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| 1 | Q. I'd like to talk first about your education, | 1 | What is this? Yes, sir. I think this is correct. |
| 2 | Dr. Mayer. So you have a psychology degree, and you | 2 | Q. When you received your MB degree in London, |
| 3 | got that in 1967? | 3 | was there any clinical experience as a part of that? |
| 4 | A. I have an undergraduate degree -- yes, sir, | 4 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 5 | in psychology, and premed, yes, sir. | 5 | Q. And for what period of time? |
| 6 | Q. And you went to medical schoo | 6 | A. About a little over a year. |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | Q. A year of clinical experience? |
| 8 | Q. -- then, at Ohio State; is that right? | 8 | A. In London, yes, sir. |
| 9 | A. Yes, sir. | 9 | Q. And that is the only clinical experience you |
| 10 | Q. Did you receive a degree from there? | 10 | have had, then? |
| 11 | A. No, sir. | 11 | A. No, sir. I went to the British health system |
| 12 | Q. Then did you at some pint receive a medical | 12 | and spent another year, approximately a year. |
| 13 | degree? | 13 | Q. And was that another degree or what was that? |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir | 14 | When did you spend this year at the British health |
| 15 | Q. Where was that from? | 15 | service? |
| 16 | A. London, England, at Guy's Medical Schoo | 16 | A. It's equivalent to a residency -- or an |
| 1 | Q. And was that an MD? | 17 | internship in this country, so you go and do additional |
| 18 | A. Well, it is the equivalent of an MD, so it's | 18 | training. When you get an MD degree in this country, |
| 19 | called an MD, but the actual degree is an MB. It's a | 19 | you're not licensed to practice medicine, you do an |
| 20 | Bachelor of Medicine. It's a quite different system. | 20 | internship, and if you want, a residency -- this is |
| 21 | Q. Did you at some point receive an MD? | 21 | back then. It is quite different now. |
| 22 | A. I received the English MD. I've received the | 22 | So I went out as a junior house officer, |
| 23 | degree that qualifies you to practice medicine. The MD | 23 | hich is the equivalent of an intern. I went to the |
| 24 | is an American degree. I did not receive an American | 24 | British health service. |
| 25 | MD; that is correct. | 25 | Q. And what kind of clinical experience did you |
|  | Page 7 |  | Page |
| 1 | Q. So it's the MB that you received, but not an | 1 | have during that one year? |
| 2 | MD? | 2 | A. Well, I was interested in a combination of |
| 3 | A. There is no MD. | 3 | epidemiology and psychiatry. Epidemiology was just |
| 4 | Q. Got you. | 4 | getting going as a major division primarily growing out |
| 5 | Are you licensed to practice medicine? | 5 | of infectious disease. And at the time, we must be the |
| 6 | A. No, sir, I have never practiced medicine. | 6 | first, one of the first to believe if we applied these |
| 7 | MR. KNIGHT: And why don't I -- why don't we | 7 | methods to psychiatric illness -- the DSM-3 had just |
| 8 | go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 1. I think we're | 8 | come out -- we applied psychiatric illness, we could |
| 9 | just doing this in order for the deposition. | 9 | cure mental illness worldwide. Pretty idealistic. So |
| 10 | (Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.) | 10 | I was always interested in that interaction. Then when |
| 11 | (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.) | 11 | I found statistics, I really became interested in |
| 12 | (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.) | 12 | research. |
| 13 | BY MR. KNIGHT: | 13 | Q. So when you talk about that as clinical |
| 14 | Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm showing you what is marked | 14 | experience, were you actually seeing patients? |
| 15 | deposition Exhibit 1. Can you identify that? | 15 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. | 16 | Q. But they were -- so they were patients |
| 17 | Q. This is your report with some appendixes to | 17 | presenting with mental health issues or what kind of |
| 18 | it. So this is a report in this matter, in the Boyden | 18 | patients? |
| 19 | case? | 19 | A. Primarily psychiatric patients, because there |
| 20 | A. It appe | 20 | were four of us in a clinic and nobody else. They were |
| 21 | Q. Well, I would like to turn to, first of all, | 21 | all infectious disease. So I didn't see -- the first |
| 22 | to your professional vitae, which is appendix B. | 22 | year it's like an internship, you see a bit of |
| 23 | So is this a complete and accurate | 23 | everything. But, yes, overwhelmingly. I would never |
| 24 | professional vitae for you? | 24 | hold myself out as an expert in clinical -- clinical |
| 25 | A. I believe so, yes, sir. Oh, let me see here. | 25 | medicine. I saw a very limited number of patients, and |

they were primarily psychiatric patients.
Q. I understand. And were any of those patients, patients, I guess -- what -- let me back up.

So what year was this that you did this year of clinical experience at the British -- I'm sorry, the British health service?
A. Yes.

It was '69 and '70 is the academic year, I believe.
Q. And during that time period, did you work with anyone who identified with gender issues or what has come to be known as gender dysphoria? Or do you recall?
A. Well, it was a different time. I think that if you mean were there people struggling, if I can use some of the old terms like transvestites, people like that who are concerned about identifying with the opposite sex, yes, there were patients.

But this was -- I didn't do in-depth psychoanalysis of people, so I would say my experience was rather limited. I'm a little worried because when you say, like transgender, I don't know if, in the '60s, I knew what that term meant. But there was certainly no focus on that.
Q. Do you recall whether -- I mean, do you
not a large number, but I don't think I can be precise there.
Q. Overall it would have been a small number then?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Even then?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So you don't have a license, then, to provide clinical treatment to a patient at this stage?
A. That is correct.
Q. Do you have any kind of license to practice medicine?
A. I have never practiced medicine. At one time I qualified under the English, the British health service, but we're talking about 50 years ago. I have no license. I've never applied for a license to practice other than my educational license.
Q. And so other than that one year, you have never practiced psychiatry then?
A. That is right. To be clear, I supervise residents in teaching hospitals, so I have supervision still. But I have no direct clinical practice where I am the attending physician. I just want to be absolutely precise with you.
Q. Right. And when you talk about
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recall any specific patient or how many patients you might have seen with gender issues at that time period?
A. Well, could gender issues be anyone struggling with being a little boy or a little girl, for example? Or do you mean something more profound? I want to be precise.
Q. Right. I think it can be as general as that. Someone struggling with -- but I guess what really I'm asking you about is someone who is coming to you identifying, explaining that, while they have been identified as male, they don't believe they are, in fact, male.
A. That's what I -- I think I'm to the narrow sense and the wide sense, that's the narrow sense. I definitely didn't see anything like that that I can remember. It has been many years, but not that I remember.
Q. More broadly, in terms of the way you're talking about it, do you recall how many patients you would have seen?
A. Well, struggling with their sexual identity is pretty common both for kids and also for people facing kind of mid-age, mid-age crisis. So specifically, you have to go back to time. Remember how primitive our medicines were and all of that. It's
"supervision," what kind of supervision are we talking about?
A. Well, it would usually be on the team rounding, and my role would be the clinical epidemiologist trying to determine how can results in the epidemiological literature be used in analysis of specific patients. So my role there would be to at least understand what is going on, then to reflect on it. I taught a journal club. We would talk about these cases. I just want to point out I do have relatively hands-on relationship with patients, but not as a treating -- not as a treating physician, never as a treating physician.
Q. What time period did you have this relationship with -- supervisory relationship?
A. Well, I had it at Hopkins -- I'm going to say for the last 25 years. I'll just take a guess. 25 years.
Q. But you were saying you were rounding with students in seeing patients and addressing what exactly?
A. Well, you say rounding patients. We have conference for the patient, we have a mortality conference. I taught at a medical school, and part of that is rounding. These aren't students in your sense,
they are residents, and there is a team -- if you've ever been in a hospital -- and the people would have different people.

Oftentimes I would be on that team to represent research, in particular, how does one use whoever doing brain research, scanning research about transgenders, how do they use that in facing this patient. That is clinical epidemiology. Takes the general statistical framework and applies it to an individual patient.
Q. And when you were -- were you actually seeing the patient or were you rounding simply with residents who had seen the patients?
A. Both. I'd see patients every -- probably every week at least one patient. I wouldn't see -- I'm not doing that anymore, but I saw patients regularly.
Q. But you were not providing clinical advice with respect to those patients?
A. That's care. If you notice, I'm very careful not to comment on any clinical issue. I will try to represent myself as a research physician, I'm not one. There is a more of a track for that in Europe than there is in this country, but we have more and more "mud-fuds," (phonetic) we call them. That's MD/Ph.D., coming out. They are academic physicians and not
Q. And the period of time you were at Johns Hopkins was when, exactly?
A. I went in '89. I was there until last year. I'm a little weak on dates, forgive me. I'm going to do my best. But I think I was there until 2000, would be '16. That is right. I think that's right, yes.
Q. Over the period of time you were rounding at Johns Hopkins, how many of the patients presented with gender dysphoria?
A. I don't remember any specifically. I say that because I was part of an Alzheimer's group, a dementia group. So it was primarily people that had neuropsychiatric disorders. There may have been some in the general clinic or presentation, but I don't remember specifically, other than a grand rounds presentation. There was a gender identity program at Hopkins. I do remember a grand rounds presentation.
Q. Let me make sure I understand. So you were primarily rounding Johns Hopkins with respect to Alzheimer's?
A. I would say the majority of patients I rounded on -- Hopkins is very large in psychiatry. There are hundreds and hundreds of doctors, so it is split up by subspecialty. There is a special group that does gender identity. There is a group that does

1 clinicians.
Q. But I --
A. Sorry about that.
Q. You told me several things, and I just want to make sure that I understand what the bottom line is.

You are not providing clinical advice to patients?
A. Oh, no, I would not provide any clinical advice, no, sir.

By the way, I also did this, if you're interested, in toxicology and cardiology with two other areas, because it is similar. I didn't want you to attach it too close to the psychiatry.
Q. Well, that is a good point. When you talk about rounding, what are the areas that you covered?
A. Well, rounding would primarily be in psychiatry, and then it would be toxicology, internal medicine, and cardiology.
Q. And how much of that was psychiatry? You said you did this for a period of 25 years.
A. At Johns Hopkins, it was almost all psychiatry. In Phoenix it would be -- Phoenix would be mostly nonpsychiatry. It would be areas focused on subspecialties in medicine such as toxicology, cardiology.
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memory, Alzheimer's, dementia. I would say the psychological characters of aging, that's the group I worked with, yes, sir.
Q. So you worked with an Alzheimer group, not the gender identity group while you were at Johns Hopkins?
A. That is correct. I did my gender identity research, but that was in the School of Public Health, which is separate.
Q. So you don't recall, then, doing rounding about anyone who presented with gender dysphoria at Johns Hopkins at this point?
A. Well, we would have to get into exactly what you mean by gender dysphoric, because it is used all sorts of different ways. But in general, we rounded on in service, in bed, in service patients. I don't remember any with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria. There may have been some. Again, it has been 20 years. You know, I don't have a perfect memory. I don't remember any.
Q. And then you talked about that you did some work at the School of Public Health?
A. Yes.
Q. And over what period of time was that?
A. It would be the same. It was the same work.

The work shifted from the School of Public Health to the School of Medicine, so it would have been to ' 89 to 2016.
Q. So you were working in both departments during that time period?
A. Yes, sir, very closely connected, yes. The Psychiatric research is a Department of Mental Health in the School of Public Health. The psychiatric care is in the School of Medicine.
Q. Have you had any education or training related to gender dysphoria or gender discordance?
A. Well, you'd have to tell me exactly what you mean by that.
Q. Are you familiar with the diagnosis in the DSM for gender dysphoria?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So that is what I'm asking about.
A. I've not had any clinical updates of any kind, including gender dysphoria.
Q. And what do you mean by clinical updates?
A. Well, I thought that is what you were talking about, a continuing education program, something where you go and study about these issues specifically for clinicians. If not, I don't know what you mean.
Q. Well, I'm talking about any training. And so
Q. Transgender people?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; vague.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didn't understand the sentence. It was a noun, transgender people. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. It was part of the previous question. Have you published any research or other articles regarding transgender people?
A. No, sir.
Q. Have you published any research or other articles addressing gender discordance?
A. You will have to be precise, but in the most general term, I have not.
Q. Have you given any presentations about gender dysphoria, transgender people, or related issues?
A. No, sir. I'm sorry. You said, symposiums, is that what you said?
Q. Presentations?
A. No, sir.
Q. You have a number of scholarly publications listed in your CV. What are those addressing if you can speak generally?
A. I'm primarily interested in the use of statistics in epidemiology in analysis of complex medical issues, where the biology is complex. There
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if you're saying that would be the relevant training, then that is my question.
A. I've had no specific training in dealing with gender dysphoria, no, sir.
Q. Okay. And --
A. I don't know where that training would have been up until the last few years, but I have not had any since then.
Q. And I just want to make sure, my question was broad, and I think you talked about clinical updates, and I'm just talking about anytime since medical school, have you had that kind of training?
A. Well, the problem is, I'm a professor, so I go to training every day with the students, so I'm in their training. And so it's a little hard. It's not like a clinician that goes back to a program or something. Since I'm training with the kids every day. But specifically, did I role in a program? No. There was no problem I was enrolled in. But I read five, six hours a day, I probably spend almost that with my students.
Q. Other than the two articles that you wrote in the New Atlantis, have you published any research or other articles addressing gender dysphoria?
A. No, sir.
are real world implications like policy implications. The data is complex. And I'm primarily interested in the use of models, cross discipline. I've always been interested in the use of how our models transferred from one discipline to another. So it would be across a broad spectrum. The commonness of every paper includes statistics and data.
Q. Have those papers focused on specific kinds of medical conditions?
A. I have written quite a few papers on dementia. I would say that is the No. 1 condition. To me , as a biostatistician, methodology is like the tail on the dog. So it depends to me who the dog is. They're the ones who really drive the work. A good methodologist is a team player, brings strength to the team.

So my topics have often wandered across disciplines, but my interests have stayed very focused. Also the limitations of inference from observational data, very interested in that.
Q. Have you written other articles about the efficacy of surgical treatment?
A. I have written on ethnic disparities in surgical treatment. And I have done analysis as part of a team of the efficacy. When I ran research for the

1 Banner Health System, I did a lot of that type of evaluation. How much of it fell into articles that would probably be used? Just as an example in an article, it has never been a primary focus. For example, I did work on the silicone breast implant litigation, so that was evaluation of a whole surgical procedure.
Q. Can you point me to that article, please?
A. I'm sorry, I thought you said where I prepared a paper or did research. I don't publish on the things I did my expert witnessing on. So I don't believe -- there is a paper on surgical disparities.
Q. And really I'm not asking about disparities, I'm asking about efficacy and safety of surgery.
A. Well, that comes into it. If you have different procedures used, let's say, on black women and white women, one of the questions is, what is the efficacy? Is there some reason for that to be the case? So efficacy is then. If you mean have I ever evaluated the efficacy of a procedure, I'm not a surgeon. How would I do that?

So I have not published anything on efficacy of surgery in that sense.
Q. So if you have never treated patients with gender dysphoria, what gives you the expertise to offer
A. I think it would be Paul McHugh approached me. I'm going to say -- I'm going to guess it was 2014.
Q. And why did Mr. McHugh -- or Dr. McHugh approach you?
A. Actually, a colleague first approached me, my colleague in psychiatry, the chair in psychiatry at the time, Lyketsos. He approached me and said there was a paper that could be quite controversial that Paul McHugh was producing, and could I help him improve the scientific standards of the paper. He was concerned that the paper could be an embarrassment to Johns Hopkins or the department. And so he asked me to look into it, and I went to a colleague who was the deputy director for Paul McHugh of the McHugh Center, and she asked me if I would actually help him write it. But it was in the 16th draft, I believe, when I came aboard, so he had been doing this for many, many years.
Q. So why did you choose to take this on?
A. Well, I chose to take it on because Paul

McHugh is one of the great psychiatrists of the 20th Century, certainly extreme in some of his views, and some of his view bother me, bother me a great deal. But I thought it was an honor to try to work for the great man, or with the great man. And if I can
opinions regarding their treatment?
A. Say that again.
Q. If you have not treated patients with gender dysphoria, what makes you an expert regarding their treatment?
A. I'm not an expert regarding the clinical treatment of gender dysphoria. I'm not an expert in that.
Q. Well, what is your expertise related to gender dysphoria?
A. My expertise is to review the literature and say, what does biology have to say, and to review these different models of the relationship between gender and sex, and try to figure out what the data -- what the best data says is typical of what I do in my own research and in these projects. Half the projects I do aren't for litigation, and this is typically what I do. But if you ask me if Mr. Smith should have transgender surgery, I'm not an expert on that.
Q. Do you keep up with the scientific literature regarding gender dysphoria?
A. The best I can -- it's immense literature, but to the best I can, I do, yes, sir.
Q. When did you first begin to review literature regarding -- in this area regarding gender dysphoria?
increase the scientific rigor of the paper -- and the paper has been well-received in terms of content -that I would get aboard and just try to help him.

So the choice of the papers to review, the actual review was mine. I did the extensive review of the papers. So I did the scientific work; he did more the application to clinical work.
Q. You said that some of his views concern you or bother you. What views are those?
A. Well, I don't want to say what he thinks, but he's made statements that I would consider anti-gay, anti-transgender. And sometimes he has strong opinions, but he could influence people more if he wasn't so extreme. People told me he could use words like gender pretender. Or he's made analogies to anorexia. And I don't think those are very helpful. I also think they can be mean-spirited, quite frankly.
Q. So you started reviewing the literature in 2016 because you took on this project working with Dr. McHugh, and you're saying you have continued doing so since then?

MR. KILPATRICK: I will object. I believe he said 2014. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. I'm sorry. What was the year that you
started looking into the literature?
A. I think it was 2014. The paper, I think, was published in '16, the Mayer/McHugh monograph.
Q. Are you familiar with our experts in this case, Dr. Budge and Dr. Schechter?
A. I don't know them personally, no, sir.
Q. Well, what do you think about them and their expertise?
A. Well, take Dr. Schechter. I know more of him. He's supposed to be an excellent plastic surgeon. He does a lot of the -- what I'll call the gender reassignment. I don't want to get lost in terminology. I never try to be offensive to anybody, but gender reassignment, has a good reputation. He's been a very active advocate. He's a clinician, not a scientist. He doesn't know how to do citations properly, drove me crazy. But I think he is probably a very good plastic surgeon. I have no reason to doubt that.

Budge is a counseling psychologist, and she makes some amazing claims or pronouncements, but I have less respect for her ability. She comes across as not very knowledgeable about biology and the sciences. And I think she makes some statements that are unfortunate, they don't clarify anything. But both passionate advocates for the patient.
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Q. Do you believe experts in the medical field should be advocates for their patients?
A. Well, that's a complicated question. To the degree to which they are experts, experts usually are not the attending physician. So it would be independent medical exam and all that, then they should not be advocates for the patient specifically, but many of the patients who are both and treating and presenting -- I mean, physicians who are both treating and presenting experts are advocates for their patients.
Q. And shouldn't they be?
A. I think that's a very interesting question among legal scholars. Some might say it dilutes their independence as experts, other people might say it makes them more familiar with the specific case.

I think it really depends, though, whether you separate it -- general causation from individual causation. In general causation, you know, does this exposure cause this injury is probably not attractive. But an individual causation, was this Ms. Smith damaged by that exposure, then I think it's probably good. It's an interesting bifurcation.
Q. You have been an expert witness in some other cases?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Which ones have dealt with gender dysphoria?
A. Well, see, I really don't know what you mean by "dealt with gender dysphoria," but I have been an expert -- could that be any case in which gender identity has come up as an issue? Could that be an answer? Because you said in general about gender dysphoria. I don't know if the bathroom case is about gender dysphoria.
Q. Well, I know you were an expert hired in the Carcaño case.
A. I don't know what that is.
Q. That was a case in North Carolina.
A. Carcaño. Sorry.
Q. This is the case involving a law passed in the state of North Carolina.
A. Are we talking about the bathroom bill case?

I just want to be precise.
Q. I think bathrooms were a part of that case.
A. Okay. I remember a case I did. Yeah, I believe you asked me about gender dysphoria. I don't know if that case is about gender dysphoria. I thought it was called the bathroom case.
Q. Let me ask more broadly. Have you worked on any cases relating to transgender people or gender Page 29 dysphoria?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And so we've talked about the Carcaño case, or the case in North Carolina.
A. The bathroom case. I'm going to call it the bathroom case, because I don't know that name.
Q. Okay. The bathroom case.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Any other cases?
A. Well, what about the little girl that wants to use the restroom of her assigned gender in Virginia? Isn't that Virginia?
Q. Are we talking about the Gavin Grimm case? And Mr. Grimm is a boy.
A. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
Q. And were you an expert in that case?
A. I'm sorry. I don't know what the definition is of expert. I wrote something in that case, yes, sir.
Q. And there you're talking about you wrote -you were a writer of an amicus brief; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Any other cases in which you have worked on, worked or provided testimony related to transgender people or gender dysphoria?
don't want to talk about. I'd have to go get permission to talk about. But this comes under the sexual tourism. Under the sexual tourism law, people who go abroad for the purpose of having sex that would be illegal in the United States, can be prosecuted for that action, even if that conduct were not illegal in the other country.

So what happened was the Department of Justice used the sexual tourism to crack down on gay people that have these sexual tours to Southeast Asia. And I worked on that case for quite a while.
Q. Any other cases that specifically involved gays?
A. No, certainly I've always been a supporter of gay adoption, strong supporter of gay adoptions, but I've never been in a case of it.
Q. Do you consider yourself to be an expert in gender dysphoria?
A. You would have to say what you mean. Usually when you talk like that, people will mean the diagnosis, treatment, prognosis, and I'm not an expert in those things, no.
Q. Are you an expert in anything related to gender dysphoria?
A. I would say by now I'm probably an expert in
Q. Have you worked on cases involving homosexuality, gay people, or same sex couples, or marriage of same sex couples?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What case is that?
A. I have listed here appearances in depositions. They represent about half of my consulting, because about half the time I am asked to consult, even on cases where I'm not necessarily a listed expert or I don't even know the specific case, if I know the case, I put it down here. But there were two cases that were quite well-known. One was the -and I'll just describe it to you. It was the case involving whether porn stars should have to wear condoms in adult filmmaking. And this is quite controversial and eventually lead to the proposition, which I believe passed, that porn stars or adult film actors and actresses in Los Angeles County have to wear condoms. And my role in that was testifying for gay people, that they were being singled out unfairly for certain actions, and that the statistics that were provided did not apply to them. This became very controversial because Hopkins itself was on the other side of this case.

The second case is a criminal case that I
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the epidemiology of gender dysphoria, having reviewed a tremendous amount of literature on what the science has to say. I would say that is my expertise of anything is what does science really have to say about this issue? What is it that we have to decide outside of science?
Q. Would you agree that the scientific community does not yet know what causes someone to have a gender identity that's difference from their sex assigned at birth?
A. I don't know what sex assigned at birth means. There's only one sex, and rather than getting lost in nomenclature or terminology, your sex is your sex. So if you want to say that they are struggling with the gender they're assigned at birth, the gender corresponding to their sex, I can understand. But this concept of sex assigned at birth, I'm not sure what anybody is talking about. And that seems to be a keynote problem, focal point.
Q. Can you answer my question?
A. Could you repeat the question, sir?
Q. My question is whether you agree that the scientific community does not yet know what causes someone to have a gender identity that is different from their sex assigned at birth?

|  | Page 34 |  | Page 36 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I don't know what sex assigned at birth | 1 | said that surgery was a major treatment for the |
| 2 | means, I'm sorry. | 2 | dysphoric part of being transgender. That may be true, |
| 3 | Q. Well, let me explain to you that sex assigne | 3 | but where is the evidence? I couldn't find any |
| 4 | at birth is the terminology for the sex that a doctor | 4 | evidence. I searched and searched. |
| 5 | says someone is when they are born. That is what I | 5 | Q. I'm not sure that I understand what you just |
| 6 | mean by sex assigned at birth. | 6 | told me. The -- so you would agree with me, then, that |
| 7 | A. And when is another sex, sir? I agree that's | 7 | the AMA recognizes the WPATH standards as the |
| 8 | sex -- that's the only sex. It's the sex from the role | 8 | appropriate standards for treating transgender people |
| 9 | in the reproductive system. You are a boy, whether -- | 9 | who need medical care? |
| 10 | a doctor could declare you a mongoose. A boy is a boy. | 10 | A. Well, this is really complicated, for |
| 11 | Biologically now, speaking, a boy is a boy. We know | 11 | ansgender people who need medical care. I actually |
| 12 | what that means. And so I have to be, as a scientist, | 12 | don't even understand that, because it has never been |
| 13 | given that, and then go from there. | 13 | clear to me whether to be gender dysphoria you have to |
| 14 | Q. You must understand that you're not -- not | 14 | be transgender. If you are the WPATH, it appears to |
| 15 | everyone agrees with you with respect to this narrow | 15 | say in order to be treated, you have to be transgender, |
| 16 | definition of sex; would you agree? | 16 | in transition, and struggling with dysphoria. You're |
| 17 | A. No, sir. I have found no credible scientist | 17 | not functioning well in the world. |
| 18 | that doesn't believe that sex, overwhelmingly is | 18 | Why do you have to be transgender in the |
| 19 | defined by our chromosomes. Actually, by every cell in | 19 | sense that gender dysphoria you see often among kids |
| 20 | our body. Boys have boy cells and girls have girl | 20 | that are really struggling with their sex and their |
| 21 | cells. So the idea that a doctor just picks your sex | 21 | feelings of, let's say, not being a little boy when, in |
| 22 | at birth, what if you're a little boy, and picks you're | 22 | fact, they are biologically a little boy. Why, then, |
| 23 | a little girl? | 23 | do they have to be transgender? But if you say to me |
| 24 | Second of all, it's this idea that you' | 24 | it is a effective treatment for gender dysphoria, even |
| 25 | born with some kind of identity. I don't know what | 25 | if they're just gender nonconforming or gender curious, |
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| 1 | that even means. How can you be born with an identity? | 1 | then the question is, what is the evidence? Because |
| 2 | So I'm trying to answer the question, but I have to | 2 | the AMA offers practical guidance. And the focus, I |
| 3 | understand the question to answer it. | 3 | thought, of their guidance was that transgender |
| 4 | Q. You're familiar with the WPATH standards of | 4 | patients should be given equal access to care. Doctors |
| 5 | care? | 5 | needed to learn how to treat transgender patients, and |
| 6 | A. No , | 6 | I supported that very much, sir. |
| 7 | (Reporter clarification.) | 7 | Q. The AMA has said specifically that "An |
| 8 | BY MR. KNIGHT: | 8 | established body of medical research demonstrates the |
| 9 | Q. You understand that these standards are | 9 | effectiveness and medical necessity of mental health |
| 10 | recognized as the standards for treatment by the AMA, | 10 | care, hormone therapy, and sex reassignment surgery as |
| 11 | the American Medical Association? | 11 | forms of therapeutic treatment for many people |
| 12 | A. Standards of care for treatment of what? I'm | 12 | diagnosed with gender identity disorder." |
| 1 | sorry, sir. | 13 | A. I agree they say that; we don't have gender |
| 14 | Q. For treatment of gender dysphoria. | 14 | identity disorder. Because this is very important: |
| 15 | A. Well, we have to be a little careful, because | 15 | part of the treatment for gender identity disorder was |
| 16 | when you break those down, some of them are about | 16 | to treat people for being transgender. Now, we fought |
| 17 | access to care, some are about treatment, and some are | 17 | hard. I supported the fight that it, just like gay, it |
| 18 | about treatment for gender identity disorder, which, of | 18 | shouldn't be a diagnosis. Being transgender should not |
| 19 | course, doesn't exist. It is no longer a disorder. So | 19 | be a diagnosis. These are perfectly healthy human |
| 20 | we have to be very careful. Because when I read WPATH, | 20 | beings, and society needs to accept these human beings. |
| 21 | it appears to me that they are giving indications of | 21 | So the fact of the matter is, we don't treat |
| 22 | how to treat transgenders, because they talk a great | 22 | gender identity disorder, we treat gender dysphoria. |
| 23 | deal about the transitioning process. | 23 | And contrary to what Budge says, the criteria you start |
| 24 | So you are treating people for being | 24 | with aren't the critical criteria. It's the dysphoria |
| 25 | transgender. If they're saying that -- let's say they | 25 | that is. So if you are saying to me, is there -- and |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | you're asking me is there a controlled clinical trial | 1 | A. Wait a second. Gender dysphoria is your |
| 2 | that shows a hormone therapy, butt lifts, facia | 2 | inability to function. In this case, your inability to |
| 3 | feminization, or any of these actually reduces | 3 | function. We have to make you functional. We're |
| 4 | incidence or prevalence of gender dysphoria, I coul | 4 | trying to make you functional. In this case, your lack |
| 5 | not find that paper. | 5 | of being able to function is a distress, but it doesn't |
| 6 | Q. The diagnoses | 6 | have to be a contradiction. You can feel like you're |
| 7 | identity disorder are very similar to the diagnoses | 7 | part man, part woman, you're struggling with your |
| 8 | gender dysphoria, are they are not? | 8 | gender. Who are you as a human being? That is what we |
| 9 | A. No. They are absolutely different because it | 9 | have to cure and help. The fact of the matter is these |
| 10 | takes out being transgender. We fought hard for this. | 10 | transgender conditions, the conditions are conditions |
| 11 | Transgender is not part of the diagnosis any longer. | 11 | we look for to see whether this person is struggling |
| 12 | So gender identity disorder was anybody struggling with | 12 | with their gender identity. That is exactly right. |
| 13 | their identity. Why do they have a disorder? Why | 13 | But the condition that we treat is gender dysphoria. |
| 14 | should a woman who identifies -- let's say she's | 14 | And let me go back to the AMA for a second. |
| 15 | biologically a woman in my terminology -- identifies | 15 | I just want to make one comment. There is nothing that |
| 16 | with being a man, a sincere persistent identification, | 16 | the AMA has endorsed more than antidepression drugs, |
| 1 | why shouldn't she be treated with respect. What does | 17 | the SSRIs, the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. |
| 18 | it have to do with whether or not she's transgendered | 18 | These operate about 5 percent better than placebo. |
| 19 | or not. The social stigma is a real problem. | 19 | 5 percent better. But placebo interacts very, very |
| 20 | Q. So you are not understanding that the AMA | 20 | well. |
| 21 | supports -- or you don't agree? Is that what you are | 21 | So the question is to me, the AMA has made a |
| 22 | saying? You don't agree that the AMA supports these | 22 | of mistakes in things it has recommended, but if |
| 23 | kinds of medical treatments for persons who are | 23 | they are meaning that this is a way to treat the severe |
| 24 | transgender, whether they have gender identity disorder | 24 | disruption of life caused by gender dysphoria, I agree. |
| 25 | or gender dysphoria? | 25 | But we need to have a study of that, a precise, |
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| 1 | A. Why should a transgender person be treated? | 1 | controlled clinical trial. And one of the things I |
| 2 | What are you treating them for? We fought to get -- | 2 | looked at was a base of people who are transgender who |
| 3 | the same with gay. Gay is a diagnosis we fought for | 3 | don't have gender dysphoria. There can be perfectly |
| 4 | 20 years to get rid of that diagnosis. Being | 4 | well-adjusted people who are transgender, but it should |
| 5 | transgender is not a condition that needs to be | 5 | be well-adjusted. |
| 6 | treated. And I see in Budge a contradiction. On one | 6 | Q. So the AMA supports treatment through hormone |
| 7 | hand is saying, well, this is a normal part of | 7 | therapy and surgery for the gender dysphoria, this |
| 8 | development. On the other hand, they need to | 8 | discordance -- |
| 9 | transition. | 9 | A. I've never seen that. |
| 10 | Why they are transitioning is to appear | 10 | Q. Do you understand that? |
| 11 | differently, if you're talking about surgery, for | 11 | A. No, I've seen them -- they may have endorsed |
| 12 | example, facial feminization, but the fact is appearing | 12 | it later. Again, it wouldn't bother me. At one time |
| 13 | different has nothing to do with what they think about | 13 | they endorsed smoking. So it wouldn't bother me |
| 14 | themselves. If they sincerely identify with being a | 14 | because this is a highly political clinical |
| 15 | member of the opposite biological sex, then what are | 15 | organization. There's absolutely no doubt about that. |
| 16 | they guilty of? What are they diagnosed as? | 16 | And whatever clinical guidelines it has, I'm not here |
| 17 | Q. They're diagnosed | 17 | to argue about clinical guidelines. But those |
| 18 | A. They're viable people. | 18 | guidelines have got to be based on scientific studies, |
| 19 | Q. Isn't the gender dysphoria diagnosis a | 19 | and where are the studies? That is all I'm asking. |
| 20 | diagnosis that relates to the clinical distress that | 20 | Q. Would you say that your view with respect to |
| 21 | results from the difference between the -- your, as you | 21 | gender dysphoria is a minority view? |
| 22 | call it, your sex, which I would call your sex assigned | 22 | A. I'm sorry. You would have to say explicitly |
| 23 | at birth and your gender identity, your understanding | 23 | what view it is. I'm not sure what you are referring |
| 24 | that there is -- your dysphoria about that | 24 | to as "my view." |
| 25 | incongruence? Isn't that what gender dysphoria is? | 25 | Q. Your view that transgender people -- that |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | surgery and hormone therapy is not safe, effectiv | 1 | A. Well, I don't know. That is a separate |
| 2 | treatment for gender dys | 2 | tion. I would say to you that it has been |
| 3 | A. I've not been asked that question, have | 3 | inadequately studied, and I worry because the advocates |
| 4 | Q. That is what I thought you were here | 4 | will tell you that hormone blocking or even hormone |
| 5 | testifying ab | 5 | adding, which we wrote about the hormone blocking, are |
| 6 | A. No, I testified it has not been demons | 6 | without side effects. There is nothing that doesn't |
| 7 | to be safe and effective, particularly compared to its | 7 | have side effects in medicine. We all accept that. So |
| 8 | competitors. I mean, to me, to do a study of -- give | 8 | is it a safe, effective way? We need long-term |
| 9 | people $\$ 50,000$ worth of plastic surgery and then ask | 9 | follow-up studies. |
| 10 | them if they feel better about themselves is a little | 10 | I have specific worries about children being |
| 11 | bit silly. The outcome has got to be dysphoria. And | 11 | on puberty blockers or being put in to supportive |
| 12 | we've got to look at the treatment versus an active | 12 | environments as young as 18 months old. I have some |
| 13 | control. I bet anybody you do \$50,000 worth of | 13 | cerns about that. But I don't know what the best |
| 14 | cosmetic surgery on feels better about themselves. | 14 | way to treat it is. And I worry about it. High |
| 15 | Q. Would you agree that gender dysphoria is a | 15 | suicide rate, they suffer tremendous discrimination. |
| 16 | serious illne | 16 | Do I think we should have society more accepting? Yes. |
| 17 | A. Absolutely. I say that in my report. | 17 | Yes, why not? Why not? |
| 18 | very concerned about | 18 | Q. And I'm really asking about adults. |
| 19 | Q. And how do you think it should be treated? | 19 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 20 | A. I don't know. But one of the things we | 20 | Q. And I'm asking about whether you would agree |
| 2 | should do is we should have studies about what | 21 | that where clinicians find that effective treatment for |
| 22 | eatments are safe and effective. What are | 22 | this patient is going to be hormone therapy, that that |
| 2 | comparative statics of this treatment versus other | 23 | should be provided to them? |
| 24 | treatments? And I'm not seeing studies. If you ar | 24 | A. The problem is when you say "provided," |
| 25 | depressed and you have gender dysphoria, is this an | 25 | oftentimes issues in American medicine are about who |
|  | Page 43 |  | ge 45 |
| 1 | effective way versus directly treating your depression? | 1 | pays the bill, and I know very little about who pays |
| 2 | I don't know. By the way, there are lots of | 2 | the bill. Because we talk about cosmetic surgery |
| 3 | studies in plastic surgery about breast augmentation | 3 | versus reconstructive surgery, it often comes down to |
| 4 | and what the effects are in the long run on people's | 4 | who pays the bill. So with that caveat -- well, |
| 5 | attitude toward themselves. And those are very | 5 | actually, could you repeat the question so I get it |
| 6 | interesting studies to look at. Those results are | 6 | exactly right. |
| 7 | quite similar. | 7 | (Record read.) |
| 8 | Q. So you don't know how gender dysphoria should | 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't see any reason |
| 9 | be treated? | 9 | provided. Let's suppose we're talking about somebody |
| 10 | A. Well, two things. One is, I | 10 | that has all the money in the world, they have money to |
| 11 | clinician. And number two, I can only talk to you | 11 | pay for whatever they want, and they came and said, I |
| 12 | about what has been demonstrated in science. If you | 12 | really identify with being a woman. I think that |
| 13 | say, is there a good paper deciding how gender | 13 | identification would be enhanced if I had certain |
| 14 | dysphoria ought to be treated, my answer is no. The | 14 | physical characteristics. |
| 15 | area is so political, it's a shame, but we aren't doing | 15 | I would probably supp |
| 16 | serious research on how to treat it. | 16 | abstract. I can't see any reason not to support it. |
| 17 | Q. You are suggesting | 17 | BY MR. KNIGHT: |
| 18 | gender dysphoria should come to accept their natal sex? | 18 | Q. You seem to be not answering my question. |
| 19 | A. No, sir. I would never sugge | 19 | A. I'm sorry |
| 20 | think if the person has a long-term consistent | 20 | Q. I'm asking about an individual whose natal |
| 21 | think the WPATH long-term consistent persistent | 21 | sex was -- is male, but identifies as female, and |
| 22 | insistent deeply held identification with the opposite | 22 | whether -- and is -- and a physician sees that this |
| 23 | sex, they should be supported in that identification. | 23 | person is expressing such levels of distress and |
| 24 | Why not? | 24 | dysphoria that the proper treatment for them should be |
| 25 | Q. And should they be provided hormone therapy? | 25 | hormone therapy. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Do you agree that that should be provided? | 1 | enemy is the dysphoria, not the physical appearance. |
| 2 | A. Well, I would have to see the patient, I'd | 2 | And steps should also be made to have them more |
| 3 | have to read about the patient. But in general | 3 | accepting of their own self. |
| 4 | because I don't want to talk about specific patients | 4 | Q. But you understand that the gender dysphoria |
| 5 | but in general, do such patients exist? I assume they | 5 | is about the physical appearance, the fact that the |
| 6 | do. | 6 | individual's body does not conform to their |
| 7 | Q. And you believe those patients should be | 7 | understanding of who they are? |
| 8 | provided hormone therapy? | 8 | A. But notice what you said there. They have to |
| 9 | A. Well, not necessarily. Suppose there was | 9 | have an understanding at birth for this to be true. |
| 10 | another treatment that was equally efficacious and much | 10 | Their understanding -- you said their gender identity |
| 11 | cheaper or much safer. I mean, this reassignment | 11 | is there at birth. So at birth, they already |
| 12 | surgery, for example, is dramatic surgery. Now, | 12 | understand that they don't identify with their |
| 13 | hormone therapy is not as dramatic, but if they had a | 13 | biological sex. How is that possible? |
| 14 | long-term consistent persistent identification with | 14 | Second of all, the characteristics that we |
| 15 | members of the opposite sex, and they felt their | 15 | identify as being male or female are very culturally |
| 16 | physical appearance was really causing them great | 16 | dependent. What if pregnant mom moves to Timbuktu |
| 17 | distress, I would say, without knowing the details, I | 17 | during her pregnancy? Is the baby born with a |
| 18 | would come down on supporting the treatment, yeah. | 18 | different set of expectations? |
| 19 | Q. And you understand that the clinicians and | 19 | Let me make -- can I make one more comment on |
| 20 | researchers have tried to offer other medications other | 20 | that? In general, though, people that want to talk |
| 21 | than hormone therapy unsuccessfully? | 21 | people out of being gay or being transgender seem to do |
| 22 | A. Well, when I read that, though, when I read | 22 | it from a moral crusade, that there is something wrong |
| 23 | success -- notice that this literature is primary | 23 | with these people. I don't know if you notice that, I |
| 24 | plastic and reconstructive surgery. If you look even | 24 | certainly do. |
| 25 | at Dr. Schechter's website, there is great bragging | 25 | Q. Do you support therapy to help people cease |
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| 1 | about how well the surgery goes. Success rates are, if | 1 | to act on their same sex attractions? |
| 2 | you excuse the expression, the ability to pass, the | 2 | A. Say again? |
| 3 | ability to look like members of the opposite sex. So | 3 | Q. Do you support therapy to help people cease |
| 4 | let me go to the point here: You have a woman who is | 4 | to act on their same sex attractions? |
| 5 | cisgender, her breasts are sagging or something, she's | 5 | A. No, sir. |
| 6 | in her 40s. I support procedures for her. | 6 | Q. Do you disagree with the DSM's inclusion of |
| 7 | So in general, yes, I support psychiatric | 7 | gender dysphoria as a diagnosis? |
| 8 | interventions. This would be a psychiatric | 8 | A. No, sir. |
| 9 | intervention, by the way. It's a psychiatric | 9 | Q. And I believe we've established you do not |
| 10 | intervention because we're trying to change the frame | 10 | oppose hormone treatment for adults? |
| 11 | of mind, right? But as a psychiatric intervention, | 11 | A. But on -- |
| 12 | could a psychiatrist recommend hormone therapy with an | 12 | (Indecipherable simultaneous speaking.) |
| 13 | endocrinologist? I think so. I don't know that you | 13 | Q. -- gender dysphoria. |
| 14 | could stop it. | 14 | A. Do I oppose them ever receiving hormone |
| 15 | Q. Do you believe that a transgender person can | 15 | therapy? Is that the question? |
| 16 | be talked out of being transgender? | 16 | Q. That is the question. |
| 17 | A. I think the difficulty there has got to do | 17 | A. I would not oppose it. |
| 18 | with at what stage they have long-term consistent | 18 | Q. Do you oppose adults with long-standing |
| 19 | persistent deeply held beliefs. If they've been in | 19 | gender dysphoria receiving surgery? |
| 20 | that for a long time, then I don't think they can be | 20 | A. I don't oppose it, but I would say there is |
| 21 | talked out, nor why should they be talked out. | 21 | not scientific evidence to support it. There is not a |
| 22 | On the other hand, if they're struggling with | 22 | single study that shows the incidence of gender |
| 23 | feelings that they're not a little boy, and they're | 23 | dysphoria goes down as a function of plastic surgery or |
| 24 | kids or young adults, I think they should be helped | 24 | reassignment surgery. |
| 25 | with the dysphoria, no matter what that help is. The | 25 | Q. I'm sorry, the incidence of gender dysphoria? |
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A. Yes, sir.
Q. What do you mean by the incidence of gender dysphoria?
A. The number of cases per year doesn't go down. In other words, gender dysphoria isn't about people feeling better. That's how it's written in the classic reconstruction -- they have a better self-image, better body image.

Gender dysphoria is a very serious illness leading to a high risk of suicide, for example. You need to cure that dysphoria. So when they do this surgery, and they talk about how beautiful the woman is -- this is a male-to-female transgender and all that -- we do not have long-term follow-up studies of what percentage of them are still dysphoric.

It's the most obvious study to do. You'd randomize people to either have one treatment or another treatment or spend $\$ 50,000$ on them having a trip to Bermuda.

The question is the people who feel better about themselves often do so after they have a windfall or a positive experience. Now, I support more cosmetic surgery, woman and men in general, if people don't feel good about their appearance and their cisgender. They're 45 years old and have a mid-life crisis, I
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support their use of -- including hormones, including surgery. I think having people have a positive psychological outlook on life is extremely important. And the suicide rate among transgenders is a public health crisis.
Q. So you believe that surgery to treat someone with gender dysphoria is exactly the same as surgery to treat a cisgender woman, for example, or a cisgender person?
A. Well, it is not exactly the same, but I'm glad you asked that question. I have three triplets. Two are born boys, and one is born a girl by sex. And they all three have very masculine faces. The three little boys have masculine faces. These are fraternal, not identical. The two little boys decide they're transgender -- or discover they're transgender. I don't want to offend anyone.

So now we have three little girls, very similar faces, okay? All three are bothered that -they're disabled, they're demoralized by the look of their face. Now, one of them is transgender, but goes s along with it and says, I don't like it. There's a lot of stigma, but I'm not suffering bad enough that I can't go to work, I can do it.

The other one says I'm transgender and I'm
suffering so bad I can't go to school. I'm clearly dysphoric. And the third one says, I'm a little girl, my sex is a little girl, but as a little girl, I don't like having a masculine face. Do I believe all three of them should have equal access to whatever it is? Absolutely. Why should the one who is dysphoric have different treatment than the other if the issue is how you appear?
Q. Are we talking about real people --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or just something in your head?
A. No. We are talking about real people. I've seen several cases now of twins and triplets I've been asked to give input on or even be a witness in which that is exactly the issue. What should something be done -- why should something be different done for the transgender patient that isn't done for the cisgendered patient? I don't understand that. If they're equal, which they are, then why should one be done more than the other? What am I missing?
Q. Do you know anyone who is transgender?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How many people?
A. Oh, probably -- well, that I know for certain are transgender, half a dozen.
Q. Have they had medical treatment, hormone therapy?
A. I'm not going to say -- they're too small a sample. I'm not going to say anything about it.
Q. Are you aware that for some transgender people having medical treatment can be a life or death situation?
A. Well, I'm not sure how we would demonstrate that. I have seen patients if I don't get surgery by such and such, I will kill myself. I don't know -- I don't know how you would measure whether it is a life or death situation.
Q. Are you aware of the studies of people who have been denied treatment for gender dysphoria who engaged in self-surgery, for example?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And wouldn't that indicate the seriousness of the condition, that it's a life or death situation?
A. Well, for some patients. I thought you meant as public health, is this a significant problem. The suicide rate or self-harm rate is so high among transgenders, I don't know how you separate it out. So they're denied the surgery and then they go kill themselves. And some have the surgery and kill themselves. The problem is they kill themselves. This
is a crisis. Let's do something about it.
Q. But you understand that your work here is being used to prevent treatment that lowers suicide rates?

MR. KILPATRICK: Argumentative.
THE WITNESS: Do I understand, I'm sorry? BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. Do you understand that your opinions are being used here to -- to prevent getting -- prevent people from getting the treatment that would lower suicide rate for them --

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection.
MR. KNIGHT: -- or lower the risk of suicide?
MR. KILPATRICK: Objection.
THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not aware of that. I don't necessarily believe it's true, but I would love to see the study that really shows that, because I think all these numbers are manipulated. These transgender or gender dysphoric people have very high suicide rates, treated or not.

You're saying there are people, a large number of people who can show, had they not had treatment, they would have been suicidal. I do not know of that study. I would be interested if you'd send it to me.
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## BY MR. KNIGHT:

Q. Would you agree that medical decisions with respect to the treatment that is provided involves not only looking at research, but also looking at clinical experience?
A. Clinical experience of the doctor, you mean?
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. All physicians who work with this affected population.
A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
Q. Isn't it standard that when the -- isn't it typical that when the standards of care for treating a condition such as gender dysphoria are established, that they look at the research as well as clinical experience?
A. Well, sometimes they do, but the Cochrane Review that studied OB/GYN procedures found that two-thirds of the things we do, including holding babies up by their feet and spanking their butts are actually harmful. So there is a great deal of folklore in what we do in medicine, and we don't know why we do it. We don't have indications. So I'm very suspicious of the fact there are ramifications.

The other example that I worked on were VBACs. A VBAC is a vaginal birth after cesarean delivery. We'd forbid them in the United States. The

AMA said they were dangerous. And yet when we finally did a study of Canadian experiences versus ours, we found out that VBACs were safe. That is the importance of doing research.

What I would like is some very conservative people on this issue, some very liberal people on this issue to meet in the middle and let's get together and decide on how to help this population of people. That is my sincere desire.
Q. So you don't think that clinical experience is at all important in deciding what kind of medical care should be provided?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection. Mischaracterizes the testimony.

THE WITNESS: I think physicians have a union, it's a very strong union, so it's an important input. But can physicians be doing things incorrectly for decades? Bloodletting. Leaches.

MR. KNIGHT: I would like to take a break for about five minutes or so.

MR. KILPATRICK: Sure.
(Recess taken.)
MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Back on the record. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. So, Dr. Mayer, I just want to go back to a Page 57
couple things. I asked you about working with students, and I just want to make sure that my question covered everything related to this.

Have you, in any of your work over the last 25 years, supervised residents who had any involvement with individuals with gender dysphoria, gender identity disorder, transsexualism, any of those specific diagnoses?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And when and how many?
A. You asked me if I've ever talked to students that they themselves have patients. That happens all the time, so every -- every resident that worked in the gender identity clinic or rotated through that clinic could bring those topics up and we can discuss them. Again, it would be in the context of science and research papers.

And then I have a dear friend and colleague who is a psychiatrist and an internist. And she focuses on women in life transition, and has several male-to-female patients they I've helped her with in terms of the emerging understanding of gender -- of gender dysphoria. Those are two very specific patients that come to mind, and that is more direct. So the answer is "yes."
Q. So two patients?
A. Yes. They're two specific patients that I know of who are male-to-female transgenders.
Q. And when were -- who is the colleague you were talking about?
A. I'm not going to say, if that's all right. It has no relevance to this. I mentioned it clinically.
Q. I think it does have relevance.
A. I'm not going to say. Because it might identify the patients, and I'm not going to do that.
Q. I'm not going to ask you the name of the patient. I'm just asking about who the colleague is you're saying you worked with.
A. She's my student, so I'm not going to say.
Q. When was this?
A. Well, to my knowledge she still treats them, but it's certainly been in the last four or five years.
Q. And, I'm sorry, you say "treats him." I thought these were women who were transgender?
A. I'm sorry. I thought I said "treats them." They are transgender women, yes, sir.
Q. And what kind of treatment is she providing for them? Is she treating them as a psychiatrist?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what kind of treatment is she providing to them? Is she providing them hormone therapy?
A. I'm not going to say. I don't know for sure, but I'm not going to say. Because I wasn't involved. I'm involved in understanding the research. For example, one of the questions that came up is, do these women truly believe they are women? That is the very important question of whether they identify with being women or truly believe they are women. What does that mean if they truly believe? What does research show on that topic?

So this would be about more general discussions of what the data in epidemiology says, it was never about the clinical treatment of the specific patient. I don't do that.
Q. So you did not talk to these students nor this one woman, female student about which kind of treatment should be provided to these women who were transgender?
A. No. We talked about the efficacy in general of different treatments for gender dysphoria. I was going to say this is about 2015 and ' 16 when this research was blossoming. So she was interested in understanding. She's a researcher like I am and she was interested in understanding the implications of
research. And we went over papers. And also she helped me with the Mayer/McHugh -- you know, the subsequent one we did on puberty blockers. You're aware we did that paper too. And she helped me with that paper. She has a master's in neuroimmunology in addition to being trained in psychiatry and internal medicine.
Q. Also --
A. Let me just add. I apologize.

The brain research was just coming out very big, and we also spent a lot of time going over the brain research, scan research.
Q. But so you're saying when you talked to these women, it was about the research, not about the specific treatment for those patients?
A. Would that be correct, yes, sir.
Q. And you said that you have spoken to other students regarding individuals with gender dysphoria, transsexualism, or GID in the past?
A. Yes. They rotate through the clinic at Johns Hopkins, and I have them for journal club where we bring in articles and we read them, and then we discuss the articles in light of a given patient. Or they might be presenting a research conference, which means you start with a particular patient and present them.

And I would help them with the research part of that, not the individual patient part.
Q. And how many of them did you speak to about this issue: Gender dysphoria, transsexualism, or gender identity disorder, or a related condition?
A. Oh, we're talking about over 20 years it would come up. I can't say. I think almost all our residents rotated through those clinics. Do you mean how many of them did the specific conversation come up, I don't remember.
Q. I thought that you told me that you were not looking that the research until 2014 ?
A. I wasn't looking at it specifically in terms of trying to write a paper, interested in research. I knew about the research in general, because I knew about the fight over the gender identity disorder in the DSM-4 and DSM-5. But I didn't get seriously involved in this humongous effort until I got involved with Paul McHugh.
Q. So do you believe that any psychiatrist or epidemiologist who reads some studies about gender dysphoria or gender identity disorder or transsexualism is an expert in gender dysphoria?
A. I would say he's an expert in the epidemiology of gender dysphoria. Just like a plastic
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | surgeon obviously knows no epidemiology. So the idea | 1 | A. Well, I'm not an expert in what is medically |
| 2 | is we each have a specialty, so I would consider a | 2 | necessary. Medically necessary to me is about a |
| 3 | person by reviewing the research to be an expert on the | 3 | specific patient, looking at Mr. Smith and deciding |
| 4 | scientific foundation. Someone's got to review it, and | 4 | what is required for Mr. Smith. Could there be cases |
| 5 | certainly clinicians don't have time to do it. So, | 5 | in which it would be a good thing to do? Yes, I'm sure |
| 6 | yes, I would say you became an expert on the | 6 | there are. And are there cases where it's a bad thing |
| 7 | epidemiology of a topic or the scientific foundation of | 7 | to do? I'm sure there are. We just don't know enough, |
| 8 | a topic by reading the scientific literature on that | 8 | because the people that are supposed to be experts in |
| 9 | topic. | 9 | this are such advocates, they make their money off |
| 10 | Q. And that reading the studie | 10 | this, that the fact of the matter is, there's very |
| 11 | expert? | 11 | little push for independent research. |
| 12 | A. No, no. I spent two years dissecting the | 12 | It surprises me that the attorneys for ACLU |
| 13 | studies. I went back to the original data. I spent | 13 | and others are not concerned about what the long-term |
| 14 | two years day in and day out trying to find the best | 14 | effects, particularly for young people, are going to |
| 15 | studies and figure out what those studies said. It was | 15 | be. It concerns me a great deal. |
| 16 | far greater -- I mean, you could say my whole career | 16 | Q. So is -- my question, again, I think that you |
| 17 | has been reviewing and evaluating research papers. | 17 | answered that in that you're not an expert with respect |
| 18 | That is what I do; I try to extend methodology. No, | 18 | to medical necessity, with respect to an individual |
| 19 | reading the papers alone wouldn't make you an expert in | 19 | patient; is that right? |
| 20 | anything. | 20 | A. That is correct. |
| 21 | Q. We talked earlier about hormone therapy and | 21 | Q. And would you agree with me that hormone |
| 22 | surgery as treatments for people with gender dysphoria. | 22 | therapy can be medically necessary for some patients |
| 23 | Do you believe, though, that hormone therapy | 23 | with gender dysphoria, long-standing gender dysphoria? |
| 24 25 | is medically necessary for treating gender dysphoria in adults with long-standing gender dysphoria? | 24 25 | A. I'd have to see -- remember, we are treating the gender dysphoria. All I'm asking for is a simple |
| 25 |  | 25 | the gender dysphoria. All I'm asking for is a simple |
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| 1 | A. Well, I have to know what its relative | 1 | study that shows this treatment is effective. There is |
| 2 | efficacy is versus other treatments. I don't know, | 2 | no such study. That's all I'm asking for. |
| 3 | because we don't have the data, we don't have the | 3 | Q. Now I'm just asking you a simple question. |
| 4 | analysis. Is this an effective treatment? I would | 4 | A. Okay. |
| 5 | like to see people given hormones and people given the | 5 | Q. Yes or no, is hormone therapy medically |
| 6 | reassignment surgery, and follow them up in 20 years or | 6 | necessary for some patients with gender dysphoria? |
| 7 | whatever length of time, and see how well they're doing | 7 | A. I don't know the answer to that, because I'm |
| 8 | compared to another group. | 8 | not a clinician. I don't know the answer to that. |
| 9 | Science is about comparison. Where are the | 9 | Q. And you're saying you don't know because you |
| 10 | transgender people who then don't undergo hormone | 10 | don't believe there are studies that show it is safe |
| 11 | therapy to have a comparison group. Or an active | 11 | and effective; is that your answer? |
| 12 | control would be spend $\$ 50,000$ on them by giving them a | 12 | A. Let's go back to this. It's as safe and |
| 13 | trip to Bermuda, if you want, and see if that is | 13 | effective as surgery. That is what the studies say. |
| 14 | equally effective. | 14 | There are no studies -- let me make it clear -- I'm |
| 15 | Q. So can you answer my question about whether | 15 | willing to bet Dr. Schechter would show the incidence |
| 16 | it is medically necessary? | 16 | and prevalence rate of gender dysphoria is |
| 1 | A. I don't know what the question is, sorry. | 17 | significantly decreased by hormone or reassignment |
| 18 | Q. Well, the question, I will ask it again, is | 18 | surgery compared to other modalities of treatment. So |
| 19 | hormone therapy medically necessary treatment for | 19 | if you mean, if it works as well as a 10 cent pill, is |
| 20 | adults with long-standing gender dysphoria? | 20 | that safe and effective? No. |
| 21 | A. Now you said "hormone therapy," correct? | 21 | The fact is that all surgery has side |
| 22 | Q. Correct. | 22 | effects. The fact is that all medicines have side |
| 23 | A. I've seen no papers that demonstrate that | 23 | effects. Is the risk of those side effects warranted? |
| 24 | that is an effective and safe treatment. | 24 | We just don't have the research; we don't have the |
| 25 | Q. So is your answer "No"? | 25 | publications. |

We have studies telling people feel better, they like the way they look, they have less burden. None of that is dysphoria. None of it is dysphoria. Better body imagine, but do they actually have a decreased risk of dysphoria, I do not know that. I do not know it. I wish I did.

It is also interesting the results are almost always in plastic surgery journals. If they are great psychiatric interventions, why aren't they in psychiatric journals? I've always wondered that: Why aren't psychiatrists the leader in this, since this is psychiatric, it's a psychiatric condition.
Q. Is there another kind of treatment other than hormone therapy and surgery that you believe is safe and effective for treating gender dysphoria?
A. We do not have a study of the long-term follow-up affecting gender dysphoria. Now, if the dysphoria is depression, there are treatments for depression. We have a great experience with people dissatisfied with their body appearance, and we do plastic surgery on them. We have a great deal of experience on that, what it does to their image and all that. And we have evidence on medicine, like depression.

The question is, what is their dysphoria?
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Dysphoria is a general term. Is it anxiety? Is it depression? Is it social withdrawal, social isolation? And then you go after treating those characteristics. Is that as effective? I don't know; we don't have the papers.
Q. I will ask the question again.

Is there another kind of treatment that is safe and effective for treating gender dysphoria other than hormone therapy and surgery?
A. I never accepted that it was safe and effective. How in the world can you say -- that's a rude way to ask a question, in my opinion, sir. I never said it was safe and effective.
Q. My question was, is there something else other than hormone therapy and surgery that is safe and effective to treat gender dysphoria?
A. It is not safe and effective. There is no evidence for it.
Q. I didn't say it was safe and effective.
A. Yes, that's in the construction of the sentence.
Q. My question is --
A. Let's go to the board and write out the meaning of the sentence. I'd be glad to because the sentence implies that it is a safe and effective
treatment. I specifically said a dozen times there is no evidence for it.
Q. Is there another treatment?
A. You mean is there any treatment.
Q. Is there any treatment for gender dysphoria that you believe is safe and effective?
A. I don't have the evidence that there is any treatment that has been proven to be safe and effective.
Q. And are you aware of other -- so what do you think should be done for people with gender dysphoria?
A. I think we should treat them for gender dysphoria the best we can. That might be supportive therapy. It might be programs to reduce stigma. It might be changing their physical appearance to make them feel better about themselves, but I don't understand the difference of why a transgender female would be entitled to some surgery because she doesn't like her appearance, and a cisgendered female would not be entitled to it. Explain to me what the difference is.
Q. So you think that people with gender dysphoria should be -- one of the treatments should be to try to make them comfortable with their natal gender; is that what you are saying?
A. No, sir. Why -- I don't know what you mean by natal gender. Maybe I misunderstood. What does natal gender mean? I'm sorry. I don't know what that term is. You mean their sex? I'm sorry.
Q. Natal sex? Is that what you are saying the treatment should be --
A. Okay.
Q. -- to help someone to be comfortable with their natal sex?
A. I'm sorry, I still don't -- let's talk about a male-to-female transgender. Would the treatment be to help her feel comfortable as what now? She's a male-to-female transgender, I'm trying to understand.
Q. As a man.
A. As a man? She's a male to female -- no, as a woman. As a woman, not as a man.
Q. But you just said that -- I understood you to be saying that one of the treatments that should be provided is to make that person comfortable with the gender -- with their sex.
A. Well, I don't -- I'm surprised you believe that. I don't believe that.
Q. I thought that is what you just said.
A. Well, if I did, I misspoke. I apologize. I don't believe that at all. Why would you do that?

1 These people are seriously -- they're transgender.
2 They identify with the other sex. Why wouldn't you
effective in surgery means safe and effective as surgery. You can't mean it's safe and effective treatment of dysphoria if you don't have any evidence. And it's interesting to note that almost all the papers published are in surgery journals. Why aren't they in psychiatric journals if you're doing this in order to help people with a psychiatric condition?
Q. Are you aware that the American Psychiatric Association recognizes that social transition hormone therapy and sex reassignment surgery is appropriate and medically necessary care for some people with gender dysphoria?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you disagree with the APA on this?
A. No, sir. I'd say there is insufficient evidence to make conclusions, but I have no reason to -- if you are saying there are some people who probably benefit by some treatment, I have no doubt that that is going to be the case.
Q. But you don't believe that insurance coverage should be provided for it?
A. I don't know anything about -- I'm not an expert on insurance coverage. I said at the start, because in other countries you either need a procedure or you don't. This distinction between elective
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the sense that it was picked up by people who have rather extreme views.
Q. Well, I assume that you have seen the letter that was written by clinicians at Johns Hopkins disavowing the report on gender and sexuality, that -the New Atlantis publication?
A. They're not clinicians, but other than that they were my colleagues at Bloomberg School of Public Health, including the president of the university's wife. I'm familiar with that. There was one, and then there was another article condemning. But most of it, as I say before, I condemn it for the strange bedfellows as opposed to content. But there are certainly people very happy with it and there are certainly people unhappy with it.
Q. But you are aware that Johns Hopkins is providing surgical treatment for gender dysphoria?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And that, when they did so, they did so because they believe that the treatment was safe and effective?
A. Some people there do. Certainly the surgery department does. And the psychiatry was actually against the -- having the clinic. But let me say something again about safe and effective. Safe and
surgery and required surgery has much more to do with who is paying than it does with the medical needs.

I would encourage more plastic surgery for people in their 40s or 50s who are uncomfortable with their appearance. Now, who should pay for it is a separate question.
Q. So do you believe the State of Wisconsin should be providing coverage for surgery and hormone therapy for patients with gender dysphoria for state employees with gender dysphoria?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't even -- I don't know anything about the state of -- I haven't been asked anything related to what you're saying. I don't know anything about the state of Wisconsin. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. Well, do you know what this case is about?
A. I read a complaint in the case. It's an open-ended question. I know a bit about it, what I've read. Have I read a lot of reports in detail? No, sir.
Q. Well, you understand this is a case involving a ban on providing coverage for gender dysphoria and surgery -- I'm sorry. For hormone therapy and surgery
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for state employees with gender dysphoria?
A. Did I understand that is the case?
Q. Yes.
A. Can you repeat it again, the case is what?
Q. This is a case involving a state exclusion on coverage for hormone therapy and surgery for state employees with gender dysphoria.

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it mischaracterizes the description of the case.
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. Did you understand that?
A. I understood there are patients who are seeking medical care. That is all I understood. The rest of the legal part and the financial part, I don't know anything about.
Q. Okay, but what I'm understanding is that you agree that -- with the American Psychiatric Association that social transition, hormone therapy, and sex reassignment surgery is appropriate and medically necessary for some people with gender dysphoria, correct?
A. For some people, I think that is probably their best judgment. Remember, they have to make the decision under uncertainty. We don't know what the long-term outcome would be. I'm very concerned about
might benefit from a treatment, and, therefore we should try that because this is a desperate population versus that everyone should get that treatment. I don't quite understand it. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. So are you aware that the American Medical Association supports gender transition including hormone therapy and surgeries as treatment for gender dysphoria?
A. I've seen a lot of documents about access. I don't remember that particular -- exactly what you said. I remember a statement about gender identity disorder, but it would not surprise me.
Q. Well, assuming that it's true, do you agree with that statement?
A. What is the statement again? Sorry.
Q. That the AMA should support gender transition, including hormone therapy and surgeries as treatment for gender dysphoria.
A. By the way, I don't know what gender transition means. You're born with that gender. It seems that -- and people are talking out of both sides of their mouth. They say you're born with a gender, but then you need gender transition. If you're born with that gender, why do you need a transition? What Page 77
altering the genitalia, for example, of young men, what the implication is going to be 20 or 30 years -- 20 or 30 years later. I wish we did know, but we have to do an experiment under naturalistic conditions. That is what we are really doing is an experiment. Do we have enough experience with them compared to other procedures to know that it's safe and effective as a psychiatric treatment? We don't, we just don't. I wish we did.
Q. You seem to want to tell me about treatment for young people, but you understand this is a case about treatment of adults?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection to the extent it mischaracterizes the lawsuit.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Do I know it's a case about adults?
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. This is a case about an exclusion of coverage for adults.

MR. KILPATRICK: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: You're asking me if sitting here I know that? I don't know that. I don't know explicitly -- I've not read about what it is that is objectionable to people. Where would I have read that?

But it's a long way to go that some people
am I missing?
Because they keep talking about gender transition being necessary. The other thing that's interesting is that a gender transition seems to be culturally defined. What it means to transition to be more male or more female is a cultural definition. So I really don't know what they're saying, all this need for transition. They can be -- to me, they can weigh 280 pounds and be very masculine and claim they are a woman. They need to have a long-term identification, not any particular body configuration.
Q. Do you support the AMA's -- assuming what I said was true that the AMA supports transition, including -- or let's put it this way: Assuming that what I said is true that the AMA supports hormone therapy and surgeries as treatment for gender dysphoria, would you agree that that is the correct position?
A. I agree the AMA supports it. I can't second-guess the APA. I don't know that much about what their position is. I would have to read the whole document, but if they are saying that's been demonstrated that it's a significant factor in reducing dysphoria, I would have a great deal of difficulty with that statement.
Q. Dr. Mayer, have you seen this letter from Dr. Rothman, Dr. Klag supporting -- are you familiar with these individuals at Johns Hopkins?
A. I don't know Patricia Davidson. I know the others, yes, sir.
Q. And this document on the second page, the last paragraph starts with "We have committed to and will soon begin providing gender-affirming surgeries, another important element of our overall care program." Do you see where I'm reading?
A. Yes.
Q. And it indicates that they have done this "Reflecting careful consideration over the past year of best practices and appropriate provision of care for transgender individuals."

Do you see that?
A. Um-hmm.
Q. And so I read this to say that they have made a medical decision that this is a right thing to be doing, to be providing surgical treatment for transgender individuals who need it.

Is that your understanding?
A. The problem is when they say "provision of care for transgender individuals," I get confused, because transgender individuals don't need any care.
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It's no longer a diagnosis or an illness. If that said for gender dysphoric individuals -- I don't know what people keep talking about the provision of care. I think they should have equal access to care. So people should know how to treat a transgender woman.

Should they have special provisions of care because they're transgender? Not unless it's a disorder in itself. So if you said we should have the best practice and appropriate provision care of gender dysphoric individuals, I agree, and we should also provide the best care for transgender individuals. That doesn't mean that there's evidence that the best care would be performing surgery. There's certainly a lot of money to be made in them, we know that. But we really don't know what the long-term consequences are. Wish we did.
Q. Are these colleagues that you know and respect?
A. That might be a little strong. I mean, they're colleagues. They're not great scientists. I know Paul Rothman. I know he's a dean-type person. Michael Klag is an internist. They're not giants, but they're good physicians. I don't disagree with the document, by the way.
Q. Are you aware that the Endocrine Society
supports hormone therapy as treatment for gender dysphoria?
A. I'm aware that there are some long involved publications about that. But it doesn't surprise me. The Endocrine Society is in the business of giving hormones to people, that they support giving hormones to people. Is there a long-term study which shows that they're successful in treating gender dysphoria? There is not.
Q. Do you disagree with the Endocrine Society?
A. I don't have any reason to agree or disagree.
Q. Do you understand that every major medical association recognizes the medical necessity of hormone therapy and surgery for individuals with gender dysphoria?
A. I've never seen that recommendation actually just for individuals with gender dysphoria. But I do know there are a lot of organizations that feel that way and publish those guidelines. That is fine. I have no argument about that other than they're not based on science.
Q. What kind of medical treatment should be provided for someone with a condition where the -- in your view the studies are insufficient to show a treatment that would be safe and effective?
A. I think that's a very interesting question. I don't have an answer. As a scientist, I can tell you there is not enough information. Is there enough, I guess, in the interim to make a decision one way or the other? Well, I think you can do some good for people or you can do some damage for people, and I don't know how to develop the two. And the idea that everyone who goes through these procedures is going to be happy ten years down the road is not true. The question is what percent will be unhappy or what percent, at least, will still be dysphoric.
Q. I'm asking in general, aren't there other conditions where we provide treatment where there is not a great deal of research supporting the particular kind of treatment?
A. Yes, sir. Probably half the treatments we do are not supported by strong scientific research. That doesn't mean we don't strive to do more, particularly in areas so politically charged as this.

If I believed hormone therapy and affirmative therapy were the answer to gender dysphoria, I would say so. I would absolutely endorse them.
Q. What are other treatments that are provided for which we don't have sufficient research in your opinion?

|  | Page 82 |  | Page 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. There are thousands of them. There is | 1 | if you mean the two as separate from each other. The |
| 2 | aspirin. There's cholesterol-lowering medication | 2 | issue is not their physical appearance. The issue is |
| 3 | There are all sorts of drugs we use where there ar | 3 | their dysphoria. And so what should we do in the |
| 4 | mixed results, and we have to resolve that the benefits | 4 | meantime about this dysphoria? That's why we have task |
| 5 | are -- are worth the risks. | 5 | forces to get together and decide what's the best thing |
| 6 | Q. Well, let's talk about, for example, high | 6 | to do. And we should be doing ongoing studies. |
| 7 | cholesterol. Do you agree that high cholesterol is a | 7 | Q. I am asking about the use of aspirin, for |
| 8 | medical issue that should be treated? | 8 | example. Where there are some indications that aspirin |
| 9 | A. Well, it's really -- and high | 9 | is a helpful treatment, for example -- well, let's talk |
| 10 | a bad example, because high cholesterol is really a | 10 | about -- I'm sorry. |
| 11 | marker of something going on. But, yes, it is an | 11 | Let's talk about the cholesterol medication |
| 12 | indication of a condition that needs to be treated. | 12 | where there is some indications that cholesterol |
| 13 | Q. And if the research -- and you're saying, | 13 | medications are likely to help someone, but we don't |
| 1 | though, that the research about cholesterol medications | 14 | have definitive research studies. What should we do? |
| 15 | is insufficient in your mind? | 15 | Should we provide them the medication or not? |
| 16 | A. Well, I'm saying it evolved over time. The | 16 | A. I think we -- that's an excellent question. |
| 17 | important point -- I thought you were saying were there | 17 | I think we provide them with the medication and ensure |
| 18 | treatments we did where we didn't know for sure. We | 18 | that there are ongoing studies to increase our |
| 19 | could show that the treatments for cholesterol lowered | 19 | knowledge. I would be less concerned if there were |
| 20 | the body's level of cholesterol. What we couldn't show | 20 | ongoing studies. But, yes, we have to make a judgment |
| 21 | for a long time is whether that meant the risk would be | 21 | in the meantime, and that is a judgment -- the people |
| 22 | reduced to the same risk as someone that had that level | 22 | in medical research and only the judgment of clinician |
| 23 | naturally occurring, therefore, we didn't know whether | 23 | what to do with his or her patients. |
| $24$ | it would really lead to decreased heart attacks, | 24 | Q. But I guess I'm a little unclear. Is that |
|  | decreased strokes. | 25 | your -- is that also true for surgical treatment for |
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| 1 | That took many years of teasing out the data, | 1 | gender dysphoria, that we should not provide it until |
| 2 | very sophisticated data where we now have been able to | 2 | we have definitive research to show that it is safe and |
| 3 | show recently a very positive effect to lowering the | 3 | effective? Is that what you are saying? |
| 4 | cholesterol. So there are many, many medicines in the | 4 | A. No, I never said we should not -- we should |
| 5 | early stages where we might know more complete now, but | 5 | not provide it. There might be situations in which it |
| 6 | we certainty didn't know then. The SSRIs are another | 6 | should be provided. I'm suggesting to you it is a very |
| 7 | example. | 7 | expensive procedure, and I see issues of equity. I see |
| 8 | Q. What -- | 8 | issues of secondary versus primary characteristics. I |
| 9 | A. Just one more thing. Have to include hormone | 9 | see issues of changing the body versus changing the |
| 0 | therapy for hormone replacement therapy for menopausal | 10 | psyche in some sense. If these issues were being |
| 11 | woman. | 11 | worked on. Let's say Schechter, Dr. Schechter came to |
| 12 | Q. And what about hormone replacement for | 12 | me with a psychiatrist. He and Paul McHugh said, We |
| 13 | menopausal women? | 13 | have a patient here. We believe for this patient that |
| 14 | A. That the indications were that there were | 14 | reassignment surgery is absolutely critical to resolve |
| 1 | high risks of breast cancer. It was good, it was bad, | 15 | their dysphoria. I would have no reason to argue with |
| 1 | it's gone back and forth, and back and forth. I'm not | 16 | them. Why would I argue with them? |
| 17 | an expert. When we carefully did clinical trials, we | 17 | Q. So -- and I believe you said this before. So |
| 18 | found that the recommendations, like the VBAC | 18 | surgery can be a medically necessary treatment for some |
| 19 | recommendations were absolutely false, what the | 19 | individuals? |
| 20 | recommendations were. | 20 | A. Well, I don't know that for a fact, but I |
| 21 | Q. And do you believe that until you have | 21 | would guess it could be. You could find patients which |
| 22 | definitive research, you should not provide any care | 22 | are just like -- there are certainly transgenders that |
| 23 | for people with these issues, these medical issues? | 23 | don't suffer any dysphoria. That's why I don't |
| 24 | A. Well, the issue I see is a psychiatric issue. | 24 | understand this. This says we have to support |
| 25 | You keep switching it to a medical issue. I don't know | 25 | transgender people in transition. Well, if they are |

1 not ill, there is no disease, why do we have to support them? But could there be people for which that treatment is successful and indicated, yes, of course.
Q. So there can be people for whom surgery is a beneficial and medically necessary treatment for gender dysphoria?
A. Well, I don't know that for sure, but I wouldn't slam the door on it if people came to me that are knowledgeable and clinical and said, We believe for this patient this is required. It wouldn't be someone with a Ph.D. in counseling psychology, for example. But I would understand their recommendation. I would respect it.

I also don't understand what surgery they would be entitled to. Because suppose mom moved to a society where femininity is measured by small hands. Now a male-to-female transgender, is she going to be entitled to surgery to reduce the size of their hands? When does it stop? How does it go? I guess that's really the question. How do you split the baby?
Q. Are you aware of any patient with gender dysphoria who has asked for surgery on their hands?
A. I don't know what gender dysphoric patients -- I do know there are societies that could value small hands. There are societies that value
means, is the risk of that procedure worth it. Effective means both medically effective and financially effective. Is it an effective way -- and we never used to consider that, and now we have to consider are there alternatives to treat the person that would be less expensive. But there has been no demonstration that they're safe and effective. There's argument, but there is no demonstration.

And the studies would be so simple it is just inexcusable. I have no idea why they aren't there. I would donate my time to help people do the study.
Q. So on page 7 you say that these treatments are not optimal. And then on page 8, paragraph 22, you talk about optimality -- or 22 and 23.

So what do you mean by "optimal"?
A. Well, optimal means that the procedure employed in the treatment of condition effectively address the underlying feature of the condition. So articles that say you feel better about your appearance or you look better, you're more likely to pass aren't optimal in the sense -- maybe optimal is not the best word -- but they are not optimal in the sense they don't go directly after the dysphoria, the underlying features of the condition being depression, anxiety, alienation, withdrawal.
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great big butts. There are societies that value all sorts of different definitions of femininity and beauty. That's what I don't understand. How can someone be born with this idea of femininity or beauty or masculinity when you're not born with anything. It's a clean slate.
Q. So I would like to look at your report again, which is Exhibit 1.
A. Okay.
Q. On page 3, paragraph 6, you say that medical and surgical treatments have not been demonstrated to be safe.

Do you see where I'm reading?
MR. KILPATRICK: I'm sorry. What page? BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. Page 3. You say that medical and surgical treatments have not been demonstrated to be safe and effective for gender dysphoria?
A. Correct.
Q. What do you mean by safe?
A. Well, safe to mean that the risk associated with the treatment for gender dysphoria is worth it. So let's suppose you had surgery on positive outcomes for most people, and some people you have negative outcomes. Well, is the risk worth it? So safe always

In most of the studies that were primarily in the surgery? Particularly the plastic and cosmetic surgery, talk about how good they looked. That these male-to-female transgenders can pass as females. And that's not what the condition is about. This is either a serious condition that needs to be treated or it is an excuse that gives cosmetic surgery to people who have a persistent identification with the opposite sex. I don't know which it is.
Q. So you are not suggesting that for a treatment to be optimal, it should be focused on trying to talk someone out of their gender dysphoria?
A. Well, you should try to talk someone out of their gender dysphoria, of course. To be less dysphoric, if I could sit with you and talk to you, why wouldn't I do that?
Q. So you should try to tell an individual -you're saying I should try to talk to a woman who is transgender and is clinically distressed, and I should try to talk her out of her distress? Is that what you're saying?
A. Of course. That is what psychiatry is about, to try to help her with her distress. What is bothering her. What is she depressed about. And this is the heart of psychiatry. Medications I could give
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| 1 | her. Is she manic depressive? What is going on with | 1 | A. If I could, of course I would. What do you |
| 2 | her? Why is she here? And if she says she is here | 2 | mean incongruent? This is a female. You just said it. |
| 3 | because she's transgender, I say that is not enough | 3 | She is a female, she identifies being a female, and |
| 4 | It is not an illness. Embrace your transgenderism. | 4 | this is her body. There were no social stigma. |
| 5 | You can't have it both ways. These people treat it as | 5 | Q. I'm talking about a woman who is transsexual, |
| 6 | though it's a devastating illness, and then they say, | 6 | whose natal sex is male. |
| 7 | but this is normal development. | 7 | A. The only sex. I don't know natal sex. |
| 8 | Q. So what is -- what if the i | 8 | Q. And she is dysphoric about the fact that her |
| 9 | that their dysphoria is about the incongruence in thei | 9 | body does not match her femaleness. |
| 10 | body? | 10 | A. Is she in the wrong body? |
| 11 | A. Why -- | 11 | Q. That is -- my question, if you can answer it, |
| 12 | Q. What is the optimal treatment for that? | 12 | what do you do with a woman whose body does not line up |
| 13 | A. Well, that's very interesting, because I'd | 13 | with her understanding that she is a woman? |
| 14 | have to go back to something Paul McHugh said, and that | 14 | A. Her existence doesn't line up. Every cell of |
| 15 | is for anorexic. We don't put them on a diet. We try | 15 | her body is a male cell. Every reproductive cell is a |
| 16 | to give them better body image. We try to give them | 16 | male cell. In fact, you said something in there and |
| 17 | better body image. We try to help people feel better | 17 | Schechter says something, that 85 percent of these |
| 18 | about themselves. Dysphoria is full of a feeling of | 18 | women believe they're truly women. What does that mean |
| 19 | helplessness, a feeling of hopeless, a feeling of | 19 | to believe you're truly women? Do you believe there is |
| 20 | despair. Of course you try to help them with all | 20 | some nature you have that comes before sex and gender, |
| 21 | those. That is what psychiatry is. | 21 | and that made you something else? Of course they |
| 22 | Q. So you're saying that gender dysphoria is | 22 | should be accepting of their own body. They are |
| 23 | just like body dysmorphia disorder? | 23 | female. They identify with being female, and they have |
| 24 | A. No. I don't believe that. It has some | 24 | a male body. |
| 25 | characteristics, though. And that is it's a | 25 | Q. So you're saying we should just help someone |
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| 1 | psychiatric disorder. Where you wouldn't change their | 1 | who is facing distress about their body -- |
| 2 | body to try to change that disorder. You try to change | 2 | A. Right. |
| 3 | their attitude to themselves. You try to give them a | 3 | Q. -- because it doesn't match who they are? |
| 4 | healthy attitude about themselves. Isn't that what | 4 | A. Who they think they are, yes. |
| 5 | it's about? You try to stop the demoralization. | 5 | Q. That we should simply try to make them |
| 6 | Q. And how would talk therapy address or stop | 6 | comfortable with their body? |
| 7 | someone whose dysphoria is about the incongruence | 7 | A. Well, I think you're demeaning it. I think |
| 8 | their body? | 8 | making them comfortable with their body versus \$50,000 |
| 9 | A. Well, when you go to these clinics, they have | 9 | worth of surgery makes a lot of sense. What in the |
| 10 | young people in there. They're not old enough for | 10 | world -- why are they uncomfortable? They identify |
| 1 | hormone therapy, they're not old enough for surgery. | 11 | with being a female, and this is the body they have. |
| 12 | They talk to them about being accepting. They talk to | 12 | They are transgendered, why do they need to look like |
| 13 | them about a supportive environment, how important it | 13 | something else. I don't understand it. |
| 14 | is to be around people who accept them, people who | 14 | Yes, I would try to make them feel |
| 15 | understand them. It is not just talk therapy. First | 15 | comfortable. I might give them medication for anxiety, |
| 16 | of all, talk therapy is very powerful therapy. But the | 16 | for depression. And maybe I would give them hormone |
| 17 | fact is, you want to make them feel better. You want | 17 | therapy. I don't know enough about the clinical side |
| 18 | to make them better able to function in their daily | 18 | to make any pronouncements. |
| 19 | life. | 19 | Q. Let's take a woman, as an example, who has |
| 20 | Q. And if we're talking about an adult patient | 20 | had cancer, breast cancer, and has, as a result of |
| 21 | who says that I am a woman, and I look -- my body looks | 21 | that, had her breast removed. Would you agree that |
| 22 | male because, for example, I don't have breasts. I | 22 | breast reconstruction surgery after cancer is medically |
| 23 | don't have a vagina. Then what do you do? You try to | 23 | necessary treatment for that woman? |
| 24 | talk them into being comfortable with these incongruent | 24 | A. Well, again, medical necessity goes back, in |
| 25 | body structures? Is that what you are saying? | 25 | our country, to billing. And I don't know enough about |

billing, I'm not interested in billing to know that. But do you mean -- and I was involved in the Schechter case when this -- the police officer was hit in his Crown Vic and blew up and burned his face off. At what point are these procedures, if you will, reconstructive, and at what point are these cosmetic? And I think it's a false distinction. I think if surgery can help people feel better about themselves, they ought to be entitled to that surgery.
Q. So is it medically necessary?
A. I don't know what that term means. That term usually is referring to who pays for it. Medical necessity means your insurance will pay. Tell me what you mean --
Q. Do you think --
A. -- by medically necessary.
Q. I'm sorry.

Do you think insurance should pay for surgery to treat a woman who has cancer, breast reconstruction surgery?
A. Wait. The fact that she had cancer and breast reconstruction isn't her problem. The fact is she's probably depressed about it. So you treat that depression. If you believe that that surgery will significantly reduce that depression, and there's
Q. How do you do a study for -- a double-blind study for surgery?
A. It is very difficult to do double-blind studies. You can approximate by doing single-blinding. For example, you can bring transgenders in that are dysphoric, and you could say -- you have to have an active control, not a passive control. We're going to give you $\$ 50,000$ worth of surgery, or we're going to give you $\$ 50,000$, and we're going to flip between them. I think a lot of people would be willing to be in that trial. You either get $\$ 50,000$ worth of cosmetic surgery, or we give you $\$ 50,000$. The coin is flipped, and now we compare the two groups in terms of gender dysphoria. One group gets surgery, the other group doesn't. They're both gender dysphoric, and we would have the answer.
Q. But that's not a double-blind study, is it?
A. That is correct. No, there are ethical considerations in doing a double-blind study.
Q. And aren't there ethical considerations about giving people who need surgery money to go on a trip?
A. Not at all. If they chose that, that is the point. If we knew the surgery worked, then there would be ethical considerations. If we don't know it works, that is why we are doing the study. So it's very Page 97
evidence of that, which there is in this case, then, yes, I think it should be given to her. Now, who should pay? I really don't know about those issues.

But, yes, I'm for much broader use of cosmetic and plastic surgery. Why shouldn't people feel good about themselves?
Q. So treatment, breast reconstruction surgery for a woman post-cancer that will address her depression related to that, that is something that should be provided and covered; is that what you're saying?
A. I don't know about coverage, but I think society should seriously consider. Burn victims. Why don't we leave burn victims looking like they are? And the answer is because we know they are going to have a very difficult time, and we can show that if we give them reconstruction surgery, they do better. So why wouldn't they do better? It might be easy to show, by the way, that transgenders with gender dysphoria who are given surgery have a lower risk of dysphoria, and they have a higher rate of cure. No one has done that study. Reminds me a lot of the silicone breast implant studies where people argued about silicone breast implants and whether they are safe, and no one had done the study. All I want is to do a study.
important, we have to have prior equipoise. We have to prior -- indifferent between whether it works or it doesn't. And I have no evidence to be not indifferent, so I'd be glad to do the study. It would be wonderful to show that plastic surgery --
Q. Do you have any reason to disagree with the experts in this field who believe it would it unethical to do the study that you're talking about?
A. Nobody believes that study would be -- nobody could -- and I ran research for the largest corporation west of the Mississippi, the largest hospital system in the world. Over seven RNBs. Nobody would think it is unethical to do a study a patient gets their choice between treatment or not, unless we knew for sure the treatment worked. We do these studies all the time in surgery, all the time.

So the idea we'll declare a procedure works, but it's too complicated to do a study, and then we'll just put a bunch of myth in the journals about how good people look and never even address their dysphoria is really just tragic. And the AMA has been wrong so many times. Remember, the AMA believed that being gay was a disorder. The AMA believed that the answer to domestic violence was never to leave your husband. The AMA supported smoking. The AMA is a trade union. They've
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| 1 | made all sorts of mistakes. I can't tell you if | 1 | interesting because your expert can't give me complete |
| 2 | they're wrong. I can tell you what science supports | 2 | citations. I didn't even want to write anything down |
| 3 | and doesn't support. | 3 | that was controversial. |
| 4 | Q. Do you know the studies that show the | 4 | Q. So you said, other than those three things, |
| 5 | pharmacotherapy is ineffective in treating gende | 5 | that there are -- and let me be clear. What I'm |
| 6 | dysphoria? | 6 | talking about is your opinion that -- with respect to |
| 7 | A. I have not seen any specific studies that ar | 7 | the efficacy and safety and optimality of hormone |
| 8 | well-controlled or well-designed. There are some | 8 | therapy and surgery in treating gender dysphoria. That |
| 9 | studies that show antidepressants don't improve | 9 | is what I'm asking you. |
| 10 | people's outlook and all of that. So, yes, there are | 10 | A. There were no references on that. There was |
| 11 | some studies that crudely get at this issue. I've not | 11 | an extensive search I did of the literature, probably a |
| 12 | seen one that actually uses gender dysphoria, but maybe | 12 | thousand papers. I probably reviewed the biography of |
| 13 | there is. | 13 | 500 of them in the abstract, and probably read 200 of |
| 14 | Q. And those studies indic | 14 | them over the course of four years now trying to find |
| 15 | pharmacotherapy is ineffective at treating gende | 15 | studies on gender dysphoria. |
| 16 | dysphoria? | 16 | Q. So you are saying there are no studies about |
| 17 | A. Is ineffective? | 17 | efficacy and safety of treatment for gender dysphoria? |
| 18 | Q. Is ineffective in treating gender dysphoria. | 18 | A. I wouldn't say there are no studies. I'd say |
| 19 | A. In general, for the most part, it shows | 19 | there are no decent studies. There's not a simple |
| 20 | that -- well, again, we have to go back to the gender | 20 | controlled study in which gender dysphoria is actually |
| 21 | dysphoria. We show that for people who are depressed | 21 | measured. |
| 22 | who are gender dysphoric, that treatment does not | 22 | And by the way, I must just say, the studies |
| 23 | change that depression, even by the minimal standards | 23 | that show that people are happy with their surgery are |
| 24 | it changes depression for other people, yes. And that | 24 | funny, because I send you to the book Charlatan by |
| 25 | is not surprising. | 25 | Pope, I believe. Charlatan was a man named John |
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| 1 | Q. So as I understand it in your report, what I | 1 | Brinkley. And John Brinkley is famous in the Southwest |
| 2 | see here is that you are citing three different | 2 | because he invented border radio. But he, in fact, |
| 3 | documents to support your opinions. The first is this | 3 | because famous as a surgeon because he transplanted |
| 4 | sexuality and gender publication. The second is this | 4 | goat gonads into the testicle sacks of men in order |
| 5 | amicus brief in the Gavin Grimm case. And then I | 5 | that they would have rejuvenated sexual prowess. And |
| 6 | believe you also cite on page 8 , the Centers for | 6 | the interesting thing is, of course, it doesn't work. |
| 7 | Medicare and Medicaid Services decision memo. | 7 | You can't have a third gonad help you. But the men |
| 8 | A. Right. | 8 | were overwhelmingly positive toward the surgery. They |
| 9 | Q. Is there anything else that would -- that you | 9 | all claimed they had a better sex life. |
| 10 | believe supports your opinions here? | 10 | So we know the theory of some costs that |
| 1 | A. Everything in my citations does. I have | 11 | economists give us is that people are happy after |
| 12 | lists and pages and pages of citations I reviewed. All | 12 | they've had \$50,000 worth of procedure. Does it help |
| 13 | the papers, I mean it depends on what conclusion you | 13 | them function more effectively in society, let's say in |
| 14 | mean. That sex is biological? Any book in biology | 14 | five years? There are no studies. There are no |
| 15 | will tell you that. So I'm not sure. I'm not sure | 15 | studies. At least I could not find them. |
| 16 | what opinion I'm presenting. | 16 | Q. Would you agree with me that transgender |
| 17 | Q. Opinions that you've stated in your | 17 | people exist in a number of countries and probably |
| 18 | that's the opinions we are talking about. | 18 | throughout the world? |
| 19 | A. Most of the opinions come from first | 19 | A. Go ahead. Say that again? |
| 20 | principle. They don't come from research, because | 20 | Q. Would you agree that transgender people exist |
| 21 | there isn't any good research on the treatment of | 21 | throughout the world? |
| 22 | gender dysphoria. I'm not sure -- I mean statements | 22 | A. Well, I don't have a lot of experience, but I |
| 23 | like gender dysphoria is a serious medical condition is | 23 | assume they would. Why wouldn't they? |
| 24 | overwhelmingly supported in the literature. You want | 24 | Q. Well, you understand that WPATH is an |
| 25 | me to go through and give you citations? That's | 25 | organization made up of researchers and clinicians |

throughout the world?
A. I find WPATH to be made up of advocates for transgender communities. I don't find many of them -there are a few exceptions -- to be very serious scientists. If they were serious scientists, they would have done this study a long time ago.

It would also be interesting to do a follow-up of people who decline to have sex reassignment surgery or facial feminization versus ones that accept it. It is not a randomized study. It would still be interesting to see where they are in five years with respect to dysphoria.

MR. KILPATRICK: Jim, it is after noon, and I'm wondering if we can break for lunch soon.

MR. KNIGHT: Okay. We can take -- can we go a little bit longer?

MR. KILPATRICK: Sure. How much longer? Minutes?

MR. KNIGHT: I mean, maybe if we go another half hour?

MR. KILPATRICK: Can you get through that? THE WITNESS: Sure.
(Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. Dr. Mayer, I'm showing you what is marked as
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Exhibit 4. This is the amicus brief in the Gavin Grimm case.

You have seen this before?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So directing you to page 5 .

In the second paragraph you say, "In this brief, amici leave aside all questions about how best to treat gender dysphoria in adults."

Do you see where I'm reading?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So this doesn't -- this brief does not address the efficacy of surgery or hormone therapy in an adult, right?
A. That is correct.
Q. And yet you're relying on it and claiming that pages 15 through 21 of it support your opinions regarding the lack of evidence that hormone therapy and surgery are effective at treating gender dysphoria.
A. Correct.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because this is a conclusive. This
particular thing is about children, because I was asked to write about children, but the fact is the same analysis applies. Obviously, there are more important issues with children because of putting them in gender
affirming environments when they're young, talking about two year olds, and know they're of the opposite sex and things like that. So they're complicated issues, but the conclusions we'd make are the same -they're the same for adults as for children. This was about children. It wasn't about this young high school kid, I believe.
Q. Again, this section, section 15, talks about gender affirming polices harm rather than help gender dysphoric children.

So again, the section itself is titled
something dealing with children.
A. Okay.
Q. Is that right?
A. I'm sorry? Say it again.
Q. Is it right that this section is talking about policies with respect to gender dysphoric children?
A. Yes. Yes. Policies that could harm gender dysphoric children might not harm gender dysphoric adults. Is that what you mean?
Q. On page 18, you cite to a paper by Michelle Cretella.
A. Um-hmm.
Q. Do you know Michelle Cretella?
A. No, I don't.
Q. You've never met her?
A. No, sir.
Q. But you understand she's the president of a group called American College of Physicians?
A. Pediatricians?
Q. Or Pediatricians. I'm sorry.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you a member of the American College of Pediatricians?
A. No, sir.
Q. Are you a supporter?
A. No, sir.
Q. You understand this group was recently founded?
A. I don't know much about the group.
Q. Did you understand or do you know that it was founded as a protest against the American Academy of Pediatrics' decision to support adoption for gay couples?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; lacks foundation.
You can answer.
THE WITNESS: No, sir.
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. You didn't know that?
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| 1 | A. No. | 1 | A. Yes, sir. Very much so. |
| 2 | Q. Do you have any reason to dispute what I'm | 2 | Q. Would you have cited her to support this |
| 3 | telling you about it? | 3 | position had you known that she was the president of |
| 4 | A. No, sir. | 4 | that group? |
| 5 | Q. And did you know that it has approximately | 5 | A. Well, that is an interesting question, |
| 6 | 500 members? | 6 | because the degree I agree with it, I would support it |
| 7 | A. No, sir | 7 | anywhere. She is a good scientist. But my respect for |
| 8 | Q. You know, though, I assume, that this group | 8 | the group wouldn't be as high. I didn't cite a group; |
| 9 | is different from the American Academy of Pediatricians | 9 | I cited her. And she's written quite a bit. She's |
| 10 | that has -- I'm sorry, I think it's the American | 10 | obviously a very bright woman, but she seems to be |
| 11 | Academy of Pediatrics? | 11 | quite opinionated. I had not heard that opinion, but I |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | more or less avoided her. The group is a bit |
| 13 | Q. That has about 65,000 members? | 13 | conservative for my taste. |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir. Well, I'm sorry. I don't know how | 14 | Q. Sorry. Why don't you give me a minute. |
| 15 | many members it has. I know it is much larger, yes, | 15 | What parts of this brief did you not agree |
| 16 | sir. | 16 | with? |
| 17 | Q. So you support -- I'm sorry. You cite her to | 17 | A. Well, as I said, I didn't disagree, but a lot |
| 18 | support a claim that allowing a person who is | 18 | of the brief is not my area of expertise. So you are |
| 19 | transgender to live consistent with their gender | 19 | talking about a guy -- I think Hruz did this with us. |
| 20 | identity will change their brain through | 20 | He is the chair of pediatric endocrinology at |
| 21 | neuroplasticity. | 21 | University of Washington St. Louis. So I respect him, |
| 22 | Do you remember that? | 22 | and particularly as to how fetal testosterone affects |
| 23 | A. Yes, s | 23 | the brain and all that. I can't cover everything. And |
| 24 | Q. How is that supposed to happen? | 24 | Paul McHugh is one of the outstanding psychiatrists of |
| 25 | A. Well, we know the brain is very plastic. The | 25 | the century, actually. And so I accepted him. I'd |
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| 1 | idea there is how people live their lives has an effect | 1 | have to go through every line and try to figure out -- |
| 2 | on their brain. I don't think there is any -- I'm not | 2 | most of it is just ones I don't have any opinion on, |
| 3 | sure what's debatable about that. | 3 | because if I really objected to it, I would not have |
| 4 | Q. Well, do you have any research that would | 4 | put them in. |
| 5 | support your position that a transgender person's brain | 5 | But did I know who Cretella -- was that her |
| 6 | is going to change through neuroplasticity? | 6 | name? I did not know who she was with this reference. |
| 7 | A. I guess you're going to have to give me the | 7 | Q. So directing to page 16. |
| 8 | exact citation, because I don't know exactly -- | 8 | A. Of what? Sorry, sir. |
| 9 | remember, three of us wrote this. We didn't all agree | 9 | Q. Of the document you were looking at before. |
| 10 | with everything on this, but if you are saying our | 10 | A. Page 16? |
| 11 | brain is plastic, yes. It's part of the transgender | 11 | Q. Footnote 10. So you talk about the |
| 12 | support or argument that brains are plastic. So I | 12 | Giuseppina Rametti article, which looks at brain |
| 13 | don't know exactly what we're talking about or | 13 | imaging or does brain imaging. And the last sentence |
| 14 | objecting to. | 14 | says, "The results of that study may be explained by |
| 15 | Q. Do you agree with everything that is in this | 15 | neuroplasticity." |
| 16 | amicus brief? | 16 | A. Female-to-male transsexual was more similar |
| 17 | A. No, sir. I didn't disagree with anything | 17 | to that of heterosexual males than females to male. |
| 18 | severely, but these were a combination of three of our | 18 | One study showed the white matter microstructure of |
| 19 | ideas. And my focus, again, is very much on science | 19 | specific brain areas of the female to male transsexual |
| 20 | and whether everything said in science is accurate. I | 20 | was more similar to that of heterosexual males than |
| 21 | did not know about the origin of the American -- | 21 | that of heterosexual females. |
| 22 | whatever you said. American College. | 22 | Okay. I find all of this brain research, |
| 23 | Q. The American College of Pediatricians, it | 23 | other than suggestive of our hypotheses, to be |
| 24 | says on the top of that page. | 24 | spurious, because we know the brain changes the |
| 25 | Does that concern you? | 25 | function of life experience. We can now measure that |
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| 1 | with scanners. But this idea of whether the cisgender | 1 | multiple comparison, multiple statistical tests, and |
| 2 | brain, the transgender brain are similar or differen | 2 | I'm never convinced that the results they find on an |
| 3 | I find all that research highly suspect, and I | 3 | artifact in the methodology, that they're really there. |
| 4 | review that research specifically for this project | 4 | So all of the brain research, arguing about this brain |
| 5 | Q. These researchers were looking at the whit | 5 | looking like that brain, first of all, we don't know |
| 6 | matter in transgender patients before they took hormone | 6 | how to get from brain to behavior, so it's almost a |
| 7 | therapy, right? | 7 | waste of tim |
| 8 | A. That's correct. | 8 | Second of all, the difference at the mean |
| 9 | Q. So how does neuroplasticity explain the | 9 | does not predict the interest at the extreme. And |
| 10 | results they found? | 10 | we're only interested in extreme. So I don't believe |
| 11 | A. Well, I don't think it did find. I found | 11 | they have any reliability to any -- or significant |
| 12 | that the fusion tensor imaging study, much on | 12 | reliability to any of them. |
| 13 | methodology, and not much on result. My mentor at | 13 | Let me go to the statement, though, above it. |
| 14 | Princeton used to say $\$ 100$ worth of analysis of a | 14 | "Neuroplasticity means that a child who is encouraged |
| 15 | dime's worth of data doesn't produce a penny's worth of | 15 | to impersonate the opposite sex may be less likely to |
| 16 | output. | 16 | reverse course later in life. For instance, if a boy |
| 17 | I found the analysis not very convincing, but | 17 | repeatedly behaves as a girl, his brain is likely to |
| 18 | at least sexual -- at least suggestive of this idea | 18 | develop in such a way that eventual alignment with his |
| 19 | that the brain -- that the brain can change. | 19 | biological sex is less likely to occur." I think it's |
| 20 | Other than that, I would let Hruz comment on | 20 | a hypotheses. |
| 21 | that, because it is not something that I added. | 21 | Q. On pages 11 and 12, you cite Dr. Kenneth |
| 22 | Professor Hruz did. Dr. Hruz did. | 22 | Zucker. |
| 23 | Q. So you don't have a position over whether | 23 | Would you agree with me that the articles |
| $24$ | neuroplasticity could explain the results that this | $24$ | that you cite here are about the treatment of children |
|  | researcher found? |  | only? |
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| 1 | A. I would say I support the idea that most of | 1 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 2 | these studies could be affected by neuroplasticity. | 2 | Q. And do you know that Dr. Zucker has made it |
| 3 | The idea that brains are elastic and plastic, and that | 3 | clear that he agrees that surgery and homotherapy are |
| 4 | is a fundamental concept in the new brain research we | 4 | effective treatment for adults? |
| 5 | do. But do I believe they do explain these results? | 5 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 6 | No. They are just suggestive. And this whole area of | 6 | Q. In fact, in 2016, he published an article |
| 7 | who the transgender looks like is very, very suspicious | 7 | called "Gender Dysphoria in Adults," in which he says |
| 8 | for me. Remember, these are -- never mind. I | 8 | that "Recent investigations have largely confirmed the |
| 9 | shouldn't say that. | 9 | opinion that hormone therapy is an effective and |
| 10 | Q. Well, you understand that these researchers | 10 | reasonably safe treatment in adults with gender |
| 11 | concluded that their results provided evidence for an | 11 | dysphoria." |
| 12 | inherent difference in the brain structure of | 12 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 13 | female-to-male transsexuals? | 13 | Q. What do you think of Dr. Zucker? |
| 14 | A. Yes, sir. | 14 | A. Well, he's been in a lot of controversy, some |
| 15 | Q. And do you disagree with that conclusion? | 15 | of which I don't understand, I think revolved around |
| 16 | A. Yes, sir. | 16 | conversion therapy or something. Some of his work |
| 17 | Q. Why? | 17 | seems very reasonable. Other work gets highly |
| 18 | A. Well, I disagree, because if you go to the | 18 | criticized. So he's not really in my area to make an |
| 19 | basic methodology, what these people do is poke around | 19 | opinion, but I hope he's right. Now, if he said there |
| 20 | the brains until they find areas where whatever side | 20 | are studies that demonstrate that definitively, I would |
| 21 | they're on -- whether they want transgender brains to | 21 | take issue with it. But he's certainly a leader in the |
| 22 | look like, cisgender brains or the opposite sex brains. | 22 | field, and if he says it works, that's a great deal of |
| 23 | And they go around until they find patterns, and they | 23 | evidence in my opinion. |
| 24 | explain those patterns. | 24 | Q. So you recognize him as an expert in the |
| 25 | Well, the first rule of statistics deals with | 25 | field of treatment of gender dysphoria? |

A. Yes, sir.
Q. Well, he also says that "Empirical evidence from adulthood suggests that gender dysphoria is best treated through hormonal and surgical interventions, particularly in carefully evaluated patients."

Do you understand that?
A. That's his opinion. That's his functional experience. He has much greater experience, but there is no clinical trial which shows that's true.
Q. But you disagree with Dr. Zucker?
A. No, I disagree that what he says has been demonstrated by any sound scientific research. He says it is safe and effective. You can only be safe and effective relative to your effect. If it doesn't have any effect, what does it mean to be safe? Are these treatments really safe for people? I don't know that. Are they effective? I don't know that.
Q. If he says -- but you understand he says it is best treated through hormonal and surgical interventions. That's his statement.
A. Fine. And Zucker's a clinician. He's very experienced in this thing, and I respect his opinion. He's got a lot more experience than I do. Do I respect him in understanding scientific evidence and what is demonstrated or not by clinical studies? No, I
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don't -- I don't know. I don't have any reason to suspect him, but if he believes that evidence is accumulated to make that decision, then that's his belief. It would just take one study to show that, in fact, it works.
Q. Do you believe that you have greater expertise in the field of gender dysphoria than Dr. Zucker?
A. We have different types of expertise. I think I'm a better scientist than Dr. Zucker. I spend all my day doing science. I don't see patients. I don't go on talk shows. I don't do all these other things.
Q. Do you know whether --
A. When it comes to the epidemiology of gender identity, I think I've worked as hard as anybody.
Q. Do you know whether Dr. Zucker has done any scientific research?
A. Oh, yeah. He's done quite a few studies, scientific studies.
Q. But you don't think he's a scientist?
A. I think he's a scientist. He's a lot of different things. So I think he's a committed scientist. Do I think he'd be held out as a great academic physician? No. I mean, is he a Paul McHugh?

No.
Q. Were you paid for your work on this amicus brief?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did you receive any funding for work related to the brief?
A. Not to best of my knowledge. I'd have to go back and see if there was some minimal amount of payment. As I sit here, I don't believe I was. I don't know who would have paid me.
Q. Were you encouraged to work on the brief by anyone?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who?
A. Mr. Bradley. Gerald Bradley is his name. Gerard Bradley of Notre Dame Law School.
Q. Who did you talk to about the brief other than Mr. Bradley?
A. Paul Hruz and Paul McHugh. Dr. McHugh and Dr. Hruz.
Q. Anyone else?
A. No, sir.
Q. Anyone review drafts other than the people you just mentioned?
A. Not to the best of my memory.
Q. Were there any nonfinancial contributions from anyone for the work on the brief?
A. What would that be? I don't know what you're talking about.
Q. Somebody assist by providing some research or something for you?
A. No.
Q. Any other ways, nonfinancial ways that you can think of that someone assisted?
A. I want to be a good scientist and a good citizen and help out, understand the issues. I felt it was a very complicated case.

MR. KNIGHT: I think we should take a break now, if you're wanting to take a break now.

MR. KILPATRICK: Okay. 45 minutes, is that enough time?

MR. KNIGHT: Let's go off the record.
(Recess taken.)
MR. KNIGHT: Back on the record.
Doctor, do you understand you are still under oath?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. I wanted to ask about your testimony earlier about counseling a woman who is transgender to accept
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | her femaleness, but to also accept her body, even | 1 | perceives as male? |
| 2 | though it -- her body doesn't conform with her female | 2 | A. It is male. |
| 3 | gender identity. Did I -- is that what you said | 3 | Q. Is there any reason? |
| 4 | before, if I understand correctly? | 4 | A. But it's her body, she is a female. Go |
| 5 | A. I'm not sure what you mean by "conform," | 5 | ahead. |
| 6 | because she has a female gender identity, so she is a | 6 | Q. Is there any research that supports your |
| 7 | female. Why does her body need to look any particular | 7 | notion of having someone be counseled or counseling |
| 8 | way. She is what she is. She's a transgendered | 8 | someone to accept their female gender identity and also |
| 9 | female. So I don't understand, other than social | 9 | accept their male body? |
| 10 | acceptance or self-image, why shouldn't society accept | 10 | A. That is a good question. I don't know the |
| 11 | these people as who they are? Maybe I'm missing | 11 | swer. There is a lot of research on children -- |
| 12 | something. | 12 | children's relevant here -- where they put them in an |
| 13 | Q. Well, I'm just trying to make sure -- I have | 13 | affirming supportive environment and they do get |
| 14 | some other questions to ask, but I want to make sure | 4 | positive results with regard to their self-image and |
| 15 | I'm representing what you said before, that you believe | 15 | all that. So, yes, we have some indication of being in |
| 16 | that one kind of treatment that should be provided is | 16 | a positive affirmative environment that affirms who |
| 17 | counseling this transgender woman to be accepting of | 17 | they are has positive results. Do I think it would |
| 18 | her body, even though she has the body that would be | 18 | work on a 45-year-old woman? I doubt it, but I don't |
| 19 | typically associated with a man? | 19 | know. |
| 20 | A. Right. So she has a body of a man. We can | 20 | Q. Is there any research that would support that |
| 21 | either make her and society accept that, or we can try | 21 | kind of therapy for a 45-year-old woman? |
| 22 | to have them more comfortable so they can pass, | 22 | A. Well, a 45-year-old with dysphoria has |
| 23 | basically, as being biologically a woman. And that -- | 23 | distress, she has depression, she had that. Yes, |
| 24 | that's fine too. | 24 | there's treatment to show that those treatments work |
| 25 | To me, the treatment has to go after the | 25 | with regard to depression, for example, but are they |
|  | ge 119 |  | age 121 |
| 1 | dysphoria. What is the dysphoria? Do I think that | 1 | carefully designed clinical trials, no. I don't know |
| 2 | would work with most women? No. I mean most people, | 2 | of any that were done. I tried to find them. I was |
| 3 | no. I think it is very resilient overall, this | 3 | surprised how little was done in psychiatric research. |
| 4 | dysphoria. | 4 | Q. You are saying that there are no studies |
| 5 | Q. And you're talking about two differen | 5 | supporting the efficacy of talk therapy to treat gender |
| 6 | things. So which is the thing do you think that would | 6 | dysphoria in a 45-year-old woman? |
| 7 | not work for most people, which kind of treatment? | 7 | A. Transgender woman, though, right? |
| 8 | Counseling? | 8 | Q. Yes. |
| 9 | A. I don't think there is any evidence that any | 9 | A. I don't know of any. There are studies that |
| 0 | treatment really works. I'm sorry. I don't know of | 10 | suggest it. Did I find any rigorous scientific |
| 11 | any controlled study that shows that transgenders who | 11 | studies? No. There may be some, but I didn't find |
| 12 | are dysphoric have a lower rate of dysphoria given any | 12 | them. |
| 13 | treatment. Any treatment. But since you are at a | 13 | Q. There are studies that suggest that talk |
| 14 | psychiatrist, talk is there. It's certainly less than | 14 | therapy is going to work for a 45-year-old transgender |
| 15 | \$50,000, of course you try to reduce there. Of course | 15 | woman to have her accept her body when she is gender |
| 16 | you try to do anything to make them more comfortable, | 16 | dysphoric with respect to her body? |
| 17 | reduce their anxiety, reduce their depression. In an | 17 | A. Well, it depends on how severe her gender |
| 18 | ideal world, they should be comfortable with their | 18 | dysphoria is. It's not about her perception of her |
| 19 | body. Why not? | 19 | body. It's about her inability to function day-to-day. |
| 20 | Q. Is there any research that supports the | 20 | Do we know that going to psychiatrics makes it more |
| 21 | efficacy of counseling someone to accept -- counseling | 21 | able for people to function day-to-day? Yes, we know |
| 22 | a transgender women to accept her male body? | 22 | that. Do we know it specifically for transgender? No, |
| 23 | A. No, it's not her male body, it's her female | 23 | but why in the world would their depression be |
| 24 | body. She's a female, it's her body. | 24 | different -- treatment be different than any other |
| 25 | Q. Her body that she perceives and society | 25 | depression? There's something going on they're |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | depressed about. The key is try to help them be less | 1 | "severely," and that is a matter for the clinician to |
| 2 | depressed. | 2 | decide. But there are, there are people who don't want |
| 3 | So do we know that psychiatrists have | 3 | to do genital surgery? Of course. It's a huge |
| 4 | effect? Yes. It's very marginal, but we know they | 4 | undertaking, a huge process. And some of them will |
| 5 | have an effect with people with depression in general | 5 | go-- let them pick what they want, and have them go |
| 6 | Is there a study in particular with transgenders? No, | 6 | through talk therapy. It's not a randomized trial, but |
| 7 | because it would have to be advocates of transgenders, | 7 | it would be a trial. |
| 8 | these clinics that have enough patients to do these | 8 | I'm going to have to step out a second. I'm |
| 9 | studies, and they don't do the studies. I don't know | 9 | just not feeling well. I'm going to use the restroom. |
| 10 | why they don't do the studies. | 10 | Sorry. |
| 11 | Q. I'm still not sure -- are you saying there is | 11 | (Recess taken.) |
| 12 | a study or there isn't a study? | 12 | BY MR. KNIGHT: |
| 13 | A. There are studies about treating depression | 13 | Q. Can we try again? |
| 14 | I've seen references in transgender. Are there any | 14 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 15 | that would stand up to scientific scrutiny? I didn't | 15 | Q. I'm trying to understand about the study, and |
| 16 | find any. It's a good question. I looked, but I | 16 | at one point you said we need a control group, and you |
| 17 | didn't find any. | 17 | offered the control group might be a trip? |
| 18 | Q. You mentioned earlier the study that you | 18 | A. An active control, yes, sir. |
| 19 | thought should be done, and I want to see if I | 19 | Q. I'm sorry? |
| 20 | understand that study. I believe that you said this | 20 | A. I didn't mean to interrupt you. An active |
| 21 | would be a study that would offer people with gender | 21 | control versus a passive control. |
| 22 | dysphoria two options: They would have surgery or they | 22 | Q. And what do you mean by "active control"? |
| 23 | would go on a trip to Europe? | 23 | A. An active control means we do something of |
| 24 | A. Well, an active control -- an active contro | 24 | equivalent time and attention. So let's suppose we |
| 25 | means you give them time and attention. I might have | 25 | bring in young people. I can use about the age that |
|  | Page 123 |  | Page 125 |
| 1 | been facetious about a trip, but if you brought them in | 1 | start hormone therapy, because they usually start in |
| 2 | for the equivalent time -- we want to know it is not | 2 | their teens. And we start some on hormone therapy, and |
| 3 | the time and the amount of money spent on them making | 3 | we give the others just a very supportive environment |
| 4 | the difference. We want to know there is something | 4 | but no hormone therapy. And then we measure the |
| 5 | really in this treatment. | 5 | percent that have gender dysphoria. |
| 6 | So you could have an active control of many | 6 | Q. And you think that -- I want to talk about |
| 7 | kinds, but an active control means you do something to | 7 | adults, but you think that there are some adults with |
| 8 | them. You give them maybe supportive psychiatric | 8 | what the clinicians would characterize as serious |
| 9 | therapy and measure the outcome. But the outcome can't | 9 | dysphoria. |
| 0 | be how pleasant it is, how much they like their body. | 10 | Do you understand what I mean by serious |
| 11 | It's got to be, are they functional? Are they | 11 | dysphoria? |
| 12 | functional? | 12 | A. Yes, sir, I have a definition. You may have |
| 13 | Q. So what would that study look like? I still | 13 | a different one, but I have a definition. |
| 14 | don't understand. | 14 | Q. What is your definition for serious |
| 15 | A. Well, I haven't tried to design the study. | 15 | dysphoria? |
| 16 | There could be many different designs. But you could | 16 | A. People who are seriously mentally ill. They |
| 17 | certainly bring in transgender people and give them an | 17 | can be suicidal. They can be self-harming. I mean, |
| 18 | option of transgender surgery or talk therapy or | 18 | it's a very serious -- I put on the -- I mean, without |
| 19 | antidepressants and measure the percent that are | 19 | any parallel to the clinical, on a parallel with |
| 20 | dysphoric in six months' time. | 20 | anorexia, which we joke about, but it's probably one of |
| 21 | Q. And you think some people would choose talk | 21 | the most significant mental illnesses you can have. |
| 22 | therapy who are severely gender dysphoric? | 22 | So these people are dysphoric, and that's |
| 23 | A. I think there are people who do choose | 23 | their problem. And we're addressing their dysphoria by |
| 24 | talk -- I didn't say severely. You keep tossing these | 24 | changing their physical appearance. That might work, |
| 25 | little adjectives and adverbs in, and you said | 25 | but I'm surprised. I couldn't even get data on the |

1 percentage of transgenders that decline hormone 2 therapy, that decline surgery.

I also couldn't get any data on how many transgenders are not dysphoric. Because I tell you my experience with these clinics, and I read their literature and stuff. If you come in and you are transgender, they very much support that you're dysphoric and try to get in treatment. They call it "treatment to transition." And I don't want to see treatment to transition. There is nothing people have to transition. I want to see society be accepting of these people as they are.

But if it causes them serious dysphoria, if they're suicidal, of course that has to be treated one way or the other. But I don't know what the best way to treat them is. We have too little data.
Q. So you believe that if we change society, there would not be any gender dysphoric people?
A. Well, the advocates believe that. They say the problem is transgenders are fine except for the -what do they call it? Social stigma. The social stigma hypothesis and could reduce it greatly. Do I think -- there are societies, primitive societies in particular, where people are full spectrum, male to female and all sort of things in between, they seem to
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function perfectly fine. Why not accept these people? They're our brothers and sisters.
Q. I am not asking you about the advocates. I'm asking you, do you believe that if we change society, there would be no need for treatment of gender dysphoric people?
A. No. I believe it would seriously reduce the amount of gender dysphoria, but I don't think it -- I mean, it would be nice if it were true, that there would be no need for treatment, but I would be a little surprised at that. I'd be very surprised.
Q. So the study involving active therapy would involve a -- I just want to ask it. So the study you are designing, you believe that a seriously gender dysphoric adult would, given a choice between talk therapy and hormone therapy or surgery, would choose talk therapy?
A. Well, look at your own statistics of the people like Schechter. They say 50 percent of the people would eventually choose -- I don't remember the exact statistic -- choose surgery, and some percent would choose hormone therapy. That means there's a huge percent that don't choose either. Yes, I think they should be accepted. Why not?
Q. I didn't ask about Dr. Schechter. I asked
you about your opinion.
A. Of what now?
Q. Do you believe that for a seriously gender dysphoria adult, that some of those individuals would choose talk therapy rather than hormone therapy or surgery?
A. There is no choice, because when you have hormone therapy and surgery you get talk therapy. People talk to you consistently in an affirmative environment. That is what these gender clinics are about. You get surgery but no therapy?
Q. I'm asking you about your controlled study. And I believe you said the controlled study would involve seriously dysphoric adults given --
A. I never said that. I never said seriously dysphoric. I never used the word seriously.
Q. All right. I'm asking you about a controlled study.
A. Okay.
Q. Let's say we have a controlled study in which we offer these seriously dysphoric adults a choice between talk therapy, hormone therapy only, and hormone therapy and surgery.

Is that the kind of study you're talking about?
A. Well, I'm not an expert in the field to design the study, but that's the general idea. Some study -- statistics isn't about whether something works or not. It's about how it works compared to something else. I need a comparison group. What is my comparison group?

But there is self-selection. I've seen the Hopkins statistics. A large percent don't select any hormone therapy or surgery. Let's look at them versus the other and see how they deal with it. The important point is to reduce the distress.
Q. Are you saying you are not an expert in designing a study to treat with respect to gender dysphoria?
A. I'd say there are two kinds of expert. There's an expert on study design, I am. And then there's an expert on the clinical side of gender dysphoria, which I'm not. But it has to be together.
Q. I'm asking you about the study. The study, what is the study that you believe would be sufficient to show that hormone therapy and surgery are effective treatment in contrast with talk therapy?
A. That's a great question. There are books written about clinical trials in psychiatry. If you read any of those books, it will tell you exactly how
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to set those studies up. What the control group -- I'd | 1 | answer to whether or not this is safe and effectiv |
| 2 | have to estimate the means, the difference in the | 2 | Yes, would that be wonderf |
| 3 | means, the standard deviations to figure out what the | 3 | Q. But you could not do this by yourself, |
| 4 | sample size is, what the idea control is. That's part | 4 | because you would need to work with clinicians; is that |
| 5 | of the art of studying design. But you'd need an | 5 | what you are saying? You could not design this |
| 6 | expert in the clinical part, and I'm not an expert in | 6 | control -- this perfect study that you think does not |
| 7 | the clinical part. | 7 | exist? |
| 8 | Q. So you are | 8 | A. You generate a hypothesis. You have |
| 9 | clinical treatment of gender dysphoria, you would not | 9 | njuncture. You generate hypotheses, you design the |
| 10 | be able to design the study to decide whether or not | 10 | study, you run the study and analyze the study and make |
| 11 | hormone therapy or surgery is more effective than talk | 11 | inferences. I can do every step of that but run the |
| 12 | therapy? | 12 | study. I don't run the study. And do I think you have |
| 13 | A. It's beautifully said. I envision a table. | 13 | to be an expert? Yes. You'd have to be a |
| 14 | Schechter is there. Bailey who is an advocate is | 14 | psychiatrist, an endocrinologist, and probably a |
| 15 | there. You are there. Someone on design is there. A | 15 | surgeon to be in there to understand it. |
| 16 | clinical psychiatrist. And we decide to resolve this | 16 | Q. And would you have to be any psychiatrist, or |
| 17 | by having a definitive multi-site clinical study. I | 17 | a psychiatric who is an expert in treating people with |
| 18 | would be -- I would just -- I would donate my time. | 18 | gender dysphoria? |
| 19 | Q. Can you answer my question? | 19 | A. That's a good question. I don't know -- I |
| 20 | A. Sure. | 20 | mean, I'd want it to be someone that's a sceptic. If |
| 21 | Q. Are you telling me that without a clinician | 21 | they're in the bandwagon where every transgender needs |
| 22 | in the treatment, who is an expert in treating gender | 22 | \$50,000 worth of surgery, I probably wouldn't want |
| 23 | dysphoria, you yourself are not qualified to create a | 23 | them. I'd want some independent thinkers. |
| 24 | study of the sort that you think should happen? | 24 | The reason I say that is clinicians are |
| 25 | A. I can design a study. I can't run a study. | 25 | usually advocates. I've never met a plastic surgeon |
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| 1 | I'm not a clinician. I don't know what you are saying | 1 | that was not an advocate for plastic surgery. But if |
| 2 | Clinicians run studies. How could I run a study? | 2 | they're a good academic, sure, why not? Why don't we |
| 3 | Q. I'm asking you, how could you design -- can | 3 | resolve this question once and for all, and get people |
| 4 | you, are you qualified to design a study of the sort we | 4 | to care. |
| 5 | have been talking about -- | 5 | Q. So what is it about the study? It's a -- |
| 6 | A. I have designed hundreds of studies. | 6 | what is the study that we -- I'm still not |
| 7 | Q. -- which would compare the efficacy of | 7 | understanding. What is the study we need? You're |
| 8 | hormone therapy and surgery to talk therapy? | 8 | saying it's -- at one point you said I can't design a |
| 9 | A. You're almost like you're asking Schechter if | 9 | study because I don't have the data from studies that |
| 10 | he can do surgery. You're talking about my whole life. | 10 | are already done? |
| 11 | This is what I've done for 45 years. Yes, I could | 11 | Did I understand that correctly? |
| 12 | design that study. Do I need clinical people to run | 12 | A. I need preliminary data. I don't know the |
| 13 | that study? Yes. | 13 | level of dysphoria of people going into a gender |
| 14 | Q. And what would that study look like? | 14 | identity clinic. I have no data that is published |
| 15 | A. I don't know, because I have to see more of | 15 | other than on people who are advocates that claim, you |
| 16 | the data. The first thing I'd want to do is get | 16 | know, everything is the great panacea or people like |
| 1 | preliminary data from these sites, which won't share | 17 | Paul McHugh's clinic who wouldn't look at evidence no |
| 18 | their data on that. I would like to see preliminary | 18 | matter what. I want to be in the middle. I want to |
| 1 | data on the percent of dysphoric, the different | 19 | look at what the study would be. |
| 20 | modalities that are used, and then we cold come up with | 20 | Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I didn't understand |
| 21 | a design that everybody would embrace. | 21 | what you said about Paul McHugh? |
| 22 | And just like with women's hormone therapy; | 22 | A. Well, Paul McHugh would have extreme |
| 23 | just like whether or not -- I worked on giving SSRIs to | 23 | opinions, some -- I like Paul. I don't mean to pick on |
| 24 | pregnant women, Paxil in particular; just like I worked | 24 | Paul -- have extreme views. Some people have the view |
| 25 | on the various nasal sprays, you would get a definitive | 25 | that transgender is against the will of God, you know. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I don't know where they're coming from. | 1 | Q. I believe you said elsewhere that this paper |
| 2 | Q. Which is Paul McHugh's view? | 2 | did not draw any policy or practice conclusions; is |
| 3 | A. Well, I don't know. He's made some extreme | 3 | that right? Do you recall that? |
| 4 | statements about tran--- I mean, I read a statement | 4 | A. That this monograph -- we're talking about |
| 5 | about gender pretenders or something like that, an | 5 | the big monograph -- |
| 6 | analogy to -- to body dysmorphic disorder. And kind | 6 | Q. Right. |
| 7 | of -- I believe he might even have said that | 7 | A. Well, it depends on how you would interpret |
| 8 | transgenders are mentally ill. Don't quote me on that, | 8 | policy inclusions. I tried not to make it |
| 9 | but I believe he has. I find that very bothersome. | 9 | prescriptive -- or proscriptive. Very proud of this |
| 10 | Very bothersome. | 10 | report, I might add, just because I worked so hard on |
| 11 | Q. The -- | 11 |  |
| 12 | A. I'm kind of betwixt and between on some of | 12 | Q. And as I understand it, this article |
| 13 | these issues I don't understand more deeply. | 13 | addresses three different topics. First, it talks |
| 14 | (Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.) | 14 | about sexual orientation, and challenges the position |
| 15 | BY MR. KNIGHT: | 15 | that sexual orientation is fixed; is that right? |
| 16 | Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as | 16 | A. That you're born with it. Yes, that you're |
| 17 | Exhibit 5. Can you identify this document? | 17 | born with and it's fixed, yes, sir. |
| 18 | A. It appears to be the report that I published | 18 | Q. In fact, it argues that sexual orientation |
| 19 | with Dr. McHugh in the New Atlantis on sexuality and | 19 | can be quite fluid; is that right? |
| 20 | gender findings for the biological, psychological, and | 20 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 21 | social sciences. | 21 | Q. And it also takes the position that |
| 22 | Q. And you w | 22 | nonheterosexual and transgender persons are at higher |
| 23 | peer-reviewed journal this is published in? | 23 | risk of mental health problems. |
| $24$ | A. It is not a peer-reviewed journal, correct, | 24 | A. That's from the advocates own literature. |
| $25$ | sir. | 25 | Even Schechter has written about that. At some place |
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| 1 | Q. And aren't peer review journals the gold | 1 | he said they have a lifetime suicide rate of |
| 2 | standard in that terms of deciding what kind of -- in | 2 | 80 percent. |
| 3 | science in general? | 3 | Q. I wasn't asking about the advocates, I was |
| 4 | A. Yes, sir. | 4 | asking about you. Is that the position that this took? |
| 5 | Q. And this is copublished by the Ethics and | 5 | A. I'm not an advocate. I'm not an advocate. |
| 6 | Public Policy Center. | 6 | Q. So you didn't take the position that |
| 7 | Do you understand that? | 7 | nonheterosexual and transgender persons are at higher |
| 8 | A. No, sir, but I'll take your word for it. | 8 | risk of mental health problems? |
| 9 | Q. Do you have any affiliations with that group? | 9 | A. No, they are. We know that to be a fact. |
| 10 | A. Who is it? | 10 | I'm saying both sides, everyone has written about that |
| 11 | Q. The Ethics and Public Policy Center. | 11 | fact. That is why we are here, because it's urgent. |
| 12 | A. Never heard of it. | 12 | It's urgent that we do something for these poor people. |
| 13 | Q. Do you understand that the EPPC is dedicated | 13 | Q. And then it finally talks about transgender |
| 14 | to applying the Judeo-Christian moral tradition to | 14 | persons and it challenges the position that gender |
| 15 | critical issues of public policy? | 15 | identity is fixed; is that right? |
| 16 | A. I've never heard of it, so I can't tell what | 16 | A. That you're born with a gender identity. I'm |
| 17 | their policy is. | 17 | not sure what you mean by "fixed." Gender identity is |
| 18 | Q. You weren't aware of that when you wrote this | 18 | fixed. Children grow up and they're curious about |
| 1 | article? | 19 | their gender identity, so they often identify with |
| 20 | A. I've never heard of the group. You just said | 20 | being a little girl, and later with a little boy. They |
| 21 | it's their motto or something? I don't know the group. | 21 | play with different genders. So I don't know what you |
| 22 | You asked me if I was aware of the motto of a group I | 22 | mean by fixed. Gender identity, the struggle for |
| 23 | never heard of. I don't mind been tarred by a broad | 23 | gender identity is a fluid struggle when children are |
| 24 | brush because of the people that support this kind of | 24 | growing up. |
| 25 | activity, but I did my best at honest scholarship. | 25 | Q. And, again, I'm talking about adults -- |

A. Because a developmental course run starts -I don't mean to interrupt you, but it starts when they're children. You have to talk about the development. Forget about adults. Adults got there by being children.
Q. And so you're saying that -- are you suggesting that a transgender woman is a woman because of her child raising?
A. A transgender woman is a woman in gender because she has a long-term consistent, insistent, persistent deeply held view that she identifies with the opposite sex. That is the definition.
Q. And do you believe that her identity is fixed or changeable and flexible?
A. Well, I think as you grow older, it's less and less flexible. Do I see a large number of transgenders converting back to their -- the gender identity consistent with their sex? No, I would be surprised at that. I'm sure there are some. And actually, there's some cases been written about, but I wouldn't assume. We're doing too much by the exception and not enough by the bulk of the rule. I would say it is very rare.
Q. The other thing this article talks about is challenging the position that surgery and hormones are
Q. You agree that that's right?
A. Yes sir.
Q. So looking at page 106, the first section here talks about interventions in children.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you relying on that to support your positions about treatment of adults?
A. Well, let me see. Before I did dementia work, I worked on child development. That was my first thing at Johns Hopkins, whether early interventions could make a difference. So I see human beings, not in a clinical setting of child, adolescent, adult, but it's a continual process of development. And I'm interested in that process of development. So it's hard for me to make a distinction between children and adults, because all adults were children at one time.

But if you're saying is this research focused more on children, absolutely. I'm much more concerned about children. The whole reason I did this paper was to write about children, quite frankly.
Q. On page 108 you start -- the section then starts talking about therapeutic interventions in adults.
A. Um-hmm.
Q. And there, at least as far as I can see, you
effective at treating gender dysphoria; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And I believe that the article, the part of this which you cited in your report here, is at pages 106 to 113 of this paper; is that right?
A. I don't know what you are asking me.
Q. I'm asking whether that's the portion that talks about -- well, is that the portion that you relied on for -- to support your positions in this case?
A. This is my own work. I relied on everything in my own work. You only ask me whether I relied on someone else's work. I relied on all of this. This is all in my brain.
Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit 1 again.
A. Okay.
Q. And on page 7 of Exhibit 1.
A. Okay.
Q. You reference specifically pages 106 to 113 of your sexually and gender publication?
A. Um-hmm.
Q. So that's why I'm asking you whether that is the portion that you are citing as supportive of your opinions in this case.
A. Yes.
are pointing to three studies, a -- sorry -- a 1979 study by Meyer and Reter?
A. Um-hmm.
Q. A second study by Cecilia Dhejne, I guess.
A. Um-hmm.
Q. And then a Kuhn study on -- from 2009, which was cited on page 111.

So those are the three studies I see cited in this section. Am I missing something in terms of studies that you are relying on?
A. Well, there was the Murad and colleagues, their systematic review.
Q. Okay, literature review.
A. Yes. I reviewed 1000 papers, which you can have. I mean, the bibliography is out, you can see. But these were not intended to be exhaustive, they were intended to be suggestive.
Q. And then you also relied on a journal article in The Guardian?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, why would you rely on an article in a newspaper to support your views?
A. Let me say something. I'm an academic, so when I cite things, I'm not regurgitating what other people said. These are my opinions, and to support my
opinions or elaborate my opinions, I often cite something that I find of interest. I'm not citing any of these as being the end-all and be-all of my opinion.

It's to give an example of what other people are out there doing. So I think it's perfectly fine -I notice in the early part of this I cited the work of feminists and things like that. We're all over the map. Also, it's a popular text and all that. That's what you do. If you mean did my conclusions fall from a Guardian newspaper, of course they didn't.
Q. So the Meyer/Reter article you admit is a study of only 35 people and has important limitations?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And the Dhejne article also, you agree, does not address the effectiveness of sex reassignment as treatment for transsexualism?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you believe that -- and, in fact, that study says -- I believe that maybe you said, and certainly the study says that things might have been even worse without sex reassignment.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Similar to the Kuhn study compares patients who completed gender surgery with cisgender women, and says nothing about the effectiveness of gender
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confirmation surgery, right?
A. Right.
Q. Because it doesn't compare patients with gender dysphoria to -- patients who got treatment to those who didn't get treatment; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And you understand that the Murad article concludes that gender reassignment with the use of hormone therapies was associated or were associated with improvements in gender dysphoria, psychological functioning, and co-morbidities, lower suicide rates, higher sexual satisfaction, and overall improvement in quality of life.

You understand that is true?
A. Um-hmm. In fact, I say on the top of 110, "Compared to their condition before surgery, individuals who have undergone surgery appear to show improvement in the well-being, though the results have a fairly low level of statistical significance. But individuals who had no surgery went on to display a significant improvement follow-up."

But there were statistical difference between the groups. Even if there were, we're splitting hairs at the 05 level. If this stuff is great and really cures dysphoria, then the signal to noise ratio should
be terrific and we'd be able to demonstrate that.
Where is the definitive demonstration for all the money we spend on hormone therapy, and particularly on surgery, where's the definitive study that shows it works.
Q. And how much money do you think we are spending on hormone therapy and surgery?
A. Well, I've seen estimates that complete surgeries can be in the 50 - to $\$ 100,000$ range.

When you say "we", you personally, or who do you mean?
Q. Well, I'm talking about costs on a general level?
A. Well, I'm not an expert in cost, but we know that plastic surgery is extremely expensive. I've seen estimates that, going all the way with whatever that means, can be 50 - to $\$ 100,000$. Well, suppose there are 10,000 transgender people wanting that surgery. Obviously, could be huge expense. And we do have estimates of how much you spent on plastic surgery, Joe.
Q. And we also have estimates about what a small population this is, don't we?
A. Absolutely.
Q. So the overall cost, ultimately, is quite
small.
A. Well, but the problem with the overall cost is you still have to argument on equity, and I would assume the ACLU would be a real bear on equity. The why are we giving this treatment to a transgender person. But to her sister, we're not giving any treatment when they virtually look identical. What does being transgender have to do with being unsatisfied with your appearance?

Brother and sister almost identical and he becomes a transgender girl. She is a girl and she's not entitled to surgery, but he's entitled to surgery because he's a girl. Doesn't make any sense to me. I would argue they're both entitled to surgery, I guess.
Q. And you are saying that -- that -- so you are saying that even though an individual has clinically significant distress because of the dysphoria about their incongruence, that that is exactly the same as a nontransgender person who expresses some feelings of distress with respect to their body; is that what you are saying?
A. No, I'm not saying that, but that is interesting you said that. Could you interview these people -- take a cisgender woman and a transgender woman, interview them, and get the exact same responses

|  | Page 146 |  | Page 148 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | about how they feel about their looks. Of course, you | 1 | MR. KNIGHT: You haven't answered my |
| 2 | could. Is one more deserving than the other? Well, | 2 | question. I'm just trying to get an answer to my |
| 3 | let's go to the idea of -- are we agreed -- what's it | 3 |  |
| 4 | called? Confirming? Are we confirming, were they | 4 | BY MR. KNIGH |
| 5 | something at birth other than what their biology was? | 5 | Q. You didn't answer my question. |
| 6 | Well, what is it that they are at birth that we are | 6 | A. I did answer your question. |
| 7 | reconstructing or reconfirming? I don't understand it | 7 | Q. You didn't answer my question? |
| 8 | I'm not criticizing. I just can't get my head around | 8 | A. I said they're not the same. No two patients |
| 9 | it. | 9 | are the same. I don't know what you mean, by are they |
| 10 | Q. So, again, are you saying that an individual | 10 | the same. It seems like we get this surgery we're very |
| 11 | with gender dysphoria who has serious dysphoria about | 11 | precise about surgery, but when we get to the mind and |
| 12 | the -- their body, focused on their body, is the same | 12 | psychiatry, we are often vague about distress and this |
| 13 | as a nontransgender person who says that they have | 13 | sort of thing. If she's transgender and bipolar, does |
| 14 | distress with respect to their body? | 14 | she act like a bipolar? Yes. Why wouldn't you expect |
| 15 | A. I don't know if they're the same. But if | 15 | her to. I don't get it. But I do get tired of |
| 16 | what -- distressed is a general word. If you mean | 16 | answering the same question over and over again. I |
| 17 | depressed, can I find 45-year-old women who are | 17 | have to admit, it's very rare. I'm usually both |
| 18 | depressed about their looks just as much as 45-year-old | 18 | precise and concise, and I'll try to be better. |
| 19 | transgender women? Absolutely. What does being | 19 | Q. So the other document you cite as support for |
| 20 | transgender have to do with this distress? I'm just | 20 | your opinions in Exhibit 1, is this 2016 decision memo, |
| 21 | missing it. | 21 | the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. |
| 22 | Q. So you're saying that, in an adult, that yo | 22 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 23 | believe that there is a -- that it's the same to -- if | 23 | Q. But you understand that that memo stated that |
| 24 | we have two women, one who is gender dysphoric about | 24 | gender reassignment surgery may be reasonable and |
| 25 | her body, and a cisgender woman who has, let's say, | 25 | necessary service for certain beneficiaries with gender |
|  | Page 147 |  | Page 149 |
| 1 | diagnosable depression with respect to her body. | 1 | dysphoria? |
| 2 | You're saying they're both the same? | 2 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 3 | A. No. I don't know what you mean by "the | 3 | Q. And it indicated that it was not -- |
| 4 | same." No two patients are the same. When you say | 4 | A. Can I clarify that one thing? I think it was |
| 5 | "distress," distress is not a diagnosis. Dysphoria is | 5 | Dr. -- I'm sorry. I'm bad with names. Schechter -- |
| 6 | a whole spectrum. What does she have? Is she | 6 | not Schechter. Schechter comments that this memo that |
| 7 | depressed, for example? Is the depression similar to | 7 | I read was part of a longer discussion, you know what I |
| 8 | depression of cisgender women? I don't know. We | 8 | mean? And I just saw this memo, and I saw that |
| 9 | haven't even studied that. I would love to know -- | 9 | basically what I thought it was saying is that there |
| 10 | it's a real good question. | 10 | shouldn't be a blanket rule, but that, for some people, |
| 11 | Is the depression of a transgender woman more | 11 | this was a good choice. Isn't that what it says? I |
| 2 | resistant to treatment like antidepressant than the | 12 | think that's what it says. |
| 13 | depression associated with a cisgender woman who is | 13 | Q. Well, it says it's reasonable and necessary. |
| 14 | unhappy with her looks. I think it's a beautiful | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | research question. I don't know of anyone that has | 15 | Q. And you agree that that's what it says? |
| 16 | done the research. It's a beautiful question. | 16 | A. Yes, sir, for some people I imagine it would |
| 17 | Q. So again, are you suggesting that an | 17 | be, yes, sir. |
| 18 | individual with gender dysphoria who has distress about | 18 | Q. And you are aware that in 2014, a |
| 19 | their body is the same as an individual without gender | 19 | adjudicative board from the Department of Health and |
| 20 | dysphoria but clinical depression in terms of their | 20 | Human Services concluded that surgical care to treat |
| 21 | need for surgical treatment? | 21 | gender dysphoria is safe, effective, and not |
| 22 | MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; asked and | 22 | experimental, and, thus, at such time, struck down the |
| 23 | answered. | 23 | exclusion for such care? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: You keep asking the same | 24 | A. I don't know about that. What I do know is |
| 25 | question. | 25 | when I saw this document, I saw Schechter refer to |


|  | Page 150 |  | Page 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | other documents. So I went to the documents, and there | 1 | A. Then they get treated for gender dysphoria. |
| 2 | was a lot of stuff I don't understand, but what I wa | 2 | Does every transgender have gender dysphoria? Because |
| 3 | trying to use this for is to say it's an open issue, | 3 | that's bothering me if you say yes. Because I can tell |
| 4 | but certainly there are some cases in which it is | 4 | you I've met some very well-adjusted transgenders. The |
| 5 | called for. If I knew it was part of a longer process, | 5 | two women that my student has as patients are both |
| 6 | I wouldn't have cited it unless I had access to the | 6 | physicians, and they're both well-adjusted. What do |
| 7 | longer process. And then I wouldn't have cited it | 7 | they need treatment for? It's a little insincere to |
| 8 | because it's too complicated and too political. | 8 | work so hard to get it off -- just like being gay -- |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I have to step out again. I'm | 9 | get it off the list of diagnoses, and then to spend our |
| 10 | sorry. Be right back. | 10 | time treating it. Why are we treating someone if it's |
| 11 | (Recess taken.) | 11 | not an illness? |
| 12 | (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.) | 12 | Q. The two woman you are talking about in terms |
| 13 | BY MR. KNIGHT: | 13 | of -- this is the student you were talking about |
| 14 | Q. Have you seen -- Dr. Mayer, have you seen | 14 | earlier? |
| 15 | this document before? | 15 | A. Yes, sir |
| 16 | A. It does not look familiar to me, no, sir. | 16 | Q. And are the people she's treating being |
| 17 | Q. Well, this is the Department of Health and | 17 | treated through surgery or hormone therapy? |
| 18 | Human Services, Departmental Appeals Board, Decision | 18 | A. I said, I've not kept up with it so I don't |
| 19 | With Respect to Transsexual Surgery. And I would | 19 | know. I should have gone back and checked, but I don't |
| 20 | direct you to page 20. | 20 | know. By the way, there are two students, just so you |
| 21 | A. Okay. Page 20, yes, | 21 | know. I didn't want to mention the other, because the |
| 22 | Q. So at the end of that first paragraph -- | 22 | other's married to a transsexual. I don't want to go |
| 23 | A. First new paragraph or original -- oh, that | 23 | into that -- and I'm sorry. Transgender. Her husband |
| 24 | is the original paragraph. Go ahead. | 24 | has become transgender, and she's living with her |
| 25 | Q. The decision says that there -- "that | 25 | husband. She's living with her former husband in a |
|  | Page 151 |  | Page 153 |
| 1 | indicates a consensus among researchers and mainstream | 1 | relationship, but -- |
| 2 | medical organizations that transsexual surgery is an | 2 | Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. You asked me |
| 3 | effective, safe, and medically necessary treatment for | 3 | about the two patients. He's undergone complete |
| 4 | transsexualism." | 4 | surgery, I know for sure. I don't know about the two |
| 5 | Do you see where I'm reading? | 5 | patients. |
| 6 | A. No, sir. I'm trying. It says it explains | 6 | Q. But I believe you said earlier that you did |
| 7 | general acceptance -- page 20, right? | 7 | not provide supervision or advice about the treatment |
| 8 | Q. Page 20. And it starts "regardless of | 8 | for those, for your students' patients; is that right? |
| 9 | whether the new evidence here meets." | 9 | A. I would never -- you've got to be careful. |
| 0 | A. Okay. "Set forth in the guidance | 10 | When you say "treatment," I can talk about the general |
| 11 | clearly, does not assert -- means the second option -- | 11 | efficacy, but you're talking about specifics. I didn't |
| 12 | well, the problem with this, of course, and this | 12 | give any recommendation. I would never. I never open |
| 13 | bothers me a great deal. Why there is any treatment | 13 | my mouth at these clinical rounds. |
| 14 | for transsexualism. How can you remove transsexualism | 14 | Q. In terms of which treatment should be -- or |
| 15 | as a disorder and then talk about treatment for it? I | 15 | whether treatment should be provided. You don't enter |
| 16 | just don't understand. I never will. Maybe you can | 16 | into those discussions? |
| 17 | explain it, why a transgender person needs treatment. | 17 | A. I don't believe I'm qualified. I think part |
| 18 | Why aren't they healthy as a transgender person? Why | 18 | of being a good expert -- maybe you talk to Professor |
| 1 | isn't society doing everything to support them in their | 19 | Budge -- is knowing what you're qualified to do. |
| 20 | choice? | 20 | Q. I don't understand. What is it you're saying |
| 21 | Q. So you believe transgender people don't need | 21 | about Dr. Budge? |
| 22 | treatment? | 22 | A. Well, Dr. Budge talks about things being |
| 23 | A. I believe it's not -- you can't treat a | 23 | medically necessary and not. In most states, to opine |
| 24 | nondisorder. What's the disorder that they have? | 24 | on what's medically necessary or not, you have to be a |
| 25 | Q. Gender dysphoria. | 25 | physician. She's a counseling psychologist. |
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|  | Page 154 |  | Page 156 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Based on what? | 1 | statements mean to be critical of. Maybe it's from |
| 2 | A. In California, it's based on the law. To | 2 | dianetics or something. |
| 3 | give a medical opinion in California, you must be | 3 | Q. So I want to be clear, you are not making or |
| 4 | licensed physician or an allied medical professional. | 4 | offering opinions with respect to Dr. Budge's diagnosis |
| 5 | She's a counseling psychologist, so she can give | 5 | of Ms. Boyden and Ms. Andrews with gender dysphoria; is |
| 6 | psychological opinions. Can she tell me a patient can | 6 | that right? |
| 7 | benefit physically from surgery? I wouldn't be | 7 | A. I would have criticism because she came in |
| 8 | arrogant enough to, and I'm a physician. | 8 | this already loaded and it was clear she's not an |
| 9 | Q. So you're saying she's arrogant because she | 9 | unbiased observer. I would if someone did that kind of |
| 10 | is making -- or has opinions about the kind of | 10 | an independent medical exam. Is she a treating -- I |
| 11 | treatment that would be appropriate to treat the | 11 | got mixed up, because if she's a treating person |
| 12 | condition of gender dysphoria for these individuals? | 12 | advocate, then she's not an independent expert. I |
| 13 | A. Well, first of all, I said things she's said | 13 | couldn't figure it out. If she's an independent |
| 14 | would be arrogant or if I said it, it would be | 14 | expert, first of all, I think she interviewed him for |
| 15 | arrogant. I don't know anything about her. I don't | 15 | 90 minutes. That's certainly inadequate to determine |
| 16 | want to say that. But does she go far afield from her | 16 | whether anybody has major depressive disorder or any of |
| 17 | expertise as a counseling psychologist, I would say so. | 17 | these other disorders. |
| 18 | You can go right through it. Her theory of sex and | 18 | And so did she already feel that her |
| 19 | gender, these things | 19 | nclusions were justified? I don't know. It was |
| 20 | I mean, I give her a break because she's a | 20 | clear to me that she was not an independent medical |
| 21 | social scientist, but these are off the wall. The idea | 2 | examiner, that's for sure. |
| 22 | that you're born with a gender. That somehow your sex | 22 | Q. You weren't asked to look at her opinions |
| 23 | is based on your gender. These statements are so | 23 | with respect to Ms. Boyden and Ms. Andrews, were you? |
| 24 | ridiculous, I don't even know what the statements mean. | 24 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 25 | How is your sex based on your gender? | 25 | Q. You were asked to look at that? |
|  | Page 155 |  | Page 157 |
| 1 | Q. So you're saying the only people who can | 1 | A. I don't know what you mean by "asked." They |
| 2 | decide -- who can work with a gender dysphoric | 2 | were in the report I read, yes, sir. |
| 3 | individual to decide what is necessary treatment for | 3 | Q. And so you believe that she is wrong in |
| 4 | them would be a physician? Is that what you're saying? | 4 | diagnosing these two individuals with gender dysphoria? |
| 5 | A. I would say the only person to decide for a | 5 | A. I can't tell you that, because I can't |
| 6 | specific patient ought to at least be a physician if | 6 | diagnose and know what the correct diagnosis is. But |
| 7 | not several physicians, yes. | 7 | the kid selling pencils in the corner could be right. |
| 8 | A clinical physician, now, I'm talking about. | 8 | I'm suggesting she has minimal credentials to be saying |
| 9 | Who in the world now should decide -- I mean, where do | 9 | any of these things. Her understanding of biology is |
| 0 | we have -- if you have tonsillitis, does a psychologist | 10 | so poor it reflects badly on all of her statements. |
| 11 | tell you to get your tonsils out? I hope not. | 11 | She's as weak an expert as I have seen in 40 years. |
| 12 | By the way, tonsillitis is another example. | 12 | I'm sorry to say that, but I'm surprised you used her. |
| 13 | AMA took out millions of tonsils in this country when | 13 | Q. Do you have any basis for disputing her with |
| 14 | no tonsils virtually were taken out in Europe. And we | 14 | respect to the gender dysphoria of Ms. Boyden and |
| 15 | did it so we wouldn't have recurrent sore throats. And | 15 | Ms. Andrews? |
| 16 | we quit taking tonsils out, there was no increase in | 16 | A. I don't dispute. I said she doesn't have the |
| 17 | sore throats. Nobody has their tonsils out. When I | 17 | qualifications to be rendering a medical opinion. I |
| 18 | was a boy, 100 percent had their tonsils out. Isn't | 18 | don't have any statement about what opinions she gave. |
| 19 | that amazing? | 19 | Whether she was right or wrong, I'm not a clinician. |
| 20 | You have your tonsils. I know you do. | 20 | You keep going back to this. I'm know a clinician. |
| 21 | You're too young to have them out. He doesn't have his | 21 | Q. And because you're not a clinician, you don't |
| 22 | tonsils. | 22 | have any opinions about whether or not hormone therapy |
| 23 | The best definition of sex is based on | 23 | or surgical treatment is the appropriate treatment for |
| 24 | gender. Gender somehow predates sex. I don't want to | 24 | them; is that right? |
| 25 | be too critical, because I don't even know what the | 25 | A. Well, let's go again. If we go to general |


|  | Page 158 |  | Page 160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | causation of what the data says, I can tell you, the | 1 | Q. Correct. |
| 2 | individual causation about this specific patient. I | 2 | A. It's immoral? |
| 3 | have nothing to say about that patient. Now, they can | 3 | Q. That it's immoral to provide this kind of |
| 4 | be the two most deserving -- I think they're both | 4 | treatment, or that it violates your religious beliefs. |
| 5 | women. They can be the two most deserving women in the | 5 | A. Not at all. To the contrary. I admire what |
| 6 | world. | 6 | these people are doing. They are alleviating |
| 7 | By the way, I'm not even saying -- I'm going | 7 | suffering. |
| 8 | to make this clear, go on the record. I'm not even | 8 | Q. I'm sorry. You admire what people who treat |
| 9 | saying it is inappropriate for these women. I'm not | 9 | gender discrimination are doing? |
| 10 | saying it is inappropriate for these women. | 10 | A. Yes. Yes. They are trying their best. I |
| 11 | Q. You are saying it shouldn't be -- there | 11 | believe they are honest at what they are doing, and are |
| 12 | should not be insurance coverage for it, is that what | 12 | trying their best with a very difficult problem. And I |
| 13 | you are saying? | 13 | do think when you are too close to the problem, you |
| 14 | A. No. I would never say that. I don't know | 14 | often lose sight of the broader thing. We still hold |
| 15 | enough about insurance coverage. In the best of all | 15 | babies up by the feet and spank their little butts. |
| 16 | worlds, I believe these woman would be given treatment, | 16 | Terrible for the baby. Terrible for the baby. |
| 17 | and I believe that a woman who feels she's losing her | 17 | And, by the way, in case you're not old |
| 18 | husband because her face looks like a man should be | 18 | enough, women were told to stay in bed the last three |
| 19 | given treatment too. | 19 | months of their pregnancy and two months after they |
| 20 | I believe in improving the quality of | 20 | delivered. Horrible advice. Oh, and you were told to |
| 21 | through treatment, including surgery. But I want | 21 | gain weight during pregnancy. You had to gain at least |
| 22 | evidence that it actually increases the quality of | 22 | 20 pounds for a healthy pregnancy, none of that is |
| 23 | life. In a way it does remind me of body dysmorphic. | 23 | true. So I don't follow the AMA. Hopefully I lead the |
| 24 | In any suggestion of change in eating patterns among | 24 | people making those decisions. |
| 25 | anorexics is just so -- I mean, body confirmation is so | 25 | Q. I'm sorry, hopefully you lead the people |
|  | Page 159 |  | Page 161 |
| 1 | crazy, because what you have to do is get to the | 1 | making those decisions? |
| 2 | distress. Can you imagine how distressed these people | 2 | A. Yeah. People making clinical decisions. I |
| 3 | are? | 3 | said, half of my consulting is not in cases. Half of |
| 4 | Q. Do you have any other version of your vitae | 4 | my consulting is with other physicians and others in |
| 5 | other than the one you provided us? | 5 | terms of interpreting research results. So people that |
| 6 | A. I've updated over the years, and I've changed | 6 | do research, as you know, are on the cutting edge of |
| 7 | my -- I've stayed for another year, and I formally | 7 | medical treatment. But we're not treaters. |
| 8 | removed myself from teaching in 2017, I tried to redo | 8 | Q. So you talked earlier about -- and I believe |
| 9 | it. So I have earlier versions. Do you mean do I keep | 9 | you said in your report -- as I understood it, you were |
| 0 | a second version for something? Is that -- I'm sorry I | 10 | comparing surgery to treat gender dysphoria with |
| 11 | don't know the question. I have old versions. Is that | 11 | surgery to treat anorexia. |
| 12 | what you mean? | 12 | Did I understand that correctly? |
| 13 | Q. I'm asking if you have a se | 13 | A. I'm sorry, you said compare them? |
| 14 | that you use for other purposes? | 14 | Q. Yes. |
| 15 | A. No, sir. Should I? I don't know. | 15 | A. Well, no, there are some similarities in the |
| 16 | Q. Do you have any religious beliefs about being | 16 | way that we -- in the suggestions for treating them, |
| 17 | transgender or transition? | 17 | but they're completely different. No, they are not |
| 18 | A. I'm sorry. I don't know what you are saying. | 18 | related at all, the disorders. I think it is people |
| 19 | Religious beliefs. | 19 | like Paul McHugh have made that claim. I don't |
| 20 | Q. Do you have any religious beliefs about -- | 20 | think -- I don't know what it is based on. I don't |
| 21 | that relate to the medical treatment for transgender | 21 | think it's very helpful. |
| 22 | people? | 22 | Q. And what about body dysmorphia disorder do |
| 23 | A. Would that be like a moral -- I'm not sure | 23 | you understand that body dysmorphia disorder is very |
| 24 | what religious belief -- is that a moral or ethical | 24 | different from gender dysphoria? |
| 25 | belief? You mean like a moral belief? | 25 | A. You know it's -- I don't know what the metric |
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1 is. They're very different and very similar, and they present different, they have different risks. I guess you would have to tell me what you see is the commonality. I don't see any commonality between them. I think there is a use of that to be dismissive. Do you want to hear what that is? I don't subscribe to it, but I think you can be dismissive.
Q. I'm sorry. Use of what? Of the comparison?
A. Of the analogy, yes, sir.
Q. And what use is that?
A. Well, the use is that in body dysmorphic disorder, people have a misperception of their body. I might add, parenthetically, you think it's only for women. I had a guy come in, he had body dysmorphic disorder because he was a 90 -pound weakling, and weighed 250 pounds. And he thought it was exactly the reverse of that.

But the idea -- the commonality is we don't alter their body to change their misperceptions. We try to change their misperceptions. So you could be dismissive of gender dysphoria by saying it's just a misperception of their body, and we have to correct that misperception. I think that's --
Q. You think that's wrong? You disagree with that?
on when certain treatments should be used with the transgender population. I've read it. I've never seen it before. There must have been a vague reference put in there by Paul Hruz. He's the one that had it. I've read it, but I actually don't know what the role is of it. It was his citation, I can tell you that.
Q. So you're not supportive of this -- the use of this particular document?
A. I don't remember exactly how -- I don't remember exactly how it is used. I just like a plastic surgeon that couldn't afford to buy a copy. I had to buy one and send it to him.
Q. I don't understand. You're talking about Dr. Schechter? You're saying that Dr. Schechter should have bought it himself because he's a plastic surgeon?
A. Well, it's about plastic surgery. I had to go out and buy it because he didn't have access. I did not ask him to give me any of his references. And he didn't even give me complete references. That is the only thing I'm irritated by, is that some of his references were incomplete and some were wrong.

It is common courtesy in the academic world to give people complete references. Actually, usually, when you have footnotes, there's a separate attached reference list, which I thought there would be. Since Page 165
A. I disagree with it absolutely. They're both very serious conditions, very serious conditions. But the idea of an anorexic, trying to get her to gain weight or lose it or whatever. These people are suffering, suffering.
Q. Well, but I guess I'm having some difficulty understanding where -- why you find those different. Because at times you seem to suggest that surgical treatment should not be provided for gender dysphoria patients.
A. I've never said that.
Q. You're not saying that?
A. Absolutely not. I have no basis to say that. I have no -- I think it's wrong, and there is no more evidence for that than there is evidence that it is a good thing to use.

There are too many people involved in it for it to be, what, quackery? I don't buy that for a second. What are these people just predators on these poor transgender people? It's ridiculous.
Q. You cite, I believe, in the Gavin Grimm Amicus brief, a report from the Hayes Directory.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What is the Hayes Directory?
A. That was a document with some sort of summary
he sent me 222 pages of material, I thought it would include a reference list properly done.
Q. Are you aware that there are a number of private insurance companies that have found that surgical treatment should be covered for transgender individuals?

MR. KILPATRICK: Objection; lacks foundation.
You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Am I aware that -could you repeat the question?
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. That a number of private insurance companies have found that policies supporting coverage for transgender people to have surgery, are -- should be -I'm sorry.
A. No, I'm sorry. I can't follow. Are you basically saying they pay for it?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. No, I'm not surprised, but I wasn't -don't know much about insurance.

It, again, goes back to your question. We were talking about people who have severe dysphoria. Do they just try other treatment? I don't know. Or do they just blanketly offer it to anybody. I don't know the answer. I don't know anything about it.
Q. So you are aware of the letter that was -that 600 academics and clinicians signed on to challenging the New Atlantis paper?
A. I don't know the number, but there was a petition signed, published, yes, sir.
Q. And that -- that particular letter states that the report's conclusions should not be viewed as a source of scientific or medical justification to support any legislation, judicial action, policymaking, or clinical decision-making affecting the lives of LGBT people or their families. Were you aware of that?
A. Aware of the sentence? I'm sorry. Was I aware of that sentence?
Q. Yes.
A. I don't remember specifically, but I remember the document, yes, sir.
Q. Do you recall that it said that: "We affirm that the sexuality and gender report does not represent prevailing expert consensus opinion about sexual orientation or gender identity-related research or clinical care"?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you disagree with that?
A. Well, I wouldn't have written the article if I agreed with it. Of course I disagree. If you look Page 167
at their credentials, they're mostly young assistant professors in the social sciences or literature or women's studies. There are one or two names that I do respect, but the ones I do, I respect their opinion. The majority of them are angry about the place we published it.

There were very few attacks on the substance of the document, mostly attacks on: A, the strange bedfellows; and, B , was on ignoring certain papers. I had to cut somewhere.
Q. So who are the clinicians and/or the researchers who signed on to this letter whose opinions you approve of.
A. Well, I approve all their opinions. I didn't think most of them are scientists, but most important, science isn't about opinions. Science is about facts. And I know we live in an opinion-driven world, but the fact is there is no study. And rather than beating me up about the head for the fact there is no study, and other experts disagree, I can go through the H Pylori where the consensuses were wrong.

I hope their consensus is right. I hope all transgender people are happy transgender, they're well-adjusted, whatever treatment they get, and we reduce the mental illness and the suicide. So I have
no desire to prove them wrong, but I was surprised at the personal nature of the attacks versus citing what I said that was wrong.

No one has pointed out the mistakes that I made, and if you read -- it's extensive literature review and the basic propositions are so simple. There are no little boys born as little girls and little girls born as -- I don't even know what it means. But people were certainly mad.

Just as an aside, is it the 14th? Yesterday in Australia somebody claimed to have found the process that makes little boys and little girls. That's an amazing discovery in science, just unbelievable. That could be a real key to this thing, really. Every embryo would be a little girl unless there is a particular protein that intervenes. Whether it's true or not, it is fantastic research.
Q. What are the best studies you think in terms of showing the efficacy -- that get the closest to what you think a study should be --
A. Well, unfortunately --
Q. -- in terms of showing the efficacy -- I'm sorry. Let me just finish.
A. Yes.
Q. -- in terms of showing the efficacy of
surgery to treat gender dysphoria?
A. Unfortunately, nobody has asked me or paid me. I've done most of this work on my own, my own money to look at that issue. I'd love to review all the studies out on the efficacy of surgery. I collected a bunch of them. That is part of the references I sent you, but it's so complicated in the surgical part, that there are no studies that I would endorse as really being definitive one way or the other. I'd say we know very little. But I do believe in the physician's creed: Above all do no harm.

And cutting a little boy's penis off just seems to me a potential for disaster. Should he want -- and they say only a small fraction change their mind, but should he want to identify -- and by the way, I find that strange, because I find children go back and forth quite often, but it's probably not true for adults.
Q. So are you saying you believe the standard of care for children with gender dysphoria is to provide genital surgery to them?
A. No, sir. Are there advocates for very early gender -- yes, sir, there are advocates for very early hormone blocking, and the earlier the gender surgery done the better. There is paper after paper that
states that. I believe Schechter wrote a paper that says that.
Q. What paper is that?
A. Well, I don't remember. I have to go -- he's written quite a bit. But the idea is, the earlier the adjustment procedure, having the surgery, the younger the patient is. I'm not talking about teens now, I'm talking about $20 \mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{~s}, 40 \mathrm{~s}$. It would seem to me more likely to get a benefit out of a younger person than an older person.
Q. So when you're talking about a little boy, you're talking about a 20 -year-old individual?
A. Who's the little boy?
Q. You said that you were objecting to having surgery on a little boy. And I'm trying to understand --
A. I apologize. I object to putting a little boy in a gender-affirming environment where he knows nothing else but that being raised as a little girl, let's say. Let's say he's a biological boy, raised as a little girl. Then he's on this developmental path, puberty blocking, hormone addition, and then surgery, that when he wakes up in 20 years after being on this path -- but certainly the surgery doesn't occur in adolescence. We're not that barbaric yet.
you could show they had plastic surgery and they have a more positive outlook on life and they're less burdened in their daily work, yes. I would not be surprised at all.
Q. So your criticism or your opinions are limited to the notion that the surgery -- or I'm sorry, that the research does not show that this surgical treatment is effective; is that -- am I understanding correctly?
A. Well, it doesn't show it is safe and effective, and effective also means cost effective compared to other treatment. Make it simple. When you talk about kidney transplant and whether it's a successful transplant -- and I've worked on this problem. You can take one of two measures. One is, how well does the kidney work? And you get 99 percent effective now. We have very high rates of successful surgery.

The other measure is, how good is the quality of life after a year? And I'm sorry to say the results of surgery are much better than the results when you measure quality of life. The fact is, the majority of people with transplants don't die of the transplant, they die of other diseases that occur in part because their immune system is weakened.

MR. KILPATRICK: Do you mind if we go off the record?

MR. KNIGHT: You want to take a break? Sure. (Recess taken.)
BY MR. KNIGHT:
Q. In your report when you talk about safety, were you talking -- were you opining about complication rates in surgery for treatment of gender dysphoria?
A. No, sir.
Q. You were talking about safety in a more general way, or you were talking about -- let me make sure. You were not addressing complication rates compared with respect to surgery; is that right, comparative complication rates?
A. No, that is right.
Q. You were talking about surgery as opposed to another form of treatment?
A. Well, the end point is dysphoria, yes, sir.
Q. Would you agree with Dr. Schechter that surgery can be effective treatment for some individuals with gender dysphoria?
A. I don't know that for sure, because I don't have the experience. But I would be surprised if there are no individuals for which it is good. I mean, could there be an individual out there that's dysphoric and

So the issue of how much did surgery, even the most basic transplant, contribute to the increase in quality of life, is what is critical. That is the piece. That is the piece I'm missing that I would like to see. But could there be -- are there likely to be patients for which it has a positive impact equal of life? Sure.
Q. And are you suggesting that kidney transplants should not be provided for patients because you don't think there's enough research to support it?
A. Well, no, there is quite a bit of research now on quality of life. In the early days -- when did it start, in the '80s? Starzl did it at Pittsburgh. There wasn't enough research. But now we have research, but we also know there are a lot of patients we don't transplant that we used to transplant.

I was involved in a case whether or not a man on death row should be provided a liver transplant. And these are very complicated issues when it comes to quality of life. But, yes, I would love to see a study that shows that surgery improves quality of life for transgender patients, and particularly with respect to the risk of dysphoria.
Q. But my question was about transplants, and maybe I'll ask kidney transplants. And I will ask it
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| 1 | slightly differently than I did before. | 1 | that hormone therapy improves people's experience with |
| 2 | Are you saying that in the early days when | 2 | gender dysphoria? |
| 3 | the research was not there to show that a surgery | 3 | A. I didn't say that. I said there is not |
| 4 | transplant was effective -- safe and effective | 4 | sufficient evidence at a group level that it's an |
| 5 | treatment for someone with kidney failure that that | 5 | effective treatment, meaning the percentage of |
| 6 | should not have been provided? | 6 | people -- I don't remember the Murad study right off |
| 7 | A. Well, now we're getting confused. Because in | 7 | the top of my head, but I'm 99 percent sure it did not |
| 8 | the kidney transplant your kidney is failing, you have | 8 | talk about the incidence of gender dysphoria or show |
| 9 | end-stage renal disease. The goal of the surgery is to | 9 | the decreases. I will go back to check the study to be |
| 10 | have a translated kidney. So that is a medical | 10 | sure |
| 11 | procedure. | 11 | Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.) |
| 12 | In this case, it's an indirect case, because | 12 | MR. KILPATRICK: I'm sorry, Jim, did we ever |
| 13 | it is a surgical procedure, but the outcome, which is | 13 | mark the New Atlantis? Yes? What number was that? 5. |
| 14 | what is critical in a clinical study, is the risk of | 14 | Okay, thank you. |
| 15 | dysphoria, and do we have evidence that it reduces the | 15 | BY MR. KNIGHT: |
| 16 | risk of dysphoria? | 16 | Q. I just want to direct you to couple of the |
| 17 | So it is a very good point you are making. | 17 | studies that she mentions here. |
| 18 | When people got the kidney transplant, if we could show | 18 | So the De Cuypere study -- I'm looking at her |
| 19 | they now have an effective kidney, that's all -- that's | 19 | reference list on page 38. |
| 20 | what we asked for in the early days. It took a long | 20 | Are you familiar with that study? |
| 21 | time to show improvement of quality of life. Maybe | 21 | A. Yes, sir. |
| 22 | that's what we do here. Maybe that's what we do here. | 22 | Q. And does that show the efficacy -- does that |
| 23 | I don't know. I don't know. | 23 | study show the efficacy of surgery as treatment for |
| 24 | Q. Isn't that what the studies show here with | 24 | gender dysphoria? |
| 25 | respect to gender dysphoria, that surgery improves | 25 | A. I don't remember specifically. I mean, I |
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| 1 | quality of life for individuals with gender dysphoria? | 1 | knew of the study. There are so many studies here, I |
| 2 | A. Actually, the studies are silly. They show | 2 | would have to go back and take a look at it. I do |
| 3 | that you spent $\$ 50,000$, people have a better body | 3 | remember that they have some statements about cause and |
| 4 | image. You spend $\$ 50,000$ on me, I'll have a better | 4 | effect, whether it is actually the percent that |
| 5 | body image too. | 5 | dysphoria -- I don't think it is, but I want to make |
| 6 | I go back. Dysphoria is a serious mental | 6 | sure. |
| 7 | illness. Does anyone show that the incidence, the | 7 | Q. So I guess what I am trying to get at is, in |
| 8 | prevalence, or the risk of dysphoric behavior is | 8 | general, what is it you think is wrong with these -- |
| 9 | reduced, the answer is no, and that is what brothers | 9 | all these studies that have been done to show that |
| 0 | me. | 10 | surgery and hormone therapy are effective treatment for |
| 11 | Q. Well, we looked earlier at one of the studies | 11 | gender dysphoria? |
| 12 | you had cited. Let me just find my reference to that. | 12 | A. First of all, the investigators clearly are |
| 13 | So the Murad story that you cited in the New | 13 | not equipoise. They're not equal between the |
| 14 | Atlantis paper? | 14 | hypothesis that works and that doesn't. The people |
| 15 | A. Where are you | 15 | that published this can be on one side or the other, |
| 16 | Q. Where in the New Atlantis did you cite it? | 16 | but it's clear, in my opinion, their analysis follows, |
| 17 | A. Yes, sir. Oh, I thought that is what you | 17 | at least in part, from their prior beliefs about it. |
| 18 | were reading from. | 18 | Second of all -- |
| 19 | Q. I'm not. I was going to ask -- so I'm | 19 | Q. I'm sorry. How do you -- why do you come to |
| 20 | reading from the study itself. The Murad study | 20 | that conclusion? |
| 21 | concludes that gender reassignment with the use of | 21 | A. Because they'll have statements -- for |
| 22 | hormone therapies -- I'm sorry. With the use of | 22 | example, I mean, she has a statement that transitioning |
| 23 | hormone therapies were associated with the improvements | 23 | is medically necessary. I believe she has the |
| 24 | in gender dysphoria. I thought you were saying -- | 24 | statement that transition is medically necessary. What |
| 25 | trying to tell me that there were no studies showing | 25 | do you mean to transition? What is it that gets |
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| 1 | transitioned? That's an opinion piece. What does | 1 | You're saying they all have a position about whether |
| 2 | mean to even transition? You are a transgender woman. | 2 | it's effective prior to doing the researc |
| 3 | Does "transition" mean to transition your appearance? | 3 | A. Yes. Just read the Hopkins thing. They're |
| 4 | Then that's an empirical question. The most important | 4 | advocates one way or the other way. I mean, the way I |
| 5 | question is the idea that you feel good about yourself. | 5 | was treated at Hopkins by people, including the dean, |
| 6 | Like the goat gonads. Once you have a third | 6 | is if I -- I'm going to finish this. If I had not been |
| 7 | gonad into your testicle sack, you feel empowered | 7 | a very senior person, it would have ended my career. |
| 8 | sexually. Is not surprising at all. It's called the | 8 | I would tell any young person who wants to be |
| 9 | theory of sum cost to economists. | 9 | an independent medical researcher, don't work on this |
| 10 | So they don't actually measure the gender | 10 | topic, because this topic will destroy your career. |
| 11 | dysphoria, they don't actually break it down into | 11 | Because when a topic becomes so political, we have |
| 12 | incident rate, and they don't show, which is clinical | 12 | people on one side, people on the other, then all we do |
| 13 | trials 101, a significant difference between people who | 13 | is talk past each other. We use all sorts of |
| 14 | get the treatment and people who don't in terms of risk | 14 | inflammatory language. |
| 15 | of being gender dysphoric. So as they improve body | 15 | And the question ought to be, what really |
| 16 | image, feel better about themselves, more positive | 16 | works for these people? And I hope surgery really |
| 17 | outlook in life, those are fine. You know, they may be | 17 | works for them, but, boy, I will tell you with all the |
| 18 | fine for surgery. They aren't fine in psychiatry. | 18 | patients they've had and all they've done, not to be |
| 19 | The question is, are these people having | 19 | able to demonstrate any stronger than this makes me |
| 20 | serious life adjustment problems, and are those | 2 | really worried. When they have very strong studies |
| 21 | problems alleviated by the surgery? That is all I want | 21 | showing breast augmentation, tummy tucks, face lifts, |
| 22 | to say on that. | 22 | and all that give people a much more positive image of |
| 23 | Q. But you don't think there is any study that | 23 | themselves. |
| 24 | shows that? | 24 | I don't see the same with the transgender. |
| 25 | A. I could not find a study that shows that; not | 25 | And one of the reasons I don't is these are very |
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| 1 | even close. | 1 | troubled people. Are they less -- significantly less |
| 2 | Q. But you said that these researchers -- and | 2 | troubled afterwards? I hope so, but I don't see the |
| 3 | I'm asking about all of these researchers -- who find | 3 | results. |
| 4 | that their research shows that the treatment is | 4 | And by the way, when the results are not |
| 5 | effective, you are saying that the problem is that they | 5 | positive, like the suicide rates stay high, they say, |
| 6 | are not on equipoise? | 6 | well, that is social stigma. Budge just got a whole |
| 7 | A. Correct. | 7 | bunch of stuff on social stigma. Some of the arguments |
| 8 | Q. And what does that mean? | 8 | that the reason they don't do as well is because of |
| 9 | A. Equipoise, meaning they have no prior | 9 | social stigma. Social stigma is there. But the |
| 0 | hypothesis about whether it works or not. Because if | 10 | question is, how do you function in the world you have |
| 11 | you believe it works, then you should not be giving | 11 | around you? I'd like these treatments to work, very |
| 12 | people an alternative treatment. You're supposed to be | 12 | much. |
| 13 | neutral. This is science. There isn't advocacy. | 13 | Q. Would you agree that many of these studies |
| 14 | We're not selling medication. This is science. You | 14 | show a reduced suicide rate among people who have had |
| 15 | should be equipoise between the two hypotheses that it | 15 | the treatment as opposed to those who have not? |
| 16 | works or it doesn't. | 16 | A. Well, I have seen studies that claim that. |
| 17 | But I didn't mean to say there's bias on one | 17 | But I want to say that being in a supportive |
| 18 | side. There is bias on the other side. People who | 18 | environment and doing these other things seems to have |
| 1 | opposed this. No matter what, they oppose it. And | 19 | an effect on significantly decreasing the suicide rate. |
| 20 | that may be fine too, but that's not what science is | 20 | We're talking about a suicide rate, by my memory, that |
| 21 | about. Science is about testing empirical | 21 | is 15,20 times as high as the suicide rate. So if you |
| 22 | propositions. And I want to test that this works for | 22 | take that down by a few percentage, then that would be |
| 23 | these people. That is all I want. | 23 | positive evidence that it makes a difference. |
| 24 | Q. And you are saying all of these researchers | 24 | Is that cost effective versus other |
| 25 | are -- have a -- I guess I'm trying to understand. | 25 | treatment? Is the control group correctly done? Would |
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| 1 | it be true if you just gave them an active control | 1 | don't want the stigma of being a disease, but on the |
| 2 | time and attention? I don't know, because particularly | 2 | other hand, if you have this condition, you do have |
| 3 | in psychiatry, placebos are so powerful. Placebos are | 3 | this condition, and we'll treat this condition. |
| 4 | so powerful. The fact that you're having a surgery and | 4 | Q. So you are saying all the literature treats |
| 5 | people are fussing over you and they're trying to help | 5 | every transgender person as someone with gender |
| 6 | you, does that produce the positive result? I don't | 6 | dysphoria? |
| 7 | know. I want to know. I want to know. I just truly | 7 | A. Not every literature. I believe these gender |
| 8 | don't know. | 8 | mills run by surgeons that make their money off |
| 9 | Q. So the researcher that would be able to do | 9 | transgender surgery. I'm not saying consciously. I |
| 10 | this would be, from what you're telling me, would have | 10 | think they have an unconscious bias to believe every |
| 11 | to be someone who is completely removed from treatment | 11 | patient that comes in has gender dysphoria. Most of |
| 12 | with respect to people with gender dysphoria. | 12 | them would not know gender dysphoria if it bit them in |
| 13 | Is that what you are saying? | 13 | the ass. They didn't become plastic surgeons to worry |
| 14 | A. They could be treating. They'd have to be | 14 | about people feelings. They became plastic surgeons to |
| 15 | open minded. I doubt they could be part of a gender | 15 | do surgery. |
| 16 | mill, which I'll encourage some of these patients. And | 16 | I teach surgeons. I know who becomes a |
| 17 | I go to their lectures. They've never met a child who | 17 | surgeon, particularly orthopedic and plastic surgery. |
| 18 | comes in that wasn't having some sort of sexual -- | 18 | So do they think they're doing well? Yes. But can |
| 19 | they're transgender. Two years old, three years old, | 19 | they demonstrate it? No. All I want them to do is |
| 20 | they're transgender. And they put them in an | 20 | demonstrate it. That's all I want. |
| 21 | environment that propagandizes them. Particularly th | 21 | Q. Do you understand that surgery is not |
| 22 | parents. | 22 | provided by -- to a transgender individual without a |
| 23 | The parents I'm really concerned. I have | 23 | clinician who is trained as a mental health |
| 24 | seen -- I believe he has triplets, let me say this. | 24 | practitioner to treat gender dysphoria? |
| 25 | Parents of two boys, and mom tells me when they came | 25 | A. And I'm telling you right here and now it's a |
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| 1 | out, it was clear that one of the twins was a ma | 1 | joke. They have a master's level clinical psychologist |
| 2 | the other was a female. | 2 | at Johns Hopkins that -- talking to great big surgeons. |
| 3 | I said, At what age? At three days. I knew | 3 | And I'd be very interested in seeing their data on how |
| 4 | that was a female at three days. Yes, I've seen these | 4 | many people they don't have gender dysphoria. |
| 5 | patients. Three days. She wants a girl and she's | 5 | Because these people are struggling, and they |
| 6 | going to have a little girl. There's nothing wrong | 6 | see they're struggling. That's not enough. That's not |
| 7 | with this. The point is, do these treatments work? | 7 | enough. Do they have major depressive disorder? Can |
| 8 | All I want to know is what works. | 8 | they not get of out of bed this morning? What is their |
| 9 | Q. So you're saying every clinician treating | 9 | dysfunction? Then let's go after that dysfunction. If |
| 10 | gender dysphoria tells everyone who walks in that they | 10 | that requires hormone, if that requires surgery, that's |
| 11 | have gender dysphoria? | 11 | fine, but let's get the evidence that it works. That |
| 12 | Is that what you are saying | 12 | is all I'm asking. Is it that great? That's all I |
| 13 | A. I've explained to you that people that come | 13 | want. |
| 14 | into the gender clinic that show the condition, A, seem | 14 | MR. KNIGHT: Let's take about a five-minute |
| 15 | to be told they have gender dysphoria and are | 15 | break. |
| 16 | immediately put in a supportive environment. If there | 16 | MR. KILPATRICK: Okay. |
| 1 | are others that that does not happen for, in other | 17 | (Recess taken.) |
| 18 | words, we say, you're struggling with your gender | 18 | BY MR. KNIGHT: |
| 1 | identity and that's fine. We don't think you need any | 19 | Q. When we broke, Dr. Mayer, I think you were |
| 20 | treatment. You are not dysphoric. Where is the | 20 | talking about the research, and I think you were |
| 21 | publication on those patients? | 21 | telling me that researchers -- let me just -- I think |
| 22 | I'm telling you I see a one-to-one | 22 | you were talking about clinicians and that clinicians |
| 23 | correspondence in the literature between being | 23 | provide or make a gender dysphoria diagnosis for |
| 24 | transgender and being gender dysphoric. So we got rid | 24 | everyone who walks into the gender dysphoria clinic. |
| 25 | of the label of gender identity disorder, because we | 25 | Did I misunderstand what you were saying? |

A. I don't know if it's everyone that walks in. I tried to get evidence on how many transgenders they do not believe are dysphoric, and I have not been able to get that. I've not been able. You'd think someone would publish it somewhere unless people believe that if you're transgender you're automatically gender dysphoria, which really just gives gender identity disorder a new name.
Q. Well, do you have any basis for this -- your position that everyone is being diagnosed with gender dysphoria?
A. No, I don't believe everybody's being diagnosed. I think the bulk is. Or where is the group -- where are the statistics on the group that are not being identified. Let me say it better: I have no access to any data on people that are found to be transgender and not found to be gender dysphoria. I would like to know what happens to them. How well are they adjusted? How will they go on in life? If we're serious about this condition, we have to look at the people who are transgender.

And I do believe some of the advocates believe every transgender has gender dysphoria, because it's the struggle they have with the gender that is opposite their sex. And that is not fair, because we
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worked too hard to get rid of that diagnosis.
Q. But, again, I'm asking, do you have any basis for saying that everyone who --
A. I didn't say it, so I can't have a basis for it.
Q. Okay, well --
A. You've repeated it three times. Please don't repeat it a fourth, because I didn't say it. If you want to ask me if I said something, please repeat something I said or have her read it back. Thank you.

I didn't say it. I didn't say anything about every transgender being dysphoric.
Q. I believe what you said was most gender persons with gender dysphoria.
A. I don't even know that. I know I have not been able to get any data, and nobody is publishing on the -- you find me a publication on well-adjusted transgenders. I have tried to find it. Could there be a community somewhere in Greenland of perfectly well-adjusted transgenders? Why not? Why in the world would they need any surgery? Why would they need any treatment?

The idea was, get the illness off the back of the transgender and say, Look, you're perfectly fine as people. I truly believe that in my heart, but if
you're struggling so badly that you can't function, then we have to do something about that struggle. It's debilitating you. Might that be hormone therapy? Might that be surgery? Might that be talk therapy? Might it be a combination? Yes.

I want the answers to those questions, but, yes, I have asked for information and data, including from advocates, including from Bailey who is a well-known sociologist in this, on where are the transgender people who are well-adjusted? And I don't see any papers about it. Is there an equivalence? And I don't see any reports on them.
Q. So when you were talking about the -- what you were pointing to in terms of the basis is the absence of studies.

Is that what I'm understanding?
A. Absolutely. I'm here to say that there's an absence, not only of studies, but there's an absence of evidence. We need evidence.
Q. What other evidence would there be other than studies and clinical experience?
A. There would be open -- let me say, there is one gender clinic in UK, they're very open about the data. They're open about how many people come in. They're open about how many go on to different Page 189
procedures. They're very open with the data. Our centers are not open with the data. I've written to the centers. I've gotten no response.

I just want a breakdown. I'm asking them for a breakdown of their patients. What else can I do? Nobody pays me to do any of this stuff.

It seems to me if you go back to do no harm, you have to have evidence that treatment is effective and safe as a treatment for gender dysphoria. That means the incidence or prevalence of gender dysphoria is decreased by application of this treatment. I don't see evidence to that.
Q. I believe you said earlier that the researchers in this area are not at equipoise.
(Reporter clarification.)

## BY MR. KNIGHT:

Q. And what is your basis for that statement?
A. Well, because it is such a political area, the only people who write in this are people who do so at tremendous professional risk from both sides. Whenever an area becomes this political, like gun control or anything, abortion, you try to be an independent researcher at your own risk. I never would have done this 10 years ago. I never would have written with Paul McHugh 10 years ago. I knew what the

|  | $\text { ge } 190$ |  | ge 192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | blowback would be | 1 | When you say that's what this case is about, |
| 2 | And, by the way, he is the former chair for | 2 | I don't know what this case is about. No one told me |
| 3 | 25 years, the most senior psychiatrist, and Hopkins, in | 3 | what the case is. Maybe I should have known what the |
| 4 | fact, tried to fire him. Tried to get rid of a tenured | 4 | case is about. I don't know what the case is about. |
| 5 | professor because of his position on these issues. | 5 | was asked to look at one report and comment on whether |
| 6 | So we have advocates one way that say it's | 6 | or not there is sufficient evidence to show that these |
| 7 | oral, it's against their religion. We have the | 7 | are safe and effective for treatment of gender |
| 8 | advocates the other way who say, these people ar | 8 | dysphoria. |
| 9 | suffering, we have to give them $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, and D , an | 9 | Q. So you really don't understand that this is a |
| 10 | reduce that suffering. And I'm in the middle. I'm | 10 | case about denying coverage for treatment for people |
| 11 | sure there are other people too. I just want to find | 11 | who are transgender, is that what you're telling me? |
| 12 | the evidence. | 12 | A. First of all, they can't be treated for being |
| 13 | Why not have a board, an independent boar | 13 | transgender, because transgender is not a disorder, so |
| 14 | you send these patients to made up of people who have | 14 | that can't be the issue of the case. If you mean |
| 15 | no dog in the fight, and they review the reports of why | 15 | they're being denied treatment for gender dysphoria -- |
| 16 | you believe this patient deserves or needs this surgery | 16 | Q. That is what I mean. |
| 1 | and let that board decide? We do that in a lot of | 17 | A. Well, I've read it in the complaint. I've |
| 18 | cases, including burn surgery. | 18 | read it in Budge, but compared to most cases I'm on, |
| 19 | Q. I don't understand. What kind of board ar | 19 | I've read virtually nothing about these two women. |
| 20 | you talking abour | 20 | Budge -- and I'm sorry I don't want to tell you, but |
| 21 | A. A hospital can have a board or an insur | 21 | Budge doesn't rate very high in my impression of |
| 22 | can have a board like an appeal board. You come in and | 22 | medical expertise. So the fact of the matter is, I |
| 23 | you state your case. So, for example, they won't give | 23 | don't know much about these -- about these two women, |
| 24 | you -- I just worked on one. They wouldn't give | 24 | and I certainly don't know what decisions are made on |
| 25 | someone testosterone because they thought he was using | 25 | their behalf. I'm not sure how it would affect my |
|  | Page 191 |  | Page 193 |
| 1 | it for sexual enhancement. The board simply looked at | 1 | opinion. |
| 2 | his T level. His testosterone was three standard | 2 | Q. I've tried to understand the issues with |
| 3 | deviation, and they decided to do it. | 3 | respect to the research that is out there, and I'm |
| 4 | So if you have a specific patient where you | 4 | still -- you've said that these investigators -- one |
| 5 | believe that this could be of benefit, and you have | 5 | thing you've told me is that you believe these |
| 6 | evidence to that, then why not approve it for that | 6 | investigators who have done the research in this area |
| 7 | patient? | 7 | are not in equipoise. |
| 8 | Somehow you seem negative against that. I'm | 8 | What are the other problems with the surveys? |
| 9 | not sure why you'd be -- I can't imagine why you would | 9 | A. The fact is, the area is so political that -- |
| 10 | be negative. | 10 | you know that. The academic leads their advocates one |
| 11 | Q. Well, I think that's exactly what we | 11 | way, advocates the other way, and they're fighting |
| 12 | talking about in this case, which is that decisions | 12 | about some greater grand principle of -- I don't |
| 13 | about whether these treatments should be provided | 13 | exactly know. Is it a religious principle or something |
| 14 | should be decided on an individualized basis. | 14 | like that? I want to take care of patients, so it's a |
| 15 | A. Yes, but I don't believe it should be decided | 15 | problem when something gets this political, it's hard |
| 16 | by anyone who makes a dime out of that case. I feel | 16 | to find people who are independent. |
| 17 | that very strongly. He or she should be allowed to | 17 | So you have a treating physician. And we |
| 18 | testify -- the reason academic physicians are so | 18 | used to call this -- by the way, I think the term is |
| 1 | important is because we don't have a clinical practice. | 19 | gone -- but compassionate care. And as director of |
| 20 | My reputation in 45 -- I've been a tenured professor | 20 | research, I often passed on compassionate care. That |
| 21 | for 45 years -- rests on my opinion, my prestige, my | 21 | is where you have a treatment that's still in research, |
| 22 | honesty, my ethics. People trust me. I believe that. | 22 | and you apply -- the rules are different now. But you |
| 23 | They trust me not to have a dog in any of these fights. | 23 | apply to use on a patient for which it is not |
| 24 | Have people like that. I've worked for Jehovah's | 24 | indicated, it goes to a board, and the board decides |
| 25 | Witnesses whether to give their child a transfusion. | 25 | whether it should be used on that patient. That board |

has got to be independent. My guess is there are patients for which it would be used. And my guess is there are patients for which they'll say there is no adjustment in life severe enough that they'll need to have this treatment.
Q. I guess I'm trying to -- if you were saying that this issue is so politicized that -- you seem to be suggesting that research in this area is not possible.

Is that what you're saying?
A. I worry -- I worry about it. I do worry about that. I hope it's not true, but I worry about this country is so polarized and so many different views. I mean, take it that there are people who not only hate the ACLU, but when they find out I'm a member of the ACLU, they want to kill me and shoot me. How can you be part of that organization? I don't understand, I really don't understand it.

Why are we so opinionated that it drives our facts? There are people out there suffering. What works for these people? How do we demonstrate? I would be better at the equipoise if I could see a spark of curiosity in people like this doctor. Is he curious about whether it works? No, he's convinced it works. It works in every case. It's wonderful it works.
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Nonsense.
Q. And that is your opinion about all of the researchers --
A. No, it's not. I don't know all of the researchers. I can talk -- I like to make general characterizations as a statistician. You're very concrete and like to get the -- kind of like an engineer. Specifically I don't know all the people doing research, but I can tell you being beat about the head and neck by people sending in 500 people and writing a letter to the president of the university, and requesting the dean fire me. You'd never survive that as a young professor. Never.

So would I -- if someone came to me, like me, and I'm thinking my wife died, left a trust fund of endowing a physician at Hopkins or Harvard to study this issue, it would be very hard to fill that position, even with support, because it is so political.

And part of that is people view it as a civil rights issue, and that is very important. Because once you view it as a civil rights issue, you appear to be on a side that is against people's rights.

MR. KNIGHT: I think I'm done.
MR. KILPATRICK: I don't have any questions.

MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
(The videotaped deposition of
LAWRENCE S. MAYER concluded at 3:51 p.m.)
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