JAYESH PATEL, (SBN 132939)
jpatel@zuberlaw.com
JEFFREY J. ZUBER (SBN 220830)
jzuber@zuberlaw.com
ROBERT W. DICKERSON JR. (SBN 89367)
rdickerson@zuberlaw.com
ZUBER LAWLER & DEL DUCA LLP
777 S. Figueroa Street, 37th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017 USA
Telephone: (213) 596-5620
Facsimile: (213) 596-5621

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Haley Videckis and Layana White

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

HALEY VIDECKIS and LAYANA WHITE, individuals, Plaintiffs, v. PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY, a corporation doing business in California, Defendant.	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-00298-DDP (JCx) **NOTICE OF LODGING RE PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND FACT DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE AND TO DISCLOSE EXPERT WITNESSES** Trial Date: May 23, 2017

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiffs Haley Videckis and Layana White respectfully lodges the attached [Proposed] Order Granting Joint Stipulation to Extend Fact Discovery Cut-Off Date and to Disclose Expert Witnesses.

Dated: April 10, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

ZUBER LAWLER & DEL DUCA LLP
JAYESH PATEL
JEFFREY J. ZUBER

By: */s/ Jayesh Patel*
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Haley Videckis and Layana White

ATTACHMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

HALEY VIDECKIS and LAYANA WHITE, individuals, Plaintiffs, v. PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY, a corporation doing business in California, Defendants.	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-00298-DDP (JCx) **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND FACT DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE AND TO DISCLOSE EXPERT WITNESSES** Trial Date: May 23, 2017

PROPOSED ORDER

Based on the foregoing Joint Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, this Court Orders as follows:

1. The parties may take the depositions of those fact witnesses whose depositions were noticed on or before February 6, 2017 so long as those depositions are completed no later than April 28, 2017.

2. The parties have the right to notice any deposition where the significance of the deponent is only realized from the ongoing production of documents and deposition testimony of party witnesses. The non-noticing party can contest such notice if it contends the deposition being noticed does not fit within this category. If a dispute regarding the depositions identified in this paragraph arises, the parties must meet and confer within one week of identifying the disagreement, and then may file motions to compel or seek protective orders from This Court.

3. Plaintiffs may move to compel Mr. Benton's deposition, and Pepperdine may assert its objections in that regard, other than objections based on the timeliness of the motion;

4. Pepperdine may move to compel a mental examination/testing of the plaintiffs, and plaintiffs may assert their objections in that regard, other than objections based on the timeliness of the motion; and

5. The motions to compel specified in paragraphs three and four above must be filed and served by April 7, 2017 and may be heard on shortened time on April 24, 2017, or on a date thereafter convenient to this Court. Any supplemental memoranda in connections with the motions must be filed and served by April 18, 2017.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April . 2017

Honorable Dean D. Pregerson
United States District Court Judge