

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES -- GENERAL

Case No. CV 15-00298 DDP (JCx)

Date: June 19, 2017

Title: HALEY VIDECKIS et al -v- RYAN WEISENBERG et al

=====

PRESENT: HONORABLE DEAN D. PREGERSON, JUDGE

Patricia Gomez  
Courtroom Deputy

Phyllis Preston  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

Jayesh Patel  
Robert W. Dickerson Jr.  
Meredith Smith  
Agnes M. Sullivan

Paula Tripp Victor  
David Robert Hunt

PROCEEDINGS: Motions In Limine Hearing

As reflected on the record, Defendant's Motion in Limine No. One, Two, Four, Ten and Twelve (Dkt. 79, 81, 82, 88, 90) are DENIED. Defendant's Motion in Limine Nos. 3, 5, 8, and 13 (Dkt. 80, 83, 86, 91) are RESERVED for trial. There shall be no mention of these issues without prior approval of the court. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 6 is DENIED, with respect to the YouTube comments. The motion is RESERVED with respect to the 2004 letter. Plaintiffs shall not refer to the letter without prior approval of the court. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 7 (Dkt. 85) is GRANTED. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 9 (Dkt. 87) is GRANTED, in part. Plaintiff is precluded from asking Anne Stone about the reasons for her departure, absent Defendant opening the door. Stone is not precluded from testifying about other matters, subject to objections. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 11 (Dkt. 89) is GRANTED, subject to reopening for impeachment or other proper purpose. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 14 (Dkt. 92) is DENIED, without prejudice. Defendant's Motion in Limine No. 15 (Dkt. 161) is RESERVED for trial. Testimony beyond the scope of the designation will be precluded. Defendant's Motion for Review of Magistrate Judge's Order (Dkt. 164, 167) is DENIED. The Magistrate Judge did not clearly err.

Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine Nos. One, Two, Three, and Four (Dkt. 73-77) are GRANTED, in part. Witnesses may answer hypothetical questions, but shall not testify as to the ultimate issue in the case. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 5 (Dkt. 72) is DENIED, subject to Defendant laying an

appropriate foundation. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 6 (Dkt. 78) is DENIED. The court will address these issues on a statement by statement basis, and Defendant shall not refer to them in opening argument. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 7 (Dkt. 73) is DENIED as moot. Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 8 (Dkt. 162) is DENIED.

Initials of Preparer 2 : 23  
PG