deter patients from seeking these types of care out of fear of judgment
 14 and discrimination.

15 29. Whether because patients encounter a refuser, providers are forced to close their
 16 doors, or patients are deterred from seeking care because of stigma and a justified fear of
 17 discrimination, individuals seeking abortion, contraception, and LGBTQIA-specific care will
 18 either be delayed or totally denied such care as a result of the Rule.¹⁸

19 Impact of Delayed Care

20 30. A report from the National Academies of Science found that overall abortion is
 21 safe, but if anything is making it less safe, it is the number of restrictions being passed in states
 22 that create delays and prevent women from accessing care.¹⁹ On average, a pregnant person

23 ¹⁶ See Norris A et al., *Abortion stigma: a reconceptualization of constituents, causes, and*
 24 *consequences*, 21(3 Suppl) Women's Health Issues S49 (2011).

25 ¹⁷ See Major B et al., *Abortion and mental health: Evaluating the evidence*, 64(9) Am.
 26 Psychol. 863 (2009).

27 ¹⁸ See, e.g., Brief for National Abortion Federation and Abortion Providers as Amici
 28 Curiae in Support of Petitioners at 20-35, *Whole Woman's Health v. Cole*, 136 S. Ct. 499 (2015)
 (No. 15-274); see also Yao Lu & David J. G. Slusky, *The Impact of Women's Health Clinic*
Closures on Preventive Care, 8(3) Am. Econ. J.: Applied Econ. 100 (2016).

1 already must wait at least a week between attempting to make an appointment and actually
2 receiving an abortion.²⁰ Some states have mandatory delay laws, which require patients to wait up
3 to 72 hours after receiving certain state-mandated information and their procedure. When paired
4 with the limited number of clinics in each state (in some instances only one), these restrictions on
5 access to care can force a pregnant person to wait weeks for an appointment. Further, insurance
6 bans that prevent coverage for abortion makes it harder for women to come up with the funds
7 necessary, which also creates delays.

8 31. Delays in obtaining an abortion compound the logistical and financial burdens
9 patients face. Some common factors include having to travel long distances or encountering
10 significantly increased wait times due to the ever-shrinking number of abortion clinics.²¹ These
11 delays also increase the cost of an abortion and other associated costs like travel and childcare.
12 The cost of abortion rises as gestational age increases, and abortions during the second trimester
13 are substantially more expensive than in the first trimester.²² Financial burdens also result from
14 missed work. In one study, delays were shown to have caused 47% of patients to miss an extra
15 day of work and caused more than 60% of patients to shoulder the burden of increased
16 transportation costs and lost wages by a family member or friend.²³

17 32. Delays in obtaining an abortion can also push patients into later stages of
18 pregnancy before they are able to access care. And although abortion is a very safe procedure,
19

of Abortion Care in the United States (2018).

20 ²⁰ Finer LB et al., *Timing of Steps and Reasons for Delays in Obtaining Abortions in the*
21 *United States*, 74(4) *Contraception* 334 (2006).

22 ²¹ See generally, e.g., *Bad Medicine: How a Political Agenda is Undermining Abortion*
23 *Care and Access*, National Partnership for Women & Families (Mar. 2018),
24 <http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/repro/bad-medicine-third-edition.pdf>;
Abortion Wait Times in Texas: The Shrinking Capacity of Facilities and the Potential Impact of
25 *Closing Non-ASC Clinics*, Texas Policy Evaluation Project (Oct. 5, 2015),
http://sites.utexas.edu/txpep/files/2016/01/Abortion_Wait_Time_Brief.pdf.

26 ²² See Sarah C.M. Roberts et al., *Utah's 72-Hour Waiting Period for Abortion:*
27 *Experiences Among a Clinic-Based Sample of Women*, 48(4) *Persp. on Sexual & Reprod. Health*
179, 184 (2016); Jones RK et al., *Differences in Abortion Service Delivery in Hostile, Middle-*
ground, and Supportive States in 2014, 28(3) *Women's Health Issues* 212 (2018).

28 ²³ Sanders JN et al., *The Longest Wait: Examining the Impact of Utah's 72-Hour Waiting*
Period for Abortion, 26(5) *Women's Health Issues* 483 (2016).

1 risks increase with later gestational ages.²⁴ Patients pushed into later stages of pregnancy may
2 also be denied the option to have particular types of abortions. For example, medication abortion
3 is typically available only up to 10 weeks after a woman's last menstrual period. Patients can
4 choose medication abortion for a variety of personal reasons, including that it is more private, less
5 invasive, and allows the patient to drive herself to the clinic for her procedure—an option that is
6 not available for all surgical procedures. Additionally, a second trimester surgical procedure is
7 more complex, costlier, and carries greater risks than a first trimester surgical procedure.
8 Moreover, patients approaching legal limits in their state based on when medication abortion may
9 be prescribed or abortion performed may be forced to seek care in another state if they are
10 delayed in accessing care.²⁵

11 33. For patients with certain medical conditions or indications, delays in obtaining an
12 abortion present even more serious risks. For example, for pregnant persons with cancer,
13 currently undergoing or awaiting initiation of addiction treatment, or with serious cardiovascular
14 conditions, for example, it is medically preferred and safer to perform an abortion at earlier
15 gestational ages without unnecessary delay. There are also pregnant persons for whom medication
16 abortion may be medically indicated or preferred, including those with uterine anomalies and
17 those who are survivors of sexual assault who may not be comfortable with an invasive physical
18 exam.

19 34. Delays in obtaining an abortion can also inflict unnecessary emotional distress and
20 psychological harm. I have found this to be particularly true for pregnant persons who have
21 wanted pregnancies but have made the decision to terminate after receiving a diagnosis of a lethal
22 or grave fetal anomaly, or pregnant persons who have made the decision to end a pregnancy that
23 occurred following rape. Delays also increase the likelihood that a patient will be forced to
24 disclose her decision to have an abortion to others from whom she would prefer to keep the
25

26 ²⁴ See Bartlett LA et al., *Risk Factors for Legal Induced Abortion-Related Mortality in the*
27 *United States*, 103(4) *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 729 (2004).

28 ²⁵ See Jenna Jerman et al., *Barriers to Abortion Care and Their Consequences For Patients*
Traveling for Services: Qualitative Findings from Two States, 49(2) *Persp. on Sexual & Reprod.*
Health 95 (2017).

1 decision confidential.²⁶

2 35. Similarly, delays in obtaining LGBTQIA-specific care can lead to poor physical
3 and mental health outcomes. For example, while all care should be timely, for transgender
4 patients seeking to transition, it is important that they be able to do so as soon as they are ready.²⁷
5 Once a patient has identified transitioning as integral to their process of feeling whole, the best
6 mental and physical health outcomes stem from completion of that process.

7 **Impact of Denials of Care**

8 36. If patients are denied care entirely, they will encounter a whole host of additional
9 harms. Denying someone an abortion and forcing them to carry to term increases the risk of
10 serious health harms, including eclampsia and death.²⁸ In addition, denying someone an abortion
11 can lead to increased risk of life threatening bleeding, cardiovascular complications, risk of
12 diabetes associated with pregnancy, as well as any other risk that results from pregnancy.

13 37. In fact, ending a pregnancy is safer than continuing a pregnancy, with one study
14 estimating 28.6% of hospital deliveries involve at least one obstetric complication, compared to
15 only 1% - 4% of first-trimester abortions.²⁹ A pregnant person is 14 times more likely to die from
16 giving birth than as a result of an abortion, which is particularly poignant in the United States, the
17 only developed nation with a rising maternal mortality rate.³⁰

18 38. Being denied a wanted abortion also results in economic insecurity for pregnant
19 persons and their families, and an almost fourfold increase in the odds that household income will

20 ²⁶ See, e.g., Sanders JN et al., *The Longest Wait: Examining the Impact of Utah's 72-Hour*
21 *Waiting Period for Abortion*, 26(5) *Women's Health Issues* 483 (2016).

22 ²⁷ See Nguyen HB et al., *Gender-Affirming Hormone Use in Transgender Individuals:*
23 *Impact on Behavioral Health and Cognition*, 20(12) *Current Psychiatry Rep.* 110 (2018).

24 ²⁸ See Gerdts C et al., *Side Effects, Physical Health Consequences, and Mortality*
25 *Associated with Abortion and Birth after an Unwanted Pregnancy*, 26(1) *Women's Health Issues*
26 55 (2016).

27 ²⁹ Berg CJ et al., *Overview of Maternal Morbidity During Hospitalization for Labor and*
28 *Delivery in the United States: 1993-1997 and 2001-2005*, 113(5) *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1075
(2009).

³⁰ See Raymond EG & Grimes DA, *The Comparative Safety of Legal Induced Abortion*
28 *and Childbirth in the United States*, 119(2 Pt 1) *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 215 (2012) (analyzing
data from 1998 to 2005).

1 fall below the federal poverty level.³¹

2 39. In 2014, three-fourths of abortion patients were already low income—49% living
3 at less than the federal poverty level, and 26% living at 100-199% of the poverty level.³² 59% of
4 abortion patients in 2014 had at least one previous birth.³³

5 40. Some patients who are denied abortion care may resort to extremes and even self-
6 harm or attempted self-managed abortion. At least a few times per year I am asked to care for a
7 pregnant person whose reported reason for attempted suicide is not wanting to be pregnant and
8 not being able to secure an abortion. Additionally, the rate of self-managed abortions has risen
9 across the country as abortion has become increasingly difficult to access.³⁴

10 41. Additionally, patients who are denied contraception are less able to safeguard their
11 own health and welfare. The ability to prevent or space pregnancy, facilitated by easy and
12 affordable access to contraception, has significant health benefits.³⁵ Ensuring the best pregnancy
13 outcomes requires optimizing patient health between pregnancies. Thus, denials of contraception
14 not only increase the rates of unintended pregnancies, but also adversely affect the health of
15 persons who subsequently become pregnant although they have conditions that could make
16 pregnancy dangerous.

17 42. Furthermore, many patients rely on contraception for other medical conditions,
18 including treatment for endometriosis, polycystic ovarian syndrome, acne, menstrual irregularity,

19 ³¹ See Diana Greene Foster et al., *Socioeconomic Outcomes of Women Who Receive And*
20 *Women Who Are Denied Wanted Abortions in the United States*, 108(3) Am. J. of Pub. Health
407 (2018).

21 ³² Jenna Jerman, Rachel K. Jones & Tsuyoshi Onda, *Characteristics of U.S. Abortion*
22 *Patients in 2014 and Changes Since 2008*, Guttmacher Institute (May 2016),
23 https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/characteristics-us-abortion-patients-2014.pdf.

24 ³³ *Id.*

25 ³⁴ See, e.g., *Study Finds at Least 100,000 Texas Women Have Attempted to Self-Induce*
26 *Abortion*, Texas Policy Evaluation Project (Nov. 17, 2015),
<https://liberalarts.utexas.edu/txpep/releases/self-induction-release.php>.

27 ³⁵ See *Report of a WHO Technical Consultation on Birth Spacing*, World Health
28 Organization, (2007), http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/69855/1/WHO_RHR_07.1_eng.pdf
(recommending pregnant persons space their births at least two years apart in order to reduce the risk of maternal morbidity and mortality).

1 menstrual migraines, and for decreasing the risk of endometrial, ovarian, and colorectal cancers.³⁶
2 Thus, denials of contraception can prevent patients from accessing treatment for these conditions.

3 43. Contraceptive coverage is also a necessary component of an equitable society, as it
4 allows pregnant persons and LGBTQIA patients to make decisions about their health,
5 reproductive lives, education, careers, and livelihoods. Denying access to this coverage denies
6 them equal opportunity to aspire, achieve, participate in, and contribute to society based on their
7 individual talents and capabilities.

8 44. The Denial of Care Rule will result in increased numbers of LGBTQIA persons
9 experiencing stigmatizing denials of care. Patients who are denied LGBTQIA-specific care will
10 have worse health outcomes.³⁷ Already today, even without the Rule, as a result of preexisting
11 stigma, lesbian patients in particular are already less likely to disclose their sexual identity and
12 less likely to access primary care.³⁸ Many transgender patients already experience overt disrespect
13 from their providers, resulting in a tiered level of care.³⁹ This stigma and discrimination may be
14 particularly acute in rural areas, where perception of provider bias may be more prevalent.⁴⁰

15 45. Stigmatization and discrimination cause poor health outcomes. When a hospital's

16
17 ³⁶ See Carrie Armstrong, *ACOG Guidelines on Noncontraceptive Uses of Hormonal Contraceptives*, 82(3) *Am. Fam. Physician* 288 (2010).

18 ³⁷ See, e.g., Sara Berg, *Better Training Needed to Address Shortcomings in LGBTQ Care*, American Medical Association (July 17, 2018), <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/population-care/better-training-needed-address-shortcomings-lgbtq-care>; Mark L. Hatzenbuehler et al., *The Impact of Institutional Discrimination on Psychiatric Disorders in Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Populations: A Prospective Study*, 100(3) *Am. J. of Pub. Health* 452 (2010); Amaya Perez-Brumer et al., *"We don't treat your kind": Assessing HIV health needs holistically among transgender people in Jackson, Mississippi*, 13(11) *PLoS One* 1 (2018).

22 ³⁸ See Zeeman L, *A review of lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and intersex (LGBTI) health and healthcare inequalities*, *Eur. J. of Pub. Health* (2018).

24 ³⁹ See, e.g., Hatzenbuehler ML & Pachankis JE, *Stigma and Minority Stress as Social Determinants of Health Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Youth: Research Evidence and Clinical Implications*, 63(6) *Pediatric Clinics of North Am.* 985 (2016); Raifman J, *Sanctioned Stigma in Health Care Settings and Harm to LGBT Youth*, 172(8) *JAMA Pediatrics* 713 (2018).

27 ⁴⁰ See, e.g., Willging CE et al., *Brief reports: Unequal treatment: mental health care for sexual and gender minority groups in a rural state*, 57(6) *Psychiatric Serv.* 867 (2006); Lee MG & Quam JK, *Comparing supports for LGBT aging in rural versus urban areas*, 56(2) *J. of Gerontological Soc. Work* 112 (2013).

1 cafeteria staff refuse to bring transgender patients their food, for example, this immediately
2 impacts these patients' mental health and may push them out of the healthcare system entirely.
3 For example, patients might sign themselves out of the hospital early and begin to manage their
4 own healthcare decisions in ways that might not optimize their physical health.

5 46. Denials of care also hinder patients from accessing full-spectrum care, which
6 offers significant benefits. Because so much of the provision of healthcare depends on the
7 relationship between patient and provider, it is to the patient's benefit to access a full spectrum of
8 healthcare from a provider that they know, trust, and have built a robust relationship with. When a
9 provider delivers care consistent with the full scope of their training, the provider has a more
10 comprehensive understanding of the patient's values, communication style, priorities, and
11 motivators, which affords a stronger relationship to deliver the most effective care. But, there are
12 many generalists in OB/GYN and other areas of healthcare that are do not provide full-spectrum
13 care. Denials of care contribute to an increasingly fragmented healthcare system, whereby
14 patients must see even more providers to address various facets of their health. This limits
15 patients' opportunity to seek full-spectrum care.

16 47. In sum, to the extent that the Rule would permit and even require denials of care
17 and information to patients, consequently increasing stigma and decreasing access to full-
18 spectrum healthcare for reproductive healthcare and LGBTQ patients, the Rule is an assault on
19 the physical and mental health of patients, with compounding harms and drastic consequences
20 that fly in the face of medical ethics.

21 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of
22 California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

23
24 Executed on Sept 3 in St. Louis, Missouri

25
26 
27 COLLEEN P. MCNICHOLAS
28 Medical Director, Trust Women

EXHIBIT A

CURRICULUM VITAE
Colleen Patricia McNicholas, DO, MSCI, FACOG

Date: March 2019

Address:

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
 Washington University in St. Louis
 660 S Euclid Ave
 Mailstop 8064-37-1005
 St. Louis, Missouri 63110-1094

Present Position:

Associate Professor
 Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis
 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
 Division of Family Planning

Director- Ryan Residency Collaborative
 Oklahoma University and Washington University School of Medicine

Assistant-Director- Fellowship in Family Planning
 Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Education:

Undergraduate:

1998-2003 Benedictine University
 Lisle, Illinois
 B.S. Forensic Chemistry

Graduate:

2003-2007 Kirksville College of Osteopathic Medicine
 Kirksville, Missouri
 Doctor of Osteopathy

2011-2013 Washington University in St. Louis
 St. Louis, Missouri
 Masters of Science in Clinical Investigation

Internship:

2007-2008 Atlanta Medical Center
 Atlanta, Georgia
 Internship

Residency:

2008-2011 Washington University School of Medicine
 Residency in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Fellowship:

2011-2013 Washington University School of Medicine
 Clinical Instructor – Obstetrics and Gynecology
 Clinical Fellow – Family Planning

Academic Positions/Employment:

2019 - Chief Medical Officer
 Planned Parenthood of the St. Louis Region and Southwest
 Missouri

2018- 2019 Associate Professor
 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
 Washington University School of Medicine

- 2014-2018 Director, Ryan Residency Training Program
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2013- 2018 Assistant Professor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2012-2014 Missouri Baptist Medical Center, St Louis, MO
Laborist

University and Hospital Appointments and Committees:

Appointments

- 2013- Attending Physician
Barnes Jewish Hospital
St. Louis, MO
- 2014- 2019 Director, Ryan Residency Training Program
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2016- 2019 Co-Director, Fellowship in Family Planning
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2016-2019 Obstetrics and Gynecology Performance Evaluation Committee
Washington University/Barnes Jewish OB/GYN Residency
- 2016-2019 Washington University School of Medicine
Institutional Review Board
Member
- 2018-2019 Washington University School of Medicine
Committee on Admissions

Committees:

- 2014- 2017 American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology
2017-2020 Committee on the Healthcare for Underserved Women
Member
- 2015- 2017 American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology
2017-2020 Underserved Liaison to Committee on Adolescent Health Care
- 2015- International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO)
Women's Sexual and Reproductive Rights Committee
Master Trainer, Integrating Human Rights in Health
- 2016- Ibis Reproductive Healthcare
Over the counter oral contraceptive working group
Policy Subcommittee
- 2017- MERCK Global Advisory Board on Contraception
- 2017- Washington University School of Medicine
OUT Med Advisory Board

Volunteer 2015- Saturday Neighborhood Health Clinic
Washington University School of Medicine
Volunteer Attending Physician Faculty, Primary Care
Volunteer Attending Physician Faculty, Americore Homeless

Medical Licensure and Board Certification:

Licensure

Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Washington
Illinois Pending

Board Certification:

2014- current American Board of Obstetrics and Gynecology
General Obstetrics and Gynecology
Diplomate

Honors and Awards:

2001 Gregory Snoke Memorial Scholarship
2001 American Chemical Society Analytical Achievement Award
2001 American Chemical Society Division of Analytical Chemistry 2001 Undergraduate
Award
2002 PGG Industries Foundation J. Earl Burrell Scholarship
2003 Senior Academic Award: College of Arts and Science
2006 Presidents Award: Women in Medicine
2011 Kody Kunda Resident Teaching Award
2012 ACOG Health Policy Rotation, LARC Program January 2013
2012 Physicians for Reproductive Health and Choice (PRCH) Leadership Training Academy
2012 President's Award: St. Louis Gynecologic Society, best research presentation
2016 Fellowship in Family Planning, Warrior Award
2016 Physicians for Reproductive Health, Voices of Courage: A Benefit Celebrating
Extraordinary Abortion Providers
2016 2015 Roy M. Pitkin Award, Obstetrics and Gynecology (The Green Journal)
2018 Massingill Family Scholarship, 2018 Robert C. Cefalo Leadership Institute
2018 ACOG District VII Mentor of the year award

Editorial Responsibilities:

2011- *Reviewer*, Contraception
2011- *Reviewer*, Journal of Family Planning and Reproductive Health Care
2012- *Reviewer*, American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology
2012- *Reviewer*, European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology
2013- *Reviewer*, Obstetrics and Gynecology

Professional Societies and Organizations:

2003- Medical Students for Choice
2006-2011 Association of Reproductive Health Professionals
2006- American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

Leadership Roles

- 2013: The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists/Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals Research Fellowship in Contraceptive Counseling (Selection committee)

- 2012-2019: American Congress of Obstetrics and Gynecology Congressional Leadership Conference, participant
 - 2015: Presenter, Reproductive Health Legislation in the States
 - 2016: Presenter, Reproductive Health Legislation in the States
- 2014-2020: Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women
 - Author, CO-Healthcare for Women with Disabilities
 - Author, Policy statement- Marriage and Family Equality
 - ACOG Liaison, AAMC Family Building Webinar series
 - Author, CO- Trauma informed care
- 2015-current: Committee on Adolescent Health Care, Underserved Liaison
- 2015-current: Missouri ACOG Section Advisory Committee, Member
 - 2015- current: Member, Legislative Committee
 - 2019-curretn: Secretary/Treasurer

2006- Gay and Lesbian Medical Association
 2006- Women in Medicine
Leadership Roles

- 2010-current Board Member
- 2016: Chair of annual conference, Aug 2016
- 2018-2020: Board Treasurer

2008-2011 St. Louis Obstetrics and Gynecology Society
Leadership Roles: resident board member
 2011- Society of Family Planning

Invited Presentations:

- 2001 Cadmium's effect on Osteoclast Apoptosis
 12th Annual Argonne Symposium for Undergraduates in Science, Engineering and Mathematics
- 2002 Cadmium's effect on Osteoclast Apoptosis
 2002 Experimental Biology Conference
- 2012 Contraception for medically complicated women
 Women in Medicine Annual meeting
- 2013 The troubling trend of legislative interference.
 Washington University School of Medicine, OBGYN Grand Rounds.
- 2013 An update on abortion: Why lesbians and those who treat them should care
 The Gay and Lesbian Medical Association
- 2013 Findings from the Contraceptive CHOICE Project. Are you meeting your patient's
 contraceptive needs?
 Washington University School of Medicine Annual OB/GYN Symposium
- 2013 Legislative interference and the impact on public health.
 Washington University Brown School of Social Work.
- 2014 Business of Medicine Medical Student Elective Course

- Legislating Medicine
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2014 Practical tips for your first RCT, lessons learned
Lecture in Randomized Control Trial course
- 2014 Uniting tomorrow's leaders of the RJ movement with providers of today
National Abortion Federation Annual Meeting
- 2014 Systems based practice and advocating for your patients
Washington University School of Medicine OB/GYN residency core lecture
- 2014 Abortion in sexual minority populations
National Abortion Federation
- 2014 Complications of uterine evacuation
St. Louis University OB/GYN Grand Rounds
- 2014 Medical contraindications in CHOICE Participants using combined hormonal
contraception
Over the Counter Oral Contraceptive Working Group
- 2015 Implementing immediate postpartum LARC
Kansas University OB/GYN grand rounds
- 2015 The evidence for immediate Post-partum IUD insertion
Kansas City Gynecologic Society
- 2105 Business of Medicine Medical Student Elective Course
Legislating Medicine
Washington University School of Medicine
- 2015 Getting Politics Out of the Exam Room: Combating Legislative Interference in
the Patient-Provider Relationship
National Abortion Federation Annual Meeting
- 2015 Are you meeting your patient's contraceptive needs?
Tennessee Department of Health.
- 2015 Colorado Initiative to reduce unintended pregnancy (webinar): Reducing Unplanned
Pregnancies in Colorado through Strategies to Promote Long-Acting Reversible
Contraception
Huffington Post, Live
- 2105 Method mix it up: Expanding options to meet the unique contraceptive needs of young
people
FIGO World Conference
- 2015 Getting to Yes-Interventions to Increase LARC Acceptance with a Focus on IUC
Nurse Practitioners Women's Health Annual Symposium
- 2015 Put your megaphone where your mouth is: Getting your professional society to speak up
Forum on Family Planning

- 2015 When Politics Trumps Science- Why is Birth control at Center Stage?
Carbondale Illinois Grand Rounds
- 2016 Using research to effectively advocate
Physicians for Reproductive Health Leadership Training Academy
- 2016 Partial Participation and Abortion Training in Residency: A Structure for Optimizing
Learning and Clinical Care
APGO/CREOG
- 2016 Are we meeting the needs of our teen and adolescent patients? Our role in preventing
unintended pregnancy. Barnes Jewish Hospital/Washington University School of
Medicine CME Outreach.
- 2016 The emerging role of physicians as advocates
St Louis OB/GYN Society
- 2016 Legislation and Advocacy
Washington University School of Medicine- Elective course
Gun violence as a public health issue
- 2016 Legislative advocacy and the impact on public health
Washington University, Brown School of Social Work
- 2017 GOV 101
Learning to advocate at the MO legislature
- 2017 Reevaluating the longevity of LARC
GrandRounds, BayState Medical Center
- 2018 Ryan Residency Program Annual Meeting
Patient and Community Advocacy in Residency Training
- 2018 Physician advocacy, the key to public health
Keynote Speaker
Washington University
Center for Community Health Partnership & Research (CCHPR)
Global Health Center Summer Research Program
- 2018 XXII World Congress of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Whether, when, and how many: a global movement toward reproductive freedom
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- 2018 Domestic and Global epidemiology of abortion
Washington University, Brown School of Social Work

Research Support:

3125-946435

Role: Principal Investigator

MERCK

Ovarian function with prolonged use of the implant

Award: January 2017-June 2018

Award Amount: \$279,126

U01DK106853 (Colditz, Sutcliffe)

Role: Co-investigator

NIH/NIDDK

LUTS prevention in adolescent girls and women across the lifespan

Award: 07/01/2015-06/31/2020

(Peipert, McNicholas)

Role: Co-Principal Investigator

Anonymous Donor

EPIC: Evaluating prolonged use of the IUD/implant for Contraception

Award: Sep 8, 2014 – Aug 31, 2018

Award Amount: \$ 1,000,000

National Institutes of Health- Loan Repayment Program

Role: Principal Investigator

EPIC: Evaluating prolonged use of the IUD/implant for Contraception

Aug 17, 2014- July 31, 2017

Award Amount: \$70,000

Aug 1, 2016- July 31, 2018

Award Amount: \$70,000

Aug 1, 2018- July 31, 2020

81615 (Peipert, McNicholas)

Role: Co-Principal Investigator

William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

LIFE: Levonorgestrel Intrauterine system For Emergency Contraception; a multicenter randomized trial

June 1, 2014- May 31, 2015

Award Amount: \$351,500

IRG-58-010-57 (McNicholas)

Role: Principal Investigator

American Cancer Society Institutional Research Grant (ACS-IRG)

Evaluating the impact of the IUD on HPV and cervical cancer risk

January 1, 2014-December 31, 2014

Award Amount: \$30,000

SFPRF12-1 (McNicholas)

Role: Principal Investigator

Society of Family Planning Research Fund

Effectiveness of Prolonged use of IUD/Implant for Contraception (EPIC)

January 2012 – July 2014

Award Amount: \$70,000

UL1 TR000448 (Evanoff)

Role: Postdoctoral MSCI Scholar

NIH-National Center for Research Resources (NCRR)

Washington University Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences (ICTS)

July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2013

5T32HD055172-03 (Macones, Peipert)
Role: Clinical fellow, trainee
NIH T32 Research Training Grant
July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2013

Bibliography:

Peer-reviewed Publications:

1. Allsworth JE, Hladky KJ, Hotchkiss T, McNicholas C, Rohn A. Discussion: 'Douching and the risk for sexually transmitted disease' by Tsai et al. *Am J of Obstet and Gynecol* 2009;200(1):e11-4.
2. Stoddard A, McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Efficacy and safety of long-acting reversible contraception. *Drugs*. 2011 May 28;71(8): p. 969-80. PMID: 21668037
3. McNicholas C, Hotchkiss T, Madden T, Zhao Q, Allsworth J, Peipert JF. Immediate postabortion intrauterine device insertion: continuation and satisfaction. *Women Health Iss*. 2012 Jul-Aug; 22(4):e365-369. PMID: 22749197
4. McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Long-acting reversible contraception for adolescents. *Curr Opin Obstet Gyn*. 2012 Oct; 24(5):293-298. PMID: 22781078
5. McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Initiation of long-acting reversible contraceptive methods (IUDs and implant) at pregnancy termination reduces repeat abortion. *Evid Based Med*. 2013 Jun;18(3):e29. PMID: 23161505
6. McNicholas C, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura G, Allsworth JE, Peipert JF. Cervical lidocaine for IUD insertional pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2012 Nov;207(5):384 e381-386. PMID: 23107081
7. McNicholas C, Zhao Q, Secura G, Allsworth J, Madden T, Peipert J. Contraceptive failures in overweight and obese combined hormonal contraceptive users. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013 March; 121(3):585-92. PMID: 23635622
8. McNicholas C. Transcending politics to promote women's health. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013 Jul;122(1):151-3. PMID: 23743460
9. Eisenberg D, McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Cost as a barrier to long-acting reversible contraceptive (LARC) use in adolescents. *J Adolescent Health*. 2013 Apr;52(4 Suppl):S59-63. PMID: 23535059
10. Grentzer J, McNicholas C, Peipert J. Use of the etonorgestrel-releasing implant. *Expert Rev. of Obstet and Gynecol*. 8 (4), 337-344. 2013
11. Secura G, McNicholas C. Long-acting reversible contraceptive use among teens prevents unintended pregnancy: a look at the evidence. *Expert Rev. of Obstet Gynecol*. 8(4), 297-299. 2013
12. McNicholas C, Peipert JF, Madipati R, Madden T, Allsworth, J Secura G. Sexually transmitted infection prevalence in a population seeking no-cost contraception. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2013 July;40(7):546-51. PMID: 23965768
13. Sehn JK, Kuroki LM, Hopeman MM, Longman RE, McNicholas CP, Huettner PC. Ovarian complete hydatidiform mole: case study with molecular analysis and review of the literature. *Hum Pathol*. 2013 Dec;44(12):2861-4. PMID: 24134929

14. Madden T, McNicholas C, Zhao Q, Secura G, Eisenberg D, Peipert JF. Association of Age and Parity with IUD Expulsion. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013 Oct; 124 (4): 718-26. PMID: 4172535
15. Secura G, Madden T, McNicholas C, Mullersman J, Buckel C, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. No-Cost Contraception Reduces Teen Pregnancy, Birth, and Abortion. *New Engl J Med*. 2104 Oct; 371(14); 1316-23. PMID: 4230891
16. McNicholas C, Madden T, Secura G, Peipert JF. The Contraceptive CHOICE Project Round Up: What we did and what we learned. *Clin Obstet Gynecol*. 2014 Dec; 57(4); 635-43. PMID: 4216614
17. McNicholas C, Maddipati R, Swor E, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. Use of the Etonogestrel Implant and Levonorgestrel Intrauterine Device Beyond the U.S. Food and Drug Administration-Approved Duration. *Obstet Gynecol*, 2015 Mar; 125(3):599-604.
18. Grentzer J, Peipert J, Zhao Q, McNicholas C, Secura G, Madden T. Risk-based screening for Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae prior to intrauterine device insertion. *Contraception* 2015 Jun; S0010-7824(15)00250-4. PMID:26093189
19. Mejia M, McNicholas C, Madden T, Peipert J. Association of Baseline Bleeding Pattern on Amenorrhea with Levonorgestrel Intrauterine System Use. *Contraception*. 2016 Nov;94(5):556-560. PMID: 27364099
20. Hou M, McNicholas C, Creinin M. Combined Oral Contraceptive Treatment for Bleeding Complaints with the Etonogestrel Contraceptive Implant: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care*. 2016 Oct;21(5):361-6. PMID: 27419258
21. Zigler RE, Peipert JF, Zhao Q, Maddipati R, McNicholas C. Long-acting reversible contraception use among residents in obstetrics/gynecology training programs. *Open Access J of Contracept*. 2017 Jan; 2017(8) 1—7. PMID: 29386949
22. Zigler RE, McNicholas C. Unscheduled vaginal bleeding with progestin-only contraceptive use. *Am J of Obstet and Gynecol*. 2017 May;216(5):443-450. PMID: 27988268
23. McNicholas C, Swor E, Wan L, Peipert JF. Prolonged use of the etonogestrel implant and levonorgestrel intrauterine device: 2 years beyond Food and Drug Administration-approved duration. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 Jan 29. PMID:28147241
24. McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Is it time to abandon the routine pelvic exam in asymptomatic nonpregnant women? *JAMA* 2017 Mar 7;317(9):910-911. PMID:28267835
25. McNicholas C, Madden T. Meeting the Contraceptive Needs of a Community: Increasing Access to Long-Acting Reversible Contraception. *MO Med*. 2017 May-Jun; 114(3):163-167. PMID:30228573
26. Iseyemi A, Zhao Q, McNicholas C, Peipert JF. Socioeconomic Status As a Risk Factor for Unintended Pregnancy in the Contraceptive CHOICE Project. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 Sep;130(3):609-615. PMID: 28796678
27. McNicholas C, Klugman J, Zhao Q, Peipert J. Condom Use and Incident Sexually Transmitted Infection after Initiation of Long-Acting Reversible Contraception. *Am J of Obstet and Gynecol*. 2017 Dec;217(6):672.e1-672.e6. PMID: 28919400

28. Zigler RE, Madden T, Ashby C, Wan L, McNicholas C. Ulipristal Acetate for Unscheduled Bleeding in Etonogestrel Implant Users: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 Oct;132(4):888-894. PMID: 30130151

Non-Peer Reviewed Invited Publications:

1. McNicholas C. Rev. of Recent advances in obstetrics and gynecology, *Royal Society of Medicine Press*, 2008.
2. McNicholas C, Levy B. The original minimally invasive hysterectomy; no hospitalization required. *Expert Rev. of Obstet and Gynecol*. 8(2), 1-3. 2013

Chapters:

1. Gross G, McNicholas C. Rev. of Shoulder dystocia and birth injury: prevention and treatment, by James A. O'Leary 3rd Ed
2. McNicholas C, Peipert JP. Pelvic inflammatory disease. *Practical Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*. Oxford. Wiley-Blackwell. ISBN: 978-0-470-67387-4.
3. McNicholas C, Madden T., *2015 Contraceptive counseling for obese women*. In E. Jungheim (Ed) *Obesity and Fertility*. Springer, New York. ISBN 978-1-4939-2611-4

Abstracts:

1. McNicholas C, Maddipati R, Secura G, Peipert J. Use of the contraceptive implant beyond the FDA-approved duration. Poster Presentation. North American Forum on Family Planning. Miami, FL October 2014.
2. McNicholas C, Swor E, Peipert J, Secura G. Serum etonogestrel levels in women using the contraceptive implant beyond the FDA-approved duration. *Oral Presentation. North American Forum on Family Planning*. Seattle, WA October 2013.
3. McNicholas C, Zhao Q, Peipert J, Secura G. Condom use and incident sexually transmitted infection after initiation of long-acting reversible contraception. *Oral Presentation. 40th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society for Obstetrics and Gynecology*. Sante Fe, NM Aug 2013.
4. McNicholas C, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura G, Allsworth JE, Peipert JP. Cervical lidocaine for IUD insertional pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Poster Presentation. North American Forum on Family Planning*. Denver, CO. October 2012.
5. McNicholas C, Maddipati R, Allsworth J, Madden T, Peipert J, Secura G. Baseline sexually transmitted infection prevalence in a low risk urban population. *Oral Presentation. 39th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society for Obstetrics and Gynecology*. Whistler, BC Aug 2012.
6. McNicholas C, Maddipati R, Allsworth J, Madden T, Peipert J, Secura G. An epidemiologic comparison of *Chlamydia Trachomatis* and *Trichomonas Vaginalis*: Information from the Contraceptive CHOICE Project. *Poster Presentation, 39th Annual Scientific Meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society for Obstetrics and Gynecology*. Whistler, BC Aug 2012.

7. McNicholas C, Madden T, Zhao Q, Secura G, Allsworth J, Peipert J. Cervical lidocaine for IUD insertional pain: a randomized control trial. *Oral Presentation. St. Louis Gynecologic Society.* April 2012.
8. Madden T, McNicholas CP, Secura GM, Allsworth JE, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. Rates of Expulsion and Continuation of Intrauterine Contraception at 12 months in Nulliparous and Adolescent Women. *Oral Presentation, Association of Reproductive Health Care Providers.* Sept 2010.
9. McNicholas CP, Madden T, Secura GM, Allsworth JE, Zhao Q, Peipert JF. Rates of Expulsion and Continuation of Intrauterine Contraception at 12 months in Nulliparous and Adolescent Women. *Oral Presentation, Rothman Resident Research Day.* April 2010.
10. McNicholas C. Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML) in an HIV Patient. A Diagnosis of exclusion and the implications of Cytogenetics. *Publication and Poster presentation Seaton Hall Research Colloquium.* May 2006.