

Exhibit A

Notice of Claim

COPY

NOTICE OF CLAIM

In compliance with Title 6, Chapter 9 of the Idaho Code*, the undersigned hereby presents a claim arising out of an accident or occurrence which happened as follows against the following governmental entity: **(CHOOSE ONE)**

State of Idaho Idaho St. Bd. of Correction County / Clerk
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0080 (pursuant to I.C. section 20-201)
(208) 332-2814

Place or Location: Idaho State Correctional Institution ("ISI") Unit 15

Date and Time of Occurrence: December 31, 2016 at about 1830 hours.

Cause of Damages: (Describe the details and circumstances of the accident or occurrence)

The state of Idaho, its agents, servants and employees failed to provide necessary medical care to me for my Gender Identity Disorder. Specifically, female cosmetics, female underwear, facial hair removal, living full-time as a woman and sex reassignment surgery.

Witnesses: (Name, Address and Phone Number) _____

Amount of Claim: \$ To be determined at trial. (Attach all bills or substantiating information as to the amount of the claim)

Personal Injury: (Please describe the extent of your injury, your attending physician, place of treatment, etc.)

09/29/2015 I cut my right testicle requiring sutures at ISI; 12/31/2016 I cut my right testicle again having to be taken to St. Alphonsus Med. Ctr. for surgery to repair right testicle.

Property Damage: (Describe the property damage) N/A

DATED this 10th day of February, 20 17.

Name of Claimant: Adree M. Edmo IDOC# 94691
Street Address: P.O. BOX 14 Unit 09
City and State: Boise, Idaho 83707-0014

*Claims must be filed within 180 days of the date the claim arose or should have been reasonably discovered.

Exhibit B

Grievances / Appeals



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name:	EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location:	ISCI
Offender Number:	94691	Number:	II 160000599
		Category:	MEDICAL/HEALTHCARE

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 06/01/2016

The problem is:

I am being denied access to care by IDOC officials, specifically, ISCI warden Yordy, as he does not allow my medical/mental health providers to issue me a medical memo for panties for my psychological support of treating my GID. He allows me to have bras but denies panties; both are women's undergarments, this makes no sense at all, both are needed for treating my GID.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

submitting HSR # 845911 on 05/21/16, concern forms: Dr. Eliason on 05/20/16, ISCI warden Yordy on 06-24-15 (All Attached)

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Be allowed to purchase, possess and wear female panties for the psychological improvement and as a medically necessary treatment for my GID as according to the World Professional Associations of Transgender Health ("WPATH").

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded:	06/01/2016	Date Returned:	06/09/2016
Date Due Back:	06/15/2016	Level 1 Responder:	BENTON, AMANDA

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

Bra memos are issued on an " as needed " basis to support development of breast tissue. Panties are not medically indicated or necessary at this time.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	06/09/2016	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Due Back:	06/23/2016	Level 2 Responder:	HOFER, AARON
Date Returned:	06/10/2016	Response sent to offender:	06/13/2016

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

I am sorry for any inconvenience this has caused. Medical does not deal with panties. Please speak with Dr. Eliason for any and all information pertaining to G.I.D. Thank you

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

I've already talked to Dr. Eliason on (04/20/16) about the pantie issues and he has told me that ISCI Administrators/ISCI Warden Yordy will not allow Dr. Eliason to issue me a medical memo so that I may get panties from laundry or purchase on my own. This medical memo is necessary because allowing me to have panties support my mental health and help alleviate some of my gender dyshoria. I talked to a provider on (06/14/16) about this issue as well and he said he would issue a medical memo so that I can request panties from laundry (or SBWCC Laundry) or I be allowed to purchase my own. If I am denied it can be deliberate indifference to my serious medical need of appropriate undergarments for treating my gender dyshporia.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	06/22/2016	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	06/23/2016	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	07/09/2016	Response sent to offender:	07/06/2016
Date Returned:	07/06/2016		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Inmate Edmo:

Medical providers have determined that "panties" are not medically necessary. Please address your gender dysphoria issues with your assigned clinician.



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name:	EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location:	ISCI
Offender Number:	94691	Number:	II 160000391
		Category:	MEDICAL/HEALTHCARE

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 04/04/2016

The problem is:

I am not being provided timely adequate medical/mental health care, specifically a medical/mental health evaluation for the medical necessity pre-requisite of sex reassignment surgery by a qualified gender identity disorder evaluator pursuant to IDOC SOP 401.06.03.501 and NCCHC MH-A-01 Access to care, and P.-G-02 special needs.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending concern forms to clinician Houser on 3/03/16, clinician Irvin on 2/22/16 and Dr. Scott Eliason on 3/16/16, and 3/25/16. (all attached)

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

I want to be scheduled immediately by a qualified gender identity disorder evaluator for a medical/mental health evaluation for sex reassignment surgery!

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded:	04/07/2016	Date Returned:	04/08/2016
Date Due Back:	04/21/2016	Level 1 Responder:	BREWER, GEN

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

Please submit a concern form to Dr. Eliason for this request.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	04/08/2016	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Due Back:	04/22/2016	Level 2 Responder:	HOFER, AARON
Date Returned:	04/13/2016	Response sent to offender:	04/18/2016

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Please address any and all GID questions/concerns to Dr. Eliason. Dr. Eliason is the expert and has the decision making ability in this area. Thank you.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Dr. Eliason is not an expert in GID, does not have any substantial treatment experience in treating persons w/ GID. Dr. Eliason is restricted, restrained, and / or denied from utilizing the standard of care typically used in treating GID/ GD; wpath, Dr. Eliason further delays and / or interferes with adequate medical care of my GID by stating he is a expert and / or specialist. I still am being denied timely and adequate medical treatment for my GID by a medical / mental health provider qualified to exercise judgment about my particular medical / mental health condition of GID.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	04/25/2016	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	04/29/2016	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	05/17/2016	Response sent to offender:	05/19/2016
Date Returned:	05/17/2016		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Offender Edmo:

Dr. Eliason is a board certified physician with a specialty in psychiatry. If Dr. Eliason feels that it is necessary for you to be evaluated by a "qualified gender identity disorder evaluator" he will provide that service to you. If you have further questions or concerns please follow up with Dr. Eliason.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP-RN
Idaho Department of Correction

II 150001348

EDMO, MASON DEAN

94691

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

I believe that being in the chronic care health program of IDOC I should have seen by a MD physician. This is an ongoing struggle with Corizon, and IDOC to receive an adequate medical plan of action for my G.D. I've not seen a medical physician the entire year of 2015 and believe I should be afforded the opportunity to explain my medical concerns to such.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	01/11/2016	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	01/11/2016	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	01/27/2016	Response sent to offender:	01/11/2016
Date Returned:	01/11/2016		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Offender Edmo:

In your original grievance you are asking to be seen by an "endocrinologist", however, in your appeal you state that you feel that you should be seen in the chronic disease clinic by an MD. Per policy you cannot change or add issues at the appeal level. Therefore this appeal is denied.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP-RN
IDOC Health Services Director

A-12

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

WPATH "SOC" PAS 171-72 explain the need for electrolysis for support in changes of gender expression in conjunction with hormone therapy. WPATH is the standard of care for treating GID. Corizon nor IDOC have any providers competent, or experienced in treating GID, including me. A competent experienced provider would note this facial hair removal medically necessary to alleviate my gender dysphoria, and help to prevent another attempt at autocastration, as I did on 09/29/15. Please refer me to a GID specialist to be evaluated by appropriate medical care of my GID. Denial based on policy or cursory health service evaluations is deliberate and indifference to my serious GID medical condition. Denial hinders my depression and ideation of autocastration.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	11/13/2015	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	11/13/2015	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	11/29/2015	Response sent to offender:	11/16/2015
Date Returned:	11/16/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Offender Edmo:

Per WPATH, The Standards of Care, Version 7. Hair removal is listed as an option or alternative not a requirement for GD treatment.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP-RN
IDOC Health Services Director



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name: EDMO, MASON DEAN

Location: ISCI

Offender Number: 94691

Number: II 150001091

Category: ADMINISTRATION

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 10/07/2015

The problem is:

Dr. Craig did not respond to my concern form about my mental health issues which has caused me to lose parole eligibility.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending concern form to Dr. Craig on 9/15/15.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Dr. Craig respond to my issue of G.D. symptoms (hairstyles) resulting in my parole eligibility loss, and my treatment plan brought to MTCC for implementation of appropriate mental health.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded: 10/14/2015

Date Returned: 10/14/2015

Date Due Back: 10/28/2015

Level 1 Responder:

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

No level one response.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded: 10/14/2015

Grievance Disposition: MODIFIED

Date Due Back: 10/30/2015

Level 2 Responder: CRAIG, RICHARD

Date Returned: 10/22/2015

Response sent to offender: 10/22/2015

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Your concern form of 09/15/15 was received and a response was entered on 9/27/15 (see attached). Offender Edmo's concerns were related to hair style and dress and she was informed that those were security issues and should be discussed with the Warden. In regards to mental health concerns, Offender Edmo was on the BHU at the time the concern form was penned but moved to unit 15 the following day per her request. Since that time offender Edmo has been returned to the BHU on 09/29/15 and has access to mental health services.

II 150001091

EDMO, MASON DEAN

94691

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:

Grievance Disposition:

Date Forwarded:

Level 3 Responder:

Date Due Back:

Response sent to offender:

Date Returned:

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:



Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	07/20/2015	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Due Back:	08/05/2015	Level 2 Responder:	PENEKU, LEROY
Date Returned:	07/21/2015	Response sent to offender:	07/22/2015

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Inmate Edmo - This is a male correctional facility, staff are accustomed to addressing the population as such. I'm sure the Sergeant meant no disrespect towards you, nor did he try to humiliate you. We will address your concern with Sergeant Sidwell.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Upon review of policies 401.06.03.501 (GID) and 207.07.02.11 (Respectful workplace - offenders) an excuse of whether this is a male institution or female institution does not excuse accountability. These policies do not allow for any staff member in either a male or a female institution to address a GID inmate, as myself, with gender specifiers, or pronouns. Sgt. Sidwell, as a Sgt. should be will aware of policy and procedures. These intentional unexcusable, humiliating, and offensive use of "mr", ("sir"), etc, masculine pronouns need to stop. This is the 3rd grievance against staff for such misconduct. It is a repetitive behavior. Staff must be able to modify behavior as a professional while at work as a correctional officer.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	08/11/2015	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	08/12/2015	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	08/31/2015	Response sent to offender:	08/31/2015
Date Returned:	08/31/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

The policy does state staff are to be gender neutral when addressing GID offenders. Although, I don't believe it was intentional, we need to make better awareness to our staff.

Warden Yordy

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Policies 207 and 401.06.03.501 do not allow exceptions for staff in male correctional facilities to intentionally disregard policy. Cpl. Plumer is well versed about my status as an GID offender as Cpl. Plumer has worked in ISCI's BHU where GID offenders are usually housed - this "general" population statement cannot suffice justification. Cpl. Plumer must be clearly advised of these policies.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	06/04/2015	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	06/10/2015	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	06/26/2015	Response sent to offender:	06/16/2015
Date Returned:	06/16/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Staff have addressed the issue with Corporal Plumer and he has been advised of the policy. I don't believe it was intentional but it is difficult for staff to remember to address GD offenders with non-gender identifiers.

Warden Yordy



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name:	EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location:	ISCI
Offender Number:	94691	Number:	II 160000517
		Category:	CONDITIONS OF

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 05/09/2016

The problem is:

I am an being denied equal protection of the laws by C.S. Clark, Sgt. Nimmo, and MTC of SOP 401.06.03.501 by not being allowed to move out of BHU because of my status as an GID inmate of IDOC, and because of DOR history. This is a violation of my 14th amendment rights.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending concern forms to: Clinician Houser 02/08/16, Clinician Irvin 02/20/16, C.S. Clark 04/11/16, Ashley Dowell 04/16/16, and speaking to Dr. Scott Eliason 04/20/16.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Be moved out of BHU ASAP and into general population ASAP and remedied of my constitutional rights of being treated equally.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded:	05/11/2016	Date Returned:	05/12/2016
Date Due Back:	05/25/2016	Level 1 Responder:	HOUSER, AMY

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

Housing placement for Gender Dysphoria (GD) inmates are reviewed through the Management and Treatment Committee on a quarterly basis. I have been informed that Edmo's placement in Unit 16 will be addressed in the beginning of June 2016.
Amy Houser
Clinician, ISCI

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	05/12/2016	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Due Back:	05/28/2016	Level 2 Responder:	CLARK, JEREMY
Date Returned:	05/23/2016	Response sent to offender:	05/23/2016

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Per Policy 401.06.03.501, the Management and Treatment Committee (MTC) is responsible for reviewing and determining housing placement for inmate who have Gender Dysphoria. The committee is aware of your desire to be moved out of Unit 16, and this will be reviewed in our next MTC meeting which is currently scheduled for June 1st, 2016. The decision of the committee will be given to you shortly after that meeting.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

SOP # 401.03.501; MTC determines the placement for GID inmates, etc. Clinician Hahn had informed me that the MTC had determined my moving out of BHU would not be possible because of my behaviors, DOR's and risk in other units. I do not agree and believe these are pretext reasons to keep me in BHU because of my membership in a suspect class, namely, GID inmate a deliberate attempt to keep me uncomfortable and frustrated because I am a GID inmate. I previously lived within BHU but had an issue because of my medical provider's deliberate indifference to my medical needs and hormone monitoring. I've had more DOR's in BHU because of bias staff and because of unstable inmates. Please move me to General Population.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	06/08/2016	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	06/10/2016	Level 3 Responder:	DOWELL, ASHLEY
Date Due Back:	06/26/2016	Response sent to offender:	06/29/2016
Date Returned:	06/29/2016		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Thank you for outlining your concerns about your current housing and placement. As Clinical Supervisor Clark noted, the Management Treatment Committee (MTC) is responsible for reviewing and determining placement for inmates with Gender Dysphoria per Policy 401.06.03.501. You are correct in that the MTC recommended your continued placement in the Behavioral Health Unit on June 1, 2016. Per Policy 401.06.03.501, the Administrative Review Committee (ARC) reviews the Management and Placement Plan recommended by the MTC, consults with members of the MTC to address questions or concerns, and recommends approving or denying the plan. The Director of IDOC then chooses whether or not to accept the ARC's recommendation. As your request for placement is still in the review process, a final determination regarding housing and placement has not been made.

Ashley Dowell, LCPC, CCHP-MH
Deputy Chief- Prisons Division
Idaho Department of Correction

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	04/27/2015	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Due Back:	05/13/2015	Level 2 Responder:	PENEKU, LEROY
Date Returned:	04/28/2015	Response sent to offender:	04/30/2015

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Inmate Edmo - Sgt Meldrum will remind his staff how to appropriately address inmates.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

The incident involving Cpl. Elliott is not an isolated incident. This is a common, re-occurring problem with staff that I encounter about daily. It is very obvious I am trans and undergoing sex reassignment treatment per IDOC SOP 401.06.03.501. I believe in my circumstances it's not a mistake but a mere purposeful behavior directed towards me as a trans-woman. Sgt. Meldrums 1600 male offender excuse is an institutional excuse that is a generalization of not being accountable for staff behaviors. This causes me great humiliation, embarrassment and highly increases my gender dysphoria of my male genitalia. More effort and awareness is crucially needed.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	05/18/2015	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	05/19/2015	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	06/05/2015	Response sent to offender:	06/09/2015
Date Returned:	06/08/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Supervisors are addressing the issue with staff. I can appreciate you wanting staff to follow the gender neutral guidelines you are entitled to but I don't think staff are doing it intentionally. This is a male facility and staff become accustomed to saying male identifiers. We will continually work on this issue.

Warden Yordy



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

OCT 16 2015

Offender Name: EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location: ISCI
Offender Number: 94691	Number: II 150000191
	Category: SECURITY

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 02/17/2015

The problem is:

On 02-07-15 Cpt. Schlienzy authorized my placement into unit 8 because of feminem behaviors due to my gender dysphoria. Overall feminem appearance / effeminate hairstyle as noted to be a violation of IDOC policy #325, which is also a violation of my constitutional rights.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending concern form to Cpt. Schlienzy and speaking with Warden Yordy about "feminem hairstyles" and what that exactly entails - no answer.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

This grievance forwarded to a IDOC administrator better positioned to clarify what a "feminem hairstyle" is exactly defined as for offenders with gender dysphoria.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded: 02/19/2015	Date Returned: 02/19/2015
Date Due Back: 03/05/2015	Level 1 Responder: SCHLIENZ, RODNEY

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

After reviewing the related documents regarding this issue, I concur with the statement of authorizing the placement of this inmate into restrictive housing. However, placement was for a deliberate indifference to the direction Cpl. Bollman had given regarding the removal of the feminine and/or effeminate hair style and failure to comply with SOP 325.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded: 02/19/2015	Grievance Disposition: MODIFIED
Date Due Back: 03/07/2015	Level 2 Responder: PENEKU, LEROY
Date Returned: 02/27/2015	Response sent to offender: 03/02/2015

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

Inmate Edmo - According to the reports, you were placed in restrictive housing because you failed to follow the instructions of a correctional staff. Per the response Warden Yordy provided in grievance #II 140000914, he stated the following,

"The policy states the warden is to make the decision on whether an offender's hair style is effeminate or not. The direction I gave Edmo was if staff felt the hairstyle was effeminate, they were to photograph the style and I would make that determination later. The offender was to follow staff's immediate direction at the time. I don't believe I could give a definition of what an effeminate

II 150000191

EDMO, MASON DEAN

94691

hairstyle is other than the stylers intent to make it feminine in nature. The hairstyles I would be concerned with are those I believe are intended to look female"

In his response the Warden states that "you are to follow staff's immediate direction at the time". You failed to do so, and for that you were placed in restrictive housing. It's in your best interest to follow and comply with the rules, policies, SOP's and laws established within the IDOC.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

It is clearly not noted that I had in fact corrected the issue and complied with staffs direct order to "remove hair from a bun". Policy #325 does not specifically state "ear level" or below for a ponytail, therefore, I had not violated IDOC SOP's, rules, laws or policies as Dep. Warden Peneku states. In DOR #150854, the DOR in conjunction with this grievance / appeal clearly states I had obeyed correctional staff. Pony tails I wear are not intended to be feminine in nature. As indicated by Warden Yordy if the staff are in question, a picture should be taken and sent to Yordy for determination, which had not happened. This is clearly malicious harassment by staff because of my mental condition of gender dysphoria, a violation of the U.S. constitution and Idaho code section 18 chpts. 7301 and Idaho code sect. 67.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	03/05/2015	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	03/09/2015	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	03/25/2015	Response sent to offender:	03/23/2015
Date Returned:	03/23/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Staff gave you clear direction in addressing your hair that you repeatedly chose not to follow. The captain appropriately removed you from general population after you continued to ignore staff's direction. The actions of staff were fair and consistent with policy.

Warden Yordy

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

As decided by A.R.C. Medical would have determine appropriateness, and Dr. Whinnery clearly states she would provide a medical memo for women's underwear on concern form dated Nov. 16. 2014. This is deliberate indifference to a serious medical need. Panties and underwear are medical necessities, IDOC allows @ SBWCC, I am a similarly situated individual. There is no substantial penological concern justifying denial of a clearly stated medical need indicated by my provider Dr. Whinnery. IDOC is contracted w/Corizon therefore both need be able to allow for such medical necessities.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	12/30/2014	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	12/30/2014	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	01/15/2015	Response sent to offender:	01/09/2015
Date Returned:	01/08/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Revised Grievance Appeal Response Dated 1/8/15:

Offender Edmo:

Upon further research and discussion, the response I provided to Grievance II 40001365 is incorrect. Female underpants are only allowed when determined to be medically necessary not based on a GID diagnosis.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP-RN
ISCI Health Services Director

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

As decided by A.R.C. Medical would have determine appropriateness, and Dr. Whinnery clearly states she would provide a medical memo for women's underwear on concern form dated Nov. 16. 2014. This is deliberate indifference to a serious medical need. Panties and underwear are medical necessities, IDOC allows @ SBWCC, I am a similarly situated individual. There is no substantial penological concern justifying denial of a clearly stated medical need indicated by my provider Dr. Whinnery. IDOC is contracted w/Corizon therefore both need be able to allow for such medical necessities.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	12/30/2014	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	12/30/2014	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	01/15/2015	Response sent to offender:	12/30/2014
Date Returned:	12/30/2014		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Offender Edmo:

Medical has determined that special undergarments are not medically necessary. With a GID diagnosis you are allowed to have the same undergarments that the other female offenders have. Please contact your Unit Sergeant for the process on how to be issued female undergarments.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP-RN
IDOC Health Services Director

Previous appeal
response was
incorrect.
Attached is
the completed,
corrected
grievance
appeal!
Dw Kilgallon
Grievance
Coordinator



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name: EDMO, MASON DEAN

Location: ISCI

Offender Number: 94691

Number: II 140001364

Category: ADMINISTRATION

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 12/17/2014

The problem is:

I have not been able to have a new IDOC offender ID badge made with a new picture and a gender change from male to female on the IDOC card.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending concern forms to IDOC / ISCI ID officer, and concern form to Dr. Craig dated 11-10-14 & 11-15-14.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Scheduled a time to have a new picture taken, and the gender / sex marker on the IDOC badge changed from male to female as soon as possible.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded: 12/17/2014

Date Returned:

12/23/2014

Date Due Back: 12/31/2014

Level 1 Responder:

THOMPSON,

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

On 12-10-14, at about 1330 hours, I captured new portrait and profile photos for your offender file. Also, I printed a new IDOC offender ID badge for you at that time. We discussed your concern about the sex which is printed on the ID card. I informed you that ISCI staff cannot alter the sex listed in your file. Finally, I advised you to seek legal resources which may assist you in making the desired changes through the courts.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	12/23/2014	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Due Back:	01/08/2015	Level 2 Responder:	COBURN, GARRETT
Date Returned:	12/29/2014	Response sent to offender:	12/29/2014

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

You were provided with a new ID. However due to the fact that your physical gender is male, we will not be changing your ID to reflect you being a female.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

I do not understand - this decision to reflect any real security concern other than personal intentions to inflict more emotional stress from IDOC staff, specifically - ISCI administration. I am asking the appellate authority to thoroughly review my request and holistically make a decision to consider my request. D.W. Coburn's reply clearly depicts a lack of knowledge about an offender diagnosed with a mental condition of gender dysphoria. Sex is the biological genetic make up of a person, as gender is a societal structured behavior and or perception a society follows, two clearly different associations. Dr. Eliason has signified I changed genders from male to female, as well as Dr. Whinnery. This is also part of sex reassignment treatment being provided to me by IDOC in contract with Corizon medical providers.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	12/31/2014	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	12/31/2014	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	01/16/2015	Response sent to offender:	01/12/2015
Date Returned:	01/12/2015		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

We are not going to change your sex on your ID card. Our facility has correctly identified you as male.

Warden Yordy



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name: EDMO, MASON DEAN

Location: ISCI

Offender Number: 94691

Number: II 140000914

Category: ADMINISTRATION

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 08/08/2014

The problem is:

Had an incident concerning my "hair style" on 07-9-14. Concerned Warden Yordy & sent letter to Yordy dated 07-09-14 Re: Incident w/ C/O White. Warden Yordy will not provide me with a accurate direction of what a "feminine hairstyle" is or is not.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Sending letter to Warden Yordy on 07-09-14; sending concern form to Warden yordy on 07-09-14 addressing this issue.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Being given a direct definition of what a "feminine hairstyle is according to a offender diagnosed as GID and undergoing sex reassignment treatment!

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded: 08/12/2014

Date Returned:

08/12/2014

Date Due Back: 08/26/2014

Level 1 Responder:

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

No level one response due to nature of grievance.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded: 08/12/2014

Grievance Disposition:

DENIED

Date Due Back: 08/28/2014

Level 2 Responder:

Date Returned: 08/12/2014

Response sent to offender:

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

No level two response due to nature of grievance.

II 14000914

EDMO, MASON DEAN

94691

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

See above.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	08/12/2014	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Forwarded:	08/12/2014	Level 3 Responder:	YORDY, HOWARD
Date Due Back:	08/28/2014	Response sent to offender:	09/02/2014
Date Returned:	09/02/2014		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

The policy states the warden is to make the decision on whether an offender's hair style is effeminate or not. The direction I gave Edmo was if staff felt the hairstyle was effeminate, they were to photograph the style and I would make that determination later. The offender was to follow staff's immediate direction at the time. I don't believe I could give a definition of what an effeminate hairstyle is other than the styler's intent to make it feminine in nature. The hairstyles I would be concerned with are those I believe are intended to look female.

Warden Yordy



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name:	EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location:	ISCI
Offender Number:	94691	Number:	II 140000312
		Category:	MEDICAL/HEALTHCARE

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 03/07/2014

The problem is:

Sent concern form to HSA about issues concerning Gender Reassignment surgery. Mallet #8769 responded that Gender Reassignment surgery is unavailable. S. Mallet #8769 is not a M.D. to make this decision, nor is Regional Director Young qualified to base decisions through concern forms without seeing me personally.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Submitting HSR's, talking to clinicians, submitting concern forms.

Note: Only one concern form is submitted with grievance.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Allowed to be seen by a GID evaluator specialist.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded:	03/17/2014	Date Returned:	03/17/2014
Date Due Back:	03/21/2014	Level 1 Responder:	PILOTE, KIMBERLY

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

Dr. Young is a qualified health care provider and is capable of making decisions regarding your care. However, gender re-assignment surgery is not medically necessary. Please submit an HSR if your have any other issues. Thanks.

3-20-14 - this is the correct copy. We had the wrong name for the 1st responder. Sorry.

JW

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	03/17/2014	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Due Back:	03/31/2014	Level 2 Responder:	VALLEY, RYAN
Date Returned:	03/17/2014	Response sent to offender:	03/18/2014

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

You have been seen by medical providers that are licensed to practice in the State of Idaho. Your gender re-assignment surgery is not medically necessary and therefore has not been recommended by our providers.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	Grievance Disposition:
Date Forwarded:	Level 3 Responder:
Date Due Back:	Response sent to offender:
Date Returned:	

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

✓



Idaho Department of Correction Grievance Form

Offender Name:	EDMO, MASON DEAN	Location:	ISCI
Offender Number:	94691	Number:	II 140000312
		Category:	MEDICAL/HEALTHCARE

Offender Grievance Information

Date Received: 03/07/2014

The problem is:

Sent concern form to HSA about issues concerning Gender Reassignment surgery. Mallet #8769 responded that Gender Reassignment surgery is unavailable. S. Mallet #8769 is not a M.D. to make this decision, nor is Regional Director. Young qualified to base decisions through concern forms without seeing me personally.

I have tried to solve this problem informally by:

Submitting HSR's, talking to clinicians, submitting concern forms.

Note: Only one concern form is submitted with grievance.

I suggest the following solution for the problem:

Allowed to be seen by a GID evaluator specialist.

Level 1 - Initial Response

Date Forwarded:	03/17/2014	Date Returned:	03/17/2014
Date Due Back:	03/21/2014	Level 1 Responder:	PILOTE, KIMBERLY

The response from the staff member or person in charge of the area/operation being grieved:

Dr. Young is a qualified health care provider and is capable of making decisions regarding your care. However, gender re-assignment surgery is not medically necessary. Please submit an HSR if you have any other issues. Thanks.

Level 2 - Reviewing Authority Response

Date Forwarded:	03/17/2014	Grievance Disposition:	DENIED
Date Due Back:	03/31/2014	Level 2 Responder:	VALLEY, RYAN
Date Returned:	03/17/2014	Response sent to offender:	03/18/2014

Your grievance has been reviewed and I find:

You have been seen by medical providers that are licensed to practice in the State of Idaho. Your gender re-assignment surgery is not medically necessary and therefore has not been recommended by our providers.

Offender Appeal

Offender Comments:

Response to Level 2 responder: I have not been seen by your providers, or anyone in medical dealing with my gender reassignment request, medical refused to schedule any appt., especially when I state gender reassignment on the HSR. Of course your providers have not recommended gender reassignment, I have not been able to see anyone in medical to address this issue. IDOC medical / Corizon is discriminating against me because of my gender. I am being denied access to medical care - when I cannot even have an appt. to address this issue. I need a specialist dealing with GID patients, as it is a serious medical need.

Level 3 - Appellate Authority Response

Date Appealed:	03/24/2014	Grievance Disposition:	MODIFIED
Date Forwarded:	03/24/2014	Level 3 Responder:	SIEGERT, RONA
Date Due Back:	04/09/2014	Response sent to offender:	03/26/2014
Date Returned:	03/26/2014		

Your appeal has been reviewed and I find:

Offender Edmo:

Your medical record shows that you have been seen by the ISCI providers in the chronic disease program (CDP). Your last visit was March 6, 2014 with Dr. Whinnery. You are followed in the CDP for GID. Please address your questions regarding gender reassignment surgery at your next CDP appointment.

Rona Siegert RN, CCHP
IDOC Health Services Director