

Tyler Smith & Associates PC  
Rural Business Attorneys

---

January 18, 2019

Via CM/ECF

Samantha Miller  
Deputy Clerk  
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

**RE: *Parents for Privacy, et al v. Sessions, et al*, Case No. 18-35708  
Clarification of briefing schedule (docket entry 30)**

Dear Ms. Miller,

Due to the partial government shutdown, the Department of Justice (DOJ) has moved for an extension of time to file its response on behalf of their client(s). On January 14, 2019, the Court granted the DOJ's motion and entered an order vacating the briefing schedule (Dkt Entry 30). The Court's order is as follows:

“Appellees’ motion (Docket Entry No. 29) to stay appellate proceedings is granted. The previously established briefing schedule is vacated. Federal appellees shall file a motion to reset the remaining briefing schedule within 7 days of the restoration of funding.”

For the benefit of all parties involved, I respectfully request that the Court clarify whether the briefing schedule is vacated only with respect to the DOJ, or also to all other appellees.

Very Respectfully,

*/s/ J. Ryan Adams*

J. Ryan Adams

Cc: Mr. Gabriel Arkles  
Ms. Kelsey M. Benedick  
Mr. Mathew William Dos Santos  
Ms. Marleigh Dover  
Mr. Dennis Fan  
Mr. Blake H. Fry  
Ms. Shayna Medley-Warsoff  
Mr. Peter R. Mersereau  
Mr. Chad Readler  
Mr. Darin M. Sands  
Mr. Billy J. Williams