

1 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
2 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
3 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
4 San Francisco, California 94104
5 Telephone: (415) 293-6800
6 Facsimile: (415) 293-6899
7 Email: mpritt@bsflp.com

BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)
City Attorney
MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)
ERIN BERNSTEIN (SBN 231539)
CAROLINE WILSON (SBN 322389)
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 238-3601

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Oakland

8 ZACH KLEIN
9 City Attorney
10 CHARLES P. CAMPISANO
11 77 North Front Street, 4th Floor
12 Columbus, Ohio 43215
13 Telephone: (614) 645-7385
14 Facsimile: (614) 645-6949
15 Email: cpcampisano@columbus.gov

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Columbus

(Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed at End)

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
18 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

19 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
20 FRANCISCO,

21 Plaintiff,

22 vs.

23 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

24 Defendants.

No. C 19-02405 WHA

Related to

No. C 19-02769 WHA

No. C 19-02916 WHA

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE AN AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO
THE COURT'S ORDER, DATED JULY 1,
2019**

25 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through
26 ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER
27 BECERRA,

28 Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants.
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

1 Twenty-one cities and counties, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby submit this
2 Unopposed Motion for Leave to File an Amicus Curiae Brief in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for
3 Summary Judgment pursuant to the Court’s order, dated July 1, 2019, allowing amicus briefs in
4 support of Plaintiffs, provided such briefs are filed on or before noon on September 12, 2019. ECF
5 D.E. 51.

6 In support of this Motion, the local governments state as follows: Amici are local
7 governments across the United States—including Columbus, OH; Oakland, CA; Baltimore, MD;
8 Sacramento, CA; Holyoke, MA; Gary, IN; Honolulu, HI; and Houston, TX—who are responsible
9 for the health and wellbeing of their communities. Combined, Amici represent approximately 17.5
10 million people. Amici vary in size and are situated in regions across the country. They run public
11 health departments, subsidize and fund public health centers, and operate specialty clinics,
12 including clinics for alcohol and drug abuse prevention, family planning, immunizations, sexual
13 health, HIV/STD treatment, and women’s health and wellness. They also provide emergency
14 medical services.

15 Amici will be significantly harmed if the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’
16 (HHS) “conscience” rule—*Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care; Delegations of*
17 *Authority*, 84 Fed. Reg. 23,170 (May 21, 2019) (to be codified as 45 C.F.R. pt. 88) (the “Final
18 Rule”)—takes effect. Because cities, counties, and townships often serve as the healthcare provider
19 of last resort for the most vulnerable segments of their populations, Amici and their vulnerable
20 residents will bear the negative impacts of the Final Rule. By HHS’s own estimate, the rule will
21 impact 613,000 hospitals, health clinics, doctors’ offices, and nonprofits. When employees of these
22 providers are enabled to refuse treatment, local governments and their most vulnerable constituents
23 will bear the burden.

24 The Final Rule will strain already depleted local budgets and deteriorate healthcare
25 outcomes for patients. Further, because the Final Rule applies to emergency transportation
26 personnel, it will quite literally put the lives of our residents—whose care, or lack thereof, may be
27
28

1 left to the religious or moral objections of the particular responders who arrive on the scene—at
2 risk.

3 Amici, therefore, respectfully submit this brief supporting Plaintiffs’ motion for summary
4 judgment and opposing the Final Rule not only because it violates statutory and constitutional
5 provisions, but also because it will cause substantial, imminent, and irreparable harm to Amici and
6 their citizens.

7 **CONCLUSION**

8 For the foregoing reasons, proposed amici curiae local governments respectfully request that
9 the Court grant their unopposed motion for leave to file this amicus brief and order that the brief be
10 filed.

11 Dated: September 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

12 By: /s/ Maxwell V. Pritt

13 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
14 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
15 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
16 San Francisco, California 94104
17 Telephone: (415) 293-6800
18 Facsimile: (415) 293-6899
19 mpritt@bsflp.com

20 ZACH KLEIN
21 City Attorney
22 CHARLES P. CAMPISANO
23 77 North Front Street, 4th Floor
24 Columbus, Ohio 43215
25 Telephone: (614) 645-7385
26 Facsimile: (614) 645-6949
27 Email: cpcampisano@columbus.gov

28 *Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Columbus*

1 BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)
City Attorney
2 MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)
ERIN BERNSTEIN (SBN 231539)
3 CAROLINE WILSON (SBN 322389)
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
4 Oakland, California 94612
5 Telephone: (510) 238-3601

6 *Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Oakland*

7
8 Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed Below
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE

1
2 ESTEBAN A. AGUILAR, JR.
3 City Attorney
4 One Civic Plaza NW
5 PO Box 2248
6 Albuquerque, NM 87103
7 *Attorney for the City of Albuquerque, New*
8 *Mexico*

9 ANNE L. MORGAN
10 City Attorney
11 PO Box 1546
12 Austin, TX 78767-1546
13 *Attorney for City of Austin, Texas*

14 ANDRE M. DAVIS
15 City Solicitor
16 100 N. Holliday Street, Suite 101
17 Baltimore, MD 21202
18 *Attorney for the Mayor and City Council of*
19 *Baltimore*

20 FARIMAH FAIZ BROWN
21 City Attorney
22 2180 Milvia Street 4th Floor
23 Berkeley, CA 94704
24 *Attorney for the City of Berkeley, California*

25 MARK A FLESSNER
26 Corporation Counsel
27 STEPHEN KANE
28 Deputy Corporation Counsel
REBECCA HIRSCH
Assistant Corporation Counsel
City of Chicago Department of Law
121 N. LaSalle Street, Room 600
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Attorneys for the City of Chicago, Illinois

CHRISTOPHER J. CASO SBN 03969230
Interim Dallas City Attorney
City Attorney's Office
1500 Marilla Street, Room 7DN
Dallas, TX 75201
Attorney for the City of Dallas, Texas

RONALD C. LEWIS
City Attorney
JUDITH L. RAMSEY
Chief, General Litigation Section
COLLYN PEDDIE
Sr. Assistant City Attorney
900 Bagby, 4th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
Attorneys for the City of Houston, Texas

MICHAEL N. FEUER
City Attorney
JAMES P. CLARK
KATHLEEN A. KENEALY
DANIELLE L. GOLDSTEIN
Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney
200 North Spring Street, 14th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Attorneys for the City of Los Angeles,
California

SUSANA ALCALA WOOD
City Attorney
915 I Street, Fourth Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
Attorney for the City of Sacramento,
California

LYNDSEY M. OLSON
City Attorney, City of Saint Paul
400 City Hall and Court House
15 West Kellogg Boulevard
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102
Attorney for the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota

PETER S. HOLMES
Seattle City Attorney
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
Seattle, WA 98104-7097
Attorney for the City of Seattle, Washington

1 BARBARA J. DOSECK
2 City Attorney
3 JOHN C. MUSTO
4 Chief Trial Counsel
5 Department of Law
6 101 W. Third St.
7 P.O. Box 22
8 Dayton, OH 45401
9 *Attorneys for the City of Dayton, Ohio*

6 RODNEY POL, JR.
7 City Attorney
8 401 Broadway, Suite 101
9 Gary, IN 46402
Attorney for the City of Gary, Indiana

10 CRYSTAL BARNES
11 Acting City Solicitor
12 20 Korean Veterans Plaza, Room 204
13 Holyoke, MA 01040
*Attorney for the City of Holyoke,
Massachusetts*

14 PAUL S. AOKI
15 Acting Corporation Counsel
16 530 S. King Street, Room 110
17 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
*Attorney for City and County of Honolulu,
Hawaii*

FRANCIS X. WRIGHT, JR.
City Solicitor
City of Somerville
93 Highland Avenue
Somerville, MA 02143
(617) 625-6600, ext. 4400
*Attorney for the City of Somerville,
Massachusetts*

BRUCE D. GOLDSTEIN
County Counsel
575 Administration Drive, Suite 105-A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Attorney for the County of Sonoma, California

MICHAEL TUBBS
Mayor
425 N. El Dorado Street, 2nd Floor
Stockton, CA 95202
Mayor for the City of Stockton, California

MICHAEL RANKIN
City Attorney
255 W. Alameda, 7th Floor
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, AZ 85726-7210
Attorney for the City of Tucson, Arizona

1 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
2 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
3 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
4 San Francisco, California 94104
5 Telephone: (415) 293-6800
6 Facsimile: (415) 293-6899
7 Email: mpritt@bsflp.com

BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)
City Attorney
MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)
ERIN BERNSTEIN (SBN 231539)
CAROLINE WILSON (SBN 322389)
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 238-3601

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Oakland

7 ZACH KLEIN
8 City Attorney
9 CHARLES P. CAMPISANO
10 77 North Front Street, 4th Floor
11 Columbus, Ohio 43215
12 Telephone: (614) 645-7385
13 Facsimile: (614) 645-6949
14 Email: cpcampisano@columbus.gov

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Columbus

(Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed at End)

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
16 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

17 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
18 FRANCISCO,

19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

22 Defendants.

No. C 19-02405 WHA
Related to
No. C 19-02769 WHA
No. C 19-02916 WHA

**BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE LOCAL
GOVERNMENTS IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

23 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through
24 ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER
25 BECERRA,

26 Plaintiff,

27 vs.

28 ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants.
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,
Defendants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE1

3 INTRODUCTION2

4 ARGUMENT4

5 I. THE FINAL RULE WILL FORCE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

6 TO BETRAY THE INTENT OF THEIR OWN NON-

7 DISCRIMINATION POLICIES OR POTENTIALLY FORFEIT

8 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS IN FEDERAL FUNDING4

9 II. THE FINAL RULE WILL BURDEN LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

 AND HARM THEIR RESIDENTS.....7

10 III. THE FINAL RULE ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC BY

11 ALLOWING EMTS AND PARAMEDICS TO REFUSE TO

 PROVIDE CARE.....11

12 CONCLUSION.....14

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius,
567 U.S. 519 (2012).....5

Planned Parenthood Fed’n of Am., Inc. v. Azar,
No. 1:19-cv-05433 (S.D.N.Y. June 11, 2019)7

San Francisco v. Azar,
No. 3:19-cv-2405-JCS (June 3, 2019)5

Statutes

42 U.S.C. § 1395..... 11

45 C.F.R. 1, 5

84 Fed. Reg. 23188 11

84 Fed. Reg. 23223 5

84 Fed. Reg. 23263 8

84 Fed. Reg. 23269 5, 6

84 Fed. Reg. 23271 5, 6

84 Fed. Reg. 23272 5, 7

California Welfare & Institutions Code,
Section 17000.....4

Other Authorities

About Columbus Health,
The City of Columbus,
<https://www.columbus.gov/publichealth/About/About-Columbus-Public-Health/>.....5

Altman, D. & Morgan, D.
The Role of State and Local Government in Health, Health Affairs (Jan. 1, 1983), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2.4.7>.....3

Arrowsmith, Laura
When Doctors Refuse to See Transgender Patients, the Consequences Can Be Dire, WASH. POST (Nov. 26, 2017),
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/when-doctors->

1 refuse-to-see-transgender-patients-the-consequences-can-be-
 2 dire/2017/11/24/d063b01c-c960-11e7-8321-481fd63f174d_story.html 7

3 Association of American Medical Colleges,
 4 Comment Letter on Proposed Rule to Protect Statutory Conscience Rights
 in Healthcare (Mar. 26, 2018),
 5 https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=HHS-OCR-2018-0002-67592..... 8

6 Balt. City Code
 7 art. 4, §§ 1-1(f)(1) 4

8 Byrne, James et al.
 9 *Association Between Emergency Medical Service Response Time and*
 10 *Motor Vehicle Crash Mortality in the United States*, J. Am. Med. Assoc.
 11 E1 (2019) 12

12 City of Oakland,
 13 Proposed Policy Budget: Fiscal Year 2019-2021 26 (2019), [https://cao-](https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-Book-WEB-VERSION.pdf)
 14 [94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-](https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-Book-WEB-VERSION.pdf)
 15 [Book-WEB-VERSION.pdf](https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-Book-WEB-VERSION.pdf)..... 11

16 Columbus City Codes
 17 §§ 2331.04, 3906.02 (2019)..... 4

18 *Division of Fire*, City of Columbus,
 19 <https://www.columbus.gov/public-safety/fire/reports/EMS-Reports/> 11

20 *Fast Facts on U.S. Hospitals, 2019*,
 21 Am. Hosp. Ass’n (Jan. 2019), [https://www.aha.org/statistics/fast-facts-us-](https://www.aha.org/statistics/fast-facts-us-hospitals#community)
 22 [hospitals#community](https://www.aha.org/statistics/fast-facts-us-hospitals#community). 2, 8

23 *Fire Department, Medical Services Division*,
 24 City of Oakland California,
 25 [http://www2.oaklandnet.com/government/o/OFD/o/EmergencyMedicalSe-](http://www2.oaklandnet.com/government/o/OFD/o/EmergencyMedicalServices/index.htm)
 26 [rvices/index.htm](http://www2.oaklandnet.com/government/o/OFD/o/EmergencyMedicalServices/index.htm) (last visited June 21, 2019)..... 11

27 Frazee, Taressa et al.
 28 *Public Hospitals in the United States, 2008*, Agency for Healthcare Res.
 & Quality, Sept. 2010 [https://www.hcup-](https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb95.pdf)
[us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb95.pdf](https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb95.pdf) 6

HHS Factsheet: Final Conscience Regulation,
 Dep’t of Health & Hum. Servs. (May 2, 2019),
<https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/final-conscience-rule-factsheet.pdf> 7

Inst. of Med.,
 Committee on Public Health Strategies To Improve Health, For the
 Public’s Health: Investing in a Healthier Future, Ch. 4, Funding Sources

1 and Structures to Build Public Health (April 10, 2010),
 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK201025/ 10

2 Inst. of Med.,
 3 The Future of the Public’s Health in the 21st Century (2003) (hereinafter
 “Future of the Public’s Health”), at 110,
 4 https://www.nap.edu/read/10548/chapter/5#111 (last accessed Sept. 12,
 5 2019) 3

6 Inst. of Med.,
 7 U.S. Committee on the Consequences of Uninsurance, A Shared Destiny:
 Community Effects of Uninsurance (2003)
 8 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221329/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK22
 1329.pdf 3, 4

9 Lambda Legal,
 10 When Health Care Isn’t Caring: Lambda Legal’s Survey on
 Discrimination Against LGBT People and People Living with HIV
 (2010),
 11 https://www.lambdalegal.org/sites/default/files/publications/downloads/w
 12 hcic-report_when-health-care-isnt-caring.pdf 7

13 Meisel, Zachary et al.
 14 *Variations in Ambulance Use in the United States: the Role of Health
 Insurance*, 18 Academic Emergency Medicine 1036, 1042 (2011),
 15 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1553-
 2712.2011.01163.x..... 13

16 Mirza, S. & Rooney, C.
 17 *Discrimination Prevents LGBTQ People from Accessing Health Care*,
 Center for American Progress (Jan. 18, 2018),
 18 https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2018/01/18/445130/di
 19 scrimination-prevents-lgbtq-people-accessing-health-care/ 9

20 Mun. Code of the City of Gary, Ind.
 §§ 26-19, 139 (2010)..... 4

21 Oakland Mun. Code
 22 ch. 9.40, 9.44 (2019) 4, 5

23 *Part A: Grants to Eligible Metropolitan and Transitional Areas*,
 Health Resources & Servs. Admin., https://hab.hrsa.gov/about-ryan-
 24 white-hiv-aids-program/part-a-grants-emerging-metro-transitional-areas 10

25 Price, Tom
 26 *Here’s What’s So Great About Community Health Centers*, U.S. Dep’t of
 Health & Hum. Servs. (Aug. 18, 2017),
 27 https://www.hhs.gov/blog/2017/08/18/heres-whats-so-great-about-
 28 community-health-centers.html. 2

Publicly Funded Family Planning Services in the United States

GUTTMACHER INST. (Sept. 2016),
https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/factsheet/fb_contraceptive_serv_0.pdf..... 3

Reinberg, Steven

Many Drugstores Won't Dispense Opioid Antidote as Required, Medical Xpress (Nov. 13, 2018), <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-11-drugstores-wont-opioid-antidote-required.html>..... 13

Salinsky, Eileen

Government Public Health: An Overview of State and Local Public Health Agencies
 NAT'L HEALTH POL'Y F., Aug. 18, 2010
https://www.nhpf.org/library/background-papers/BP77_GovPublicHealth_08-18-2010.pdf 2, 3

Squire, Benjamin

At-Risk Populations and the Critically Ill Rely Disproportionately on Ambulance Transport to Emergency Departments, 56 *Annals Emergency Medicine* 341 (2010), [https://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644\(10\)00384-7/fulltext](https://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644(10)00384-7/fulltext)..... 13

The HIV/AIDS Epidemic in the United States: The Basics,

Henry J. Kaiser Fam. Found. (Mar. 25, 2019),
https://www.kff.org/hiv/aids/fact-sheet/the-hiv-aids-epidemic-in-the-united-states-the-basics/#endnote_link_391348-59 9

The Uninsured: Access to Medical Care Fact Sheet,

Am. College of Emergency Physicians (2016),
<http://newsroom.acep.org/2009-01-04-the-uninsured-access-to-medical-care-fact-sheet>..... 4

Acts

Affordable Care Act..... 7

Public Health Services Act 4, 7

Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency (CARE) Act of 1990,
 Pub. L. No. 101-381, 104 STAT. 576 (1990)..... 4

Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Extension Act of 2009 10

The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA) 11

1 **INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE**

2 Amici are local governments across the United States—including Columbus, Ohio,
3 Oakland, California, and 19 other cities and counties—who are responsible for the health and
4 wellbeing of their communities.¹ Combined, Amici represent approximately 17.5 million people.
5 Amici vary in size and are situated in regions across the country with different political realities.
6 They run public health departments, subsidize and fund public health centers, and operate specialty
7 clinics, including clinics for alcohol and drug abuse prevention, family planning, immunizations,
8 sexual health, HIV/STD treatment, and women’s health and wellness. They also provide
9 emergency medical services.

10 Amici will be significantly harmed if the United States Department of Health and Human
11 Services’ (HHS) “conscience” rule—*Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care;*
12 *Delegations of Authority*, 84 Fed. Reg. 23,170 (May 21, 2019) (to be codified as 45 C.F.R. pt. 88)
13 (the “Final Rule”)—takes effect. Because cities, counties, and townships often serve as the
14 healthcare provider of last resort for the most vulnerable segments of their populations, Amici and
15 our vulnerable residents will bear the negative impacts of this Final Rule. This new federally
16 mandated requirement will leave Amici with a Hobson’s choice: allow their employees to
17 circumvent the intent of Amici’s local antidiscrimination policies by refusing service to residents in
18 need of medical care, or risk forfeiting hundreds of millions of dollars in federal funding. By
19 HHS’s own estimate, 613,000 hospitals, health clinics, doctors’ offices, and nonprofits will be
20 impacted by the Final Rule. When employees of these providers are enabled to refuse to provide
21 treatment to patients, local governments and their most vulnerable constituents will bear the burden.

22
23
24 ¹ Amici are: the City of Columbus, Ohio; the City of Oakland, California; the City of Albuquerque,
25 New Mexico; the City of Austin, Texas; the City of Baltimore, Maryland; the City of Berkeley,
26 California; the City of Chicago, Illinois; the City of Dallas, Texas; the City of Dayton, Ohio; the
27 City of Gary, Indiana; the City of Holyoke, Massachusetts; the City and County of Honolulu,
28 Hawaii; the City of Houston, Texas; the City of Los Angeles, California; the City of Sacramento,
California; the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota; the City of Seattle, Washington; the City of
Somerville, Massachusetts; the County of Sonoma, California; the City of Stockton, California; and
the City of Tucson, Arizona.

1 The Final Rule will strain already depleted local budgets and deteriorate healthcare
 2 outcomes for patients. Further, because the Final Rule applies to emergency transportation
 3 personnel, it will quite literally put the lives of Amici’s residents—whose care, or lack thereof, may
 4 be left to the religious or moral objections of the particular responders who arrive on the scene—at
 5 risk. Amici, therefore, oppose the Final Rule and support Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment
 6 not only because the Final Rule would violate statutory and constitutional provisions, but also
 7 because it will cause substantial, imminent, and irreparable harm to Amici and their citizens.

8 INTRODUCTION

9 Local governments play an important role in the American healthcare system. Across the
 10 United States, there are roughly 2,794 local health departments.² Local governments also run
 11 community hospitals³ and fund community health centers and clinics that provide free or low-cost
 12 healthcare to low-income and medically underserved communities.⁴ More than 1,400 government-
 13 funded or -operated community health centers in the United States provide care to over 25 million
 14 Americans every year, including one in ten American children and one in three Americans living in
 15 poverty.⁵ Emergency life-saving care is also provided by local governments via fire departments
 16 and paramedics.

17 These local health departments, hospitals, clinics, emergency medical services providers,
 18 and healthcare centers offer crucial services to their residents. In terms of preventive care, they
 19 screen for communicable diseases, such as tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, and Hepatitis, as well as
 20 provide vital adult and childhood immunizations.⁶ For instance, 98% of county health departments

21 _____
 22 ² Eileen Salinsky, *Government Public Health: An Overview of State and Local Public Health*
 23 *Agencies*, NAT’L HEALTH POL’Y F. (Aug. 18, 2010), at 1, 10,
 24 https://www.nhpf.org/library/background-papers/BP77_GovPublicHealth_08-18-2010.pdf (last
 25 accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

26 ³ *Fast Facts on U.S. Hospitals, 2019*, AM. HOSP. ASS’N (Jan. 2019) (hereinafter “*Fast Facts*”),
 27 <https://www.aha.org/statistics/fast-facts-us-hospitals#community> (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

28 ⁴ Tom Price, *Here’s What’s So Great About Community Health Centers*, U.S. DEP’T OF HEALTH &
 HUM. SERVS. (Aug. 18, 2017), [https://www.hhs.gov/blog/2017/08/18/heres-whats-so-great-about-
 community-health-centers.html](https://www.hhs.gov/blog/2017/08/18/heres-whats-so-great-about-community-health-centers.html) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

⁵ *See id.*

⁶ Salinsky, *supra* note 2 at 15.

1 provide childhood immunizations.⁷ Moreover, most local governments provide treatment for
 2 communicable diseases like tuberculosis and sexually transmitted infections (STIs).⁸ Some local
 3 governments also provide maternal and child health services,⁹ family planning services including
 4 contraception and abortion,¹⁰ developmental screenings,¹¹ and nutrition counseling services for
 5 women, infants, and children.¹² Finally, local public health agencies offer population-based
 6 services, including influenza pandemic planning, communicable disease surveillance, restaurant
 7 inspections and licensing, environmental health services,¹³ mental and behavioral services, and
 8 substance abuse services.¹⁴

9 Local governments act as the “healthcare safety net” for their residents, particularly for the
 10 uninsured and underinsured and those patients turned away from private healthcare institutions
 11 because they are unable to pay.¹⁵ Thus, cities, counties, and special-purpose health or hospital
 12 districts “bear a large share of the direct financing of public hospital and clinic services.”¹⁶ In
 13 California, for example, state law requires counties to serve as the healthcare provider of last resort
 14
 15

16 _____
 17 ⁷ INST. OF MED., THE FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC’S HEALTH IN THE 21ST CENTURY (2003) (hereinafter
 18 “FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC’S HEALTH”), at 110, <https://www.nap.edu/read/10548/chapter/5#111> (last
 19 accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

20 ⁸ Salinsky, *supra* note 2 at 15.

21 ⁹ INST. OF MED., U.S. COMMITTEE ON THE CONSEQUENCES OF UNINSURANCE, A SHARED DESTINY:
 22 COMMUNITY EFFECTS OF UNINSURANCE (2003) (hereinafter “COMMUNITY EFFECTS OF
 23 UNINSURANCE”), at 69,
 24 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK221329/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK221329.pdf (last accessed
 25 Sept. 12, 2019).

26 ¹⁰ *See, e.g., Publicly Funded Family Planning Services in the United States*, GUTTMACHER INST.
 27 (Sept. 2016), https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/factsheet/fb_contraceptive_serv_0.pdf
 28 (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

¹¹ Salinsky, *supra* note 2 at 15.

¹² Drew E. Altman & Douglas H. Morgan, *The Role of State and Local Government in Health*,
 HEALTH AFFAIRS (Jan. 1, 1983), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2.4.7> (last
 accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

¹³ *Id.*; *see also* FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC’S HEALTH, *supra* note 7 at 111.

¹⁴ FUTURE OF THE PUBLIC’S HEALTH, *supra* note 7 at 111.

¹⁵ COMMUNITY EFFECTS OF UNINSURANCE, *supra* note 9 at 43

¹⁶ *See id.* at 128.

1 for their residents.¹⁷ Across the country, emergency medical services are also a vital component of
2 the healthcare safety net.¹⁸

3 To help serve low-income patients and increase their capacity to provide services, local
4 hospitals and healthcare centers also receive funding from Medicare, Medicaid, and other HHS
5 programs.¹⁹ Notably, for some of these funding sources, the number of patients treated and the
6 amount of care provided are not taken into account when allocating funds.²⁰ And when local
7 governments do receive federal funds, they are often statutorily mandated to provide services to all
8 residents and vulnerable populations—such as individuals with HIV.²¹

9 **ARGUMENT**

10 **I. THE FINAL RULE WILL FORCE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO BETRAY THE**
11 **INTENT OF THEIR OWN NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICIES OR**
12 **POTENTIALLY FORFEIT HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS IN FEDERAL FUNDING**

13 To provide equal access and opportunities to their citizens, Amici have all enacted a variety
14 of non-discrimination policies and laws.²² Most apply to employment, housing, and public
15 accommodations, including hospitals. Some also specifically address how healthcare will be
16 provided by Amici to their patients in a non-discriminatory fashion. For example, Columbus Public
17 Health maintains a “Client Non-Discrimination Policy” that requires that employees “serve all
18 clients . . . without malice or bias on the basis of race, ethnicity, sex, sexual orientation, gender
19 identity or expression, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, age, disability, familial status, or

20 ¹⁷ Cal. Welf. & Inst. Code § 17000.

21 ¹⁸ See, e.g., *The Uninsured: Access to Medical Care Fact Sheet*, Am. College of Emergency
22 Physicians (2016), <http://newsroom.acep.org/2009-01-04-the-uninsured-access-to-medical-care-fact-sheet> (“Emergency care is the safety net of the nation’s health care system, caring for everyone, regardless of ability to pay.”) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

23 ¹⁹ See *id.* at 61.

24 ²⁰ COMMUNITY EFFECTS OF UNINSURANCE, *supra* note 9 at 61.

25 ²¹ See, e.g., Public Health Services Act, § 330, 42 U.S.C. §§ 254b (requiring federally-qualified
26 health centers to serve all residents); Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency
(CARE) Act of 1990, PUB. L. NO. 101-381, 104 STAT. 576 (1990) (requiring providers to offer
HIV/AIDS medications and healthcare services to poor patients who need them but cannot
otherwise access them).

27 ²² See, e.g., GARY, IND., MUN. CODE OF THE CITY OF GARY, IND. §§ 26-19, 139 (2010); OAKLAND,
28 CAL., OAKLAND MUN. CODE ch. 9.40, 9.44 (2019); COLUMBUS, OHIO, COLUMBUS CITY CODES §§
2331.04, 3906.02 (2019); BALT., MD., BALT. CITY CODE art. 4, §§ 1-1(f)(1), 3-4.

1 military status.”²³ Similarly, Baltimore City Health Department clinics display notices to patients
 2 that they will receive care without discrimination.²⁴ As local governments, Amici are the unit of
 3 government closest to their residents, and these ordinances were oftentimes passed in direct
 4 response to local findings and harms.²⁵

5 The Final Rule demands that Amici make an impossible choice. If Amici do not permit
 6 discrimination in the provision of local government services, we may risk losing critical federal
 7 funding.²⁶ Although styled as a choice by HHS, it is really no choice at all.²⁷ If Amici do not
 8 permit discrimination, the loss of federal funds will inevitably and necessarily force Amici to close
 9 key services, eliminate personnel, and compromise the health and safety of their residents. But
 10 following and implementing the Final Rule and permitting discrimination will cause a distinct and
 11 particularly insidious harm by (1) placing the weight of government behind the discrimination, and
 12 (2) disproportionately impacting low-income patients who rely on local governments for healthcare
 13 services. As a result, the Final Rule leaves Amici trapped between two untenable options.

14 The Final Rule attacks Amici’s non-discrimination ordinances and policies in two ways.
 15 First, it hampers Amici’s ability to manage their safety net healthcare services fairly, predictably,
 16 and effectively. The Final Rule does not allow local governments to ask, prior to hiring, whether a

17 _____
 18 ²³ *About Columbus Health*, THE CITY OF COLUMBUS,
 19 <https://www.columbus.gov/publichealth/About/About-Columbus-Public-Health/> (last accessed
 20 Sept. 12, 2019).

21 ²⁴ BALT., MD., BALT. CITY CODE art. 4, §§ 1-1(f)(1), 3-4.

22 ²⁵ *See, e.g.*, OAKLAND, CAL., OAKLAND MUN. CODE § 9.40.020 (Findings) (“The 1985 Alameda
 23 County AIDS Response Plan reported that AIDS cases in Alameda County are doubling every nine
 24 to twelve (12) months, and that for every case of AIDS there exist two or three individuals with
 25 ARC or other related, nonfatal illnesses. The report states that as of June 14, 1985, there were one
 26 hundred thirteen (113) diagnosed AIDS cases in Alameda County, and estimates that by the end of
 27 1989 there could be nearly eight thousand (8,000) diagnosed AIDS cases in the county. The report
 28 indicates that as of June 14, 1985, there were sixty-seven (67) diagnosed AIDS cases in Oakland.”).

²⁶ Protecting Statutory Conscience Rights in Health Care, 84 Fed. Reg. 23223, 23269, 23271-72
 (May 21, 2019) (to be codified at 45 C.F.R. pt. 88).

²⁷ As San Francisco accurately notes, this is not mere encouragement, but rather will place a gun to
 Amici’s heads. *See* City & Cty. of San Francisco’s Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary
 Injunction; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof at 19, *San Francisco v.*
Azar, No. 3:19-cv-2405-JCS (June 3, 2019) (quoting *National Federation of Independent Business*
v. Sebelius, 567 U.S. 519, 581 (2012)).

1 prospective employee will object to performing essential job functions, so it is possible that Amici
2 will not be aware, until the moment an emergency occurs, that an employee objects to performing
3 an essential function.²⁸ The Final Rule also bars Amici from reassigning an employee who refuses
4 to perform a health service unless she voluntarily accepts the accommodation.²⁹ For example, if a
5 local health department were preparing to respond to an outbreak of measles, and had an employee
6 unwilling to administer that vaccine, it would prevent the local health department from responding
7 to a Class A Infectious Disease Outbreak.

8 The Final Rule will amplify staffing issues that already uniquely affect public hospital and
9 health clinics, who have fewer dedicated staff than private facilities. For example, only 28.5% of
10 public hospitals have a dedicated in-patient physician who works exclusively in a hospital.³⁰ Rural
11 public hospitals have even fewer healthcare providers on staff when compared to metropolitan
12 public hospitals.³¹ Thus, if employees of public healthcare systems—particularly rural ones—opt
13 out of providing certain services as the Final Rule allows, another qualified employee may be
14 unavailable to help patients, even in emergency circumstances.

15 Second, and more coercively, the Final Rule conditions the receipt of federal funds on
16 compliance with its provisions and authorizes HHS to withhold, deny, or suspend federal funds if
17 Amici fail to comply.³² If Amici adhere to their current non-discrimination policies, this may be
18 deemed a “failure to comply” and could amount to hundreds of millions of dollars in lost funding
19 for Amici. For example, approximately half of the funding for Baltimore City Health Department’s
20 clinics and 75% of funding for the Baltimore City Fire Department’s Emergency Medical Services
21 comes from federal funds that would be at risk under the Final Rule. Similarly, HHS funding for
22 Columbus accounts for over \$12 million dollars of Columbus Public Health’s budget and funds 100

23 _____
24 ²⁸ 84 Fed. Reg. at 23263.

25 ²⁹ *Id.*

26 ³⁰ Taressa Frazee et al., *Public Hospitals in the United States, 2008*, AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RES.
& QUALITY, Sept. 2010, at 2, <https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb95.pdf> (compared
with 50.3% of private non-profit hospitals who have hospitalist on staff) (last accessed Sept. 12,
2019).

27 ³¹ *See id.*

28 ³² 84 Fed. Reg. at 23269, 23271-72.

1 city jobs. Moreover, it is unclear under the Final Rule whether only HHS funds are at stake or
 2 whether federal funds from the Department of Labor, Department of Education, Medicare, and
 3 Medicaid may also be withheld if local governments do not comply.³³ The loss of funding from
 4 any, let alone all, of these sources would be cataclysmic for a wide swath of services provided by
 5 Amici and other local governments.

6 **II. THE FINAL RULE WILL BURDEN LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND HARM 7 THEIR RESIDENTS**

8 If HHS is allowed to enforce the Final Rule, Amici will be uncertain as to whether medical
 9 providers throughout the country, including Amici’s providers, will refuse to treat patients,³⁴ and
 10 may not direct those patients to needed care.³⁵ Those turned away will invariably look to Amici for

11 ³³ See *id.* at 23272 (“[C]ompliance . . . may be effected by . . . temporarily withholding Federal
 12 financial assistance or other Federal funds, in whole or in part, pending correction of the
 13 deficiency); *id.* at 23172 (implicating funds made available in Labor, HHS, and Education
 14 appropriations); see also *Factsheet: Final Conscience Regulation*, DEP’T OF HEALTH & HUM.
 15 SERVS. (May 2, 2019) (hereinafter “*HHS Factsheet*”), [https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/final-
 16 conscience-rule-factsheet.pdf](https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/final-conscience-rule-factsheet.pdf) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

17 ³⁴ The non-state and local government entities covered by the Rule include HHS, private health
 18 providers that receive HHS funds, universities and schools that provide health care training, and
 19 individuals and entities that receive taxpayer dollars from HHS or programs administered by HHS,
 20 such as Medicare, Medicaid, the Affordable Care Act, and the Public Health Services Act. See *HHS
 21 Factsheet*, *supra* note 33. Some estimate this will impact over 613,000 hospitals, health clinics,
 22 doctors’ offices, and nonprofits. See Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief at 5, *Planned
 23 Parenthood Fed’n of Am., Inc. v. Azar*, No. 1:19-cv-05433 (S.D.N.Y. June 11, 2019),
 24 <https://www.courthousenews.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/PlannedParenthood.pdf> (last
 25 accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

26 ³⁵ 84 Fed Reg. at 23263 (defining “assist in the performance” to include “counseling, referral,
 27 training, or otherwise making arrangements”). Unfortunately discrimination in the provision of
 28 healthcare is anything but hypothetical. For example, one transgender woman was told to “[g]o
 back to California” when she sought treatment in Tulsa, suffering from terrible pain due to
 complications from surgery. Laura Arrowsmith, *When Doctors Refuse to See Transgender
 Patients, the Consequences Can Be Dire*, WASH. POST (Nov. 26, 2017),
[https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/when-doctors-refuse-to-see-transgender-
 patients-the-consequences-can-be-dire/2017/11/24/d063b01c-c960-11e7-8321-
 481fd63f174d_story.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/when-doctors-refuse-to-see-transgender-patients-the-consequences-can-be-dire/2017/11/24/d063b01c-c960-11e7-8321-481fd63f174d_story.html); see also LAMBDA LEGAL, WHEN HEALTH CARE ISN’T CARING: LAMBDA
 LEGAL’S SURVEY ON DISCRIMINATION AGAINST LGBT PEOPLE AND PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV
 (2010), [https://www.lambdalegal.org/sites/default/files/publications/downloads/whcic-report_when-
 health-care-isnt-caring.pdf](https://www.lambdalegal.org/sites/default/files/publications/downloads/whcic-report_when-health-care-isnt-caring.pdf) (finding that over half of lesbian, gay, or bisexual respondents, and 70
 percent of transgender respondents, had been refused care or subjected to discriminatory or abusive
 treatment in the course of seeking medical care) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

1 their healthcare needs and add stress to an already strained system. Some of those in need simply
2 will not receive the care they require. This will lead to worse health outcomes for patients and have
3 significant negative effects on counties and municipalities.

4 If they are denied care by other healthcare providers under the Final Rule, residents will
5 naturally rely on Amici, the providers of last resort for their communities, for routine healthcare and
6 emergency treatment. Of the 5,262 community hospitals in the United States, 972 are run by state
7 and local governments.³⁶ As a result, an uptick in the number of patients funneled to local hospitals
8 will significantly impact their ability to provide care to existing and new patients. Local
9 government clinics and health departments also will need to step in if private doctors' offices and
10 clinics covered by the Final Rule begin turning away patients. More patients, even those with
11 insurance, will consume appointment times, vaccination doses, and resources allocated for other
12 uses.³⁷ For example, if a pediatricians' office employs a scheduler who morally objects to
13 vaccinations, and so refuses to schedule those appointments, parents and caregivers could be
14 funneled to public options. Adding patients to a system when those patients should be receiving
15 services outside of the system will harm Amici's citizens, particularly those most in need.

16 This harm to the public is not speculative.³⁸ The Final Rule by its terms allows any
17 healthcare provider, whether an entity or an individual working for one, to deny healthcare to
18 patients on the basis of "religious, moral, ethical, or other reasons" without justification or notice.³⁹
19 This could include denying services for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer or
20 questioning (LGBTQ) individuals, individuals seeking reproductive healthcare, the elderly, those
21 struggling with substance abuse, and other vulnerable populations.

22 ³⁶ *Fast Facts*, *supra* at note 3.

23 ³⁷ This increased stress on Amici's healthcare systems would be occurring at the same time when
24 the number of uninsured in the United States is rising. See Congressional Budget Office, *Federal
25 Subsidies for Health Insurance Coverage for People Under Age 65: 2018 to 2028* (May 2018).

26 ³⁸ See, e.g., Association of American Medical Colleges, Comment Letter on Proposed Rule to
27 Protect Statutory Conscience Rights in Healthcare (Mar. 26, 2018),
<https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=HHS-OCR-2018-0002-67592> (explaining that the Rule,
as proposed, will harm lower-income Americans, racial and ethnic minorities, the LGBTQ
community, and patients in rural areas) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

28 ³⁹ 84 Fed. Reg. at 23263.

1 The likely effect on local LGBTQ communities provides a concrete illustration of the
 2 disastrous impact the Final Rule could have on Amici’s residents. Per the express terms of the
 3 Final Rule, gay and bisexual men can be denied healthcare services. But LGBTQ individuals
 4 already face significant discrimination when accessing healthcare services,⁴⁰ so the Final Rule will
 5 exacerbate an already acute problem. Although gay and bisexual men make up approximately 2%
 6 of the U.S. population, they account for 71% of new HIV infections and represent 61% of those
 7 currently living with HIV.⁴¹ Early detection and treatment as soon as one is diagnosed are critical
 8 in helping reduce mortality rates and further transmission.⁴²

9 To the extent LGBTQ people, and particularly gay and bisexual men, are denied services,
 10 there necessarily will be either (1) a harmful delay in the detection and treatment of HIV patients, or
 11 (2) more people living with HIV who do not know it.⁴³ Healthcare workers will be entitled to
 12 refuse to perform specific services for LGBTQ patients, such as screening for STIs, fertility
 13 treatment for lesbian couples, and providing hormone therapy for transgender individuals.
 14 Consequently, the Final Rule is likely to lead to LGBTQ patients hiding or failing to disclose their
 15 identity or medical history for fear of discrimination, resulting in incomplete care.

16 Along with the obvious harms incomplete care will inflict on LGBTQ individuals, creating
 17 an environment where people do not feel safe sharing their sexual identity and medical history will
 18 also have serious public health impacts. For example, during a disease outbreak the Center for
 19 Disease Control may identify men who have sex with men as a high risk group making them
 20 eligible for a free “outbreak vaccine.” However, if men in this group are afraid to disclose their
 21 identity due to discrimination they may not receive necessary medical care. This will put them at

22 _____
 23 ⁴⁰ Shabab Ahmed Mirza & Caitlin Rooney, *Discrimination Prevents LGBTQ People from*
 24 *Accessing Health Care*, CENTER FOR AMERICAN PROGRESS (Jan. 18, 2018),
 25 [https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2018/01/18/445130/discrimination-prevents-](https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2018/01/18/445130/discrimination-prevents-lgbtq-people-accessing-health-care/)
 26 [lgbtq-people-accessing-health-care/](https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/lgbt/news/2018/01/18/445130/discrimination-prevents-lgbtq-people-accessing-health-care/) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

27 ⁴¹ *The HIV/AIDS Epidemic in the United States: The Basics*, HENRY J. KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Mar.
 28 25, 2019), [https://www.kff.org/hivaids/fact-sheet/the-hivaids-epidemic-in-the-united-states-the-](https://www.kff.org/hivaids/fact-sheet/the-hivaids-epidemic-in-the-united-states-the-basics/#endnote_link_391348-59)
[basics/#endnote link 391348-59](https://www.kff.org/hivaids/fact-sheet/the-hivaids-epidemic-in-the-united-states-the-basics/#endnote_link_391348-59) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

⁴² *See id.*

⁴³ *Id.* (the CDC estimates that “as of 2016 15% of those infected with HIV are unaware they are
 infected, and 38% infections resulted from individuals who did not know they had HIV”).

1 greater risk and hamper local government’s ability to contain and mitigate diseases. Thus, the Final
2 Rule will create an environment of discrimination that will significantly harm each LGBTQ person
3 and may have a deleterious ripple effect for all individuals in a city or county.

4 In addition, LGBTQ individuals denied care elsewhere will turn to the healthcare services
5 provided by Amici. For example, cities and counties often work to provide services to people
6 living with HIV through Part A grants from the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Extension Act of
7 2009.⁴⁴ Cities like Columbus also provide HIV testing through their sexual health clinics. But
8 local governments have not had time to prepare their budgets or their facilities for additional
9 patients. Further, local governments who receive Ryan White funding are left in a state of perpetual
10 contradiction because they are both legally mandated to provide services to HIV patients and
11 legally required by the Final Rule to allow staff to refuse to care for such patients.

12 In the short-term, all local services, including those services for people living with HIV,
13 could be overrun and lead to gaps in healthcare. Key safety net services will be underfunded or
14 funds from other local services will need to be diverted to make up shortfalls, while Amici already
15 lack the resources to successfully meet the needs of their residents.⁴⁵ For those individuals who are
16 denied private services and do not turn to services Amici provide, they will undeniably suffer worse
17 health outcomes. Amici will also incur the downstream costs of a population that is sicker and less
18 productive.

19 If local residents are denied or delayed in receiving services by other healthcare providers,
20 Amici will be further overwhelmed with patients, have unhealthier populations, and face higher
21 costs to their healthcare systems.

22 _____
23 ⁴⁴ *Part A: Grants to Eligible Metropolitan and Transitional Areas*, HEALTH RESOURCES & SERVS.
24 ADMIN., [https://hab.hrsa.gov/about-ryan-white-hivaids-program/part-a-grants-emerging-metro-
transitional-areas](https://hab.hrsa.gov/about-ryan-white-hivaids-program/part-a-grants-emerging-metro-transitional-areas) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

25 ⁴⁵ *See, e.g.*, INST. OF MED., COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC HEALTH STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE HEALTH, FOR
26 THE PUBLIC’S HEALTH: INVESTING IN A HEALTHIER FUTURE, Ch. 4, Funding Sources and Structures
27 to Build Public Health (April 10, 2010), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK201025/>
28 (“Public health departments have a history of chronic underfunding and unstable budgets.”) (last
accessed Sept. 12, 2019). As one example, Ohio requires counties to perform tuberculosis control,
but does not provide designated funding for them to do so. Many counties are forced to contract
with other counties to comply with this mandate.

1 **III. THE FINAL RULE ENDANGERS THE PUBLIC BY ALLOWING EMTS AND**
 2 **PARAMEDICS TO REFUSE TO PROVIDE CARE**

3 The Final Rule’s applicability to both emergency responders and emergency situations will
 4 harm Amici and their residents. It will reduce the quality of emergency care available in Amici’s
 5 jurisdictions, prevent Emergency Medical Services from providing the necessary speed of care, and
 6 not only endanger reproductive and LGBTQ healthcare, but disproportionately harm Amici’s low-
 7 income and vulnerable populations. Many local governments offer emergency transportation and
 8 care, which may be provided via ambulance services or under the auspices of Emergency Medical
 9 Services (EMS), often run via local fire departments.⁴⁶ Fire department Emergency Medical
 10 Technicians (EMTs) and paramedics are typically first on the scene in response to EMS calls, and
 11 they provide life-saving care to individuals suffering from medical emergencies.⁴⁷ If local
 12 governments are asked to choose between vital federal funding and enabling discrimination,
 13 residents’ lives will be endangered.

14 The Final Rule explicitly includes EMTs and paramedics as individuals who may decline to
 15 provide healthcare services based on religious objections.⁴⁸ It also fails to provide any exception
 16 for patients in life-threatening or critical condition, which is contrary to EMTALA,⁴⁹ and will

17 ⁴⁶ See, e.g., *Fire Department, Medical Services Division*, CITY OF OAKLAND CALIFORNIA,
 18 <http://www2.oaklandnet.com/government/o/OFD/o/EmergencyMedicalServices/index.htm> (last
 19 visited June 21, 2019); *Division of Fire*, City of Columbus, [https://www.columbus.gov/public-
 20 safety/fire/reports/EMS-Reports/](https://www.columbus.gov/public-safety/fire/reports/EMS-Reports/) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

21 ⁴⁷ See, e.g., CITY OF OAKLAND, PROPOSED POLICY BUDGET: FISCAL YEAR 2019-2021 26 (2019),
 22 [https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-Book-WEB-
 23 VERSION.pdf](https://cao-94612.s3.amazonaws.com/documents/FY-2019-21-Proposed-Budget-Book-WEB-VERSION.pdf) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

24 ⁴⁸ 84 Fed. Reg. at 23188 (“EMTs and paramedics are treated like other health care professionals
 25 under this definition. . . . EMTs and paramedics are trained medical professionals, not mere
 26 ‘drivers.’ If commenters contend that driving a patient to a procedure should never be construed to
 27 be assisting in the performance of a procedure, the Department disagrees and believes it would
 28 depend on the facts and circumstances of each case. For example, the Department believes driving a
 person to a hospital or clinic for a scheduled abortion could constitute ‘assisting in the performance
 of’ an abortion, as would physically delivering drugs for inducing abortion.”).

⁴⁹ The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA) requires hospitals with
 emergency departments to screen and offer emergency medical treatment and stabilization
 regardless of patients’ inability to pay. 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd; see also City & Cty. of San
 Francisco’s Notice of Mot. and Mot. for Prelim. Injunction; Mem. of P&A in Support Thereof, at
 12-13 (June 3, 2019) (asserting that the challenged provisions of the Final Rule are contrary to
 EMTALA).

1 literally endanger the lives of patients who rely on EMTs and paramedics to respond rapidly and
2 appropriately to emergencies. For example, under the Final Rule, Amici are concerned that an
3 emergency responder could refuse to provide a pregnant person suffering from a life-threatening
4 miscarriage with the drugs that would induce a life-saving abortion.⁵⁰ Similarly, an ambulance
5 driver could refuse to transport a patient with an ectopic pregnancy to the hospital, anticipating an
6 abortion to be the course of treatment.⁵¹ An EMT could even refuse to provide care to an individual
7 in medical distress who is perceived to be LGBTQ, based purely on that real—or imagined—
8 identity.

9 As Amici know well, fire personnel and EMTs must be dispatched urgently after a 911 call
10 is received, and care and treatment must often be administered as soon as possible upon arrival.
11 Speed is of the essence in providing emergency medical treatment, when even a minute’s delay in
12 some life-threatening cases can have a measurable impact on mortality rates.⁵² If EMTs and
13 paramedics are able to refuse to provide care when they arrive at the scene, it will be costly—if not
14 impossible⁵³—for localities to provide adequate alternative care to acutely suffering patients.
15 Further, to enable employees to endanger community-members’ lives in this way would be an
16 abdication of local governments’ duty to provide for their citizens’ health and welfare. For
17 example, many regions of the United States are currently experiencing an opioid crisis. Under the
18 broad terms of this rule, Amici fear that an EMT who religiously or morally objects may refuse to
19 administer naloxone to an individual overdosing on an opioid, reducing the chance of survival.⁵⁴

20 ⁵⁰ “[T]he Department believes driving a person to a hospital or clinic for a scheduled abortion could
21 constitute ‘assisting in the performance of’ an abortion, as would physically delivering drugs for
22 inducing abortion.” 84 Fed. Reg. at 23188.

23 ⁵¹ See, e.g., *id.*

24 ⁵² See, e.g., James P. Byrne et al., *Association Between Emergency Medical Service Response Time*
25 *and Motor Vehicle Crash Mortality in the United States*, J. Am. Med. Assoc. E1 (2019).

26 ⁵³ When fire personnel respond to an emergency, they can be dispatched to the scene and may not
27 learn until arrival what type of medical emergency they are called to address. If an EMT or
28 paramedic were to object to providing care to the patient at the scene, that patient would have no
other recourse to receive the urgent and potentially life-saving care EMS is designed to provide.

⁵⁴ See, e.g., Steven Reinberg, *Many Drugstores Won’t Dispense Opioid Antidote as Required*,
Medical Xpress (Nov. 13, 2018), [https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-11-drugstores-wont-opioid-
antidote-required.html](https://medicalxpress.com/news/2018-11-drugstores-wont-opioid-antidote-required.html) (describing some individuals’ moral objection to providing naloxone to
individuals with substance abuse disorders) (last accessed Sept. 12, 2019).

1 In addition to the material and financial harms this Final Rule will cause to Amici and other
2 local governments, it will also discourage patients from seeking appropriate care in a timely
3 manner, further increasing the burden on emergency services provided by local governments. An
4 individual seeking contraception could be deterred for fear of being turned away by a Final Rule-
5 invoking doctor or pharmacist. Such patients would be endangered and more likely to need costly
6 emergency care as a result of unwanted pregnancy or medical complications. An LGBTQ
7 individual, similarly, could delay seeking both regular and emergency treatment for fear of
8 discriminatory refusals by Final Rule-invoking healthcare professionals. This chilling effect will
9 compound the negative consequences of the Final Rule and increase reliance on Amici's safety-net
10 care and emergency services.

11 Not only will the Final Rule have a devastating impact on local governments' ability to
12 provide life-saving emergency reproductive and LGBTQ healthcare, it will also disproportionately
13 harm the low-income and marginalized populations who are more likely to use emergency services.
14 Individuals with less access to routine medical care are more likely to utilize EMS.⁵⁵ Perhaps
15 because ambulance care and transportation to the hospital is overwhelmingly offered without
16 requiring proof of insurance or ability to pay (unlike nearly all other medical care), lower-income
17 and uninsured patients use EMS at a higher rate than those with other forms of insurance.⁵⁶ In
18 addition, low-income Medicaid recipients are more likely to rely on ambulance transportation than
19 other groups.⁵⁷ In metropolitan areas, uninsured ambulance use is even higher than in rural areas.⁵⁸
20 The Final Rule therefore will harm those of Amici's residents least able to withstand additional
21 threats to their health and wellbeing.

22 _____
23 ⁵⁵ Zachary F. Meisel et al., *Variations in Ambulance Use in the United States: the Role of Health*
24 *Insurance*, 18 *Academic Emergency Medicine* 1036, 1042 (2011),
25 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01163.x> (last accessed Sept. 12,
26 2019).

27 ⁵⁶ *Id.*

28 ⁵⁷ Benjamin T. Squire, *At-Risk Populations and the Critically Ill Rely Disproportionately on*
Ambulance Transport to Emergency Departments, 56 *Annals Emergency Medicine* 341 (2010),
[https://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644\(10\)00384-7/fulltext](https://www.annemergmed.com/article/S0196-0644(10)00384-7/fulltext) (last accessed Sept. 12,
2019).

⁵⁸ Meisel, *supra* note 55 at 1041.

1 The Final Rule invites providers of emergency care to discriminate against the distressed
2 patients they are duty-bound to treat, and attempts to undermine local governments'
3 antidiscrimination policies and laws in the provision of healthcare with the threat of funding
4 withdrawal. This proposal will have life-and-death consequences for Amici's residents, who will
5 suffer from the reduced availability and efficacy of emergency services.

6 **CONCLUSION**

7 The Final Rule violates statutory and constitutional provisions. If it takes effect, it will
8 likely force Amici and other local governments across the United States to discriminate against
9 their own citizens or face the loss of hundreds of millions of federal dollars, increase the number of
10 patients accessing their healthcare systems, and make their residents less healthy. Further, Amici's
11 residents will face discrimination and suffer harm from the reduction in services the loss of funding
12 would cause to safety-net hospitals, emergency services, and private healthcare options. For the
13 foregoing reasons, Amici support Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and opposition to
14 Defendants' motion for summary judgment prohibiting Defendants from enforcing the Final Rule.

15 Dated: September 12, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

16 By: /s/ Maxwell V. Pritt

17 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
18 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
19 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
20 San Francisco, CA 94104
21 Telephone: (415) 293-6800
22 Facsimile: (415) 293-6899
23 mpritt@bsflp.com

24 ZACH KLEIN
25 City Attorney
26 CHARLES P. CAMPISANO
27 77 North Front Street, 4th Floor
28 Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 645-7385
Facsimile: (614) 645-6949
Email: cpcampisano@columbus.gov

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Columbus

By: /s/ Erin Bernstein

BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)

City Attorney

MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)

ERIN BERNSTEIN (SBN 231539)

CAROLINE WILSON (SBN 322389)

One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor

Oakland, California 94612

Telephone: (510) 238-3601

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Oakland

Additional Counsel for Amici Curiae Listed Below

ADDITIONAL COUNSEL FOR AMICI CURIAE

1 ESTEBAN A. AGUILAR, JR.
2 City Attorney
3 One Civic Plaza NW
4 PO Box 2248
5 Albuquerque, NM 87103
Attorney for the City of Albuquerque, New Mexico

6 ANNE L. MORGAN
7 City Attorney
8 PO Box 1546
9 Austin, TX 78767-1546
Attorney for City of Austin, Texas

10 ANDRE M. DAVIS
11 City Solicitor
12 100 N. Holliday Street, Suite 101
13 Baltimore, MD 21202
Attorney for the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore

14 FARIMAH FAIZ BROWN
15 City Attorney
16 2180 Milvia Street 4th Floor
17 Berkeley, CA 94704
Attorney for the City of Berkeley, California

18 MARK A FLESSNER
19 Corporation Counsel
20 STEPHEN KANE
21 Deputy Corporation Counsel
22 REBECCA HIRSCH
23 Assistant Corporation Counsel
24 City of Chicago Department of Law
25 121 N. LaSalle Street, Room 600
26 Chicago, Illinois 60602
Attorneys for the City of Chicago, Illinois

27 CHRISTOPHER J. CASO SBN 03969230
28 Interim Dallas City Attorney
City Attorney's Office
1500 Marilla Street, Room 7DN
Dallas, TX 75201
Attorney for the City of Dallas, Texas

RONALD C. LEWIS
City Attorney
JUDITH L. RAMSEY
Chief, General Litigation Section
COLLYN PEDDIE
Sr. Assistant City Attorney
900 Bagby, 4th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
Attorneys for the City of Houston, Texas

MICHAEL N. FEUER
City Attorney
JAMES P. CLARK
KATHLEEN A. KENEALY
DANIELLE L. GOLDSTEIN
Office of the Los Angeles City Attorney
200 North Spring Street, 14th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Attorneys for the City of Los Angeles, California

SUSANA ALCALA WOOD
City Attorney
915 I Street, Fourth Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
Attorney for the City of Sacramento, California

LYNDSEY M. OLSON
City Attorney, City of Saint Paul
400 City Hall and Court House
15 West Kellogg Boulevard
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102
Attorney for the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota

PETER S. HOLMES
Seattle City Attorney
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
Seattle, WA 98104-7097
Attorney for the City of Seattle, Washington

1 BARBARA J. DOSECK
2 City Attorney
3 JOHN C. MUSTO
4 Chief Trial Counsel
5 Department of Law
6 101 W. Third St.
7 P.O. Box 22
8 Dayton, OH 45401
9 *Attorneys for the City of Dayton, Ohio*

6 RODNEY POL, JR.
7 City Attorney
8 401 Broadway, Suite 101
9 Gary, IN 46402
Attorney for the City of Gary, Indiana

10 CRYSTAL BARNES
11 Acting City Solicitor
12 20 Korean Veterans Plaza, Room 204
13 Holyoke, MA 01040
*Attorney for the City of Holyoke,
Massachusetts*

14 PAUL S. AOKI
15 Acting Corporation Counsel
16 530 S. King Street, Room 110
17 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
*Attorney for City and County of Honolulu,
Hawaii*

FRANCIS X. WRIGHT, JR.
City Solicitor
City of Somerville
93 Highland Avenue
Somerville, MA 02143
(617) 625-6600, ext. 4400
*Attorney for the City of Somerville,
Massachusetts*

BRUCE D. GOLDSTEIN
County Counsel
575 Administration Drive, Suite 105-A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Attorney for the County of Sonoma, California

MICHAEL TUBBS
Mayor
425 N. El Dorado Street, 2nd Floor
Stockton, CA 95202
Mayor for the City of Stockton, California

MICHAEL RANKIN
City Attorney
255 W. Alameda, 7th Floor
P.O. Box 27210
Tucson, AZ 85726-7210
Attorney for the City of Tucson, Arizona

Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 293-6800
Facsimile: (415) 293-6899
Email: mpritt@bsflp.com

BARBARA J. PARKER (SBN 069722)
City Attorney
MARIA BEE (SBN 167716)
ERIN BERNSTEIN (SBN 231539)
CAROLINE WILSON (SBN 322389)
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 238-3601

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Oakland

ZACH KLEIN
City Attorney
CHARLES P. CAMPISANO
77 North Front Street, 4th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 645-7385
Facsimile: (614) 645-6949
Email: cpcampisano@columbus.gov

Attorneys for Amicus Curiae City of Columbus

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

Defendants.

No. C 19-02405 WHA

Related to

No. C 19-02769 WHA

No. C 19-02916 WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR LEAVE TO
FILE AN AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO
THE COURT'S ORDER, DATED JULY 1,
2019**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, by and through
ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER
BECERRA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEX M. AZAR II, et al.,

Defendants.

1 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA, et al.,

2 Plaintiff,

3 vs.

4 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
5 HUMAN SERVICES, et al.,

6 Defendants.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

1
2 On September 12, 2019, 21 amici curiae local governments moved for leave to file a brief
3 in support of Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment in these related cases. Having considered
4 the papers and pleadings on file, the Court GRANTS the motion for leave and ORDERS that the
5 brief be filed.

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

7
8 Dated: _____, 2019

9 HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP
United States District Court, Northern District
10 of California