

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

January 22, 2018

Clerk  
United States Court of Appeals  
for the Ninth Circuit  
95 Seventh Street (Zip: 94103-1526)  
P. O. Box 193939  
San Francisco, CA 94119-3939

**Re: In Re United States, et al.,  
No. 17-801 (Your docket No. 17-72917)**

Dear Clerk:

Attached please find a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case. You may obtain a copy of the *Per Curiam* opinion by visiting our website, <http://www.supremecourt.gov>.

Sincerely,

SCOTT S. HARRIS, Clerk

By 

Herve' Bocage  
Judgments/Mandates Clerk

Enc.  
cc: All counsel of record

**Supreme Court of the United States  
Office of the Clerk  
Washington, DC 20543-0001**

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
(202) 479-3011

January 22, 2018

Mr. Noel J. Francisco, Esq.  
Solicitor General of the United States  
Office of the Solicitor General  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

**Re: In Re United States, et al.,  
No. 17-801**

Dear Mr. Francisco:

Attached please find a certified copy of the judgment of this Court in the above-entitled case. You may obtain a copy of the *Per Curiam* opinion by visiting our website, <http://www.supremecourt.gov>.

Sincerely,

SCOTT S. HARRIS, Clerk

By 

Herve' Bocage  
Judgments/Mandates Clerk

Enc.

cc: All counsel of record  
Clerk, USCA Ninth Circuit  
(Your docket No. 17-72917)

# Supreme Court of the United States

No. 17-801

IN RE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,

Petitioners

**ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS** treated as a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

**THIS CAUSE** having been submitted on the petition for writ of certiorari and the response thereto.

**ON CONSIDERATION WHEREOF**, it is ordered and adjudged by this Court that the petition for writ of certiorari is granted. The judgment of the above court in this cause is vacated and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

December 20, 2017

