

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT
Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square, New York, NY 10007 Telephone: 212-857-8500

MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT

Docket Number(s): 16-748 Caption [use short title]

Motion for: Correct Brief Scheduling to 6/21/16

Christiansen v. Omnicom Group, Incorporated

Set forth below precise, complete statement of relief sought:

Appellant's Local Rule 31.2, dkt #11, scheduled a brief for June 21, 2016. Subsequently, on 4/6/16 the clerk automated an earlier brief schedule for May 11, 2016. Appellant, with consent of all counsel, requests the brief schedule to conform with it R.31.2 notice for June 21, 2016, not May 11.

MOVING PARTY: Matthew Chrstiansen
Plaintiff Defendant
Appellant/Petitioner Appellee/Respondent

OPPOSING PARTY: Omnicom, DDB & Cianciotto

MOVING ATTORNEY: Susan Chana Lask, Esq
244 Fifth Avenue, #2369
New York NY, 10001
917-300-1958

OPPOSING ATTORNEY: see below listed
Davis & Gilbert LLP 1740 Broadway, New York, NY
10019212-468-4800 DFeinstein@dglaw.com
Leeds Brown Law, PC 1 Old Country Rd Carle Place, NY
11514516-873-9550 jbrown@leedsbrownlaw.com

Court-Judge/Agency appealed from: SDNY Judge Failla

Please check appropriate boxes:
Has movant notified opposing counsel (required by Local Rule 27.1):
[X] Yes [] No (explain):
Opposing counsel's position on motion:
[X] Unopposed [] Opposed [] Don't Know
Does opposing counsel intend to file a response:
[] Yes [X] No [] Don't Know

FOR EMERGENCY MOTIONS, MOTIONS FOR STAYS AND INJUNCTIONS PENDING APPEAL:
Has request for relief been made below? [] Yes [] No
Has this relief been previously sought in this Court? [] Yes [] No
Requested return date and explanation of emergency:

Is oral argument on motion requested? [] Yes [X] No (requests for oral argument will not necessarily be granted)
Has argument date of appeal been set? [] Yes [X] No If yes, enter date:

Signature of Moving Attorney: /s Susan Chana Lask Date: 4/8/16 Service by: [X] CM/ECF [] Other [Attach proof of service]

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT**

MATTHEW CHRISTIANSEN,

Plaintiff,

CASE NUMBER: 16-748

-against-

OMNICOM GROUP, INC., et. al.

Defendants.

**DECLARATION OF
SUSAN CHANA LASK, ESQ.
SUPPORTING MOTION TO
CORRECT BRIEF FILING DATE**

Susan Chana Lask, Esq., certifies in this motion/declaration as follows:

1. I represent the Appellant/Movant in this action. This is a routine, unopposed procedural motion that the clerk may decide per Local R. 27.1(c). I notified opposing counsel of this motion and they consent to it being granted.
2. It is respectfully requested that the court correct the docket to reflect the brief filing date to June 21, 2016 based on the below facts.
2. On April 1, 2016, Appellant filed his Local Rule 31.2 scheduling the brief for June 21, 2016. Subsequently, on April 6, 2016, the clerk automated a brief schedule for May 11, 2016. It is impossible for the brief to be prepared by May 11 considering scheduling and other matters.
3. All parties consent to the original scheduling of the brief filed by June 21, 2016.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: April 8, 210

Yours, etc

LAW OFFICES OF SUSAN CHANA LASK

/s Susan Chana Lask

BY: Susan Chana Lask, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
244 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2369
New York, NY 10001
(917) 300-1958