

1 XAVIER BECERRA  
 Attorney General of California  
 2 MARK R. BECKINGTON  
 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
 3 GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 4 ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 5 State Bar No. 239087  
 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702  
 6 Los Angeles, CA 90013  
 Telephone: (213) 269-6250  
 7 Fax: (213) 897-5775  
 E-mail: Enrique.Monagas@doj.ca.gov  
 8 *Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor*  
*State of California*  
 9

10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 11 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 12 RIVERSIDE DIVISION  
 13

14 **AIDEN STOCKMAN, et al.,**  
 15 Plaintiffs,  
 16  
 17 v.  
 18 **DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official**  
**capacity as President of the United**  
**States, et al.,**  
 19 Defendants.  
 20

5:17-CV-01799-JGB-KK

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF RECENT AUTHORITY**

Date: July 30, 2018  
 Time: 9:00 a.m.  
 Courtroom: 1  
 Judge: Hon. Jesus G. Bernal

Action Filed: 9/5/2017

21 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA,**  
 22 Plaintiff-Intervenor,  
 23  
 24 v.  
 25 **DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official**  
**capacity as President of the United**  
**States, et al.,**  
 26 Defendants.  
 27  
 28

1 Plaintiff-Intervenor the State of California and Named Plaintiffs Aiden  
2 Stockman, Nicolas Talbott, Tamasyn Reeves, Jaquice Tate, John Does 1-2,  
3 Jane Doe, and Equality California (collectively, Plaintiffs) respectfully provide  
4 notice that on July 18, 2018, the Ninth Circuit denied Defendants’ request to stay  
5 the preliminary injunction entered in *Karnoski, et al. v. Trump, et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-  
6 1297-MJP (W. Dist. Wash), thereby “preserv[ing] the status quo” and “allowing  
7 transgender service members to serve in the military in their preferred gender and  
8 receive transition-related care” pending appeal. A copy of the order is attached.  
9

10 Dated: July 24, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

11 XAVIER BECERRA  
12 Attorney General of California  
13 MARK R. BECKINGTON  
14 Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
15 GABRIELLE D. BOUTIN  
16 Deputy Attorney General

*/s/ Enrique A. Monagas*

17 ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS  
18 Deputy Attorney General  
19 *Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor*  
20 *State of California*

21 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
22 Marvin S. Putnam  
23 Amy C. Quartarolo  
24 Adam S. Sieff  
25 Harrison J. White

*/s/ Amy C. Quartarolo*

26 Amy C. Quartarolo  
27 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*  
28 *Aiden Stockman, Nicolas Talbott,*  
*Tamasyn Reeves, Jaquice Tate,*  
*John Does 1-2, Jane Doe, and*  
*Equality California*

SA2017109391  
62906791.docx

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-4.3.4(a)(2)(i), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories to this document.

Dated: July 24, 2018

/s/ Enrique A. Monagas

ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS  
Deputy Attorney General  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor  
State of California*

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 18 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

RYAN KARNOSKI; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

STATE OF WASHINGTON, Attorney  
General's Office Civil Rights Unit,

Intervenor-Plaintiff-  
Appellee,

v.

DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official  
capacity as President of the United States; et  
al.,

Defendants-Appellants.

No. 18-35347

D.C. No. 2:17-cv-01297-MJP  
Western District of Washington,  
Seattle

ORDER

Before: TASHIMA, SILVERMAN, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

On December 11, 2017, the district court granted appellees' motion for a preliminary injunction. On March 29, 2018, appellants moved to dissolve the preliminary injunction in light of the March 23, 2018 presidential memorandum and proposed Department of Defense policy. On April 13, 2018, the district court declined to dissolve the preliminary injunction and struck appellants' motion. On April 30, 2018, appellants' filed the instant appeal.

Before the court is appellants' motion for a stay of the December 11, 2017 preliminary injunction pending this appeal of the April 13, 2018 order striking

appellant's motion to dissolve the preliminary injunction. Appellant's motion in this court requests neither emergency nor expedited treatment.

A stay pending appeal "is an intrusion into the ordinary processes of administration and judicial review." *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 427 (2009) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

The district court's December 11, 2017 preliminary injunction preserves the status quo, allowing transgender service members to serve in the military in their preferred gender and receive transition-related care. Appellants ask this court to stay the preliminary injunction, pending the outcome of this appeal, in order to implement a new policy. Accordingly, a stay of the preliminary injunction would upend, rather than preserve, the status quo.

Therefore, we deny the motion for a stay of the December 11, 2017 preliminary injunction (Docket Entry No. 3).

Briefing is complete.