

Case No. 18-35708

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PARENTS FOR PRIVACY; KRIS GOLLY and JON GOLLY, individually and as guardians ad litem for A.G.; NICOLE LILLY; MELISSA GREGORY, individually and as guardian ad litem for T.F.; and PARENTS RIGHTS IN EDUCATION, an Oregon nonprofit corporation,
Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2; OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; GOVERNOR KATE BROWN, in her official capacity as SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; BETSY DEVOS, in her official capacity as United States Secretary of Education as successor to JOHN B. KING, JR.; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; JEFF SESSIONS, in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, as successor to LORETTA F. LYNCH,

Defendants-Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Oregon,
Portland Division, No. 3:17-cv-01813-HZ
The Honorable Marco A. Hernandez

EXCERPT OF RECORD VOLUME II

Herbert G. Grey, OSB #810250
4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
Telephone: 503-641-4908

Ryan Adams, OSB # 150778
Email: ryan@ruralbusinessattorneys.com
181 N. Grant Street, Suite 212
Canby, OR 97013
Telephone: 503-266-5590

**EXCERPT OF RECORD VOLUME II
INDEX**

COMPLAINTER 66-130

EXHIBITS TO COMPLAINT A THROUGH HER 131-203

EXHIBITS TO COMPLAINT I THROUGH J.....ER 204-270

EXHIBITS TO COMPLAINT K THROUGH P.....ER 271-323

DEFENDANT DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT’S MOTION TO DISMISS
.....ER 324-348

PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE TO DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT’S MOTION TO
DISMISS.....ER 349-365

Herbert G. Grey, OSB #810250
4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
Telephone: 503-641-4908
Email: herb@greylaw.org

Ryan Adams, OSB # 150778
181 N. Grant Street, Suite 212
Canby, OR 97013
Telephone: 503-266-5590
Email: ryan@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Portland Division

PARENTS FOR PRIVACY; KRIS GOLLY
and JON GOLLY, individually [and as
guardians ad litem for A.G.]; LINDSAY
GOLLY; NICOLE LILLIE; MELISSA
GREGORY, individually and as guardian
ad litem for T.F.; and PARENTS RIGHTS
IN EDUCATION, an Oregon nonprofit
corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No.

COMPLAINT

5 U.S.C. § 500 *et seq* (APA)
42 USC § 1983 (Privacy, Religion)
20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq* (Title IX)
42 USC § 2000bb, *et seq* (RFRA)
ORS 659A.400 *et seq* (civil rights)
ORS 659.850 *et seq* (discrimination
in education)

Jury Trial Requested

DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2; OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; GOVERNOR KATE BROWN, in her official capacity as the Superintendent of Public Instruction; and UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; BETSY DEVOS, in her official capacity as United States Secretary of Education as successor to JOHN B. KING, JR.; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; JEFF SESSIONS, in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, as successor to LORETTA F. LYNCH,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES & DECLARATORY & INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiffs PARENTS FOR PRIVACY and PARENTS RIGHTS IN EDUCATION, along with other plaintiffs named and identified by name or their initials in the caption above (the “Plaintiffs”), allege:

1. This case is about protecting the privacy of every student within Dallas School District No. 2 (“Dallas School District” or “DISTRICT” or “District Defendant”)—privacy that Defendants violate each school day through new rules and policies that radically changed the meaning of “sex” in Title IX. Defendants have unilaterally rejected the Title IX meaning of sex, which for 40 years has meant biologically male and female, two objectively determined, fixed, binary sexes rooted in our human reproductive nature. In lieu of this unambiguous meaning of sex, Defendants inject a distinct and altogether different concept of gender identity which is subjectively discerned, fluid, and nonbinary. The Department of Education and Department of Justice (collectively “Federal Defendants”) acted without regard for

statutory authority or required rule-making procedures, and created and promulgated a new *ultra vires* rule (“Federal Rule” or “Rule”) through the artifice of issuing “guidelines” (“Federal Guidelines” or “Guidelines”) and then enforcing those guidelines against several schools. Those enforcement actions put all school districts nationwide on notice that they must treat a student’s gender identity as their sex for the purpose of Title IX if they wish to retain federal funding. The Federal Rule redefines “sex” in Title IX and requires school districts to regulate access to sex-specific private facilities such as locker rooms, restrooms, shower rooms, and hotel rooms on overnight school-sponsored trips by gender identity rather than by sex. DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT (“District”) fully adopted and implemented the Federal Defendant’s Rule as their own district policy in the form of a Student Safety Plan. The consequence of the Federal Rule and the District policy is unavoidable: adolescent students, in the midst of disrobing within private intimate spaces, will encounter an adolescent student of the opposite sex in their midst. The risk of such encounters, and the encounters themselves, merit prompt judicial intervention to enjoin Federal Defendants’ rules and guidelines as well as DISTRICT’s Student Safety Plan and policies and protect Plaintiffs’ bodily privacy.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action arises under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 *et seq.* (the “Civil Rights Act”), 5 U.S.C. §§ 500 *et seq.* (the “Administrative Procedure Act” or the “APA”), 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.* (“Title IX”), the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (“RFRA”), 42 USC

§§ 2000bb *et seq.*, and the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

3. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, 1361, and 1367.

4. The Court has jurisdiction to issue the requested declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and FRCP 57.

5. The Court has jurisdiction to award the requested injunctive relief under 5 U.S.C. §§ 702 and 703, 20 U.S.C. § 1683, 42 U.S.C. § 20000bb-1(c), 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3), 775 Ill. Comp. Stat. Ann. § 35/20, and FRCP 65.

6. The Court has jurisdiction to award nominal and compensatory damages under 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(4).

7. The Court has jurisdiction to award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

8. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (e), because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to all claims occurred in this district where one or more defendants are located.

PARTIES: PLAINTIFFS

9. All plaintiffs are citizens of the United States and residents of Polk County, Oregon; except that plaintiff PARENTS RIGHTS IN EDUCATION has its primary office in Washington County, Oregon.

10. Plaintiff PARENTS RIGHTS IN EDUCATION is a nonprofit organization comprised of educators, school board members, parents and

grandparents whose mission is to protect and advocate for parents' rights to guide the education of their children, including but not limited to addressing "health services" and sexually explicit content and materials given or promoted to students through educational services under the guise of comprehensive sexuality education.

11. Plaintiff PARENTS FOR PRIVACY is a voluntary unincorporated association of current and former students, as well as their parents and other concerned members of the District community who are directly impacted by the USDOE's adoption and enforcement of the legislative rule redefining the term "sex" in Title IX to include "gender identity" and implementation of the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) and its underlying policies which are identified in ¶ 28 below.

12. Student Plaintiffs object to being required to share restrooms, locker rooms and shower rooms with students of the opposite biological sex.

13. One or more female students has attended Dallas High School, and has been subject to both the Student Safety Plan and underlying policies (Ex. A).

14. In addition, there are boy plaintiffs who attend Dallas High School and other District schools, and so are currently subject to the Student Safety Plan.

15. Each plaintiff who is individually identified by his/her initials is also a member of one of the subgroups listed below. For clarity, when used below: "Student Plaintiffs" refers to all students who were, are or will be subject to the Student Safety Plan; "Parent Plaintiffs" refers to all parents who are part of PARENTS FOR PRIVACY (including those who are individually identified by initials); "Girl Plaintiffs" refers to all female students who attend or have attended Dallas High

School who are subject to the Student Safety Plan; and “Boy Plaintiffs” refers to all male students who attend DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL or other DISTRICT schools who are subject to the Student Safety Plan.

16. Plaintiff LINDSAY GOLLY, recently attended DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL and was subject to Student Safety Plan during the 2015-2016 school year. Plaintiffs KRIS GOLLY and JON GOLLY are her parents, as well as the parents and petitioning guardians ad litem for their son A.G., currently an eighth grade student in the Dallas School District who is or soon will be subjected to the Student Safety Plan.

17. Plaintiff MELISSA GREGORY is the parent and petitioning guardian ad litem for T.F., currently an eleventh grade student at Dallas High School who is subject to the Student Safety Plan.

18. The factual statements and allegations of law below apply as alleged to a number of individual plaintiffs.

PARTIES: DEFENDANTS

Defendant Dallas School District No. 2

19. DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 (“DISTRICT”) is a public school district located in Dallas, Polk County, Oregon organized under the laws of the State of Oregon, and it is a government entity capable of suing and being sued in all courts, including this court. All of DISTRICT’s actions complained of herein were conducted under color and pretense of law, including the enactment and enforcement of policies pursuant to Oregon and United States law.

20. DISTRICT is comprised of public educational institutions that provide K-12 education to both male and female students within the meaning of ORS 659A.850. DISTRICT is an employer within the meaning of ORS 659A.001 and 659A.106, as well as a place of public accommodation within the meaning of ORS 659A.400, *et seq.*

21. The public schools that comprise DISTRICT receive federal funds and are thereby subject to the requirements of Title IX.

22. Defendant DISTRICT is charged with the formulation, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of its policies for its schools as alleged in ¶¶ 74 through 94, including the following policies challenged herein:

- a. The Student Safety Plan, together with the underlying policies identified in subparagraphs b-g below, was enacted and implemented at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL by DISTRICT on or about November 15, 2015 (Ex. A);
- b. Policy AC (entitled Nondiscrimination) prohibiting discrimination and harassment in educational opportunities and services offered students on certain protected grounds, including sex and religion (Ex. B);
- c. Policy AD (entitled Philosophy of Education) reciting in relevant part that “The primary purpose of the Dallas School District is to provide opportunities for the full intellectual development of each child”, a “shared responsibility with parents/legal guardians [and

others]...for the social, physical and emotional growth and development of the individual child” and “a shared responsibility for developing in all children an awareness of the societal responsibilities to themselves, other individuals and to the local community or to the larger community of state, nation, or world” (Ex. C);

- d. Policy JBA/GBN (entitled Sexual Harassment) defines “sexual harassment” to include “conduct or communication [that] is so severe, persistent, or pervasive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with a student’s educational performance...; or creates an intimidating, offensive or hostile educational or working environment” (Ex. D);
- e. Policy JBA/GBN-AR (entitled Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence) further provides “sexual harassment” includes “...9. Other sexually motivated behavior which may affect working conditions, or the educational process” (Ex. E);
- f. Policy JF/JFA (entitled Student Rights and Responsibilities) whereby the Board acknowledges responsibility to afford students “civil rights – including the rights to equal educational opportunity... and 5. The right to privacy...” (Ex. F);
- g. Policy JFCF (entitled Harassment/Intimidation/Cyberbullying/Teen Dating Violence/Domestic Violence-Student),

whereby the Board acknowledges in its “its commitment to providing a positive and productive learning environment will consult with parents/guardians, ...students...in developing this policy”, and again defining “Harassment, intimidation or bullying” to mean “any act that substantially interferes with a student’s educational benefits, opportunities or performance...having the effect of knowingly placing a student in reasonable fear of physical harm...[or] creating a hostile educational environment, including interfering with the psychological well-being of the student.” (Ex. G)

23. Defendant DISTRICT is responsible for the enforcement of its policies by its board of directors, Superintendent, administrators, teachers, and all other district personnel.

Defendant Oregon Department of Education

24. Defendant OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (“ODE”) is an executive agency of the state of Oregon and is responsible for the administration and funding of K-12 public education in the state of Oregon, as well as the enforcement of Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 106 for schools under its jurisdiction. On or about May 5, 2016 ODE issued its “Guidance to School Districts: Creating a Safe and Supportive School Environment for Transgender Students”, official policy based in part on legal advice given in

documents issued by USDOE and USDOJ. (Ex. M-1). ODE has not changed its policies in light of subsequent actions by federal officials recited in ¶ 39 below.

Defendant Governor Kate Brown

25. Governor KATE BROWN is the Superintendent of Public Instruction and the highest ranking executive official at OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. In this capacity, she is the final policymaker responsible for the operation and management of the ODE, including the issuance of Exhibit M-1. She is sued in her official capacity only.

Defendant United States Department of Education

26. Defendant United States Department of Education (“USDOE”) is an executive agency of the United States government and is responsible for the promulgation, administration, and enforcement of Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 106.

27. The USDOE, through its Office for Civil Rights (“OCR”), has exercised its alleged authority to promulgate, administer and enforce its new legislative rule for Title IX, as alleged in ¶¶s 49 to 73, to the detriment of Student Plaintiffs and their respective parents.

Defendant Secretary Betsy DeVos

28. JOHN B. KING, JR. (“KING”), was the United States Secretary of Education at all times material to the enactment of the Rule and Guidelines. In this capacity, he was the final policymaker responsible for the operation and management of the USDOE. Defendant BETSY DEVOS subsequently became the Secretary of

Page | 10 - COMPLAINT

Education in early 2017 and is currently the final policymaker for the operation and management of the USDOE. DEVOS is sued in her official capacity only.

Defendant United States Department of Justice

29. Defendant United States Department of Justice (“USDOJ”) is an executive agency of the United States government and is responsible for the enforcement of Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 106. Pursuant to Executive Order 12250, the DOJ has authority to bring enforcement actions to enforce Title IX.

Defendant Attorney General Jeff Sessions

30. LORETTA E. LYNCH (“LYNCH”) was the United States Attorney General at all times material to the enactment of the Rule and Guidelines. In this capacity, she was the final policymaker responsible for the operation and management of the USDOJ, including the enforcement of Title IX, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 106. Subsequently, Defendant JEFF SESSIONS became the Attorney General in early 2017 and is currently the final policymaker for the operation and management of the USDOJ. SESSIONS is sued in his official capacity only.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

31. Plaintiffs believe no student can or should be forced to use private facilities at school, like locker rooms, showers and restrooms, with students of the opposite sex. Plaintiffs further believe no government agency can legitimately hold hostage education funding to advance an unlawful agenda enacted unlawfully, and

no school district should trade its students' constitutional and statutory rights for dollars and cents from the U.S. Government. This is especially true when it means abandoning a common-sense practice that has long protected every student's privacy and access to education.

32. Bypassing congressional intent, judicial rulings, and more than 40 years of Title IX history enforcing the unambiguous term "sex" (meaning males and females), the Federal Defendants decreed by unlawful agency fiat a new legislative rule redefining "sex" in Title IX and its implementing regulations to include "gender identity", thereby requiring that a school must treat a student's gender identity as the student's sex for purposes of Title IX and its implementing regulations.¹ The

¹ The term "sex," as used in both Title IX and this Complaint, is a binary concept that refers to one's biological status as either male or female determined at birth and manifest by biological indicators such as chromosomes, gonads, hormones, and genitalia. *See, e.g.,* Am. Psychological Ass'n, *Answers to Your Questions About Transgender People, Gender Identity and Gender Expression* 1, <http://www.apa.org/topics/lgbt/transgender.pdf> ("Sex is assigned at birth, refers to one's biological status as either male or female, and is associated primarily with physical attributes such as chromosomes, hormone prevalence, and external and internal anatomy."); Am. Psychological Ass'n, *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* 451 (5th ed. 2013) ("DSM-5") (noting that sex "refer[s] to the biological indicators of male and female (understood in the context of reproductive capacity), such as in sex chromosomes, gonads, sex hormones, and nonambiguous internal and external genitalia."). When "male" and "female" are used in this Complaint, they are used consistently with this definition. "Gender identity" as defined by the Department of Education "refers to an individual's internal sense of gender. A person's gender identity may be different from or the same as the person's sex assigned at birth." U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. Department of Education, *Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students* 1 (May 13, 2016). **Exhibit K.** It is also subjective, fluid, and not rooted in human reproduction or tied to birth sex. Lawrence S. Mayer & Paul R. McHugh, *Sexuality and Gender: Findings from the Biological, Psychological, and Social Sciences*, New

Federal Defendants' new Rule is succinctly stated this way: a school must "treat a student's gender identity as the student's sex for purposes of Title IX and its implementing regulations." May 13, 2016 Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students (Ex. K).

33. Federal Defendants created and promulgated this new legislative rule ("Rule") through a series of Federal Guidelines that were sent to school districts between April 2014 and May 2016, including:

- U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence*, 5 (Apr. 2014) (Ex. H)
- U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes and Extracurricular Activities*, 25 (Dec. 2014) (Ex. I)
- U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Title IX Resource Guide*, 1, 15, 16, 19, 21-22 (Apr. 2015) (Ex. J) and
- *Dear Colleague Letter on Transgender Students* (Ex. K).

34. Contemporaneously, Federal Defendants enforced the policies announced in these Guidelines as "a condition of receiving Federal funds", publicly threatening to remove all federal funding from school districts that did not submit to their Guidelines. (Ex. K).

Atlantis, at 87-93 (2016). When "gender identity" is used in this Complaint, it is used consistently with this definition.

35. The Rule made two radical changes to the law that are directly at issue in this case: It (1) redefined the term “sex” in Title IX to include gender identity, and (2) prohibited school districts from providing sex-specific facilities including locker rooms, shower rooms, restrooms, and hotel rooms on school sponsored trips.

36. Under the Rule, school districts must provide any male student who professes a female gender identity unrestricted use of girls’ private facilities and any female student who professes a male gender identity unrestricted use of boys’ private facilities.

37. The Rule is *ultra vires* because it violates both substantive and procedural requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”) in that it was considered or adopted through notice and comment rulemaking and was not approved or promulgated by the President of the United States.

38. The Rule is unlawful because it mandates a school policy that creates a sexually harassing hostile environment and violates privacy.

39. Subsequently, on or about February 22, 2017, USDOE and USDOJ issued a letter withdrawing the guidance in their May 13, 2016 Dear Colleague Letter (Ex. K) and an April 2015 Letter “in order to further and more completely consider the legal issues involved.” Additionally, on or about March 3, 2017 the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas dismissed without prejudice the multi-state lawsuit challenging the Rule and dissolving its preliminary injunction. Finally, on or about March 6, 2017 the United States Supreme Court stayed and ultimately remanded *Gloucester County School Board v. G.G.*, 2016 WL 1567467, ___ F3d ___

(4th Cir. 2016). for further consideration. *Gloucester County School Board v. G.G.*, 132 S.Ct. 2442 (2016). More recently, USDOE's Office of Civil Rights has instructed its field offices to continue investigation and potential enforcement of claims from transgender students on a case-by-case basis. Ex. N. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Rule has not been formally repealed, and it has continuing legal force and effect binding DISTRICT.

40. In response to the foregoing Federal Guidelines and enforcement, the DISTRICT stopped its historic and lawful practice of sex-separating locker rooms and restrooms and adopted and implemented the DISTRICT Student Safety Plan. Ex. A. Despite the actions recited in ¶ 39 above, DISTRICT has not changed its policies or the Student Safety Plan complained of herein.

41. The Student Safety Plan regulates all DISTRICT schools, programs, and students aged pre-school through 12th grade, including the Student Plaintiffs.

42. Because of the Student Safety Plan, Student A currently uses both the boys' locker rooms and the boys' restrooms at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL, which creates an intimidating and hostile environment for male students attending there, some of whom are as young as 14, because Student A—who is biologically a female but professing a male gender identity—regularly uses their private facilities at the same times as Boy Plaintiffs.

43. As a direct result of Defendants' policies and actions, every day biologically male and female students go to school, where they have experienced, or may experience, embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, fear, apprehension, stress,

degradation, and loss of dignity because they will have to use locker rooms, showers and restrooms with a student of the opposite biological sex.

44. Because of Defendants' policies and actions, these students are afraid of being seen by, and being forced to share intimate spaces with a student of the opposite biological sex while they are in various stages of undress.

45. Because of Defendants' policies and actions, these students are afraid they will have to see other students of the opposite biological sex in a state of undress.

46. Because of Defendants' policies and actions, male and female students are afraid of having to attend to their most personal needs, especially during a time when their body is often undergoing what they and other students may regard as embarrassing changes as they transition from childhood to adulthood, in a locker room, shower or restroom with a student of the opposite biological sex present. Additionally, no provision has been made in the Student Safety Plan or otherwise for appropriate disposal of Student A's feminine hygiene products in facilities previously reserved for male students, thereby creating sanitation and health concerns.

47. The Student Safety Plan has had and continue to have a profoundly negative effect on the students' access to educational opportunities, benefits, programs, and activities at their schools in one or more of the following particulars:

- a. Some students actively avoid using the locker rooms, restrooms and showers at school;
- b. One or more students have dropped physical education classes to avoid having to encounter other students of the opposite biological

sex in the locker room, as documented in the minutes of the December 14, 2015 school board meeting;

- c. Other students change as quickly as possible in the locker room, avoiding all eye contact and conversation, all the while experiencing great stress and anxiety over whether a student of the opposite biological sex will walk in while they are undressing or changing; and
- d. Some students avoid the restroom altogether, and others wait as long as possible to use the restroom, so they won't have to share it with a student of the opposite biological sex, thus potentially risking a variety of health problems.

48. These negative effects on the students' access to educational opportunities, benefits, programs, and activities at their school are a direct result of USDOE's adoption and enforcement of the Rule redefining the term "sex" in Title IX to include "gender identity", which in turn forms the justification for the Student Safety Plan.

49. USDOE's action violates the Administrative Procedure Act, and the Student Safety Plan violates the student plaintiffs' right to privacy, discriminates on the basis of sex under Title IX by creating a hostile environment, and violates additional constitutional and statutory rights of Student Plaintiffs, for which they seek relief from this Court. Additionally, the aforementioned violations violate Parent Plaintiffs' rights as parents to exercise their constitutional right to direct the

upbringing and education of their children, for which they too seek relief from this Court.

Federal Defendants' Unlawful Title IX Policy

50. Congress passed Title IX of the Education Amendments of the Civil Rights Act in 1972 pursuant to its Spending Clause power to prohibit invidious sex discrimination. Title IX states that “[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance....” 20 U.S.C. § 1681.

51. Title IX was designed to “expand basic civil rights and labor laws to prohibit the discrimination against women which has been so thoroughly documented.” 118 Cong. Rec. 3806 (1972) (Statement of Senator Birch Bayh of Indiana).

52. Congress delegated authority to federal agencies to “effectuate the provisions of section 1681 of this title...by issuing rules, regulations, or orders of general applicability which shall be consistent with achievement of the objectives of the statute...” but specified that “no such rule, regulation, or order shall become effective unless and until approved by the President.” 20 U.S.C. § 1682.

53. Regulations implementing Title IX in relevant part provide that “no person shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any academic, extracurricular...or other education program or activity operated by a recipient which receives Federal

financial assistance,” and that no funding recipient shall on the basis of sex “treat one person differently from another in determining whether such person satisfies any requirement or condition for the provision of such aid, benefit, or service; ... Provide different aid, benefits, or services or provide aid, benefits, or services in a different manner; ... Deny any person any such aid, benefit, or service; ... Subject any person to separate or different rules of behavior, sanctions, or other treatment; ...[or] Otherwise limit any person in the enjoyment of any right, privilege, advantage, or opportunity.” 34 C.F.R. § 106.31.

54. Title IX does not authorize Federal Defendants to regulate the content of speech or discriminate on the basis of viewpoint, which is presumptively unconstitutional under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

55. Title IX and its implementing regulations use the term “sex” to categorize the persons protected from invidious discrimination by the law.

56. The term “sex” in Title IX and its implementing regulations means the immutable, genetic, reproductively-based binary male-female taxonomy. *See* p. 12, fn. 1. The text of Title IX demonstrates this male-female taxonomy by using terminology such as “both sexes,” “one sex,” and “the other sex.”

57. Title IX and its implementing regulations do not use the term “gender identity,” or alternate terms referring to the same concept (*e.g.*, “transgender,” or “transsexual”). Nothing in the text, structure, or legislative history of Title IX suggests or supports that the term “sex” in Title IX includes “gender identity.” Nothing in the text, structure, and drafting history of Title IX’s implementing

regulations suggests or supports that the term “sex” in these regulations includes “gender identity.”

58. Although Senator Al Franken of Minnesota began in 2011 introducing legislation modeled after Title IX to prohibit gender identity discrimination in schools, Congress has repeatedly failed to enact the legislation.

59. Title IX and its implementing regulations expressly permit sex-specific private facilities, providing in relevant part: “nothing contained herein shall be construed to prohibit any educational institution...from maintaining separate living facilities for the different sexes....” 20 U.S.C. § 1686.

60. The implementing regulations confirm that living facilities include restrooms, locker rooms, and shower rooms – “[school districts] may provide separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities on the basis of sex, [as long as] such facilities provided for students of one sex [are] comparable to such facilities provided for students of the other sex.” 34 C.F.R. § 106.33.

61. Federal Defendants have provided no explanation for the new Rule, including its basis for the decision to promulgate the Rule, a description of the factors relied upon to formulate the Rule, its recognition of the fundamentally different nature of sex and gender identity, or any recognition or explanation for the reversal of long-standing policy that permitted districts to separate private facilities by sex without regard to a student’s professed gender identity.

62. Federal Defendants also failed to substantively assess how the new Rule would impact privacy rights of all male and female students on a given campus, including District schools.

63. Federal Defendants have enforced the Rule through public investigations, findings, and threats to revoke millions of dollars in federal funding from several school districts because they provided sex-specific private facilities. U.S. Department of Education, *Resources for Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Students: OCR Resolutions*. <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/lgbt.html> (last visited August 10, 2016). Federal Defendants have no statutory authority to investigate a claim based on gender identity or gender nonconformity.

64. Township High School District 211 (“District 211”) in Palatine, Illinois was one of the districts investigated.

65. The Office of Civil Rights for the DOE (“OCR”) issued a Letter of Findings against District 211 in November 2015. Township High School District 211, 05-14-1055 (Office of Civil Rights November 2, 2015) (letter of findings). (Ex. L). That letter stated in relevant part that when OCR investigates Title IX complaints it looks for evidence of “discrimination based on sex, gender identity, or gender nonconformity.” *Id.*

66. The letter also stated that District 211 violated Title IX by discriminating on the basis of gender identity because District 211 did not let a male student who professes a female gender identity use girls’ locker rooms. OCR then

threatened to revoke \$6 million in federal funding from District 211 if it continued to sex-separate private facilities.

67. In December 2015, District 211 signed an Agreement with OCR and granted the male student access to the girls' locker rooms. (Ex. M).

68. Parents and students who suffered privacy and constitutional harm filed a federal lawsuit regarding that Agreement. *Students and Parents for Privacy v. Dep't of Educ., et al.*, No. 1:16-cv-04945 (N.D. Ill. filed May 4, 2016).

69. Similarly, in May 2016, Defendant USDOJ sent letters to the North Carolina Governor and the University of North Carolina system threatening to revoke Title IX funding from North Carolina schools if the state and University System enforced a state law that mandates sex-specific private facilities in government buildings, including schools.

70. When the Governor resisted, Defendant USDOJ filed a federal lawsuit against the State of North Carolina. *U.S. v. N.C.*, No. 1:16-cv-00425 (M.D. N.C. filed May 9, 2016).

71. These enforcement actions, with the Guidelines, sent a clear message to school districts nationwide, including Dallas School District, that they too could lose millions in federal funding for maintaining sex-specific private facilities, specifically authorized pursuant to Title IX.

72. Despite the subsequent actions taken by USDOE, USDOJ and federal courts (See ¶ 39), DISTRICT continues to implement its Student Safety Plan in derogation of the rights of Plaintiffs and others.

73. Because the Rule has not been repealed (*See* ¶ 39), DISTRICT still faces potential legal liability from OCR and others on the basis of “gender identity”, allegedly in violation of Title IX, by refusing a biological female, who perceives herself to be male, access to the boys’ locker and shower rooms.

74. Per the Dallas School District No. 2 Adopted Operating Budget 2015-2016, DISTRICT has faced and potentially continues to face the threat of losing over \$2 million dollars in federal funds for each school year from 2015-2016 to the present if it fails to grant a biologically female student access to the boys’ restroom, locker room and shower rooms.

Dallas School District’s Unconstitutional Policy

75. In response to the threat of OCR enforcement action, on or about November 15, 2015, DISTRICT developed and implemented the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) granting Student A the right to enter and use all boy’s locker rooms, restrooms and showers at DISTRICT schools according to her perceived gender identity. DISTRICT has publicly defended the Student Safety Plan based on USDOE’s unlawful action described above. Despite the actions recited in ¶ 39 above, DISTRICT has not changed its policies.

76. Student A is currently a 12th grade student at Dallas High School.

77. Student A was born a girl and is anatomically female. Throughout most of her school career, Student A identified to her classmates, including Student Plaintiffs, as a girl, consistent with her biological sex, and used the restrooms, locker

rooms and showers consistent with her biological sex prior to and including her high school career until September 2015.

78. In September 2015, Student A decided to publicly identify herself as male, although prior to that time she had been using the girls' facilities in middle school and high school. Student A requested that she be allowed to use the boys' locker rooms and shower facilities, but was unsure which restroom facilities she preferred.

79. DISTRICT provided Student A with her choice of private facilities to change her clothes for physical education from the fall of 2015 through the end of the school year in June, 2016. DISTRICT told Student A that she could use the boys' locker rooms and shower facilities while biologically male students are present, even though her presence would invade the privacy of those male students, and even though her parent and legal guardian objected. DISTRICT further permitted Student A to "use any of the bathrooms in the building to which he identifies sexually." A true copy of the floor plan of DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL is attached hereto as Ex. O. DISTRICT elected not to accommodate Student A by granting her access to separate existing unisex restroom, locker room and shower facilities accessible through the main office as alleged herein, and Because of the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A), Student A is currently using the boys' locker rooms, showers and restrooms at Dallas High School while male students are present, including some of the Boy Plaintiffs and other biologically male students.

80. The Student Safety Plan described above was shared with other students in Student A's PE class, but was not otherwise disclosed or discussed with DISTRICT students or parents of DISTRICT students.

81. In response to Student A's complaints for accommodation, DISTRICT is preparing to make changes to its locker room, shower and restroom facilities for the use of Student A and others at a cost variously estimated at \$200,000-\$500,000. Even if such changes are made, DISTRICT will still allow all persons to utilize the facilities of their choice without accommodating those who still desire segregated facilities.

82. Under DISTRICT's previous discrimination policy biological females were not expressly authorized to enter male locker rooms or other facilities. However, Student A has utilized the boys' locker room and shower facilities on numerous occasions from November 15, 2015 to the present and has changed clothes while male students were present.

83. Similarly, even using toilets in stalls does not resolve the embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, intimidation, fear, apprehension, and stress produced by using the restroom with students of the opposite sex, because the stalls are not fully private; and, besides, the Student Plaintiffs are still attending to private bodily needs in the immediate presence of the opposite sex. In both the boys' and girls' restrooms, there are large gaps above and below the stall doors, and gaps along the sides of the door, that another student could see through even inadvertently. These gaps mean that the Student Plaintiffs, both boys and girls, must risk exposing themselves to the opposite sex every time they use the restroom. DISTRICT cannot

assure Student Plaintiffs' that their partially unclothed bodies will not be exposed to members of the opposite biological sex while using the restroom.

84. As a consequence, some Plaintiffs and other students are using the restroom as little as possible while at school so they will not have to risk using the restroom with a student of the opposite biological sex present. This may increase their risk for various health conditions, like bladder infections.

85. Some students risk tardiness by hurrying to distant facilities of the school, during short 5-minute passing periods, to try and find a restroom not likely to be used by a student of the opposite biological sex.

86. The stress and anxiety some students feel over having to use the restroom with biologically opposite-sex students is an ever-present distraction throughout the school day, including during class instruction time.

87. The DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL Principal has told students that all restroom facilities may be utilized by any student regardless of their biological sex and may not object to students of the opposite sex utilizing the same facilities, which is not acceptable to Parent Plaintiffs for multiple reasons set forth in the following paragraphs:

88. Depending on the classes a student has, this can mean that they travel significant distances from one class to another in a limited passing period.

89. Restrooms are often a significant distance apart, so a student's choice to find another restroom may mean there is not enough time to find another restroom,

attend to their personal needs, and still arrive to class on time. Tardiness may result in detention or other sanctions.

90. The suggested solution is even more unworkable if there are lines in the restrooms, or if there is an urgent or immediate need to use the restroom.

91. DISTRICT's response to Parent Plaintiffs makes the restroom environment hostile to Student Plaintiffs since each time they use the restroom they must do so knowing that a student of the opposite biological sex can walk in on them. In the same way, in response to the request for a private locker room facility, the DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL Principal told Parent Plaintiffs that their students could use the unisex staff lounge, which has no functioning shower. None of these is an acceptable alternative.

92. Students at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL have expressed their discomfort with the accommodations provided for Student A and attempted to circulate a petition objecting to such accommodations. However, Principal Steve Spencer confiscated the petitions being circulated and ordered students circulating them to discontinue doing so or face disciplinary action.

93. At Board meetings on December 14, 2015, January 19, 2016 and February 11, 2016, despite public opposition from Plaintiffs and many other parents and students, DISTRICT defended its policies and practices indefinitely granting Student A right of entry to and use of any and all boys' locker rooms, shower rooms and restrooms in DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL. DISTRICT represents speakers at these

meetings as experts on gender identity issues, all of whom have exclusively supported the Student Safety Plan and condemned any objections to these policies.

94. Based on DISTRICT's public defense of these policies, Plaintiffs further believe that Student A will similarly be allowed access to other DISTRICT facilities of her choice throughout the DISTRICT when attending school or other programs at such other DISTRICT facilities.

95. In addition to Student A, plaintiffs understand on information and belief there are one or more other students attending DISTRICT schools who self-identify as transgender or "gender fluid."²

96. In February, 2017 the staff at DISTRICT's La Creole Middle School administered a "Needs Assessment" to students at La Creole on their school-issued Chrome Book computers without prior notice, knowledge or consent of parents or guardians. Ex. P. Among the students required to take the Needs Assessment was A.G. The Needs Assessment asked students to disclose confidential information about various problems or issues they were experiencing the students might want assistance with, including clothing, school supplies, family food sufficiency, alcohol or drug abuse, suicide, self-image, sexual orientation and gender identity, unhealthy

² "Gender fluidity" is generally defined to mean that one's gender identity can change day-to-day, or even moment-to-moment, and is not limited to the two binary genders (i.e., to "male" or "female"). So, for example, one may identify as female one moment, as male the next, and as neutrois (a neutral gender that is neither male nor female) the next. See, e.g., *Gender Diversity*, "Gender Fluidity," available at <http://www.genderdiversity.org/resources/terminology/Nonbinary.org>; "Genderfluid," available at <http://nonbinary.org/wiki/Genderfluid> (both websites last visited May 3, 2016).

relationships and other subjects of a personal or family nature. After some parents learned of the survey and objected, school officials said participation in the survey was voluntary, whereas A.G. and other students understood their participation was required.

***Damaging Effects of District's Actions on
Students at Dallas High School***

Boy Plaintiffs

97. A number of biologically male students, including Boy Plaintiffs, had physical education during the same class period as Student A, and were forced to use the PE locker room with her in spite of their objections to doing so.

98. Boy Plaintiffs and other biologically male students cannot escape forced interactions with Student A in the locker room because physical education ("PE") is a mandatory course for two or more years of school in DISTRICT, and is a requirement to graduate. Moreover, it is mandatory that all students in PE class change into clothing appropriate for PE class, and all must change their clothes at the beginning and end of each PE class.

99. The main boys' locker room is a square room with four banks of lockers and wooden benches, plus communal showers along one wall, used by approximately 30 students in physical education classes to change clothes during a given class period. Also within that space are segregated lockers, showers and restroom facilities and coach's office spaces.

Girl Plaintiffs.

100. Because of the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A), Girl Plaintiffs and other biologically female students at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL face living in ongoing anxiety, fear, and apprehension that a biological boy will be permitted to walk in at any time while they are using the school locker rooms or showers and see them in a state of undress or while changing.

101. Because of the Student Safety Plan, Girl Plaintiffs and other biologically female students at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL live in constant anxiety, fear, and apprehension that a biological boy will be permitted to walk in at any time while they are using the restroom engaged in intimate and private bodily functions.

102. In that event, Girl Plaintiffs cannot escape forced interactions with biologically male students in the locker room because physical education (“PE”) is a mandatory course for two or more years of school in DISTRICT, and is a requirement to graduate. Moreover, it is mandatory that all students in PE class change into clothing appropriate for PE class, and all must change their clothes at the beginning and end of each PE class. Some Girl Plaintiffs and other biologically female students also change into sports bras, resulting in even greater bodily exposure while in the locker rooms.

103. The Girls’ locker room is constructed similarly to the boys’ locker room.

104. Girl Plaintiffs object to being forced to use a locker room, shower or restroom with any biological male student as the Student Safety Plan mandates when a biological male student informs the DISTRICT of his new gender as a female.

105. The dread, anxiety, stress, and fear the Girl Plaintiffs feel over having to use the same locker room, shower or restroom as a biologically male student is a constant distraction during the school day, including during class instruction time.

106. The Girl Plaintiffs and other biologically female students are also anxious, afraid and embarrassed to see any biologically male students in a state of undress or naked because he is a biological male.

107. The Girl Plaintiffs and other female students feel compelled to change their clothing as quickly as possible during PE classes, while trying not to observe other students.

108. Because of the Defendants' actions that allow a biological male into the girls' locker room, Girl Plaintiffs and other female students have come to view the PE locker room as a scary and intimidating environment.

109. Additionally, DISTRICT has, through various announcements to the students at DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL and through board and community meetings on gender identity DISTRICT has organized and sponsored, conveyed to the Student Plaintiffs and parents the message that any objection to the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) or restriction on Student A's use of opposite sex facilities based on her gender identity will be viewed by DISTRICT administration as intolerance and bigotry.

110. Because of DISTRICT's message that differing views will not be tolerated, most of the Student Plaintiffs have been deterred from asking for a separate, private locker room or restroom.

111. Because of DISTRICT's message, at least some Student Plaintiffs are afraid to be named publicly in this lawsuit, for fear that other students and their schools will retaliate against them.

112. Third, even if Student Plaintiffs could use the facilities without suffering ridicule and harassment, they do not remedy the privacy violation caused by the presence of a person of the opposite biological sex sharing the same small, intimate settings where they are naked or in various states of undress.

Parent Plaintiffs

113. DISTRICT's response to Parent Plaintiffs does nothing to alleviate the stress and anxiety of having their student subjected to the presence of a student of the opposite biological sex already using the locker room, shower or restroom.

114. All Parent Plaintiffs also adamantly object to their sons and daughters using locker rooms, showers and restrooms with students of the opposite sex while that student is naked or in a state of undress, nor do they want their children to attend to their private bodily needs in the presence of the opposite biological sex.

115. Some Parents have asked DISTRICT for private options for their students to change their clothes and use the restroom, but the options offered are inadequate and inferior to the facilities provided to Student A. Additionally, options offered are also unworkable in terms of the practical locker room and shower needs of the Student Plaintiffs.

116. The Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) interferes with some Parent Plaintiffs' preferred moral and/or religious teaching of their children concerning modesty and nudity.

117. The Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) further interferes with Parent Plaintiffs' right to control whether their children will be exposed to the opposite sex in intimate, vulnerable settings like restrooms, locker rooms, and showers.

118. The Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) interferes with Parent Plaintiffs' right to control whether their children's partially or fully unclothed body is exposed to the opposite sex.

119. Because of the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A), at least one Parent Plaintiff has decided to send his daughter to private school, instead of a DISTRICT school, when she starts high school.

120. Some student and parent members of PARENTS FOR PRIVACY, including JON & KRIS GOLLY and their children, are devout Christians whose faith requires that they preserve their modesty and not use the restroom, shower, or undress, in the presence of the opposite sex.

121. These students and parents also believe that they should not be in the presence of a member of the opposite sex while that person is using the restroom, showering, or undressing.

122. The Student Safety Plan (Ex. A) is particularly likely to cause emotional and psychological trauma to girls who have been sexually assaulted, for whom the presence of a biological male in their private facilities can be especially unnerving, or

even terrifying. The Centers for Disease Control (the “CDC”) has observed that almost 12% of high school girls reported that they had already experienced the horror of rape. Center for Disease Control, *Sexual Violence: Facts at a Glance* (2012); <http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/sv-datasheet-a.pdf>. This means that nearly 1 out of every 8 high school girls is likely to have suffered sexual assault, a statistic that compounds the problem with the Student Safety Plan. DISTRICT’s policies thereby cause stress, fright, embarrassment, humiliation, and anxiety for the Student Plaintiffs, they are likely more traumatizing to other students who have been sexually assaulted.

ALLEGATIONS OF LAW

123. All Student Plaintiffs have suffered and continue to suffer the loss of their constitutionally guaranteed right to bodily privacy, as well as their right under Title IX to an education that is free from a hostile environment based on sex, because of the Defendants’ policies and actions, including the Student Safety Plan.

124. Additionally, all Student Plaintiffs suffer embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, intimidation, fear, apprehension, stress, degradation, and loss of dignity as a result of the Defendants’ actions, including the Student Safety Plan.

125. Defendants’ actions and the Student Safety Plan negatively impacts Student Plaintiffs’ ability to receive an education, creating a hostile environment where Student Plaintiffs experience sexual harassment and loss of dignity at the hands of their school every day.

126. Federal Defendants have exceeded their statutory authority, acted arbitrarily and capriciously, and violated plaintiffs' constitutional rights by adopting a legislative rule redefining "sex" under Title IX to include "gender identity" and enforcing that rule in a manner that effectively requires DISTRICT to allow students to use the locker rooms and restrooms of the opposite sex.

127. Federal Defendants have acted without observing the proper administrative procedure for adopting and enforcing such a new legislative rule, which includes notice and comment under the APA and presidential approval under Title IX.

128. It is a violation of the right to bodily privacy to force students to have their partially or fully unclothed bodies viewed by students of the opposite sex.

129. The right to bodily privacy also bars the government from forcing students into situations where they risk exposure of their unclothed body to the opposite sex.

130. Minors have a fundamental right to be free from compelled intimate exposure of their bodies to members of the opposite sex, which is violated when the defendants force them to use the restrooms and locker rooms with students of the opposite sex.

131. Defendants are violating the parental right to control the upbringing and education of one's child by exposing Parent Plaintiffs' children to the opposite sex in intimate, vulnerable settings like restrooms, locker rooms, and showers, especially where their children, the opposite-sex children, or both, may be in a state of undress

or even naked. District Defendants are further violating the rights of Parent Plaintiffs in administering surveys to students delving into personal and family matters without advance notice, knowledge or consent of parents and guardians.

132. Providing single-sex restrooms, locker rooms, and shower facilities does not violate Title IX, so long as the facilities provided for one sex are comparable to the facilities provided to the other sex.

133. Defendants' actions and the Student Safety Plan violate Plaintiffs' free exercise rights under the United States Constitution and state statutory law.

134. Plaintiffs are suffering and continue to suffer irreparable harm.

135. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (FEDERAL DEFENDANTS):
VIOLETION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

136. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 135 herein.

137. Federal Defendants promulgated, and are enforcing, a new legislative rule that redefines the term "sex" in Title IX and its accompanying regulations to mean, or at least include, "gender identity."

138. USDOE has expressed its intention to enforce this new redefinition of "sex" as a legislative rule against DISTRICT.

139. USDOE's new legislative rule contradicts the text, structure, legislative history, and historical judicial interpretation of Title IX, all of which confirm that "sex" means male and female in the binary and biological sense.

140. According to USDOE's new legislative rule, Title IX requires schools to permit students to use restrooms, locker rooms, and showers based on their gender identity rather than their biological sex.

141. USDOE has communicated this new legislative rule to school districts nationwide via a "Dear Colleague" letter dated May 13, 2016 (Exhibit K) and stated that their failure to comply with it will result in investigation and enforcement action up to and including withdrawal of millions of dollars in federal funding.

142. USDOE's promulgation and enforcement of this new legislative rule are reviewable actions under the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") pursuant to 20 U.S.C § 1683.

143. USDOE's actions are also final, and there is no other adequate remedy because the Student Safety Plan binds DISTRICT such that Plaintiffs cannot get relief unless the Rule is set aside, and the Federal Defendants are enjoined from continuing to communicate and enforce the new rule redefining the meaning of "sex." Plaintiffs continue to be denied an effective remedy, despite the remedial actions alleged in ¶ 39, because the Rule remains in effect.

144. Plaintiffs have suffered a legal wrong as a direct result of USDOE's actions, because Plaintiffs' constitutional and statutory rights were and continue to be violated by the Student Safety Plan, which is the direct result of USDOE's enforcement of its new rule.

145. Under the APA, a reviewing Court must "hold unlawful and set aside agency action" in one or more of four instances that apply to this case:

- If the agency action is “in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C);
- If the agency action is “arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A);
- If the agency action is “contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B); and
- If the agency action is “without observance of procedure required by law.” 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(D).

146. USDOE’s action here violates all four of these standards and should be held unlawful and set aside.

147. Plaintiffs ask this Court (1) to set aside and remove from its official website all guidance documents, (See ¶¶ 32, 39; Exs. H-N), to the extent that they incorporate gender identity within the meaning of “sex” for purposes of Title IX, as well as the Student Safety Plan, and (2) to declare and enjoin USDOE and USDOJ from further enforcing Title IX in a manner that requires DISTRICT to give any students the right of entry to, and use of, the private facilities (including locker rooms, showers and restrooms) designated for students of the opposite sex.

USDOE’s Action Is Unlawful under the APA Because It is in Excess of Statutory Jurisdiction, Authority, or Limitations

148. USDOE’s actions in promulgating and enforcing its new rule are “in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right,”

because they redefine the unambiguous term “sex” and add gender identity to Title IX without the authorization of Congress.

149. Congress has not delegated to USDOE the authority to define or redefine unambiguous terms in Title IX.

150. Title IX does not require that DISTRICT or any other school open its girls’ restrooms, locker rooms and shower rooms to biological males who identify as female, nor does it require that DISTRICT open their boys’ facilities to biological females who identify as male.

151. USDOE’s unilateral decree that “sex” in Title IX means, or includes, “gender identity,” which requires schools to allow males who identify as female to use the girls’ facilities, and vice versa, requires DISTRICT to give students the right of entry and use of opposite sex locker and shower rooms, and requires DISTRICT to give all students right of entry and use of the restrooms that correspond to their gender identity, irrespective of their biological sex.

152. This new rule is not supported by Title IX’s text, implementing regulations, or legislative history.

153. Therefore, USDOE’s rule was promulgated and enforced “in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority or limitations, or short of statutory right[.]” See 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C). This Court should hold USDOE’s rule unlawful and set it aside, including removing it from its official website.

154. Additionally, even if USDOE’s rule was interpretive, it would still exceed USDOE’s statutory authority and should be declared unlawful and set aside.

USDOE's Action Is Unlawful under the APA Because It is Arbitrary, Capricious, an Abuse of Discretion, or Not in Accordance with Law

155. USDOE's actions in promulgating and enforcing its new rule are "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law." See 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

156. Congress requires that whenever an agency takes action it do so after engaging in a process by which it "examine[s] the relevant data and articulate[s] a satisfactory explanation for its action including a rational connection between the facts found and the choice made." *Motor Veh. Mfrs. Ass'n. v. State Farm Ins.*, 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983) (quotation omitted).

157. An agency action is "arbitrary and capricious if the agency has relied on factors which Congress has not intended it to consider, entirely failed to consider an important aspect of the problem, offered an explanation for its decision that runs counter to the evidence before the agency, or is so implausible that it could not be ascribed to a difference in view or product of agency expertise." *Motor Veh. Mfrs. Ass'n v. State Farm Ins.*, 463 U.S. at 43.

158. USDOE has given no explanation for its redefinition of "sex" in Title IX, whereby USDOE unilaterally decreed that the term "sex" in Title IX means, or includes, gender identity; requires DISTRICT to give Student A right of entry and use of opposite sex locker and shower rooms; and requires DISTRICT to give all students access to the facilities that correspond to their gender identity, if the students desire to use them.

159. USDOE has given no explanation of the relevant factors that were the basis of its actions, and USDOE has failed to consider important implications and adverse consequences caused by allowing biological boys and girls to share intimate settings, including: the language and structure of Title IX and its regulations; the congressional and judicial histories of Title IX and its regulations; the practical and constitutional harms created by its unlawful application of Title IX; and the violation of Title IX caused by this unlawful application.

160. USDOE's action was also made without a rational explanation, inexplicably departed from established policies, or rested on other considerations that Congress could not have intended to make relevant.

161. USDOE has offered no explanation for its rule redefining "sex"; the rule departed from the established Title IX policy that allowed schools to maintain private facilities separated by biological sex; and the rule rested on considerations related to "gender identity" despite the fact that the legislative history indicates Congress did not intend "sex" to mean anything other than biological sex.

162. USDOE's legislative action was also taken even though it is contrary to law or regulation.

163. USDOE's rule purporting to redefine Title IX violates Title IX as it applies to the very group Title IX was created to protect by creating a hostile environment for Girl Plaintiffs.

164. USDOE's promulgation and enforcement of its rule is thus arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, and not in accordance with law. This Court should

therefore hold that it is unlawful and set it aside. Additionally, even if USDOE's rule was interpretive, it would still be arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, and not in accordance with law, and so should be declared unlawful, set aside and removed from its official website.

USDOE's Action Is Unlawful under the APA Because It is Contrary to Constitutional Right, Power, Privilege, or Immunity

165. For the reasons set forth herein, USDOE's actions are "contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity." See 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(B).

166. USDOE's legislative rule is an unlawful application of Title IX contrary to the Constitution because it violates the privacy rights of Student Plaintiffs, their parents' fundamental liberty interest in controlling their children's upbringing and education, and the rights of some Student Plaintiffs and their parents to freely live out their religious beliefs.

167. Also, USDOE's legislative rule is in violation of the Spending Clause of the United States Constitution, under which Title IX was enacted, in that Congress uses its Spending Clause power to generate legislation in the nature of a contract: in return for federal funds, the States agree to comply with federally imposed conditions.

168. Congress must clearly and unambiguously state the conditions to which the States are agreeing in exchange for federal funds, so that the States can knowingly decide whether to accept the funding. The crucial inquiry is whether Congress spoke so clearly that it can be fairly said that the State could make an informed choice.

169. Requiring schools to allow biological females access to facilities designated for males cannot pass this test, no matter how the females identify. Nor can allowing biological males access to facilities designated for females pass this test, no matter how the males identify.

170. As set forth herein, the plain language of the text, along with the legislative history, clearly indicates that Congress intended that (1) “sex” means “biological sex”; (2) Title IX prevents discrimination based on biological sex; and (3) Title IX allows sex-separated restrooms, locker rooms and showers.

171. Further, the implementing regulations specifically allow schools to maintain restrooms, locker rooms and showers separated by biological sex. 34 CFR 106.33.

172. For over 40 years of Title IX’s existence, it has been universally understood by schools that receive federal education funding that Title IX’s definition of “sex” does not include gender identity.

173. It has likewise been universally understood by schools that received federal education funding that maintaining separate restrooms, locker rooms, showers and other private facilities on the basis of biological sex is consistent with Title IX.

174. No school could have possibly made an informed choice, because no school could have known that the funds it agreed to accept were conditioned on allowing cross-sex private facilities, or otherwise recognizing gender identity as within the meaning of the term “sex.”

175. For these reasons, this Court should hold USDOE's actions unlawful, set aside its Guidance Documents (Exs. H-K) and the Student Safety Plan (Ex. A), and enjoin it, along with USDOJ, from further communicating to DISTRICT the new rule that "sex" in Title IX includes "gender identity."

176. Additionally, even if USDOE's rule was interpretive, it would still be contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity and should be declared unlawful, set aside and removed from its official website.

USDOE's Action Is Unlawful under the APA Because It is Without Observance of Procedure Required by Law

177. For the reasons set forth herein, USDOE's actions were taken "without observance of procedure required by law." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(D).

178. The rule imposes rights and obligations which, through administrative enforcement actions, applies generally to and binds all school districts, including DISTRICT.

179. Under the Administrative Procedure Act, any "rules which do not merely interpret existing law or announce tentative policy positions but which establish new policy positions that the agency treats as binding must comply with the APA's notice-and-comment requirements, regardless of how they initially are labeled." 72 Fed. Reg. 3433.

180. The United States Supreme Court has additionally ruled that all legislative rules, which are those having the force and effect of law and are accorded weight in agency adjudicatory processes, are subject to notice-and-comment requirements. *Perez v. Mortgage Bankers Ass'n*, 135 S. Ct. 1199, 1204 (2015).

181. “Notice-and-comment rulemaking” requires that USDOE (1) issue a general notice to the public of the proposed rule-making, typically by publishing notice in the Federal Register; (2) give interested parties an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments on the proposed rule, and consider and respond to significant comments received; and (3) include in the promulgation of the final rule a concise general statement of the rule’s basis and purpose.

182. Notice-and-comment rulemaking also requires that USDOE consider all the relevant comments offered during the public comment period before finally deciding whether to adopt the proposed rule.

183. Additionally, under Title IX all final rules, regulations, and orders of general applicability issued by USDOE must be approved by the President of the United States, who has hitherto declined to do so.

184. USDOE promulgated and enforced its new rule redefining “sex” in Title IX to include “gender identity” without notice and comment as required by law. 5 U.S.C. § 553. It promulgated this new legislative rule without signature by the president as required by Title IX. 20 U.S.C. § 1682.

185. Simply stated, USDOE did not follow the required procedure when it adopted its new rule defining “sex” in Title IX to mean, or include, gender identity. This Court should therefore hold that it is unlawful, set it aside and remove it from its official website.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Against DISTRICT and the FEDERAL DEFENDANTS):
VIOLATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO PRIVACY

186. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 185 and incorporate them herein by reference.

187. “Fundamental rights” are rights deeply rooted in this nation’s history and tradition and are implicit in the concept of ordered liberty, grounded in the Fourteenth Amendment’s Due Process Clause.

188. Numerous courts have recognized a fundamental right to bodily privacy, which right includes a right to privacy of one’s fully or partially unclothed body and the right to be free from State-compelled risk of intimate exposure of oneself to the opposite sex.

189. Student Plaintiffs, like everyone else, enjoy the fundamental right to bodily privacy.

190. The right to be free from State-compelled risk of intimate exposure of oneself to the opposite sex, while part of the right to bodily privacy, is a fundamental right grounded in the Fourteenth Amendment’s Due Process Clause.

191. Throughout its history, American law and society have recognized and upheld a commitment to protecting citizens, and especially children, from suffering the risk of exposing their bodies, or their intimate activities, to the opposite sex.

192. From colonial times, the law allowed civil actions against “Peeping Toms”, and as American law developed after the Founding, it criminalized surreptitiously viewing others while they reasonably expect privacy.

193. These protections are heightened for children.

194. While pornography involving only adults is legal and cannot be constitutionally banned, federal law makes it a crime to possess, distribute, or even view images of naked children. Moreover, nearly every state, including Oregon, has laws criminalizing “sexting,” which occurs when someone (often a minor) sends a naked picture of himself/herself via email, text messaging, or other electronic means to a minor.

195. In the late 1800s, as women began entering the workforce, the law developed to protect privacy by mandating that workplace restrooms and changing rooms be separated by sex. Massachusetts adopted the first such law in 1887. By 1920, 43 of the (then) 48 states had similar laws protecting privacy by mandating sex-separated facilities in the workplace.

196. Because of our national commitment to protect our citizens, and especially children, from the risk of being exposed to the anatomy of the opposite sex, as well as the risk of being seen by the opposite sex while attending to private, intimate needs, sex-separated restrooms, locker rooms and showers are an American social and modesty norm ubiquitous in public places, including public schools.

197. Historically, purposefully entering a restroom or locker room designated for the opposite biological sex has been considered wrongful, and even criminal, behavior, and historically there has been no mixing of the biological sexes in school restrooms, locker rooms or showers.

198. Freedom from the risk of compelled intimate exposure to the opposite sex, especially for minors, is a fundamental right deeply rooted in this nation's history and tradition and is also implicit in the concept of ordered liberty.

199. The ability to be clothed in the presence of the opposite biological sex, along with the freedom to use the restroom, locker room and shower away from the presence of the opposite biological sex, is fundamental to most people's sense of self-respect and personal dignity, including plaintiffs', who should be free from State-compelled risk of exposure of their bodies, or their intimate activities.

200. If government is granted the far-reaching and extreme power to compel its citizens to disrobe or risk being unclothed in the presence of the opposite sex, little personal liberty involving our bodies would remain.

201. The government may not infringe fundamental rights, unless the infringement satisfies strict scrutiny review, which requires that the government demonstrate that the law or regulation furthers a compelling interest using the least restrictive means available.

202. The Student Safety Plan allows Student A, a biological female, and other biological females the right of entry to, and use of, the boys' locker rooms, showers and restrooms any time she wants.

203. The Student Safety Plan similarly allows biological male students who may or may not identify as female access and use of the girls' locker rooms, showers and restrooms, and it similarly allows biological female students who may or may not identify as male access and use of the boys' locker rooms, showers and restrooms.

204. For these reasons, the Student Safety Plan requires Student Plaintiffs to risk being intimately exposed to those of the opposite biological sex, thereby infringing Student Plaintiffs' fundamental right to privacy in their unclothed bodies, as well as their fundamental right to be free from government-compelled risk of intimate exposure to the opposite sex, without any compelling justification.

205. Defendants have no compelling interest to justify forcing school children to share restrooms and locker rooms with opposite sex classmates, and Defendants have not used the least restrictive means of serving any interest they may have.

206. Accordingly, the Student Safety Plan fails strict scrutiny review and is unconstitutional as applied to any minor, including the Student Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against DISTRICT and the FEDERAL DEFENDANTS):

**VIOLATION OF PARENTS' FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO DIRECT THE
EDUCATION AND UPBRINGING OF THEIR CHILDREN**

207. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 185 and incorporate them herein.

208. The right of parents to make decisions concerning the care, custody, and control of their children is a fundamental right protected by the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause.

209. Included within that parental fundamental right is the power to direct the education and upbringing of one's children, including the right, as well as the

duty, to instill moral standards and values in their children. Additionally, parents enjoy the fundamental right to notice and the opportunity to consent to their children's participation in surveys seeking personal and family information for use by schools and others.

210. Parents' right and duty to instill moral standards and values in their children, and to direct their education and upbringing, encompasses the right to determine whether and when their minor children endure the risk of being exposed to members of the opposite sex in intimate, vulnerable settings like restrooms, locker rooms and showers.

211. Parents also have a fundamental right to determine whether and when their children will have to risk being exposed to opposite sex nudity at school, as well as a fundamental right to determine whether their children, while at school, will have to risk exposing their own undressed or partially unclothed bodies to members of the opposite sex.

212. Defendants have no legal authority to dictate whether and when minor children will risk being exposed to the opposite sex and/or opposite-sex nudity in such settings in derogation of each parents' right to decide for his or her own child, especially when those children's parents object. Defendants further have no legal authority to seek or obtain personal and family confidential information from students without the knowledge and consent of their parents or guardians.

213. All Parent Plaintiffs object to the Student Safety Plan and agree that they do not want their minor children to endure the risk of being exposed to the

opposite sex in intimate, vulnerable settings like locker rooms, showers and restrooms, nor do they want their minor children to attend to their personal, private bodily needs in the presence of members of the opposite sex.

214. All Parent Plaintiffs desire to raise their children with a respect for traditional modesty, which requires that one not undress or use the restroom in the presence of the opposite sex.

215. All Parent Plaintiffs desire to prevent their children from enduring the risk of being observed while undressing by members of the opposite sex, or enduring the risk of being exposed to the unclothed bodies of members of the opposite sex.

216. Some Parent Plaintiffs, including JON AND KRIS GOLLY, object to the Student Safety Plan for religious reasons because of their sincerely-held religious beliefs about modesty and other religious doctrines.

217. The Student Safety Plan, instituted and enforced by the Defendants, impermissibly infringes and undermines the right of Parent Plaintiffs to direct the upbringing and education of their children.

218. Defendants may not infringe fundamental rights, including parents' fundamental right to direct the education and upbringing of their children, unless the infringement satisfies strict scrutiny review, which requires that Defendants demonstrate that the law or regulation furthers a compelling interest using the least restrictive manner available.

219. Defendants have no compelling interest to justify forcing school children to share restrooms, locker rooms and showers with opposite sex students, and

Defendants have not used the least restrictive means of serving any interest they may have.

220. Accordingly, the Student Safety Plan fails strict scrutiny review and unconstitutionally infringes on parents' fundamental right to direct the education and upbringing of their children.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Against DISTRICT):
VIOLATION OF TITLE IX

221. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 185 and incorporate them herein.

222. Title IX provides that “[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a).

223. Courts have given Title IX broad effect in order to combat sex discrimination in the educational setting.

224. Title IX is a broadly-written general prohibition on discrimination based on sex that does not explicitly list every discriminatory act prohibited.

225. There is an implied right of action under Title IX and no requirement that a claimant must first exhaust administrative remedies before bringing a Title IX claim.

226. Allowing people to use restrooms, locker rooms or showers designated for the opposite biological sex violates privacy and creates a sexually harassing hostile environment.

227. Exposure to opposite-sex nudity creates a sexually harassing hostile environment.

228. The Student Safety Plan allows some students to use locker rooms, restrooms and showers designated for students of the opposite biological sex.

229. The Student Safety Plan needlessly subjects Student Plaintiffs to the risk that their partially or fully unclothed bodies will be exposed to students of the opposite sex and that they will be exposed to opposite-sex nudity, causing the Student Plaintiffs to experience embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, intimidation, fear, apprehension, stress, degradation, and loss of dignity.

230. Some Student Plaintiffs are avoiding the restroom as a result of the embarrassment, humiliation, anxiety, intimidation, fear, apprehension, stress, degradation, and loss of dignity they experience because of the Student Safety Plan.

231. Some Student Plaintiffs are not able to concentrate as well in school as they did before because of these policies.

232. All Student Plaintiffs find that school has become intimidating and stressful as a result of the Student Safety Plan.

233. The Student Safety Plan violates Title IX in that it produces unwelcome sexual harassment and create a hostile environment on the basis of sex.

234. As recited below, Student Plaintiffs satisfy the five elements of a Title IX in that they each: (1) belong to a protected group in that they are female and male students at an educational institution that receives federal funds; (2) were and are subjected to harassment in that the Student Safety Plan allows biological males to use girls' locker rooms, restrooms and showers, and further allows biological females to use the boys' locker rooms, restrooms and showers, thereby creating a sexually harassing hostile environment; (3) were and are subjected to harassment based on sex; (4) were subjected to harassment so pervasive or severe that it altered the conditions of plaintiff's education; and (5) can establish knowledge by school officials.

235. There are real and significant differences between the biological sexes, including but not limited to differences in anatomy and physiology, which differences do not disappear when biological males identify as female, and vice versa.

236. Biological and anatomical differences between the sexes is the reason that Title IX and its implementing regulations allow for separate living facilities, restrooms, locker rooms and changing areas for each biological sex to recognize that each biological sex has unique needs and vulnerabilities when using these facilities.

237. Title IX and its implementing regulations further allow for separate living facilities, restrooms, locker rooms and changing areas for each biological sex based on the recognition that permitting a biological male to enter and use such facilities designated for females, or permitting a biological female student to enter and use such facilities designated for males would be sexually harassing to the opposite sex.

238. Moreover, both male and female Student Plaintiffs experience humiliation, anxiety, intimidation, fear, apprehension, stress, degradation, and loss of dignity as a result of the Student Safety Plan permitting the opposite sex to be in locker rooms and restrooms designated for their biological sex.

239. It is the significant and real differences between the biological sexes that creates the hostile environment, which is harassment.

240. The harassment created by the Student Safety Plan, because it denies real differences between the biological sexes, is sufficiently severe or pervasive (either of which is actionable) in that it is ongoing and continuous, occurring every time any of the Student Plaintiffs use the locker room, showers or restroom. It is further severe in that it places the bodily privacy of both sexes at risk.

241. The environment is one that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive, and one that Student Plaintiffs in fact perceive to be so.

242. The sexually harassing hostile environment is threatening and humiliating, and has altered the conditions of Student Plaintiffs' educational opportunities, benefits, programs and/or activities.

243. DISTRICT officials are aware of the hostile environment and the fact DISTRICT's own official policies (including the Student Safety Plan) are the direct cause of this hostile environment because some Student Plaintiffs, and some Parent Plaintiffs, have contacted DISTRICT officials, including the principal and superintendent, about the hostile environment. Even though these officials have authority to stop the hostile environment, and despite the knowledge that their

policies are creating a hostile environment based on sex, Defendants have not remedied the situation. Instead, these officials have advised that, if the students perceive the environment to be hostile, the students should remove themselves from it by accepting an “accommodation” or using a different restroom.

244. Schools cannot escape liability for Title IX violations by requiring the victim of harassment to remove themselves from the hostile environment or otherwise suggesting they are responsible for the harassment.

245. Additionally, the accommodations themselves violate Title IX in that some Girl Plaintiffs have been told that instead of using the locker room to change for PE class, they may change their clothing in a nurse’s office located on the other side of the school. This facility for changing is inferior to the locker room facilities provided for boy students in violation of 34 CFR § 106.33, which provides that schools receiving federal funding “may provide separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities on the basis of sex, [as long as] such facilities provided for students of one sex [are] comparable to such facilities provided for students of the other sex.”

246. Additionally, the accommodations themselves violate Title IX in that some of the Student Plaintiffs have been told that, if they are uncomfortable using a restroom because a member of the opposite sex is present, they may find another restroom. Because there are only five minutes between classes, any student leaving one restroom to find another is almost certain to be tardy and will also miss instructional time.

247. The Student Safety Plan violates Title IX by creating a hostile environment on the basis of sex.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Against FEDERAL DEFENDANTS):
RELIGIOUS FREEDOM RESTORATION ACT
42 USC §§ 2000bb et seq
43

248. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 184 and incorporate them herein.

249. Many Student Plaintiffs have religious convictions that they practice modesty. These students have the sincere religious belief that they must not undress, or use the restroom, in the presence of the opposite biological sex, and also that they must not be in the presence of the opposite biological sex while the opposite biological sex is undressing or using the restroom.

250. Some Parent Plaintiffs, including JON AND KRIS GOLLY, have the sincere religious belief that they must teach their children to practice modesty. Their religious faith also requires them to protect the modesty of their children. These parents have the sincere religious belief that their children must not undress, or use the restroom, in the presence of a member of the opposite biological sex, and also that they must not be in the presence of the opposite biological sex while the opposite biological sex is undressing or using the restroom.

251. The Student Safety Plan requires Student Plaintiffs to use restrooms, locker rooms and shower rooms, knowing that a student of the opposite biological sex

Page | 57 - COMPLAINT

either is present with them, or could enter while they are using these private facilities.

252. The Student Safety Plan prevents Student Plaintiffs from practicing the modesty that their faith requires of them, and it further interferes with Parent Plaintiffs teaching their children traditional modesty and insisting that their children practice modesty, as their faith requires of Parent Plaintiffs.

253. Complying with the requirements of the Student Safety Plan thus places a substantial burden on the Plaintiffs' exercise of religion by requiring Plaintiffs' to choose between the benefit of a free public education and violating their religious beliefs.

254. Federal Defendants have no "compelling interest" that would justify burdening Plaintiffs' exercise of religion in this manner, nor have they used the "least restrictive means" to achieve their purported interest in burdening Plaintiffs' exercise of religion in this manner.

255. The Student Safety Plan thus violates Plaintiffs' rights protected by the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Against DISTRICT and the FEDERAL DEFENDANTS):
VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT'S GUARANTEE OF
FREE EXERCISE OF RELIGION

256. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 255 and incorporate them herein.

257. The First Amendment provides that Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, nor prohibiting the free exercise thereof.

258. The Student Safety Plan burdened the free exercise rights of some Plaintiffs as previously alleged.

259. Laws that burden free exercise, but are not neutral or generally applicable, are subject to strict scrutiny.

260. The Student Safety Plan is not generally applicable in that it does not expressly allow all students to use the opposite-sex restrooms, locker rooms and showers, but arguably only students who perceive themselves as a different gender than their biological sex, and further may allow accommodations of some, but not all, students.

261. Similarly, the Student Safety Plan is not generally applicable in that it applies only to one student, Student A, but does not apply to all students, allowing them to access whatever locker and shower rooms they want.

262. The Student Safety Plan does not even apply to all students who perceive their gender identity to be different than their biological sex.

263. Because the Student Safety Plan is not generally applicable, it is subject to strict scrutiny, which it fails.

264. Additionally, the Student Safety Plan is subject to strict scrutiny and fails the strict scrutiny standard because, in addition to burdening free exercise

rights, it also burdens other constitutional rights, including the privacy rights of Student Plaintiffs and the parental rights of Parent Plaintiffs as alleged above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
PUBLIC ACCOMMODATION DISCRIMINATION
ORS 659A.400 et seq, ORS 659A.885
(Against DISTRICT and STATE DEFENDANTS)

265. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 255 and incorporate them herein.

266. Oregon public elementary and secondary schools, including Dallas High School and other District schools, are places of public accommodation within the meaning of ORS 659A.400, and discrimination is prohibited in such places based on religion, sex and sexual orientation, including gender identity.

267. DISTRICT and STATE DEFENDANTS have engaged in discrimination against DISTRICT students, parents and those entering school premises on grounds of their sex and sexual orientation in that they have been deprived of the right to utilize restrooms, locker rooms and showers without encountering persons of the opposite biological sex.

268. DISTRICT and STATE DEFENDANTS have engaged in discrimination against DISTRICT students, parents and those entering school premises on grounds of their religion in that they have been deprived of their right to utilize restrooms,

locker rooms and showers without encountering persons of the opposite biological sex contrary to their sincerely-held religious beliefs.

269. STATE DEFENDANTS have further participated in, condoned, aided, abetted and/or incited the unlawful discrimination in the foregoing paragraphs against Plaintiffs contrary to ORS 659A.406.

270. Plaintiffs are each entitled to recover actual damages or \$200, whichever is greater, pursuant to ORS 659A.885(7) against District, and further to declaratory and injunctive relief against State Defendants prohibiting the discrimination alleged above.

271. Plaintiffs are further entitled to recover reasonable and necessary attorney fees and costs incurred in the prosecution of this action pursuant to ORS 21.107 and 659A.885(1) and (7)(d) against District and State Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Against DISTRICT)
DISCRIMINATION IN EDUCATION (ORS 659.850)

272. Plaintiffs re-allege all matters set forth in ¶¶ 1 through 271 and incorporate them herein.

273. DISTRICT has subjected plaintiffs to discrimination on the basis of religion, sex and sexual orientation as defined in ORS 659.850(1) in public elementary and secondary education programs, services and schools where such programs, services and schools are financed in whole or in part by moneys appropriated by the

Legislative Assembly without providing reasonable accommodations based on the health and safety needs of plaintiffs and others coming on school premises.

274. Plaintiffs have unsuccessfully presented their grievances and objections to DISTRICT's school board on multiple occasions within 180 days of the policies causing the alleged discrimination, as required by ORS 659.860(3).

275. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover actual damages or \$200, whichever is greater, pursuant to ORS 659.860(1).

276. DISTRICT's violation of ORS 659.850 should subject DISTRICT to appropriate sanctions, which may include withholding of all or part of state funding for the period of the discrimination.

277. Plaintiffs are further entitled to recover reasonable and necessary attorney fees and costs incurred in the prosecution of this action pursuant to ORS 21.107, ORS 659.860(7), 659A.885(1) and (7)(d).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Court grant the relief set forth hereinafter in the Prayer for Relief.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully pray for judgment as follows and request the following relief:

A. That this Court enter preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining all Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, and all other persons acting in concert with them, from enforcing the Student Safety Plan and ordering them to permit only biological females to enter and use DISTRICT's girls' restrooms,

locker rooms and showers, and permit only biological males to enter and use DISTRICT's boys' restrooms, locker rooms and showers;

B. That this Court hold unlawful, set aside and remove from its official websites the Federal Defendants' Rule that redefines the word "sex" in Title IX to mean, or include, gender identity, which it announced in at least the following documents—U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence*, 5 (Apr. 2014); U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes and Extracurricular Activities*, 25 (Dec. 2014); U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Title IX Resource Guide*, 1, 15, 16, 19, 21-22 (Apr. 2015);

C. That this Court enter preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining the Federal Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, and all other persons acting in concert with them, from taking any action based on USDOE's new rule that redefines the word "sex" in Title IX, including implementing the revocation of funding as indicated in the Dear Colleague Letter sent to DISTRICT and from communicating to DISTRICT through these documents or in any other manner that the term "sex" means, or includes, gender identity or that Title IX bars gender identity discrimination or mandates that regulated entities allow students to use restrooms, locker rooms and showers based on their gender identity;

D. That this Court enter a declaratory judgment declaring that the Student Safety Plan impermissibly burdens the Student Plaintiffs' constitutional right to

privacy; impermissibly burdens the Student Plaintiffs' constitutional right to be free from State-compelled risk of intimate exposure of themselves and their intimate activities to members of the opposite sex; impermissibly burdens Parent Plaintiffs' constitutional right to direct the upbringing and education of their children;

E. Enter declaratory judgment declaring that Defendants must provide parents advance notice of School Safety Plan and the opportunity to consent or object to its implementation with respect to their child(ren);

F. Enter declaratory judgment that Defendants must provide parents advance notice of surveys or assessments seeking personal and family information of a confidential nature, must secure consent of parents in advance of administering such surveys or assessments, and prohibiting Defendants from compelling student participation in such surveys or assessments;

G. That this Court award statutory damages, and compensatory damages for violation of Plaintiffs' constitutional and statutory rights, except those claimed under the Administrative Procedure Act and against the Oregon Department of Education and Governor Kate Brown arising under federal law;

H. That this Court retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing any Orders;

I. That this Court award Plaintiffs costs and expenses of this action, including a reasonable attorneys' fees award, in accordance with 775 ILCS 35/20, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, and 42 U.S.C § 1988;

J. That this Court award Plaintiffs costs and expenses of this action, including a reasonable attorneys' fees award, in accordance with ORS 21.107, ORS 659.860(7), 659A.885(1) and (7)(d).

K. That this Court issue the requested injunctive relief without a condition of bond or other security being required of Plaintiffs; and

L. That this Court grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable in the circumstances.

DATED this 13th day of November, 2017.



Herbert G. Grey, OSB #810250
4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
Telephone: 503-641-4908
Email: herb@greylaw.org

Ryan Adams, OSB #150778
181 N. Grant Street, Suite 212
Canby, OR 97013
Telephone: 503-266-5590
Email: ryan@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

INDEX TO EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO COMPLAINT

PARENTS FOR PRIVACY v. UNITED STATES DEPT. OF EDUCATION, ET AL.

U.S. DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. _____

- EXHIBIT A** Dallas High School Student Safety Plan
- EXHIBIT B** Dallas School District #2 Policy AC (Nondiscrimination)
- EXHIBIT C** Dallas School District #2 Policy AD (Philosophy of Education)
- EXHIBIT C-1** Dallas School District #2 Policy JB (Equal Educational Opportunity)
- EXHIBIT D** Dallas School District #2 Policy JBA/GBN (Sexual Harassment)
- EXHIBIT E** Dallas School District #2 Policy JBA/GBN-AR (Sexual Harassment & Sexual Violence)
- EXHIBIT F** Dallas School District #2 Policy JF/JFA (Student Rights & Responsibilities)
- EXHIBIT G** Dallas School District #2 Policy JFCF (Harassment/Intimidation/Cyber-bullying/Teen Dating Violence/Domestic Violence-Student)
- EXHIBIT H** April 2014 U.S. Dept. Of Education Q & A on Title IX and Sexual Violence
- EXHIBIT I** December 2014 U.S. Dept. Of Education Q & A on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary & Secondary Classes & Extra-Curricular Activities
- EXHIBIT J** April 2015 U.S. Dept. Of Education Title IX Resource Guide
- EXHIBIT K** May 2016 U.S. Dept. Of Education Dear Colleague Letter on Transgender Students
- EXHIBIT L** November 2, 2015 U.S. Dept. Of Education Findings Letter to District 211
- EXHIBIT M** December 2015 U.S. Dept. Of Education OCR Agreement with District 211
- Exhibit M-1** May 5, 2016 Oregon Dept. of Education Guidance to School Districts
- EXHIBIT N** June 6, 2017 U.S. Dept. Of Education OCR Instructions to Field Offices
- EXHIBIT O** Dallas HS Floor Plan
- EXHIBIT P** LaCreole MS Winter Needs Assessment

DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL

1250 SE Holman Ave
Dallas OR 97338
www.dsd2.org



Transgender Student Access to Locker Room Student Safety Plan

All students have rights for attendance at public schools, and we have to follow the laws which protect those student rights. This safety plan has been created to support a transgender male expressing the right to access the boy's locker room at Dallas High School. Following are targeted areas of concern and the procedures or actions aimed to support all students in this transition.

Staff Communication:

- All staff will receive training during the November 3rd staff meeting regarding the transition.
- All staff will receive talking points from legal counsel.
- All staff will receive instruction communicating who the title IX officer is (Tim Larson) and who complaints should be directed to (Steve Spencer, Tim Larson, Dennis Engle)
- Additional practice will be given to help prepare for "grocery store conversations" so appropriate responses can be provided.

Classroom Communication:

- All PE Teachers will take time to review locker room expectations for all students.
- All Teachers will take time to teach about anti-bullying and harassment (Policy JFCF pg 21-23) along with disciplinary implications from the Offenses Against Persons section in the student handbook (pg19)
- Teachers and administrators will teach the talking points provided by legal counsel to 4th period PE classes

Preferred Locker Assignment:

- Locker will be in the middle of the locker room in direct line of sight to PE teachers in coaches' office.

Teacher Locker Room/ Classroom Supervision:

- Identified Student's PE Teacher will deliberately be the 1st one in and last one to leave the locker room during 4th period in order to provide visual observation and safety from physical and or verbal harassment or bullying. There will always be an adult in the locker room and in the gymnasium.

Bathrooming:

- Identified student has not identified which bathroom he feels comfortable using at the present time. The boy's locker room is available for use but is not in direct line of sight for PE Teachers in the locker room. It is critical that the identified student communicate any concerns he may

4 -

DALLAS HIGH SCHOOL

1250 SE Holman Ave
Dallas OR 97338
www.dsd2.org



be having when using the facilities. Identified student does not yet possess a STP (Stand to Pee) device, so using the stall would be the only viable option.

- Identified student can use any of the bathrooms in the building to which he identifies sexually. Parent (mom) does not give permission for Identified student to leave campus to go home to use the bathroom. This is a change from current practice which was to check in and out with Kelli McGuire at the attendance office.
- Planning for the monthly menstrual cycle will be under taken. There is no available waste disposal for sanitary napkins in the boy's locker room. There will not be any product available in the boy's locker room. Identified student will need to ensure that disposal of waste is taken care of properly.

Reporting Concerns:

- The Identified student has named the following staff as "Safe Adults" who he feels comfortable talking to about anything related to the transgender transition into the locker room or any other related concerns:
 - Dana Goodale, Polk County Mental Health-School Based Counselor
 - Toni Hannan, DHS School Counselor
 - Anna Jackson, Choir Teacher
 - Steve Spencer, Principal
 - Tim Larson. Athletic Director and Title IX Coordinator
- Additionally, DHS has communicated that Bill Masei and Shane Grimm (PE Teachers), along with all administrators and their secretaries are "Safe Adults" whom Student can share concerns about bullying, harassment, etc.
- It has also been communicated that Dallas School District expects all employees to comply with all presently adopted policies and corresponding reporting obligations.

Dallas School District 2

Code: AC
Adopted: 1/14/08
Readopted: 3/09/09; 10/25/10; 3/23/15;
9/15/15

Nondiscrimination

The district prohibits discrimination and harassment on any basis protected by law, including but not limited to, an individual's perceived or actual race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation¹, national or ethnic origin, marital status, age, mental or physical disability or perceived disability, pregnancy, familial status, economic status, veterans' status, or because of the perceived or actual race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national or ethnic origin, marital status, age, mental or physical disability or perceived disability, pregnancy, familial status, economic status, veterans' status of any other persons with whom the individual associates.

The district prohibits discrimination and harassment, including but not limited to, in employment, assignment and promotion of personnel; in educational opportunities and services offered students; in student assignment to schools and classes; in student discipline; in location and use of facilities; in educational offerings and materials; and in accommodating the public at public meetings.

The board encourages staff to improve human relations within the schools, to respect all individuals and to establish channels through which citizens can communicate their concerns to the administration and the board.

The superintendent shall appoint and make known the individuals to contact on issues concerning the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 (ADA), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title VI, Title VII, Title IX and other civil rights or discrimination issues². The district will publish complaint procedures providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints from students, employees and the public.

The district prohibits retaliation and discrimination against an individual who has opposed any discrimination act or practice; because that person has filed a charge, testified, assisted or participated in an investigation, proceeding or hearing; and further prohibits anyone from coercing, intimidating, threatening or interfering with an individual for exercising any rights guaranteed under state and federal law.

END OF POLICY

¹"Sexual orientation" means an individual's actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual's gender identity, appearance, expression or behavior differs from that traditionally associated with the individual's sex at birth.

²Districts are reminded that the district is required to notify students and employees of the name, office address and telephone number of the employee or employees appointed.

Legal Reference(s):

<u>ORS 174.100</u>	<u>ORS 659A.006</u>	<u>ORS 659A.321</u>
<u>ORS 192.630</u>	<u>ORS 659A.009</u>	<u>ORS 659A.409</u>
<u>ORS 326.051(1)(e)</u>	<u>ORS 659A.029</u>	
<u>ORS 659.805</u>	<u>ORS 659A.030</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0045</u>
<u>ORS 659.815</u>	<u>ORS 659A.040</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0046</u>
<u>ORS 659.850 to -860</u>	<u>ORS 659A.100 to -145</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0049</u>
<u>ORS 659.865</u>	<u>ORS 659A.233</u>	<u>OAR 581-022-1140</u>
<u>ORS 659.870</u>	<u>ORS 659A.236</u>	
<u>ORS 659A.003</u>	<u>ORS 659A.309</u>	

Age Discrimination Act of 1975, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107 (2006).
Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621-634 (2006); 29 C.F.R Part 1626 (2006).
Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213; 29 C.F.R. Part 1630 (2006); 28 C.F.R. Part 35 (2006).
Equal Pay Act of 1963, 29 U.S.C. § 206(d) (2006).
Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §§ 503, 791, 793-794 (2006).
Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683 (2006); Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 34 C.F.R. Part 106 (2006).
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d (2006).
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (2006).
Wygant v. Jackson Bd. of Educ., 476 U.S. 267 (1989).
Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008.
The Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, as amended, 38 U.S.C. § 4212.
Title II of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008.

Cross Reference(s):

ACA - Americans with Disabilities Act
GBA - Equal Employment Opportunity
JB - Equal Educational Opportunity

Dallas School District 2

Code: AD
Adopted: 1/28/02

Philosophy of Education - Dallas School District

We believe:

- * The school is one of the major institutions through which our cultural, political and social heritage is transmitted from generation to generation and the means by which the members of our society are prepared intellectually to evaluate and control cultural, political and social changes.
- * The primary purpose of the Dallas School District is to provide opportunities for the full intellectual development of each child.
- * The child has the responsibility to himself and society to purposely pursue the educational opportunities provided him.
- * The Dallas School District has a shared responsibility with parents/legal guardians and with other institutions and agencies for the social, physical, and emotional growth and development of the individual child.
- * The school must assume a major responsibility for developing in children: a spirit of patriotism based on a deep and abiding love of our country; an understanding of the basic principles of American democracy; a commitment to participate as citizens in the decision making processes; and, an understanding of the duties, responsibilities and rights of every citizen.
- * The school has a responsibility for developing in all children: an understanding of our economic system based on individual initiative, private property right and competition; a respect for the human dignity attained through productive work; a determination to become contributing persons within our economic system; and, an active concern for the conservation and wise use of our natural resources including the protection of our total environment.
- * The school has a responsibility for developing in all children an awareness of the societal responsibilities to themselves, to other individuals and to the local community or to the larger community of state, nation, or world.
- * It is an obligation to our children, community and country to institute those programs necessary to fulfill the educational needs of our children and to provide the facilities, materials, technology, and staff required to do so.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 329.025

ORS 329.035

ORS 332.107

OAR 581-022-1020

Dallas School District 2

Code: JB
Adopted: 1/14/08
Readopted: 2/10/15

Equal Educational Opportunity

Every student of the district will be given equal educational opportunities regardless of age, sex, sexual orientation¹, race, religion, color, national origin, disability, marital status, linguistic background, culture, capability or geographic location.

Further, no student will be excluded from participating in, denied the benefits of, or subjected to discrimination under any educational program or activity conducted by the district. The district will treat its students without discrimination on the basis of sex as this pertains to course offerings, athletics, counseling, employment assistance and extracurricular activities.

The superintendent will designate at least one employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with and carry out its responsibilities under Title IX. The Title IX coordinator will investigate complaints communicated to the district alleging noncompliance with Title IX. The name, address and telephone number will be provided to all students and employees.

The Board will adopt and the district will publish grievance procedures providing for prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints under Title IX.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

- | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>ORS 326.051</u> | <u>ORS 336.086</u> | <u>OAR 581-021-0045</u> |
| <u>ORS 329.025</u> | <u>ORS 342.123</u> | <u>OAR 581-021-0046</u> |
| <u>ORS 329.035</u> | <u>ORS Chapter 659</u> | <u>OAR 581-022-1140</u> |
| <u>ORS 336.067</u> | <u>ORS Chapter 659A</u> | |
| <u>ORS 336.082</u> | | SB 2 (2007) |

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d (2006).
- Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (2006).
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. §§ 791, 793-794 (2006).
- Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683 (2006); Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 34 C.F.R. Part 106 (2006).
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101-12213; 29 C.F.R. Part 1630 (2006); 28 C.F.R. Part 35 (2006).

Cross Reference(s): AC - Nondiscrimination

¹Sexual orientation means an individual's actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual's gender identity, appearance, expression or behaviors differs from that traditionally associated with the individual's sex at birth.

Dallas School District 2

Code: **JBA/GBN**
Adopted: 1/28/02
Readopted: 9/08/14

Sexual Harassment

The Board is committed to the elimination of sexual harassment in district schools and activities. Sexual harassment is strictly prohibited and shall not be tolerated. This includes sexual harassment of students, staff or third parties by other students, staff, Board members or third parties. "Third parties" include, but are not limited to, school volunteers, parents, school visitors, service contractors or others engaged in district business, such as employees of businesses or organizations participating in cooperative work programs with the district and others not directly subject to district control at interdistrict and intradistrict athletic competitions or other school events. "District" includes: district facilities; district premises and nondistrict property if the student or employee is at any district-sponsored, district-approved or district-related activity or function, such as field trips or athletic events, where students are under the control of the district; or where the employee is engaged in district business. The prohibition also includes off duty conduct which is incompatible with district job responsibilities.

Sexual harassment of students and staff shall include, but is not limited to, unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors and other verbal, nonverbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when:

1. The conduct or communication has the purpose or effect of demanding sexual favors in exchange for benefits;
2. Submission to or rejection of the conduct or communication is used as the basis for educational decisions affecting a student or employment or assignment of staff;
3. The conduct or communication is so severe, persistent or pervasive that it has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with a student's educational performance or with an employee's ability to perform his/her job; or creates an intimidating, offensive or hostile educational or working environment. Relevant factors to be considered will include, but not be limited to, did the individual view the environment as hostile; was it reasonable to view the environment as hostile; the nature of the conduct; how often the conduct occurred and how long it continued; age and sex of the complainant; whether the alleged harasser was in a position of power over the student or staff member subjected to the harassment; number of individuals involved; age of the alleged harasser; where the harassment occurred; and other incidents of sexual harassment at the school involving the same or other students or staff.

Examples of sexual harassment may include, but not be limited to, physical touching or graffiti of a sexual nature; displaying or distributing of sexually explicit drawings; pictures and written materials; sexual gestures or obscene jokes; touching oneself sexually or talking about one's sexuality in front of others; or spreading rumors about or rating other students or others as to appearance, sexual activity or performance.

All complaints about behavior that may violate this policy shall be promptly investigated. Any student or employee who has knowledge of conduct in violation of this policy or feels he/she is a victim of sexual harassment must immediately report his/her concerns to the principal, compliance officer or superintendent, who has overall responsibility for all investigations. A student may also report concerns to a teacher, counselor or school nurse, who will promptly notify the appropriate district official. The student and the student's parents or staff member who initiated the complaint shall be notified of the findings of the investigation and, if appropriate, that remedial action has been taken.

The initiation of a complaint in good faith about behavior that may violate this policy shall not adversely affect the educational assignments or study environment of a student complainant or any terms or conditions of employment or work environment of the staff complainant. There shall be no retaliation by the district against any person who, in good faith, reports, files a complaint or otherwise participates in an investigation or inquiry of sexual harassment.

It is the intent of the Board that appropriate corrective action will be taken by the district to stop the sexual harassment, prevent its recurrence and address negative consequences. Students in violation of this policy shall be subject to discipline up to and including expulsion and/or counseling or sexual harassment awareness training, as appropriate. The age and maturity of the student(s) involved and other relevant factors will be considered in determining appropriate action. Employees in violation of this policy shall be subject to discipline, up to and including dismissal and/or additional sexual harassment awareness training, as appropriate. Other individuals whose behavior is found to be in violation of this policy shall be subject to appropriate sanctions as determined and imposed by the superintendent or Board.

Additionally, the district may report individuals in violation of this policy to law enforcement officials. Licensed staff, staff registered with the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (TSPC) and those participating in practicum programs, as specified by Oregon Administrative Rules, shall be reported to TSPC.

The superintendent shall ensure appropriate periodic sexual harassment awareness training or information is provided to all supervisors, staff and students and that annually, the name and position of district officials responsible for accepting and managing sexual harassment complaints, business phone numbers, addresses or other necessary contact information is readily available. This policy as well as the complaint procedure will be made available to all students, parents of students and staff in student/parent and staff handbooks. The district's policy shall be posted in all grade 6 through 12 schools. Such posting shall be by a sign of at least 8 ½" by 11".

The superintendent will establish a process of reporting incidents of sexual harassment.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 243.706
ORS 342.700
ORS 342.704
ORS 342.708
ORS 342.850

ORS 342.865
ORS 659.850
ORS 659A.006
ORS 659A.029
ORS 659A.030

OAR 581-021-0038
OAR 584-020-0040
OAR 584-020-0041

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d (2006).
Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (2006).
Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683 (2006); Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 34 C.F.R. Part 106 (2006).
Bartsch v. Elkton School District, FDA-13-011 (March 27, 2014).

Cross Reference(s):

GBNA - Hazing/Harassment/Intimidation/Bullying/Menacing – Staff
JBA/GBN - Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence
JFCF - Harassment/Intimidation/Bullying/Cyberbullying/Teen Dating Violence – Student

Dallas School District 2

Code: **JBA/GBN-AR**
Adopted: 1/28/02

Sexual Harassment and Sexual Violence

I. Sexual Harassment/Sexual Violence Defined

- A. Sexual harassment consists of unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, sexually motivated physical conduct or other verbal or physical conduct or communication of a sexual nature when:
1. Submission to that conduct or communication is made a term or condition, either explicitly or implicitly, of obtaining or retaining employment; or
 2. Submission to or rejection of that conduct or communication by an individual is used as a factor in decisions affecting that individual's employment or education; or
 3. That conduct or communication has the purpose or effect of substantially unreasonably interfering with an individual's employment, or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive employment environment.
- B. Sexual harassment may include, but is not limited to:
1. Verbal harassment or abuse;
 2. Subtle pressure for sexual activity;
 3. Inappropriate patting or pinching
 4. Intentional brushing against a student's or an employee's body;
 5. Demanding sexual favors accompanied by implied or overt threats concerning an individual's employment;
 6. Demanding sexual favors accompanied by implied or overt promises of preferential treatment with regard to an individual's employment;
 7. Any sexually motivated unwelcome touching; or
 8. Sexual violence which is a physical act of aggression that includes a sexual act or sexual purpose; or
 9. Other sexually motivated behavior which may affect working conditions, or the educational process.

II. Reporting Procedures

Any person who believes he or she has been the victim of sexual harassment or sexual violence or any third person with knowledge of conduct which may constitute sexual harassment or sexual violence shall report the alleged acts immediately to an appropriate School District official. The School District encourages the reporting party to submit a written report to the principal.

A. In Each School Building

The building principal is the person responsible for receiving oral or written reports of sexual harassment or sexual violence at the building level. Upon receipt of a report, the principal must

notify the District Affirmative Action Officer immediately. A written report will be forwarded simultaneously to the Affirmative Action Officer. If the report was given verbally, the principal shall reduce it to written form within 48 hours and forward it to the Affirmative Action Officer. Failure to forward any sexual harassment or sexual violence report or complaint, as provided herein, will result in disciplinary action. If the complaint involves the building principal, the complaint shall be filed directly with the Affirmative Action Officer.

B. District-Wide

The Superintendent shall designate the School District Affirmative Action Officer to receive reports or complaints of sexual harassment and sexual violence from any individual, employee or victim of sexual harassment or sexual violence and also from the building principals, as outlined above. If the complaint involves the Affirmative Action Officer, the complaint shall be filed directly with the Superintendent.

This policy as well as the complaint procedure will be made available to all students, parents/legal guardians of students and staff in student/parent and staff handbooks. The District's policy shall be posted in all schools. Such posting shall be by a sign of at least 8.5 by 11 inches.

The School District shall conspicuously post the name of the Affirmative Action Officer, including telephone number.

- C.** Submission of a complaint or report of sexual harassment or sexual violence will not affect the individual's future employment, grades or work assignments.
- D.** The School District will respect the confidentiality of the complainant and the individual(s) against whom the complaint is filed as much as possible, consistent with the School District's legal obligations and the necessity to investigate allegations of sexual harassment and sexual violence and take disciplinary action when the conduct has occurred.

III. Investigation and Recommendation

By authority of the School District, the Affirmative Action Officer, upon receipt of a report or complaint alleging sexual harassment, or sexual violence shall immediately authorize an investigation. This investigation may be conducted by School District officials or by a third party designated by the School District. The investigating party shall provide a written report of the status of the investigation within ten (10) working days to the Superintendent of Schools and the Affirmative Action Officer.

In determining whether alleged conduct constitutes sexual harassment or sexual violence, the School District should consider the surrounding circumstances, the nature of the sexual advances, relationships between the parties involved and the context in which the alleged incidents occurred. Whether a particular action or incident constitutes sexual harassment or sexual violence requires a determination based on all the facts and surrounding circumstances.

The investigation may consist of personal interviews with the complainant, the individual(s) against whom the complaint is filed, and others who may have knowledge of the alleged incident(s) or circumstances giving rise to the complaint. The investigation may also consist of any other methods and documents deemed pertinent by the investigator.

In addition, the School District may take immediate steps, at its discretion, to protect the complainant, and employees pending completion of an investigation of alleged sexual harassment or sexual violence.

The School District Affirmative Action Officer shall make a report to the Superintendent upon completion of the investigation.

IV. School District Action

- A. Upon receipt of a recommendation that the complaint is valid, the School District will take such action as appropriate based on the results of the investigation.
- B. The result of the investigation of each complaint filed under these procedures will be reported in writing to the complainant by the School District. The report will document any disciplinary action taken as a result of the complaint.

V. Reprisal

The School District will discipline any individual who retaliates against any person who reports alleged sexual harassment or sexual violence or who retaliates against any person who testifies, assists or participates in an investigation, proceeding or hearing relating to a sexual harassment or sexual violence complaint. Retaliation includes, but is not limited to, any form of intimidation, reprisal or harassment.

VI. Right to Alternative Complaint Procedures

These procedures do not deny the right of any individual to pursue other avenues of recourse which may including filing charges with the State Department of Education, initiating civil action or seeking redress under State criminal statutes and/or Federal law.

VII. Sexual Harassment or Sexual Violence as Sexual Abuse

Nothing in this Administrative Rule will prohibit the School District from taking immediate action to protect victims of alleged sexual abuse.

VIII. Discipline

Any School District action taken, pursuant to this Administrative Rule, will be consistent with requirements of applicable collective bargaining agreements, Oregon Statutes and School District policies and building level discipline plans. The School District will take such disciplinary action it deems necessary and appropriate, including warning, suspension, expulsion, or immediate discharge to end sexual harassment and sexual violence and prevent its recurrence.

Additionally, the district may report individuals in violation of this policy to law enforcement officials. Licensed staff, staff registered with the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (TSPC) and those participating in practicum programs, as specified by Oregon Administrative Rules, shall be reported to the TSPC.

IX. Inservice

Inservice training on sexual harassment and sexual violence will be developed by the District and made available to all district employees and students.

Dallas School District 2

Code: JF/JFA
Adopted: 6/23/14

Student Rights and Responsibilities**

The Board has the responsibility to afford students the rights that are theirs by virtue of guarantees offered under federal and state constitutions and statutes. In connection with rights are responsibilities that must be assumed by students.

Among these student rights and responsibilities are the following:

1. Civil rights — including the rights to equal educational opportunity and freedom from discrimination; the responsibility not to discriminate against others;
2. The right to attend free public schools; the responsibility to attend school regularly and to observe school rules essential for permitting others to learn at school;
3. The right to due process of law with respect to suspension, expulsion and decisions which the student believes injure his/her rights;
4. The right to free inquiry and expression; the responsibility to observe reasonable rules regarding these rights;
5. The right to privacy, which includes privacy with respect to the student’s education records.

Students have the right to know the behavior standards expected of them as well as to know the consequences of misbehavior.

Students’ rights and responsibilities, including standards of conduct, will be made available to students, their parents and employees through information distributed annually.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

ORS 332.061
ORS 332.072
ORS 337.150
ORS 339.155

ORS 339.240
ORS 339.250
ORS 659.850
ORS 659.865

OAR 581-021-0045
OAR 581-021-0046
OAR 581-021-0050 to -0075
OAR 581-022-1140

Hazelwood Sch. District v. Kuhlmeier, 484 U.S. 260 (1988).
Bethel Sch. Dist. v. Fraser, 478 U.S. 675 (1986).

Cross Reference(s):

JFC - Student Conduct

Dallas School District 2

Code: **JFCF**
Adopted: 9/10/12
Readopted: 3/10/15; 2/09/16
Orig. Code(s): JFCF/GBNA;
JFCFA/GBNAA

**Harassment/Intimidation/Bullying/Cyberbullying/
Teen Dating Violence/Domestic Violence – Student ****

The Board, in its commitment to providing a positive and productive learning environment will consult with parents/guardians, employees, volunteers, students, administrators and community representatives in developing this policy in compliance with applicable Oregon Revised Statutes.

Harassment, intimidation or bullying and acts of cyberbullying by students, staff and third parties toward students is strictly prohibited. Teen dating violence is unacceptable behavior and prohibited. Retaliation against any person who reports, is thought to have reported, files a complaint or otherwise participates in an investigation or inquiry is also strictly prohibited. False charges shall also be regarded as a serious offense and will result in disciplinary action or other appropriate sanctions.

Students whose behavior is found to be in violation of this policy will be subject to discipline, up to and including expulsion. The district may also file a request with the Oregon Department of Transportation to suspend the driving privileges or the right to apply for driving privileges of a student 15 years of age or older who has been suspended or expelled at least twice for menacing another student or employee, willful damage or injury to district property or for the use of threats, intimidation, harassment or coercion. Students may also be referred to law enforcement officials.

The superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, and assistant principal is responsible for ensuring that this policy is implemented.

Definitions

"District" includes district facilities, district premises and nondistrict property if the student is at any district-sponsored, district-approved or district-related activity or function, such as field trips or athletic events where students are under the control of the district.

"Third parties" include, but are not limited to, coaches, school volunteers, parents, school visitors, service contractors or others engaged in district business, such as employees of businesses or organizations participating in cooperative work programs with the district and others not directly subject to district control at interdistrict and intradistrict athletic competitions or other school events.

"Harassment, intimidation or bullying" means any act that substantially interferes with a student's educational benefits, opportunities or performance, that takes place on or immediately adjacent to district grounds, at any district-sponsored activity, on district-provided transportation or at any official district bus stop, that may be based on, but not limited to, the protected class status of a person, having the effect of:

1. Physically harming a student or damaging a student's property;

2. Knowingly placing a student in reasonable fear of physical harm to the student or damage to the student's property;
3. Creating a hostile educational environment including interfering with the psychological well-being of the student.

"Protected class" means a group of persons distinguished, or perceived to be distinguished, by race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation¹, national origin, marital status, familial status, source of income or disability.

"Teen dating violence" means:

1. A pattern of behavior in which a person uses or threatens to use physical, mental or emotional abuse to control another person who is in a dating relationship with the person, where one or both persons are 13 to 19 years of age; or
2. Behavior by which a person uses or threatens to use sexual violence against another person who is in a dating relationship with the person, where one or both persons are 13 to 19 years of age.

"Domestic violence" means abuse by one or more of the following acts between family and household members²:

1. Attempting to cause or intentionally, knowingly or recklessly causing bodily injury;
2. Intentionally, knowingly or recklessly placing another in fear of imminent bodily injury;
3. Causing another to engage in involuntary sexual relations by force or threat of force.

"Cyberbullying" is the use of any electronic communication device to harass, intimidate or bully.

"Retaliation" means harassment, intimidation or bullying, teen dating violence and acts of cyberbullying toward a person in response to a student for actually or apparently reporting or participating in the

¹"Sexual orientation" means an individual's actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual's gender identity, appearance, expression or behaviors differs from that traditionally associated with the individual's sex at birth.

²"Family or household members" as defined in ORS 107.705:

1. Spouses;
2. Former spouses;
3. Adult persons related by blood, marriage or adoption;
4. Persons who are cohabiting or who have cohabited with each other;
5. Persons who have been involved in a sexually intimate relationship with each other within two years immediately preceding the filing by one of them of a petition under Oregon Revised Statute 107.710;
6. Unmarried parents of a child.

investigation of harassment, intimidation or bullying, teen dating violence and acts of cyberbullying or retaliation.

Reporting

The superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, or assistant principal will take reports and conduct a prompt investigation of any report of an act of harassment, intimidation or bullying and acts of cyberbullying. Any employee who has knowledge of conduct in violation of this policy shall immediately report his/her concerns to the superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, or assistant principal who has overall responsibility for all investigations. Any employee who has knowledge of incidents of teen dating violence that took place on district property, at a district-sponsored activity or in a district vehicle or vehicle used for transporting students to a district activity, shall immediately report the incident to the superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, or assistant principal. Failure of an employee to report an act of harassment, intimidation or bullying, teen dating violence or an act of cyberbullying to the superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, or assistant principal may be subject to remedial action, up to and including dismissal. Remedial action may not be based solely on an anonymous report.

Any student who has knowledge of conduct in violation of this policy or feels he/she has been harassed, intimidated or bullied, a victim of teen dating violence and acts of being cyberbullied in violation of this policy is encouraged to immediately report his/her concerns to the superintendent who has overall responsibility for all investigations. Any volunteer who has knowledge of conduct in violation of this policy is encouraged to immediately report his/her concerns to the superintendent who has overall responsibility for all investigations.

This report may be made anonymously. A student or volunteer may also report concerns to a teacher or counselor who will be responsible for notifying the appropriate district official.

Complaints against the principal shall be filed with the superintendent. Complaints against the superintendent shall be filed with the Board chair.

The complainant shall be notified of the findings of the investigation and, as appropriate, that remedial action has been taken. The complainant may request that the superintendent, assistant superintendent, principal, or assistant principal review the actions taken in the initial investigation, in accordance with district complaint procedures.

The district shall incorporate into existing training programs for student information related to the prevention of, and the appropriate response to, acts of harassment, intimidation or bullying and acts of cyberbullying.

The district shall incorporate age-appropriate education about teen dating violence and domestic violence into new or existing training programs for students in grade 7 through 12.

The district shall incorporate into existing training programs for staff, information related to the prevention of, and the appropriate response to, acts of harassment, intimidation or bullying, teen dating violence, domestic violence and acts of cyberbullying.

The superintendent shall be responsible for ensuring annual notice of this policy is provided in a student or employee handbook, school and district website, and school and district office. Complaint procedures, as established by the district, shall be followed.

Domestic violence posters provided by the Oregon Department of Education (ODE) shall be posted in clearly visible locations on school campuses in accordance with rules adopted by the ODE.

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

<u>ORS 163.190</u>	<u>ORS 332.107</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0045</u>
<u>ORS 166.065</u>	<u>ORS 339.240</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0046</u>
<u>ORS 166.155 to -166.165</u>	<u>ORS 339.250</u>	<u>OAR 581-021-0055</u>
<u>ORS 174.100(6)</u>	<u>ORS 339.254</u>	<u>OAR 581-022-1140</u>
<u>ORS 332.072</u>	<u>ORS 339.351 to -339.366</u>	

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d (2006).

Cross Reference(s):

GBN/JBA - Sexual Harassment
JBA/GBN - Sexual Harassment
JFCM - Threats of Violence



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence¹

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (“Title IX”)² is a federal civil rights law that prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities. All public and private elementary and secondary schools, school districts, colleges, and universities receiving any federal financial assistance (hereinafter “schools”, “recipients”, or “recipient institutions”) must comply with Title IX.³

On April 4, 2011, the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) in the U.S. Department of Education issued a Dear Colleague Letter on student-on-student sexual harassment and sexual violence (“DCL”).⁴ The DCL explains a school’s responsibility to respond promptly and effectively to sexual violence against students in accordance with the requirements of Title IX.⁵ Specifically, the DCL:

- Provides guidance on the unique concerns that arise in sexual violence cases, such as a school’s independent responsibility under Title IX to investigate (apart from any separate criminal investigation by local police) and address sexual violence.

¹ The Department has determined that this document is a “significant guidance document” under the Office of Management and Budget’s Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices, 72 Fed. Reg. 3432 (Jan. 25, 2007), available at www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/fedreg/2007/012507_good_guidance.pdf. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) issues this and other policy guidance to provide recipients with information to assist them in meeting their obligations, and to provide members of the public with information about their rights, under the civil rights laws and implementing regulations that we enforce. OCR’s legal authority is based on those laws and regulations. This guidance does not add requirements to applicable law, but provides information and examples to inform recipients about how OCR evaluates whether covered entities are complying with their legal obligations. If you are interested in commenting on this guidance, please send an e-mail with your comments to OCR@ed.gov, or write to the following address: Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

² 20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*

³ Throughout this document the term “schools” refers to recipients of federal financial assistance that operate educational programs or activities. For Title IX purposes, at the elementary and secondary school level, the recipient generally is the school district; and at the postsecondary level, the recipient is the individual institution of higher education. An educational institution that is controlled by a religious organization is exempt from Title IX to the extent that the law’s requirements conflict with the organization’s religious tenets. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(3); 34 C.F.R. § 106.12(a). For application of this provision to a specific institution, please contact the appropriate OCR regional office.

⁴ Available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.html>.

⁵ Although this document and the DCL focus on sexual violence, the legal principles generally also apply to other forms of sexual harassment.

- Provides guidance and examples about key Title IX requirements and how they relate to sexual violence, such as the requirements to publish a policy against sex discrimination, designate a Title IX coordinator, and adopt and publish grievance procedures.
- Discusses proactive efforts schools can take to prevent sexual violence.
- Discusses the interplay between Title IX, the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (“FERPA”),⁶ and the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security and Campus Crime Statistics Act (“Clery Act”)⁷ as it relates to a complainant’s right to know the outcome of his or her complaint, including relevant sanctions imposed on the perpetrator.
- Provides examples of remedies and enforcement strategies that schools and OCR may use to respond to sexual violence.

The DCL supplements OCR’s *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment of Students by School Employees, Other Students, or Third Parties*, issued in 2001 (*2001 Guidance*).⁸ The *2001 Guidance* discusses in detail the Title IX requirements related to sexual harassment of students by school employees, other students, or third parties. The DCL and the *2001 Guidance* remain in full force and we recommend reading these Questions and Answers in conjunction with these documents.

In responding to requests for technical assistance, OCR has determined that elementary and secondary schools and postsecondary institutions would benefit from additional guidance concerning their obligations under Title IX to address sexual violence as a form of sexual harassment. The following questions and answers further clarify the legal requirements and guidance articulated in the DCL and the *2001 Guidance* and include examples of proactive efforts schools can take to prevent sexual violence and remedies schools may use to end such conduct, prevent its recurrence, and address its effects. In order to gain a complete understanding of these legal requirements and recommendations, this document should be read in full.

Authorized by

/s/

Catherine E. Lhamon
Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights

April 29, 2014

⁶ 20 U.S.C. §1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99.

⁷ 20 U.S.C. §1092(f).

⁸ Available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/shguide.html>.

**Notice of Language Assistance
Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence**

Notice of Language Assistance: If you have difficulty understanding English, you may, free of charge, request language assistance services for this Department information by calling 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), or email us at: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Aviso a personas con dominio limitado del idioma inglés: Si usted tiene alguna dificultad en entender el idioma inglés, puede, sin costo alguno, solicitar asistencia lingüística con respecto a esta información llamando al 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o envíe un mensaje de correo electrónico a: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

給英語能力有限人士的通知: 如果您不懂英語, 或者使用英語有困難, 您可以要求獲得向大眾提供的語言協助服務, 幫助您理解教育部資訊。這些語言協助服務均可免費提供。如果您需要有關口譯或筆譯服務的詳細資訊, 請致電 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (聽語障人士專線: 1-800-877-8339), 或電郵: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov。

Thông báo dành cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế: Nếu quý vị gặp khó khăn trong việc hiểu Anh ngữ thì quý vị có thể yêu cầu các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ cho các tin tức của Bộ dành cho công chúng. Các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ này đều miễn phí. Nếu quý vị muốn biết thêm chi tiết về các dịch vụ phiên dịch hay thông dịch, xin vui lòng gọi số 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), hoặc email: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

영어 미숙자를 위한 공고: 영어를 이해하는 데 어려움이 있으신 경우, 교육부 정보 센터에 일반인 대상 언어 지원 서비스를 요청하실 수 있습니다. 이러한 언어 지원 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다. 통역이나 번역 서비스에 대해 자세한 정보가 필요하신 경우, 전화번호 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) 또는 청각 장애인용 전화번호 1-800-877-8339 또는 이메일주소 Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov 으로 연락하시기 바랍니다.

Paunawa sa mga Taong Limitado ang Kaalaman sa English: Kung nahihirapan kayong makaintindi ng English, maaari kayong humingi ng tulong ukol dito sa inpormasyon ng Kagawaran mula sa nagbibigay ng serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika. Ang serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika ay libre. Kung kailangan ninyo ng dagdag na impormasyon tungkol sa mga serbisyo kaugnay ng pagpapaliwanag o pagsasalin, mangyari lamang tumawag sa 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o mag-email sa: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Уведомление для лиц с ограниченным знанием английского языка: Если вы испытываете трудности в понимании английского языка, вы можете попросить, чтобы вам предоставили перевод информации, которую Министерство Образования доводит до всеобщего сведения. Этот перевод предоставляется бесплатно. Если вы хотите получить более подробную информацию об услугах устного и письменного перевода, звоните по телефону 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (служба для слабослышащих: 1-800-877-8339), или отправьте сообщение по адресу: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice of Language Assistance iii

A. A School’s Obligation to Respond to Sexual Violence..... 1

 A-1. What is sexual violence? 1

 A-2. How does Title IX apply to student-on-student sexual violence? 1

 A-3. How does OCR determine if a hostile environment has been created? 1

 A-4. When does OCR consider a school to have notice of student-on-student sexual violence? 2

 A-5. What are a school’s basic responsibilities to address student-on-student sexual violence? 2

 A-6. Does Title IX cover employee-on-student sexual violence, such as sexual abuse of children? 3

B. Students Protected by Title IX 5

 B-1. Does Title IX protect all students from sexual violence? 5

 B-2. How should a school handle sexual violence complaints in which the complainant and the alleged perpetrator are members of the same sex? 5

 B-3. What issues may arise with respect to students with disabilities who experience sexual violence? 6

 B-4. What issues arise with respect to international students and undocumented students who experience sexual violence? 7

 B-5. How should a school respond to sexual violence when the alleged perpetrator is not affiliated with the school? 9

C. Title IX Procedural Requirements 9

 C-1. What procedures must a school have in place to prevent sexual violence and resolve complaints? 9

 C-2. What information must be included in a school’s notice of nondiscrimination? 10

 C-3. What are a Title IX coordinator’s responsibilities? 10

 C-4. Are there any employees who should not serve as the Title IX coordinator? 11

 C-5. Under Title IX, what elements should be included in a school’s procedures for responding to complaints of sexual violence? 12

 C-6. Is a school required to use separate grievance procedures for sexual violence complaints? 14

D. Responsible Employees and Reporting14

D-1. Which school employees are obligated to report incidents of possible sexual violence to school officials? 14

D-2. Who is a “responsible employee”?..... 15

D-3. What information is a responsible employee obligated to report about an incident of possible student-on-student sexual violence? 16

D-4. What should a responsible employee tell a student who discloses an incident of sexual violence? 16

D-5. If a student informs a resident assistant/advisor (RA) that he or she was subjected to sexual violence by a fellow student, is the RA obligated under Title IX to report the incident to school officials? 17

E. Confidentiality and a School’s Obligation to Respond to Sexual Violence18

E-1. How should a school respond to a student’s request that his or her name not be disclosed to the alleged perpetrator or that no investigation or disciplinary action be pursued to address the alleged sexual violence? 18

E-2. What factors should a school consider in weighing a student’s request for confidentiality? 21

E-3. What are the reporting responsibilities of school employees who provide or support the provision of counseling, advocacy, health, mental health, or sexual assault-related services to students who have experienced sexual violence? 22

E-4. Is a school required to investigate information regarding sexual violence incidents shared by survivors during public awareness events, such as “Take Back the Night”? 24

F. Investigations and Hearings24

F-1. What elements should a school’s Title IX investigation include? 24

F-2. What are the key differences between a school’s Title IX investigation into allegations of sexual violence and a criminal investigation?..... 27

F-3. How should a school proceed when campus or local law enforcement agencies are conducting a criminal investigation while the school is conducting a parallel Title IX investigation?..... 28

F-4. Is a school required to process complaints of alleged sexual violence that occurred off campus?..... 29

F-5. Must a school allow or require the parties to be present during an entire hearing? 30

F-6. May every witness at the hearing, including the parties, be cross-examined? 31

F-7. May the complainant’s sexual history be introduced at hearings? 31

F-8. What stages of the investigation are included in the 60-day timeframe referenced in the DCL as the length for a typical investigation? 31

G. Interim Measures32

G-1. Is a school required to take any interim measures before the completion of its investigation?..... 32

G-2. How should a school determine what interim measures to take? 33

G-3. If a school provides all students with access to counseling on a fee basis, does that suffice for providing counseling as an interim measure?..... 33

H. Remedies and Notice of Outcome34

H-1. What remedies should a school consider in a case of student-on-student sexual violence? 34

H-2. If, after an investigation, a school finds the alleged perpetrator responsible and determines that, as part of the remedies for the complainant, it must separate the complainant and perpetrator, how should the school accomplish this if both students share the same major and there are limited course options? 36

H-3. What information must be provided to the complainant in the notice of the outcome? 36

I. Appeals37

I-1. What are the requirements for an appeals process? 37

I-2. Must an appeal be available to a complainant who receives a favorable finding but does not believe a sanction that directly relates to him or her was sufficient? 38

J. Title IX Training, Education and Prevention38

J-1. What type of training on Title IX and sexual violence should a school provide to its employees? 38

J-2. How should a school train responsible employees to report incidents of possible sexual harassment or sexual violence? 39

J-3. What type of training should a school provide to employees who are involved in implementing the school’s grievance procedures?..... 40

J-4. What type of training on sexual violence should a school provide to its students? 41

K. Retaliation.....42
K-1. Does Title IX protect against retaliation? 42

L. First Amendment.....43
L-1. How should a school handle its obligation to respond to sexual harassment and sexual violence while still respecting free-speech rights guaranteed by the Constitution?..... 43

M. The Clery Act and the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013.....44
M-1. How does the Clery Act affect the Title IX obligations of institutions of higher education that participate in the federal student financial aid programs? 44
M-2. Were a school’s obligations under Title IX and the DCL altered in any way by the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Pub. L. No. 113-4, including Section 304 of that Act, which amends the Clery Act?..... 44

N. Further Federal Guidance.....45
N-1. Whom should I contact if I have additional questions about the DCL or OCR’s other Title IX guidance? 45
N-2. Are there other resources available to assist a school in complying with Title IX and preventing and responding to sexual violence? 45

A. A School's Obligation to Respond to Sexual Violence

A-1. What is sexual violence?

Answer: Sexual violence, as that term is used in this document and prior OCR guidance, refers to physical sexual acts perpetrated against a person's will or where a person is incapable of giving consent (*e.g.*, due to the student's age or use of drugs or alcohol, or because an intellectual or other disability prevents the student from having the capacity to give consent). A number of different acts fall into the category of sexual violence, including rape, sexual assault, sexual battery, sexual abuse, and sexual coercion. Sexual violence can be carried out by school employees, other students, or third parties. All such acts of sexual violence are forms of sex discrimination prohibited by Title IX.

A-2. How does Title IX apply to student-on-student sexual violence?

Answer: Under Title IX, federally funded schools must ensure that students of all ages are not denied or limited in their ability to participate in or benefit from the school's educational programs or activities on the basis of sex. A school violates a student's rights under Title IX regarding student-on-student sexual violence when the following conditions are met: (1) the alleged conduct is sufficiently serious to limit or deny a student's ability to participate in or benefit from the school's educational program, *i.e.* creates a hostile environment; and (2) the school, upon notice, fails to take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual violence, eliminate the hostile environment, prevent its recurrence, and, as appropriate, remedy its effects.⁹

A-3. How does OCR determine if a hostile environment has been created?

Answer: As discussed more fully in OCR's *2001 Guidance*, OCR considers a variety of related factors to determine if a hostile environment has been created; and also considers the conduct in question from both a subjective and an objective perspective. Specifically, OCR's standards require that the conduct be evaluated from the perspective of a reasonable person in the alleged victim's position, considering all the circumstances. The more severe the conduct, the less need there is to show a repetitive series of incidents to prove a hostile environment, particularly if the conduct is physical. Indeed, a single or isolated incident of sexual violence may create a hostile environment.

⁹ This is the standard for administrative enforcement of Title IX and in court cases where plaintiffs are seeking injunctive relief. See *2001 Guidance* at ii-v, 12-13. The standard in private lawsuits for monetary damages is actual knowledge and deliberate indifference. See *Davis v. Monroe Cnty Bd. of Educ.*, 526 U.S. 629, 643 (1999).

A-4. When does OCR consider a school to have notice of student-on-student sexual violence?

Answer: OCR deems a school to have notice of student-on-student sexual violence if a responsible employee knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, about the sexual violence. See question D-2 regarding who is a responsible employee.

A school can receive notice of sexual violence in many different ways. Some examples of notice include: a student may have filed a grievance with or otherwise informed the school's Title IX coordinator; a student, parent, friend, or other individual may have reported an incident to a teacher, principal, campus law enforcement, staff in the office of student affairs, or other responsible employee; or a teacher or dean may have witnessed the sexual violence.

The school may also receive notice about sexual violence in an indirect manner, from sources such as a member of the local community, social networking sites, or the media. In some situations, if the school knows of incidents of sexual violence, the exercise of reasonable care should trigger an investigation that would lead to the discovery of additional incidents. For example, if school officials receive a credible report that a student has perpetrated several acts of sexual violence against different students, that pattern of conduct should trigger an inquiry as to whether other students have been subjected to sexual violence by that student. In other cases, the pervasiveness of the sexual violence may be widespread, openly practiced, or well-known among students or employees. In those cases, OCR may conclude that the school should have known of the hostile environment. In other words, if the school would have found out about the sexual violence had it made a proper inquiry, knowledge of the sexual violence will be imputed to the school even if the school failed to make an inquiry. A school's failure to take prompt and effective corrective action in such cases (as described in questions G-1 to G-3 and H-1 to H-3) would violate Title IX even if the student did not use the school's grievance procedures or otherwise inform the school of the sexual violence.

A-5. What are a school's basic responsibilities to address student-on-student sexual violence?

Answer: When a school knows or reasonably should know of possible sexual violence, it must take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred (subject to the confidentiality provisions discussed in Section E). If an investigation reveals that sexual violence created a hostile environment, the school must then take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual violence, eliminate the hostile environment, prevent its recurrence, and, as appropriate, remedy its

effects. But a school should not wait to take steps to protect its students until students have already been deprived of educational opportunities.

Title IX requires a school to protect the complainant and ensure his or her safety as necessary, including taking interim steps before the final outcome of any investigation.¹⁰ The school should take these steps promptly once it has notice of a sexual violence allegation and should provide the complainant with periodic updates on the status of the investigation. If the school determines that the sexual violence occurred, the school must continue to take these steps to protect the complainant and ensure his or her safety, as necessary. The school should also ensure that the complainant is aware of any available resources, such as victim advocacy, housing assistance, academic support, counseling, disability services, health and mental health services, and legal assistance, and the right to report a crime to campus or local law enforcement. For additional information on interim measures, see questions G-1 to G-3.

If a school delays responding to allegations of sexual violence or responds inappropriately, the school's own inaction may subject the student to a hostile environment. If it does, the school will also be required to remedy the effects of the sexual violence that could reasonably have been prevented had the school responded promptly and appropriately. For example, if a school's ignoring of a student's complaints of sexual assault by a fellow student results in the complaining student having to remain in classes with the other student for several weeks and the complaining student's grades suffer because he or she was unable to concentrate in these classes, the school may need to permit the complaining student to retake the classes without an academic or financial penalty (in addition to any other remedies) in order to address the effects of the sexual violence.

A-6. Does Title IX cover employee-on-student sexual violence, such as sexual abuse of children?

Answer: Yes. Although this document and the DCL focus on student-on-student sexual violence, Title IX also protects students from other forms of sexual harassment (including sexual violence and sexual abuse), such as sexual harassment carried out by school employees. Sexual harassment by school employees can include unwelcome sexual advances; requests for sexual favors; and other verbal, nonverbal, or physical conduct of a sexual nature, including but not limited to sexual activity. Title IX's prohibition against

¹⁰ Throughout this document, unless otherwise noted, the term "complainant" refers to the student who allegedly experienced the sexual violence.

sexual harassment generally does not extend to legitimate nonsexual touching or other nonsexual conduct. But in some circumstances, nonsexual conduct may take on sexual connotations and rise to the level of sexual harassment. For example, a teacher repeatedly hugging and putting his or her arms around students under inappropriate circumstances could create a hostile environment. Early signs of inappropriate behavior with a child can be the key to identifying and preventing sexual abuse by school personnel.

A school's Title IX obligations regarding sexual harassment by employees can, in some instances, be greater than those described in this document and the DCL. Recipients should refer to OCR's *2001 Guidance* for further information about Title IX obligations regarding harassment of students by school employees. In addition, many state and local laws have mandatory reporting requirements for schools working with minors. Recipients should be careful to satisfy their state and local legal obligations in addition to their Title IX obligations, including training to ensure that school employees are aware of their obligations under such state and local laws and the consequences for failing to satisfy those obligations.

With respect to sexual activity in particular, OCR will always view as unwelcome and nonconsensual sexual activity between an adult school employee and an elementary school student or any student below the legal age of consent in his or her state. In cases involving a student who meets the legal age of consent in his or her state, there will still be a strong presumption that sexual activity between an adult school employee and a student is unwelcome and nonconsensual. When a school is on notice that a school employee has sexually harassed a student, it is responsible for taking prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end the sexual harassment, eliminate the hostile environment, prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects. Indeed, even if a school was not on notice, the school is nonetheless responsible for remedying any effects of the sexual harassment on the student, as well as for ending the sexual harassment and preventing its recurrence, when the employee engaged in the sexual activity in the context of the employee's provision of aid, benefits, or services to students (*e.g.*, teaching, counseling, supervising, advising, or transporting students).

A school should take steps to protect its students from sexual abuse by its employees. It is therefore imperative for a school to develop policies prohibiting inappropriate conduct by school personnel and procedures for identifying and responding to such conduct. For example, this could include implementing codes of conduct, which might address what is commonly known as grooming – a desensitization strategy common in adult educator sexual misconduct. Such policies and procedures can ensure that students, parents, and

school personnel have clear guidelines on what are appropriate and inappropriate interactions between adults and students in a school setting or in school-sponsored activities. Additionally, a school should provide training for administrators, teachers, staff, parents, and age-appropriate classroom information for students to ensure that everyone understands what types of conduct are prohibited and knows how to respond when problems arise.¹¹

B. Students Protected by Title IX

B-1. Does Title IX protect all students from sexual violence?

Answer: Yes. Title IX protects all students at recipient institutions from sex discrimination, including sexual violence. Any student can experience sexual violence: from elementary to professional school students; male and female students; straight, gay, lesbian, bisexual and transgender students; part-time and full-time students; students with and without disabilities; and students of different races and national origins.

B-2. How should a school handle sexual violence complaints in which the complainant and the alleged perpetrator are members of the same sex?

Answer: A school's obligation to respond appropriately to sexual violence complaints is the same irrespective of the sex or sexes of the parties involved. Title IX protects all students from sexual violence, regardless of the sex of the alleged perpetrator or complainant, including when they are members of the same sex. A school must investigate and resolve allegations of sexual violence involving parties of the same sex using the same procedures and standards that it uses in all complaints involving sexual violence.

Title IX's sex discrimination prohibition extends to claims of discrimination based on gender identity or failure to conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity and OCR accepts such complaints for investigation. Similarly, the actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity of the parties does not change a school's obligations. Indeed, lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) youth report high rates of sexual harassment and sexual violence. A school should investigate and resolve allegations of sexual violence regarding LGBT students using the same procedures and standards that it

¹¹ For additional informational on training please see the Department of Education's Resource and Emergency Management for Schools Technical Assistance Center – Adult Sexual Misconduct in Schools: Prevention and Management Training, available at http://rems.ed.gov/Docs/ASM_Marketing_Flyer.pdf.

uses in all complaints involving sexual violence. The fact that incidents of sexual violence may be accompanied by anti-gay comments or be partly based on a student's actual or perceived sexual orientation does not relieve a school of its obligation under Title IX to investigate and remedy those instances of sexual violence.

If a school's policies related to sexual violence include examples of particular types of conduct that violate the school's prohibition on sexual violence, the school should consider including examples of same-sex conduct. In addition, a school should ensure that staff are capable of providing culturally competent counseling to all complainants. Thus, a school should ensure that its counselors and other staff who are responsible for receiving and responding to complaints of sexual violence, including investigators and hearing board members, receive appropriate training about working with LGBT and gender-nonconforming students and same-sex sexual violence. See questions J-1 to J-4 for additional information regarding training.

Gay-straight alliances and similar student-initiated groups can also play an important role in creating safer school environments for LGBT students. On June 14, 2011, the Department issued guidance about the rights of student-initiated groups in public secondary schools under the Equal Access Act. That guidance is available at <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/secletter/110607.html>.

B-3. What issues may arise with respect to students with disabilities who experience sexual violence?

Answer: When students with disabilities experience sexual violence, federal civil rights laws other than Title IX may also be relevant to a school's responsibility to investigate and address such incidents.¹² Certain students require additional assistance and support. For example, students with intellectual disabilities may need additional help in learning about sexual violence, including a school's sexual violence education and prevention programs, what constitutes sexual violence and how students can report incidents of sexual

¹² OCR enforces two civil rights laws that prohibit disability discrimination. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Section 504) prohibits disability discrimination by public or private entities that receive federal financial assistance, and Title II of the American with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Title II) prohibits disability discrimination by all state and local public entities, regardless of whether they receive federal funding. See 29 U.S.C. § 794 and 34 C.F.R. part 104; 42 U.S.C. § 12131 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. part 35. OCR and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) share the responsibility of enforcing Title II in the educational context. The Department of Education's Office of Special Education Programs in the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services administers Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). 20 U.S.C. 1400 *et seq.* and 34 C.F.R. part 300. IDEA provides financial assistance to states, and through them to local educational agencies, to assist in providing special education and related services to eligible children with disabilities ages three through twenty-one, inclusive.

violence. In addition, students with disabilities who experience sexual violence may require additional services and supports, including psychological services and counseling services. Postsecondary students who need these additional services and supports can seek assistance from the institution's disability resource office.

A student who has not been previously determined to have a disability may, as a result of experiencing sexual violence, develop a mental health-related disability that could cause the student to need special education and related services. At the elementary and secondary education level, this may trigger a school's child find obligations under IDEA and the evaluation and placement requirements under Section 504, which together require a school to evaluate a student suspected of having a disability to determine if he or she has a disability that requires special education or related aids and services.¹³

A school must also ensure that any school reporting forms, information, or training about sexual violence be provided in a manner that is accessible to students and employees with disabilities, for example, by providing electronically-accessible versions of paper forms to individuals with print disabilities, or by providing a sign language interpreter to a deaf individual attending a training. See question J-4 for more detailed information on student training.

B-4. What issues arise with respect to international students and undocumented students who experience sexual violence?

Answer: Title IX protects all students at recipient institutions in the United States regardless of national origin, immigration status, or citizenship status.¹⁴ A school should ensure that all students regardless of their immigration status, including undocumented students and international students, are aware of their rights under Title IX. A school must also ensure that any school reporting forms, information, or training about sexual violence be provided in a manner accessible to students who are English language learners. OCR recommends that a school coordinate with its international office and its undocumented student program coordinator, if applicable, to help communicate information about Title IX in languages that are accessible to these groups of students. OCR also encourages schools to provide foreign national complainants with information about the U nonimmigrant status and the T nonimmigrant status. The U nonimmigrant status is set

¹³ See 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.8; 300.111; 300.201; 300.300-300.311 (IDEA); 34 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(j) and 104.35 (Section 504). Schools must comply with applicable consent requirements with respect to evaluations. See 34 C.F.R. § 300.300.

¹⁴ OCR enforces Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination by recipients of federal financial assistance on the basis of race, color, or national origin. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.

aside for victims of certain crimes who have suffered substantial mental or physical abuse as a result of the crime and are helpful to law enforcement agency in the investigation or prosecution of the qualifying criminal activity.¹⁵ The T nonimmigrant status is available for victims of severe forms of human trafficking who generally comply with a law enforcement agency in the investigation or prosecution of the human trafficking and who would suffer extreme hardship involving unusual and severe harm if they were removed from the United States.¹⁶

A school should be mindful that unique issues may arise when a foreign student on a student visa experiences sexual violence. For example, certain student visas require the student to maintain a full-time course load (generally at least 12 academic credit hours per term), but a student may need to take a reduced course load while recovering from the immediate effects of the sexual violence. OCR recommends that a school take steps to ensure that international students on student visas understand that they must typically seek prior approval of the designated school official (DSO) for student visas to drop below a full-time course load. A school may also want to encourage its employees involved in handling sexual violence complaints and counseling students who have experienced sexual violence to approach the DSO on the student's behalf if the student wishes to drop below a full-time course load. OCR recommends that a school take steps to ensure that its employees who work with international students, including the school's DSO, are trained on the school's sexual violence policies and that employees involved in handling sexual violence complaints and counseling students who have experienced sexual violence are aware of the special issues that international students may encounter. See questions J-1 to J-4 for additional information regarding training.

A school should also be aware that threatening students with deportation or invoking a student's immigration status in an attempt to intimidate or deter a student from filing a Title IX complaint would violate Title IX's protections against retaliation. For more information on retaliation see question K-1.

¹⁵ For more information on the U nonimmigrant status, see <http://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/victims-human-trafficking-other-crimes/victims-criminal-activity-u-nonimmigrant-status/questions-answers-victims-criminal-activity-u-nonimmigrant-status>.

¹⁶ For more information on the T nonimmigrant status, see <http://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/victims-human-trafficking-other-crimes/victims-human-trafficking-t-nonimmigrant-status>.

B-5. How should a school respond to sexual violence when the alleged perpetrator is not affiliated with the school?

Answer: The appropriate response will differ depending on the level of control the school has over the alleged perpetrator. For example, if an athlete or band member from a visiting school sexually assaults a student at the home school, the home school may not be able to discipline or take other direct action against the visiting athlete or band member. However (and subject to the confidentiality provisions discussed in Section E), it should conduct an inquiry into what occurred and should report the incident to the visiting school and encourage the visiting school to take appropriate action to prevent further sexual violence. The home school should also notify the student of any right to file a complaint with the alleged perpetrator's school or local law enforcement. The home school may also decide not to invite the visiting school back to its campus.

Even though a school's ability to take direct action against a particular perpetrator may be limited, the school must still take steps to provide appropriate remedies for the complainant and, where appropriate, the broader school population. This may include providing support services for the complainant, and issuing new policy statements making it clear that the school does not tolerate sexual violence and will respond to any reports about such incidents. For additional information on interim measures see questions G-1 to G-3.

C. Title IX Procedural Requirements

Overview

C-1. What procedures must a school have in place to prevent sexual violence and resolve complaints?

Answer: The Title IX regulations outline three key procedural requirements. Each school must:

- (1) disseminate a notice of nondiscrimination (see question C-2);¹⁷
- (2) designate at least one employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with and carry out its responsibilities under Title IX (see questions C-3 to C-4);¹⁸ and

¹⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.9.

¹⁸ *Id.* § 106.8(a).

(3) adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for the prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee sex discrimination complaints (see questions C-5 to C-6).¹⁹

These requirements apply to all forms of sex discrimination and are particularly important for preventing and effectively responding to sexual violence.

Procedural requirements under other federal laws may also apply to complaints of sexual violence, including the requirements of the Clery Act.²⁰ For additional information about the procedural requirements in the Clery Act, please see <http://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/campus.html>.

Notice of Nondiscrimination

C-2. What information must be included in a school's notice of nondiscrimination?

Answer: The notice of nondiscrimination must state that the school does not discriminate on the basis of sex in its education programs and activities, and that it is required by Title IX not to discriminate in such a manner. The notice must state that questions regarding Title IX may be referred to the school's Title IX coordinator or to OCR. The school must notify all of its students and employees of the name or title, office address, telephone number, and email address of the school's designated Title IX coordinator.²¹

Title IX Coordinator

C-3. What are a Title IX coordinator's responsibilities?

Answer: A Title IX coordinator's core responsibilities include overseeing the school's response to Title IX reports and complaints and identifying and addressing any patterns or systemic problems revealed by such reports and complaints. This means that the Title IX coordinator must have knowledge of the requirements of Title IX, of the school's own policies and procedures on sex discrimination, and of all complaints raising Title IX issues throughout the school. To accomplish this, subject to the exemption for school counseling employees discussed in question E-3, the Title IX coordinator must be informed of all

¹⁹ *Id.* § 106.8(b).

²⁰ All postsecondary institutions participating in the Higher Education Act's Title IV student financial assistance programs must comply with the Clery Act.

²¹ For more information on notices of nondiscrimination, please see OCR's Notice of Nondiscrimination (August 2010), available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/nondisc.pdf>.

reports and complaints raising Title IX issues, even if the report or complaint was initially filed with another individual or office or if the investigation will be conducted by another individual or office. The school should ensure that the Title IX coordinator is given the training, authority, and visibility necessary to fulfill these responsibilities.

Because the Title IX coordinator must have knowledge of all Title IX reports and complaints at the school, this individual (when properly trained) is generally in the best position to evaluate a student's request for confidentiality in the context of the school's responsibility to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students. A school may determine, however, that another individual should perform this role. For additional information on confidentiality requests, see questions E-1 to E-4. If a school relies in part on its disciplinary procedures to meet its Title IX obligations, the Title IX coordinator should review the disciplinary procedures to ensure that the procedures comply with the prompt and equitable requirements of Title IX as discussed in question C-5.

In addition to these core responsibilities, a school may decide to give its Title IX coordinator additional responsibilities, such as: providing training to students, faculty, and staff on Title IX issues; conducting Title IX investigations, including investigating facts relevant to a complaint, and determining appropriate sanctions against the perpetrator and remedies for the complainant; determining appropriate interim measures for a complainant upon learning of a report or complaint of sexual violence; and ensuring that appropriate policies and procedures are in place for working with local law enforcement and coordinating services with local victim advocacy organizations and service providers, including rape crisis centers. A school must ensure that its Title IX coordinator is appropriately trained in all areas over which he or she has responsibility. The Title IX coordinator or designee should also be available to meet with students as needed.

If a school designates more than one Title IX coordinator, the school's notice of nondiscrimination and Title IX grievance procedures should describe each coordinator's responsibilities, and one coordinator should be designated as having ultimate oversight responsibility.

C-4. Are there any employees who should not serve as the Title IX coordinator?

Answer: Title IX does not categorically preclude particular employees from serving as Title IX coordinators. However, Title IX coordinators should not have other job responsibilities that may create a conflict of interest. Because some complaints may raise issues as to whether or how well the school has met its Title IX obligations, designating

the same employee to serve both as the Title IX coordinator and the general counsel (which could include representing the school in legal claims alleging Title IX violations) poses a serious risk of a conflict of interest. Other employees whose job responsibilities may conflict with a Title IX coordinator's responsibilities include Directors of Athletics, Deans of Students, and any employee who serves on the judicial/hearing board or to whom an appeal might be made. Designating a full-time Title IX coordinator will minimize the risk of a conflict of interest.

Grievance Procedures

C-5. Under Title IX, what elements should be included in a school's procedures for responding to complaints of sexual violence?

Answer: Title IX requires that a school adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints of sex discrimination, including sexual violence. In evaluating whether a school's grievance procedures satisfy this requirement, OCR will review all aspects of a school's policies and practices, including the following elements that are critical to achieve compliance with Title IX:

- (1) notice to students, parents of elementary and secondary students, and employees of the grievance procedures, including where complaints may be filed;
- (2) application of the grievance procedures to complaints filed by students or on their behalf alleging sexual violence carried out by employees, other students, or third parties;
- (3) provisions for adequate, reliable, and impartial investigation of complaints, including the opportunity for both the complainant and alleged perpetrator to present witnesses and evidence;
- (4) designated and reasonably prompt time frames for the major stages of the complaint process (see question F-8);
- (5) written notice to the complainant and alleged perpetrator of the outcome of the complaint (see question H-3); and
- (6) assurance that the school will take steps to prevent recurrence of any sexual violence and remedy discriminatory effects on the complainant and others, if appropriate.

To ensure that students and employees have a clear understanding of what constitutes sexual violence, the potential consequences for such conduct, and how the school processes complaints, a school's Title IX grievance procedures should also explicitly include the following in writing, some of which themselves are mandatory obligations under Title IX:

- (1) a statement of the school's jurisdiction over Title IX complaints;
- (2) adequate definitions of sexual harassment (which includes sexual violence) and an explanation as to when such conduct creates a hostile environment;
- (3) reporting policies and protocols, including provisions for confidential reporting;
- (4) identification of the employee or employees responsible for evaluating requests for confidentiality;
- (5) notice that Title IX prohibits retaliation;
- (6) notice of a student's right to file a criminal complaint and a Title IX complaint simultaneously;
- (7) notice of available interim measures that may be taken to protect the student in the educational setting;
- (8) the evidentiary standard that must be used (preponderance of the evidence) (*i.e.*, more likely than not that sexual violence occurred) in resolving a complaint;
- (9) notice of potential remedies for students;
- (10) notice of potential sanctions against perpetrators; and
- (11) sources of counseling, advocacy, and support.

For more information on interim measures, see questions G-1 to G-3.

The rights established under Title IX must be interpreted consistently with any federally guaranteed due process rights. Procedures that ensure the Title IX rights of the complainant, while at the same time according any federally guaranteed due process to both parties involved, will lead to sound and supportable decisions. Of course, a school should ensure that steps to accord any due process rights do not restrict or unnecessarily delay the protections provided by Title IX to the complainant.

A school's procedures and practices will vary in detail, specificity, and components, reflecting differences in the age of its students, school size and administrative structure, state or local legal requirements (e.g., mandatory reporting requirements for schools working with minors), and what it has learned from past experiences.

C-6. Is a school required to use separate grievance procedures for sexual violence complaints?

Answer: No. Under Title IX, a school may use student disciplinary procedures, general Title IX grievance procedures, sexual harassment procedures, or separate procedures to resolve sexual violence complaints. However, any procedures used for sexual violence complaints, including disciplinary procedures, must meet the Title IX requirement of affording a complainant a prompt and equitable resolution (as discussed in question C-5), including applying the preponderance of the evidence standard of review. As discussed in question C-3, the Title IX coordinator should review any process used to resolve complaints of sexual violence to ensure it complies with requirements for prompt and equitable resolution of these complaints. When using disciplinary procedures, which are often focused on the alleged perpetrator and can take considerable time, a school should be mindful of its obligation to provide interim measures to protect the complainant in the educational setting. For more information on timeframes and interim measures, see questions F-8 and G-1 to G-3.

D. Responsible Employees and Reporting²²

D-1. Which school employees are obligated to report incidents of possible sexual violence to school officials?

Answer: Under Title IX, whether an individual is obligated to report incidents of alleged sexual violence generally depends on whether the individual is a responsible employee of the school. A responsible employee must report incidents of sexual violence to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee, subject to the exemption for school counseling employees discussed in question E-3. This is because, as discussed in question A-4, a school is obligated to address sexual violence about which a responsible employee knew or should have known. As explained in question C-3, the Title IX coordinator must be informed of all reports and complaints raising Title IX issues, even if the report or

²² This document addresses only Title IX's reporting requirements. It does not address requirements under the Clery Act or other federal, state, or local laws, or an individual school's code of conduct.

complaint was initially filed with another individual or office, subject to the exemption for school counseling employees discussed in question E-3.

D-2. Who is a “responsible employee”?

Answer: According to OCR’s *2001 Guidance*, a responsible employee includes any employee: who has the authority to take action to redress sexual violence; who has been given the duty of reporting incidents of sexual violence or any other misconduct by students to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee; or whom a student could reasonably believe has this authority or duty.²³

A school must make clear to all of its employees and students which staff members are responsible employees so that students can make informed decisions about whether to disclose information to those employees. A school must also inform all employees of their own reporting responsibilities and the importance of informing complainants of: the reporting obligations of responsible employees; complainants’ option to request confidentiality and available confidential advocacy, counseling, or other support services; and complainants’ right to file a Title IX complaint with the school and to report a crime to campus or local law enforcement.

Whether an employee is a responsible employee will vary depending on factors such as the age and education level of the student, the type of position held by the employee, and consideration of both formal and informal school practices and procedures. For example, while it may be reasonable for an elementary school student to believe that a custodial staff member or cafeteria worker has the authority or responsibility to address student misconduct, it is less reasonable for a college student to believe that a custodial staff member or dining hall employee has this same authority.

As noted in response to question A-4, when a responsible employee knows or reasonably should know of possible sexual violence, OCR deems a school to have notice of the sexual violence. The school must take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred (subject to the confidentiality provisions discussed in Section E), and, if the school determines that sexual violence created a hostile environment, the school must then take appropriate steps to address the situation. The

²³ The Supreme Court held that a school will only be liable for money damages in a private lawsuit where there is actual notice to a school official with the authority to address the alleged discrimination and take corrective action. *Gebser v. Lago Vista Ind. Sch. Dist.*, 524 U.S. 274, 290 (1998), and *Davis*, 524 U.S. at 642. The concept of a “responsible employee” under OCR’s guidance for administrative enforcement of Title IX is broader.

school has this obligation regardless of whether the student, student's parent, or a third party files a formal complaint. For additional information on a school's responsibilities to address student-on-student sexual violence, see question A-5. For additional information on training for school employees, see questions J-1 to J-3.

D-3. What information is a responsible employee obligated to report about an incident of possible student-on-student sexual violence?

Answer: Subject to the exemption for school counseling employees discussed in question E-3, a responsible employee must report to the school's Title IX coordinator, or other appropriate school designee, all relevant details about the alleged sexual violence that the student or another person has shared and that the school will need to determine what occurred and to resolve the situation. This includes the names of the alleged perpetrator (if known), the student who experienced the alleged sexual violence, other students involved in the alleged sexual violence, as well as relevant facts, including the date, time, and location. A school must make clear to its responsible employees to whom they should report an incident of alleged sexual violence.

To ensure compliance with these reporting obligations, it is important for a school to train its responsible employees on Title IX and the school's sexual violence policies and procedures. For more information on appropriate training for school employees, see question J-1 to J-3.

D-4. What should a responsible employee tell a student who discloses an incident of sexual violence?

Answer: Before a student reveals information that he or she may wish to keep confidential, a responsible employee should make every effort to ensure that the student understands: (i) the employee's obligation to report the names of the alleged perpetrator and student involved in the alleged sexual violence, as well as relevant facts regarding the alleged incident (including the date, time, and location), to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school officials, (ii) the student's option to request that the school maintain his or her confidentiality, which the school (e.g., Title IX coordinator) will consider, and (iii) the student's ability to share the information confidentially with counseling, advocacy, health, mental health, or sexual-assault-related services (e.g., sexual assault resource centers, campus health centers, pastoral counselors, and campus mental health centers). As discussed in questions E-1 and E-2, if the student requests confidentiality, the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee responsible for evaluating requests for confidentiality should make every effort to respect this request

and should evaluate the request in the context of the school's responsibility to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students.

D-5. If a student informs a resident assistant/advisor (RA) that he or she was subjected to sexual violence by a fellow student, is the RA obligated under Title IX to report the incident to school officials?

Answer: As discussed in questions D-1 and D-2, for Title IX purposes, whether an individual is obligated under Title IX to report alleged sexual violence to the school's Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee generally depends on whether the individual is a responsible employee.

The duties and responsibilities of RAs vary among schools, and, therefore, a school should consider its own policies and procedures to determine whether its RAs are responsible employees who must report incidents of sexual violence to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee.²⁴ When making this determination, a school should consider if its RAs have the general authority to take action to redress misconduct or the duty to report misconduct to appropriate school officials, as well as whether students could reasonably believe that RAs have this authority or duty. A school should also consider whether it has determined and clearly informed students that RAs are generally available for confidential discussions and do not have the authority or responsibility to take action to redress any misconduct or to report any misconduct to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school officials. A school should pay particular attention to its RAs' obligations to report other student violations of school policy (*e.g.*, drug and alcohol violations or physical assault). If an RA is required to report other misconduct that violates school policy, then the RA would be considered a responsible employee obligated to report incidents of sexual violence that violate school policy.

If an RA is a responsible employee, the RA should make every effort to ensure that *before* the student reveals information that he or she may wish to keep confidential, the student understands the RA's reporting obligation and the student's option to request that the school maintain confidentiality. It is therefore important that schools widely disseminate policies and provide regular training clearly identifying the places where students can seek confidential support services so that students are aware of this information. The RA

²⁴ Postsecondary institutions should be aware that, regardless of whether an RA is a responsible employee under Title IX, RAs are considered "campus security authorities" under the Clery Act. A school's responsibilities in regard to crimes reported to campus security authorities are discussed in the Department's regulations on the Clery Act at 34 C.F.R. § 668.46.

should also explain to the student (again, before the student reveals information that he or she may wish to keep confidential) that, although the RA must report the names of the alleged perpetrator (if known), the student who experienced the alleged sexual violence, other students involved in the alleged sexual violence, as well as relevant facts, including the date, time, and location to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee, the school will protect the student's confidentiality to the greatest extent possible. Prior to providing information about the incident to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee, the RA should consult with the student about how to protect his or her safety and the details of what will be shared with the Title IX coordinator. The RA should explain to the student that reporting this information to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee does not necessarily mean that a formal complaint or investigation under the school's Title IX grievance procedure must be initiated if the student requests confidentiality. As discussed in questions E-1 and E-2, if the student requests confidentiality, the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee responsible for evaluating requests for confidentiality should make every effort to respect this request and should evaluate the request in the context of the school's responsibility to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students.

Regardless of whether a reporting obligation exists, all RAs should inform students of their right to file a Title IX complaint with the school and report a crime to campus or local law enforcement. If a student discloses sexual violence to an RA who is a responsible employee, the school will be deemed to have notice of the sexual violence even if the student does not file a Title IX complaint. Additionally, all RAs should provide students with information regarding on-campus resources, including victim advocacy, housing assistance, academic support, counseling, disability services, health and mental health services, and legal assistance. RAs should also be familiar with local rape crisis centers or other off-campus resources and provide this information to students.

E. Confidentiality and a School's Obligation to Respond to Sexual Violence

E-1. How should a school respond to a student's request that his or her name not be disclosed to the alleged perpetrator or that no investigation or disciplinary action be pursued to address the alleged sexual violence?

Answer: Students, or parents of minor students, reporting incidents of sexual violence sometimes ask that the students' names not be disclosed to the alleged perpetrators or that no investigation or disciplinary action be pursued to address the alleged sexual violence. OCR strongly supports a student's interest in confidentiality in cases involving sexual violence. There are situations in which a school must override a student's request

for confidentiality in order to meet its Title IX obligations; however, these instances will be limited and the information should only be shared with individuals who are responsible for handling the school's response to incidents of sexual violence. Given the sensitive nature of reports of sexual violence, a school should ensure that the information is maintained in a secure manner. A school should be aware that disregarding requests for confidentiality can have a chilling effect and discourage other students from reporting sexual violence. In the case of minors, state mandatory reporting laws may require disclosure, but can generally be followed without disclosing information to school personnel who are not responsible for handling the school's response to incidents of sexual violence.²⁵

Even if a student does not specifically ask for confidentiality, to the extent possible, a school should only disclose information regarding alleged incidents of sexual violence to individuals who are responsible for handling the school's response. To improve trust in the process for investigating sexual violence complaints, a school should notify students of the information that will be disclosed, to whom it will be disclosed, and why. Regardless of whether a student complainant requests confidentiality, a school must take steps to protect the complainant as necessary, including taking interim measures before the final outcome of an investigation. For additional information on interim measures see questions G-1 to G-3.

For Title IX purposes, if a student requests that his or her name not be revealed to the alleged perpetrator or asks that the school not investigate or seek action against the alleged perpetrator, the school should inform the student that honoring the request may limit its ability to respond fully to the incident, including pursuing disciplinary action against the alleged perpetrator. The school should also explain that Title IX includes protections against retaliation, and that school officials will not only take steps to prevent retaliation but also take strong responsive action if it occurs. This includes retaliatory actions taken by the school and school officials. When a school knows or reasonably should know of possible retaliation by other students or third parties, including threats, intimidation, coercion, or discrimination (including harassment), it must take immediate

²⁵ The school should be aware of the alleged student perpetrator's right under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA") to request to inspect and review information about the allegations if the information directly relates to the alleged student perpetrator and the information is maintained by the school as an education record. In such a case, the school must either redact the complainant's name and all identifying information before allowing the alleged perpetrator to inspect and review the sections of the complaint that relate to him or her, or must inform the alleged perpetrator of the specific information in the complaint that are about the alleged perpetrator. See 34 C.F.R. § 99.12(a) The school should also make complainants aware of this right and explain how it might affect the school's ability to maintain complete confidentiality.

and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred. Title IX requires the school to protect the complainant and ensure his or her safety as necessary. See question K-1 regarding retaliation.

If the student still requests that his or her name not be disclosed to the alleged perpetrator or that the school not investigate or seek action against the alleged perpetrator, the school will need to determine whether or not it can honor such a request while still providing a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students, including the student who reported the sexual violence. As discussed in question C-3, the Title IX coordinator is generally in the best position to evaluate confidentiality requests. Because schools vary widely in size and administrative structure, OCR recognizes that a school may reasonably determine that an employee other than the Title IX coordinator, such as a sexual assault response coordinator, dean, or other school official, is better suited to evaluate such requests. Addressing the needs of a student reporting sexual violence while determining an appropriate institutional response requires expertise and attention, and a school should ensure that it assigns these responsibilities to employees with the capability and training to fulfill them. For example, if a school has a sexual assault response coordinator, that person should be consulted in evaluating requests for confidentiality. The school should identify in its Title IX policies and procedures the employee or employees responsible for making such determinations.

If the school determines that it can respect the student's request not to disclose his or her identity to the alleged perpetrator, it should take all reasonable steps to respond to the complaint consistent with the request. Although a student's request to have his or her name withheld may limit the school's ability to respond fully to an individual allegation of sexual violence, other means may be available to address the sexual violence. There are steps a school can take to limit the effects of the alleged sexual violence and prevent its recurrence without initiating formal action against the alleged perpetrator or revealing the identity of the student complainant. Examples include providing increased monitoring, supervision, or security at locations or activities where the misconduct occurred; providing training and education materials for students and employees; changing and publicizing the school's policies on sexual violence; and conducting climate surveys regarding sexual violence. In instances affecting many students, an alleged perpetrator can be put on notice of allegations of harassing behavior and be counseled appropriately without revealing, even indirectly, the identity of the student complainant. A school must also take immediate action as necessary to protect the student while keeping the identity of the student confidential. These actions may include providing support services to the student and changing living arrangements or course schedules, assignments, or tests.

E-2. What factors should a school consider in weighing a student's request for confidentiality?

Answer: When weighing a student's request for confidentiality that could preclude a meaningful investigation or potential discipline of the alleged perpetrator, a school should consider a range of factors.

These factors include circumstances that suggest there is an increased risk of the alleged perpetrator committing additional acts of sexual violence or other violence (e.g., whether there have been other sexual violence complaints about the same alleged perpetrator, whether the alleged perpetrator has a history of arrests or records from a prior school indicating a history of violence, whether the alleged perpetrator threatened further sexual violence or other violence against the student or others, and whether the sexual violence was committed by multiple perpetrators). These factors also include circumstances that suggest there is an increased risk of future acts of sexual violence under similar circumstances (e.g., whether the student's report reveals a pattern of perpetration (e.g., via illicit use of drugs or alcohol) at a given location or by a particular group). Other factors that should be considered in assessing a student's request for confidentiality include whether the sexual violence was perpetrated with a weapon; the age of the student subjected to the sexual violence; and whether the school possesses other means to obtain relevant evidence (e.g., security cameras or personnel, physical evidence).

A school should take requests for confidentiality seriously, while at the same time considering its responsibility to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students, including the student who reported the sexual violence. For example, if the school has credible information that the alleged perpetrator has committed one or more prior rapes, the balance of factors would compel the school to investigate the allegation of sexual violence, and if appropriate, pursue disciplinary action in a manner that may require disclosure of the student's identity to the alleged perpetrator. If the school determines that it must disclose a student's identity to an alleged perpetrator, it should inform the student prior to making this disclosure. In these cases, it is also especially important for schools to take whatever interim measures are necessary to protect the student and ensure the safety of other students. If a school has a sexual assault response coordinator, that person should be consulted in identifying safety risks and interim measures that are necessary to protect the student. In the event the student requests that the school inform the perpetrator that the student asked the school not to investigate or seek discipline, the school should honor this request and inform the alleged perpetrator that the school made the decision to go forward. For additional information on interim measures see questions G-1 to G-3. Any school officials responsible for

discussing safety and confidentiality with students should be trained on the effects of trauma and the appropriate methods to communicate with students subjected to sexual violence. See questions J-1 to J-3.

On the other hand, if, for example, the school has no credible information about prior sexual violence committed by the alleged perpetrator and the alleged sexual violence was not perpetrated with a weapon or accompanied by threats to repeat the sexual violence against the complainant or others or part of a larger pattern at a given location or by a particular group, the balance of factors would likely compel the school to respect the student's request for confidentiality. In this case the school should still take all reasonable steps to respond to the complaint consistent with the student's confidentiality request and determine whether interim measures are appropriate or necessary. Schools should be mindful that traumatic events such as sexual violence can result in delayed decisionmaking by a student who has experienced sexual violence. Hence, a student who initially requests confidentiality might later request that a full investigation be conducted.

E-3. What are the reporting responsibilities of school employees who provide or support the provision of counseling, advocacy, health, mental health, or sexual assault-related services to students who have experienced sexual violence?

Answer: OCR does not require campus mental-health counselors, pastoral counselors, social workers, psychologists, health center employees, or any other person with a professional license requiring confidentiality, or who is supervised by such a person, to report, without the student's consent, incidents of sexual violence to the school in a way that identifies the student. Although these employees may have responsibilities that would otherwise make them responsible employees for Title IX purposes, OCR recognizes the importance of protecting the counselor-client relationship, which often requires confidentiality to ensure that students will seek the help they need.

Professional counselors and pastoral counselors whose official responsibilities include providing mental-health counseling to members of the school community are not required by Title IX to report *any* information regarding an incident of alleged sexual violence to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school designee.²⁶

²⁶ The exemption from reporting obligations for pastoral and professional counselors under Title IX is consistent with the Clery Act. For additional information on reporting obligations under the Clery Act, see Office of Postsecondary Education, *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting* (2011), available at <http://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/handbook.pdf>. Similar to the Clery Act, for Title IX purposes, a pastoral counselor is a person who is associated with a religious order or denomination, is recognized by that religious

OCR recognizes that some people who provide assistance to students who experience sexual violence are not professional or pastoral counselors. They include all individuals who work or volunteer in on-campus sexual assault centers, victim advocacy offices, women's centers, or health centers ("non-professional counselors or advocates"), including front desk staff and students. OCR wants students to feel free to seek their assistance and therefore interprets Title IX to give schools the latitude not to require these individuals to report incidents of sexual violence in a way that identifies the student without the student's consent.²⁷ These non-professional counselors or advocates are valuable sources of support for students, and OCR strongly encourages schools to designate these individuals as confidential sources.

Pastoral and professional counselors and non-professional counselors or advocates should be instructed to inform students of their right to file a Title IX complaint with the school and a separate complaint with campus or local law enforcement. In addition to informing students about campus resources for counseling, medical, and academic support, these persons should also indicate that they are available to assist students in filing such complaints. They should also explain that Title IX includes protections against retaliation, and that school officials will not only take steps to prevent retaliation but also take strong responsive action if it occurs. This includes retaliatory actions taken by the school and school officials. When a school knows or reasonably should know of possible retaliation by other students or third parties, including threats, intimidation, coercion, or discrimination (including harassment), it must take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred. Title IX requires the school to protect the complainant and ensure his or her safety as necessary.

In order to identify patterns or systemic problems related to sexual violence, a school should collect aggregate data about sexual violence incidents from non-professional counselors or advocates in their on-campus sexual assault centers, women's centers, or

order or denomination as someone who provides confidential counseling, and is functioning within the scope of that recognition as a pastoral counselor. A professional counselor is a person whose official responsibilities include providing mental health counseling to members of the institution's community and who is functioning within the scope of his or her license or certification. This definition applies even to professional counselors who are not employees of the school, but are under contract to provide counseling at the school. This includes individuals who are not yet licensed or certified as a counselor, but are acting in that role under the supervision of an individual who is licensed or certified. An example is a Ph.D. counselor-trainee acting under the supervision of a professional counselor at the school.

²⁷ Postsecondary institutions should be aware that an individual who is counseling students, but who does not meet the Clery Act definition of a pastoral or professional counselor, is not exempt from being a campus security authority if he or she otherwise has significant responsibility for student and campus activities. See fn. 24.

health centers. Such individuals should report only general information about incidents of sexual violence such as the nature, date, time, and general location of the incident and should take care to avoid reporting personally identifiable information about a student. Non-professional counselors and advocates should consult with students regarding what information needs to be withheld to protect their identity.

E-4. Is a school required to investigate information regarding sexual violence incidents shared by survivors during public awareness events, such as “Take Back the Night”?

Answer: No. OCR wants students to feel free to participate in preventive education programs and access resources for survivors. Therefore, public awareness events such as “Take Back the Night” or other forums at which students disclose experiences with sexual violence are not considered notice to the school for the purpose of triggering an individual investigation unless the survivor initiates a complaint. The school should instead respond to these disclosures by reviewing sexual assault policies, creating campus-wide educational programs, and conducting climate surveys to learn more about the prevalence of sexual violence at the school. Although Title IX does not require the school to investigate particular incidents discussed at such events, the school should ensure that survivors are aware of any available resources, including counseling, health, and mental health services. To ensure that the entire school community understands their Title IX rights related to sexual violence, the school should also provide information at these events on Title IX and how to file a Title IX complaint with the school, as well as options for reporting an incident of sexual violence to campus or local law enforcement.

F. Investigations and Hearings

Overview

F-1. What elements should a school’s Title IX investigation include?

Answer: The specific steps in a school’s Title IX investigation will vary depending on the nature of the allegation, the age of the student or students involved, the size and administrative structure of the school, state or local legal requirements (including mandatory reporting requirements for schools working with minors), and what it has learned from past experiences.

For the purposes of this document the term “investigation” refers to the process the school uses to resolve sexual violence complaints. This includes the fact-finding investigation and any hearing and decision-making process the school uses to determine: (1) whether or not the conduct occurred; and, (2) if the conduct occurred, what actions

the school will take to end the sexual violence, eliminate the hostile environment, and prevent its recurrence, which may include imposing sanctions on the perpetrator and providing remedies for the complainant and broader student population.

In all cases, a school's Title IX investigation must be adequate, reliable, impartial, and prompt and include the opportunity for both parties to present witnesses and other evidence. The investigation may include a hearing to determine whether the conduct occurred, but Title IX does not necessarily require a hearing.²⁸ Furthermore, neither Title IX nor the DCL specifies who should conduct the investigation. It could be the Title IX coordinator, provided there are no conflicts of interest, but it does not have to be. All persons involved in conducting a school's Title IX investigations must have training or experience in handling complaints of sexual violence and in the school's grievance procedures. For additional information on training, see question J-3.

When investigating an incident of alleged sexual violence for Title IX purposes, to the extent possible, a school should coordinate with any other ongoing school or criminal investigations of the incident and establish appropriate fact-finding roles for each investigator. A school should also consider whether information can be shared among the investigators so that complainants are not unnecessarily required to give multiple statements about a traumatic event. If the investigation includes forensic evidence, it may be helpful for a school to consult with local or campus law enforcement or a forensic expert to ensure that the evidence is correctly interpreted by school officials. For additional information on working with campus or local law enforcement see question F-3.

If a school uses its student disciplinary procedures to meet its Title IX obligation to resolve complaints of sexual violence promptly and equitably, it should recognize that imposing sanctions against the perpetrator, without additional remedies, likely will not be sufficient to eliminate the hostile environment and prevent recurrence as required by Title IX. If a school typically processes complaints of sexual violence through its disciplinary process and that process, including any investigation and hearing, meets the Title IX requirements discussed above and enables the school to end the sexual violence, eliminate the hostile environment, and prevent its recurrence, then the school may use that process to satisfy its Title IX obligations and does not need to conduct a separate Title IX investigation. As discussed in question C-3, the Title IX coordinator should review the disciplinary process

²⁸ This answer addresses only Title IX's requirements for investigations. It does not address legal rights or requirements under the U.S. Constitution, the Clery Act, or other federal, state, or local laws.

to ensure that it: (1) complies with the prompt and equitable requirements of Title IX; (2) allows for appropriate interim measures to be taken to protect the complainant during the process; and (3) provides for remedies to the complainant and school community where appropriate. For more information about interim measures, see questions G-1 to G-3, and about remedies, see questions H-1 and H-2.

The investigation may include, but is not limited to, conducting interviews of the complainant, the alleged perpetrator, and any witnesses; reviewing law enforcement investigation documents, if applicable; reviewing student and personnel files; and gathering and examining other relevant documents or evidence. While a school has flexibility in how it structures the investigative process, for Title IX purposes, a school must give the complainant any rights that it gives to the alleged perpetrator. A balanced and fair process that provides the same opportunities to both parties will lead to sound and supportable decisions.²⁹ Specifically:

- Throughout the investigation, the parties must have an equal opportunity to present relevant witnesses and other evidence.
- The school must use a preponderance-of-the-evidence (*i.e.*, more likely than not) standard in any Title IX proceedings, including any fact-finding and hearings.
- If the school permits one party to have lawyers or other advisors at any stage of the proceedings, it must do so equally for both parties. Any school-imposed restrictions on the ability of lawyers or other advisors to speak or otherwise participate in the proceedings must also apply equally.
- If the school permits one party to submit third-party expert testimony, it must do so equally for both parties.
- If the school provides for an appeal, it must do so equally for both parties.
- Both parties must be notified, in writing, of the outcome of both the complaint and any appeal (see question H-3).

²⁹ As explained in question C-5, the parties may have certain due process rights under the U.S. Constitution.

Intersection with Criminal Investigations

F-2. What are the key differences between a school's Title IX investigation into allegations of sexual violence and a criminal investigation?

Answer: A criminal investigation is intended to determine whether an individual violated criminal law; and, if at the conclusion of the investigation, the individual is tried and found guilty, the individual may be imprisoned or subject to criminal penalties. The U.S. Constitution affords criminal defendants who face the risk of incarceration numerous protections, including, but not limited to, the right to counsel, the right to a speedy trial, the right to a jury trial, the right against self-incrimination, and the right to confrontation. In addition, government officials responsible for criminal investigations (including police and prosecutors) normally have discretion as to which complaints from the public they will investigate.

By contrast, a Title IX investigation will never result in incarceration of an individual and, therefore, the same procedural protections and legal standards are not required. Further, while a criminal investigation is initiated at the discretion of law enforcement authorities, a Title IX investigation is not discretionary; a school has a duty under Title IX to resolve complaints promptly and equitably and to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students, free from sexual harassment and sexual violence. Because the standards for pursuing and completing criminal investigations are different from those used for Title IX investigations, the termination of a criminal investigation without an arrest or conviction does not affect the school's Title IX obligations.

Of course, criminal investigations conducted by local or campus law enforcement may be useful for fact gathering if the criminal investigation occurs within the recommended timeframe for Title IX investigations; but, even if a criminal investigation is ongoing, a school must still conduct its own Title IX investigation.

A school should notify complainants of the right to file a criminal complaint and should not dissuade a complainant from doing so either during or after the school's internal Title IX investigation. Title IX does not require a school to report alleged incidents of sexual violence to law enforcement, but a school may have reporting obligations under state, local, or other federal laws.

F-3. How should a school proceed when campus or local law enforcement agencies are conducting a criminal investigation while the school is conducting a parallel Title IX investigation?

Answer: A school should not wait for the conclusion of a criminal investigation or criminal proceeding to begin its own Title IX investigation. Although a school may need to delay temporarily the fact-finding portion of a Title IX investigation while the police are gathering evidence, it is important for a school to understand that during this brief delay in the Title IX investigation, it must take interim measures to protect the complainant in the educational setting. The school should also continue to update the parties on the status of the investigation and inform the parties when the school resumes its Title IX investigation. For additional information on interim measures see questions G-1 to G-3.

If a school delays the fact-finding portion of a Title IX investigation, the school must promptly resume and complete its fact-finding for the Title IX investigation once it learns that the police department has completed its evidence gathering stage of the criminal investigation. The school should not delay its investigation until the ultimate outcome of the criminal investigation or the filing of any charges. OCR recommends that a school work with its campus police, local law enforcement, and local prosecutor's office to learn when the evidence gathering stage of the criminal investigation is complete. A school may also want to enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other agreement with these agencies regarding the protocols and procedures for referring allegations of sexual violence, sharing information, and conducting contemporaneous investigations. Any MOU or other agreement must allow the school to meet its Title IX obligation to resolve complaints promptly and equitably, and must comply with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA") and other applicable privacy laws.

The DCL states that in one instance a prosecutor's office informed OCR that the police department's evidence gathering stage typically takes three to ten calendar days, although the delay in the school's investigation may be longer in certain instances. OCR understands that this example may not be representative and that the law enforcement agency's process often takes more than ten days. OCR recognizes that the length of time for evidence gathering by criminal investigators will vary depending on the specific circumstances of each case.

Off-Campus Conduct

F-4. Is a school required to process complaints of alleged sexual violence that occurred off campus?

Answer: Yes. Under Title IX, a school must process all complaints of sexual violence, regardless of where the conduct occurred, to determine whether the conduct occurred in the context of an education program or activity or had continuing effects on campus or in an off-campus education program or activity.

A school must determine whether the alleged off-campus sexual violence occurred in the context of an education program or activity of the school; if so, the school must treat the complaint in the same manner that it treats complaints regarding on-campus conduct. In other words, if a school determines that the alleged misconduct took place in the context of an education program or activity of the school, the fact that the alleged misconduct took place off campus does not relieve the school of its obligation to investigate the complaint as it would investigate a complaint of sexual violence that occurred on campus.

Whether the alleged misconduct occurred in this context may not always be apparent from the complaint, so a school may need to gather additional information in order to make such a determination. Off-campus education programs and activities are clearly covered and include, but are not limited to: activities that take place at houses of fraternities or sororities recognized by the school; school-sponsored field trips, including athletic team travel; and events for school clubs that occur off campus (*e.g.*, a debate team trip to another school or to a weekend competition).

Even if the misconduct did not occur in the context of an education program or activity, a school must consider the effects of the off-campus misconduct when evaluating whether there is a hostile environment on campus or in an off-campus education program or activity because students often experience the continuing effects of off-campus sexual violence while at school or in an off-campus education program or activity. The school cannot address the continuing effects of the off-campus sexual violence at school or in an off-campus education program or activity unless it processes the complaint and gathers appropriate additional information in accordance with its established procedures.

Once a school is on notice of off-campus sexual violence against a student, it must assess whether there are any continuing effects on campus or in an off-campus education program or activity that are creating or contributing to a hostile environment and, if so, address that hostile environment in the same manner in which it would address a hostile environment created by on-campus misconduct. The mere presence on campus or in an

off-campus education program or activity of the alleged perpetrator of off-campus sexual violence can have continuing effects that create a hostile environment. A school should also take steps to protect a student who alleges off-campus sexual violence from further harassment by the alleged perpetrator or his or her friends, and a school may have to take steps to protect other students from possible assault by the alleged perpetrator. In other words, the school should protect the school community in the same way it would had the sexual violence occurred on campus. Even if there are no continuing effects of the off-campus sexual violence experienced by the student on campus or in an off-campus education program or activity, the school still should handle these incidents as it would handle other off-campus incidents of misconduct or violence and consistent with any other applicable laws. For example, if a school, under its code of conduct, exercises jurisdiction over physical altercations between students that occur off campus outside of an education program or activity, it should also exercise jurisdiction over incidents of student-on-student sexual violence that occur off campus outside of an education program or activity.

Hearings³⁰

F-5. Must a school allow or require the parties to be present during an entire hearing?

Answer: If a school uses a hearing process to determine responsibility for acts of sexual violence, OCR does not require that the school allow a complainant to be present for the entire hearing; it is up to each school to make this determination. But if the school allows one party to be present for the entirety of a hearing, it must do so equally for both parties. At the same time, when requested, a school should make arrangements so that the complainant and the alleged perpetrator do not have to be present in the same room at the same time. These two objectives may be achieved by using closed circuit television or other means. Because a school has a Title IX obligation to investigate possible sexual violence, if a hearing is part of the school's Title IX investigation process, the school must not require a complainant to be present at the hearing as a prerequisite to proceed with the hearing.

³⁰ As noted in question F-1, the investigation may include a hearing to determine whether the conduct occurred, but Title IX does not necessarily require a hearing. Although Title IX does not dictate the membership of a hearing board, OCR discourages schools from allowing students to serve on hearing boards in cases involving allegations of sexual violence.

F-6. May every witness at the hearing, including the parties, be cross-examined?

Answer: OCR does not require that a school allow cross-examination of witnesses, including the parties, if they testify at the hearing. But if the school allows one party to cross-examine witnesses, it must do so equally for both parties.

OCR strongly discourages a school from allowing the parties to personally question or cross-examine each other during a hearing on alleged sexual violence. Allowing an alleged perpetrator to question a complainant directly may be traumatic or intimidating, and may perpetuate a hostile environment. A school may choose, instead, to allow the parties to submit questions to a trained third party (*e.g.*, the hearing panel) to ask the questions on their behalf. OCR recommends that the third party screen the questions submitted by the parties and only ask those it deems appropriate and relevant to the case.

F-7. May the complainant's sexual history be introduced at hearings?

Answer: Questioning about the complainant's sexual history with anyone other than the alleged perpetrator should not be permitted. Further, a school should recognize that the mere fact of a current or previous consensual dating or sexual relationship between the two parties does not itself imply consent or preclude a finding of sexual violence. The school should also ensure that hearings are conducted in a manner that does not inflict additional trauma on the complainant.

Timeframes

F-8. What stages of the investigation are included in the 60-day timeframe referenced in the DCL as the length for a typical investigation?

Answer: As noted in the DCL, the 60-calendar day timeframe for investigations is based on OCR's experience in typical cases. The 60-calendar day timeframe refers to the entire investigation process, which includes conducting the fact-finding investigation, holding a hearing or engaging in another decision-making process to determine whether the alleged sexual violence occurred and created a hostile environment, and determining what actions the school will take to eliminate the hostile environment and prevent its recurrence, including imposing sanctions against the perpetrator and providing remedies for the complainant and school community, as appropriate. Although this timeframe does not include appeals, a school should be aware that an unduly long appeals process may impact whether the school's response was prompt and equitable as required by Title IX.

OCR does not require a school to complete investigations within 60 days; rather OCR evaluates on a case-by-case basis whether the resolution of sexual violence complaints is prompt and equitable. Whether OCR considers an investigation to be prompt as required by Title IX will vary depending on the complexity of the investigation and the severity and extent of the alleged conduct. OCR recognizes that the investigation process may take longer if there is a parallel criminal investigation or if it occurs partially during school breaks. A school may need to stop an investigation during school breaks or between school years, although a school should make every effort to try to conduct an investigation during these breaks unless so doing would sacrifice witness availability or otherwise compromise the process.

Because timeframes for investigations vary and a school may need to depart from the timeframes designated in its grievance procedures, both parties should be given periodic status updates throughout the process.

G. Interim Measures

G-1. Is a school required to take any interim measures before the completion of its investigation?

Answer: Title IX requires a school to take steps to ensure equal access to its education programs and activities and protect the complainant as necessary, including taking interim measures before the final outcome of an investigation. The school should take these steps promptly once it has notice of a sexual violence allegation and should provide the complainant with periodic updates on the status of the investigation. The school should notify the complainant of his or her options to avoid contact with the alleged perpetrator and allow the complainant to change academic and extracurricular activities or his or her living, transportation, dining, and working situation as appropriate. The school should also ensure that the complainant is aware of his or her Title IX rights and any available resources, such as victim advocacy, housing assistance, academic support, counseling, disability services, health and mental health services, and legal assistance, and the right to report a crime to campus or local law enforcement. If a school does not offer these services on campus, it should enter into an MOU with a local victim services provider if possible.

Even when a school has determined that it can respect a complainant's request for confidentiality and therefore may not be able to respond fully to an allegation of sexual violence and initiate formal action against an alleged perpetrator, the school must take immediate action to protect the complainant while keeping the identity of the complainant confidential. These actions may include: providing support services to the

complainant; changing living arrangements or course schedules, assignments, or tests; and providing increased monitoring, supervision, or security at locations or activities where the misconduct occurred.

G-2. How should a school determine what interim measures to take?

Answer: The specific interim measures implemented and the process for implementing those measures will vary depending on the facts of each case. A school should consider a number of factors in determining what interim measures to take, including, for example, the specific need expressed by the complainant; the age of the students involved; the severity or pervasiveness of the allegations; any continuing effects on the complainant; whether the complainant and alleged perpetrator share the same residence hall, dining hall, class, transportation, or job location; and whether other judicial measures have been taken to protect the complainant (*e.g.*, civil protection orders).

In general, when taking interim measures, schools should minimize the burden on the complainant. For example, if the complainant and alleged perpetrator share the same class or residence hall, the school should not, as a matter of course, remove the complainant from the class or housing while allowing the alleged perpetrator to remain without carefully considering the facts of the case.

G-3. If a school provides all students with access to counseling on a fee basis, does that suffice for providing counseling as an interim measure?

Answer: No. Interim measures are determined by a school on a case-by-case basis. If a school determines that it needs to offer counseling to the complainant as part of its Title IX obligation to take steps to protect the complainant while the investigation is ongoing, it must not require the complainant to pay for this service.

H. Remedies and Notice of Outcome³¹

H-1. What remedies should a school consider in a case of student-on-student sexual violence?

Answer: Effective remedial action may include disciplinary action against the perpetrator, providing counseling for the perpetrator, remedies for the complainant and others, as well as changes to the school's overall services or policies. All services needed to remedy the hostile environment should be offered to the complainant. These remedies are separate from, and in addition to, any interim measure that may have been provided prior to the conclusion of the school's investigation. In any instance in which the complainant did not take advantage of a specific service (*e.g.*, counseling) when offered as an interim measure, the complainant should still be offered, and is still entitled to, appropriate final remedies that may include services the complainant declined as an interim measure. A refusal at the interim stage does not mean the refused service or set of services should not be offered as a remedy.

If a school uses its student disciplinary procedures to meet its Title IX obligation to resolve complaints of sexual violence promptly and equitably, it should recognize that imposing sanctions against the perpetrator, without more, likely will not be sufficient to satisfy its Title IX obligation to eliminate the hostile environment, prevent its recurrence, and, as appropriate, remedy its effects. Additional remedies for the complainant and the school community may be necessary. If the school's student disciplinary procedure does not include a process for determining and implementing these remedies for the complainant and school community, the school will need to use another process for this purpose.

Depending on the specific nature of the problem, remedies for the complainant may include, but are not limited to:

- Providing an effective escort to ensure that the complainant can move safely between classes and activities;

³¹ As explained in question A-5, if a school delays responding to allegations of sexual violence or responds inappropriately, the school's own inaction may subject the student to be subjected to a hostile environment. In this case, in addition to the remedies discussed in this section, the school will also be required to remedy the effects of the sexual violence that could reasonably have been prevented had the school responded promptly and appropriately.

- Ensuring the complainant and perpetrator do not share classes or extracurricular activities;
- Moving the perpetrator or complainant (if the complainant requests to be moved) to a different residence hall or, in the case of an elementary or secondary school student, to another school within the district;
- Providing comprehensive, holistic victim services including medical, counseling and academic support services, such as tutoring;
- Arranging for the complainant to have extra time to complete or re-take a class or withdraw from a class without an academic or financial penalty; and
- Reviewing any disciplinary actions taken against the complainant to see if there is a causal connection between the sexual violence and the misconduct that may have resulted in the complainant being disciplined.³²

Remedies for the broader student population may include, but are not limited to:

- Designating an individual from the school's counseling center who is specifically trained in providing trauma-informed comprehensive services to victims of sexual violence to be on call to assist students whenever needed;
- Training or retraining school employees on the school's responsibilities to address allegations of sexual violence and how to conduct Title IX investigations;
- Developing materials on sexual violence, which should be distributed to all students;
- Conducting bystander intervention and sexual violence prevention programs with students;
- Issuing policy statements or taking other steps that clearly communicate that the school does not tolerate sexual violence and will respond to any incidents and to any student who reports such incidents;

³² For example, if the complainant was disciplined for skipping a class in which the perpetrator was enrolled, the school should review the incident to determine if the complainant skipped class to avoid contact with the perpetrator.

- Conducting, in conjunction with student leaders, a campus climate check to assess the effectiveness of efforts to ensure that the school is free from sexual violence, and using that information to inform future proactive steps that the school will take;
- Targeted training for a group of students if, for example, the sexual violence created a hostile environment in a residence hall, fraternity or sorority, or on an athletic team; and
- Developing a protocol for working with local law enforcement as discussed in question F-3.

When a school is unable to conduct a full investigation into a particular incident (*i.e.*, when it received a general report of sexual violence without any personally identifying information), it should consider remedies for the broader student population in response.

H-2. If, after an investigation, a school finds the alleged perpetrator responsible and determines that, as part of the remedies for the complainant, it must separate the complainant and perpetrator, how should the school accomplish this if both students share the same major and there are limited course options?

Answer: If there are limited sections of required courses offered at a school and both the complainant and perpetrator are required to take those classes, the school may need to make alternate arrangements in a manner that minimizes the burden on the complainant. For example, the school may allow the complainant to take the regular sections of the courses while arranging for the perpetrator to take the same courses online or through independent study.

H-3. What information must be provided to the complainant in the notice of the outcome?

Answer: Title IX requires both parties to be notified, in writing, about the outcome of both the complaint and any appeal. OCR recommends that a school provide written notice of the outcome to the complainant and the alleged perpetrator concurrently.

For Title IX purposes, a school must inform the complainant as to whether or not it found that the alleged conduct occurred, any individual remedies offered or provided to the complainant or any sanctions imposed on the perpetrator that directly relate to the complainant, and other steps the school has taken to eliminate the hostile environment, if the school finds one to exist, and prevent recurrence. The perpetrator should not be notified of the individual remedies offered or provided to the complainant.

Sanctions that directly relate to the complainant (but that may also relate to eliminating the hostile environment and preventing recurrence) include, but are not limited to, requiring that the perpetrator stay away from the complainant until both parties graduate, prohibiting the perpetrator from attending school for a period of time, or transferring the perpetrator to another residence hall, other classes, or another school. Additional steps the school has taken to eliminate the hostile environment may include counseling and academic support services for the complainant and other affected students. Additional steps the school has taken to prevent recurrence may include sexual violence training for faculty and staff, revisions to the school's policies on sexual violence, and campus climate surveys. Further discussion of appropriate remedies is included in question H-1.

In addition to the Title IX requirements described above, the Clery Act requires, and FERPA permits, postsecondary institutions to inform the complainant of the institution's final determination and any disciplinary sanctions imposed on the perpetrator in sexual violence cases (as opposed to all harassment and misconduct covered by Title IX) not just those sanctions that directly relate to the complainant.³³

I. Appeals

I-1. What are the requirements for an appeals process?

Answer: While Title IX does not require that a school provide an appeals process, OCR does recommend that the school do so where procedural error or previously unavailable relevant evidence could significantly impact the outcome of a case or where a sanction is substantially disproportionate to the findings. If a school chooses to provide for an appeal of the findings or remedy or both, it must do so equally for both parties. The specific design of the appeals process is up to the school, as long as the entire grievance process, including any appeals, provides prompt and equitable resolutions of sexual violence complaints, and the school takes steps to protect the complainant in the educational setting during the process. Any individual or body handling appeals should be trained in the dynamics of and trauma associated with sexual violence.

If a school chooses to offer an appeals process it has flexibility to determine the type of review it will apply to appeals, but the type of review the school applies must be the same regardless of which party files the appeal.

³³ 20 U.S.C. § 1092(f) and 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(6)(A).

I-2. Must an appeal be available to a complainant who receives a favorable finding but does not believe a sanction that directly relates to him or her was sufficient?

Answer: The appeals process must be equal for both parties. For example, if a school allows a perpetrator to appeal a suspension on the grounds that it is too severe, the school must also allow a complainant to appeal a suspension on the grounds that it was not severe enough. See question H-3 for more information on what must be provided to the complainant in the notice of the outcome.

J. Title IX Training, Education and Prevention³⁴

J-1. What type of training on Title IX and sexual violence should a school provide to its employees?

Answer: A school needs to ensure that responsible employees with the authority to address sexual violence know how to respond appropriately to reports of sexual violence, that other responsible employees know that they are obligated to report sexual violence to appropriate school officials, and that all other employees understand how to respond to reports of sexual violence. A school should ensure that professional counselors, pastoral counselors, and non-professional counselors or advocates also understand the extent to which they may keep a report confidential. A school should provide training to all employees likely to witness or receive reports of sexual violence, including teachers, professors, school law enforcement unit employees, school administrators, school counselors, general counsels, athletic coaches, health personnel, and resident advisors. Training for employees should include practical information about how to prevent and identify sexual violence, including same-sex sexual violence; the behaviors that may lead to and result in sexual violence; the attitudes of bystanders that may allow conduct to continue; the potential for revictimization by responders and its effect on students; appropriate methods for responding to a student who may have experienced sexual violence, including the use of nonjudgmental language; the impact of trauma on victims; and, as applicable, the person(s) to whom such misconduct must be reported. The training should also explain responsible employees' reporting obligation, including what should be included in a report and any consequences for the failure to report and the procedure for responding to students' requests for confidentiality, as well as provide the contact

³⁴ As explained earlier, although this document focuses on sexual violence, the legal principles apply to other forms of sexual harassment. Schools should ensure that any training they provide on Title IX and sexual violence also covers other forms of sexual harassment. Postsecondary institutions should also be aware of training requirements imposed under the Clery Act.

information for the school's Title IX coordinator. A school also should train responsible employees to inform students of: the reporting obligations of responsible employees; students' option to request confidentiality and available confidential advocacy, counseling, or other support services; and their right to file a Title IX complaint with the school and to report a crime to campus or local law enforcement. For additional information on the reporting obligations of responsible employees and others see questions D-1 to D-5.

There is no minimum number of hours required for Title IX and sexual violence training at every school, but this training should be provided on a regular basis. Each school should determine based on its particular circumstances how such training should be conducted, who has the relevant expertise required to conduct the training, and who should receive the training to ensure that the training adequately prepares employees, particularly responsible employees, to fulfill their duties under Title IX. A school should also have methods for verifying that the training was effective.

J-2. How should a school train responsible employees to report incidents of possible sexual harassment or sexual violence?

Answer: Title IX requires a school to take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end sexual harassment and sexual violence that creates a hostile environment (*i.e.*, conduct that is sufficiently serious as to limit or deny a student's ability to participate in or benefit from the school's educational program and activity). But a school should not wait to take steps to protect its students until students have already been deprived of educational opportunities.

OCR therefore recommends that a school train responsible employees to report to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate school official any incidents of sexual harassment or sexual violence that may violate the school's code of conduct or may create or contribute to the creation of a hostile environment. The school can then take steps to investigate and prevent any harassment or violence from recurring or escalating, as appropriate. For example, the school may separate the complainant and alleged perpetrator or conduct sexual harassment and sexual violence training for the school's students and employees. Responsible employees should understand that they do not need to determine whether the alleged sexual harassment or sexual violence actually occurred or that a hostile environment has been created before reporting an incident to the school's Title IX coordinator. Because the Title IX coordinator should have in-depth knowledge of Title IX and Title IX complaints at the school, he or she is likely to be in a better position than are other employees to evaluate whether an incident of sexual

harassment or sexual violence creates a hostile environment and how the school should respond. There may also be situations in which individual incidents of sexual harassment do not, by themselves, create a hostile environment; however when considered together, those incidents may create a hostile environment.

J-3. What type of training should a school provide to employees who are involved in implementing the school's grievance procedures?

Answer: All persons involved in implementing a school's grievance procedures (*e.g.*, Title IX coordinators, others who receive complaints, investigators, and adjudicators) must have training or experience in handling sexual violence complaints, and in the operation of the school's grievance procedures. The training should include information on working with and interviewing persons subjected to sexual violence; information on particular types of conduct that would constitute sexual violence, including same-sex sexual violence; the proper standard of review for sexual violence complaints (preponderance of the evidence); information on consent and the role drugs or alcohol can play in the ability to consent; the importance of accountability for individuals found to have committed sexual violence; the need for remedial actions for the perpetrator, complainant, and school community; how to determine credibility; how to evaluate evidence and weigh it in an impartial manner; how to conduct investigations; confidentiality; the effects of trauma, including neurobiological change; and cultural awareness training regarding how sexual violence may impact students differently depending on their cultural backgrounds.

In rare circumstances, employees involved in implementing a school's grievance procedures may be able to demonstrate that prior training and experience has provided them with competency in the areas covered in the school's training. For example, the combination of effective prior training and experience investigating complaints of sexual violence, together with training on the school's current grievance procedures may be sufficient preparation for an employee to resolve Title IX complaints consistent with the school's grievance procedures. In-depth knowledge regarding Title IX and sexual violence is particularly helpful. Because laws and school policies and procedures may change, the only way to ensure that all employees involved in implementing the school's grievance procedures have the requisite training or experience is for the school to provide regular training to all individuals involved in implementing the school's Title IX grievance procedures even if such individuals also have prior relevant experience.

J-4. What type of training on sexual violence should a school provide to its students?

Answer: To ensure that students understand their rights under Title IX, a school should provide age-appropriate training to its students regarding Title IX and sexual violence. At the elementary and secondary school level, schools should consider whether sexual violence training should also be offered to parents, particularly training on the school's process for handling complaints of sexual violence. Training may be provided separately or as part of the school's broader training on sex discrimination and sexual harassment. However, sexual violence is a unique topic that should not be assumed to be covered adequately in other educational programming or training provided to students. The school may want to include this training in its orientation programs for new students; training for student athletes and members of student organizations; and back-to-school nights. A school should consider educational methods that are most likely to help students retain information when designing its training, including repeating the training at regular intervals. OCR recommends that, at a minimum, the following topics (as appropriate) be covered in this training:

- Title IX and what constitutes sexual violence, including same-sex sexual violence, under the school's policies;
- the school's definition of consent applicable to sexual conduct, including examples;
- how the school analyzes whether conduct was unwelcome under Title IX;
- how the school analyzes whether unwelcome sexual conduct creates a hostile environment;
- reporting options, including formal reporting and confidential disclosure options and any timeframes set by the school for reporting;
- the school's grievance procedures used to process sexual violence complaints;
- disciplinary code provisions relating to sexual violence and the consequences of violating those provisions;
- effects of trauma, including neurobiological changes;
- the role alcohol and drugs often play in sexual violence incidents, including the deliberate use of alcohol and/or other drugs to perpetrate sexual violence;
- strategies and skills for bystanders to intervene to prevent possible sexual violence;
- how to report sexual violence to campus or local law enforcement and the ability to pursue law enforcement proceedings simultaneously with a Title IX grievance; and
- Title IX's protections against retaliation.

The training should also encourage students to report incidents of sexual violence. The training should explain that students (and their parents or friends) do not need to determine whether incidents of sexual violence or other sexual harassment created a

hostile environment before reporting the incident. A school also should be aware that persons may be deterred from reporting incidents if, for example, violations of school or campus rules regarding alcohol or drugs were involved. As a result, a school should review its disciplinary policy to ensure it does not have a chilling effect on students' reporting of sexual violence offenses or participating as witnesses. OCR recommends that a school inform students that the school's primary concern is student safety, and that use of alcohol or drugs never makes the survivor at fault for sexual violence.

It is also important for a school to educate students about the persons on campus to whom they can confidentially report incidents of sexual violence. A school's sexual violence education and prevention program should clearly identify the offices or individuals with whom students can speak confidentially and the offices or individuals who can provide resources such as victim advocacy, housing assistance, academic support, counseling, disability services, health and mental health services, and legal assistance. It should also identify the school's responsible employees and explain that if students report incidents to responsible employees (except as noted in question E-3) these employees are required to report the incident to the Title IX coordinator or other appropriate official. This reporting includes the names of the alleged perpetrator and student involved in the sexual violence, as well as relevant facts including the date, time, and location, although efforts should be made to comply with requests for confidentiality from the complainant. For more detailed information regarding reporting and responsible employees and confidentiality, see questions D-1 to D-5 and E-1 to E-4.

K. Retaliation

K-1. Does Title IX protect against retaliation?

Answer: Yes. The Federal civil rights laws, including Title IX, make it unlawful to retaliate against an individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by these laws. This means that if an individual brings concerns about possible civil rights problems to a school's attention, including publicly opposing sexual violence or filing a sexual violence complaint with the school or any State or Federal agency, it is unlawful for the school to retaliate against that individual for doing so. It is also unlawful to retaliate against an individual because he or she testified, or participated in any manner, in an OCR or school's investigation or proceeding. Therefore, if a student, parent, teacher, coach, or other individual complains formally or informally about sexual violence or participates in an OCR or school's investigation or proceedings related to sexual violence, the school is prohibited from retaliating (including intimidating, threatening, coercing, or in any way

discriminating against the individual) because of the individual's complaint or participation.

A school should take steps to prevent retaliation against a student who filed a complaint either on his or her own behalf or on behalf of another student, or against those who provided information as witnesses.

Schools should be aware that complaints of sexual violence may be followed by retaliation against the complainant or witnesses by the alleged perpetrator or his or her associates. When a school knows or reasonably should know of possible retaliation by other students or third parties, it must take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred. Title IX requires the school to protect the complainant and witnesses and ensure their safety as necessary. At a minimum, this includes making sure that the complainant and his or her parents, if the complainant is in elementary or secondary school, and witnesses know how to report retaliation by school officials, other students, or third parties by making follow-up inquiries to see if there have been any new incidents or acts of retaliation, and by responding promptly and appropriately to address continuing or new problems. A school should also tell complainants and witnesses that Title IX prohibits retaliation, and that school officials will not only take steps to prevent retaliation, but will also take strong responsive action if it occurs.

L. First Amendment

L-1. **How should a school handle its obligation to respond to sexual harassment and sexual violence while still respecting free-speech rights guaranteed by the Constitution?**

Answer: The DCL on sexual violence did not expressly address First Amendment issues because it focuses on unlawful physical sexual violence, which is not speech or expression protected by the First Amendment.

However, OCR's previous guidance on the First Amendment, including the 2001 Guidance, OCR's July 28, 2003, Dear Colleague Letter on the First Amendment,³⁵ and OCR's October 26, 2010, Dear Colleague Letter on harassment and bullying,³⁶ remain fully in effect. OCR has made it clear that the laws and regulations it enforces protect students from prohibited discrimination and do not restrict the exercise of any expressive activities or speech protected under the U.S. Constitution. Therefore, when a school works to prevent

³⁵ Available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/firstamend.html>.

³⁶ Available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201010.html>.

and redress discrimination, it must respect the free-speech rights of students, faculty, and other speakers.

Title IX protects students from sex discrimination; it does not regulate the content of speech. OCR recognizes that the offensiveness of a particular expression as perceived by some students, standing alone, is not a legally sufficient basis to establish a hostile environment under Title IX. Title IX also does not require, prohibit, or abridge the use of particular textbooks or curricular materials.³⁷

M. The Clery Act and the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013

M-1. How does the Clery Act affect the Title IX obligations of institutions of higher education that participate in the federal student financial aid programs?

Answer: Institutions of higher education that participate in the federal student financial aid programs are subject to the requirements of the Clery Act as well as Title IX. The Clery Act requires institutions of higher education to provide current and prospective students and employees, the public, and the Department with crime statistics and information about campus crime prevention programs and policies. The Clery Act requirements apply to many crimes other than those addressed by Title IX. For those areas in which the Clery Act and Title IX both apply, the institution must comply with both laws. For additional information about the Clery Act and its regulations, please see <http://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/campus.html>.

M-2. Were a school's obligations under Title IX and the DCL altered in any way by the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Pub. L. No. 113-4, including Section 304 of that Act, which amends the Clery Act?

Answer: No. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act has no effect on a school's obligations under Title IX or the DCL. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act amended the Violence Against Women Act and the Clery Act, which are separate statutes. Nothing in Section 304 or any other part of the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act relieves a school of its obligation to comply with the requirements of Title IX, including those set forth in these Questions and Answers, the 2011 DCL, and the *2001 Guidance*. For additional information about the Department's negotiated rulemaking related to the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act please see <http://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/reg/hearulemaking/2012/vawa.html>.

³⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.42.

N. Further Federal Guidance

N-1. Whom should I contact if I have additional questions about the DCL or OCR's other Title IX guidance?

Answer: Anyone who has questions regarding this guidance, or Title IX should contact the OCR regional office that serves his or her state. Contact information for OCR regional offices can be found on OCR's webpage at

<https://wdcrobcop01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OCR/contactus.cfm>. If you wish to file a complaint of discrimination with OCR, you may use the online complaint form available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/complaintintro.html> or send a letter to the OCR enforcement office responsible for the state in which the school is located. You may also email general questions to OCR at ocr@ed.gov.

N-2. Are there other resources available to assist a school in complying with Title IX and preventing and responding to sexual violence?

Answer: Yes. OCR's policy guidance on Title IX is available on OCR's webpage at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/publications.html#TitleIX>. In addition to the April 4, 2011, Dear Colleague Letter, OCR has issued the following resources that further discuss a school's obligation to respond to allegations of sexual harassment and sexual violence:

- Dear Colleague Letter: Harassment and Bullying (October 26, 2010), <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201010.pdf>
- *Sexual Harassment: It's Not Academic* (Revised September 2008), <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/ocrshpam.pdf>
- *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment of Students by Employees, Other Students, or Third Parties* (January 19, 2001), <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/shguide.pdf>

In addition to guidance from OCR, a school may also find resources from the Departments of Education and Justice helpful in preventing and responding to sexual violence:

- Department of Education’s Letter to Chief State School Officers on Teen Dating Violence Awareness and Prevention (February 28, 2013)
<https://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/secletter/130228.html>
- Department of Education’s National Center on Safe Supportive Learning Environments
<http://safesupportivelearning.ed.gov/>
- Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women
<http://www.ovw.usdoj.gov/>



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

**Questions and Answers on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary
Classes and Extracurricular Activities***

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) of the U.S. Department of Education (Department) has received a number of questions about the legality, under the Department's regulations implementing Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), of single-sex elementary and secondary classes and extracurricular activities offered by recipients of funding from the Department.¹

Although Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities, regulations issued by the Department authorize schools to offer single-sex classes or extracurricular activities under certain circumstances.² In order to ensure that schools subject to Title IX comply with the Department's requirements if they choose to offer single-sex classes and extracurricular activities, OCR provides the following responses to questions that schools should consider when assessing their compliance with Title IX. Although this document focuses on single-sex classes, some of the legal principles will also apply to single-sex schools. In order to gain a complete understanding of these legal requirements and recommendations, this document should be read in full.

Authorized by

/s/

Catherine E. Lhamon
Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights

December 1, 2014

* The Department has determined that this document is a "significant guidance document" under the Office of Management and Budget's Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices, 72 Fed. Reg. 3432 (Jan. 25, 2007), available at www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/fedreg/2007/012507_good_guidance.pdf. OCR issues this and other policy guidance to provide recipients with information to assist them in meeting their obligations, and to provide members of the public with information about their rights, under the civil rights laws and implementing regulations that it enforces. OCR's legal authority is based on those laws and regulations. This guidance does not add requirements to applicable law, but provides information and examples to inform recipients about how OCR evaluates whether covered entities are complying with their legal obligations. If you are interested in commenting on this guidance, please send an e-mail with your comments to OCR@ed.gov, or write to the following address: Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20202.

Notice of Language Assistance

Notice of Language Assistance: If you have difficulty understanding English, you may, free of charge, request language assistance services for this Department information by calling 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), or email us at: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Aviso a personas con dominio limitado del idioma inglés: Si usted tiene alguna dificultad en entender el idioma inglés, puede, sin costo alguno, solicitar asistencia lingüística con respecto a esta información llamando al 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o envíe un mensaje de correo electrónico a: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

給英語能力有限人士的通告: 如果您不懂英語，或者使用英語有困难，您可以要求獲得向大眾提供的語言協助服務，幫助您理解教育部資訊。這些語言協助服務均可免費提供。如果您需要有關口譯或筆譯服務的詳細資訊，請致電 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (聽語障人士專線：1-800-877-8339)，或電郵：Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov。

Thông báo dành cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế: Nếu quý vị gặp khó khăn trong việc hiểu Anh ngữ thì quý vị có thể yêu cầu các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ cho các tin tức của Bộ dành cho công chúng. Các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ này đều miễn phí. Nếu quý vị muốn biết thêm chi tiết về các dịch vụ phiên dịch hay thông dịch, xin vui lòng gọi số 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), hoặc email: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

영어 미숙자를 위한 공고: 영어를 이해하는 데 어려움이 있으신 경우, 교육부 정보 센터에 일반인 대상 언어 지원 서비스를 요청하실 수 있습니다. 이러한 언어 지원 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다. 통역이나 번역 서비스에 대해 자세한 정보가 필요하신 경우, 전화번호 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) 또는 청각 장애인용 전화번호 1-800-877-8339 또는 이메일 주소 Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov 으로 연락하시기 바랍니다.

Paunawa sa mga Taong Limitado ang Kaalaman sa English: Kung nahihirapan kayong makaintindi ng English, maaari kayong humingi ng tulong ukol dito sa inpormasyon ng Kagawaran mula sa nagbibigay ng serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika. Ang serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika ay libre. Kung kailangan ninyo ng dagdag na impormasyon tungkol sa mga serbisyo kaugnay ng pagpapaliwanag o pagsasalin, mangyari lamang tumawag sa 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o mag-email sa: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Уведомление для лиц с ограниченным знанием английского языка: Если вы испытываете трудности в понимании английского языка, вы можете попросить, чтобы вам предоставили перевод информации, которую Министерство Образования доводит до всеобщего сведения. Этот перевод предоставляется бесплатно. Если вы хотите получить более подробную информацию об услугах устного и письменного перевода, звоните по телефону 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (служба для слабослышащих: 1-800-877-8339), или отправьте сообщение по адресу: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice of Language Assistance ii

Overview of Title IX’s Application to Single-Sex Classes and Extracurricular Activities..... 1

1. What types of schools are covered by the Department’s Title IX regulations on single-sex classes? 1

2. Are there other legal considerations beyond the Title IX regulations discussed in this guidance document that apply to single-sex classes?..... 1

3. Does this document address single-sex schools?..... 2

4. May schools offer single-sex classes and extracurricular activities under the Department’s Title IX regulations?..... 3

5. What kinds of classes and activities does this document address? 3

6. Is a class that is open to all students but in which only members of one sex enroll covered by the Title IX regulations described in this document?..... 4

7. What criteria must be met to offer single-sex classes under the Department’s Title IX regulations? 4

Justification for Offering a Single-Sex Class 5

8. Does a recipient need a justification for each single-sex class or activity it offers? 5

9. When must a recipient establish its justification for a single-sex class?..... 5

10. In what ways can a school identify an important objective for offering a single-sex class?..... 5

11. What kind of evidence may a recipient use to show that the single-sex nature of a class is substantially related to achieving an important objective?..... 8

12. May a recipient demonstrate a substantial relationship using a claim that a certain strategy, other than single-sex, is more effective for most members of one sex?..... 11

Evenhanded Offerings 12

13. What is the evenhandedness requirement? 12

14. How does the evenhandedness analysis apply if a recipient is asserting the diversity objective? 12

15. How does the evenhandedness analysis apply if a recipient is asserting the needs objective? 14

Voluntariness 15

16. Who decides whether a student enrolls in a single-sex class? 15

17. May a recipient assign students to a single-sex class as long as it permits students to opt out of the class? 15

18. May a recipient make it easier to enroll in a single-sex class than it is to enroll in a coeducational class? 15

19. How does the breadth of class offerings affect voluntariness? 16

20. What additional steps should a recipient take to ensure that participation in a single-sex class is completely voluntary? 16

Substantially Equal Coeducational Option..... 17

21. Must a recipient offer a substantially equal coeducational option for every single-sex class offered?..... 17

22. What factors will OCR consider in determining whether a coeducational class is substantially equal to the single-sex class? 18

Periodic Evaluations 20

23. How often must a recipient conduct an evaluation of its single-sex programs? 20

24. What is the purpose of these evaluations? 20

25. Must the periodic evaluation address the way a single-sex class is taught? 21

26. How should the evaluations be made available to the public?..... 23

27. How will OCR determine whether a periodic evaluation demonstrates that a single-sex class is still substantially related to the recipient’s important objective? 23

28. What is the role of the recipient’s Title IX coordinator in conducting these evaluations?..... 24

Employment..... 25

29. May a recipient assign teachers to single-sex classes based on the sex of the teacher? 25

Other Federal Protections for Students in Single-Sex Classes..... 25

30. May a recipient exclude students with disabilities or English language learners from a single-sex class so long as it permits them to participate in the substantially equal coeducational class? 25

31. How do the Title IX requirements on single-sex classes apply to transgender students? 25

Additional Topics..... 26

32. Which set of regulations governs a school within a school—the regulations governing single-sex schools or the regulations governing single-sex classes?..... 26

33. How can I contact OCR to get additional information or to file a complaint? 26

Overview of Title IX's Application to Single-Sex Classes and Extracurricular Activities*

1. What types of schools are covered by the Department's Title IX regulations on single-sex classes?

Answer: Coeducational elementary and secondary schools and school districts that receive Federal financial assistance from the Department must comply with the Department's Title IX regulations in 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b) on single-sex classes if they intend to offer such classes. (OCR often refers to these schools and school districts as "recipients.") In practice, the regulations regarding single-sex classes apply to every public school (including traditional, charter, and magnet schools) because every public school is part of a local education agency that receives financial assistance from the Department. The regulations also apply to the few private coeducational schools that receive Federal financial assistance from the Department³ and wish to offer single-sex classes.[†]

2. Are there other legal considerations beyond the Title IX regulations discussed in this guidance document that apply to single-sex classes?

Answer: Yes. While this document only addresses the requirements of the Department's Title IX regulations, public school districts and schools that are currently offering or are interested in offering single-sex classes must comply with the Constitution of the United States and other applicable Federal laws. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex by public schools.⁴ In addition, Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title IV) prohibits public school boards from denying students the equal protection of the laws based on sex,⁵ and the Equal Educational Opportunities Act (EEOA) prohibits some forms of student assignment to schools if the assignment results in sex segregation.⁶

* The Department's regulations clarified in this document apply to all single-sex classes and extracurricular activities covered by 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b). For simplicity, OCR generally uses the term "classes" or "classes and activities" to refer to "classes and extracurricular activities."

† A private school that is controlled by a religious organization is exempt from Title IX even when it receives Federal financial assistance to the extent that the law's requirements conflict with the organization's religious tenets. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(3); 34 C.F.R. § 106.12(a). For application of this provision to a specific institution, please contact the appropriate OCR regional office. (See the response to Question 33 to determine which regional office serves your location.)

All of these legal requirements are enforced in different ways. OCR has authority to investigate a potential Title IX violation in response to a complaint or proactively through a compliance review and may refer a matter to the Department of Justice (DOJ) if voluntary compliance cannot be achieved.⁷ DOJ also has independent authority to enforce the Equal Protection Clause, Title IV, and the EEOA. Additionally, an individual may bring a private lawsuit against a school district or school for alleged violations of Title IX, the Equal Protection Clause, or the EEOA, and DOJ may seek to intervene in such a suit.

Therefore, when public school districts and schools offer single-sex classes, they must ensure that they comply with the Constitution and all applicable Federal laws, not just Title IX. State and local rules cannot limit or override the requirements of Federal laws, including Title IX and its regulations, but States and localities may have constitutions, laws, or regulations that impose additional limitations regarding the offering of single-sex classes.⁸

OCR recommends that a school district or school consult with legal counsel prior to offering single-sex classes.

3. Does this document address single-sex schools?

Answer: This document focuses on the Department's Title IX regulations pertaining to single-sex classes in public elementary and secondary schools. There are separate Title IX regulations in 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(c) that govern public, nonvocational single-sex schools. Generally, a school district may offer a single-sex nonvocational elementary or secondary school under Title IX only if it offers a substantially equal single-sex or coeducational school to students of the excluded sex.⁹ However, single-sex nonvocational private schools are not governed by the Department's Title IX regulation requiring a substantially equal single-sex or coeducational school. By contrast, vocational schools that receive Federal financial assistance may never be limited to one sex.¹⁰ There are also Department Title IX regulations that apply to admissions to single-sex nonvocational public and private colleges and universities.¹¹

As noted in the response to Question 2, public single-sex schools are subject to the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and other Federal statutes as well as Title IX. The Department requires grant applicants that seek funds or other forms of Federal financial assistance for the establishment or operation of a public single-sex school to demonstrate the school's compliance with Title IX, the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and all other applicable laws and regulations. Failure to demonstrate compliance with these requirements may lead to a rejection of the grant application or disqualification from receipt of continuation funds or other financial assistance.

4. May schools offer single-sex classes and extracurricular activities under the Department's Title IX regulations?

Answer: Yes. The Department's Title IX regulations permit offering single-sex classes under certain circumstances. The general rule under Title IX is that a recipient may not exclude, separate, deny benefits to, or otherwise treat differently any person on the basis of sex in its education programs or activities—including classes and extracurricular activities—unless expressly authorized to do so under Title IX or the Department's implementing regulations.¹² The Department's Title IX regulations identify the following categories for which a recipient may intentionally separate students by sex:¹³

- Contact sports in physical education classes;¹⁴
- Classes or portions of classes in elementary and secondary schools that deal primarily with human sexuality;¹⁵ and
- Nonvocational classes and extracurricular activities within a coeducational, nonvocational elementary or secondary school if certain criteria are met.¹⁶

5. What kinds of classes and activities does this document address?

Answer: This document focuses on the last exception noted in the response to Question 4—nonvocational classes and extracurricular activities in a coeducational, nonvocational elementary or secondary school receiving Federal financial assistance. These include any single-sex curricular activity (such as a class or a field trip) and any single-sex extracurricular activity (such as a before-school or after-school activity, lunch, or recess). The requirements regarding this exception apply to single-sex classes and activities whether they are provided directly by a school district or school or through another entity.¹⁷

Vocational classes are not discussed further in this document because they may never be offered on a single-sex basis.¹⁸ For purposes of this document, vocational classes are those classes that have as their primary purpose the preparation of students to pursue a technical, skilled, or semi-skilled occupation or trade; or to pursue study in a technical field, consistent with the definition of "institution of vocational education" in 34 C.F.R. § 106.2(o).¹⁹

OCR does not address interscholastic, club, or intramural athletics in this document because extracurricular athletics are governed by separate Title IX regulations.²⁰

6. Is a class that is open to all students but in which only members of one sex enroll covered by the Title IX regulations described in this document?

Answer: No. The regulations described in this document apply to a class that excludes students of one sex from enrolling or otherwise participating in that class.

By contrast, a class is not subject to the regulations described in this document if it is open to members of both sexes, even if students of only one sex, or a substantially disproportionate number of students of one sex, enroll. If such disproportion exists in a coeducational class, however, it may be an indication of inappropriate steering or other discrimination in counseling or guidance. Title IX requires that, if such disproportion exists, the school ensure that the disproportionate enrollment is not the result of discrimination on the basis of sex, including in counseling or guidance of students or applicants for admission.²¹

7. What criteria must be met to offer single-sex classes under the Department's Title IX regulations?

Answer: The Department's Title IX regulations permit a nonvocational elementary or secondary school to offer a nonvocational single-sex class if it has a two-part justification for doing so that demonstrates that:

- each single-sex class is based on the recipient's "important objective" either to
 - improve its students' educational achievement through its overall established policies to provide diverse educational opportunities (the diversity objective), or
 - to meet the particular, identified educational needs of its students (the needs objective); and
- the single-sex nature of the class is "substantially related" to achieving that important objective.²²

In addition to establishing a justification for offering a single-sex class, in order to comply with the Department's Title IX regulations, the recipient must:

- implement its objective in an evenhanded manner;
- ensure that student enrollment in the single-sex class is completely voluntary;
- provide a substantially equal coeducational class in the same subject; and

- conduct periodic evaluations to determine whether the class complies with Title IX, and if not, modify or discontinue the class to ensure compliance with Title IX.

Each of these elements is discussed below.

Justification for Offering a Single-Sex Class

8. Does a recipient need a justification for each single-sex class or activity it offers?

Answer: Yes. A specific, individual justification (demonstrating the recipient's objective and the substantial relationship between the objective and the single-sex nature of the class or activity) is necessary for each single-sex class or activity. A recipient may not offer single-sex classes in multiple grades or subjects without separately justifying each class. At the elementary school level, where a class typically covers many subjects, the recipient must separately justify the use of single-sex classes for each subject. This requirement applies to each single-sex curricular activity and each single-sex extracurricular activity offered by the school.

9. When must a recipient establish its justification for a single-sex class?

Answer: A recipient must establish its justification prior to offering the single-sex class.²³

Although OCR does not pre-approve class offerings or offer legal advisory opinions, OCR will request documentation of the justification during a complaint investigation or compliance review. OCR will review the justification to ensure that it was the actual reason that motivated the offering of that single-sex class, rather than an after-the-fact explanation prepared in response to the complaint or investigation.²⁴ A recipient is not required to prepare a written justification, but in the absence of a written justification, OCR will assume that the recipient did not establish its justification prior to offering the single-sex class and that any justification was established after the initiation of the complaint investigation or compliance review, unless the recipient can prove otherwise. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that the recipient articulate its justification in writing prior to offering the single-sex class and preserve that documentation for at least as long as the recipient offers the single-sex class in question and for a reasonable time after the class ends. This documentation may also assist the recipient as it periodically evaluates its single-sex offerings, as discussed in more detail in response to Questions 23 through 28.

10. In what ways can a school identify an important objective for offering a single-sex class?

Answer: To offer a single-sex class, a school district or school must first identify an important objective that the particular single-sex class is intended to address. The Title IX

regulations on single-sex classes describe the following two important objectives, one of which must be the basis for offering a single-sex class.

- **Diversity Objective:** “To improve educational achievement of its students, through a recipient’s overall established policy to provide diverse educational opportunities, provided that the single-sex nature of the class or extracurricular activity is substantially related to achieving that objective.”²⁵

To meet this objective, a recipient must first identify the educational achievement it seeks to improve through the diverse educational opportunities it offers and the proposed single-sex class.²⁶ Recipients may not rely on the diversity objective if the only type of nontraditional class offered is a single-sex class.²⁷ Rather, the recipient must offer a range of diverse educational opportunities beyond single-sex and coeducational classes. Diverse offerings in a school might include, for example, a variety of electives, a variety of curricula (such as a science, technology, engineering, math (STEM) focus or International Baccalaureate classes), co-op or internship opportunities, or the option to take classes at other schools.

- *Example A*^{*}: The students at Options High School earn high grades and above-average scores on State exams, but their enrollment in Advanced Placement (AP) classes is low. Options High School would like to increase enrollment in AP classes in an effort to improve its students’ college preparedness. As part of its college-preparedness effort, Options High School offers diverse educational opportunities, including AP classes, a variety of electives, a STEM-focused curriculum option, and a visual and performing arts-focused curriculum option. Many students who are not enrolled in AP classes have expressed interest in taking AP classes in a single-sex setting. The high school would like to add single-sex AP classes to its class offerings in order to increase enrollment in AP classes and thus improve college preparedness. Under these circumstances, attempting to improve its students’ college preparedness through single-sex AP classes is an appropriate use of the diversity objective.

^{*} This document provides guidance on a number of Title IX requirements applicable to single-sex classes, including justification/important objective; substantial relationship; evenhandedness; voluntariness; a substantially equal coeducational class; and periodic evaluations. Each example in this document is intended to illustrate the principles discussed in the response in which the example appears. Each example also presumes compliance with all the Title IX requirements discussed elsewhere in the document and should be read with that understanding.

- **Needs Objective:** “To meet the particular, identified educational needs of its students, provided that the single-sex nature of the class or extracurricular activity is substantially related to achieving that objective.”²⁸

Unlike the diversity objective, to meet the needs objective, the recipient must identify a particular educational need in its student body, evidenced by limited or deficient educational achievement, which is not being met by coeducational classes.²⁹

- *Example B:* Underperforming Elementary School wants to address the fact that its male third-grade students routinely score “not proficient” on the State reading exam. Attempting to increase male students’ performance to proficient on a State exam through the offering of a single-sex third-grade reading class is an appropriate use of the needs objective.

The needs objective also encompasses certain social needs that students may have. The Department recognizes that a school’s educational mission may extend beyond strictly academic objectives, and that classes and activities may provide social benefits that can have a positive effect on students’ educational outcomes.³⁰

- *Example C:* A high school’s Title IX coordinator has received a number of reports of dating violence among the school’s students. All of the reports came from female complainants and were about male aggressors. Many of the female complainants have expressed a fear of interacting with male students. To address the issue, the school offers an after-school, extracurricular program to provide all students with information about dating violence, the cycle of abuse, anger management, and effective methods for ending a violent relationship. The school offers the program to students on a single-sex basis, with boys meeting on one night and girls meeting a different night, as well as a coeducational option.

Given the circumstances at this school, attempting to decrease the prevalence of dating violence among students by offering a single-sex extracurricular activity is an appropriate use of the needs objective.

Regardless of which objective it chooses, the recipient must meet the other Title IX requirements discussed in this document, including showing that the single-sex nature of the class is substantially related (see the responses to Questions 11 and 12) to meeting the identified objective.

Administrative convenience will never justify the offering of single-sex classes.³¹

- *Example D:* Shortcut Elementary School's fourth-grade class is half female and half male. The fourth-grade students have lunch and recess from 10:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., with half an hour allotted for lunch and half an hour for recess. Half of the students have lunch first, followed by recess. The other half of the students go to recess first, followed by lunch. To make it easier for teachers to know whether students are attending their assigned lunch/recess block, Shortcut Elementary has divided the students by sex, with all fourth-grade girls in the first group and all fourth-grade boys in the second group. This is not an appropriate justification for operating single-sex lunch and recess.

11. What kind of evidence may a recipient use to show that the single-sex nature of a class is substantially related to achieving an important objective?

Answer: The substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of the class and the school's important objective must be directly supported by evidence (as described below) gathered and evaluated prior to offering the single-sex class. Below are examples of types of evidence that a recipient may use to demonstrate the required substantial relationship. A recipient may use more than one type of evidence to determine whether a substantial relationship exists. Regardless of the evidence used, the justification may "not rely on overbroad generalizations about the different talents, capacities, or preferences of" either sex, so, likewise, the evidence cited in the justification may not rely on these overly broad generalizations.³²

Comparator schools: The recipient may obtain data demonstrating a substantial relationship through the use of comparator schools. To do this, the recipient must: (1) identify comparator schools with a student population and school and class setting (*e.g.*, grades served, curricular offerings, geographic location, admissions requirements, educational benefits, etc.) that are similar to the population and setting of the recipient's school; and (2) obtain data showing that the comparator schools achieved the recipient's important objective in the relevant subject or with respect to the relevant educational or social need through the use of single-sex classes. When identifying comparator schools, the recipient should consider factors that may distinguish two schools, such as socioeconomic differences among the student population, differences in admissions policies and criteria, or resources available through private funding.

If the recipient can identify appropriate comparator schools that have offered single-sex classes in the same subjects and grades, the recipient should ensure that the comparator school's success in each class is substantially related to the single-sex nature of the class rather than other simultaneously used strategies (*e.g.*, tutoring, extended class sessions, weekend academic programming, etc.). If the comparator school used other strategies in

its single-sex class, the recipient will need to take further steps in order to show a substantial relationship between its important objective and the single-sex nature of the class because it would be very difficult to determine whether any success in the comparator school was due to the single-sex nature of the class or the other strategies that were used. One way for the recipient school to demonstrate that the single-sex nature of the class contributed to the students' success is to try the other strategies used by the comparator school in a coeducational setting at the recipient's school prior to offering a single-sex class and to compare the results relative to the important objective that the recipient seeks to achieve.

- *Example E:* A majority of seventh-grade boys at Evidentiary Middle School have scored "not proficient" on the State science exam for the past three years. The school has identified a public school in a neighboring district, Comparator Middle School, which has dramatically increased its seventh-grade boys' scores on the State science exam over the past five years. Comparator Middle School is roughly the same size as Evidentiary Middle School, and both schools serve students at the same grade level and of similar socioeconomic status. Evidentiary Middle School would like to implement Comparator Middle School's science program in hopes that Evidentiary's seventh-grade boys will achieve similar success.

In achieving its gains, Comparator Middle School offered a single-sex science class for seventh-grade boys. The State science exam scores of male students in that class increased significantly. The all-boys science class used a newly developed curriculum and textbook, implemented a double-period science class, offered after-school tutoring to all students in the class, and implemented a mandatory robotics-themed Saturday school for the seventh-grade students in those classes.

Evidentiary Middle School implemented these same sex-neutral strategies in its coeducational seventh-grade science classes: it adopted the curriculum and textbook used by Comparator, increased class time to make science a double-period class, offered after-school tutoring, and implemented the same mandatory robotics-themed Saturday school. It offered these classes on a coeducational basis for three years, but the science scores of its seventh-grade boys remained stagnant. At that point, consistent with the needs objective, Evidentiary decided to offer an all-boys seventh-grade science class in conjunction with the sex-neutral strategies listed above.

Given these facts, OCR would find that Evidentiary Middle School had shown a substantial relationship between its objective of increasing its seventh-grade male students' proficiency on the State science exam and the single-sex nature of the boys science class it decided to offer.

- *Example F:* Most girls at Scientific High School do not enroll in AP Chemistry, though their grades and scores on State science exams suggest that they would be good candidates for the class. Boys at Scientific High School do enroll in AP Chemistry and all students otherwise take advantage of the school's widely diverse class offerings. Consistent with the diversity objective, Scientific High School would like to improve the educational achievement of its students by increasing female enrollment in AP Chemistry by further expanding its class offerings to include an all-girls AP Chemistry class.

Scientific High School has identified two schools in nearby districts that have implemented an all-girls AP Chemistry class. These schools are approximately the same size as Scientific High School, and all three schools serve students at the same grade level and of similar socioeconomic status. All three are neighborhood schools with no specific admissions requirements, and all students receive transportation to and from school through the applicable district.

Over the last three years, since the implementation of those classes, the enrollment rate of female students in AP Chemistry has steadily increased at both of the two comparator schools. Female enrollment in those schools' coeducational AP Chemistry classes has stayed roughly the same. The schools did not change any other aspect of their AP Chemistry programs; the single-sex classes are identical to their coeducational counterparts.

Given these facts, OCR would find that, through its overall policy to provide diverse educational opportunities, Scientific High School had shown a substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of the girls science class and its important objective of improving the educational achievement of its students by increasing female enrollment in AP Chemistry.

Research Evidence: Research evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of single-sex classes in circumstances sufficiently similar to the school's circumstances may also satisfy the substantial relationship requirement. A 2005 Department-commissioned survey found the results of available research on the general use of single-sex education were equivocal.³³ Nonetheless, to satisfy the substantial relationship requirement, OCR will accept a research study that: 1) employs a rigorous research design for causal inference; 2) demonstrates the

effectiveness of the single-sex nature of the class with respect to the specific important objective at issue (e.g., improving achievement in Algebra or reducing infractions requiring discipline); and 3) includes a sample that overlaps with the proposed populations or settings (e.g., ninth-grade girls in low-income communities) that the recipient is targeting. The standards set forth in the Department's *What Works Clearinghouse Procedures and Standards Handbook*³⁴ provide an appropriate guide for assessing the strength of a study of the effectiveness of the intervention (e.g., limiting a class to a single sex) in addressing the school's important objective.

- *Example G:* Town Elementary School would like to offer an all-boys fourth-grade class to reduce the discipline problems of the boys in that grade. Before it offers this class, Town Elementary School finds a research study that meets the What Works Clearinghouse Procedures and Standards and concludes that boys ages five through ten in all-boys classrooms committed fewer infractions leading to discipline than boys in coeducational "control" classes with identical rules and procedures for discipline, curricula, educational strategies, teacher-student ratio, and student population (e.g., eligibility for free and reduced-price lunch).³⁵ The population and settings of the single-sex and coeducational classes examined in the study are almost identical to those of Town Elementary School's fourth-grade classes. Absent facts distinguishing the research classes from Town Elementary School's classes, OCR would find this study is sufficient to show a substantial relationship between the school's objective of reducing discipline and the single-sex nature of the class.

12. May a recipient demonstrate a substantial relationship using a claim that a certain strategy, other than single-sex, is more effective for most members of one sex?

Answer: Claims that a certain strategy (such as a teaching method or a specific learning environment) is more effective for most members of one sex will not be sufficient, standing alone, to show a substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of a class and the important objective. This is because such a strategy may be equally effective regardless of whether it is implemented in a single-sex or a coeducational setting. If the recipient wants to use that strategy in a single-sex setting, the recipient still needs to show that students will benefit from the fact that the class is single-sex. Therefore, even assuming a recipient had evidence showing that a certain strategy was particularly effective for one sex, the recipient would need further evidence showing that the exclusion of the other sex was necessary to make the strategy effective or, at the least, substantially more effective. (This showing could be made through the use of comparator schools or research evidence, described in the response to Question 11.)

- *Example H:* A majority of third-grade girls at Cold Elementary School are underperforming on State science tests. Cold Elementary School would like to implement an all-girls third-grade class that keeps the classroom temperature ten degrees higher than the school's other classrooms, because the school's principal has read an article suggesting that girls learn better in warmer temperatures and boys learn better in colder temperatures. The article did not cite to any studies comparing students in coeducational warm or cold classes with students in single-sex warm or cold classes, but rather simply concluded that all girls will learn better in a warm environment and that all boys will learn better in a cold environment. Even if this research were reliable, it would not prove that boys would learn better in a cold environment with no girls, or that girls would learn better in a warm environment with no boys.

Thus, the school cannot show a substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of the class and the anticipated increase in girls' State science test scores. If the school believes temperature affects educational outcomes, it can offer a coeducational "warm" and a coeducational "cool" classroom and use criteria, other than the student's sex, to decide which students would attend each of those coeducational classrooms, such as allowing students and parents to choose the learning environment they believe best suits each student.

Evenhanded Offerings

13. What is the evenhandedness requirement?

Answer: A recipient must treat male and female students evenhandedly in implementing its important objective.³⁶ The evenhandedness requirement means that a recipient offering single-sex classes must provide equal educational opportunities to students regardless of their sex, with the end result that both sexes receive substantially equal classes.³⁷

14. How does the evenhandedness analysis apply if a recipient is asserting the diversity objective?

Answer: If the recipient asserts the diversity objective, and it has identified single-sex classes for which it can demonstrate a substantial relationship to its important objective, it must still ensure that the choice of diverse educational opportunities, including single-sex or coeducational classes, is offered evenhandedly to male and female students. To do this, it must conduct a thorough and impartial assessment of what single-sex classes to offer to each sex, and then offer those classes evenhandedly to its students.³⁸ Thus, under the diversity objective, if a recipient is able to justify single-sex classes for both sexes, offering single-sex classes for only one sex will likely violate the evenhandedness requirement,

unless the recipient can show that it evenhandedly gauged the interest of both sexes and the excluded sex was not interested in having the option to enroll in single-sex classes. Likewise, if one sex is offered single-sex classes in the school's core subjects, while the other sex is only offered single-sex classes in the school's non-core subjects, OCR would not find that the recipient is offering classes in an evenhanded manner.

- *Example I:* Advanced High School would like to use single-sex classes to increase enrollment of both male and female students in its AP Physics, English, or American History classes. Advanced High School has already determined that it can meet the requisite regulatory requirements of the Department's Title IX regulations for all of these classes, but because of staffing concerns, the school can only offer single-sex classes in one subject. Advanced High School conducted a survey to determine which subject male students would prefer; the male students chose AP Physics. Because it could only devote one teacher to single-sex classes, Advanced High School did not survey its female students, but decided instead to offer the female students a single-sex AP Physics class, as well.

This would violate the evenhandedness requirement. Even though all students are being offered identical single-sex classes, taught by the same teacher, the assessment of which class to offer favored the male students.

This does not mean, however, that male and female students must always be offered single-sex classes in the same subjects. To ensure evenhandedness, once it has completed its justification for each single-sex class, a recipient may wish to collect pre-enrollment information from parents^{*} and students or survey parents and students about interest in enrolling in single-sex classes in each subject. If students of one sex lack interest in a single-sex class in a certain subject, the recipient would not be required to provide them a single-sex class in that subject.

- *Example J:* Nearby Middle School is considering adding single-sex classes to the diverse array of other classes it offers. Having documented its justification for the addition of single-sex classes in Pre-Algebra, American History, English, and Geometry, the school surveys all parents and students to determine whether students would be interested in taking any of these classes on a single-sex basis. Forty eighth-grade boys express interest in all-male Pre-Algebra and American

^{*} When this document refers to "parents," the term encompasses both parents and legal guardians.

History classes, while only two girls request these classes on a single-sex basis. Thirty-five eighth-grade girls request all-female English and Geometry classes, while no boys request these classes on a single-sex basis. In this scenario, Nearby Middle School may offer the all-male Pre-Algebra and American History classes and all-female English and Geometry classes to its eighth-grade students without violating the evenhandedness requirement.

15. How does the evenhandedness analysis apply if a recipient is asserting the needs objective?

Answer: If the recipient asserts the needs objective, the evenhandedness analysis is different from the analysis used under the diversity objective. Under the needs objective, the recipient must first conduct an assessment to identify the educational needs of its students, and then determine how to meet those needs on an evenhanded basis.³⁹ If a recipient has evidence demonstrating that a single-sex class in a particular subject would meet the particular, identified educational needs of students of both sexes and that the single-sex nature of the classes is substantially related to meeting the needs for both sexes, then if the recipient offers a single-sex class in that subject, it must do so for both sexes. On the other hand, if the evidence shows that the single-sex class in that subject would meet the particular, identified needs of only one sex or that the single-sex nature of the class would be substantially related to meeting the needs of only one sex, a recipient may not offer the single-sex class to students of the other sex. That recipient would instead have to determine, based on its assessment of the educational needs of both sexes, whether a single-sex class in another subject should be offered to the excluded sex, in order to meet the evenhandedness requirement.⁴⁰

- *Example K:* Faraway High School intends to offer an all-boys AP English class because the percentage of its male students passing the AP English exam is far below the district average. The school's female students pass the AP English exam at a rate higher than the district average. The reverse is true with respect to AP Physics: the percentage of girls passing the AP Physics exam is far below the district average, while the boys' scores suggest no deficiency.

Under these circumstances, Faraway High School may provide an all-boys AP English class without offering an all-girls AP English class because there is no particular identified need for such an all-girls class. To meet the evenhandedness requirement, however, in light of data showing its female students' deficiency on the AP Physics exam, the school must first research whether an all-girls AP Physics class would be substantially related to increasing

female students' proficiency on that exam. If so, then the school must offer the female-only AP Physics class as well.

Voluntariness

16. Who decides whether a student enrolls in a single-sex class?

Answer: The Department's Title IX regulations require that student "enrollment in a single-sex class or extracurricular activity" be "completely voluntary."⁴¹ To meet this requirement, OCR strongly encourages recipients to obtain the affirmative consent from the parents to enroll a student in a single-sex class.⁴² Nevertheless, OCR will defer to State law to determine whether a student or the student's parents will have ultimate decision-making authority regarding whether a student will be enrolled in a single-sex class. If State law is silent, a recipient may use its educational judgment, based on the age and circumstances of its students and its normal class assignment procedures. The affirmative consent of the designated decision-maker, whether the parent or the student, must be received before assigning a student to a single-sex class.

17. May a recipient assign students to a single-sex class as long as it permits students to opt out of the class?

Answer: No. Regardless of whether the authority rests with the student or the parent, the decision-maker must affirmatively opt into a single-sex class; the student may not simply be assigned to a single-sex class by the school and then be permitted to opt out.⁴³ If no affirmative consent is received, the student must be enrolled in a coeducational class.⁴⁴ OCR recommends that such affirmative consent come in the form of a written, signed document.⁴⁵

18. May a recipient make it easier to enroll in a single-sex class than it is to enroll in a coeducational class?

Answer: No. A school cannot use a less stringent class enrollment procedure for its single-sex classes than it does for its coeducational classes. In order for the choice to be completely voluntary, a school may not influence the choice to enroll in one class over the other. In assessing whether a decision to enroll in a single-sex class was voluntary, OCR will consider, among other things, whether the choice was influenced by extraneous factors. For example, any authorization (*e.g.*, a permission slip) or procedure (*e.g.*, a pre-enrollment meeting with a guidance counselor) that is required for enrolling in a coeducational class, but not for enrolling in the single-sex counterpart would render involuntary the choice to enroll in the single-sex class.

19. How does the breadth of class offerings affect voluntariness?

Answer: For the single-sex class to be voluntary, a recipient must offer a substantially equal coeducational class in the same subject.⁴⁶ (Factors for determining substantial equality are discussed in the response to Question 22.) If a student is forced to choose between taking a single-sex class in a particular subject and not taking a class in that subject, the choice to take the single-sex class is not voluntary. Likewise, if the only honors class in a given subject is a single-sex class, a student's selection of that single-sex class will not be considered voluntary. And if a student must take a single-sex class in order to avoid a coeducational option that is set at a remedial level, the single-sex class will also not be considered voluntary. (Classes with such differences may also violate the requirement of offering a substantially equal coeducational class, discussed in the responses to Questions 21 and 22.)

20. What additional steps should a recipient take to ensure that participation in a single-sex class is completely voluntary?

Answer: Because an uninformed decision may, in many circumstances, not be completely voluntary, OCR recommends that recipients provide pre-enrollment information about each class to students and parents in sufficient time and in a manner that is accessible to those with disabilities and with limited English proficiency so that the decision-maker can make an informed choice.⁴⁷

This pre-enrollment information should explain that the decision-maker has the option of choosing between the coeducational and single-sex class;⁴⁸ describe the similarities and differences between the coeducational and single-sex classes; and provide a summary of the recipient's justification for offering the single-sex option. OCR recommends that pre-enrollment disclosures specify that parents and students have the option of reviewing the recipient's full justification (and any periodic evaluations, described in the responses to Questions 23 through 28) upon request. In providing this pre-enrollment information, recipients must ensure that the information is conveyed in a way that does not pressure parents to enroll students in a single-sex class.

- *Example L:* Steering Elementary School is planning to implement single-sex fifth-grade reading and math classes for both boys and girls. To comply with the Title IX regulatory requirements for establishing new single-sex classes, over the summer, the school sends an information packet to every parent of an incoming fifth-grade student that includes: the school's justification for its single-sex classes; the data upon which the school relied in developing its justification; a statement that substantially equal coeducational reading and math classes are available; and a description of the differences between the single-sex and

coeducational classes. In each packet are two consent forms—one for the reading class and one for the math class—allowing parents to opt in to each single-sex class. The form states that if a parent does not return the form for a given class, his or her child will be placed in a substantially equal coeducational class.

A week before school starts, the principal of Steering Elementary School calls all of the parents who have not returned the consent forms to remind them of the option to enroll their children in single-sex classes. He encourages them to take advantage of the single-sex classes, and explains that if there is not enough interest to sustain them, the school will not be able to provide the classes to anyone. He explains that many people are interested in the single-sex classes, and warns parents against being the individuals who “hold up” implementation of the “unique and beneficial opportunity.”

Although the elementary school’s practice of sending an impartial information packet home to parents, along with an appropriate opt-in form, is a good one, OCR would consider the principal’s later behavior to be inappropriate pressure to enroll in a single-sex class. His warning inappropriately suggested that a parent should consider factors outside of his or her child’s educational well-being (including ensuring that other students have access to single-sex classes). Any consent forms received after the principal’s phone calls would not be valid.

Substantially Equal Coeducational Option

21. Must a recipient offer a substantially equal coeducational option for every single-sex class offered?

Answer: Yes. A recipient that offers a single-sex class must provide all other students, including students of the excluded sex, with a substantially equal coeducational class in the same subject.⁴⁹ At least one substantially equal coeducational section must be offered in each subject for which there is a single-sex class, and more than one section may be needed because every student who requests a coeducational option must be enrolled in one. Once the preferences of students seeking a coeducational class are met, a school may offer more than one single-sex section in a given subject if enrollment in that subject warrants it.

- *Example M:* If a school offers each of its 50 eighth-grade boys the choice between single-sex or coeducational Algebra classes, and 40 choose a single-sex class and 10 choose a coeducational class, the school may offer two single-sex sections and only one coeducational section of Algebra. This is permissible, so long as every student who sought the coeducational option was enrolled in a

substantially equal coeducational class. (Additionally, the school may also be required to provide a substantially equal single-sex class for its eighth-grade girls, consistent with the evenhandedness requirement discussed in the responses to Questions 13 through 15.)⁵⁰

A school is not obligated to provide a single-sex class to any individual student, even if that student opted into the single-sex class. The school must consider the number of students interested in the option and the school's need to provide a substantially equal coeducational class for all other students, including students of the excluded sex. Thus, in the example above, if all of the eighth-grade boys opted into the single-sex Algebra class, resulting in the substantially equal coeducational class enrolling only girls, the school could not honor all of the requests for the single-sex boys class, because doing so would deny the girls a substantially equal coeducational class.

22. What factors will OCR consider in determining whether a coeducational class is substantially equal to the single-sex class?

Answer: OCR will consider all relevant factors, both individually and in the aggregate, in determining whether a coeducational class is substantially equal to the single-sex class.⁵¹ Although the single-sex and coeducational classes do not need to be identical with respect to each factor, they need to be substantially equal. This means that if one class is significantly superior with respect to one factor, or slightly superior with respect to many factors, the classes are likely not substantially equal.⁵² The Department's Title IX regulations include a non-exhaustive list of factors, each of which is addressed individually below, that OCR will consider while conducting a complaint investigation or compliance review. OCR will consider all relevant factors in determining whether a coeducational class and a single-sex class are substantially equal.⁵³ Whether information is relevant will depend on the specific facts and circumstances of each case, because each single-sex class seeks to achieve a different objective and may be offered in a different way.

- The admissions criteria and policies;
 - *Example N:* College-Bound High School offers single-sex and coeducational classes in AP Spanish. Both the coeducational and single-sex AP Spanish classes were open only to students with a grade point average of 3.5 or higher and who participate in a summer language program. On these facts, OCR would consider the admissions criteria and policies to be substantially equal.

- The educational benefits provided, including the quality, range, and content of curriculum and other services, and the quality and availability of books, instructional materials, and technology;
 - *Example O:* Tech-Savvy Middle School offers single-sex and coeducational biology classes. The coeducational classes follow a curriculum that uses textbooks with corresponding videos, which the students watch on a DVD player in the classroom, to teach lessons. The single-sex classes incorporate individually issued laptops, which allow for interactive, technology-based lessons, into the curriculum. On these facts, OCR would not consider the educational benefits provided to be substantially equal.
- The qualifications of faculty and staff;
 - *Example P:* Tenured Middle School ensures that an equal proportion of first- and second-year teachers as compared to more experienced teachers are assigned to its single-sex and coeducational Pre-Algebra classes. All of the Pre-Algebra teachers have a background in mathematics and receive training on teaching the school's Pre-Algebra curriculum. Prior to teaching the class, each teacher must demonstrate content knowledge and competencies in the relevant teaching methods. On these facts, OCR would consider the qualifications of the faculty of the classes to be substantially equal.
- Geographic accessibility;⁵⁴
 - *Example Q:* Centrally Located High School offers one all-male and one all-female chemistry class onsite. For students wishing to take this class on a coeducational basis, Centrally Located High School has entered into an agreement with Distant High School, 15 miles away, which will accept Centrally Located High School's students. Because of traffic in the district, it would take students approximately 30 minutes each way to travel to the class at Distant High School, resulting in an hour of lost instruction time. On these facts, OCR would not consider the geographic accessibility of the classes to be substantially equal.
- The quality, accessibility, and availability of facilities and resources provided to the class;
 - *Example R:* Updated High School offers both coeducational and single-sex Chemistry classes. The coeducational Chemistry class is held in a chemistry lab that was original to the building, constructed in 1970. Updated High School added a new wing in 2010, which includes a new chemistry lab that offers state-of-the-art equipment and incorporates interactive technology. The single-sex

Chemistry classes are held in the new lab. On these facts, OCR would not consider the facilities and resources of the classes to be substantially equal.

- Intangible features, such as the reputation of faculty.
 - *Example S:* Connected High School offers two single-sex journalism classes: one for boys and one for girls. A journalist for a local newspaper teaches both of these classes. The journalist is well connected in the local media community, and in the past, she has assisted students with obtaining internships at local media outlets. The school also offers a coeducational journalism class that is taught by an individual with a degree in English, but who has never worked in the field or been involved in a school journalism program. On these facts, OCR would not consider the reputation of the faculty (an intangible feature) of the two classes to be substantially equal.

Periodic Evaluations

23. How often must a recipient conduct an evaluation of its single-sex programs?

Answer: The recipient must evaluate each of its single-sex classes, and the original justification behind each single-sex class, at least every two years.⁵⁵ A recipient may decide to conduct evaluations more frequently (because its own findings have identified concerns or for other reasons). If OCR investigates a recipient and identifies compliance problems, OCR may require the recipient to conduct more frequent evaluations.⁵⁶

24. What is the purpose of these evaluations?

Answer: The recipient must use these periodic evaluations to ensure that each single-sex class it offers is based upon genuine justifications, does not rely on overly broad generalizations about either sex, and continues to be substantially related to the achievement of the important objective (see the responses to Questions 7 through 12).⁵⁷ The periodic evaluations should also confirm that substantially equal single-sex classes are offered if necessary to comply with the evenhandedness requirement (see the responses to Questions 13 through 15), and that a substantially equal coeducational alternative to each single-sex class is available (see the responses to Questions 21 and 22). The periodic evaluations must assess evidence and data related to the recipient's single-sex classes, rather than relying on the comparator school or research evidence used at the justification stage (see the response to Question 27).

25. Must the periodic evaluation address the way a single-sex class is taught?

Answer: Yes. Because of the risk that single-sex classes may lead to the adoption of classroom methods or strategies that revert to sex stereotypes, the Department's Title IX regulations require that the recipient ensure that each single-sex class is operated in a manner that does not "rely on overly broad generalizations about the different talents, capacities, or preferences of either sex."⁵⁸ Thus, classroom methods or strategies should be chosen on the basis of their effectiveness in teaching the individual students in the class, without regard to the sex of those students. Of course, it may be difficult to ascertain why certain methods or strategies were chosen, so the following information is intended to help schools understand how OCR will conduct its analysis during a complaint investigation or compliance review.

If identical classroom methods and strategies—including choices about classroom activities and environment—are used in single-sex classes for boys and in single-sex classes for girls (or in a single-sex class and a coeducational class), the evaluation of the way the classes are taught is complete. This is because the use of the same methods and strategies for classes for boys and classes for girls offers no reason to believe the decision to use those methods and strategies was based on overly broad generalizations about either sex.

But if different classroom methods or strategies are used in single-sex classes for boys than are used in single-sex classes for girls (or in a single-sex class in comparison with its coeducational counterpart), then the recipient must evaluate whether the decision to adopt these different methods or strategies was made in reliance on overly broad generalizations. In some cases, the different methods or strategies used in single-sex classes may simply be the result of the professional choices of an individual teacher without regard to the sex of his or her students. If the recipient can show that the teacher would have selected identical methods and strategies even if he or she were teaching a single-sex class of the opposite sex or a coeducational class, OCR will likely conclude that the school did not use overly broad generalizations about either sex. In determining whether the recipient has made this showing, OCR will consider such factors as the methods and strategies historically used by the teacher, and the timing of any changes in the teacher's methods and strategies.

If, however, the methods or strategies were selected because of the sex of the students in the class, the risk of sex stereotypes is at its greatest because methods and strategies that are based on sex ignore the differences among students of the same sex. When a teaching method or strategy is, in fact, selected on the basis of the sex of the students, its use must be directly supported by evidence demonstrating that the particular method or strategy is more effective for one sex than the other or is more effective when used in a single-sex setting. (The response to Question 12 addresses the appropriate way to assess whether

strategies that are purported to be more effective for one sex may be used in a single-sex setting.) It would not be enough to show that there is evidence about differences between boys and girls that does not directly involve that particular teaching method or strategy. For example, while there is, of course, evidence that biological differences between males and females exist,⁵⁹ evidence of general biological differences is not sufficient to allow teachers to select different teaching methods or strategies for boys and girls.⁶⁰

- *Example T:* Quiet Elementary School created single-sex fourth-grade classes for both boys and girls. During the school year, the teachers of the single-sex classes became aware of studies that show that girls are born with a significantly more sensitive sense of hearing than boys, and that the differences grow larger as the children grow older. Relying on those studies, the school decided that the boys class would incorporate speaking in a loud tone, while the girls class would not.

A periodic evaluation of the boys class would indicate reliance on overly broad generalizations about the sexes with respect to teaching methods. Use of the specific teaching method (loud talking) would not comply with Title IX because the teachers did not rely on evidence that directly linked that particular teaching method or strategy to improved educational achievement for boys. Instead, they relied on a purported biological difference (that there are, on average, biological differences in the hearing sensitivity of the sexes) to conclude that the particular teaching method or strategy was appropriate. This general difference between the sexes, even if true, does not by itself provide evidence that loud talking will be more effective in teaching for one sex than the other or more effective in a single-sex setting. The leap from the biological differences to the use of a particular teaching method or strategy for students of one sex, without the support of evidence regarding the educational effectiveness of the method or strategy for one sex over the other, resulted in an overly broad generalization (that loud talking would improve boys' ability to learn). Because of the overly broad generalization, the school would have to discontinue its use of this teaching method for the all-boys class.

The teaching method itself is permissible. A recipient is still free to incorporate loud talking in a coeducational class or in single-sex classes for both boys and girls. But a recipient may not limit that method of instruction only to the single-sex class for boys on the basis of the overly broad generalization described above.

26. How should the evaluations be made available to the public?

Answer: OCR recommends wide distribution of the evaluations, through the recipient's website and otherwise. Like the initial justification, these evaluations could be useful to parents who are deciding whether to enroll their children in single-sex classes and would help ensure the choice is completely voluntary.

27. How will OCR determine whether a periodic evaluation demonstrates that a single-sex class is still substantially related to the recipient's important objective?

Answer: OCR will consider all relevant sources of evidence in determining whether the single-sex nature of the class remains substantially related to the recipient's important objective. Whether evidence is relevant will depend on the specific facts and circumstances of each case, because each single-sex class seeks to achieve a different objective and may be offered in a different way. The evidence presented in a recipient's periodic evaluation must be related to the recipient's single-sex classes, rather than the evidence relied upon in the justification stage. Possible sources of evidence include, but are not limited to: students' grades; students' scores on standardized statewide or districtwide exams; discipline rates; attendance data; enrollment data; and educators' observation and evaluation of the effectiveness of each class.

Because the biennial evaluations must show that the single-sex nature of the class results in achievement of, or progress toward, the recipient's important objective, a comparison between the students in the single-sex class and the substantially equal coeducational class is appropriate.⁶¹ To best assess the effectiveness of each class, OCR recommends that schools monitor the progress of the individual students in each class from year to year. This will help ensure that any comparison between a single-sex class and a substantially equal coeducational class controls for variations among students. Positive or negative changes related to the recipient's objective for all students in the single-sex class should be averaged together; the same should be done for students in the coeducational class. The school can then compare these averages to see how students in the single-sex class fared in comparison to their peers in the substantially equal coeducational class. The same procedure should be used to assess the single-sex class the following year. If, based on these averages, a coeducational class outperforms a substantially equal single-sex class, it is likely that OCR would find that the single-sex class is not substantially related to the recipient's objective. Of course, the evidence will vary based on the school's objective. For example, if the school implemented a single-sex class in an attempt to lower discipline rates, discipline statistics should be analyzed.

- *Example U:* A middle school offers three substantially equal sections of tenth-grade American Literature: an all-girls class, an all-boys class, and a coeducational class. The school's objective is to increase proficiency on the State English exam. At the end of the first school year, to gather information for the periodic evaluation required at the end of the second school year, each student's score on the state English exam is compared to his or her score on the previous year's exam. The school averages the change in scores of students in the all-girls class, the all-boys class, and the coeducational class, respectively. The proficiency rate of students in the coeducational class increased slightly. By contrast, the proficiency rates of the students in the all-boys and all-girls classes both increased significantly. The difference in average increases between the single-sex classes and the coeducational class is statistically significant. The averages are similar the following year. Under these circumstances, the evidence in this periodic evaluation would suffice to show a continuing substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of the classes and the objective to increase student's proficiency on the State English exam. Note, however, that the school must continue to conduct biennial evaluations to show that a substantial relationship between the single-sex nature of the classes and the school's objective persists.

Every recipient's ability to continue each single-sex class will depend on the recipient's circumstances, the particular objective articulated in the recipient's justification, and whether the comparative class data over time demonstrate a substantial relationship between that objective and the single-sex nature of the class. A recipient's evaluation should analyze and explain all factors that influenced the achievement of, or failure to achieve, the recipient's objective.

28. What is the role of the recipient's Title IX coordinator in conducting these evaluations?

Answer: Every recipient must designate an employee to coordinate its efforts to comply with Title IX.⁶² The Title IX coordinator is responsible for overseeing the school's response to Title IX reports and complaints and identifying and addressing any patterns or systemic problems revealed by such reports and complaints. This means that the Title IX coordinator must have knowledge of the requirements of Title IX, of the school's own policies and procedures on sex discrimination, and of all complaints raising Title IX issues throughout the school. The Title IX coordinator must also track and review complaints to identify and correct any systemic compliance issues. This would include any complaints that single-sex classes are being offered in violation of Title IX. Because of these responsibilities, OCR recommends that the Title IX coordinator be involved in assessing the compliance of the

recipient's single-sex classes, both when determining whether and how single-sex classes can be offered and during the recipient's periodic review of single-sex offerings.

Employment

29. May a recipient assign teachers to single-sex classes based on the sex of the teacher?

Answer: No. A recipient must not assign teachers to single-sex classes on the basis that boys should be taught by men and girls should be taught by women or vice versa.⁶³ Title IX prohibits recipients from discriminating on the basis of sex in: employment; recruitment; compensation and benefits; job assignment, classification, and structure; and consideration and selection of individuals for jobs in any education program or activity operated by a recipient.⁶⁴ Although Title IX allows employment decisions based on sex "provided it is shown that sex is a bona-fide occupational qualification for that action,"⁶⁵ a school may not, for example, assign a male teacher, on the basis of his sex, to teach an all-boys class because the school thinks male students will prefer, respond better to, or learn more effectively from, a man.⁶⁶

Other Federal Protections for Students in Single-Sex Classes

30. May a recipient exclude students with disabilities or English language learners from a single-sex class so long as it permits them to participate in the substantially equal coeducational class?

Answer: No. Students with disabilities or English language learners may not be excluded from single-sex classes because of their need for special education or related aids and services or English language services.⁶⁷ Schools must ensure that students with disabilities participating in single-sex classes receive needed special education and related services in accordance with their individualized education programs, developed under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act⁶⁸ (including, if applicable, the Part B educational placement provisions), or their plans developed under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Likewise, the school must provide the same English language services in single-sex classes as in coeducational classes.

31. How do the Title IX requirements on single-sex classes apply to transgender students?

Answer: All students, including transgender students and students who do not conform to sex stereotypes, are protected from sex-based discrimination under Title IX. Under Title IX, a recipient generally must treat transgender students consistent with their gender identity in all aspects of the planning, implementation, enrollment, operation, and evaluation of single-sex classes.

Additional Topics

32. Which set of regulations governs a school within a school—the regulations governing single-sex schools or the regulations governing single-sex classes?

Answer: If a recipient operates a single-sex school within another school or two single-sex academies, OCR will consider these to be single-sex classes within a coeducational school unless the two entities are administratively separate from each other.⁶⁹ This is a fact-specific inquiry and will depend on the specific organization of the school within a school.

- *Example V:* A district operates dual single-sex academies that are housed in the same facility and share the same principal and certain support staff. The district claims that it need not comply with the Department’s Title IX regulations on single-sex classes because each academy is a single-sex school. Because the two academies are not administratively separate, OCR would instead view the academies as one coeducational school offering single-sex classes in every subject.

33. How can I contact OCR to get additional information or to file a complaint?

Answer: A recipient, parent, student, or other member of the public who has a question or concern about a particular single-sex offering may contact the appropriate OCR regional enforcement office. To determine which OCR regional enforcement office handles inquiries and complaints in your State, please call 1-800-421-3481 or 1-800-877-8339 (TDD) or check OCR’s website at <http://wdcrobcop01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OCR/contactus.cfm>.

OTHER FEDERAL LEGAL RESOURCES RELATED TO SINGLE-SEX EDUCATION:

Department of Education Title IX regulations: 34 C.F.R. part 106, available at

<http://www2.ed.gov/policy/rights/reg/ocr/34cfr106.pdf>

OCR Dear Colleague Letter on Single-Sex Title IX Regulations, dated January 31, 2007, available

at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/single-sex-20070131.pdf>

Final Rule: Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 71 Fed. Reg. 62,530 (October 25, 2006), available at

<http://www2.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister/finrule/2006-4/102506a.pdf>

Brief for the United States as *Amicus Curiae*, *Doe v. Vermillion Parish Sch. Bd.*, No. 10-30378 (5th Cir.) (filed June 4, 2010), available at

http://www.justice.gov/crt/about/app/briefs/vermillion_brief.pdf

¹ 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688.

² *Id.*; see also 34 C.F.R. § 106.34.

³ Private elementary and secondary schools are subject to the Department's regulatory requirements for single-sex classes if they receive Federal financial assistance directly from the Department or indirectly through an intermediary. Private elementary and secondary schools are not considered recipients of Federal financial assistance if the only form of assistance that they receive is through their students' participation in programs conducted by public school districts that are funded under Federal programs such as Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 or the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. These private schools are not subject to these regulations, but public school districts must ensure that their programs, including services to private school students, are consistent with Title IX. See *Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance: Final Regulations*, 71 Fed. Reg. 62,530, 62,530 n.7 (Oct. 25, 2006).

⁴ U.S. CONST. amend. XIV, § 1; see also *United States v. Virginia*, 518 U.S. 515, 531, 533 (1996) (holding, in a challenge to an all-male public postsecondary institution, that a party "seek[ing] to defend gender-based government action" under the Equal Protection Clause "must demonstrate an exceedingly persuasive justification for that action," which means the government "must show at least that the challenged classification serves important governmental objectives and that the discriminatory means employed are substantially related to the achievement of those objectives" (citations, brackets, and internal quotation marks omitted)).

⁵ 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000c to c-9.

⁶ 20 U.S.C. §§ 1703(c), 1705, 1720(c); see also 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,533 n.18 (referencing same).

⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.71 (incorporating by reference 34 C.F.R. §§ 100.6-100.11 and 34 C.F.R. part 101).

⁸ 34 C.F.R. § 106.6(b); see also 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,533 n.18 ("Public school and private school recipients also may be subject to State or local laws prohibiting single-sex classes or schools.").

⁹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(c).

¹⁰ 34 C.F.R. § 106.35; 34 C.F.R. § 106.2(o) (defining "institution of vocational education").

¹¹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.15(c)-(e).

¹² 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688; 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(a).

¹³ In addition to these exceptions, the Department's Title IX regulations do not prohibit schools from employing the following facially neutral tests or criteria even if they have a disproportionate effect on persons on the basis of sex: the grouping of students in physical education classes and activities by ability as assessed by objective standards of individual performance developed and applied without regard to sex; and the use of requirements based on vocal range or quality that may result in a chorus or choruses of one or predominantly one sex. 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(a)(2) and (4).

¹⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(a)(1).

¹⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(a)(3).

¹⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b).

¹⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(5).

¹⁸ 34 C.F.R. § 106.35; 34 C.F.R. Appendix A to Part 106; *see also* Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 69 Fed. Reg. 11,276, 11,278 (Mar. 9, 2004) (“Even in these elementary and secondary schools that are not vocational schools, the proposed amendments do not change the applicability of the current general regulatory prohibition against single-sex vocational education classes.”).

¹⁹ This document refers to vocational classes because the Department’s Title IX regulations refer to “nonvocational” classes. The Department currently prefers the term “career and technical” courses.

²⁰ The Department’s Title IX regulations governing athletics appear at 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.41 and 106.37(c).

²¹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.36(c).

²² 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(i).

²³ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,533 (citing *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533) (“The justification must be genuine, not hypothesized or invented *post hoc* in response to litigation.”).

²⁴ *Id.*

²⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(i)(A).

²⁶ “For example, a recipient may seek to achieve an educational benefit for its students such as improvement in class work.” 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,534 n.26.

²⁷ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,535.

²⁸ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(i)(B).

²⁹ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,535 & n.30.

³⁰ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,536.

³¹ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,535 (citing *Wengler v. Druggists Mut. Ins. Co.*, 446 U.S. 142, 151-52 (1980) and *Frontiero v. Richardson*, 411 U.S. 677, 689-90 (1973)).

³² 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,533 (citing *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 533).

³³ The 2005 Department-commissioned survey of research on single-sex schooling found that for “many outcomes, there is no evidence of either benefit or harm.” OFFICE OF PLANNING, EVALUATION AND POLICY DEVELOPMENT, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, SINGLE-SEX VERSUS COEDUCATIONAL SCHOOLING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW x (2005), *available at* <http://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/eval/other/single-sex/single-sex.pdf>.

³⁴ The WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook is available at http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/pdf/reference_resources/wwc_procedures_v3_0_standards_handbook.pdf.

³⁵ This example, like all the examples provided in this document, is based on hypothetical facts to help readers understand how OCR would evaluate a recipient’s single-sex class for compliance with the Department’s Title IX regulations. A recipient cannot rely on the hypothetical research described in this example to show a substantial relationship between its important objective and the single-sex nature of the class.

³⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(ii).

³⁷ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,536 (citing *Virginia*, 518 U.S. at 554).

³⁸ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,536.

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ *Id.* at 62,536-37 (“[A]lthough a single-sex class would not be required in that subject, evenhanded implementation of the recipient’s objective does require the recipient to determine, based on its assessment of educational needs of students, whether a class in another subject should be offered on a single-sex basis to meet the particular, identified needs of the students of the excluded sex.”).

⁴¹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(iii).

⁴² 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,537.

⁴³ *Id.*; *Doe v. Wood Cnty. Bd. of Educ.*, 888 F. Supp. 2d 771, 776 (S.D. W. Va. 2012) (“An opt-out provision is insufficient to meet the requirement that single-sex classes be ‘completely voluntary.’”).

⁴⁴ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,537; *Doe*, 888 F. Supp. 2d at 776 (“[T]he Department of Education regulations require an affirmative assent by parents or guardians before placing children in single-sex classrooms.”).

⁴⁵ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,537; *Doe*, 888 F. Supp. 2d at 776 (“Such affirmative assent would preferably come in the form of a written, signed agreement by the parent explicitly opting *into* a single-sex program.”).

⁴⁶ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,537.

⁴⁷ *Doe*, 888 F. Supp. 2d at 777 (“The close proximity of the notices to the beginning of the school year, after students have already enrolled, suggest[s] that their choice was not fully voluntary.”).

⁴⁸ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,537.

⁴⁹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(1)(iv).

⁵⁰ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(2).

⁵¹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(3); 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,538.

⁵² 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,538.

⁵³ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(3); *see also* 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,538.

⁵⁴ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,538 (“[There are] situations in which geographic accessibility will be relevant for classes. For example, if a recipient operates a consortium of schools whereby students at three neighboring high schools [take classes at all three schools, the] location, *i.e.*, geographic accessibility, of the classes in the same subject, would be relevant to the issue of substantial equality.”).

⁵⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(4).

⁵⁶ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,539.

⁵⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(b)(4)(i).

⁵⁸ *Id.*

⁵⁹ *United States v. Virginia*, 518 U.S. 515, 533 (1996) (“Physical differences between men and women, however, are enduring . . .”).

⁶⁰ See *J.E. B. v. Alabama*, 511 U.S. 127, 139 n.11 (1994) (“We have made abundantly clear in past cases that gender classifications that rest on impermissible stereotypes violate the Equal Protection Clause, even when some statistical support can be conjured up for the generalization.”).

⁶¹ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,539 (“Part of the periodic evaluation requirement involves an assessment of the degree to which the recipient’s important objective has been achieved and an assessment of whether the single-sex nature of the class is substantially related to achievement of the recipient’s objective.”).

⁶² 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(a).

⁶³ 71 Fed. Reg. at 62,534 (“Among other things, the Title IX regulations prohibit recipients from making job assignments on the basis of sex, § 106.51(b)(4), and from classifying jobs as being for males or females, § 106.55(a). Both of these provisions would prohibit schools from assigning teachers to single-sex classes based on their sex.”).

⁶⁴ 34 C.F.R. part 106, subpart E.

⁶⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.61.

⁶⁶ *Id.* (“A recipient shall not take action pursuant to this section [regarding bona-fide occupational qualifications] which is based upon . . . preference based on sex of the recipient, employees, students, or other persons.”).

⁶⁷ 29 U.S.C. § 794 (Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973) and 34 C.F.R. part 104; 42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-12165 (Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990) and 28 C.F.R. part 35; 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to d-7 (Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) and 34 C.F.R. part 100. OCR enforces Section 504 as it applies to recipients of Federal financial assistance from the Department and shares enforcement responsibility with the U.S. Department of Justice for Title II in the education context. Title II prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by public entities, including public school districts, in their services, programs, and activities, regardless of receipt of Federal funds.

⁶⁸ 20 U.S.C. §§ 1411-1414; 34 C.F.R. part 300.

⁶⁹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(c)(4).

TITLE IX RESOURCE GUIDE



U.S. Department of Education
Office for Civil Rights
April 2015

EXHIBIT J
PAGE 1

U.S. Department of Education
Office for Civil Rights

Catherine E. Lhamon
Assistant Secretary

April 2015

This resource guide is in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted. The guide's citation should be:

U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, *Title IX Resource Guide* (Apr. 2015).

This guide is also available on the Office for Civil Rights' website at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr>. Any updates to this guide will be available at this website.

If you need technical assistance, please contact the OCR regional office serving your State or territory by:

- visiting <http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OCR/contactus.cfm>, or
- calling OCR's Customer Service Team at 1 800-421-3481; TDD 1-800-877-8339; or
- emailing OCR at ocr@ed.gov.



Notice of Language Assistance Title IX Resource Guide

Notice of Language Assistance: If you have difficulty understanding English, you may, free of charge, request language assistance services for this Department information by calling 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), or email us at: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Aviso a personas con dominio limitado del idioma inglés: Si usted tiene alguna dificultad en entender el idioma inglés, puede, sin costo alguno, solicitar asistencia lingüística con respecto a esta información llamando al 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o envíe un mensaje de correo electrónico a: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

給英語能力有限人士的通知: 如果您不懂英語, 或者使用英語有困難, 您可以要求獲得向大眾提供的語言協助服務, 幫助您理解教育部資訊。這些語言協助服務均可免費提供。如果您需要有關口譯或筆譯服務的詳細資訊, 請致電 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (聽語障人士專線: 1-800-877-8339), 或電郵: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov。

Thông báo dành cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế: Nếu quý vị gặp khó khăn trong việc hiểu Anh ngữ thì quý vị có thể yêu cầu các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ cho các tin tức của Bộ dành cho công chúng. Các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ này đều miễn phí. Nếu quý vị muốn biết thêm chi tiết về các dịch vụ phiên dịch hay thông dịch, xin vui lòng gọi số 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), hoặc email: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

영어 미숙자를 위한 공고: 영어를 이해하는 데 어려움이 있으신 경우, 교육부 정보 센터에 일반인 대상 언어 지원 서비스를 요청하실 수 있습니다. 이러한 언어 지원 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다. 통역이나 번역 서비스에 대해 자세한 정보가 필요하신 경우, 전화번호 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) 또는 청각 장애인용 전화번호 1-800-877-8339 또는 이메일 주소 Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov 으로 연락하시기 바랍니다.

Paunawa sa mga Taong Limitado ang Kaalaman sa English: Kung nahihirapan kayong makaintindi ng English, maaari kayong humingi ng tulong ukol dito sa inpormasyon ng Kagawaran mula sa nagbibigay ng serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika. Ang serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika ay libre. Kung kailangan ninyo ng dagdag na impormasyon tungkol sa mga serbisyo kaugnay ng pagpapaliwanag o pagsasalin, mangyari lamang tumawag sa 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o mag-email sa: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Уведомление для лиц с ограниченным знанием английского языка: Если вы испытываете трудности в понимании английского языка, вы можете попросить, чтобы вам предоставили перевод информации, которую Министерство Образования доводит до всеобщего сведения. Этот перевод предоставляется бесплатно. Если вы хотите получить более подробную информацию об услугах устного и письменного перевода, звоните по телефону 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (служба для слабослышащих: 1-800-877-8339), или отправьте сообщение по адресу: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. Scope of Title IX..... 1

B. Responsibilities and Authority of a Title IX Coordinator 2

C. Title IX’s Administrative Requirements 4

 1. Grievance Procedures 4

 2. Notice of Nondiscrimination and Contact Information for the Title IX Coordinator 6

D. Application of Title IX to Various Issues 8

 1. Recruitment, Admissions, and Counseling 8

 2. Financial Assistance 10

 3. Athletics 11

 (a) Student Interests and Abilities 11

 (b) Athletic Benefits and Opportunities..... 13

 (c) Athletic Financial Assistance 14

 4. Sex-Based Harassment..... 15

 5. Pregnant and Parenting Students..... 18

 6. Discipline 19

 7. Single-Sex Education 20

 (a) Schools 20

 (b) Classes and Extracurricular Activities 21

 8. Employment..... 23

 9. Retaliation 24

E. Information Collection and Reporting 25

Page 1—Title IX Resource Guide

A. Scope of Title IX

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX) prohibits discrimination based on sex in education programs and activities in federally funded schools at all levels.¹ If any part of a school district or college receives any Federal funds for any purpose, all of the operations of the district or college are covered by Title IX.²

Title IX protects students, employees, applicants for admission and employment, and other persons from all forms of sex discrimination, including discrimination based on gender identity or failure to conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity. All students (as well as other persons) at recipient institutions are protected by Title IX—regardless of their sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, part- or full-time status, disability, race, or national origin—in all aspects of a recipient’s educational programs and activities.

As part of their obligations under Title IX, all recipients of Federal financial assistance must designate at least one employee to coordinate their efforts to comply with and carry out their responsibilities under Title IX and must notify all students and employees of that employee’s contact information.³ This employee is generally referred to as the Title IX coordinator.

The essence of Title IX is that an institution may not exclude, separate, deny benefits to, or otherwise treat differently any person on the basis of sex unless expressly authorized to do so under Title IX or the Department’s implementing regulations.⁴ When a recipient is considering relying on one of the exceptions to this general rule (several of which are discussed below), Title IX coordinators should be involved at every stage and work with school officials and legal counsel to help determine whether the exception is applicable and, if so, properly executed.

¹ 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681–1688. The Department of Justice shares enforcement authority over Title IX with OCR. The Department of Education’s Title IX regulations, 34 C.F.R. Part 106, are available at <http://www.ed.gov/policy/rights/reg/ocr/edlite-34cfr106.html>. Although Title IX and the Department’s implementing regulations apply to any recipient institution that offers education programs or activities, this resource guide focuses on Title IX coordinators designated by local educational agencies, schools, colleges, and universities.

² An educational institution that is controlled by a religious organization is exempt from Title IX to the extent that compliance would not be consistent with the religious tenets of such organization. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(3); 34 C.F.R. § 106.12(a). For application of this provision to a specific institution, please contact the appropriate OCR regional office.

³ 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(a).

⁴ 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a); 34 C.F.R. § 106.31.

Page 2—Title IX Resource Guide

B. Responsibilities and Authority of a Title IX Coordinator

Although the recipient is ultimately responsible for ensuring that it complies with Title IX and other laws, the Title IX coordinator is an integral part of a recipient's systematic approach to ensuring nondiscrimination, including a nondiscriminatory environment. Title IX coordinators can be effective agents for ensuring gender equity within their institutions only when they are provided with the appropriate authority and support necessary to coordinate their institution's Title IX compliance, including access to all of their institution's relevant information and resources.

One of the most important facets of the Title IX coordinator's responsibility is helping to ensure the recipient's compliance with Title IX's administrative requirements. The Title IX coordinator must have knowledge of the recipient's policies and procedures on sex discrimination and should be involved in the drafting and revision of such policies and procedures to help to ensure that they comply with the requirements of Title IX.

The coordinator may help the recipient by coordinating the implementation and administration of the recipient's procedures for resolving Title IX complaints, including educating the school community on how to file a complaint alleging a violation of Title IX, investigating complaints, working with law enforcement when necessary, and ensuring that complaints are resolved promptly and appropriately. The coordinator should also coordinate the recipient's response to all complaints involving possible sex discrimination to monitor outcomes, identify patterns, and assess effects on the campus climate. Such coordination can help an institution avoid Title IX violations, particularly violations involving sexual harassment and violence, by preventing incidents from recurring or becoming systemic problems. Title IX does not specify who should determine the outcome of Title IX complaints or the actions the school will take in response to such complaints. The Title IX coordinator could play this role, provided there are no conflicts of interest, but does not have to.

The Title IX coordinator should also assist the institution in developing a method to survey the school climate and coordinate the collection and analysis of information from that survey. Further, the coordinator should monitor students' participation in athletics and across academic fields to identify programs with disproportionate enrollment based on sex and ensure that sex discrimination is not causing any disproportionality or otherwise negatively affecting a student's access to equal educational opportunities.

The Title IX coordinator should provide training and technical assistance on school policies related to sex discrimination and develop programs, such as assemblies or college trainings, on issues related to Title IX to assist the recipient in making sure that all members of the school community, including students and staff, are aware of their rights and obligations under Title IX. To perform

Page 3—Title IX Resource Guide

this responsibility effectively, the coordinator should regularly assess the adequacy of current training opportunities and programs and propose improvements as appropriate.

A recipient can designate more than one Title IX coordinator, which may be particularly helpful in larger school districts, colleges, and universities. It may also be helpful to designate specific employees to coordinate certain Title IX compliance issues (*e.g.*, gender equity in academic programs or athletics, harassment, or complaints from employees). If a recipient has multiple Title IX coordinators, then it should designate one lead Title IX coordinator who has ultimate oversight responsibility.

Because Title IX prohibits discrimination in all aspects of a recipient's education programs and activities, the Title IX coordinator should work closely with many different members of the school community, such as administrators, counselors, athletic directors, non-professional counselors or advocates, and legal counsel. Although these employees may not be formally designated as Title IX coordinators, the Title IX coordinator may need to work with them because their job responsibilities relate to the recipient's obligations under Title IX. The recipient should ensure that all employees whose work relates to Title IX communicate with one another and that these employees have the support they need to ensure consistent practices and enforcement of the recipient's policies and compliance with Title IX. The coordinator should also be available to meet with the school community, including other employees, students, and parents or guardians, as needed to discuss any issues related to Title IX.

For more information about the role of the Title IX coordinator, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(a);
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Coordinators (April 24, 2015), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201504-title-ix-coordinators.pdf>; and
- Letter to Title IX Coordinators (April 24, 2015), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/dcl-title-ix-coordinators-letter-201504.pdf>.

Page 4—Title IX Resource Guide

C. Title IX’s Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements in the Department’s Title IX regulations are the underpinning of both the Title IX coordinator’s job and a recipient’s compliance with Title IX; their purpose is to ensure that a recipient maintains an environment for students and employees that is free from unlawful sex discrimination in all aspects of the educational experience, including academics, extracurricular activities, and athletics. These requirements provide that a recipient must establish a system for the prompt and equitable resolution of complaints. This allows an institution to resolve complaints of discrimination without the need for involvement by outside entities, such as the Federal government. They also provide that a recipient must ensure that members of the school community are aware of their rights under Title IX, have the contact information for the Title IX coordinator, and know how to file a complaint alleging a violation of Title IX.

1. Grievance Procedures

The Department’s Title IX regulations require a recipient to adopt and publish grievance procedures providing for the prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints under Title IX. These procedures provide an institution with a mechanism for discovering incidents of discrimination or harassment as early as possible and for effectively correcting individual and systemic problems. The procedures that each school uses to resolve Title IX complaints may vary depending on the nature of the allegation, the age of the student or students involved, the size and administrative structure of the school, state or local legal requirements, and what it has learned from past experiences.

There are several ways in which a Title IX coordinator can coordinate the recipient’s compliance with the Title IX regulatory requirement regarding grievance procedures.

- First, the Title IX coordinator should work with the recipient to help make sure that the grievance procedures are written in language appropriate for the age of the audience (such as elementary, middle school, high school, or postsecondary students), and that they are easily understood and widely disseminated.
- Second, the Title IX coordinator should review the grievance procedures to help determine whether they incorporate all of the elements required for the prompt and equitable resolution of student and employee complaints under Title IX, consistent with the Title IX regulatory requirement and OCR guidance.
- Third, the Title IX coordinator should communicate with students, parents or guardians, and school employees to help them understand the recipient’s grievance procedures; train employees and students about how Title IX protects against sex discrimination; and provide consultation and information regarding Title IX requirements to potential complainants.

Page 5—Title IX Resource Guide

- Fourth, the Title IX coordinator is responsible for coordinating the grievance process and making certain that individual complaints are handled properly. This coordination responsibility may include informing all parties regarding the process, notifying all parties regarding grievance decisions and of the right to and procedures for appeal, if any; monitoring compliance with all of the requirements and timelines specified in the grievance procedures; and maintaining grievance and compliance records and files.
- Finally, the Title IX coordinator should work with the recipient to help ensure that its grievance procedures are accessible to English language learners⁵ and students with disabilities.⁶

For more information about grievance procedures, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(b);
- Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence (April 29, 2014), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/ga-201404-title-ix.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Sexual Violence (April 4, 2011), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Grievance Procedures, Postsecondary Education (August 4, 2004), *available at* http://www.ed.gov/ocr/responsibilities_ix_ps.html;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Grievance Procedures, Elementary and Secondary Education (April 26, 2004), *available at* http://www.ed.gov/ocr/responsibilities_ix.html; and
- Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance (January 19, 2001), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/shguide.pdf>.

⁵ Public schools and State educational agencies must take affirmative steps to ensure that students with limited English proficiency can meaningfully participate in their educational programs and services under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d to d-7, and the Equal Educational Opportunities Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1703(f) (1974).

⁶ See 28 C.F.R. § 35.130(a) and (b); 34 C.F.R. § 104.4.

Page 6—Title IX Resource Guide

2. Notice of Nondiscrimination and Contact Information for the Title IX Coordinator

The Department's Title IX regulations require a recipient to publish a statement that it does not discriminate on the basis of sex in the education programs or activities it operates and that it is required by Title IX not to discriminate in such a manner. The notice must also state that questions regarding Title IX may be referred to the recipient's Title IX coordinator or to OCR.

The notice must be widely distributed to all applicants for admission and employment, students and parents or guardians of elementary and secondary school students, employees, sources of referral of applicants for admission and employment, and all unions or professional organizations holding collective bargaining or professional agreements with the recipient. The notice should be prominently posted on the recipient's website, at various locations on campus, and in electronic and printed publications for general distribution. In addition, the notice must be included in any bulletins, announcements, publications, catalogs, application forms, or recruitment materials.

A recipient must notify all students and employees of the name or title, office address, telephone number, and email address of the Title IX coordinator, including in its notice of nondiscrimination. The notice should also state any other job title that the Title IX coordinator might have. Recipients must notify students and employees of the Title IX coordinator's contact information in its notice of nondiscrimination. Recipients with more than one Title IX coordinator must notify the school community of the lead Title IX coordinator's contact information in its notice of nondiscrimination, and should also make available the contact information for its other Title IX coordinators as well to ensure consistent practices and standards in handling complaints. In doing so, recipients should include any additional information that would help students and employees identify which Title IX coordinator to contact, such as each Title IX coordinator's specific geographic region (*e.g.*, a particular elementary school or part of a college campus) or area of specialization within Title IX (*e.g.*, gender equity in academic programs or athletics, harassment, or complaints from employees). Because social media are now widespread means for students and other members of the school community to communicate, a recipient should also make the Title IX coordinator's contact information available on social media to the extent that they are supported or used by the recipient.

The content of the notice must be complete and include current information. The Title IX coordinator should work with the recipient to make sure the text of the notice complies with all applicable requirements, that the notice is published and properly displayed, and the content of the notice remains accurate. One potentially low-cost way to help ensure that a recipient's notice is properly disseminated and current on the recipient's website is to create a page on the website that includes the name and contact information of the recipient's Title IX coordinator(s), relevant Title IX policies and grievance procedures, and other resources related to Title IX compliance and gender equity. A link to this page should be prominently displayed on the recipient's homepage.

Page 7—Title IX Resource Guide

For more information on notices of nondiscrimination, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.8(a), 106.9;
- Notice of Nondiscrimination (August 2010), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/nondisc.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Grievance Procedures, Postsecondary Education (August 4, 2004), *available at* http://www.ed.gov/ocr/responsibilities_ix_ps.html; and
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Grievance Procedures, Elementary and Secondary Education (April 26, 2004), *available at* http://www.ed.gov/ocr/responsibilities_ix.html.

Page 8—Title IX Resource Guide

D. Application of Title IX to Various Issues

Below is a summary of some of the key issues covered by Title IX, as well as some general information on the legal requirements applicable to each issue area, including citations to the relevant Departmental regulatory provisions and references to OCR’s guidance that address the issue. The discussion of each Title IX issue includes recommended best practices to help a recipient meet its obligations under Title IX.

1. Recruitment, Admissions, and Counseling

Title IX prohibits recipient institutions of vocational education, professional education, graduate higher education, and public colleges and universities from discriminating on the basis of sex in the recruitment or admission of students.⁷ The Title IX coordinator at these recipient institutions should help the recipient to ensure that it does not discriminate on the basis of sex in recruitment and admissions by reviewing the recipient’s recruitment materials, admission forms, and policies and practices in these areas.

The Department’s Title IX regulations also prohibit all recipients from discriminating on the basis of sex in counseling or guiding students or applicants for admission. The Title IX coordinator should review any materials used for counseling students in terms of class or career selection, or for counseling applicants for admission, to ensure that the recipient does not use different materials for students based on sex or use materials that permit or require different treatment of students based on sex.

At all types of recipient institutions covered by Title IX, the Title IX coordinator should also work with school officials to help remind the school community that all students must have equal access to all programs. Many fields of study continue to be affected by sex-based disparities in enrollment; these are typically called nontraditional fields. For example, some fields of study in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics or career and technical education are often affected by disproportionate enrollment of students based on sex, which triggers a duty of inquiry on the part of the recipient. Title IX coordinators can help ensure that such disparities are not the result of discrimination on the basis of sex by reviewing enrollment data and working with other employees of the recipient to review counseling practices and counseling or appraisal materials. Under certain circumstances, recipients might encourage students to explore nontraditional fields to address underrepresentation of students of that sex in those fields.

⁷ 20 U.S.C. §1681(a)(1). The Department’s Title IX regulations regarding admissions do not apply to private institutions of undergraduate higher education or to any public institution of undergraduate higher education which traditionally and continually from its establishment has had a policy of admitting only students of one sex. 34 C.F.R. § 106.15.

Page 9—Title IX Resource Guide

For more information about sex discrimination in recruiting, admissions, and counseling, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.3(b), 106.15, 106.36, and 34 C.F.R. Part 106, Subpart C; and
- Title IX and Access to Courses and Programs in Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (October 2012), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/presentations/stem-t9-powerpoint.pdf>.

Page 10—Title IX Resource Guide

2. Financial Assistance

Generally, a recipient may not: (a) provide different amounts or types of financial assistance, limit eligibility for such assistance, apply different criteria or otherwise discriminate on the basis of sex in administering such assistance; or (b) assist any agency, organization, or person which offers sex-restricted student aid.

The Department's Title IX regulations provide three exceptions to these general prohibitions. Recipients are permitted to administer or assist in the administration of scholarships, fellowships, or other awards that are restricted to members of one sex if the award is: (a) created by certain legal instruments, including wills or trusts, or by acts of a foreign government, provided the overall effect is nondiscriminatory; (b) for study at foreign institutions if the recipient provides, or otherwise makes available reasonable opportunities for similar studies for members of the other sex; or (c) athletic financial assistance. The Department's Title IX regulatory requirements regarding athletic financial assistance are discussed in the Athletics section, below.

To help the recipient ensure its compliance with these requirements, the Title IX coordinator should help the recipient develop, and subsequently monitor, the procedures and practices for awarding financial assistance and for administering or aiding any foundation, trust, agency, organization, person, or foreign government in awarding financial assistance to its students.

For more information about sex discrimination in financial assistance, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.31(c) and 106.37.

Page 11—Title IX Resource Guide

3. Athletics

The Department's Title IX regulations prohibit sex discrimination in interscholastic, intercollegiate, club, or intramural athletics offered by a recipient institution, including with respect to (a) student interests and abilities; (b) athletic benefits and opportunities; and (c) athletic financial assistance.

(a) Student Interests and Abilities

Under the Department's Title IX regulations, an institution must provide equal athletic opportunities for members of both sexes and effectively accommodate students' athletic interests and abilities. OCR uses a three-part test to determine whether an institution is providing nondiscriminatory athletic participation opportunities in compliance with the Title IX regulation. The test provides the following three compliance options:

1. Whether participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or
2. Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or
3. Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.

The three-part test is intended to allow institutions to maintain flexibility and control over their athletic programs consistent with Title IX's nondiscrimination requirements. The three-part test furnishes an institution with three individual avenues to choose from when determining how it will provide individuals of each sex with nondiscriminatory opportunities to participate in athletics. If an institution has met any part of the three-part test, OCR will determine that the institution is meeting this requirement.

To coordinate the institution's compliance with this requirement, the Title IX coordinator should compare its enrollment data to the number of athletic participation opportunities it offers; review the institution's history of expanding participation opportunities for students of the underrepresented sex; and evaluate whether there is unmet interest in a particular sport, whether there is sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport, and whether there is a reasonable expectation of competition for the team.

Page 12—Title IX Resource Guide

For more information about the obligation to provide equal athletic opportunities and to effectively accommodate students' athletic interests and abilities, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c)(1);
- Dear Colleague Letter: Part Three of the Three-Part Test (April 20, 2010), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-20100420.html>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Athletic Activities Counted for Title IX Purposes (September 17, 2008), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-20080917.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Title IX Athletics Three-Part Test (March 27, 2008), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/title-ix-2008-0327.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance (July 11, 2003), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/title9guidanceFinal.html>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: The Three-Part Test (January 16, 1996), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/clarific.html>; and
- Title IX Policy Interpretation: Intercollegiate Athletics (December 11, 1979), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/t9interp.html>.

(b) Athletic Benefits and Opportunities

The Department's Title IX regulations and OCR guidance require that recipients that operate or sponsor interscholastic, intercollegiate, club or intramural athletics provide equal athletic opportunities for members of both sexes. In determining whether an institution is providing equal opportunity in athletics, the regulations require the Department to consider, among others, the following factors: (1) the provision of equipment and supplies; (2) scheduling of games and practice time; (3) travel and per diem allowances; (4) opportunity for coaching and academic tutoring; (5) assignment and compensation of coaches and tutors; (6) provision of locker rooms, and practice and competitive facilities; (7) provision of medical and training facilities and services; (8) housing and dining services; (9) publicity; (10) recruitment; and (11) support services. These factors are sometimes referred to as the laundry list.

As part of the recipient's obligation to provide equal athletic opportunity to its students, OCR encourages Title IX coordinators to work with the recipient to periodically review and compare the distribution of athletic benefits and opportunities by sex in each of these areas, including financial expenditures on male and female athletic teams.

For more information about each of these areas, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c)(2)–(10); and
- Title IX Policy Interpretation: Intercollegiate Athletics (December 11, 1979), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/t9interp.html>.

Page 13—Title IX Resource Guide

EXHIBIT J
PAGE 18

Page 14—Title IX Resource Guide

(c) Athletic Financial Assistance

The Department's Title IX regulations specify that if a recipient awards athletic financial assistance, including athletic scholarships or grants-in-aid, it must provide reasonable opportunities for such awards for members of each sex in substantial proportion to the number of students of each sex participating in interscholastic or intercollegiate athletics. Separate athletic financial assistance for members of each sex may be provided as part of separate athletic teams for members of each sex.

The Title IX coordinator should help coordinate the recipient's efforts to ensure that the athletic financial assistance awarded by the recipient complies with these provisions by working with the institution and its athletics department.

For more information about a recipient's obligations regarding awards of athletic financial assistance, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c);
- Title IX Policy Interpretation: Intercollegiate Athletics (December 11, 1979), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/t9interp.html>; and
- Dear Colleague Letter: Bowling Green State University (July 23, 1998), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/bowlgrn.html>.

Page 15—Title IX Resource Guide

4. Sex-Based Harassment

In order to best perform academically and to have equal access to all aspects of a recipient's educational programs and activities, students must not be subjected to unlawful harassment, either in the classroom or while participating in other education programs or activities.⁸

Title IX prohibits sex-based harassment by peers, employees, or third parties that is sufficiently serious to deny or limit a student's ability to participate in or benefit from the recipient's education programs and activities (*i.e.*, creates a hostile environment). When a recipient knows or reasonably should know of possible sex-based harassment, it must take immediate and appropriate steps to investigate or otherwise determine what occurred. If an investigation reveals that the harassment created a hostile environment, the recipient must take prompt and effective steps reasonably calculated to end the harassment, eliminate the hostile environment, prevent the harassment from recurring, and, as appropriate, remedy its effects.

Title IX prohibits several types of sex-based harassment. Sexual harassment is unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature, such as unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal, nonverbal, or physical conduct of a sexual nature. Sexual violence is a form of sexual harassment and refers to physical sexual acts perpetrated against a person's will or where a person is incapable of giving consent (*e.g.*, due to the student's age or use of drugs or alcohol, or because an intellectual or other disability prevents the student from having the capacity to give consent). A number of different acts fall into the category of sexual violence, including rape, sexual assault, sexual battery, sexual abuse, and sexual coercion. Gender-based harassment is another form of sex-based harassment and refers to unwelcome conduct based on an individual's actual or perceived sex, including harassment based on gender identity or nonconformity with sex stereotypes, and not necessarily involving conduct of a sexual nature. All of these types of sex-based harassment are forms of sex discrimination prohibited by Title IX.

Harassing conduct may take many forms, including verbal acts and name-calling, as well as non-verbal behavior, such as graphic and written statements, or conduct that is physically threatening, harmful, or humiliating. The more severe the conduct, the less need there is to show a repetitive series of incidents to prove a hostile environment, particularly if the conduct is physical. Indeed, a single or isolated incident of sexual violence may create a hostile environment.

Title IX protects all students from sex-based harassment, regardless of the sex of the alleged perpetrator or complainant, including when they are members of the same sex. Title IX's sex discrimination prohibition extends to claims of discrimination based on gender identity or failure to

⁸ A Title IX coordinator may receive reports of sex-based harassment of any member of the school community. It is the Title IX coordinator's responsibility to help make sure that such complaints are processed appropriately.

Page 16--Title IX Resource Guide

conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity, and a recipient must accept and appropriately respond to all complaints of sex discrimination. Similarly, the actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity of the parties does not change a recipient's obligations. A recipient should investigate and resolve allegations of sexual or gender-based harassment of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender students using the same procedures and standards that it uses in all complaints involving sex-based harassment. The fact that an incident of sex-based harassment may be accompanied by anti-gay comments or be partly based on a student's actual or perceived sexual orientation does not relieve a recipient of its obligation under Title IX to investigate and remedy such an incident.

The Title IX coordinator must coordinate the recipient's efforts to accept and appropriately respond to all complaints of sex discrimination and should work with the recipient to prevent sexual and gender-based harassment.

- First, the Title IX coordinator should assist in any training the recipient provides to the school community, including all employees, as to what conduct constitutes sexual and gender-based harassment and how to respond appropriately when it occurs.
- Second, the Title IX coordinator should help the recipient develop a method appropriate to their institution to survey the campus climate, evaluate whether any discriminatory attitudes pervade the school culture, and determine whether any harassment or other problematic behaviors are occurring, where they happen, which students are responsible, which students are targeted, and how those conditions may be best remedied.
- Third, because the Title IX coordinator must have knowledge of all Title IX reports and complaints at the recipient institution, the Title IX coordinator is generally in the best position to evaluate confidentiality requests from complainants in the context of providing a safe, nondiscriminatory environment for all students.
- Fourth, the Title IX coordinator should coordinate recordkeeping (for instance, in a confidential log maintained by the Title IX coordinator), monitor incidents to help identify students or employees who have multiple complaints filed against them or who have been repeated targets, and address any patterns or systemic problems that arise, including making school officials aware of these patterns or systemic problems as appropriate.
- Fifth, the Title IX coordinator should recommend, as necessary, that the recipient increase safety measures, such as monitoring, supervision, or security at locations or activities where harassment has occurred.

Page 17—Title IX Resource Guide

- Finally, the Title IX coordinator should regularly review the effectiveness of the recipient's efforts to ensure that the recipient institution is free from sexual and gender-based harassment, and use that information to recommend future proactive steps that the recipient can take to comply with Title IX and protect the school community.

For more information about a recipient's obligation to address sexual and gender-based harassment, please review:

- Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence (April 29, 2014), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/qa-201404-title-ix.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Sexual Violence (April 4, 2011), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Harassment and Bullying (October 26, 2010), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201010.pdf>;
- Sexual Harassment: It's Not Academic (September 2008), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/ocrshpam.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Sexual Harassment Issues (January 25, 2006), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/sexhar-2006.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: First Amendment (July 28, 2003), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/firstamend.html>;
- Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance (January 19, 2001), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/shguide.pdf>; and
- Not Alone: Together Against Sexual Assault, *available at* <http://www.notalone.gov>.

Page 18—Title IX Resource Guide

5. Pregnant and Parenting Students

Under the Department's Title IX regulations, recipients are prohibited from: (a) applying any rule concerning parental, family, or marital status that treats persons differently on the basis of sex; or (b) discriminating against or excluding any student from its education program or activity, including any class or extracurricular activity on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, false pregnancy, termination of pregnancy, or recovery therefrom. Institutions of vocational education, professional education, graduate higher education, and public colleges and universities are prohibited from making pre-admission inquiries as to the marital status of an applicant for admission.

The Title IX coordinator should work with the recipient on its obligation not to discriminate against students based on their parental, family, or marital status, or exclude pregnant or parenting students from participating in any educational program, including extracurricular activities. The Title IX coordinator is responsible for coordinating the recipient's response to complaints of discrimination against pregnant and parenting students. In addition, the Title IX coordinator should provide training to students so they know that Title IX prohibits discrimination against pregnant and parenting students, provide workshops to administrators, teachers, and other staff on the Department's Title IX regulations and OCR guidance related to pregnant and parenting students, and assist the recipient in helping to meet the unique educational, child care, and health care needs of pregnant and parenting students.

For more information about a recipient's obligations regarding pregnant and parenting students, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.21(c), 106.31, 106.40;
- Supporting the Academic Success of Pregnant and Parenting Students (June 2013), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/pregnancy.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Pregnant and Parenting Students (June 25, 2013), *available at* <http://www2.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201306-title-ix.pdf>; and
- Dear Colleague Letter: Nondiscriminatory Treatment of Pregnant Student Athletes (June 25, 2007), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-20070625.pdf>.

Page 19—Title IX Resource Guide

6. Discipline

The Department's Title IX regulations prohibit a recipient from subjecting any person to separate or different rules of behavior, sanctions, or other treatment, such as discriminatory discipline, based on sex.

The Title IX coordinator should review the recipient's discipline policies to help make sure they are not discriminatory. In addition, the Title IX coordinator should work with other coordinators or school employees to help the recipient keep and maintain accurate and complete records regarding its disciplinary incidents and monitor the recipient's administration of its discipline policies to ensure that they are not administered in a discriminatory manner. For example, the Title IX coordinator should review the recipient's disciplinary records and data to ensure that similarly situated students are not being disciplined differently based on sex for the same offense and that the recipient's discipline policies do not have an unlawful disparate impact on students based on sex. The Title IX coordinator should also help the recipient to ensure that students are not disciplined based on their gender identity or for failing to conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity in their behavior or appearance.

For more information about a recipient's obligations regarding nondiscriminatory administration of discipline, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b)(4); and
- Dear Colleague Letter: Nondiscriminatory Administration of Discipline (January 8, 2014), available at <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201401-title-vi.pdf>.

7. Single-Sex Education

A recipient is generally prohibited from providing any of its education programs or activities separately on the basis of sex, or requiring or refusing participation by students on the basis of sex unless expressly authorized to do so under Title IX or the Department’s implementing regulations. There are some limited exceptions, the most significant of which are outlined below.

(a) Schools

A recipient generally may offer a single-sex nonvocational elementary or secondary school under Title IX only if it offers a substantially equal school to students of the other sex.⁹ The substantially equal school may be either single-sex or coeducational. The Department’s Title IX regulations include a non-exhaustive list of factors that are relevant to determining whether a school is substantially equal to a single-sex school. The factors include the admission criteria and policies; the educational benefits provided, including the quality, range, and content of curriculum and other services, and the quality and availability of books, instructional materials, and technology; the qualifications of faculty and staff; geographic accessibility; the quality and range of extracurricular offerings; the quality, accessibility, and availability of facilities and resources provided; and intangible features, such as reputation of faculty. Although the schools do not need to be identical with respect to each factor, they need to be substantially equal. This means that if one school is significantly superior with respect to one factor, or slightly superior with respect to many factors, the schools are likely not substantially equal.

If the recipient offers a single-sex school, then the district’s Title IX coordinator should be involved in assessing the recipient’s compliance with Title IX by helping to ensure that the recipient offers a substantially equal single-sex school or coeducational school.

⁹ Title IX does not prohibit the operation of a single-sex nonvocational private elementary or secondary school or a single-sex nonvocational private institution of undergraduate higher education. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1); 34 C.F.R. § 106.15(d). Title IX permits the operation of a nonvocational public charter school that is a single-school local educational agency under State law without requiring the operation of a substantially equal school for the excluded sex.

Page 21—Title IX Resource Guide

(b) Classes and Extracurricular Activities

The Department's Title IX regulations do not prohibit recipients from grouping students in physical education classes and activities by ability as assessed by objective standards of individual performance developed and applied without regard to sex or using requirements based on vocal range or quality that may result in a chorus or choruses of one or predominantly one sex.

The Department's Title IX regulations identify the following categories for which a recipient may intentionally separate students by sex: (a) contact sports in physical education classes; (b) classes or portions of classes in elementary and secondary schools that deal primarily with human sexuality; and (c) nonvocational classes and extracurricular activities within a coeducational, nonvocational elementary or secondary school if certain criteria are met.

With respect to the third category, a recipient may offer a single-sex nonvocational class or extracurricular activity in a coeducational, nonvocational elementary or secondary school if the class is based on one of two important objectives: to improve its students' educational achievement through its overall established policy to provide diverse educational opportunities or to meet the particular, identified educational needs of its students. The single-sex nature of each class must be substantially related to achievement of the important objective and the recipient must implement its important objective in an evenhanded manner. In addition, enrollment in a single-sex class must be completely voluntary and the recipient must provide a substantially equal coeducational class in the same subject to all students, and may be required to provide a substantially equal single-sex class for students of the excluded sex. The factors that are relevant to determining whether a single-sex class and a coeducational class are substantially equal are similar to those used to determine whether schools are substantially equal. If a recipient provides a single-sex class under this regulatory exception, it is also required to conduct a periodic evaluation of the class and the original justification behind the class at least every two years. The periodic evaluation must ensure that each single-sex class is based upon a genuine justification and does not rely on overly broad generalizations about the different talents, capacities, or preferences of either sex, and that each single-sex class or extracurricular activity is substantially related to the achievement of the important objective for the class.

If the recipient offers a single-sex class, then the Title IX coordinator should be involved in assessing the recipient's compliance with Title IX, both when determining whether and how single-sex classes can be offered and during the recipient's periodic review of single-sex offerings. The Title IX coordinator's role may include assisting with the preparation and review of the required periodic evaluations, tracking and reviewing complaints involving single-sex classes, confirming that student enrollment in any single-sex class is completely voluntary, and helping to ensure that the recipient offers a substantially equal coeducational class and, as appropriate, substantially equal single-sex class, for each single-sex class offered. The Title IX coordinator should also help ensure that

Page 22—Title IX Resource Guide

transgender students are treated consistent with their gender identity in the context of single-sex classes.

For more information about single-sex schools, classes, and extracurricular activities, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.34;
- Questions and Answers Regarding Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes and Extracurricular Activities (December, 2014), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/faqs-title-ix-single-sex-201412.pdf>;
- Dear Colleague Letter: Single-Sex Education (January 31, 2007), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/single-sex-20070131.html>; and
- Final Rule: Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 71 Fed. Reg. 62,530 (October 25, 2006), *available at* <http://www2.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister/finrule/2006-4/102506a.pdf>.

Page 23—Title IX Resource Guide

8. Employment

Under the Department's Title IX regulations, a recipient is generally prohibited from discriminating on the basis of sex in any employment or recruitment, consideration or selection for employment, whether full-time or part-time.¹⁰ This includes employment actions such as recruitment, hiring, promotion, compensation, grants of leave, and benefits. A recipient must make employment decisions in a nondiscriminatory manner, and may not enter into contracts, including those with employment agencies or unions, that have the direct or indirect effect of subjecting employees or students to discrimination based on sex. Additionally, Title IX's employment provisions protect against discrimination based on an applicant's or employee's pregnancy or marital or parental status. Finally, a recipient may not employ students in a way that discriminates against one sex, or provide services to any other organization that does so.

The Title IX coordinator should help the recipient in making sure school employees are aware that the Title IX coordinator is available to help employees as well as students. The Title IX coordinator should be familiar with the recipient's employment policies and procedures, and train the appropriate human resource employees regarding the recipient's obligations under Title IX.

For more information about employment discrimination, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. Part 106, Subpart E; 34 C.F.R. § 106.38.

¹⁰ Employees are also protected from discrimination on the basis of sex, including sexual harassment, by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e. OCR does not enforce Title VII. For information about Title VII, see the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission's website at <http://www.eeoc.gov>.

Page 24—Title IX Resource Guide

9. Retaliation

A recipient cannot retaliate against an individual, including a Title IX coordinator, for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX. Retaliation against an individual because the individual filed a complaint alleging a violation of Title IX; participated in a Title IX investigation, hearing, or proceeding; or advocated for others' Title IX rights is also prohibited. The recipient should ensure that individuals are not intimidated, threatened, coerced, or discriminated against for engaging in such activity.

For more information about the prohibition against retaliation, please review:

- 34 C.F.R. § 106.71 (incorporating by reference 34 C.F.R. § 100.7(e)); and
- Dear Colleague Letter: Retaliation (April 2013), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201304.pdf>.

Page 25—Title IX Resource Guide

E. Information Collection and Reporting

The Department requires recipients to report information about Title IX and other civil rights issues that may be useful to the work of Title IX coordinators. In addition, Title IX coordinators can play a helpful role in helping to ensure that their institutions' information is accurate, comprehensive, and effectively used to cure civil rights violations or prevent them from occurring.

OCR administers the Civil Rights Data Collection (CRDC), which collects information on key education and civil rights issues from public local educational agencies (LEAs) and schools, including juvenile justice facilities, charter schools, alternative schools, and schools serving students with disabilities. The information is used by OCR in its enforcement efforts, by other Department offices and Federal agencies, and by the public, including policymakers and researchers.

The CRDC collects information on several key issue areas under Title IX that might help inform the Title IX coordinator's work, including harassment or bullying,¹¹ discipline, and participation in various academic classes and programs, single-sex classes and activities, and interscholastic athletics. In addition, the CRDC asks LEAs to report whether they have civil rights coordinators, including Title IX coordinators and to provide each coordinator's contact information. For Title IX coordinators at elementary and secondary schools, the CRDC may be a useful tool to monitor trends within their districts and schools to determine whether there are patterns or systemic problems under Title IX. Additionally, the CRDC and other information collections at the State and local levels can help recipients and their Title IX coordinators identify patterns of disproportionality that may be rooted in sex discrimination. For example, the CRDC's information about student enrollment in particular courses of study (*e.g.*, science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses) may help a Title IX coordinator determine whether a particular sex is underrepresented in such courses. If so, the coordinator should investigate the possible causes of the disproportionality and then recommend measures for reaching greater proportionality, as appropriate.

¹¹ The CRDC collects information on allegations of harassment or bullying, students reported as harassed or bullied, and students disciplined for harassment or bullying, based on sex, race/color/national origin, and disability. For allegations of harassment or bullying, data are also collected based on religion and sexual orientation. As a best practice, OCR recommends that Title IX coordinators assist the recipient in training relevant staff about how information on sex-based harassment should be reported under the CRDC. For example, relevant staff should be knowledgeable about the ways in which harassment based on sex and sexual orientation overlap, and informed that if an incident has multiple bases (*e.g.*, an incident in which a student was harassed both based on gender nonconformity (sex) and sexual orientation), the LEA should report all relevant bases under the CRDC. In addition, the recipient should remind staff who collect, maintain, and report information to the Department of these requirements and of the district's obligations, including keeping personally identifiable information private.

Page 26—Title IX Resource Guide

The Department's Office of Postsecondary Education also collects information about Title IX coordinators from postsecondary institutions in reports required under the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act and the Higher Education Opportunity Act.¹² Title IX coordinators in postsecondary settings should assist the institution's officials in accurately reporting the required information.

For more information about data collection and reporting, please review:

- CRDC webpage, *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/ocr/data.html>; and
- Campus Security webpage (for postsecondary institutions), *available at* <http://www.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/campus.html>.

¹² 20 U.S.C. § 1092(f). The Department will begin collecting this information in 2015.



U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division



U.S. Department of Education
Office for Civil Rights

Dear Colleague Letter on Transgender Students
Notice of Language Assistance

If you have difficulty understanding English, you may, free of charge, request language assistance services for this Department information by calling 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), or email us at: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Aviso a personas con dominio limitado del idioma inglés: Si usted tiene alguna dificultad en entender el idioma inglés, puede, sin costo alguno, solicitar asistencia lingüística con respecto a esta información llamando al 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o envíe un mensaje de correo electrónico a: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

給英語能力有限人士的通知: 如果您不懂英語, 或者使用英語有困難, 您可以要求獲得向大眾提供的語言協助服務, 幫助您理解教育部資訊。這些語言協助服務均可免費提供。如果您需要有關口譯或筆譯服務的詳細資訊, 請致電 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (聽語障人士專線: 1-800-877-8339), 或電郵: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov。

Thông báo dành cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế: Nếu quý vị gặp khó khăn trong việc hiểu Anh ngữ thì quý vị có thể yêu cầu các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ cho các tin tức của Bộ dành cho công chúng. Các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ này đều miễn phí. Nếu quý vị muốn biết thêm chi tiết về các dịch vụ phiên dịch hay thông dịch, xin vui lòng gọi số 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), hoặc email: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

영어 미숙자를 위한 공고: 영어를 이해하는 데 어려움이 있으신 경우, 교육부 정보 센터에 일반인 대상 언어 지원 서비스를 요청하실 수 있습니다. 이러한 언어 지원 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다. 통역이나 번역 서비스에 대해 자세한 정보가 필요하신 경우, 전화번호 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) 또는 청각 장애인용 전화번호 1-800-877-8339 또는 이메일주소 Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov 으로 연락하시기 바랍니다.

Paunawa sa mga Taong Limitado ang Kaalaman sa English: Kung nahhirapan kayong makaintindi ng English, maaari kayong humingi ng tulong ukol dito sa inpormasyon ng Kagawaran mula sa nagbibigay ng serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika. Ang serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika ay libre. Kung kailangan ninyo ng dagdag na inpormasyon tungkol sa mga serbisyo kaugnay ng pagpapaliwanag o pagsasalin, mangyari lamang tumawag sa 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o mag-email sa: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Уведомление для лиц с ограниченным знанием английского языка: Если вы испытываете трудности в понимании английского языка, вы можете попросить, чтобы вам предоставили перевод информации, которую Министерство Образования доводит до всеобщего сведения. Этот перевод предоставляется бесплатно. Если вы хотите получить более подробную информацию об услугах устного и письменного перевода, звоните по телефону 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (служба для слабослышащих: 1-800-877-8339), или отправьте сообщение по адресу: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.



U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division



U.S. Department of Education
Office for Civil Rights

May 13, 2016

Dear Colleague:

Schools across the country strive to create and sustain inclusive, supportive, safe, and nondiscriminatory communities for all students. In recent years, we have received an increasing number of questions from parents, teachers, principals, and school superintendents about civil rights protections for transgender students. Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX) and its implementing regulations prohibit sex discrimination in educational programs and activities operated by recipients of Federal financial assistance.¹ This prohibition encompasses discrimination based on a student's gender identity, including discrimination based on a student's transgender status. This letter summarizes a school's Title IX obligations regarding transgender students and explains how the U.S. Department of Education (ED) and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) evaluate a school's compliance with these obligations.

ED and DOJ (the Departments) have determined that this letter is *significant guidance*.² This guidance does not add requirements to applicable law, but provides information and examples to inform recipients about how the Departments evaluate whether covered entities are complying with their legal obligations. If you have questions or are interested in commenting on this guidance, please contact ED at ocr@ed.gov or 800-421-3481 (TDD 800-877-8339); or DOJ at education@usdoj.gov or 877-292-3804 (TTY: 800-514-0383).

Accompanying this letter is a separate document from ED's Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, *Examples of Policies and Emerging Practices for Supporting Transgender Students*. The examples in that document are taken from policies that school districts, state education agencies, and high school athletics associations around the country have adopted to help ensure that transgender students enjoy a supportive and nondiscriminatory school environment. Schools are encouraged to consult that document for practical ways to meet Title IX's requirements.³

Terminology

- Gender identity* refers to an individual's internal sense of gender. A person's gender identity may be different from or the same as the person's sex assigned at birth.
- Sex assigned at birth* refers to the sex designation recorded on an infant's birth certificate should such a record be provided at birth.
- Transgender* describes those individuals whose gender identity is different from the sex they were assigned at birth. A *transgender male* is someone who identifies as male but was assigned the sex of female at birth; a *transgender female* is someone who identifies as female but was assigned the sex of male at birth.

EXHIBIT K
PAGE 2

- *Gender transition* refers to the process in which transgender individuals begin asserting the sex that corresponds to their gender identity instead of the sex they were assigned at birth. During gender transition, individuals begin to live and identify as the sex consistent with their gender identity and may dress differently, adopt a new name, and use pronouns consistent with their gender identity. Transgender individuals may undergo gender transition at any stage of their lives, and gender transition can happen swiftly or over a long duration of time.

Compliance with Title IX

As a condition of receiving Federal funds, a school agrees that it will not exclude, separate, deny benefits to, or otherwise treat differently on the basis of sex any person in its educational programs or activities unless expressly authorized to do so under Title IX or its implementing regulations.⁴ The Departments treat a student's gender identity as the student's sex for purposes of Title IX and its implementing regulations. This means that a school must not treat a transgender student differently from the way it treats other students of the same gender identity. The Departments' interpretation is consistent with courts' and other agencies' interpretations of Federal laws prohibiting sex discrimination.⁵

The Departments interpret Title IX to require that when a student or the student's parent or guardian, as appropriate, notifies the school administration that the student will assert a gender identity that differs from previous representations or records, the school will begin treating the student consistent with the student's gender identity. Under Title IX, there is no medical diagnosis or treatment requirement that students must meet as a prerequisite to being treated consistent with their gender identity.⁶ Because transgender students often are unable to obtain identification documents that reflect their gender identity (*e.g.*, due to restrictions imposed by state or local law in their place of birth or residence),⁷ requiring students to produce such identification documents in order to treat them consistent with their gender identity may violate Title IX when doing so has the practical effect of limiting or denying students equal access to an educational program or activity.

A school's Title IX obligation to ensure nondiscrimination on the basis of sex requires schools to provide transgender students equal access to educational programs and activities even in circumstances in which other students, parents, or community members raise objections or concerns. As is consistently recognized in civil rights cases, the desire to accommodate others' discomfort cannot justify a policy that singles out and disadvantages a particular class of students.⁸

1. Safe and Nondiscriminatory Environment

Schools have a responsibility to provide a safe and nondiscriminatory environment for all students, including transgender students. Harassment that targets a student based on gender identity, transgender status, or gender transition is harassment based on sex, and the Departments enforce Title IX accordingly.⁹ If sex-based harassment creates a hostile environment, the school must take prompt and effective steps to end the harassment, prevent its recurrence, and, as appropriate, remedy its effects. A school's failure to treat students consistent with their gender identity may create or contribute to a hostile environment in violation of Title IX. For a more detailed discussion of Title IX

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 3 of 8

requirements related to sex-based harassment, see guidance documents from ED's Office for Civil Rights (OCR) that are specific to this topic.¹⁰

2. Identification Documents, Names, and Pronouns

Under Title IX, a school must treat students consistent with their gender identity even if their education records or identification documents indicate a different sex. The Departments have resolved Title IX investigations with agreements committing that school staff and contractors will use pronouns and names consistent with a transgender student's gender identity.¹¹

3. Sex-Segregated Activities and Facilities

Title IX's implementing regulations permit a school to provide sex-segregated restrooms, locker rooms, shower facilities, housing, and athletic teams, as well as single-sex classes under certain circumstances.¹² When a school provides sex-segregated activities and facilities, transgender students must be allowed to participate in such activities and access such facilities consistent with their gender identity.¹³

- Restrooms and Locker Rooms.** A school may provide separate facilities on the basis of sex, but must allow transgender students access to such facilities consistent with their gender identity.¹⁴ A school may not require transgender students to use facilities inconsistent with their gender identity or to use individual-user facilities when other students are not required to do so. A school may, however, make individual-user options available to all students who voluntarily seek additional privacy.¹⁵
- Athletics.** Title IX regulations permit a school to operate or sponsor sex-segregated athletics teams when selection for such teams is based upon competitive skill or when the activity involved is a contact sport.¹⁶ A school may not, however, adopt or adhere to requirements that rely on overly broad generalizations or stereotypes about the differences between transgender students and other students of the same sex (*i.e.*, the same gender identity) or others' discomfort with transgender students.¹⁷ Title IX does not prohibit age-appropriate, tailored requirements based on sound, current, and research-based medical knowledge about the impact of the students' participation on the competitive fairness or physical safety of the sport.¹⁸
- Single-Sex Classes.** Although separating students by sex in classes and activities is generally prohibited, nonvocational elementary and secondary schools may offer nonvocational single-sex classes and extracurricular activities under certain circumstances.¹⁹ When offering such classes and activities, a school must allow transgender students to participate consistent with their gender identity.
- Single-Sex Schools.** Title IX does not apply to the admissions policies of certain educational institutions, including nonvocational elementary and secondary schools, and private undergraduate colleges.²⁰ Those schools are therefore permitted under Title IX to set their own

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 4 of 8

sex-based admissions policies. Nothing in Title IX prohibits a private undergraduate women's college from admitting transgender women if it so chooses.

- **Social Fraternities and Sororities.** Title IX does not apply to the membership practices of social fraternities and sororities.²¹ Those organizations are therefore permitted under Title IX to set their own policies regarding the sex, including gender identity, of their members. Nothing in Title IX prohibits a fraternity from admitting transgender men or a sorority from admitting transgender women if it so chooses.
- **Housing and Overnight Accommodations.** Title IX allows a school to provide separate housing on the basis of sex.²² But a school must allow transgender students to access housing consistent with their gender identity and may not require transgender students to stay in single-occupancy accommodations or to disclose personal information when not required of other students. Nothing in Title IX prohibits a school from honoring a student's voluntary request for single-occupancy accommodations if it so chooses.²³
- **Other Sex-Specific Activities and Rules.** Unless expressly authorized by Title IX or its implementing regulations, a school may not segregate or otherwise distinguish students on the basis of their sex, including gender identity, in any school activities or the application of any school rule. Likewise, a school may not discipline students or exclude them from participating in activities for appearing or behaving in a manner that is consistent with their gender identity or that does not conform to stereotypical notions of masculinity or femininity (e.g., in yearbook photographs, at school dances, or at graduation ceremonies).²⁴

4. Privacy and Education Records

Protecting transgender students' privacy is critical to ensuring they are treated consistent with their gender identity. The Departments may find a Title IX violation when a school limits students' educational rights or opportunities by failing to take reasonable steps to protect students' privacy related to their transgender status, including their birth name or sex assigned at birth.²⁵ Nonconsensual disclosure of personally identifiable information (PII), such as a student's birth name or sex assigned at birth, could be harmful to or invade the privacy of transgender students and may also violate the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).²⁶ A school may maintain records with this information, but such records should be kept confidential.

- **Disclosure of Personally Identifiable Information from Education Records.** FERPA generally prevents the nonconsensual disclosure of PII from a student's education records; one exception is that records may be disclosed to individual school personnel who have been determined to have a legitimate educational interest in the information.²⁷ Even when a student has disclosed the student's transgender status to some members of the school community, schools may not rely on this FERPA exception to disclose PII from education records to other school personnel who do not have a legitimate educational interest in the information. Inappropriately disclosing (or requiring students or their parents to disclose) PII from education records to the school community may

EXHIBIT K
PAGE 5

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 5 of 8

violate FERPA and interfere with transgender students' right under Title IX to be treated consistent with their gender identity.

- **Disclosure of Directory Information.** Under FERPA's implementing regulations, a school may disclose appropriately designated directory information from a student's education record if disclosure would not generally be considered harmful or an invasion of privacy.²⁸ Directory information may include a student's name, address, telephone number, date and place of birth, honors and awards, and dates of attendance.²⁹ School officials may not designate students' sex, including transgender status, as directory information because doing so could be harmful or an invasion of privacy.³⁰ A school also must allow eligible students (*i.e.*, students who have reached 18 years of age or are attending a postsecondary institution) or parents, as appropriate, a reasonable amount of time to request that the school not disclose a student's directory information.³¹
- **Amendment or Correction of Education Records.** A school may receive requests to correct a student's education records to make them consistent with the student's gender identity. Updating a transgender student's education records to reflect the student's gender identity and new name will help protect privacy and ensure personnel consistently use appropriate names and pronouns.
 - Under FERPA, a school must consider the request of an eligible student or parent to amend information in the student's education records that is inaccurate, misleading, or in violation of the student's privacy rights.³² If the school does not amend the record, it must inform the requestor of its decision and of the right to a hearing. If, after the hearing, the school does not amend the record, it must inform the requestor of the right to insert a statement in the record with the requestor's comments on the contested information, a statement that the requestor disagrees with the hearing decision, or both. That statement must be disclosed whenever the record to which the statement relates is disclosed.³³
 - Under Title IX, a school must respond to a request to amend information related to a student's transgender status consistent with its general practices for amending other students' records.³⁴ If a student or parent complains about the school's handling of such a request, the school must promptly and equitably resolve the complaint under the school's Title IX grievance procedures.³⁵

* * *

We appreciate the work that many schools, state agencies, and other organizations have undertaken to make educational programs and activities welcoming, safe, and inclusive for all students.

Sincerely,

/s/

Catherine E. Lhamon
Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education

/s/

Vanita Gupta
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Justice

EXHIBIT K

PAGE 6

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 6 of 8

¹ 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681–1688; 34 C.F.R. Pt. 106; 28 C.F.R. Pt. 54. In this letter, the term *schools* refers to recipients of Federal financial assistance at all educational levels, including school districts, colleges, and universities. An educational institution that is controlled by a religious organization is exempt from Title IX to the extent that compliance would not be consistent with the religious tenets of such organization. 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(3); 34 C.F.R. § 106.12(a).

² Office of Management and Budget, Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices, 72 Fed. Reg. 3432 (Jan. 25, 2007), www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/fedreg/2007/012507_good_guidance.pdf.

³ ED, *Examples of Policies and Emerging Practices for Supporting Transgender Students* (May 13, 2016), www.ed.gov/oese/osh/emergingpractices.pdf. OCR also posts many of its resolution agreements in cases involving transgender students online at www.ed.gov/ocr/lgbt.html. While these agreements address fact-specific cases, and therefore do not state general policy, they identify examples of ways OCR and recipients have resolved some issues addressed in this guidance.

⁴ 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.4, 106.31(a). For simplicity, this letter cites only to ED’s Title IX regulations. DOJ has also promulgated Title IX regulations. See 28 C.F.R. Pt. 54. For purposes of how the Title IX regulations at issue in this guidance apply to transgender individuals, DOJ interprets its regulations similarly to ED. State and local rules cannot limit or override the requirements of Federal laws. See 34 C.F.R. § 106.6(b).

⁵ See, e.g., *Price Waterhouse v. Hopkins*, 490 U.S. 228 (1989); *Oncale v. Sundowner Offshore Servs. Inc.*, 523 U.S. 75, 79 (1998); *G.G. v. Gloucester Cnty. Sch. Bd.*, No. 15-2056, 2016 WL 1567467, at *8 (4th Cir. Apr. 19, 2016); *Glenn v. Brumby*, 663 F.3d 1312, 1317 (11th Cir. 2011); *Smith v. City of Salem*, 378 F.3d 566, 572-75 (6th Cir. 2004); *Rosa v. Park W. Bank & Trust Co.*, 214 F.3d 213, 215–16 (1st Cir. 2000); *Schwenk v. Hartford*, 204 F.3d 1187, 1201–02 (9th Cir. 2000); *Schroer v. Billington*, 577 F. Supp. 2d 293, 306-08 (D.D.C. 2008); *Macy v. Dep’t of Justice*, Appeal No. 012012082 (U.S. Equal Emp’t Opportunity Comm’n Apr. 20, 2012). See also U.S. Dep’t of Labor (USDOL), Training and Employment Guidance Letter No. 37-14, *Update on Complying with Nondiscrimination Requirements: Discrimination Based on Gender Identity, Gender Expression and Sex Stereotyping are Prohibited Forms of Sex Discrimination in the Workforce Development System* (2015), wdr.doleta.gov/directives/attach/TEGL/TEGL_37-14.pdf; USDOL, Job Corps, Directive: Job Corps Program Instruction Notice No. 14-31, *Ensuring Equal Access for Transgender Applicants and Students to the Job Corps Program* (May 1, 2015), https://supportservices.jobcorps.gov/Program%20Instruction%20Notices/pi_14_31.pdf; DOJ, Memorandum from the Attorney General, *Treatment of Transgender Employment Discrimination Claims Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964* (2014), www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/opa/press-releases/attachments/2014/12/18/title_vii_memo.pdf; USDOL, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Directive 2014-02, *Gender Identity and Sex Discrimination* (2014), www.dol.gov/ofccp/regs/compliance/directives/dir2014_02.html.

⁶ See *Lusardi v. Dep’t of the Army*, Appeal No. 0120133395 at 9 (U.S. Equal Emp’t Opportunity Comm’n Apr. 1, 2015) (“An agency may not condition access to facilities—or to other terms, conditions, or privileges of employment—on the completion of certain medical steps that the agency itself has unilaterally determined will somehow prove the bona fides of the individual’s gender identity.”).

⁷ See *G.G.*, 2016 WL 1567467, at *1 n.1 (noting that medical authorities “do not permit sex reassignment surgery for persons who are under the legal age of majority”).

⁸ 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b)(4); see *G.G.*, 2016 WL 1567467, at *8 & n.10 (affirming that individuals have legitimate and important privacy interests and noting that these interests do not inherently conflict with nondiscrimination principles); *Cruzan v. Special Sch. Dist. No. 1*, 294 F.3d 981, 984 (8th Cir. 2002) (rejecting claim that allowing a transgender woman “merely [to be] present in the women’s faculty restroom” created a hostile environment); *Glenn*, 663 F.3d at 1321 (defendant’s proffered justification that “other women might object to [the plaintiff]’s restroom use” was “wholly irrelevant”). See also *Palmore v. Sidoti*, 466 U.S. 429, 433 (1984) (“Private biases may be outside the reach of the law, but the law cannot, directly or indirectly, give them effect.”); *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.*, 473 U.S. 432, 448 (1985) (recognizing that “mere negative attitudes, or fear . . . are not permissible bases for” government action).

EXHIBIT K
PAGE 7

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 7 of 8

⁹ See, e.g., Resolution Agreement, *In re Downey Unified Sch. Dist.*, CA, OCR Case No. 09-12-1095, (Oct. 8, 2014), www.ed.gov/documents/press-releases/downey-school-district-agreement.pdf (agreement to address harassment of transgender student, including allegations that peers continued to call her by her former name, shared pictures of her prior to her transition, and frequently asked questions about her anatomy and sexuality); Consent Decree, *Doe v. Anoka-Hennepin Sch. Dist. No. 11*, MN (D. Minn. Mar. 1, 2012), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/investigations/05115901-d.pdf (consent decree to address sex-based harassment, including based on nonconformity with gender stereotypes); Resolution Agreement, *In re Tehachapi Unified Sch. Dist.*, CA, OCR Case No. 09-11-1031 (June 30, 2011), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/investigations/09111031-b.pdf (agreement to address sexual and gender-based harassment, including harassment based on nonconformity with gender stereotypes). See also *Lusardi*, Appeal No. 0120133395, at *15 (“Persistent failure to use the employee’s correct name and pronoun may constitute unlawful, sex-based harassment if such conduct is either severe or pervasive enough to create a hostile work environment”).

¹⁰ See, e.g., OCR, *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance: Harassment of Students by School Employees, Other Students, or Third Parties* (2001), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/shguide.pdf; OCR, *Dear Colleague Letter: Harassment and Bullying* (Oct. 26, 2010), www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201010.pdf; OCR, *Dear Colleague Letter: Sexual Violence* (Apr. 4, 2011), www.ed.gov/ocr/letters/colleague-201104.pdf; OCR, *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence* (Apr. 29, 2014), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/qa-201404-title-ix.pdf.

¹¹ See, e.g., Resolution Agreement, *In re Cent. Piedmont Cmty. Coll.*, NC, OCR Case No. 11-14-2265 (Aug. 13, 2015), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/investigations/more/11142265-b.pdf (agreement to use a transgender student’s preferred name and gender and change the student’s official record to reflect a name change).

¹² 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.32, 106.33, 106.34, 106.41(b).

¹³ See 34 C.F.R. § 106.31.

¹⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 106.33.

¹⁵ See, e.g., Resolution Agreement, *In re Township High Sch. Dist. 211*, IL, OCR Case No. 05-14-1055 (Dec. 2, 2015), www.ed.gov/ocr/docs/investigations/more/05141055-b.pdf (agreement to provide any student who requests additional privacy “access to a reasonable alternative, such as assignment of a student locker in near proximity to the office of a teacher or coach; use of another private area (such as a restroom stall) within the public area; use of a nearby private area (such as a single-use facility); or a separate schedule of use.”).

¹⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(b). Nothing in Title IX prohibits schools from offering coeducational athletic opportunities.

¹⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 106.6(b), (c). An interscholastic athletic association is subject to Title IX if (1) the association receives Federal financial assistance or (2) its members are recipients of Federal financial assistance and have ceded controlling authority over portions of their athletic program to the association. Where an athletic association is covered by Title IX, a school’s obligations regarding transgender athletes apply with equal force to the association.

¹⁸ The National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA), for example, reported that in developing its policy for participation by transgender students in college athletics, it consulted with medical experts, athletics officials, affected students, and a consensus report entitled *On the Team: Equal Opportunity for Transgender Student Athletes* (2010) by Dr. Pat Griffin & Helen J. Carroll (*On the Team*), [https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/NCLR_TransStudentAthlete%2B\(2\).pdf](https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/NCLR_TransStudentAthlete%2B(2).pdf). See NCAA Office of Inclusion, *NCAA Inclusion of Transgender Student-Athletes 2*, 30-31 (2011), https://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/Transgender_Handbook_2011_Final.pdf (citing *On the Team*). The *On the Team* report noted that policies that may be appropriate at the college level may “be unfair and too complicated for [the high school] level of competition.” *On the Team* at 26. After engaging in similar processes, some state interscholastic athletics associations have adopted policies for participation by transgender students in high school athletics that they determined were age-appropriate.

¹⁹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(a), (b). Schools may also separate students by sex in physical education classes during participation in contact sports. *Id.* § 106.34(a)(1).

²⁰ 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(1); 34 C.F.R. § 106.15(d); 34 C.F.R. § 106.34(c) (a recipient may offer a single-sex public nonvocational elementary and secondary school so long as it provides students of the excluded sex a “substantially

Dear Colleague Letter: Transgender Students

Page 8 of 8

equal single-sex school or coeducational school”).

²¹ 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(6)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 106.14(a).

²² 20 U.S.C. § 1686; 34 C.F.R. § 106.32.

²³ See, e.g., Resolution Agreement, *In re Arcadia Unified Sch. Dist., CA*, OCR Case No. 09-12-1020, DOJ Case No. 169-12C-70, (July 24, 2013), www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/crt/legacy/2013/07/26/arcadiaagree.pdf (agreement to provide access to single-sex overnight events consistent with students’ gender identity, but allowing students to request access to private facilities).

²⁴ See 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.31(a), 106.31(b)(4). See also, *In re Downey Unified Sch. Dist., CA*, *supra* n. 9; *In re Cent. Piedmont Cmty. Coll., NC*, *supra* n. 11.

²⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b)(7).

²⁶ 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 C.F.R. Part 99. FERPA is administered by ED’s Family Policy Compliance Office (FPCO). Additional information about FERPA and FPCO is available at www.ed.gov/fpc.

²⁷ 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(1).

²⁸ 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.3, 99.31(a)(11), 99.37.

²⁹ 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(5)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.3.

³⁰ Letter from FPCO to Institutions of Postsecondary Education 3 (Sept. 2009), www.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/fpc/doc/censuslettertohighered091609.pdf.

³¹ 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(5)(B); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.3, 99.37(a)(3).

³² 34 C.F.R. § 99.20.

³³ 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.20-99.22.

³⁴ See 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b)(4).

³⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(b).



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS**

500 WEST MADISON ST., SUITE 1475
CHICAGO, IL 60661-4544

REGION V
ILLINOIS
INDIANA
IOWA
MINNESOTA
NORTH DAKOTA
WISCONSIN

November 2, 2015

Dr. Daniel E. Cates
Superintendent
Township High School District 211
1750 South Roselle Road
Palatine, Illinois 60067

OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

Dear Dr. Cates:

The U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights (OCR) has completed its investigation of the above-referenced complaint, filed in December 2013, against Township High School District 211 (District). The District is composed of five high schools. The complaint alleged that the District discriminated against Student A, a transgender high school student, on the basis of sex. Specifically, the complaint alleged that the District denied Student A access to the girls' locker rooms because of her gender identity and gender nonconformity.

OCR is responsible for enforcing Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688, and its implementing regulation at 34 C.F.R. Part 106. Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in any education program or activity operated by recipients of Federal financial assistance. As a recipient of Federal financial assistance from the Department, the District is subject to Title IX. Therefore, OCR has jurisdiction over this complaint.

During its investigation, OCR interviewed Student A and her mother, toured her high school (School), interviewed District administrators and staff members, and reviewed documents provided on behalf of Student A and by the District. For the reasons set out below, OCR finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the District is in violation of Title IX for excluding Student A from participation in and denying her the benefits of its education program, providing services to her in a different manner, subjecting her to different rules of behavior, and subjecting her to different treatment on the basis of sex.

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.

Page 2 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

District Policies

The District maintains an annual notice of nondiscrimination that prohibits discrimination, including discrimination on the basis of gender, and posts the notice prominently on its website.¹ The District also maintains a Board Policy that prohibits discrimination, including discrimination on the basis of gender and sexual orientation.² The name and contact information for the District's Title IX Coordinator are available on the District's website,³ as are the District's Title IX grievance procedures.⁴

Statement of Facts

Student A was born male and from a young age has identified as female. During her middle school years, Student A transitioned to living full-time as a young woman. Since then, she has presented a female appearance, completed a legal name change, obtained a passport reflecting a gender change, received a diagnosis of and treatment for gender dysphoria, and taken an ongoing course of hormone therapy.

Student A's parents contacted the School during her eighth-grade year to begin planning her transition to high school. The family and the School communicated extensively about issues such as Student A's name change, registration as a female, access to girls' restrooms and locker rooms, and eligibility for girls' athletics. The family also informed the School that Student A had been subjected to harassing comments in middle school when she used the boys' locker rooms.

The District has honored Student A's request to be treated as female in all respects except her request to be provided access to the girls' locker rooms at the School. The District identifies Student A by her female name and uses female pronouns. Its computer system designates Student A as female. The District has given Student A unlimited access to all girls' restrooms in the School, and, after obtaining permission from the Illinois High School Association, allows her to participate in girls' athletics. Student A informed OCR that many students are aware of her transgender status, and that several students and staff members at the School have been particularly supportive and encouraging towards her.

Locker Room Access

Student A, her family, and/or their counsel have held a series of meetings with District and School administrators, including the Principal and the former Superintendent, to discuss the issue of locker room access for Student A.⁵ Student A requested an opportunity to change clothes privately within the girls' locker rooms, in an area such as a restroom stall. After touring the

¹ <http://adc.d211.org/information/annual-notice-of-nondiscrimination/>.

² Board Policy JCFM/GBCBA, "Non-discrimination of Students and Staff," located at <http://www.boarddocs.com/il/thsd211/Board.nsf/Public#>.

³ <http://adc.d211.org/administration/augustino-fontanetta/>.

⁴ Board Policy JA/KAA/GAC, "Uniform Grievance Policy," located at <http://www.boarddocs.com/il/thsd211/Board.nsf/Public>.

⁵ The former Superintendent retired after the 2013-2014 school year.

Page 3 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

School facilities, the Superintendent verbally informed Student A that the District would not allow her to access the girls' locker rooms at the School. The District said it would not be practicable to grant her request to change privately in the locker rooms because there were too few stalls and too many students.

The District outlined Student A's alternative options for changing for physical education (PE) class and athletics. The former Superintendent stated to OCR that she based her decision not only on Student A's rights and needs, but on the privacy concerns of all students. The Superintendent told OCR that Student A explained that she wanted equal access to the girls' locker rooms because "she wanted to be a girl like every other girl." The Principal's notes from the meeting indicate that Student A said she was "crushed" by the District's decision, which she said indicated that the School did not accept her as female.

Student A told OCR during an interview in February 2014 that she has her own sense of privacy, and, if granted access to the girls' locker rooms, would seek out an unobserved area for changing, such as a restroom stall, which she said is a common practice among some girls. In September and October 2015, Student A informed OCR that she would use privacy curtains in the girls' locker rooms if the School made them available.

The District's decision denied Student A's access to the three girls' locker rooms: the regular PE locker room (PE locker room); the locker room near the pool, used for the swimming unit of PE (swimming locker room); and a locker room used for the girls' athletics teams (athletics locker room). Each is discussed in detail below.

PE Class

Students at the School are required to take mandatory PE each year. It is a daily course that is required for graduation. The District requires completion of a certain number of credits in traditional PE, with units on tennis, volleyball, basketball, swimming, and other sports. Thereafter, students may satisfy the PE requirement with various electives. PE students wear a required uniform, issued by the School, of shorts and a t-shirt. If a student forgets his or her uniform on a given day, he or she may obtain a rental uniform, which is available in the boys' and girls' locker rooms. In addition, rental uniforms are available in the PE teacher's office.

The required PE class consists of 35 minutes of instruction. Students have approximately five minutes to change before class, and five minutes to change after class, in addition to five-minute passing periods. Students assemble in the gymnasium at the beginning of class for attendance.

OCR toured the girls' PE locker room, which is accessed through a door in the main gymnasium. The door leads to an entryway and stairwell that is accessible to boys and girls. The girls' PE locker room contains lockers on the periphery walls as well as several banks of lockers in center aisles approximately seven feet high. There is a sizable open shower area. The girls' restroom facilities for PE are located in Restroom A, which contains two doors: one to the entryway and stairwell mentioned above, and one to the locker room. Thus, it is possible to enter and exit Restroom A without entering the PE girls' locker room. Restroom A contains five private toilet

Page 4 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

stalls. The District informed OCR that the PE girls' locker room and Restroom A are both busy and crowded on school days, as multiple gym classes, with about 130 students, meet at the same time.

In its response to this complaint, the District told OCR that, while female students change for PE class in a "modest" manner, students do expose their bodies while changing in the locker room. The PE Teacher told OCR that girls change bras (from a traditional bra to a sports bra) for the PE classes and, for the swimming unit, change into their swimming suits. She stated that students do not shower after PE class, with the exception of the swimming unit, which is discussed below.

The District offered Student A changing facilities in a private restroom (Restroom C) down a hallway from the gymnasium. Restroom C is approximately 75 feet from the gymnasium entrance. Between the gymnasium and the restroom are the wrestling room on one side, and the weight room and fitness room on the other side. During an OCR visit, many male students were in the hallway and in the weight room. Student A must travel through this hallway in order to get from Restroom C to the gymnasium.

Restroom C is a single occupancy facility that is kept locked during the school day. The Principal explained that Student A does not have a key to the restroom and that a staff member who monitors the hallways carries the key and must unlock the door for her. The Principal said the staff member opens Restroom C several minutes before Student A requires access, leaves it unlocked during Student A's PE class, and then locks it several minutes after Student A leaves it following PE class. The restroom contains a single stall and toilet, a mirror and sink, and a tall locker that the School installed for Student A.

Student A expressed dissatisfaction with Restroom C, and the District offered an alternative location to her for changing before and after PE. It offered to let her change in Restroom A—the restroom that is adjacent to the girls' PE locker room but separated from it by a door. This would have allowed her to change near to the gym, like other girls. The District offered to install—and in fact did install—a bank of lockers there, and to let Student A choose several female friends who would be comfortable changing alongside her. However, Student A told OCR that she felt this arrangement would "ostracize" her even more than Restroom C. She said it would draw attention to the fact that she must change separately: other girls using the restroom would see the lockers and know that she changed there, whereas some girls did not presently know that she had a separate changing arrangement. Student A also noted that she spoke with several friends from PE class, who expressed reluctance to move to lockers in Restroom A. The District told OCR that their lockers could easily be reassigned, but Student A suggested to OCR that her friends did not seem to want to move.⁶

Student A therefore continued to change for PE class in Restroom C. She told OCR that she does not take the most direct route from Restroom C to the gymnasium "because it's embarrassing. Everyone would see me." Instead of entering the gymnasium near the door to the girls' locker

⁶ On an as-needed basis throughout her high school career, Student A also used a restroom inside the nurse's office. Nurse A provided this access to Student A, and also offered her support and encouragement.

Page 5 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

room—where female students would see her entering separately—Student A walks through several hallways and around to the other side of the gymnasium, where she enters. OCR observed during an onsite visit that the gymnasium was large and crowded with students; if the gymnasium was similarly crowded when Student A entered on the far side, her entrance might go unnoticed. The PE Teacher told OCR that she did not think students pay attention to who enters and from where. Student A said that she takes a circuitous route to avoid standing out.

The PE Teacher told OCR that Student A was frequently late to class during one of the school years in question. Student A gave various reasons for this. She contends that, on several occasions, Restroom C was locked and no one was available to open it for her; that she had trouble opening the locker the School installed for her in Restroom C; that she must take a longer route to class to go unnoticed; and that, on at least one occasion, she had to obtain a uniform rental. The District told OCR that it was unable to verify Student A's problems accessing her locker, and that in any event, it replaced the lock on the locker and resolved that problem. It also disputed that a staff member was unavailable to unlock Restroom C, except on one occasion. The District does not dispute that Student A takes a circuitous route to gym class, or that she has had to obtain rental uniforms and cannot do so in the PE girls' locker room like other students.

As noted, students requiring a rental uniform may request one in the locker room, or from the PE Teacher in her office. The PE Teacher told OCR that most students who require a rental obtain one in the locker room itself. The District concedes that, on one occasion, Student A needed a rental but could not find the PE Teacher to obtain one. Student A eventually found another teacher to provide a rental uniform. Student A told OCR that she was once reprimanded for entering the girls' PE locker room to obtain a rental uniform even though it was the middle of the period and the locker room was empty.

The District disputes that Student A has been late to PE class because she was unable to find an adult to unlock Restroom C. After Student A made this claim on several occasions, the District reviewed the previous two weeks of video records from a hallway camera that shows the door to Restroom C. The District said the video showed Student A arriving late to Restroom C on several occasions, and only once showed her unable to enter the restroom because it was locked. On that occasion, a substitute hall monitor reached the restroom shortly after Student A and unlocked it for her. The District contends that Student A's tardiness was a broader problem that was not limited to PE class, and said it addressed this with her by adjusting her class schedule. OCR's investigation confirmed that the class tardiness issue was addressed by altering Student A's classroom schedule, and that her tardiness has since decreased.

Student A also told OCR that one day, the PE Teacher told her classmates in the locker room they did not need to dress for class that day, but that since she had to change elsewhere, she did not receive the message. Student A told OCR that she was embarrassed when she showed up dressed in her gym uniform, while the other students were wearing street clothes. Student A returned to Restroom C and changed back into her street clothes.

EXHIBIT L
PAGE 5

Page 6 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

PE Class – Swim Unit

The swim unit is required for the School’s mandatory PE class. Student A told OCR that she has completed her required PE credits that include a swim unit. Student A has informed OCR that she is not planning to take any more PE credits that include swimming.

The girls’ swimming locker room is immediately adjacent to the swimming pool; the locker room door leads onto the pool area.⁷ When entering the locker room, students pass through a hallway that ends in a “T.” To the left is a small, door-less restroom where Student A changed for swimming (Restroom G). To the right is a short hallway that leads around a corner and into to the girls’ swimming locker room. There are no doors separating Restroom G from the locker room; however, it is not possible to see around the corner into the locker room from Restroom G, or vice versa.

The girls’ swimming locker room contains an open shower area. Beyond the showers is unused space that contains neither showerheads nor lockers. Beyond that area are banks of lockers. There are mirrors at eye level, and between the mirrors are hair dryers placed at eye level, as well as electrical outlets. The locker room also contains restroom stalls, sinks, and a coach’s office.

The PE Teacher told OCR that most students shower in their suits after swimming in order to rinse off the chlorine from the pool. She said she has not seen students shower fully naked, but that some do pull the top half of their bathing suits down, and are nude to the waist.⁸ The PE Teacher said that students changing after PE class during the swim unit cannot practicably change in the bathroom stalls, because there is so little time. Students have devised various methods of changing out of their swimsuits modestly. Some students use a “buddy system,” by having a friend hold up a towel to shield them while they change. Other students hold a towel in their mouths, which drapes over their fronts. “They’re naked, but to varying degrees,” said the PE Teacher.

Student A took PE courses that contained swimming units during two school years. Each swimming unit lasted approximately four weeks. She changed clothes for swimming in Restroom G. It is approximately 5’ by 7’, and contains restroom stalls, a sink, a mirror, and a locker for Student A, but does not contain a shower, electrical outlets or a hair dryer. Around the corner from Restroom G, in the hallway that students use to access the swimming locker room from the pool, is a showerhead. The floor contains a drain. The District described this to OCR as a “rinse” shower that is available for Student A’s use. Student A did not have to pass through the girls’ swimming locker room in order to access Restroom G or the rinse shower. Student A told OCR that using the Restroom G “sets me apart” from the other students. She noted that the School had to install a mirror for her, and that she is not able to dry her hair.

⁷ During an onsite visit to the School on October 28, 2015, OCR observed that the swimming pool and swimming locker room facilities described above were under renovation and not in use.

⁸ In an April 2014 letter to OCR, the District stated that some students shower fully naked after swimming. This assertion is not consistent with OCR’s interview of the PE Teacher.

Page 7 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

The District offered Student A two other options to change for the swimming unit—Restroom E and Restroom H—which she declined. Restroom E is down a short (20’) hallway from the pool. It is not connected to the girls’ swimming locker room. It is a locked women’s restroom that must be unlocked by a staff member. It contains restroom stalls, sinks, and mirrors, but does not contain a shower, locker or hair dryer. Although the restroom contains an electrical outlet, it is far from the main restroom area, and would not be practical to use with a personal hair dryer.

The third option is Restroom H, the private restroom of the pool teaching assistant (TA). The Principal explained that the pool TA uses it to shower and change. It is accessible through the TA’s office, which is immediately off the pool area and adjacent to the girls locker room. The office is kept locked and would need to be opened by a key. The restroom contains a shower, a single restroom stall, a sink, mirror, and electrical outlet. During OCR’s visit, Restroom H contained the pool TA’s personal hair and beauty products in the shower and on a shelf below the mirror.

The PE Teacher described an incident in which she observed Student A using the girls’ locker room during the swim unit. She told Student A that she was not supposed to be there. Student A replied, “I know, but I asked if anyone was in there,” and that everybody called back to say they were dressed. Student A wanted to use the mirrors and do her hair, which she was unable to do in Restroom G. The PE Teacher said she told Student A that this was not part of the arrangement, and that she needed to stay in her changing area.

Athletics

Student A is a member of one of the School’s girls’ athletics teams. The team has approximately 30 members and its head coach is Coach A. The team uses the athletics locker room, which contains banks of lockers approximately eight feet high, partitioned restroom stalls, sinks with mirrors, an open shower area, and multiple hair dryers. There are no electrical outlets near the mirrors. Coach A told OCR that she does not spend time in the locker room and thus has not observed team members’ changing habits. However, she told OCR that team members do not shower after practices or competitions. Student A told OCR that it is her understanding that some team members change in restroom stalls in the locker room for privacy. Coach A said that students use the locker room only to change *into* their uniforms; she has observed that, after practices or competitions, students customarily depart in their uniforms.

Student A changed for practices and competitions in a female restroom or used Restroom C—the same restroom she uses to change for PE. Student A told OCR that this arrangement separated her from her teammates. Student A said that team members informally “huddle” in the locker room before matches (without the coach) to do their hair, and that she missed these opportunities for bonding with her teammates. Coach A responded that team members also do their hair in the hallway, which is available to Student A. Coach A said, “[Student A] feels that locker room talk is where she feels she can be most a part of the team.” But Coach A believes Student A is mistaken, saying, “There’s really no girl talk going on in there.”

EXHIBIT L
PAGE 7

Page 8 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

Coach A said that Student A entered the girls' athletics locker room four times during one season. Four girls and one parent complained to Coach A about this. The students noted that Student A wanted to chat with her teammates as they prepared for practice or matches. On one occasion, she wanted to have a place to store her bag. On another occasion, an assistant coach (Coach B) told OCR, Student A actually changed clothes in the locker room, although it is unclear whether other students were present. Coach A reported that students told her that they felt uncomfortable undressing in front of Student A. Coach A and an assistant coach reprimanded Student A for her use of the locker room. Coach A indicated that, after one such reprimand, Student A was visibly upset and had what Coach A described as a tearful "breakdown."

Coach A and Nurse A told OCR that on two separate occasions, Student A spoke privately with each of them and asked for their opinion as to whether she presented a female appearance in her sports uniform. Nurse A stated that she made positive and encouraging statements to Student A in response to her inquiries.

Student A said that, as a result of being denied access to the locker room, she has felt excluded from the team. She described to OCR two incidents in which her teammates excluded her from team activities. One of these activities was social in nature and occurred outside of school hours; the other resulted in disparaging treatment by members of her athletic team that appeared on social media. Student A's mother informed OCR that Student A was very upset about the exclusion involved in the second incident, and opined that the District's continued denial of access to the locker rooms for Student A from the locker room encouraged this type of behavior from students. The District responded to one of the incidents by speaking in general terms to the other athletes about the importance of inclusivity.⁹

During OCR's investigation, after Student A requested a private area to change in the girls' locker rooms, the District considered installing privacy curtains in unused space in its locker rooms for use by any student, including Student A. During the week of October 26, 2015, the District installed five privacy curtains in the girls' PE locker room. The District has not installed privacy curtains in the girls' athletics locker room or girls' swimming locker room. (The swimming locker room is being renovated and not currently in use.) To date, the District has not provided access to Student A to any of the girls' locker rooms at the School. The District has

⁹ Student A does not allege, and OCR's investigation did not reveal, that she has been subjected to a hostile environment on the basis of sex, or discriminated against on the basis of sex in any other manner other than the District's denial of access to the girls' locker rooms at the School. The above-two incidents were the only two such incidents of harassment by peers that Student A reported to OCR. OCR considered whether the two incidents of peer harassment, as well as other instances of possible harassment of Student A reported by the District in its April 2014 letter, created a hostile environment prohibited by Title IX. OCR's investigation also revealed that six female students have complained to the District that they are uncomfortable having Student A in the girls' locker room; the complaints were not made directly to Student A or in her presence. She has also received online social media comments about her transgender status and attempts to gain access to the girls' locker rooms as well. The information obtained by OCR indicates that the District did not take any steps in response to the online comments, but OCR's investigation did not reveal any information that the online comments were made by other students. Based on the totality of the circumstances, OCR concluded that the harassment was not sufficiently serious to create a hostile environment based on sex for Student A.

Page 9 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

maintained that it will not provide access to Student A unless she is required to change clothes behind a privacy curtain.¹⁰

OCR returned to the School on October 28, 2015, to inspect the new privacy curtains in the girls' PE locker room and to offer the District an opportunity to provide any additional information relevant to the investigation. The District did not provide any additional information at that time.

OCR observed that, in the girls' PE locker room, the District installed four privacy curtains in unused areas of the locker room, and one privacy curtain around a shower. The privacy stalls were in a central area of the locker room, and any student accessing them would necessarily have to travel into the locker room itself. OCR also observed two additional shower stalls, over which the District stated it could hang privacy curtains to create additional private changing stations. The District has not installed privacy curtains in its girls' athletics or swimming locker rooms, although it stated that it may be willing to if directed to do so by OCR. Thus, as of the date of this letter, a total of ten private changing areas are available in the girls' PE locker room, including the five shower areas with the new privacy curtains and the five restroom stalls, and the District indicated a willingness to install privacy curtains on two presently unused shower stalls to create a total of twelve private changing areas.

Applicable Legal Standards

Under Title IX, “[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a). The regulation implementing Title IX, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(a), provides, in relevant part, that no person shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any academic, extracurricular, or other education program or activity operated by a recipient which receives Federal financial assistance. The regulation implementing Title IX, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b), further provides that a recipient may not, on the basis of sex, deny any person such aid, benefit or services; treat an individual differently from another in determining whether the individual satisfies any requirement or condition for the provision of such aid, benefit, or service; provide different aid, benefits, or services or provide aid, benefits, or services in a different manner; subject any person to separate or different rules of behavior; or otherwise limit any person in the enjoyment of any right, privilege or opportunity. The regulation implementing Title IX, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.33, provides that a recipient may provide separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities on the basis of sex, but such facilities provided for students of one sex shall be comparable to such facilities provided for students of the other sex. All students, including transgender students, are protected from sex-based discrimination under Title IX.

¹⁰ The District Superintendent stated in a recent interview, “When it comes to locker rooms, District 211's position is that students should be able to use the locker rooms of the gender they identify with - but that once inside those locker rooms they would have to use private shower stalls and changing areas to avoid being naked in the company of the other students.” <http://www.dailyherald.com/article/20151015/news/151019300/>.

In determining whether a recipient has subjected an individual to discrimination, OCR examines whether there were any apparent differences in the treatment of similarly situated individuals. If different treatment is established, OCR then considers whether the recipient had a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for the apparent difference in treatment, and whether the reason provided by the recipient was a pretext for discrimination. Additionally, OCR examines whether the recipient treated the individual in a manner that was consistent with established policies and procedures, and whether there is any other evidence of discrimination based on sex, gender identity, or gender nonconformity.

Analysis

OCR's investigation revealed that, except with respect to locker room access, the District has treated Student A consistent with her gender identity as a girl. This includes identifying Student A by her female name and with female pronouns, providing her with full access to all girls' restrooms, and allowing her to participate in girls' interscholastic athletics. However, although Student A must change clothes for mandatory PE class and for her team's practices and competitions, the District has denied Student A access to its girls' locker rooms. Instead, the District has required Student A to use separate restroom facilities, including facilities that are not comparable to those provided other students; Student A has also used the nurse's office to change clothing. Student A has been observed entering or exiting girls' locker rooms several times, both for PE and athletics, in order to store her clothes, obtain a rental uniform, and change her clothes. The District reprimanded Student A on multiple occasions for this. The District has recently installed privacy curtains in the PE girls' locker room, but it has not installed privacy curtains in the two other girls' locker rooms or granted Student A access to the PE girls' locker room or any of the other girls' locker rooms.

With respect to the girls' locker rooms, the District has publicly stated that students should be able to use the locker rooms consistent with their gender identity, and Student A has stated her intention to use the private locker room areas when changing her clothes. Still, the District refuses to provide access to Student A to any part of the girls' locker rooms, unless it requires her to use the private changing areas. The evidence shows that, as a result of the District's denial of access to the girls' locker rooms, Student A has not only received an unequal opportunity to benefit from the District's educational program, but has also experienced an ongoing sense of isolation and ostracism throughout her high school enrollment at the School. In the context of athletics, the denial of access to the girls' locker room resulted in Student A missing opportunities to participate fully in the education experience afforded her teammates. The denial of access has also meant that, in order to satisfy her graduation requirements and receive a high school diploma, Student A has had no other option but to accept being treated differently than other students by the District. Student A changed separately from other students in a restroom down a 75-foot hallway and, in order to avoid drawing increased attention to her separate arrangement, Student A took a long and circuitous route daily in an attempt to enter the gymnasium unnoticed. On two different occasions, Student A was unable to access the restroom or her locker in the restroom. On another occasion, Student A did not receive information that students allowed in the locker room did receive, that students would not have to dress for gym

Page 11 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

class, and was embarrassed when she arrived in her gym uniform while others wore their street clothes.

Student A has completed her required summing units for PE class and does not plan to take additional, elective swimming units; swimming therefore is no longer in active dispute for Student A. Nevertheless, OCR’s investigation revealed that in the PE class’s swim unit, the District’s separate changing arrangements (Restroom G) also singled out Student A for different treatment from that of her peers. Unlike the other female students, who had standard showering facilities and amenities within a locker room, Student A had access to a “rinse” shower and limited amenities. The rinse shower was located in a narrow hallway through which all students had to pass to enter or exit the girls’ swimming locker room.

The District does not dispute that it has denied access to Student A to use the girls’ locker rooms. The District proffered as its legitimate, nondiscriminatory justification that it “based its decision on the needs of all students,” balancing Student A’s rights and interests with the privacy concerns of other female students. The District raised two specific constitutional privacy concerns. First, the District contends that “permitting Student A to be present in the locker room would expose female students to being observed in a state of undress by a biologically male individual.” The District’s second stated privacy concern is that it would be inappropriate for young female students to view a naked male in the locker room in a state of undress. The District stated that “[g]ranteeing Student A the option to change her clothes in the girls’ locker room would expose female students as young as fifteen years of age to a biologically male body.”¹¹ OCR finds the concerns unavailing in this case.

The District also points out that it offered various alternative changing options for Student A. However, each of the alternatives provided or proposed for Student A continued or would continue to exclude Student A from the girls’ locker rooms and set her apart from her female classmates and teammates. Some proposed alternative facilities were not comparable to those provided for other girls, such as the proposed Restroom E for the swimming unit. In addition, the alternative options used by Student A have further subjected her to stigma and different treatment because, on at least some occasions, she has been late to class because she has had to seek out school staff members to provide her access to the alternative facilities, and, on another occasion, showed up to class dressed for gym because she alone was not told to remain in street clothes.

In late October 2015, the District installed five curtains in the girls’ PE locker room. However, the District continues to deny Student A access to the girls’ locker room and has maintained that it will continue to deny access unless Student A—and only Student A—is required to change

¹¹ As putative support for this privacy concern relating to Student A’s peer interactions in the locker rooms, the District cited Student A’s private conversations, on two occasions, with Coach A and Nurse A as to whether she presented a female appearance in her uniform. A student’s question to a medical professional and a coach about whether the student presents as a girl in clothes bears no relationship to student privacy concerns regarding nudity; OCR therefore takes this claim as pretext. Nonetheless, OCR analyzes the relevance of the asserted privacy concern for purposes of complete review.

Page 12 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

behind a privacy curtain. As noted above, Student A requested an opportunity to change clothes in private in the locker rooms, and has stated that she would use privacy curtains if available.

Conclusion

The evidence establishes that, for more than two school years, the District has denied Student A access to the girls' locker rooms at the School, and offered only separate facilities to change clothes for her PE classes and athletics activities.

The District has asserted its interests in balancing the rights of all students, including the constitutional privacy interests of high school students. OCR recognizes that, in preventing and redressing discrimination, schools must formulate, interpret, and apply their rules in a manner that respects the legal rights of students, including constitutional rights relating to privacy. The civil rights laws that OCR enforces, including Title IX, must be interpreted in ways that are consistent with constitutionally protected rights.

Consistent with the Title IX regulations described above permitting school districts to have separate locker room facilities, the School's locker rooms are separated by sex, with restricted access to only members of the same sex. Thus, the School's students have a reasonable expectation of privacy in a locker room setting. Students engage in private activities in the locker rooms, such as changing clothes, using the bathroom, and showering.

To date, the District's position has been that it must deny Student A access to the girls' locker rooms in order to protect the privacy interests of all of its students. In fact, however, the District could satisfy its Title IX obligations as well as protect potential or actual student privacy interests. The District's installation and maintenance of privacy curtains in one locker room go a long distance toward achieving such a nondiscriminatory alternative because providing sufficient privacy curtain access to accommodate any students who wish to be assured of privacy while changing would allow for protection of all students' rights in this context. Those female students wishing to protect their own private bodies from exposure to being observed in a state of undress by other girls in the locker rooms, including transgender girls, could change behind a privacy curtain. Student A has consistently made clear that she would use the privacy curtains to change if allowed access to the girls' locker rooms. This addresses the privacy interest in not exposing young female students in the girls' locker rooms to the intimate body parts of Student A – a transitioning transgender girl – in a state of undress. The privacy curtains the District already has installed in one locker room could well be sufficient to protect all its students' rights, with respect to access to that locker room, if the District rescinded its discriminatory denial of access to the locker rooms for Student A. To date, the District has not taken any steps to provide any private changing areas in its athletics locker room or swimming locker room (although Student A no longer needs to use the swimming locker room). The District has indicated that it might be willing to install privacy curtains in its other girls' locker rooms, but it has not done so.

Thus, the evidence establishes that, given Student A's stated intention to change privately, the District could afford equal access to its locker rooms for all its students if it installed and maintained privacy curtains in its locker rooms in sufficient number to be reasonably available

Page 13 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

for any student who wants privacy. Here the totality of the circumstances weighs in favor of the District granting Student A equal access to the girls' locker rooms, while protecting the privacy of its students.

Based on the specific facts and circumstances in this case, OCR concludes that the District, on the basis of sex, excluded Student A from participation in and denied her the benefits of its education program, provided her different benefits or benefits in a different manner, subjected her to different rules of behavior, and subjected her to different treatment in violation of the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.31.

Procedural Posture

OCR has engaged in extensive negotiations with the District to resolve the Title IX violation in this case. OCR notified the District of its Title IX determination on July 13, 2015, and has actively negotiated with the District from that date through the date of this letter. OCR discussed a proposed agreement with the District on July 13, July 16, July 20, August 1, August 13, August 19, September 16, September 25, and October 8, 2015. On October 12, 2015, the District informed OCR that it would not resolve the Title IX violation voluntarily. On October 13, 2015, OCR issued a letter declaring an impasse in the negotiations. OCR informed the District of its continued willingness to negotiate an agreement, including holding an in-person meeting with the District's Superintendent on October 21. During the meeting of October 21, 2015, the District presented OCR diagrams and blueprints of its locker room facilities that were consistent with what OCR had observed during its onsite. The District also gave OCR a photograph of a mock-up shower curtain as a representation of what it would use for privacy curtains within the PE girls' locker room.

On October 28, 2015, OCR conducted an onsite visit at the School to view the privacy curtains that District installed on October 27, 2015, and had further negotiations with counsel for the District. To date, OCR's efforts to resolve this complaint voluntarily with the District have not been successful. OCR continues to be willing to negotiate an agreement with the District. If an agreement is not reached within 30 calendar days of the date of this Letter of Findings OCR must follow the procedures in its *Case Processing Manual*, at Section 305 for the issuance of a Letter of Impending Enforcement Action.

This concludes OCR's investigation of the complaint and should not be interpreted to address the District's compliance with any other regulatory provision or to address any issues other than those addressed in this letter. This letter sets forth OCR's determination in an individual OCR case. This letter is not a formal statement of OCR policy and should not be relied upon, cited, or construed as such. OCR's formal policy statements are approved by a duly authorized OCR official and made available to the public.

Please be advised that the District may not harass, coerce, intimidate, or discriminate against any individual because he or she had filed a complaint or participated in the complaint resolution process. If this happens the complainant may file another complaint alleging such treatment. Under the Freedom of Information Act, it may be necessary to release this document and related

EXHIBIT L
PAGE 13

Page 14 – OCR Case No. 05-14-1055

correspondence and records upon request. In the event that OCR receives such a request, we will seek to protect, to the extent provided by law, personally identifiable information, which if released, could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

If you or your staff members have any questions about this matter, please do not hesitate to contact Melissa Howard, Attorney Advisor, at 312-730-1527.

Sincerely,

/s/

Adele Rapport
Regional Director

cc: Jennifer Smith, Esq.

EXHIBIT L
PAGE 14

AGREEMENT TO RESOLVE

Between Township High School District 211 and
the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights
OCR Case # 05-14-1055

In order to resolve the issues in the above-referenced complaint filed with the U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights (OCR), under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), Township High School District 211 (District), without admitting any violation of federal law or regulations, agrees to take the actions outlined in this Resolution Agreement.

TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

I. EXPERT CONSULTANT

- A. No later than thirty (30) calendar days after execution of this Agreement, the District will engage one or more third-party consultants with expertise in child and adolescent gender identity, including transgender and gender nonconforming youth, to support and assist the District in implementing this Agreement. The District may propose as its consultant a current employee of the District, if it currently employs an individual with the required expertise and experience.
- B. The consultant(s) will be agreed upon by both the District and OCR.

Reporting Requirement: Within 30 calendar days of the execution of this Agreement, the District will provide OCR with a written summary of the expert consultant it proposes to engage, including that individual's application and resume and/or documentation concerning the individual's previous position(s), employer(s) or professional affiliation(s).

Within 30 calendar days of OCR's approval of the nominee, the District will provide OCR with written documentation that it has engaged the expert consultant.

- C. The District will promptly notify OCR if it intends to retain additional or alternative consultants during the term of this Agreement for purposes of implementing this Agreement.
- D. The District will be responsible for all costs, if any, associated with the retention of expert consultants.

Reporting Requirement: Within 30 days of any determination to retain additional or alternative consultants, the District will provide OCR with a written summary of the expert consultant it proposes to engage, including that individual's application and resume and/or documentation concerning the individual's previous position(s), employer(s) or professional affiliation(s).

Within 30 calendar days of OCR's approval of the nominee, the District will provide OCR with written documentation that it has engaged the expert consultant, the contact information of each

additional consultant retained by the District in connection with this Agreement, as well as the start and end dates of each individual's services.

II. INDIVIDUAL MEASURES

- A. For the duration of Student A's enrollment in the District:
1. based on Student A's representation that she will change in private changing stations in the girls' locker rooms, the District agrees to provide Student A access to locker room facilities designated for female students at school and to take steps to protect the privacy of its students by installing and maintaining sufficient privacy curtains (private changing stations) within the girls' locker rooms to accommodate Student A and any students who wish to be assured of privacy while changing;
 2. the District will coordinate with hosts of off-campus, District-sponsored activities to arrange that Student A is provided access to facilities designated for female students in a manner consistent with item II.A.1. The District will work with Student A to honor her requests for facility accommodations in the least disruptive manner possible for Student A; and
 3. the District will continue to ensure that any school records containing Student A's birth name or reflecting Student A's assigned sex, if any, are treated as confidential, personally identifiable information; are maintained separately from Student A's records; and are not disclosed to any District employees, students, or others except as allowed by state and federal laws governing the release of student record information.

Reporting Requirement: By January 15, 2016, the District will provide OCR with written documentation of its compliance with item II.A, including but not limited to, any and all documentation relating to Student A's access to girls' locker rooms and a copy of Student A's registration and enrollment materials confirming her name and preferred gender identity. The District will provide OCR with a monitoring report describing its ongoing compliance with item II.A on October 1 and February 1 of each year that this Agreement is in force.

- B. If any student requests additional privacy in the use of sex-specific facilities designed for female students beyond the private changing stations described in item II.A.1, the District will provide that student with access to a reasonable alternative, such as assignment of a student locker in near proximity to the office of a teacher or coach; use of another private area (such as a restroom stall) within the public area; use of a nearby private area (such as a single-use facility); or a separate schedule of use.

Reporting Requirement: By January 15, 2016, the District will provide OCR with written documentation of any request made pursuant to Item II.B. and a description of the steps taken by the District to accommodate that request.

- C. No later than thirty (30) calendar days after execution of this Agreement, the District will notify Student A and her parents that they may, at any point during Student A's enrollment in the District, request the District to establish a support team to ensure Student A has access and opportunity to participate in all programs and activities, and is otherwise protected from gender-based discrimination at school. If the District receives such a request, it will form a support team that will:
1. include, at a minimum, Student A, her parents, an advocate or representative of the parents' choice (if any), a medical professional of the parents' choice (if any), and relevant District personnel familiar with Student A;
 2. develop a student-specific support plan to provide Student A with access to all school and District facilities and activities, addressing any particular issues raised by Student A or her parents;
 3. document its meetings, recommendations, and decisions, including, but not limited to, the date and location of each meeting, the names and positions of all participants, the basis for its recommendations and decisions, and supporting third-party opinions and information considered and/or relied upon in the meeting; and
 4. at least once each school year and at any time upon the request of Student A or her parents, review Student A's circumstances to determine whether existing arrangements related to Student A's gender identity, gender transition, or transgender status are meeting her educational needs and ensuring that Student A has access and opportunity to participate in the District's education programs and activities. Once constituted, the support team will be in place for the remainder of Student A's enrollment in the District or until her parents request in writing that it be terminated.

Reporting Requirement: Within 30 calendar days of the execution of this Agreement, the District will provide OCR with written documentation of its compliance with item II.C, including but not limited to, documentation of the request for the formation of the team, the names and positions of the team members, date(s) the team met, and any documentation of its meetings, recommendations, and decisions. The District will provide OCR with a monitoring report describing its ongoing compliance with item II.C on October 1 and February 1 of each year that this Agreement is in force.

III. NON-DISCRIMINATION NOTICE

- A. By January 15, 2016, the District will draft and submit to OCR for review and approval a revised notice of nondiscrimination on the basis of sex that meets the requirements of the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.9, including, but not

limited to, stating that the District does not discriminate on the basis of sex in its educational program or activities, stating that inquiries about sex discrimination may be referred to the Title IX Coordinator or OCR, and including the name or title and contact information (address, email address, and telephone number) for the District's Title IX Coordinator, as required by the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.8. The following statement will satisfy this requirement and be approved by OCR:

Township High School District 211 does not discriminate on the basis of sex in its educational programs or activities, and is required by Title IX not to discriminate in such a manner. This prohibition extends to employment and admission. The following employee(s) have been designated to address questions or complaints about discrimination: Title IX Coordinator, [Name or Title], [Address], [Email Address], [Phone Number]. Inquiries concerning the application of Title IX may be referred to the Title IX Coordinator or to OCR.

- B. Within 30 days of OCR's approval, the District will publish the revised notice of nondiscrimination on its website and as otherwise required by the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.9.

Reporting Requirement: By January 15, 2016, the District will provide OCR with draft revised notice of nondiscrimination for OCR's approval pursuant to item III.A. Within 60 days of OCR's approval, the District will publish the revised notice of nondiscrimination on its website, as well as in each announcement, bulletin, catalog, or application form which it makes available, as required by the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. § 106.9.

IV. MONITORING AND REPORTING

- A. The District will provide documentation of its compliance with this Agreement through written compliance reports, which will be produced to OCR, as described above. In addition, to demonstrate its compliance with this Agreement, Title IX and its implementing regulation, by June 1, 2016, the District will provide the following documentation:
1. a copy or detailed description of all gender-based discrimination or harassment complaints or incidents that occurred during the reporting period, including documentation or a detailed written description of the District's response to each incident;
- B. The District will provide all reports, documents, and information required to be produced to OCR pursuant to this Agreement in electronic form, usable by OCR, or in written form if the data in electronic form would not be usable, in accordance with the timelines set herein

Based on the terms and reporting requirements of this Agreement, OCR anticipates closing its monitoring of this Agreement by June 30, 2017.

The District understands that OCR will not close the monitoring of this Agreement until OCR determines the District has fulfilled the terms of this Agreement and is in compliance with the regulations implementing Title IX, 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.9 and 106.31, which were at issue in this case.

The District understands that by signing this Agreement, it agrees to provide data and other information in a timely manner in accordance with the reporting requirements of this Agreement. Further, the District understands that during the monitoring of this Agreement, if necessary, OCR may visit the District, interview staff and students, and request such additional reports or data as are necessary for OCR to determine whether the District has fulfilled the terms of this Agreement and is in compliance with the regulations implementing Title IX, 34 C.F.R. §§ 106.9 and 106.31, which were at issue in this case.

The District understands and acknowledges that OCR may initiate administrative enforcement or judicial proceedings, including to enforce the specific terms and obligations of this Agreement. Before initiating administrative enforcement (34 C.F.R. §§ 100.9, 100.10), or judicial proceedings, including to enforce this Agreement, OCR shall give the District written notice of the alleged breach and sixty (60) calendar days to cure the alleged breach.

Signed:

/s/

Township School District 211

Date:

December 2, 2015



Oregon Department of Education

Kate Brown, Governor

Office of the Deputy Superintendent
255 Capitol St NE, Salem, OR 97310
Voice: 503-947-5600
Fax: 503-378-5156

Guidance to School Districts: Creating a Safe and Supportive School Environment for Transgender Students Issued May 5, 2016

ODE fosters excellence for every learner, and recognizes that academic success depends on a safe school environment. As an organization, we value equity for every student; this includes an educational environment safe and free from discrimination and harassment, ensuring that every student has equal access to educational programs and activities.

Under Oregon law, “[a] person may not be subjected to discrimination in any public elementary, secondary or community college education program or service, school or interschool activity or in any higher education program or service, school or interschool activity where the program, service, school or activity is financed in whole or in part by moneys appropriated by the Legislative Assembly.”¹ Discrimination includes “any act that unreasonably differentiates treatment, intended or unintended, or any act that is fair in form but discriminatory in operation, either of which is based on race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, age or disability.”² Oregon law broadly defines, “sexual orientation” as an individual’s actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual’s gender identity, appearance, expression or behavior differs from that traditionally associated with the individual’s sex at birth.³

Additionally, federal law under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX) generally prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded programs and activities.⁴ The United States Department of Education’s Office for Civil Rights (OCR) has issued guidance recognizing that Title IX protects transgender and gender nonconforming students.⁵ Additionally, the U.S. Departments of Education and Justice have stated that under Title IX, “discrimination based on a person’s gender identity, a person’s transgender status, or a person’s nonconformity to sex stereotypes constitutes discrimination based on sex” and has asserted a significant interest in ensuring that all students, including transgender students, have the opportunity to learn in an environment free of sex discrimination in public schools.⁶ Recently, a federal court upheld this interpretation of federal law by the U.S. Department of Education.⁷

¹ ORS 659.850(2).

² ORS 659.850 (1).

³ ORS 174.100.

⁴ 20 U.S.C. §1681(a) (2006).

⁵ See 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688 (2006); 34 C.F.R. Part 106 (2010); 54 C.F.R. Part 54 (2000); United States Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights, Questions and Answers on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes and Extracurricular Activities (December 1, 2014).

⁶ See Statement of Interest of the United States, *Grimm v. Gloucester County School Board*, Case No. 4:15cv54 (E.D. Virg. filed June 29, 2015).

⁷ *Grimm v. Gloucester County School Board*, Case No. 15-2056 (Issued April 20, 2016).

EXHIBIT M-1

PAGE 1

As a response to student, parent, and school district requests, the Oregon Department of Education, working with stakeholders, developed these guidelines to provide assistance for districts to foster an educational environment that is safe, free from discrimination, and aligned with state and federal laws. These guidelines are designed to be used by school boards, administrators and other members of the educational community to guide development of school procedures and district policies related to transgender and gender nonconforming students.

The guidelines are intended to suggest best practices and to provide a foundation for the educational community to build safe and supportive school cultures. **These guidelines are not legal advice, nor should they be relied on as legal advice. If you require legal advice regarding the issues discussed in these guidelines, please consult an attorney.**

In order to make this document as helpful as possible, illustrative examples that highlight frequently-asked questions and best practices for addressing these questions appear throughout in italics. While these scenarios and remedies are based on real-life examples personally identifiable student information and specific school information has been changed to protect the privacy of the students involved. These scenarios are also not meant to be exhaustive of all potential scenarios or remedies appropriate for each school community.

Terminology

Understanding the common terminology associated with gender identity is important to providing a safe and supportive school environment for students. The following definitions used by research, advocacy and governmental organizations are provided to assist in understanding the guidance presented. Although these are the most commonly used terms, students may use other terms to describe their gender identity, appearance, or expression. Terminology and language describing transgender and gender nonconforming individuals can differ based on region, language, race or ethnicity, age, culture, and many other factors. It is recommended that school staff and educators inquire about which terms a student uses to describe themselves and their experience. A good general guideline is to employ those terms which the student uses to describe themselves.

Definitions:

1. **Assigned sex** – Sex recorded at birth, usually on the basis of external genitalia.
2. **Cisgender**- A term used to describe people who, for the most part, identify with the sex they were assigned at birth.
3. **Gender binary** – The assumption that there are only two genders (male and female), rather than more than two genders or gender fluidity.
4. **Gender expression** - How people express their gender externally based on mannerisms, dress, etc. A person's gender expression/presentation may not always match their gender identity.
5. **Gender identity** - A person's internal sense of being male, female or some other gender, regardless of whether the individual's appearance, expression or behavior differs from

that traditionally associated with the individual's sex assigned at birth. Gender identity is distinct from and often unrelated to an individual's sexual orientation.

6. **Gender role** - The socially determined sets of behaviors assigned to people based on their biological sex.
7. **Gender sensitive** - Materials and instruction strategies that is sensitive to individual's similarities and differences regarding gender role, gender identity and/or sexual orientation.
8. **Genderqueer** – A person whose gender identity cannot be categorized as solely male or female. The term is not a synonym for transgender and should only be used if someone self-identifies as genderqueer.
9. **Intersex** – An umbrella term used for people born with reproductive or sexual anatomy and/or chromosome pattern that does not seem to fit the typical definition of male or female. Intersex may also be known as Difference of Sex Development and may not always be known at birth, but may be revealed at any stage of a person's life
10. **Sexual orientation** – Means a person's physical, romantic, emotional, aesthetic, or other form of attraction to others. Sexual orientation and gender identity are not the same. Although, the Oregon Legislature adopted a broader definition of "sexual orientation" for purposes of all Oregon statutes to "mean an individual's actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual's gender identity, appearance, expression or behavior differs from that traditionally associated with the individual's sex at birth."⁸
11. **Transgender** – An umbrella term for persons whose gender identity, gender expression, or behavior does not conform to that typically associated with the sex to which they were assigned at birth. Persons who identify as transgender may or may not pursue medical transition.
12. **Transgender female** -This is a person whose assigned sex at birth is male but identifies and lives as a female.
13. **Transgender male** - This is a person whose assigned sex at birth is female but identifies and lives as a male.
14. **Transition** - The time when a person begins living as the gender with which they identify rather than the gender they were assigned at birth, which often includes changing one's first name and dressing and grooming differently. Transition may or may not also include medical and legal aspects, including taking hormones, having surgery, or changing identity documents (e.g. driver's license, Social Security record) to reflect one's gender identity.
15. **Transsexual** – An outmoded term that refers to a person who has permanently changed - or seeks to change - their bodies through medical interventions (including but not limited to hormones and/or surgeries). Unlike transgender, transsexual is not an umbrella or popular term. Many transgender people do not identify as transsexual and prefer the word *transgender*. It is best to use transgender instead unless the individual uses this term to describe themselves.

⁸ ORS 174.100

Student Identity

One's gender identity is an innate characteristic of each individual's personality that is generally declared by a child at an early age.⁹ The person best situated to determine a student's gender identity is the individual student. In a case where a student is not yet able to self-advocate, the request to respect and affirm a student's identity will likely come from the student's parent.¹⁰

However, in other cases, transgender students may not want their parents to know about their transgender identity. These situations should be addressed on a case-by-case basis and school districts should balance the goal of supporting the student with the requirement that parents be kept informed about their children. The paramount consideration in such situations should be the health and safety of the student, while also making sure that the student's gender identity is affirmed in a manner that maintains privacy and confidentiality.

Students may openly discuss and express their gender identity and expression, and decide when, with whom, and how much information to share. District and school personnel may also encounter situations in which transgender students have not disclosed being a transgender student. School personnel should be mindful of the confidentiality and privacy rights of students when communicating with others and mindful not to reveal, imply, or refer to a student's gender identity or expression. To ensure confidentiality when discussing a particular concern such as academic progress, access to learning, conduct, discipline, grades, attendance, or health, the focus of school personnel should be specifically on the concern at issue and not on the student's gender identity or expression.

***EXAMPLE:** The parents of a pre-school-age child who was assigned "female" at birth noted throughout the child's early years that their child identified as a boy. For as long as the parents could remember, the child preferred to play with boys rather than girls, wanted a short haircut, rejected wearing any clothing that the child identified as "something a girl would wear," and ignored anyone who called him by his stereotypically feminine name. When it was time for the child to enter kindergarten, the child said to his parents, "You have to tell them when I go to kindergarten that I'm a boy." The school district enrolled the child as a boy."*

It is recommended that school districts accept a student's assertion of his/her/their own gender identity. A student who says she is a girl and wishes to be regarded that way throughout the school day should be respected and treated like any other girl. So too with a student who says he is a boy and wishes to be affirmed that way throughout the school day. Such a student should be respected and treated like any other boy.

Many transgender people experience discrimination and some experience violence due to their identity. Some environments may feel safe and inclusive, and others less so, challenging a person's ability to live consistently with one gender identity in all aspects of life. For example, it is possible that a student assigned "male" at birth, with a female gender identity, who lives as a girl, is not able to express her female gender identity at all times.

⁹ *Gender Identity Development in Children*, American Academy of Pediatrics (updated 11/21/15).

¹⁰ When used in this document, the term "parent" refers to a parent as well as legal guardian or person in parental relationship as defined in ORS 339.133.

The age at which individuals come to understand and express their gender identity may vary based on each person's social and familial supports.¹¹

School districts should work closely with the student and the student's parents in devising an appropriate plan regarding the confidentiality of the student's transgender identity. In some cases, transgender students may feel more supported and safe if other students are aware that they are transgender. In these cases, school district staff should work closely with the student, parents, and other staff members on a plan to inform and educate the student's peers. It may also be appropriate for school districts to engage with community resources to assist with educational efforts.

Transgender and gender nonconforming students form a diverse community, and they may differ in how they present, including differences in comfort level with being out as transgender or gender nonconforming, their transition status, their age, and their gender expression. School districts should adopt a flexible approach given that transgender students may not feel comfortable or safe being their authentic selves in all contexts.

***EXAMPLE:** In one school, a student explained to her guidance counselor that she was a transgender girl who had heretofore only been able to express her female gender identity while at home. The stress associated with having to hide her female gender identity by presenting as male at school was having a negative impact on her mental health, as well as on her academic performance. The student and her parents asked if it would be okay if she expressed her female gender identity at school. The guidance counselor acted on her request. The fact that the student presented no documentation to support her gender identity was not a concern. The school had no reason to believe the request was based on anything other than the student identified as female.*

Recommended Nondiscriminatory Practices

Provision of Free Appropriate Public Education

Under Oregon law, school districts are required to provide a free appropriate public education to all students who are resident within the district.¹² Students "may not be subjected to discrimination in any public elementary, secondary or community college education program or service, school or interschool activity or in any higher education program or service, school or interschool activity where the program, service, school or activity is financed in whole or in part by moneys appropriated by the Legislative Assembly."¹³ Discrimination includes "any act that unreasonably differentiates treatment, intended or unintended, or any act that is fair in form but discriminatory in operation, either of which is based on race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, age or disability."¹⁴ "Sexual orientation means an individual's actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity,

¹¹ See Gerald P. Mallon, "Practice with Transgendered Children," in Social Services with Transgendered Youth, 49, 55-58 (Gerald P. Mallon ed., 1999). See also Stephanie Brill & Rachel Pepper, "Developmental Stages and the Transgender Child," in The Transgender Child, 61-64.

¹² ORS 339.115.

¹³ ORS 659.850(2).

¹⁴ ORS 659.850.

regardless of whether the individual's gender identity, appearance, expression or behavior differs from that traditionally associated with the individual's sex at birth."¹⁵

Names and Pronouns

Transgender students often choose to change the name assigned to them at birth to a name that affirms their gender identity. As with most other issues involved with creating a safe and supportive environment for transgender students, the best course is to engage the student, and possibly the parents, with respect to name and pronoun use. The school district should decide with the student and parents the best plan to reflect the individual student's needs when initiating name and pronoun use.

There are no state laws which either require or prescribe requirements for school district issued student IDs. However, if your school district has chosen to issue student IDs, it is recommended that student IDs be issued in the name reflecting the student's gender identity consistently asserted at school. This may require issuing the student a new ID card.

For those students who have been attending a school and undergo gender transition while attending the same school, school districts in consultation with the student and parents should develop a plan for initiating use of the chosen name and pronouns consistent with the student's gender identity.

Example: A transgender girl was transferring to a new high school. She asked the principal to inform her teachers that even though her school records indicate that her name is John, she goes by the name Jane and uses female pronouns. With permission from Jane, the school principal sent the following memorandum to the student's classroom teachers: "The student John Smith wishes to be referred to by the name Jane Smith, a name that is consistent with the student's female gender identity. Please be certain to use the student's preferred name and female pronouns in all contexts. It is my expectation that students will similarly refer to the student by her appropriate name and pronouns. Your role modeling will help make a smooth transition for all concerned. Continued, repeated, and intentional misuse of names and pronouns may erode the educational environment for Jane. If you need any assistance to make sure that Jane Smith experiences a safe, nondiscriminatory classroom atmosphere, please contact me."
In addition to the memorandum, school staff was provided with training about supports for transgender students as well as procedures for sexual harassment and bullying.

Management of Student Identity in School Documents and Records

School personnel should not disclose information that may reveal a student's transgender identity except as allowed under the Family Education Rights Privacy Act (FERPA). Under FERPA, generally only those school employees "determined to have legitimate educational interests" may have access to a student's records or the information contained within those records.¹⁶ However, FERPA also contains other general exceptions for release of student records.¹⁷ For example,

¹⁵ ORS 174.100.

¹⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(1).

¹⁷ See the following web site for a discussion of the requirements of FERPA:

<http://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/fpco/ferpa/index.html>

student records may be released with the written permission of a student's parent. These rights transfer to the student when the student reaches the age of 18 or attends a school beyond the high school level.

Disclosing confidential student information to other employees, students, parents, or other third parties may violate privacy laws, including but not limited to FERPA. Disclosing confidential student medical information, for example transition status or hormone therapy, to other employees, students, parents or other third parties may constitute a violation of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) or Oregon law.¹⁸

Transgender students have the ability, as do all students, to discuss and express their gender identity and expression openly and decide when, with whom, and how much of their private information to share with others.

Schools should work closely with the student and parents in customizing an appropriate plan regarding the confidentiality of the student's transgender identity that supports the student. That plan may include the option to inform and educate the student's peers or to not share the information with the student's peers.

When a transgender student new to a school is using a preferred name, the birth name should be kept confidential by school district staff. School districts should review their Student Information Systems and ensure that all printed and digital materials generated for classroom and instructor use show the student's chosen name, not their legal name. Examples include attendance sheets, grade books, etc. School districts are reminded to use reasonable methods for ensuring that only those with a legitimate educational interest have access to student records.

ODE will change a student's gender within the Secure Student Identification System (SSID) upon request from a district. ODE will allow the request from the district to serve as the "documentation to support the change" that is generally required by ODE for changes to the SSID. There is no need for the student to prove their new gender. The student's declaration of their gender is acceptable.

Steps to change gender on the student record:

- Update the student's gender in your student information system
- Update the student's gender in SSID
- Check your errors for any collections that do not catch the change

First Name Change Options and Process:

The administrative rules of the Secretary of State and State Board of Education require that student records contain at a minimum the full legal name of the student and other information.¹⁹ Although ODE maintains a general expectation that the legal name in the school electronic record be consistent with the legal name of the student, in the case of transgender students it may be necessary to deviate from this policy to support and protect the student.

¹⁸ ORS 179.505.

¹⁹ OAR 166-400-0060(32) and 581-022-1670(7).

Additionally, transgender students often make the transition in stages and may not, yet, be ready to complete the legal name change process. In order to support students, ODE recommends that school districts enter the name the student is currently using (the name that corresponds to the student's self-identified gender) into the "Preferred name" field and retain the legal name in the school electronic record and generally in the student records. However, in some student information systems, the "preferred name" does not appear throughout the system and the legal name may appear in daily use documents like the gradebook, attendance records, etc. This can result in "outing" of the student as a student who is transgender.

In cases such as these, ODE will support the following options:

1. Replace the legal first name of the student in the electronic system with the student's preferred name and move the legal name to the middle name field. Monitor for SSID errors and resolve. Student should retain the same SSID and last name in the system.
2. Replace the legal first name of the student in the electronic system and make sure you monitor for SSID errors.
3. Have a cross-reference system in place to locate the student's electronic records by use of the student's legal name.
4. Retain the same SSID for the student in the electronic system.
5. Maintain the student's legal name generally within the student's record as required by Secretary of State administrative rules relating to the archiving of student records.

Graduation: Transcripts and Diplomas

Students are often still in transition at the time of graduation and have not necessarily completed legal name changes and other documentation. Recommended best practice for graduating transgender students is to provide two diplomas and two sets of transcripts, one with the legal first name and one with the preferred first name.

Once a student has completed a legal name change, they can request all records be updated in their student education record to reflect their legally changed name. This may happen before or after graduation.

Although there are no state requirements relating to whether transcripts contain information about a student's gender, it is recommended that student transcripts be gender neutral and contain no indicator of gender for any student.

Student Health Records

School nurses and other licensed health professionals need accurate and reliable information to ensure that the student receives appropriate care to enable them to coordinate care with other health care providers. A school nurse should use the transgender student's preferred name and identified gender except when necessary to ensure the health and safety of the student.

Instruction and Student Support (Comprehensive Sexuality Education)

Under Oregon administrative rule, each school district shall provide an age-appropriate, comprehensive plan of instruction focusing on human sexuality education. Course material and instruction for all human sexuality education courses that discuss human sexuality in public elementary and secondary schools shall enhance students' understanding of sexuality as a normal and healthy aspect of human development. Local school boards shall approve the plan of instruction and require that it be reviewed and updated biennially in accordance with new scientific information and effective education strategies. The plan requires inclusive materials, language, and strategies that recognizes different sexual orientations, gender identities and gender expression. Further, sexuality education materials, instructional strategies, and activities must not, in any way, use shame or fear based tactics.²⁰

The human sexuality education rule (OAR 581-022-1440) allows school districts to use instructional strategies, provide material, and engage in activities that promote a safe environment for all students to learn as well as healthy and positive peer relationships. Importantly, comprehensive sexuality education (CSE) includes healthy sexuality and violence prevention instruction; it emphasizes dignity and respect for all; and validation for all individual and peer differences. Medically accurate, age appropriate, inclusive CSE is a tool to support student understanding of gender identity and sexual orientation diversity.

Gender-Based Activities, Rules, Policies, and Practices

Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in federally funded education programs and activities. Regulations issued by the OCR authorize schools to offer single-sex classes or extracurricular activities only under certain circumstances. For these reasons, school districts should consult with their Title IX Coordinator or legal counsel to review such policies, rules and practices, and should eliminate any that do not serve a clear pedagogical purpose.

In 2014, OCR issued guidance relating to Title IX which stated that: "All students, including transgender students and students who do not conform to sex stereotypes, are protected from sex-based discrimination under Title IX. Under Title IX, a recipient generally must treat transgender students consistent with their gender identity in all aspects of the planning, implementation, enrollment, operation, and evaluation of single-sex classes."²¹

Based on this guidance transgender students should be treated consistent with their gender identity and should not be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to harassment or other forms of discrimination on the basis of gender identity in any program or activity. These activities and programs may include but are not limited to cheer class,

²⁰ OAR 581-022-1440.

²¹ *Questions and Answers on Title IX and Single-Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes and Extracurricular Activities*, United State Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights, December 1, 2014 found at: <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/faqs-title-ix-single-sex-201412.pdf>.

homecoming, prom, spirit day, celebrations, assemblies, acknowledgments, after school activities/ programs and all extra-curricular activities.

EXAMPLE: One school district required female students to wear dresses to prom, and male students to wear suits or tuxedos. The school district changed its policy to remove gender specific requirements, and instead required students to wear formal attire.

Restroom and Locker Room Usage

School districts, as well as students and their families, may find the use of restrooms, locker rooms, changing facilities, and participation in extracurricular activities to be among the more important issues to consider. OCR has recognized that school districts “in preventing and redressing discrimination, ... must formulate, interpret and apply their rules in a manner that respects the legal rights of students, including constitutional rights relating to privacy.”²² In 2015, the United States Departments of Education and Justice stated that:

Under Title IX, discrimination based on a person’s gender identity, a person’s transgender status, or a person’s nonconformity to sex stereotypes constitutes discrimination based on sex. As such, prohibiting a student from accessing the restrooms that matches his (her) gender identity is prohibited sex discrimination under Title IX. There is a public interest in ensuring that all students, including transgender students, have the opportunity to learn in an environment free of sex discrimination.²³

Based on a recent OCR finding against an Illinois school district, it is recommended that alternative accommodations, such as a single “unisex” bathroom or private changing space, should be made available to students who request them, but should not be forced upon students, or presented as the only option.

OCR in a recent report issued to an Illinois school district found the district in violation of Title IX for excluding a high school student who is transgender “from participation in and denying her the benefits of its education program, providing services to her in a different manner, subjecting her to different rules of behavior, and subjecting her to different treatment on the basis of sex.”²⁴ The school district had honored the student’s request to be treated as female in all respects except for her request to be provided access to the girl’s locker rooms. The student used a restroom to change for PE class but did not take the most direct route from the restroom to the gymnasium “because it’s embarrassing. Everyone would see me.” She also took a circuitous route to PE class to avoid standing out. Her PE teacher reported that the student was frequently late to class. In another instance, the student did not receive information given to other students in the locker room by the PE teacher. The district installed ten private changing areas in the girl’s locker room

²² Investigation Report, United States Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights issued to Township High School District 211, Palantine Illinois, OCR Case No. 05-014-1055, page 12 (November 2, 2015).

²³ *Grimm v. Gloucester County School Board*, Case No. 4:15cv54 (E.D. Virg. filed June 29, 2015) statement of interest.

²⁴ Investigation Report, United States Department of Education, Office of Civil Rights issued to Township High School District 211, Palantine Illinois, OCR Case No. 05-014-1055 (November 2, 2015).

including five shower areas and five restroom stalls. The student also stated her intention was to change privately in one of the changing areas.

OCR stated “the District could satisfy its Title IX obligations as well as protect potential or actual student privacy interests.” OCR concluded “that the District, on the basis of sex, excluded [the student] from participation in and denied her the benefits of its education program, providing her different benefits or benefits in a different manner, subjected her to different rules of behavior, and subjected her to her to different treatment in violation of the Title IX regulation, at 34 C.F.R. section 106.31.”²⁵

As cited previously in this document Oregon state law prohibits discrimination by public education providers based on an “individual’s actual or perceived heterosexuality, homosexuality, bisexuality or gender identity, regardless of whether the individual’s gender identity, appearance, expression or behavior differs from that traditionally associated with the individual’s sex at birth.”²⁶ While we are unaware of any Oregon court cases which have interpreted this language, courts from other states have ruled on similar language from their own state laws and have found that this language provides protections for transgender students including the use of a bathroom consistent with the student’s gender identity.²⁷

The following are examples of ways in which school officials have responded to situations involving gender-segregated activities or spaces:

EXAMPLE: *In one elementary school, a transgender student socially transitioned from female to male. After consultation with the student’s family and in accordance with the student’s wishes, the principal informed the staff that in order to foster an inclusive and supportive learning environment, the student will begin using male restrooms, in accordance with the student’s male gender identity and expression.*

EXAMPLE: *In one high school, a transgender student who had transitioned from male to female was given access to the female changing facility. However, the student was uncomfortable using the female changing facility with other female students, since there were no private changing areas within the facility. The principal examined the changing facility and determined that curtains could easily be put up along one side of a row of benches near the group lockers, providing private changing areas for any students who wished to use them. After the school put up the curtains, the student was comfortable using the changing facility.*

Sports and Physical Education

Physical education is a required part of the curriculum and an important part of many students’ lives. Transgender students are to be provided the same opportunities to participate in physical education as are all other students. Generally, students should be permitted to participate in physical education and intramural sports in accordance with the student’s gender identity that is consistently asserted at school.

²⁵ *Id.* at page 13.

²⁶ ORS 174.100.

²⁷ *See Doe v Regional School Unit*, 86A.3d 600 (2014).

Generally, transgender students should be permitted to also participate in interschool activities. Oregon law that prohibits discrimination in education also applies to interschool activities where the activity is financed in whole or in part by moneys appropriated by the Legislative Assembly.²⁸ School districts should also review guidance provided by the Oregon Student Athletic Association (OSAA) relating to participation in competitive high school inter-school athletic activities.

Student Safety

Research has identified that transgender and gender nonconforming students are often targeted with physical violence and experience a hostile school environment at a higher rate than their peers. As a result, transgender and gender nonconforming students are also more likely to demonstrate frequent absenteeism, higher dropout rates, and higher rates of depression and suicide.²⁹

Oregon law requires all school districts to “adopt a policy prohibiting harassment, intimidation or bullying and prohibiting cyberbullying.”³⁰ This policy must require school district employees to report acts of harassment, intimidation or bullying or an act of cyberbullying to a person identified by the district.³¹ This includes harassment, intimidation or bullying against transgender students.

Under Oregon law, “harassment, intimidation or bullying” means any act that:

- (a) Substantially interferes with a student’s educational benefits, opportunities or performance;
- (b) Takes place on or immediately adjacent to school grounds, at any school-sponsored activity, on school-provided transportation or at any official school bus stop;
- (c) Has the effect of:
 - (A) Physically harming a student or damaging a student’s property;
 - (B) Knowingly placing a student in reasonable fear of physical harm to the student or damage to the student’s property; or
 - (C) Creating a hostile educational environment, including interfering with the psychological well-being of a student; and
- (d) May be based on, but not be limited to, the protected class status of a person.³²

Oregon law goes on to define “protected class” as a group of persons distinguished, or perceived to be distinguished, by race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, national origin, marital status, familial status, source of income or disability.³³

²⁸ ORS 659.850(2).

²⁹ 18 Jaime M. Grant, et al., National Center for Transgender Equality and National Gay and Lesbian Task Force, *Injustice at Every Turn: A Report of the National Transgender Discrimination Survey*, 3, 45 (2011).
http://www.thetaskforce.org/downloads/reports/reports/ntds_full.pdf

³⁰ ORS 339.356

³¹ ORS 339.356

³² ORS 339.351

³³ ORS 339.351

Required school district policies must include how the district will publicize the policy within the district including making it readily available to parents, school employees, students and others.³⁴ School district employees and parents should work together to resolve complaints alleging harassment, bullying or intimidation based on a student's actual or perceived gender identity or expression.

Based on school district policies, school administration should promptly respond to incidents of harassment, bullying or intimidation by taking actions that include, but are not limited to the following: a) intervening to stop the behavior; b) investigating and documenting the incident; c) determining and enforcing appropriate corrective actions within the school's area of responsibility; d) remedying the effects of such behavior on the targeted student and other students; and e) monitoring to ensure that the behavior does not recur.

In some instances, harassment, intimidation or bullying may constitute child abuse and require mandatory reporting by school district employees to law enforcement or the Department of Human Services and to a person designated by the school district.³⁵

***EXAMPLE:** During public testimony at a school board meeting a member of the public used the name of a student who is a transgender student and indicated they knew the grade level and school of the student. The person threatened bodily harm against the student if the student continued to attend school. The school district superintendent who was in attendance at the public meeting reported the information to local law enforcement and worked with local law enforcement and the student's parents to ensure the student's safety including not allowing the person access to school grounds.*

Resources

American Academy of Pediatrics, *Gender Identity Development in Children*, (updated 11/21/15). <https://www.healthychildren.org/English/ages-stages/gradeschool/Pages/Gender-Identity-and-Gender-Confusion-In-Children.aspx>

Connecticut Safe Schools Coalition, *Guidelines for Connecticut Schools to Comply with Gender Identity and Expression Non-Discrimination Laws, Frequently Asked Questions* (2012).

http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/lib/sde/pdf/equity/title_ix/guidelines_for_schools_on_gender_identity_and_expression2012oct4.pdf

Doe v Regional School Unit, 86A.3d 600 (2014).

http://www.courts.maine.gov/opinions_orders/supreme/lawcourt/2014/14me11do.pdf

DOED reply to E. Prince, dated January, 2015.

http://www.bricker.com/documents/misc/transgender_student_restroom_access_1-2015.pdf

³⁴ ORS 339.356

³⁵ See ORS 339.370, 339.372 and 419B.005 to 419B.050.

Eugene School District (OR): *Best Practices for Serving Gender Nonconforming Students* (April 2015).

http://www.4j.lane.edu/staff/4j_gendernonconformingstudents_bestpractices_january2016/

Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)

<http://www2.ed.gov/policy/gen/guid/fpco/ferpa/index.html>

New York State Education Department, *Guidance to School Districts for Creating a Safe and Supportive School Environment For Transgender and Gender Nonconforming Students* (2015).

<http://www.nysed.gov/Press/Transgender-and-Gender-Nonconforming-Students-Guidance-Document>

OCR December 1, 2014 Q and A on Title IX and Single Sex Elementary and Secondary Classes.

<http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/faqs-title-ix-single-sex-201412.pdf>

OCR Dear Colleague Letter on Title IX Coordinators.

<http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-201504-title-ix-coordinators.pdf>

OCR Case No 09-12-1020 (July 24, 2013) Arcadia S.D. Resolution Letter and Agreement.

<https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/crt/legacy/2013/07/26/arcadiaagree.pdf>

OCR Case No 09-12-1095 (October, 2014) Downey School District Resolution Letter and Agreement.

<http://www2.ed.gov/documents/press-releases/downey-school-district-letter.pdf>

Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS).

https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/Pages/ORS.aspx

Portland Public Schools (OR): *Supporting our transgender students* (August 2014).

http://www.pps.k12.or.us/schools/gray/files/bmadison/PPS_LGBTQ_FAQ_PPS_Employees.pdf

Price Waterhouse v Hopkins, 490 US228, 251 (1989).

<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/490/228/case.html>

Smith v City of Salem, 378F.3d566, 574-75 (2004).

<http://caselaw.findlaw.com/us-6th-circuit/1380020.html>

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1688.

http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/tix_dis.html

Title IV of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000c et set.

<https://www2.ed.gov/.../civilrights>

Questions or comments concerning these guidelines can be addressed to:

Karin Moscon, Civil Rights Education Specialist, Oregon Department of Education,
karin.moscon@state.or.us

Ely Sanders, Sexual Health and School Health Specialist, Oregon Department of Education,
ely.sanders@state.or.us

Amy McLaughlin, Director of Network System and Information Security, Oregon Department of Education,
amy.mclaughlin@state.or.us

Cindy Hunt, Government and Legal Affairs Manager, Oregon Department of Education,
cindy.l.hunt@state.or.us

6/6/17

OCR Instructions to the Field re Complaints Involving Transgender Students

Regional Directors:

I am writing to explain the effects of developments on the enforcement of Title IX by the Office for Civil Rights. The recent developments include the following:

- On February 22, 2017, the U.S. Departments of Education and Justice issued a letter withdrawing the statements of policy and guidance reflected in the May 13, 2016 Dear Colleague Letter (DCL) on OCR's enforcement of Title IX with respect to transgender students based on gender identity, as well as a related January 2015 letter, "in order to further and more completely consider the legal issues involved."
- On March 3, 2017, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas dismissed without prejudice the multi-state lawsuit challenging the May 2016 DCL and dissolved the preliminary injunction (as clarified in October 2016) that had restricted OCR's enforcement of Title IX with respect to transgender individuals' access to "intimate" facilities.
- On March 6, 2017, the U.S. Supreme Court vacated and remanded *Gloucester County School Board v. G.G.*, a case involving Title IX as it relates to transgender students' access to restrooms. The Court said it was remanding the case to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit for further consideration "in light of the guidance document issued by the [Departments] on February 22, 2017" (i.e., the letter withdrawing the May 2016 DCL discussed above).

Thus, OCR may not rely on the policy set forth in the May 2016 DCL or the January 7, 2015 letter to a private individual as the sole basis for resolving a complaint. However, as was stated in the February 22, 2017, letter, "withdrawal of these guidance documents does not leave students without protections from discrimination, bullying, or harassment." Rather, OCR should rely on Title IX and its implementing regulations, as interpreted in decisions of federal courts and OCR guidance documents that remain in effect, in evaluating complaints of sex discrimination against individuals whether or not the individual is transgender.

OCR may assert subject matter jurisdiction over and open for investigation the following allegations if other jurisdictional requirements have been established (see CPM sections 104-106):

- failure to promptly and equitably resolve a transgender student's complaint of sex discrimination (34 C.F.R. § 106.8(b));
- failure to assess whether sexual harassment (i.e., unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature) or gender-based harassment (i.e., based on sex stereotyping, such as acts of verbal, nonverbal, or physical aggression, intimidation, or hostility based on sex or sex-stereotyping, such as refusing to use a transgender student's preferred name or pronouns when the school uses preferred names for gender-conforming students or when the refusal is motivated by animus toward

1

EXHIBIT 2
PAGE 1

6/6/17

people who do not conform to sex stereotypes) of a transgender student created a hostile environment;

- failure to take steps reasonably calculated to address sexual or gender-based harassment that creates a hostile environment;
- retaliation against a transgender student after concerns about possible sex discrimination were brought to the recipient's attention (34 C.F.R. § 106.71 (incorporating by reference 34 C.F.R. § 100.7(e)); and
- different treatment based on sex stereotyping (e.g., based on a student's failure to conform to stereotyped notions of masculinity or femininity) (34 C.F.R. § 106.31(b)).

It is the goal and desire of this Department that OCR approach each of these cases with great care and individualized attention before reaching a dismissal conclusion. Please evaluate each allegation separately, searching for a permissible jurisdictional basis for OCR to retain and pursue the complaint. It is permissible, for example, for one allegation in a complaint (such as harassment based on gender stereotypes) to go forward while another allegation (such as denial of access to restrooms based on gender identity) is dismissed.

As always, other requirements in evaluating complaints, as explained in OCR's Case Processing Manual (CPM) apply, including CPM Sections 101, 105 and 106. For example, in establishing whether an allegation includes sufficient information to proceed to investigation, OCR will, consistent with CPM Section 108, "assist the complainant in understanding the information that OCR requires in order to proceed to the investigation of the complainant's allegation(s). This will include explaining OCR's investigation process and the rights of the complainant under the statutes and regulations enforced by OCR. OCR will also specifically identify the information necessary for OCR to proceed to investigation." I also encourage you to contact the Program Legal Group's Title IX team if you have any questions about whether OCR has jurisdiction over a case involving a transgender student or alleged discrimination based on gender identity.

When a complaint or case is dismissed, an appropriate text for a letter of dismissal could be:

On February 22, 2017, OCR and the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) issued a letter withdrawing their joint Dear Colleague Letter on Transgender Students, issued on May 13, 2016. OCR and DOJ stated that they were withdrawing the 2016 guidance in order to further and more completely consider the legal issues involved. OCR is therefore dismissing this [complaint/allegation/monitoring] under Sections 104 and 108 of OCR's Case Processing Manual. We remind you however, that there are other statutes and legal provisions that bar discrimination. Additionally, as Betsy DeVos, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education has stated, each school has a responsibility to protect every student in America and ensure that they have the freedom to learn and thrive in a safe environment. Some States and school districts have found adopted policies and practices that protect all students, including transgender students. The Department's Examples of Policies and Emerging Practices for Supporting Transgender Students, available at www.ed.gov/oese/osh/emergingpractices.pdf, features some of those policies and practices.

2

EXHIBIT N
PAGE 2

6/6/17

Thank you for your continued dedication to OCR's core mission. As Secretary DeVos stated on February 22, 2017, OCR remains committed to investigating all claims of discrimination, bullying and harassment against those who are most vulnerable in our schools. I trust you will apply these instructions in line with the attitude and approach we are proud to foster here in OCR: that OCR exists to robustly enforce the civil rights laws under our jurisdiction, and we will do so in a neutral, impartial manner and as efficiently as possible.

If you have any questions about these instructions, please contact your Enforcement Director, and always feel free to reach out to PLG for assistance in brainstorming how to process a particular complaint.

Sincerely,

/s/

Candice Jackson

Acting Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights

Office for Civil Rights

Department of Education

EXHIBIT 3
PAGE 3

Dallas High School Map 2012-2013

South (Rail Road Tracks)

Labeck, J. 401
New Options 402

Testing LAB S. Parker 510
 Ag Lab 506
 Robotics 501
 Ramona 512
 Ray/Woodshop 515

Useary 915
 Godkin 911
 Jones, L 910
 Flugum 916
 Ollif 918
 905
 Unger 902
 Boiler Room
 Coaches Conf. 900
 Storage
 Computer Lab 728
 Dobby Rogers
 Mahler Foods 731
 Unger/Alton 734
 Farmer/Leadsnip 735

Girls Locker
 Trainer Room
 Boys Locker
 Coaches Conf. 900

Auditory Gym
 Main Gym

Weight Rm. Mardel
 Athletics Office Allen/Larsen

1st HALL
 Kitchen
 Student Forum
 Dancers Bank Stage 712
 Little Theater 711
 Rest Rooms

Cafeteria
 Stage
 Costume Shop
 Rest Rooms

Grandquist 605
 Yearbook 604
 Lavean 603
 Library Lab
 Carril 601
 Mahony 606
 Bellakoff 607
 Library Longpod
 special events
 Cochran

1st HALL
 James, J 631
 Puma 630
 Beck 626
 Loukheet 625
 Lunich 624

2nd HALL
 Main Entrance
 West to Parking Lot on Holman Ave.
 Sena Show R
 D R
 Cornwell Theater 321
 Reliacke Band 314
 Choir 313

2nd HALL
 Main Office
 Rec, Prin, Sec, VP, VP
 Bookkeeper, Support
 Guidance/Counseling Work Rooms
 Farmer Ray 212

2nd HALL
 103
 F Parker 104
 Schwantz 105
 Almond/ISS 106
 Lassiter 108

2nd HALL
 Mail
 Ritter 111
 Levy 113
 Berg 114
 Page 115
 Bear 116
 Pawcett 117

2nd HALL
 Travis 126
 Woolfner 125
 Lavier 124
 D/LC
 Lavier 120
 D/LC
 Tolten 119

On the basis of the information provided, the map is not a warranty or representation of the actual location of the building.

Needs Assessment Winter 2017

Needs Assessment Winter 2017

This survey is given to you from the Counseling Center. We want to give you a chance to tell us what sort of issues and counseling services are most important to YOU. We take your answers seriously. Only the Counseling Department will see your responses.

* Required

Grade: *

6th

7th

8th

I know how to get academic help if I need it (ex: homework club, etc).

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all true for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Completely true for me

I have at least one peer that I can talk to about my problems.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all true for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Completely true for me

I have at least one adult that I can talk to about my problems.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all true for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Completely true for me

I need help getting/purchasing clothes for school.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all true for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Completely true for me

I need school supplies.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Not at all true for me	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Completely true for me

12/1

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 1

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

Someone important to me died in the past year.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I have had thoughts about suicide in the past year.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I feel sad most of the time.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I feel good about myself most of the time.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I could use some support regarding my gender identity or sexual orientation.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I have someone in my life who has problems with alcohol or drugs.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I often get into conflict with others.

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

I struggle to control my anger

Not at all true for me
Completely true for me

My family could use help making sure we have enough food.

1 2 3 4 5

Needs Assessment Winter 2017

I feel bullied at school.

Not at all true for me Completely true for me

People might say that I am a bully.

Not at all true for me Completely true for me

I wonder if I am in a friendship or relationship that is unhealthy.

Not at all true for me Completely true for me

When I am upset, I hurt myself.

Not at all true for me Completely true for me

I am hopeful and feel good about my future.

Not at all true for me Completely true for me



EXHIBIT P
PAGE 3

If you had a problem and needed to talk to someone at school, who would you go to? Choose as many that apply.

- Baker, Crystal
- Bogle-Todd, Emily
- Bullock, Jo
- Centoni, Britta
- Clark, Brenda
- Cornman, Juliene
- DeLuna, Gonzalo
- Digby, Anna
- Earls, Ashley
- Fisher, Mollie
- Fox, Marie
- Gallino, Sherri
- Garland, Joyce
- Gradek, Jacob
- Guffey, Ken
- Harms, Doug
- Harp, Kayla
- Hatch, Marianne
- Hays, Rhonda
- Hess, Mark
- Hettwer, Mike
- Hevener, Jamie
- Hiebert, Greg
- Howard, Michelle
- Huff, Kristen
- Hughes, Brian
- Isham, Rachelle
- Jackson, Andy
- Jackson, Anna
- Jackson, Matt
- Janssen, Julie
- Lalack, Tyler

EXHIBIT P
PAGE 4

- Larios, Teresa
- Lawson, Don
- Lawson, Sylvia
- Lieuallen, Troy
- Lilley, Kayce
- Matthews, Shawn
- McAllaster, Scott
- McFarland, Amy
- Moran, Jim
- Morrison, Amy
- Noack, Kim
- Oace, Jennifer
- Osborn, Phil
- Parker, Paulette
- Petersen, Julie
- Price, Merryellen
- Reed, Stephanie
- Reinhardt, Jen
- Richardson, Jamie
- Schilling, Michelle
- Shafer, Roger
- Shinn, Blake
- Shook, Malynda
- Shryer, Linda
- Simoes, Allie
- Smith, Annette
- Smith, Candi
- Snider, Jason
- Southwick, Melody
- Struckmeier, Alice
- Stuhr, Sheila
- Sutro, Erin
- Sybrant, Rebecca



EXHIBIT P
PAGE 5

Talley, Brittany

- VanBeurden, Paula
- Wall, Wanda
- White, Tami
- Wildman, Shelby

SUBMIT

This form was created inside of Dallas School District. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Additional Terms

Google Forms

PETER R. MERSEREAU, OSB No. 732028

pmersereau@mershanlaw.com

BETH F. PLASS, OSB No. 122031

bplass@mershanlaw.com

MERSEREAU SHANNON LLP

111 SW Columbia Street, Suite 1100

Portland, Oregon 97201-5865

Telephone: 503.226.6400

Facsimile: 503.226.0383

Of Attorneys for Defendant
Dallas School District No. 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

PARENTS FOR PRIVACY; KRIS GOLLY
and JON GOLLY, individually [and as
guardians ad litem for A.G.]; LINDSAY
GOLLY; NICOLE LILLIE; MELISSA
GREGORY, individually and as guardian ad
litem for T.F.; and PARENTS RIGHTS IN
EDUCATION, an Oregon nonprofit
corporation,,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2;
OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION;
GOVERNOR KATE BROWN, in her official
capacity as the Superintendent of Public
Instruction; and UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; BETSY
DEVOS, in her official capacity as United
States Secretary of Education as successor to
JOHN B. KING, JR.; UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; JEFF
SESSIONS, in his official capacity as United
States Attorney General, as successor to
LORETTA F. LYNCH,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-01813-HZ

DEFENDANT DALLAS SCHOOL
DISTRICT NO. 2'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PURSUANT TO
FRCP 12(b)(1) AND FRCP (12)(b)(6).

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

I. LR 7-1 CERTIFICATE 1

II. MOTIONS 1

III. INTRODUCTION 1

IV. THE COMPLAINT 2

 A. The Dallas School District 2

 B. The Plaintiffs 3

V. CONCEDED MOTIONS 3

 A. Motion 1: Lindsay Golly should be dismissed 3

 B. Motion 2: Nicole Lillie should be dismissed 3

 C. Motion 3: A.G. and T.F.’s request for compensatory damages should be dismissed 4

VI. THE COMPLAINT FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM 4

 A. Rule 12(b)(6) Legal Standard 4

 B. Motion 4: Plaintiffs fail to state a claim for a violation of a constitutional right to
 privacy 5

 (1) Plaintiffs allege a broad right to privacy that does not exist under the United States
 Constitution 5

 (2) The District has not infringed on plaintiffs’ alleged privacy rights 7

 C. Motion 5: The District’s policy does not violate parents’ rights to control the
 upbringing of their children 8

 D. Motion 6: Plaintiffs do not allege facts to establish a Title IX hostile environment
 claim 11

 (1) Plaintiffs have not alleged a hostile environment based on sex 12

(2) A student’s use of school facilities that corresponds to gender identity is not severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive.	12
(3) Plaintiffs do not have a Title IX claim predicated on the District’s offer to accommodate them.	14
E. Motion 7: Plaintiffs have not stated a Free Exercise claim.	14
(1) Kris Golly, Jon Golly, and A.G. do not have standing to assert a Free Exercise claim based on the Student Safety Plan.	15
(2) The Student Safety Plan does not violate the Free Exercise clause.	16
F. Motion 8: Plaintiffs’ allegations fail to state claims under ORS 659.850 and ORS 659A.403.	17
VII. CONCLUSION.	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

AE ex rel. Hernandez v. Co. of Tulare,
666 F.3d 631 (9th Cir. 2012) 10

Ashcroft v. Iqbal,
556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... 5

Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,
550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... 5

Blau v. Fort Thomas Pub. Sch. Dist.,
401 F.3d 381 (6th Cir. 2005) 9

Brown v. Hot, Sexy & Safer Prods., Inc.,
68 F.3d 525 (1st Cir. 1995)..... 9

Christensen v. County of Boone,
483 F.3d 454 (7th Cir. 2007) 7

Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah,
508 U.S. 520 (1993)..... 16

Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network,
18 F.3d 752 (9th Cir. 1994) 4

Cruzan v. Special Sch. Dist. No. 1,
294 F.3d 981 (8th Cir. 2002) 13, 14

DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno,
547 U.S. 332 (2006)..... 15

Davis v. Monroe Co. Bd. of Educ.,
526 U.S. 629 (1999)..... 12, 13

Doe v. Boyertown Area Sch. Dist.,
2017 WL 3675418 (E.D. Penn. Aug. 25, 2017) 6, 14

Employment Div., Dep’t of Human Res. Of Oregon v. Smith,
494 U.S. 872 (1990)..... 16

///

Fields v. Palmdale Sch. Dist.,
 427 F.3d 1197 (9th Cir. 2005) 8-10

Gillette v. Delmore,
 979 F.2d 1342 (9th Cir. 1992) 11

Katz v. United States,
 389 U.S. 347 (1967)..... 6

Lazy Y Ranch Ltd. v. Behrens,
 546 F.3d 580 (9th Cir. 2008) 5

Leebaert v. Harrington,
 332 F.3d 134 (2d Cir. 2003)..... 8

Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife,
 504 U.S. 555 (1992)..... 15

Meyer v. Nebraska,
 262 U.S. 390 (1923)..... 8

Monell v. Dep’t of Social Svs. of City of New York,
 436 U.S. 658 (1978) 10

Moss v. U.S. Secret Serv.,
 572 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2009) 5

Pierce v. Society of Sisters,
 268 U.S. 510 (1925)..... 8

Roe v. Wade,
 410 U.S. 113 (1973)..... 6

Roe ex rel. Callahan v. Gustine Unified School District,
 678 F.Supp.2d 1008 (E.D. Cal. 2009)..... 13

Runyon v. McCrary,
 427 U.S. 160 (1976)..... 9

Schwenk v. Hartford,
 204 F.3d 1187 (9th Cir. 2000) 16

Shroyer v. New Cingular Wireless Servs., Inc.,
 622 F.3d 1035 (9th Cir. 2010) 4

Stormans, Inc. v. Wiesman,
794 F.3d 1064 (9th Cir. 2015) 6

Students & Parents for Privacy v. United States Dep’t of Educ., et al.,
2016 WL 6134121 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 18, 2016)..... 6, 8, 12, 14

Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community Sch. Dist.,
393 U.S 503 (1969)..... 7

Van Buskirk v. CNN,
284 F.3d 977 (9th Cir. 2002) 4

Vance v. Spencer County Public School District,
231 F.3d 253 (6th Cir. 2000) 13

Washington v. Glucksberg,
521 U.S. 702 (1997)..... 6

Whalen v. Roe,
429 U.S. 589 (1977)..... 7

Wilson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,
668 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2012) 4

Wood v. Stickland,
420 U.S. 308 (1975)..... 7

Zablocki v. Redhail,
434 U.S. 374 (1978)..... 7

STATE CASES

Klein v. Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries,
289 Or. App. 507, __ P.3d __ (Dec. 28, 2017) 17

Powell v. Bunn,
341 Or 306, 142 P.3d 1054 (2006) 17

///

///

///

STATUTES AND LAWS

ORS 659A.403 17
ORS 659A.850 17
U.S. Const. amend. I 16
20 USC § 1681 11, 12
42 USC § 1983 7, 10, 11

RULES

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b) 1, 4

I. LR 7-1 CERTIFICATE

Pursuant to LR 7-1(a)(1)(A), the undersigned counsel for defendant Dallas School District No. 2 certifies that the parties have made a good faith effort through conferral to resolve the dispute and have been unable to do so.

II. MOTIONS

Defendant Dallas School District No. 2 (the “District”) brings the following motions to dismiss plaintiffs Lindsay Golly and Nicole Lillie, and to dismiss all of the claims set forth in plaintiffs’ complaint on the basis that plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6).

III. INTRODUCTION

The Dallas School District is a public school district located in Dallas, Oregon. The District’s mission is to provide opportunities for the full intellectual development of each child. Accordingly, the District prohibits discrimination and harassment on the basis of, among other things, sex, sexual orientation, and gender identity. Plaintiffs have sued the District over its inclusive policies. Plaintiffs seek to force the District to implement a policy that would discriminate against some of the District’s students. The District moves to dismiss several parties and all of the claims in this lawsuit, as set forth below.

///

///

IV. THE COMPLAINT

The plaintiffs' complaint alleges the following facts:

A. The Dallas School District

The Dallas School District is a public school that provides K-12 education to both male and female students. Dkt. 1, Complaint ("Complaint") ¶ 20. The District does not have a written school board policy that specifically applies to the use of facilities by transgender students; however, the District has a broad nondiscrimination policy that prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity. Complaint Exhibit B. The District receives federal funding for its educational programs. Complaint ¶ 21.

Student A is currently a 12th grade student at Dallas High School. Complaint ¶ 76. Student A is a transgender male. Complaint Exhibit A. In September 2015, Student A began to publicly identify as male and requested permission to use the boys' facilities at the high school. Complaint ¶ 78. The District provided Student A the choice of private facilities to change clothes for physical education from the fall of 2015 through the end of the school year in June, 2016. Complaint ¶ 79. During the 2015-2016 school year, the District also developed a Student Safety Plan. Complaint Exhibit A. The Student Safety Plan permitted Student A to access the boys' locker rooms and restrooms at Dallas High School. *Id.*

The main boys' locker room at Dallas High School is a square room with four banks of lockers and wooden benches, and communal showers along one wall. Complaint ¶ 99. The boys' locker room also contains segregated lockers, showers and restroom facilities. *Id.* The girls' locker room is constructed similarly to the boys' locker room. Complaint ¶ 103.

Dallas High School students who desire the use of facilities that afford them additional

privacy are permitted to use the unisex staff lounge and are offered other accommodations. *See* Complaint ¶¶ 91, 265.

B. The Plaintiffs

Plaintiff Lindsay Golly no longer attends Dallas High School. *See* Complaint ¶ 16. Plaintiff A.G. is an 8th grade student at La Creole Middle School within the District. *Id.* He is not subject to the Student Safety Plan. *See* Complaint Exhibit A (stating that Student Safety Plan applies to the access to boys' locker room at Dallas High School). Plaintiffs Kris Golly and Jon Golly are the parents of Lindsay Golly and A.G. Complaint ¶ 16. Plaintiff T.F. is an 11th grade student at Dallas High School. Complaint ¶ 17. Plaintiff Melissa Gregory is T.F.'s parent. *Id.* Plaintiff Parents Rights in Education is a nonprofit organization whose mission is to protect and advocate for parents' rights to guide the education of their children. Complaint ¶ 10. Plaintiff Parents for Privacy is an association of current and former students and their parents who are concerned about United States Department of Education regulations and the Student Safety Plan. Complaint ¶ 11.

V. CONCEDED MOTIONS

A. Motion 1: Lindsay Golly should be dismissed.

The District moves to dismiss Lindsay Golly because she does not have standing. The District and plaintiffs conferred, and plaintiffs consent to this motion.

B. Motion 2: Nicole Lillie should be dismissed.

The District moves to dismiss Nicole Lillie. Lillie is identified as a plaintiff in the caption, but the complaint does not make any allegations regarding her involvement in this

matter. The District and plaintiffs conferred, and plaintiffs consent to this motion. Plaintiffs intend to amend the complaint to make specific factual allegations regarding Lillie.

C. Motion 3: A.G. and T.F.’s requests for compensatory damages should be dismissed.

The District moves to dismiss the request for compensatory damages brought by plaintiffs A.G. and T.F. The District and plaintiffs conferred, and plaintiffs consent to this motion. Plaintiffs intend to amend the complaint to plead the right to compensatory damages as well as the amounts sought.

VI. THE COMPLAINT FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM

A. Rule 12(b)(6) Legal Standard

In reviewing a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, the court’s review is limited to the contents of the complaint. *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754 (9th Cir. 1994). A court may also consider documents attached to the complaint, documents incorporated by reference into the complaint, or matters of judicial notice. *Van Buskirk v. CNN*, 284 F.3d 977, 980 (9th Cir. 2002). A motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is proper when no cognizable legal theory supports the claim, or when the complaint lacks sufficient factual allegations to state a facially plausible claim for relief under a cognizable legal theory. *Shroyer v. New Cingular Wireless Servs., Inc.*, 622 F.3d 1035, 1041 (9th Cir. 2010). In evaluating the sufficiency of a complaint’s factual allegations, the court must accept as true all well-pleaded material facts alleged in the complaint and construe them in the light most favorable to the non-moving party. *Wilson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.*, 668 F.3d 1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2012). However, the court “need

not accept as true allegations contradicting documents that are referenced in the complaint.”

Lazy Y Ranch Ltd. v. Behrens, 546 F.3d 580, 588 (9th Cir. 2008).

“While a complaint...does not need detailed factual allegations, a plaintiff’s obligation to provide the grounds of his entitlement to relief requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do. Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level...” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555–56 (2007). Moreover, “where the...facts do not permit the court to infer more than the mere possibility of misconduct, the complaint has alleged—but it has not shown”—that the plaintiff is “entitled to relief.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 679 (2009). “In sum, for a complaint to survive a motion to dismiss, the non-conclusory ‘factual content,’ and reasonable inferences from that content, must be plausibly suggestive of a claim entitling the plaintiff to relief.” *Moss v. U.S. Secret Serv.*, 572 F.3d 962, 969 (9th Cir. 2009).

B. Motion 4: Plaintiffs fail to state a claim for a violation of a constitutional right to privacy.

In the third claim for relief, plaintiffs allege that the District has violated a substantive due process right to privacy. Plaintiffs’ allegations fail to state a claim for at least two reasons: (1) the alleged right to privacy does not exist; and (2) the District’s policies do not infringe on the alleged rights.

(1) Plaintiffs allege a broad right to privacy that does not exist under the United States Constitution.

Plaintiffs do not have a constitutional right not to share restrooms and locker rooms with transgender students. “The Due Process Clause guarantees more than fair process, and the

‘liberty’ it protects includes more than the absence of physical restraint.” *Washington v. Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702, 719 (1997). “[I]n addition to the specific freedoms protected by the Bill of Rights, the ‘liberty’ specially protected by the Due Process Clause includes the right to marry, to have children, to direct the education and upbringing of one’s children, to marital privacy, to use contraception, to bodily integrity, and to abortion.” *Id.* at 720. There is no generalized “right to privacy.” *See Katz v. United States*, 389 U.S. 347 (1967). Substantive due process only protects certain personal privacy rights that are “fundamental” or “implicit in the concept of ordered liberty.” *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 152 (1973).

Properly framed, *see Stormans, Inc. v. Wiesman*, 794 F.3d 1064, 1085-86 (9th Cir. 2015), plaintiffs assert a broad, previously unrecognized right to privacy. They contend that the United States Constitution gives them the right not to encounter their transgender peers in restrooms and locker rooms. There is no legal support for the proposition that plaintiffs have a fundamental privacy right to avoid coming in contact with transgender students in common spaces. As two federal courts have recently found, high school students do not have such a right. *Students & Parents for Privacy v. U.S. Dep’t. of Educ.*, 2016 WL 6134121, **23-27 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 18, 2016) (“*Students & Parents*”); *Doe v. Boyertown Area Sch. Dist.*, 2017 WL 3675418, **49-55 (E.D. Penn. Aug. 25, 2017).

This conclusion is bolstered by the fact that in assessing the existence and potential scope of plaintiffs’ alleged privacy rights, the court must “consider the need to preserve the discretion of schools to craft individualized approaches to difficult issues that are appropriate for their respective communities.” *Students & Parents*, 2016 WL 6134121, at *24. The public school system “relies necessarily upon the discretion and judgment of school administrations and school

board members[.]” *Wood v. Stickland*, 420 U.S. 308, 386 (1975). “[Section] 1983 was not intended to be a vehicle for federal court-correction of errors in the exercise of that discretion which do not rise to the level of violations of specific constitutional guarantees.” *Id.* The Supreme Court “has repeatedly emphasized the need for affirming the comprehensive authority of the States and of school officials, consistent with fundamental constitutional safeguards, to prescribe and control conduct in the schools.” *Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503, 207 (1969). Thus, the District’s decision to allow students to use restrooms and locker rooms that align with gender identity should be given great deference.

(2) The District has not infringed on plaintiffs’ alleged privacy rights.

Plaintiffs assert the right to privacy in their unclothed bodies and the right to be free from government-compelled risk of intimate exposure to the opposite biological sex. *See* Complaint ¶¶198-199. Assuming for the sake of argument that such a broad right exists and is actually at issue in this case, plaintiffs have not alleged that District policies infringed upon those rights.

In order for a government entity to be responsible for the violation of an individual’s constitutional rights, government action must violate that right. *See, e.g., Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589 (1977). The government’s interference must be direct or substantial. *Zablocki v. Redhail*, 434 U.S. 374, 387 n. 12 (1978). Mere incidental effects are not cognizable. *Christensen v. County of Boone*, 483 F.3d 454, 463 (7th Cir. 2007).

Here, the District has authorized Student A to use the main sex-segregated locker rooms and restrooms. Plaintiffs allege that within those locker rooms are “segregated lockers, showers and restroom facilities[.]” Complaint ¶ 99. Plaintiffs further allege that students who wish to use private facilities have been given the option to do so. Complaint ¶ 91. Thus, plaintiffs have

not alleged facts to show that the government has compelled them to risk exposing themselves to the “opposite biological sex.”

Moreover, the complaint does not allege that either remaining student plaintiff – A.G. or T.F. – has ever seen a transgender student’s unclothed body. As such, and as was the case in *Students & Parents*, “this case does not involve any forced or involuntary exposure of a student’s body to or by a transgender person assigned a different sex at birth” and “Plaintiffs are not suffering a ‘direct’ and ‘substantial’ infringement on any substantive due process right.” *Students & Parents*, 2016 WL 6134121, at *95.

C. Motion 5: The District’s policy does not violate parents’ rights to control the upbringing of their children.

Plaintiffs allege that the District is violating their constitutional right to control and direct the upbringing of their children by (a) allowing District students to access facilities that align with gender identity, and (b) staff conducting a Needs Assessment at La Creole Middle School. Plaintiffs fail to state a claim because the parental right to direct the upbringing of children – the so-called *Meyer-Pierce* right – “does not extend beyond the threshold of the school door.” *Fields v. Palmdale Sch. Dist.*, 427 F.3d 1197, 1207 (9th Cir. 2005).

The Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment gives parents and guardians the right to control the upbringing of their children. *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390 (1923); *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510, 534-535 (1925). However, this right is limited, and it does not give parents the right to dictate the policies of public educational institutions. *Leebaert v. Harrington*, 332 F.3d 134, 141 (2d Cir. 2003) (“*Meyer, Pierce*, and their progeny do not begin to

suggest the existence of a fundamental right of every parent to tell a public school what his or her child will or will not be taught.”) The right allows parents to remove their children from a public educational institution and select a private institution, or school their children at home, in order to inculcate the children with their preferred values. *See Blau v. Fort Thomas Pub. Sch. Dist.*, 401 F.3d 381, 395-96 (6th Cir. 2005); *Brown v. Hot, Sexy & Safer Prods., Inc.*, 68 F.3d 525 (1st Cir. 1995). It does not “afford parents a right to compel public schools to follow their own idiosyncratic views as to what information the schools may dispense.” *Fields*, 427 F.3d at 1206.

To illustrate, the Constitution protects a parent’s right to teach their children that racial segregation is preferable. *Runyon v. McCrary*, 427 U.S. 160, 177 (1976). However, the Constitution clearly does not require, or entitle, a school to racially segregate its students in order to support such a viewpoint. *Id.* The Supreme Court has clearly stated that, simply because some parents wish to teach their children that racial discrimination is not only permissible but positive, schools remain prohibited from doing so, and this prohibition does not violate this parental right. *Id.*

The District is permitted to institute policies, such as the Student Safety Plan, in order to educate and accommodate District students. The parent plaintiffs’ disagreement with the District’s decision-making in this regard does not violate parents’ right to control their child’s education.

Plaintiffs also allege that the District violated their constitutional right to direct the upbringing of their children because staff at La Creole Middle School administered a “Needs Assessment” to students. This precise assertion was rejected by the Ninth Circuit in *Fields*. There, parents complained that a survey administered to their first, third and fifth grade students,

which included explicit questions on the subject of sex, violated their substantive due process rights to parental control. *Fields*, 427 F.3d at 1200-1203. The court rejected the parents' claim:

The parents' asserted right 'to control the upbringing of their children by introducing them to matters of and relating to sex in accordance with their personal and religious values and beliefs,' by which they mean the right to limit what public schools or other state actors may tell their children regarding sexual matters, is not encompassed within the right to control their children's upbringing and education.

Id. at 1207.

Notwithstanding, plaintiffs have not alleged facts sufficient to hold the school district liable based on the Needs Assessment. There is no vicarious liability under section 1983. *Monell v. Dep't of Social Svs. of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658, 691-94 (1978). Instead, a municipal entity – here, the District – rather than the individual employees must have caused the alleged constitutional deprivation. *Id.* at 692. Therefore, a plaintiff suing under section 1983 must identify a policy or custom of the government body that caused the constitutional deprivation, and a link between the policy or custom and the alleged deprivation. Mere assertions that a defendant deprived a plaintiff of their constitutional rights are insufficient to maintain a section 1983 claim. Likewise, a plaintiff's failure to identify a policy or custom which led to the deprivations, or to allege facts which would meet the municipal policy requirement, are grounds on which to dismiss section 1983 claims. That is, so-called *Monell* liability is an element of any section 1983 claim, meaning that "notice" pleading standards require plaintiffs suing municipal entities under section 1983 to allege that *Monell* is satisfied, and to provide plausibly supporting facts. *AE ex rel. Hernandez v. Co. of Tulare*, 666 F.3d 631, 636-38 (9th Cir. 2012) (so holding).

There are three general ways that a plaintiff making a section 1983 claim can establish that the municipal entity itself caused an alleged constitutional deprivation. First, a plaintiff can prove that the employee who deprived him of a constitutional right did so because he was acting pursuant to a formal municipal policy, or a custom of the municipal entity so entrenched that it amounts to a formal policy. Second, the plaintiff can prove that the person who allegedly deprived him of a constitutional right was an official with “final policymaking” authority such that the official’s act necessarily constitutes a formal municipal policy. Third, a plaintiff can prove that an official with final policymaking authority “ratified” a municipal employee’s alleged unconstitutional act. *See Gillette v. Delmore*, 979 F.2d 1342, 1346-47 (9th Cir. 1992).

Here, the complaint fails to identify any policy or custom of the District which caused the administration of the Needs Assessment of which they complain. Nor does the complaint allege any facts that would otherwise meet the municipal policy requirement. Therefore, plaintiffs failed to state a claim predicated on the Needs Assessment.

D. Motion 6: Plaintiffs do not allege facts to establish a Title IX hostile environment claim.

Plaintiffs’ fourth claim is for a Title IX violation based on an allegedly sexually harassing hostile environment. They allege that allowing students to use restrooms, locker rooms, and showers that correspond to gender identity creates a sexually hostile environment.

Title IX provides in part that “[n]o person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.” 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a).

To prevail on a Title IX hostile environment claim, plaintiffs must allege and prove the District had 1) “actual knowledge” of, and 2) was “deliberately indifferent” to, 3) harassment “on the basis of sex” by 4) someone the school district controlled, that was 5) so “severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive” that it deprived plaintiffs of access to the educational benefits or opportunities provided by the school district. *Davis v. Monroe Co. Bd. of Educ.*, 526 U.S. 629, 644-45, 650 (1999).

(1) Plaintiffs have not alleged a hostile environment based on sex.

Plaintiffs have not alleged that they were harassed or treated differently on the basis of their sex. In order to state a claim for harassment in violation of Title IX, the harassment must be “on the basis of sex.” 20 U.S.C. § 1681.

Plaintiffs complain about the Student Safety Plan, but they are not being targeted or singled out on the basis of sex, nor are they being treated any differently than any female students who attend school within the District. The District’s Nondiscrimination Policy applies to all students. Complaint Exhibit B. It applies equally to male and female students. *Id.* The mere fact that Student A is utilizing the policy to access District facilities, and that it is memorialized in the Student Safety Plan does not demonstrate that the Student Safety Policy targets male students because of their sex. *See Students & Parents*, 2016 WL 6134121, at *31. Because there is no discrimination on the basis of sex, plaintiffs fail to state a claim under Title IX.

(2) A student’s use of school facilities that corresponds to gender identity is not severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive.

The allegations in the complaint do not come close to the “severe, pervasive, and

objectively offensive” standard required by Title IX. *Davis*, 526 U.S. at 633 (holding that an action “will lie only for harassment” that meets all three requirements). Conduct that rises to this level typically involves multiple instances of physical sexual contact or threatened physical sexual contact. For example, *Davis* involved several instances of sexual touching and suggestive rubbing. 526 U.S. at 653 (describing repeated acts of harassment and noting that the perpetrator ultimately pleaded guilty to criminal sexual misconduct); *see also*, *Vance v. Spencer County Public School District*, 231 F.3d 253, 259 (6th Cir. 2000); *Roe ex rel. Callahan v. Gustine Unified School District*, 678 F.Supp.2d 1008, 1026 (E.D. Cal. 2009).

Neither remaining student plaintiff has alleged a single specific instance of harassment or improper use of District facilities. Instead, they suggest that a transgender student’s use of school facilities that corresponds to that student’s gender identity is *per se* severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive. It is not.

Cases that involve sex-based harassment or hostile environment claims predicated on transgender people sharing facilities alongside their cisgender peers have rejected plaintiffs’ theory. In *Cruzan v. Special School District No. 1*, 294 F.3d 981 (8th Cir. 2002) (per curiam) a female teacher alleged that the school’s decision to allow a transgender female teacher to use the women’s faculty restroom created a hostile work environment on the basis of sex. The Eighth Circuit rejected plaintiffs’ argument, finding that a transgender female’s presence in a restroom alongside her cisgender female co-worker did not create a hostile working environment:

[The plaintiff] does not assert [her transgender female colleague] engaged in any inappropriate conduct other than merely being present in the women’s faculty restroom. Given the totality of the circumstances, we conclude a reasonable person would not have found the work environment hostile or abusive.

Id. at 984; *see also Students & Parents*, 2016 WL 6134121, at *32 (“mere presence” of transgender student in restroom or locker room is not objectively offensive); *Boyertown*, 2017 WL 3675418, at *66 (same).

Here, similarly, there is no allegation that Student A or any other District student has done anything other than use the restrooms and locker rooms for the facilities’ designated purpose. This does not meet the high standard necessary to allege severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive harassment under Title IX.

(3) Plaintiffs do not have a Title IX claim predicated on the District’s offer to accommodate them.

The District has offered accommodations to students request to use restrooms and locker room facilities that afford additional privacy. *See* Complaint ¶¶ 243-244. Plaintiffs contend that these accommodations violate Title IX. *Id.* at ¶ 245.

There is no legal support for this proposition. The plaintiffs’ complaint alleges that the District provides comparable locker room facilities for males and females. *See* Complaint ¶ 104. As the court explained in *Students & Parents*, “Student plaintiffs who choose to use the alternate single-use facilities cannot complain that the alternate facilities are not ‘comparable’ to the main facilities offered to boys and girls, which they have chosen not to use.” 2016 WL 6134121, at *36.

E. Motion 7: Plaintiffs have not stated a Free Exercise claim.

The Student Safety Plan does not violate the plaintiffs’ Free Exercise rights. The plaintiffs allege that the District’s actions “burden the free exercise rights of some Plaintiffs[.]”

This claim is without merit.

(1) Kris Golly, Jon Golly, and A.G. do not have standing to assert a Free Exercise claim based on the Student Safety Plan.

The Gollys and A.G. do not have standing to assert a Free Exercise Claim. Article III of the Constitution limits the jurisdiction of federal district courts to “cases” or “controversies,” a restriction which requires a plaintiff to show that he or she has actually been injured by defendant’s challenged conduct. *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno*, 547 U.S. 332, 342 (2006). To satisfy the case-or-controversy requirement of Article III which is the “irreducible constitutional minimum” of standing, a plaintiff must show three things. First, that they have suffered an “injury in fact,” which is an invasion of a legally protected interest which is concrete and particularized, and actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical.” *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560 (1992). A plaintiff must show, second, that there is a causal connection between the injury and the conduct complained of.” *Id.* And third, it “must be likely, as opposed to merely speculative, that the injury will be redressed by a favorable decision.” *Id.* at 561. In shorthand, a plaintiff must show injury in fact, causation, and redressability.

The only specific allegations concerning the Free Exercise claim involve Kris and Jon Golly and their son A.G.¹ See Complaint ¶¶ 120, 216. They do not allege that the Student Safety Plan has compelled them to do anything that violates the teachings of their religion, nor could they make such an allegation. The Student Safety Plan plainly applies to Dallas High School. Complaint Exhibit A. Kris Golly, Jon Golly, and A.G. do not attend Dallas High School. Therefore, they do not have standing to bring a Free Exercise claim to challenge the Student

¹ As stated above, plaintiffs have agreed to dismiss Lindsay Golly.

Safety Plan.

(2) The Student Safety Plan does not violate the Free Exercise clause.

The First Amendment’s Free Exercise Clause provides that “Congress shall make no law...prohibiting the free exercise [of religion].” U.S. Const. amend. I. The right to exercise one’s religion freely, however, “does not relieve an individual of the obligation to comply with a valid and neutral law of general applicability on the ground that the law proscribes (or prescribes) conduct that his religion prescribes (or proscribes).” *Emp’t Div. v. Smith*, 494 U.S. 872, 879 (1990) (internal quotation marks omitted).

The Student Safety Plan is generally applicable and neutral with respect to religion. And plaintiffs do not allege that the District was motivated to enact the Student Safety Plan to target a particular religion or religion generally. Thus, it need only be rationally related to a legitimate interest in order to be deemed permissible under the Free Exercise clause. *Smith*, 494 U.S. at 897; *Church of Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 534 (1993) (It is only when religious practices or groups are particularly targeted by a policy or law that heightened scrutiny applies.).

The Student Safety Plan easily satisfies rational basis review. As plaintiffs’ complaint notes, the Student Safety Plan was adopted in order to support a District student and to comply with the law. *See* Complaint ¶ 75; *see also Schwenk v. Hartford*, 204 F.3d 1187, 1201-03 (9th Cir. 2000). Because the District’s policies were instituted for completely secular reasons, are neutral with respect to religion, and apply to all students, plaintiffs’ Free Exercise claim fails.

F. Motion 8: Plaintiffs’ allegations fail to state claims under ORS 659.850 and ORS 659A.403.

Plaintiffs’ allegations do not state claims under ORS 659A.850 or ORS 659A.403 because plaintiffs allege that everyone is treated in the same manner. ORS 659.850 prohibits discrimination “based on...religion...sex, [and] sexual orientation” in schools which receive state funds. Oregon courts have interpreted ORS 659.850’s prohibition against “discrimination” to mean that a school district cannot commit an act or permit someone to commit an action, that subjects a student to “treatment different from that afforded other children” because of a protected characteristic. *See Powell v. Bunn*, 341 Or 306, 313-316, 142 P.3d 1054 (2006). Likewise, ORS 659A.403 prohibits discrimination in public accommodations “on account of...religion, sex, [and] sexual orientation.” ORS 659A.403(1); *Klein v. Oregon Bureau of Labor and Industries*, 289 Or. App. 507, ___ P.3d ___ (Dec. 28, 2017).

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim under these statutes for the same reasons described above with respect to the Title IX claim. Plaintiffs do not allege facts to show that they have been treated differently than others on the basis of their religion, sex, or sexual orientation. Rather, they allege everyone is treated in the same manner. Accordingly, plaintiffs fail to state a claim under ORS 659.850 and 659A.403.

///

///

///

VII. CONCLUSION

For the reasons given above, the District requests that the Court dismiss plaintiffs' complaint.

DATED: February 20, 2018.

MERSEREAU SHANNON LLP

s/ Peter R. Mersereau
PETER R. MERSEREAU, OSB No. 732028
pmersereau@mershanlaw.com
BETH F. PLASS, OSB No. 122031
bplass@mershanlaw.com
503.226.6400
Of Attorneys for Defendant
Dallas School District No. 2

Herbert G. Grey, OSB #810250
4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
Telephone: 503-641-4908
Email: herb@greylaw.org

Ryan Adams, OSB # 150778
Email: ryan@ruralbusinessattorneys.com
Caleb S. Leonard, OSB # 153736
E-mail: Caleb@RuralBusinessAttorneys.com
181 N. Grant Street, Suite 212
Canby, OR 97013
Telephone: 503-266-5590

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Portland Division

PARENTS FOR PRIVACY; KRIS GOLLY
and JON GOLLY, individually and as
guardians ad litem for A.G.; LINDSAY
GOLLY; NICOLE LILLIE; MELISSA
GREGORY, individually and as guardian
ad litem for T.F.; and PARENTS RIGHTS
IN EDUCATION, an Oregon nonprofit
corporation,

Case No. 3:17-CV-01813-HZ

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO
DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT'S
MOTIONS TO DISMISS

Oral Argument Requested

Plaintiffs,

v.

DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2; OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; GOVERNOR KATE BROWN, in her official capacity as the Superintendent of Public Instruction; and UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; BETSY DEVOS, in her official capacity as United States Secretary of Education as successor to JOHN B. KING, JR.; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE; JEFF SESSIONS, in his official capacity as United States Attorney General, as successor to LORETTA F. LYNCH,
Defendants.

Plaintiffs respond to FRCP 12(b) motions asserted by DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT (“DSD”) as follows:

- a) As recited in DSD’s motions 1-3 (pp. 3-4), plaintiffs have agreed to replead to dismiss Lindsay Golly, to include allegations concerning Nicole Lillie’s involvement in the case inadvertently omitted and to withdraw claims for damages brought by plaintiffs AG and TF. Plaintiffs have further agreed to replead to include specific damages allegations for each claim throughout the complaint sought by other plaintiffs;
- b) Additionally, in the course of responding to DSD’s motions, plaintiffs have concluded that DSD is correct that the existing allegations concerning the District’s liability for the LaCreole Middle School special needs assessment are not sufficient to meet *Monell* standards. DSD Motion, p. 10. Plaintiffs will accordingly remove or replead those allegations from an Amended Complaint; and
- c) Plaintiffs have met the *Twombly* and *Iqbal* standards for pleading their claims for relief and properly state claims for interference with parental rights, Title IX, free exercise of religion

on behalf of Jon and Kris Golly, and violations of ORS 659.850 and ORS 659A.403, whereby defendant DSD's motions should be denied.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The foundation of plaintiffs' claims in this case is that defendants have an obligation to protect the privacy, dignity and safety of all students, not selectively do so for one, or a few. The arguments DSD advances for the benefit of a single transgender student should be the arguments advanced in equal measure for the benefit of every student and anyone else coming on the Dallas High School campus.

DSD invites the court to limit the "right to privacy" in the face of controlling authority under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, Title IX and other authorities that students and others coming on the Dallas High School campus have well-established rights of bodily privacy.

DSD further invites the court to disregard clearly established parental rights in reliance on authorities limiting such rights in a curriculum context, which is not before the court in this case.

DSD would have the court believe that its actions under Title IX and other authorities is required to accommodate a single transgender student because "based on sex" includes gender identity (a disputed threshold legal issue), while denying as a matter of law plaintiffs' claims that DSD is violating plaintiffs' rights "based on sex" and other rights. In contrast, plaintiffs believe Title IX protections should be applied consistently rather than selectively. Tellingly, DSD's motion openly advocates that students who are uncomfortable with the accommodations stated in the Student Safety Plan can choose to go elsewhere for their education. DSD Motion, p. 9.

DSD purposely minimizes the impact on Jon and Kris Golly and others under the free exercise claim by pretending the only persons affected by the Student Safety Policy are students

Page | 3 – PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DALLAS SCHOOL DISTRICT'S MOTIONS TO DISMISS

attending Dallas High School. It then attempts to justify its action as “adopted to support a District student and to comply with the law”, DSD Motion, p. 16 (emphasis added), when plaintiffs’ complaint articulates the controlling interpretation of the law. Complaint ¶¶ 35-40.

Finally, DSD apparently acknowledges that Dallas High School is a place of public accommodation, but then denies the application of ORS 659.850 and ORS 659A.403 to plaintiffs’ claims with self-serving arguments about whether differential treatment has occurred.

ARGUMENT

RESPONSE TO OVERALL FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION: Plaintiffs’ Complaint Properly States a Claim under *Twombly* and *Iqbal*.

Defendant DSD attempts to characterize plaintiffs’ complaint as conclusory and unsupported by factual allegations, citing *Bell Atlantic Corporation v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 5550556 (2007) and *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 679 (2009), but without making an actual motion supported by reference to the complaint. DSD Motion, p. 5. Even DSD’s selective recitation of facts from plaintiff’s complaint takes more than a page of its motion. DSD Motion, pp. 2-3. The duration of the factual allegations in plaintiffs’ 65-page complaint amply demonstrate more than the “mere possibility of misconduct” and the infringement of well-established constitutional and statutory rights sufficient to satisfy *Twombly* and *Iqbal*. See Complaint, ¶¶ 24, 35-39, 42-49, 79-91, 97-125, 203-205, 210-219, 229-233, 244-246, 267-268.

RESPONSE TO MOTION 4: Plaintiffs’ Alleged Right of Privacy Does Exist, and Plaintiffs’ Have Properly Alleged Infringement of Their Privacy Rights.

DSD argues generally that alleged right of privacy does not exist, and that in any event there has been no infringement of plaintiffs’ privacy rights here. DSD Motion, pp. 5-8. Defendant is wrong on both counts.

The right of privacy is well established in constitutional and statutory law, and it predates the right of privacy recognized in *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973), cited by defendants. DSD Motion, p. 6. *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965) recognized the Fourteenth Amendment right of privacy as a right older than the Bill of Rights. *Id.* at 484 (reciting the famous “Bill of Rights have penumbras, formed by emanations from those guarantees...” language), 486 (“We deal with a right of privacy older than the Bill of Rights...”). It bears noting that *Katz v. U.S.*, 389 US 347 (1967), upon which DSD relies (DSD Motion, p. 6), is distinguishable as a Fourth Amendment search and seizure case arising from audio surveillance of a conversation in a public phone booth, and it predates *Roe* by six years.

Numerous other authorities have expressly acknowledged the right to bodily privacy in the context of schools, and even prisons. *Byrd v. Maricopa County Sheriff's Dept.*, 629 F.3d 1135 (9th Cir. 2011). In the context of a school dress code case, the Sixth Circuit observed:

To compel [someone] to lay bare the body, or to submit to the touch of a stranger, without lawful authority, is an indignity, an assault, and a trespass; and no order of process, commanding such an exposure or submission, was ever known to the common law in the administration of justice between individuals.

Blau v. Fort Thomas Public Sch. Dist., 401 F.3d 381 (6th Cir. 2005), quoting with approval *Union Pacific Railway v. Botsford*, 141 U.S. 250, 252 (1891). See also *Caribbean Marine Services, Inc. v. Baldwin*, 844 F.2d 668 (9th Cir. 1988); *York v. Story*, 324 F.2d 450 (9th Cir. 1963).

Comparing plaintiffs’ claims in a public school context to pharmacist regulations in *Stormans v. Weisman*, 794 F.3d 1064 (9th Cir. 2015) is not an apt comparison. DSD Motion, p. 6. Relying on district court decisions from Illinois and Pennsylvania that have not been subjected to appellate review is no more persuasive to this court as a basis for dismissing plaintiffs’ privacy claims as a matter of law. DSD Motion, pp. 6-7. Moreover, inviting the court generally to defer to

the judgments of school officials under *Tinker v. Des Moines Indep. Cmty. Sch. Dist.*, 393 U.S. 503 (1969), in this specific context on the basis of limited trial court authority from other jurisdictions is nothing more than a plea to “Trust us.” DSD Motion, p. 7. Such deference is not unlimited, especially where student privacy, dignity and safety are at issue, and where the record reflects the community has taken vigorous exception to DSD’s Student Safety Plan. Complaint, ¶¶ 93, 186-205, 243.

Affirming the constitutional authorities referenced above, Title IX and its regulations unequivocally uphold the right to bodily privacy. 20 USC §1686 provides that “...nothing contained herein shall be construed to prohibit any educational institution receiving funds under this Act, from maintaining separate living facilities for the different sexes.” *See also* 34 CFR §106.32. Separate toilet, locker room and shower facilities are also specifically authorized:

A recipient may provide separate toilet, locker room, and shower facilities on the basis of sex, but such facilities provided for students of one sex shall be comparable to such facilities provided for students of the other sex.”

34 CFR §106.33. DSD’s argument is also contrary to its own Policy JF/JFA, which expressly notes students’ right of privacy. Complaint, ¶ 22(f). Ex. F to Plaintiff’s Complaint.

Finally, DSD argues that there has been no infringement of privacy rights in any event and implicitly characterizes them as “mere incidental effects.” DSD Motion, pp. 7-8. That discounts allegations of the unveiling of the Student Safety Plan to a PE class that included Student A on or about November 15, 2016 (Complaint, ¶¶ 22(a), 40, 75) or the reasonable and understandable apprehensions of students alleged. Complaint, ¶¶ 42-49, 83-91, 100-112, 122, 124-125, 229-233, 245-246. As noted below, DSD’s own Policy JFCF (Ex. G to Plaintiffs’ Complaint) includes in the definition of “harassment, intimidation or bullying to include “*reasonable fear* of physical

harm...including interfering with the *psychological well-being* of the student.” Complaint, ¶ 22(g) (emphasis added). *Infra*, p. 9.

While it is true there are no allegations of direct interactions in intimate facilities, the complaint is rife with allegations of reasonable student apprehension at encountering opposite sex students in intimate settings. *Id.* Moreover, plaintiffs’ complaint covers more than just students being subjected to intrusive exposure. Anyone, including parents and other visitors, coming on the campus and using facilities now should expect the possibility of such interactions – probably without warning because there would be no principled reason for DSD to take different action given its adoption of the Student Safety Plan. Complaint, ¶¶ 190-200. The district’s indifference to the reasonable sensibilities of others does not justify dismissal as a matter of law.

RESPONSE TO MOTION 5: DSD Has Violated Parents’ Fundamental Right to Direct the Care, Education and Upbringing of Their Children.

DSD acknowledges a long line of well-established constitutional and statutory authorities supporting parents’ fundamental right to direct the care, education and upbringing of their children, then relies solely upon cases concerning limitation of those rights in curriculum matters. DSD Motion, pp. 8-9. The invitation to extend inapposite curriculum authorities to this case should be rejected, as should the district’s attempt to dismiss plaintiffs’ values as “idiosyncratic views” under *Fields v. Palmdale Sch. Dist.*, 427 F.3d 1197 (9th Cir. 2005).

As noted in defendant’s motion (DSD Motion, p. 8), there is a long line of authority dating back to 1923 upholding the fundamental right of parents to direct the education and upbringing of their children. *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390 (1923). *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510 (1925). *West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624 (1943). *Wisconsin v.*

Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972). *Moore v. Cleveland*, 431 U.S. 494 (1977). *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57 (2000). Most notably, the court recited in *Pierce*:

The child is not the mere creature of the state; those who nurture him and direct his destiny have the right, coupled with the high duty, to recognize and prepare him for additional obligations.

Pierce v. Society of Sisters, 268 U.S. at 535, quoted with approval in *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. at 233 and *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. at 65.

The United States Supreme Court more recently described parents' liberty interest in this manner:

The liberty interest at issue in this case – the interest of parents in the care, custody and control of their children- is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by this court. More than 75 years ago, in *Meyer v. Nebraska* [citation omitted], we held that the “liberty” interest protected by the Due Process Clause includes the rights of parents to “establish a home and bring up children” and “to control the education of their own.” Two years later, in *Pierce v. Society of Sisters* [citation omitted], we again held that the “liberty of parents and guardians” includes the right “to direct the upbringing and education of children under their control...It is cardinal with us that the custody, care and nurture of the child reside first in the parents, whose primary function and freedom include preparation for obligations the state can neither supply nor hinder”[quoting *Prince v. Massachusetts*, 321 U.S. 158, 166 (1944)]

Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. at 65-66. See also *Washington v. Glucksberg*, 521 U.S. 702, 720 (1977).

In the face of these authorities, it can scarcely be said that the “so called *Meyer-Pierce* right does not extend beyond the threshold of the school door.” DSD Motion, p. 8, citing *Fields*. As noted above, the cases DSD relies upon to limit parental rights relate to matters of curriculum. *Leebaert v. Harrington*, 332 F.3d 134 (2d Cir 2003) (referring to “what his or her child will or will not be taught”), quoted at DSD Motion, pp. 8-9.

Incredibly, DSD then doubles down to cite cases to the effect those unhappy with the way Dallas schools are run can choose to remove their children and send them to private schools. DSD Motion, p. 9. One can only guess at what the reaction would be if someone was to suggest that LGBTQ or other minority students unhappy with their educational environment can simply go elsewhere.

The second part of DSD's argument is that the Needs Assessment at LaCreole is not actionable under *Fields v. Palmdale School District*. DSD Motion, pp. 9-10. While plaintiffs concede the need to replead or remove the allegations in connection with the needs assessment, what DSD (and *Fields*) overlooks are federal and state laws requiring parental notice- and even consent- before such surveys are administered. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232h(b) (requiring written consent in certain matters, including sex behavior or attitudes, religious practices, affiliations or beliefs of the student or student's parent prior to participation) and Protection of Pupil Rights Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232(g)(b)(1) (requiring parents' written consent).

MOTION 6: Plaintiffs have Stated a Valid Title IX Hostile Environment Claim.

The gravamen of DSD's motion is that there has been no impact on plaintiffs that denies access to equal educational benefits or opportunities. DSD Motion, p. 11. Defendant's argument begs the threshold question in this case: whether "based on sex" under Title IX includes gender identity. In substance, DSD claims maintaining separate-sex facilities violates Title IX because "sex" includes gender identity, but it rejects plaintiffs using the same argument in reverse. Complaint, §§ 91, 226-246. DSD cannot have it both ways.

What defendants overlook is that once the Student Safety Plan was implemented, there was no legitimate basis for denying other persons besides Student A access to restrooms, locker rooms or showers. Put simply, others could claim the right to enter those spaces, whether they were

transgender or not, and members of the public (including plaintiffs) coming on school grounds might encounter the same situation as students during the instructional day. With the Student Safety Plan in place, DSD has no consistent legal justification for denying others the same rights afforded to Student A, all of which directly impacts students and others on the Dallas High School campus.

DSD further pretends there is no allegation of plaintiffs being “targeted or singled out on the basis of sex” (DSD Motion, p. 12), and they argue everyone is being treated the same. *Id.* However, the court must decide this motion based on the complaint, which recites a plethora of allegations about plaintiffs’ reasonable apprehensions of encountering someone of another sex in an intimate space, noted above. *Supra*, pp. 4, 6. *See also* Complaint, §22(g), quoting DSD Policy JFCF (Ex. G to plaintiffs’ Complaint). Sharing intimate facilities may not be an issue for Student A, who rejected continuing use of a single-stall facility as an accommodation (Complaint, § 79), but the record shows it is a crucial matter for others.

In addition, DSD’s reliance on *Cruzan v. Special School District #1*, 294 F.3d 981 (8th Cir. 2002) (involving teachers sharing intimate facilities with a transgender teacher in the workplace) and other out-of-jurisdiction decisions should not be persuasive to the court here in evaluating the impact on students at Dallas High School. DSD Motion, pp. 13-14. *Cruzan* is easily distinguishable. In *Cruzan*, a teacher alleged that her school’s policy of letting a transitioning male-to-female teacher use the female teachers’ restroom was, among other things, a hostile work environment under Title VII. The district court disagreed, rejecting the hostile environment claim by noting that the male employee could only use one women’s restroom (not everyone), and saying “Cruzan has the option of using the female faculty restroom used by Davis *or using other restrooms in the school not used by Davis.*” *Cruzan v. Minneapolis Pub. Sch. Sys.*, 165 F. Supp.

2d 964, 969 (D. Minn. 2001), *aff'd sub nom. Cruzan v. Special Sch. Dist, No. 1*, 294 F.3d 981 (8th Cir. 2002) (emphasis added). Thus, the male was granted access to but one restroom while all other restrooms on campus were off limits to him.

In stark contrast, in our case Student A is officially authorized to enter every male student restroom (and locker room and shower) in the school while other students have no refuge. Complaint, §§ 79, 91, 115, 245-246. Also, the Eighth Circuit's *Cruzan* rule is not without detractors: the Tenth Circuit rejected *Cruzan's* rule which had said that the presence of a male in the women's restrooms, even in the form of a male-to-female transgender did not present a hostile work environment. *Etsitty v. Utah Transit Auth.*, 502 F.3d 1215, 1227 (10th Cir. 2007).

Another distinction with *Cruzan* is that "harassment in the workplace is vastly different from sexual harassment in a school setting":

The ability to control and influence behavior exists to an even greater extent in the classroom than in the workplace, as students look to their teachers for guidance as well as for protection. The damage caused by sexual harassment also is arguably greater in the classroom than in the workplace, because the harassment has a greater and longer lasting impact on its younger victims, and institutionalizes sexual harassment as accepted behavior. Moreover, as economically difficult as it may be for adults to leave a hostile workplace, it is virtually impossible for children to leave their assigned school. Finally, a nondiscriminatory environment is essential to maximum intellectual growth and is therefore an integral part of the educational benefits that a student receives. A sexually abusive environment inhibits, if not prevents, the harassed student from developing her full intellectual potential and receiving the most from the academic program.

Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education, 74 F.3d 1186, 1193 (11th Cir.1996) (citation omitted), *rev'd*, 120 F.3d 1390 (1997), *rev'd on other grounds*, 526 U.S. 629 (1999). *See also Jane Doe v. Green*, 298 F.Supp. 2d 1025, 1037 (D-Nev., 2004) (limiting *Davis* to peer to peer harassment). Schools are charged with acting *in loco parentis*, while employers owe no such duty

to their employees.” *Mary M. v. N. Lawrence Cmty. Sch. Corp.*, 131 F.3d 1220, 1226–27 (7th Cir. 1997).

DSD also relies on cases saying that “a student’s use...that corresponds to gender identity is not severe, pervasive and objectively offensive.” DSD Motion, pp. 13 (emphasis added). How something so subjective can be determined as a matter of law is unclear, and the cases DSD relies upon are much more egregious instances of battery and sexual assault than may exist here and offer nothing in the way of a bright line. DSD Motion, pp. 13-14. It doesn’t take into account the possibilities raised above arising from the Student Safety Policy, and reasonable apprehension apparently doesn’t matter to DSD. In arguing that no student plaintiff “has alleged a single specific instance of harassment or *improper* use of District facilities (DSD Motion, p. 13, emphasis added), DSD begs the question whether sharing facilities is “improper” when specifically authorized by the District and argues that until there is an incident, their policy choice is appropriate, leaving plaintiffs no recourse. Severity may vary with the students affected. Its pervasiveness cannot be doubted when it applies to an entire campus and student body, and may later be applied to other schools as well. Objective offensiveness should also not be determined as a matter of law in a society where sex-segregated facilities in public and private venues are the norm.

DSD’s last argument is that there is no Title IX claim available to plaintiffs at all because those who elect to use single-use facilities cannot be heard to complain those facilities are not comparable. DSD Motion, p. 14. Comparable facilities are not the issue in this case, but rather *who* uses *which* facilities. With no factual basis, the motion asserts plaintiffs were actually offered and rejected this accommodation. *Id.* See Complaint, §§ 243-244. The fallacy of DSD’s logic becomes more apparent when one considers DSD made the same offer to Student A, who eventually rejected it, and the Student Safety Plan ensued. Complaint, § 79. DSD’s argument also overlooks the reality

that some people, including plaintiffs, may also feel compelled to use those single-use facilities as an alternative to avoid encountering people of the opposite biological sex in the larger facilities customarily segregated by sex. Why it is permissible to compel plaintiffs and others to use alternate facilities, and it's not permissible to do so with Student A, is not evident from DSD's briefing.

If defendants do not acknowledge a right of action under Title IX for plaintiffs using this logic, they must concede transgender students like Student A have no right of action under Title IX, and there is no need for the Student Safety Plan in the first instance.

MOTION 7: The Golly Plaintiffs Properly State a Claim for Violation of Their Free Exercise of Religion Rights.

While the legal requirements DSD relies upon are correct, their application to the facts herein is not. Moreover, the Student Safety Plan is not a neutral law of general applicability because DSD itself acknowledges it was "adopted to support *a* District student and to comply with the law." DSD Motion, pp. 16 (emphasis added). What "law" is being complied with is not stated. DSD then opines there is no pleading of injury in fact, causation or redressability. DSD Motion, p. 15.

As noted above (*Supra*, p. 10), DSD sees the impact of Student Safety Plan much too narrowly. It summarily rejects the possibility that the Golly's religious teachings at home are impacted ("They do not allege that the Student Safety Plan has compelled them *to do anything* that violates the teachings of their religion, nor *could they* make such an allegation.") DSD Motion, p. 15 (emphasis added). *Contra*: Complaint, ¶¶ 120, 208-219. Other members of Parents for Privacy are similarly concerned about the impacts for their students, whether religiously motivated or not. *Id.*

DSD then argues there is no free exercise violation here because the Student Safety Plan is a neutral law of general applicability that meets the standards of rational basis review. DSD Motion, p. 16. In actuality, it is neither neutral, nor generally applicable, and it ignores hybrid rights analysis, all of which require strict scrutiny as the proper standard of review.

As to neutrality, DSD's own argument betrays the lack of neutrality when it says "the Student Safety Plan was adopted in order to support *a* District student..." DSD Motion, p. 16 (emphasis added). True neutrality would be demonstrated by making an accommodation to any student to use single-use facilities rather than giving one student access to any facilities they choose at the expense of other students. Similarly, a policy implemented for a single student is not generally applicable unless the District is prepared to concede that the Student Safety Plan opens the door for others to claim the right to use any facilities, so it applies to more than one student- a position contrary to its own briefing. If that is true, the impact of the Student Safety Plan extends far beyond the students of Dallas High School. *Supra*, p. 7.

Nor can it be said that DSD had a legitimate interest in acting to "comply with the law" because the law (including regulations under Title IX) at the time of adoption of the Student Safety Plan clearly authorized separate sex facilities. *Supra*, p. 6. Whether the law required accommodation of transgender students, including Student A, or the nature of any accommodations was being hotly debated, including at school board meetings. Complaint, ¶ 93.

It bears noting that the Ninth Circuit noted in *Fields v. Palmdale School District*, 427 F.3d at 1202-1203 does not aid DSD on this point because no First Amendment issues were raised in that case, and in footnote 7 the court said, "We offer no comment as to any First Amendment issues that may arise with any of these matters." *Id.* at 1206.

For laws that are not neutral or generally applicable, strict scrutiny applies. *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye v. City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 531-532 (1993). See also *Stormans, Inc. v. Weisman*, 794 F.3d 1064, 1076 (9th Cir. 2015). Where, as here, plaintiffs allege multiple fundamental rights arising under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, hybrid rights analysis requires strict scrutiny as well. *Employment Division v. Smith*, 494 U.S. 872, 882 (1990). *Miller v. Reed*, 176 F.3d 1202, 1207 (9th Cir. 1999). See also *Leebaert v. Harrington*, 332 F.3d at 143 (distinguishing First Circuit treatment of “hybrid rights” from holdings in the First, Ninth, Tenth and DC Circuits).

MOTION 8: Plaintiffs Properly State a Claim under ORS 659.850 and 659A.403.

Defendants reject the alleged violation of these statutes, but implicitly concede that Dallas High School and other district facilities are places of public accommodation within the meaning of ORS 659A.400, which includes governmental buildings. DSD Motion, p. 17.

While it is true that both statutes prohibit “discrimination”, the key determination is whether any action subjects a student “to treatment different from that afforded other children” based on a protected characteristic. SDS Motion, p. 17, quoting with approval *Powell v. Bunn*, 341 Or 306, 313-316 (2006). However, *Powell* does not help DSD for several reasons. First, there was no finding of discrimination or differential treatment in that case because all students were treated exactly the same. Second, this case is distinguishable from *Powell* because, as noted above, here there is clear differential treatment in that the action was taken for the benefit of one student at the apparent expense of other students. What would truly be equal treatment would be to allow any student to use single-use facilities on an equal basis, which Student A later rejected as an accommodation. Complaint, ¶ 79. Plaintiff’s allegations are sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss under ORS 659A.850.

Similarly, plaintiffs' ORS 659A.403 claim sufficiently alleges discrimination against plaintiffs based on their own protected status for religion, sex and sexual orientation. Complaint, ¶¶ 267-268. Plaintiffs do not "allege everyone is treated in the same manner", as DSD claims. DSD Motion, p. 17. True nondiscrimination would take the form of granting everyone the same accommodation, not compelling the community to accept an accommodation for one student.

It bears noting that DSD's reliance on *Klein v. BOLI*, 289 Or.App. 507 (December 27, 2017) in support of its position (DSD Motion, p. 17) may be premature in light of a pending petition for review to the Oregon Supreme Court filed March 2, 2018. Also, the United States Supreme Court may revisit its earlier decision in *Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian & Bisexual Group of Boston*, 515 U.S. 557 (1995) (upholding First Amendment rights in the face of state nondiscrimination laws) in its consideration of *Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v Colorado Civil Rights Commission*, No. 16-111 (argued December 6, 2017).

CONCLUSION

Defendant DSD would have the court believe that the Student Safety Plan enacted on behalf of a single student has no actionable consequences or ramifications for the rest of the student body or others in the community. Nothing could be further from the truth, just as a stone tossed into a pond invariably generates ripples. DSD has chosen a course of favoring one student at the expense of everyone else when a true equal accommodation would be to allow anyone who requests the opportunity of single-use facilities to do so. DSD's pretense that no one else's rights

//

//

//

are affected by its action, and that discrimination is a one-way street in favor of a transgender student is legally and factually disingenuous.

DATED this 6th day of March, 2018.



Herbert G. Grey, OSB #810250
4800 SW Griffith Drive, Suite 320
Beaverton, OR 97005-8716
Telephone: 503-641-4908
Email: herb@greylaw.org

Ryan Adams, OSB #150778
Email: ryan@ruralbusinessattorneys.com
Caleb S. Leonard, OSB # 153736
E-mail: Caleb@RuralBusinessAttorneys.com
181 N. Grant Street, Suite 212
Canby, OR 97013
Telephone: 503-266-5590

Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs