

NOW COMES Plaintiff Sevier, a former Judge Advocate General who works with Senate Special Investigations daring the Court to do two things (1) to issue rule 11 sanction, (2) to even threaten the Plaintiffs with rule 11 sanctions again in a Constitutional case, and to (3) fail to issue the temporary restraining order prior to October 6, 2018 even though he is required to by law. The Plaintiffs work directly with Senate oversight. Judge Summerhays is on notice that the Plaintiffs are recommending to the Judiciary committee and Senator Cassidy that it was a major mistake for the President to appoint an individual who is completely ignorant of Constitutional law to the bench and who has to abuse the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to cover for his spectacular lack of professionalism. The Court can issue all the rule 11 sanctions it wants to but it will do nothing other than prove that the Judge Summerhays has no idea what he is doing, that he does not understand the Constitution, and that he is incapable of interpreting the United States Constitution. It will also demonstrate to the legislative branch and to the Attorney General of Louisiana that Obergefell is a sham and this Court's irrational hostility in a matter where the welfare of children is at stake an Constitutional rights are being trampled is a cover to justify the unjustifiable judicial malpractice of all of the Secular Humanist judges involved in what Justice Scalia correctly called an egotistic...judicial putsch. Therefore, the Court is as equally on trial as the Library's partnership with the Drag Queen Story Hour is it not? Accordingly, Plaintiff Sevier dares the Court to threaten him or his co-plaintiffs with rule 11 sanctions again.

In terms of issues for grown ups for have experience with First Amendment Constitutional law, the fact that the Library may or may not have moved the event based on hearsay evidence is not good enough to satisfy the Constitution or the Plaintiffs. That is true despite Judge Summerhay's tyrannical animus towards Christians due to what is very obviously

a self-entitlement syndrome that is both imperialistic and dangerous. The Plaintiffs want this Court to stop engaging in immature and immoral judicial cowardice in violation of the judicial canons of ethics and to issue an immediate restraining order to enjoin the Library's public partnership with Delta Lambda Phi. Perhaps Delta Lambda Phi can have the Drag Queen Story Hour to still go forward without the Library's involvement without being arrested by the District Attorney's office for pandering obscenity through an obscene exhibition to minors. That question is outside the jurisdiction of the Plaintiffs. But the Plaintiffs will not be intimidated by this Court's per se immoral judicial intimidation tactics because the Court is completely incompetent when it comes to the First Amendment Jurisprudence on the element of irreparable harm. The Plaintiffs have zero sympathy for Judge Summerhay desperate the fact that he was appointed to the bench a few weeks ago. This is a case were the Library is violating the Constitution and children are being subjected to harmful material. The Court is guilty of defying a mount of Supreme Court precedent on the issue and demonstrating the lack of character and fitness to serve as an Article III Judge. The fact that Judge Summerhay is hostile towards controlling constitutional law precedent is direct evident that he should be removed from the bench for a lack of trustworthiness to comply with this duty under Article VI. The Court is asked to sua sponte rescue itself for good cause shown. If the Court refuses to do that, the Plaintiffs will be responsive in a manner that will end Judge Summerhay's two week career on the bench through the normal exercise of available legal procedure. The Court is asked to rule on Grace Harley's motion that the other Plaintiffs join in despite the Court's lack of self control and contempt for request that he enforce the Constitution as it was written in view of crystal clear Supreme Court precedent that he personally does not want to read for emotional reasons.

The Plaintiffs do not care about the Court's irrelevant emotions. Neither does the Establishment Clause. It also does not care about the emotions of the Library employees. This Court is by every reasonable person standard a disgrace to the rule of law and his presence on the bench does a disservice to the people of Lafayette. The evidence suggests that getting on the Plaintiff's bad side is not a good career move.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Chris Sevier Esq./



DE FACTO ATTORNEY GENERALS
SPECIAL FORCES OF LIBERTY
(615) 500 4411
Ghost Wars
1000 Renaud Dr Lot 163
Scott, LA 70583
615 500 4411
Bravo Two Zero

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this document and attached exhibits were mailed with adequate postage to the Defendants in this actions on October 3, 2018 to Teresa Elberson, 301 West Congress Street Lafayette, LA 70501;; John Bel Edwards, 900 N 3rd St #4, Baton Rouge, LA 70802;; Jeffrey Martin Landry at 1885 North Third Street Baton Rouge, LA 70802;; Hon. Stuart R. Shaw 615 East Carroll Street, Coushatta, LA 71019. Joel Robideaux, P.O. Box 4017-C, Lafayette, LA 70502.

/s/Chris Sevier Esq./

