IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D. LMFT,	
individually and on behalf of his patients,)
JULIE H. HAMILTON, PH.D., LMFT,)
individually and on behalf of her patients,) Civil Action No.:9:18-cv-80771-RLR
Plaintiffs,) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT
V.)
CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLORIDA, and COUNTY OF PALM BEACH, FLORIDA,))))
Defendants)

PLAINTIFF ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D., LMFT'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION INTERROGATORIES OF DEFENDANT PALM BEACH COUNTY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 33, and Local Rule 26.1, Plaintiff Robert W. Otto, Ph.D., LMFT ("Otto"), by and through counsel, hereby provides the following First Supplemental responses and objections to Defendant County of Palm Beach's Preliminary Injunction Interrogatories. Otto hereby reserves all objections to the relevance, use or admissibility of any of these Interrogatories and responses. Subject to the foregoing, Otto objects and otherwise responds as follows:

22. Identify by first and last initial and age only all clients whom were minors (under age 18) when they initially engaged your counseling services that are or were experiencing unwanted same-sex attractions and wanted to reduce or eliminate the unwanted desire within the last ten years.

OBJECTION: To the extent the Interrogatory purports to request information about Otto's minor clients after the enactment of the Ordinance in suit, Otto objects and declines to respond on the basis of the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination. Although Otto has been forced to alter his speech and conduct after the enactment of the Ordinance in order to avoid a knowing violation, Otto notes that the Ordinance is not only

vague and ambiguous in what it purports to prohibit, but also purports to impose criminal penalties for any violation, whether knowing or unknowing. Accordingly, Otto does not wish to provide the County with any information upon which to prosecute him for any unknowing violations of the Ordinance. Otto will therefore respond to the Interrogatory as if limited to the nine (9) years prior to the enactment of the Ordinance.

Otto further objects to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information protected by the psychotherapist-patient privilege and that it asks him to divulge too much identifying information in relation to these clients. Otto construes this Interrogatory to only request the number and ages of clients seeking help for unwanted same-sex attractions.

RESPONSE: In the nine (9) years prior to the enactment of the Ordinance, Otto had the following minor clients who sought help with unwanted same-sex attractions:

Doe 1 (age 14)

Doe 2 (age 14)

Doe 3 (age 16)

Doe 4 (age 16)

As to Objections:

/s/ Horatio G. Mihet

Horatio G. Mihet (FL Bar 026581) Roger K. Gannam (FL Bar 240450) LIBERTY COUNSEL P.O. Box 540774 Orlando, FL 32854

Phone: (407) 875-1776 Email: court@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

I, Robert W. Otto, Ph.D., LMFT, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing interrogatory responses are true and correct.

/s/ Robert W. Otto
Robert W. Otto, Ph.D., LMFT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27th day of August 2018, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on all counsel of record via electronic mail, including:

Rachel Fahey

Primary Email: rfahey@pbcgov.org Secondary Email: dfishel@pbcgov.org

Kim Phan, Esquire

Primary Email: kphan@pbcgov.org Secondary Email: ldennis@pbcgov.org

PALM BEACH COUNTY ATTORNEY OFFICE

Attorneys for Defendant Palm Beach County, Florida

Daniel L. Abbott

Primary email: dabbott@wsh-law.com Secondary email: pgrotto@wsh-law.com

Jamie A. Cole

Primary email: jcole@wsh-law.com Secondary email: msarraff@wsh-law.com

Anne R. Flanigan

Primary email: areilly@wsh-law.com

WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN COLE & BIERMAN, P.L.

Attorneys for Defendant City of Boca Raton, Florida

/s/ Horatio G. Mihet

Horatio G. Mihet

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Defendant County of Palm Beach

Consent-to-Treat and Financial Agreement

Upon initiating therapy services, it is important for you to be aware of the following information:

CONFIDENTIALITY: Statements that are made by a client to a psychotherapist are generally confidential; however, there are some exceptions which may lead to a waiver of the psychotherapist-client privilege and disclosure of otherwise confidential information. The exceptions include:

- 1. If you threaten to harm yourself;
- 2. If you make a serious threat to harm a readily ascertainable third party;
- 3. If you disclose instances of child abuse, elder abuse, or dependent adult abuse. Abuse includes but is not limited to physical abuse, sexual abuse, or neglect. For further information on what constitutes abuse or neglect please refer to Florida state law;
- 4. If you tender your mental condition in a lawsuit or criminal proceeding;
- 5. If the therapist is required by a court order to disclose your records;
- 6. If there is an action which alleges that there is a breach of duties running between psychotherapist and client; and
- and client; and

 7. If each individual involved in the therapy sessions gives written permission to disclose inforto a specific party.

 1 understand that my therapist may consult with other professionals, who are also required to maintain 7. If each individual involved in the therapy sessions gives written permission to disclose information

confidentiality, to ensure the provision of effective treatment services. I understand that this consultation will not compromise my identify or confidentiality.

Initial EMERGENCIES: Due to the nature of this practice, 24-hour emergency access is not available. In the case of emergencies, please call 911. Initial

NO GUARANTEE OF SUCCESS: Because there are many variables in psychotherapy, there is no guarantee that by pursuing psychotherapy the client will be happier, and no particular treatment can be guaranteed to be effective. Therapy also requires the active participation of the client, and that the client be truthful with the psychotherapist.

NATURE OF PSYCHOTHERAPY: Sometimes the psychotherapeutic process can bring up uncomfortable feelings such as anxiety, sadness, anger, and so on; please be aware that this is a normal response to talking about unresolved life experiences.

Initial ____

LENGTH OF SESSION AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION: Therapy sessions last approximately 50 minutes. The fee for therapy is \$100.00 per session, payable at the end of each session.

Initial

CANCELLATION POLICY: If you need to cancel or reschedule an appointment concellations made less than 24 hours ahead of the appointment time	ment, please allow for 24 hours will be charged the session fee.
	Initial
TELEPHONE THERAPY: Psychotherapy is typically in the form of regular individual sessions. For that reason, office-based counseling is generally reco	
However, some clients are unable to find a suitable therapist in their geograph decide to conduct at least some therapy in the form of telephone consultations	
It is important for the client to recognize the following: that there is some contelephone therapy, including concerns that confidentiality cannot be guarante or internet; that the therapist is unable to assess the client's demeanor by telepare educational, but may not be as effective as face-to-face sessions; and that therapy or transfer to another psychotherapist may be necessary if the client's disturbance, such as anxiety or depression.	ed when communicating by phone phone; that telephone consultation supplementary, face-to-face
In addition, this therapist is licensed in the state of Florida. If telephone thera the state of Florida, it is important to note that some states do not allow the de lines. For clients living in states that prohibit telephone therapy across state liprovide services.	elivery of services across state
	Initial
MERGER AGREEMENT: All prior negotiations and representations are submerged herein.	osumed in this document and
	Initial
I understand that I am responsible for any all and indebtedness incurred as a resunderstand that I have a right to terminate services at any time. I agree to hole claim for damages of any nature arising out of, or allegedly due to, any therap I accept full responsibility for any decision I make regarding my life. I have recarefully, understand its contents, and agree, under these conditions, to receive and/or anyone herein designated.	d harmless my therapist from any py, counseling or service rendered read the above information
Print Name:	
Signature:	

Defendant County of Palm Beach



Payment Agreement

Responsibilities:

- Payment is due at the time service is rendered. Please pay by cash, check or credit card.
 If paying by check, please make check payable to SDG Counseling, LLC.
- Sessions are 50 minutes. The session fee is \$150 per session in the office. House calls and court appearances are billed at a different rate.
- There will be a \$35 fee for any returned check.
- For reasons of confidentiality, we do not make appointment reminder calls. You are responsible for keeping your appointment.
- Cancellations of a session must be made at least 24 hours prior to the scheduled time or you will be charged for the missed session.
- An invoice may be sent to your home for any outstanding balance

Credit Card Information:

- Many of my clients prefer to keep a credit card number on file for ease of payment for future sessions or phone sessions.
- If you would like to provide you with this service and convenience please indicate your permission below in the appropriate box. Your information will be kept in a locked cabinet.

cabilite.				
[] Yes, I give my permission to SDG information.	Counseling, LLC to retain my credit card			
[] No, I do not give permission to SDG Counseling , LLC to retain my credit card information.				
I certify that he/she has read the above information carefully, understands its contents, and agree to comply with the terms of payment as provided above.				
Signed:	Date:			
Signed:	Date:			



Acknowledgement of Notice of Privacy Practices

"I hereby acknowledge that I have received a copy of this practice's NOTICE OF PRIVACY PRACTICES. I understand that if I have questions or complaints regarding my privacy rights that I may contact the person listed. I further understand that the practice will offer me updates to the NOTICE OF PRIVACY PRACTICES should it be amended, modified, or changed in any way."

Signed:	Date:
Printed:	
Signed:	Date:
Printed:	

Notice of Policies and Practices to Protect the Privacy of Your Health Information

THIS NOTICE PERTAINS TO THE PRACTICE OF: SDG COUNSELING, LLC, 4400 N. FEDERAL HIGHWAY, SUITE 210, BOCA RATON, FL 33431

THIS NOTICE DESCRIBES HOW PSYCHOLOGICAL AND MEDICAL INFORMAITON ABOUT YOU MAY BE USED AND DISCLOSED AND HOW YOU CAN GET ACCESS TO THIS INFORMATION. PLEASE REVIEW IT CAREFULLY.

THIS NOTICE AND ALL OF THESE RIGHTS MAY NOT APPLY TO YOU IN SOME CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH ARE NOT COVERED BY FEDERAL HIPAA REGULATIONS. YOU MAY BE PROTECTED UNDER OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE LAWS.

<u>Uses and Disclosures for Treatment, Payment, and Health Care Operations</u>

We may use or disclose your protected health information (PHI), for treatment, payment, and health care operations purposes with your consent. To help clarify these terms, here are some definitions:

- ~ "PHI" refers to information in your health record that could identify you.
- ~ "Treatment, Payment, and Health Care Operations"
 - Treatment is when we provide, coordinate or manage your health care and other services related to your health care. An example of treatment would be when we consult with another health care provider, such as your family physician or another psychologist.
 - Payment is when we obtain reimbursement for your healthcare. Examples of payment are when we disclose your
 PHI to your health insurer to obtain reimbursement for your health care or to determine eligibility or coverage.
 - Health Care Operations are activities that relate to the performance and operations of our practice. Examples of health care operations are quality assessment and improvement activities, business-related matters such and audits and administrative services, and case management and care coordination.
- ~ "Use" applies only to activities within our [office, clinic, practice group, etc.] such as sharing, employing, applying, utilizing, examining, and analyzing information that identifies you.
- ~ "Disclosure" applies to activities outside of our [office, practice group, etc.], such as releasing, transferring, or providing access to information about you to other parties.

Uses and Disclosures Requiring Authorization

We may use or disclose PHI for purposes outside of treatment, payment, and health care operations when your appropriate authorization is obtained. An "authorization" is written permission above and beyond the general consent that permits only specific disclosures. In those instances when we are asked for information for purposes outside of treatment, payment and health care operations, we will obtain an authorization from you before releasing this information.

We would also need to obtain an authorization before releasing your "psychotherapy notes." "Psychotherapy notes" have a very limited definition under HIPAA rules, and would be notes made about analyses of conversations during a private, group, joint, or family counseling session, which would be kept separate from the rest of your medical record. It is our office practice not to keep "Psychotherapy notes" under this definition. Your diagnosis and relevant treatment information, symptom complaints and information about progress are maintained in "Progress Notes" which document your care.

You may revoke all such authorizations (of PHI or psychotherapy notes) at any time, provided each revocation is in writing. You may not revoke an authorization to the extent that (1) we have relied on that authorization; or (2) if the authorization was obtained as a condition of obtaining insurance coverage, and the law provides the insurer the right to contest the claim under the policy.

Uses and Disclosures with Neither Consent nor Authorization:

We may use or disclose PHI without your consent or authorization in the following circumstances:

Child Abuse: If we know, or have reasonable cause to suspect, that a child is abused, abandoned, or neglected by a parent, legal custodian, caregiver or other person responsible for the child's welfare, the law requires that we report such knowledge or suspicion to the Florida Department of Child and Family Services.

Adult and Domestic Abuse: If we know, or have cause to suspect, that a vulnerable adult (disabled or elderly) has been or is being abused, neglected, or exploited, we are required by law to immediately report such knowledge or suspicion to the Central Abuse Hotline.

Health Oversight: If a complaint is filed against us with the Florida Department of Health, the Department has the authority to subpoena confidential mental health information from us relevant to that complaint.

Government: We may disclose the PHI information of military personnel and veterans to government benefit programs relating to eligibility and enrollment.

Impaired Professionals: We may disclose information pertaining to the safety to practice to the Florida Department of Health for health care professionals if we have reasonable reason to believe public safety is endangered or where there would be a statutory duty to report.

Judicial or Administrative Proceedings: If you are involved in a court proceeding and a request is made for information about your diagnosis or treatment and the records thereof, such information is privileged under state law, and we will not release information without the written authorization of you or your legal representative, or a subpoena of which you have been properly notified and you have failed to inform us that you are opposing the subpoena or a court order. The privilege does not apply when you are being evaluated for a third party or where the evaluation is court ordered. You will be informed in advance if this is the case.

Serious Threat to Health or Safety: When you present a clear and immediate probability of physical harm to yourself, to other individuals, or to society, we may communicate relevant information concerning this to the potential victim, appropriate family member, or law enforcement or other appropriate authorities.

Worker's Compensation: If you file a worker's compensation claim, we must, upon request of your employer, the insurance carrier, and authorized qualified rehabilitation provider, or the attorney for the employer or insurance carrier furnish your relevant records to those persons.

Litigation: If you have a pending personal injury claim such as auto accident, malpractice claim or other situation in which you are eligible to collect damages, your entire records may be subject to disclosure by subpoena or court order and are subject to full disclosure to the payor of any claims we file for services on your behalf. You may object, in writing, to a subpoena for such records. In the case of an Independent Medical Examination which is being conducted on behalf of a third party, any information is subject to disclosure to that third party. However, you may have additional rights under State law.

Forensic Evaluation at the request of your attorney: In most circumstances, such evaluations, if arranged for and paid for through your attorney's office retain a special status of attorney-client privilege until such information is disclosed by your attorney or used for legal purposes. Such evaluations are not protected by rights established under HIPAA.

Law Enforcement: We may disclosed health information for law enforcement purposes and special governmental functions only as required by Federal, State or Local law.

Business Associates: We have Business Associates with whom we may share your Protected Health Information. Examples included Business Associates who provide coverage while we are out of town, answering services as necessary, shared clerical functions with Business Associates with whom we may share offices with, collection agencies or collection attorneys, or technicians who may need to service equipment where necessary information is stored. We enter into agreements with such associates such that they are also obligated to respect the privacy of your Protected Health Information.

Communication with Family: If a family member or close fried calls for scheduling, payment, or changing appointments and in our best judgment we do not believe you would object, we may communicate minimal necessary information to facilitate scheduling, payments and appointments. With your signed consent, if family members, other relatives, close personal friend, or any other person you identify as participating in your care, minimal necessary health information relevant to that person's involvement in your care or in payment for such care if you do not object or in an emergency. Unless you notify us otherwise, we may leave messages on your home or cell phone if you utilize and answering machine, voice mail, or text message, or email regarding contacting our office regarding scheduling or regarding personal or third party payment.

Marketing: We may Contact you to provide you with appointment reminders, with information about other health-related benefits or services that may be of interest to you.

Health Research: We may use Personal Health Information to conduct or participate in research studies based upon clinical and health records. In such cases any PHI shall be removed. For example, we may collect outcome data or group treatment

Case 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-33 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 5 of 8

approaches or we may use data from your record to conduct a study or test patterns in head injury. Of course, we will not conduct any experimental research without a separate informed consent.

Correctional Institution: If you are an inmate of a correctional institution, we may disclose to the institution or agents there of your PHI necessary for your health and health and safety of other individuals.

Patient's Rights and Psychologist/Counselor's Duties:

Patient's Rights:

- Right to Request Restrictions: You have the right to request restrictions on certain uses and disclosures of Protected
 Health Information about you. However, we are not required to agree to a restriction you request.
- Right to Receive Confidential Communication s by Alternative Means and at Alternative Locations: You have the right to request and receive confidential communications of PHI by alternative means and at alternative locations. (For example, you may not want a family member to know that you are in counseling. Upon your request, we will send your bills to another address.)
- Right to Inspect and Copy: You have the right to inspect or obtain a copy (or both) of PHI in our mental health and billing records used to make decisions about you for as long as the PHI is maintained in the record. On your request, we will discuss with you the details of the request process.
- Right to Amend: You have the right to request an amendment of PHI for as long as the PHI is maintained in the record.
 We may deny your request. On your request, we will discuss with you the details of the amendment process.
- Right to an Accounting: You generally have the right to receive an accounting of disclosures of PHI regarding you. On
 your request, we will discuss with you the details of the accounting process.
- ~ Right to a Paper Copy: You have the right to obtain a paper copy of the notice from us upon request, even if you have agreed to receive the notice electronically.

We reserve the right to bill you for professional time involved in explaining or reviewing these procedures with you.

Psychologist/Counselor's Duties:

- We are required by law to maintain the privacy of PHI and to provide you with a notice of our legal duties and privacy practices with respect to PHI.
- ~ We reserve the right to change the privacy policies and practices described in the notice. Unless we notify you of such changes, however, we are requires to abide by the terms currently in effect.

If we revise our policies and procedures, we wil notify active clients by mail. Returning clients will be notified upon their first visit following a change in policy and procedures. Clients may request a written copy at any time by mailing such a request to SDG Counseling, LLC, 4400 N. Federal Highway, Suite 210, Boca Raton, FL 33431.

Questions and Complaints:

If you are a client of SDG Counseling, LLC and have question s about this notice, disagree with a decision we make about access to your records, believe that your privacy rights have been violated and wish to file a complaint or have other concerns about your privacy rights, you may contact Dr. Otto at 561-703-9444. You may also send a written complaint to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

You have specific rights under the Privacy Rule. We will not retaliate against you for exercising your right to file a complaint.



Therapy Agreement

services at SDG Counseling, LLC for myself and the following persons for whor responsible.	
 I am responsible for any and all indebtedness incurred as a result of seme or those under my guardianship by this therapy or testing. I understand that if, during the course of treatment, the counselor det threat of physical harm (including child or elder abuse) to the client or person is imminent, the appropriate individuals and authorities will be the appropriate authorities by be notified in accordance with the follor statutes: F.S. 39.201, F.S. 39.202, F.S. 39.204, F.S. 490.0147, and F.S. 49. I further agree to indemnify and hold harmless SDG Counseling, LLC, it and employees from any claim for damages, or any nature arising out due to, any activity related thereto. I accept full responsibility for any or regarding my life. 	ermines that a to another notified. By law, wing Florida 91.0147. s agents, servants of, or allegedly
I certify that he/she has read the above information carefully, understands its agree to receive services for myself and/or anyone herein designated as provi	
Signed: Date:	

Signed: _____

Date: ______



INFORMED CONSENT FOR COUNSELING REGARDING UNWANTED SAME-SEX ATTRACTIONS AND BEHAVIORS

For those clients struggling with sexual attractions or feelings that are inconsistent with their religious beliefs or that the client does not believe are true statements of how that person believes himself or herself to be, we offer counseling to assist those clients in understanding causes of such feelings or attractions and help them work toward their goal of making their attractions and feelings consistent with their beliefs. It is critical to understand that you, as the client, set the goals and objectives of counseling. Your therapist is only here to assist you in working toward the goals you set and does not participate in the decision of what a client's goals should be.

It is important for all clients to understand that there is never a guarantee of success with any form of mental health counseling, and that no marriage and family therapist can promise certain outcomes will be obtained by the client. This is also true with counseling for those individuals seeking to change, reduce, or eliminate unwanted same-sex attractions or feelings. It is also important to understand that your marriage and family therapist does not take a position on the goals or objectives you have with your counseling. It is our task to assist all clients in the goals that the client sets, and that your therapist will work with you to identify and understand what underlying issues may be causing you anxiety, distress, discomfort, fear, confusion, or any other uncomfortable feelings you may be having.

Though your therapist cannot guarantee a successful outcome, many clients who identify their goal as seeking to change their unwanted attractions or feelings do experience benefit from the counseling we provide. Many clients can and do succeed in reducing their attractions towards members of the same sex and reducing anxiety and confusion that arises from such feelings. For those clients whose anxiety, distress, or confusion arises from the inconsistency between their religious beliefs and their sexual attractions, feelings, or behaviors, counseling can and does provide benefit to those clients and allows them to conform their attractions and behaviors to their values, beliefs, and faith.

As with many other form of counseling, the therapeutic process can evoke stressful feelings or emotions that are difficult to deal with during the process. Change is never quick or easy, for any anxiety or distress that a client is feeling. The same is true of unwanted sexual or romantic feelings and attractions. Your therapist wants you to know that you are in control of your counseling at all times, and if your goals or objectives change at any point during the counseling, you should inform your therapist immediately.

Your therapist also wants you to know that there are some mental health professionals and others who suggest you should not have the goal of reducing or eliminating your unwanted feelings or attractions, and that some people believe that such counseling is unlikely to assist you. As noted above, your therapist disagrees with such conclusions and has personally



counseled many people who experienced successful change. While your therapist cannot guarantee that for you, you should be informed of the various viewpoints concerning this form of counseling prior to making your decision to choose and pursue such counseling.

Consent Statement: I have read this document, have had an opportunity to discuss its content with my therapist, agree to its terms, and have received a copy. This authorization constitutes informed consent for my decision to address issues related to unwanted attractions or feelings in a manner that is consistent with my goals for counseling, including my values and moral beliefs.

Patient Signature	Birth Date	Date
	(If a minor)	
Parent or Legal Guardian's Signature		Date
Therapist's Signature		Date

Code of Ethics

PREAMBLE

The Board of Directors of the American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy (AAMFT) hereby promulgates, pursuant to Article 2, Section 2.01.3 of the Association's Bylaws, the Revised AAMFT Code of Ethics, effective January 1, 2015.

Honoring Public Trust

The AAMFT strives to honor the public trust in marriage and family therapists by setting standards for ethical practice as described in this Code. The ethical standards define professional expectations and are enforced by the AAMFT Ethics Committee.

Commitment to Service, Advocacy and Public Participation

Marriage and family therapists are defined by an enduring dedication to professional and ethical excellence, as well as the commitment to service, advocacy, and public participation. The areas of service, advocacy, and public participation are recognized as responsibilities to the profession equal in importance to all other aspects. Marriage and family therapists embody these aspirations by participating in activities that contribute to a better community and society, including devoting a portion of their professional activity to services for which there is little or no financial return. Additionally, marriage and family therapists are concerned with developing laws and regulations pertaining to marriage and family therapy that serve the public interest, and with altering such laws and regulations that are not in the public interest. Marriage and family therapists also encourage public participation in the design and delivery of professional services and in the regulation of practitioners. Professional competence in these areas is essential to the character of the field, and to the well-being of clients and their communities.

Seeking Consultation

The absence of an explicit reference to a specific behavior or situation in the Code does not mean that the behavior is ethical or unethical. The standards are not exhaustive. Marriage and family therapists who are uncertain about the ethics of a particular course of action are encouraged to seek counsel from consultants, attorneys, supervisors, colleagues, or other appropriate authorities.

Ethical Decision-Making

Both law and ethics govern the practice of marriage and family therapy. When making decisions regarding professional behavior, marriage and family therapists must consider the AAMFT Code of Ethics and PLJoint 066

Paid Advertisement



7/31/200% ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Engliebet Fibigs FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 2 of

applicable laws and regulations. If the AAMFT Code of thics prescribes a standard higher than that required by law, marriage and family therapists must meet the higher standard of the AAMFT Code of Ethics. Marriage and family therapists comply with the mandates of law, but make known their commitment to the AAMFT Code of Ethics and take steps to resolve the conflict in a responsible manner. The AAMFT supports legal mandates for reporting of alleged unethical conduct.

Marriage and family therapists remain accountable to the AAMFT Code of Ethics when acting as members or employees of organizations. If the mandates of an organization with which a marriage and family therapist is affiliated, through employment, contract or otherwise, conflict with the AAMFT Code of Ethics, marriage and family therapists make known to the organization their commitment to the AAMFT Code of Ethics and take reasonable steps to resolve the conflict in a way that allows the fullest adherence to the Code of Ethics.

Binding Expectations

The AAMFT Code of Ethics is binding on members of AAMFT in all membership categories, all AAMFT Approved Supervisors and all applicants for membership or the Approved Supervisor designation. AAMFT members have an obligation to be familiar with the AAMFT Code of Ethics and its application to their professional services. Lack of awareness or misunderstanding of an ethical standard is not a defense to a charge of unethical conduct.

Resolving Complaints

The process for filing, investigating, and resolving complaints of unethical conduct is described in the current AAMFT Procedures for Handling Ethical Matters. Persons accused are considered innocent by the Ethics Committee until proven guilty, except as otherwise provided, and are entitled to due process. If an AAMFT member resigns in anticipation of, or during the course of, an ethics investigation, the Ethics Committee will complete its investigation. Any publication of action taken by the Association will include the fact that the member attempted to resign during the investigation.

Aspirational Core Values

The following core values speak generally to the membership of AAMFT as a professional association, yet they also inform all the varieties of practice and service in which marriage and family therapists engage. These core values are aspirational in nature, and are distinct from ethical standards. These values are intended to provide an aspirational framework within which marriage and family therapists may pursue the highest goals of practice.

The core values of AAMFT embody:

- 1. Acceptance, appreciation, and inclusion of a diverse membership.
- 2. Distinctiveness and excellence in training of marriage and family therapists and those desiring to advance their skills, knowledge and expertise in systemic and relational therapies.
- 3. Responsiveness and excellence in service to members.
- 4. Diversity, equity and excellence in clinical practice, research, education and administration.
- 5. Integrity evidenced by a high threshold of ethical and honest behavior within Association governance and by members.
- 6. Innovation and the advancement of knowledge of systemic and relational therapies.

Ethical Standards

7/31/2003ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enterect Points FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 3 of

Ethical standards, by contrast, are rules of practice upon which the marriage and family therapist is obliged and judged. The introductory paragraph to each standard in the AAMFT Code of Ethics is an aspirational/explanatory orientation to the enforceable standards that follow.

STANDARD I RESPONSIBILITY TO CLIENTS

Marriage and family therapists advance the welfare of families and individuals and make reasonable efforts to find the appropriate balance between conflicting goals within the family system.

1.1 Non-Discrimination.

Marriage and family therapists provide professional assistance to persons without discrimination on the basis of race, age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, disability, gender, health status, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity or relationship status.

1.2 Informed Consent.

Marriage and family therapists obtain appropriate informed consent to therapy or related procedures and use language that is reasonably understandable to clients. When persons, due to age or mental status, are legally incapable of giving informed consent, marriage and family therapists obtain informed permission from a legally authorized person, if such substitute consent is legally permissible. The content of informed consent may vary depending upon the client and treatment plan; however, informed consent generally necessitates that the client: (a) has the capacity to consent; (b) has been adequately informed of significant information concerning treatment processes and procedures; (c) has been adequately informed of potential risks and benefits of treatments for which generally recognized standards do not yet exist; (d) has freely and without undue influence expressed consent; and (e) has provided consent that is appropriately documented.

1.3 Multiple Relationships.

Marriage and family therapists are aware of their influential positions with respect to clients, and they avoid exploiting the trust and dependency of such persons. Therapists, therefore, make every effort to avoid conditions and multiple relationships with clients that could impair professional judgment or increase the risk of exploitation. Such relationships include, but are not limited to, business or close personal relationships with a client or the client's immediate family. When the risk of impairment or exploitation exists due to conditions or multiple roles, therapists document the appropriate precautions taken.

1.4 Sexual Intimacy with Current Clients and Others.

Sexual intimacy with current clients or with known members of the client's family system is prohibited.

1.5 Sexual Intimacy with Former Clients and Others.

Sexual intimacy with former clients or with known members of the client's family system is prohibited.

1.6 Reports of Unethical Conduct.

Marriage and family therapists comply with applicable laws regarding the reporting of alleged unethical conduct.

1.7 Abuse of the Therapeutic Relationship.

7/31/20 Case 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enderect bins FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 4 of

Marriage and family therapists do not abuse their powers in therapeutic relationships.

1.8 Client Autonomy in Decision Making.

Marriage and family therapists respect the rights of clients to make decisions and help them to understand the consequences of these decisions. Therapists clearly advise clients that clients have the responsibility to make decisions regarding relationships such as cohabitation, marriage, divorce, separation, reconciliation, custody, and visitation.

1.9 Relationship Beneficial to Client.

Marriage and family therapists continue therapeutic relationships only so long as it is reasonably clear that clients are benefiting from the relationship.

1.10 Referrals.

Marriage and family therapists respectfully assist persons in obtaining appropriate therapeutic services if the therapist is unable or unwilling to provide professional help.

1.11 Non-Abandonment.

Marriage and family therapists do not abandon or neglect clients in treatment without making reasonable arrangements for the continuation of treatment.

1.12 Written Consent to Record.

Marriage and family therapists obtain written informed consent from clients before recording any images or audio or permitting third-party observation.

1.13 Relationships with Third Parties.

Marriage and family therapists, upon agreeing to provide services to a person or entity at the request of a third party, clarify, to the extent feasible and at the outset of the service, the nature of the relationship with each party and the limits of confidentiality.

STANDARD II CONFIDENTIALITY

Marriage and family therapists have unique confidentiality concerns because the client in a therapeutic relationship may be more than one person. Therapists respect and guard the confidences of each individual client.

2.1 Disclosing Limits of Confidentiality.

Marriage and family therapists disclose to clients and other interested parties at the outset of services the nature of confidentiality and possible limitations of the clients' right to confidentiality. Therapists review with clients the circumstances where confidential information may be requested and where disclosure of confidential information may be legally required. Circumstances may necessitate repeated disclosures.

2.2 Written Authorization to Release Client Information.

Marriage and family therapists do not disclose client confidences except by written authorization or waiver, or where mandated or permitted by law. Verbal authorization will not be sufficient except in emergency

7/31/2008ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enfert Fbirs FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 5 of

situations, unless prohibited by law. When providing couple, family or group treatment, the therapist does not disclose information outside the treatment context without a written authorization from each individual competent to execute a waiver. In the context of couple, family or group treatment, the therapist may not reveal any individual's confidences to others in the client unit without the prior written permission of that individual.

2.3 Client Access to Records.

Marriage and family therapists provide clients with reasonable access to records concerning the clients. When providing couple, family, or group treatment, the therapist does not provide access to records without a written authorization from each individual competent to execute a waiver. Marriage and family therapists limit client's access to their records only in exceptional circumstances when they are concerned, based on compelling evidence, that such access could cause serious harm to the client. The client's request and the rationale for withholding some or all of the record should be documented in the client's file. Marriage and family therapists take steps to protect the confidentiality of other individuals identified in client records.

2.4 Confidentiality in Non-Clinical Activities.

Marriage and family therapists use client and/or clinical materials in teaching, writing, consulting, research, and public presentations only if a written waiver has been obtained in accordance with Standard 2.2, or when appropriate steps have been taken to protect client identity and confidentiality.

2.5 Protection of Records.

Marriage and family therapists store, safeguard, and dispose of client records in ways that maintain confidentiality and in accord with applicable laws and professional standards.

2.6 Preparation for Practice Changes.

In preparation for moving a practice, closing a practice, or death, marriage and family therapists arrange for the storage, transfer, or disposal of client records in conformance with applicable laws and in ways that maintain confidentiality and safeguard the welfare of clients.

2.7 Confidentiality in Consultations.

Marriage and family therapists, when consulting with colleagues or referral sources, do not share confidential information that could reasonably lead to the identification of a client, research participant, supervisee, or other person with whom they have a confidential relationship unless they have obtained the prior written consent of the client, research participant, supervisee, or other person with whom they have a confidential relationship. Information may be shared only to the extent necessary to achieve the purposes of the consultation.

STANDARD III

PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE AND INTEGRITY

Marriage and family therapists maintain high standards of professional competence and integrity.

3.1 Maintenance of Competency.

Marriage and family therapists pursue knowledge of new developments and maintain their competence in marriage and family therapy through education, training, and/or supervised experience. $\begin{array}{c} \text{PLJoint 070} \end{array}$

7/31/20 the 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enterect the Property of Standards 121-34 Enterect the Property Office the Property of Standards 121-34 Enterect the Property Office the Property Office the Property Office the Property Office t

Marriage and family therapists pursue appropriate consultation and training to ensure adequate knowledge of and adherence to applicable laws, ethics, and professional standards.

3.3 Seek Assistance.

Marriage and family therapists seek appropriate professional assistance for issues that may impair work performance or clinical judgment.

3.4 Conflicts of Interest.

Marriage and family therapists do not provide services that create a conflict of interest that may impair work performance or clinical judgment.

3.5 Maintenance of Records.

Marriage and family therapists maintain accurate and adequate clinical and financial records in accordance with applicable law.

3.6 Development of New Skills.

While developing new skills in specialty areas, marriage and family therapists take steps to ensure the competence of their work and to protect clients from possible harm. Marriage and family therapists practice in specialty areas new to them only after appropriate education, training, and/or supervised experience.

3.7 Harassment.

Marriage and family therapists do not engage in sexual or other forms of harassment of clients, students, trainees, supervisees, employees, colleagues, or research subjects.

3.8 Exploitation.

Marriage and family therapists do not engage in the exploitation of clients, students, trainees, supervisees, employees, colleagues, or research subjects.

3.9 Gifts.

Marriage and family therapists attend to cultural norms when considering whether to accept gifts from or give gifts to clients. Marriage and family therapists consider the potential effects that receiving or giving gifts may have on clients and on the integrity and efficacy of the therapeutic relationship.

3.10 Scope of Competence.

Marriage and family therapists do not diagnose, treat, or advise on problems outside the recognized boundaries of their competencies.

3.11 Public Statements.

Marriage and family therapists, because of their ability to influence and alter the lives of others, exercise special care when making public their professional recommendations and opinions through testimony or other public statements.

3.12 Professional Misconduct.

Marriage and family therapists may be in violation of this Code and subject to termination of membership or other appropriate action if they: (a) are convicted of any felony; (b) are convicted of a misdemeanor related to

7/31/2008ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Engleret Figs FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 7 of

their qualifications or functions; (c) engage in conduct which could lead to conviction of a felony, or a misdemeanor related to their qualifications or functions; (d) are expelled from or disciplined by other professional organizations; (e) have their licenses or certificates suspended or revoked or are otherwise disciplined by regulatory bodies; (f) continue to practice marriage and family therapy while no longer competent to do so because they are impaired by physical or mental causes or the abuse of alcohol or other substances; or (g) fail to cooperate with the Association at any point from the inception of an ethical complaint through the completion of all proceedings regarding that complaint.

STANDARD IV RESPONSIBILITY TO STUDENTS AND SUPERVISEES

Marriage and family therapists do not exploit the trust and dependency of students and supervisees.

4.1 Exploitation.

Marriage and family therapists who are in a supervisory role are aware of their influential positions with respect to students and supervisees, and they avoid exploiting the trust and dependency of such persons. Therapists, therefore, make every effort to avoid conditions and multiple relationships that could impair professional objectivity or increase the risk of exploitation. When the risk of impairment or exploitation exists due to conditions or multiple roles, therapists take appropriate precautions.

4.2 Therapy with Students or Supervisees.

Marriage and family therapists do not provide therapy to current students or supervisees.

4.3 Sexual Intimacy with Students or Supervisees.

Marriage and family therapists do not engage in sexual intimacy with students or supervisees during the evaluative or training relationship between the therapist and student or supervisee.

4.4 Oversight of Supervisee Competence.

Marriage and family therapists do not permit students or supervisees to perform or to hold themselves out as competent to perform professional services beyond their training, level of experience, and competence.

4.5 Oversight of Supervisee Professionalism.

Marriage and family therapists take reasonable measures to ensure that services provided by supervisees are professional.

4.6 Existing Relationship with Students or Supervisees

Marriage and family therapists are aware of their influential positions with respect to supervisees, and they avoid exploiting the trust and dependency of such persons. Supervisors, therefore, make every effort to avoid conditions and multiple relationships with supervisees that could impair professional judgment or increase the risk of exploitation. Examples of such relationships include, but are not limited to, business or close personal relationships with supervisees or the supervisee's immediate family. When the risk of impairment or exploitation exists due to conditions or multiple roles, supervisors document the appropriate precautions taken.

4.7 Confidentiality with Supervisees.

Marriage and family therapists do not disclose supervisee confidences except by written authorization or waiver, or when mandated or permitted by law. In educational or training settings where there are multiple supervisors, disclosures are permitted only to other professional colleagues, administrators, or employers who share responsibility for training of the supervisee. Verbal authorization will not be sufficient except in emergency situations, unless prohibited by law.

4.8 Payment for Supervision.

Marriage and family therapists providing clinical supervision shall not enter into financial arrangements with supervisees through deceptive or exploitative practices, nor shall marriage and family therapists providing clinical supervision exert undue influence over supervisees when establishing supervision fees. Marriage and family therapists shall also not engage in other exploitative practices of supervisees.

STANDARD V RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION

Marriage and family therapists respect the dignity and protect the welfare of research participants, and are aware of applicable laws, regulations, and professional standards governing the conduct of research.

5.1 Institutional Approval.

When institutional approval is required, marriage and family therapists submit accurate information about their research proposals and obtain appropriate approval prior to conducting the research.

5. 2 Protection of Research Participants.

Marriage and family therapists are responsible for making careful examinations of ethical acceptability in planning research. To the extent that services to research participants may be compromised by participation in research, marriage and family therapists seek the ethical advice of qualified professionals not directly involved in the investigation and observe safeguards to protect the rights of research participants.

5. 3 Informed Consent to Research.

Marriage and family therapists inform participants about the purpose of the research, expected length, and research procedures. They also inform participants of the aspects of the research that might reasonably be expected to influence willingness to participate such as potential risks, discomforts, or adverse effects. Marriage and family therapists are especially sensitive to the possibility of diminished consent when participants are also receiving clinical services, or have impairments which limit understanding and/or communication, or when participants are children. Marriage and family therapists inform participants about any potential research benefits, the limits of confidentiality, and whom to contact concerning questions about the research and their rights as research participants.

5.4 Right to Decline or Withdraw Participation.

Marriage and family therapists respect each participant's freedom to decline participation in or to withdraw from a research study at any time. This obligation requires special thought and consideration when investigators or other members of the research team are in positions of authority or influence over participants. Marriage and family therapists, therefore, make every effort to avoid multiple relationships with research participants that could impair professional judgment or increase the risk of exploitation. When offering inducements for research participation, marriage and family therapists make reasonable efforts to PLJoint 0.73

7/31/20 Case 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 En Protect Fibins FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 9 of avoid offering inappropriate or excessive inducements when such inducements are likely to coerce

participation.

5.5 Confidentiality of Research Data.

Information obtained about a research participant during the course of an investigation is confidential unless there is a waiver previously obtained in writing. When the possibility exists that others, including family members, may obtain access to such information, this possibility, together with the plan for protecting confidentiality, is explained as part of the procedure for obtaining informed consent.

5.6 Publication.

Marriage and family therapists do not fabricate research results. Marriage and family therapists disclose potential conflicts of interest and take authorship credit only for work they have performed or to which they have contributed. Publication credits accurately reflect the relative contributions of the individual involved.

5.7 Authorship of Student Work.

Marriage and family therapists do not accept or require authorship credit for a publication based from student's research, unless the marriage and family therapist made a substantial contribution beyond being a faculty advisor or research committee member. Co-authorship on student research should be determined in accordance with principles of fairness and justice.

5.8 Plagiarism.

Marriage and family therapists who are the authors of books or other materials that are published or distributed do not plagiarize or fail to cite persons to whom credit for original ideas or work is due.

5.9 Accuracy in Publication.

Marriage and family therapists who are authors of books or other materials published or distributed by an organization take reasonable precautions to ensure that the published materials are accurate and factual.

STANDARD VI TECHNOLOGY-ASSISTED PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

Therapy, supervision, and other professional services engaged in by marriage and family therapists take place over an increasing number of technological platforms. There are great benefits and responsibilities inherent in both the traditional therapeutic and supervision contexts, as well as in the utilization of technologically-assisted professional services. This standard addresses basic ethical requirements of offering therapy, supervision, and related professional services using electronic means.

6.1 Technology Assisted Services.

Prior to commencing therapy or supervision services through electronic means (including but not limited to phone and Internet), marriage and family therapists ensure that they are compliant with all relevant laws for the delivery of such services. Additionally, marriage and family therapists must: (a) determine that technologically-assisted services or supervision are appropriate for clients or supervisees, considering professional, intellectual, emotional, and physical needs; (b) inform clients or supervisees of the potential risks and benefits associated with technologically-assisted services; (c) ensure the security of their

7/31/20 ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enferted Thirs-LSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 10 of

communication medium; and (d) only commence electronic therapy or supervision after appropriate education, training, or supervised experience using the relevant technology.

6.2 Consent to Treat or Supervise.

Clients and supervisees, whether contracting for services as individuals, dyads, families, or groups, must be made aware of the risks and responsibilities associated with technology-assisted services. Therapists are to advise clients and supervisees in writing of these risks, and of both the therapist's and clients'/supervisees' responsibilities for minimizing such risks.

6.3 Confidentiality and Professional Responsibilities.

It is the therapist's or supervisor's responsibility to choose technological platforms that adhere to standards of best practices related to confidentiality and quality of services, and that meet applicable laws. Clients and supervisees are to be made aware in writing of the limitations and protections offered by the therapist's or supervisor's technology.

6.4 Technology and Documentation.

Therapists and supervisors are to ensure that all documentation containing identifying or otherwise sensitive information which is electronically stored and/or transferred is done using technology that adhere to standards of best practices related to confidentiality and quality of services, and that meet applicable laws. Clients and supervisees are to be made aware in writing of the limitations and protections offered by the therapist's or supervisor's technology.

6.5 Location of Services and Practice.

Therapists and supervisors follow all applicable laws regarding location of practice and services, and do not use technologically-assisted means for practicing outside of their allowed jurisdictions.

6.6 Training and Use of Current Technology.

Marriage and family therapists ensure that they are well trained and competent in the use of all chosen technology-assisted professional services. Careful choices of audio, video, and other options are made in order to optimize quality and security of services, and to adhere to standards of best practices for technology-assisted services. Furthermore, such choices of technology are to be suitably advanced and current so as to best serve the professional needs of clients and supervisees.

STANDARD VII PROFESSIONAL EVALUATIONS

Marriage and family therapists aspire to the highest of standards in providing testimony in various contexts within the legal system.

7.1 Performance of Forensic Services.

Marriage and family therapists may perform forensic services which may include interviews, consultations, evaluations, reports, and assessments both formal and informal, in keeping with applicable laws and competencies.

7.2 Testimony in Legal Proceedings

7/31/2018se 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enferted 5thick-LSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 11 of

Marriage and family therapists who provide expert or fact witness testimony in legal proceedings avoid misleading judgments, base conclusions and opinions on appropriate data, and avoid inaccuracies insofar as possible. When offering testimony, as marriage and family therapy experts, they shall strive to be accurate, objective, fair, and independent.

7.3 Competence.

Marriage and family therapists demonstrate competence via education and experience in providing testimony in legal systems.

7.4 Informed Consent.

Marriage and family therapists provide written notice and make reasonable efforts to obtain written consents of persons who are the subject(s) of evaluations and inform clients about the evaluation process, use of information and recommendations, financial arrangements, and the role of the therapist within the legal system.

7.5 Avoiding Conflicts.

Clear distinctions are made between therapy and evaluations. Marriage and family therapists avoid conflict in roles in legal proceedings wherever possible and disclose potential conflicts. As therapy begins, marriage and family therapists clarify roles and the extent of confidentiality when legal systems are involved.

7.6 Avoiding Dual Roles.

Marriage and family therapists avoid providing therapy to clients for whom the therapist has provided a forensic evaluation and avoid providing evaluations for those who are clients, unless otherwise mandated by legal systems.

7.7 Separation of Custody Evaluation from Therapy.

Marriage and family therapists avoid conflicts of interest in treating minors or adults involved in custody or visitation actions by not performing evaluations for custody, residence, or visitation of the minor. Marriage and family therapists who treat minors may provide the court or mental health professional performing the evaluation with information about the minor from the marriage and family therapist's perspective as a treating marriage and family therapist, so long as the marriage and family therapist obtains appropriate consents to release information.

7.8 Professional Opinions.

Marriage and family therapists who provide forensic evaluations avoid offering professional opinions about persons they have not directly interviewed. Marriage and family therapists declare the limits of their competencies and information.

7.9 Changes in Service.

Clients are informed if changes in the role of provision of services of marriage and family therapy occur and/or are mandated by a legal system.

7.10 Familiarity with Rules.

Marriage and family therapists who provide forensic evaluations are familiar with judicial and/or administrative rules prescribing their roles.

STANDARD VIII FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS

Marriage and family therapists make financial arrangements with clients, third-party payors, and supervisees that are reasonably understandable and conform to accepted professional practices.

8.1 Financial Integrity.

Marriage and family therapists do not offer or accept kickbacks, rebates, bonuses, or other remuneration for referrals. Fee-for-service arrangements are not prohibited.

8.2 Disclosure of Financial Policies.

Prior to entering into the therapeutic or supervisory relationship, marriage and family therapists clearly disclose and explain to clients and supervisees: (a) all financial arrangements and fees related to professional services, including charges for canceled or missed appointments; (b) the use of collection agencies or legal measures for nonpayment; and (c) the procedure for obtaining payment from the client, to the extent allowed by law, if payment is denied by the third-party payor. Once services have begun, therapists provide reasonable notice of any changes in fees or other charges.

8.3 Notice of Payment Recovery Procedures.

Marriage and family therapists give reasonable notice to clients with unpaid balances of their intent to seek collection by agency or legal recourse. When such action is taken, therapists will not disclose clinical information.

8.4 Truthful Representation of Services.

Marriage and family therapists represent facts truthfully to clients, third-party payors, and supervisees regarding services rendered.

8.5 Bartering.

Marriage and family therapists ordinarily refrain from accepting goods and services from clients in return for services rendered. Bartering for professional services may be conducted only if: (a) the supervisee or client requests it; (b) the relationship is not exploitative; (c) the professional relationship is not distorted; and (d) a clear written contract is established.

8.6 Withholding Records for Non-Payment.

Marriage and family therapists may not withhold records under their immediate control that are requested and needed for a client's treatment solely because payment has not been received for past services, except as otherwise provided by law.

STANDARD IX ADVERTISING

Marriage and family therapists engage in appropriate informational activities, including those that enable the public, referral sources, or others to choose professional services on an informed basis.

7/31/20 ase 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enferted thin FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 Page 13 of 9.1 Accurate Professional Representation.

Marriage and family therapists accurately represent their competencies, education, training, and experience relevant to their practice of marriage and family therapy in accordance with applicable law.

9.2 Promotional Materials.

Marriage and family therapists ensure that advertisements and publications in any media are true, accurate, and in accordance with applicable law.

9.3 Professional Affiliations.

Marriage and family therapists do not hold themselves out as being partners or associates of a firm if they are not.

9.4 Professional Identification.

Marriage and family therapists do not use any professional identification (such as a business card, office sign, letterhead, Internet, or telephone or association directory listing) if it includes a statement or claim that is false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive.

9.5 Educational Credentials.

Marriage and family therapists claim degrees for their clinical services only if those degrees demonstrate training and education in marriage and family therapy or related fields.

9.6 Employee or Supervisee Qualifications.

Marriage and family therapists make certain that the qualifications of their employees and supervisees are represented in a manner that is true, accurate, and in accordance with applicable law.

9.7 Specialization.

Marriage and family therapists represent themselves as providing specialized services only after taking reasonable steps to ensure the competence of their work and to protect clients, supervisees, and others from harm.

9.8 Correction of Misinformation.

Marriage and family therapists correct, wherever possible, false, misleading, or inaccurate information and representations made by others concerning the therapist's qualifications, services, or products.

Download the AAMFT Code of Ethics PDF

Legal and Ethics Consultations

Your membership in AAMFT allows you access to various member benefits, including consultations with AAMFT's legal and ethics staff. All members of AAMFT are eligible to receive Ethical Advisory Opinions. Members in the following AAMFT membership categories are eligible for Legal Consultations: Pre-Allied Mental Health Professional Members, Allied Mental Professional Members, Pre-Clinical Fellow, and Clinical Fellow.

Ethics Complaint Process

The AAMFT Ethics Committee has the ability to investigate complaints against AAMFT members for alleged violations of the AAMFT Code of Ethics.



Understanding the Benefits of Marriage and Family Therapy

Find a Therapist

If you or someone you know is experiencing distress, therapy with a marriage and family therapist (MFT) can help.

Find an MFT

TENEO

Continuing education designed specifically for MFTs. Explore the 85 online courses offered and expand your knowledge on a variety of topics. Start your personalized online classroom and earn CE credits at your own pace.

Earn CEs

BUILD Your Career

Job Connection
Find Licensing Boards
Minority Fellowship Program
COAMFTE Program Accreditation
MFT Education Programs

7/31/2018se 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-34 Enferter 5 Docket 10/23/2018 Page 15 of **ENHANCE** Knowledge

Online Education & Training

Webinars

Annual Conference

Approved Supervisor Resources

Leadership Symposium

ADVANCE the Profession

Family TEAM

News & Events

Federal

States

Private Payer

Healthcare Reform Database

Practice Protection Fund

Membership

Join AAMFT

Renew membership

Approved Supervisors

My Account

Benefits

American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy

112 South Alfred Street Alexandria, VA 22314-3061

Phone: (703) 838-9808 | Fax: (703) 838-9805

Terms of Use

© 2002 - 2018 2018 American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy









From: <u>Helene Hvizd</u>

To: "julie@drjuliehamilton.com"; Todd J. Bonlarron

Cc: <u>Erin Taylor</u>

Subject: RE: Amended Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance
Date: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 10:46:07 AM

My pleasure!

From: julie@drjuliehamilton.com [mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 10:44 AM

To: Helene Hvizd <HHvizd@pbcgov.org>; Todd J. Bonlarron <TBonlarr@pbcgov.org>

Cc: Erin Taylor <ETaylor2@pbcgov.org>

Subject: RE: Amended Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance

OK - thank you for the clarification!

----- Original Message ------

Subject: Amended Conversion Therapy Ban Ordinance

From: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org > Date: Wed, December 13, 2017 8:35 am

To: "'julie@drjuliehamilton.com'" < julie@drjuliehamilton.com >,

"Todd J. Bonlarron" < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org > Cc: Erin Taylor < ETaylor2@pbcgov.org >

Good morning, Dr. Hamilton,

Following the December 5, 2017, BCC meeting, my office received comments and suggestions from those in favor of the ordinance and from those opposed. The amended ordinance being presented to the BCC at its December 19, 2017, meeting (attached) addresses Commissioners' concerns and is the best draft ordinance my office could fashion taking all suggestions from all interested parties into account. This draft ordinance will not be amended again by my office prior to the December 19, 2017, BCC meeting.

At this point, you will not be circumventing any channels by communicating directly with the County Commissioners, as are those who favor the ordinance.

Thank you,

Helene

From: <u>julie@drjuliehamilton.com</u> [<u>mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com</u>]

Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2017 9:23 AM

To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >; Todd J. Bonlarron < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org >

Cc: Erin Taylor < <u>ETaylor2@pbcgov.org</u>> **Subject:** RE: Tightening the ordinance

Dear Helene and Todd,

Commissioner Bernard suggested that "we should bring the parties together and address it to make sure that it (the ordinance) is narrowly tailored". (see video at 1:44) This followed Commissioner Abrams concerns about the broad language of the ordinance that would ban simple counseling (speech). When I asked Todd about both parties coming together to refine the language, Todd said that your office would be doing that and that I could send my suggestions to your office. I understand that you have already circulated a revised version, but is your office considering the suggestions that I sent to you? It seems that if I send my suggestions directly to the Commissioners, as you have suggested below, it would be circumventing the proper channels, since it is your office that revised the petition and makes the recommendations to the Commissioners. Is your office considering the suggestions and would your office be willing to incorporate those suggestions in the final version? Commissioner Bernard stated in the BCC Meeting that we would still have time to work out a better draft. There is still one week left before the 19th meeting. Is your office considering the suggestions I sent in?

Again, I am willing to send my suggestions to the Commissioners, but honestly, it doesn't really make sense to me to do it that way. Your office seems like the place to discuss and either accept or reject the changes. Or am I not understanding the process clearly?

Thank you for any feedback that you might be able to provide. Julie

------ Original Message ------Subject: RE: Tightening the ordinance
From: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >
Date: Tue, December 12, 2017 2:30 pm

To: "'julie@drjuliehamilton.com'" < julie@drjuliehamilton.com >,

"Todd J. Bonlarron" < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org > Cc: Erin Taylor < ETaylor2@pbcgov.org >

If you want any changes made from the version that is officially on the agenda, then you should ask for those changes. You can provide the BCC with copies of your suggested changes in advance by emailing the Commissioners' offices. That way you would not need to use your public comment to address the suggested changes.

Thank you,

Helene

From: julie@drjuliehamilton.com [mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 4:02 PM

To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >; Todd J. Bonlarron

<<u>TBonlarr@pbcgov.org</u>>

Cc: Erin Taylor < <u>ETaylor2@pbcgov.org</u>> **Subject:** RE: Tightening the ordinance

Will that be part of my 3 minutes, or can I give it to you at the meeting?

------ Original Message ------Subject: RE: Tightening the ordinance
From: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >
Date: Tue, December 12, 2017 1:45 pm

To: "'julie@drjuliehamilton.com'" < julie@drjuliehamilton.com >,

"Todd J. Bonlarron" < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org > Cc: Erin Taylor < ETaylor2@pbcgov.org >

Thank you, Dr. Hamilton. I understand that we are not able to amend the ordinance again before the BCC meeting on December 19, as it is currently in circulation with the amendment intended to respond to the Board's concerns. Will you please bring any proposed amendments to the December 19th BCC meeting for presentation to the BCC then?

From: <u>julie@drjuliehamilton.com</u> [<u>mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com</u>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 12, 2017 3:13 PM

To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org>; Todd J. Bonlarron

<<u>TBonlarr@pbcgov.org</u>>

Cc: Erin Taylor < <u>ETaylor2@pbcgov.org</u>> **Subject:** RE: Tightening the ordinance

Helene,

In Wellington, the commissioner who is a defense attorney for malpractice suits suggested using wording from the APA task force. He said that in line 22 of page 5 in the definition section at the end of that paragraph you could add the line "as long as such counseling does not impose a specific sexual identity outcome" (A quote taken from the APA task force report) to replace the line that currently reads "as long as such counseling does not seek to change an individuals sexual orientation or gender identity". He said that therapists should still have the option of helping clients accomplish their goals of change, as long as those goals were not imposed on the client. To accomplish that, I think you would still have to add the words "against the clients will" to the end of the sentence in line 16 as I noted in my original email to you.

Thank you again for your willingness to consider this! Julie

------ Original Message -------Subject: RE: Tightening the ordinance
From: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >
Date: Mon, December 11, 2017 11:21 am
To: "'julie@drjuliehamilton.com'"

<julie@drjuliehamilton.com</pre>>,

"Todd J. Bonlarron" < <u>TBonlarr@pbcgov.org</u>> Cc: Erin Taylor < <u>ETaylor2@pbcgov.org</u>>

Fantastic, Dr. Hamilton! Thank you!

From: julie@drjuliehamilton.com [mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com]

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 1:19 PM

To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >; Todd J. Bonlarron

<<u>TBonlarr@pbcgov.org</u>>

Cc: Erin Taylor < <u>ETaylor2@pbcgov.org</u>> **Subject:** RE: Tightening the ordinance

Helene,

Thank you for sending the revised ordinance and for your kind words. Thank you for taking the Whereas clause containing the APA misquote out of the ordinance. And thank you for trying to make it more clear. My concern with the current change is that the word "practice" would still apply to speech because the only "practice" that a counselor has is conversation.

I hope Todd will consider the change that I suggested. But if for some reason the change that I recommended is unacceptable, I might have another suggestion. There was a change that was recommended by a commissioner in Wellington. He is a defense attorney for malpractice cases, so he understands healthcare roles and rights. I will try to find that language and send it to you. I might not be back on line until this evening or tomorrow. But I will get that to you as soon as I locate it. Thank you again for considering input!!

------ Original Message ------Subject: RE: Tightening the ordinance
From: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org>
Date: Mon, December 11, 2017 10:40 am
To: "'julie@drjuliehamilton.com'"
< julie@drjuliehamilton.com>,
"Todd J. Bonlarron" < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org>
Cc: Erin Taylor < ETaylor2@pbcgov.org>

Hello Dr. Hamilton,

Thank you for your suggested edits to the ordinance. We made a couple of amendments to the ordinance (copy attached), and it is currently circulating for agenda approval.

I see that you copied Todd. He would be the person to give me final approval to make your suggested edits, so I will await Todd's direction.

Thank you again for taking the time to send your suggestions. I appreciate your professionalism!

Helene

From: julie@drjuliehamilton.com [mailto:julie@drjuliehamilton.com]

Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 12:22 PM **To:** Helene Hvizd < <u>HHvizd@pbcgov.org</u>>; Todd J.

Bonlarron < TBonlarr@pbcgov.org> **Subject:** Tightening the ordinance

Dear Helene,

Commissioner Abrams suggested tightening the language in the ordinance, and you said that we could send you suggestions. So, I am sending some ideas. As you know, I am completely opposed to the ordinance for a number of reasons. Instead of your current ordinance, I would recommend the attached resolution. I believe this one is reasonable and defensible. However, if the HRC or Commissioners are not willing to consider the attached resolution, would you consider making the following changes to the current ordinance (which again, I believe, is unwarranted, harmful, and unlawful).

Suggested changes: Add the word "coercive" before the word "counseling" on page 5, section 4, line 13, and adding "against the individual's will" on line 16 and at the end of the paragraph:

coercive counseling, practices or treatments that seek to change an individual's sexual orientation or gender identity against the individual's will, including but not limited to efforts to change behaviors, gender identity, or gender expressions or to eliminate or reduce sexual or romantic attractions or feelings toward individuals of the same gender or sex. Conversion therapy does not include

counseling that provides support and assistance to a person undergoing gender transition, or counseling that provides acceptance, support, and understanding of a person or facilitates a person's coping, social support, and identity exploration and development, including sexual-orientation-neutral interventions to prevent or address unlawful conduct or unsafe sexual practices, as long as such counseling does not seek to change an individual's sexual orientation or gender identity against the individual's will.

Again, I do not support this ordinance at all, but this change might make it less harmful to minors.

Thank you for your consideration of this, Julie Hamilton

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

Defendant County of Palm Beach

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

Case No.: 9:18-cv-80771-RLR

ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of his patients, JULIE H. HAMILTON, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of her patients,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLORIDA, and COUNTY OF PALM BEACH, FLORIDA,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT, PALM BEACH COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

COMES NOW Defendant, PALM BEACH COUNTY, by and through its undersigned Assistant County Attorney and hereby responds to Plaintiffs' ROBERT W. OTTO and JULIE H. HAMILTON's First Set of Requests for Admission, Interrogatories, and Requests for Production served on July 17, 2018.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on August 22, 2018, I electronically served the foregoing by email to all counsel for the parties on the attached service list.

Rachel Fahey, Esquire

Assistant County Attorney

Florida Bar No. 105734

300 North Dixie Highway, Third Floor

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Tel.: (561) 355-6337

Fax: (561) 355-4234

Primary Email: rfahey@pbcgov.org Secondary Email: dfishel@pbcgov.org

mjcullen@pbcgov.org

PALM BEACH COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 1:

Admit that the County has not received any Complaint that any Minor was harmed by any SOCE counseling provided within the County.

RESPONSE: Denied.

INTERROGATORY 1:

[If your response to RFA 1 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 1 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each Complaint received by the County that a Minor was harmed by any SOCE counseling provided within the County, Identify (per Definition # 8): the Person(s) making the Complaint, the date of the Complaint, the nature of the conduct and harm alleged in the Complaint, the Person(s) receiving the Complaint, the Person(s) allegedly providing the SOCE counseling, the location(s) of the SOCE counseling, the date(s) of the SOCE counseling, the nature of the SOCE counseling, and the Person(s) allegedly harmed.

RESPONSE: At the December 5 and 19, 2017, Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners meetings, Rand Hoch informed the Board that there were two complaints of children within District 1 of Palm Beach County that were harmed by SOCE counseling. Additionally, Nicholas Sofoul, a Palm Beach County resident, advised the Commissioners that he had "personally heard and been moved by the horrific stories of friends that have been subject to these cruel and inhumane methods."

See:

- 1. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000164 PBC 000165];
- 2. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000166-PBC 000167];
- 3. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1 [PBC 000325 PBC 000429];
- 4. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1 [PBC 000430 PBC 000550];
- 5. December 18, 2017, "Support for Conversion Therapy Ban" Email from Nick Sofoul [PBC 002849]

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 1:

[If your response to RFA 1 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 1 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each Complaint received by the County that a Minor was harmed by any SOCE counseling provided within the County, produce:

- (a) all Documents Concerning that Complaint;
- (b) all Documents Concerning how that Complaint was processed, handled, investigated, prosecuted, and/or resolved by the County;
- (c) all Documents Concerning any interview, investigation, or report conducted by the County in connection with that Complaint;
- (d) all internal Communications of County personnel regarding that Complaint; and
- (e) all Communications of County personnel with any Person(s) not employed by the County regarding that Complaint.

RESPONSE: See response to interrogatory #1 above.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 1: See response to interrogatory #1 above.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 2:

Admit that the County has not received any Complaint that any Minor was subjected to SOCE counseling within the County against that Minor's wishes or without that Minor's consent.

RESPONSE: Denied.

INTERROGATORY 2:

[If your response to RFA 2 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 2 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each Complaint received by the County that a Minor was subjected to SOCE counseling within the County against that Minor's wishes or without that Minor's consent, Identify (per Definition #8): the Person(s) making the Complaint, the date of the Complaint, the nature of the conduct and harm alleged in the Complaint, the Person(s) receiving the Complaint, the Person(s) allegedly providing the SOCE counseling, the location(s) of the SOCE counseling, the date(s) of the SOCE counseling, the nature of the SOCE counseling, and the Person(s) allegedly subjected involuntarily to SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: See response to Interrogatory #1.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 2:

[If your response to RFA 2 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 2 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each Complaint received by the County that a Minor was subjected to SOCE counseling within the County against that Minor's wishes or without that Minor's consent, produce:

- (a) all Documents Concerning that Complaint;
- (b) all Documents Concerning how that Complaint was processed, handled, investigated, prosecuted, and/or resolved by the County;
- (c) all Documents Concerning any interview, investigation, or report conducted by the County in connection with that Complaint;
- (d) all internal Communications of County personnel regarding that Complaint; and
- (e) all Communications of County personnel with any Person(s) not employed by the County regarding that Complaint.

RESPONSE: See response to Request for Production #1.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 2: See response to Request for Production #1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 3:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not conduct or commission any of its own empirical studies, research, or investigation to determine whether any Minor within the County had been harmed by any SOCE counseling or had been subjected to any SOCE counseling against the Minor's wishes or without the Minor's consent.

[For the sake of clarity, this RFA is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: Admitted that the County does not "commission" County employees to do their job and that the County did not conduct studies without third party assistance. Denied that the County did not conduct its own research and investigation to determine whether it could identify specific minors whom had been harmed by SOCE counseling or had been subjected to any SOCE counseling against the Minor's wishes or without the Minor's consent.

INTERROGATORY 3:

[If your response to RFA 3 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 3 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted or commissioned by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether any Minor within the County had been harmed by any SOCE counseling or had been subjected to any SOCE counseling against the Minor's wishes or without the Minor's consent, Identify (per Definition #8): the Person(s) who conducted the study, research, or investigation; the date(s) when the study, research, or investigation was conducted; the nature of that study, research, or investigation; the results of that study, research, or investigation; and any Person(s) allegedly found to have been harmed by, or involuntarily subjected to, SOCE counseling.

[For the sake of clarity, this Interrogatory is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: Helene Hvizd, Esq., June 21, 2016 – December 5, 2017, No individuals identified by Ms. Hvizd's individual research and investigation.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 3:

[If your response to RFA 3 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 3 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether any Minor within the County had been harmed by any SOCE counseling or had been subjected to any SOCE counseling against the Minor's wishes or without the Minor's consent, produce:

- (a) all Documents Concerning that study, research, or investigation;
- (b) all internal Communications of County personnel regarding that study, research, or investigation; and
- (c) all Communications of County personnel with any Person(s) not employed by the County regarding that study, research, or investigation.

[For the sake of clarity, this RFP is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: None.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 3: None.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 4:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not conduct or commission any of its own empirical studies, research, or investigations to determine whether voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor.

[For the sake of clarity, this RFA is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: Admitted that the County does not "commission" County employees to do their job and that the County did not conduct studies, non-legal research or investigations without third party assistance of the harms of voluntary SOCE counseling.

INTERROGATORY 4:

[If your response to RFA 4 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 4 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted or commissioned by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor, Identify (per Definition # 8): the Person(s) who conducted the study, research, or investigation; the date(s) when the study, research, or investigation was conducted; the nature of that study, research, or investigation; the results of that study, research, or investigation; and any Person(s) allegedly found to have been harmed by any voluntary SOCE counseling.

[For the sake of clarity, this Interrogatory is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: The County does not "commission" County employees to do their job and that the County did not conduct studies, non-legal research or investigations without third party assistance of the harms of voluntary SOCE counseling.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 4:

[If your response to RFA 4 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 4 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor, produce:

- (a) all Documents Concerning that study, research, or investigation;
- (b) all internal Communications of County personnel regarding that study, research, or investigation; and
- (c) all Communications of County personnel with any Person(s) not employed by the County regarding that study, research, or investigation.

[For the sake of clarity, this RFP is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: None as the County does not "commission" County employees to do their job and that the County did not conduct studies, non-legal research or investigations without third party assistance of the harms of voluntary SOCE counseling.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 4: None.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 5:

Admit that none of the third party empirical studies, research, investigations, resolutions, or position papers which the County reviewed prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 concluded that voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor.

RESPONSE: Denied.

INTERROGATORY 5:

[If your response to RFA 5 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 5 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each third party study, research, investigation, resolution, or position paper reviewed by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, Identify: the specific conclusion which you contend to have been made therein regarding voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences

unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive; the specific page number(s) where you contend that conclusion to exist; and the specific portion of any meeting wherein the County considered that specific conclusion.

RESPONSE:

1. 2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. [PBC 001824-1963]

Although the recent studies do not provide valid causal evidence of the efficacy of SOCE or of its harm, some recent studies document that there are people who perceive that they have been harmed through SOCE (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; G. Smith et al., 2004), just as other recent studies document that there are people who perceive that they have benefited from it (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Pattison & Pattison, 1980; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Spitzer, 2003). Among those studies reporting on the perceptions of harm, the reported negative social and emotional consequences include self-reports of anger, anxiety, confusion, depression, grief, guilt, hopelessness, deteriorated relationships with family, loss of social support, loss of faith, poor self-image, social isolation, intimacy difficulties, intrusive imagery, suicidal ideation, self-hatred, and sexual dysfunction. ... Many participants in studies by Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) described experiencing first the positive effects and then experiencing or acknowledging the negative effects later.

2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation, p. 42.

Participants in the studies by Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) described the harm they experienced as (a) decreased selfesteem and authenticity to others; (b) increased self-hatred and negative perceptions of homosexuality; (c) confusion, depression, guilt, helplessness, hopelessness, shame, social withdrawal, and suicidality; (d) anger at and a sense of betrayal by SOCE providers; (e) an increase in substance abuse and high-risk sexual behaviors; (f) a feeling of being dehumanized and untrue to self; (g) a loss of faith; and (h) a sense of having wasted time and resources. Interpreting SOCE failures as individual failures was also reported in this research, in that individuals blamed themselves for the failure (i.e., weakness, and lack of effort, commitment, faith, or worthiness in God's eyes). Intrusive images and sexual dysfunction were also reported, particularly among those who had experienced aversion techniques. Participants in these studies related that their relationships with others were also harmed in the following ways: (a) hostility toward and blame of parents, believing their parents "caused" their homosexuality; (b) anger at and a sense of betrayal by SOCE providers; (c) loss of LGB friends and potential romantic partners because of the belief that they should avoid sexual minority people; (d) problems in sexual and emotional intimacy with other-sex partners; (e) stress due to the negative emotions of spouses and family members because of expectations that SOCE would work (e.g., disappointment, self-blame for failure of change, perception of betrayal by partner) (see also J. G. Ford, 2001); and (f) guilt and confusion when they were sexually intimate with other same-sex members of the ex-gay groups to which they had turned for help in avoiding their attractions.

Id. at 50-51

The first finding from our review is that there is insufficient evidence that SOCE are efficacious for changing sexual orientation. Furthermore, there is some evidence that such efforts cause harm. ...

... Other discussions focus on other harms of SOCE, such as reinforcing bias, discrimination, and stigma against LGB individuals (Davison, 1976, 1978, 1991; Drescher, 1999, 2001, 2002; Gonsiorek, 2004). ...

... Other perceptions of harm mentioned by recipients of SOCE include increased guilt and hopelessness due to the failure of the intervention, loss of spiritual faith, and a sense of personal failure and unworthiness (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Haldeman, 2001, 2004; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). Other indirect harms from SOCE include the time, energy, and cost of interventions that were not beneficial (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Lilienfeld, 2007; G. Smith et al., 2004).

Id. at 66-68.

The ethical issues outlined in Chapter 7 are also relevant to children and adolescents; however, working with adolescents presents unique ethical dilemmas to LMHP (Koocher, 2003). Children and adolescents are often unable to anticipate the future consequences of a course of action and are emotionally and financially dependent on adults. Further, they are in the midst of developmental processes in which the ultimate outcome is unknown. Efforts to alter that developmental path may have unanticipated consequences (Perrin, 2002).

Id. at 77.

We found no empirical evidence that providing any type of therapy in childhood can alter adult same-sex sexual orientation. Some advocates of these treatments see homosexuality as a mental disorder, a concept that has been rejected by the mental health professions for more than 35 years. Further, the theories that such efforts are based on have not been corroborated by scientific

evidence or evaluated for harm. Thus, we recommend that LMHP avoid such efforts and provide instead multicultural, client-centered, and affirmative treatments that are developmentally appropriate (Perrin, 2002).

SOCE that focus on negative representations of homosexuality and lack a theoretical or evidence base provide no documented benefits and can pose harm through increasing sexual stigma and providing inaccurate information.

Id. at 79.

Report was discussed at PBC 000336-37, 000341, 000453, 000472. See PBC 000339, 000391, 000508.

2. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000053-128].

Interventions aimed at a fixed outcome, such as gender conformity or heterosexual orientation, including those aimed at changing gender identity, gender expression, and sexual orientation are coercive, can be harmful, and should not be part of behavioral health treatment. (American Psychiatric Association, 2013b; American Psychological Association, 2010; National Association of Social Workers, 2008).

2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth, p. 1, 11.

As will be addressed in detail below, conversion therapy perpetuates outdated gender roles and negative stereotypes that being a sexual or gender minority or identifying as LGBTQ is an abnormal aspect of human development. Most importantly, it may put young people at risk of serious harm.

Id. at 7.

There is a lack of published research on efforts to change sexual orientation among children and adolescents; no existing research supports that mental health and behavioral interventions with children and adolescents alter sexual orientation. Given the research on the secondary outcomes of such efforts, the potential for risk of harm suggests the need for other models of behavioral health treatment

Id. at 12.

Mental health and behavioral interventions aimed at achieving a fixed outcome, such as gender conformity, including those aimed at changing gender identity or gender expression, are coercive, can be harmful, and should not be part of treatment. Directing the child or adolescent to conform to any particular gender expression or identity, or directing parents and guardians to place pressure on the child or adolescent to conform to specific gender expressions and/or identities, is inappropriate and reinforces harmful gender stereotypes

Id. at 13.

There have been no studies on the effects of conversion therapy on children, though adults' retrospective accounts of their experiences of conversion therapy during childhood or adolescence suggests that many were harmed (American Psychological Association, 2009). No new studies have been published that would change the conclusions reached in the APA Taskforce's 2009 review.

Id. at 25.

No research has been published in the peer-reviewed literature that demonstrates the efficacy of conversion therapy efforts with gender minority youth, nor any benefits of such interventions to children and their families. Researchers have reported that these interventions are ineffective in decreasing the likelihood of a future same-gender sexual orientation or minority sexual identity (Zucker & Bradley, 1995). In addition to a lack of evidence for the efficacy of conversion therapy with gender minority youth, there are concerns about the ethics of this practice (Byne, et al., 2012; Coleman, et al., 2012) as well as the practice's potential for harm (Minter, 2012; Wallace & Russell, 2013). Although no research demonstrating the harms of conversion therapy with gender minority youth has been published, the potential harms of conversion therapy are suggested by clinicians' observations that the behavioral issues and psychological distress of many children and adolescents with gender dysphoria improves markedly when their gender identities and expressions are affirmed through social and/or medical transition (de Vries, Steensma, Doreleijers, & Cohen-Kettenis, 2011; Edwards-Leeper & Spack, 2012), as well as by the body of literature demonstrating the negative effects of both rejection and a lack of support on the health and well-being of gender minority youth (e.g., research from Kosciw, et al. (2014), Ryan, et al. (2010), and Travers, et al. (2012)).

In conclusion, given the lack of evidence for the efficacy conversion therapy and the fact that conversion therapy efforts are based on a view of gender diversity that runs counter to scientific consensus, in addition to evidence that rejecting behaviors and a lack of support have adverse effects on the psychological well-being of gender minority youth — conversion therapy, as

well as any therapeutic intervention with an *a priori* goal for a child's or adolescent's gender expression, gender identity, or sexual orientation, is inappropriate. Given the potential for harm associated with conversion therapy efforts, other affirmative behavioral health interventions are recommended for individual or family distress associated with sexual orientation and gender identity.

Id. at 26.

In addition, interventions that attempt to change sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, or any other form of conversion therapy are also inappropriate and may cause harm. Informed consent cannot be provided for an intervention that does not have a benefit to the client.

Id. at 27.

"When assessing the validity of conversion therapy, or other practices that seek to change an individual's gender identity or sexual orientation, it is as imperative to seek guidance from certified medical experts. The overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrates that conversion therapy, especially when it is practiced on young people, is neither medically nor ethically appropriate and can cause substantial harm.

As part of our dedication to protecting America's youth, this Administration supports efforts to ban the use of conversion therapy for minors." (Jarrett, 2015)

Id. at 24 (italics in original).

See PBC 000339, 000391, 000508.

3. <u>Homosexuality and Adolescence, Pediatrics Vol. 92 No. 4 (October 1993)</u> [PBC000015-20].

Therapy directed specifically at changing sexual orientation is contraindicated, since it can provoke guilt and anxiety while having little or no potential for achieving changes in orientation.

Homosexuality and Adolescence, Pediatrics Vol. 92 No. 4 (October 1993), p. 633.

Mentioned at PBC 000337, 000339. See PBC 000391, 000508.

4. American College of Physicians, LGBT Health Disparities article and the Therapies to Change Sexual Orientation Lack Medical Justification and Threaten Health, Annals of Internal Medicine (2015) [PBC 000129-163].

Available research does not support the use of reparative therapy as an effective method in the treatment of LGBT persons. Evidence shows that the practice may actually cause emotional or physical harm to LGBT individuals, particularly adolescents or young persons. Research done at San Francisco State University on the effect of familial attitudes and acceptance found that LGBT youth who were rejected by their families because of their identity were more likely than their LGBT peers who were not rejected or only mildly rejected by their families to attempt suicide, report high levels of depression, use illegal drugs, or be at risk for HIV and sexually transmitted illnesses (71). The American Psychological Association literature review found that reparative therapy is associated with the loss of sexual feeling, depression, anxiety, and suicidality (68).

American College of Physicians, LGBT Health Disparities article and the Therapies to Change Sexual Orientation Lack Medical Justification and Threaten Health, Annals of Internal Medicine (2015), p. 21 of 35.

See PBC 000339, 000391, 000508.

5. <u>American Psychiatric Association Position Statement on Psychiatric Treatment and Sexual Orientation</u>, (1998) [PBC 000021].

The potential risks of "reparative therapy" are great and include depression, anxiety, and self-destructive behavior, since therapist alignment with societal prejudices against homosexuality may reinforce self-hatred already experienced by the patient. Many patients who have undergone "reparative therapy" relate that they were inaccurately told that homosexuals are lonely, unhappy individuals who never achieve acceptance or satisfaction. The possibility that the person might achieve happiness and satisfying interpersonal relationships as a gay man or lesbian are not presented, nor are alternative approaches to dealing with the effects of societal stigmatization discussed. APA recognizes that in the course of ongoing psychiatric treatment, there may be appropriate clinical indications for attempting to change sexual behaviors.

American Psychiatric Association Position Statement on Psychiatric Treatment and Sexual Orientation, (1998).

Mentioned at PBC 000336-37, 000339, 000472. See PBC 000391, 000508.

6. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45].

There is no established evidence that change in a predominant, enduring homosexual pattern of development is possible. Although sexual fantasies can,

to some degree, be suppressed or repressed by those who are ashamed of or in conflict about them, sexual desire is not a choice. However, behavior, social role, and—to a degree—identity and self-acceptance are. Although operant conditioning modifies sexual fetishes, it does not alter homosexuality. Psychiatric efforts to alter sexual orientation through "reparative therapy" in adults have found little or no change in sexual orientation, while causing significant risk of harm to self-esteem. A study of efforts to do so in adults has been criticized for failure to adequately consider risks such as increased anguish, self-loathing, depression, anxiety, sub-stance abuse and suicidality, and for failure to support appropriate coping with prejudice and stigma.

There is no empirical evidence that adult homosexuality can be prevented if gender nonconforming children are influenced to be more gender conforming. Indeed, there is no medically valid basis for attempting to prevent homosexuality, which is not an illness. On the contrary, such efforts may encourage family rejection and undermine self-esteem, connectedness, and caring, which are important protective factors against suicidal ideation and attempts. As bullies typically identify their targets on the basis of adult attitudes and cues, adult efforts to prevent homosexuality by discouraging gender variant traits in "prehomosexual children" may risk fomenting bullying. Given that there is no evidence that efforts to alter sexual orientation are effective, beneficial, or necessary, and the possibility that they carry the risk of significant harm, such interventions are contraindicated.

American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents, p. 967-68.

Similarly, the possible risk that children may be traumatized by disapproval of their gender discordance must be considered. Just as family rejection is associated with problems such as depression, suicidality, and substance abuse in gay youth, the proposed benefits of treatment to eliminate gender discordance in youth must be carefully weighed against such possible deleterious effects.

Id. at 969.

Mentioned at PBC 000337, 000339. See PBC 000391, 000508.

7. Pan American Health Organization, "Therapies" to change sexual orientation lack medical justification and threaten health (2012) [PBC 000046-50].

The document notes that no rigorous scientific studies demonstrate any efficacy of efforts to change sexual orientation. However, there are many testimonies about the severe harm to mental and physical health that such

"services" can cause. Repression of sexual orientation has been associated with feelings of guilt and shame, depression, anxiety, and even suicide.

Pan American Health Organization, "Therapies" to change sexual orientation lack medical justification and threaten health (2012), p. 1 of 5.

See PBC 000339, 000391, 000508.

8. <u>American Psychoanalytic Association, 2012 - Position Statement on Attempts to Change Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, or Gender Expression [PBC000027].</u>

Psychoanalytic technique does not encompass purposeful attempts to "convert," "repair," change or shift an individual's sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Such directed efforts are against fundamental principles of psychoanalytic treatment and often result in substantial psychological pain by reinforcing damaging internalized attitudes.

American Psychoanalytic Association, 2012 - Position Statement on Attempts to Change Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, or Gender Expression.

See PBC 000339, 000391, 000508.

9. American Psychological Association 2009 Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts [PBC 000022-26].

Although sound data on the safety of SOCE are extremely limited, some individuals reported being harmed by SOCE. Distress and depression were exacerbated. Belief in the hope of sexual orientation change followed by the failure of the treatment was identified as a significant cause of distress and negative self-image (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

American Psychological Association 2009 Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts, p. 30.

Mentioned at PBC 000337, 000339, 000453. See PBC 000391, 000508.

10. Quote from ACLU read by Commissioner Valeche [See PBC 001449].

Available research does not support the use of conversion therapy as an effective method in the treatment of LGBT persons. Evidence shows that the practice may actually cause emotional or physical harm to LGBT individuals, particularly adolescents or young persons. ...

Read at PBC 000403.

11. King v. Christie [PBC 000943-77].

In passing this statute, the New Jersey Legislature determined, inter alia, that this type of treatment subjects minors to potentially harmful consequences.

It is also immaterial that some of the legislature's findings and declarations address SOCE with respect to adults, as opposed to minors. It is certainly rational for the legislature to believe that the potential harms that attend SOCE for adults exist at least equally for minors.

Case discussed at PBC 000522-23. See PBC 000433.

12. Pickup v. Brown [PBC 000898-99].

The record demonstrates that the legislature acted rationally when it decided to protect the well-being of minors by prohibiting mental health providers from using SOCE on persons under 18.8 The legislature relied on the report of the Task Force of the American Psychological Association, which concluded that SOCE has not been demonstrated to be effective and that there have been anecdotal reports of harm, including depression, suicidal thoughts or actions, and substance abuse. The legislature also relied on the opinions of many other. We acknowledge that Plaintiffs ask us to apply strict scrutiny, but they have not cited any case in which a court has applied strict scrutiny to the regulation of a medical or mental health treatment. Nor are we aware of any. We need not and do not decide whether the legislature would have acted rationally had it banned SOCE for adults. One could argue that children under the age of 18 are especially vulnerable with respect to sexual identity and that their parents' judgment may be clouded by this emotionally charged issue as well. The considerations with respect to adults may be different. professional organizations. Each of those organizations opposed the use of SOCE, concluding, among other things, that homosexuality is not an illness and does not require treatment (American School Counselor Association), SOCE therapy can provoke guilt and anxiety (American Academy of Pediatrics), it may be harmful (National Association of Social Workers), and it may contribute to an enduring sense of stigma and self-criticism (American Psychoanalytic Association). Although the legislature also had before it some evidence that SOCE is safe and effective, the overwhelming consensus was that SOCE was harmful and ineffective. On this record, we have no trouble concluding that the legislature acted rationally by relying on that consensus.

13. See also:

Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000164 – PBC 000165];

Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000166 - PBC 000167];

Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1 [PBC 000325 – PBC 000429]; Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1 [PBC 000430 – PBC 000550]; PBC 000745-70;

PBC 001551 - PBC 001758;

PBC 001812 - PBC 001963;

PBC 001966 - PBC 002517.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 5:

[If your response to RFA 5 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 5 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then produce each third party empirical study, research, investigation, resolution, or position paper which the County reviewed prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, and which you contend to have concluded that voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor.

RESPONSE: See response to interrogatory #5 above.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 5: See response to interrogatory #5 above.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 6:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not review any empirical studies, research, investigations, resolutions, or position papers examining the ability or inability of Minors to consent to SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: The County is incapable of admitting or denying because minors generally cannot legally consent. Furthermore, consent cannot be provided to an intervention that is neither evidence-based nor effective.

INTERROGATORY 6:

[If your response to RFA 6 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 6 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then Identify: each study, research, investigation, resolution, or position paper reviewed by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 which You contend to have examined the ability or inability of Minors to consent to SOCE counseling; the specific page number(s) where you contend that discussion to exist; and the specific portion of any meeting wherein the County considered that specific discussion.

RESPONSE:

- 1. 2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation, p. 5, 68-69, 74, 76, 77, 79 [PBC 001824-1963]
- 2. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth, p. 3, 27, 31-32, 46, 51 [PBC 000053-128].
- 3. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents, p. 969 [PBC 000028-45].
- 4. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000164-PBC 000165];
- 5. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000166-PBC 000167];
- 6. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1 [PBC 000325 PBC 000429];
- 7. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1 [PBC 000430 PBC 000550];

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 6:

[If your response to RFA 6 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 6 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then produce each study, research, investigation, resolution, or position paper which the County reviewed prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, and which you contend to have examined the ability or inability of Minors to consent to SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: See response to interrogatory #6.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 6: See response to interrogatory #6.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 7:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not consider or discuss any alternative means of meeting its asserted interests which would have been less restrictive on speech than the Ordinance as enacted.

RESPONSE: Denied. This request for admission assumes incorrectly that the Ordinance is a restriction on speech. The Ordinance is a regulation of professional conduct. The request further incorrectly assumes that any "less restrictive" alternative means for meeting the County's interests existed.

INTERROGATORY 7:

[If your response to RFA 7 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 7 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each less restrictive alternative to Ordinance 2017-046 considered or discussed by the County, Identify: the alternative measure considered or discussed by the County; all efforts conducted by the County to determine the feasibility or efficacy of that alternative measure; all reasons for rejecting that alternative measure; and the specific portion(s) of any meeting where that alternative measure was considered or discussed.

OBJECTION: This request for admission assumes incorrectly that the Ordinance is a restriction on speech. The Ordinance is a regulation of professional conduct. The request further incorrectly assumes that any "less restrictive" alternative means for meeting the County's interests existed.

RESPONSE: To the extent this interrogatory inquires about "alternatives" presented to the County in its consideration of the Ordinance, see the documents produced in response to request for production #7. Alternatives were rejected as they did not adequately protect minors from harm.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 7:

[If your response to RFA 7 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 7 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then produce all Documents Concerning each less restrictive alternative to Ordinance 2017-046 considered or discussed by the County, including but not limited to all Documents Concerning any effort conducted by the County to determine the feasibility or efficacy of any less restrictive alternative, and all Documents showing the specific portion(s) of any meeting where that alternative measure was considered or discussed.

RESPONSE:

- 1. December 12, 2017, "Conversion Ordinance" Email from Robert Tennies. [PBC 0002765]
- 2. December 18, 2017, "Conversion Ordinance" Email from Mayor McKinlay [PBC 002840]
- 3. Emails from Julie Hamilton, Ph.D., LMFT [PBC 006163, 006178, 006181, 006185, 006194]
- 4. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000164-PBC 000165];
- 5. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000166-PBC 000167];

- 6. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1 [PBC 000325 PBC 000429];
- 7. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1 [PBC 000430 PBC 000550];

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 7: See above.

ADDITIONAL INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY 8:

Identify (per Definition # 8) all Persons employed by the County who had any involvement in drafting, considering, debating, amending, voting on, or enacting Ordinance 2017-046, and describe the nature of each such Person's involvement.

RESPONSE:

June 2016 Palm Beach County Commissioners, directed staff to return with an ordinance:
Mary Lou Berger, Mayor
Steven L. Abrams, County Commissioner
Paulette Burdick, County Commissioner
Melissa McKinley, County Commissioner
Priscilla A. Taylor, County Commissioner
Shelley Vana, County Commissioner
Hal R. Valeche, County Commissioner

December 2017 Palm Beach County Commissioners, considered, debated, voted and enacted:
Melissa McKinlay, Mayor
Steven L. Abrams, County Commissioner
Mary Lou Berger, County Commissioner
Mack Bernard, County Commissioner
Paulette Burdick, County Commissioner
Dave Kerner, County Commissioner
Hal R. Valeche, County Commissioner

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office, involved with drafting and amending: Helene Hvizd, Senior Assistant County Attorney, drafted and amended Denise Marie Nieman, County Attorney, consulted Leonard Berger, Chief Assistant County Attorney, consulted Shannon Fox, Assistant County Attorney, consulted

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office,

minimal involvement, privy to privileged communications and work product: Denise Coffman, Assistant County Attorney

Donna Rancy, Chief Assistant County Attorney

Robert P. Banks, Chief Land Use County Attorney

Anne Helfant, Assistant County Attorney

Dawn Wynn, Senior Assistant County Attorney

David Ottey, Chief Assistant County Attorney

Palm Beach County Administration,

involved with enacting:

Verdenia Baker, County Administrator

Todd Bonlarron, Assistant County Administrator

Palm Beach County Staff,

involved with County Attorney's Office's drafting and amending:

Ramsay Bulkeley, Deputy Director, Planning, Zoning & Building,

Robert Santos-Alborna, Director, Code Enforcement

Tammy Fields, Director, Youth Services

Shayna Ginsburg, Chief Clinical Services Education and Training

Tony Spaniol, Director, Residential Treatment and Family Counseling

Palm Beach County Staff,

minimal involvement, privy to privileged communications and work product:

Janice Allwood, Executive Assistant to the County Attorney

Cindy Beaudreau, Agenda Coordinator

Carmen Bersch, Executive Assistant I, Youth Services

Kelley Burke, County Commission Administrative Assistant III

Peyton McArthur, County Commission Administrative Assistant III

Larry Caraccio, Senior Code Enforcement Officer

Michael Hauseman, Senior Code Enforcement Officer

Leah Hermann, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office

Kenneth Jackson, Senior Code Enforcement Officer

Irwin Jacobowitz, Director, Contract Development and Control

Dwayne Johnson, Senior Code Enforcement Officer

Karon Lambe, Senior Secretary, Planning, Zoning & Building

Marcela Millett, MS, Casework Supervisor, Dept. of Community Services

Lynne Reilly, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office

Patrick Rutter, Assistant County Administrator

Kathy Scarlett, Director, Purchasing Department

Stephanie Sejnoha, Director, Public Safety

Erin Taylor, Executive Assistant I, County Administration

Richard Torrance, Senior Code Enforcement Officer

Loretta Yakovakis, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office

INTERROGATORY 9:

Identify (per Definition # 8) all Persons (including organizations) with which the County consulted, collaborated, or otherwise communicated Concerning the drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046, and describe the nature of each such Person's involvement.

RESPONSE:

<u>Palm Beach County League of Cities – Board of Directors Meeting on October 25, 2017:</u>

Andy Amoroso, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Jennifer Ashton, League Counsel Davis & Ashton, P.A. 1111 Hypoluxo Road, Suite 207 Lantana, Florida 334662

Gail Coniglio, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Gebbia, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Anne Gerwig, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Kimberly Glas-Castro, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Gottlieb, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 JeRiise Hansen, League Staff Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Susan Haynie, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Jeff Hmara, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Keith James, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Debbie Manzo, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Andrea McCue, Manager of City of Greenacres 5800 Melaleuca Lane Greenacres, Florida 33463

Peter Noble, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Richard Radcliff, League Staff Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Harry Raucher Lake Worth Drainage District 13081 S. Military Trail Delray Beach, Florida 33484 Rosemary Rayman Lake Worth Drainage District 13081 S. Military Trail Delray Beach, Florida 33484

Robert Shalhoub, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

David Stewart, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Mo Thornton, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Patti Waller, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Weinroth, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Todd Wodraska, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Anna Yeskey

Comment Cards from December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting:

Elizabeth Bessette
5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Rachael Brent

389 Churchill Road

West Palm Beach, Florida 33405

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Dylan Brooks

720 N. Dixie Highway #204

Lantana, Florida 33462

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Amanda Canete

5600 N. Flagler Drive, Apt. 2406

West Palm Beach, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Carly Cass

1131A Sand Drift Way

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Alicia Clarke

1840 Hollyhock Road

Wellington, Florida 33414

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Randall Clarke

1840 Hollyhock Road

Wellington, Florida 33414

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sherman Dibble

11227 66th Street N.

West Palm Beach, Florida 33412

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Emma Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Marla Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

William F. Dupere

130 Nottingham Road

Royal Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Patrick Hamel

7000 Lauden Drive

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Julie Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment and card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Tyler Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Charlene Harren

1708 SW 20th Street

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Rand Hoch

400 N. Flagler Drive #1402

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Alex Larson

16933 W. Harlena Drive

Loxahatchee, Florida

Fane Lozman

(no address provided)

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Melanie Mahady

2400 Yamato Road Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Kathleen S. Mason

2340 Bay Village Court

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Rachel Needle

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33409

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Dr. Robert Otto

233 NE 31 Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Shannon Otto

233 NE 31 Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Janina Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Jon Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Kieron Sharpe

18345 Jupiter Landings Dr.

Jupiter, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Vanessa Brooke Smith

639 White Water Drive

West Palm Beach, Florida 33413

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Robert K. Swarthout

6605 Las Flores Drive

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sara Swarthout

6605 Las Flores Drive

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Robert Tennigs

2415 NW 30th Road

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Julie Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Steve Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sue Trowind (sp?)

P.O. Box 1917

Boca Raton, Florida 33429

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Dr. Daria Wels 951 NW 13th Street

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on

December 5, 2017

Comment Cards from December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting:

Troy Bailes

18615 Orange Grove Blvd.

Loxahatchee, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Manuel Barahona

9630 Sun Pointe

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Elizabeth Bassette

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Heather Benson

5959 Whirlaway Road

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Connie Bogner

135 E. 24th Street

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Virginia Brooks

917 Evergreen Drive

North Palm Beach, Florida 33408

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Connie Brown

1261 SW 28 Ave.

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

James P. Brown

9930 Pineapple Tree Drive #110

Boynton Beach, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Jerry Cox

405 Brittany I

Delray Beach, Florida 33446

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Nicole Davis

P.O. Box 19564

West Palm Beach, Florida 33416

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Emma Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Gordon Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Jesse Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Marla Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Heather Good

360 SE Mizner Blvd., Unit 1514

Boca Raton, Florida 33432

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

William Gray

10399 Stonebridge

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Julie Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Charlene Harren

(no address)

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Rand Hoch

400 N. Flagler Drive #1402

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Natasha Hood

9440 SW 8th St., Apt. 421

Boca Raton, Florida 33428

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Christa Jewett

7703 Blairwood Circle S

Lake Worth, Florida 33467

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Harm Maarsingh

15090 Oak Chase Court

Wellington, Florida 33414

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

,

Martin

2340 Bay

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Rev. Jeremy McKeen

6473 Paradise Cv.

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Kelly McVey

10399 Stonebridge

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Lori Messham

75 Cleveland Road

Lake Worth, Florida 33467

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Rachel Needle

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33409

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Dr. Robert Otto

233 NE 31 St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Shannon Otto

233 NE 31 St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Liza M. Pedraza

9452 Granite Ridge Lane

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak`

Tye Riter

9107 Rodeo Drive

Lake Worth, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Janina Seifel

410 Wilma Circle, Apt. 305

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Jon Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Kieron Sharpe

18345 Jupiter Landings Drive

Jupiter, Florida 33458

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Steven Smith

3614 Sonoma Drive

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Chris Stahl

2014 S. Seacrest Blvd., Apt. D

Boynton Beach, Florida 33435

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Sara Swarthout

6605 Las Flores Drive

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Steve Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Andres Torrens

122 Casa Grande Ct.

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Diane Torres

3547 Tamarook Trail

West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

James Tracoy

10665 Oak Lake Way

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Sue Trombino

P.O. Box 1917

Boca Raton, Florida 33429

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Dr. Daria Wels

951 NW 13th Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33486

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Emailed County Commissioners and/or County Staff:

Jan Beasley

DeAnn Bennardo

Diane Bertolina

Judith Brooks

Curt Carlson

Charlotte Cox

Jerry Cox

Jesse Dieterle

Marla Dieterle

Tami Donnally

Karen Gaffey

Jill Hardin

Christa Jewett

Lindsey Kline

Carolyn Kost

Suzanne Leary

Ruth Ludow

John McGovern

Kelly McVey

Jeanne Nahama

Lisa Pedraza

Tricky Purity

Timothy Scheetz

Janina Seifel

Nick Sofoul

Susan Stowe

Bob Tennies

Rand Hoch

Emails from Liberty Counsel to County Commissioners:

Richard Mast

Daniel Schmid

Communicated with County Attorney's Office:

Rand Hoch, Palm Beach County Human Rights Council Laurie Cohen, Village Attorney, Wellington Jennifer Gardner Ashton, Esquire Jerry Gewirtz, Assistant County Attorney, Tampa Kyle Lindskog, Assistant City Attorney, St. Petersburg Jessica Morris, M.A.

Ernest Mueller, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Tampa Zoe Panarites, Assistant City Attorney, West Palm Beach

Carolyn Reyes, Youth Policy Counsel, National Center for Lesbian Rights Robert Rosenwald, Jr., First Assistant City Attorney, Miami Beach Kimberly Rothenburg, City Attorney, West Palm Beach Janice Rustin, Assistant City Attorney, Delray Beach David Schwartz, City Attorney, Gainesville Nicolle M. Shalley, City Attorney, Gainesville Jerrod Simpson, Assistant County Attorney, Tampa Terrence Smith, Assistant County Attorney, Miami Patricia Staples, Deputy City Clerk, Wilton Manors James Stokes, City Attorney, Greenacres Erica Tenore, City of West Palm Beach Sylvia Torres, Alachua County Senior Assistant County Attorney Debora Turner, First Assistant City Attorney, Miami Beach Dr. Nancy Vrechek

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 8:

All Documents Concerning prior drafts or versions of Ordinance 2017-046 considered by the County.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

RESPONSE:

PBC 000562 - PBC 000740

PBC 012799 - PBC 012866

PBC 015559 - PBC 015562

PBC 015574 - PBC 015576

PBC 015590 - PBC 015597

PBC 015624 - PBC 015632

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 8: See above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 9:

All Documents Concerning the County's drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046, including but not limited to all staff notes or reports, all legislative memoranda, all research documents, and all transcripts or audio or video recordings of any meeting wherein the Ordinance or any ban on SOCE counseling was considered or discussed.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

RESPONSE:

- 1. Ordinance No. 2017-046; [PBC 000001 PBC 000014]
- 2. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000164 PBC 000165]
- 3. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000166 PBC 000167]
- 4. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1; [PBC 000325 PBC 000429]
- 5. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1; [PBC 000430 PBC 000550]
- 6. Comment Cards from the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000249 PBC 000282];
- 7. Comment Cards from the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000283 PBC 000324];

- 8. PBC 000562 PBC 000740
- 9. PBC 002518
- 10. PBC 002519 PBC 002596
- 11. PBC 002597 PBC 002694
- 12. PBC 002695 PBC 002893
- 13. PBC 002894 PBC 003270
- 14. PBC 003271 PBC 003304
- 15. PBC 004901
- 16. PBC 004915 PBC 004927
- 17. PBC 004957 PBC 004958
- 18. PBC 005003 PBC 005007
- 19. PBC 005106 PBC 5298
- 20. PBC 005081 PBC 005085
- 21. PBC 005329
- 22. PBC 005903 PBC 006094
- 23. PBC 007373 PBC 007399
- 24. PBC 007642 PBC 007977
- 25. PBC 007979 PBC 008384
- 26. PBC 008385 PBC 008543
- 27. PBC 009605 PBC 009630
- 28. PBC 009994 PBC 010164
- 29. PBC 009994 PBC 010164
- 30. PBC 010165 PBC 012549
- 31. PBC 010165 PBC 012549
- 32. PBC 012867 PBC 012926
- 33. PBC 012927 PBC 012970
- 34. PBC 012987 PBC 012988
- 35. PBC 012990 PBC 013070
- 36. PBC 013072 PBC 013616
- 37. PBC 014630
- 38. PBC 014634 PBC 014635
- 39. PBC 014638 PBC014639
- 40. PBC 014645 PBC 014646
- 41. PBC 014648
- 42. PBC 014664
- 43. PBC 014666 PBC 014683
- 44. PBC014688 PBC 014691
- 45. PBC 014700
- 46. PBC 014702
- 47. PBC 014704
- 48. PBC 014715
- 49. PBC 014716
- 50. PBC 014718
- 51, PBC 014762
- 52, PBC 14806
- 53. PBC 014815 PBC 014817

- 54. PBC 014843 PBC 014845
- 55. PBC 014848 PBC 015699
- 56. PBC 015721 PBC 015722
- 57. PBC 015725 PBC 015726
- 58. PBC 015727 PBC 015728

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 9: See above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 10:

All Documents reviewed or considered by the County in the drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046, including but not limited to all empirical studies, data, statistics, analyses, research, position papers, testimony, letters, correspondence, or communications.

OBJECTION: See privilege log. RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 000743 PBC 00791
- 2. PBC 00792 PBC 001325
- 3. PBC 001326 PBC 001360
- 4. PBC 001361 PBC 001416
- 5. PBC 001417 and PBC 001431
- 6. PBC 001432 PBC 001447
- 7. PBC 001448
- 8. PBC 001449 PBC 001476
- 9. PBC 001477 PBC 001550
- 10. PBC 001551 PBC 001758
- 11. PBC 001759 PBC 001963
- 12. PBC 001964 PBC 001965
- 13. PBC 001966 PBC 002517
- 14. PBC 002518
- 15. PBC 002894 PBC 003270
- 16. PBC 003271 PBC 003304
- 17. PBC 002695 PBC 002893
- 18. PBC 005106 PBC 005298
- 19. PBC 008580 PBC 008877
- 20. PBC 009039 PBC 009087
- 21. PBC 009088 PBC 009604
- 22. PBC 009605 PBC 009630
- 23. PBC 009631 PBC 009863
- 24. PBC 009864 PBC 009901
- 25. PBC 009902 PBC 009993
- 26. PBC 009994 PBC 010164
- 27. PBC 012550 PBC 012757
- 28. PBC 002597 PBC 002694

- 29. PBC 015725 PBC 015726
- 30. PBC 015727 PBC 015728
- 31. PBC 015730 PBC 015733
- 32. PBC 004909 PBC 004914
- 33. PBC 004928 PBC 004956
- 34. PBC 004960 PBC 004973
- 35. PBC 005071 PBC 005080
- 36. PBC 005088 PBC 005093
- 37. PBC 007642 PBC 007651
- 38. PBC 007654
- 39. PBC 007657 PBC 007666
- 40. PBC 007684 PBC 007699
- 41. PBC 007701 PBC 007721
- 42. PBC 007725 PBC 007765
- 43. PBC 007773 PBC 007818
- 44. PBC 007827 PBC 007907
- 45. PBC 007909 PBC 007923
- 46. PBC 007925 PBC 007954
- 47. PBC 007957 PBC 007976
- 48. PBC 007984 PBC 007988
- 49. PBC 008010 PBC 008057
- 50. PNC 008060 PBC 008209
- 51. PBC 008212 PBC 008215
- 52. PBC 008219
- 53. PBC 008221 PBC 008230
- 54. PBC 008237 PBC 008240
- 55. PBC 008243
- 56. PBC 008257 PBC 008275
- 57. PBC 008283 PBC 008296
- 58. PBC 008332 PBC 008343
- 59. PBC 012550 PBC 012796
- 60. PBC 012978
- 61. PBC 013081 PBC 013102
- 62. PBC 013124 PBC 013359
- 63. PBC 013367 PBC 013603
- 64. PBC 014638 PBC 014639
- 65. PBC 014648
- 66. PBC 014664
- 67. PBC 014666 PBC 014683
- 68. PBC 014688
- 69. PBC 014702
- 70. PBC 014704
- 71. PBC 014715
- 72. PBC 014718
- 73. PBC 014806
- 74. PBC 014815 PBC 014817

- 75. PBC 014848 PBC 015057
- 76. PBC 015251 PBC 015466
- 77. PBC 015468 PBC 015469
- 78. PBC 015473 PBC 015500
- 79. PBC 015507 PBC 015554
- 80. PBC 015563
- 81. PBC 015567 PBC 015572
- 82, PBC 015577
- 83. PBC 015583
- 84. PBC 015645 PBC 015663
- 85. PBC 015677 PBC 015682
- 86. PBC 015688 PBC 015689

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 10: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 11:

All Communications between or among County Commissioners, the mayor, or any other County employees or officials regarding the County's drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 007373
- 2. PBC 007374 PBC 007375
- 3. PBC 007377
- 4. PBC 007379 PBC 007381
- 5. PBC 007383
- 6. PBC 007386 PBC 007389
- 7. PBC 007395 PBC 007396
- 8. PBC 009994 PBC 009999
- 9. PBC 009994 PBC 010164
- 10. PBC 010165 PBC 012549
- 11. PBC 012927 PBC 012958
- 12. PBC 012960 PBC 012965
- 13. PBC 012968 PBC 012976
- 14. PBC 012987 PBC 012988
- 15. PBC 012990 PBC 012994
- 16. PBC 013009 PBC 013012
- 17. PBC 013015
- 18. PBC 013035- PBC 013036
- 19. PBC 013045 PBC 013048
- 20. PBC 013050 PBC 013060

- 21. PBC 013066
- 22, PBC 013069 PBC 013070
- 23. PBC 013072 PBC 013075
- 24. PBC 013081 PBC 013611
- 25. PBC 014630
- 26. PBC 014649 PBC 014663
- 27. PBC 014665
- 28. PBC 014697
- 29. PBC 014781 PBC 014782
- 30. PBC 014849
- 31. PBC 015243 PBC 015249
- 32. PBC 015501 PBC 015503
- 33. PBC 015604
- 34. PBC 015608 PBC 15612
- 35. PBC 015614 PBC 015623
- 36. PBC 015633 PBC 015641
- 37. PBC 015666 PBC 015668
- 38. PBC 015671 PBC 015673
- 39. PBC 015683 PBC 015687

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 11: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 12:

All Documents Concerning any lobbying or attempts of any advocacy groups or other Persons to influence the County to adopt or reject Ordinance 2017-046 or any other ban on any form of SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE:

- 1. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000164 PBC 000165]
- 2. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000166 PBC 000167]
- 3. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1; [PBC 000325 PBC 000429]
- 4. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1; [PBC 000430 PBC 000550]
- 5. Comment Cards from the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000249 PBC 000282];
- 6. Comment Cards from the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 000283 PBC 000324];
- 7. PBC 001448
- 8. PBC 001449 001476
- 9. PBC 002894 PBC 003270

- 10. PBC 003271 PBC 003304
- 11. PBC 002695 PBC 002893
- 12. PBC 005903 PBC 006094
- 13. PBC 005003 PBC 005007
- 14. PBC 007376
- 15. PBC 007378
- 16. PBC 007384 PBC 007385
- 17. PBC 007390 PBC 007394
- 18. PBC 007397 PBC 007399
- 19. PBC 007642 PBC 007977
- 20. PBC 007979 PBC 008384
- 21. PBC 009605 PBC 009630
- 22. PBC 012878 PBC 012879
- 23. PBC 012897
- 24. PBC 012923 PBC 12926
- 25. PBC 012959
- 26. PBC 012979 PBC 012986
- 27. PBC 013009 PBC 013014
- 28. PBC 013049
- 29. PBC 013032 PBC 013033
- 30. PBC 013067 PBC 013068
- 31. PBC 015642
- 32. PBC 015647 PBC 015663
- 33. PBC 015688 PBC 015689

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 12: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 13:

All Documents Concerning the County's interpretation, application, or enforcement of Ordinance 2017-046, including but not limited to any enforcement memoranda or any guidelines provided to enforcement officials.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

RESPONSE:

PBC 014750 - PBC 014751

PBC 015545 - PBC 015552

PBC 015565

PBC 015567 - PBC 015572

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 13: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 14:

All Documents Concerning the County's enforcement of, or attempts to enforce, Ordinance 2017-046 against any Person, including, without limitation, all violation notices, fines, warnings, citations, court documents, or Communications.

RESPONSE: None.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 14: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 15:

All Communications between the County and Equality Florida Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for Equality Florida regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 008878 PBC 009035
- 2. PBC 015711 PBC 015712

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 15: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 16:

All Communications between the County and Rand Hoch and/or the Palm Beach County Human Rights Council Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for Mr. Hoch or the Palm Beach County Human Rights Council regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 001417 and PBC 001431
- 2. PBC 007642 PBC 007977
- 3. PBC 007979 PBC 008384
- 4. PBC 013612 PBC 013616
- 5. PBC 014629
- 6. PBC 014631 PBC 014633
- 7. PBC 014636 PBC 014637
- 8. PBC 014638 PBC 014639
- 9. PBC 014640 PBC 014644
- 10. PBC 014647 PBC 014648
- 11. PBC 014636
- 12. PBC 014666 PBC 014688
- 13. PBC 014692 PBC 014699
- 14. PBC 014701 PBC 014702
- 15. PBC 014703 PBC 014715
- 16. PBC 014718 PBC 014761
- 17. PBC 014763 PBC 014847

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 16: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 17:

All Communications between the County and any advocacy group (other than Equality Florida or the Palm Beach County Human Rights Council) concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request

is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for advocacy groups regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

To the extent this request seeks correspondence and documents between the County and Liberty Counsel regarding this lawsuit since the commencement of this lawsuit, such correspondence and documents are equally within the control of Liberty Counsel. Requiring the County to gather and produce those correspondences and documents is not proportionate to the needs of the case.

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 001449 PBC 001476
- 2. PBC 003271 PBC 003304
- 3. PBC 005003 PBC 005007
- 4. PBC 009605 PBC 009630
- 5. PBC 012989

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 17: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 18:

All Communications between the County and the City of Boca Raton, Florida Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, City of Boca Raton Ordinance 5407, Palm Beach County Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for the City of Boca Raton regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). The City of Boca Raton and the County have a common interest in the joint defense of this litigation and have an oral agreement to share work product. As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege

log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

To the extent this request seeks correspondence and documents between the County and the City of Boca Raton which were shared with, initiated by, or otherwise included Liberty Counsel regarding this lawsuit since the commencement of this lawsuit, such correspondence and documents are equally within the control of Liberty Counsel. Requiring the County to gather and produce those correspondences and documents is not proportionate to the needs of the case.

RESPONSE:

- 1. Email thread from Daniel L. Abbott dated 07/25/18 [PBC 005094 PBC 005105]
- 2. Email thread from Diana Frieser 07/10/18 [PBC 009036 PBC 009038]

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 18: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 19:

All Communications between the County and the City of Tampa Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for the City of Tampa regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). The City of Tampa and the County have a common interest in the defense of litigation brought by Liberty Counsel concerning the conversion therapy bans enacted by the County and the City of Tampa and have an oral agreement to share work product. As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 19: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 20:

All Documents Concerning Plaintiff Robert W. Otto's alleged engagement in or provision of any SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: In addition to Plaintiff's complaint, filings in this case, and answers to discovery:

- 1. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000164 PBC 000165]
- 2. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000166 PBC 000167]
- 3. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1; [PBC 000325 PBC 000429]
- 4. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1; [PBC 000430 PBC 000550]
- 5. PBC 002894 PBC 003270

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 20: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 21:

All Documents Concerning Plaintiff Julie H. Hamilton's alleged engagement in or provision of any SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: In addition to Plaintiff's complaint, filings in this case, and answers to discovery:

- 1. Videos of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000164 PBC 000165]
- 2. Videos of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting; [PBC 000166 PBC 000167]
- 3. Transcribed Recordings of the December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.A.1; [PBC 000325 PBC 000429]
- 4. Transcribed Recordings of the December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting regarding Agenda Item 4.F.1; [PBC 000430 PBC 000550]
- 5. Minutes from the July 21, 2016 Board of County Commissioners Meeting [PBC 002519 PBC 002596]
- 6. Video of the June 21, 2016 Board of County Commission Meeting [PBC 015723 PBC 018724]
- 7. PBC 000743 PBC 000791
- 8. PBC 001417-27
- 9. PBC 001428-31
- 10. PBC 005903 PBC 006094
- 11. PBC 007376
- 12. PBC 007378

- 13. PBC 007390
- 14. PBC 007397
- 15. PBC 007398
- 16. PBC 007399

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 21: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 22:

All Documents Concerning any provider of SOCE counseling within the County.

RESPONSE: See response to requests for production #20-21. See also PBC 002704.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 22: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 23:

Each Document and category of Documents identified or referred to in Your Initial Disclosures served on July 13, 2018, which was not already provided in response to any of the foregoing Discovery Requests.

RESPONSE: None.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 23: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 24:

Each Document You reviewed or referenced to obtain Your answer to any of the foregoing Discovery Requests, which was not already provided in response to any of the foregoing Discovery Requests.

OBJECTION: See Palm Beach County's Privilege Log.

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 004902 PBC 004908
- 2. PBC 004909 PBC 004914
- 3. PBC 008544 PBC 008548
- 4. PBC 008549 PBC 008562
- 5. PBC 008563 PBC 008579
- 6. PBC 009039 PBC 009087
- 7. PBC 009088 PBC 009604
- 8. PBC 009631 PBC 009863
- 9. PBC 013617 PBC 014628
- 10. PBC 015700 PBC 015720
- 11. PBC 015729
- 12. PBC 004902 PBC 004908

13. PBC 004959
14. PBC 004974 – PBC 005002
15. PBC 005086 – PBC 005087
16. PBC 013617 – PBC 014628
17. PBC 012977
18. PBC 013071
19. PBC 015700 – PBC 015710
20. PBC 015713 – PBC 015720
BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 24: See response above.
Delene C. Hingl
Affiant
STATE OF FLORIDA)) ss.
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)
The foregoing document was acknowledged before me, an officer duly authorized in the State and County aforesaid, to take acknowledgments, this 221 day of 2018, by who:
is personally known to me; OR
[] has produced ,
as identification; and who
did take an oath; OR
[] did not take an oath.
and who executed the within document, and who acknowledged the within document to be
freely and voluntarily executed for the purposes therein recited.
Seal DENISE FISHEL
Notary Public in and for Palm Beach County, Florida
My commission expires: June 12, 2019
SERVICE LIST
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

50

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 18-CIV-80771-RLR

Mathew Duane Staver, Esq.

Liberty Counsel

1053 Maitland Center Commons, 2nd Floor

Maitland, FL 32751-7214 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770

Email: mat@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Roger K. Gannam, Esq.

Liberty Counsel PO Box 540774 Jacksonville, FL 32854 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770 Email: rgannam@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Horatio G. Mihet, Esq.

Liberty Counsel
P.O. Box 540774
Orlando, FL 32854-0774
Telephone: 800-671-1776
Facsimile: 407-875-0770
Email: hmihet@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Jamie A. Cole, Esq.
Daniel L. Abbott, Esq.
Anne R. Flanigan, Esq.
WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN
COLE & BIERMAN, P.L.
200 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1900

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: 954-763-4242 Facsimile: 954-764-7770

Emails: <u>dabbott@wsh-law.com</u> (primary) <u>pgrotto@wsh-law.com</u> (secondary)

Emails: aflanigan@wsh-law.com (primary)

pgrotto@wsh-law.com (secondary)

Attorneys for the Defendant, City of Boca Raton

Rachel Marie Fahey, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-6337 Email: rFahey@pbcgov.org

Kim Ngoc Phan, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-2529 Email: kphan@pbcgov.org

Attorneys for County of Palm Beach Florida

Defendant County of Palm Beach

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

Case No.: 9:18-cv-80771-RLR

ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of his patients, JULIE H. HAMILTON, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of her patients,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLORIDA, and COUNTY OF PALM BEACH, FLORIDA,

Defendants.			
			- /

DEFENDANT, PALM BEACH COUNTY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

COMES NOW Defendant, PALM BEACH COUNTY, by and through its undersigned Assistant County Attorney and hereby provides the following First Supplemental responses to Plaintiffs' ROBERT W. OTTO and JULIE H. HAMILTON's First Set of Requests for Admission, Interrogatories, and Request for Production served on July 17, 2018.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on September 11, 2018, I electronically served the foregoing by email to all counsel for the parties on the attached service list.

/s/ Rachel Fahey

Rachel Fahey, Esquire Assistant County Attorney Florida Bar No. 105734 300 North Dixie Highway, Third Floor West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Tel.: (561) 355-6337 Fax: (561) 355-4234

Primary Email: <u>rfahey@pbcgov.org</u> Secondary Email: <u>dfishel@pbcgov.org</u> mjcullen@pbcgov.org

PALM BEACH COUNTY'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

INTERROGATORY 4:

[If your response to RFA 4 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this Interrogatory].

If your response to RFA 4 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted or commissioned by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor, Identify (per Definition # 8): the Person(s) who conducted the study, research, or investigation; the date(s) when the study, research, or investigation was conducted; the nature of that study, research, or investigation; the results of that study, research, or investigation; and any Person(s) allegedly found to have been harmed by any voluntary SOCE counseling.

[For the sake of clarity, this Interrogatory is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: The County does not "commission" County employees to do their job and the County did not conduct studies, non-legal research or investigations without third party assistance of the harms of voluntary SOCE counseling. The County did not "commission" third parties to conduct a study described in this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 4:

[If your response to RFA 4 is solely an unqualified admission, you may state so in response here and skip the remainder of this RFP].

If your response to RFA 4 is anything other than an unqualified admission, then for each study, research, or investigation conducted by the County prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046 to determine whether voluntary SOCE counseling, which a Minor who experiences unwanted same-sex attraction or gender confusion requests, consents to, and/or wishes to receive, is harmful to that Minor, produce:

- (a) all Documents Concerning that study, research, or investigation;
- (b) all internal Communications of County personnel regarding that study, research, or investigation; and
- (c) all Communications of County personnel with any Person(s) not employed by the County regarding that study, research, or investigation.

[For the sake of clarity, this RFP is limited to empirical studies, research, or investigations that the County itself undertook or commissioned, as opposed to studies, research, or investigations undertaken by third parties which the County may have reviewed or relied upon.]

RESPONSE: None.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 4: None.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 6:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not review any empirical studies, research, investigations, resolutions, or position papers examining the ability or inability of Minors to consent to SOCE counseling.

OBJECTION/RESPONSE: Objection on the grounds that minors generally cannot legally consent, and consent cannot be provided to an intervention that is neither evidence-based nor effective. Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objection, denied.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION 7:

Admit that, prior to enacting Ordinance 2017-046, the County did not consider or discuss any alternative means of meeting its asserted interests which would have been less restrictive on speech than the Ordinance as enacted.

OBJECTION/RESPONSE: Objection to the extent the Ordinance is characterized as a restriction on speech. Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objection, denied that the County did not consider or discuss any "alternatives."

INTERROGATORY 8:

Identify (per Definition # 8) all Persons employed by the County who had any involvement in drafting, considering, debating, amending, voting on, or enacting Ordinance 2017-046, and describe the nature of each such Person's involvement.

RESPONSE:

June 2016 Palm Beach County Commissioners,
directed staff to return with an ordinance:
Mary Lou Berger, Mayor
Steven L. Abrams, County Commissioner
Paulette Burdick, County Commissioner
Melissa McKinley, County Commissioner
Priscilla A. Taylor, County Commissioner (Former)
Shelley Vana, County Commissioner (Former)
Hal R. Valeche, County Commissioner

December 2017 Palm Beach County Commissioners,

considered, debated, voted and enacted:

Melissa McKinlay, Mayor

Steven L. Abrams, County Commissioner

Mary Lou Berger, County Commissioner

Mack Bernard, County Commissioner

Paulette Burdick, County Commissioner

Dave Kerner, County Commissioner

Hal R. Valeche, County Commissioner

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office,

involved with drafting and amending:

Helene Hvizd, Senior Assistant County Attorney, drafted and amended

Denise Marie Nieman, County Attorney, consulted

Leonard Berger, Chief Assistant County Attorney, consulted

Shannon Fox, Assistant County Attorney, consulted

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office,

minimal involvement, privy to privileged communications and work product:

Denise Coffman, Assistant County Attorney

Donna Raney, Chief Assistant County Attorney

Robert P. Banks, Chief Land Use County Attorney

Anne Helfant, Assistant County Attorney

Dawn Wynn, Senior Assistant County Attorney

David Ottey, Chief Assistant County Attorney

Palm Beach County Administration,

involved with enacting:

Verdenia Baker, County Administrator

Todd Bonlarron, Assistant County Administrator

Palm Beach County Staff,

involved with County Attorney's Office's drafting and amending:

Ramsay Bulkeley, Deputy Director, Planning, Zoning & Building,

Robert Santos-Alborna, Director, Code Enforcement

Tammy Fields, Director, Youth Services

Shayna Ginsburg, Chief Clinical Services Education and Training

Tony Spaniol, Director, Residential Treatment and Family Counseling

Palm Beach County Staff, minimal involvement, privy to privileged communications and work product: Janice Allwood, Executive Assistant to the County Attorney Cindy Beaudreau, Agenda Coordinator Carmen Bersch, Executive Assistant I, Youth Services Kelley Burke, County Commission Administrative Assistant III Peyton McArthur, County Commission Administrative Assistant III Larry Caraccio, Senior Code Enforcement Officer Michael Hauseman, Senior Code Enforcement Officer Leah Hermann, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office Kenneth Jackson, Senior Code Enforcement Officer Irwin Jacobowitz, Director, Contract Development and Control Dwayne Johnson, Senior Code Enforcement Officer Karon Lambe, Senior Secretary, Planning, Zoning & Building Marcela Millett, MS, Casework Supervisor, Dept. of Community Services Lynne Reilly, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office Patrick Rutter, Assistant County Administrator Kathy Scarlett, Director, Purchasing Department Stephanie Seinoha, Director, Public Safety Erin Taylor, Executive Assistant I, County Administration Richard Torrance, Senior Code Enforcement Officer Loretta Yakovakis, Legal Secretary, County Attorney's Office

INTERROGATORY 9:

Identify (per Definition # 8) all Persons (including organizations) with which the County consulted, collaborated, or otherwise communicated Concerning the drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046, and describe the nature of each such Person's involvement.

RESPONSE:

<u>Palm Beach County League of Cities – Board of Directors Meeting on October 25, 2017:</u>

Andy Amoroso, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Jennifer Ashton, League Counsel Davis & Ashton, P.A. 1111 Hypoluxo Road, Suite 207 Lantana, Florida 334662 Gail Coniglio, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Gebbia, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Anne Gerwig, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Kimberly Glas-Castro, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Gottlieb, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

JeRiise Hansen, League Staff Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Susan Haynie, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Jeff Hmara, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Keith James, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Debbie Manzo, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Andrea McCue, Manager of City of Greenacres 5800 Melaleuca Lane Greenacres, Florida 33463

Peter Noble, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Richard Radcliff, League Staff Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Harry Raucher Lake Worth Drainage District 13081 S. Military Trail Delray Beach, Florida 33484

Rosemary Rayman Lake Worth Drainage District 13081 S. Military Trail Delray Beach, Florida 33484

Robert Shalhoub, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

David Stewart, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Mo Thornton, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 Patti Waller, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Robert Weinroth, Board Member Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Todd Wodraska, Past President Palm Beach County League of Cities 301 N. Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Anna Yeskey

Comment Cards from December 5, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting:

Elizabeth Bessette
5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109
West Palm Beach, Florida 33409
Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Rachael Brent 389 Churchill Road West Palm Beach, Florida 33405 Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Dylan Brooks
720 N. Dixie Highway #204
Lantana, Florida 33462
Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017
but did not wish to speak

Amanda Canete
5600 N. Flagler Drive, Apt. 2406
West Palm Beach, Florida
Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017
but did not wish to speak

Carly Cass

1131A Sand Drift Way

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Alicia Clarke

1840 Hollyhock Road

Wellington, Florida 33414

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Randall Clarke

1840 Hollyhock Road

Wellington, Florida 33414

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sherman Dibble

11227 66th Street N.

West Palm Beach, Florida 33412

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Emma Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Marla Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

William F. Dupere

130 Nottingham Road

Royal Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Patrick Hamel

7000 Lauden Drive

Julie Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment and card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Tyler Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Charlene Harren

1708 SW 20th Street

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Rand Hoch

400 N. Flagler Drive #1402

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Alex Larson 16933 W. Harlena Drive Loxahatchee, Florida

Fane Lozman

(no address provided)

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Melanie Mahady

2400 Yamato Road

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Kathleen S. Mason

2340 Bay Village Court

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

Rachel Needle

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33409

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Dr. Robert Otto

233 NE 31 Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Shannon Otto

233 NE 31 Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Janina Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Jon Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Kieron Sharpe

18345 Jupiter Landings Dr.

Jupiter, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Vanessa Brooke Smith

639 White Water Drive

West Palm Beach, Florida 33413

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Robert K. Swarthout 6605 Las Flores Drive Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sara Swarthout

6605 Las Flores Drive

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Robert Tennigs 2415 NW 30th Road

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Julie Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Steve Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Sue Trowind (sp?)

P.O. Box 1917

Boca Raton, Florida 33429

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.A.1 on December 5, 2017

Dr. Daria Wels

951 NW 13th Street

Comment Cards from December 19, 2017 Board of County Commissioners Meeting:

Troy Bailes

18615 Orange Grove Blvd.

Loxahatchee, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Manuel Barahona

9630 Sun Pointe

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Elizabeth Bassette

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Heather Benson

5959 Whirlaway Road

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19,

2017 but did not wish to speak

Connie Bogner

135 E. 24th Street

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Virginia Brooks

917 Evergreen Drive

North Palm Beach, Florida 33408

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Connie Brown

1261 SW 28 Ave.

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19,

2017 but did not wish to speak

James P. Brown

9930 Pineapple Tree Drive #110

Boynton Beach, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Jerry Cox

405 Brittany I

Delray Beach, Florida 33446

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Nicole Davis

P.O. Box 19564

West Palm Beach, Florida 33416

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Emma Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Gordon Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Jesse Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Marla Dieterle

11779 Bayou Lane

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Heather Good

360 SE Mizner Blvd., Unit 1514

Boca Raton, Florida 33432

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

William Gray

10399 Stonebridge

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Julie Hamilton

2853 White Trout Lane

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Charlene Harren

(no address)

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Rand Hoch

400 N. Flagler Drive #1402

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Natasha Hood

9440 SW 8th St., Apt. 421

Boca Raton, Florida 33428

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Christa Jewett

7703 Blairwood Circle S

Lake Worth, Florida 33467

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Harm Maarsingh

15090 Oak Chase Court

Wellington, Florida 33414

Martin

2340 Bay

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Rev. Jeremy McKeen

6473 Paradise Cv.

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Kelly McVey

10399 Stonebridge

Boca Raton, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Lori Messham

75 Cleveland Road

Lake Worth, Florida 33467

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Rachel Needle

5 Harvard Circle, Suite 109

West Palm Beach, Florida 33409

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Dr. Robert Otto

233 NE 31 St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Shannon Otto

233 NE 31 St.

Boca Raton, Florida 33431

Liza M. Pedraza

9452 Granite Ridge Lane

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak`

Tye Riter

9107 Rodeo Drive

Lake Worth, Florida

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Janina Seifel

410 Wilma Circle, Apt. 305

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Jon Seifel

410 Wilma Circle #305

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Kieron Sharpe

18345 Jupiter Landings Drive

Jupiter, Florida 33458

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Steven Smith

3614 Sonoma Drive

Riviera Beach, Florida 33404

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Chris Stahl

2014 S. Seacrest Blvd., Apt. D

Boynton Beach, Florida 33435

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Sara Swarthout

6605 Las Flores Drive

Boca Raton, Florida 33433

Steve Thomas

4798 Orchard Lane

Delray Beach, Florida 33445

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Andres Torrens

122 Casa Grande Ct.

Palm Beach Gardens, Florida 33418

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Diane Torres

3547 Tamarook Trail

West Palm Beach, Florida 33406

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

James Tracoy

10665 Oak Lake Way

Boca Raton, Florida 33498

Subject: Filled out a comment card on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017 but did not wish to speak

Sue Trombino

P.O. Box 1917

Boca Raton, Florida 33429

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on December 19, 2017

Dr. Daria Wels

951 NW 13th Street

Boca Raton, Florida 33486

Subject: Filled out a comment card and spoke on Agenda Item 4.F.1 on

December 19, 2017

Emailed County Commissioners and/or County Staff:

Jan Beasley - See PBC 002774 - PBC 002778

DeAnn Bennardo - See PBC 002850 - PBC 002856

Diane Bertolina – See PBC 002824 – PBC 002830

Suzanne Boisvenue - See PBC 002695

Judith Brooks - See PBC 002795 - PBC 002810

Curt Carlson - See PBC 002858 - PBC 002871

Amie Casamassina – See PBC 002697 – PBC 002698

Charlotte Cox - See PBC 002842 - PBC 002848

Jerry Cox – See PBC 002872 – PBC 002878

Jesse Dieterle - See PBC 002786

Marla Dieterle - See PBC 002699 - PBC 002703; PBC 002749

Tami Donnally - See PBC 002731 - PBC 002732; PBC 002764

Lori Durante - See PBC 002707 - See PBC 002714

Karen Gaffey - See PBC 002794

Paul Goldberg - See PBC 002696

Jill Hardin - See PBC 002811 - PBC 002812

James Haug – See PBC 002705

Rand Hoch - See PBC 002704

Christa Jewett - See PBC 002767 - PBC 002773; PBC 002779 - PBC 002781

PBC 002837 - PBC 002839

Lindsey Kline - See PBC 002890

Carolyn Kost – See PBC 002891 – PBC 002893

Suzanne Leary - See PBC 002734 - PBC 002735; PBC 002750 - PBC 002754;

PBC 002756 - See PBC 002763

Ruth Ludow - See PBC 002793

Sharon McGlynn - See PBC 002743 - PBC 002748

John McGovern – See PBC 005075 – PBC 5080; PBC 013039 – PBC 013044 (McGovern did not send emails, was only copied to the referenced

memorandum)

Kelly McVey - See PBC 002782 - PBC 002785

Jeanne Nahama – See PBC 002880 – PBC 002885

Liza Pedraza – See PBC 002831 – PBC 002836

Tricia Puritz - See PBC 002787 - PBC 002792

Les Rivkin - See PBC 002715 - PBC 002717

Timothy Scheetz - See PBC 002886 - PBC 002889

Janina Seifel - See PBC 002813 - PBC 002823

Nick Sofoul - See PBC 002849; PBC 002857

Susan Stowe - See PBC 002879

Bob Tennies - See PBC 002840 - PBC 002841; PBC 002765

Andres Torrens - See PBC 002706

Daria Wels - See PBC 002718 - PBC 002730; PBC 002733

Emails from Liberty Counsel to County Commissioners:

Richard Mast Daniel Schmid

Communicated with County Attorney's Office:

Daniel Abbott, City Attorney, Boca Raton – See PBC 005094 – PBC 005105

Laurie Cohen, Village Attorney, Wellington - See PBC 005071 - PBC 005080

Jennifer Gardner Ashton, Esquire – See PBC 015559 – PBC 015562; PBC 015564 – PBC 015565; PBC 015584; PBC 015587 – PBC 015588; PBC 015600; PBC 015605 – PBC 015606 (Ashton did not send emails, was only copied to the referenced emails)

Jerry Gewirtz, Assistant County Attorney, Tampa 315 E. Kennedy Boulevard, 7th Floor Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 274-8790

Rand Hoch, Palm Beach County Human Rights Council – See PBC 7642 – PBC 7976; PBC 007979 – PBC 008384

Kyle Lindskog, Assistant City Attorney, St. Petersburg P.O. Box 2842 St. Petersburg, Florida 33731 (727) 893-7401

Jessica Morris, M.A., LPC, NCC (484) 628-9383

Ernest Mueller, Senior Assistant County Attorney, Tampa 315 E. Kennedy Boulevard, 7th Floor Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 274-8790

Zoe Panarites, Assistant City Attorney, West Palm Beach – See PBC 005081 – PBC 005086

Carolyn Reyes, Youth Policy Counsel, National Center for Lesbian Rights – See PBC 005003 – PBC 005007

Robert Rosenwald, Jr., First Assistant City Attorney, Miami Beach – See PBC 004974 – PBC 005002

Kimberly Rothenburg, City Attorney, West Palm Beach - See PBC 005081 - PBC 005086

Janice Rustin, Assistant City Attorney, Delray Beach – See PBC 004915 – PBC 004917

David Schwartz, City Attorney, Gainesville – See PBC 004928 – PBC 004957 Nicolle M. Shalley, City Attorney, Gainesville – See PBC 004918 – PBC 004927

Jerrod Simpson, Assistant County Attorney, Tampa 315 E. Kennedy Boulevard, 7th Floor Tampa, Florida 33602 (813) 274-8790

Terrence Smith, Assistant County Attorney, Miami – See PBC 004959 – PBC 004965

Patricia Staples, Deputy City Clerk, Wilton Manors – PBC 005008 – PBC 005093

James Stokes, City Attorney, Greenacres - See PBC 004958

Erica Tenore, City of West Palm Beach - See PBC 005081 - PBC 005086

Sylvia Torres, Alachua County Senior Assistant County Attorney – See PBC 004909 – PBC 004914

Debora Turner, First Assistant City Attorney, Miami Beach – See PBC 004966 – PBC 004973

Dr. Nancy Vrechek - PBC 004896 - PBC 004900

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 10:

All Documents reviewed or considered by the County in the drafting, consideration, debate, amendment, voting, or enactment of Ordinance 2017-046, including but not limited to all empirical studies, data, statistics, analyses, research, position papers, testimony, letters, correspondence, or communications.

OBJECTION: See privilege log.

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 000743 PBC 00791
- 2. PBC 00792 PBC 001325
- 3. PBC 001326 PBC 001360

- 4. PBC 001361 PBC 001416
- 5. PBC 001417 and PBC 001431
- 6. PBC 001432 PBC 001447
- 7. PBC 001448
- 8. PBC 001449 PBC 001476
- 9. PBC 001477 PBC 001550
- 10. PBC 001551 PBC 001758
- 11. PBC 001759 PBC 001963
- 12. PBC 001964 PBC 001965
- 13. PBC 001966 PBC 002517
- 14. PBC 002518
- 15. PBC 002894 PBC 003270
- 16. PBC 003271 PBC 003304
- 17. PBC 002695 PBC 002893
- 18. PBC 005106 PBC 005298
- 19. PBC 008580 PBC 008877
- 20. PBC 009039 PBC 009087
- 21. PBC 009088 PBC 009604
- 22. PBC 009605 PBC 009630
- 23. PBC 009631 PBC 009863
- 24. PBC 009864 PBC 009901
- 25. PBC 009902 PBC 009993
- 26. PBC 009994 PBC 010164
- 27. PBC 012550 PBC 012757
- 28. PBC 002597 PBC 002694
- 29. PBC 015725 PBC 015726 30. PBC 015727 – PBC 015728
- 31. PBC 015730 PBC 015733
- 32. PBC 004909 PBC 004914
- 33. PBC 004928 PBC 004956
- 34. PBC 004960 PBC 004973
- 35. PBC 005071 PBC 005080
- 36. PBC 005088 PBC 005093
- 45 DD C 005 (44 DD C 005 (54
- 37. PBC 007642 PBC 007651
- 38. PBC 007654
- 39. PBC 007657 PBC 007666
- 40. PBC 007684 PBC 007699
- 41. PBC 007701 PBC 007721
- 42. PBC 007725 PBC 007765
- 43. PBC 007773 PBC 007818
- 44. PBC 007827 PBC 007907
- 45. PBC 007909 PBC 007923
- 46. PBC 007925 PBC 007954
- 47. PBC 007957 PBC 007976 48. PBC 007984 - PBC 007988
- 49. PBC 008010 PBC 008057

- 50. PNC 008060 PBC 008209
- 51. PBC 008212 PBC 008215
- 52. PBC 008219
- 53. PBC 008221 PBC 008230
- 54. PBC 008237 PBC 008240
- 55. PBC 008243
- 56. PBC 008257 PBC 008275
- 57. PBC 008283 PBC 008296
- 58. PBC 008332 PBC 008343
- 59. PBC 012550 PBC 012796
- 60. PBC 012978
- 61. PBC 013081 PBC 013102
- 62. PBC 013124 PBC 013359
- 63. PBC 013367 PBC 013603
- 64. PBC 014638 PBC 014639
- 65. PBC 014648
- 66. PBC 014664
- 67. PBC 014666 PBC 014683
- 68. PBC 014688
- 69. PBC 014702
- 70. PBC 014704
- 71. PBC 014715
- 72. PBC 014718
- 73. PBC 014806
- 74. PBC 014815 PBC 014817
- 75. PBC 014848 PBC 015057
- 76. PBC 015251 PBC 015466
- 77. PBC 015468 PBC 015469
- 78. PBC 015473 PBC 015500
- 79. PBC 015507 PBC 015554
- 80. PBC 015563
- 81. PBC 015567 PBC 015572
- 82. PBC 015577
- 83. PBC 015583
- 84. PBC 015645 PBC 015663
- 85. PBC 015677 PBC 015682
- 86. PBC 015688 PBC 015689
- 87. PBC 015763 PBC 015764*
- 88, PBC 015765 PBC 015768*
- 89. PBC 015769 PBC 015782*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 10: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 15:

All Communications between the County and Equality Florida Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for Equality Florida regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created or requested by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

RESPONSE:

- 1. PBC 008878 PBC 009035
- 2. PBC 015711 PBC 015712
- 3. PBC 015999*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 15: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 16:

All Communications between the County and Rand Hoch and/or the Palm Beach County Human Rights Council Concerning this lawsuit, any Plaintiff, SOCE counseling, Ordinance 2017-046, or any other actual or proposed ban on any form of SOCE counseling. For the sake of clarity and without limitation, as with all other requests, this Request is intended to encompass Communications between any attorneys for the County and any attorneys for Mr. Hoch or the Palm Beach County Human Rights Council regarding the enumerated subjects.

OBJECTION: To the extent this request seeks correspondence and material created or requested by counsel after the commencement of this federal lawsuit, it implicates the work product privilege, is irrelevant as it does not bear on what the County considered when enacting the Ordinance, and is not proportionate to the needs of the case. See UnitedHealthcare of Fla., Inc. v. Am. Renal Assocs. LLC, No. 16-cv-81180-Marra/Matthewman, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 201866, at *5 (S.D. Fla. Dec. 7, 2017). As work product and attorney client communications are implicated, no privilege log for documents or correspondence after commencement of the action is provided pursuant to Local Rule 26.1(e)(2)(c).

RESPONSE:

1. PBC 001417 and PBC 001431

- 2. PBC 007642 PBC 007977
- 3. PBC 007979 PBC 008384
- 4. PBC 013612 PBC 013616
- 5. PBC 014629
- 6. PBC 014631 PBC 014633
- 7. PBC 014636 PBC 014637
- 8. PBC 014638 PBC 014639
- 9. PBC 014640 PBC 014644
- 10. PBC 014647 PBC 014648
- 11. PBC 014636
- 12. PBC 014666 PBC 014688
- 13. PBC 014692 PBC 014699
- 14. PBC 014701 PBC 014702
- 15. PBC 014703 PBC 014715
- 16. PBC 014718 PBC 014761
- 17. PBC 014763 PBC 014847
- 18. PBC 016000 PBC 016159*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 16: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 33:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safe and effective to affirm a Minor in his or her belief that he or she is of a sex or gender that is different from his or her anatomical sex, and/or that there are no short- or long-term negative effects of doing so.

RESPONSE:

- 1. 2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. [PBC 001824-1963]
- 2. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000053-128]
- 3. American Psychological Association 2009 Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts [PBC 000022-26]
- 4. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45]
- 5. American School Counselor Association, The School Counselor and LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000051-52]
- 6. D. Ehrensaft, Found in Transition: Our Littlest Transgender People, Contemporary Psychoanalysis, Vol. 50, No. 4:571-592, 2004 [PBC 013627-013648]
- 7. D. Ehrensaft, "I'm a Prius": A Child Case of Gender/Ethnic Hybrid, Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health, 15:46-57, 2011 [PBC 013649 PBC 013661]

- 8. D. Ehrensaft, From Gender Identity Disorder to Gender Identity Creativity: True Gender Self Child Therapy, Journal of Homosexuality, 59:337-358, 2012 [PBC 013663 PBC 013682]
- 9. National Center for Lesbian Rights, A Place of Respect: A Guide for Group Care Facilities Serving Transgender and Gender Non-Conforming Youth, 2011 [PBC 013945 PBC 014020]
- 10. Mental Health of Transgender Children Who are Supported in Their Identities [PBC 015843 PBC 015852]*
- 11. The Gender Affirmative Model: What We Know and What We Aim to Learn [PBC 015853 PBC 015861]*
- 12. Young Adult Psychological Outcome After Puberty Suppression and Gender Reassignment [PBC 015862 PBC 015872]*
- 13. Children and Adolescents With Gender Identity Disorder Referred to a Pediatric Medical Center [PBC 015873 PBC 015882]*
- 14. Standards of Care for the Health of Transsexual, Transgender, and Gender-Nonconforming People, Version 7 [PBC 015883 PBC 015952]*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 33: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 34:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is psychologically, emotionally or physically safe and effective to assist a Minor in transitioning to a sex different from his or her anatomical sex, and/or that there are no short- or long-term negative effects of doing so.

RESPONSE:

- 1. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000053-128].
- 2. Homosexuality and Adolescence, Pediatrics Vol. 92 No. 4 (October 1993) [PBC000015-20].
- 3. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45].
- 4. D. Ehrensaft, From Gender Identity Disorder to Gender Identity Creativity: True Gender Self Child Therapy, Journal of Homosexuality, 59:337-358, 2012 [PBC 013663 PBC 013682]
- 5. D. Ehrensaft, "Look, Mom, I'm a Boy Don't Tell Anyone I Was a Girl," Journal of LGBT Youth, 10:9-28, 2013 [PBC 013684- PBC 013703]
- 6. Affirming Gender Affirming Lives, A Report of the 2011 Transition Survey [PBC 01786 PBC 015842]*

- 7. Mental Health of Transgender Children Who are Supported in Their Identities [PBC 015843 PBC 015852]*
- 8. Young Adult Psychological Outcome After Puberty Suppression and Gender Reassignment [PBC 015862 PBC 015872]*
- 9. Children and Adolescents With Gender Identity Disorder Referred to a Pediatric Medical Center [PBC 015873 PBC 015882]*
- 10. Standards of Care for the Health of Transsexual, Transgender, and Gender-Nonconforming People, Version 7 [PBC 015883 PBC 015952]*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 34: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 36:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safe and effective to only offer therapy that affirms and supports a distressed Minor who is seeking change regarding unwanted homosexual attractions or gender identity confusion, rather than helping that Minor to make the changes he or she is seeking.

RESPONSE: See response to production # 30 and #33 and interrogatory #5. See also:

- 1. Experiences of Ex-Ex-Gay Individuals in Sexual Reorientation Therapy: Reasons for Seeking Treatment, Perceived Helpfulness and Harmfulness of Treatment, and Post-Treatment Identification [PBC 015953 PBC 015980]*
- 2. The State of LGBQ Affirmative Therapy Clinical Research: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Synthesis [PBC 015981 PBC 015998]*

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 36: See response to production # 30 and #33, #36 above and interrogatory #5.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

Case No.: 9:18-cv-80771-RLR

ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of his patients, JULIE H. HAMILTON, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of her patients, Plaintiffs, v.	Case 140 7.16-64-60771-IKER
CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLORIDA, and COUNTY OF PALM BEACH, FLORIDA, Defendants.	
	Helene C. Huyd HELENE C. HVIZD SENIOR ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY
STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)) ss.)
before me, an officer duly authorized in the this day of September 11, 2018, by He is personally left is personal	known to me; OR on; and who th; OR n oath. I who acknowledged the within document to be freely

My commission expires: June 12, 2019

SERVICE LIST UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 18-CIV-80771-RLR

Mathew Duane Staver, Esq.

Liberty Counsel

1053 Maitland Center Commons, 2nd Floor

Maitland, FL 32751-7214 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770

Email: mat@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Roger K. Gannam, Esq.

Liberty Counsel PO Box 540774 Jacksonville, FL 32854 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770 Email: rgannam@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Horatio G. Mihet, Esq.

Liberty Counsel

P.O. Box 540774 Orlando, FL 32854-0774 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770 Email: hmihet@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Jamie A. Cole, Esq.
Daniel L. Abbott, Esq.
Anne R. Flanigan, Esq.
WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN
COLE & BIERMAN, P.L.

200 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1900

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: 954-763-4242 Facsimile: 954-764-7770

Emails: <u>dabbott@wsh-law.com</u> (primary) pgrotto@wsh-law.com (secondary)

Emails: aflanigan@wsh-law.com (primary)

pgrotto@wsh-law.com (secondary)

Attorneys for the Defendant, City of Boca

Raton

Rachel Marie Fahey, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-6337 Email: rFahey@pbcgov.org

Kim Ngoc Phan, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-2529 Email: kphan@pbcgov.org

Attorneys for County of Palm Beach Florida

Defendant County of Palm Beach

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA WEST PALM BEACH DIVISION

Case No.: 9:18-cv-80771-RLR

ROBERT W. OTTO, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of his patients, JULIE H. HAMILTON, PH.D., LMFT, individually and on behalf of her patients,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF BOCA RATON, FLORIDA, and COUNTY OF PALM BEACH, FLORIDA,

Defendants.		

DEFENDANT, PALM BEACH COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS

COMES NOW Defendant, PALM BEACH COUNTY, by and through its undersigned Assistant County Attorney and hereby responds to Plaintiff's ROBERT W. OTTO Second Set of Discovery Requests served on July 21, 2018.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on August 22, 2018, I electronically served the foregoing by email to all counsel for the parties on the attached service list.

Rachel Fahey, Esquire Assistant County Attorney Florida Bar No. 105734

300 North Dixie Highway, Third Floor West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Tel.: (561) 355-6337 Fax: (561) 355-4234

Primary Email: rfahey@pbcgov.org

Secondary Email: dfishel@pbcgov.org

mjcullen@pbcgov.org

PALM BEACH COUNTY'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS

ADDITIONAL INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY 10:

Identify each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered, enacted or enforced, which regulates any other clinical practice methods besides SOCE counseling.

- 1. Irrelevant as other ordinances, regulations, rules, laws or provisions
 - a. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' Complaint,
 - b. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction,
 - c. are not incorporated by reference into the Ordinance at issue,
 - d. do not supply the County's power or authority to legislate, and
 - e. do not inform the Court's analysis as to the propriety of the Ordinance at issue in this case.
- 2. Discovery of this issue is not proportionate to the needs of the case considering,
 - a. the importance of this discovery is minimal given its irrelevance (see relevance objection above) and given its only purpose being Plaintiffs' attempt to argue by analogy (see DE 40 p. 3),
 - b. Plaintiffs have equal access to obtain the information sought by this discovery request and may do so by reviewing for themselves publicly available information, including the:
 - i. Board of County Commission Meeting agendas dating back to 2004 at https://www.mypalmbeachclerk.com/myminutes.aspx,
 - ii. Board of County Commission Meeting videos dating back to 2006 at http://discover.pbcgov.org/countycommissioners/Pages/BCC-Meeting-Videos.aspx, and
 - iii. The Palm Beach County Code of Ordinances at https://library.municode.com/fl/palm beach county/codes/code of or dinances
 - c. Plaintiffs have the resource of time as the depositions they are taking do not occur until September 17, where the County takes Plaintiffs' depositions on August 29 and 30 and must file its response to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 10, and
 - d. the time-burden on the County to identify "each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered [at a public meeting], enacted or enforced, which regulates any other clinical practice methods besides SOCE counseling" outweighs the likely benefit to Plaintiff in discovering an irrelevant ordinance or the Court in examining an irrelevant ordinance.

INTERROGATORY 11:

Identify each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered, enacted or enforced, which regulates any other types of clients or services that mental health professionals are permitted to serve or offer, besides SOCE counseling or clients who seek SOCE counseling.

- 1. Irrelevant as other ordinances, regulations, rules, laws or provisions
 - a. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' Complaint,
 - b. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction,
 - c. are not incorporated by reference into the Ordinance at issue,
 - d. do not supply the County's power or authority to legislate, and
 - e. do not inform the Court's analysis as to the propriety of the Ordinance at issue in this case.
- 2. Discovery of this issue is not proportionate to the needs of the case considering,
 - a. the importance of this discovery is minimal given its irrelevance (see relevance objection above) and given its only purpose being Plaintiffs' attempt to argue by analogy (see DE 40 p. 3),
 - b. Plaintiffs have equal access to obtain the information sought by this discovery request and may do so by reviewing for themselves publicly available information, including the:
 - i. Board of County Commission Meeting agendas dating back to 2004 at https://www.mypalmbeachelerk.com/myminutes.aspx,
 - ii. Board of County Commission Meeting videos dating back to 2006 at http://discover.pbcgov.org/countycommissioners/Pages/BCC-Meeting-Videos.aspx, and
 - iii. The Palm Beach County Code of Ordinances at https://library.municode.com/fl/palm beach county/codes/code of or dinances
 - c. Plaintiffs have the resource of time as the depositions they are taking do not occur until September 17, where the County takes Plaintiffs' depositions on August 29 and 30 and must file its response to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 10, and
 - d. the time-burden on the County to identify "each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered [at a public meeting], enacted or enforced, which regulates any other types of clients or services that mental health professionals are permitted to serve or offer" outweighs the likely benefit to Plaintiff in discovering an irrelevant ordinance or the Court in examining an irrelevant ordinance.

INTERROGATORY 12:

Identify each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered, enacted or enforced, which regulates any other mental health professionals or professions, besides marriage and family therapy or marriage and family therapists.

- 1. Irrelevant as other ordinances, regulations, rules, laws or provisions
 - a. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' Complaint,
 - b. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction,
 - c. are not incorporated by reference into the Ordinance at issue,
 - d. do not supply the County's power or authority to legislate, and
 - e. do not inform the Court's analysis as to the propriety of the Ordinance at issue in this case.
- 2. Discovery of this issue is not proportionate to the needs of the case considering,
 - a. the importance of this discovery is minimal given its irrelevance (see relevance objection above) and given its only purpose being Plaintiffs' attempt to argue by analogy (see DE 40 p. 3),
 - b. Plaintiffs have equal access to obtain the information sought by this discovery request and may do so by reviewing for themselves publicly available information, including the:
 - i. Board of County Commission Meeting agendas dating back to 2004 at https://www.mypalmbeachelerk.com/myminutes.aspx,
 - ii. Board of County Commission Meeting videos dating back to 2006 at http://discover.pbegov.org/countycommissioners/Pages/BCC-Meeting-Videos.aspx, and
 - iii. The Palm Beach County Code of Ordinances at https://library.municode.com/fl/palm beach county/codes/code of or dinances
 - c. Plaintiffs have the resource of time as the depositions they are taking do not occur until September 17, where the County takes Plaintiffs' depositions on August 29 and 30 and must file its response to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 10, and
 - d. the time-burden on the County to identify "each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered [at a public meeting], enacted or enforced, which regulates any other mental health professionals or professions" outweighs the likely benefit to Plaintiff in discovering an irrelevant ordinance or the Court in examining an irrelevant ordinance.

INTERROGATORY 13:

Identify each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered, enacted or enforced, which regulates any other professions, professionals or professional conduct, besides mental health professions, mental health professionals or SOCE counseling.

- 1. Irrelevant as other ordinances, regulations, rules, laws or provisions
 - a. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' Complaint,
 - b. are not the basis of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction,
 - c. are not incorporated by reference into the Ordinance at issue,
 - d. do not supply the County's power or authority to legislate, and
 - e. do not inform the Court's analysis as to the propriety of the Ordinance at issue in this case.
- 2. Discovery of this issue is not proportionate to the needs of the case considering,
 - a. the importance of this discovery is minimal given its irrelevance (see relevance objection above) and given its only purpose being Plaintiffs' attempt to argue by analogy (see DE 40 p. 3),
 - b. Plaintiffs have equal access to obtain the information sought by this discovery request and may do so by reviewing for themselves publicly available information, including the:
 - i. Board of County Commission Meeting agendas dating back to 2004 at https://www.mypalmbeachelerk.com/myminutes.aspx,
 - ii. Board of County Commission Meeting videos dating back to 2006 at http://discover.pbcgov.org/countycommissioners/Pages/BCC-Meeting-Videos.aspx, and
 - iii. The Palm Beach County Code of Ordinances at https://library.municode.com/fl/palm beach county/codes/code of or dinances
 - c. Plaintiffs have the resource of time as the depositions they are taking do not occur until September 17, where the County takes Plaintiffs' depositions on August 29 and 30 and must file its response to Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 10, and
 - d. the time-burden on the County to identify "each and every County ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision that the County has considered [at a public meeting], enacted or enforced, which regulates any other professions, professionals or professional conduct" outweighs the likely benefit to Plaintiff in discovering an irrelevant ordinance or the Court in examining an irrelevant ordinance.

INTERROGATORY 14:

Identify each and every research (and not merely position papers or other opinions) to which the County refers as "overwhelming research" in the following statement within Ordinance 2017-046:

"WHEREAS, the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners hereby finds the overwhelming research demonstrating that sexual orientation and gender identity change efforts can pose critical health risks to lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or questioning persons,..."

and, for each research you identify, identify each page which you contend to contain the stated conclusion "that sexual orientation and gender identity change efforts can pose critical health risks to lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans-gender or questioning persons."

RESPONSE: Ordinance 2017-046 identifies the research information considered. Additionally, the 2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation was considered. See response to interrogatory #5.

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 25:

All Documents Concerning any ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision identified in Your response to Interrogatory 10, which the County has considered, enacted or enforced to regulate any other clinical practice methods besides SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: See objection to Interrogatory # 10.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 25: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 26:

All Documents Concerning any ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision identified in Your response to Interrogatory 11, which the County has considered, enacted or enforced to regulate any other types of clients or services that mental health professionals are permitted to serve or offer, besides SOCE counseling or clients who seek SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: See objection to Interrogatory #11.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 26: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 27:

All Documents Concerning any ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision identified in Your response to Interrogatory 12, which the County has considered, enacted or enforced to regulate any other mental health professionals or professions, besides marriage and family therapy or marriage and family therapists.

RESPONSE: See objection to Interrogatory # 12.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 27: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 28:

All Documents Concerning any ordinance, regulation, rule, law or provision identified in Your response to Interrogatory 13, which the County has considered, enacted or enforced to regulate any other professions, professionals or professional conduct, besides mental health professions, mental health professionals or SOCE counseling.

RESPONSE: See objection to Interrogatory # 13.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 28: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 29:

All Documents Concerning each research (and not merely position papers or other opinions) identified in Your response to Interrogatory 14.

RESPONSE: See response to interrogatory #5.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 29: See response to interrogatory #5.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 30:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is impossible for a therapist to successfully assist a Minor in changing or reducing his or her unwanted romantic attractions to the same sex.

OBJECTION: Irrelevant as to whether the described change or reduction is possible or impossible. What is relevant is the lack of scientifically rigorous support for the efficacy and safety of conversion therapy as well as the harm associated with conversion therapy. Accordingly, as harm was addressed in interrogatory and request for production #5, the County responds with research in its possession related to the lack of efficacy of conversion therapy:

RESPONSE:

- 1. J. Drescher / Psychiatr Clin N Am 25 (2002) 605–621 [PBC 007400 PBC 007416]
- 2. J. Drescher, et al. / The Growing Regulation of Conversion Therapy, [PBC 007417 PBC 007448]
- 3. J. Serovich, et al. / Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, April 2008, Vol. 34, No. 2, 227–238 [PBC 007449 PBC 007460]
- 4. Drescher and Byne, Chapter 21.2, Homosexuality, Gay and Lesbian Identies, and Homosexual Behavior [PBC 007461 PBC 007492]
- 5. D. Haldeman / Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Questioning Sexual Orientation [PBC 007493 PBC 007632]
- 6. American Psychological Association 2009 Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts [PBC 000022-26]
- 7. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTO Youth [PBC 000053-128]
- 8. American College of Physicians, LGBT Health Disparities article and the Therapies to Change Sexual Orientation Lack Medical Justification and Threaten Health, Annals of Internal Medicine (2015) [PBC 000129-163].
- 9. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45].

10. Pan American Health Organization, "Therapies" to change sexual orientation lack medical justification and threaten health (2012) [PBC 000046-50].

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 30: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 31:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is impossible for a therapist to successfully assist a Minor in changing or reducing unwanted same-sex sexual behaviors.

RESPONSE: See response to request for production # 30.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 31: See response to request for production # 30.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 32:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is impossible for a therapist to successfully assist a gender confused Minor in re-gaining confidence and peace with his or her anatomical sex.

OBJECTION: This request mischaracterizes the County's contentions. Irrelevant as the Ordinance does not prohibit a therapist from assisting a minor in re-gaining confidence and peace with their anatomical sex. The Ordinance prohibits a therapist from seeking to change a minor's sexual orientation or gender identity.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 32: None.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION 33:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safe and effective to affirm a Minor in his or her belief that he or she is of a sex or gender that is different from his or her anatomical sex, and/or that there are no short- or long-term negative effects of doing so.

RESPONSE:

- 1. 2009 Report of the APA Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. [PBC 001824-1963]
- 2. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000053-128]

- 3. American Psychological Association 2009 Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts [PBC 000022-26]
- 4. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45]
- 5. American School Counselor Association, The School Counselor and LGBTQ Youth [PBC 000051-52]
- 6. D. Ehrensaft, Found in Transition: Our Littlest Transgender People, Contemporary Psychoanalysis, Vol. 50, No. 4:571-592, 2004 [PBC 013627-013648]
- 7. D. Ehrensaft, "I'm a Prius": A Child Case of Gender/Ethnic Hybrid, Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health, 15:46-57, 2011 [PBC 013649 PBC 013661]
- 8. D. Ehrensaft, From Gender Identity Disorder to Gender Identity Creativity: True Gender Self Child Therapy, Journal of Homosexuality, 59:337-358, 2012 [PBC 013663 PBC 013682]
- 9. National Center for Lesbian Rights, A Place of Respect: A Guide for Group Care Facilities Serving Transgender and Gender Non-Conforming Youth, 2011 [PBC 013945-PBC 014020]

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 33: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 34:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is psychologically, emotionally or physically safe and effective to assist a Minor in transitioning to a sex different from his or her anatomical sex, and/or that there are no short- or long-term negative effects of doing so.

RESPONSE:

- 1. 2015 Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Ending Conversion Therapy: Supporting and Affirming LGBTO Youth [PBC 000053-128].
- 2. Homosexuality and Adolescence, Pediatrics Vol. 92 No. 4 (October 1993) [PBC000015-20].
- 3. American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, Practice Parameter on Gay, Lesbian, or Bisexual Orientation, Gender Non-Conformity, and Gender Discordance in Children and Adolescents [PBC 000028-45].
- 4. D. Ehrensaft, From Gender Identity Disorder to Gender Identity Creativity: True Gender Self Child Therapy, Journal of Homosexuality, 59:337-358, 2012 [PBC 013663 PBC 013682]
- 5. D. Ehrensaft, "Look, Mom, I'm a Boy Don't Tell Anyone I Was a Girl," Journal of LGBT Youth, 10:9-28, 2013 [PBC 013684- PBC 013703]

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 34: See response above.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 35:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safe and effective to withhold therapy from a Minor who is distressed about his or her unwanted homosexual attractions, and who desires to receive therapy to reduce those unwanted attractions.

OBJECTION: This request mischaracterizes the County's Ordinance. The County does not contend that all therapies should be withheld from such a distressed minor. To the extent this request seeks research about the appropriateness of affirmative therapy, see response to request for production #30 and #33.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 35: See response to request for production #30 and #33.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 36:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safe and effective to only offer therapy that affirms and supports a distressed Minor who is seeking change regarding unwanted homosexual attractions or gender identity confusion, rather than helping that Minor to make the changes he or she is seeking.

RESPONSE: See response to production #30 and #33 and interrogatory #5.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 36: See response to production # 30 and #33 and interrogatory #5.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 37:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safer to wait until a Minor turns 18 years of age before providing therapy for unwanted homosexual attractions or gender identity confusion.

RESPONSE: Objection, this request mischaracterizes the County's contentions. The County does not contend that conversion therapy is safe for any age. The County's Ordinance protects a particularly vulnerable subset of its population, minors, from the harms of conversion therapy. With respect to vulnerability concerns related to a minor and issues concerning "consent," see response to interrogatory #6.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 37: See response to interrogatory #6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 38:

A copy of all research studies (and not merely position statements or other opinions) that you contend to conclude or demonstrate that it is safer, better or more desirable for a Minor who desires change and seeks counseling regarding unwanted homosexual attractions or gender identity confusion to receive that counseling from a non-licensed provider rather than a licensed provider.

OBJECTION: This request mischaracterizes the County's Ordinance. The County's Ordinance addresses the harms caused to minors by licensed providers. Harm caused by non-licensed providers is not relevant.

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 38: None.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION 39:

All Documents showing or evidencing the time, circumstances and extent to which the County considered any of the research identified in Your responses to Interrogatory 14 or produced in response to Requests for Production 29 through 38.

RESPONSE: See:

- 1. PBC 000164
- 2. PBC 000165
- 3. PBC 000166
- 4. PBC 000167
- 5. PBC 000325 PBC 000429
- 6. PBC 000430 PBC 000550
- 7. PBC 007373 PBC 007399
- 8. PBC 007642 PBC 007977
- 9. PBC 007979 PBC 008384
- 10. PBC 13066
- 11. PBC 12988
- 12. PBC 014629 PBC 014847
- 13. PBC 014848 PBC 015699

BATES RANGES OF DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO RFP 39: See response above.

SERVICE LIST UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA CASE NO. 18-CIV-80771-RLR

Mathew Duane Staver, Esq.

Liberty Counsel 1053 Maitland Center Commons, 2nd Floor

Maitland, FL 32751-7214 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770 Email: mat@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Roger K. Gannam, Esq.

Liberty Counsel PO Box 540774 Jacksonville, FL 32854 Telephone: 800-671-1776 Facsimile: 407-875-0770 Email: rgannam@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Horatio G. Mihet, Esq.

Liberty Counsel
P.O. Box 540774
Orlando, FL 32854-0774
Telephone: 800-671-1776
Facsimile: 407-875-0770
Email: hmihet@lc.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Jamie A. Cole, Esq.
Daniel L. Abbott, Esq.
Anne R. Flanigan, Esq.
WEISS SEROTA HELFMAN
COLE & BIERMAN, P.L.
200 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1900
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone: 954-763-4242 Facsimile: 954-764-7770

Emails: <u>dabbott@wsh-law.com</u> (primary) pgrotto@wsh-law.com (secondary)

Emails: aflanigan@wsh-law.com (primary)

pgrotto@wsh-law.com (secondary)

Attorneys for the Defendant, City of Boca Raton

Rachel Marie Fahey, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-6337 Email: rFahey@pbcgov.org

Kim Ngoc Phan, Esq.

Palm Beach County Attorney's Office 300 N. Dixie Highway, Ste. 359 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: 561-355-2529 Email: kphan@pbcgov.org

Attorneys for County of Palm Beach Florida

Case 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-39 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/23/2018 No. 9:18-cy-80771-RLR

Defendant County of Palm Beach

From: <u>Denise Marie Nieman</u>

To: Paulette Burdick P.; Melissa McKinlay; Hal Valeche; Dave Kerner M.; Steven Abrams; MaryLou Berger; Mack

<u>Bernard</u>

Cc: Verdenia Baker; Jon Van Arnam; Todd J. Bonlarron; Faye Johnson W.; Patrick Rutter; Ramsay Bulkeley; Helene

Hvizd; Shannon Fox; rand-hoch@usa.net; Trent Steele

Subject: Conversion Therapy

Date: Thursday, September 07, 2017 6:52:32 PM

Dear Commissioners,

This is in response to the BCC's direction last summer to research the viability of the adoption of a County ordinance banning "Conversion Therapy", a form of counseling that attempts to change one's sexual orientation. The direction was given at the request of Rand Hoch on behalf of the PBC Human Rights Council.

We strongly believe that this area should be regulated by the state since it is the state who licenses and otherwise governs therapists. However, we are cognizant of the likelihood of that happening after Senator Clemens made numerous attempts to enact state law, all of which failed. I am also of the opinion that professional oversight organizations should mandate compliance with their guidelines instead of making them aspirational, which would allow for a more direct consequence to the therapist than attempting to enforce a local ordinance. That method of addressing the issue also seems futile, thus the plea for local governments to step in.

Our initial research revealed a number of significant legal issues that would have prevented my office from signing off for legal sufficiency. Assistant County Attorney Helene Hvizd and I reviewed our concerns with Mr. Hoch and ultimately mutually agreed to hold off issuing an unfavorable opinion to give us time to monitor how the pending cases evolve and to see how the jurisdictions who did move forward with an ordinance administered and enforced same. We also wanted to see if any of the new enactments would be challenged. To the best of our knowledge, none have been.

As Mr. Hoch pointed out in his recent email, a number of cities in Florida did adopt ordinances. At the time of the BCC's directive, the City of Miami Beach was the only city in Florida to ban conversion therapy. Further, Miami-Dade is close to final adoption of its ordinance, making it the only county in Florida to have such a prohibition.

While we still have legal concerns including, but not limited to, implied preemption, the Florida Patients' Bill of Rights, conflicting federal circuit court opinions, and parental rights, there were some arguments that advanced to a point where we were able to move from a definite "no" to a "maybe" (I use this term since the case law can go either way), clearing the path for an ordinance should a majority of the BCC so directs.

In addition to the legal issues, after researching the history of conversion therapy, I felt it important to bring to your attention some general observations, as well as some practical concerns. Most of the universal complaints seem to be about religious organizations that the ordinance would not legally be able to address. Further, all of the six therapists who have been identified to us as practicing conversion therapy in PBC are located in the incorporated areas of the County, which I suppose is a plus because one of the main concerns is enforcement. It's difficult to imagine how a County Code Enforcement Officer would be able to issue a citation for a violation. How would an officer determine if a violation occurred? The ordinances play more of a deterrent role.

In any event, we can bring back an ordinance banning conversion therapy if directed.

Should you have any questions, please let me know.

Denise Marie

Denise Marie NiemanCounty Attorney Palm Beach County 301 N. Olive Avenue Suite 601 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561.355.3389 (office) 561.355.3600 (fax) dnieman@pbcgov.org

Case 9:18-cv-80771-RLR Document 121-40 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/2分2019 10/2分2019 121-40

Exhibit No. 40

Defendant County of Palm Beach

 From:
 Rand Hoch

 To:
 Helene Hvizd

 Cc:
 Trent Steele

Subject: Re: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban

Date: Monday, August 07, 2017 8:55:50 PM

A few minutes ago Greenacres just passed there ordinance on Final Reading. Trent and I are out in California meeting with some of the people on our national legal team so we should have the memorandum for you shortly.

As for the league of cities, we have that under control but we do understand that I will add a slight delay into the process.

We will be in touch as soon as we have the memorandum completed.

On Mon, Aug 7, 2017 at 1:32 PM Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org > wrote:

Hello Rand,

As I am surveying conversion therapy ban ordinances, it occurred that I should remind you that making the County's ordinance apply in municipalities requires that we present this ordinance to the League of Cities for their vote of approval before placing it on the BCC Agenda. That generally adds at least one month to the several week procedure for placing an item on the agenda. I believe Denise Marie mentioned this during our meeting, but wanted to make sure we are all on the same page.

How is your memorandum progressing?

Thank you,

Helene

From: Helene Hvizd

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 9:54 AM **To:** Rand Hoch < rand-hoch@usa.net >

Cc: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>>; Trent Steele < <u>trent@trentsteele.com</u>>

Subject: Re: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban
We may be asked to address this.
Original message
From: Rand Hoch < rand-hoch@usa.net >
Date: 7/27/17 8:57 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org>
Cc: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u> >, Trent Steele < <u>trent@trentsteele.com</u> >
Subject: Re: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban
Helene,
the bill got slaughtered in Tallahassee. If it ever passes, your office will have more
significant issues to deal with than conversion therapy bans!
On Thu, Jul 27, 2017 at 8:22 AM Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org > wrote:
Implied preemption has not been addressed with respect to the very broad Patients' Bill of Rights. I am also curious for your analysis of the legislature's attempt to preempt local
regulation of business as it relates to local conversion therapy ban ordinances. Thank you.
Original message
From: Rand Hoch < rand-hoch@usa.net >
Date: 7/27/17 7:52 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >

Cc: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>>, Trent Steele < <u>trent@trentsteele.com</u>>

Subject: Re: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban

Trent is updating implied pre-emption, in light of a new case from the Florida Supreme Court which strengthens out position (it deals with specific language regarding the Law Enforcement Bill of Rights.

What seems to be the issue with the Patients Bill of Rights?

Rand Hoch

400 North Flagler Drive, #1402

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-358-0105

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 7:18 PM, Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org > wrote:

Thank you. Will you please ask Trent to address implied preemption and the Florida Patients' Bill of Rights. No county or municipality has addressed this to date.

----- Original message -----

From: Rand Hoch < rand-hoch@usa.net>

Date: 7/26/17 6:04 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>>

Cc: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org >, Rand Hoch < rand-hoch@usa.net >, Trent Steele < trent@trentsteele.com >

Subject: Re: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban

Please touch base before hand so we can give you the most current list. As of today, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Vermont, the District of Columbia, Cincinnati (OH), Seattle (WA), Pittsburgh (PA), Toledo (OH), Columbus (OH), Philadelphia (PA) and Allentown (PA) have laws

preventing licensed mental health providers from offering conversion therapy to minors. (New York's ban is uniquely the result of an order signed New York Gov. Andrew Cuomo and not legislation.)

In Florida, West Palm Beach, Lake Worth, Boynton Beach, Riviera Beach, Delray Beach, Wellington, Miami, Wilton Manors, Miami Beach, Bay Harbor Islands, El Portal, Key West and Tampa have also banned the practice. Additionally, the Greenacres City Council and the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners have approved (on First Reading) ordinances banning conversion therapy for minors. Final Readings are expected to be held later this summer.

Also, it appears that no one has attached a fiscal impact (e.g. cost of training, etc) to any of the ordinances. Please keep in mind there are only 5 therapists that we know of doing this in PB County (down from 6 a few months ago).

Thanks

Rand

P.S. Trent is working on a comprehensive memo of law to address issues that have come up.

Rand Hoch

400 North Flagler Drive, #1402

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-358-0105

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 12:40 PM, Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>> wrote:

Rand, 9/12...thank you! I'll send something to the BCC before then with updated info especially re: Miami-Dade.

From: Helene Hvizd

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:39 PM

To: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>>; 'Rand Hoch' < <u>rand-hoch@usa.net</u>>

Cc: Trent Steele < trent@trentsteele.com > Subject: RE: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban		
Yes, the sooner the better. Thank you.		
From: Denise Marie Nieman Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:37 PM To: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org>; 'Rand Hoch' < rand-hoch@usa.net> Cc: Trent Steele < trent@trentsteele.com> Subject: RE: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban		
Hey Rand,		
Helene has been on top of everything you've been sending, Rand. Thank you, Helene.		
As for the dates in November, first and second reading would be 11/7 and 11/21. The sooner the bettereither 9/12 (the only September meeting) or 10/3 (10/17 would be pushing it). Helene, since you'll be doing the work if we're directed to bring an ordinance back, which date works best for you?		
Rand, I noticed an article some weeks ago, I think the Town Crier, that was jumping all over the BCC for not doing an ordinance. As you know, they directed me to look into it and report back which I haven't yet because you and I have been working together so as not to compromise anything you are able to achieve. I don't like seeing my client negatively portrayed when it is me who hasn't responded to them as you and I help each other. Anything you can do to make sure folks know that we aren't dragging our feet would be most appreciated.		
Have an awesome day!		

From: Helene Hvizd

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 12:22 PM

To: 'Rand Hoch' < rand-hoch@usa.net'>; Denise Marie Nieman < DNieman@pbcgov.org>

Cc: Trent Steele < trent@trentsteele.com >

Subject: RE: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban

Hello Rand,

Yes, I spoke to the Miami-Dade County Attorney's office immediately after your initial email regarding that County's ordinance.

Thank you,

Helene

From: flagler400@gmail.com [mailto:flagler400@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Rand Hoch

Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 8:45 AM

To: Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>>

Cc: Helene Hvizd < HHvizd@pbcgov.org>; Trent Steele < trent@trentsteele.com>

Subject: PBCHRC - Countywide Conversion Therapy Ban

Hi there,

I had a tickler on my calendar to talk with you today, but you beat me to it.

The Miami-Dade County Commission, which recently passed it unanimously on First Reading, is going for final reading in August.

We have a final reading in Greenacres in August, and a few more municipalities in the works. So, let's skip taking action at the August 15 hearing and move the timetable.

If we want to have First and Second readings during November (assuming there are two meetings that month), when should someone on the BCC ask for direction?

Rand

P.S. Have you reached out to the Miami-Dade County Attorney yet?

Rand Hoch

400 North Flagler Drive, #1402

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-358-0105

On Wed, Jul 26, 2017 at 7:36 AM, Denise Marie Nieman < <u>DNieman@pbcgov.org</u>> wrote:

Hey Rand,

Following up on our discussion where I told you I'd check in on 7/26 before you head to San Fran to see if you're still on track for someone on the BCC to seek direction at the 8/15 meeting for us to proceed with the drafting of an ordinance.

We're on standby...

Under Florida law, e-mail addresses are public records. If you do not want your e-mail address released in response to a public records request, do not send electronic mail to this entity. Instead, contact this office by phone or in writing.

__

Rand Hoch

400 North Flagler Drive, #1402

West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-358-0105

__

Rand Hoch 400 North Flagler Drive, #1402 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 561-358-0105