

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

MELISSA BUCK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ROBERT GORDON, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:19-CV-286

HON. ROBERT J. JONKER

ORDER

Prospective intervenors move for leave to file a reply brief in support of intervention. The Local Rules of the Court do not ordinarily provide for reply briefs on non-dispositive motions. The Court sees no need for one here, and so the motion for leave to file (ECF No. 39) is **DENIED**.

The Court naturally appreciates thorough presentation of the issues. But the parties need to understand there is a point of diminishing returns. Between the filing of this case on April 15 and today, the parties have already generated a record of over 1,500 pages. That's an average of more than 25 pages a day—and we haven't even had the initial Rule 16 yet. Meanwhile, the Court has drawn over 40 other new cases, continued to address several hundred other pending cases, and tended to the management responsibilities of Chief Judge.

Brevity and focus have their own rewards.

Dated: June 12, 2019

/s/ Robert J. Jonker
ROBERT J. JONKER
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE