

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

RICHARD ROE, ET AL.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

RICHARD V. SPENCER, ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:18-cv-01565

ORDER

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal Their Reply and Exhibits A–F in Support of Their Motion to Compel the Production of Documents and Information, this Court GRANTS the motion, FINDING that: (1) Plaintiffs provided sufficient notice of the request; (2) that there are no less drastic alternatives than filing these documents under seal along with publicly filed redacted versions; and (3) that there is good cause to keep these documents under seal because they are subject to the Court's protective order in this case or contain the personal identifying information of Plaintiffs. Therefore, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the unredacted versions of Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Their Motion to Compel the Production of Documents and Information, and Exhibits A–F to that memorandum, may remain filed under seal.

Entered this ¹⁹19 day of July, 2019.

lmb

/s/ Leonie M. Brinkema
United States District Judge