

1 JAMES R. WILLIAMS, County Counsel  
(SBN 271253)  
2 GRETA S. HANSEN (SBN 251471)  
LAURA S. TRICE (SBN 284837)  
3 MARY E. HANNA-WEIR (SBN 320011)  
4 SUSAN P. GREENBERG (SBN 318055)  
H. LUKE EDWARDS (SBN 313756)  
5 OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL,  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA  
6 70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Floor  
San José, California 95110-1770  
7 Tel: (408) 299-5900

8 *Counsel for the County of Santa Clara*

LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  
Tel: (650) 331-2000  
Fax: (650) 331-2060  
lrubin@mayerbrown.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

9  
10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12  
13  
14 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
15 Plaintiff,  
16 vs.  
17 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,  
18 Defendants.

No. C 19-02405 WHA  
*Related to*  
No. C 19-02769 WHA  
No. C 19-02916 WHA

19 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through  
ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA,  
20 Plaintiff,  
21 vs.  
22 ALEX M. AZAR, et al.,  
23 Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF MARY E.  
HANNA-WEIR IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND IN  
SUPPORT OF THEIR OPPOSITION  
TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO  
DISMISS OR, IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT**

Date: October 30, 2019  
Time: 8:00 AM  
Courtroom: 12  
Judge: Hon. William H. Alsup  
Action Filed: 5/2/2019

24 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,  
25 Plaintiffs,  
26 vs.  
27 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,  
28 Defendants.

1 I, MARY E. HANNA-WEIR declare:

2 1. I am a resident of the State of California. I submit this declaration in support of  
3 the County of Santa Clara's ("County"), and its co-plaintiffs', Motion for Summary Judgment. I  
4 am over the age of 18 and have personal knowledge of all the facts stated herein. If called as a  
5 witness, I could and would testify competently to all the matters set forth below.

6 2. I am Deputy County Counsel for the County of Santa Clara and am counsel of  
7 record for Plaintiff County of Santa Clara in this matter.

8 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of  
9 Phuong Nguyen, M.D., Interim Chief Medical Officer, Santa Clara Valley Medical Center,  
10 executed on June 4, 2019, and filed on June 11, 2019, in support of Plaintiff's Motion for  
11 Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 36-16).

12 4. Due to unforeseen personal circumstances requiring her to be out of the office and  
13 out of contact, Dr. Nguyen is unavailable to execute a renewed declaration in support of  
14 Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of Their Opposition to Defendants'  
15 Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs accordingly submit  
16 her prior declaration, which addresses factual information relevant to the current motion practice.

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
18 foregoing is true and correct.

19 Executed on September 6, 2019 in San José, California.

20   
21 MARY E. HANNA-WEIR  
22 Deputy County Counsel

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# **EXHIBIT A**

1 RICHARD B. KATSKEE\*  
2 AMERICANS UNITED FOR SEPARATION  
3 OF CHURCH AND STATE  
4 1310 L Street NW, Suite 200  
5 Washington, DC 20005  
6 Tel: (202) 466-3234; Fax: (202) 466-3234  
7 katskee@au.org

8 GENEVIEVE SCOTT\*  
9 CENTER FOR REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS  
10 199 Water Street, 22nd Floor  
11 New York, NY 10038  
12 Tel: (917) 637-3605; Fax: (917) 637-3666  
13 gscott@reprorights.org

14 JAMIE A. GLIKSBERG\*  
15 LAMBDA LEGAL DEFENSE AND  
16 EDUCATION FUND, INC.  
17 105 West Adams, 26th Floor  
18 Chicago, IL 60603-6208  
19 Tel: (312) 663-4413; Fax: (312) 663-4307  
20 jgliksberg@lambdalegal.org

JAMES R. WILLIAMS (SBN 271253)  
GRETA S. HANSEN (SBN 251471)  
LAURA S. TRICE (SBN 284837)  
MARY E. HANNA-WEIR (SBN 320011)  
SUSAN P. GREENBERG (SBN 318055)  
H. LUKE EDWARDS (SBN 313756)  
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL,  
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA  
70 West Hedding Street, East Wing, 9th Fl.  
San José, CA 95110-1770  
Tel: (408) 299-5900; Fax: (408) 292-7240  
mary.hanna-weir@cco.sccgov.org

LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)  
MAYER BROWN LLP  
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300  
3000 El Camino Real  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112  
Tel: (650) 331-2000; Fax: (650) 331-2060  
lrubin@mayerbrown.com

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*

12  
13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, TRUST  
15 WOMEN SEATTLE, LOS ANGELES LGBT  
16 CENTER, WHITMAN-WALKER CLINIC,  
17 INC. d/b/a WHITMAN-WALKER HEALTH,  
18 BRADBURY-SULLIVAN LGBT  
19 COMMUNITY CENTER, CENTER ON  
20 HALSTED, HARTFORD GYN CENTER,  
21 MAZZONI CENTER, MEDICAL STUDENTS  
22 FOR CHOICE, AGLP: THE ASSOCIATION  
23 OF LGBTQ+ PSYCHIATRISTS, AMERICAN  
24 ASSOCIATION OF PHYSICIANS FOR  
25 HUMAN RIGHTS d/b/a GLMA: HEALTH  
26 PROFESSIONALS ADVANCING LGBTQ  
27 EQUALITY, COLLEEN MCNICHOLAS,  
28 ROBERT BOLAN, WARD CARPENTER,  
SARAH HENN, and RANDY PUMPHREY,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES and ALEX M. AZAR, II,  
in his official capacity as SECRETARY OF  
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Defendants.

No. 19-cv-2916 NC

**DECLARATION OF PHUONG H.  
NGUYEN, M.D., INTERIM CHIEF  
MEDICAL OFFICER, SANTA CLARA  
VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER, IN  
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

1 I, Phuong H. Nguyen, M.D., declare:

2 1. I am a resident of the State of California. I submit this declaration in support of the  
3 County of Santa Clara's ("County"), and its co-plaintiffs', Motion for Preliminary Injunction. I  
4 have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration. If called as a witness, I could  
5 and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.

6 2. I currently serve as Interim Chief Medical Officer for the Santa Clara Valley  
7 Medical Center ("Valley Medical Center"). I have been employed by Valley Medical Center in  
8 various capacities for a total of nineteen (19) years, and I have practiced as an obstetrician/  
9 gynecologist in a clinical capacity throughout my employment with Valley Medical Center. As  
10 of March 1, 2019, when the County of Santa Clara assumed operations of O'Connor Hospital and  
11 St. Louise Hospital, I became Interim Chief Medical Officer of the single consolidated medical  
12 staff for the three hospitals.

13 3. The County of Santa Clara Health System operates three hospitals—Valley  
14 Medical Center, O'Connor Hospital, and St. Louise Hospital under a single consolidated hospital  
15 license and with a single consolidated medical staff. The consolidated medical staff includes  
16 1202 physicians and advance practice providers at Valley Medical Center, 681 physicians and  
17 advance practice providers at O'Connor Hospital, and 262 physicians and advance practice  
18 providers at St. Louise Hospital. As Interim Chief Medical Officer, I supervise the consolidated  
19 medical staff, including overseeing the recruitment, hiring, training, scheduling, and supervision  
20 of physicians.

21 4. Valley Medical Center has policies that allow medical staff, including physicians,  
22 who have a religious or moral objection to providing certain patient care to request not to  
23 participate in that care. Those policies are being made applicable to physicians who provide care  
24 at O'Connor and St. Louise hospitals as part of the integration of those hospitals into the  
25 County's Health System. The County has procedures in place to determine whether such  
26 objections can reasonably be accommodated, in light of circumstances such as staffing levels, and  
27 to take into account religious objections in scheduling and staffing decisions. Our policies make  
28 clear that patient care must not be compromised. For example, in an emergency an objecting

1 physician would need to provide care until the physician can be relieved. Similarly, for end-of-  
2 life care decisions involving medically ineffective care or other healthcare instructions for which  
3 a physician has an objection, the objecting physician must assist in the transfer of the patient to  
4 another provider.

5           5. It would create staffing challenges if the hospitals could no longer reassign  
6 objecting staff members or shift their hours to accommodate or account for their religious  
7 objections. It is necessary to assign certain personnel to specific shifts to ensure that there are  
8 sufficient non-objecting staff to provide patient care. And if a person's religious objection is  
9 incompatible with their current role, reassignment to a different role may be necessary. While we  
10 strive to achieve mutually agreeable, voluntary reassignments, schedule changes, and other  
11 accommodations whenever possible, in some instances we require the flexibility to make  
12 assignment or scheduling decisions without the objecting staff member's consent.

13           6. Further, there are some circumstances in which no accommodation would be  
14 possible. For example, if a receptionist objected to informing people that our hospitals provide  
15 contraceptive and abortion care and refused to transfer inquiries about such care to another  
16 receptionist, I cannot think of any accommodation that would avoid compromising patient access  
17 to care. And even if a receptionist were willing to transfer all calls about contraceptive or  
18 abortion care to another receptionist, this could require double staffing, at the cost of a second  
19 salary. It would be operationally unworkable for the County of Santa Clara Health System if an  
20 employee retains a unilateral right to veto a reassignment.

21           7. Delaying necessary health care can trigger immediate and long-term costs to the  
22 County and communities nationwide. Under current County policies, patients seeking care for  
23 routine procedures that a provider may have a religious or moral objection to providing are  
24 promptly transferred to another provider or are initially scheduled to be served by a provider who  
25 does not object. If a regulatory change impedes the County's ability to ensure the timely  
26 provision of care for such patients, the resulting delays may exacerbate their medical needs,  
27 resulting in increased costs for treatment. Since the County is a safety-net provider, many of  
28 those increased costs would be borne by the County—either directly, where the County absorbs

1 the cost of care for uninsured or underinsured patients, or indirectly because federal health  
2 insurance programs like Medicaid and Medicare rarely cover the full cost of treatment.

3 8. Delays in care may also lead to malpractice claims, which are costly to defend and  
4 may lead to expensive settlements or court-ordered damages, at potentially great cost to the  
5 County. County physicians and other providers are bound by medical ethics to act in the best  
6 interest of our patients. Delaying care because a provider did not register a religious or moral  
7 objection in advance is in conflict with those ethical obligations. Patients whose medical  
8 conditions are worsened by delays or denials of care may experience preventable adverse  
9 outcomes such as long-term injury or even death as a result.

10 9. For example, a patient could present at Valley Medical Center with vaginal  
11 spotting, pain, missed period, and positive home pregnancy test in the context of having an intra-  
12 uterine device as a contraceptive method—a condition many Valley Medical Center physicians  
13 are qualified and willing to manage and treat. If an employee or physician were to turn that  
14 patient away from the hospital, based on moral or religious convictions, without referring her to a  
15 willing physician or otherwise providing any information about appropriate treatment, the patient  
16 could be denied prompt care, the County could be exposed to liability, and its providers could be  
17 in violation of their ethical and legal duties. Health care professionals are legally and ethically  
18 obligated to provide their patients with complete and accurate information about their treatment  
19 options.

20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
21 foregoing is true and correct.

22 Dated: June 4, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

  
PHUONG H. NGUYEN, M.D.