



present the substantial amount of material implicated and fully support their position. This is especially needed in this case due to the vast evidentiary record, which includes more than 30 depositions and nearly 60,000 pages of records, which will require additional space to properly explain and contextualize. Significantly, combining the briefs and allowing 45 pages would still reduce the total number of pages that would be submitted to the Court, as compared to filing two separate 30-page briefs (one opposing the partial motion and one supporting the cross motion).

For the above stated reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant an extension of 15 pages, for a total of 45 pages, for Defendants' combined response to Plaintiffs' partial summary judgment and cross motion for summary judgment.

Plaintiffs do not oppose this request, and Defendants do not oppose an equivalent extension for Plaintiffs' combined response to the cross motion and reply in support of the partial motion for summary judgment.

A proposed Order is provided for the convenience of the Court.

DATE: July 31, 2019



