

subpopulations fared as a result of intervention. The absence of these analyses obscures results for men who are primarily same-sex attracted and seeking intervention regarding these attractions versus any other group of men in these studies, such as men who could be characterized as bisexual in their attractions and behaviors or those on whom treatment was imposed. For these reasons, the external validity (generalizability) of the early studies is unclear, with selection–treatment interactions of particular concern. It is uncertain which effects observed in these studies would hold for which groups of same-sex attracted people.

### SAMPLING AND RECRUITMENT PROCEDURES

Early and recent study samples are typically of convenience, so it is unclear precisely what populations these samples represent. Respondents in the recent studies were typically recruited through ex-gay ministries and advocates of SOCE rather than through population-based probability sampling strategies designed to obtain a representative sample of same-sex attracted people or the subset who experience their attractions as distressing and have sought and been exposed to SOCE. Additionally, study respondents are often invited to participate in these studies by LMHP who are proponents of SOCE, introducing unknown selection biases into the recruitment process (cf. Beckstead, 2003; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

Qualitative studies have been more successful in applying a variety of purposive stratified sampling strategies (e.g., Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001) and developing appropriate comparison samples. However, the qualitative studies were not undertaken with the purpose of determining if SOCE interventions are effective in changing sexual orientation. These studies focused on understanding aspects of the experience of participating in SOCE from the perspective of same-sex attracted people in distress.

As noted previously, recent research has used designs that are incapable of making attributions of intervention effects. In many of the recent studies, the nature of the procedures for recruiting samples is likely to have accentuated response-shift biases rather than to have minimized them, because study recruiters were open proponents of the techniques under scrutiny; it cannot be assumed that the recruiters sought to encourage the participation of those individuals whose experiences ran counter to their own view of the value of these approaches. Proponents of these efforts may also have limited access to the research for

former clients who were perceived to have failed the intervention or who experienced it as harmful. Some of the recent research to assess harm resulting from these interventions (Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002) suffers from sampling weaknesses and biases of a similar nature.

### *Treatment Environments*

Clinically trained professionals using reasonably well-described change efforts generally conducted early research in clinical laboratory settings. By contrast, the recent research included a wide variety of change efforts, providers, and settings in which these efforts may take place. The recent research has not been performed in a manner that permits examination of the interactions among characteristics of change efforts, providers, settings, and individuals seeking to change, nor does the research associate these patterns with outcomes.

### Summary

Our analysis of the methodology of SOCE reveals substantial deficiencies. These deficiencies include limitations in making causal claims due to threats to internal validity (such as sample attrition, use of retrospective pretests); lack of construct validity,

*The recent empirical literature provides little basis for concluding whether SOCE has any effect on sexual orientation.*

including definition and assessment of sexual orientation; and variability of study treatments and outcome measures.

Additional limitations with recent research include problems with conclusion validity (the ability to make inferences from the data) due to small or skewed samples, unreliable measures, and inappropriate selection and performance of statistical tests. Due to these limitations, the recent empirical literature provides little basis for concluding whether SOCE has any effect on sexual orientation. Any reading of the literature on SOCE outcomes must take into account the limited generalizability of the study samples to the population of people who experience same-sex sexual attraction and are distressed by it. Taking into account the weaknesses and limitations of the evidence base, we next summarize the results from research in which same-sex sexual attraction and behavior have been treated.

certified as true and correct copy

## 4. A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RESEARCH ON THE EFFICACY OF SEXUAL ORIENTATION CHANGE EFFORTS: OUTCOMES

In Chapter 3, we provided an overview of our systematic review of research on sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE)<sup>36</sup> and the results of the review for methodological concerns. In this chapter, we describe the evidence on outcomes associated with SOCE, whether beneficial or harmful. No studies reported effect size estimates or confidence intervals, and many studies did not report all of the information that would be required to compute effect sizes. As a result, statistical significance and methodology are considered in interpreting the importance of the findings. As the report will show, the peer-refereed empirical research on the outcomes of efforts to alter sexual orientation provides little evidence of efficacy and some evidence of harm. We first summarize the evidence of efficacy and then the evidence of unintended harmful effects.

### Reports of Benefit

Sexual orientation change efforts have aimed to address distress in individuals with same-sex sexual attractions by achieving a variety of outcomes:

- Decreased interest in, sexual attraction to, and sexual behavior with same-sex sexual partners.

<sup>36</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person's same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals, religious leaders, social groups, and other lay networks, such as self-help groups) are involved

- Increased interest in, sexual attraction to, and sexual behavior with other-sex sexual partners.
- Increased healthy relationships and marriages with other-sex partners.
- Improved quality of life and mental health.

Although not all of these aims are equally well studied, these are the outcomes that have been studied frequently enough to be reported in this systematic review. One general point that we wish to emphasize as we begin the discussion of the outcomes that have been reported in this literature is that nonexperimental studies often find positive effects that do not hold up under the rigor of experimentation. The literature on SOCE is generally consistent with this point. In other words, the least rigorous studies in this body of research generally provide a more positive assessment of efficacy than do studies that meet even the most minimal standards of scientific rigor.

### *Decreasing Same-Sex Sexual Attraction*

#### EARLY STUDIES

A number of investigators have assessed aversion therapy interventions to reduce physiological and self-reported sexual arousal in response to same-sex stimuli and self-reports of same-sex sexual attraction (see Appendix B).

Scanned as text  
no format copy

### *Experimental studies*

Results from the experimental studies of aversive techniques provide some evidence that these treatments can reduce self-reported and physiological sexual arousal for some men. The experimental studies that we reviewed showed lower rates of change in sexual arousal toward the same sex than did the quasi-experimental and nonexperimental studies. This finding was consistent with H. E. Adams and Sturgis's (1977) review of studies published through 1976.

In their review, H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) found that across the seven studies that they classified as controlled studies, 34% of the 179 subjects that were retained in these studies decreased their same-sex sexual arousal. McConaghy (1976) found that roughly half of the men who received one of four treatment regimens reported less intense sexual interest in men at 6 months. McConaghy et al. (1972) found reductions in penile response in the laboratory following treatment. Penile response to female nudes also declined for those men who initially responded to female stimuli. McConaghy (1969) similarly reported a decline in sexual arousal to all stimuli as a result of treatment for some men and that treatment also increased same-sex sexual arousal for some men. Overall, however, a majority of participants showed decreases in same-sex sexual arousal immediately following treatment. McConaghy and Barr (1973) found that about half of men reported that their same-sex sexual attractions were reduced. Tanner (1975) found that aversive shock could lessen erectile response to male stimuli.

An important caveat in considering the results of these experiments is that none compared treatment outcomes to an untreated control group. That is, these studies compared treatments to one another. The fact that four of these studies also involved men who were being treated by court referral should also be considered in interpreting the findings. These experiments cannot address whether men would have changed their sexual arousal pattern in the absence of treatment. Only one of the experiments that we identified compared treatment outcomes against the outcomes for an untreated control group. Tanner (1974) examined change in sexual arousal among 8 men receiving electric shock therapy. Tanner found that physiological arousal to male stimuli in the laboratory had declined at the 8-week follow-up, when scores among the 8 men in the treatment were compared with those of the 8 men in a control group. Changes were not achieved for all of the men, and there were no

differences between the experimental and control groups in the frequency of same-sex sexual behavior.

The results of the experimental studies suggest that some men who participate in clinical treatment studies may be conditioned to control their sexual arousal response to sexual stimuli, although McConaghy's (cf. McConaghy, 1999) studies suggest that aversive treatments may affect sexual arousal indiscriminately. These studies found that not all men reduce their sexual arousal to these treatments and that changes in sexual arousal in the lab are not necessarily associated with changes in sexual behavior.

### *Quasi-experimental studies*

The three quasi-experiments listed in Appendix B all compare treatment alternatives for nonequivalent groups of men. Birk et al. (1971) found that 5 (62%) of the 8 men in the aversive treatment condition reported decreased sexual feelings following treatment; one man out of the 8 (12%) demonstrated reduced sexual arousal at long-term follow-up. In comparing groups, the researchers found that reports of same-sex "cruising," same-sex sexual "petting," and orgasm declined significantly for men receiving shocks when compared with men receiving associative conditioning. McConaghy and colleagues (1981) found that 50% of respondents reported decreased sexual feelings at 1 year. S. James (1978) reported that anticipatory avoidance learning was relatively ineffective when compared with desensitization. In their review, H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) found that 50% of the 124 participants in what they termed uncontrolled studies reported reduced sexual arousal.

### *Nonexperimental studies*

Nonexperimental studies, which lack sufficient rigor to assess efficacy but which may be useful in identifying potential treatment approaches, offer a similar view of the impact of aversive treatment on reductions in sexual arousal. For instance, Bancroft (1969), in a within-subject study without a comparison group, delivered electric shocks based on males' penile volume responses to photographs of nude men as they were fantasizing about homosexual sexual encounters. Research subjects underwent a minimum of 30 treatment sessions. Bancroft reported that of the men who were initially sexually attracted to both sexes, 30% ( $n = 3$ ) of these men lessened their same-sex sexual interest over the long-term. Among those with no initial other-sex sexual attraction, no lasting changes were observed in sexual

arousal and attraction. Several other uncontrolled studies found reductions in participants' self-reported sexual attraction and physiological response under laboratory conditions (range = 7%–100%; average = 58%) (Callahan & Leitenberg, 1973; Feldman & MacCulloch, 1965; Fookes, 1960; Hallam & Rachman, 1972; MacCulloch & Feldman, 1967; Sandford, Tustin, & Priest, 1975).

As is typically found in intervention research, the average proportion of men who are reported to change in uncontrolled studies is roughly double the average proportion of men who are reported to change in controlled studies. For instance, as noted previously, results from controlled studies show that far less change can be produced in same-sex sexual arousal by aversion techniques. H.E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) reported that in the nonexperimental studies in their review, 68% of 47 participants reduced their same-sex sexual arousal, compared with 34% of participants in experimental studies.

The studies of nonaversive techniques as the primary treatment, such as biofeedback and hypnosis, were only assessed in the nonexperimental within-subject and patient case studies. For example, Blich and Haynes (1972) treated a single female who was heterosexually experienced and whom they described as strongly committed to reducing her same-sex sexual attractions. Using relaxation, rehearsal, and masturbation reconditioning, she was reported to be able to masturbate without female fantasies 2 months after intervention. Curtis and Presly (1972) used covert sensitization to treat a married man who experienced guilt about his attraction to and extramarital engagement with men. After intervention, he showed reduced other-sex and same-sex sexual interest, as measured by questionnaire items. Huff (1970) treated a single male who was interested in becoming sexually attracted to women. Following desensitization, his journal entries showed that his same-sex sexual fantasies continued, though the ratio of other-sex to same-sex sexual fantasies changed by the 6-month follow-up to favor other-sex sexual fantasies. His MMPI scores showed improvement in his self-concept and reductions in his distress.

By contrast, among the 4 men exposed to orgasmic reconditioning by Conrad and Wincze (1976), all reported decreased same-sex sexual attractions immediately following intervention, but only one demonstrated a short-term measurable alteration in physiological responses to male stimuli. Indeed, one subject's sexual arousal to same-sex sexual stimuli

increased rather than decreased, a result that was obtained for some men in the experimental studies. In a study by Barlow and colleagues (1975), among 3 men who were each exposed to unique biofeedback treatment regimens, all maintained same-sex sexual arousal patterns at follow-up, as measured by penile circumference change in response to photos of male stimuli.

Mintz (1966) found that 8 years after initiating group and individual therapy, 5 of his 10 research participants (50%) had dropped out of therapy. Mintz perceived that among those who remained, 20% ( $n = 1$ ) were distressed, 40% ( $n = 2$ ) accepted their same-sex sexual

*Overall, the low degree of scientific rigor in these studies is likely to lead to overestimates of the benefits of these treatments on reductions in same-sex sexual arousal and attraction and may also explain the contradictory results obtained in nonexperimental studies.*

attractions, and 40% ( $n = 2$ ) were free from conflict regarding same-sex sexual attractions. Birk (1974) assessed the impact of behavioral therapy on 66 men, of whom 60% ( $n = 40$ ) had dropped out of intervention by 7 months. Among those

who remained in the study, a majority shifted toward heterosexual scores on the Kinsey scale by 18 months.

Overall, the low degree of scientific rigor in these studies is likely to lead to overestimates of the benefits of these treatments on reductions in same-sex sexual arousal and attraction and may also explain the contradictory results obtained in nonexperimental studies.

## RECENT STUDIES

Recent studies have investigated whether people who have participated in efforts to change their sexual orientation report decreased same-sex sexual attractions (Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Spitzer, 2003) or how people evaluate their overall experiences of SOCE (Beckstead & Morrow 2004; Pattison & Pattison, 1980; Ponticelli, 1999; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Wolkomir, 2001). These studies all use designs that do not permit cause-and-effect attributions to be made. We conclude that although these studies may be useful in describing people who pursue SOCE and their experiences of SOCE, none of the recent studies can address the efficacy of SOCE or its promise as an intervention. These studies are therefore described elsewhere in the

report in places where they contribute to understanding respondents' motivations for and experiences of SOCE.

## SUMMARY

Overall, early studies suggest that modest short-term effects on reducing same-sex sexual arousal in the laboratory may be obtained for a minority of study participants through some forms of SOCE, principally interventions involving aversion procedures such as electric shock. Short-term reductions in sexual arousal to other-sex stimuli were also reported for some treatments. When outcomes were described for individual participants or subgroups of participants, short-term reductions in same-sex sexual arousal patterns were more commonly reported for people described as having other-sex sexual attractions prior to intervention and high levels of motivation to change. Initial and sustained reductions in sexual arousal were reported less commonly for people who were described as having no other-sex sexual attraction prior to intervention. The results from the uncontrolled studies are more positive than those from the controlled studies, as would be expected. Yet these studies also found that reduction in sexual arousal may not occur for study participants. Recent studies provide no sound scientific basis for determining the impact of SOCE on decreasing same-sex sexual attraction.

## *Decreasing Same-Sex Sexual Behavior*

### EARLY STUDIES

Early studies show that SOCE have limited impact on same-sex sexual behavior, even in cases in which lab results show some reduction in same-sex sexual arousal.<sup>37</sup>

#### *Experimental studies*

In their review, H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) found that across the seven controlled studies published between 1960 and 1976, 18% of 179 subjects in these studies were reported to have decreased same-sex sexual behavior; the percentage reporting reductions in sexual arousal was nearly double that percentage, at 34%. In our review, we found that the results of

<sup>37</sup> In considering the results of early studies on this outcome, readers are advised that data on this outcome are not always reported. In some cases, not all research participants in these studies had engaged in sexual activity with same-sex partners prior to treatment, though they may have fantasized about doing so. In other studies, reducing sexual arousal under lab conditions was examined and not behavior in daily life.

the experimental studies that we reviewed provided a picture of the effects of aversive forms of SOCE similar to that painted by H. E. Adams & Sturgis.

For instance, in his study comparing aversion and aversion relief therapies,<sup>38</sup> McConaghy (1969) reported that about 20% of men had engaged in same-sex sexual behavior within 2 weeks following treatment. No longer-term follow-up data were reported. McConaghy (1976) found that 50% of men had reduced the frequency of their same-sex behavior, 25% had not changed their same-sex behavior, and 25% reported no same-sex behavior at 1 year. McConaghy and Barr (1973) reported that 25% of men had reduced their same-sex sexual behavior at 1-year. Tanner (1975) reported a significant decline in same-sex behavior across treatments. In the only untreated control group study that we identified, Tanner (1974) found that intervention had no effect on rates of same-sex behavior, even though the intervention did reduce changes in penile circumference in response to male stimuli in the lab.

#### *Quasi-experimental studies*

Birk and colleagues (1971) found that 2 of 18 men (11%) had avoided same-sex behavior at 36 months. McConaghy et al. (1981) reported that among the 11 men who were sexually active with same-sex partners, about 25% reduced their same-sex behavior. S. James (1978) did not report on behavior. In their review, H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) found that 50% of the 124 participants in what they called uncontrolled group studies reported reduced sexual arousal, and 42% reported less frequent same-sex sexual behavior. Among the quasi-experiments that we reviewed, the reported reductions in sexual behavior were lower (i.e., 11% and 25%) than what was reported by H. E. Adams and Sturgis. These differences may be due to our more rigorous criteria of what constitutes a quasi-experiment than the criteria employed by Adams and Sturgis.

#### *Nonexperimental studies*

Among the case and single-group within-subject studies, the results are mixed. Some studies found that people reported having abstained from same-sex behavior in the months immediately following intervention or having decreased its frequency. Bancroft (1969) found that 4 of the 10 men in his study had reduced their behavior at follow-up. Freeman and Meyer (1975) found that 7 of the 9 men in their study were abstinent at 18

<sup>38</sup> Aversion therapy involves the application of a painful stimulus; aversion relief therapy involves the cessation of an aversive stimulus.

months. Other single-subject and case study subjects reported declines in or no same-sex behavior (Gray, 1970; Huff, 1970; B. James, 1962, 1963; Kendrick & McCullough, 1972; Larson, 1970; LoPiccolo, 1971; Segal & Sims, 1972).

Not all individuals, however, successfully abstained on every occasion of sexual opportunity (Colson, 1972; Rehm & Rozensky, 1974), and some relapse occurred within months following treatment (Bancroft, 1969; Freeman & Meyer, 1975; Hallam & Rachman, 1972; Levin et al., 1968; MacCulloch et al., 1965; Marquis, 1970). In other studies, the proportion reporting that they changed their sexual behavior was a minority. For instance, among Barlow et al.'s (1975) research participants, 2 of the 3 men demonstrated no change in their same-sex behavior. In the case studies, clients who were described as exclusively attracted to the same sex prior to treatment were most commonly reported to have failed to avoid same-sex sexual behavior following treatment.

## RECENT STUDIES

As we have noted, recent studies provide no sound basis for attributing individual reports of their current behavior to SOCE. No results are reported for these studies.

## SUMMARY

In the early studies with the greatest rigor, it appears that SOCE may have decreased short-term same-sex sexual behavior for a minority of men. However, in the only randomized control group trial, the intervention had no effect on same-sex sexual behavior. Quasi-experimental results found that a minority of men reported reductions in same-sex sexual behavior following SOCE. The nonexperimental studies found that study participants often reported reduced behavior but also found that reductions in same-sex sexual behavior, when reported, were not always sustained.

### *Increasing Other-Sex Sexual Attraction*

Early studies provide limited evidence for reductions in sexual arousal to same-sex stimuli and for reductions in same-sex sexual behavior following aversive treatments. The impact of the use of aversive treatments for increasing other-sex sexual arousal is negligible.

## EARLY STUDIES

### *Experimental studies*

In many of the early experiments on aversive treatments, sexual arousal to female sexual stimuli was a desired outcome. McConaghy (1969) found that about 16% of 40 men increased their sexual arousal to female stimuli immediately following treatment and that 5% increased their sexual arousal to male stimuli. It is unclear how the 50% of men in this study who were aroused by females prior to the treatment were distributed among the men who increased their sexual arousal and among those who did not. In other words, it is possible that most of the men who changed were sexually aroused by women initially. In interviews following treatment, McConaghy (1976) reported that 25% of 157 men indicated that they felt more sexual arousal toward females than they did before treatment. McConaghy et al. (1972) found no change in rates of sexual arousal to female stimuli. McConaghy et al.'s (1972) research participants showed no change in penile volume in response to female stimuli after intervention.

In a randomized control trial, Tanner's (1974) 8 research participants reported increases in sexual fantasizing about other-sex partners after aversive conditioning. However, penile circumference data showed no increased sexual arousal to female stimuli. H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977) found that 26% of 179 participants in the controlled studies that they reviewed increased their sexual arousal toward the other-sex.

### *Quasi-experimental studies*

Birk and colleagues (1971) found no difference between their treatment groups in reported sexual arousal to women. Two men (11% of 18 participants) in the study reported sustained sexual interest in women following treatment. McConaghy and colleagues (1981) reported no significant improvement in attraction to females. S. James (1978) reported little impact of treatment on participants in anticipatory avoidance learning. He noted a general improvement among 80% of the 40 men undergoing desensitization to other-sex situations.

### *Nonexperimental studies*

Among the nonexperimental studies, for men who were described as having some degree of other-sex sexual attraction and experience before the intervention, the balance of studies showed an increase in other-sex sexual attraction over time, although given the nonexperimental nature of these studies, this change

cannot be validly attributed to SOCE. For men with little or no preintervention other-sex sexual attraction, the research provides little evidence of increased other-sex sexual attraction.

As in some of the experimental studies, the results reported in the nonexperiments were not always in the desired direction. Studies occasionally showed that reductions in sexual arousal and interest may occur for same- and other-sex partners, suggesting the possibility that treatments may lower sexual arousal to sexual stimuli in general. For instance, Curtis and Presly's (1972) married male subject reported slightly lower rates of sexual arousal in response to women than before intervention, in addition to reduced same-sex sexual arousal.

Among early studies, many found little or no increases in other-sex sexual attraction among participants who showed limited or no other-sex sexual attraction to begin with. For instance, 2 of the 3 men in Barlow et al.'s (1975) within-subject biofeedback investigation reported little or no other-sex sexual interest prior to intervention. As measured by penile circumference, one of these men demonstrated negligible increases in other-sex sexual attraction; one other individual showed stable low other-sex sexual attraction, which contradicted his self-report.

In contrast, a handful of the early single-patient case studies found increases in other-sex attraction. For instance, Hanson and Adesso's (1972) research participant, who was reported to be primarily same-sex sexually attracted at the onset of intervention, increased his sexual arousal to women and ultimately reported that he enjoyed sex with women. Huff's (1970) male research participant also reported increased other-sex sexual attraction at 6 months following desensitization.

## RECENT STUDIES

As we have noted, recent studies provide no sound basis for attributing individual reports of their current other-sex sexual attraction to SOCE. No results are reported for these studies.

## SUMMARY

Taken together, the research provides little support for the ability of interventions to develop other-sex sexual attraction where it did not previously exist, though it may be possible to accentuate other-sex sexual attraction among those who already experience it.

## *Increasing Other-Sex Sexual Behavior*

Studies on whether interventions can lead to other-sex sexual activity show limited results. These studies show more success for those who had some other-sex sexual orientation (e.g., sexual arousal) and were sexually experienced with members of the other sex prior to intervention than for those who had no other-sex sexual orientation and no history of other-sex sexual behavior. The results for this outcome suggest that some people can initiate other-sex sexual behavior whether or not they have any observed other-sex sexual orientation.

As previously noted, in the early studies many people were described as heterosexually experienced. From the data provided by H.E. Adam and Sturgis in their 1977 review, 61%–80% of male research participants appeared to have histories of dating women, and 33%–63% had sexual intercourse with women prior to intervention. Additionally, some of the men were married at the time of intervention. Because so many of the research participants in these studies had other-sex sexual attractions or intimate relationships at the outset, it is unclear how to interpret changes in their levels of other-sex sexual activity.

## EARLY STUDIES

### *Experimental studies*

According to H. E. Adams and Sturgis (1977), only 8% of participants in controlled studies are reported to have engaged in other-sex sexual behavior following SOCE. Among those studies we reviewed, only 2 participants showed a significant increase in other-sex sexual activity (McConaghy & Barr, 1973; Tanner, 1974). In Tanner's randomized controlled trial, men increased the frequency of intercourse with females but maintained the frequency of intercourse with males.

### *Quasi-experimental studies*

McConaghy et al. (1981) found no difference in the frequency of other-sex sexual behavior following SOCE.

### *Nonexperimental studies*

Among within-subject patient studies in which aversion techniques were used, some studies reported that a subset of 12%–40% of people in the multiple-subject studies and all people in single-patient studies engaged in other-sex sexual behavior following intervention (e.g., Bancroft, 1969; Fookes, 1960; Hallam & Rachman, 1972; Hanson & Adesso, 1972; Kendrick & McCullough, 1972; Larson, 1970). Regarding other techniques

studied in early intervention research, Barlow et al. (1975) reported that 1 of 3 research participants began to date women after biofeedback. Huff's (1970) research participant also began to date women after desensitization training. LoPiccolo (1971) used orgasmic reconditioning to treat a male-female couple. The male could not achieve an erection with his female partner and found sex with women dissatisfying. At 6 months, he was able to develop and maintain an erection and ejaculate intravaginally.

## RECENT STUDIES

As previously noted, recent studies provide no sound basis for attributing individual reports of their current sexual behavior to SOCE. No results are reported for these studies.

## SUMMARY

In general, the results from studies indicate that while some people who undergo SOCE do engage in other-sex sexual behavior afterward, the balance of the evidence suggests that SOCE is unlikely to increase other-sex sexual behavior. Findings show that the likelihood of having sex with other-sex partners for those research participants who possess no other-sex sexual orientation prior to the intervention is low.

### *Marriage*

One outcome that some proponents of efforts to change sexual orientation are reported to value is entry into heterosexual marriage. Few early studies reported on whether people became heterosexually married after intervention. In a quasi-experimental study, Birk et al. (1971) found that 2 of 18 respondents (11%) were married at 36 months. Two uncontrolled studies (Birk, 1974; Larson, 1970) indicated that a minority of research participants ultimately married, though it is not clear what role, if any, intervention played in this outcome. Recent research provides more information on marriage, though research designs do not permit any attribution of marital outcomes to SOCE.

### *Improving Mental Health*

The relationship between mental health, psychological well-being, sexual orientation, sexual orientation identity, and sexual behavior is important. Few studies report health and mental health outcomes, and those that do report outcomes tend to use psychometrically

weak measures of these constructs and weak study designs. Among the early studies that report on mental health, three nonexperimental single-patient case studies report that clients were more self-assured (Blitch & Haynes, 1972) or less fearful and distressed (Hanson & Adesso, 1972; Huff, 1970).

Overall, the lack of high-quality data on mental health outcomes of efforts to change sexual orientation provide no sound basis for claims that people's mental health and quality of life improve. Indeed, these studies add little to understanding how SOCE affects people's long-term mental health.

## Reports of Harm

Determining the efficacy of any intervention includes examination of its side effects and evidence of its harm (Flay et al., 2005; Lilienfeld, 2007). A central issue in the debates regarding efforts to change same-sex sexual attractions concerns the risk of harm to people that may result from attempts to change their sexual orientation. Here we consider evidence of harm in early and recent research.

## EARLY STUDIES

Early research on efforts to change sexual orientation focused heavily on interventions that include aversion techniques. Many of these studies did not set out to investigate harm. Nonetheless, these studies provide some suggestion that harm can occur from aversive efforts to change sexual orientation.

## EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

In McConaghy and Barr's (1973) experiment, 1 respondent of 46 subjects is reported to have lost all sexual feeling and to have dropped out of the treatment as a result. Two participants reported experiencing severe depression, and 4 others experienced milder depression during treatment. No other experimental studies reported on iatrogenic effects.

## QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

None reported on adverse events.

## NONEXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

A majority of the reports on iatrogenic effects are provided in the nonexperimental studies. In the study conducted by Bancroft (1969), the negative outcomes reported include treatment-related anxiety (20% of 16



participants), suicidal ideation (10% of 16 participants), depression (40% of 16 participants), impotence (10% of 16 participants), and relationship dysfunction (10% of 16 participants). Overall, Bancroft reported the intervention had harmful effects on 50% of the 16 research subjects who were exposed to it. Quinn, Harbison, and McAllister (1970) and Thorpe et al. (1964) also reported cases of debilitating depression, gastric distress, nightmares, and anxiety. Herman and Prewett (1974) reported that following treatment, their research participant began to engage in abusive use of alcohol that required his rehospitalization. It is unclear to what extent and how his treatment failure may have contributed to his abusive drinking. B. James (1962) reported symptoms of severe dehydration (acetonuria), which forced treatment to be suspended.

Overall, although most early research provides little information on how research participants fared over the longer term and whether interventions were associated with long-term negative effects, negative effects of treatment are reported to have occurred for some people during and immediately following treatment.

High dropout rates characterize early treatment studies and may be an indicator that research participants experience these treatments as harmful. Lilienfeld's (2007) review of harm in psychotherapy identified dropout as not only an indicator of direct harm but also of treatment ineffectiveness.

## RECENT STUDIES

Although the recent studies do not provide valid causal evidence of the efficacy of SOCE or of its harm, some recent studies document that there are people who perceive that they have been harmed through SOCE (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; G. Smith et al., 2004), just as other recent studies document that there are people who perceive that they have benefited from it (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Pattison & Pattison, 1980; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Spitzer, 2003). Among those studies reporting on the perceptions of harm, the reported negative social and emotional consequences include self-reports of anger, anxiety, confusion, depression, grief, guilt, hopelessness, deteriorated relationships with family, loss of social support, loss of faith, poor self-image, social isolation, intimacy difficulties, intrusive imagery, suicidal ideation, self-hatred, and sexual dysfunction. These reports of perceptions of harm are countered by accounts of

perceptions of relief, happiness, improved relationships with God, and perceived improvement in mental health status, among other reported benefits. Many participants in studies by Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) described experiencing first the positive effects and then experiencing or acknowledging the negative effects later.

Overall, the recent studies do not give an indication of the client characteristics that would lead to perceptions of harm or benefit. Although the nature of these studies precludes causal attributions for harm or benefit to SOCE, these studies underscore the diversity of and range in participants' perceptions and evaluations of their SOCE experiences.

## Summary

We conclude that there is a dearth of scientifically sound research on the safety of SOCE. Early and recent research studies provide no clear indication of the

*Studies from both periods indicate that attempts to change sexual orientation may cause or exacerbate distress and poor mental health in some individuals, including depression and suicidal thoughts. The lack of rigorous research on the safety of SOCE represents a serious concern, as do studies that report perceptions of harm.*

prevalence of harmful outcomes among people who have undergone efforts to change their sexual orientation or the frequency of occurrence of harm because no study to date of adequate scientific rigor has been explicitly designed to do so. Thus, we cannot conclude how likely it is that harm will occur from SOCE. However, studies from both periods indicate

that attempts to change sexual orientation may cause or exacerbate distress and poor mental health in some individuals, including depression and suicidal thoughts. The lack of rigorous research on the safety of SOCE represents a serious concern, as do studies that report perceptions of harm (cf. Lilienfeld, 2007).

## Conclusion

The limited number of rigorous early studies and complete lack of rigorous recent prospective research on SOCE limits claims for the efficacy and safety of SOCE. Within the early group of studies, there are a small number of rigorous studies of SOCE, and those focus on the use of aversive treatments. These studies show that

enduring change to an individual's sexual orientation is uncommon and that a very small minority of people in these studies showed any credible evidence of reduced

*Few studies provided strong evidence that any changes produced in laboratory conditions translated to daily life.*

same-sex sexual attraction, though some show lessened physiological arousal to all sexual stimuli. Compelling evidence of decreased same-sex sexual behavior and increased

attraction to and engagement in sexual behavior with the other sex was rare. Few studies provided strong evidence that any changes produced in laboratory conditions translated to daily life. We found that nonaversive and recent approaches to SOCE have not been rigorously evaluated. Given the limited amount of methodologically sound research, we cannot draw a conclusion regarding whether recent forms of SOCE are or are not effective.

We found that there was some evidence to indicate that individuals experienced harm from SOCE. Early studies do document iatrogenic effects of aversive forms of SOCE. High dropout rates characterize early aversive treatment studies and may be an indicator that research participants experience these treatments as harmful. Recent research reports indicate that there are individuals who perceive they have been harmed and others who perceive they have benefited from nonaversive SOCE. Across studies, it is unclear what specific individual characteristics and diagnostic criteria would prospectively distinguish those individuals who will later perceive that they have succeeded and benefited from nonaversive SOCE from those who will later perceive that they have failed or been harmed. In the next chapter, we explore the literature on individuals who seek to change their sexual orientation to better understand their concerns.

---

## 5. RESEARCH ON ADULTS WHO UNDERGO SEXUAL ORIENTATION CHANGE EFFORTS

---

In the preceding three chapters, we have focused on sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE),<sup>39</sup> because such interventions have been the primary focus of attention and contention in recent decades. Now we turn from the problem of sexual orientation change, as it has been defined by “expert” narratives of sin, crime, disorder, and dysfunction in previous chapters, to the problem of sexual orientation distress, as it exists in the lives of individuals who seek and participate in sexual orientation change. We try to present what the research literature reveals—and clarify what it does not—about the natural history of the phenomenon of people who present to LMHP seeking SOCE.

We do this for two major reasons. The first is to provide a scholarly basis for responding to the core task force charge: “the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions” for the population of those individuals who seek sexual orientation change. The second is our hope to step out of the polemic that has defined approaches to sexual orientation distress. As discussed in the introduction, some professional articles (e.g., Rosik, 2001, 2003; Yarhouse & Burkett, 2002), organizations, and accounts of debates (cf. Drescher, 2003) have argued that APA and mainstream psychology are ignoring the needs of those for whom same-sex sexual attractions are unwanted, especially

---

<sup>39</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person’s same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals, religious leaders, social groups, and other lay networks, such as self-help groups) are involved.

---

*We hope that an empathic and comprehensive review of the scholarly literature of the population that seeks and participates in SOCE can facilitate an increased understanding of the needs of this population so that an affirmative therapeutic approach may be developed.*

the needs of this population so that an affirmative therapeutic approach may be developed.

We decided to expand our review beyond empirical literature to have a fuller view of the population in question. Because of the lack of empirical research in this area, the conclusions must be viewed as tentative. The studies that are included in this discussion are (a) surveys and studies of individuals who participated in SOCE and their perceptions of change, benefit, and harm (e.g., S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005)<sup>40</sup>; (b) high-quality qualitative studies of the concerns of participants and the dynamics of SOCE (e.g., Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006); (c) case reports, clinical articles, dissertations, and reviews in

for religious populations. We hope that an empathic and comprehensive review of the scholarly literature of the population that seeks and participates in SOCE can facilitate an increased understanding of

---

<sup>40</sup> As previously noted, these studies, due to their significant methodological issues, cannot assess whether actual sexual orientation change occurred.

which sexual orientation or sexual orientation identity change were considered or attempted (e.g., Borowich, 2008; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Horlacher, 2006; Karten, 2006; Mark, 2008; Tan, 2008, Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yarhouse, 2008); and (d) scholarly articles, case reports, dissertations, and reviews on the concerns of religious individuals who are conflicted by their same-sex sexual attractions, some of whom accept their same-sex sexual orientation (e.g., Coyle & Rafalin, 2000, Horlacher, 2006; Kerr, 1997; Mahaffy, 1996; Mark, 2008, Moran, 2007; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Shallenberger, 1998; Tan, 2008; Thumma, 1991; Yarhouse, 2008; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yip, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2005). We also reviewed a variety of additional scholarly articles on subtopics such as individuals in other-sex marriages and general literature on sexual orientation concerns.

## Demographics

The majority of participants in research studies on SOCE have been Caucasian men. Early studies included some men who were court-referred and whose participation was not voluntary (S. James, 1978; McConaghy, 1969, 1976; McConaghy et al., 1972), but more recent research primarily included men who indicated that their religion is of central importance (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Wolkomir, 2001). Some studies included small numbers of women (22%–29%; Nicolosi et al., 2000; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003), and two studies focused exclusively on women (Moran, 2007; Ponticelli, 1999). However, these studies do not examine if there are potential differences between the concerns of men and women.

*To date, the research has not fully addressed age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, disability, language, and socioeconomic status in the population of distressed individuals who have sought SOCE.*

Members of racial-ethnic groups are not included in some samples (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001) and are a small percentage (5%–14%) of the sample in other studies (S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003). In the recent studies, no comparisons were reported between the

ethnic minorities in the sample and others. Thus, there is no evidence that can elucidate concerns of ethnic minority individuals who have sought SOCE. To date, the research has not fully addressed age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, disability, language, and socioeconomic status in the population of distressed individuals who have sought SOCE.

Samples in recent SOCE studies have been composed predominantly of individuals from conservative Christian denominations (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Ponticelli, 1999; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Spitzer, 2003; Wolkomir, 2001). These studies included very few nonreligious individuals, and the concerns of religious individuals of faiths other than Christian are not described. Thus, the existing literature limits information to the concerns of a particular group of religious individuals. Finally, most individuals in studies of SOCE have tried multiple ways to change their sexual orientation, ranging from individual psychotherapy to religiously oriented groups, over long periods of time and with varying degrees of satisfaction and varying perceptions of success (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Comstock, 1996; Horlacher, 2006; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Mark, 2008; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

## Why Individuals Undergo Sexual Orientation Change Efforts

Because no research provides prevalence estimates of those participating in SOCE, we cannot determine how prevalent the wish to change sexual orientation is among the conservative Christian men who have predominated in the recent research, or among any other population. Clients' motivations to seek out and participate in SOCE seem to be complex and varied and may include mental health and personality issues, cultural concerns, religious faith, internalized stigma, as well as sexual orientation concerns (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Nicolosi et al., 2000). Some of the factors influencing a client's request for SOCE that have been identified in the literature include the following:

- Confusion or questions about one's sexuality and sexual orientation (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; G. Smith et al., 2004)
- Religious beliefs that consider homosexuality sinful or unacceptable (Erzen, 2006; Haldeman, 2004; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Mark, 2008; Ponticelli, 1999; Tan, 2008; Tozer & Hayes, 2004; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006; Yarhouse, 2008)
- Fear, stress, and anxiety surrounding the implications of an LGB identity (especially the illegitimacy of such an identity within the client's religious faith or community) (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002)
- Family pressure to be heterosexual and community rejection of those who are LGB (Haldeman, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; G. Smith et al., 2004)

Some individuals who have pursued SOCE report having had only unsuccessful or unfulfilling same-sex sexual experiences in venues such as bars or sexual "cruising" areas (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). These experiences reflected and re-created restricted views that the "gay lifestyle" is nonspiritual, sexually desperate, or addicted, depressive, diseased, and lonely (Drescher, 1998b; Green, 2003; Rosik, 2003; Scasta, 1998). Many sexual minority individuals who do not seek SOCE are also affected by these factors. Thus, these findings do not explain why some people seek SOCE and others do not.

There are some initial findings that suggest differences between those who seek SOCE and those who resolve their sexual minority stress through other means. For example, Ponticelli (1999) and S. L. Jones and Yarhouse (2007) reported higher levels of self-reported family violence and sexual abuse in their samples than were reported by Laumann et al. (1994) in a population-based sample. Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and S. L. Jones and Yarhouse reported high levels of parental rejection or authoritarianism among their religious samples (see also G. Smith et al., 2004). Wolkomir (2001) found that distress surrounding nonconformity to traditional gender roles distinguished the men in her sample who did not accept their sexual orientation from those who did. Similarly, Beckstead and Morrow found that distress and questions about masculinity were an important appeal of SOCE; some men who sought SOCE described feeling distress about

not acting more traditionally masculine. In reviewing the SOCE literature, Miville and Ferguson (2004) proposed that White, conservatively religious men might not feel adept at managing a minority status and thus seek out SOCE as a resolution.

The views of LMHP concerning SOCE and homosexuality appear to influence clients' decision making in choosing SOCE; some clients reported being urged by their provider to participate in SOCE (M. King et al. 2004; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; G. Smith et al., 2004). For example, G. Smith et al. (2004) found that some who had received SOCE had not requested it. These individuals stated they had presented with confusion and distress about their orientation due to cultural and relational conflicts and were offered SOCE as the solution.

### *Specific Concerns of Religious Individuals*

In general, the participants in research on SOCE have come from faiths that believe heterosexuality and other-sex relationships are part of the natural order and are morally superior to homosexuality (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Ponticelli, 1999; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006). The literature on SOCE suggests that individuals reject or fear their same-sex sexual attractions because of the internalization of the values and attitudes of their religion that characterize homosexuality negatively and as something to avoid (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

The experiences of some conservative religious individuals with same-sex sexual attractions who undergo SOCE appear to involve significant stress due to the struggle to live life congruently with their religious beliefs (S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yarhouse & Tan, 2004). These individuals perceive homosexuality to be irreconcilable with their faith and do not wish to surrender or change their faith (Wolkomir, 2006). Some report fearing considerable shifts or losses in their core identity, role, purpose, and sense of order if they were to pursue an outward LGB identity (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Wolkomir, 2006). Some report difficulty coping with intense guilt over the failure to live a virtuous life and inability to stop committing unforgivable sins, as defined by their

religion (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008). Some struggled with their belief in God, perceiving that God was punishing or abandoning them—or would if they acted on their attractions; some expressed feelings of anger at the situation in which their God had placed them (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; cf. Exline, 2002; Pargament, Smith, Koenig, & Perez, 1998; Pargament et al., 2005).

Some individuals' distress took the form of a crisis of faith in which their religious beliefs that a same-sex sexual orientation and religious goodness are

*The distress experienced by religious individuals appeared intense, for not only did they face sexual stigma from society at large but also messages from their faith that they were deficient, sinful, deviant, and possibly unworthy of salvation unless they changed sexual orientation.*

diametrically opposed led them to question their faith and themselves (Glassgold, 2008; Moran, 2007; Tozer & Hayes, 2004). Spiritual struggles also occurred for religious sexual

minorities due to struggling with conservatively religious family, friends, and communities who thought differently than they did. The distress experienced by religious individuals appeared intense, for not only did they face sexual stigma from society at large but also messages from their faith that they were deficient, sinful, deviant, and possibly unworthy of salvation unless they changed sexual orientation (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004).

These spiritual struggles had mental health consequences. Clinical publications and studies of religious clients (both male and female) (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008) have described individuals who felt culpable, unacceptable, unforgiven, disillusioned, and distressed due to the conflict between their same-sex sexual attractions and religion. The inability to integrate religion and sexual orientation into a religiously sanctioned life (i.e., one that provides an option for positive self-esteem and religiously sanctioned sexuality and family life) has been described as causing great emotional distress (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; D. F. Morrow, 2003). These spiritual struggles were sometimes associated with anxiety, panic disorders, depression, and suicidality, regardless of the level of religiosity or the perception of religion as a source of comfort and coping (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Horalcher, 2006). The emotional

reactions reported in the literature on SOCE among religious individuals are consistent with those reported in the psychology of religion literature that describes both the impact of an inability to live up to religious motivations and the effects of religion and positive and negative religious coping (Ano & Vasconcelles, 2005; Exline, 2002; Pargament & Mahoney, 2002; Pargament et al., 2005; Trenholm, Trent, & Compton, 1998).

Some individuals coped by trying to compartmentalize their sexual orientation and religious identities and behaviors or to suppress one identity in favor of another (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008). Relief came as some sought repentance from their "sins," but others continued to feel isolated and unacceptable in both religious and sexual minority communities (Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Yarhouse & Beckstead, 2007). As an alternative, some with strong religious motivations and purpose were willing to make sexual abstinence a goal and to limit sexual and romantic needs in order to achieve congruence with their religious beliefs (S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yarhouse, 2008). These choices are consistent with the psychology of religion that emphasizes religious motivations and purpose (cf. Emmons, 1999; Emmons & Paloutzian, 2003; Hayduk, Stratkotter, & Rovers, 1997; Roccas, 2005). Success with this choice varied greatly and appeared successful in a minority of participants of studies, although not always in the long term, and both positive and negative mental health effects have been reported (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Horalcher, 2006; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

Some conservatively religious individuals felt a need to change their sexual orientation because of the positive benefits that some individuals found from religion (e.g., community, mode of life, values, sense of purpose) (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Borowich, 2008; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Yarhouse, 2008). Others hoped that being heterosexual would permit them to avoid further negative emotions (e.g., self-hatred, unacceptability, isolation, confusion, rejection, and suicidality) and expulsion from their religious community (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Borowich, 2008; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008).

The literature on non-Christian religious denominations is very limited, and no detailed literature was found on most faiths that differed from the descriptions cited previously. There is limited information on the specific concerns of observant

and Orthodox Jews<sup>41</sup> (e.g., Blechner, 2008; Borowich, 2008; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; Wolowelsky & Weinstein, 1995). This work stresses the conflicts that emerge within a communal and insular culture that values obedience to religious law and separates itself from mainstream society and other faiths, including mainstream LGB communities, thus isolating those in conflict and distress (Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008). As marriage, family, and community are the central units of life within such a religious context, LGB individuals do not have a place in Orthodox Judaism and traditional Jewish society and may fear losing contact with family and society or bringing shame and negative consequences to their family if their sexual orientation is disclosed.<sup>42</sup> Many of the responses and concerns of the conservative Christian population appear relevant to those who are Orthodox Jews, especially those that arise from the conflicts of faith and sexual orientation, such as feelings of guilt, doubt, crisis of faith, unworthiness, and despair (Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008).

We found no scholarly psychological literature on sexual minority Muslims who seek SOCE. There is some

*It is important to note that not all sexual minorities with strong religious beliefs experience sexual orientation distress, and some resolve such distress in other ways than SOCE.*

literature on debates about homosexuality within Islam and cultural conflicts for those Muslims who live in Western societies with more progressive attitudes

toward homosexuality (Halstead & Lewicka, 1998; Hekma, 2002; de Jong & Jivraj, 2002; Massad, 2002; Nahas, 2004). Additionally, there is some literature on ways in which individuals integrate LGBT identities with their Muslim faith (Minwalla, Rosser, Feldman, & Varga, 2005; Yip, 2005). We did not find scholarly articles about individuals from other faiths who sought SOCE, except for one article (Nicolosi et al., 2000) that did not report any separate results for individuals from non-Christian faiths.

It is important to note that not all sexual minorities with strong religious beliefs experience sexual orientation distress, and some resolve such distress

<sup>41</sup> Among Jewish traditions, Orthodox Judaism is the most conservative and does not have a role for same-sex relationships or sexual orientation identities within its faith (Mark, 2008). Individuals in other denominations (e.g., Conservative, Reform, Reconstructionist) may not face this type of conflict or this degree of conflict.

<sup>42</sup> These conflicts may also be relevant to those whose religion and community are similarly intertwined and separate from larger society; see Cates (2007), for instance, regarding an individual from an Old Amish community.

in other ways than SOCE (Coyle & Rafalin, 2000; Mahaffy, 1996; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Rodriguez, 2006; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Yip, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2005). For instance, some individuals are adherents of more accepting faiths and thus experience less distress. Some end their relationship with all religious institutions, although they may retain the religious and spiritual aspects of their original faiths that are essential to them. Others choose another form of religion or spirituality that is affirming of sexual minorities (Lease, Horne, & Noffsinger-Frazier, 2005; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Ritter & Terndrup, 2002; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Yip, 2000, 2002, 2003, 2004).

### *Conflicts of Individuals in Other-Sex Marriages or Relationships*

There is indication that some individuals with same-sex sexual attractions in other-sex marriages or relationships may request SOCE. Many subjects in the early studies were married (H. E. Adams & Sturgis, 1977). In the more recent research, some individuals were married (e.g., Horlacher, 2006; Spitzer, 2003), and there are clinical reports of experiences of SOCE among other-sex married people (e.g., Glassgold, 2008; Isay, 1998). For some, the marriage to an other-sex person was described as based on socialization, religious views that deny same-sex sexual attractions, lack of awareness of alternatives, and hopes that marriage would change them (Gramick, 1984; Higgins, 2006; Isay, 1998; Malcolm, 2000; Ortiz & Scott, 1994; M. W. Ross, 1989). Others did not recognize or become aware of their sexuality, including same-sex sexual attractions, until after marriage, when they became sexually active (Bozett, 1982; Carlsson, 2007; Schneider et al., 2002). Others had attractions to both men and women (Brownfain, 1985; Coleman, 1989; Wyers, 1987).

For those who experienced distress with their other-sex relationship, some were at a loss as to how to decide what to do with their conflicting needs, roles, and responsibilities and experienced considerable guilt, shame, and confusion (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Bozett, 1982; Buxton, 1994, 2004, 2007; Gochros, 1989; Hays & Samuels, 1989; Isay, 1998; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002; Yarhouse & Seymore, 2006). Love for their spouse conflicted with desires to explore or act on same-sex romantic and sexual feelings and relationships or to connect with similar others (Bridges & Croteau, 1994; Coleman, 1981/1982; Yarhouse & Seymore, 2006).

However, many individuals wished to maintain their marriage and work at making that relationship last (Buxton, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; Yarhouse, Pawlowski, & Tan, 2003; Yarhouse & Seymore, 2006). Thus, the sexual minority individual sometimes felt frustrated and hopeless in facing feelings of loss and guilt that result from trying to decide whether to separate from or remain in the marriage as he or she balanced hopes and ambiguities (e.g., the chances of finding a same-sex romantic or sexual partner or the possibilities of experiencing further intimacy with one's heterosexual spouse) (Hernandez & Wilson, 2007).

## Reported Impacts of Sexual Orientation Change Efforts

### *Perceived Positives of SOCE*

In this section we review the perceptions of individuals who underwent SOCE in order to examine what may be perceived as being helpful or detrimental by such individuals, distinct from a scientific evaluation of the efficacy or harm associated with sexual orientation change efforts, as reported in Chapter 4.

Individuals have reported that SOCE provided several benefits: (a) a place to discuss their conflicts (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001); (b) cognitive frameworks that permitted them to reevaluate their sexual orientation identity, attractions, and selves in ways that lessened shame and distress and increased self-esteem (Erzen, 2006; Karten, 2006; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Ponticelli, 1999; Robinson, 1998; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Spitzer, 2003; Throckmorton, 2002); (c) social support and role models (Erzen, 2006; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006); and (d) strategies for living consistently with their religious faith and community (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Horlacher, 2006; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Ponticelli, 1999; Robinson, 1998; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

For instance, participants reporting beneficial effects in some studies perceived changes to their sexuality, such as in their sexual orientation, gender identity, sexual behavior, sexual orientation identity (Beckstead, 2001; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Spitzer, 2003; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005), or improving nonsexual relationships with men (Karten, 2006). These changes in sexual self-views were described in a variety of ways (e.g., ex-

gay, heterosexual, heterosexual with same-sex sexual attractions, heterosexual with a homosexual past) and with varied and unpredictable outcomes, some of which were temporary (Beckstead, 2003; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). McConaghy (1999) reported that some men felt they had more control in their sexual behavior and struggled less with their attractions after interventions, although same-sex sexual attractions still existed (cf. Beckstead & Morrow, 2004). Additionally, some SOCE consumers noted that trying and failing to change their same-sex sexual orientation actually allowed them to accept their same-sex attractions (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; G. Smith et al., 2004).

Participants described the social support aspects of SOCE positively. Individuals reported as positive that their LMHP accepted their goals and objections and had similar values (i.e., believing that a gay or lesbian identity is bad, sick, or inferior and not supporting same-sex relationships) (Nicolosi et al., 2000; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005). Erzen (2006), Ponticelli (1999), and Wolkomir (2001) described these religiously oriented ex-gay groups as a refuge for those who were excluded from conservative churches and from their

*... such groups built hope, recovery, and relapse into an ex-gay identity, thus expecting same-sex sexual behaviors and conceiving them as opportunities for repentance and forgiveness.*

families because of their same-sex sexual attractions, as well as from gay organizations and social networks because of their conservative religious beliefs. In Erzen's

experiences with these men, these organizations seemed to provide options for individuals to remain connected to others who shared their religious beliefs, despite ongoing same-sex sexual feelings and behaviors. Wolkomir (2006) found that ex-gay groups recast homosexuality as an ordinary sin, and thus salvation was still achievable. Erzen observed that such groups built hope, recovery, and relapse into an ex-gay identity, thus expecting same-sex sexual behaviors and conceiving them as opportunities for repentance and forgiveness.

Some participants of SOCE reported what they perceived as other positive values and beliefs underlying SOCE treatments and theories, such as supporting celibacy, validating other-sex marriage, and encouraging and supporting other-sex sexual behaviors (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007; Nicolosi et al., 2000; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005). For instance, many SOCE theories



and communities focus on supporting clients' values and views, often linked to religious beliefs and values (Nicolosi et al., 2000; Schaeffer et al., 2000; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005). According to Ponticelli (1999), ex-gay support groups provide alternate ways of viewing same-sex attractions that permit individuals to see themselves as heterosexual, which provided individuals a sense of possibility.

Participants' interpretations of their SOCE experiences and the outcomes of their experiences appeared to be shaped by their religious beliefs and by their motivations to be heterosexual. In Schaeffer et al. (2000), people whose motivation to change was strongly influenced by their Christian beliefs and convictions were more likely to perceive themselves as having a heterosexual sexual orientation after

*These findings underscore the importance of the nature and strength of participants' motivations, as well as the importance of religious identity in shaping self-reports of perceived sexual orientation change.*

their efforts. Schaeffer et al. also found that those who were less religious were more likely to perceive themselves as having an LGB sexual orientation after the intervention. Some of the respondents in Spitzer's (2003) study concluded that they had altered their sexual orientation, although they continued to have same-sex sexual attractions. These findings underscore the importance of the nature and strength of participants' motivations, as well as the importance of religious identity in shaping self-reports of perceived sexual orientation change.

A number of authors (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yarhouse & Tan, 2004) have found that identity exploration and reinterpretation were important parts of SOCE. Beckstead and Morrow (2004) described the identity development of their research participants who were or had been members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints and had undergone therapy to change their sexual orientation to heterosexual. In this research, those who experienced the most satisfaction with their lives seemed to undergo a developmental process that included the following aspects: (a) becoming disillusioned, questioning authorities, and reevaluating outside norms; (b) wavering between ex-gay, "out" gay, heterosexual, or celibate identities that depended on cultural norms and fears rather than on internally self-informed choices; and (c) resolving their conflicts through developing self-acceptance, creating

a positive self-concept, and making decisions about their relationships, religion, and community affiliations based on expanded information, self-evaluations, and priorities. The participants had multiple endpoints, including LGB identity, "ex-gay" identity, no sexual orientation identity, and a unique self-identity. Some individuals chose actively to *disidentify* with a sexual minority identity so the individual's sexual orientation identity and sexual orientation could be incongruent (Wolkomir, 2001, 2006; Yarhouse, 2001; Yarhouse & Tan, 2004; Yarhouse et al., 2005).

Further, the findings suggest that some participants may have reconceptualized their *sexual orientation identity* as heterosexual but *not* achieved sexual orientation change, as they still experienced same-sex sexual attractions and desires (for a discussion of the distinction between sexual orientation and sexual orientation identity, see Chapter 3; see also R. L. Worthington, 2003; R. L. Worthington et al., 2002). For these individuals, sexual orientation identity may not reflect underlying attractions and desires (Beckstead, 2003; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; McConaghy, 1999; Rust, 2003; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002).

### *Perceived Negatives of SOCE*

Participants in the studies by Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and Shidlo and Schroeder (2002) described the harm they experienced as (a) decreased self-esteem and authenticity to others; (b) increased self-hatred and negative perceptions of homosexuality; (c) confusion, depression, guilt, helplessness, hopelessness, shame, social withdrawal, and suicidality; (d) anger at and a sense of betrayal by SOCE providers; (e) an increase in substance abuse and high-risk sexual behaviors; (f) a feeling of being dehumanized and untrue to self; (g) a loss of faith; and (h) a sense of having wasted time and resources. Interpreting SOCE failures as individual failures was also reported in this research, in that individuals blamed themselves for the failure (i.e., weakness, and lack of effort, commitment, faith, or worthiness in God's eyes). Intrusive images and sexual dysfunction were also reported, particularly among those who had experienced aversion techniques.

Participants in these studies related that their relationships with others were also harmed in the following ways: (a) hostility toward and blame of parents, believing their parents "caused" their homosexuality; (b) anger at and a sense of betrayal by SOCE providers; (c) loss of LGB friends and potential romantic partners because of the belief that they should

avoid sexual minority people; (d) problems in sexual and emotional intimacy with other-sex partners; (e) stress due to the negative emotions of spouses and family members because of expectations that SOCE would work (e.g., disappointment, self-blame for failure of change, perception of betrayal by partner) (see also J. G. Ford, 2001); and (f) guilt and confusion when they were sexually intimate with other same-sex members of the ex-gay groups to which they had turned for help in avoiding their attractions.

LMHP working with former participants in SOCE noted that when clients who formerly engaged in SOCE consider adopting an LGB identity or experience same-sex romantic and sexual relationships later in life, they have more difficulty with identity development due to delayed developmental tasks and dealing with any harm associated with SOCE (Haldeman, 2001; Isay, 2001). Such treatments can harm some men's understanding of their masculine identity (Haldeman, 2001; Schwartzberg & Rosenberg, 1998) and obscure other psychological issues that contribute to distress (Drescher, 1998b).

Schroeder and Shidlo (2001) identified aspects of SOCE that their participants perceived as negative, which included (a) receiving pejorative or false information regarding sexual orientation and the lives of LGB individuals; (b) encountering overly directive treatment (told not to be LGB) or to repress sexuality; (c) encountering treatments based on unsubstantiated theories or methods; (d) being misinformed about the likelihood of treatment outcomes (i.e., sexual orientation change); (e) receiving inadequate information about alternative options; and (f) being blamed for lack of progress of therapy. Some participants in Schroeder and Shidlo's (2001) study reported feeling coerced by their psychotherapist or religious institution to remain in treatment and pressured to represent to others that they had achieved a "successful reorientation" to heterosexuality.

### *Religiously Oriented Mutual Support Groups*

Much of the literature discusses the specific dynamics and processes of religiously oriented mutual self-help groups. A reduction of distress through sexual orientation identity reconstruction or development is described in the literature of self-help or religious groups, both for individuals who reject (Erzen, 2006; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006) and for

individuals who accept a minority sexual orientation identity (Kerr, 1997; Rodriguez, 2006; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Thumma, 1991; Wolkomir, 2006).

Ponticelli (1999) and Wolkomir (2001, 2006) found several emotional and cognitive processes that seemed central to the sexual orientation "identity reconstruction" (i.e., recasting oneself as ex-gay, heterosexual, disidentifying as LGB) (Ponticelli, 1999, p. 157) that appeared to relieve the distress caused by conflicts between religious values and sexual orientation (Ponticelli, 1999). Ponticelli identified certain conditions necessary for resolving identity conflicts, including (a) adopting a new discourse or worldview, (b) engaging in a biographical reconstruction, (c) embracing a new explanatory model, and (d) forming strong interpersonal ties. For those rejecting a sexual minority identity, these changes occurred by participants taking on "ex-gay" cultural norms and language and finding a community that enabled and reinforced their primary religious beliefs, values, and concerns. For instance, participants were encouraged to rely on literal interpretations of the Bible, Christian psychoanalytic theories about the causes of homosexuality, and "ex-gay" social relationships to guide and redefine their lives.

Interesting counterpoints to the SOCE support groups are LGB-affirming religious support groups. These groups employ similar emotional and cognitive strategies to provide emotional support, affirming ideologies, and identity reconstruction. Further, they appear to facilitate integration of same-sex sexual attractions and religious identities into LGB-affirming identities (Kerr, 1997; Thumma, 1991; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

Both sexual-minority-affirming and ex-gay mutual help groups potentially appear to offer benefits to their participants that are similar to those claimed for self-help groups, such as social support, fellowship, role models, and new ways to view a problem through unique philosophies or ideologies (cf. Levine, Perkins, & Perkins, 2004).

The philosophy of mutual help groups often gives a normalizing meaning to the individual's situation and may act as an "antidote" to a sense of deficiency (Antze, 1976). New scripts can shape how a member views and shares her or his life story by replacing existing personal or cultural scripts with the group ideology (Humphreys, 2004; Mankowski, 1997, 2000; Maton, 2000). For instance, individuals who are involved in SOCE or LGB-affirming groups may adopt a new explanation for their homosexuality that permits reconceptualizing themselves as heterosexual or acceptable as LGB people (Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

## Remaining Issues

Ponticelli (1999) ended her article with the following questions: “What leads a person to choose Exodus and a frame that defined them as sinful and in need of change?” (p. 170). Why do some individuals choose SOCE over sexual-minority-affirming groups, and why are some individuals attracted to and able to find relief in a particular ideology or group over other alternatives?

There are some indications that the nature and type of religious motivation and faith play a role. In comparing individuals with intrinsic<sup>43</sup> and quest religious motivations, Tozer and Hayes (2004) proposed that those with a greater intrinsic religiosity may be motivated to seek out SOCE more than those with the quest motivation. However, within both groups (intrinsic and quest motivation), internalized stigma influenced who sought SOCE; those who sought SOCE had higher levels of internalized stigma. Tozer and Hayes (2004) and Mahaffy (1996) found that individuals in earlier stages of sexual minority identity development (see, e.g., Cass, 1979; Troiden, 1993) were more likely to pursue SOCE.

Wolkomir (2001, 2006) found some evidence that biographical factors may be central to these choices. Wolkomir (2006) found that motivations for participation in faith distinguished individuals who joined ex-gay groups from sexual-minority-affirming groups. For instance, men who joined conservative Christian communities as a solution to lives that had been lonely and disconnected and those who turned to faith when they felt overwhelmed by circumstance were more likely to join ex-gay groups. Wolkomir hypothesized that these men perceived homosexuality as a threat to the refuge that conservative faith provided (cf. Glassgold, 2008).

The other common path to an ex-gay (as well as, to some degree, to a sexual-minority-affirming) group was remaining in the community of faith in which one was raised and meeting the expectations of that faith, such as heterosexuality. The loss of a personal relationship or a betrayal by a loved one might influence an individual's choice of a group, and the stress of loss and the self-blame that accompany such a loss may constitute factors that lead someone to seek SOCE (Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

Additionally, Wolkomir found that a sense of gender inadequacy (see also “gender role strain”; Levant, 1992;

<sup>43</sup> Internal motivation refers to a motivation that focuses on belief and values as ends in themselves, and quest sees religion as a process of exploration.

Pleck, 1995) made groups that embraced traditional gender roles and gender-based models of homosexuality appealing to some men. Gender-based internalized stigma and self-stigma increased distress in these men.

Finally, “contractual promises” to God (Wolkomir, 2001, p. 332) regarding other concerns (e.g., drug/alcohol abuse) increased the likelihood that men would choose ex-gay groups. However, these issues are as yet underresearched and remain unresolved.

Very little is known about the concerns of other religious faiths and diverse ethnicities and cultures (Harper et al., 2004; Miville & Ferguson, 2004). There are some studies in the empirical and theoretical literature, clinical cases, and material from other fields (e.g., anthropology, sociology) on sexual orientation among ethnic minorities and in different cultures and countries. Sexual orientation identity may be constructed differently in ethnic minority communities and internationally (Boykin, 1996; Carillo, 2002; Crawford et al., 2002; Harper et al., 2004; Mays, Cochran, & Zamudio, 2004; Miville & Ferguson, 2004; Walters, Evans-Campbell, Simoni, Ronquillo, & Bhuyan, 2006; B. D. Wilson & Miller, 2002; Zea, Diaz, & Reisen, 2003). There is some information that such populations experience distress or conflicts due to legal discrimination, cultural stigma, and other factors (McCormick, 2006), and in some other countries, homosexuality is still seen as a mental disorder or is illegal (Forstein, 2001; see also the publications of the International Gay & Lesbian Human Rights Commission: <http://www.iglhrc.org>). We did not identify empirical research on members of these populations who had sought or participated in SOCE other than as part of the research already cited.

## Summary and Conclusion

The recent literature on those who participate in SOCE identifies a population of predominantly White men who are strongly religious and participate in conservative faiths. This contrasts with the early research that included primarily nonreligious individuals. There is a lack of research on non-Christian individuals and limited information on ethnic minority populations, women, and nonreligious populations.

The religious individuals in the recent literature report experiencing serious distress, including depression, identity confusion, and fear due to the strong prohibitions of their faith regarding same-sex sexual orientation, behaviors, and relationships.

Certified as true  
and correct copy

These individuals struggle to combine their faiths and their sexualities in meaningful personal and social identities. These struggles cause them significant distress, including frequent feelings of isolation from both religious organizations and sexual minority communities. The ensuing struggles with faith, sexuality, and identity lead many individuals to attempt sexual orientation change through professional interventions and faith-based efforts.

These individuals report a range of effects from their efforts to change their sexual orientation, including both benefits and harm. The benefits include social and

*Mutual self-help groups (whether affirming or rejecting of sexual minorities) may provide a means to resolve the distress caused by conflicts between religious values and sexual orientation.*

spiritual support, a lessening of isolation, an understanding of values and faith, and sexual orientation identity reconstruction. The perceived harms include negative mental health effects (depression and suicidality), decreased self-esteem and authenticity to others, increased self-hatred and negative perceptions of homosexuality, a loss of faith, and a sense of having wasted time and resources.

Mutual self-help groups (whether affirming or rejecting of sexual minorities) may provide a means of resolving the distress caused by conflicts between religious values and sexual orientation (Erzen, 2006; Kerr, 1997; Ponticelli, 1999; Thumma, 1991; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006). Sexual orientation identity reconstruction found in such groups (Ponticelli, 1999; Thumma, 1991) and identity work in general may provide reduction in individual distress (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004). Individuals may seek out sexual-minority-affirming religious groups or SOCE in the form of ex-gay religious support groups due to (a) a lack of other sources of social support; (b) a desire for active coping, including both cognitive and emotional coping (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980); and (c) access to methods of sexual orientation identity exploration and reconstruction (Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001).

The limited information provided by the literature on individuals who experience distress with their sexual attractions and seek SOCE provides some direction to LMHP in formulating affirmative interventions for this population. The following appear to be helpful to clients:

- Finding social support and interacting with others in similar circumstances
- Experiencing understanding and recognition of the importance of religious beliefs and concerns
- Receiving empathy for their very difficult dilemmas and conflicts
- Being provided with affective and cognitive tools for identity exploration and development

Reports of clients' perceptions of harm also provide information about aspects of interventions to avoid:

- Overly directive treatment that insists on a particular outcome
- Inaccurate, stereotypic, or unscientific information or lack of positive information about sexual minorities and sexual orientation
- The use of unsound or unproven interventions
- Misinformation on treatment outcomes

It is important to note that the factors that are identified as benefits are not unique to SOCE. An affirmative and multiculturally competent framework can mitigate the harmful aspects of SOCE by addressing sexual stigma while understanding the importance of religion and social needs. An approach that integrates the information identified in this chapter as helpful is described in an affirmative model of psychotherapy in Chapter 6.

Certified as true  
and correct copy

---

## 6. THE APPROPRIATE APPLICATION OF AFFIRMATIVE THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS FOR ADULTS WHO SEEK SEXUAL ORIENTATION CHANGE EFFORTS

---

Our charge was to “generate a report that includes discussion of “the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for children, adolescents, and adults who present [themselves for treatment expressing] a desire to change either their sexual orientation or their behavioral expression of their sexual orientation.” In this chapter, we report on affirmative interventions for adults. Affirmative interventions for children and adolescents are reported separately in Chapter 8.

The appropriate application of affirmative psychotherapy is based on the following scientific facts:

- Same-sex sexual attractions, behavior, and orientations per se are normal and positive variants of human sexuality; in other words, they are not indicators of mental or developmental disorders.
- Homosexuality and bisexuality are stigmatized, and this stigma can have a variety of negative consequences (e.g., minority stress) throughout the life span (D’Augelli & Patterson, 1995; DiPlacido, 1998; Herek & Garnets, 2007; Meyer, 1995, 2003).
- Same-sex sexual attractions and behavior can occur in the context of a variety of sexual orientations and sexual orientation identities (Diamond, 2006; Hoberg et al., 2004; Rust, 1996; Savin-Williams, 2005).
- Gay men, lesbians, and bisexual individuals can live satisfying lives as well as form stable, committed relationships and families that are equivalent to heterosexual relationships in essential respects

(APA, 2005c; Kurdek, 2001, 2003, 2004; Peplau & Fingerhut, 2007).

- There are no empirical studies or peer-reviewed research that support theories attributing same-sex sexual orientation to family dysfunction or trauma (Bell et al., 1981; Bene, 1965; Freund & Blanchard, 1983; Freund & Pinkava, 1961; Hooker, 1969; McCord et al., 1962; D. K. Peters & Cantrell, 1991; Siegelman, 1974, 1981; Townes et al., 1976).

### A Framework for the Appropriate Application of Affirmative Therapeutic Interventions

The task force findings that are relevant to the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults are the following:

1. Our systematic review of the research on sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE)<sup>44</sup> found that enduring change to an individual’s sexual orientation as a result of SOCE was unlikely. Further, some participants were harmed by the interventions.

---

<sup>44</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person’s same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals, religious leaders, social groups, and other lay networks, such as self-help groups) are involved.

2. What appears to shift and evolve in some individuals' lives is sexual orientation identity, not sexual orientation (Beckstead, 2003; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Buchanan, et al., 2001; Cass, 1983/1984; Diamond, 1998, 2006; McConaghy, 1999; Ponticelli, 1999; Rust, 2003; Tan, 2008; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Troiden, 1988; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006; R. L. Worthington, 2003, 2004).
3. Some participants in SOCE reported benefits, but the benefits were not specific to SOCE. Rather, clients perceived a benefit when offered interventions that emphasized acceptance, social support, and recognition of important values and concerns.

On the basis of the above three findings and our comprehensive review of the research and clinical literature, we developed a framework for the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults that has the following central elements: (a) acceptance and support, (b) a comprehensive assessment, (c) active coping, (d) social support, and (e) identity exploration and development.

### *Acceptance and Support*

In our review of the research and clinical literature, we found that the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults presenting with a desire to change their sexual orientation has been grounded in a client-centered approach<sup>45</sup> (e.g., Astromovich, 2003; Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Israel, 2007, Buchanan et al., 2001; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold; 2008; Gonsiorek; 2004; Haldeman, 2004, Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; Mark, 2008; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Tan, 2008; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Yarhouse, 2008; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a). The client-centered approach (Rogers, 1957; cf. Brown, 2006) stresses (a) the LMHP's unconditional positive regard for and congruence and empathy with the client, (b) openness to the client's perspective as a means of understanding their concerns, and (c) encouragement of the client's positive self-concept. This approach incorporates aspects of the therapeutic relationship that have been shown in the research literature to have a positive benefit, such as empathy, positive regard, and honesty (APA, 2005a, 2005b; Lambert & Barley, 2001; Norcross, 2002; Norcross & Hill, 2004).

<sup>45</sup> We consider the client-centered approach not as the ultimate theoretical basis of our model but as a foundation that is consistent with a variety of theoretical approaches. As most psychotherapy focuses on acceptance and support as a foundation of interventions,

This approach consists of empathic attunement to concerns regarding sexual orientation identity that acknowledges the role of cultural context and diversity and allows the different aspects of the evolving self to be acknowledged, explored, respected, and potentially re woven into a more coherent sense of self that feels authentic to the client (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Brown, 2006; Buchanan et al., 2001; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; Miville & Ferguson, 2004; Tan, 2008; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Yarhouse, 2008). The empathic therapeutic environment aspires to be a place of compassionate caring and respect that facilitates development (Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Winnicott, 1965) by exploring issues without criticism or condemnation (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; McMinn, 2005; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005) and by reducing distress caused by isolation, stigma, and shame (Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Isay, 2001).

This approach involves empathizing with the client's desire to change his or her sexual orientation while understanding that this outcome is unlikely (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004). Haldeman (2004) cautioned that LMHP who turn down a client's request for SOCE at the onset of treatment without exploring and understanding the many reasons why the client may wish to change may instill hopelessness in the client, who already may feel at a loss about viable options. Haldeman emphasized that before coming to a conclusion regarding treatment goals, LMHP should seek to validate the client's wish to reduce suffering and normalize the conflicts at the root of distress, as well as create a therapeutic alliance that recognizes the issues important to the client (cf. Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; Liddle, 1996; Yarhouse, 2008).

Affirmative client-centered approaches consider sexual orientation to be uniquely individual and inseparable from an individual's personality and sense of self (Glassgold, 1995, 2008). This includes (a) being aware of the client's unique personal, social, and historical context; (b) exploring and countering the harmful impact of stigma and stereotypes on the

*The empathic therapeutic environment aspires to be a place of compassionate caring and respect that facilitates development... by exploring issues without criticism or condemnation and reducing distress caused by isolation, stigma, and shame.*

client's self-concept (including the prejudice related to age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity,

*LMHP who work with religious clients who are distressed by their sexual orientation may wish to consult the literature from the psychology of religion. This literature reminds us that religion is a complex way of making meaning that includes not only beliefs and values but also community, relationships, traditions, family ties, coping, and social identity.*

culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status); and (c) maintaining a broad view of acceptable life choices. LMHP who work with religious clients who are distressed by their sexual orientation may wish to consult the literature from

the psychology of religion. This literature reminds us that religion is a complex way of making meaning that includes not only beliefs and values but also community, relationships, traditions, family ties, coping, and social identity (Mark, 2008; Pargament & Mahoney, 2002, 2005; Pargament et al., 2005; Park, 2005).

### *A Comprehensive Assessment*

In our review of the research and clinical literature, we found that the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults presenting with a desire to change their sexual orientation included providing a comprehensive assessment in order to obtain a fuller understanding of the multiple issues that influence that client's presentation. Such an assessment allows the LMHP and client to see the client's sexual orientation as part of the whole person and to develop interventions based on all significant variables (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004). This comprehensive assessment includes understanding how a client's distress may involve (a) psychological disequilibrium from trying to manage the stressors (e.g., anxiety, depression, substance abuse and dependence, sexual compulsivity, posttraumatic stress disorder) and (b) negative effects from developmental experiences and traumas and the impact of cultural and family norms. Assessing the influence of factors such as age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, disability, language, and socioeconomic status on the experience and expression of sexual orientation and sexual orientation identity may aid the LMHP in understanding the complexity of the client's distress.

The literature indicated that most of the individuals who are extremely distressed about their same-sex sexual orientation and who are interested in SOCE have conservative religious beliefs. A first step to addressing the conflicts regarding faith and sexual orientation is a thorough assessment of clients' spiritual and religious beliefs, religious identity and motivations, and spiritual functioning (Exline, 2002; Hathaway, Scott, & Garver, 2004; Pargament et al., 2005). This helps the LMHP understand how the current dilemmas impact clients' spiritual functioning (and vice versa) and assess resources for growth and renewal.

This assessment could include (a) understanding the specific religious beliefs of the client; (b) assessing the religious and spiritual conflicts and distress experienced by the client (Hathaway et al., 2004); (c) assessing the client's religious goals (Emons & Paloutzian, 2003) and motivations (e.g., internal, external, quest, fundamentalism) and positive and negative ways of coping within his or her religion (Pargament, Koenig, Tasakeshwars, & Hahn, 2001; Pargament & Mahoney, 2005; Pargament et al., 1998); (d) seeking to understand the impact of religious beliefs and religious communities on the experience of the client's self-stigma, sexual prejudice, and sexual orientation identity (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Buchanan et al., 2001; Fulton et al., 1999; Herek, 1987; Hunsberger & Jackson, 2005; J. P. Schwartz & Lindley, 2005; Schulte & Battle, 2004); (e) developing an understanding of the client's faith identity development (Fowler, 1981, 1991; Oser, 1991; Reich, 1991; Streib, 2005) and its intersection with his or her sexual orientation identity development (Harris, Cook, & Kashubeck-West, 2008; Hoffman et al., 2007; Knight & Hoffman, 2007; Mahaffy, 1996; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a; Yarhouse et al., 2005); and (f) enhancing with the client, when applicable, the search for meaning, significance, and a relationship with the definitions of the sacred in his or her life (Fowler, 2001; Goldstein, 2007; Pargament & Mahoney, 2005; Shafranske, 2000). Finally, an awareness of the varieties of religious faith, issues for religious minorities, and the unique role of religion in ethnic minority communities is important (Trujillo, 2000; Zea, Mason, & Muruia, 2000).

Some individuals who present with requests for SOCE may have clinical concerns that go beyond their sexual orientation conflicts. These may include mental health disorders, personality disorders, or trauma-related conditions that influence the presentation of sexual orientation conflicts and distress (cf. Brown, 2006; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman,

2001; Iwasaki & Ristock, 2007; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; Mohr & Fassinger, 2003; S. L. Morrow, 2000; Pachankis et al., 2008; Schneider et al., 2002; Sherry, 2007; Szymanski & Kashubeck-West, 2008). Such conditions may require intervention separate from or in conjunction with the intervention directed at the sexual orientation distress. For instance, some clients who seek SOCE may have histories of trauma (Ponticelli, 1999), and in some individuals sexual abuse can cause sexual orientation identity confusion and other sexuality-related concerns (Gartner, 1999). Some heterosexual individuals may obsess over the fear of being gay and require a unique treatment model to help them accept their fear (M. Williams, 2008). Other individuals seeking SOCE may make homosexuality the explanation for all they feel is wrong with their lives (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Erzen, 2006; Ponticelli, 1999; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). This displacement of self-hatred onto homosexuality can be an attempt to resolve a sense of badness and shame (cf. Brandchaft, 2007; Drescher, 1998b), and clients may thus need effective interventions to deal with this self-hatred and shame (Brandchaft, 2007; Linehan, Dimeff, & Koerner, 2007; Zaslav, 1998).

Sexual stigma impacts a client's appraisal of sexuality, and since definitions and norms of healthy sexuality vary among individuals, LMHP, and religious and societal institutions, potential conflicts can arise for clients about what a person should do to be sexually acceptable and healthy. O'Sullivan, McCrudden, and Tolman (2006) emphasized that sexuality is an integral component of psychological health, involving mental and emotional health, physical health, and relational health.<sup>46</sup> Initiating sensitive but open and educated discussions with clients about their views of and experiences with sexuality may be helpful, especially for those who have never had the opportunity or the permission to talk about such issues (Schneider et al., 2002).

### *Active Coping*

In our review of the research and clinical literature, we found that the appropriate application of affirmative

<sup>46</sup> The Pan American Health Organization and the World Health Organization (2000) defined sexual health in the following manner: "Sexual health is the ongoing process of physical, psychological, and sociocultural well-being in relationship to sexuality. Sexual health can be identified through the free and responsible expressions of sexual capabilities that foster harmonious personal and social wellness, enriching life within an ethical framework. It is not merely the absence of dysfunction, disease and/or infirmity. For sexual health to be attained and maintained it is necessary that sexual rights be recognized and exercised" (p. 9).

therapeutic interventions for adults presenting with a desire to change their sexual orientation seeks to

*Active coping strategies are efforts that include cognitive, behavioral, or emotional responses designed to change the nature of the stressor itself or how an individual perceives it.*

increase clients' capacity for active coping to mitigate distress. Coping strategies refer to the efforts that individuals use to resolve, endure, or diminish stressful

life experiences, and active coping strategies are efforts that include cognitive, behavioral, or emotional responses designed to change the nature of the stressor itself or how an individual perceives it (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980). Research has indicated that active coping is superior to other efforts, such as passive coping, and that individuals use both cognitive and emotional strategies to address stressful events (Folkman & Lazarus, 1980). These strategies are described in more depth in the following sections.

### COGNITIVE STRATEGIES

Research on those individuals who resolve their sexual orientation conflicts indicate that cognitive strategies helped to reduce cognitive dissonance (Coyle & Rafalin, 2000; Mahaffy, 1996). One of the dilemmas for many clients who seek sexual orientation change is that they see their situation as a dichotomy. For instance, their same-sex sexual attractions make them unworthy or bad, and only if they are heterosexual can they be worthy (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Haldeman, 2001, 2004; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; D. F. Morrow, 2003; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006). Cognitive strategies can reduce the all-or-nothing thinking, mitigate self-stigma, and alter negative self-appraisals (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Johnson, 2001, 2004; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; Martell et al., 2004). For example, Buchanan et al. (2001), using a narrative therapy approach, described a process of uncovering and deconstructing dominant worldviews and assumptions with conflicted clients that enabled them to redefine their attitudes toward their spirituality and sexuality (cf. Bright, 2004; Comstock, 1996; Graham, 1997; Yarhouse, 2008). Similarly, rejection of stereotypes about LGB individuals was found to be extremely important for increased psychological well-being in a mixed sample of LGB individuals (Luhtanen, 2003).

Recent developments in cognitive-behavior therapy, such as mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, dialectical



behavior therapy, and acceptance and commitment therapy techniques are relevant (e.g., Hayes, Strosahl, & Wilson, 2003; Linehan et al., 2007). Acceptance of the presence of same-sex sexual attractions and sexual orientation may not mean the formation of an LGB sexual orientation identity; alternate identities may develop instead.

exploring narratives or reframing cognitions, meanings, or assumptions about sexual attractions have been reported to be helpful (cf. Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Buchanan et al., 2001; Moran, 2007; Rodriguez, 2006; Tan, 2008; Yarhouse, 2005a, 2005c; Yarhouse & Beckstead, 2007). For instance, using these techniques, Beckstead and Morrow (2004) and Tan (2008) found that clients were able to cope with their sexual arousal experiences and live with them rather than negatively judge or fight against them. Male participants in Beckstead and Morrow's (2004) investigation, regardless of their ultimate sexual orientation identity, described their ability to accept, reframe, or "surrender" to their attractions as reducing their distress by decreasing their self-judgments and reducing their fear, anxiety, and shame. However, acceptance of same-sex sexual attractions and sexual orientation may not mean the formation of an LGB sexual orientation identity; alternate identities may develop instead (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Tan, 2008; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Yarhouse, 2008; Yarhouse et al., 2005).

For clients with strong values (religious or secular), an LMHP may wish to incorporate techniques that promote positive meaning-making, an active process through which people revise or reappraise an event or series of events (Baumeister & Vohs, 2002; cf. Taylor, 1983) to resolve issues that arise out of crises, loss, and suffering (cf. Frankl, 1992; Nolen-Hoeksema & Davis, 2002; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Pargament et al., 2005; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995). Such new meanings involve creating a new purpose in life, rebuilding a sense of mastery, and increasing self-worth (Nolen-Hoeksema & Davis, 2002; Pargament & Mahoney, 2002).

### EMOTION-FOCUSED STRATEGIES

For those who seek SOCE, the process of addressing one's sexual orientation can be very emotionally challenging, as the desired identity does not fit the individual's psychological, emotional, or sexual predispositions and needs. The experience of

irreconcilability of one's sexual orientation to one's deeply felt values, life situation, and life goals may disrupt one's core sense of meaning, purpose, efficacy, and self-worth (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Yarhouse, 2008; cf. Baumeister & Vohs, 2002; L. A. King & Smith, 2004) and result in emotional conflict, loss, and suffering (Glassgold, 2008; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995). Thus, emotion-focused strategies that facilitate mourning losses have reportedly been helpful to some (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Yarhouse, 2008; cf. Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

Therapeutic outcomes that have been reported include (a) coming to terms with the disappointments and losses and with the dissonances between psychological and emotional needs and possible and impossible selves (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Drescher, 1998b; L.A. King & Hicks, 2007; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995); (b) clarifying and prioritizing values and needs (Glassgold, 2008; Yarhouse, 2008); and (c) learning to tolerate and adapt to the ambiguity, conflict, uncertainty, and multiplicity with a positive attitude (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Buchanan et al., 2001; Corbett, 2001; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Halbertal & Koren, 2006; Haldeman, 2002; Miville & Ferguson, 2004).

### RELIGIOUS STRATEGIES

Although many individuals desire to live their lives consistently with their values, primarily their religious values, we concluded that telic congruence grounded in self-stigma and shame was unlikely to result in psychological well-being (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). Psychotherapeutic interventions can focus the client on positive religious coping (e.g., Ano & Vasconcelles, 2005; Pargament et al., 2005; Park, 2005; Silberman, 2005; T. B. Smith, McCullough, & Poll, 2005). *Connecting clients to core and overarching values and virtues such as charity, hope, forgiveness, gratitude, kindness, and compassion may shift the focus from their religion's rejection of homosexuality to the more accepting elements of their religion, which may provide more self-acceptance, direction, and peace.*

2003) that may present the client with alternatives to the concreteness of the conflict between sexual orientation and religious values. For instance, several publications indicate that active engagement with religious texts can reduce identity conflicts by reducing the salience of negative messages about homosexuality and increasing self-authority or understanding (Brzezinski, 2000; Comstock, 1996; Coyle & Rafalin, 2000; Glassgold, 2008; Gross, 2008; Mahaffy, 1996; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Rodriguez, 2006; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Schnoor, 2006; Schuck & Liddle, 2001; Thumma, 1991; Wilcox, 2001, 2002; Yip, 2002, 2003, 2005). Additionally, connecting clients to core and overarching values and virtues such as charity, hope, forgiveness, gratitude, kindness, and compassion may shift the focus from their religion's rejection of homosexuality to the more accepting elements of their religion, which may provide more self-acceptance, direction, and peace (Lease et al., 2005; McMinn, 2005). Exploration of how to integrate religious values and virtues into their sexuality may further development (cf. Helminiak, 2004).

Reframing the meaning of suffering and the burden of being conflicted as spiritual challenges rather than as divine condemnation (Glassgold, 2008; Hall & Johnson, 2001) and believing that God continues to love and accept them, because of or despite their sexual orientation, may be helpful in resolving distress (Graham, 1997; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995). For some, reframing spiritual struggles not only as a crisis of faith but also as an opportunity to increase faith or delve more deeply into it may be productive (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; de la Huerta, 1999; Glassgold, 2008; Horne & Noffsinger-Frazier, 2003; Ritter & Terndrup, 2002).

Examining the intersection between mental health concerns and the presentation of religious beliefs can be helpful in understanding the client (Johnson, 2001, 2004; Nielsen, 2001; Pargament et al., 2005; Robb, 2001; Shrafranske, 2004). For instance, Johnson (2004) described a rational emotive behavior therapy case study that focused on reducing excessive self-criticism, which lessened the self-stigma surrounding same-sex sexual attractions. This approach seeks to understand the core depressive cognitive structures and other problematic schemata that can become associated with the clients' religious values or distort their religious values (Johnson, 2001, 2004; Nielsen, 2001; Robb, 2001).

## *Social Support*

In our review of the research and clinical literature, we found that the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults presenting with a desire to change their sexual orientation seeks to increase clients' access to social support. As Coyle (1993) and others have noted (e.g., Wright & Perry, 2006), struggling with a devalued identity without adequate social support has the potential to erode psychological well-being. Increasing social support through psychotherapy, self-help groups, or welcoming communities (ethnic communities, social groups, religious denominations) may relieve some distress. For instance, participants reported benefits from mutual support groups, both sexual-minority-affirming and ex-gay groups (Kerr, 1997; Ponticelli, 1999; Rodriguez, 2006; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Rodriguez, 2006; Thumma, 1991; Wolkomir, 2001). These groups counteracted and buffered minority stress, marginalization, and isolation. Religious denominations that provide cognitive and affective strategies that aid in the resolution of cognitive dissonance and increase religious coping were helpful to religious individuals as well (Kerr, 1997; Maton, 2000; Ponticelli, 1999; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006).

LMHP can provide clients with information about a wide range of diverse sexual minority communities and religious and faith organizations available locally, nationally, or internationally in person or over the Internet.<sup>47</sup> These settings can provide contexts in which clients may explore and integrate identities, find role models, and reduce self-stigma (Heinz, Gu, Inuzuka, & Zender, 2002; Johnson & Buhrke, 2006; Schneider et al., 2002). However, some groups may reinforce prejudice and stigma by providing inaccurate or stereotyped information about homosexuality, and LMHP may wish to weigh with clients alternative options in these circumstances (Schneider et al., 2002).

For those clients who cannot express all aspects of themselves in the community settings currently available to them, LMHP can help the client to consider more flexible and strategic ways of expressing the multiple aspects of self that include managing self-disclosure and multiple identities (Bing, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Halbertal & Koran, 2006; LaFromboise, Coleman, & Gerton, 1993). Social support may be difficult to find

<sup>47</sup> There are growing numbers of communities available that address unique concerns and identities (see, e.g., [www.safraproject.org/](http://www.safraproject.org/) for Muslim women or <http://www.al-fatihah.org/> for LGB Muslims; for Orthodox Jews, see <http://tirtzah.wordpress.com/>).

for clients whose communities stigmatize their sexual orientation identity and other identities (e.g., ethnic, racial, religious), and these clients may benefit from considering the alternate frame that the problem does not lie with the client but with the community that is not able to affirm their sexual orientation or particular identity or meet their developmental needs (Blechner, 2008; Buchanan et al., 2001; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; Mark, 2008; Tremble, 1989).

Individuals with same-sex attractions in other-sex marriages may struggle with the loss (or fear of the loss) of social support and important relationships. Several authors (e.g., Alessi, 2008; Auerback & Moser, 1987; Bridges & Croteau, 1994; Brownfain, 1985; Buxton, 1994, 2001, 2004, 2007; Carlsson, 2007; Coleman, 1989; Corley & Kort, 2006; Gochros, 1989; Hernandez & Wilson, 2007; Isay, 1998; Klein & Schwartz, 2001; Malcolm, 2000; Schneider et al. 2002; Treyger, Ehlers, Zajicek, & Trepper, 2008; Yarhouse et al., 2003) have laid out counseling strategies for individuals in marriages with the other sex who consider SOCE. These strategies for individual, couples, and group counseling do not focus solely on one outcome (e.g., divorce, marriage) but on exploring the underlying personal and contextual problems, motivations, realities, and hopes for being in, leaving, or restructuring the relationship.

### *Identity Exploration and Development*

In our review of the research and clinical literature, we found that identity issues, particularly the ability to explore and integrate aspects of the self, are central to the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults presenting with a desire to change their sexual orientation. As described in earlier sections of this report, conflicts among disparate elements of identity appear to play a major role in the distress of those seeking SOCE, and identity exploration and development appear to be ways in which individuals resolve or avoid distress (e.g., Balsam & Mohr, 2007; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Coyle & Rafakin, 2000; Drescher, 1998b; Glassgold, 2008; Herek & Garnets, 2007; Mahaffy, 1996; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Yip, 2002, 2003, 2005).

Ideally, identity comprises a coherent sense of one's needs, beliefs, values, and roles, including those aspects of oneself that are the bases of social stigma, such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, disability, national origin, socioeconomic status, religion, spirituality, and sexuality (G. R. Adams & Marshall, 1996; Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Baumeister & Vohs, 2002; LaFramboise

et al., 1993; Marcia, 1966; Meyers et al., 1991; R. L. Worthington et al., 2002). Marcia (1966) generated a model in which identity development is an active process of exploring and assessing one's identity and establishing a commitment to an integrated identity. R. L. Worthington et al. (2002) hypothesized that sexual orientation identity could be conceptualized along these same lines and advanced a model of heterosexual identity development based on the assumption that congruence among the dimensions of individual identity is the most adaptive status, which is achieved by active exploration. There is some empirical research supporting this model (R. L. Worthington, Navarro, Savoy, & Hampton, 2008). Additionally, research has found that the formation of a collective identity has important mental health benefits for sexual minorities by buffering individuals from sexual stigma and increasing self-esteem (Balsam & Mohr, 2007; Crawford et al., 2002; Herek & Garnets, 2007).

An affirmative approach is supportive of clients' identity development without an a priori treatment goal for how clients identify or live out their sexual orientation. Sexual orientation identity exploration can be helpful for those who eventually accept or reject their same-sex sexual attractions; the treatment does not differ, although the outcome does. For instance, the existing research indicates that possible outcomes of sexual orientation identity exploration for those distressed by their sexual orientation may be:

- LGB identities (Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mahaffy, 1996; Yarhouse, 2008)
- Heterosexual sexual orientation identity (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004)
- Disidentifying from LGB identities (e.g., ex-gay) (Yarhouse, 2008; Yarhouse & Tan, 2004; Yarhouse et al., 2005)
- Not specifying an identity (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Tan, 2008)

The research literature indicates that there are variations in how individuals express their sexual orientation and label their identities based on ethnicity,

culture, age and generation, gender, nationality, acculturation, and religion (Boykin, 1996; Carrillo, 2002; Chan, 1997; Crawford et al., 2002; Denizet-Lewis, 2003; Kimmel & Yi, 2004; Martinez & Hosek, 2005; Miville & Ferguson, 2004; Millett, Malebranche, Mason, & Spikes, 2005; Stokes, Miller, & Mundhenk, 1998; Toro-Alfonso, 2007; Weeks, 1995; Yarhouse, 2008; Yarhouse et al., 2005; Zea et al., 2003). Some authors have provided analyses of identity that take into account diversity in sexual identity development and ethnic identity formation (Helms, 1995; LaFramboise et al., 1993; Myers et al., 1991; Yi & Shorter-Gooden, 1999), religious identity (Fowler, 1981, 1991; Oser, 1991; Strieb, 2001), as well as combinations of religious and sexual orientation identities (Coyle & Rafalin, 2000; Hoffman et al., 2007; Kerr, 1997; Knight & Hoffman, 2007; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Thumma, 1991; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Yarhouse & Tan 2004).

In some of the literature on SOCE, religious beliefs and identity are presented as fixed, whereas sexual orientation is considered changeable (cf. Rosik, 2003). Given that there is a likelihood that some individuals will change religious affiliations during their lifetime (Pew Forum on Religion and Public Life, 2008) and that many scholars have found that both religious identity and sexual orientation identity evolve (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Fowler, 1981; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mahaffy, 1996; Ritter & Terndrup, 2002; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005b), it is important for LMHP to explore the development of religious identity and sexual orientation identity (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008). Some authors hypothesize that developmental awareness or stage of religious or sexual orientation identity may play a role in identity outcomes (Knight & Hoffman, 2007; Mahaffy, 1996; cf. Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a). Other authors have described a developmental process that includes periods of crisis, mourning, reevaluation, identity deconstruction, and growth (Comstock, 1996; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995). Others have found that individuals disidentify or reject LGB identities (Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001, 2006; Yarhouse et al., 2005). Thus, LMHP seeking to take an affirmative attitude recognize that individuals will define sexual orientation identities in a variety of ways (Beckstead, as cited in Shidlo, Schroeder, & Drescher, 2002; Diamond, 2003; 2006; 2008; Savin-Williams, 2005; Yarhouse et al., 2005).

Some religious individuals may wish to resolve the tension between values and sexual orientation by choosing celibacy (sexual abstinence), which in some faiths, but not all, may be a virtuous path (Olson, 2007).

We found limited empirical research on the mental health consequences of that course of action.<sup>48</sup> Some clinical articles and surveys of individuals indicate that some may find such a life fulfilling (S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007); however, there are others who cannot achieve such a goal and might struggle with depression and loneliness (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2001; Horlacher, 2006; Rodriguez, 2006; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). In a similar way, acting on same-sex sexual attractions may not be fulfilling solutions for others (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Yarhouse, 2008).

LMHP may approach such a situation by neither rejecting nor promoting celibacy but by attempting to understand how this outcome is part of the process of exploration, sexual self-awareness, and understanding of core values and goals. The therapeutic process could entail exploration of what drives this goal for clients (assessing cultural, family, personal context and issues, sexual self-stigma), the possible short- and long-term consequences/rewards, and impacts on mental health while providing education about sexual health and exploring how a client will cope with the losses and gains of this decision (cf. L. A. King & Hicks, 2007; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995).

On the basis of the aforementioned analyses, we adopted a perspective that recognizes the following:

- The important functional aspects of identity (G. R. Adams & Marshall, 1996).
- The multiplicity inherent in experience and identity, including age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Miville & Ferguson, 2004; Myers et al., 1991).
- The influence of social context and the environment on identity (Baumeister & Muraven, 1996; Bronfenbrenner, 1979; Meeus, Iedema, Helsen, & Vollebergh, 1999; Myers et al., 1991; Steenbarger, 1991).
- That aspects of multiple identities are dynamic and can be in conflict (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; D. F. Morrow, 2003; Tan, 2008; Yarhouse, 2008).

<sup>48</sup> However, Sipe (1990, 2003) has surveyed clergy and found difficulty in maintaining behavior consistent with aspirations. Other studies indicate that this goal is only achieved for a minority of participants who choose it (Brzezinski, 2000; S. L. Jones & Yarhouse, 2007).

- Identities can be explored, experienced, or integrated without privileging or surrendering one or another at any age (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Myers et al., 1991; Phillips, 2004; Shallenberger, 1996).

Approaches based on models of biculturalism (LaFromboise et al., 1993) and pluralistic models of identity, including combining models of ethnic, sexual orientation, and religious identity that help individuals develop all aspects of self simultaneously or some sequentially, can encourage identity development and synthesis rather than identity conflict, foreclosure, or compartmentalization (Dworkin, 1997; Harris et al., 2008; Hoffman et al., 2007; Knight & Hoffman, 2007; Myers et al., 1991; Omer & Strenger, 1992; Ritter & O'Neill, 1989, 1995; Rosario, Schrimshaw, & Hunter, 2004; Rosario, Yali, Hunter, & Gwadz, 2006; Sophie, 1987; Troiden, 1988, 1993).

Sexual orientation identity exploration can help clients create a valued personal and social identity that provides self-esteem, belonging, meaning, direction, and future purpose, including the redefining of religious beliefs, identity, and motivations and the redefining of sexual values, norms, and behaviors (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Mark, 2008; Tan, 2008; Yarhouse, 2008). We encourage LMHP to support clients in determining their own (a) goals for their identity process; (b) behavioral expression of sexual orientation; (c) public and private social roles; (d) gender role, identity, and expression; (e) sex and gender of partner; and (f) form of relationship(s).

Understanding gender roles and gender expression and developing a positive gender identity<sup>49</sup> continue to be concerns for many individuals who seek SOCE, especially as nonconformity with social expectations regarding gender can be a source of distress and stigma (APA, 2008e; Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Corbett, 1996, 1998; Wolkomir, 2001). Some SOCE teach men how to adopt traditional masculine behaviors as a means of altering their sexual orientation (e.g., Nicolosi, 1991, 1993) despite the absence of evidence that such interventions affect sexual orientation. Such theoretical positions have been characterized as products of stigma and bias that are without an evidentiary basis

<sup>49</sup> *Gender* refers to the roles, behaviors, activities, and attributes that a particular society considers appropriate for men and women. *Gender identity* is a person's own psychological sense of identification as male or female, another gender, or identifying with no gender. *Gender expression* is the activities and behaviors that purposely or inadvertently communicate our gender identity to others, such as clothing, hairstyles, mannerisms, way of speaking, and social roles,

and may increase distress (American Psychoanalytic Association, 2000; Isay, 1987, 1999; Drescher, 1998b; Haldeman, 1994, 2001). For instance, Haldeman (2001) emphasized in his clinical work with men who had participated in SOCE that some men were taught that their homosexuality made them less masculine—a belief that was ultimately damaging to their self-esteem. Research on the impact of heterosexism and traditional gender roles indicates that an individual's adoption of traditional masculine norms increases sexual self-stigma and decreases self-esteem and emotional connection with others, thus negatively affecting mental health (Szymanski & Carr, 2008).

Advances in the psychology of men and masculinity provide more appropriate conceptual models for considering gender concerns—for instance, in such concepts as gender role strain or gender role stress (cf. Butler, 2004; Enns, 2008; Fischer & Good, 1997; Heppner & Heppner, 2008; Levant, 1992; Levant & Silverstein, 2006; O'Neil, 2008; Pleck, 1995; Wester, 2008). This literature suggests exploring with clients the role of traditional gender norms in distress and reconceptualizing gender in ways that feel more authentic to the client. Such approaches could also

*Most literature in this area suggests that for clients who experience distress with their gender-role nonconformity, LMHP provide them with a more complex theory of gender that affirms a wider range of gender diversity and expands definitions and expressions of masculinity and femininity.*

reduce the gender stereotypes associated with same-sex sexual orientation (Corbett, 1998; Haldeman, 2001; Schwartzberg & Rosenberg, 1998). Most literature in this area suggests that for clients who experience distress with their gender-role nonconformity, LMHP provide them with a more complex theory of gender that affirms a wider range of gender diversity and expands definitions and expressions of masculinity and femininity (Butler, 2004; Corbett, 1996, 1998, 2001; Haldeman, 2001; Levant & Silverstein, 2006).

Some women find current categories for conceptualizing their sexual orientation and sexual orientation identity limiting, as concepts in popular culture and professional literature do not mirror their experiences of fluidity and variation in sexuality and relationships (Chivers et al., 2007; Diamond, 2006, 2008; Peplau & Garnets, 2000). Some women, for example, may experience relationships with others as

important parts of sexuality and may place sexuality, sexual orientation, and sexual orientation identity in the context of interpersonal bonds and contexts (Diamond, 2003, 2006, 2008; Diamond & Savin-Williams, 2000; Garnets & Peplau, 2000; Kinnish, Strassberg, & Turner 2005; Kitzinger, & Wilkinson, 1994; Miller, 1991; Morgan & Thompson, 2006; Peplau & Garnets, 2000; Surrey, 1991). Specific psychotherapy approaches that focus on an understanding of emotional and erotic interpersonal connections in sexuality rather than simply on sexual arousal can aide LMHP in providing a positive framework and goals for therapy with women (Garnets & Peplau, 2000; Glassgold, 2008; Miller, 1991; Surrey, 1991).

For many women, religious or cultural influences discourage exploration of sexuality and do not portray female sexuality as positive or self-directed (Brown, 2006; Espin, 2005; Fassinger & Arseneau, 2006; Mahoney & Espin, 2008; Moran, 2007; Stone, 2008). Treatment might involve deconstructing cultural scripts in order to explore possibilities for religion, sexuality, sexual orientation, identity, and relationships (Avishai, 2008; Biaggio, Coan, & Adams, 2002; Morgan & Thompson, 2006; Rose & Zand, 2000).

## Conclusion

The appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions to adults is built on three key findings in the research: (a) An enduring change to an individual's sexual orientation as a result of SOCE was unlikely, and some participants were harmed by the interventions; (b) sexual orientation identity—not sexual orientation—appears to change via psychotherapy, support groups, and life events; and (c) clients perceive a benefit when offered interventions that emphasize acceptance, support, and recognition of important values and concerns.

On the basis of these findings and the clinical literature on this population, we suggest client-centered approaches grounded on the following scientific facts:

- Same-sex sexual attractions, behavior, and orientations per se are normal and positive variants of human sexuality—in other words, they are not indicators of mental or developmental disorders.
- Same-sex sexual attractions and behavior can occur in the context of a variety of sexual orientations and sexual orientation identities.

- Gay men, lesbians, and bisexual individuals can live satisfying lives as well as form stable, committed relationships and families that are equivalent to heterosexual relationships in essential respects.
- No empirical studies or peer-reviewed research support theories attributing same-sex sexual orientation to family dysfunction or trauma.

Affirmative client-centered approaches consider sexual orientation uniquely individual and inseparable from an individual's personality and sense of self (Glassgold, 1995, 2008). This includes (a) being aware of the client's

*Psychotherapy, self-help groups, or welcoming communities (ethnic communities, social groups, religious denominations) provide social support that can mitigate distress caused by isolation, rejection, and lack of role models.*

unique personal, social, and historical context; (b) exploring and countering the harmful impact of stigma and stereotypes on the client's self-concept (including the prejudice related to age, gender, gender identity, race,

ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status); and (c) maintaining a broad view of acceptable life choices.

We developed a framework for the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults that has the following central elements: (a) acceptance and support, (b) comprehensive assessment, (c) active coping, (d) social support, and (e) identity exploration and development.

Acceptance and support include (a) unconditional positive regard for and empathy with the client, (b) an openness to the client's perspective as a means of understanding their concerns, and (c) encouragement of the client's positive self-concept.

Comprehensive assessment includes an awareness of the complete person, including mental health concerns that could impact distress about sexual orientation.

Active coping strategies are efforts that include cognitive, behavioral, or emotional responses designed to change the nature of the stressor itself or how an individual perceives it and includes both cognitive and emotional strategies.

Psychotherapy, self-help groups, or welcoming communities (ethnic communities, social groups, religious denominations) provide social support that can

mitigate distress caused by isolation, rejection, and lack of role models.

Conflicts among disparate elements of identity play a major role in the conflicts and mental health concerns of those seeking SOCE. Identity exploration is an active process of exploring and assessing one's identity and establishing a commitment to an integrated identity that addresses the identity conflicts without an a priori treatment goal for how clients identify or live out their sexual orientation. The process may include a developmental process that includes periods of crisis, mourning, reevaluation, identity deconstruction, and growth.

LMHP address specific issues for religious clients by integrating aspects of the psychology of religion into their work, including obtaining a thorough assessment of clients' spiritual and religious beliefs, religious identity and motivations, and spiritual functioning; improving positive religious coping; and exploring the intersection of religious and sexual orientation identities. This framework is consistent with modern multiculturally competent approaches and evidence-based psychotherapy practices and can be integrated into a variety of theoretical systems.

Certified as true  
and correct copy

---

## 7. ETHICAL CONCERNS AND DECISION MAKING IN PSYCHOTHERAPY WITH ADULTS<sup>50</sup>

---

Ethical concerns relevant to sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE)<sup>51</sup> have been a major theme in the literature and a central aspect of the debate around SOCE (e.g., Benoit, 2005; Cramer et al., 2008; Davison, 1976, 1978, 1991; Drescher, 1999, 2001, 2002; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 1994, 2002, 2004; Herek, 2003; Lasser & Gottlieb, 2004; Rosik, 2003; Schreier, 1998; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Sobocinski, 1990; Tozer & McClanahan, 1999; Wakefield, 2003; Yarhouse, 1998a; Yarhouse & Burkett, 2002; Yarhouse & Throckmorton, 2002). The major concerns raised in these publications have been (a) the potential for harm, (b) the client's right to choose SOCE and other issues generally related to the ethical issue of client autonomy, and (c) questions of how to appropriately balance respect for two aspects of diversity—religion and sexual orientation. SOCE presents an ethical dilemma to practitioners because these publications have urged LMHP to pursue multiple and incompatible courses of action (cf. Kitchener, 1984).

In 1997 APA adopted the Resolution on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation (APA, 1998). This resolution highlighted the provisions of the then-current *Ethical Principles for Psychologists*

and *Code of Conduct* (APA, 1992) that APA believed to be relevant to situations in which clients request treatments to alter sexual orientation and psychologists provide such treatments, including the provisions regarding bias and discrimination, false or deceptive information, competence, and informed consent to treatment. For a discussion of the resolution's application to clinical situations, readers are referred to Schneider et al. (2002). In the resolution, APA also reaffirmed (a) its position that homosexuality is not a mental disorder; (b) its opposition to stigma, prejudice, and discrimination based on sexual orientation; and (c) its concern about the contribution of the promotion of SOCE to the continuation of sexual stigma in U.S. culture.

The APA's charge to the task force included "to review and update the APA Resolution on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation." In the process of fulfilling this aspect of our charge, we considered the possibility of recommending revisions to the 1997 resolution to update it with the specific principles and standards of the 2002 APA Ethics Code. Ultimately, we decided against a revision,<sup>52</sup> because the relevant concepts in the two versions of the principles and code are similar. Instead, this chapter examines the relevant sections of the 2002 APA *Ethical Principles for Psychologists and Code of Conduct* [hereafter referred to as the Ethics Code] in light of current debates regarding

---

<sup>50</sup> Ethical concerns for children and adolescents are considered in Chapter 8.

<sup>51</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person's same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals, religious leaders, social groups, and other lay networks, such as self-help groups) are involved.

---

<sup>52</sup> We developed a new resolution that APA adopted in August 2009 (see Appendix A).



ethical decision making in this area.<sup>53</sup> We build our discussion on the concepts outlined in the 1997 resolution and discuss some of the ethical controversies in light of the newer APA Ethics Code (2002b) and of the systematic research review presented in Chapters 3 and 4 of this report. Although many of the principles and standards in the Ethics Code are potentially pertinent,<sup>54</sup> the principles and standards most relevant to this discussion are (in alphabetical order):

1. Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments (Standard 2.04) and Competence (e.g., 2.01a, 2.01b)<sup>55</sup>
2. Principle A: Beneficence and Nonmaleficence
3. Principle D: Justice
4. Principle E: Respect for People's Rights and Dignity

## Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments and Competence

Many of the standards of the Ethics Code are derived from the ethical and valuative foundations found in the principles (Knapp & VandeCreek, 2004). Two of the more important standards are competence and the bases for scientific and professional judgments. These standards are linked, as competence is based on knowledge of the scientific evidence relevant to a case (Glassgold & Knapp, 2008). When practicing with those who seek sexual orientation change for themselves or for others, commentators on ethical practice have

<sup>53</sup> This section is for descriptive and educational purposes. It is not designed to interpret the APA (2002b) Ethics Code. The APA Ethics Committee alone has the authority to interpret the APA (2002b) Ethics Code and render decisions about whether a course of treatment is ethical. Furthermore, this section is not intended to provide guidelines or standards for practice. Guidelines and standards for practice are created through a specific process that is outside the purview of the task force.

<sup>54</sup> The following are some of the pertinent standards: 2. Competence, 2.01 Boundaries of Competence, 2.03 Maintaining Competence, 2.04 Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments; 3. Human Relations, 3.01 Unfair Discrimination, 3.03 Other Harassment, 3.04 Avoiding Harm, 3.10 Informed Consent; 5.01 Avoidance of False or Deceptive Statements, 5.04 Media Presentations; 7.01 Design of Education and Training Programs; 8.02 Informed Consent to Research; 10.01 Informed Consent to Therapy, 10.02 Therapy Involving Couples or Families.

<sup>55</sup> Knapp and VandeCreek (2004) proposed that Ethical Standard 2 (Competence) is derived from Principle A: Beneficence & Nonmaleficence, as it is more likely that an LMHP can provide benefit if he or she is competent; however, for our purposes, this chapter will discuss these issues sequentially.

recommended that the practitioner understand the scientific research on sexual orientation and SOCE (Glassgold & Knapp, 2008; Schneider et al., 2002). It is obviously beyond the task force's scope to provide a systematic review of the whole body of research on sexual orientation, but we have tried to provide a systematic review of the research on SOCE in Chapters 3 and 4. From this review, we have drawn two key conclusions.

The first finding from our review is that there is insufficient evidence that SOCE are efficacious for changing sexual orientation. Furthermore, there is some evidence that such efforts cause harm. On the

*On the basis of this evidence, we consider it inappropriate for psychologists and other LMHP to foster or support in clients the expectation that they will change their sexual orientation if they participate in SOCE.*

basis of this evidence, we consider it inappropriate for psychologists and other LMHP to foster or support in clients the expectation that they will change their sexual orientation if they participate

in SOCE. We believe that among the various types of SOCE, the greatest level of ethical concern is raised by SOCE that presuppose that same-sex sexual orientation is a disorder or a symptom of a disorder.<sup>56</sup> Treatments based on such assumptions raise the greatest level of ethical scrutiny by LMHP because they are inconsistent with the scientific and professional consensus that homosexuality per se is not a mental disorder. Instead, we counsel LMHP to consider other treatment options when clients present with requests for sexual orientation change.

The second key finding from our review is that those who participate in SOCE, regardless of the intentions of these treatments, and those who resolve their distress through other means, may evolve during the course of their treatment in such areas as self-awareness, self-concept, and identity. These changes may include (a) sexual orientation identity, including changes in private and public identification, behavior, group membership, and affiliation; (b) emotional adjustment, including reducing self-stigma and shame; and (c) personal beliefs, values, and norms, including changes in religious and moral beliefs and behaviors and motivations (Buchanon et al., 2001; Diamond, 1998, 2006; Rust, 2003; Savin-Williams, 2004; R. L.

<sup>56</sup> See, e.g., Socarides (1968), Hallman (2008), and Nicolosi (1991); these theories assume homosexuality is always a sign of developmental defect or mental disorder.

Worthington, 2002, 2004, 2005; Yarhouse, 2008). These areas become targets of LMHP interventions in order to reduce identity conflicts and distress and to explore and enhance the client's identity integration.

Because a large number of individuals who seek SOCE are from conservative faiths and indicate that religion is very important to them, integrating research on the psychology of religion into treatment may be helpful. For instance, individual religious motivations can be examined, positive religious coping increased, and religious identity and sexual orientation identity explored and integrated (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Fowler, 1981; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Knight & Hoffman, 2007; O'Neill & Ritter, 1992; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a, 2005b). This is consistent with advances in the understanding of human diversity that place LGB-affirmative approaches within current multicultural perspectives that include age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status (e.g., Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Brown, 2006; Fowers & Davidov, 2006), consistent with Principle D (Justice) and Principle E (Respect for People's Rights and Dignity).

However, in some of the debates on these issues, there are tensions between conservative religious perspectives and affirmative and scientific perspectives (Haldeman, 2002; Rosik, 2003; Throckmorton & Welton, 2005; Yarhouse, 1998a; Yarhouse & Burkett, 2002; Yarhouse & Throckmorton, 2002). Although there are tensions

*APA (2008a) delineates a perspective that affirms the importance of science in exploring and understanding human behavior while respecting religion as an important aspect of human diversity.*

As we noted in the introduction, in its Resolution on Religious, Religion-Related, and/or Religion-Derived Prejudice, APA (2008a) delineates a perspective that affirms the importance of science in exploring and understanding human behavior while respecting religion as an important aspect of human diversity. Scientific findings from the psychology of religion can be incorporated into treatment, thus respecting all aspects of diversity while providing therapy that is consistent with scientific research.

between religious and scientific perspectives, the task force and other scholars do not view these perspectives as mutually exclusive (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; S. L. Morrow & Beckstead, 2004; Yarhouse, 2005b).

Most important, respecting religious values does not require using techniques that are unlikely to have an effect. We proposed an approach that respects religious values and welcomes all of the client's actual and potential identities by exploring conflicts and identities without preconceived outcomes. This approach does not prioritize one identity over another and may aide a client in creating a sexual orientation identity consistent with religious values (see Chapter 6) (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 2008; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Tan, 2008; Yarhouse, 2008).

## Benefit and Harm

Principle A of the APA Ethics Code, Beneficence and Nonmaleficence, establishes that psychologists aspire to provide services that maximize benefit and minimize harm (APA, 2002b). Many ethicists and scholars consider the avoidance of harm to be the priority of modern health care and medical ethics (Beauchamp & Childress, 2008; Herek, 2003; S. L. Morrow, 2000). The literature on effective treatments and interventions stresses that to be considered effective, interventions must not have serious negative side effects (Beutler, 2000; Flay et al., 2005). When applying this principle in the context of providing interventions, LMHP assess the risk of harm, weigh that risk with the potential benefits, and communicate this to clients through informed consent procedures that aspire to provide the client with an understanding of potential risks and benefits that are accurate and unbiased. Some of the published considerations of ethical issues related to SOCE have focused on the limited evidence for its efficacy, the potential for client harm, and the potential for misrepresentation of these issues by proponents of SOCE (Cramer et al., 2008; Haldeman, 1994, 2002, 2004; Herek, 2003; Schroeder & Shidlo, 2001; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). Other discussions focus on other harms of SOCE, such as reinforcing bias, discrimination, and stigma against LGB individuals (Davison, 1976, 1978, 1991; Drescher, 1999, 2001, 2002; Gonsiorek, 2004).

In weighing the harm and benefit of SOCE, LMHP can review with clients the evidence presented in this report. Research on harm from SOCE is limited, and some of the research that exists suffers from methodological limitations that make broad and definitive conclusions difficult. Early well-designed experiments that used aversive and behavioral

interventions did cause inadvertent and harmful mental health effects such as increased anxiety, depression, suicidality, and loss of sexual functioning in some participants. Additionally, client dropout rate is sometimes an indication of harmful effects (Lilienfeld, 2007). Early studies with aversive procedures are characterized by very high dropout rates, perhaps indicating harmful effects, and substantial numbers of clients unwilling to participate further. Other perceptions of harm mentioned by recipients of SOCE include increased guilt and hopelessness due to the failure of the intervention, loss of spiritual faith, and a sense of personal failure and unworthiness (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Haldeman, 2001, 2004; Shidlo & Schroeder, 2002). Other indirect harms from SOCE include the time, energy, and cost of interventions that were not beneficial (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Lilienfeld, 2007; G. Smith et al., 2004).

We found limited research evidence of benefits from SOCE. There is qualitative research that describes clients' positive perceptions of such efforts, such as

*... the benefits reported by participants in SOCE may be achieved through treatment approaches that do not attempt to change sexual orientation.*

(Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Ponticelli, 1999; Wolkomir, 2001). The literature on SOCE support groups, for instance, illustrates results similar to those found for LGB-affirming groups and mutual help groups in general (e.g., Kerr, 1997; Levine et al., 2004; Thumma, 1991). The positive experiences clients report in SOCE are not unique. Rather, they are benefits that have been found in studies of therapeutic relationships and support groups in a number of different contexts (Levine et al., 2004; Norcross, 2002; Norcross & Hill, 2004). Thus, the benefits reported by participants in SOCE may be achieved through treatment approaches that do not attempt to change sexual orientation.

Perceptions of risks and rewards of certain courses of action influence the individual's decisions, distress, and process of exploration in psychotherapy. The client and LMHP may define these risks and rewards differently, leading to different perceptions of benefit and harm. Recognizing, understanding, and clarifying these different perceptions of risks and rewards are crucial for a thorough ethical analysis of each client's unique situation and are aspects of client-centered

approaches. For instance, an LMHP may attempt to provide information to the client to reduce sexual stigma and increase life options by informing the client about the research literature on same-sex couples. Such relationships may be threatening to the client when such a life course is perceived as being inconsistent with existing religious beliefs and motivations and potentially having negative repercussions on existing relationships with religious communities. Thus, the client and LMHP may perceive the benefits and harms of the same course of action differently. Yet, discussing positive coping resources with clients regarding how to manage such inconsistencies, stigma, and negative repercussions may provide the client with more informed and empowered solutions from which to choose, thus increasing benefit and autonomy and reducing harm.

## Justice and Respect for Rights and Dignity

In this section, we focus on two concepts, Justice (Principle D) and Self-Determination (Principle E, Respect for People's Rights and Dignity). The first considers justice, both distributive and procedural justice (Knapp & VandeCreek, 2004), and the second focuses on recognizing diversity and maximizing a client's ability to choose. The APA Ethics Code uses the term *self-determination* to encompass the meanings for which many ethicists have used the term autonomy; we define self-determination as the process by which a person controls or determines the course of her or his own life (*Oxford American Dictionary*, 2007). Client self-determination encompasses the ability to seek treatment, consent to treatment, and refuse treatment. The informed consent process is one of the ways by which self-determination is maximized in psychotherapy.

Informed consent and self-determination cannot be considered without an understanding of the individual, community, and social contexts that shape the lives of sexual minorities. By understanding self-determination as context-specific and by working to increase clients' awareness of the influences of context on their decision making, the LMHP can increase clients' self-determination and thereby increase their ability to make informed life choices (Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Glassgold, 1995; 2008; Haldeman, 2004). For instance, some have suggested that social stigma and prejudice are fundamental reasons for sexual minorities' desire

Certified as true  
and correct copy

to change their sexual orientation (Davison, 1976, 1978, 1982, 1991; Haldeman, 1994; Silverstein, 1991; G. Smith et al., 2004; Tozer & Hayes, 2004). As stigma, prejudice, and discrimination continue to be prevalent,<sup>57</sup> we recommend that LMHP strive to understand their clients' request for SOCE in the context of sexual stigma and minority stress (e.g., DiPlacido, 1998; Meyer, 2001). We further recommend that providers explore with their clients the impact of these factors on their clients' decision making in order to assess the extent to which self-determination is compromised (cf. G. Smith et al., 2004).

For instance, repressive, coercive, or invalidating cultural, social, political, and religious influences can limit autonomous expression of sexual orientation, including the awareness and exploration of options for expression of sexual orientation within an individual life (e.g., Glassgold, 2008; Mark, 2008; McCormick, 2006; G. Smith et al., 2004; Wax, 2008). We recommend that LMHP consider the impact of discrimination and stigma on the client and themselves (e.g., Beckstead & Israel, 2007; Haldeman, 2001, 2002). This consideration can become quite complex when the client or the community of the client or the LMHP believes that homosexuality is sinful and immoral (see Beckstead & Israel, 2007). Further exploration of religious beliefs and the cognitive assumptions underlying those beliefs may be helpful in understanding the client's beliefs and perception of choices (Buchanan et al., 2001; Fischer & DeBord, 2007; Johnson, 2004; Yarhouse, 2008; Yip, 2000, 2002, 2005).

The issue of self-determination has become controversial, and some have suggested that SOCE be offered in the spirit of maximizing client autonomy so that clients have access to a treatment they request (e.g., Rosik, 2003; Yarhouse & Throckmorton, 2002). Others have cautioned against providing interventions that have very limited evidence of effectiveness, run counter to current scientific knowledge, and have the potential for harm, despite client requests (Drescher,

1999, 2002; Forstein, 2001; Gonsiorek, 2004; Haldeman, 2002; Herek, 2003). With regard to claims that client autonomy is the defining concern in treatment decision making, elevating one aspect of ethical reasoning, such as autonomy, above all others is not consistent with the current framework of the APA Ethics Code or medical ethics that focus on the interrelatedness of ethical principles (Beauchamp & Childress, 2008; Knapp & VandeCreek, 2004).

For instance, current ethics guidance focuses on the interrelatedness of ethical principles and understanding a clinical situation fully so as to appropriately balance the various pertinent principles (e.g., Knapp & VandeCreek, 2004). Self-determination and autonomy can vary in degree due to interpersonal and intrapersonal concerns and can be considered in relation to other ethical principles, such as providing services that (a) are likely to provide benefit, (b) are not effective, or (c) have the potential for harm.

We believe that simply providing SOCE to clients who request it does not necessarily increase self-

*We also believe that LMHP are more likely to maximize their clients' self-determination by providing effective psychotherapy that increases a client's abilities to cope, understand, acknowledge, explore, and integrate sexual orientation concerns into a self-chosen life in which the client determines the ultimate manner in which he or she does or does not express sexual orientation.*

determination but rather abdicates the responsibility of LMHP to provide competent assessment and interventions that have the potential for benefit with a limited risk of harm. We also believe that LMHP are more likely to maximize their clients' self-determination by providing effective psychotherapy that increases a client's abilities to cope, understand,

acknowledge, explore, and integrate sexual orientation concerns into a self-chosen life in which the client determines the ultimate manner in which he or she does or does not express sexual orientation (Bartoli & Gillem, 2008; Beckstead & Israel, 2007; S. L. Morrow & Beckstead, 2004; Haldeman, 2004; Tan, 2008; Throckmorton & Yarhouse, 2006; Yarhouse, 2008).

<sup>57</sup> For instance, the criminalization of certain forms of same-sex sexual behavior between consenting adults in private was constitutional in the United States until 2003 (see *Lawrence v. Texas*, 2003). The federal government and most U.S. states do not provide civil rights protections to LGBT individuals and their families (National Gay and Lesbian Task Force: <http://www.thetaskforce.org>). In some other countries, homosexual behavior is still illegal and subject to extreme consequences, even death (e.g., Human Rights Watch, 2008; Wax, 2008; see also International Gay & Lesbian Human Rights Commission (IGLHRC): <http://www.iglhrc.org>). In extremely repressive environments, sexual orientation conversion efforts are provided in a coercive manner and have been the subject of human rights complaints (e.g., IGLHRC, 2001).

### *Relational Issues in Treatment*

Ideal or desired outcomes may not always be possible, and at times the client may face difficult decisions that

require different types and degrees of disappointment, distress, and sacrifice, as well as benefits, fulfillment, and rewards (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Yarhouse, 2008). LMHP may face strong emotions regarding the limits of their ability to provide relief from such difficult decisions or their consequences. Such emotions are understandable in this complex area, yet acting on such emotions within treatment has the potential to be harmful to the client (Knapp & VandeCreek, 2004; Pope & Vasquez, 2007). In these situations, in order to aid the client, the LMHP may have to address his or her own emotional reactions to the client's dilemmas. As the client must address regrets, losses (such as impossible and possible selves; see L. A. King & Hicks, 2007), and definitions of what is a fulfilling and worthwhile life, the LMHP must address his or her own values and beliefs about such issues. The LMHP's self-awareness, self-care, and judicious use of consultation can be helpful in these circumstances (Pope & Vasquez, 2007; Porter, 1995).

Moreover, LMHP may have their own internalized assumptions about sexual orientation, sexual orientation identity, sexuality, religion, race, ethnicity, and cultural issues (APA, 2000, 2002b; Garnets et al., 1991; McIntosh, 1990; Pharr, 1988; Richards & Bergin, 2005). The ethical principles of justice and respect for people's rights and dignity encourage LMHP to be aware of discrimination and prejudice so as to avoid condoning or colluding with the prejudices of others, including societal prejudices. As a way to increase awareness of their assumptions and promote the resolution of their own conflicts, R. L. Worthington, Dillon, and Becker-Schutte (2005) advised LMHP to develop their own competence surrounding sexual orientation, sexual minorities, and heterosexual privilege. Such competence requires self-reflection, contact with diverse sexual minority communities, and self-management of biases and sexual prejudice (cf. Israel, Ketz, Detrie, Burke, & Shulman, 2003).

Several authors (e.g., Faiver & Ingersoll, 2005; Lomax, Karff, & McKenny, 2002; Richards & Bergin, 2005; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a; Yarhouse & VanOrman, 1999) have described potential ethical concerns related to working with religious clients. LMHP can strive to be aware of how their own religious values affect treatment and can aspire to focus on the client's perspective and aspire to become informed about the importance and content of specific religious beliefs and the psychology of religion (Bartoli, 2007; Yarhouse & Fisher, 2002; Yarhouse & VanOrman, 1999). Yet, for LMHP, the goal of treatment is determined by mental health concerns

*Although LMHP strive to respect religious diversity and to be aware of the importance of religion to clients' worldviews, LMHP focus on scientific evidence and professional judgment in determining mental health interventions.*

rather than directed by religious values (Gonsiorek, 2004). Although LMHP strive to respect religious diversity and to be aware of the importance of religion to clients' worldviews, LMHP focus on scientific evidence and professional judgment in determining mental health interventions (APA, 2008a; Beckstead, 2001; Glassgold, 2008; Haldeman, 2004; Yarhouse & Burkett, 2002).

## Summary

The principles and standards of the 2002 *Ethical Principles for Psychologists and Code of Conduct* most relevant to working with sexual minorities who seek to alter their sexual orientation are (a) Bases for Scientific and Professional Judgments (Standard 2.04) and Competence (2.01); (b) Beneficence and Nonmaleficence (Principle A); (c) Justice (Principle D); and (d) Respect for People's Rights and Dignity (Principle E). The key scientific findings relevant to the ethical concerns that are important in the area of SOCE are the limited evidence of efficacy or benefit and the potential for harm. LMHP are cautioned against promising sexual orientation change to clients. LMHP are encouraged to consider affirmative treatment options when clients present with requests for sexual orientation change. Such options include the therapeutic approaches included in Chapter 6. Self-determination is increased by approaches that support a client's exploration and development of sexual orientation identity. These approaches balance an understanding of the role of sexual stigma and respect other aspects of diversity in a client's exploration and maximize client self-determination.

Certified as true  
and correct copy

## 8. ISSUES FOR CHILDREN, ADOLESCENTS, AND THEIR FAMILIES

### Task Force Charge and Its Social Context

The task force was asked to report on three issues for children and adolescents:

- The appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for children and adolescents<sup>58</sup> who present a desire to change either their sexual orientation or their behavioral expression of their sexual orientation, or both, or whose guardian expresses a desire for the minor to change.
- The presence of adolescent inpatient facilities that offer coercive treatment designed to change sexual orientation or the behavioral expression of sexual orientation.<sup>59</sup>
- Recommendations regarding treatment protocols that promote stereotyped gender-

<sup>58</sup> In this report, we define *adolescents* as individuals between the ages of 12 and 18 and children as individuals under age 12. The age of 18 was chosen because many jurisdictions in the United States use this age as the legal age of majority, which determines issues such as consent to treatment and other relevant issues.

<sup>59</sup> We define *coercive treatments* as practices that compel or manipulate a child or adolescent to submit to treatment through the use of threats, intimidation, trickery, or some other form of pressure or force. The threat of future harm leads to the cooperation or obedience. Threats of negative consequences can be physical or emotional, such as threats of rejection or abandonment from or disapproval by family, community, or peer-group; engendering feelings of guilt/obligation or loss of love; exploiting physical, emotional, or spiritual dependence.

normative behavior to mitigate behaviors that are perceived to be indicators that a child will develop a homosexual orientation in adolescence and adulthood.

These issues reflected recent events in the current social context. Advocacy groups (Sanchez, 2007), law journals (Goishi, 1997; Morey, 2006; Weithorn, 1987), and the news media (A. Williams, 2005) have reported on involuntary<sup>60</sup> sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE)<sup>61</sup> among adolescents. Publications by LMHP directed at parents and outreach from religious organizations advocate SOCE for children and youth as interventions to prevent adult same-sex sexual orientation (e.g., Nicolosi & Nicolosi, 2002; Rekers, 1982; see also Cianciotto & Cahill, 2006; Kennedy & Cianciotto, 2006; Sanchez, 2007).

Reports by LGB advocacy groups (e.g., Cianciotto & Cahill, 2006; Kennedy & Cianciotto, 2006) have claimed that there has been an increase in attention to youths by religious organizations that believe homosexuality is a mental illness or an adverse developmental outcome. These reports further suggested that there has

<sup>60</sup> We define *involuntary treatment* as that which is performed without the individual's consent or assent and which may be contrary to his or her expressed wishes. Unlike coercive treatment, no threats or intimidation are involved.

<sup>61</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person's same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals,

been an increase in outreach to youths that portrays homosexuality in an extremely negative light and uses fear and shame to fuel this message. These reports expressed concern that such efforts have a negative impact on adolescents' and their parents' perceptions of their sexual orientation or potential sexual orientation, increase the perception that homosexuality and religion are incompatible, and increase the likelihood that some adolescents will be exposed to SOCE without information about evidence-based treatments.

One aspect of these concerns expressed by LGB advocacy groups has been the presence of residential programs in which adolescents have been placed by their parents, in some cases with reported lack of assent from the adolescent (e.g., Cianciotto & Cahill, 2006; Kennedy & Cianciotto, 2006). In addition, a longstanding concern raised by advocacy groups for both LGB people and transgender people has been the alleged use of residential psychiatric commitment and gender-normative behavioral treatments for children and adolescents whose expression of gender or sexuality violates gender norms (Goishi, 1997; Morey, 2006; Weithorn, 1988).

To fulfill our charge, we reviewed the literature on SOCE in children and adolescents and affirmative psychotherapy for children, adolescents, and their families. We considered the literature on best practices in child and adolescent treatment, inpatient treatment, and legal issues regarding involuntary or coercive treatments and consent to and refusal of treatment. We also reviewed the literature on the development of sexual orientation in children and adolescents.

## Literature Review

### *Literature on Children*

There is a lack of published research on SOCE among children. Research on sexuality in childhood is limited and seldom includes sexual orientation or sexual orientation identity (Perrin, 2002). Although LGB adults and others with same-sex sexual attractions often report emotional and sexual feelings and attractions from their childhood or early adolescence and recall a sense of being different even earlier in childhood (Beckstead & Morrow, 2004; Bell et al., 1981; D'Augelli & Hershberger, 1993; Diamond & Savin-Williams, 2000; Troiden, 1989), such concerns have not been studied directly in young children (cf. Bailey & Zucker, 1995; Cohen & Savin-Williams, 2004).

There is no published research suggesting that children are distressed about their sexual orientation per se. Parental concern or distress about a child's behavior, mental health, and possible sexual orientation plays a central role in referrals for psychotherapy (Perrin, 2002; C. Ryan & Futterman, 1997). Parents may be concerned about behaviors in the child that are stereotypically associated with a same-sex sexual orientation (e.g., affection directed at another child of the same sex, lack of interest in the other sex, or behaviors that do not conform to traditional gender norms) (American Academy of Pediatrics [AAP], 1999; Haldeman, 2000). This situation contrasts with the condition of gender dysphoria in childhood and adolescence, for which there is clear evidence that some children and adolescents experience distress regarding their assigned sex, and some experience distress with the consequences of their gender and biological sex (i.e., youth struggling with social discrimination and stigma surrounding gender nonconformity) (APA, 2008e; R. Green, 1986, 1987; J. D. Menveille, 1998; E. J. Menveille & Tuerk, 2002; Zucker & Bradley, 1995).

Childhood interventions to prevent homosexuality have been presented in non-peer-reviewed literature (see Nicolosi & Nicolosi, 2002; Rekers, 1982).<sup>62</sup> These interventions are based on theories of gender and sexual orientation that conflate stereotypic gender roles or interests with heterosexuality and homosexuality or that assume that certain patterns of family relationships cause same-sex sexual orientation. These treatments focus on proxy symptoms (such as nonconforming gender behaviors), since sexual orientation as it is usually conceptualized does not emerge until puberty, with the onset of sexual desires and drives (see APA, 2002a; Perrin, 2002). These interventions assume a same-sex sexual orientation is caused by certain family relationships that form gender identity and assume that encouraging gender stereotypic behaviors and certain family relationships will alter sexual orientation (Burack & Josephson, 2005; see, e.g., Nicolosi & Nicolosi, 2002; Rekers, 1979, 1982).

<sup>62</sup> The only peer-reviewed literature did not focus on sexual orientation but rather on children with gender identity disorder or who exhibited nonconformity with gender roles (e.g., Rekers, 1979, 1981; Rekers, Bentler, Rosen, & Lovaas, 1977; Rekers, Kilgus, & Rosen, 1990; Rekers & Lovaas, 1974). However, the relevance of such work to this topic is limited, as none of these children reported experiencing same-sex sexual attractions or were followed into adulthood. Gender nonconformity differs from gender identity disorder, and children with gender identity disorder are not necessarily representative of the larger population of those children who will experience same-sex sexual attractions in adulthood (Bailey & Zucker, 1995; Bradley & Zucker, 1998; Zucker, 2008).

The theories on which these interventions are based have not been confirmed by empirical study (Perrin, 2002; Zucker, 2008; Zucker & Bradley, 1995). Although retrospective research indicates that some gay men and lesbians recall gender nonconformity in childhood (Bailey & Zucker, 1995; Bem, 1996; Mathy & Drescher, 2008), there is no research evidence that childhood gender nonconformity and adult homosexuality are identical or are necessarily sequential developmental phenomena (Bradley & Zucker, 1998; Zucker, 2008). Theories that certain patterns of family relationships cause same-sex sexual orientation have been discredited (Bell et al., 1981; Freund & Blanchard, 1983; R. R. Green, 1987; D. K. Peters & Cantrell, 1991).

The research that has been attempted to determine whether interventions in childhood affect adult sexual orientation exists only within the specific population of children with gender identity disorder (GID). R. Green (1986, 1987) and Zucker and Bradley (1995) (to a limited degree) examined prospectively whether psychotherapy in children with GID influenced adult or adolescent sexual orientation and concluded that it did not (for a review of the issues for children with GID, see APA, 2009, *Report of the Task Force on Gender Identity and Gender Variance*). Thus, we concluded that there is no existing research to support the hypothesis that psychotherapy in children alters adult sexual orientation.

### *Literature on Adolescents*

We found no empirical research on adolescents who request SOCE, but there were a few clinical articles reporting cases of psychotherapy with religious

*The general body of research on adolescents who identify themselves as same-sex oriented does not suggest that the normal development of a same-sex sexual orientation in adolescence is typically characterized by distress that results in requests for sexual orientation change.*

of these cases, the adolescents or their families sought SOCE or considered SOCE (Cates, 2007; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a; Yarhouse et al., 2005). The general body of research on adolescents who identify themselves as same-sex oriented does not suggest that the normal

adolescents who expressed confusion regarding their sexual orientation and conflicts between religious values and sexual orientation (Cates, 2007; Yarhouse, 1998b; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a; Yarhouse et al., 2005). In some

development of a same-sex sexual orientation in adolescence is typically characterized by distress that results in requests for sexual orientation change (e.g., D'Augelli, 2002; Garofalo & Harper, 2003; Savin-Williams & Cohen, 2004).

The absence of evidence for adolescent sexual orientation distress that results in requests for SOCE and the few studies in the literature on religious adolescents seeking psychotherapy related to sexual orientation suggest that sexual orientation distress is most likely to occur among adolescents in families for whom religious views that homosexuality is sinful and undesirable are important. Yarhouse and colleagues (Yarhouse, 1998b; Yarhouse, Brooke, Pisano, & Tan, 2005; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a) discussed clinical examples of distress caused by conflicts between faith and sexual orientation identity. For instance, a female adolescent client struggled with guilt and shame and fears that God would not love her, and a male adolescent experienced a conflict between believing God created him with same-sex feelings and believing that God prohibited their expression (Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a). Cates (2007) described three cases of Caucasian males who were referred by schools, courts, or parents for concerns that included their sexual orientation. All three youths perceived that within their faith community and family, an LGB identity was unacceptable and would probably result in exclusion and rejection (Cates, 2007). Because of the primacy of religious beliefs, the adolescents or their families requested religiously based therapy or SOCE. For instance, Cates described the treatment of an adolescent who belonged to the Old Amish Community and who requested SOCE. The young man perceived that there was no place for him in his faith community as a gay man and did not want to leave that community.

### *Research on Parents' Concerns About Their Children's Sexual Orientation*

We did not find specific research on the characteristics of parents who bring their children to SOCE. Thus, we do not know whether this population is similar to or different from the more general population of parents who may have concerns or questions regarding their children's sexual orientation or future sexual orientation. We cannot conclude that parents who present to LMHP with a request for SOCE are motivated by factors that cause distress in other parents of adolescents with emerging LGB identities.



As reported in case studies and clinical papers, parents' religious beliefs appear to be factors in their request for SOCE for their children. These articles identified a population of parents who have strong conservative religious beliefs that reject LGB identities and perceive homosexuality as sinful (Cates, 2007; Yarhouse, 1998b; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a; Yarhouse et al., 2005).

Other reports suggest that parents of adolescents with emerging same-sex sexual orientation and conservative religious beliefs that perceive homosexuality negatively appear to be influenced by religious authorities and LMHP who promote SOCE. For instance, Burack and Josephson (2005) and Cianciotto and Cahill (2006) reported that fear and stereotypes appeared to be contributing factors in parents who resort to residential SOCE or other related coercive treatment on youth. Cianciotto and Cahill found that some advocacy groups do outreach to parents that encourages commitment to SOCE residential programs even if the children do not assent. These programs also appear to provide information to parents that stresses that sexual orientation can be changed (Burack & Josephson, 2005; Cianciotto & Cahill, 2006), despite the very limited empirical evidence for that assertion.

### *Residential and Inpatient Services*

We were asked to report on “the presence of adolescent inpatient facilities that offer coercive treatment designed to change sexual orientation or the behavioral expression of sexual orientation.” We performed a thorough review of the literature on these programs. Upon completion of this review, we decided that the best way to address this task was to evaluate issues of the appropriateness of these programs for adolescents in light of issues of harm and benefit based on the literature on adolescent development, standards for inpatient and residential treatment, and ethical issues such as informed consent.

There are several accounts of inpatient and residential treatment, sometimes involuntary or coerced, for adolescents who were LGB-identified, confused or questioning their sexual orientation, gender nonconforming, or transgender (Arriola, 1998; Burack & Josephson, 2005; Goishi, 1997; Molnar, 1997; Weithorn, 1988). These incidents mostly occurred because the parent or guardian was distressed regarding the child's actual sexual orientation or potential and perceived sexual orientation. An account of an adolescent boy who was placed in a program sponsored by Love in Action,

a religious-based program, was reported widely in the press (A. Williams, 2005). This program was reported to focus on religious approaches to SOCE as well as approaches that stress conformity to traditional gender roles and behaviors.

Concerns have arisen over the conduct of some private psychiatric hospitals that use alternative diagnoses—such as GID, conduct disorders, oppositional defiant disorders, or behaviors identified as self-defeating or self-destructive—to justify hospitalization of LGB and questioning youth and expose adolescents to SOCE (Arriola, 1998; Morey, 2006). Data on these issues are incomplete, as each state has different reporting requirements for public and private hospitals, and laws regarding confidentiality understandably protect client information.

### ADOLESCENTS' RIGHTS TO CONSENT TO TREATMENT

In researching involuntary treatment, we reviewed the recent literature on the growing movement to increase adolescents' rights to consent to outpatient and inpatient mental health treatment so as to reduce involuntary hospitalization (Mutcherson, 2006;

Redding, 1993). It is now recognized that adolescents are cognitively able to participate in some health care treatment decisions, and such participation is helpful.

(Hartman, 2000, 2002; Mutcherson, 2006; Redding, 1993). The APA *Guidelines for Psychotherapy for Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients* (2000) and the APA Ethics Code (2002b) encourage professionals to seek the assent of minor clients for treatment. Within the field of adolescent mental health and psychiatry, there are developmental assessment models to determine an adolescent's competence to assent or consent to and potentially refuse treatment (Forehand & Ciccone, 2004; Redding, 1993; Rosner, 2004a, 2004b). Some states now permit adolescents some rights regarding choosing or refusing inpatient treatment, participating in certain interventions, and control over disclosure of records (Koocher, 2003).

### INPATIENT TREATMENT

The use of inpatient and residential treatments for SOCE is inconsistent with the recommendations of the

field. For instance, the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (1989) recommended that inpatient treatment, when it does occur, be of the shortest possible duration and reserved for the most serious psychiatric illnesses, such as those of a psychotic nature or where there is an acute danger to self or others. For less serious mental health conditions, the Academy recommended that inpatient hospitalization occur only after less restrictive alternatives (i.e., outpatient and community resources) are shown to be ineffective. In *Best Practice Guidelines: Serving LGBT Youth in Out-of-Home Care* (Wilber, Ryan, & Marksamer, 2006), the Child Welfare League of America recommended that, if necessary, hospitalization or residential substance abuse treatment for adolescents be in a setting that provides mental health treatments that are affirmative of LGB people and for which the staff is competent to provide such services. Further, in a review of the psychiatric literature, Weithorn (1988) concluded that the deprivation of normal social contacts and prevention of attendance at school and other normal social settings can be harmful as well as punitive.

PROGRAMS WITH RELIGIOUS AFFILIATIONS

Programs such as Love in Action’s Refuge<sup>63</sup> provided religiously based interventions that claimed to change sexual orientation, control sexual behavior, or prevent the development of same-sex sexual orientation (Burack & Josephson, 2005; Sanchez, 2007; A. Williams, 2005). Because such programs are religious in nature and are not explicitly mental health facilities,<sup>64</sup> they are not licensed or regulated by state authorities. Burack and Josephson reported that there was effort by religious organizations and sponsors of these programs to communicate to parents that homosexuality is abnormal and sinful and could be changed.<sup>65</sup> Such religious organizations, according to the authors of the report, encouraged parents to seek treatment for their children. Based on anecdotal accounts of current and past residents, these programs, to influence adolescents’ life decisions, allegedly used fear and even threats about negative spiritual, health, and life consequences and

thus are viewed as coercive (Burack & Josephson, 2005; Sanchez, 2007).

To provide an overview of the issues with residential programs for youth, we reviewed information gathered by the APA (2002a) Committee on Children, Youth, and Families in collaboration with the APA State Advocacy Office and the testimony and subsequent published report by members of the U.S. General Accounting Office before the Committee on Education and Labor of the U.S. House of Representatives (Kutz & O’Connell, 2007). These reports and testimony evaluated some current problems in adolescent residential mental health care. There are a large number of unlicensed and unregulated programs marketed to parents struggling to find behavioral or mental health programs for their

*Although religious doctrines themselves are not the purview of psychologists, how religious doctrine is inculcated through educational and socialization practices is a psychological issue and an appropriate subject of psychological examination, especially if there are concerns regarding substantiation of benefit or harm, unlicensed and unregulated facilities, and coercive and involuntary treatment.*

adolescent children. Although many of these programs avoid regulation by not identifying themselves as mental health programs, they do advertise mental health, behavioral, and/or educational goals, especially for those youth perceived as troubled by their parents. Many of these programs

are involuntary and coercive and use seclusion or isolation and escort services to transport unwilling youth to program locations (Kutz & O’Connell, 2007). The testimony and report described the negative mental health impacts of these programs and expressed grave concerns about them, including questions about quality of care and harm caused by coercive or involuntary measures (Kutz & O’Connell, 2007).

Thus, residential and outpatient programs that are involuntary and coercive and provide inaccurate scientific information about sexual orientation or are excessively fear-based pose both clinical and ethical concerns, whether or not they are based on religious doctrine. Although religious doctrines themselves are not the purview of psychologists, how religious doctrine is inculcated through educational and socialization practices is a psychological issue and an appropriate subject of psychological examination, especially if there are concerns regarding substantiation of benefit

<sup>63</sup> The program “Refuge,” directed at adolescents, was closed in 2007 and is no longer advertised. However, Love in Action still sponsors residential programs for adults.

<sup>64</sup> These programs advertise helping with addiction, “negative self-talk and irrational belief systems,” and behavior change (see [www.loveinaction.org](http://www.loveinaction.org)).

<sup>65</sup> See [www.loveinaction.org](http://www.loveinaction.org).

or harm, unlicensed and unregulated facilities, and coercive and involuntary treatment.

As noted earlier, we define coercive treatments as practices that compel or manipulate an individual to submit to treatment through the use of threats, intimidation, manipulation, trickery, or some other form of pressure, including threats of future harm. Harm can be physical or psychological. Harmful psychological consequences include disapproval; loss of love; rejection or abandonment by family, community, or peer group; feelings of guilt/obligation; and exploitation of physical, emotional, or spiritual dependence. Working with a variety of client populations presents ethical dilemmas for providers (APA, 2002b; Beauchamp & Childress, 2008; Davis, 2002); however, with children and adolescents, such concerns are heightened (Molnar, 1997; Weithorn, 1988). Children and adolescents are more vulnerable to such treatments because of the lack of legal rights and cognitive and emotional maturity and emotional and physical dependence on parents, guardians, and LMHP (Molnar, 1997; Weithorn, 1988). The involuntary nature of particular programs raises issues similar to those of other involuntary mental health settings; however, because they are religious programs, not mental health programs, they pose complex issues for licensure and regulation (A. Williams, 2005). On the basis of ethical principles (APA, 2002b; Beauchamp & Childress, 2008), LMHP should strive to maximize autonomous decision making and self-determination and avoid coercive and involuntary treatments.

## Appropriate Application of Affirmative Interventions With Children and Adolescents

### *Multicultural and Client-Centered Approaches for Adolescents*

A number of researchers and practitioners have advised LMHP that when working with children or adolescents and their families, they should address concerns regarding sexual orientation and base their interventions on the current developmental literature on children and adolescents and the scholarly literature on parents' responses to their child's sexual orientation (e.g., Ben-Ari, 1995; Bernstein, 1990; Holtzen & Agriesti, 1990; Mattison & McWhirter, 1995; Perrin, 2002; C. Ryan, Huebner, Diaz, & Sanchez, 2009;

Salzburg, 2004, 2007; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a).<sup>66</sup> This literature recommends that LMHP learn about the law and scholarship on developmental factors in informed consent and take steps to ensure that minor clients have a developmentally appropriate understanding of treatment, are afforded complete information about their rights, and are provided treatment in the least restrictive environment. LMHP can review the recommendations for assent to treatment recommended in the *Guidelines for Psychotherapy for Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Clients* (APA, 2000) and can seek an adolescent's consent consistent with evolving considerations of developmental factors (Forehand & Ciccone, 2004; Redding, 1993; Rosner, 2004a, 2004b).

APA policies (APA, 1993, 2000) and the vast majority of current publications on therapy for LGB and questioning adolescents who are concerned about their sexual orientation recommend that LMHP support adolescents' exploration of identity by

- accepting homosexuality and bisexuality as normal and positive variants of human sexual orientation,
- accepting and supporting youths as they address the stigma and isolation of being a sexual minority,
- using person-centered approaches as youths explore their identities and experience important developmental milestones (e.g., exploring sexual values, dating, and socializing openly),
- reducing family and peer rejection and increasing family and peer support (e.g., APA, 2000, 2002a; D'Augelli & Patterson, 2001; Floyd & Stein, 2002; Fontaine & Hammond, 1996; Hart & Heimberg, 2001; Hetrick & Martin, 1987; Lemoire & Chen, 2005; Mallon, 2001; A. D. Martin, 1982; Perrin, 2002; Radkowsky & Siegel, 1997; C. Ryan, 2001; C. Ryan et al., 2009; C. Ryan & Diaz, 2005; C. Ryan & Futterman, 1997; Schneider, 1991; Slater, 1988; Wilber, Ryan & Marksamer, 2006; Savin-Williams & Cohen, 2004; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a).

When sexual minority and questioning youth require residential or inpatient treatment for mental health, behavioral, or family issues, it has been recommended that such treatment be safe from discrimination and

<sup>66</sup> Due to the limited research on children, adolescents, and families who seek SOCE, our recommendations for affirmative therapy for children, youth, and their families distressed about sexual orientation are based on general research and clinical articles addressing these and other issues, not on research specific to those who specifically request SOCE. We acknowledge that limitation in our recommendations.

prejudice and affirming of sexual orientation diversity by staff who are knowledgeable about LGB identities and life choices (Mallon, 2001; Wilber et al., 2006).

Other aspects of human diversity, such as age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, disability, language, and socioeconomic status, may be relevant to an adolescent's identity development, and these differences may intersect with sexual orientation identity (Diamond & Savin-Williams, 2000; Rosario, Rotheram-Borus, & Reid, 1996; Rosario, Schrimshaw, & Hunter, 2004; Rosario, Schrimshaw, Hunter, & Braun, 2006). Some adolescents are more comfortable with fluid or flexible identities due to gender differences and generational or developmental concerns, and their sexual orientation identities may not be exclusive or dichotomous (Diamond, 2006; Morgan & Thompson, 2006; Savin-Williams, 2005).

Only a few articles addressed the specific conflicts between religious identities and sexual orientation identities among youth (Cates, 2007; Yarhouse, 1998b; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a). For instance, Yarhouse and Tan proposed solutions that respect religious beliefs and emphasized nondirective exploration of religious and sexual orientation identity that does not advocate a particular sexual orientation identity outcome. As adolescents may experience a crisis of faith and distress linked to religious and spiritual beliefs, the authors explored interventions that integrate the psychology of religion into interventions that stress improving the client's positive religious coping and relationship with the sacred (e.g., Exline, 2002; Pargament & Mahoney, 2005; Pargament et al., 1998, 2005). Cates (2007), from a more secular frame, emphasized a client-centered approach that stresses the LMHP's unconditional acceptance of the client and client choices even if the client cannot accept his or her own sexual orientation.

The ethical issues outlined in Chapter 7 are also relevant to children and adolescents; however, working with adolescents presents unique ethical dilemmas to LMHP (Koocher, 2003). Children and adolescents are often unable to anticipate the future consequences of a course of action and are emotionally and financially dependent on adults. Further, they are in the midst of developmental processes in which the ultimate outcome is unknown. Efforts to alter that developmental path may have unanticipated consequences (Perrin, 2002). LMHP should strive to be mindful of these issues, particularly as these concerns affect assent and consent to treatment and goals of treatment (Koocher, 2003; Rosner, 2004a, 2004b; Sobocinski, 1990). Possible

approaches include open-ended and scientifically based age-appropriate exploration with children, adolescents, and parents regarding these issues.

### *Multicultural and Client-Centered Approaches for Parents and Families*

Parental attitudes and behaviors play a significant role in children's and adolescents' adjustment (Radkowski & Siegel, 1997; C. Ryan & Diaz, 2005; C. Ryan et al., 2009; Savin-Williams, 1989b, 1998; Wilber et al., 2006; Yarhouse, 1998b). One retrospective research study of adults indicated that LGB children are more likely to be abused by their families than by nonrelated individuals (Corliss, Cochran, & Mays, 2002). Another

*Reducing parental rejection, hostility, and violence (verbal or physical) may contribute to the mental health and safety of the adolescent.*

found that family rejection is a key predictor of negative health outcomes in White and Latino LGB young adults (C. Ryan et al., 2009).

Reducing parental rejection, hostility, and violence (verbal or physical) may contribute to the mental health and safety of the adolescent (Remafedi et al., 1991; C. Ryan et al., 2009; Savin-Williams, 1994; Wilber et al., 2006). Further, to improve parents' responses, LMHP can find ways to ameliorate parents' distress about their children's sexual orientation. Exploring parental attributions and values regarding same-sex sexual orientation is especially important in order to facilitate engagement in treatment, resolution of ethical dilemmas, and more beneficial psychotherapy (Morrissey-Kane & Prinz, 1999; Sobocinski, 1990).

Family therapy for families who are distressed by their child's sexual orientation may be helpful in facilitating dialogues, increasing acceptance and support, reducing rejection, and improving management of conflicts or misinformation that may exacerbate an adolescent's distress (Mattison & McWhirter, 1995; C. Ryan et al., 2009; Salzburg, 2004, 2007). Such therapy can include family psychoeducation to provide accurate information and teach coping skills and problem-solving strategies for dealing more effectively with the challenges sexual minority youth may face and the concerns the families and caretakers may have (Ben-Ari, 1995; Perrin, 2002; C. Ryan & Diaz, 2005; Ryan & Futterman, 1997; C. Ryan et al., 2009; Salzburg, 2004, 2007; Yarhouse, 1998b). C. Ryan and Futterman (1997) termed this *anticipatory*

*guidance*: LMHP provide family members with accurate information regarding same-sex sexual orientation and dispel myths regarding the lives, health, and psychological well-being of LGB individuals.

Perrin (2002) recommended that providers, when working with families of preadolescent children, counsel parents who are concerned that their young children may grow up to be lesbian or gay to tolerate the ambiguity inherent in the limited knowledge of development. In addition, Perrin suggested a two-pronged approach: (a) provide information to reduce heterosexism within the family and increase the family's capacity to provide support and (b) introduce information about LGB issues into family discussions to aid the child's own self-awareness and self-acceptance and to counter stigma. For adolescents, C. Ryan et al. (2009) recommended that LMHP assess family reactions to LGB youth, specifically the presence of family rejection. Further, the authors advocated explaining to families the link between family rejection and negative health problems in children and adolescents, providing anticipatory guidance to families that includes recommendations for support on the part of the family, and helping families to modify highly rejecting behaviors.

Families with strong religious beliefs that condemn homosexuality may struggle with a child's same-sex sexual orientation (Cates, 2007; Yarhouse, 1998b; Yarhouse & Tan, 2005a). Yarhouse and Tan (2005a) suggested that family therapy reframe the religious beliefs to focus on aspects of faith that encourage love and acceptance of their child rather than on a religion's prohibitions. The authors stressed that these positive elements of faith can lay a constructive foundation for communication and problem solving and reduce family discord and rejection.

Providing anticipatory guidance to parents to address their unique personal concerns can be helpful (C. Ryan & Futterman, 1997). The LMHP can help the parents plan in an affirmative way for the unique life challenges that they may face as parents of a sexual minority child. Parents must deal with their own unique choices and process of "coming out" and resolve fears of enacted stigma if they risk disclosure within their communities, at work, and to other family members (Bernstein, 1990). Further, the LMHP can address other stresses, such as managing life celebrations and transitions and coping with feelings of loss, and aid parents in advocating for their children in school situations—for example, when they face bullying or harassment. Multiple family groups led by LMHP might be helpful to counter the

isolation that many parents experience (J. D. Menville & Tuerk, 2002).

### *Community Approaches for Children, Adolescents, and Families*

Research has illuminated the potential that school-based and community interventions have for increasing safety and tolerance of sexual minorities, preventing distress and negative mental health consequences, and increasing the psychological well-being and health of sexual minority youth (APA, 1993; D'Augelli & Patterson, 2001; Goodenow, Szalacha, & Westheimer, 2006; Harper, Jamil, & Wilson, 2007; Kosciw & Diaz, 2006; A. J. Peters, 2003; Roffman, 2000; Safren & Heimberg, 1999; Schneider, 1991; Treadway & Yoakum, 1992). For instance, sexual minority adolescents in schools with support groups for LGB students reported lower rates of suicide attempts and victimization than those without such groups (Goodenow et al., 2006; Kosciw & Diaz, 2006; Szalacha, 2003). Kosciw and Diaz (2006) found that such support groups were related to improved academic performance and college attendance. The support groups that were examined in the research provided accurate affirmative information and social support, and the groups' presence was also related to increased school tolerance and safety for LGB youth (Goodenow et al., 2006; Kosciw & Diaz, 2006; Szalacha, 2003). School policies that increased staff support and positive school climate have been found to moderate suicidality and to positively affect sexual minority youth school achievement and mental health (Goodenow et al., 2006).

School and community interventions have the potential for introducing other sources of peer and adult support that may buffer children and adolescents from rejection that may occur in certain family, community, and religious contexts. These school and community interventions may provide alternative sources of information regarding LGB identities and lives. However, such school and community interventions are unlikely to directly affect the core attitudes and beliefs of the religious institutions and communities in which sexual orientation distress and family rejection might occur. These programs may have an indirect effect on communities and religious institutions because of their potential to change the general social context in which families deal with conflicts between their children's emerging sexual orientations and identities. We hope that such change will reduce the level of psychological

distress that such conflicts between religion and sexuality create and reduce the level of hostility and punitiveness to which some children and adolescents are exposed as a result of their sexual orientation.

For families, groups such as Parents, Families, and Friends of Lesbians and Gays (PFLAG) and the Straight Spouse Network may also provide a safe, nonjudgmental space in which to discuss their concerns, receive accurate information, reduce isolation, and reduce feelings of perceived stigma (Goldfried & Goldfried, 2001). PFLAG offers extensive literature for parents based on affirmative approaches to same-sex sexual attractions as well as a nationwide network of support groups. Such groups, by providing affirmative sources of information, could reduce the distress for parents that is and increase family support of their sexual minority children, thus positively affecting sexual minority youth and children whose families are concerned about their future sexual orientation.

Parents who are religious may benefit from finding support through religious organizations and groups. One concern is that some groups may provide parents with information that presents same-sex sexual orientation in a negative light (e.g., defective, “broken”), which could increase stigma and rejection of children and adolescents; thus, such groups should rarely be considered. Alternatively, some groups provide resources that are both LGB affirming and religious.<sup>67</sup>

## Conclusion

We were asked to report on three issues for children and adolescents. First, we were asked to provide recommendations regarding treatment protocols that attempt to prevent homosexuality in adulthood by promoting stereotyped gender-normative behavior in children to mitigate behaviors that are perceived to be

*Some advocates of these treatments see homosexuality as a mental disorder, a concept that has been rejected by the mental health professions for more than 35 years.*

providing any type of therapy in childhood can alter adult same-sex sexual orientation. Some advocates

indicators that a child will develop a homosexual orientation in adolescence and adulthood. We found no empirical evidence that

of these treatments see homosexuality as a mental disorder, a concept that has been rejected by the mental health professions for more than 35 years. Further, the theories that such efforts are based on have not been corroborated by scientific evidence or evaluated for harm. Thus, we recommend that LMHP avoid such efforts and provide instead multicultural, client-centered, and affirmative treatments that are developmentally appropriate (Perrin, 2002).

Second, we were asked to comment on the presence of adolescent inpatient facilities that offer coercive treatment designed to change sexual orientation or the behavioral expression of sexual orientation. We found that serious questions are raised by involuntary and coercive interventions and residential centers for adolescents due to their advocacy of treatments that have no scientific basis and potential for harm due to coercion, stigmatization, inappropriateness of treatment level and type, and restriction of liberty. Although the prevalence of these treatment centers is unknown, we recommend that some form of oversight be established for such youth facilities, such as licensure and monitoring, especially as a means of reporting abuse or neglect.

States have different requirements and standards for obtaining informed consent to treatment for adolescents; however, it is recognized that adolescents are cognitively able to participate in some health care treatment decisions and that such participation is helpful. We recommend that when it comes to treatment that purports to have an impact on sexual orientation, LMHP assess the adolescent’s ability to understand treatment options, provide developmentally appropriate informed consent to treatment, and, at a minimum, obtain the youth’s assent to treatment. SOCE that focus on negative representations of homosexuality and lack a theoretical or evidence base provide no documented benefits and can pose harm through increasing sexual stigma and providing inaccurate information. We further concluded that involuntary or coercive residential or inpatient programs that provide SOCE to children and adolescents may pose serious risk of harm, are potentially in conflict with ethical imperatives to maximize autonomous decision making and client self-determination, and have no documented benefits. Thus, we recommend that parents, guardians, or youth not consider such treatments.

Finally, we were asked to report on the appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for children and adolescents who present a desire to change their sexual orientation or their behavioral

<sup>67</sup> See, e.g., “Family Fellowship” ([www.ldsfamilyfellowship.org/](http://www.ldsfamilyfellowship.org/)) for parents who belong to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints. The Institute of for Sexual Orientation and Judaism also lists resources: [www.huc.edu/ijso/](http://www.huc.edu/ijso/).

expression of their sexual orientation, or both, or whose guardian expresses a desire for the minor to change.

*We recommend that LMHP provide multiculturally competent and client-centered therapies to children, adolescents, and their families rather than SOCE.*

We recommend that LMHP provide multiculturally competent and client-centered therapies to children,

adolescents, and their families rather than SOCE. Such approaches include an awareness of the interrelatedness of multiple identities in individual development as well an understanding of cultural, ethnic, and religious variation in families. Specific approaches can include (a) supporting children and youth in their developmental processes and milestones, (b) reducing internalized stigma in children and sexual stigma in parents, and (c) providing affirmative information and education on LGB identities and lives.

These approaches would support children and youth in identity exploration and development without seeking predetermined outcomes. Interventions that incorporate knowledge from the psychology of religion and that increase acceptance, love, and understanding among individuals, families, and communities are recommended for populations for whom religion is important. Family therapy that provides anticipatory guidance to parents to increase their support and reduce rejection of children and youth addressing these issues is essential. School and community interventions are also recommended to reduce societal-level stigma and provide information and social support to children and youth.

---

## 9. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

---

APA's charge to the task force included three major tasks that this report has addressed:

1. Review and update the 1997 Resolution on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation (APA, 1998).
2. Generate a report that includes discussion of the following:
  - The appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for children and adolescents who present a desire to change either their sexual orientation or their behavioral expression of their sexual orientation, or both, or whose guardian expresses a desire for the minor to change.
  - The appropriate application of affirmative therapeutic interventions for adults who present a desire to change their sexual orientation or their behavioral expression of their sexual orientation, or both.
  - The presence of adolescent inpatient facilities that offer coercive treatment designed to change sexual orientation or the behavioral expression of sexual orientation.
  - Education, training, and research issues as they pertain to such therapeutic interventions.
  - Recommendations regarding treatment protocols that promote stereotyped gender-normative behavior to mitigate behaviors that are perceived

to be indicators that a child will develop a homosexual orientation in adolescence and adulthood.

3. Inform APA's response to groups that promote treatments to change sexual orientation or its behavioral expression and support public policy that furthers affirmative therapeutic interventions.

The substance of the second task has been achieved in the preceding chapters of this report. In Chapters 3 and 4, we reviewed the body of research on the efficacy and safety of sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE).<sup>68</sup> In Chapter 5 we synthesized the literature on the nature of distress and identified conflicts in adults, which provided the basis for our recommendations for affirmative approaches to psychotherapy practice that are described in Chapter 6. Chapter 7 discussed ethical issues in SOCE for adults. In Chapter 8 we considered the more limited body of research on children and adolescents, including a review of SOCE with children and adolescents and affirmative approaches for psychotherapy.

In this final chapter, we summarize the report and focus on those two tasks—one and three—that have not been addressed in the report. With regard to the policy, we recommended that the 1997 policy be retained and

---

<sup>68</sup> In this report, we use the term *sexual orientation change efforts* (SOCE) to describe methods (e.g., behavioral techniques, psychoanalytic techniques, medical approaches, religious and spiritual approaches) that aim to change a person's same-sex sexual orientation to other-sex, regardless of whether mental health professionals or lay individuals (including religious professionals, religious leaders, social groups, and other lay networks, such as self-help groups) are involved.