

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SHARONELL FULTON, et al.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	NO. 18-2075
	:	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this __20th__ day of June, 2018, upon consideration of Plaintiffs’ Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (“First Motion”) (Doc. 10) and Plaintiffs’ Amended Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (“Amended Motion”) (Doc. 13), and Plaintiffs’ letter to the Court dated June 8, 2010,¹ **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED** that the First Motion (Doc. 10) is **DISMISSED AS MOOT** and the Amended Motion (Doc. 13) shall be treated as Plaintiffs’ original filing for the purposes of this case.

¹ In the June 8 letter, Plaintiffs’ counsel explained that Plaintiffs filed their Amended Motion “in an abundance of caution after discussions with the City regarding information concerning the needs of certain minor children” Plaintiffs’ counsel further explained that the amendments to the First Motion are not substantive and requested that the Amended Motion be “treated as Plaintiffs’ original filing for all relevant purposes.” Letter from Nicholas M. Centrella, Attorney for Plaintiffs, to Chambers of Judge Petrese B. Tucker (Jun. 8, 2018).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall maintain the First Motion (Doc. 10) **UNDER SEAL** to protect the privacy interests and identities of certain minor children identified in the First Motion.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Petrese B. Tucker

Hon. Petrese B. Tucker, U.S.D.J.