

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

VALERIA TANCO and SOPHY JESTY, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 3:13-cv-01159
)	Trauger
WILLIAM EDWARD “BILL” HASLAM,)	
as Governor of the State of Tennessee,)	
et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**DEFENDANTS’ RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS’ FEES, COSTS, AND EXPENSES**

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs have applied for an award of attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses; they seek more than \$2.3 million in fees, plus an enhancement. By contrast, the plaintiffs in the related Michigan case, which involved a full trial on the overarching issue of same-sex-marriage licensing, sought an attorneys’ fee of only \$1.9 million, plus an enhancement; the plaintiffs in the related Kentucky cases, which involved same-sex-marriage licensing as well as same-sex-marriage recognition, sought an attorneys’ fee of only \$1.2 million, plus an enhancement; and the plaintiffs in the related Ohio cases, which, like this case, involved only same-sex-marriage recognition, sought an attorneys’ fee of only \$1.15 million, plus an enhancement.

Defendants have not disputed that Plaintiffs are prevailing parties in this action. 42 U.S.C. § 1988 provides for an award of reasonable attorneys’ fees to a prevailing party. But the stark contrast between Plaintiffs’ request and those of the plaintiffs in these other three states—cases with which the Plaintiffs’ case was joined in the Sixth Circuit and consolidated in the Supreme

Court—suggests that Plaintiffs are seeking to take advantage. Indeed, a review of Plaintiffs’ motion reveals that the fees and expenses for which they seek payment are excessive and unreasonable; it seeks to compensate the work of a legal team that ballooned to 19 attorneys, billing a total of nearly 6,000 hours. The motion is also replete with duplicative work, non-compensable activities, and vague entries.

Nor are the Plaintiffs entitled to any enhancement of the lodestar in this case. No rare or exceptional circumstances exist justifying such an enhancement. As recognized by this Court in its original opinion, a “rising tide” of lower federal court decisions indicated a strong likelihood that the United States Supreme Court would extend its decision in *United States v. Windsor*, 133 S.Ct. 2675 (2013), to constitutionally protect same-sex marriages. (DE 67, p. 12-13).

BACKGROUND

On October 21, 2013, Plaintiffs, with the assistance of a team already totaling 12 attorneys that had vetted potential plaintiffs with the National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR), filed a complaint in this Court challenging Tennessee’s Marriage Laws, constitutional and statutory provisions reaffirming the traditional definition of marriage.¹ (DE 1; 97-3, ¶ 10; 97-4, ¶ 5; 97-8, ¶ 5). Spurring their charge was the June 26, 2013 decision of the United States Supreme Court in *United States v. Windsor* declaring unconstitutional the federal Defense of Marriage Act. (DE 97-4, ¶ 4). Defendants answered the complaint on November 15, 2013, (DE 27). Shortly thereafter Plaintiffs moved for a preliminary injunction, which this Court granted on March 14, 2014. There was no trial, no hearing, and no case-management conference or discovery. (DE 67-69). Defendants filed notice of appeal to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals along with a motion to stay

¹ Article XI, § 18, of the Tennessee Constitution and Tenn. Code Ann. § 36-3-113.

the preliminary injunction on March 18, 2014. (DE 72; 74). This Court denied the stay on March 20, 2014. (DE 78).

Defendants sought a stay pending appeal from the Sixth Circuit, which was granted on April 25, 2014. (DE 29-1). After briefing, the case was joined with cases from Michigan, Kentucky, and Ohio for argument, and the Sixth Circuit rendered its opinion in *DeBoer v. Snyder*, 772 F.3d 388 (6th Cir. 2014), reversing this Court's grant of a preliminary injunction.

Plaintiffs petitioned for a writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court, adding an additional seven attorneys from Ropes & Gray LLP, bringing the total of Plaintiffs' counsel to 19. The Supreme Court granted the writ and consolidated the four cases. After briefing and argument, the Court issued its opinion in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 135 S.Ct. 2584 (2015). The Court held that there was no lawful basis for a State to refuse to recognize a lawful same-sex marriage performed in another state. Plaintiffs filed for permanent injunction and entry of a final order, (DE 86). Defendants responded by proposing an alternative order (DE 87), and this Court entered its final judgment on August 24, 2015. (DE 92-93).

Plaintiffs filed their motion for attorneys' fees on October 8, 2015 (DE 98).

LEGAL STANDARD

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b), a "court, in its discretion may allow the prevailing party, other than the United States, a reasonable attorneys' fee as part of the costs." Section 1988 was designed to induce representation of meritorious civil rights actions, not to "produce windfalls to attorneys." *Perdue v. Kenny A. ex rel. Winn*, 559 U.S. 542, 552 (2010) (citing *Pennsylvania v. Delaware Valley Citizens' Council for Clean Air*, 478 U.S. 526, 565 (1986)).

Plaintiffs, as fee applicants, "bear[] the burden of establishing entitlement to an award and documenting the appropriate hours expended and hourly rates." *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S.

424, 437 (1983). They likewise bear the burden of demonstrating entitlement to the requested enhancement of the lodestar amount, which should only be awarded in “rare and ‘exceptional’ circumstances.” *Perdue*, 559 U.S. at 552 (citations omitted).

“The most useful starting point for determining the amount of a reasonable fee is the number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation multiplied by a reasonable hourly rate.” *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 433 (1983). “The court should then exclude excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary hours.” *Wayne v. Village of Sebring*, 36 F.3d 517, 531 (6th Cir. 1994) (citations omitted).

Application of these guidelines reveals that Plaintiffs’ fee request is grossly excessive and must be substantially reduced. Plaintiffs have submitted fee requests from 19 attorneys totaling more than \$2.3 million dollars; they seek rates of up to \$575 per hour for conducting activities including a public-relations campaign, attending fundraisers, vetting potential plaintiffs, and overseeing the plaintiffs’ media training. The number of hours expended is unreasonable; Plaintiffs’ counsel have over-lawyered this case far beyond what was necessary to accomplish their clients’ objectives, and the application includes hours for which compensation cannot be justified. Plaintiffs also do not demonstrate entitlement to an enhancement.

ARGUMENT

I. THE FEE REQUEST MUST BE REDUCED TO EXCLUDE HOURS NOT REASONABLY EXPENDED ON THIS LITIGATION.

A. Plaintiffs’ Legal Team was Significantly Overstaffed.

Plaintiffs’ entries reflect that this case has been overstaffed since its inception. At the time the complaint was filed, Plaintiffs had engaged no less than 12 attorneys. Eight attorneys attended oral argument before the Sixth Circuit. After their loss in the Sixth Circuit, Plaintiffs added another seven attorneys, bringing the total to 19. Twelve of the 19 attorneys attended argument at the

Supreme Court. Plaintiffs are simply “not entitled to have any number of well-qualified attorneys reimbursed for their efforts, when fewer attorneys could have accomplished the job.” *See Kentucky Restaurant Concepts, Inc. v. City of Louisville*, 117 Fed.Appx 415 (6th Cir. 2004).

Juxtaposing Plaintiffs’ fee application against those of plaintiffs’ counsel in Michigan, Kentucky, and Ohio demonstrates that the fee application here is unreasonable in both hours billed and attorneys retained.

Plaintiffs’ counsel in Ohio litigated two cases, *Obergefell v. Hodges* and *Henry v. Hodges*. Both involved the recognition question, and the district-court proceedings included oral argument for injunctive and declaratory relief. *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 1:13-cv-501 (S.D. Ohio). Their team consisted of ten attorneys who billed a total of 3,046.89 total hours (including four non-lawyers). *Id.* (DE 87, p. 15). Plaintiffs sought \$1.147 million in attorneys’ fees and a 50% enhancement, and they ultimately agreed to a fee award of \$1.3 million. *Id.* (DE 87, 90).

Kentucky’s share of the *Obergefell* litigation included two cases, *Bourke v. Beshear* (recognition) and *Love v. Beshear* (licensing). The district-court proceedings included a motion for summary judgment and a relief hearing. *Love v. Beshear*, 3:13-cv-00750 (W.D. Ky.). Plaintiffs’ team consisted of nine attorneys billing a total of 3,522.71 total hours. They have sought \$1.195 million in fees and a 75% enhancement. *Id.* (DE 105). The request has been opposed by the State of Kentucky and remains pending before the district court.

And plaintiffs’ counsel in Michigan, who shouldered the burden of a nine-day trial with expert testimony and argued the decisive licensure question before the United States Supreme Court, achieved success with a team of six attorneys billing a total of 5,474.2 hours. *DeBoer v. Snyder*, 2:12-cv-10285 (E.D. Mich.) (DE 180, p. 4). Plaintiffs sought \$1.927 million in attorneys’

fees and an unspecified enhancement, and the parties stipulated to a fee award of \$1.9 million. *Id.* (DE 177, 191).

Here, retaining a legal team of 19 attorneys, nearly double that of the nearest sister-state, and billing 5,974.5 hours, more than any other sister-state, to litigate this case was excessive, especially considering the abbreviated district-court proceedings. The fee entries reflect that only a few attorneys actually participated in the drafting and research of the legal documents at each stage; the remainder simply reviewed and responded to emails. It appears that NCLR and Sherrard and Roe performed the majority of the legal work during the district-court proceedings and Sixth Circuit appeal, and that Ropes & Gray did the lion's share of the legal work before the Supreme Court. Accordingly, Defendants object to Ms. Rubinfeld's, Ms. Holland's, and Ms. Lambert's attorney fees after the engagement of Sherrard and Roe's four-attorney team, and they object to NCLR's and Sherrard and Roe's fees, excepting those of Mr. Harbison for continuity purposes, after the engagement of Ropes and Gray's seven-attorney team, as described further below.

B. The Fee Entries Include Excessive and Unnecessary Legal Activities.

Plaintiffs' fee entries also reflect multiple categories of objectionable activities. Plaintiffs have billed for vetting potential plaintiffs, media/press related matters, duplicative work, excessive hours, unnecessary work, and non-legal client services. Many of Plaintiffs' fee entries are also vague and block-billed, rendering it difficult, if not impossible, to evaluate their compensability. The non-compensable entries should be deleted, and reductions to any lodestar granted should be made for the cumulative effect of the vague and block-billed entries, as detailed below and in the attached exhibits.

ABBY RUBENFELD

Ms. Rubinfeld's descriptive fee entries lack necessary information to enable the Court to evaluate their reasonableness. Entries such as "E-mails to co-counsel", "E-mails regarding

plaintiffs and strategy”, “E-mails”, and “team conference call” are too vague to permit the reasonableness review contemplated by *Wayne v. Village of Sebring*, 36 F.3d at 531. Faced with similarly vague entries, other courts have routinely applied a simple across-the-board percentage reduction to the lodestar. *See, e.g., Gratz v. Bollinger*, 353 F.Supp.2d 929 (E.D. Mich. 2005) (reducing fees by 10% for vague entries such as “telephone conference”, “office conference”, “research”, and “review article”); *H.J. Inc. v. Flygt Corp*, 925 F.2d 257, 260 (8th Cir. 1991) (affirming reduction of lodestar by 20% where “entries were so vague that the district court could not determine with certainty whether they were related to this litigation, much less the claims upon which [plaintiff] ultimately prevailed.”).

Likewise, any review of Ms. Rubenfeld’s entries is frustrated by “block billing.” She often includes multiple activities under one total time, rendering it impossible to parse the reasonable from the unreasonable. For example, on October 21, 2013, Ms. Rubenfeld billed for “Press conference, review and sign petition, meet w/counsel, email(strategy)” for a total of 6.5 hours. (DE 97-3, Ex. B). On July 4, 2013, Ms. Rubenfeld billed for “Research; draft complaint; e-mails to potential plaintiffs.” (DE 97-3, Ex. B). Even if participating in a press conference or vetting potential plaintiffs were to constitute compensable legal work, it is impossible to ascertain how much time Ms. Rubenfeld spent on each of these activities. The remedy for this infirmity is the same as for vague entries: a percentage reduction across the board. *See, e.g., Heath v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.*, 2011 WL 4005409, at *10-11 (M.D. Tenn. Sept. 8, 2011) (reducing fees by 20% across-the-board for block billing and vagueness). Because the majority of Ms. Rubenfeld’s entries suffer from the deficiencies of vagueness and block-billing, a cumulative fee-reduction of 20% is warranted once specific unreasonable fee entries are eliminated, as discussed below.

Ms. Rubenfeld's declaration asserts that "she actively participated in all aspects of the prosecution of this action." (DE 97-3, ¶ 12). But a review of Ms. Rubenfeld's descriptive time entries reveals that she, like the other two individual attorneys, largely took a back seat during the litigation by what she describes as the "big firm[s]." (DE 97-3, Ex. B). Her role in the case appears to be largely attending conference calls, press conferences, and argument, and responding to emails. In terms of legal work beyond mere review, editing the work of other attorneys, or communication, Ms. Rubenfeld did very little, and fees for simply being "on the team" are flatly unreasonable. See *Kentucky Rest. Concepts, Inc.*, 117 Fed.Appx 415; see, e.g., *Am. Civil Liberties Union of Georgia v. Barnes*, 168 F.3d 423, 432 (11th Cir. 1999) (requiring evidence of a distinct contribution of each lawyer to the case). It is apparent that Ms. Rubenfeld allowed the attorneys at Sherrard & Roe and later Ropes & Gray to bear the lion's share of the litigation, and therefore Defendants object to all fees billed by Ms. Rubenfeld after the engagement of Sherrard & Roe on August 6, 2013, as duplicative, overstaffing, and unnecessary.² See Exhibit A.

Further, Ms. Rubenfeld's attempt to place upon Tennessee taxpayers the expense of attending media events and creating publicity about her and the Plaintiffs' cause must be rejected. See, e.g., *Greater Los Angeles Council on Deafness v. Cmty. Television of S. Cal.*, 813 F.2d 217, 221 (9th Cir. 1987) (affirming denial of fees for time spent on publicity); *Halderman v. Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp.*, 49 F.3d 939, 942 (3d Cir. 1995) (same); *Rum Creek Coal Sales, Inc. v. Caperton*, 31 F.3d 169, 176 (4th Cir. 1994) ("The legitimate goals of litigation are almost always

² Should the Court decline to limit Ms. Rubenfeld's fees to the suggested period, the Defendants submit that her entries are replete with inappropriate claims for press conferences, unnecessary travel, and duplicative effort that require further reduction as set out in detail in Exhibit A. In a particularly egregious example of activity for which she seeks compensation from the State, Ms. Rubenfeld billed for attending a Supreme Court moot court in Ann Arbor, Michigan, at which Mr. Hallward-Dreimeier, who actually argued the case, was not in attendance.

attained in the courtroom, not in the media.”). Defendants thus object to the hours billed by Ms. Rubenfeld for press- and media-related activities. See Exhibit A.

In the face of these numerous unreasonable fees, Defendants also object to the excessive hours spent by Ms. Rubenfeld to prepare her fee application; the time necessary to compile and review the fee entries would be far reduced if confined to only compensable activities.

The remaining hours are subject to further reduction, as they include impermissible entries for vetting potential plaintiffs and work done prior to agreeing to represent an actual client.³ See, e.g., *Gratz*, 353 F.Supp.2d at 944 (“the Court does not believe that § 1988 contemplates an award of fees related to an attorney’s search for clients who will serve as model plaintiffs.”); *Kelly v. Corrigan*, 890 F.Supp.2d 778, 786 (E.D.Mich. 2012) (“[t]he subject matter of the work done prior to agreeing to represent an actual client may be relevant to the litigation ultimately initiated but, relying on the ‘paying client’ factor from *Perdue*, it is not something that should be included in the attorney fee award under § 1988.”). Defendants therefore object to the hours Ms. Rubenfeld billed prior to retaining clients and the hours spent vetting potential plaintiffs. See Exhibit A.

MAUREEN HOLLAND

Ms. Holland’s billing entries are largely plagued by the same infirmities as Ms. Rubenfeld’s. Her entries continue the practice of block billing. For example, on October 23, 2013, Ms. Holland billed 1.5 hours for “Review of Press Release, Presentation at Gay and Lesbian Community Center Regarding Case Filing, Review Additional Court Filings.” (DE 97-8, Ex. A). See Exhibit B. Accordingly, an across-the-board reduction of at least 20% of Ms. Holland’s reasonable fees would be warranted here as well.

³ Ms. Rubenfeld’s entries are so vague that it cannot be determined if these “potential plaintiffs” are Plaintiffs. The earliest mention of actual “plaintiffs” in all of the fee entries occurs on July 29, 2013 in Ms. Rubenfeld’s entries. (DE 97-4, Ex. B). Accordingly, the pre-representation work performed by Ms. Rubenfeld, Ms. Lambert, and Ms. Holland prior to this date is unreasonable.

However, the Defendants further submit that the bulk of Ms. Holland's fees are not reasonable. Like Ms. Rubinfeld, Ms. Holland performed little of the actual legal work. She largely left drafting of the legal documents to Sherrard & Roe and later Ropes & Gray, and seemed content to join in, attending NCLR fundraisers, pride walks, and press conferences. (DE 97-8, Ex. A). Accordingly, the Defendants object to all fees attributable to Ms. Holland after the engagement of Sherrard & Roe on August 6, 2013. *See Exhibit B*. As the majority of her fees are unreasonable, Defendants also object to the hours spent preparing the fee application as excessive. *Id.* The remaining hours must be reduced because client representation had not yet occurred as of July 26, 2013.⁴

REGINA LAMBERT

Ms. Lambert's application is likewise filled with instances of block billing. *See Exhibit C*. The same 20% across-the-board reduction to Ms. Lambert's reasonable fees would be warranted here.

Defendants further submit that most of Ms. Lambert's fee request is not reasonable. Ms. Lambert's fee entries are remarkable, even compared to her 18 legal team members. On multiple occasions, she needlessly traveled from Knoxville to Nashville to meet with Ms. Rubinfeld while still seeking potential plaintiffs. (DE 97-4, Ex. A). For example, on July 18, 2013, it is clear that Plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty had not yet been decided upon as plaintiffs. (DE 97-4, Ex. A). Defendants therefore object to the hours billed by Ms. Lambert before client representation occurred. *See Exhibit C*. Furthermore, Ms. Lambert's fee request reveals billing entries for time primarily spent working as the press liaison for Plaintiffs Jesty and Tanco; she coordinated and

⁴ Again, should the Court decline to limit Ms. Holland's fee award to the suggested period, Defendants note that several of Ms. Holland's entries include press related events such as pride walks and NCLR fundraisers. A majority of legal-related entries are excessive and duplicative of the work of the primary attorneys, as is her travel to the Sixth Circuit and Supreme Court. These objections are fully set out in *Exhibit B*.

attended multiple press conferences, participated in multiple media interviews, contacted reporters to set up interviews for Plaintiffs, *picked up reporters from the airport*, assisted Plaintiff Jesty with media training, participated in a Knoxville Town Hall, and participated in a Knoxville news talk show. (DE 97-4, Ex. A). Ms. Lambert has billed numerous hours on publicity for both her and her clients. She cannot now ask the Tennessee taxpayers to foot the bill. *See Greater Los Angeles Council on Deafness*, 813 F.2d at 221.

Even aside from those entries, Ms. Lambert appears, as did Ms. Holland and Ms. Rubenfeld, to have played second fiddle to Sherrard & Roe. Her time was simply spent on legal work already performed by other attorneys, and her involvement after Sherrard & Roe's engagement was simply unnecessary. Accordingly, the Defendants object to all entries after August 6, 2013.⁵ *See Exhibit C*.

NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS

According to Shannon Minter, “[b]ecause of NCLR’s long experience” with the issues involved in *Tanco*, NCLR “took primary responsibility for preparing initial drafts of most key pleadings and briefs, including the Complaint and the briefing regarding the preliminary injunction” and “for briefing in the Court of Appeals, including researching and preparing the initial drafts of a 56-page brief on the merits and a 20-page brief in opposition to defendants’ motion for a stay pending appeal.” (DE 97-2, ¶ 25).

In contrast to the time billed by Ms. Rubenfeld, Ms. Holland, and Ms. Lambert, the time NCLR spent actually drafting the complaint and preliminary injunction is reasonable. However, a review of other NCLR entries reveals unreasonable billing in the following categories: potential plaintiffs/vetting, vagueness, non-prevailing issue, overstaffing/duplicative, unnecessary legal

⁵ Further objections to Ms. Lambert’s application are set out in *Exhibit C*.

work, press/media related, and client services for non-compensable activities. These objections are set forth in detail in Exhibit F.

For example, the NCLR application is replete with billing entries for finding and vetting potential plaintiffs. In August 2013, Mr. Minter billed for “Emails with A. Rubinfeld re: potential plaintiffs” and “Emails with legal team re: potential plaintiffs and plaintiff questionnaire.” (DE 97-2, Ex. D, p. 1). Mr. Stoll billed for “Prepare for and participate in teleconference with co-counsel re case planning and potential plaintiffs and defendants; research same.” *Id.* at p. 22. As reflected in Exhibit F, NCLR attorneys spent multiple hours vetting potential plaintiffs. These entries for finding the perfect plaintiffs are unreasonable. *See Gratz*, 353 F.Supp.2d at 944.

There are also numerous entries regarding the legal research and writing of Plaintiffs’ unsuccessful right-to-travel claim. For example, on August 28, 2015, Mr. Minter billed .7 hours for legal research on the right-to-travel issue. *Id.* at p. 1. On October 20, 2013, Amy Whelan billed 2.9 hours for “Prep of right to travel section of PI brief.” *Id.* at p. 33. But Plaintiffs did not receive a favorable ruling on the right to travel argument at any stage of the litigation, as the Supreme Court determined that the fundamental right to marriage included same-sex marriage and did not analyze the right-to-travel claim. *See Obergefell*, 135 S.Ct. at 2605-05. Plaintiffs did not prevail on this issue and the billing for those hours is objectionable. *See Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 435 (“[W]ork on an unsuccessful claim cannot be deemed to have been ‘expended in pursuit of the ultimate result achieved’ . . . and therefore no fee may be awarded for services on the unsuccessful claim.”).

The Defendants likewise object to overstaffing and duplicative work. For example, from October 19, 2013 to October 21, 2013, Mr. Minter billed 17.3 hours traveling to Nashville, meeting with Plaintiffs, meeting with Nashville counsel, and traveling back to Washington D.C. (DE 97-

2, Ex. D, p. 3). Since there were already three individual lawyers in Tennessee and at least four attorneys at Sherrard & Roe working on *Tanco*, this duplicative work by Mr. Minter was not necessary. For example, from August 5, 2014 through August 6, 2014, Mr. Minter billed 12.1 hours for traveling to and attending the Sixth Circuit argument. *Id.* at p. 11. Mr. Stoll spent 4.6 hours working on the oral argument before the Sixth Circuit and billed for his travel and attendance to the Sixth Circuit argument. *Id.* at p. 27, 32. This appears to be completely unnecessary as Mr. Harbison presented the oral argument at the Sixth Circuit and members of his firm attended with him.

Defendants must also object to all billings of Mr. Minter and Mr. Stoll from November 8, 2014 through June 28, 2015 as the Ropes & Gray attorneys were handling the case before the Supreme Court at that time, as were several attorneys at Sherrard & Roe. The Defendants contend that the NCLR hours for this period constitute overstaffing and duplicative work as NCLR's involvement was completely unnecessary at that point. Notably, Mr. Minter and Mr. Stoll billed for attending the Supreme Court oral argument and the Supreme Court announcement of its decision, for a total of 51.8 hours. *Id.* at pp. 20 and 31-32. Defendants therefore object to these hours billed by NCLR attorneys as overstaffing, duplicative, and unnecessary. *See Exhibit F.*

NCLR also submits several time entries for media-related services that are objectionable and non-compensable. Defendants object to the time billed by NCLR attorneys for work on press-related matters. *See Exhibit F.*

Defendants also object to time spent performing client services for the Plaintiffs that were unrelated to the litigation. For example, from September 3, 2013 to October 14, 2014, Mr. Orr assisted Plaintiffs on requesting marriage-related benefits, worked on insurance denials, drafted letters regarding insurance, and worked on a deed. These services unrelated to the litigation are

not compensable. *See, e.g. Knop v. Johnson*, 712 F.Supp. 571 (1989) (services “unrelated to the claims upon which plaintiffs prevailed” are not compensable). Defendants therefore object to the hours spent on non-compensable client services. *See Exhibit F*. Entries for certain NCLR attorneys are likewise replete with block billing, and a 20% deduction is appropriate.

SHERRARD & ROE

According to Shannon Minter, NCLR took the lead in drafting the district court documents, including the complaint and preliminary injunction pleadings. (DE 97-2, ¶ 25). It was Ropes & Gray’s responsibility to prepare and file the petition for certiorari in the Supreme Court and to prepare the briefing and present oral argument before the Supreme Court. (DE 97-1, ¶ 9). Sherrard & Roe apparently served as primary local counsel for Plaintiffs prior to engaging Ropes & Gray.

Defendants’ objections to Sherrard & Roe’s attorneys’ fees are attached as Exhibit E. The objections fall into the following categories: potential plaintiffs/vetting, vagueness, non-prevailing issue, overstaffing/duplicative, unnecessary legal work, press/media related, and client services for non-compensable activities.

A number of Sherrard & Roe fee entries that relate to publicity matters and are thus not reimbursable as reasonable legal fees. *See Gratz*, 353 F.Supp.2d at 944. For example, on January 26, 2014, Mr. Farringer reviewed a draft press response. (DE 97-6, Ex. A, p. 12). Mr. Hickman performed the same service on February 4, 2014. *Id.* at p. 13. From March 14, 2014 to March 24, 2014, Mr. Farringer reviewed media reports and wrote correspondence about those reports. *Id.* at pp. 15-17. Such entries are sprinkled through the times records and are unreasonable, as previously described. Defendants object to the hours billed by Sherrard & Roe on press-related matters. *See Exhibit E*.

Many other fee entries relate to non-legal client services not appropriately included as compensable attorney activity in this case. For example, numerous entries relate to deed and insurance issues for various Plaintiffs. On March 28, 2014, Mr. Cramer, Mr. Harbison and Mr. Farringer worked on a birth-certificate issue, presumably for Plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty. (DE 97-6, Ex. A, p. 18). On October 1, 2013 Mr. Farringer reviewed a letter regarding a deed, and on April 1, 2014 Mr. Farringer billed for a conference regarding an insurance issue. *Id.* at pp. 4 and 18. No doubt these activities were of benefit to these Plaintiffs, but they were not necessary to prosecute the underlying action and are therefore unreasonable; Defendants object to these hours as non-compensable client services.

Multiple entries reflect unnecessary legal work. For example, on March 29, 2015, Mr. Harbison and Mr. Hickman billed a total of 36.5 hours traveling to and from Ann Arbor, Michigan to participate in a moot court concerning licensure of same-sex marriages. *Id.* at p. 45. Since Plaintiffs challenged only the recognition of same-sex marriages, this travel was gratuitous and unnecessary. Also unnecessary were Sherrard & Roe's billings for prospective and hypothetical legal challenges to the implementation of the *Obergefell* decision. From May 20, 2015 to August 11, 2015, the Sherrard & Roe attorneys billed 12.7 hours for considering implementation issues and post-opinion motions. *Id.* at pp. 54-61. Tennessee had no implementation issues requiring Plaintiffs to file additional motions, and its taxpayers should not have to shoulder the cost of never-filed legal actions.

The Defendants' primary objection to the Sherrard & Roe time records relates to overstaffing/duplicative work. After Ropes & Gray began handling the case at the Supreme Court level, Sherrard & Roe still had all four of its lawyers billing for work. Except for those hours billed by Mr. Harbison, Defendants object to all of these hours after the engagement of Ropes &

Gray. *Id.* at pp. 32-55. Since Ropes & Gray had taken over the case at this point, having an experienced Sherrard & Roe lawyer also working on the case was more than sufficient to maintain the continuity necessary for reasonable and effective representation. Further, entries for certain Sherrard & Roe attorneys are replete with block billing, and a 20% deduction is appropriate. *See Exhibit E.*

ROPES & GRAY

Mr. Hallward-Dreimeier and Ropes & Gray were counsel of record for Plaintiffs in the Supreme Court. They had the primary responsibility of preparing and filing the petition for writ of certiorari and reply brief, drafting and filing the brief on the merits, drafting the reply brief, and presenting oral argument at the Supreme Court. (DE 97-1, ¶ 9).

Defendants' objections to the Ropes & Gray's expenses and attorneys' fees are attached as Exhibit D. The objections fall into the following categories: non-prevailing issue, overstaffing/duplicative, and unnecessary and excessive legal work.

For example, Defendants object to the hours billed by Ropes & Gray relating to the non-prevailing issue of the right to travel. *See Exhibit D*. These hours were almost universally spent researching, analyzing, and writing about the right-to-travel issue. (DE 97-1, Ex. A). Plaintiffs failed to prevail on this issue before this Court, the Sixth Circuit, and the Supreme Court. These hours are unreasonable under the authority previously discussed.

Defendants also object to certain unnecessary billing hours. For example, Mr. Hallward-Dreimeier billed for discussions regarding the Solicitor General's amicus brief, organizing meetings with him, and meeting with him. *Id.* at pp. 4-25. Mr. Dey, Mr. Goldstein, and Mr. Kellogg billed for attending the broadcast of the oral argument. *Id.* at p. 24. Mr. Siegle billed for attending the oral argument before the Supreme Court. These billings were not necessary for

Plaintiffs' representation and Defendants object to them. Likewise, entries for certain Ropes & Gray attorneys are replete with block billing, and a 20% deduction is appropriate. See Exhibit D.

II. AN ENHANCEMENT OF THE LODESTAR IS NOT WARRANTED.

Not content with simply seeking fees for nearly 6,000 hours for 19 attorneys with billing rates ranging from \$225 to \$575, Plaintiffs also claim entitlement to a 75% fee enhancement, seeking a total award of over \$4 million dollars. Plaintiffs seek more than double the amount received by Michigan's plaintiffs after a nine-day trial with expert testimony, and triple that of plaintiffs' counsel in the Ohio and Kentucky proceedings, which consisted of multiple cases and district-court argument. (DE 106, p. 23).

“The primary concern in an attorney fee case is that the fee awarded be reasonable,’ that is, one that is adequately compensatory to attract competent counsel yet which avoids producing a windfall for lawyers.” *Adcock-Ladd v. Secretary of Treasury*, 227 F.3d 343, 349 (6th Cir. 2000)(internal citations omitted). In *Perdue v. Kenny A.*, 559 U.S. 542 (2010), the Supreme Court reaffirmed its prior decisions that an enhancement is *only* permitted in extraordinary circumstances, and “there is a strong presumption that the lodestar is sufficient; factors subsumed in the lodestar calculation cannot be used as a ground for increasing an award above the lodestar; and a party seeking fees has the burden of identifying a factor that the lodestar does not take into account and proving with specificity that an enhanced fee is justified.” *Perdue*, 559 U.S. at 546. The Court has never sustained an enhancement of a lodestar amount for attorney performance, but there are circumstances under which it may be awarded on that basis. Nevertheless, “these circumstances are indeed ‘rare’ and ‘exceptional’ and require specific evidence that the lodestar fee would not have been ‘adequate to attract competent counsel.’” *Id.* at 552, 554 (citations omitted).

Section 1988 serves an important public purpose by making it possible for persons without means to bring suit to vindicate their rights. But unjustified enhancements that serve only to enrich attorneys are not consistent with the statute's aim. In many cases, attorney's fees awarded under § 1988 are not paid by the individuals responsible for the constitutional or statutory violations on which the judgment is based. Instead, the fees are paid in effect by state and local taxpayers, and because state and local governments have limited budgets, money that is used to pay attorney's fees is money that cannot be used for programs that provide vital public services. Cf. *Horne v. Flores*, 557 U.S. 433, _____, 129 S.Ct. 2579, 2593-2594, 174 L.Ed.2d 406 (2009) (payment of money pursuant to a federal-court order diverts funds from other state or local programs).

Id. at 559.

A. Plaintiffs Are Not Entitled to An Enhancement Based on the Undesirability of the Litigation.

Plaintiffs argue that the case was deemed particularly undesirable. *Adcock-Ladd*, 227 F.3d at 350 (internal quotations and citations omitted). (DE 106, p. 19). And they cite *Barnes v. City of Cincinnati*, 401 F.3d 729 (6th Cir. 2005), and *Guam Soc. of Obstetricians & Gynecologists v. Ada*, 100 F.3d 691 (9th Cir. 1996), in support of their argument that their representation was not favorably received in the community. But the facts belie their assertion. This was simply not a case where “few lawyers locally or nationally would take such a case,” *Barnes*, 401 F.3d at 746, “for fear of ostracization and out of concern for their personal safety.” *Guam*, 100 F.3d at 698.

To the contrary. The majority of the attorneys in this case had no doubt been anxiously awaiting an opportunity to challenge Tennessee's Marriage Laws. For some, particularly the individual attorneys, the challenge represented the culmination of their careers as social activists. For others, such as NCLR, it was their *raison d'être*.

Abby R. Rubinfeld received her J.D. from Boston University Law School, where she helped create the University Gay and Lesbian Law Association. She has worked for decades on behalf of civil rights plaintiffs, specializing in sexual orientation and AIDS-related issues. She has

received many awards for her legal advocacy on behalf of the LGBT community. (DE 97-3, ¶ 4). She has litigated many high-profile and precedent-setting lawsuits in her advocacy for LGBT persons, and her written work on LGBT issues has been published. (DE 97-3, ¶¶ 5-7). So anxious was Ms. Rubenfeld to challenge Tennessee's Marriage Laws that she started working on this case on June 26, 2013, the very day that the United States Supreme Court issued *United States v. Windsor*, 133 S.Ct. 2675 (2013). (DE 97-3, ¶ 8). Obviously, Ms. Rubenfeld did not find this case undesirable, indeed she actively sought to play a role in this case.

Ms. Rubenfeld had no trouble recruiting Regina Lambert to work on this case, who began assisting Ms. Rubenfeld shortly after *Windsor* was issued. (DE 97-4, ¶ 4). She has also been active in legal matters related to gay and lesbian rights. (DE 97-4, ¶ 3). Ms. Rubenfeld also had no trouble recruiting Maureen T. Holland, who began working on this matter in 2013 shortly after the *Windsor* decision. (DE 97-8, ¶ 5). Obviously, Ms. Holland was not worried about ostracism as she walked in a Pride Walk with some of her clients. (DE 97-5, Ex. A, p. 10).

This was not a situation where attorneys agreed to assist some hapless or universally despised plaintiff whose rights were being violated but could attract no competent counsel. *Cf. Collin v. Smith*, 578 F.2d 1197 (7th Cir. 1978) (ACLU representing National Socialists (Nazis) in challenging ordinances prohibiting demonstration). The individual lawyers here sought out and vetted their potential plaintiffs for suitability as trailblazers for their social movement. (DE 97-3, ¶ 10); (DE 97-4, ¶ 5); and (DE 97-8, ¶ 5).

NCLR also joined the litigation without second thoughts. Ms. Rubenfeld has worked with NCLR since the late 1970s, and NCLR committed to help "right away." (DE 97-3, ¶ 9). Shannon Minter, who has been the NCLR director since 2000, describes NCLR as a national organization committed to advancing the civil and human rights of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender

people and their families. “In particular, NCLR is committed to litigating precedent-setting cases at the trial and appellate court levels throughout the country.” (DE 97-2, ¶ 3).

Defendants raise this point not to criticize Plaintiffs’ counsel’s history of social activism, but to demonstrate that their personal histories show that this was not a case that they took with trepidation and fear of ostracism. Challenging Tennessee’s Marriage Laws was likely the highlight of their careers.

The team also wanted to have a prestigious, well known state-wide firm on the case, and one, unnamed, firm declined to do so. However, they apparently had no difficulty convincing William Harbison and Sherrard & Roe to assist, as Mr. Harbison characterized his work on this case as “pro bono” and as an “energizing” experience “that is larger than money.” Kim Green, *Nashvillians of the Year*, Nashville Scene, Vol. 34, No. 43, at 17-20 (Nov. 26- Dec. 2, 2015), available at <http://www.nashvillescene.com>.⁶ (DE 97-3, ¶ 9); see also Kim Green, *Nashvillians of the Year*, Nashville Scene, Vol. 34, No. 43, at 17-20 (Nov. 26- Dec. 2, 2015), available at <http://www.nashvillescene.com> (“Bill Harbison told his son he’d love to take the case”; “It was an immediate, ‘we ’ll do it.’”). Nor did the attorneys of Ropes & Gray LLP hesitate to join at the certiorari stage.

And Plaintiffs enjoyed open and conspicuous public support for their efforts. The Attorney General of the United States filed a brief in support of the plaintiffs’ position. Brief for United States as Amicus Curiae 6-9. There were eighty amicus briefs filed in support of the plaintiffs at the Supreme Court, including one written by the American Bar Association. Brief for American Bar Association as Amicus Curiae 8-29. Two Supreme Court Justices had already performed

⁶ The article makes no mention of this application for attorneys’ fees. *Id.*

same-sex marriages before *Obergefell* was argued. *Supra*, fn. 2.⁷ And after the decision was announced, President Obama held a celebration with the White House awash in rainbow colors.⁸

To compare the undesirability of their case to that of the plaintiffs’ attorneys in *Barnes* and *Guam* is inapt at best. The nation was evenly divided on the subject of same-sex marriage, but the case was hardly undesirable—and Plaintiffs entered the litigation with full knowledge that they were one in an army of lawsuits forming part of a multi-State strategy. *See* Kim Green, *Nashvillians of the Year*, Nashville Scene, Vol. 34, No. 43, at 17-20 (Nov. 26- Dec. 2, 2015), available at <http://www.nashvillescene.com> (Rubenfeld informing reporter “Hell yes! There’s going to be a case here”). Plaintiffs are not entitled to an enhancement based upon the undesirability of the litigation.

B. The Lodestar Amount Is Not Insufficient to Attract Competent Counsel.

Plaintiffs argue that the lodestar amount is only presumptively sufficient to induce a capable attorney to undertake the representation, and argue that Ropes & Gray were specialists “absolutely required in this instance to achieve the result in the time frame required.” (DE 106, p. 21). They point out the Ropes & Gray attorneys reduced their requested rates from the rates they normally charge to rates more in line with the Nashville legal community. *Id.* But their acceptance of the Nashville legal rate does not merit an enhancement. In fact, when arriving at the lodestar, the prevailing rate should be determined by the legal community within the court’s jurisdiction rather than foreign counsel’s typical charges. *Adcock-Ladd*, 227 F.3d at 350. To stray from the

⁷ *See also* Peter Sullivan, *Justice Kagan officiates same-sex wedding*, The Hill, Sept. 22, 2014, available at <http://thehill.com/blogs/blog-briefing-room/218490-justice-kagan-officiates-same-sex-wedding>.

⁸ *See* Amber Phillips, *How the White House celebrated gay marriage*, The Washington Post, June 26, 2015, available at <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2015/06/26/joe-bidens-rainbow-flag-cape-and-how-the-white-house-celebrated-gay-marriage>.

lodestar requires a rare or exceptional circumstance and “specific evidence that the lodestar would not have been ‘adequate to attract competent counsel.’” *Perdue*, 559 U.S. at 554.

Ropes & Gray willingly took on the challenge to Tennessee’s Marriage Laws in the Supreme Court without any guarantee of an enhancement. Indeed, Defendants submit that it was unnecessary to involve Ropes & Gray in the litigation.⁹ Both Mr. Harbison, a graduate of Harvard Law School who argued the case in the Sixth Circuit and has received numerous accolades during his professional career, is currently the president of the Tennessee Bar Association and has been recognized in several “best lawyers” lists, and his prestigious firm, Sherrard & Roe, were more than competent to handle the appeal before the Supreme Court. To argue otherwise not only belittles competent Tennessee counsel but also implies that argument before the highest Court in this land can only be effectively handled by a small set of elite, Washington D.C. centric attorneys. Such a notion is repugnant to the egalitarian character of this nation’s legal system.

C. Attorney Performance Does Not Warrant Enhancement.

Finally, Plaintiffs argue that an enhancement is merited due to the case’s historic impact and superior attorney performance. These is little question that, taken as a whole, *Obergefell* is an important civil-rights decision. But “superior results are relevant only to the extent it can be shown that they are the result of superior attorney performance.” *Perdue*, 559 U.S. at 554. Plaintiffs’ success in this matter was in all likelihood predestined, as this Court recognized in this case’s earliest stages, and was not due to superior attorney performance.

In granting Plaintiffs’ motion for preliminary injunction, this Court noted that after *Windsor* struck down provisions of the Defense of Marriage Act,

numerous federal courts, including courts within the Sixth Circuit,
have addressed the impact of *Windsor* on state laws relating to same-

⁹ Hence the overstaffing and duplication objections to fee entries from both engaging Sherrard & Roe and Ropes & Gray during the certiorari stage and beyond.

sex couples and sexual orientation. These courts have uniformly rejected a narrow reading of *Windsor*—such as that advanced by the defendants here—and have found that *Windsor* protects the rights of same-sex couples in various contexts, notwithstanding earlier Supreme Court and circuit court precedent that arguably suggested otherwise.

(DE 67, p. 12). This Court went on to hold that “[i]n light of this rising tide of persuasive post-*Windsor* federal caselaw, it is no leap to conclude that the plaintiffs here are likely to succeed in their challenge to Tennessee’s Anti-Recognition Laws.” (DE 67, p. 13).

Plaintiffs were not the first to challenge a state’s Marriage Laws. As set out above, a plethora of decisions supported the Plaintiffs by the time they appeared before the Sixth Circuit and subsequently the Supreme Court. *See* (DE 67, p. 12, fns. 8 & 9); *see also Baskin v. Bogan*, 766 F.3d 648 (7th Cir. 2014); *Kitchen v. Herbert*, 755 F.3d 1193 (10th Cir. 2014); *Bostic v. Schaefer*, 760 F.3d 352 (4th Cir. 2014). Plaintiffs have admitted as much in their post-decision press interviews. *See* Kim Green, *Nashvillians of the Year*, Nashville Scene, Vol. 34, No. 43, at 17-20 (Nov. 26- Dec. 2, 2015), *available at* <http://www.nashvillescene.com> (“‘Virtually every decision that came down was like our district court decision, finding that marriage equality was correct, that anti-recognition laws were not enforceable,’ Harbison recalls. ‘That’s how the dominos were falling in all the courts.’”).

When considering whether Plaintiffs achieved remarkable success, it must be recognized that the same challenge had already been won by plaintiffs in the Fourth, Seventh, and Tenth Circuits—and the Supreme Court repeatedly denied certiorari to the unsuccessful state defendants. All but three federal district courts that had considered the issue had struck down the same-sex marriage bans. *See Robicheaux v. George*, 2 F.Supp.3d 910 (E.D. La. 2014); *Sevcik v. Sandoval*, 911 F. Supp. 2d 996 (D. Nev. 2012); *Conde-Vidal v. Garcia-Padilla*, 54 F. Supp. 3d 157 (D. P.R. 2014). In fact, the Sixth Circuit was the only circuit to rule in favor of the states. Without question,

the opinion of this nation's judiciary was certainly in the Plaintiffs' corner throughout the litigation in this case.

It also cannot be ignored that Plaintiffs' success here was anchored to the Supreme Court's decision on the licensure question presented in the Michigan and Kentucky cases. The *Tanco* plaintiffs had to address only the recognition question before the Supreme Court. As the Supreme Court answered the licensure question in the affirmative, the legal analysis regarding recognition was largely pretermitted. The Supreme Court's discussion of that issue was limited to a mere few paragraphs at the end of the opinion, and boiled down to: "The Court, in this decision, holds same-sex couples may exercise the fundamental right to marry in all states. It follows that the Court also must hold—and it now does—that there is no lawful basis for a State to refuse to recognize a lawful same-sex marriage performed in another State on the ground of its same-sex character." *Obergefell*, 135 S.Ct. at 2607-088.

III. THE REQUESTED EXPENSES ARE EXCESSIVE AND MUST BE REDUCED.

The expenses requested by Plaintiffs are likewise excessive and must be reduced. The unreasonable expenses are attributable to overstaffing, unnecessary travel, and duplicative representation. Defendants' objections to the requested costs and expenses are detailed in Exhibit G, and are discussed in depth below.

ABBY RUBENFELD

Ms. Rubenfeld's expenses suffer from the same infirmity that plagued her fee entries: vagueness. It is impossible to evaluate the reasonableness of "descriptive" entries such as "Postage to Marlett" or "Courier Fee to Shannon Minter (Fed Ex)." (DE 97-4, Ex. B).

As for the entries whose meaning can be divined, most are unreasonable as attributable to wholly unnecessary travel. Mileage to and from Cincinnati from Nashville presumably refers to Ms. Rubenfeld's attendance at the Sixth Circuit argument. But she was merely one of eight

attorneys in attendance and she neither argued nor was second-chair; her attendance was unnecessary to provide the Plaintiffs reasonable and effective representation. So too was her travel time to a Louisville moot court. It is impossible to determine whether she participated or merely attended the moot court, but given her backseat role in the litigation in favor of Sherrard & Roe, even her speculative participation would have been of little added value to argument preparation. Accordingly, these expenses should be denied as unreasonable and unnecessary. Defendants, however, do not object to Ms. Rubenfeld's expenses for photocopying. *See Exhibit G.*

MAUREEN HOLLAND

Ms. Holland has billed expenses only for "Airfare travel to and from DC twice", presumably to attend both oral argument and press events on April 28, 2015, and to attend the reading of the Supreme Court decision on June 26, 2015. (DE 97-4, Ex. A). Defendants object to these expenses. Attending the reading of the decision was unnecessary to Plaintiffs' representation, and only arguing counsel and second-chair were necessary to attend the Sixth Circuit and Supreme Court arguments. Plaintiffs gained no value by having Ms. Holland physically attend as one of eight attorneys before the Sixth Circuit, nor as one of 12 attorneys at the Supreme Court. Accordingly, Ms. Holland's expenses are unreasonable as unnecessary and should be denied. *See Exhibit G.*

REGINA LAMBERT

Ms. Lambert has billed expenses in the amount of \$870.35 for hotel lodging for three nights for her preparation for and attendance at oral argument before the Sixth Circuit. (DE 97-4, p. 3). These expenses are unreasonable and excessive. Ms. Lambert did not argue before the Sixth Circuit, nor serve as second-chair, and largely functioned as a press liason for her clients throughout the litigation. Merely attending argument as one of eight attorneys provided no benefit

to Plaintiffs' representation. Accordingly, Ms. Lambert's expenses are unreasonable as unnecessary and should be denied. *See Exhibit G.*

NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS.

Defendants object to all of NCLR's expenses as unnecessary. *See Exhibit G.* Most of the expenses relate to airfare and lodging for the various NCLR attorneys to go to meetings in Nashville, attend moot courts, and attend argument before the Sixth Circuit and the Supreme Court. Since Tennessee counsel were already working on the case at the District Court and Sixth Circuit levels, NCLR's physical attendance was unnecessary. And certainly their attendance at the Supreme Court was unnecessary, as the case was being briefed and argued by Ropes & Gray at that point. NCLR also spent, and seeks reimbursement for, \$1,130 for Sixth Circuit admission for five attorneys—an unnecessary expense since Sherrard & Roe appeared as lead counsel in the Sixth Circuit and argued the appeal. More egregiously, NCLR has billed for travel expenses totaling \$3,026.56 for Ms. Rubinfeld and Ms. Lambert *who are not even NCLR attorneys.* (DE 97-2, Ex. E, pp. 2-3). These expenses are especially objectionable as Ms. Rubinfeld herself is only requesting \$523.26 in mileage fees for travel to moot courts in Cincinnati and Louisville (DE 97-3, ¶ 22), and Ms. Lambert does not seek any expense reimbursement for attending the Supreme Court hearing (DE 97-4, ¶ 10). *See Exhibit G.*

SHERRARD & ROE.

Defendants object to \$10,403.96 of the Sherrard & Roe expenses. These objectionable expenses relate to unnecessary travel to Ann Arbor, Michigan for a moot court on the licensure question and overstaffing/duplicative travel to the Supreme Court argument. Certainly it was not necessary to have more than one Sherrard & Roe attorney at the Supreme Court argument when

the argument itself was handled by Mr. Hallward-Dreimeier of Ropes & Gray. (DE 97-6, Ex. B expenses). See Exhibit G.

ROPES & GRAY.

Defendants object to \$4,390 of Ropes & Gray's expenses. All of the objectionable expenses relate to duplicative work and unnecessary expenses. For example, Mr. Goldstein flew from New York to Washington D.C. for a meeting when he could have easily participated electronically. (DE 97-1, Ex. B). Justin Florence had expenses in traveling to Washington D.C. and lodging to assist in oral argument. *Id.* Since Ropes & Gray has a Washington D.C. office it was unnecessary to fly a lawyer in to assist. See Exhibit G.

BILL OF COSTS

Of the \$18,593 in costs to be taxed by the District Court Clerk, Defendants only object to the pro hac vice motion filing fees as unnecessary. Plaintiffs were already represented by multiple counsel admitted to practice in the Middle District of Tennessee so there was no need to have counsel appear pro hac vice. See Exhibit G.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' fee request is out of line with those in Tennessee's sister states, and it nearly doubles that of the plaintiffs in Ohio, the case that compares most closely to Tennessee's. Tennessee taxpayers should not have to shoulder the burden of unreasonable and unnecessary fees, and many of Plaintiffs' requested fees and expenses are unreasonable and unnecessary. As detailed above and in the attached exhibits, Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of no more than \$1,117,406.28 (\$1,066,903.55 in fees, \$32,284.73 in expenses, and \$18,218 in costs).

Respectfully submitted,

HERBERT H. SLATERY III
Attorney General and Reporter

s/William E. Young
WILLIAM E. YOUNG #9711
Associate Attorney General
P. O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37214
(615) 741-3226
bill.young@ag.tn.gov

s/Martha A. Campbell
MARTHA A. CAMPBELL #14022
Deputy Attorney General
General Civil Division
P. O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37214
(615) 741-6420
martha.campbell@ag.tn.gov

s/Alexander S. Rieger
ALEXANDER S. RIEGER #29362
Assistant Attorney General
General Civil Division
P. O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37214
(615) 741-2408
alex.rieger@ag.tn.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 8, 2016, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

Abby R. Rubenfeld # 6645
2409 Hillsboro Road, Suite 200
Nashville, Tennessee 37212
(615) 386-9077
arubenfeld@rubenfeldlaw.com

William L. Harbison # 7012
Phillip F. Cramer # 20697
J. Scott Hickman # 17407
John L. Farringer IV # 22783
SHERRARD & ROE, PLC
150 3rd Avenue South, Suite 1100
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
(615) 742-4200
bharbison@sherrardrod.com
pcramer@sherrardroe.com
shickman@sherrardroe.com
jfarringer@sherrardroe.com

Maureen T. Holland # 15202
HOLLAND AND ASSOCIATES, PC
1429 Madison Avenue
Memphis, Tennessee 38104-6314
(901) 278-8120
mtholland@aol.com

Regina M. Lambert # 21567
7010 Stone Mill Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37919
(865) 679-3483
lambertregina@yahoo.com

Shannon P. Minter
Christopher F. Stoll
Asaf Orr
NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS
870 Market Street, Suite 370
San Francisco, California 94102
(415) 392-6257

sminter@nclrights.org
cstoll@nclrights.org
aorr@nclrights.org

s/ Martha A. Campbell
MARTHA A. CAMPBELL
TBPR# 14022
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 20207
Nashville, TN 37202
(615) 741-6420

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
6/26/13	emails re: TN marriage case (15); interviews and calls with potential clients and counsel	1.70											
6/27/13	emails re: planning TN marriage challenge	0.20		no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting						
6/28/13	emails re: TN challenge	0.20		no-"paying client"									
6/30/13	emails re: TN challenge; discussions with possible plaintiffs	0.30		no-"paying client"	block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/4/13	Research; draft complaint; e-mails to potential plaintiffs	1.50		no-"paying client"	block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/5/13	review and respond to emails to potential plaintiffs and counsel	0.40		no-"paying client"			potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/8/13	E-mails regarding plaintiffs	0.30		no-"paying client"			potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/9/13	emails with potential plaintiffs and counsel	1.30		no-"paying client"			potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/10/13	emails re: case preparation and strategy	1.20		no-"paying client"									
7/14/13	review and respond to emails re: potential plaintiff and counsel	1.40		no-"paying client"			potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/18/13	Conference call with counsel; e-mails regarding counsel (3)	1.10		no-"paying client"	block billing								
7/19/13	meeting with Regina re: strategy	1.50		no-"paying client"									
7/20/13	Review and respond to e-mails; meet with Regina re: case study	1.40		no-"paying client"	block billing								
7/21/13	Meet with potential client	1.50		no-"paying client"			potential plaintiffs/vetting						
7/24/13	E-mails regarding legislative history and sample complaints	0.20		no-"paying client"									
7/25/13	E-mails to attorneys (5); conference call with Regina and big firm rep re: strategy	0.90		no-"paying client"	block billing								
7/26/13	E-mails to co-counsel (12)	0.50		no-"paying client"		vague							
7/29/13	E-mails to counsel and TEP re: plaintiffs (9); conference call with co-counsel regarding strategy	1.50			block billing								
7/30/13	Review and respond to counsel e-mails (6)	0.30				vague							
8/1/13	Telephone call to potential co-counsel; team conference call	2.40			block billing								
8/2/13	telephone call and email with Regina re: Bill and firm	0.50			block billing								
8/5/13	E-mails regarding attorney assistance (4); telephone call to Harbison	1.00			block billing								
8/6/13	E-mails regarding strategy (11); telephone call with Regina and with Bill	0.90			block billing								
8/7/13	Review and respond to counsel and plaintiff e-mails (6)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague							
8/8/13	Team conference call -, review and respond to e-mails (19)	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague		unnecessary					
8/9/13	E-mails regarding strategy	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary					

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections															
8/12/13	E-mails regarding plaintiffs (5)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
8/13/13	E-mails regarding plaintiffs and strategy (4)	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary					
8/14/13	2 conference calls; e-mails to counsel and plaintiffs (19)	3.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary	excessive				
8/15/13	Conference call with legal team; review and respond to e-mails (10)	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
8/16/13	Review and respond to e-mails (4)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
8/23/13	Review and respond to e-mails (9); conference call with co-counsel	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
8/28/13	Conference call regarding strategy; review and respond to e-mails (7)	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
8/29/13	Review and respond to e-mails (12)	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
8/30/13	Review and respond to e-mails (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/4/13	E-mails (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/6/13	Conference call with legal team; review and respond to e-mails	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
9/10/13	Review and respond to e-mails (6)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/11/13	Review and respond to e-mails (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/12/13	Review and respond to e-mails (4)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/13/13	Review and respond to e-mails (1)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/14/13	Review and respond to client e-mails	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/16/13	Review and respond to client emails (7); conference call with legal team re: complaint	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
9/17/13	Review and respond to e-mails (12)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/19/13	Review and respond to e-mails; draft quitclaim deed and cover letter	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague								client services	non-prevailing issue		
9/20/13	Review and respond to client and counsel e-mails (9)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague											
9/21/13	Review and respond to e-mails (3); edit deed; to airport to sent in time	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary		client services			
9/23/13	Review and respond to e-mails (6); conference call with legal team	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					
9/25/13	meet with Regina re: complaint; conference call with counsel	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							unnecessary					
9/26/13	Review and respond to e-mails (3); meet with Regina re: strategy	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague						unnecessary					

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
9/27/13	E-mails (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
9/28/13	E-mails (2)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
10/1/13	Review and respond to e-mails (13); letter to Register of Deeds	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/2/13	Review and respond to e-mails (3); conference call with legal team re: filing fees strategy	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/3/13	Review and respond to e-mails (9); draft deed; letter to Robertson County plaintiff	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary		client services		
10/7/13	Review and respond to e-mails (15)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
10/8/13	Conference call with legal team; e-mails (4); edit complaint draft	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/9/13	Review and respond to e-mails (16); draft letter to Robertson register	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/10/13	Review and respond to e-mails (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
10/11/13	Review and respond to e-mails (3)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
10/14/13	edit complaint draft	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
10/15/13	team conference call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague						
10/16/13	conference call with legal team; edit complaint	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/17/13	Review and respond to client's e-mail re: status; planning for filing, review and edit engagement letter	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
10/18/13	Review and respond to client's e-mail regarding filing fee (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/19/13	Review and respond to client's e-mail re: filing strategy	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/20/13	Meet with Regina and co-counsel; meet with clients; emails (8); review and edit latest draft of complaint	2.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/21/13	Press conference, review and sign petition, meet w/ counsel, email(strategy)	6.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
10/22/13	Review and respond to client (39); conference call with legal team	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				
10/23/13	Review and respond to client via email to (7)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague		unnecessary				
10/24/13	Review and respond to client emails (10)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague		unnecessary				
10/29/13	Review and respond to client e-mail (2); conference call with legal team re: PI	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		vague		unnecessary				

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
10/30/13	Review and respond to client emails (3) (Tanco v. Hanslam); review declarations	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
11/5/13	Review and respond to emails (6); team conf. call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague						
11/6/13	Review and respond to emails (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
11/10/13	conference call with legal team	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
11/11/13	edit PI draft	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
11/13/13	Review and respond to emails (3)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
11/14/13	Review and respond to emails (14); review declarations	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague						
11/15/13	Review declarations respond to email to (10); edit PI memos; conference call with legal team re: PI motion	2.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague						
11/16/13	Review and respond to emails (7); edit memo and AO	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague						
11/18/13	Conference call with legal team (part) and editing brief	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
11/19/13	Review and respond to emails re: filing(20)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
11/20/13	Review and respond to emails (6)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
11/21/13	Email client re: strategy	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
11/25/13	Review and respond to emails re: PI papers (2)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/5/13	Review and respond to emails (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
12/6/13	review state response to PI motion	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
12/7/13	Review and respond to email about FACT (7)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				press/media related
12/8/13	meet with Regina re: litigation strategy	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/19/13	Edit reply brief; e-mails re: reply brief	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
12/20/13	telephone conference re: reply brief	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/24/13	Review and respond to email (6) re: supplemental authorities	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/25/13	Emails to Shannon re: reply (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/29/13	Review and respond to email (2) re: crazy guy filing	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/30/13	Review and respond to email (3) re: strategy; review motion to consolidate	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
1/9/14	Emails re: status conference and order; conference call with co-counsel	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
1/10/14	Review and respond to emails re: status conference (6)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
1/24/14	emails with co-counsel	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
1/29/14	Review and respond to emails re: proposed intervention (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
1/31/14	Review and respond to emails re: intrvention (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
2/12/14	Emails re: Kentucky case and response (4); review notice of supp. authority	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
2/13/14	emails with team re: VA victory	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
2/26/14	emails with team re: TX victory	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/3/14	conference call with co-counsel re: voluntary dismissal	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			non-prevailing issue
3/4/14	Emails re: status (3)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/10/14	Review motion re: status and application to intervention; emails (7); conference call re: motion to ascertain status	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary			
3/11/14	Edit motion responses; emails (7); conference call with co-counsel re: motion	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary			
3/12/14	Review and respond to emails re: status motion (9); cinference call with legal team	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/14/14	Review PI order; conference call with team re: stay motion; emails	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/17/14	Emails & conference call with legal team re: strategy	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/18/14	emails with legal team re: stay motion	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/19/14	Edit stay response	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
3/20/14	Emails re: stay (30); review order denying	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/21/14	Emails re: stay & 6th Circuit; draft/edit couples questionnaire	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary			
3/22/14	Emails	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
3/24/14	conference call with co-counsel re6th circuit mediation	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/25/14	Emails re: status (6); meet with Kendall and Regina re: case status	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/26/14	Emails re: event and stay	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			press/media related

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
3/27/14	Emails re: baby birth and stay	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/28/14	Emails re: birth certificate issue (13); telephone with co-counsel re: same	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary		non-prevailing issue	
3/29/14	Emails re: possible second suit (4)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary		non-prevailing issue	
3/30/14	Edit stay response; edit questionnaire to plaintiffs	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague			unnecessary			
3/31/14	Emails re: stay response; edit declarations	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
4/1/14	Emails re: case (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague			unnecessary			
4/2/14	Review declarations; emails to co-counsel (8)	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary			
4/3/14	edit stay response	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)									
4/4/14	emails with team re: health insurance for new baby	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	client services		
4/6/14	Emails re: status	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/10/14	emails with team re: mediation issues with TN	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/14/14	Emails re: 6th Circuit strategy	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/17/14	Email client re: O & A article (7)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			press/media related
4/18/14	Emails re: plaintiffs (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/25/14	Review and respond to emails re: stay (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/27/14	Emails re: stay (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/28/14	Emails re: stay issues (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/7/14	review TN brief to 6th Circuit	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)									
5/9/14	Emails re: plaintiffs and amici (9)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/10/14	Emails re: amicus & response	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/12/14	Emails re: appeal & amicus briefs (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/13/14	Emails re: amici (6)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/14/14	Emails re: amici	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/21/14	emails with team re: oral argument 6th Circuit	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
5/22/14	Emails re: strategy call	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
5/23/14	Telephone conference with team re: appellate strategy	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/28/14	emails with team re: possible final judgment	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/29/14	Emails re: brief	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/30/14	Emails re: amicus intervention	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
6/2/14	Edit appellate brief	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/3/14	Edit appellate brief	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/6/14	Edit new draft of brief	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/11/14	Telephone call to Harbison & emails re: appellate argument (3)	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary				
6/17/14	Review some of the amicus briefs; conference call with team	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary				
6/26/14	Review TN reply brief to 6th Circuit	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
7/3/14	Emails re: status & moot court (2)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/7/14	emails with team re: oral argument	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/14/14	Review oral arguement order from 6th Circuit	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/22/14	Review and respond to emails re: status & attempted intervenor (3)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/23/14	Emails re: argument (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/24/14	Emails re: hearing (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/25/14	team call re: oral argument strategy	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/28/14	Emails re: appeal argument prep (12)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/29/14	Review and respond to emails re: argument (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
7/30/14	Review and respond to emails re: hearing (3); dinner meeting with the team	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary	excessive			
7/31/14	meeting with TN, KY, and OH legal teams re: argument prep	5.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
8/1/14	meeting with team re: appellate argument	3.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							excessive			
8/3/14	emails with team re: oral argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
8/4/14	emails with team re: oral argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
8/5/14	Drive to Cincinnati for oral argument	4.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		press/media related
8/6/14	Oral argument in Cincinnati (10:30 - 5:30)	7.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		press/media related
8/7/14	Drive back from Cincinnati	4.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		press/media related
8/12/14	emails with co-counsel	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
8/13/14	emails with co-counsel re: Roane County	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
9/5/14	emails with co-counsel re: 7th Circuit opinion	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
9/18/14	emails with co-counsel re: cert petition	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
9/26/14	Emails & edit press release; telephone call calls re: appeal ruling	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
10/1/14	emails with co-counsel re: appeal status	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
10/6/14	emails and calls re: SCOTUS denial of cert	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary			
10/10/14	Emails re: court petition (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
10/13/14	Emails re: strategy call (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
10/14/14	team strategy call re: postage decision	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/6/14	Emails re: decision (69); team calls; review opinion	3.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary			
11/7/14	Conference call with team and call with all 4 teams re: cert petition; emails (16)	2.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary			
11/8/14	Emails re: ruling (7)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/9/14	Emails re: decision (7)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/11/14	Emails re: SC petition (18); edit petition	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary			
11/12/14	Emails re: SC petition (6)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/13/14	Edit SC cert petition; emails (24)	4.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague		unnecessary			
11/14/14	Emails re: filing petition and Ropes retainer (10)	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/16/14	Emails re: cert. application (11)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/17/14	Emails re: cert. petition & strategy (5)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
11/18/14	Emails re: cert. (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
11/19/14	Emails re: SPA for plaintiffs (1)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague		unnecessary				
11/26/14	Team call re: strategy; emails re: SC status (12)	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
12/4/14	Emails to SC amicus (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/5/14	Emails re: amici (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/6/14	Emails re: attempted intervention (6)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/7/14	Email re: attempted intervenor	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/8/14	emails re: cert petition	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/9/14	Emails re: intervention (1)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/9/14	meet with Regina re: strategy	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/10/14	Emails re: status & amici (7); conference call with legal team	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
12/15/14	Emails re: status at supremes (5)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/16/14	Review reply brief; emails re: reply	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
12/18/14	Emails re: reply brief (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/21/14	Review reply; emails (13)	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague		unnecessary				
12/23/14	Emails re: reply & status at Supremes (6)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
12/28/14	Emails re: strategy & clients (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
1/7/15	emails re: application	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
1/8/15	Edit press release re: SC action	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				press/media related
1/9/15	emails re: SCOTUS status and next steps	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
1/10/15	Emails re: media (2)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				press/media related
1/12/15	Telephone calls & emails re: SC ruling (16)	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				
1/14/15	conference call re: cert petition	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
1/16/15	Emails re: SC ruling on cert (48)	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				excessive			
1/19/15	Emails (7); team call; Emails re: SC taking cert (29)	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague		unnecessary				
1/20/15	4 state strategy call; emails re: strategy (9)	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
1/22/15	Emails re: status (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
1/23/15	Emails re: argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/3/15	review emails re: appendix	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/9/15	email to Regina re: status	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/13/15	emails re: status	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/18/15	edit brief draft	2.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/20/15	conference re: brief; emails re: brief; more editing	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							
2/21/15	emails re: draft	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/24/15	conference call and emails re: brief	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							
2/25/15	emails re: brief	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/27/15	conference call re: brief; edit draft	2.60	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							
3/2/15	Emails re: oral argument prep (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
3/3/15	Email re: amicus	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
3/4/15	Emails re: amicus briefs (2); edit brief draft	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary			
3/5/15	Emails re: amicus & oral argument (8); brief strategy & hearing date (10)	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/6/15	Emails re: amicus briefs (8); conference call re: DC moots	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary			
3/9/15	Emails re: plaintiff's oral argument (19); conference with co-counsel re: moots	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/10/15	Emails re: oral argument (10); telephone conference re: oral argument	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/11/15	Conference call re: oralists; emails (19)	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive		
3/12/15	Emails re: oral argument (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
3/13/15	Emails re: oral argument (14); conference call re: oral argument	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/14/15	Emails re: oral argument (14)	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive		
3/15/15	Emails re: oral argument (23); telephone call to Stanyar re: oralists; call with Harbison	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary	excessive		

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
3/16/15	Telephone call re: split argument (many); conference calls (2); edit statement; emails (87)	5.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive		
3/17/15	Emails re: oral argument (19)	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		
3/18/15	Emails (27); conference call re: oral argument	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive		
3/19/15	Emails re: oral argument (25); conference call re: clerk response	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/20/15	Emails re: oral argument (12)	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		
3/21/15	Emails re: oral argument (8)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		
3/22/15	Emails re: oral argument (8); telephone call to Strangio re: same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/23/15	Emails re: oral argument (13); telephone call to Buseck re: oral argument; review argument prep docs	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/24/15	Emails re: oral argument (17); practice moot	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
3/25/15	To Louisville for moot (8:00am - 6:30pm)	10.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		
3/26/15	Emails re: oral argument (20); team call re: oral argument prep	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/27/15	Emails re: oral argument (9); telephone call to Stanvar re: oral argument	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/28/15	Emails re: oral argument (3)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
3/29/15	To Ann Arbor for moot (4:30am - 11:45pm)	19.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive		non-prevailing issue
3/30/15	Emails re: oral argument & amici (13)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
3/31/15	Emails re: oral argument (5)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/1/15	Emails re: Knoxville event & amicus briefs (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			press/media related
4/2/15	Emails re: amicus briefs & reply briefs (9); reviews SC prep outline	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
4/3/15	Emails re: oral argument & reply brief (35); review brief outline	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
4/5/15	Emails re: oral argument (5)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/6/15	Emails re: SC argument (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary			
4/7/15	Emails re: amicii & oral argument (8)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			
4/8/15	Emails re: moots & media (7)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing							press/media related
4/9/15	Emails re: editing reply brief & moot (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary			

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
4/10/15	Emails re: oral argument & moots (8)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
4/11/15	Edit reply brief; team call re: oral arguments; emails re: moots	3.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
4/12/15	Edit new reply drafts; emails re: reply brief	2.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
4/13/15	Emails re: reply brief (3);	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/14/15	Emails re: reply brief & oral argument (3); drive back from Knoxville	3.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
4/15/15	Edit final draft of reply; emails (4)	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague							
4/16/15	Emails re: reply brief & argument preparation	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/17/15	Emails re: reply briefs (4); conference to prepare	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/20/15	moot ct., NSL; meeting; emails re: oral argument (11)	4.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive			
4/21/15	Travel to Washington DC for moots; email re: oral argument (4)	2.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
4/22/15	Howard moot 12:15pm - 8:00pm; team strategy meeting; email re: oral argument (4)	8.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
4/23/15	Emails re: oral argument planning (13); telephone to Stanyar from MI	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
4/24/15	Georgetown moot & strategy meeting (12:30pm - 7:00pm); email re: media (4)	6.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/25/15	travel home from DC; emails re: oral argument (17)	3.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
4/26/15	Emails re: oral argument	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/27/15	interview re: case; emails (8); travel back to DC; team meeting	5.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/28/15	oral argument; and team meeting	9.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing	vague			unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/29/15	emails re: follow up; travel back to Nashville	4.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
5/1/15	emails re:status of case	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/12/15	emails re: post-decision strategy	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/14/15	emails re: state not not following SC ruling	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/15/15	emails re: post-opinion issues	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/18/15	team conference call in prep of ruling	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/19/15	emails with co-counsel re: post-decisions	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections									
5/28/15	emails with co-counsel	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
6/10/15	emails re: decision day strategy	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/14/15	emails with legal team re: decision day	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/16/15	emails re: enforcement of possible win	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/17/15	emails re: post victory organizing/litigating (1)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/24/15	meeting re: post victory follow up/enforcement	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/25/15	telephone conference with Regina re: SCOTUS blog	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				press/media related
6/26/15	conference with team; review opinion; meet with Sherrard attorneys	4.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
7/21/15	review proposed orders; email re: strategy (3)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
7/22/15	emails re: edits on final order (3)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
7/24/15	emails re: edits on final order (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
7/30/15	emails re: final order (5); edit draft	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
7/31/15	emails re: final order (1)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
8/4/15	review/edit proposed order; emails re: editing proposed final order (3)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
8/5/15	edit motion to enter final order; emails (2)	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague	unnecessary				
8/7/15	emails re: motion to enter final order (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
8/12/15	edit proposed Motion to enter order; emails re: proposed motion (4)	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
8/14/15	emails re: reply re: order entry (2)	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing	vague	unnecessary				
8/24/15	emails re: final order and fee application (4)	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						
9/28/15	edit fee application	2.00								Excessive (due to waste seeking fees for impermissible work)		
10/4/15	draft/edit declaration for fee application	0.80								Excessive (due to waste seeking fees for impermissible work)		
10/5/15	edit fee application	0.80								Excessive (due to waste seeking fees for impermissible work)		
10/7/15	edit fee petition and declaration	1.50								Excessive (due to waste seeking fees for impermissible work)		

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT A: RUBENFELD FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objections										
10/8/15	edit fee petition and declaration	1.00								Excessive (due to waste seeking fees for impermissible work)			
Totals:		325.70	297.4	15.6	193.4	86.2	8.4	241.2	138.8	2.5	20.7	44.9	

overstaffing
(duplicative) no-"paying client" block billing vague potential plaintiffs/vetting unnecessary excessive client services non-prevailing issue press/media related

Total compensable hours:	6.6
Rate:	500
Subtotal	3300
Deduction -20% vague/block billing	-660
Total payment:	2640

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections.

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
7/26/13	Email Correspondence with attorneys on bringing a case	1.00		no-"paying client"						excessive			
7/30/13	Email Correspondence with TN Team and NCLR to review Complaint Samples	1.00											
8/1/13	Email Correspondence Setting Conference Call	1.00											
8/1/13	Email Correspondence with TN Attorneys and Conference Call Discussing Team Composition and Complaint Process	1.00			block billing								
8/5/13	Email Correspondence Re: Bill Harrbison and Sherrard & Roe Joining the Team	1.00											
8/6/13	Email Correspondence Re: Legislative History on TN Statutes and Constitution	1.00											
8/8/13	Email Correspondence Re: Same-Sex Marriage Licenses and Couple Questionnaire for Potential Plaintiffs and Research on Proper Defendants	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
8/12/13	Email Correspondence Re: Continued Discussion on Couple Questionnaire and Re: Sophie and Val's Response to Couple Questionnaire	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
8/14/13	Conference Call Re: Sophie and Vall, Matthew and Johno and Military couple, Potential Ijpe Dekoe	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
8/15/13	Conference Call Re: Federalism	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/22/13	Email Correspondence Re: TN Legislative History	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/23/13	Conference Call Re: Legislative History and Email Correspondence Re: Call	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
8/27/13	Email Correspondence Re: Matthew and Johno Profile	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				potential plaintiffs/vetting						
8/28/13	TN Marriage Team Call, Re: Bare Bones Complaint Draft	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
8/30/13	Email Correspondence Re: Plaintiff Profiles Ijpe and Thom	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				potential plaintiffs/vetting						
9/6/13	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Plaintiff Profiles and Bare Bones Complaint	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				potential plaintiffs/vetting						
9/9/13	Email Correspondence Re: Letter to Sophie and Val	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							client services			
9/10/13	Email Correspondence Re: Attorney General opinion	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/16/13	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Attorney General Opinion and Complaint	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/20/13	Email Correspondence Re: Quit Claim Deed for Sophie and Val Home	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							client services	non-prevailing issue		
9/21/13	Email Correspondence Re: Marriage Equality in the News	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
9/23/13	Email Correspondence Re: Complaint and List of Harms, Allocation Attorney Time, and Potential Plaintiffs Kellie and Vanessa and Post Windsor Presentations, and TN Marriage Team Call Re: Same	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting						
9/25/13	Email Correspondence Re: Insurance for Thom Discussion, Legislative History Transcripts	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing					client services			
9/26/13	Email Correspondence Re: Insurance for Thom	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							client services			
9/27/13	Email Correspondence Re: Complaint	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
9/28/13	Email Correspondence Re: Declaratory Judgment	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
9/30/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Article re After DOMA by Abby Rubinfeld	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/1/13	Email Correspondence Re: Letter to register Deeds	0.2	(duplicative) overstaffing								client services		
10/2/13	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Plaintiffs Couples, Restructure Complaint and Filing Date and Correspondence Re: Knox County Deed Response	2	(duplicative) overstaffing		block billing						client services		
10/7/13	Email Correspondence Re: Schedule Leading up to Filing	0.1	(duplicative) overstaffing						unnecessary				
10/8/13	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Redraft of Complaint and Email Correspondence Re: Same	2	(duplicative) overstaffing		block billing					excessive			
10/9/13	Email Correspondence Re: Pen Case (Motion to Dismiss) and Draft of Complaint	0.1	(duplicative) overstaffing		block billing	vague							
10/10/13	Email Correspondence Re: Standing Issues with Male Couples, Response re Email to Plaintiffs	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
10/11/13	Email Correspondence Re: DMV Rejection	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		
10/14/13	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Review of New Draft Complaint	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/16/13	Email Correspondence Re: Continued Review of Draft of Complaint and Media for Date of Filing and Review of Updated Engagement Letter	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
10/16/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Press and Plaintiff Bios and Discuss New Draft Complaint	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
10/16/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft of Complaint	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/18/13	Email Correspondence Re: Press, Plaintiff Bios	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
10/19/13	Travel to Nashville to Meet with TN Marriage Team, Dinner with Plaintiffs and Legal Team	5.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			
10/20/13	Meet with TN Marriage Team at Abby Rubinfeld's Office in Nashville Re: Complaint	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
10/20/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review New Draft Complaint and Review of Revisions	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/21/13	Meet with TN Marriage Re: Complaint and Filing, Attendance at Press with TN Marriage Team in Nashville, Lunch with TN Marriage Team, Travel Home; Email Correspondence Re: Local News and Correspondence re Service on the State of TN	10.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
10/22/13	Email Correspondence Re: Judge Trauger and re Discussion with Attorney General, Reviewed Court Filings, Immigration Matter for Val	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing						client services		
10/23/13	Review of Press Release, Presentation at Gay and Lesbian Community Center Regarding Case Filing, Review Additional Court Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
10/24/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/26/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/28/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/29/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/30/13	Email Correspondence Re: Preliminary Injunction	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/31/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection												
11/1/13	Review of Case Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
11/4/13	Email Correspondence Re: Next TN Marriage Team Call	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
11/5/13	TN Marriage Team Conference Call Re: Notes Right to Travel, Issues with Declarations for Plaintiffs	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							non-prevailing issue		
11/6/13	Review of Court Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)												
11/7/13	Email Correspondence Review of Draft Declaration for Matt and Review of Right to Travel Section for PI Motion	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							non-prevailing issue		
11/8/13	Email Correspondence Re: Draft of Declaration Dekoe by Holland	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)												
11/9/13	Email Correspondence Re: Hawaii Gay Marriage Bill	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
11/11/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Section for Preliminary Injunction	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)												
11/12/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Argument Section for Preliminary Injunction and Filings and TN Statutes re Interracial Marriage	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary						
11/14/13	Email Correspondence Re: Dekoe Declaration Comments, Review of John Declaration, Jesti Declaration, Mansel Declaration, Miller Declaration, Review of Equal Protection Argument and Statement of Facts	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing									
11/15/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Declaration Revisions, Statement of Facts, Right to Travel Argument, Liberty Section and Review of Press Release	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							non-prevailing issue	press/media related	
11/17/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Final Edits to Preliminary Injunction and Review of Recent Court Filings	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing									
11/18/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Final PDF Versions of Declarations, Final Preliminary Injunction Memorandum Review and Motion	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing			unnecessary	excessive					
11/19/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Memo of Law, State of Ohio Opposition to Obergefell	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive					
11/20/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings and Emails to Plaintiffs	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing						client services			
11/21/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of TBA update re Case	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)												press/media related
11/23/13	Press w/ Local 24	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)												press/media related
11/25/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Preliminary Injunction Response, Timing Under Rules and Potential Oral Argument	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive					
11/28/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Texas Ruling	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
12/3/13	Email Correspondence Re: Discussion of Next Meeting and the Reply to Response of PI	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
12/5/13	Email Correspondence Re: Response to for Reply Brief, Review of Local Rules, Calculation of Response Time	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
12/6/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of State Opposition and Other Filings	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
12/9/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings and Amicus Briefs	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						
12/10/13	TN Marriage Team Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague								
12/11/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings and Review of Motion for Reply Brief	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary						

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection																	
12/19/13	Email Correspondence Re: New Mexico Decision and Review of Edits for Draft Reply	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary										
12/20/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of New Draft Reply and Edits, PI Appendix	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary										
12/23/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Notice of Supplemental Authority Draft and Ohio Decision Discussion	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
12/24/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Supplemental Authority	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
12/30/13	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
1/10/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Proposed Case Management Order and Discussion of Order	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
1/29/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Rule 24 Motion	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive									
1/30/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
1/31/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
2/4/14	Email Correspondence Re: Draft Press Release	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)																	press/media related
2/14/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Marriage Victory in VA and Supplemental Authority Discussion	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
2/26/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Supplemental Authority	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
2/27/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Supplemental Authority and Court Filings	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
2/27/14	Email Correspondence Re: NCLR Fundraiser March 26	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
2/28/14	Email Correspondence Re: NCLR Fundraiser March 26	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
3/10/14	Email Correspondence Re: Stipulation of Dismissal for One Couple, Response to Pending Motion to Intervene, Review of Draft of Stipulation of Dismissal	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing														non-prevailing issue
3/11/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Motion to Ascertain Status of PI, Review of Reissue of Motion to Intervene	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary										
3/12/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings Review of Wisconsin Order and PI Request	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
3/14/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review or Order granting PI and Press Release	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)																	press/media related
3/14/14	NCLR Meeting in Nashville with Travel	8.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				vague			unnecessary	excessive									
3/15/14	Email Correspondence Re: Next Team Call	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary										
3/16/14	Attendance at In-Person Interview of Ijpe and Thom	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive									press/media related
3/16/14	In person meeting with Ijpe and Thom re update on case	0.75	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary		client services								
3/17/14	TN Marriage Team Call Re: Court Filings	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive									
3/18/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings and Press Response, Motion to Stay Opposition Draft	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing														press/media related
3/18/14	Email Correspondence Re: Press with Local Commercial Appeal re State's Petition	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)																	press/media related

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection															
3/19/14	Email Correspondence Re: Filing Opposition of Motion to Stay at 6th Circuit and Comments	0.75	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/20/14	Email Correspondence Re: Stay by Judge Heyburn, Order on Stay	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/21/14	Email Correspondence Re: Couple Questionnaire and Michigan Ruling	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/24/14	Email Correspondence Re: Baby Status	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/25/14	Email Correspondence Re: Draft in Opposition and Process at 6th Circuit	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/25/14	Email Correspondence Re: meeting NCLR team	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/26/14	NCLR Fundraiser in Nashville with Travel Time	8.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/26/14	Email Correspondence Re: Stay in Michigan Case	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/27/14	Email Correspondence Re: Birth of Baby Emilia	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/28/14	Email Correspondence Re: Birth Certificate of Emilia	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/30/14	Email Correspondence Re: 6th Circuit Stay	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)															
3/31/14	Email Correspondence Re: Revisions to Stay Opposition	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/1/14	Email Correspondence Re: 6th Circuit Combining TN and KY Cases	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/2/14	Email Correspondence Re: Jesty Declaration	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/7/14	Email Correspondence Re: Case Management Order	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/8/14	Email Correspondence Re: Mediation	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/14/14	Email Correspondence Re: Next Steps re Stay	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/25/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings, Draft Letter to Clients, Notice of Appearances	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/7/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Appellant Brief and Review of Court Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/13/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Briefs and Other Court Filings	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/14/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Court Filings	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/23/14	TN Marriage Team Conference Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/28/14	Email Correspondence Re: May 23 Call Topics	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
6/2/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Appellate Brief Draft	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
6/3/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Brief	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
6/5/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Brief Edits	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)															

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
7/31/14	TN Marriage Team Meeting and Light Lunch	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague							
8/1/14	Email Correspondence Re: 6h Circuit Oral Argument Prep	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/2/14	Email Correspondence Re: Oral Argument Prep	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/3/14	Email Correspondence Re: Federal Court Panel	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/4/14	Email Correspondence Re: Ohio Case	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/5/14	Travel Attendance at Oral Argument 6th Circuit in Ohio	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
8/5/14	Email Correspondence Re: Brief and Oral Argument Prep	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/6/14	Email Correspondence Re: upcoming Plaintiff meeting	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/7/14	Email Correspondence Re: strategy for moving forward	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/8/14	Email Correspondence Re: Oral Argument	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/13/14	Email Correspondence Re: Ohio Argument	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/26/14	Email Correspondence Re: 7th Circuit Panel	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
8/28/14	Email Correspondence Re: client updates	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
8/29/14	Email Correspondence Re: client updates	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/16/14	Email Correspondence Re: potential Supreme Court strategy	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/20/14	Email Correspondence Re: Legal Team matters	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/23/14	Email Correspondence Re: meeting up with clients	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
9/30/14	Email Correspondence Re: Filing Appearance Forms	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/6/14	Email Correspondence Re: SCOTUS Cert Denial	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/10/14	Email Correspondence Re: SCOTUS Cert Denial, Idaho Response to Stay and 6th Circuit Strategy	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/11/14	Email Correspondence Re: 6th Circuit Strategy	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/13/14	Email Correspondence Re: 6th Circuit Strategy	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
10/14/14	TN Marriage Team Conference Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague			unnecessary				
11/6/14	Email Correspondence Re: Opinion and Judgment of 6th Circuit, Discussion of Press Conference	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
11/7/14	Email Correspondence Re: Decision and Next Strategy	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
11/8/14	Email Correspondence Re: Latest Developments	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
11/11/14	Email Correspondence Re: SCOTUS counsel Douglas Hallward-Driemeier	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
11/12/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Petition, Discussion of SCOTUS Counsel and Progress Report	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				unnecessary			
11/13/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Right to Travel Section, MTH Draft Appendix, Review of Comments of Draft Petition, Discussion on Press for November 14	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							press/media related
11/14/14	Email Correspondence Re: Strategy and Appendix Changes, Review of Revised Petition	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing				excessive			
11/17/14	Email Correspondence Re: KY and MI Decisions	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
11/22/14	Attendance at Press Interview for Thom and Ijpe	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
12/5/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review and Discussion of Amicus Briefs	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/9/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus in Support and KY Response	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/11/14	Attendance at Press Interview of Clients for NPR	0.75	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
12/12/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Ohio response	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/15/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Briefs	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/19/14	Email Correspondence Re: Waiver of 14-day Waiting Period and Edits to Reply Brief	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							excessive			
12/20/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Reply Brief Edits	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/21/14	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Reply Brief edits	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
12/22/14	Email Correspondence Re: Tanco Reply Brief Filing	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
1/16/15	Email Correspondence Re: SCOTUS Acceptance of Writ	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
1/19/15	Email Correspondence Re: Upcoming Call, Brief Article	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
1/20/15	Discussions with clients about any written statement by them	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		press/media related
1/20/15	TN Marriage Team Conference Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague							
2/12/15	Email Correspondence Re: Joint Appendix Cover	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/17/15	Draft and Edit of Joint Appendix	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/18/15	Continued Edits of Joint Appendix	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/19/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Brief	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
2/20/15	Edited Joint Appendix and Cover Letter, Email Correspondence Re: Review of Right to Travel and Right to Marry, Equal Protection and Opening	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							non-prevailing issue
2/21/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Ohio Brief, TN Drafts, Edit to Due Process, Federalism Edits, Team Reviewed Joint Appendix by MTH	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing							non-prevailing issue

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection											
2/22/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Comments from TN to Ohio Team, Merit Brief Redraft Review	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
2/23/15	Draft and Edits to Table of Contents for Joint Appendix, Email Correspondence Re: Edits for Joint Appendix	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing							
2/24/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Brief, Review of Comments and Review of Joint Appendix Service List	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing							
2/25/15	Email Correspondence Re: Joint Appendix Service List Review	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
2/26/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Final Brief	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
2/27/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Final Brief and Appendix	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/3/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/4/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/5/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/6/15	AP Press	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)											press/media related
3/7/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Filings	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/9/15	Email Correspondence Re: Discussion of Moot Court	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/10/15	Email Correspondence Re: Discussion of Moot Court	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/13/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review and Discussion on Sample Arguments	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/14/15	Email Correspondence Re: Divided Argument	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/15/15	Email Correspondence Re: Divided Argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/18/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Clerks Office Items	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/19/15	Moot Court in KY with Travel Time	13.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/19/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Clerks Office Items	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/19/15	TN Marriage Team Conference Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/25/15	Email Correspondence Re: Post Argument Reception	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/26/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Amicus Briefs	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/30/15	Email Correspondence Re: Designation of Oral Argument Counsel	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)											
3/31/15	Email Correspondence Re: Moot Court on April 22	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											
4/1/15	In person meeting with clients re status update	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)											client services
4/1/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Briefs	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)											

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
4/2/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Briefs	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/3/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Briefs and Early Outline Draft	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/7/15	In person meeting with clients re status update	0.75	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		
4/7/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Chart	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/8/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Chart	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/9/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Chart	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/10/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Chart	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/11/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Reply	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/11/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Reply and Comments	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/11/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Reply and Comments	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/14/15	Email Correspondence Re: Review of Draft Reply	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/16/15	Email Correspondence Re: Interview with Sophie and Val, Review of Reply Brief	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								press/media related
4/17/15	In person meeting with clients ljpe and Thom re status update	0.75	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		
4/17/15	Email Correspondence Re: Filing of Reply Brief	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/20/15	In person meeting with clients ljpe and Thom re status update	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		
4/20/15	Moot Court in Nashville	8.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
4/24/15	Moot Court in DC, Strategy Meeting	5.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
4/25/15	Email Correspondence Re: Amicus Briefs	0.25	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/25/15	Email Correspondence Re: Argument Schedule	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/28/15	Oral Argument and Press	5.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
5/18/15	TN Marriage Team Conference Call	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague							
6/3/15	Email Correspondence Re: SCOTUS Decision Media	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
6/12/15	Email Correspondence Re: Staying in Contact for Decision	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
6/16/15	Email Correspondence Re: Supreme Court strategy	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
6/17/15	Email Correspondence Re: Tanco team matters	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague							
6/18/15	In person meeting with clients ljpe and Thom re status update	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)								client services		

EXHIBIT B: HOLLAND FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection									
6/18/15	TN Marriage Team Conference Call Re: Next Steps	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague		unnecessary				
6/24/15	Email Correspondence Re: Post Decision Planning	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
6/25/15	Email Correspondence Re: legal aspects of Marriage Equality case	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive			
6/26/15	in DC for Decision and discussions with Doug and other Obergefell team members re decision, review of decision	7.00	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive			
7/3/15	Email Correspondence Re: Final Order	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary				
10/7/15	review of declaration and discussion with NCLR attorney re content and discussion with S. Hickman re declaration content and filing of same, review of revised versions of court filings re attorneys fees and supporting memos	3.00							excessive			
10/8/15	Review and final of fee petition, work on Declaration and Exhibits	4.00							excessive			
	Update of matter with clients Ijpe and Thom	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							client services		
	Email Correspondence re upcoming matters for team	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			vague						
	In person meeting with clients about status of legal aspects of case	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
	In person meeting with clients re update of case	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)									
	Totals:	235.35	222.35	1	74.1	26.3	6	154.05	111.6	10.65	10.45	35.9
			overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related
	Total compensable hours:	5										
	Rate:	390										
	Subtotal:	1950										
	Deduction -20% block billing:	390										
	Total payment:	1560										

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection									
7/9/2013	Introductory email to A. Rubinfeld re potential assistance with lawsuit to challenge Tennessee's marriage equality ban; review of response; set up Nashville meeting to discuss filing TN marriage ban challenge	1.80		no-"paying client"	block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
7/18/2013	Review domestic partner benefits at UT and resolution passed by Faculty Senate re partner benefits; Discussion with S. Jesty & V. Tanco re potential involvement as plaintiffs in Tennessee litigation challenging the state's statutory and constitutional ban on recognition of same sex marriages validly performed in marriage equality states; Telephone conference with A. Rubinfeld and L. Pera re potential plaintiffs and issues to include (i.e. marriage, divorce, recognition); Discuss legislative history; Review Tennessee marriage laws; Research re where to file and who to file suit against, discuss possibility of AG opinion, and further discussion re filing suit	4.10		no-"paying client"	block billing				unnecessary	excessive	client services	
7/19/2013	Travel to Nashville, TN to meet with A. Rubinfeld to discuss filing suit against the state of Tennessee to challenge the state's statutory and constitutional ban on recognition of same-sex marriages validly performed in marriage equality states; meet with A. Rubinfeld to discuss same	6.10		no-"paying client"	block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
7/20/2013	Meet with A. Rubinfeld at her office in Nashville, TN to further discuss filing suit to challenge TN marriage ban; return to Knoxville	5.20		no-"paying client"	block billing				unnecessary	excessive		
7/25/2013	Conference call with A. Rubinfeld and L. Pera re filing suit to challenge TN marriage ban; Exchange emails re plaintiff questionnaire and obtaining TN legislative history; Review of marriage complaints received from NCLR	3.80		no-"paying client"	block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting		unnecessary			
7/26/2013	Review email from A. Rubinfeld re potential plaintiffs, review correspondence from potential plaintiffs, follow up email exchange with A. Rubinfeld re same; Email exchange with A. Rubinfeld, L. Pera, and M. Holland re Kentucky filing challenging state ban, review of Kentucky complaint	1.60		no-"paying client"	block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting		unnecessary			
7/29/2013	Conference call with Abby Rubinfeld and L. Pera re filing suit to challenge TN marriage ban; Discussion re potential plaintiffs, causes of action, strategy re filing, parties to include as defendants, etc.; Exchange emails re upcoming 8/1 conference call prep; Review of Obergefell order granting plaintiff's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order	2.30			block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting					
7/30/2013	Receive email from A. Rubinfeld with complaint sample; review same; Conduct research re cases filed post-Windsor; review of same and forward to TN Legal Team; Email exchange re conference call with Tennessee legal team, including NCLR; Further review of Obergefell pleadings	6.20			block billing							
8/1/2013	Conference call with A. Rubinfeld, Lucian Pera, and NCLR attorneys re filing strategy, plaintiffs, causes of action, etc.; follow up conference with A. Rubinfeld re Nashville attorney B. Harbison's potential involvement in case	2.40			block billing							
8/2/2013	Follow up telephone conference with A. Rubinfeld re potential involvement of attorney Harbison in TN marriage equality challenge; email exchange re same	0.50			block billing							
8/5/2013	Email exchange with A. Rubinfeld re B. Harbison and Sherrard & Roe becoming involved in the Tennessee litigation	0.20										
8/6/2013	Follow up email exchange with B. Harbison re becoming a part of the TN litigation; telephone conference with A. Rubinfeld re filing case challenging Tennessee marriage ban; Research re potential causes of action	4.30			block billing							
8/8/2013	Conference call with TN attorneys re plaintiffs for TN lawsuit, research for recognition case, filing strategy, and legislative history; Email from C. Stoll at NCLR forwarding Thigpen v. Cooper and Bishop v. Oklahoma complaints for review re need for defendant who is involved in actual denial of marriage benefit, review of complaints; email discussion re benefits at issue in TN denial; Meet with potential Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty to discuss involvement with marriage ban challenge	6.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting		unnecessary	excessive		

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
8/14/2013	Conference call with legal team assembled to challenge Tennessee's ban on recognition of legally married same sex couples who relocate to Tennessee from equality states re filing strategy, plaintiffs, proper defendants, causes of action, etc.; email exchange with TN plaintiffs re home ownership, investments, etc. to determine causes of action for complaint; Email exchange with TN legal team re potential plaintiffs DeKoe and Kostura; review documents and article re DeKoe deployment and benefit denial in TN; receive and review complaints challenging bans in PA and NC, including cases that raise recognition claims; Review of TCA 36-3-101 et seq. re domestic relations	5.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive		
8/15/2013	Conference call with legal team re causes of action; email exchanges with legal team re plaintiffs; follow up re all	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
8/17/2013	Follow up telephone conferences and emails with Knoxville plaintiffs re involvement in marriage ban challenge in Tennessee; further research re causes of action and harms suffered due to Tennessee marriage ban	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive		
8/23/2013	Email exchange with Knoxville plaintiffs and TN legal team re causes of action	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
8/27/2013	Email exchange with Knoxville plaintiffs and TN legal team re changes in title to home owned by plaintiffs and re insurance issues; follow up telephone conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing					client services	non-prevailing issue
8/28/2013	Conference call with TN legal team re IRS ruling post Windsor applying state of celebration rule, discussion re TN legislative history, print and review legislative history; Discuss and decide to file recognition only lawsuit first; discuss complaint deadline/goals; discuss potential plaintiffs and causes of action; discussion re Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty; Meeting with Knoxville plaintiffs re results from legal team conference call and re potential harms suffered	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive		
9/6/2013	Conference call with legal team re causes of action for lawsuit, including UT health insurance benefits; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty re same; further research re actual harm suffered based on bans, including research of cases filed post Windsor and allegations asserted	4.90	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing				excessive	client services	
9/8/2013	Research re civil rights challenges to state bans based on 14th Amendment constitutionality, further review of Tennessee law re possible causes of action and actual harms suffered based on Tennessee marriage ban	4.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
9/14/2013	Continued research of Tennessee causes of action in preparation for TN Legal Team conference call re drafting of complaint; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of case	6.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
9/16/2013	Conference call with legal team re further complaint cause of action issues and re draft of complaint challenging Tennessee marriage ban; further research re potential causes of action; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	4.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
9/20/2013	Email exchanges re Knoxville plaintiffs' house deed; review documents received re denials from state to include in complaint; telephone conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary		client services	non-prevailing issue
9/23/2013	Conference call with legal team re filing date, division of labor on Motion for Preliminary Injunction; update re plaintiffs to include in lawsuit; email exchange in preparation for call; receive and review helpful 6th Circuit case law re irreparable harm	3.70	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive		
9/25/2013	Meet with A. Rubinfeld to further discuss Tennessee litigation; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.70	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
9/26/2013	Follow up meeting with A. Rubinfeld re litigation strategy	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary			
9/27/2013	Email exchange with TN legal team re status of complaint draft; further research re potential causes of action	4.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing			unnecessary	excessive		

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection											
10/1/2013	Email exchange re 10/2 conference call including meeting agenda; review same; Review of correspondence to S. Witt, Knox County Register of Deeds, re change to deed of Knoxville plaintiffs; research Tennessee law re potential causes of action and actual harms suffered due to marriage ban	3.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	
10/2/2013	Conference call with TN legal team re filing suit to challenge TN marriage ban, including strategy, plaintiff harms, preparation, and timing for filing complaint and possible conference with TN Attorney General; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same; Telephone conference with TN Legal Team re plaintiffs and causes of action, discussion re filing summary judgment v. preliminary injunction; Review of response to A. Rubinfeld from Knox County Law Director R. Armstrong re change to Knoxville plaintiffs' property deed; Further discussion re plans for filing day; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re all	3.70	overstaffing (duplicative)									client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related
10/7/2013	Email exchange with TN Legal Team re plans for days leading up to filing of complaint; discussion with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary				press/media related
10/8/2013	Conference call with TN legal team re filing suit to challenge TN marriage ban, including strategy and plans for filing date and press conference afterwards; Review draft complaint; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	3.10	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
10/14/2013	Review redline version of draft Complaint; email exchange with TN Legal Team re same.	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)											
10/16/2013	Telephone conference with TN legal team re meeting in Nashville and filing complaint on 10/21; email exchanges re same; further review and edits to draft complaint; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)								excessive			press/media related
10/18/2013	Travel to Nashville, TN, to meet with A. Rubinfeld re upcoming filing of TN lawsuit challenging marriage ban; Review of drafts of documents for upcoming filing; coordinate Nashville filing and press conference with Knoxville plaintiffs	7.40	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
10/19/2013	Further email exchange with TN Legal Team re upcoming filing and edits to draft complaint	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary				
10/20/2013	Meet with A. Rubinfeld re upcoming filing; email articles to B. Harbison re DOMA and Windsor plaintiff selection and strategy behind case filing; Review of latest draft of Complaint	2.40	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive			
10/21/2013	Meet TN legal team at Sherrard & Roe; finalize and sign Complaint; travel to Nashville courthouse to meet with TN plaintiffs and for press conference post-filing; Return to Knoxville, TN; Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs for media interviews re filing of TN marriage equality challenge	10.80	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
10/22/2013	Conference call with TN legal team re meeting with TN Attorney General, discussion re filing of Preliminary Injunction; follow up email exchanges re same; discussion re case strategy; Review of email forwarding letter to the TN Attorney General from Americans for Ex-Gay Rights; forward same; Forward correspondence to A. Rubinfeld from S. Petty re comments on Tanco v. Haslam; forward all to Knoxville plaintiffs; Extensive telephone conferences with V. Tanco and TN Legal Team members re Tanco green card status and concerns raised due to involvement in marriage equality legal challenge in TN;	4.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	
10/23/2013	Numerous telephone conferences with Knoxville plaintiffs and TN Legal Team members re INS issue for plaintiff Tanco	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary		client services	non-prevailing issue	
10/31/2013	Email exchange with Knoxville plaintiffs re NCLR media and support for TN plaintiffs; follow up discussion re status of case; follow up re INS status with Knoxville plaintiffs	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary		client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related
11/5/2013	Email exchanges re conference call addressing Right to Travel argument, declarations, and re protected liberty interest; review of proposed agenda for call; Review draft of declarations of Knoxville plaintiffs; discuss same with Knoxville plaintiffs	3.40	overstaffing (duplicative)							unnecessary	excessive		non-prevailing issue	

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
11/8/2013	Review of draft of declarations; emails exchanged re same	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
11/11/2013	Email exchanges re draft of Motion for Preliminary Injunction; review of section re anti-recognition for Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction; review of same; discussion with Knoxville plaintiffs re Motion for Preliminary Injunction	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
11/12/2013	Further review and editing to Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
11/13/2013	Review of revised declarations; emails exchanged re same	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
11/14/2013	Further work on Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction; Emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re strategy; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status	3.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
11/15/2013	Telephone conferences with members of TN Legal Team re upcoming filing of Motion for Preliminary Injunction; further review of edits and relief requested in Motion; Review of final plaintiff Declarations; Review of press release for filing; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive			press/media related	
11/18/2013	Final review of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and final edits to same; Conference call with A. Rubinfeld, S. Minter, and M. Held re issues with same sex divorces in TN and jurisdictional issues in TN	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				non-prevailing issue	
11/19/2013	Emails exchanges and final reviews of Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Memorandum in Support	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
11/20/2013	Review of Memo in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory & Permanent Injunction in Ohio Obergefell case; further review of documents and follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of all	2.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
12/6/2013	Receive and review Defendant's Opposition to Preliminary Injunction; Email exchange with TN Legal Team re same	2.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
12/8/2013	Meet with A. Rubinfeld to discuss TN litigation	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
12/9/2013	Meet with A. Rubinfeld to discuss TN litigation	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
12/10/2013	Conference call with TN legal team re status of Tanco case; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of case	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
12/11/2013	Review of Motion to File Reply Brief; emails exchanged re same	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				excessive				
12/19/2013	Review of Reply draft; emails exchanges with TN Legal Team re same	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
12/20/2013	Review Preliminary Injunction Reply Index; emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re same; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of case	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
12/23/2013	Review of decisions from Ohio marriage challenges; emails exchanges with TN Legal Team re same	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
12/24/2013	Review of draft Motion of Filing of Supplemental Authority; emails exchanges with TN Legal Team re same	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
1/10/2014	Review email with draft case management order for review by legal team; email exchange with TN Legal Team re same; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
1/15/2014	Review pleadings from Oklahoma federal court case striking down marriage ban as unconstitutional; Emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re same	2.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
1/29/2014	Review of Motion to Intervene filed in Tanco case by C. Sevier; emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re same	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
2/12/2014	Review of Notice of Supplemental authority to advise court of Kentucky decision	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary					

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
2/13/2014	Review of pleadings from marriage victory in Virginia; Email exchange with TN Legal Team re same; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same; Review of pleading filed in Alabama federal court challenging state marriage ban	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/14/2014	Review Notice of Supplemental Authority	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
2/25/2014	Review of Rule 24 Motion to Intervene filed by S. Martin	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)									unnecessary	
2/26/2014	Review of Texas marriage equality ruling, including granting of Preliminary Injunction; emails exchanges with TN Legal Team re same; follow up of Knoxville plaintiffs re same; review of Notice of Supplemental Authority to advise court of Texas decision	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	
2/28/2014	Contact K. Ridder with UT Daily Beacon to set up interview with Knoxville plaintiffs; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)									unnecessary	
3/5/2014	Telephone conference with J. Biscupic of Thompson Reuters re visit to Knoxville to interview Knoxville plaintiffs	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)									unnecessary	
3/9/2014	Review draft of Plaintiffs Opposition to Motion to Intervene	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
3/10/2014	Telephone conference with TN Legal Team re filing Motion to Ascertain Status of Case; Review draft of same; Discuss and review Plaintiff's Opposition to Motion to Intervene; follow up email exchange with J. Biscupic re visit to Knoxville to interview Knoxville plaintiffs; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re upcoming interview	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	
3/11/2014	Final review of Motion to Ascertain Status; Telephone conference with NCLR attorneys re meeting with Thomson Reuters reporter; Follow up email with J. Biscupic re meeting	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/12/2014	Pick up Thomson Reuters reporter Joan Biscupic from airport, meet individually to discuss case; travel to home of Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty for media interview with Ms. Biscupic; Review of Order Granting Motion to Ascertain Status; Review of Wisconsin order re preliminary injunction notice; telephone conference with TN Legal Team re same; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	4.90	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/14/2014	Receive and review Order from district court granting Preliminary Injunction; Review state's Motion to Stay and Memorandum in Support; telephone conference with legal team re same; meet with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco and Jesty; organize and attend media interview with local networks and newspaper re Preliminary Injunction	4.60	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/17/2014	Conference call with TN legal team re opinion re Preliminary Injunction, contacting Attorney General, compliance/implementation issues with State of TN, birth certificate issues – including not altering state form; Discussion re whether TN will appeal and deadline for same; conference re strategy moving forward; Telephone conferences with Knoxville plaintiffs re order and how to proceed with upcoming birth in light of Preliminary Injunction	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/18/2014	Review of Motion for Stay filed by State of Tennessee with Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals; Emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re draft opposition to motion to stay pending appeal; telephone interview with Farragut, TN newspaper re injunction; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re all	3.80	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/19/2014	Review and file Memorandum in Opposition of Defendant's Motion to Stay Pending Appeal; conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same; Email exchange with local reporters re status of Tanco case	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	
3/20/2014	Review of court Order denying Motion to Stay; conference with Knoxville plaintiffs informing of court's Order	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					block billing				unnecessary	excessive
3/21/2014	File for admission to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)									unnecessary	excessive

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
3/26/2014	Review of draft Response to Stay Motion	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
3/27/2014	Numerous telephone conferences with Knoxville plaintiffs re pending birth of child and issues related to birth certificate, court order, etc	2.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing					client services			
3/28/2014	Travel to UT Hospital to meet with hospital personnel re inclusion of both Knoxville plaintiffs on child's birth certificate; review of court order with hospital personnel; several telephones conferences with members of TN legal team; several meetings with hospital personnel re handling of birth certificate; several telephone conferences with TN state personnel in Nashville re issuing of birth certificate; obtain copy of birth certificate; numerous email exchanges with TN legal team re progress obtaining birth certificate with both plaintiffs	8.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive		non-prevailing issue	
3/30/2014	Emails and review of comments and edits to draft to Sixth Circuit Stay Opposition	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
3/31/2014	Review of comments and edits to draft of Sixth Circuit Stay Opposition	1.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
4/2/2014	Review of plaintiff Jesty declaration; Review of Appearance filed by Tennessee plaintiffs with Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
4/3/2014	Review of Response Opposing Stay; email exchanges with TN Legal Team re same	1.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing					excessive			
4/4/2014	Review of Response in opposition filed re Motion to Stay District Court Order; Email exchange with TN Legal Team re health insurance family plan obtained by Knoxville plaintiffs; Email exchange with J. Biscupic re interview of Knoxville plaintiffs	0.4	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary		client services		press/media related
4/7/2014	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs and Thomson Reuters photographer for pictures to accompany national news article; Email exchanges with J. Biscupic re national article on Knoxville plaintiffs; follow up emails with UT Daily Beacon reporter re follow up article	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/10/2014	Email exchange with TN Legal Team members re mediation issues with State of TN; Review of KBA article re Tanco case and forward to TN legal team for comments re same	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				press/media related
4/11/2014	Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re Ridder email for Daily Beacon article	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				press/media related
4/17/2014	Meet with reporter from UT Daily Beacon for interview re status of Tanco case	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
4/21/2014	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs at UT Law for interview with Knoxville News Sentinel; Review Ohio and Indiana decisions granting plaintiff's motion for a TRO	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/25/2014	Review of Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals Motion Granting Stay of District Court's Order; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
4/28/2014	Review of State's and Plaintiff's Sixth Circuit briefs in Obergefell case in Ohio	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/7/2014	Review of Appellant Brief filed by State of TN with Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
5/13/2014	Review of district court order from marriage victory in Idaho; emails exchanged with TN Legal Team re same	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary				
5/14/2014	Review of several amicus briefs filed with the Sixth Circuit	1.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										
5/21/2014	Email exchange with TN Legal Team re call from 6th Circuit clerk's office re setting argument for all cases from all four states on August 6; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								
5/28/2014	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re possibility of final judgment	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					vague	unnecessary				
5/29/2014	Emails exchanges with TN Legal Team re business amicus briefs supporting plaintiffs at 6th Circuit Court of Appeals	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
6/2/2014	Receive draft of Sixth Circuit Appellate Brief; review and work on edits to same	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/3/2014	Review of edits to appellate brief; Review of Motion to Intervene filed by C. Sevier	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
6/5/2014	Review of edits to Sixth Circuit Brief	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/6/2014	Edits to Brief of Plaintiffs/Appellees completed and sent to TN Legal Team for review.	5.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/9/2014	Review of Appellate Brief filed with Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals; Review of Order denying Motion to Intervene filed by C. Sevier	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
6/16/2014	Oral argument set for August 6; inform Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
6/21/2014	Review of several amicus briefs	3.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						excessive				
6/22/2014	Further review of amicus briefs and emails	2.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				excessive				
6/26/2014	Review of Reply Brief filed by the State of TN; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same, Review of Tenth Circuit opinion	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				excessive				
7/14/2014	Review of Order filed re protocol for oral arguments at Sixth Circuit	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary					
7/28/2014	Review of Virginia marriage ban struck down by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, and prepare for team meeting in Nashville	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
7/31/2014	Travel to Nashville, TN. Meet at Sherrard & Roe with legal teams from TN, KY, & OH for oral argument prep for upcoming Sixth Circuit arguments	6.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
8/1/2014	Meet at Sherrard & Roe with TN legal team re upcoming oral arguments at 6th Circuit Court of Appeals; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of same; return to Knoxville; Review of email forwarding argument prep document	5.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
8/3/2014	Review Knox New Sentinel coverage of Knoxville plaintiffs; telephone conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same and re trip to Ohio for oral arguments	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary				press/media related	
8/5/2014	Travel to Cincinnati, OH to attend Tanco oral argument in front of Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals	4.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
8/6/2014	Attend oral argument at Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals and meet with counsel	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
8/7/2014	Return to Knoxville	4.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
9/6/2014	Review opinion from Judge Posner with the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals; forward same to Knoxville plaintiffs for review	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary					
10/6/2014	Conference call with TN Legal Team re Supreme Court's denial of cert to 10th, 4th, and 7th Circuits; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary	excessive				
10/10/2014	Email exchange with TN Legal Team and counsel for Ohio plaintiffs re Sixth Circuit marriage equality strategy	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
10/14/2014	Telephone conference with TN Legal Team re strategy to utilize when 6th Circuit decision is announced, win or lose, including new TN Attorney General, complaint preparation for full ban challenge, strategy re requesting en banc review v. immediate cert petition; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re all	2.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				
11/6/2014	Receive and review Sixth Circuit opinion; exchange of emails with TN legal team; telephone conference with A. Rubinfeld; telephone conference with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco & Jesty re opinion	3.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing								

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
11/7/2014	Further review and analysis of Sixth Circuit opinion; conference call with legal teams from all four states in Sixth Circuit; telephone conference with TN legal team; email exchanges with TN Legal Team re date of filing cert petition and reply in order to make current Supreme Court term; Receive and review Utah and Idaho Supreme Court briefs	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
11/10/2014	Review cert petition draft; work on edits	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
11/11/2014	Work on cert petition edits	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
11/12/2014	Finalize edits to cert petition; forward to TN Legal Team for review	3.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
11/13/2014	Review final edits to cert petition before filing with U.S. Supreme Court, review and edit revised appendix; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of cert petition and press coverage on day of filing	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
11/14/2014	Telephone conferences with local press re filing of cert petition with Supreme Court; Review of Ropes & Gray letter of representation to Knoxville plaintiffs; discuss with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										press/media related
11/17/2014	Exchange of several emails from D. Hallward-Driemeier and TN Legal Team re filing of cert petition and schedule for response and reply briefs; Review of Kentucky and Michigan petitions; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of all	2.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/9/2014	Review motion to intervene, review emails	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/10/2014	Telephone conference with TN Legal Team re status of cert petition, response, and reply	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/11/2014	Review of Amicus Brief of Family Action Council	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/12/2014	Review of Ohio Response to Cert Petition	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/15/2014	Review State of TN Brief in Opposition; discuss same and forward to Knoxville plaintiffs for review	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/19/2014	Review emails and edits to Reply Brief	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/20/2014	Review of further edits to Reply Brief	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
12/21/2014	Email exchange and final review and edits to Reply Brief	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/9/2015	Check status of Supreme Court re granting of cert petitions; conference and emails re same	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/15/2015	Receive and review of North Carolina petition for cert before judgment; review emails	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/16/2015	Text exchanges with Knoxville plaintiffs re planning for day in case Supreme Court grants cert in Tanco case; Supreme Court grants cert in Tanco case; telephone conferences with TN legal team re strategy moving forward; exchange of emails with TN Legal Team re same; telephones conferences with Knoxville plaintiffs; numerous text exchanges and telephone conference with local and national media to set up interviews; meeting with Knoxville plaintiffs and numerous media interviews re Supreme Court cert grant	6.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/17/2015	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re 6th Circuit Team call on 1/20 following Supreme Court's grant of cert and strategy re handling same; follow up with plaintiffs re same	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/18/2015	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re conference call on 1/19, including agenda for same	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
1/19/2015	Telephone conference with TN legal team re Supreme Court cert grant, including introduction of Ropes & Gray attorneys joining team, process for drafting merits brief, deadline for same; Discussion re outline for merits brief, concerns re 6th Circuit team call, amicus briefs; Review of draft agenda from J. Esseks from ACLU re conference call with all attorneys from 6th Circuit cases to discuss merits brief filings with Supreme Court; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of all	2.80	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/20/2015	Review prep materials for conference call with all attorneys from 6th Circuit cases; conference call re coordinating amicus briefs, joint appendix, and big picture issues related to merits briefs; Review of Supreme Court website re guide to oral arguments, merits briefs, attendance, etc.; Follow up with local media re scheduling interview with Knoxville plaintiffs; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	4.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/25/2015	Email exchanges with NCLR re media training; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/26/2015	Follow up emails with Knoxville plaintiffs re scheduling media training and status of case	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/27/2015	Emails exchanges confirming media training in Knoxville with plaintiffs	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
1/29/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs and B. Harbison	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/3/2015	Review email from M. Holland forwarding items to include in Joint Appendix and review same	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/6/2015	Email exchange with Knoxville plaintiffs re proposed meeting with Knoxville Mayor Rogero; follow up re same	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/9/2015	Email exchange with B. Harbison and A. Rubinfeld re Tanco related issues	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/17/2015	Review draft of Tanco merits brief; work on edits to same	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/18/2015	Email exchange between Knoxville plaintiffs and NCLR re request from US Department of Labor involving denial of parent rights	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/19/2015	Finalize edits to merits brief draft; forward to TN Legal Team; review other proposed edits from TN Legal Team	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/20/2015	Email exchanges including revised right to travel section and revised equal protection section; Work on edits to Tanco merits brief	2.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/21/2015	Email exchanges and further review and edits to Tanco merits brief; review of Kentucky and Ohio merits brief drafts; review of comments received from Ohio counsel re TN merits brief	5.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/22/2015	Further email exchanges and review and edits to Tanco merits brief	2.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/24/2015	Review of draft of Michigan draft merits brief; Review and edits to Tanco merits brief, including introduction and summary; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of merits brief and re upcoming NPR interview, including details for meeting; Finalize details re NPR interview	4.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/25/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco & Jesty for NPR interview with Emily Siner, attend same	1.90	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/26/2015	Final review of merits brief prior to filing; review emails and follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of merits brief	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										
2/27/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiff Jesty, and Erik Olvera & Alberto Lammers from NCLR at UT Law for media training; meet with local media for interviews re status of Tanco case	2.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
3/2/2015	Email exchange re potential dates and panels for moot practices; Email exchanges with NCLR re plaintiff profiles, follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same and re setting up AP interview with T. Loller	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)										

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection								
3/4/2015	Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re meeting with AP reporter on 3/9; Confirm same with all parties	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
3/5/2015	Email exchanges with Knoxville plaintiffs re Tanco case updates; Email exchanges with several parties re Knoxville Town Hall addressing Tanco case	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
3/9/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs and Associated Press reporter T. Loller at UT Law for interview with Knoxville plaintiffs; Email exchanges re details of Georgetown moot	2.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
3/10/2015	Numerous email exchanges re details of Georgetown moot	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
3/12/2015	Begin review of amicus briefs received to date; Email exchange with A. Rubinfeld re news story discussing TN position on gay marriage ruling; email exchanges re scheduling for Knoxville plaintiffs; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	4.60	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive		press/media related
3/13/2015	Email exchanges re scheduling of moot practices and panel members for same; email exchanges re travel/hotel reservations for DC	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			
3/14/2015	Email exchange and review of Motion for Divided Argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			
3/16/2015	Numerous emails and telephone conference with TN Legal Team re oral arguments and related issues	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			
3/17/2015	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re moot court panels	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
3/18/2015	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re plans for oral argument in DC	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
3/19/2015	Email exchange re TN Legal Team conference call re oralists for Supreme Court argument; Further review of amicus briefs to date	4.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive		
3/22/2015	Email exchange with V. Schneider of Howard University School of Law re scheduling and panelists for moot at Howard	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
3/23/2015	Review of QP2 Oral Argument Prep Documents; Email exchanges re panelists and prep re legal team meeting in Louisville, KY on 3/25	2.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			
3/24/2015	Further review of QP2 Oral Argument Prep Documents; email exchange with media team re setting up appointment with Knoxville plaintiffs for NPR interview; Email exchange with TN Legal Team re attendance at moot court scheduled for 3/25; Email exchange with Tennessee reporter Barchanger for upcoming article; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive		press/media related
3/25/2015	Telephone conference with M. Holland re meeting in Louisville, KY re Supreme Court oral argument; email exchange re DC plans, forward same to Knoxville plaintiffs; Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re pre- and post- oral argument plans in DC; Follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
3/27/2015	Further review of amicus briefs	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
3/31/2015	Email exchange with TN Legal Team re proposed schedule for Howard Law moot, including panelists; email exchange re facilitating USA Today interview with Knoxville plaintiffs	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related
4/1/2015	Conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re oralists for Supreme Court oral argument	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
4/2/2015	Review of oral argument prep outline prepared by LAMBDA Legal and the ACLU to assist with preparation for Supreme Court argument	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary			
4/3/2015	Email exchange with TN Legal Team re status of amicus briefs and re scheduling moot in Nashville prior to Supreme Court oral argument; further review of briefs	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			
4/4/2015	Email exchange with M. Collins re DC interview with Knoxville plaintiffs; forward request to Knoxville plaintiffs for scheduling	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary			press/media related

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
4/7/2015	Email exchange re TN moot, including potential attendees	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/8/2015	Email exchange with TN Legal Team and review of forwarded amicus briefs	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
4/9/2015	Review of summaries and commentaries of amicus briefs filed in opposition with Supreme Court; Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re Tennessee moot court in Nashville on 4/20	2.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary				
4/10/2015	Telephone conference with TN Legal Team re Supreme Court oral argument and Tennessee moot; Further review of amicus brief summaries filed in opposition; Email exchange with S. Barchenger with the Tennessean re interview with Knoxville plaintiffs, follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary				press/media related
4/11/2015	Review current draft of Reply Brief; Email exchange with TN Legal Team re conference call to address seating arrangements at Supreme Court; Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco & Jesty at their Knoxville home for half day interview and photo session with Tennessean reporter S. Barchenger; Conference call with TN Legal Team re seating at Supreme Court; follow up telephone conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	5.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/12/2015	Review further edits to Reply Brief; email exchanges with TN Legal Team re same	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						
4/14/2015	Numerous emails and review final of edits to Reply Brief	2.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						
4/15/2015	Short email exchange with S. Barchenger with Tennessean prior to publication of articles re Knoxville plaintiffs; Email exchange with Freedom to Marry re Supreme Court send off event	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary				press/media related
4/23/2015	Travel to DC for Georgetown moot and oral argument prep prior to Supreme Court oral argument; numerous emails re same; follow up with Knoxville Plaintiffs re same	4.5	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			
4/24/2015	Attend Georgetown Law moot for Supreme Court oral argument prep; Review of emails to Supreme Court clerk re seating arrangements for oral argument; meet with counsel	7.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			
4/25/2015	Email exchanges with TN Legal Team re itinerary for Supreme Court oral arguments, including Legal Team photos, scheduling, meeting times, etc.; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re scheduling in DC	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary				press/media related
4/27/2015	Meet with plaintiffs Tanco & Jesty in DC and discuss pre and post oral argument plans and to review itinerary; meet with TN legal team & plaintiffs	2.40	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/28/2015	Meet with plaintiffs and TN legal team; travel to U.S. Supreme Court; attend Tanco oral argument	7.70	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			press/media related
4/29/2015	Return to Knoxville, TN	3.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary	excessive			
5/12/2015	Several email exchanges with TN legal team re post-decision plans, including discussion with other organizations and states involved, including development of FAQ/talking points and scheduling a conference call to discuss same	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary				press/media related
5/14/2015	Review of emails from Knoxville plaintiffs and responses to same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary				
5/15/2015	Further email exchanges with TN legal team confirming date of telephone conference and re post-decision plans and implementation of decision if favorable; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re status of calls	2.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing		unnecessary	excessive			

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
5/18/2015	Conference call with TN legal team re strategy in preparation for Supreme Court ruling, including possible filing of new lawsuit for full recognition, plans of Tennessee Equality Project for Day One, TN Counties handling of marriage license issuance post-decision, Filing Motion to Lift the Stay with the 6th Circuit; obtaining final judgment, etc.; Review of Wyoming Motion for Judgment received from TN Legal Team; Email exchange and read New York Times OpEd piece forwarded from NCLR; Telephone conference and email exchange with local media re setting up interviews with Knoxville plaintiffs and	4.90	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary	excessive	press/media related
5/21/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs Tanco & Jesty for interview with local news crew re status of Tanco case; Numerous emails exchanges with Univision and NCLR re interview with Knoxville plaintiffs, follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	3.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/1/2015	Further email exchanges with Knoxville plaintiffs and NCLR re scheduling Univision interview	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/3/2015	Email exchange with NCLR media team re SCOTUS decision day plan; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same and re Univision interview	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/7/2015	Email exchanges re plans for Decision Day	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)								unnecessary		press/media related
6/8/2015	Email exchanges re plans for Decision Day in DC	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)								unnecessary		press/media related
6/10/2015	Further email exchanges with TN Legal Team re plans for Decision Day	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)								unnecessary		press/media related
6/11/2015	Further; email exchanges with TN Legal Team re plans for Decision Day	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)								unnecessary		press/media related
6/12/2015	Email exchanges re setting up group text for TN Legal Team for contact on Decision Day and re where Knoxville plaintiffs will be located the following week as we await Supreme Court decision; Telephone conference with J. Liversidge re UK interview with Knoxville plaintiffs, follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/16/2015	Email exchange re meeting with T. Castelli of ACLU of TN re post-marriage equality decision	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)								unnecessary		press/media related
6/17/2015	Email exchange with NPR re scheduling interview re upcoming SCOTUS decision; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/22/2015	Email exchange with Tennessean reporter Barchenger re SCOTUS decision and re interview with Knoxville plaintiffs; Email exchanges with NCLR and Knoxville plaintiffs re potential interviews in DC following SCOTUS decision; follow up conference with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/23/2015	Email exchanges with USAToday writer re potential interview with Tennessee residents planning marriages if the Supreme Court rules favorably; telephone conference with J. Coffee re same; follow up with USAToday; follow up with NCLR and Knoxville plaintiffs re potential DC interviews following SCOTUS decision	1.60	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary	excessive	press/media related
6/24/2015	Email exchange re media planning for Decision Day; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related
6/25/2015	Telephone conference with A. Rubinfeld to follow SCOTUSBlog re possible decision in Tanco case; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re same and re further plans while awaiting Supreme Court decision; respond to numerous emails and phone calls from local media re Decision Day plans	3.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						block billing		unnecessary		press/media related

Red: Objection Orange: Reduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT C: LAMBERT FEES

Date	Declaration	Time	Objection										
6/26/2015	Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs at UT Vet School to follow SCOTUSBlog for possible decision in Tanco case; decision announced; numerous telephone conference with TN Legal Team members, local and national media; Review of Obergefell Opinion; discussion of all with Knoxville plaintiffs; travel to WUOT for NPR interviews with Knoxville plaintiffs; Press conference at UT Law with Knoxville plaintiffs; Conference call with NCLR and Knoxville plaintiffs sharing reactions to SCOTUS decision; numerous follow up calls and texts with TN Legal Team re winning case and re implementing decision in TN	11.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				press/media related
6/27/2015	Review of Supreme Court Order reversing the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals; Meet with Knoxville plaintiffs to review and discuss Supreme Court opinion in Obergefell v. Hodges/Tanco v. Haslam; numerous telephone conferences and email exchanges with local and national media re same	7.70	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				press/media related
6/28/2015	Further review of Obergefell opinion and dissents	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary					
6/29/2015	Meet Knoxville plaintiffs; attend and participate in Knoxville news talk show re Supreme Court win; Accompany G. Schablik from TN Equality Project to Knoxville DMV to obtain driver's license issued in married name; follow up telephone calls with media and legal team	3.80	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				press/media related
6/30/2015	Travel to and appear on local news station to comment on Supreme Court win; follow up with Knoxville plaintiffs re media requests and travel plans	2.40	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing			unnecessary	excessive				press/media related
7/2/2015	Review draft of Final Order and Permanent Injunction	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)										
7/3/2015	Exchange emails re Final Order	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										
7/7/2015	Work on fee petition materials	2.60							excessive				
7/8/2015	Complete work on fee petition materials; exchange emails with S. Hickman re fee petition	2.20			block billing				excessive				
8/5/2015	Telephone conference with S. Hickman re motion/final order	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)										
9/23/2015	Telephone conference with S. Hickman re fee petition	0.20							excessive				
10/2/2015	Email exchange with S. Hickman re fee petition; finalize work on fee petition materials.	1.90			block billing				excessive				
Totals:		498.20	452.8		22.6	422	0.4	28.3	369.9	318.9	30.2	38.7	164.5
			overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related	

Total compensable hours:	13.6
Rate:	300
Subtotal:	4080
Deduction -20% block billing:	816
Total payment:	3264

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS
3/12/2015	Brown	Work on argument prep binder; calls with Dey and emails re: same; review brief	1.50	block billing
3/18/2015	Brown	Read amicus briefs	3.00	
3/20/2015	Brown	Review SCOTUS amicus briefs	2.00	
3/21/2015	Brown	Work on oral argument prep	1.00	
3/22/2015	Brown	Work on oral argument prep	4.00	
3/23/2015	Brown	Meetings with DHD and team on argument prep; follow up preparation for moot	4.50	block billing
3/23/2015	Brown	Travel to DC to meet with DHD; review briefs en route	4.00	block billing
3/24/2015	Brown	Prepare for oral argument moot; participate in oral argument moot with DHD	4.00	block billing
3/25/2015	Brown	Prepare for moot argument with DHD	4.50	
3/25/2015	Brown	Participate in moot exercise with DHD	4.00	
3/25/2015	Brown	Work on outline points for oral argument and reply in light of moot exercise	6.00	
3/26/2015	Brown	Discussion with J. Florence re: reply brief	0.75	
3/27/2015	Brown	Read briefs filed by states in marriage cases	2.00	
3/28/2015	Brown	Read state briefs; call to discuss reply with DHD and J. Florence	2.50	block billing
3/29/2015	Brown	Work on outline thoughts for reply; emails with DHD and J. Florence re: same	2.50	block billing
3/29/2015	Brown	Calls with DHD and NCLR team regarding reply	1.50	
3/30/2015	Brown	Work on revisions to outline reply; discuss same with J. Florence; calls with TN cocounsel regarding reply	4.50	block billing
3/31/2015	Brown	Review new amicus briefs	2.00	
4/1/2015	Brown	Research discussion with junior team; review amicus briefs	2.00	
4/2/2015	Brown	Work on reply; call with DHD and TN team; revise reply outline; discuss reply with J. Florence	2.50	block billing
4/3/2015	Brown	Review amicus briefs; work on reply matters	3.00	block billing
4/4/2015	Brown	Work on reply brief	2.50	
4/5/2015	Brown	Review cases and emails related to reply	3.00	
4/6/2015	Brown	Work on reply brief, read cases, calls with DHD and team	4.50	
4/7/2015	Brown	Work on reply brief	4.50	
4/8/2015	Brown	Edits to reply brief; assignments on amicus briefs and mini-moots; call with DHD and Prof. Wasserman	3.50	block billing
4/10/2015	Brown	Work on reply brief edits	3.50	
4/11/2015	Brown	Work on reply edits; calls with DHD re: same	3.00	block billing
4/13/2015	Brown	Work on reply draft	3.00	
4/15/2015	Brown	Work on Reply Brief	3.50	
4/16/2015	Brown	Finalize reply brief and send to printer	4.50	
4/17/2015	Brown	Work on oral argument prep	4.00	
4/19/2015	Brown	Travel to Nashville for moot court; review briefs en route	7.00	block billing
4/20/2015	Brown	Prepare for and participate in moot court with DHD	7.00	
4/22/2015	Brown	Review research regarding cousin marriage and evasion laws	1.50	
4/23/2015	Brown	Work with DHD and team to prepare for oral argument	6.00	
4/24/2015	Brown	Participate in Georgetown moot of Tanco and follow up discussion with DHD, M. Bonauto, and all state teams	5.50	block billing
4/24/2015	Brown	Prep for Tanco oral argument moot at Georgetown	6.00	
4/25/2015	Brown	Work on oral argument prep	3.00	
4/26/2015	Brown	Work on oral argument prep outline and meet with DHD and team	6.00	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
4/27/2015	Brown	Help DHD with oral argument preparations	10.00															
4/28/2015	Brown	Attend Tanco oral argument at Supreme Court with DHD	5.00															
7/9/2015	Brown	Work on fee petition issues, including calls with TN counsel and research team; follow up with finance team regarding time and cost entries	2.50															
7/13/2015	Brown	Review and follow up on research related to fee petition; calls with DHD and S. Hickman regarding same	2.50															
9/28/2015	Brown	Calls with DHD and S. Minter regarding arguments in fee petition	1.50															
		Brown Totals:	263.25	0	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague			potential plaintiffs/vett	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related			
		Total Compensable Hours:	256.25															
		Rate:	390															
		Subtotal:	99937.50															
		Deduction -20% block billing:	19987.5															
		Total payment:	79950															

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
1/22/2015	Dey	Participate in conference call with Hallward-Driemeier, T. Brown, and P. Kellogg	1.00															
1/22/2015	Dey	Conduct research on due process issue	1.50															
1/23/2015	Dey	Conduct research and begin to formulate due process and equal protection gender stereotyping arguments in outline	6.00															
1/24/2015	Dey	Continue to conduct research and formulate due process and equal protection gender stereotyping arguments in outline	3.00															
1/25/2015	Dey	Finalize first draft of outline containing due process and equal protection gender stereotyping arguments	4.25															
1/26/2015	Dey	Review and provide feedback to J. Goldstein re: right to travel and federalism portions of the brief outline	0.75															non-prevailing issue
1/26/2015	Dey	Review and provide feedback on proposed EP and DP outlines with P. Kellogg	3.50															
1/28/2015	Dey	Participate in conference call with team to discuss outline development	2.00															
1/28/2015	Dey	Read over full extended outline draft and provide comments to team	2.75															
1/29/2015	Dey	Revise draft outline according to suggestions received from Hallward- Driemeier and team	2.75															
1/29/2015	Dey	Research and summarize history of criminalization of adultery in Tennessee	1.00															
2/3/2015	Dey	Search for secondary sources that can be used for the sex stereotyping argument	2.00															
2/3/2015	Dey	Participate in team conference call led by T. Brown to discuss the start of the merits brief drafting phase	0.50															
2/3/2015	Dey	Begin drafting merits brief due process argument	6.00															
2/4/2015	Dey	Draft due process argument for merits brief	5.00															
2/4/2015	Dey	Incorporate Hallward-Driemeier's suggested edits into merits brief	1.25															
2/4/2015	Dey	Meet with Hallward-Driemeier to brainstorm response to potential questions re: DOMA Section 2	0.25															
2/5/2015	Dey	Draft sex discrimination section of merits brief	5.00															non-prevailing issue
2/5/2015	Dey	Participate in check-in conference call with team to provide update on progress with merits brief drafting and discuss comments received from INCL	0.75															

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
2/5/2015	Dey	Review and provide feedback on various drafted portions of the merits brief	1.25																	
2/6/2015	Dey	Participate in conference call to hear recap from Hallward-Driemeier on meeting with SG's office	0.50																	
2/6/2015	Dey	Discuss brief strategy with P. Kellogg	0.25																	
2/6/2015	Dey	Review and provide feedback to P. Kellogg on equal protection argument	0.75																	
2/8/2015	Dey	Revise due process argument and incorporate into full draft merits brief	4.50																	
2/9/2015	Dey	Incorporate D. Codell's edits into substantive due process argument	2.00																	
2/10/2015	Dey	Update draft opening brief to reflect latest version sent to NCLR by Hallward- Driemeier and C.T. Brown's edits to Sec. IV	1.00																	
2/11/2015	Dey	Draft introductory sections of merits brief	2.50																	
2/11/2015	Dey	Incorporate revised portions of brief into fully updated live version	0.50																	
2/12/2015	Dey	Provide feedback on equal protection portion of merits brief	0.25																	
2/13/2015	Dey	Review comments from NCLR on due process section of merits brief and share thoughts with Ropes team	0.25																	
2/17/2015	Dey	Review and comment on NCLR's edits to equal protection argument	1.25																	
2/20/2015	Dey	Sort amicus brief consent requests and draft first set of consent letters for D. Hallward-Driemeier	3.75																	
2/20/2015	Dey	Participate in phone conversation with M. Bonauto to discuss process for providing consent letters to amici	0.25																	
2/22/2015	Dey	Proofread and cite-check due process and sex discrimination arguments and incorporate team's edits into single document	3.75																	
2/22/2015	Dey	Update amicus brief consent list	0.50																	
2/23/2015	Dey	Draft and distribute amici consent letters, field questions, and update tracking chart accordingly	5.25																	
2/24/2015	Dey	Incorporate various edits into near-final version of merits brief	4.75																	
2/24/2015	Dey	Coordinate creation and distribution of amici consent letters	3.00																	
2/25/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	5.00																	
2/25/2015	Dey	and incorporate various edits to merits brief	2.75																	
2/26/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	4.50																	
2/26/2015	Dey	and conduct final editing/proofing of merits brief	3.00																	
2/27/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	2.25																	
2/28/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	3.25																	
3/1/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.50																	
3/2/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.50																	
3/3/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.75																	
3/4/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus consent letters	1.25																	
3/5/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.25																	
3/6/2015	Dey	Distribute and track incoming amicus briefs	2.75																	
3/7/2015	Dey	Track remaining amicus briefs and update spreadsheet	1.00																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/8/2015	Dey	Chart focal points of each amicus brief and develop proposed breakdown for assigning more thorough review for C.T. Brown	4.50																
3/9/2015	Dey	Work with C.T. Brown to format chart and assign review of amicus briefs to team members	0.75																
3/10/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs	2.50																
3/11/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters; read and summarize amicus briefs	3.25																
3/11/2015	Dey	Review merits briefs from TN, KY, and OH and create list of major cases for D. Hallward-Driemeier and T. Brown	2.25																
3/12/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters and read and summarize amicus briefs	4.25																
3/13/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters and summarize amicus brief arguments	2.00																
3/16/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs	4.25																
3/17/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs	5.00																
3/17/2015	Dey	coordinate and send consent letters for new briefs	2.25																
3/18/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs and coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	4.50																
3/18/2015	Dey	Develop list of potential questions for oral argument for Hallward-Driemeier	1.75																
3/19/2015	Dey	Develop potential questions and answers for Hallward-Driemeier	5.00																
3/19/2015	Dey	coordinate and send amicus consent letters	3.25																
3/24/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	2.00																
3/24/2015	Dey	research use of gendered terminology in state marriage statutes	3.50																
3/24/2015	Dey	Prepare for and attend moot court prep meeting for Hallward-Driemeier	3.50																
3/25/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters; conduct research for D. Hallward-Driemeier and C.T. Brown	2.50						block billing										
3/25/2015	Dey	Participate in Tanco team conference call	0.25																
3/26/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	2.25																
3/27/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.25																
3/27/2015	Dey	Read and take notes on respondent's merits brief	2.25																
3/30/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	2.00																
3/31/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	0.50																
3/31/2015	Dey	Analyze and chart TN arguments in preparation for reply and oral argument	2.50																
4/1/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	2.25																
4/1/2015	Dey	Research cases cited in respondents' briefs regarding public policy exception to place-of-celebration rule	5.75																
4/2/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters	1.00																
4/2/2015	Dey	Research cases cited in respondents' briefs regarding public policy exception to place-of-celebration rule	4.00																
4/3/2015	Dey	Coordinate and send amicus brief consent letters; track incoming amicus briefs	3.00						block billing										
4/3/2015	Dey	Research cases cited in respondents' briefs regarding public policy exception to place-of-celebration rule	4.25																

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																			
4/4/2015	Dey	Research cases cited in respondents' briefs regarding public policy exception to place-of-celebration rule	2.00																				
4/5/2015	Dey	Research cases and secondary sources for reasoning behind place-of-celebration rule	2.75																				
4/6/2015	Dey	Research case law for J. Florence pertaining to various issues in reply brief	4.25																				
4/6/2015	Dey	make revisions and assist with drafting section on Due Process	3.25																				
4/7/2015	Dey	Draft Due Process section of reply brief	4.00																				
4/7/2015	Dey	compile list of moot court judge candidates for C.T. Brown	1.00																				
4/8/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs for respondents	2.50																				
4/9/2015	Dey	Read and summarize amicus briefs for respondents	4.75																				
4/9/2015	Dey	conduct research per request of J. Florence	2.50																				
4/13/2015	Dey	Conduct choice-of-law research	3.25																				
4/14/2015	Dey	Read and proof draft reply brief; conduct follow-up research	3.25																				
4/15/2015	Dey	Conduct last-minute research; proof and cite-check reply brief	5.00																				
4/16/2015	Dey	Proof and cite-check reply brief	2.50																				
4/17/2015	Dey	Participate in Q&A prep session for Hallward-Driemeier and take notes for team	5.25																				
4/17/2015	Dey	Organize and distribute notes from Q&A session for Hallward-Driemeier	3.50																				
4/19/2015	Dey	Attend and take notes during Q&A prep session for M. Bonauto	3.25																				
4/20/2015	Dey	Research and summarize findings regarding statistics on married same-sex couples and most important amicus briefs in support of respondents	4.25																				
4/21/2015	Dey	Conduct research on anti-evasion laws and rationales for enforcement	7.50																				
4/22/2015	Dey	Conduct follow-up research on anti-evasion laws	2.25																				
4/23/2015	Dey	Summarize notes from Howard Moot Court for M. Bonauto	1.75																				
4/24/2015	Dey	Review and mark up petitioners' appendix per DHD request in preparation for oral argument	4.75																				
4/25/2015	Dey	Continued review of joint appendix for oral argument prep	2.00																				
4/25/2015	Dey	draft answers to likely oral argument questions and suggested points for Hallward-Driemeier	4.50																				
4/26/2015	Dey	Conduct research on state underage marriage recognition laws; update oral argument cheat sheet for Hallward-Driemeier	4.25																				
4/27/2015	Dey	Conduct last-minute research per DHD request in advance of oral argument	3.75																				
4/28/2015	Dey	Attend broadcast of oral argument	5.00																				
		Dey Totals:	298.50																				
				overstaffing (duplicative)	0	no-"paying client"	0	block billing	18	vague	0	potential plaintiffs/vettin	0	unnecessary	5.5	excessive	0	client services	0	non-prevailing issue	9.5	press/media related	0

Total Compensable Hours:	283.5
Rate:	225
Subtotal	63787.5
Deduction -10% associate	6378.75
Total payment:	57408.75

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
2/24/2015	Goldstein	correspond with T. Brown regarding the same	0.25																	
2/24/2015	Goldstein	review comments/edits to brief suggested by various members of counsel group	0.75																	
2/25/2015	Goldstein	Assist S. Antzoulatos with cite check	0.50																	
2/26/2015	Goldstein	Proofread and revise brief; correspond with T. Brown regarding the same; review comments/edits suggested by counsel group	4.25																	
3/10/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs	2.00																	
3/11/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs	3.00																	
3/12/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs	2.00																	
3/13/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs; conduct research on full faith and credit clause and summarize findings for Hallward-Driemeier	5.50																	
3/14/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs; conduct research on full faith and credit clause and summarize findings for Hallward-Driemeier	1.25																	
3/15/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs	2.25																	
3/16/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs	2.25																	
3/18/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding full faith and credit arguments; research full faith and credit clause doctrine and scholarship	1.00																	
3/19/2015	Goldstein	draft sample questions and answers related to right to travel, federalism, and full faith and credit arguments	3.25																	
3/19/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding full faith and credit arguments	0.50																	
3/19/2015	Goldstein	conduct research on full faith and credit clause doctrine and scholarship	4.75																	
3/19/2015	Goldstein	participate on call with Ropes & Gray team	0.75																	
3/20/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding full faith and credit arguments	0.75																	
3/20/2015	Goldstein	draft sample questions and answers related to Full Faith and Credit Clause	3.75																	
3/20/2015	Goldstein	conduct research on Full Faith and Credit Clause doctrine and scholarship	4.25																	
3/21/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding full faith and credit and federalism arguments	0.75																	
3/21/2015	Goldstein	conduct research on Full Faith and Credit Clause doctrine and scholarship	5.25																	
3/21/2015	Goldstein	review amicus briefs	3.25																	
3/22/2015	Goldstein	Read amicus briefs related to right to travel, federalism, and Full Faith and Credit arguments	5.50																	
3/22/2015	Goldstein	summarize key arguments and quotations in same	3.25																	
3/23/2015	Goldstein	Review scholarship on right to travel and research on demographics of same-sex couples; participate on call with Ropes team; correspond with Hallward-Driemeier	4.75																	
3/24/2015	Goldstein	Further review of scholarship on right to travel and research on demographics of same-sex couples; participate on call with Ropes team; correspond with D. Hallward-Driemeier	5.25																	
3/25/2015	Goldstein	Review Frontiero case and correspond with T. Brown regarding same; summarize state marriage laws; participate on team call; review briefs in preparation for drafting of reply brief	1.50																	
3/26/2015	Goldstein	Review briefs in preparation for drafting of reply brief	0.75																	
3/27/2015	Goldstein	Read briefs of respondents	1.00																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
3/28/2015	Goldstein	Read briefs of respondents and certain cases cited	2.25																	
3/29/2015	Goldstein	Review respondents' briefs	0.50																	
3/30/2015	Goldstein	Review email correspondence regarding letter to the Court designating advocates for oral argument	0.25																	
3/31/2015	Goldstein	Review Civil Rights Act of 1964 for language related to right to travel	0.25																	non-prevailing issue
4/1/2015	Goldstein	Participate on call with Ropes team regarding drafting of reply brief	1.00																	
4/3/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with J. Florence regarding Full Faith & Credit arguments in respondents' brief; review draft outline of reply brief; conduct research regarding Full Faith & Credit cases cited in respondents' briefs	2.75																	
4/4/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research on Full Faith & Credit Clause; correspond with J. Florence regarding same	3.75							block billing										
4/5/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research on Full Faith & Credit Clause in connection with drafting of reply brief	6.50																	
4/5/2015	Goldstein	draft summary to J. Florence regarding same	1.25																	
4/6/2015	Goldstein	Read and revise draft of reply brief; conduct research in conjunction with drafting of reply brief; correspond with J. Florence regarding reply brief; discuss Full Faith & Credit section of reply brief with E. Siegle	2.75								block billing									
4/7/2015	Goldstein	Revise reply brief, with particular focus on Full Faith and Credit arguments	5.00																	
4/7/2015	Goldstein	correspond with J. Florence regarding same	0.50																	
4/7/2015	Goldstein	conduct research in order to develop Full Faith and Credit Clause arguments in the reply brief	2.25																	
4/8/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs filed in support of respondents; conduct research on the Full Faith & Credit Clause	1.25								block billing									
4/9/2015	Goldstein	Read and summarize amicus briefs filed in support of respondents	2.50																	
4/9/2015	Goldstein	conduct research on the Full Faith & Credit Clause	1.50																	
4/9/2015	Goldstein	read and revise the Introduction and Full Faith & Credit sections of reply brief draft	2.00																	
4/10/2015	Goldstein	Read and revise sections of reply brief draft; correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding equal protection language; discuss Full Faith & Credit section with E. Siegle	1.75								block billing									
4/12/2015	Goldstein	Review edits to reply brief made by co-counsel; correspond with J. Florence and E. Siegle regarding public policy exception	0.75																	
4/13/2015	Goldstein	Review edits to reply brief made by co-counsel; correspond with library staff regarding sources cited in reply brief	0.75								block billing									
4/14/2015	Goldstein	Review and revise reply brief; correspond with library services regarding obtaining copies of marriage treatise cited in reply brief	1.50								block billing									
4/15/2015	Goldstein	Cite check and proofread reply brief	4.50																	
4/15/2015	Goldstein	review amicus briefs to identify material related to history of discrimination on basis of sexual orientation to cite in reply brief	1.25																	
4/15/2015	Goldstein	discuss cite check and style guidelines with S. Antzoulatos	0.25																	
4/16/2015	Goldstein	Proofread reply brief; read email correspondence from co-counsel	0.75								block billing									
4/17/2015	Goldstein	Participate in oral argument prep session with Hallward-Driemeier and others	3.50																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/17/2015	Goldstein	review briefing in Loving v. Virginia	0.50																
4/17/2015	Goldstein	correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding briefing in Loving v. Virginia	0.25																
4/17/2015	Goldstein	review reply briefs filed by KY, OH and MI	1.50																
4/18/2015	Goldstein	Correspond with J. Dey regarding research questions stemming from oral argument prep	0.25																
4/19/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research regarding concrete harms to children of non-recognition and anti-evasion laws; correspond with J. Dey regarding same	1.75																
4/20/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research regarding anti-evasion state statutes	5.25																
4/20/2015	Goldstein	review transcript of oral argument from Loving v. Virginia and identify discussion of Full Faith and Credit Clause	0.75																
4/20/2015	Goldstein	correspond with J. Dey regarding research topics related to Full Faith and Credit Clause and anti-evasion statutes	0.25																
4/20/2015	Goldstein	draft email to Ropes team regarding results of research of anti-evasion laws	1.00																
4/21/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research regarding anti-evasion state statutes	5.25																
4/21/2015	Goldstein	summarize research related to anti-evasion laws	1.25																
4/21/2015	Goldstein	correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding same	0.50																
4/21/2015	Goldstein	discuss research request related to antievasion laws with Library services	0.25																
4/22/2015	Goldstein	Review research on anti-evasion laws conducted by J. Dey	0.50																
4/22/2015	Goldstein	attend moot at Howard University	4.50																
4/22/2015	Goldstein	attend post-moot meeting involving counsel teams	1.50																
4/22/2015	Goldstein	conduct research on state laws related to common law marriage	4.00																
4/23/2015	Goldstein	review justifications for non-recognition laws in respondents' briefs and correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding same	2.00																
4/23/2015	Goldstein	Conduct research on state statutes and caselaw related to common law marriage	4.50																
4/23/2015	Goldstein	summarize state laws related to common law marriage	1.75																
4/23/2015	Goldstein	discuss mark-up of brief appendices with T. Brown and others	0.25																
4/24/2015	Goldstein	Conduct follow-up research regarding common law marriage; draft email to Ropes team regarding same	3.50																
4/25/2015	Goldstein	Draft list of likely oral argument questions and suggested answers; conduct research regarding recognition of common law and cousin marriages; review own notes and those circulated by others from Howard and Georgetown moots; review research regarding treatment of marriage involving minors and correspond with P. Kellogg regarding same	4.75																
4/26/2015	Goldstein	Draft and revise suggested answers for oral argument	3.75																
4/26/2015	Goldstein	prepare condensed outline of suggested oral argument answers	2.00																
4/26/2015	Goldstein	conduct research regarding cousin and common law marriage	2.00																
4/27/2015	Goldstein	Conduct caselaw and statutory research related to common law marriage and marriage between cousins	4.75																
4/27/2015	Goldstein	correspond with Hallward-Driemeier regarding same	1.00																

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS											
4/27/2015	Goldstein	review and summarize non-recognition cases cited by respondents	3.75												
4/27/2015	Goldstein	identify recognition cases from Missouri and Michigan	0.50												
4/27/2015	Goldstein	review age of consent research	0.50												
4/28/2015	Goldstein	Attend broadcast of oral argument	5.00							unnecessary					
		Goldstein Totals:	345.00	0	0	93.5	0	0	5	0	0	113.25	0		
		overstaffing (duplicative)													
		Total Compensable Hours:	226.75												
		Rate:	225												
		Subtotal:	51018.75												
		Deduction -20% block billing:	10203.75												
		Deduction -10% associate	5101.875												
		Total payment:	35713.125												

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

DOUGLAS HALLWARD-DRIEMEIER

11/8/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with counsel for NLCR re petition for certiorari in Tanco. Review court of appeals decision and brief on appeal. Call with team to discuss outline of brief and assignment of responsibilities.	3.00												
11/9/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with counsel for NLCR re petition for certiorari in Tanco. Read cases. Draft outline of cert. petition. Calls with team re drafting.	5.00							block billing					
11/10/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Review draft sections of petition for certiorari	1.00							block billing					
11/11/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft petition for certiorari	9.50												
11/12/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft petition for certiorari	12.50												
11/13/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft petition for certiorari	10.50												
11/14/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft petition for certiorari. Communicate with team regarding filing.	2.00							block billing					
11/17/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspond with co-counsel re scheduling of petition and response.	1.00												
11/26/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspond with co-counsel re scheduling of petition and response.	1.75												
12/4/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re amicus participation in cert. stage.	1.75												
12/5/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re amicus participation in cert. stage.	0.50												
12/9/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with opposing counsel re amicus participation in cert. stage.	0.25												
12/10/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re amicus participation in cert. stage and Kentucky response.	1.00												
12/11/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with amici re briefs at petition stage.	0.50												
12/15/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Review brief in opposition and call with co-counsel re reply.	2.00												
12/16/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Call re draft reply in support of cert. petition. Give guidance on and revise draft outline of reply.	2.00							block billing					
12/17/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft reply brief	1.50												
12/18/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft reply brief	5.50												
12/19/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise reply brief	1.00												
12/21/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Finalize reply brief	1.25												
12/23/2014	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspondence and call with court re motion to intervene.	0.50												
1/9/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re possible cert. grant.	0.50												
1/13/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspondence with team re Supreme Court practice and review of other cert. petitions.	1.25												

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
1/14/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with NCLR litigation team re Supreme Court process and next steps	0.75																	
1/16/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Communicate with clients and NCLR staff re Supreme Court cert. grant. Call with clerk of court. Review briefing in companion cases	4.00																	
1/17/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare outline of merits brief	5.50																	
1/18/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise outline for opening merits brief	1.00																	
1/20/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with petitioner co-counsel re coordination of petitioner briefs. Follow-up re same	1.50																	
1/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with SG's office re meeting to discuss potential amicus support for petitioners. Correspondence with co-counsel re same	1.50																	
1/22/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call to discuss meeting with SG re amicus support and correspondence with respondents and petitioner counsel re joint appendix	1.00																	
1/22/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Calls with associate teams and Mr. Brown re expanding outline of Supreme Court merits brief	3.00																	
1/23/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspondence with team regarding Supreme Court argument	0.25																	
1/23/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with Mr. Brown and Mr. Kellogg re outline of Supreme Court merits brief	1.00																	
1/26/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review outline of merits brief	1.25																	
1/27/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call regarding contents of Joint Appendix	0.50																	
1/28/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise outline of opening merits brief and calls with teams re same. Confer with Mr. Codell re horizontal federalism arguments. Organize meeting with Solicitor General's office	3.50																	
1/29/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Organize meeting with Solicitor General's office. Confer with Mr. Codell re federalism arguments	1.00																	
1/30/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel regarding Joint Appendix	0.50																	
1/31/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise outline of opening merits brief	2.50																	
2/2/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call to prepare for meeting with Solicitor General	1.75																	
2/3/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with Mr. Codell re coordination with other marriage cases. Finish revising outline	3.00																	
2/4/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with Mr. Codell re preparation for meeting with Solicitor General. Review revisions to outline	0.75																	
2/5/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for meeting with Solicitor General	4.00																	
2/6/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and attend meeting with Solicitor General's office. Participate in post meeting review. Call with team to discuss. Meet with Mr. Codell re revisions to outline	5.50																	
2/7/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	5.50																	
2/8/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	4.25																	
2/9/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	4.75																	
2/10/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	5.25																	
2/11/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	7.25																	
2/12/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	7.50																	
2/13/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Coordination with counsel for petitioners re appendix and amicus briefs	1.25																	
2/14/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review and revise comments and edits from NCLR on brief	1.25																	
2/17/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	2.75																	
2/18/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel regarding revisions to brief	1.25																	
2/19/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Coordination with counsel re merits brief	0.75																	
2/20/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with NCLR team re merits brief	1.25																	
2/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	4.50																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS
2/25/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise merits brief	1.50	
2/26/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Finalize merits brief	4.00	
2/27/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re merits briefs and amicus briefs	3.25	
3/1/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with Mr. Minter regarding oral argument	0.75	
3/2/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Calls with Mr. Gerhardstein, Mr. Gershengorn, and Mr. Minter regarding oral argument	1.75	
3/4/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs	1.25	
3/5/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for oral argument. Review amicus briefs	1.50	
3/6/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs. Confer re moot court	4.00	
3/9/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for moot court	1.50	
3/10/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for moot court	1.75	
3/11/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for moot court	1.50	
3/12/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for moot court	1.75	
3/14/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re approach to divided argument and draft of motion to Court	2.75	
3/15/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Correspondence with co-counsel re divided argument and revise letter to Court re same	1.75	
3/16/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review of amicus briefs. Confer with co-counsel re approach to divided argument	6.00	
3/17/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re divided argument, revise, and send letter to Clerk re same	2.50	
3/18/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with team re communication from clerk re divided argument and approach to same. Discuss state law variations with Ms. Omerovic. Communicate with Mr. Goldstein re Full Faith and Credit issue	4.50	block billing
3/19/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Calls and correspondence with co-counsel re preparation for moot court	2.00	
3/20/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with associates re open issues for preparation for moot court	2.75	
3/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for moot court	4.25	
3/22/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Preparation for moot court with counsel for other states. Review amicus briefs. Study law review articles concerning Full Faith and Credit and deference to state marriage laws	6.50	block billing
3/23/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Preparation for moot court with counsel for other states. Review amicus briefs. Study law review articles concerning Full Faith and Credit and deference to state marriage laws	15.00	block billing
3/24/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and participate in video conference call with co-counsel to prepare for moot court	6.75	
3/24/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Preparation for moot court exercise with all state counsel	5.00	
3/25/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and participate in moot court with all teams. Debrief with teams and moot court judges. Confer with Mr. Brown regarding further oral argument preparation	8.50	block billing
3/28/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review respondents' briefs	2.50	
3/29/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel re oral argument preparation	1.25	
3/30/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with co-counsel for other petitioners re oral argument. Prepare draft correspondence to Clerk	2.25	block billing
3/31/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with coordinating counsel re oral argument	1.50	
4/1/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs in support of states	1.50	
4/2/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs in support of states. Revise outline of reply brief	5.00	
4/3/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs in support of state	4.00	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/4/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with Messrs. Brown, Kellogg, and Goldstein re Full Faith and Credit issues for reply and interplay with choice of law. Conference call re same. Review FF&C amicus briefs	3.50																
4/5/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review Full Faith & Credit cases and confer with Mr. Florence, Mr. Brown, Mr. Goldstein, and Mr. Kellogg re FF&C research and further follow-up issues. Review Tennessee brief to focus arguments for reply	3.25																
4/6/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs re Full Faith and Credit and Choice of law and calls with Tobias Wolf and Joan Hollinger re same. Review research re status of divorce orders/adoption/parental rights across states. Confer with Mr. Minter, Mr. Brown, and Mr. Florence re same. Review Michigan, Ohio, and Kentucky briefs	7.25																
4/7/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with team re recognition issues across states in marriage/divorce/adoption contexts	1.50																
4/7/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Coordinate with co-counsel re oral argument	2.25																
4/8/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and participate in call with Rhonda Wasserman re recognition issues. Review further FF&C research. Initial review of amicus filings in support of respondents. Revise draft reply brief	11.75																
4/9/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft reply brief. Confer with NCLR and Mr. Hickman re draft FF&C section. Call re same. Call with Ropes team re revisions to FF&C argument in light of comments	8.25																
4/10/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft reply brief	12.25																
4/11/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review proposed revisions to draft reply brief. Review amicus briefs in support of states	6.25																
4/11/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Coordinate with co-counsel re oral argument	2.00																
4/12/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review amicus briefs in support of states. Further revise draft reply brief	5.75																
4/13/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Revise draft reply brief	8.50																
4/13/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Coordinate with co-counsel re oral argument	1.50																
4/14/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Further revise draft intro and review further edits to draft reply brief	3.75																
4/15/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Final review and revisions to reply brief	5.50																
4/16/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with team re argument preparation, Tennessee laws re adoption. Review research re coverture	2.75																
4/17/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review other state reply briefs. Coordinate with Mary Bonauto re argument prep. Call with team re argument prep	5.25																
4/18/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Argument preparation. Review Q&A outlines. Review key amicus briefs and decisions. Draft opening and outline of key points	5.50																
4/19/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Argument prep session with Mary Bonauto, Shannon Minter, David Codell, Susan Sommer, James Essecks, and Jeff Fisher	5.00																
4/20/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and participate in moot court at Nashville School of Law on Q2. Meet with Mr. Minter and Sherard & Roe to prepare for moot	7.50																
4/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for Howard moot court. Revise outline. Review more amicus briefs. Reread party briefs	5.75																
4/22/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and attend Howard moot court. Post-moot debrief at R&G with counsel from all four teams	11.25																
4/23/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for oral argument	9.75																

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/24/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for and attend Georgetown moot court	9.50																
4/25/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for oral argument. Review and revise draft Q&A. Review key amicus briefs. Re-read key cases	15.75																
4/26/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for oral argument. Review and revise draft Q&A. Re-read marriage and LGBT cases. Meet with Mr. Minter, Mr. Brown, and Mr. Hickman for final Q&A. Revise draft opening	13.50																
4/27/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Prepare for oral argument	14.50																
4/28/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Attend and present oral argument	5.00																
7/13/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Review research re fee application. Call with Mr. Brown to discuss fee application	0.50																
7/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with Mr. Harbison and Mr. Hickman re scope of declaratory and injunctive relief in judgment. Review and comment on revised draft judgment. Communicate with Mr. Minter re same	1.00																
8/12/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Confer with Mr. Hickman re scope of injunction and revise draft of motion re same	1.50																
9/28/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Call with Mr. Brown and further call with Mr. Brown and Mr. Minter re fee petition	1.00																
		Hallward-Driemeier Totals:	466.00	0	0	181.25	0	0	17.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vettin	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related						
		Total Compensable Hours:	448.5																
		Rate:	575																
		Subtotal:	257887.50																
		Deduction -20% block billing:	51577.5																
		Total payment:	206310																

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

PAUL KELLOGG

11/8/2014	Kellogg	Participate on calls with Hallward-Driemeier and NCLR counsel re: petition	1.00																
11/8/2014	Kellogg	review opinion and filings below	4.00																
11/8/2014	Kellogg	Perform research re: Baker v. Nelson	4.75																
11/9/2014	Kellogg	Research and draft portion of petition re: Baker v. Nelson	7.25																
11/10/2014	Kellogg	Research and draft portion of petition re: Baker v. Nelson	10.50																
11/11/2014	Kellogg	Review and edit draft cert petition	5.25																
11/12/2014	Kellogg	Review and edit draft cert petition	10.50																
11/13/2014	Kellogg	Edit draft cert petition per comments from Hallward-Driemeier; finalize petition for filing	11.75																
11/14/2014	Kellogg	Prepare cert petition for filing; Review and analyze cert petition in OH case	4.25																
11/17/2014	Kellogg	Review and analyze cert petitions in KY and MI cases	1.75																
11/26/2014	Kellogg	Review brief in opposition to MI cert petition	1.25																
12/15/2014	Kellogg	Review TN brief in opposition to cert petition	1.00																
12/16/2014	Kellogg	Prepare outline for reply brief	6.50																
12/17/2014	Kellogg	Draft reply brief	4.00																
1/17/2015	Kellogg	Prepare for and participate in team call regarding merits brief	1.25																
1/19/2015	Kellogg	Participate on call with outside counsel group; read correspondence among counsel group regarding outline of brief	1.00																
1/20/2015	Kellogg	Perform research on Equal Protection argument; call with J. Goldstein re: same	4.00																
1/21/2015	Kellogg	Perform research on Equal Protection argument	4.25																

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
3/25/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Petitioners	0.75																	
3/28/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze Respondents' briefs	3.00																	
4/1/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Respondents to determine arguments to be addressed in Reply	1.00																	
4/2/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Respondents to determine arguments to be addressed in Reply	5.00																	
4/3/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Respondents to determine arguments to be addressed in Reply	10.00																	
4/4/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Respondents to determine arguments to be addressed in Reply	4.50																	
4/5/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze amicus briefs in support of Respondents to determine arguments to be addressed in Reply	3.75																	
4/6/2015	Kellogg	Research and Draft Equal Protection portions of Reply Brief	6.75																	
4/7/2015	Kellogg	Draft Equal Protection portions of Reply Brief	2.00																	
4/8/2015	Kellogg	Draft Equal Protection portions of Reply Brief	1.00																	
4/9/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	3.25																	
4/10/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	0.75																	
4/13/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	3.00																	
4/14/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	0.75																	
4/15/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	5.75																	
4/16/2015	Kellogg	Review and edit Reply Brief	2.75																	
4/17/2015	Kellogg	Review and analyze other Petitioners' reply briefs; review and analyze opposition briefs in advance of argument	4.50					block billing												
4/20/2015	Kellogg	Perform research in advance of argument	1.00																	
4/23/2015	Kellogg	Perform research in advance of argument	1.50																	
4/24/2015	Kellogg	Perform research in support of oral argument preparation	3.75																	
4/25/2015	Kellogg	Perform research re: recognition of underage marriages	7.75																	
4/26/2015	Kellogg	Perform research re: recognition of underage marriages	8.25																	
4/27/2015	Kellogg	Perform research re: recognition of underage marriages	3.50																	
4/28/2015	Kellogg	Attend broadcast of oral argument	5.00										unnecessary							
		Kellogg Totals:	294.50	0	0	21.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague			potential plaintiffs/vettin	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related					
		Total Compensable Hours:	289.5																	
		Rate:	280																	
		Subtotal:	81060																	
		Deduction -10% associate	8106																	
		Total payment:	72954																	

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

SAMIRA OMEROVIC																				
11/8/2014	Omerovic	Conference call with Hallward-Driemeier and team re: preparing cert petition in Tanco case; review court decisions and briefs below	2.00					block billing												
11/9/2014	Omerovic	Call with Hallward-Driemeier to discuss drafting statement of the case; review court decisions and briefs below	3.00					block billing												
11/10/2014	Omerovic	Review Tanco plaintiffs' affidavits filed in the court of appeals to extract relevant facts for the statement of the case	2.50																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
11/10/2014	Omerovic	review summary of facts in the court of appeals' decision and briefs filed before that court	1.25																		
11/10/2014	Omerovic	hour; draft and revise statement of the case for the cert petition	6.25																		
11/10/2014	Omerovic	confer with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: the statement of the case	0.50																		
11/11/2014	Omerovic	Review and revise drafts of the cert petition	7.00																		
11/12/2014	Omerovic	Research and analyze constitutional right to travel as it pertains to the petition	4.50																	non-prevailing issue	
11/12/2014	Omerovic	draft and revise the travel section of the petition	4.25																	non-prevailing issue	
11/12/2014	Omerovic	confer with Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	0.25																		
11/12/2014	Omerovic	review team's correspondences with NCLR counsel re: the petition	0.50																		
11/12/2014	Omerovic	review NCLR's and outside counsel's revisions to the draft petition	1.75																		
11/13/2014	Omerovic	Revise drafts of the cert petition; finalize petition for filing	12.00							block billing											
11/14/2014	Omerovic	Review and revise the cert petition and appendix prior to filing; prepare the petition for filing; review and analyze the cert petition filed in the OH case	7.00							block billing											
11/14/2014	Omerovic	Review team emails with NCLR and outside counsel re: the filing of the petition and next steps in the petition process	0.75																		
11/17/2014	Omerovic	Correspond with NCLR re: final versions of the petition and appendix; review D. Hallward-Driemeier's email re: next steps in the petition process	0.50							block billing											
11/18/2014	Omerovic	Review emails from NCLR re: next steps in the petition process	0.25																		
11/19/2014	Omerovic	Review Sixth Circuit docket to identify information requested by Hallward-Driemeier	0.50																		
11/20/2014	Omerovic	Review petitions for writ of certiorari filed in other Sixth Circuit cases	1.25																		
12/4/2014	Omerovic	Review Hallward-Driemeier's emails re: proposed amicus brief; review the SCOTUS blog for an update on the status of the petition conferences	0.50							block billing											
12/5/2014	Omerovic	Review team emails with NCLR and outside counsel re: TN's stance on the filing of amicus briefs	0.25																		
12/8/2014	Omerovic	Review schedule for respondent's brief and our reply	0.25																		
12/10/2014	Omerovic	Confer with Hallward-Driemeier re: reply brief	0.25																		
12/15/2014	Omerovic	Review the state's opposition to the petition; participate in a team call re: drafting a reply; review team emails re: the same; review amicus briefs	3.25							block billing											
12/15/2014	Omerovic	Email with P. Kellogg re: TN's response to the petition	0.25																		
12/16/2014	Omerovic	Meet with D. Hallward-Driemeier and P. Kellogg re: drafting reply brief; confer with P. Kellogg re: the same; review outline of reply brief; review amicus briefs; review right to travel case law	4.25							block billing											non-prevailing issue
12/17/2014	Omerovic	Draft reply brief in support of petition for certiorari	7.00																		
12/18/2014	Omerovic	Review and revise draft of reply brief in support of petition for certiorari; confer with Hallward-Driemeier and P. Kellogg re: the same; review the petition and the state's opposition	5.75							block billing											
12/19/2014	Omerovic	Review and revise drafts of the reply brief in support of cert petition	4.50																		

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
12/19/2014	Omerovic	exchange emails with H. Hallward-Driemeier, P. Kellogg, J. Eagles, and S. Antzoulatos re: filing the brief	0.50																
12/19/2014	Omerovic	review suggested revisions from NCLR and outside counsel	1.00																
12/19/2014	Omerovic	prepare the reply brief for filing	1.75																
12/22/2014	Omerovic	Review NCLR and outside counsel's revisions to the reply brief in support of the cert petition; review final version of the reply brief; review briefs filed in companion cases	4.00																
12/22/2014	Omerovic	Review emails with NCLR and outside counsel re: filing of the reply brief in support of cert petition	0.25																
12/23/2014	Omerovic	Review status of the case to confirm that the case was distributed for the Jan. 9 conference	0.25																
1/9/2015	Omerovic	Review case status update; review emails with NCLR and outside counsel re: clients	0.50																
1/12/2015	Omerovic	Review emails with NCLR and outside counsel re: case status update	0.50																
1/16/2015	Omerovic	Review the order list granting cert; draft email to Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	0.25																
1/16/2015	Omerovic	Review issues presented in companion cases from the Sixth Circuit; confer with the Ropes team re: the merits brief	1.00																
1/17/2015	Omerovic	Phone call and emails with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: right to travel issue; research right to travel case law and draft a summary email re: the same; participate in Ropes team call re: the merits brief; compile materials pertinent to the brief and send them to the team; review first draft of outline of the merits brief	2.50																
1/19/2015	Omerovic	Call with the Tanco team to discuss preparing for the merits briefing and oral argument; review agenda for the call and team emails prior to the call	1.25																
1/20/2015	Omerovic	Review NCLR emails listing helpful academic articles addressing issues up for appeal; draft an email to Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	0.50																
1/20/2015	Omerovic	Review the revised outline of the merits brief	0.75																
1/21/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze amicus briefs filed in the Sixth Circuit; review and analyze scholarly articles addressing issues up for appeal	3.00																
1/21/2015	Omerovic	Research issues pertinent to the merits brief	1.75																
1/21/2015	Omerovic	Team call re: research and drafting process	0.50																
1/22/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze decisions from other courts of appeals addressing the same issues as our case	2.25																
1/22/2015	Omerovic	meet with Hallward-Driemeier, T. Brown, and E. Siegle re: the merits brief	1.00																
1/22/2015	Omerovic	confer with E. Siegle re: the same	0.25																
1/22/2015	Omerovic	research case law pertinent to the justifications section of the merits brief	3.50																
1/23/2015	Omerovic	Research and analyze case law pertinent to the justifications section of the merits brief	6.50																
1/26/2015	Omerovic	Research and analyze case law pertinent to the justification section of the merits brief	4.25																
1/26/2015	Omerovic	draft an outline addressing the same	1.50																
1/26/2015	Omerovic	confer with E. Siegle re: the outline for our section	0.50																
1/27/2015	Omerovic	Research and analyze case law pertinent to the justifications section of the brief	3.50																
1/27/2015	Omerovic	draft section of the outline addressing the justifications arguments	2.50																

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
1/28/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze outline for the merits brief	0.75																	
1/28/2015	Omerovic	review team emails re: the outline	0.25																	
1/28/2015	Omerovic	revise sections of the outline pertaining to the justifications	2.50																	
1/28/2015	Omerovic	confer with E. Siegle re: the justifications section	0.50																	
1/28/2015	Omerovic	participate in Ropes team meeting to discuss revising outline of the merits brief	2.00																	
1/29/2015	Omerovic	Revise outline sections on justifications arguments	1.75																	
1/29/2015	Omerovic	confer with E. Siegle and J. Dey re: the outline	0.25																	
1/29/2015	Omerovic	draft portion of the merits brief addressing the tradition justification argument	4.00																	
1/30/2015	Omerovic	Draft section of the merits brief addressing justifications	6.75																	
2/2/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze revised outline of the merits brief; draft justification section of the brief	2.50																	
2/2/2015	Omerovic	Confer with the team re: setting up a call to discuss drafting the brief	0.25																	
2/2/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze the latest revised draft of the outline for the merits brief	1.00																	
2/3/2015	Omerovic	Draft section of merits brief addressing justifications; review and provide feedback on E. Siegle's draft section; review team update email	4.25																	
2/3/2015	Omerovic	Participate in a Ropes team call to discuss drafting the merits brief	0.50																	
2/4/2015	Omerovic	Review and respond to team email re: appellate court briefing in other cases	0.25																	
2/4/2015	Omerovic	Revise outline of the merits brief; confer with the team re: the same	4.00																	
2/4/2015	Omerovic	Review the court's notice re: oral argument	0.25																	
2/4/2015	Omerovic	Draft sections of the merits brief	1.75																	
2/5/2015	Omerovic	Draft and revise sections of the merits brief addressing justifications	5.50																	
2/5/2015	Omerovic	revise E. Siegle's section	1.25																	
2/5/2015	Omerovic	confer with E. Siegle re: the same	0.25																	
2/5/2015	Omerovic	Team call to discuss drafting process and comments from NCLR	0.75																	
2/6/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise draft sections of the merits brief addressing justifications	1.50																	
2/6/2015	Omerovic	Review revised outline	0.75																	
2/6/2015	Omerovic	Meet with E. Siegle re: revising draft of our sections of the brief	0.50																	
2/6/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise draft sections of the merits brief addressing justifications	1.75																	
2/7/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise draft sections of the merits brief addressing justifications; review E. Siegle's revisions and confer with him re: the same	3.25																	
2/7/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise draft sections of the merits brief addressing justifications	5.50																	
2/8/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise draft sections of the merits brief addressing justifications; confer with E. Siegle re: the same	1.00																	
2/8/2015	Omerovic	Review emails re: revised drafts; review E. Siegle's comments re: our sections; review research re: hospital visitation rights	0.75																	
2/9/2015	Omerovic	Review team emails re: revisions to right to travel section	0.25																	
2/9/2015	Omerovic	Review draft of justification sections of the brief	0.25																	

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																			
2/10/2015	Omerovic	Review revised draft of the right to travel and substantive due process sections of the brief; review draft of the justification sections	1.00																				
2/10/2015	Omerovic	Review emails re: updates following the SG's meeting	0.25																			non-prevailing issue	
2/10/2015	Omerovic	Review Tennessee provisions with respect to benefits accorded pursuant to state recognized parent-child relationship per C.T. Brown's request; summarize the provisions in parenthetical notes	0.25																				
2/10/2015	Omerovic	Review Hallward-Driemeier's email re: revisions to the draft of the merits brief	0.25																				
2/11/2015	Omerovic	Review and respond to team emails re: the brief; review 6th Circuit briefing in response to a question from Hallward-Driemeier; confer with J. Goldstein re: the brief	2.75																				
2/11/2015	Omerovic	Review most recent revised draft of the opening brief	1.25																				
2/12/2015	Omerovic	Exchange emails with C.T. Brown and E. Siegle re: a research question; research case law addressing sexual orientation	2.00																				
2/12/2015	Omerovic	Review revised draft of certain sections of the opening brief	1.00																				
2/12/2015	Omerovic	Review research materials provided by plaintiffs' local counsel	1.75																				
2/12/2015	Omerovic	Review and suggest revisions to versions of the equal protection clause analysis	2.00																				
2/13/2015	Omerovic	Review team emails re: the record and the draft docket entries to be included in the joint appendix; review NCLR's revisions to a section of the brief	0.75																				
2/13/2015	Omerovic	Review research materials provided by plaintiff's local counsel related to briefing	2.50																				
2/14/2015	Omerovic	Review NCLR's revisions to the right to travel section and suggest responses to some of the points raised; review the petition brief in response to question from Hallward-Driemeier	1.75																				
2/17/2015	Omerovic	Review latest draft of the merits brief; review NCLR revisions to the equal protection and justification sections; revise the brief to incorporate NCLR's edits; telephone call with C.T. Brown re: the same; confer with E. Siegle and J. Dav. re: the same	5.00																				non-prevailing issue
2/18/2015	Omerovic	Review circulated version of the draft and suggest revisions for minors; draft an email to C.T. Brown re: the same	4.75																				
2/19/2015	Omerovic	Revise the opening brief to incorporate my edits; confer with C.T. Brown re: the revisions	0.75																				
2/19/2015	Omerovic	Review team email re: relevant articles identified in research	0.25																				
2/19/2015	Omerovic	Review revisions to the draft brief suggested by R. Lambert	0.25																				
2/19/2015	Omerovic	Review A. Rubinfeld's suggested revisions to the opening brief and team emails re: the same	0.25																				
2/20/2015	Omerovic	Revise the opening brief per comments from other counsel; exchange emails with C.T. Brown re: the same; review team emails re: suggested revisions; review further revisions to the brief	4.75																				
2/20/2015	Omerovic	Review A. Rubinfeld's second and third rounds of comments on the circulated draft of the opening brief	0.50																				
2/21/2015	Omerovic	Review record before the district court and Sixth Circuit to verify whether election results document was part of the record below; confer with the team re: the same	0.75																				

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
2/22/2015	Omerovic	Revise and cite check section IV of the opening brief; confer with the team re: the same	2.00															
									block billing									
2/23/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise latest draft of the opening brief	3.25															
2/23/2015	Omerovic	confer with C.T. Brown re: suggested line edits	0.25															
2/23/2015	Omerovic	review team emails re: the brief	0.25															
2/23/2015	Omerovic	review and analyze drafts of briefs of petitioners in companion cases from the Sixth Circuit	3.00															
2/24/2015	Omerovic	Review revised draft of the opening brief and suggest revisions	2.25															
2/24/2015	Omerovic	coordinate with J. Dey inputting of further revisions	0.25															
2/24/2015	Omerovic	review the draft again and suggest further line edits	3.00															
2/24/2015	Omerovic	review team emails re: the brief	0.50															
2/25/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise drafts of opening brief	2.75															
2/25/2015	Omerovic	confer with the team re: the same	0.25															
2/25/2015	Omerovic	review chart of supporting amici	0.25															
2/25/2015	Omerovic	review and analyze drafts of briefs of petitioners in companion cases from the Sixth Circuit	2.25															
2/26/2015	Omerovic	Review and update the service list for the opening brief; confer with S. Antzoulatos re: Review and update the service list for the opening brief	0.50															
									block billing									
2/26/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze draft briefs of petitioners in companion cases from the Sixth Circuit	1.50															
2/26/2015	Omerovic	Review and revise near final versions of the brief; confer with S. Antzoulatos and C.T. Brown re: final versions of the brief	3.75															
2/27/2015	Omerovic	Review final versions of the briefs filed in the four consolidated cases	1.75															
3/2/2015	Omerovic	Review final Tanco brief and briefs of other petitioners in the consolidated cases	2.00															
3/3/2015	Omerovic	Review merit briefs of other petitioners in the consolidated cases	2.50															
3/4/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze amicus briefs filed in support of the petitioners	2.50															
3/5/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze an amicus brief filed in support of the petitioners; review team emails re: organizing and summarizing amicus briefs	0.75															
3/6/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze amicus briefs filed by religious organizations in support of the petitioners	4.25															
3/9/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze amicus briefs filed by organizations working for families and children in support of the petitioners	4.00															
3/9/2015	Omerovic	Find oral argument recordings before the Sixth Circuit and email the same to D. Hallward-Driemeier and C.T. Brown	0.50															
3/9/2015	Omerovic	Organize amicus briefs	0.25															
3/10/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze five amicus briefs addressing scientific arguments in support of the petitioners and summarize relevant arguments from each brief	7.00															
3/11/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze three amicus briefs addressing historical arguments in support of the petitioners and summarize relevant arguments from each brief	4.00															
3/16/2015	Omerovic	Review team emails drafting letter to the court re: oral argument; review and analyze an amicus briefs filed in the case	1.25															
									block billing									

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
3/17/2015	Omerovic	Review and summarize amicus briefs filed in case	2.25																		
3/18/2015	Omerovic	Research and analyze state authorities related to marriage; draft a summary email to Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	3.75																		
3/18/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze amicus briefs addressing interracial marriage bans arguments in support of the petitioners and summarize relevant arguments from each brief	3.00																		
3/19/2015	Omerovic	Conduct follow-up research and analysis re: state authority vis-a-vis marriage	2.00																		
3/19/2015	Omerovic	draft an email to Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	0.50																		
3/19/2015	Omerovic	review and analyze amicus briefs addressing foreign and comparative law arguments in support of the petitioners and summarize relevant arguments from each brief	5.00																		
3/20/2015	Omerovic	Draft a list of questions and answers probing difficult aspects of our cases for purposes of moot court and reply brief preparation per request from Hallward- Driemeier	3.25																		
3/20/2015	Omerovic	review and analyze amicus briefs addressing the inadequacy of justifications	3.75																		
3/21/2015	Omerovic	Research and analyze the requirement for blood testing as a predicate for marriage license and correspond with Hallward-Driemeier re: the same	0.75																		
3/21/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze nine amicus briefs addressing equal protection and due process arguments in support of the petitioners	7.00																		
3/25/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze four amicus briefs addressing arguments in support of the respondents	4.50																		
3/26/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze five amicus briefs addressing arguments in support of the respondents	5.25																		
3/27/2015	Omerovic	Review and analyze six amicus briefs addressing arguments in support of the respondents	5.00																		
3/27/2015	Omerovic	review Tennessee's response brief	1.50																		
		Omerovic Totals:	324.00																		
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vett	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related								
		Total Compensable Hours:	304.5																		
		Rate:	270																		
		Subtotal:	82215																		
		Deduction -10% associate	8221.5																		
		Deduction -20% block billing	16443																		
		Total payment:	57550.5																		

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

EMERSON A.

SIEGLE

1/22/2015	Siegle	Participate in strategy discussion with Hallward-Driemeier, T. Brown, and S. Omerovic regarding Section IV of the brief	1.00																		
1/23/2015	Siegle	Research case law and other sources regarding the popular vote justification	1.75																		
1/24/2015	Siegle	A. Research the relationship between child welfare and same-sex marriage laws	2.75																		
1/26/2015	Siegle	A. Review and revise outlined section of the amicus brief, adjusting the argument and coordinating with S. Omerovic	2.75																		

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
1/28/2015	Siegle	Review consolidated outline in advance of afternoon team call and email thoughts to the team	0.50																	
1/28/2015	Siegle	Participate in call with Hallward-Driemeier, T. Brown, and the team to go over the outline and discuss revisions and next steps	2.00																	
1/28/2015	Siegle	Edit Sections III.C and IV.C of the brief to streamline the arguments	0.50																	
1/29/2015	Siegle	Work with S. Omerovic to revise and tighten the outline of Sections III and IV.C in advance of sending to T. Brown	0.75																	
1/29/2015	Siegle	Review the consolidated outline distributed by J. Goldstein	0.25																	
2/2/2015	Siegle	Draft Section IV-C of the brief, incorporating the language from the outline but streamlining for greater impact	3.00																	
2/3/2015	Siegle	Call with T. Brown and team to discuss brief drafting	0.50																	
2/3/2015	Siegle	Revise Section IV.C of the brief	0.75																	
2/3/2015	Siegle	Draft Section III.B.3 of the brief	3.75																	
2/4/2015	Siegle	Incorporate Hallward-Driemeier's edits into the comprehensive brief outline and distribute to the team	1.25																	
2/4/2015	Siegle	Revise Section IV of the brief to incorporate S. Omerovic's structural changes	1.25																	
2/5/2015	Siegle	Review and revise S. Omerovic's drafted sections and her comments on the sections I drafted	1.25																	
2/6/2015	Siegle	Participate in call with Hallward-Driemeier, D. Codell, and the Tanco team to discuss meeting with the Solicitor General and next steps	0.50																	
2/6/2015	Siegle	Review S. Minter's edits to the outline in preparation of a meeting with S. Omerovic	1.00																	
2/6/2015	Siegle	Discuss revisions with S. Omerovic	0.25																	
2/6/2015	Siegle	Revise, reorganize, and redraft Section IV of the brief in accordance with discussion with S. Omerovic	1.75																	
2/7/2015	Siegle	Revise Section IV of the brief based on S. Omerovic's comments in order to tie the subsections together	2.25																	
2/9/2015	Siegle	Review drafted sections of the brief	0.50																	
2/10/2015	Siegle	Review background and supporting documents distributed by Hallward- Driemeier	0.50																	
2/11/2015	Siegle	Research Colorado's amendment process are related to federalism and popular vote arguments	0.50																	
2/11/2015	Siegle	Research marriage history to find sources and information regarding the history of anti-same sex marriage laws	0.75																	
2/11/2015	Siegle	Review Hallward-Driemeier's edits to Section IV and find appropriate citations	1.75																	
2/12/2015	Siegle	Research case law to find persuasive language regarding the social contributions of gays and lesbians	1.75																	
2/12/2015	Siegle	Review and revise Section III of the brief and assist T. Brown with research relating to same	0.50																	
2/13/2015	Siegle	Compile key docket entries for inclusion in the Joint Appendix	1.25																	
2/17/2015	Siegle	Perform research tasks for S. Omerovic, notably looking for a law review article on state laws regarding gay rights and checking citations	1.00																	
2/17/2015	Siegle	Revise the Joint Appendix document per T. Brown's edits and circulate to D. Hallward-Driemeier	0.50																	

unnecessary

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
2/22/2015	Siegle	Coordinate with J. Goldstein to edit and proofread the right to travel section of the brief	1.00															
2/22/2015	Siegle	Perform and coordinate edits requested by T. Brown on the final version of the brief	3.00															
2/23/2015	Siegle	Research the Supreme Court's rules regarding joint appendices	0.25															
2/23/2015	Siegle	Draft an index for the Tennessee portion of the Joint Appendix	0.75															
2/24/2015	Siegle	Review and revise final draft of the brief	1.00															
2/24/2015	Siegle	Review and respond to e-mails with edit suggestions regarding the summary of the argument	0.25															
2/26/2015	Siegle	Provide final revisions, coordinating with J. Goldstein to edit the brief's Table of Contents	0.75															
3/10/2015	Siegle	Review amicus briefs to ascertain key legal arguments and useful quotations for support in further briefings	3.75															
3/10/2015	Siegle	Edit the religious liberty amicus brief entries in the spreadsheet	0.25															
3/11/2015	Siegle	Review amicus briefs to ascertain key legal arguments and useful quotations for support in further briefings	4.25															
3/12/2015	Siegle	Edit the amicus brief entries in the spreadsheet	0.50															
3/19/2015	Siegle	Participate in call with Hallward-Driemeier regarding an update to the case	0.25															
3/19/2015	Siegle	Draft questions and answers to prepare for Hallward-Driemeier's potential moot	3.50															
3/23/2015	Siegle	Participate in strategy discussion led by Hallward-Driemeier regarding potential arguments to be made in mootings this week	1.75															
3/23/2015	Siegle	Draft information regarding the plaintiffs in the Ohio, Tennessee, and Kentucky cases, as well as additional information for Ohio, to help Hallward-Driemeier prep for his moot sessions	2.50															
3/24/2015	Siegle	Attend the Tennessee team moot with Hallward-Driemeier	2.50															
3/24/2015	Siegle	Review state marriage statutes to survey state-by-state descriptions of marriage	1.25															
3/25/2015	Siegle	Participate in status update call with the Tanco team	0.25															
3/27/2015	Siegle	Review the state of Tennessee's response brief	1.25															
4/1/2015	Siegle	Review the cases cited in the Michigan and Ohio briefs regarding marriage and procreation to find counterarguments	3.75															
4/2/2015	Siegle	Review the cases cited in the Kentucky and Tennessee briefs regarding marriage and procreation	2.00															
4/3/2015	Siegle	Review and revise charts relating to marriage and procreation counterarguments, and draft e-mail to J. Florence with results	1.00															
4/4/2015	Siegle	Research the Full Faith and Credit Clause and the scope of its public policy exception for J. Florence	2.75															
4/6/2015	Siegle	Research state divorce laws to determine which states treat divorce decrees as court-issued judgments	1.50															
4/6/2015	Siegle	Revise and build out the Full Faith and Credit clause section of the reply brief	4.00															
4/7/2015	Siegle	Perform follow-up research at J. Florence's direction	1.00															
4/7/2015	Siegle	Revise the intro to the reply brief per J. Florence's request	0.50															

EXHIBIT D: ROPES AND GRAY FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
4/8/2015	Siegle	Review amicus briefs in support of the respondent in order to summarize and provide relevant information to the petitioners team	2.75															
4/8/2015	Siegle	Research the deference owed records under the Full Faith and Credit Clause	2.00															
4/9/2015	Siegle	Research the deference owed records under the Full Faith and Credit Clause	2.25															
4/9/2015	Siegle	Review amicus briefs in support of the respondent in order to summarize and provide relevant information to the petitioners team	1.50															
4/10/2015	Siegle	Edit and revise the Full Faith and Credit Clause section of the reply brief	0.75															
4/12/2015	Siegle	Research cases that discuss the public policy exception to the Full Faith and Credit Clause and the level of explicitness with which it must be invoked	0.50															
4/14/2015	Siegle	Respond to research questions from J. Florence regarding the impact of the "will of the majority" on federal rights	0.25															
4/15/2015	Siegle	Cite check the reply brief and incorporate team edits into a near-final version	1.00															
4/20/2015	Siegle	Search for and distribute cases for T. Brown's review	0.25															
4/21/2015	Siegle	Research the state laws of Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, and Tennessee, with attention to anti-evasion laws	2.00															
4/22/2015	Siegle	Attend Hallward-Driemeier's moot at Howard University	4.50															
4/22/2015	Siegle	Attend post-moot strategy discussion	1.00															
4/22/2015	Siegle	Research the status of common law marriages in the fifty states	0.50															
4/23/2015	Siegle	Research the status of common law marriages in the fifty states	1.25															
4/23/2015	Siegle	Review Michigan briefs and Michigan appendix to prepare notes for argument, per Hallward-Driemeier request	3.25															
4/24/2015	Siegle	Answer research questions regarding earlier stages of litigation, particularly in regards to the Kentucky complaint	2.00															
4/25/2015	Siegle	Draft responses to oral argument questions circulated by J. Florence	3.25															
4/28/2015	Siegle	Attend Tanco v. Haslam Supreme Court argument	5.00	overstaffing (duplicative)														
		Siegle Totals:	118.25		5	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0	1	0		

Total Compensable Hours:	111.75
Rate:	225
Subtotal:	25144
Deduction -10% associate	2514.375
Total payment:	22629.375

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

ROPES & GRAY TOTALS:

Brown total:	79950
Dey total:	57408.75
Goldstein total:	35713.125
Hallward-Driemeier total:	206310
Kellogg total:	72954
Omerovic total:	57550.5
Siegle total:	22629.375
TOTAL:	532515.75

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
3/6/2014	Cramer	Review notice of dismissal; Cramer/Hickman conference; emails with co-counsel	0.30																		
3/10/2014	Cramer	Review and revise draft filings; multiple emails with co-counsel	0.50																		
3/11/2014	Cramer	Review filings and numerous emails with counsel	0.40																		
3/12/2014	Cramer	Review order; emails with co-counsel	0.20																		
3/14/2014	Cramer	Review opinion; review orders; multiple emails	1.20																		
3/17/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails ; review documents	0.50																		
3/18/2014	Cramer	Review response; emails with counsel	0.40																		
3/19/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel; review response papers	0.50																		
3/20/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails; review order	0.50																		
3/21/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails with counsel; emails re: Sixth Circuit; review Michigan decisions	0.60																		
3/24/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conferences; review rules re: stay; file appearance; emails re: next steps	0.60																		
3/25/2014	Cramer	Draft letter; telephone conferences re: stay; research re: stay motion	3.30																		
3/26/2014	Cramer	Emails with team; revise letter; discussion re: mediation	1.00																		
3/27/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel re: response and letter	0.20																		
3/28/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails re: birth certificate; begin work revisions to response to motion to stay	0.60																		
3/31/2014	Cramer	Revise response to motion to stay; emails with counsel	0.90																		
4/2/2014	Cramer	Review declaration; Cramer/Hickman/Farringer conference	0.50																		
4/3/2014	Cramer	Emails re: declaration and response	0.10																		
4/4/2014	Cramer	Emails re: finalizing response; emails re: health insurance	0.20																		
4/10/2014	Cramer	Telephone conference with Sixth Circuit mediation office; telephone conference with Hickman; emails with group re: Sixth Circuit mediation	0.50																		
4/11/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel	0.20																		
4/14/2014	Cramer	Review Ohio decisions; multiple emails with counsel re: supplemental filing	0.70																		
4/21/2014	Cramer	Email re: additional decisions	0.10																		
4/22/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference re: next steps	0.30																		
4/25/2014	Cramer	Review order; multiple emails with counsel	0.30																		
4/28/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference; public records research	0.30																		
5/1/2014	Cramer	Email from amicus request; email with Hickman	0.10																		
5/5/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference re: amicus	0.20																		
5/8/2014	Cramer	Review State's brief	1.30																		
5/9/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails with co-counsel and amicus counsel; review filings	0.60																		
5/12/2014	Cramer	Telephone conference with S. Minter; emails re: amicus	0.70																		
5/13/2014	Cramer	Multiple emails with counsel; review filings	0.30																		
5/14/2014	Cramer	Multiple emails with counsel re: brief and amicus	0.20																		

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
7/28/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails and calls re: Sixth Circuit prep; review Fourth Circuit opinion	2.80																		
7/29/2014	Cramer	Argument prep; numerous emails re: same	1.10																		
7/30/2014	Cramer	Meeting with Harbison and other counsel re: oral argument; prepare for moot court	3.40																		
7/31/2014	Cramer	Prepare for moot court; moot court; meeting with counsel	12.60	overstaffing/duplicative																	
8/1/2014	Cramer	Prepare for argument; meeting with counsel; emails with counsel	3.60																		
8/4/2014	Cramer	Review numerous emails, articles and discussion re: oral argument prep	0.60																		
8/5/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel re: oral argument	0.30																		
8/6/2014	Cramer	Numerous emails with counsel re: oral argument; telephone conference with counsel	0.60																		
8/7/2014	Cramer	Review audio of hearing; emails with counsel	0.90																		
8/8/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel re: oral argument follow-up	0.20																		
8/11/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference re: oral argument and follow-up	0.50																		
8/12/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel	0.10																		
8/13/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference; emails re: new decision	0.20																		
9/5/2014	Cramer	Review Seventh Circuit opinion; emails with Hickman	0.30																		
9/16/2014	Cramer	Emails re: cert and opinion	0.20																		
9/18/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel	0.10																		
10/1/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference	0.20																		
10/3/2014	Cramer	Emails with counsel re: opinion and strategy	0.10																		
10/6/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference; review cert denials; emails with counsel	0.20																		
10/9/2014	Cramer	Prepare for conference; Cramer/Farringer/Hickman conference re: contingencies and next steps	1.10																		
10/10/2014	Cramer	Work on review of case to date; review and summarize arguments; emails with counsel re: next steps	1.90																		
10/13/2014	Cramer	Emails and conference with counsel	0.30																		
10/14/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference; email with Harbison; email with counsel	1.00																		
10/30/2014	Cramer	Email with S. Minter re: First Circuit and Fifth Circuit	0.20																		
11/6/2014	Cramer	Review opinion; numerous emails re: next steps; conference calls with counsel	3.60																		
11/7/2014	Cramer	Conference call re: cert petition; outline arguments for cert; numerous emails with counsel	3.70																		
11/10/2014	Cramer	Work on materials re: case presentation and cert petition	2.30	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/11/2014	Cramer	Cramer/Hickman conference; emails with counsel	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/12/2014	Cramer	Emails about cert petition	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/13/2014	Cramer	Work on cert petition; multiple emails re: same; conference re: appendix	3.70	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/14/2014	Cramer	Multiple emails re: cert petition; Cramer/Harbison conference	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/17/2014	Cramer	Emails re: filings; review Michigan petition	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative																	
11/18/2014	Cramer	Emails re: petition; review documents	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative																	

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
7/15/2015	Cramer	Emails re: order and compliance	0.20															
7/17/2015	Cramer	Emails re: order	0.20															
7/20/2015	Cramer	Review edits from State re: proposed order	0.10															
7/21/2015	Cramer	Meeting re: order; emails re: same	1.00															
7/22/2015	Cramer	Emails re: revisions to order	0.10															
7/27/2015	Cramer	Conference and emails re: fee petition	0.20															
7/28/2015	Cramer	Emails and conference re: fee petition and order	0.30							block billing								
7/29/2015	Cramer	Work on fee petition; Cramer/Hickman conference re: lack of response from state re: order	0.40							block billing								
7/31/2015	Cramer	Emails re: State's response to proposed order	0.10															
8/3/2015	Cramer	Revise motion; Cramer/Hickman conference re: order	0.50							block billing								
8/11/2015	Cramer	Review AG response to motion to enter order	0.10															
8/12/2015	Cramer	Meeting re: fee petition; work on fee petition; work on reply	0.90							block billing								
8/13/2015	Cramer	Emails re: reply brief	0.10															
8/17/2015	Cramer	Review orders and mandate from Sixth Circuit	0.10															
8/21/2015	Cramer	Review time sheets; emails with counsel re: fee petition	0.20							block billing								
8/24/2015	Cramer	Review order; work on fee petition materials	0.80							block billing								
9/2/2015	Cramer	Work on fee petition materials	2.50															
9/9/2015	Cramer	Emails and conference re: attorney fee petition	0.20															
9/11/2015	Cramer	Emails and conference re: attorney fee petition	0.20															
9/22/2015	Cramer	Work on fee petition; Cramer/Hickman conference re: same	1.60							block billing								
9/24/2015	Cramer	Work on fee petition; emails with Hickman re: same	0.60							block billing								

Cramer Totals: 190.6 0 328.9 0 0.5 177.2 174.5 0.8 0.5 0

	overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related
Total Compensable Hours:	198.2	***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections								
Self-reduced Hours	25									
Rate:	475									
Subtotal:	82270.00									
Deduction -20% block billing:	16454									
Total payment:	65,816.00									

JOHN L. FARRINGER

8/14/2013	Farringer	Review public research; Farringer/Harbison conference re: strategy and standing; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same	0.60															
8/15/2013	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison conference re: call yesterday; prepare for review of legislative history	0.30							block billing								
8/16/2013	Farringer	Review legislative history	0.60							block billing								
8/22/2013	Farringer	Review news article; correspondence re: same; review tapes for legislative history and committee discussions; correspondence re: status; Farringer/Cramer conference	1.70							block billing								press/media related

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
8/26/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same and strategy	0.20																
									block billing										
8/28/2013	Farringer	Review legislative history; telephone conference with team; review research and drafts	0.70																
									block billing										
8/30/2013	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence; Farringer/Cramer conference re: status and strategy; review correspondence	0.40																
									block billing										
9/2/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence and documents from last week; review transcripts of legislative history; outline potential discussion topics	1.00																
									block billing										
9/3/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: plaintiff profile; review profiles	0.30																
									block billing										
9/9/2013	Farringer	Review draft letter to UT re: benefits; correspondence re: same	0.30																
									block billing									client services	
9/10/2013	Farringer	Review AG opinions and multiple correspondence re: same	0.30																
									block billing										
9/13/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: conference call	0.10																
9/16/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence; telephone conference with team re: strategy	0.50																
									block billing										
9/17/2013	Farringer	Correspondence and research re: rules on using initials or pseudonym for plaintiffs	0.30																
									block billing										
9/18/2013	Farringer	Review article re: DOL announcement; correspondence re: same	0.20																
									block billing										press/media related
9/19/2013	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence re: potential plaintiffs; conference re same	0.30																
9/22/2013	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence re: deed, register and defendants; correspondence re: same	0.30																
									block billing									client services	
9/23/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence re: potential plaintiffs; review article and comments and correspondence re: same; telephone conference with team; review legislative history tapes and summary; listen to legislative history	3.10																
									block billing										press/media related
9/24/2013	Farringer	Work on legislative history review and transcriptions	0.20																
9/25/2013	Farringer	Farringer/Cramer conference re: transcripts; work on transcriptions; correspondence with team re: same	0.60																
									block billing										
9/29/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: draft complaint, plaintiffs, recording deed and legislative history	0.40																
10/1/2013	Farringer	Review letter to register of deeds and multiple correspondence re: same	0.30																
									block billing									client service	
10/2/2013	Farringer	Review letter response re: deed; multiple correspondence re: same and press release; internal conferences	0.60																
									block billing									client service	
10/3/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: contacting commissioner of insurance office	0.30																
																		client service	
10/8/2013	Farringer	Review draft complaint; telephone conference with team	1.00																
									block billing										
10/9/2013	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: complaint and strategy; multiple correspondence re: defendants and pleadings	0.70																
									block billing										
10/10/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: strategy on plaintiffs; review draft complaint and correspondence re: same; work on complaint	1.40																
									block billing										

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
10/11/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: call; telephone conference re: standing issue	0.20																
10/14/2013	Farringer	Review amendments to complaint and correspondence re: same	0.20																
10/15/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: complaint, engagement and pro hac vice motions	0.30																
10/16/2013	Farringer	Review draft email to plaintiffs; conference and telephone conference with team re: finalizing complaint	2.00																
10/17/2013	Farringer	Review engagement letter; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: edits and filing logistics	0.30																
10/18/2013	Farringer	Work on civil cover sheet; conferences and correspondence re: edits to same; edits to complaint and engagement letter; review press release and correspondence re: edits to complaint	0.60																
10/20/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: edits and meeting; Farringer/Hickman conference re: edits and filing; final review of complaint	0.80																
10/21/2013	Farringer	Multiple conferences with team and final edits to complaint for filing; correspondence re: news	0.90																press/media related
10/22/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: Harbison meeting with B. Cooper and immigration issue	0.20																
10/23/2013	Farringer	Review notice of setting initial case management conference; correspondence re: same	0.20																
10/31/2013	Farringer	Review notice of appearance for defendants; correspondence re: same	0.20																
11/8/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: affidavits and motion; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same	0.30																
11/11/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence and draft arguments for injunction hearing	0.20																
11/12/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: extension of time for AG's Answer	0.20																
11/13/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: historical statutes and revised declarations; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; review draft declarations; correspondence re: same	0.70																
11/14/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: declarations and draft brief; review edits from multiple co-counsel and correspondence re: same	0.50																
11/15/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence re: edits to documents; review ancillary documents describing filing; multiple correspondence re: upcoming filing	0.60																
11/17/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: latest versions of filings and citing legislative history; review answer filed by state; correspondence re: answer and legislative history	0.40																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/18/2013	Farringer	Work on memorandum of law; multiple correspondence re: same; Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: same; draft motion for preliminary injunction; work on all filings and correspondence re: same; multiple conferences re: same; review correspondence and final edits	4.30																
11/19/2013	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence and edits to motion and memorandum; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; work on motion; correspondence re: edits to motion and filing; work on declarations and notice of filing; finalize all documents for filing; review filings and press release	2.00																
11/20/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence; multiple correspondence re: clients and press; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; review articles	0.70																press/media related
11/26/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence re: oral argument; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.20																
12/1/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence and public reports	0.30																
12/5/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: answer and telephone call	0.10																
12/8/2013	Farringer	Review response and affidavit filed by state and correspondence re: same	0.50																
12/9/2013	Farringer	Review filings; correspondence with team re: same	0.60																
12/10/2013	Farringer	Share thoughts and insights with Cramer to providing during conference call re: response to motion and call this afternoon	0.20																
12/11/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence re: topics and tasks; Farringer/Cramer conference re: tasks for reply; correspondence re: division of labor	0.40																
12/15/2013	Farringer	Work on irreparable harm section	1.90																
12/16/2013	Farringer	Farringer/Cramer conference; work on irreparable harm insert	0.30																
12/17/2013	Farringer	Work on irreparable harm insert; correspondence re: same	0.50																
12/18/2013	Farringer	Correspondence re: draft reply and filing procedure	0.20																
12/19/2013	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: reply, edits and filing	0.40																
12/20/2013	Farringer	Work on reply brief; multiple correspondence re: same	0.40																
12/23/2013	Farringer	Analyze Utah and New Mexico opinions	0.80																
12/24/2013	Farringer	Review correspondence re: supplemental filing; review notice of filing and correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman telephone conference re: filing; multiple correspondence re: same	0.40																
1/2/2014	Farringer	Review Sevier filings; telephone conference with T. Wiseman re: same; correspondence with T. Wiseman re: same	1.40																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS										
3/18/2014	Farringer	Review correspondence; correspondence with S. Jesty; review motion and appeal from state; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: same; multiple correspondence re: same; review and edit draft response brief; correspondence re: same	1.40											
3/19/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: edits to brief and media reports; review new versions of brief; correspondence re: comments and edits for brief; Farringer/Hickman telephone conference with S. Minter; multiple correspondence re: final versions; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: same	1.60											press/media related
3/20/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: stay in Kentucky case and possible supplemental filings; review order denying stay; multiple correspondence re: same as Sixth Circuit appearance	0.50											
3/22/2014	Farringer	Review documents from Michigan case; multiple correspondence re: same and stay	0.30											
3/24/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: articles, mediation and letter; Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: strategy	0.40											press/media related
3/25/2014	Farringer	Review motion to stay; multiple correspondence re: same, case manager and briefing schedule; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; review draft settlement letter; correspondence re: Michigan stay; review Michigan opinion	1.30											
3/26/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: case manager call; response to motion and letter; Farringer/Harbison conference re: letter	0.50											
3/27/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: letter and strategy	0.20											
3/28/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: birth certificate issue; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same; multiple correspondence re: same and updates; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: same	0.70											client service
3/30/2014	Farringer	Review and edit brief opposing stay on appeal; correspondence re: same	0.50											
4/1/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: response; multiple correspondence re: same, other cases and health insurance; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: insurance issue	0.40											client service
4/2/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: insurance issue; Farringer/Hickman conference re: mediation at Sixth Circuit and correspondence re: same; review corporate disclosure statement from Defendants; review draft affidavit; Farringer/Cramer/Hickman conference re: same; review corporate disclosure	1.10											

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
4/3/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: affidavit and Sixth Circuit rules; review Sixth Circuit rules; review new version of affidavit; correspondence re: same	0.40																	
4/4/2014	Farringer	Review edits to brief and declaration; correspondence re: same	0.30																	
4/8/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference; multiple correspondence re: Sixth Circuit mediation	0.20																	
4/10/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: call with case manager and mediation; correspondence re: proposed article and review article	0.30																	
4/14/2014	Farringer	Review Ohio opinion; multiple correspondence re: same and strategy	0.40																	
4/20/2014	Farringer	Review correspondence re: insurance and social security card	0.20																	
4/21/2014	Farringer	Review Indiana and Ohio opinions; correspondence re: same	0.20																	
4/25/2014	Farringer	Review court order on stay; multiple correspondence re: same and correspondence with clients; telephone conference with S. Minter	0.40																	
5/7/2014	Farringer	Review Appellant brief; correspondence re: same	0.60																	
5/8/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: brief and strategy; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same; correspondence re: arguments; multiple correspondence re: amicus briefs	0.60																	
5/9/2014	Farringer	Review notice of appearance for amicus curiae; conference call with M. Campbell; correspondence re: same; review amicus motion; multiple correspondence re: same and consent	1.10																	
5/11/2014	Farringer	Review filings and correspondence from Friday; multiple correspondence re: same	0.30																	
5/12/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: amicus and briefing	0.20																	
5/13/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman/Harbison conferences re: blanket consent and conference with S. Minter re: same	0.60																	
5/14/2014	Farringer	Review amicus briefs and correspondence re: Idaho and other matters; multiple correspondence re: our brief and amicus briefs	0.70																	
5/20/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison conference re: briefs and correspondence with S. Minter	0.20																	
5/21/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: consolidation and oral argument	0.30																	
5/22/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: brief and telephone conference	0.30																	
5/23/2014	Farringer	Review file to prepare for call; conference call with team	0.80																	
5/27/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: call with case manager and strategy on oral argument	0.30																	
5/29/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: option of asking for full review on appeal	0.30																	
5/30/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: C. Sevier and intervention; review papers	0.30																	

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
6/3/2014	Farringer	Review draft brief and multiple correspondence re: same; review questionnaire and correspondence re: same	0.40																
6/4/2014	Farringer	Review brief and comments; correspondence re: brief and suggestions	0.60																
6/9/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: edits to brief; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; final review of brief and edits to same; review court order; finalize and file brief; correspondence re: same	4.70																
6/10/2014	Farringer	Review briefing letter; conference re: same and new filing; multiple correspondence re: same; review corrected brief	0.50																
6/11/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: oral argument; conference re: same	0.30																
6/12/2014	Farringer	Review filings; correspondence re: same	0.20																
6/16/2014	Farringer	Review amicus brief of American Psychological Association, et al.; review multiple other amicus briefs; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; review additional amicus briefs	1.80																
6/17/2014	Farringer	Review amicus briefs; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same and oral argument; work on oral argument acknowledgement form; correspondence re: amicus briefs; Farringer/Cramer conference re: briefs; correspondence re: article and amicus briefs	1.10																
6/18/2014	Farringer	Review articles and correspondence re: same	0.20																press/media related
6/25/2014	Farringer	Review 10th Circuit opinion; multiple correspondence re: same; Farringer/Harbison conference re: supplemental filing; correspondence re: letter; draft letter to court; send draft letter to group; multiple correspondence re: same	2.20																
6/26/2014	Farringer	Edit Rule 28(j) letter; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same; finalize and file letter; review reply brief of government; correspondence re: same	0.90																
6/30/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Cramer conferences re: argument notes; review notice of appearance; correspondence re: same	0.30																
7/3/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: mock argument; review court order on amicus motions; review Kentucky opinion; correspondence re: quote for oral argument	0.40																
7/7/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: hearing and logistics; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.30																
7/8/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: hearing; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conferences re: preparation and meeting; correspondence re: preparation	0.50																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																		
7/14/2014	Farringer	Review order from court re: oral argument; correspondence re: same; correspondence re: preparation meeting	0.40																			
7/15/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: argument and meeting	0.20																			
7/16/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: hearing and preparation; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.30																			
7/17/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: C. Sevier correspondence and lawsuit; correspondence re: oral argument and meeting	0.30																			
7/21/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: hearing and correspondence; multiple correspondence re: panel	0.30																			
7/23/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: panel; review letter to 6th Circuit re: oral argument; review articles by judges	0.30																			
7/24/2014	Farringer	Review documents from C. Sevier; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.30																			
7/25/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: team call and moot court room; work on appellate room; review outline for call; review correspondence and notes; conference call with S. Minter; conference call with team re: oral argument strategy; correspondence re: same	2.60																			
7/27/2014	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: moot court and logistics	0.20																			
7/28/2014	Farringer	Review memo on amicus briefs; multiple correspondence re: moot court and planning session; multiple conferences and calls re: same; conference call with S. Minter re: strategy; multiple correspondence re: moot court and meeting and logistics; review 4th Circuit opinion and correspondence re: same; Farringer/Harbison conference re: hearing and moot court	2.60																			
7/29/2014	Farringer	Correspondence re: moot court; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same and strategy; Farringer/Harbison conference re: strategy, arguments and potential questions from panel; multiple correspondence re: same; review summary of panel judges' past decisions	1.70																			
7/30/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: Rule 28(j) letter and argument; review recent opinions; conference re: same; correspondence re: moot court	0.60																			
7/31/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: Rule 28(j) letter; review file; meeting with teams from all states; moot court	6.30	overstaffing/duplicative																		
8/1/2014	Farringer	Review correspondence and notes from moot court; meeting with Tennessee team to prepare for oral argument	2.60																			

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/6/2014	Farringer	Review opinion and judgment; multiple correspondence re: same; John L. Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same; conference call with S. Minter re: same; correspondence re: call; review articles on opinion	1.60																
11/7/2014	Farringer	Review opinion; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: opinion and strategy; multiple correspondence with team; conference call with all counsel re: strategy; review Utah and Idaho briefs	1.50																
11/9/2014	Farringer	Review article on clients; multiple correspondence re: same and retaining Supreme Court counsel	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/10/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: correspondence and strategy; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/11/2014	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: brief strategy; multiple correspondence re: potential article; Farringer/Harbison conference re: brief and argument	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/12/2014	Farringer	Review reports on South Carolina case; multiple correspondence re: draft brief; plan for meeting re: draft brief; review cases for brief; review and comment on draft petition	1.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/13/2014	Farringer	Review correspondence and edits to brief; review brief; Farringer/Cramer/Hickman conference re: edits; review draft appendix; research re: past exceptions to recognition; multiple correspondence re: further edits; correspondence re: quotes for press; review additional edits	2.90	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/14/2014	Farringer	Review final version of brief and appendix; multiple correspondence re: same; review correspondence and articles re: petitions of other states; correspondence re: filing; review articles	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/17/2014	Farringer	Review petitions from Michigan and Kentucky and correspondence re: same; multiple correspondence re: response, reply and conference timing	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/18/2014	Farringer	Review Michigan petition; correspondence re: deadlines	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/20/2014	Farringer	Review report re: stay denial in South Carolina case; correspondence re: same	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/23/2014	Farringer	Review article on case and correspondence re: same and plaintiffs' status	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/25/2014	Farringer	Review report re: Michigan supporting petition; correspondence re: same and contacting attorney general	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/10/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: mock argument; Farringer/Harbisson conference re: same; review correspondence; work on travel logistics; Farringer/Hickman conference; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference call with S. Minter re: choosing argument presenter	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/11/2015	Farringer	Conference call with teams re: oral argument and motion to divide; conference re: mock argument and logistics; correspondence re: moot court judges and location	1.60	overstaffing/duplicative						unnecessary	excessive								
3/12/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: moot court judges; research potential judges; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; multiple correspondence re: moot off; Farringer/Harbisson conference re: same	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/13/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: moot arguments and Michigan announcement on solicitor general; conference call with several state's lawyers re: argument strategy	0.90	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/14/2015	Farringer	Review draft letter to clerk on splitting arguments and edits to same; multiple correspondence re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/15/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: letter and clerk on argument strategy; review final draft of combined letter	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/16/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: letter to clerk; Farringer/Harbisson/Hickman conference re: same; conference call with S. Minter re: same; multiple correspondence re: all lawyer call; review new version of letter to clerk; multiple correspondence re: same; review edits to letter; conference call with all states' teams re: letter and argument; review new version of letter to clerk; multiple correspondence re: same	4.20	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/17/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: final edits to letter; correspondence re: press release; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; correspondence re: letter and talking points; review news coverage re: letter	1.20	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/18/2015	Farringer	Review articles; multiple correspondence re: same and argument; multiple correspondence re: call from clerk and need for motion; multiple correspondence re: strategy on motion, letter and argument	0.90	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								
3/19/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: update from clerk, strategy re: letter or motion on oralists and call	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative						unnecessary	excessive								
3/22/2015	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence and articles re: oralists decision; correspondence re: panel for moot argument	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative						unnecessary	excessive								
3/23/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: moot argument and strategy; work on logistics for argument; correspondence re: moot argument	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing					unnecessary	excessive								

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/24/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: moot argument on 3/25; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same and Michigan moot	0.3	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/25/2015	Farringer	Attend moot argument in Louisville; conferences re: same	10.30	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/26/2015	Farringer	Review amicus briefs in support of respondents; correspondence re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/27/2015	Farringer	Review various amicus briefs and correspondence re: same; review the states' briefs; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/28/2015	Farringer	Review additional amicus brief; multiple correspondence re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/29/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: moot argument on question one; review draft letter on designation of counsel	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/30/2015	Farringer	Review edits to letter to clerk and correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: mock argument yesterday; multiple correspondence with all counsel re: letter and contacting clerk; review additional amicus briefs; multiple correspondence re: letter to clerk and announcement on website	1.40	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
3/31/2015	Farringer	Review additional amicus briefs; review articles and correspondence re: oralists announcement	0.60	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/1/2015	Farringer	Review additional amicus briefs; Farringer/Hickman conference re: mock arguments and argument	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/2/2015	Farringer	Review amicus briefs; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; review additional amicus briefs; Farringer/Hickman conference re: call with D. Hallward-Driemeier and moot argument in Nashville; legal research re: marriage definition; review amicus briefs	1.80	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/3/2015	Farringer	Review and comment on outline of reply brief; multiple correspondence re: same; review additional amicus briefs; Farringer/Hickman conference re: Michigan brief and strategy; review order on oral argument; review additional amicus briefs; correspondence re: same	2.10	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/4/2015	Farringer	Review amicus briefs and correspondence re: same	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/6/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: mock argument; review article on international law briefs	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											
4/7/2015	Farringer	Review correspondence; Farringer/Harbson/Hickman conference re: mock argument and updates; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; correspondence re: same; review additional amicus brief	2.00	overstaffing/duplicative				block billing											

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/8/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: mock argument in Nashville; review additional amicus briefs; telephone conference with J. Usman; Farringer/Hickman conference re: mock argument; review articles and research; multiple correspondence re: same; correspondence with J. Usman	1.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/9/2015	Farringer	Correspondence with J. Usman; multiple correspondence re: mock argument; Farringer/Hickman conference; Farringer/Harbison conference; review full faith and credit portion of reply brief draft; correspondence re: same	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/10/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; review charts on amicus briefs and correspondence re: same	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/12/2015	Farringer	Review multiple correspondence and comments on draft reply; review and edit latest draft reply; multiple correspondence re: same; review additional edits	2.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/13/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: reply brief, article and oral argument; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same; correspondence re: argument and articles; final review and edits of reply brief; correspondence re: same	1.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/14/2015	Farringer	Review edits to reply brief and correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same and oral argument; correspondence re: oral argument and edits to reply brief	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/15/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: reply brief, Georgetown moot argument and Nashville moot argument; Farringer/J. Scott Hickman conference re: same and seating; review final brief; correspondence re: same	1.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/16/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: brief and argument; Farringer/Hickman conference re: argument and calls; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: questions for oralists at mock argument	1.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/17/2015	Farringer	Review filed reply briefs of all states; correspondence re: same; correspondence re: moot argument on 4/20; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; video conference with counsel to prepare	1.60	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/19/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: moot argument; draft questions for panel at moot court tomorrow; multiple correspondence re: same; meet with D. Hallward-Driemeier, T. Brown and S. Minter, Hickman and Cramer to discuss case and mock argument	2.60	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/20/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: meeting and mock arguments; prepare for mock argument; attend mock argument	5.40	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/21/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: mock argument review and trip for arguments; work on documents to bring to argument; review articles on case; correspondence re: mock arguments and argument; prepare documents for mock arguments	1.90	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/22/2015	Farringer	Travel to Washington, D.C.; prepare for moot argument; moot argument at Howard School; conference with all attorneys re: moot and strategy	13.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/23/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: case and strategy on several issues	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/24/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference call re: meetings yesterday, argument introduction and strategy; review correspondence	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/26/2015	Farringer	Review articles; multiple correspondence re: same, logistics for argument and media; Farringer/Hickman conference re: argument refinements, introduction and meetings	2.10	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/27/2015	Farringer	Work on Supreme Court entry and logistics; Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference; attend group meeting; review motion to recuse and correspondence re: same	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/28/2015	Farringer	Attend oral argument; meetings re: same	7.80	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/29/2015	Farringer	Travel from Washington, D.C. to Nashville; review articles on argument	4.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/15/2015	Farringer	Review correspondence; Farringer/Hickman conference re: strategy postdecision and making judgment final	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/18/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: call, motion for final order strategy and article	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/19/2015	Farringer	Review correspondence; Farringer/Hickman conference re: call yesterday and strategy on motion	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/12/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: decision timing	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/16/2015	Farringer	Review analysis on forthcoming opinion; correspondence re: same and timing	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/21/2015	Farringer	Review multiple articles and correspondence re: Tennessee Attorney General and Governor on plans after decision	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/26/2015	Farringer	Review Supreme Court opinion; multiple correspondence and conference calls re: same; review reports on decision; multiple correspondence re: opinion; Farringer/Harbison conference re: call with Attorney General's office; correspondence re: same	3.10																
6/29/2015	Farringer	Review correspondence; Farringer/Harbison conference re: fee application	0.30																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
6/30/2015	Farringer	Review and edit draft order for district court; Farringer/Hickman/Cramer correspondence re: same	0.40																
7/1/2015	Farringer	Work on proposed order for district court; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; Farringer/Cramer/Hickman conference re: same and fee application; review order; conference with other counsel re: fee application	0.90																
7/2/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: Ropes & Gray fees and affidavits supporting fee application; legal research re: fee application; correspondence re: same; review draft trial order	0.40																
7/3/2015	Farringer	Review articles and multiple correspondence re: clerks refusing to issue licenses and strategy re: same; multiple correspondence re: draft order for district court	0.40																
7/6/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: proposed order; review correspondence and article on effect of ruling	0.30																
7/7/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: application for fees strategy; legal research re: same; Farringer/Harblison conference re: same and supporting affidavits; work on proposed order and correspondence with Tennessee Attorney General re: same	0.80																
7/8/2015	Farringer	Legal research for fee application; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Cramer/Hickman conference re: same; review report on couples denied licenses and correspondence re: same	0.70																
7/9/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harblison/Hickman/Cramer conference re: fee application strategy	0.20																
7/13/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: enforcement question with state employee and health benefits; correspondence re: effective date of decision; legal research re: both issues; multiple correspondence with team re: analysis	1.00																
7/14/2015	Farringer	Correspondence with co-counsel re: implementation issue and attorney general opinion	0.10																
7/17/2015	Farringer	Review revised order from state; correspondence re: same; review articles on fee awards analysis; correspondence re: same	0.40																
7/19/2015	Farringer	Review state's edits to order; multiple correspondence re: state's edits to order and strategy; review complaint; correspondence re: same; multiple correspondence re: state providing benefits under opinion to same sex parties	0.60																
7/20/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: order; review edits to order; correspondence re: same	0.20																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
7/21/2015	Farringer	Review correspondence re: order; compare competing orders; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman/Cramer conference re: same; correspondence re: order and fee petition; review proposed compromise order; correspondence re: same	1.30																	
7/22/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: new version of order and strategy; review revised order; correspondence re: call with Attorney General's Office	0.40																	
7/23/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: Attorney General's communication and draft motion on fees	0.20																	
7/24/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: motion to file today and Attorney General's edit; multiple correspondence re: same; review filed motion	0.20																	
7/27/2015	Farringer	Review Michigan fee application; correspondence re: same; Farringer/Harbison/Hickman conference re: same and our application; review order on motion to set fee schedule; correspondence re: same	0.50																	
7/28/2015	Farringer	Review final judgment and cost assessment; correspondence re: same and fee application; review articles re: R. Womick instructing clerk to ignore case; correspondence re: same	0.40																	
7/30/2015	Farringer	Review AG's edits to order; multiple correspondence re: same	0.30																	
7/31/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman/Cramer conference re: competing final orders and strategy; correspondence re: same	0.30																	
8/3/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: final order and motion for final order; work on draft motion; correspondence re: same	0.40																	
8/4/2015	Farringer	Review court of appeals citing Obergefell; correspondence re: same; multiple correspondence re: motion for final order, edits and strategy	0.50																	
8/5/2015	Farringer	Multiple correspondence re: proposed order and filing; internal conference re: same	0.20																	
8/7/2015	Farringer	Final review of motion for final order; work on filing same	0.30																	
8/11/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: fee application and Ohio opinion on enforcement; review court correspondence re: opposition to proposed final order and state's response; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same and fee application; review response and alternate order filed by state; multiple correspondence re: same	0.60																	

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS													
8/12/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison conference re: response to proposed order and fee application; correspondence re: same; John L. Farringer/Hickman conference call with D. Hallward-Driemeier and T. Brown; Farringer/Harbison/Cramer/Hickman conference re: response to proposed order, fee application and supporting affidavits; work on reply in support of motion for entry of order; multiple correspondence re: same and edits	2.50														
8/13/2015	Farringer	Review new version of reply; multiple correspondence re: same	0.40														
8/18/2015	Farringer	Review order and mandate from 6th Circuit and notice from district court; correspondence re: enforcement cases; Farringer/Hickman conference re: invoices and fee application	0.60														
8/19/2015	Farringer	Work on fee application issues; Hickman/Farringer conference re: same and confidentiality issue	0.40														
8/22/2015	Farringer	Review time records; correspondence re: fee application	0.30														
8/24/2015	Farringer	Review Kentucky fee petition; correspondence re: same; review filed order and memorandum; multiple correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same and fee application	0.50														
8/25/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Harbison conference re: order and memorandum yesterday and strategy on fee application	0.20														
9/2/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: declarations for fee petition; review declarations; multiple correspondence re: fee petition and related issues; work on declarations	0.70														
9/18/2015	Farringer	Farringer/Hickman conference re: fee application and all counsel time sheets	0.20														
9/21/2015	Farringer	Work on fee petition; correspondence re: same	1.20														
9/22/2015	Farringer	Work on fee application; multiple correspondence re: same; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same and edits	0.40														
9/23/2015	Farringer	Correspondence re: fee application and exhibits; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same; review and edit fee petition	1.00														
9/24/2015	Farringer	Work on fee petition; correspondence re: same; review table of all fees; correspondence with team re: same	0.40														
9/25/2015	Farringer	Legal research on timesheets for fee petition; Farringer/Hickman conference re: same	0.20														
		Farringer Totals:	280.4		151.7	0	253.8	0	3.1	131.4	126.9	2.9	0.7				13.7
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related				

Total Compensable Hours:	106.9
Self-reduced Hours	25
Rate:	425
Subtotal:	34807.50
Deduction -20% block billing:	6961.5
Total payment:	27,846.00

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
3/11/2014	Harbison	Review motion to ascertain status; emails and conference re same	0.60																		
3/12/2014	Harbison	Review emails and court ruling; telephone conferences regarding same	1.60																		
3/17/2014	Harbison	Prepare for and attend telephone conference regarding next steps	2.00																		
3/18/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference; review pleadings; emails	1.50																		
3/19/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman/Farringer conference; review emails and draft response to Motion to Stay	1.30																		
3/20/2014	Harbison	Emails and conference; read court order	1.00																		
3/24/2014	Harbison	Review emails; Harbison/Farringer/Cramer/Hickman conference	0.60																		
3/25/2014	Harbison	Emails; Harbison/Farringer/Cramer conference	1.00																		
3/28/2014	Harbison	Emails; Harbison/Farringer/Hickman conferences; telephone conference with J. Lambert	1.50																		client service
3/31/2014	Harbison	Review and edit brief and emails	1.00																		
4/14/2014	Harbison	Review Ohio opinion; email; Harbison/Hickman conference	1.00																		
4/25/2014	Harbison	Review emails and Court of Appeals ruling	1.00																		
5/8/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Farringer conference; review brief	1.00																		
5/13/2014	Harbison	Emails ; Harbison/Farringer conference	0.50																		
5/27/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference with 6th Circuit; Harbison/Hickman; email	1.50																		
6/4/2014	Harbison	Review appellate brief; emails	2.50																		
6/5/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference with Minister; review emails	0.50																		
6/9/2014	Harbison	Review brief; emails	1.30																		
6/11/2014	Harbison	Emails; telephone conference with Rubinfeld; review file	1.50																		
6/17/2014	Harbison	Review amicus briefs; emails	4.50																		
6/18/2014	Harbison	Review briefs; emails; Harbison/Hickman conference; telephone conference with Minister	2.50																		
6/24/2014	Harbison	Review amicus briefs	1.50																		
6/25/2014	Harbison	Review 10th Circuit opinion; emails; review supplemental authority filing; Harbison/Farringer conference	3.60																		
6/26/2014	Harbison	Review brief; email; research; Harbison/Farringer conference	1.60																		
7/7/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference regarding oral argument; telephone conference with Sixth Circuit; emails	1.00																		
7/11/2014	Harbison	Telephone conferences; emails	1.00																		
7/28/2014	Harbison	Emails; Harbison/Farringer telephone conference; telephone conference with Zanbert	2.50																		
7/29/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Farringer conference; prepare for argument	3.00																		
7/30/2014	Harbison	Prepare for agreement; meet with counsel	4.00																		
7/31/2014	Harbison	Meet with counsel to prepare for argument	7.00																		
8/1/2014	Harbison	Meet with counsel; prepare for argument	8.00																		
8/2/2014	Harbison	Prepare for argument	8.00																		
8/3/2014	Harbison	Prepare for argument	7.00																		

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS
8/4/2014	Harbison	Prepare for argument	4.00	
8/5/2014	Harbison	Prepare for argument in Cincinnati, OH	10.00	
8/6/2014	Harbison	Argument; meetings	12.00	block billing
9/17/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman/Farringer conference regarding appeal	0.40	
10/6/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; research regarding court denials; emails	1.50	block billing
10/14/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; telephone conference with counsel regarding status and appeal; review 6th Circuit judges	2.00	block billing
10/15/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference with R. Lambert; review emails regarding Sixth Circuit strategy	1.20	block billing
11/6/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference calls and emails regarding Sixth Circuit ruling	2.30	block billing
11/7/2014	Harbison	Telephone conference calls; emails; meeting regarding Sixth Circuit decision; telephone conference with counsel	1.50	block billing
11/13/2014	Harbison	Review and revise court petition; meet with counsel	1.50	block billing
11/14/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding cert petition	0.50	
11/17/2014	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; emails regarding court petition	0.65	block billing
11/26/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding supreme court appeal	0.80	
12/4/2014	Harbison	Review and respond to emails	0.50	
12/8/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding certified petition	1.00	
12/9/2014	Harbison	Review Email and attachments	1.50	
12/10/2014	Harbison	Emails	0.60	
12/15/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding Supreme Court case	0.50	
12/16/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding Supreme Court	1.00	
12/19/2014	Harbison	Emails regarding briefs	0.50	
12/22/2014	Harbison	Emails	0.50	
1/9/2015	Harbison	Review and respond to emails, including attachments	1.00	
1/11/2015	Harbison	Emails	1.00	
1/12/2015	Harbison	Emails	0.30	
1/16/2015	Harbison	Emails and telephone conferences regarding Supreme Court grant of cert	7.00	block billing
1/19/2015	Harbison	Telephone conference with S. Minter; telephone conference with TN counsel group; emails	2.50	block billing
1/20/2015	Harbison	Conference with counsel regarding Supreme Court issues	1.00	
1/29/2015	Harbison	Meet with client and R. Lambert	2.50	
2/2/2015	Harbison	Emails with counsel regarding Supreme Court	0.50	
2/9/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; emails; review court decision	1.00	block billing
2/10/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; emails	0.30	block billing
2/16/2015	Harbison	Emails	0.50	
2/20/2015	Harbison	Emails and review draft briefs	2.00	block billing
2/21/2015	Harbison	Emails; review draft	2.00	block billing
2/22/2015	Harbison	Emails; review drafts	2.00	block billing
2/23/2015	Harbison	Review emails and briefs	1.00	block billing
2/24/2015	Harbison	Emails; telephone conference with Minter; meet with counsel; review and revise brief	3.00	block billing

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS											
4/29/2015	Harbison	Travel from Washington, D.C. to Nashville, TN	4.50												
5/15/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; emails	0.30						block billing						
5/18/2015	Harbison	Conference call with counsel; Harbison/Hickman conference	1.00												
5/20/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference re: post-judgment motions and related issues	1.00								unnecessary				
6/24/2015	Harbison	Review emails; meet with counsel	2.50						block billing						
6/26/2015	Harbison	Review court opinion; meet with counsel	6.00						block billing						
6/27/2015	Harbison	Review opinion	1.50												
6/28/2015	Harbison	Review opinion	1.00												
6/29/2015	Harbison	Review opinion dissents; Harbison/Hickman conference re: filing to be made post-opinion; telephone conference with S. Minter; emails with counsel	3.00												
6/30/2015	Harbison	Review opinion; telephone conference with counsel re: next steps	1.00						block billing						
7/6/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference re: order	0.30												
7/7/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; email; review draft order	1.00						block billing						
7/21/2015	Harbison	Meet with counsel re: final order; telephone conference with Martin and Hallward-Driemeier re: same; review draft order	3.60												
7/22/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; telephone conference with M. Campbell; emails re: final order	1.40						block billing						
7/23/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference re: final order; review emails re: motion for time to file fee application	0.50						block billing						
7/28/2015	Harbison	Review final order; emails	0.30						block billing						
8/4/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference re: final order; emails re: same	0.20						block billing						
8/6/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman re: final order; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: same	0.50						block billing						
8/11/2015	Harbison	Emails re: final order	0.30												
8/12/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Cramer/Hickman/Farringer conference re: final order and reply; emails re: same; revise and edit reply	1.50						block billing						
8/13/2015	Harbison	Harbison/Hickman conference; emails; edit response to AG re: final order	0.60						block billing						
		Harbison Totals:	338.25	0	0	254.45	0	0	21.5	0	1.5	0.5	0		
		Total Compensable Hours:	314.75												
		Self-reduced hours	25												
		Rate:	575												
		Subtotal:	166606.25												
		Deduction -20% block billing:	33321.25												
		Total payment:	133,285.00												
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related		
				***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections											
J. SCOTT															
HICKMAN															
8/14/2013	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conferences; Hickman/Cramer conference call with other counsel	2.30						block billing						
8/15/2013	Hickman	Conference call; legal research; Hickman/Cramer conference	1.80						block billing						
8/19/2013	Hickman	Legal research re: 6th Circuit intimate association cases	1.70												

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
8/21/2013	Hickman	Further legal research	2.00																
8/22/2013	Hickman	Legal research re: 6th Circuit Intimate Assoc. cases; Hickman/Cramer conference	2.50																
8/23/2013	Hickman	Conference call and internal conferences	0.90																
8/28/2013	Hickman	Legal research; draft memo re: 6th Circuit case	2.30																
9/3/2013	Hickman	Telephone conference with A. Orr	0.30																
9/6/2013	Hickman	Conference call with non-S&R counsel	0.50																
9/10/2013	Hickman	Emails with counsel re: TN Attorney General's opinion; review same	0.30																
9/19/2013	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer/Farringer conference re: status of complaint	0.30																
9/23/2013	Hickman	Conference call with co-counsel and various emails	2.30																
10/1/2013	Hickman	Legal research and draft complaint sections re: deprivations under Tennessee law	2.20																
10/2/2013	Hickman	Draft insert to complaint re: Tennessee law and legal research re: same	2.40																
10/3/2013	Hickman	Telephone conference with C. Stoll re: complaint	0.20																
10/4/2013	Hickman	Revise insert for complaint and email C. Stoll re: same	0.40																
10/7/2013	Hickman	Telephone conference with A. Orr; status emails re: complaint; Conference with Harbison and Cramer	0.60																
10/8/2013	Hickman	Review complaint and conference call; Hickman/Cramer conference	1.80																
10/9/2013	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference re: complaint and case status; follow up on same	0.70																
10/13/2013	Hickman	Revise complaint	2.60																
10/14/2013	Hickman	Revise complaint and circulate; Hickman/Cramer conference	1.60																
10/15/2013	Hickman	Conference call	1.00																
10/16/2013	Hickman	Various conferences re: draft complaint; work on same; conference call re: complaint	3.00																
10/17/2013	Hickman	Telephone conference with A. Orr and status emails with co-counsel; Hickman/Farringer conference	0.40																
10/18/2013	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conferences; various calls re: complaint; revise same	0.80																
10/21/2013	Hickman	Finalize Complaint for filing; meet with all counsel re: filing of answer; conferences with S. Minter re: final changes to Complaint; various emails and telephone conferences among counsel re: post-filing matters	6.20																
10/22/2013	Hickman	Numerous emails/telephone conferences among counsel re: Complaint/receipt of filings from Court, communications with Attorney General's office and service issues	1.80																
10/28/2013	Hickman	File motion to appear pro hac vice for R. Lambert	0.30																
10/30/2013	Hickman	Review and address emails re: potential motion for preliminary injunction	0.30																
10/31/2013	Hickman	Prepare and send correspondence to M. Campbell	0.20																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
1/2/2014	Hickman	Email S. Minter re: case management conference; Hickman/Cramer conference re: same; review motion to consolidate; telephone conference with T. Wiseman re: same; Hickman/Farringer conference	0.80																
1/3/2014	Hickman	Review FMLA article and email co-counsel re: additional damage claims; internal conferences re same	0.30																
1/7/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference re: case management conference	0.40																
1/8/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with C. Stoll, S. Minter, and D. Codell re: initial case management order; Hickman/Harbison conference re: case management order; review Idaho case management form	0.90																
1/9/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with Attorney General's office re: case management order; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer conferences with co-counsel re:same and draft initial case management order	2.60																
1/10/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with Shannon Minter, David Codell, and Chris Stoll re: ICM conference/order; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: case management order; numerous telephone conferences with co-counsel re: order; revise proposed order; telephone conference with Judge Trauger's office re: case management conference; emails with co-counsel re: case management conference status	4.20																
1/15/2014	Hickman	Review Oklahoma opinion; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.60																
1/22/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference; telephone conference with S. Minter re: effect of potential opinion; potential stay motion and related issues	0.80																
1/24/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference; telephone conference with S. Minter re: potential issues post opinion	0.80																
1/29/2014	Hickman	Review motion to intervene; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: same; emails with co-counsel re: same; Hickman/Farringer conference; review local rules re: response	1.40																
1/31/2014	Hickman	Review order and emails with co-counsel re: same	0.30																
2/4/2014	Hickman	Review draft press response	0.20																
2/6/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: timing of opinion/potential steps; conference with Cramer re same	0.30																
2/12/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Codell; review notice of filing, etc	0.50																
2/14/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: filing of supplemental notice, status of motion	0.40																
2/21/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter re: potential summary judgment motion; Hickman/Farringer/Harbison conference re: same	0.40																
2/26/2014	Hickman	Review motion to intervene; emails with co-counsel re: Texas ruling	0.80																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS									
2/27/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference re: potential TRO/motion to ascertain status	0.80										
3/3/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; conference call re: potential dismissal of some claims/plaintiffs; email M. Campbell	1.20										
3/4/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with M. Campbell; Hickman/Cramer conference; emails with co-counsel re: status of potential dismissal of certain parties	0.70										
3/5/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conference re: status of motions; prepare follow up	0.40										
3/6/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with M. Campbell; emails with co-counsel; draft stipulation of dismissal; Hickman/Cramer conference	0.80										
3/7/2014	Hickman	Emails with M. Campbell; revise stipulation; emails with co-counsel	0.60										
3/9/2014	Hickman	Draft response to motion to intervene	0.70										
3/10/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison conference; review draft response to motion and emails among counsel re: stipulation of dismissal; file stipulation of dismissal	0.80										
3/11/2014	Hickman	Various conferences with co-counsel re: motion to ascertain status and response to motion to intervene; Hickman/Harbison conference re: same; file motion and response	1.30										
3/14/2014	Hickman	Review order on preliminary injunction and related documents; Hickman/Farringer conference re: same; emails with co-counsel	0.80										
3/17/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conference; conference call with co-counsel re: granting of preliminary injunction motion, expectation of response from Attorney General's office and next steps; Hickman/Harbison conference	1.70										
3/18/2014	Hickman	Review stay motion and related documents; telephone conference with S. Minter; emails with co-counsel re: motion to stay and related matters	1.80										
3/19/2014	Hickman	Review and revise response to motion to stay; Hickman/Farringer/Harbison conference re: same; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same; emails with C. Stoll re: same; file response to motion to stay	2.60										
3/20/2014	Hickman	Various emails with co-counsel re: Kentucky ruling and pending stay motion; review order re: stay motion; various emails re: expected 6th Circuit motion to stay; Hickman/Harbison conference	1.10										
3/24/2014	Hickman	Conference with co-counsel re: potential 6th Circuit mediation; telephone conference with S. Minter and D. Codell re: same	1.20										
3/25/2014	Hickman	Various conferences; telephone conference with S. Minter; review State's motion for stay; telephone conference with M. Campbell	1.20										

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/26/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with J. Collier at 6th Circuit; telephone conference with S. Minter; emails with co-counsel re: case status; Hickman/Farringer conference re: potential mediation	1.10																
3/28/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference; Hickman/Farringer/Harbison conferences; emails with co-counsel re: birth certificate issues	0.80																
3/31/2014	Hickman	Review and edit draft response in opposition to stay; telephone conference with M. Campbell; Hickman/Cramer/Farringer conference	1.30																
4/1/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter	0.40																
4/2/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer/Farringer conference re: 6th Circuit mediation and declarations; telephone conference with C. Stoll	1.20																
4/3/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conferences re: declarations; emails with C. Stoll re: same	0.60																
4/4/2014	Hickman	Numerous emails with C. Stoll re: 6th Circuit filing; file response to motion to stay; Hickman/Farringer conference	1.80																
4/8/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with M. Campbell; Hickman/Cramer/Harbison conference re: 6th Circuit mediation	0.60																
4/10/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer telephone conference	0.20																
4/14/2014	Hickman	Review Ohio decision; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.60																
4/25/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conference	0.20																
5/1/2014	Hickman	Various co-counsel costs re: amicus requests; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same	0.60																
5/5/2014	Hickman	Emails with C. Stoll re: amicus issues	0.40																
5/6/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with M. Campbell re: amicus issues	0.30																
5/8/2014	Hickman	Email M. Campbell re: amicus issues; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.50																
5/13/2014	Hickman	File amicus consent; telephone conference with amicus counsel re: same; emails with co-counsel	1.30																
5/14/2014	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: amicus issues and draft of brief	0.40																
5/15/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference	0.20																
5/20/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference re: briefing issue	0.20																
5/21/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter; review memo re: conversations with 6th Circuit Clerk	0.40																
5/22/2014	Hickman	Various emails re: status of 6th Circuit case and potential oral argument issues	0.40																
5/23/2014	Hickman	Conference call with co-counsel re: oral argument issues; email S. Minter re: same; Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conference	1.20																
5/27/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference; telephone conference with 6th Circuit Clerk; telephone conference with S. Minter	1.00																
5/30/2014	Hickman	Review email and related attachments from C. Sevier	0.70																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																		
6/2/2014	Hickman	Review/revise motion re: amicus briefs; emails with S. Minter re: same; Hickman/Cramer conference re: brief deadline	0.60																		block billing	
6/3/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Stoll and S. Minter	0.60																			
6/4/2014	Hickman	Revise brief; review and incorporate Cramer/Harbison/Farringer comments	2.30																		block billing	
6/5/2014	Hickman	Revise brief; coordinate Sherrard & Roe changes; circulate brief to co-counsel	1.30																		block billing	
6/8/2014	Hickman	Review/revise draft brief; emails with co-counsel re: same; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same	0.80																		block billing	
6/9/2014	Hickman	Finalize and file brief in 6th Circuit	5.80																		block billing	
6/10/2014	Hickman	Revise appendix and file revised brief; various emails with co-counsel; Hickman/Cramer conference re: same	1.20																		block billing	
6/11/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison/Farringer conference; emails with co-counsel re: oral argument	0.60																		block billing	
6/12/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference re: oral argument in 6th Circuit	0.30																			
6/16/2014	Hickman	Review Amicus briefs and emails with S. Minter re: same	0.60																		block billing	
6/17/2014	Hickman	Review amicus briefs and conferences with co-counsel re: same and preparations for oral argument; conference re: potential amicus filings	1.20																		block billing	
6/18/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference re: oral argument; Hickman/Harbison telephone conference with S. Minter re: same	0.90																			
7/2/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter	0.30																			
7/3/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter re: oral argument	0.20																			
7/7/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: oral argument and coordination with counsel in other cases; Hickman/Harbison/Farringer conference re: same; emails with co-counsel re: same	1.80																		block billing	
7/14/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: preparation for oral argument	0.30																			
7/16/2014	Hickman	Review 6th Circuit correspondence re: oral argument; conferences with co-counsel re: same; Hickman/Harbison emails re: same	0.50																		block billing	
7/17/2014	Hickman	Review recent pleadings in related cases and emails with co-counsel re: same; emails re: oral argument issues	2.20																		block billing	
7/18/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter re: oral argument and recent rulings	0.20																			
7/21/2014	Hickman	Farringer/Hickman conference re: hearing and correspondence; multiple correspondence re: panel	0.30																		block billing	
7/22/2014	Hickman	Emails with Cramer/Farringer/Harbison; email with S. Minter; email with R. Lambert; email with other counsel in firm who recently clerked on Sixth Circuit re: panel; draft correspondence to 6th Circuit re: attendees at oral argument	1.60																			block billing

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
7/23/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conference; send correspondence to 6th Circuit re: attendees at oral argument; emails with Harbison/Farringer; email Rubinfeld; email C. Stoll	1.00																
7/24/2014	Hickman	Review law review article and 10th Circuit opinion; numerous calls and emails re: potential oral argument preparation session with other counsel; internal and external conferences re: same; prepare notebook for oral argument preparation; review Severe email and attachment	3.00																
7/25/2014	Hickman	Status emails with co-counsel re: preparation for oral argument	0.40																
7/29/2014	Hickman	Various calls and conferences re: oral argument preparation	2.60																
7/30/2014	Hickman	Various calls and conferences re: oral argument preparation	1.80																
7/31/2014	Hickman	Prepare for and participate in group meeting re: 6th Circuit preparation; email D. Hunt at 6th Circuit	10.00																
8/1/2014	Hickman	Meeting with all Tennessee counsel to prepare for oral argument; email D. Hunt re: attendees at oral argument; Hickman/Harbison re: oral argument preparation; prepare outline of cases for Harbison	3.40																
8/3/2014	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: oral argument preparations	0.40																
8/4/2014	Hickman	Prepare for oral argument; Hickman/Harbison conferences re: oral argument; prepare case memo for oral argument; emails with co-counsel re: logistics at 6th Circuit	2.60																
8/5/2014	Hickman	Travel to Cincinnati; various conferences re: oral argument with Harbison and other co-counsel; prepare for oral argument	7.00																
8/6/2014	Hickman	Prepare for and attend 6th Circuit argument; travel to Nashville	14.50																
8/7/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conferences and emails with other co-counsel re: oral argument and next steps	1.30																
8/8/2014	Hickman	Various emails re: oral argument and next steps in case with co-counsel	0.40																
8/13/2014	Hickman	Review Roane County decision; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.30																
9/5/2014	Hickman	Review 7th Circuit opinion; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.70																
9/16/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference; telephone conference with S. Minter re: potential certiorari petition and related issues	0.60																
9/18/2014	Hickman	Conference with S. Minter and R. Lambert re: pending cases; Hickman/Harbison conference re: same; emails with co-counsel re: case status and pending state case, potential certiorari petition; review Amy R. Mohan memo	1.20																
9/26/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: status of case; potential filings when 6th Circuit rules	0.40																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
10/1/2014	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: status of appeal and potential certiorari petition	0.30																
10/3/2014	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter re: status of case, analysis of SCOTUS status on certiorari petitions	0.40																
10/6/2014	Hickman	Various emails and telephone conferences with co-counsel re: effect of SCOTUS denial of certiorari in pending cases	1.40																
10/10/2014	Hickman	Review recent pleadings in other cases pending with SCOTUS; email with co-counsel re: same; status emails re: SCOTUS denial of stay in Idaho case; review memo re: 6th Circuit strategy	0.80																
10/13/2014	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: status, strategy; multiple emails with co-counsel re: same; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: possible substitution of attorney general	1.20																
10/14/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer/Farringer/Harbison conference; review 9th Circuit orders; status email with S. Minter	1.30																
11/6/2014	Hickman	Review 6th Circuit opinion; various calls and emails with co-counsel re: opinion and next steps	2.70																
11/7/2014	Hickman	Various conference calls with co-counsel and counsel from other status re: 6th Circuit ruling	3.60																
11/11/2014	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conference re: draft of cert petition; emails with S. Minter; telephone conference with M. Holland	0.90																
11/12/2014	Hickman	Review SCOTUS order re: stay in South Carolina case; email co-counsel re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/13/2014	Hickman	Review/revise cert petition; conference with co-counsel re: same; numerous emails with co-counsel re: draft and edits	2.60	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/17/2014	Hickman	Numerous emails re: SCOTUS petitions and related developments; review Michigan cert petition; Hickman/Harbison conference re: potential communication with attorney general's office	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/20/2014	Hickman	Review SCOTUS order re: stay in South Carolina case; email co-counsel re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
11/26/2014	Hickman	Conference call with co-counsel; telephone conference with M. Campbell in Tennessee attorney general's office re: case status and timing of filings; emails with co-counsel re: same	1.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
12/4/2014	Hickman	Email with co-counsel re: consent to amicus briefs	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
12/10/2014	Hickman	Emails re: amicus briefs	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
12/19/2014	Hickman	Review reply brief; emails with co-counsel re: same	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
12/21/2014	Hickman	Various emails re: reply brief and review same	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
12/22/2014	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: filing of reply brief	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
1/9/2015	Hickman	Numerous emails with co-counsel re: U.S. Supreme Court status and next steps	0.60	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/13/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: status of plaintiffs; Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; telephone conference with Day H-D	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/14/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier, S. Minter and C. Stoll	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/15/2015	Hickman	Farringer/Harbison conference re: status	0.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/16/2015	Hickman	Emails and telephone conference with co-counsel re: grant of cert by SCOTUS and related issues	1.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/19/2015	Hickman	Conference call with Tennessee counsel re: SCOTUS issues; Hickman/Farringer conferences re: same	1.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/20/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference re: status/briefing	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
1/26/2015	Hickman	Conferences with Harbison, et al	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/3/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conference re: appendix; review emails re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/9/2015	Hickman	Review order in Alabama case; emails with co-counsel re: same; Hickman/Harbison conference	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/10/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference re: status of briefing and oral argument	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/18/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Farringer conference; Hickman/Harbison conference; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: brief; review draft SCOTUS brief	2.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/19/2015	Hickman	Revise draft SCOTUS brief; Hickman/Farringer/Cramer conferences re: same	1.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/20/2015	Hickman	Review and revise brief; conferences with co-counsel re: same; numerous emails re: briefing and related issues	3.10	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/21/2015	Hickman	Review briefs of other petitioners; review revise brief; numerous emails with co-counsel re: same	4.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/22/2015	Hickman	Review briefs of other petitioners; review revise brief; numerous emails with co-counsel re: same	2.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/23/2015	Hickman	Review/revise Supreme Court Brief; conferences re: same	0.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/24/2015	Hickman	Various conferences/telephone conferences re: Supreme Court Brief; Hickman/Harbison/Farringer/Cramer conferences re: brief and related issues; review and revise brief	3.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/25/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference; emails with co-counsel; review draft brief and related matters	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/26/2015	Hickman	Review final proof of brief; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															
2/27/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison conference; review SCOTUS briefs	3.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/2/2015	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: moot; review briefs filed by other teams; emails with S. Minter	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/3/2015	Hickman	Email D. Hallward-Driemeier re: amicus briefs; review amicus briefs; review Alabama Supreme Court order; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same	2.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/4/2015	Hickman	Review amicus briefs	2.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/6/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier and co- counsel re: arrangements for moots in D.C.; continue review of amicus briefs; numerous emails re: preparation for moots in D.C	4.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/9/2015	Hickman	Numerous emails re: moots in D.C.; research re: potential judges for moots in D.C.; telephone conferences with co-counsel and Sherrard & Roe attorneys re: potential judges	2.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/10/2015	Hickman	Emails re: logistics for moots in D.C.; coordinate with NCLR and Tennessee co-counsel re: same; telephone conference with S. Minter re: oral argument and preparation for group call; Farringer/Cramer conference re: same; research issues related to SCOTUS argument and admission for clients and counsel; telephone conference with NCLR and D. Hallward-Driemeier's office re: logistics for moots and SCOTUS argument	4.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/11/2015	Hickman	Numerous calls and emails re: logistics for SCOTUS moots and argument; conference call with counsel from other states and call with S. Minter re: moots and oral argument; telephone conference with other counsel re: Alabama case and potential impact on Tanco; Hickman/Harbson/Cramer/Farringer conferences re: moots and oral argument; emails re: potential moot court judges	6.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/12/2015	Hickman	Numerous calls/emails re: SCOTUS logistics, preparations for moots; telephone conference with S. Minter re: oral argument strategy and relationship of case to issues in Alabama; research and emails regarding potential judges for moots	5.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/13/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with co-counsel re: QPZ motion/letter and other issues related to oral argument; emails re: moots/judges	1.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/16/2015	Hickman	Numerous emails with counsel from all cases re: oral argument and related issues; review numerous drafts of letter to clerk; telephone conferences with all counsel re: same; telephone conference with Rubinfeld, S. Minter and D. Hallward-Driemeier re: clerk letter; telephone conferences with S. Minter	5.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/17/2015	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel from all teams re: oral argument and letter to clerk	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/18/2015	Hickman	Conference with Tennessee counsel re: oral argument and related issues; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same; Farringer/Harbison conference re: same; numerous emails with counsel from all teams	2.20	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/19/2015	Hickman	Conference call re: response to clerk regarding oral argument; emails re: same; Hickman/Harbison conference	0.90	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/20/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: oral argument and moots	0.70	overstaffing/duplicative															
3/23/2015	Hickman	Prepare for moot on question 2; telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison/Farringer internal conferences re: moot and SCOTUS oral argument	3.50	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/24/2015	Hickman	Moot with D. Hallward-Driemeier, et al.; Hickman/Harbison/Farringer/Cramer conference re: moots and SCOTUS oral argument; telephone conference with S. Minter re: moots and SCOTUS oral argument	5.00	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/25/2015	Hickman	Travel to/from Louisville for meeting with all teams and moot on QP2	10.70	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/26/2015	Hickman	Review amicus briefs; conferences with co-counsel re: Ann Arbor moot; conferences with co-counsel re: Louisville moot; various telephone conferences with Tennessee team re: preparations for oral argument at SCOTUS	2.40	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/27/2015	Hickman	Review amicus briefs and Tennessee brief; telephone conference with S. Minter; conferences with M. Holland re: Michigan moot; telephone conference with S. Minter	2.20	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/29/2015	Hickman	Travel to/from and participate in QP1 moot in Ann Arbor; review respondents briefs and conferences with co-counsel re: same	18.50	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														unnecessary (Q1)
3/30/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with T. Brown, Cramer, et al. re: State's brief; outline of reply brief/review Michigan brief; review amicus briefs; numerous emails with co-counsel re: designation of oralists; begin review of sources cited in brief	5.00	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
3/31/2015	Hickman	Numerous emails re: selection of oralists and moots to prepare for SCOTUS argument; review briefs	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
4/1/2015	Hickman	Review amicus briefs	0.60	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/2/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier, et al.; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference re: reply brief, schedule for preparation for oral argument and potential moot in Nashville; continue review of amicus briefs	2.10	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														
4/3/2015	Hickman	Internal conferences re: Nashville moot; review outline of reply brief and emails re: same with co-counsel; email with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: potential moot in Nashville; Hickman/Harbison conference re: Nashville moot	1.10	overstaffing/duplicative	block billing														

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/6/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: preparation for moots and oral argument	0.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/7/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison/Farringer/Cramer conference re: Nashville moot and SCOTUS oral argument; emails with co-counsel re: amicus briefs; telephone conference with D. Conan re: oral argument; emails with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: Nashville moot; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: Nashville Moot	3.80	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/8/2015	Hickman	Internal emails re: Nashville moot; research re: sources cited by State in its brief; emails with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: reply brief and related issues	1.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/9/2015	Hickman	Review FFC section of draft reply brief; emails with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: same; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: reply brief and issues related to oral argument; Hickman/Cramer conference re: draft FFC section; telephone conference with S. Minter re: reply brief and oral arguments	3.50	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/10/2015	Hickman	Revise reply brief section re: FFC; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier; telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Cramer conference re: draft revisions; numerous emails re: Nashville moot and oral argument	4.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/11/2015	Hickman	Draft/revise reply brief; telephone conference with Hallward-Dreimeier; telephone conference with S. Minter; conference call with all co-counsel re: oral argument	3.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/12/2015	Hickman	Draft/revise reply brief; various emails with co-counsel re: same; Hickman/PRC telephone conference; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier; review proposed revisions	4.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/13/2015	Hickman	Draft/revise reply brief; telephone conference with K. Magill; telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conferences; telephone conference with D. Canon; telephone conference with J. Martin; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Dreimier; numerous emails with co-counsel re: reply brief; oral argument and moots for SCOTUS argument	6.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
4/14/2015	Hickman	Review and revise reply brief; conferences with D. Hallward-Driemeier and S. Minter re: oral argument; telephone conference with D. Canon; revise introduction to reply brief; telephone conference with D. Canon and J. Martin re: oral argument and moots; telephone conference with S. Minter; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference re: reply brief and issues related to moots/oral argument	3.70	overstaffing/duplicative															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
5/15/2015	Hickman	Research re: potential post-ruling motions; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer re: same; conference with S. Rychlak re: research on Loving v. Virginia; emails with co-counsel re: post-opinion issues	2.40	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/18/2015	Hickman	Conference call with co-counsel re: potential motions following issue of opinion by SCOTUS; legal research re: same; review motions made in similar cases	2.20	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/19/2015	Hickman	Review memo from S. Rychlak re: Tennessee actions after Loving v. Virginia; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
5/20/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: potential post-opinion motions; Hickman/Harbison conference re: same; legal research re: timing of potential motions	1.30	overstaffing/duplicative															unnecessary
5/21/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter; emails with co-counsel and internal conferences re: potential post-opinion motions	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															unnecessary
6/1/2015	Hickman	Review S. Rychlak memo re: implementation; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.30	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/8/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter re: post-opinion motions, et al	0.80	overstaffing/duplicative															unnecessary
6/9/2015	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter and D. Hallward-Driemeier re: preparation for announcement of decision; telephone conference with S. Minter	1.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/10/2015	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: announcement of opinion and potential motions; Hickman/Harbison conference re: same	0.60	overstaffing/duplicative															unnecessary
6/11/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter and emails with co-counsel re: forthcoming opinion announcement and potential postopinion motions	1.20	overstaffing/duplicative															unnecessary
6/26/2015	Hickman	Review opinion; telephone conferences with co-counsel re: same	4.00	overstaffing/duplicative															
6/29/2015	Hickman	Telephone calls and conferences with co-counsel re: implementation issues and potential fee petition/entry of final order issues; begin legal research re: fee petition	2.20																
6/30/2015	Hickman	Draft final order/injunction; legal research re: fee petition; Hickman/Farringer conference re: final order draft	3.10																
7/1/2015	Hickman	Legal research re: fee petition; emails with Rubinfeld; conference re: draft order; telephone conference with S. Minter re: draft order; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier and S. Minter re: implementation issues	5.00																
7/2/2015	Hickman	Emails with S. Minter re: draft final order; review revisions to same; emails with all co-counsel re: draft final order; telephone conference with T. Brown re: fee petition issues	1.80																

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS										
7/4/2015	Hickman	Emails with M. Holland re: draft order	0.40											
7/6/2015	Hickman	Legal research re: fee petition; Farringer/Harbison conference re: final order; outline potential memorandum in support of fee petition and review similar petitions; telephone conference with D. Canon re: Kentucky fee petition and related issues	4.70											
7/7/2015	Hickman	Emails with D. Canon, et. al. re: fee petitions; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: final order and email M. Campbell re: same; review previous orders in Kentucky and elsewhere	1.20											
7/8/2015	Hickman	Legal research re: fee petition; review R. Lambert email re: fee petition; emails with S. Minter and C. Stoll re: potential deadlines	3.40											
7/9/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference re: fee petition and related issues; telephone conference with T. Brown re: fee petition and SCOTUS costs; email D. Canon re: fee petition; review appellate rules re: costs	1.90											
7/13/2015	Hickman	Numerous emails re: implementation issues; telephone conference with M. Campbell re: status of proposed order; Hickman/Harbison conference re: same; telephone conference with Rubinfeld re: implementation issues	1.50											
7/21/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Canon and J. Branch re: fee petitions; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer conference to discuss proposed order; telephone conference with S. Minter re: same; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: same; emails with M. Campbell re: same; review draft proposed by AG's office; reconstruct AG's proposed order in Word version for editing; emails with M. Campbell re: same; revise proposed order; emails with co-counsel re: edits to order and telephone conference with S. Minter re: same	3.80											
7/22/2015	Hickman	Revise order; emails with S. Minter and other co-counsel re: same; Hickman/Harbison conference and telephone conference with M. Campbell	1.40											
7/23/2015	Hickman	Draft motion to set time for filing of fee petition; Hickman/Harbison conference; telephone conference with M. Campbell; email co-counsel; email A. Rieger	1.40											
7/24/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with A. Rieger; file motion; emails with co-counsel re: motion, amendments to motion and status of possible agreed order	1.20											
7/26/2015	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: fee petition filed in other similar cases	0.30											
7/27/2015	Hickman	Review Michigan fee application; internal conferences and emails with co-counsel re: same; emails with D. Canon	1.60											

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
7/29/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier and S. Minter re: fee petition/status of potential agreed order	0.60															
7/30/2015	Hickman	Review proposed changes to final order from AG's office; numerous emails with co-counsel re: same and need to file separate proposed orders	0.80															
7/31/2015	Hickman	Emails re: proposed final order; internal conferences re: same	0.30															
8/3/2015	Hickman	Conferences re: proposed final order; draft motion to enter proposed final order; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier and S. Minter re: status of order and related matters; internal conferences re: same	2.40															
8/4/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference re: final order; revise/draft motion for entry of final order; emails with co-counsel collecting comments on draft	2.60															
8/5/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with R. Lambert re: motion/final order; emails with other counsel re: same	0.40															
8/6/2015	Hickman	Farringer/Harbison conference; telephone conference with M. Campbell; emails with co-counsel re: motion for entry of final order	0.80															
8/7/2015	Hickman	Legal research re: fee petition; emails re: motion; finalize and file same; telephone conference with S. Minter re: status of fee petition and final order	3.60															
8/11/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Harbison conference re: fee petition and status; review response filed by AG re: final order	0.50															
8/12/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference; legal research re: Rule 54(c); draft memo re: final order; Hickman/Cramer/Farringer/Harbison conference re: fee petition and final order; telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier re: same; emails all co-counsel re: draft reply; emails with D. Codell; telephone conference with D. Codell	5.20															
8/13/2015	Hickman	Review cases related to fee petition; emails with co-counsel re: reply/entry of final order; finalize and file same	2.80															
8/17/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with M. Holland re: fee application; review Holland fee records; review R&G summaries; internal conference re: fee petition	1.40															
8/18/2015	Hickman	Review time records for fee petition; Hickman/Harbison/Cramer/Farringer email re: status of fee petition; email D. Hallward-Driemeier re: same	3.20															
8/19/2015	Hickman	Review exhibits for fee petition	0.40															
8/20/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with A. Whelan re: fee petition	0.20															
8/21/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Canon re: fee petition and related issues	0.50															
8/24/2015	Hickman	Review order/memorandum and emails with co-counsel re: same; emails with Rubinfeld; emails with D. Canon re: Kentucky fee petition and review same	1.20															
8/25/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with S. Minter	0.40															

EXHIBIT E: SHERRARD AND ROE FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS										
8/31/2015	Hickman	Review state court decision re: subject matter jurisdiction over divorce cases; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.40				block billing			unnecessary				
9/2/2015	Hickman	Emails with co-counsel re: status of fee petition and research re: recovery of time spend on fee petition	0.60											
9/3/2015	Hickman	Hickman/Cramer conference re: fee petition	0.30											
9/9/2015	Hickman	Work on memorandum in support of fee petition	2.20											
9/10/2015	Hickman	Draft/revise fee petition	2.30											
9/17/2015	Hickman	Revise/draft fee petition	3.20											
9/18/2015	Hickman	Revise/draft fee petition	1.70											
9/21/2015	Hickman	Draft, revise and edit fee petition	1.80											
9/22/2015	Hickman	Revise fee petition; Hickman/Cramer conference re: same	1.30				block billing							
9/23/2015	Hickman	Telephone conference with D. Hallward-Driemeier; telephone conference with Rubinfeld; telephone conference with R. Lambert; revise/draft fee petition; Farringer/Cramer/Harbison/Farringer conferences re: same	4.80											
9/24/2015	Hickman	Revise fee petition; Hickman/Cramer conference re: same	0.90				block billing							
		Hickman Totals:	565.9	260.7	0	480.1	0	0	37.1	4.2	0	1.9	1.9	

Total Compensable Hours:	287.5
Self-reduced hours	25
Rate:	390
Subtotal:	102375.00
Deduction -20% block billing:	20475
Total payment:	81,900.00

overstaffing (duplicative) |no-"paying client" |block billing |vague |potential plaintiffs/vetting |unnecessary |excessive |client services |non-prevailing issue |press/media related

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

SHERRARD & ROE TOTALS:

Cramer total:	65,816.00
Farringer total:	27,846.00
Harbison total:	133,285.00
Hickman total:	81,900.00
TOTAL:	308,847.00

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE NAME DESCRIPTION TIME OBJECTIONS

SHANNON P. MINTER

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS
8/1/2013	Minter	Phone conference with C. Stoll, A. Rubinfeld and other TN counsel re: bringing a TN marriage case; emails re: same	1.20	block billing
8/5/2013	Minter	Emails with A. Rubinfeld, C. Stoll, W. Harbison, and P. Cramer re: next steps in case	0.10	
8/6/2013	Minter	Email with A. Rubinfeld re: potential plaintiffs; emails with legal team re: obtaining legislative history	0.20	block billing potential plaintiffs/vetting
8/7/2013	Minter	Emails with R. Rubinfeld and C. Stoll re complaint and legal research	0.10	
8/8/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team re: potential plaintiffs and plaintiff questionnaire	0.20	potential plaintiffs/vetting
8/8/2013	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re: case strategy and timeline for filing	0.90	
8/12/2013	Minter	Email with C. Stoll re: TN recognition law; emails with legal team re: potential plaintiff couple	0.20	block billing potential plaintiffs/vetting
8/13/2013	Minter	Emails with A. Rubinfeld and C. Stoll re: counsel and potential plaintiffs	0.10	potential plaintiffs/vetting
8/14/2013	Minter	Phone call with A. Rubinfeld; phone conference with legal team	1.40	block billing vague
8/15/2013	Minter	Email with plaintiff couple re case	0.10	potential plaintiffs/vetting
8/15/2013	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re: legal issues in recognition case	0.90	
8/15/2013	Minter	Emails with team re legal research and plaintiffs	0.20	
8/22/2013	Minter	Email with legal team about legislative history	0.10	
8/23/2013	Minter	Conference call & emails with legal team re: next steps	1.00	block billing vague
8/26/2013	Minter	Review legislative history summaries	0.50	
8/27/2013	Minter	Emails with C. Stoll and D. Codell re: potential causes of action	0.20	
8/28/2013	Minter	Legal research on right to travel	0.70	non-prevailing issue
8/28/2013	Minter	Email with A. Orr, D. Codell and C. Stoll re: vetting potential plaintiffs	0.20	potential plaintiffs/vetting

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
8/28/2013	Minter	Review research memo on Sixth Circuit right to association law	0.10																
8/28/2013	Minter	Review barebones draft complaint from C. Stoll	0.20																
8/30/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team about potential plaintiff couple	0.10																
9/3/2013	Minter	Review plaintiff profiles	0.80																
9/6/2013	Minter	Phone call with A. Rubinfeld	0.10																
9/6/2013	Minter	Conference call with TN co-counsel re: plaintiffs and legal theories	0.60																
9/9/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team about plaintiffs and letter to UT; review letter	0.30																
9/10/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team about legislative history/1996 AG opinion; review same	0.30																
9/16/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team about potential plaintiffs	0.10																
9/16/2013	Minter	Phone call with legal team re: strategy and timeline	0.40																
9/19/2013	Minter	Review and revise draft complaint; legal team emails re: specific plaintiff injuries	2.20																
9/20/2013	Minter	Review email summary of research on proper defendants	0.20																
9/21/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team about status of draft complaint and plaintiffs	0.20																
9/21/2013	Minter	Phone conference with D. Codell about complaint	0.50																
9/21/2013	Minter	Draft and revise complaint	1.90																
9/23/2013	Minter	Participate in phone conference with legal team re complaint	1.50																
9/26/2013	Minter	Email with C. Stoll, D. Codell, and A. Whelan re: article on due process right to marriage recognition; review same	0.50																
9/28/2013	Minter	Email with C. Stoll and D. Codell re: legal research; emails with legal team re: plaintiff injuries	0.20																
10/6/2013	Minter	Draft and revise complaint	2.50																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
10/7/2013	Minter	Draft and revise complaint; emails with A. Rubinfeld	2.30																	
									block billing											
10/7/2013	Minter	Phone conference with D. Codell, C. Stoll, and A. Orr re: legal research and complaint	0.40																	
10/7/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team re: logistics of filing day	0.00																	
10/8/2013	Minter	Revise complaint	3.20																	
10/8/2013	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re complaint and PI	1.00																	
10/14/2013	Minter	Review co-counsel edits to complaint	0.50																	
10/16/2013	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re: complaint	1.00																	
10/17/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team re: engagement letter	0.10																	
10/17/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team re plaintiffs	0.10																	
10/17/2013	Minter	Review first draft of PI motion	0.30																	
10/18/2013	Minter	Revise complaint; emails with legal team re same	6.00																	
									block billing											
10/19/2013	Minter	Travel to Nashville to meet with plaintiffs and TN counsel	5.90																	
10/19/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team re: logistics of meeting with plaintiffs and filing	0.00																	
10/20/2013	Minter	Meet with plaintiffs; emails with legal team re: complaint	3.50																	
10/21/2013	Minter	Meet with counsel in Nashville; conferences with S. Hickman re: final edits to complaint	4.60																	
10/21/2013	Minter	Travel back to DC from Nashville	3.80																	
10/21/2013	Minter	Emails and phone conferences with legal team re: post-filing matters	0.20																	
10/22/2013	Minter	Emails and conference call with legal team re: assignment of case and next steps	1.50																	
10/29/2013	Minter	Phone conference with NCLR team re: draft motion for PI	0.80																	

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
10/30/2013	Minter	Emails to legal team re: timing of filing; draft and revise sections of PI memo	1.00																
										block billing									
11/3/2013	Minter	Revise PI memo	1.20																
11/4/2013	Minter	Research interstate recognition of marriage; emails with NCLR team re same	1.50																
										block billing									
11/4/2013	Minter	Revise plaintiff declarations	0.20																
11/4/2013	Minter	Revise sections of PI memo	0.80																
11/5/2013	Minter	Review & revise sections of PI memo; research on interstate recognition of marriage for same	5.60																
										block billing									
11/5/2013	Minter	Prepare for and participate in legal team call re: outstanding issues and strategy for PI motion	1.20																
11/5/2013	Minter	Review & revise plaintiff declarations	0.40																
11/6/2013	Minter	Review sections of PI memo; emails with NCLR team re: same	1.00																
										block billing									
11/7/2013	Minter	Revise plaintiff declarations	0.50																
11/7/2013	Minter	Review and edit sections of PI memo	2.00																
11/8/2013	Minter	Draft & revise sections of PI memo	6.50																
11/9/2013	Minter	Draft & revise sections of PI memo	4.80																
11/11/2013	Minter	Draft & revise PI memo; emails with legal team re: same	9.00																
										block billing									
11/12/2013	Minter	Emails with NCLR team re: legal research on interracial marriages in TN	0.50																
11/12/2013	Minter	Email with legal team re: AG request for extension	0.00																
11/12/2013	Minter	Draft & revise PI memo	4.40																
11/13/2013	Minter	Email with NCLR team re: legal research on interracial marriages in TN	0.30																
11/13/2013	Minter	Phone conference and emails with P. Cramer	0.20																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/13/2013	Minter	email with A. Rubinfeld and other counsel re: possible expert witnesses	0.00																
11/14/2013	Minter	review & revise PI memo and declarations, including integrating edits from others; emails with legal team and NCLR team re: draft & revise PI memo; emails with NCLR team re: same	4.80																
11/15/2013	Minter	draft & revise PI memo; emails with NCLR team re: same	3.50																
11/16/2013	Minter	draft & revise PI memo; emails with NCLR team re: same	7.00																
11/17/2013	Minter	draft & revise PI memo; emails with legal team re: same	6.20																
11/18/2013	Minter	Revise PI memo, review and integrate all edits; emails and conference all with legal team re: same	5.30																
11/19/2013	Minter	Finalizing brief; emails with legal team re: same	6.50																
12/6/2013	Minter	Review state's response to PI motion	0.40																
12/10/2013	Minter	Prep for team call and team call to discuss reply	1.20																
12/12/2013	Minter	Review and edit draft shell reply; emails with C. Stoll	0.50																
12/17/2013	Minter	Draft and revise sections of reply; research for same	7.00																
12/17/2013	Minter	emails and phone conferences with legal team and internal NCLR team re: reply	1.20																
12/18/2013	Minter	Emails with internal NCLR team re: reply; draft and revise reply	5.00																
12/19/2013	Minter	Emails with legal team; emails and phone conferences with internal NCLR team re: additional research and editing of reply;	6.50																
12/20/2013	Minter	Emails and phone conferences with legal team and internal NCLR legal team to finalize reply brief and prepare for filing; final edits to	5.70																
12/23/2013	Minter	Phone conferences with S. Hickman re: Utah and New Mexico decisions	0.40																
12/24/2013	Minter	Phone conferences with S. Hickman and emails with legal team re: supplemental notice of authority	0.80																
1/2/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman and P. Cramer re: case management order	0.20																
1/3/2014	Minter	Emails with P. Cramer, S. Hickman re: next steps and case strategy	0.10																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
1/8/2014	Minter	Prepare for and participate in phone conference with S. Hickman, C. Stoll, and D. Codell re: case management order	1.00																
1/8/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman re: his conversation with TN AG	0.10																
1/9/2014	Minter	Phone conference with TN AG, C. Stoll, S. Hickman, W. Harbison	0.30																
1/9/2014	Minter	Phone conference re: next steps with C. Stoll, S. Hickman, and W. Harbison	0.30																
1/10/2014	Minter	Phone conferences and emails with S. Hickman and NCLR legal team re: case management order	0.80																
1/15/2014	Minter	Review Oklahoma marriage decision; emails with legal team and NCLR internal team re: same	0.50																
1/22/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: effect of potential victory and potential stay motion	0.40																
1/24/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: post-ruling issues	0.40																
1/29/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: Sevier motion to intervene; read same	0.00																
1/31/2014	Minter	Review order denying motion to intervene; emails with legal team re: same	0.00																
2/6/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: timing of opinion, next steps	0.30																
2/12/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: filing notice of supplemental authority re: KY marriage ruling; read same	0.20																
2/13/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: VA marriage case ruling; read same	0.20																
2/14/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman; emails with legal team re: filing notice of supplemental authority re: Virginia marriage	0.50																
2/21/2014	Minter	Review KY & VA decisions; discuss internally with NCLR legal team	1.00																
2/21/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman re: potential MSJ	0.00																
2/26/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: TX marriage ruling; read same	0.30																
2/27/2014	Minter	Review notice of supplemental authority re: TX ruling	0.00																
3/3/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: potential dismissal of some parties	1.00																
																			non-prevailing issue

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
3/4/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team about voluntary dismissal of some parties	0.10																
3/10/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: dismissal of some parties	0.20																non-prevailing issue
3/10/2014	Minter	Review stipulation of dismissal of some plaintiffs and defendants; emails with legal team about same	0.30																non-prevailing issue
3/11/2014	Minter	Review motion to ascertain status; emails with legal team re: same	0.40																
3/12/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: order on motion to ascertain status; read same	0.20											unnecessary					
3/14/2014	Minter	Draft, revise press release re: decision	0.60																
3/14/2014	Minter	Review District Court's decision granting PI; emails with legal team re: same and re: strategy	1.50																press/media related
3/17/2014	Minter	Email with plaintiff Jesty re: impact of decision	0.10																
3/17/2014	Minter	Prepare for and participate in team call re: district court decision and case strategy	1.50																
3/18/2014	Minter	Review state's motion to stay PI pending appeal	0.90																
3/18/2014	Minter	phone conference with S. Hickman re: state's motion to stay and next steps	0.30																
3/18/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: how to respond to press inquiries re: motion to stay	0.00																
3/18/2014	Minter	Emails with D. Codell and Lawrence Tribe re: legal arguments re: interstate marriage recognition	0.20																
3/19/2014	Minter	Revise opposition to stay; emails with internal NCLR team re: same	1.00																
3/19/2014	Minter	Review news article circulated by S. Hickman quoting TN AG on state's next steps	0.10																
3/19/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman and J. Farringer re: opposition to stay	0.40																
3/20/2014	Minter	Review district court order denying stay; emails with legal team re: same	0.50																
3/21/2014	Minter	Emails with D. Codell and C. Stoll re: strategy/arguments in our opposition to stay motion; emails with legal team re: same	0.20																
3/21/2014	Minter	Emails with internal NCLR legal team re: filing notices of appearances in Sixth Circuit	0.00																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
3/22/2014	Minter	Review Sixth Circuit temporary stay order in MI; emails with legal team re: same	0.20																		
3/24/2014	Minter	Phone conference with D. Codell and S. Hickman re: potential 6th Circuit mediation	0.50																		
3/25/2014	Minter	emails with legal team re: strategy re: opposing stay in Sixth Circuit; phone conference with S. Hickman re: same	0.80																		
3/25/2014	Minter	Review state's motion to stay in Sixth Circuit	0.40																		
3/25/2014	Minter	Emails with D. Codell, C. Stoll, A. Orr, and A. Whelan re: analysis of MI stay order and strategy re: our opposition	0.10																		
3/26/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: potential mediation and other strategy issues	0.50																		
3/28/2014	Minter	Emails with R. Lambert and legal team re: issuance of birth certificate for child born to plaintiff couple Jesty and Tanco	0.20																		
3/28/2014	Minter	Emails with counsel from other Sixth Circuit cases about coordinating amicus briefs	0.10																		
3/28/2014	Minter	Review and revise opposition to stay motion	0.80																		
3/30/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team about opposition to stay motion	0.20																		
3/31/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: our opposition and communications from TN AG's office	0.10																		
3/31/2014	Minter	Email with C. Stoll re: arguments in opposition to stay	0.10																		
4/1/2014	Minter	Draft response to Baker v. Nelson argument for insertion in opposition	0.50																		
4/1/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: finalizing and filing our opposition to stay motion	0.40																		
4/3/2014	Minter	Review and revise opposition to stay motion	0.50																		
4/4/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman and legal team re: service and timing of filing opposition	0.10																		
4/6/2014	Minter	Emails with counsel from other Sixth Circuit cases re: coordinating strategy	0.20																		
4/14/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: Ohio marriage recognition ruling; read same	0.20																		
4/23/2014	Minter	Emails with counsel from other cases re: amicus coordination in Sixth Circuit	0.20																		

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/25/2014	Minter	Review Sixth Circuit order granting stay	0.10																
4/25/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman and emails with legal team re: same	0.40																
4/29/2014	Minter	Emails with internal NCLR team about amicus briefs in Sixth	0.10																unnecessary
5/1/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman and emails with S. Hickman and C. Stoll re: various amicus requests	0.50																unnecessary
5/5/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman and C. Stoll re: amicus briefs	0.20																unnecessary
5/8/2014	Minter	Emails counsel in other cases about amicus briefs in Sixth	0.10																unnecessary
5/12/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: amicus briefs	0.70																unnecessary
5/13/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: blanket consent to amicus briefs; review draft consent	0.20																block billing
5/13/2014	Minter	emails with legal team re Idaho decision	0.20																
5/14/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team about amicus brief offer from TN law professors	0.10																unnecessary
5/21/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman re: oral argument and conversation with Sixth Circuit clerk	0.40																block billing
5/23/2014	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re: oral argument and emails with S. Hickman re: same	1.20																block billing
5/27/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: oral argument issues	0.20																unnecessary
5/27/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: oral argument issues	0.50																unnecessary
5/28/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: oral argument coordination	0.10																unnecessary
5/28/2014	Minter	Email to legal team flagging helpful language in new SCOTUS case	0.10																
5/28/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: legal research re: plenary review of district court PI order	0.30																
5/29/2014	Minter	Emails with Ken Mogill from MI case and other counsel from other cases re: joint filing with Sixth Circuit re: amicus brief	0.50																unnecessary
5/29/2014	Minter	Draft appellate brief; legal research re: same	3.80																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
5/30/2014	Minter	Response to C. Sevier request to intervene; emails with legal team re: same	0.00																	
5/30/2014	Minter	Draft appellate brief; legal research re: same	2.50																	
5/30/2014	Minter	Emails with Ken Mogill from MI case and other counsel from other cases re: joint filing with Sixth Circuit re: amicus briefs	0.40																	
5/30/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: timing issues re: our appellate brief	0.00																	
5/31/2014	Minter	Draft and revise appellate brief; confer with internal NCLR team re: same	6.50																	
6/1/2014	Minter	Draft and revise appellate brief; confer with internal NCLR team re: same	7.20																	
6/2/2014	Minter	Review/revise draft motion re: amicus briefs; emails with S. Hickman re: same	0.40																	
6/2/2014	Minter	Draft and revise appellate brief; confer with internal NCLR team re: same	4.20																	
6/3/2014	Minter	Email with amicus counsel; emails with counsel in other cases re: amicus briefs	0.20																	
6/3/2014	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman and C. Stoll re: appellate brief; emails with S. Hickman re: same	0.70																	
6/4/2014	Minter	Emails with counsel from other cases re: submitting joint request to Sixth Circuit re: amicus briefs and coordinating preparation	0.20																	
6/5/2014	Minter	Phone conference with W. Harbison re: argument and brief	0.40																	
6/5/2014	Minter	Emails and phone conference with amicus counsel	0.50																	
6/5/2014	Minter	Review co-counsel edits to brief; integrate all comments; revise brief; internal NCLR conferences re: same and re: record cites	5.00																	
6/5/2014	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: brief	0.20																	
6/6/2014	Minter	Revise appellate brief	2.30																	
6/8/2014	Minter	Emails with amicus counsel	0.30																	
6/8/2014	Minter	Review/revise appellate brief; internal NCLR team conferences re: same; phone conference and emails with	3.50																	
6/9/2014	Minter	Emails and phone conference with Prof. C. Ball re: his amicus	0.50																	

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
7/18/2014	Minter	Review oral argument Q & A; internal NCLR legal team conference re: same	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary									
7/18/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman re: argument preparation	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/21/2014	Minter	Emails with counsel from other cases re: joint argument preparation	0.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/22/2014	Minter	Review and revise oral argument preparation document	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/23/2014	Minter	Phone conference w/ counsel from other cases re: argument preparation	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/24/2014	Minter	Revise oral argument preparation document; emails with counsel from other cases re: same	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)		block billing				unnecessary									
7/24/2014	Minter	Phone calls and emails with S. Hickman and J. Farringer re: oral argument preparation session with counsel from other cases	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/25/2014	Minter	Strategy call with oral argument teams from other cases	2.20	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/26/2014	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman and counsel from other teams re: argument	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/28/2014	Minter	Emails and phone calls with our legal team and counsel from other teams re: argument	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/28/2014	Minter	Call with J. Farringer and S. Hickman re: oral argument strategy	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/29/2014	Minter	Emails with our legal team and counsel from other teams re: oral argument preparation	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/30/2014	Minter	Review press release re: oral argument	0.00																press/media related
7/30/2014	Minter	Travel to Nashville for oral argument preparation	3.90	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/30/2014	Minter	Review preparation materials re: oral argument	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/30/2014	Minter	Emails with our legal team and counsel from other teams re: meeting tomorrow for moots and oral argument preparation	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
7/31/2014	Minter	Meet with counsel from all teams to prepare for argument	7.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
8/1/2014	Minter	Meet with TN legal team to prepare for argument	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									
8/1/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: argument	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)						unnecessary									

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/7/2014	Minter	Email with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, and D. Codell re: retaining Supreme Court counsel and scheduling phone meeting;	0.30																
11/7/2014	Minter	Prepare for and participate in phone conference with all Sixth Circuit legal teams re: cert petition strategy	1.50																
11/7/2014	Minter	Emails and phone conferences with legal team re: cert petition strategy	2.20																
11/8/2014	Minter	Emails with Evan Wolfson re: post-ruling Sixth Circuit strategy	0.10																
11/8/2014	Minter	Phone conference with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, and D. Codell re: cert petition; emails with same plus Ropes & Gray	1.20																
11/9/2014	Minter	Draft and revise petition for cert; confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, D. Codell and other co-counsel re: same	2.20																
11/10/2014	Minter	Draft and revise cert petition; research re: same; confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, D. Codell and other co-counsel re: same	9.20																
11/11/2014	Minter	Draft and revise cert petition; research re: same; confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, D. Codell and other co-counsel re: same	8.00																
11/12/2014	Minter	Draft and revise cert petition; research re: same; confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, D. Codell and other co-counsel re: same	8.50																
11/13/2014	Minter	Revise cert petition; confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, C. Stoll, D. Codell and other co-counsel re: same	7.20																
11/14/2014	Minter	Final review of cert petition; confer with co-counsel re: filling of same	1.00																
11/14/2014	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: how to respond to press inquiries about cert petition	0.40																
11/17/2014	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: deadlines and strategy re: cert petitions	0.40																
11/17/2014	Minter	Review cert petitions from other cases	1.20																
11/26/2014	Minter	Phone conference with co-counsel re: TN AG and other issues re: cert petition	0.60																
12/4/2014	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: consent to amicus briefs	0.30																
12/9/2014	Minter	Emails with amicus counsel; email with D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: same	0.20																
12/9/2014	Minter	Emails with J. Farringer re: amicus briefs and motion to intervene	0.30																
12/11/2014	Minter	Phone conference with counsel for all cases re: amicus briefs	1.00																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
12/15/2014	Minter	Emails with amicus counsel, D. Hallward-Dreimeir, M. Bonauto re: filing of amicus in support of cert	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
12/15/2014	Minter	Review respondents' opposition to cert petition	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
12/15/2014	Minter	Phone conference with co-counsel re: reply	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
12/15/2014	Minter	Begin legal research re reply	3.60	overstaffing (duplicative)															
12/16/2014	Minter	Review outline of reply; phone conferences and emails with co-counsel re: same	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
12/18/2014	Minter	Draft & revise reply brief; emails with co-counsel re: same	11.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
12/20/2014	Minter	Phone conferences and emails re: reply with co-counsel	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
12/21/2014	Minter	Draft & revise reply; emails with co-counsel re: same	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
12/22/2014	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: filing of reply	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
12/23/2014	Minter	Emails with legal team re: distribution of our petition for Jan 9 conference	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/2/2015	Minter	Emails with P. Cramer re Sanders article	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/9/2015	Minter	Emails with co-counsel re: next steps	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/13/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: plaintiffs	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/14/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman, C. Stoll, and D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: cert petition	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/16/2015	Minter	review grant of cert by SCOTUS	0.10	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/16/2015	Minter	Emails and telephone conference with co-counsel re: grant of cert by SCOTUS	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/17/2015	Minter	Emails with co-counsel and with counsel from other cases discussing Georgetown moot and coordination	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/19/2015	Minter	Phone conference with W. Harbison re: oral argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
1/19/2015	Minter	Emails with P. Cramer re: amicus	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/6/2015	Minter	Phone conference with D. Hallward-Dreimeier et al and Prof. Joan Hollinger to discuss interstate parentage recognition for	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/7/2015	Minter	Multiple emails re: moot courts; phone conference with S. Hickman re Nashville moot	0.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/8/2015	Minter	Phone conference with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and Prof. Rhonda Wasserman re: interstate marriage recognition for reply brief	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/9/2015	Minter	confer with Prof. Tobias Wolff and with co-counsel re: full faith and credit arguments; research re: same	3.20	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/9/2015	Minter	Draft and revise reply brief	7.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/10/2015	Minter	Draft and revise reply; emails with co-counsel re: same	12.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/10/2015	Minter	Emails with co-counsel seating arrangements for argument	0.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/11/2015	Minter	Draft and revise reply; conference call with co-counsel re: same	11.50	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/12/2015	Minter	Draft and revise reply; incorporate team edits to reply; emails with co-counsel re: same	12.40	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/12/2015	Minter	Emails with counsel from other marriage cases re: our respective replies	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/13/2015	Minter	Confer with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and other co-counsel about reply; revise intro to reply	2.90	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing												
4/13/2015	Minter	emails w/ counsel from other teams re: scheduling a call re: argument prep	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/13/2015	Minter	review draft reply from Ohio case	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/14/2015	Minter	Incorporate final team edits to reply	2.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/14/2015	Minter	emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and Mary Bonauto re: moots	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/14/2015	Minter	phone conference with S. Hickman and D. Hallward Dreimeier re: oral argument	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/14/2015	Minter	phone conference with S. Hickman re: reply brief and oral argument	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/15/2015	Minter	Final proof of reply brief and emails with co-counsel re: same	0.80	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/15/2015	Minter	call with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, Mary Bonauto and other counsel re: oral argument preparation;	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)															

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/15/2015	Minter	call with S. Hickman and D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: oral argument	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/16/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman and counsel from other teams re: argument; numerous emails re: argument	1.40	overstaffing (duplicative)	block billing														
4/17/2015	Minter	Review reply briefs from other cases	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/17/2015	Minter	meeting w D. Hallward-Dreimeier and video conference with TN co-counsel re: argument preparation	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/18/2015	Minter	Numerous emails re: seating arrangements	0.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/19/2015	Minter	Travel to Nashville for moot	3.80	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/19/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: argument preparation	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/19/2015	Minter	emails with S. Hickman and Dan Canon re: oral argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/19/2015	Minter	meet with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, T. Brown, S. Hickman, J. Farringer, and P. Cramer to discuss case	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/20/2015	Minter	Meet with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and other counsel; prepare for and attend moot; meetings after moot	4.20	overstaffing (duplicative)	block billing														
4/20/2015	Minter	Travel back to DC after moot	3.80	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/22/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and other counsel re: preparation for argument	0.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/24/2015	Minter	Participate in Georgetown moot; meet with co-counsel re: same; numerous emails, phone conferences re: oral argument prep	6.50	overstaffing (duplicative)	block billing														
4/25/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, T. Brown, S. Hickman and other counsel re: issues raised at Georgetown moot	1.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/26/2015	Minter	Meeting with D. Hallward-Dreimeier, T. Brown, and S. Hickman re: argument; numerous emails with TN team counsel and	5.60	overstaffing (duplicative)	block billing														
4/27/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: argument	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/28/2015	Minter	Attend oral argument; meet with legal team and Plaintiffs re: same	4.80	overstaffing (duplicative)	block billing														
4/29/2015	Minter	Emails with legal team re: analysis of argument	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
4/30/2015	Minter	Emails with legal team re: statements by Tennessee officials regarding future intentions	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
5/10/2015	Minter	Emails with plaintiffs about decision day	0.20																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/13/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: options following SCOTUS decision	1.40																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/18/2015	Minter	Phone conference with legal team re plans following SCOTUS decision	0.80																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/20/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: potential post- opinion motions	0.50																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
5/28/2015	Minter	Emails with counsel from other teams re: possible decision days and plan for same	0.20																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
6/1/2015	Minter	Review research from Sherrard on enforcement of Loving; emails with co-counsel re: same	0.50																
				overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
6/15/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dremeier about SCOTUS decision	0.10																
				overstaffing (duplicative)															
6/26/2015	Minter	Attend announcement of decision by SCOTUS; review and confer with co-counsel re: same and next steps	3.20																
				overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing											
6/27/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dremeier and team re: implementation of decision in TN	0.20																
6/29/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re fee petition	0.50																
7/2/2015	Minter	Review first draft of proposed final order; confer with S. Hickman and C. Stoll re: same	0.50																
7/3/2015	Minter	Review draft final order; confer with co-counsel re: same	0.30																
								block billing											
7/6/2015	Minter	Phone conference with S. Hickman re: proposed order	0.20																
7/7/2015	Minter	Phone conference with co-counsel re: entry of final judgment and motion for attorney fees	0.50																
7/17/2015	Minter	Review proposed order from TN AG; phone conference with S. Hickman and B. Harbison to discuss same	0.50																
								block billing											
7/21/2015	Minter	Review our team's proposed edits to final order; confer with co-counsel re: same	0.40																
								block billing											
7/23/2015	Minter	Review motion to set time for fee motion; confer with co- counsel re: same	0.30																
								block billing											
7/28/2015	Minter	Emails with S. Hickman re: fee petition	0.10																
7/30/2015	Minter	Review TN AG's proposed edits to final order and confer with co-counsel re: same	0.40																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
8/3/2015	Minter	Phone conference with D. Hallward-Dreimeier and S. Hickman re: entry of final judgment	0.30															
8/4/2015	Minter	Review draft motion for entry of final judgment; confer with co-counsel re same	0.30				block billing											
8/6/2015	Minter	Review final draft motion for entry of final judgment	0.20															
8/12/2015	Minter	Review first draft of plaintiffs' reply in support of motion for final judgment	0.40															
8/13/2015	Minter	Review final draft of Plaintiffs' reply in support of motion for final judgment	0.20															
8/17/2015	Minter	Emails with D. Hallward-Dreimeier re: fee motion	0.20															
10/3/2015	Minter	Edits to Minter Decl ISO Fees	0.80															
Minter Totals:			606.6	375.7	0	361.2	2.4	1.2	386.2	0	0.2	2.3	1.1					
			overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related						

Total Compensable Hours:	215.6
Rate:	475
Subtotal:	102410
Deduction -20% block billing:	20482
Total payment:	81928

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

CHRISTOPHER F. STOLL

8/1/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference with co- counsel re potential case and legal theories; research re same.	1.60															
8/5/2013	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re case planning.	0.30															
8/6/2013	Stoll	Emails with team re legislative history.	0.40															
8/7/2013	Stoll	Emails with team re case planning and research.	0.30															
8/8/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference with co- counsel re case planning and potential plaintiffs and defendants; research	1.80															
8/12/2013	Stoll	Emails re case planning and potential plaintiffs.	0.20															
8/13/2013	Stoll	Email re potential plaintiffs.	0.10															

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
8/13/2013	Stoll	Legal research re Tennessee marriage recognition law.	2.40																
8/14/2013	Stoll	Emails re case planning issues.	0.50																
8/15/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call with legal team re case planning.	1.20																
8/22/2013	Stoll	Draft complaint; research re same.	1.90																
8/22/2013	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re legislative history research.	0.20																
8/23/2013	Stoll	Conferences with legal team re legal research projects and strategy issues.	0.80																
8/26/2013	Stoll	Review legislative history summaries.	0.60																
8/28/2013	Stoll	Emails re potential plaintiffs and complaint.	0.30																
8/28/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise complaint; legal research re same.	5.50																
8/30/2013	Stoll	Conferences with co-counsel re additional potential plaintiffs.	0.60																
9/6/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference with co- counsel re case planning.	1.00																
9/9/2013	Stoll	Conferences re case planning and plaintiff harms.	0.80																
9/16/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise complaint; research re same.	6.10																
9/17/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise complaint; research re same.	7.20																
9/19/2013	Stoll	Revise draft complaint.	2.70																
9/20/2013	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re case planning issues and potential plaintiffs.	0.70																
9/23/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call with legal team re complaint.	1.50																
9/26/2013	Stoll	Legal research re potential causes of action.	1.40																
9/28/2013	Stoll	Emails re case planning issues.	0.30																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
10/2/2013	Stoll	Emails re case planning and strategy.	0.50																
10/3/2013	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re draft complaint.	0.20																
10/4/2013	Stoll	Review revised draft complaint; emails re same.	1.60																
10/5/2013	Stoll	Revise draft complaint; confer with co-counsel re motion for PI/TRO.	2.20																
10/7/2013	Stoll	NCLR team call re complaint.	0.40																
10/8/2013	Stoll	Draft motion for preliminary injunction; legal research re same.	3.40																
10/8/2013	Stoll	Teleconference with legal team re complaint and motion for preliminary injunction.	1.00																
10/9/2013	Stoll	Draft motion for preliminary injunction; legal research re same.	3.60																
10/9/2013	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re preliminary injunction motion.	0.50																
10/10/2013	Stoll	Conferences with co-counsel re case planning issues.	0.80																
10/11/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in team teleconference re case planning and strategy.	1.20																
10/14/2013	Stoll	Review co-counsel revisions to complaint.	1.20																
10/15/2013	Stoll	Draft motion for preliminary injunction; legal research re same.	5.00																
10/15/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call with legal team re complaint and motion for preliminary injunction.	1.10																
10/15/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise client engagement letters.	0.00																
10/16/2013	Stoll	Draft motion for preliminary injunction; legal research re same.	5.90																
10/16/2013	Stoll	Conference call with legal team re complaint.	1.00																
10/17/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction; legal resarch re same.	4.80																
10/18/2013	Stoll	Review and revise draft complaint.	2.40																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
10/20/2013	Stoll	Review draft complaint; emails re finalizing and filing of same.	1.30																
10/22/2013	Stoll	Emails with team re case strategy and planning.	0.40																
10/24/2013	Stoll	Legal research re possible motion for TRO.	0.00																
10/24/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	2.30																
10/29/2013	Stoll	Emails with NCLR team re draft motion for preliminary injunction.	0.80																
10/30/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction; research re same.	3.50																
10/31/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	4.80																
11/1/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	5.50																
11/4/2013	Stoll	Revise declaration in support of preliminary injunction motion.	0.90																
11/5/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference with legal team.	1.20																
11/6/2013	Stoll	Revise declarations in support of motion for preliminary injunction.	0.70																
11/7/2013	Stoll	Review draft declaration re PI.	0.20																
11/11/2013	Stoll	Revise preliminary injunction motion.	3.60																
11/12/2013	Stoll	Revise memorandum in support of motion for preliminary injunction; legal research re same.	3.20																
11/12/2013	Stoll	Revise declarations ISO preliminary injunction.	0.30																
11/13/2013	Stoll	Emails re preliminary injunction motion and declarations.	0.40																
11/14/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction; research re same.	4.00																
11/14/2013	Stoll	Review and edit client declarations.	1.30																
11/15/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	5.70																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
11/16/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	3.30																	
11/17/2013	Stoll	Emails re preliminary injunction motion.	0.60																	
11/18/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise motion for preliminary injunction.	1.20																	
11/19/2013	Stoll	Final review of motion for preliminary injunction and supporting documents; supervised finalizing and filing same.	2.20																	
12/6/2013	Stoll	Review defendants' opposition to motion for preliminary injunction and supporting documents.	0.80																	
12/9/2013	Stoll	Review amicus brief in opposition to motion for preliminary injunction.	0.40																	
12/10/2013	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call with legal team re PI reply.	1.10																	
12/11/2013	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re reply brief.	0.70																	
12/12/2013	Stoll	Draft outline of reply brief.	1.60																	
12/16/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction; research re same.	6.70																	
12/17/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction; research re same.	5.50																	
12/18/2013	Stoll	Draft and revise reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction.	3.60																	
12/19/2013	Stoll	Revise reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction; supervised cite checking of same.	4.50																	
12/20/2013	Stoll	Final revisions to reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction; emails re filing of same.	3.80																	
1/8/2014	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call re case management order.	1.00																	
1/10/2014	Stoll	Phone calls with co-counsel re case management order.	0.70																	
1/14/2014	Stoll	Review Oklahoma marriage decision; emails with co- counsel re same.	0.80																	
2/12/2014	Stoll	Review and edit plaintiffs' notice of supplemental authority; emails to team re same.	0.30																	
2/14/2014	Stoll	Review and edit further notice of supplemental authority; emails to team re same.	0.20																	

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																	
2/27/2014	Stoll	Review and edit notice of supplemental authority re DeLeon v. Perry; email with co-counsel re same.	0.30																		
3/10/2014	Stoll	Review and edit stipulation of dismissal of certain plaintiffs and defendants; confer with co-counsel re same.	0.00																		
3/11/2014	Stoll	Review and edit motion to ascertain status and accompanying declaration; email to team re same.	0.30																		
3/12/2014	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re order on motion to ascertain status.	0.20																		
3/14/2014	Stoll	Review district court order granting preliminary injunction.	0.40																		
3/14/2014	Stoll	Emails with team re PI Order and strategy moving forward.	1.30																		
3/17/2014	Stoll	Teleconference with legal team re district court decision and case strategy.	1.40																		
3/18/2014	Stoll	Begin draft opposition to stay; research re same.	7.10																		
3/18/2014	Stoll	Review defendants' motion for stay pending appeal.	0.40																		
3/19/2014	Stoll	Revise and finalize opposition to motion for stay; emails re filing of same.	5.20																		
3/20/2014	Stoll	Review district court order denying stay; emails with team re same.	0.50																		
3/21/2014	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re motion for stay filed in MI Sixth Circuit marriage case.	0.20																		
3/25/2014	Stoll	Draft opposition to defs' motion for stay to 6th circuit; legal research re same.	8.80																		
3/25/2014	Stoll	Review defendants' motion for stay in Sixth Circuit.	0.50																		
3/26/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise opposition to stay motion in Sixth Circuit; emails re same and re additional legal research.	5.50																		
3/27/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise opposition to 6th Circuit motion for stay pending appeal; research re same.	7.80																		
3/28/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise opposition to Sixth Circuit motion for stay; research re same.	4.60																		
3/31/2014	Stoll	Review co-counsel edits to opposition to stay motion.	0.50																		
4/2/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise client declaration and corporate disclosure statement; telephone discussions with co-counsel re same.	3.30																		

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
4/2/2014	Stoll	Revise opposition to motion for stay.	0.50																
4/3/2014	Stoll	Revise opposition to motion for stay.	3.40																
4/3/2014	Stoll	Emails re finalizing opp to stay motion and supporting declaration.	0.30																
4/4/2014	Stoll	Revise and finalize opposition to motion for stay; supervised preparation of tables of contents and authorities for filing of same.	5.30																
4/25/2014	Stoll	Review order granting stay; emails with team re same.	0.40																
5/5/2014	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re amicus briefs.	0.30																
5/7/2014	Stoll	Review appellants' opening brief.	0.80																
5/8/2014	Stoll	Conferences re amicus brief issues.	0.30																
5/21/2014	Stoll	Conferences re oral argument.	0.20																
5/23/2014	Stoll	Teleconference re oral argument issues.	1.00																
5/24/2014	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel in Sixth Circuit cases re amicus brief issues.	0.60																
5/28/2014	Stoll	Draft appeal brief; legal research re same, including plenary review of district court preliminary injunction order.	8.30																
5/29/2014	Stoll	Draft appellate brief; legal research re same.	7.80																
5/30/2014	Stoll	Draft appellate brief; legal research re same.	6.50																
5/31/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise appellate brief; legal research re same.	6.20																
6/1/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise appellate brief; legal research re same.	5.30																
6/2/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise appellate brief; research re same.	5.70																
6/3/2014	Stoll	Conferences re record cites in draft brief and re amicus issues.	1.50																
6/4/2014	Stoll	Emails with team re amicus brief issues.	0.30																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
6/5/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise appellate brief.	7.20																
6/5/2014	Stoll	Emails with team re record citations and designation of record for appellate brief.	0.40																
6/6/2014	Stoll	Emails with team re cite checking and final revisions to brief.	0.40																
6/8/2014	Stoll	Review and revise Sixth Circuit brief.	1.40																
6/9/2014	Stoll	Multiple emails re finalizing and filing of Sixth Circuit brief and re amicus issues.	2.20																
6/10/2014	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel in Sixth Circuit cases re amicus brief issues.	0.30																
6/12/2014	Stoll	Emails with counsel for amicus re filing of amicus briefs and consent to file.	0.20																
6/26/2014	Stoll	Review appellants' reply brief.	0.30																
6/26/2014	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re reply brief.	0.50																
7/18/2014	Stoll	Review oral argument preparation outline; emails with team re same.	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)															
7/23/2014	Stoll	Legal research for oral argument preparation; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)															
7/25/2014	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference re oral argument preparation.	2.00	overstaffing (duplicative)															
8/1/2014	Stoll	Confer with co-counsel re oral argument.	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
8/3/2014	Stoll	Conferences with co-counsel re preparation for oral argument.	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)															
8/4/2014	Stoll	Travel to Cincinnati for Sixth Circuit oral argument.	7.80	overstaffing (duplicative)															
8/6/2014	Stoll	Attend Sixth Circuit oral argument; confer with co-counsel re same.	4.50	overstaffing (duplicative)															
8/10/2014	Stoll	Return travel to San Francisco.	8.30	overstaffing (duplicative)															
9/5/2014	Stoll	Review Seventh Circuit decision.	0.40																
9/5/2014	Stoll	Emails with team re 7th circuit ruling.	0.30																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS														
11/6/2014	Stoll	Review Sixth Circuit decision.	0.50															
11/6/2014	Stoll	Multiple emails with co-counsel re 6th circuit ruling and case strategy for appeal.	3.00															
11/7/2014	Stoll	Multiple emails and phone calls with co-counsel re Sixth Circuit decision, strategy issues, and potential petition for certiorari.	3.70															
11/8/2014	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconferences with co-counsel re planning for drafting and filing petition for certiorari.	1.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/8/2014	Stoll	Begin research re petition for certiorari.	3.10	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
11/9/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise petition for certiorari; research re same.	5.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/9/2014	Stoll	review draft outline of same; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/10/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise petition for certiorari; research re same.	8.80	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/11/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise petition for certiorari; research re same.	7.20	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/12/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise petition for certiorari; research re same.	7.90	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/12/2014	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re same and re appendix.	0.40	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
11/13/2014	Stoll	Draft and revise petition for certiorari; research re same.	7.60	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/14/2014	Stoll	Review final version of petition for certiorari; emails with co-counsel re filing of same.	1.10	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/17/2014	Stoll	Review Michigan and Kentucky petitions; emails with co-counsel re same.	1.30	overstaffing (duplicative)				block billing						unnecessary				
11/26/2014	Stoll	TC with co-counsel re petition for certiorari.	0.70	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
12/11/2014	Stoll	TC with counsel for all petitioners re amicus briefs.	1.00	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
12/15/2014	Stoll	Review respondents' brief in opposition to petition for certiorari.	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
12/15/2014	Stoll	TC with co-counsel re reply to same.	0.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				
12/15/2014	Stoll	Begin research re reply to petition for certiorari.	2.50	overstaffing (duplicative)										unnecessary				

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS											
5/18/2015	Stoll	Research re entry of final judgment following preliminary injunction.	0.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary						
6/22/2015	Stoll	Travel to Washington for announcement of Supreme Court opinion.	8.30	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary						
6/27/2015	Stoll	Attend announcement of opinion by Supreme Court; review and analysis of same; confer with co-counsel re same and re next	3.00	overstaffing (duplicative)			block billing		unnecessary						
6/28/2015	Stoll	Return travel to San Francisco.	8.50	overstaffing (duplicative)					unnecessary						
7/2/2015	Stoll	Review draft final order; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.30				block billing								
7/7/2015	Stoll	Emails with co-counsel re entry of final judgment and motion for attorney fees.	0.50												
7/21/2015	Stoll	Review revised proposed final order and edits from attorney general; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.30				block billing								
7/24/2015	Stoll	Review motion to set time for fee motion; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.20				block billing								
8/4/2015	Stoll	Review draft motion for entry of final judgment; emails with co-counsel re same.	0.30				block billing								
9/25/2015	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in teleconference with Scott Hickman and Shannon Minter re motion for attorney fees.	2.10												
9/30/2015	Stoll	Prepare for and participate in conference call with NCLR legal team re motion for attorney fees.	0.80												
9/30/2015	Stoll	Revise memorandum in support of motion for attorneys' fees.	1.40												
10/1/2015	Stoll	Draft and revise sections of Minter declaration in support of motion for attorney fees; review district court, appeals court, and	4.70				block billing								
10/2/2015	Stoll	Revise memorandum and Minter decl in support of motion for attorneys' fees; emails re same.	2.30				block billing								
		Stoll Totals:	540.2	247.2	0	292.6	0	5.3	227.7	0	0	0	0	0	0
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related		

Total Compensable Hours:	282
Rate:	425
Subtotal:	119850
Deduction -20% block billing:	23970
Total payment:	95880

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

AMY WHELAN

10/5/2013	Whelan	conf with CS and SM re motion for PI	0.20												
-----------	--------	--------------------------------------	------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/7/2013	Whelan	edits to full PI brief; emails to team re same	1.70																
3/17/2014	Whelan	team call re PI status	1.40																
3/18/2014	Whelan	LR re opp to stay motion and status quo issue	0.80																
3/24/2014	Whelan	NCLR team call re status of case and next steps	0.30																
11/6/2014	Whelan	review of Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals decision	0.00																
11/26/2014	Whelan	call with team re state's response to cert. petition and strategy re timing for same	0.00																
11/26/2014	Whelan	emails from team re communications with defense counsel re cert. petition	0.00																
5/18/2015	Whelan	team call re next steps after Supreme Court ruling	0.50																
5/18/2015	Whelan	LR re entitlement to attorneys fees for case	0.30																
5/20/2015	Whelan	TC with SM, CS re Tanco fee petition strategy, rates, and billing judgments	0.50																
7/8/2015	Whelan	LR re local rules re attorneys fee motion and bill of costs; email to SM and CS re same	0.60																
8/20/2015	Whelan	emails with SM and SH re fee petition and NCLR evidence ISO same	0.10																
8/20/2015	Whelan	TC with SH re NCLR time runs and info for fee petition	0.20																
9/30/2015	Whelan	edits to Memo of Law ISO Fees; LR for additional 6th circuit cites and law for same	3.60																
9/30/2015	Whelan	NCLR team call re fee motion	0.80																
9/30/2015	Whelan	prep of Minter Decl ISO Fees	0.60																
10/1/2015	Whelan	continued edits to draft Memo of Law ISO Fee Motion and LR for same re enhancement section additions and 6th circuit law	3.50																
10/1/2015	Whelan	continued drafting of Minter Decl ISO Fees	1.40																
10/1/2015	Whelan	edits to Abby Rubinfeld Decl ISO Fee Motion	0.50																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS													
10/2/2015	Whelan	emails with team re Johnson factors and rates for fee motion	0.30														
10/2/2015	Whelan	final prep of exhibits to Minter Decl ISO fees	0.30														
Whelan Totals:			69.3	0	0	55.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20.9	0	0

Total Compensable Hours:	48.4
Rate:	390
Subtotal:	18876.00
Deduction -20% block billing:	3775.2
Total payment:	15,100.80

overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related
----------------------------	--------------------	---------------	-------	------------------------------	-------------	-----------	-----------------	----------------------	---------------------

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

ASAF ORR

8/22/2013	Orr	E-mails wit C Stoll re team meeting	0.00														
8/22/2013	Orr	E-mail with A Aruck and C Stoll re certificate of good standing for PHV admission	0.00														
8/26/2013	Orr	Call with M Mansell & J Espejo re plaintiff interview	1.10					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/28/2013	Orr	Legal team call re plaintiffs	0.50					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/28/2013	Orr	E-mails with C Stoll and S Minter re plaintiff interview	0.30					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/28/2013	Orr	E-mail to I DeKoe to arrange plaintiff interview	0.20					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/29/2013	Orr	E-mails with A Rubinfeld re interview with DeKoe & Kostura	0.20					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/29/2013	Orr	Call with I DeKoe re plaintiff interview	0.80					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/29/2013	Orr	Finalize and circulate completed plaintiff questionnaire for I DeKoe and T Kostura	0.20					potential plaintiffs/vetting									
8/30/2013	Orr	Research re statute requiring new residents to obtain new driver's license	0.00											client services			
8/30/2013	Orr	E-mail to legal team re driver license issue	0.00											client services			
9/3/2013	Orr	E-mails to/from Jesty/Tanco re requesting marriage- related benefits and documenting denials	0.30					potential plaintiffs/vetting									

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
9/3/2013	Orr	Call with NCLR legal team re TN case	0.40																
9/4/2013	Orr	Review couple's questionnaire from S Jesty & V Tanco	0.20																
9/5/2013	Orr	E-mails with T Kostura to arrange call	0.00																
9/6/2013	Orr	E-mails re assisting Jesty & Tanco with insurance denial based on non-recognition	0.20																
9/6/2013	Orr	Call with T Kostura re plaintiff interview	0.30																
9/6/2013	Orr	Legal team call re complaint	0.80																
9/6/2013	Orr	Drafting plaintiff description for the complaint	0.60																
9/7/2013	Orr	E-mails re County recorder of Deeds in Knoxville and recording the Jesty/Tanco deed as tenants by the entirety	0.00																
9/7/2013	Orr	Drafting plaintiff description for the complaint	0.30																
9/8/2013	Orr	Draft letter from Jesty/Tanco re insurance enrollment on a family plan	0.30																
9/8/2013	Orr	Send and review e-mails to clients re insurance enrollment issue	0.10																
9/8/2013	Orr	Drafting plaintiff description for the complaint	0.60																
9/8/2013	Orr	Review legislative history documents for Tenn. Const. art. 11, § 18 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 36-3-113	1.30																
9/9/2013	Orr	Call with D. Codell re draft letter for Jesty/Tanco insurance	0.30																
9/9/2013	Orr	Call with V Tanco re the letter for insurance issue	0.10																
9/9/2013	Orr	E-mail from V Tanco re current deed to home	0.10																
9/9/2013	Orr	Reviewed and responded to e-mails re edits to the insurance letter	0.20																
9/9/2013	Orr	revised the insurance letter and email to clients for review	0.20																
9/9/2013	Orr	E-mail from M. Mansell re adoption dates and facts re same	0.10																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS										
9/20/2013	Orr	Call with K Miller & V DeVillez re plaintiff interview	1.20											
9/20/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re recording of deed	0.40											
9/21/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re allegations of legal injury for DeKoe & Kostura	0.20											
9/22/2013	Orr	Finalize and circulate completed plaintiff questionnaire for K Miller & V DeVillez	0.50											
9/23/2013	Orr	Legal team call re complaint	0.40											
9/24/2013	Orr	Call with V DeVillez & M Holland	0.30											
9/24/2013	Orr	E-mail from DeKoe re life insurance application	0.10											
9/25/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mail re life insurance application for DeKoe/Kostura	0.30											
9/26/2013	Orr	Review e-mail from K Miller re potential insurance issue	0.10											
9/26/2013	Orr	Call with V DeVillez	0.40											
9/29/2013	Orr	E-mail from V Tanco re recorded quitclaim deed	0.10											
10/1/2013	Orr	Review and respond to draft letter to county recorder's office re Jesty/Tanco deed	0.20											
10/1/2013	Orr	Review and finalize Pro Hac Vice application for A Orr, S Minter, and C Stoll	0.00											
10/1/2013	Orr	Draft and circulate agenda for legal team call	0.20											
10/2/2013	Orr	Legal team call re complaint and PI	0.70											
10/3/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re new deed for Miller/DeViliez	0.20											
10/3/2013	Orr	Call to Dept of Insurance re definition of insurable interest as it applies to same-sex couples	0.30											
10/3/2013	Orr	Legal research re insurable interest under TN law	0.70											
10/4/2013	Orr	Research and draft defendant description re same	0.20											

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																				
10/4/2013	Orr	Draft plaintiff section for Miller/DeViliez	0.80																					
10/4/2013	Orr	E-mail and response to V Tanco re change in health insurance carrier	0.10																			non-prevailing issue		
10/7/2013	Orr	E-mail from M. Mansell re filing day logistics	0.00																				client services	
10/7/2013	Orr	Call with C Stoll, S Minter, and D Codell re complaint	0.40																					
10/7/2013	Orr	E-mail to legal team re filing day logistics	0.00																					
10/8/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re proper defendant for birth certificate allegation	0.20																					
10/9/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re recording new deed for Miller/DeViliez	0.20																				client services	non-prevailing issue
10/9/2013	Orr	Draft e-mail to plaintiffs re case filing, filing day logistics	0.30																					
10/10/2013	Orr	E-mail to legal team re standing issues for plaintiffs	0.10																					
10/11/2013	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails re DMV denial of name change for K Miller.	0.20																				client services	non-prevailing issue
10/11/2013	Orr	Legal team call re standing issue	0.90																					
10/14/2013	Orr	E-mail from K Miller confirming receipt of new deed at recorder's office in Robertson County	0.10																				client services	non-prevailing issue
10/16/2013	Orr	Review and revise complaint	1.20																					
10/17/2013	Orr	Draft press release for filing day	0.70																					
10/17/2013	Orr	Review and revise complaint	0.90																					press/media related
10/17/2013	Orr	Revise and format retention letters for review by plaintiffs	0.60																					
10/18/2013	Orr	Prepare bios for each plaintiff couple for media use / public interest in the case	0.40																					press/media related
10/18/2013	Orr	Draft case blurb for public materials	0.00																					press/media related
10/19/2013	Orr	Draft and send e-mail to plaintiffs with draft complaint and retention letter for review	0.30																					press/media related
																								block billing

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
10/19/2013	Orr	Revise and format retention letters for review by plaintiffs	0.30																	
10/19/2013	Orr	E-mail from I DeKoe re edits to complaint	0.10																	
10/19/2013	Orr	E-mail from J Espejo re edits to complaint	0.10																	
10/20/2013	Orr	E-mail from K Miller re edits to complaint	0.10																	non-prevailing issue
10/20/2013	Orr	Legal research re elements of Section 1983 claim in 6th Circuit	0.70																	
10/22/2013	Orr	E-mail from R Lambert re issue with media interview	0.00																	press/media related
10/22/2013	Orr	call with R. Lambert re same	0.00																	press/media related
10/22/2013	Orr	E-mail with signed retention letter from Mansell and Espejo	0.10																	
10/24/2013	Orr	E-mail with signed retention letter from DeKoe and Kostura	0.10																	
10/29/2013	Orr	E-mail to S Minter, C Stoll, A Whelan, and D Codell re next steps with PI Motion	0.10																	
10/29/2013	Orr	Team Call re PI Motion	0.80																	
10/30/2013	Orr	Legal team call re PI Motion	0.50																	
10/30/2013	Orr	Draft Jesty Dec ISO PI motion	0.80																	
11/1/2013	Orr	Draft Jesty Dec ISO PI motion	1.10																	
11/5/2013	Orr	E-mail from K Miller re additional potential allegation of injury	0.10																	non-prevailing issue
11/5/2013	Orr	Review and incorporate J Huling-Delays edits to Jesty Decl.	0.20																	
11/5/2013	Orr	E-mail with C Stoll re Jesty Decl	0.10																	
11/5/2013	Orr	E-mail with A Whelan re Jesty Decl	0.10																	
11/5/2013	Orr	Call with NCLR legal team re PI motion	1.00																	

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS															
11/5/2013	Orr	Revise and incorporate edits to Jesty Decl.	1.30																
11/5/2013	Orr	Legal team call re PI Motion	0.50																
11/7/2013	Orr	E-mail to legl team re draft of Jesty decl.	0.10																
11/7/2013	Orr	Review and edit draft Mansell Decl ISO PI motion	0.30																
11/8/2013	Orr	Review and edit draft DeKoe Decl ISO PI motion	0.40																
11/8/2013	Orr	Review, edit decls all decls with all comments	1.30																
11/9/2013	Orr	Revise Jesty, Mansell, DeKoe, and Miller Decls ISO PI Motion	0.50																non-prevailing issue
11/13/2013	Orr	Review edits to Jesty Decl	0.10																
11/14/2013	Orr	Draft DeVillez declaration	0.40																non-prevailing issue
11/14/2013	Orr	Draft Espejo declaration	0.40																
11/14/2013	Orr	Draft Kostura declaration	0.40																
11/14/2013	Orr	E-mail with C Stoll re finalizing Espejo declaration	0.10																
11/14/2013	Orr	E-mail to legal team re drafts of declarations for edits	0.20																
11/15/2013	Orr	Revising and incorporating edits to all declarations	2.30																
11/15/2013	Orr	E-mails to plaintiffs with final drafts of decls for review and signature	0.30																
11/15/2013	Orr	E-mail with S Jesty re edits to declaration	0.10																
11/15/2013	Orr	E-mail with M Mansell re approval of declarations	0.10																
11/17/2013	Orr	Input edits to V DeVillez declaration	0.20																non-prevailing issue
11/18/2013	Orr	Review and revise motion for file oversized brief	0.10																

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS										
3/11/2014	Orr	Call to V Tanco re declaration ISO motion to ascertain status; e-mail response from Tanco re same	0.10											
3/12/2014	Orr	E-mails to Miller and DeVillez re representation	0.00											
3/14/2014	Orr	Review Judge Trauger's decision on PI motion	0.50											
3/14/2014	Orr	E-mail opinion to Jesty and Tanco	0.10											
3/19/2014	Orr	Cite checking opposition to stay order pending appeal	1.10											
3/20/2014	Orr	Review and respond to e-mails with S Jesty re status of TN's request for a stay pending appeal	0.20											
		Orr Totals:	53.6	0	0	0.7	0	7.2	0	0	5.4	6.9	1.9	
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related	

Total Compensable Hours: 36
 Rate: 290
 Total payment: 10440

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

JAIME HULING DELAYE

11/5/2013	Delaye	Team conference call re filing for preliminary injunction	1.00											
11/5/2013	Delaye	Revise S. Jesty Decl. in support of Preliminary Injunction	1.20											
11/6/2013	Delaye	Draft declaration of K. Miller in support of Preliminary Injunction	3.30											
11/7/2013	Delaye	Draft declaration of M. Mansell in support of Preliminary Injunction	0.70											
11/8/2013	Delaye	Prepare template plaintiff declaration in support of preliminary injunction; email correspondence re same	1.10											
11/8/2013	Delaye	Revise declaration of I. DeKoe in support of Preliminary Injunction	1.70											
11/8/2013	Delaye	Revise declaration of K. Miller in support of Preliminary Injunction	0.40											
11/8/2013	Delaye	Revise declaration of M. Mansell in Support of Preliminary Injunction	0.20											
11/12/2013	Delaye	Research re Tennessee historical statutes for Motion in Support of Preliminary Injunction	8.20											

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS																
11/12/2013	Delay	Incorporate internal NCLR edits to template plaintiff delcarations	1.40																	
11/13/2013	Delay	Research re Tennessee historical statutes for Motion in Support of Preliminary Injunction	9.20																	
11/13/2013	Delay	Confer with Chris Stoll and Shannon Minter re motion for preliminary injunction	0.20																	
11/14/2013	Delay	Review draft motion for leave to file oversized brief and provide edits	0.20																	
12/10/2013	Delay	Team conference call reply brief in support of preliminary injunction	0.90																	
12/17/2013	Delay	Draft section of reply in support of preliminary injunction re: balancing harms and public interest	2.90																	
12/20/2013	Delay	Research local rules re: ECF filing permissions in MD Tenn.	0.00																	
12/20/2013	Delay	Finalize reply in support of motion for preliminary injunction for filing	5.50																	
12/22/2013	Delay	Draft shell of notice of supplemental authority re Kitchen decision	0.40																	
2/12/2014	Delay	Draft and file notice of supplemental authority re Bourke decision	1.90																	
2/13/2014	Delay	Draft notice of supplemental authority re Bostic	0.60																	
2/14/2014	Delay	Draft and file notice of supplemental authority re Bostic decision	2.10																	
2/26/2014	Delay	Draft and file notice of supplemental authority re De Leon decision	2.70																	
3/10/2014	Delay	Review and revise draft motion to ascertain status	0.30																	
3/17/2014	Delay	Confer with team re post-decision steps	1.00																	
3/18/2014	Delay	Reseach and draft sections of opposition to motion for stay pending appeal	2.00																	
3/19/2014	Delay	Cite check and finalize opposition to motion for stay pending appeal	2.40																	
6/3/2014	Delay	Compile pleadings re state law recognition issue to share with Sixth Circuit Amicus	1.40																	
6/3/2014	Delay	research 6th circuit local rules	0.40																	

unnecessary

EXHIBIT F: NCLR FEES

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	TIME	OBJECTIONS												
6/5/2014	Delaye	Update record cites for Sixth Circuit opening brief and prepare designation of record	3.20													
8/26/2014	Delaye	Send Shannon Minter research re recognition of interracial relationships	0.20													
11/7/2014	Delaye	Call with co-counsel re Tanco Supreme Court Briefing	1.00													
11/13/2014	Delaye	Edit Supreme Court appendix	1.30													
1/16/2015	Delaye	Respond to media request re facts of case	0.00	overstaffing (duplicative)												
2/25/2015	Delaye	Email correspondence regarding update of FMLA rules and potential impact on case	0.20												press/media related	
Delaye Totals:			59.2		1.3	0	1.1	0	0	1.4	0	0.2		3.7	0	
				overstaffing (duplicative)	no-"paying client"	block billing	vague	potential plaintiffs/vetting	unnecessary	excessive	client services	non-prevailing issue	press/media related			

Total Compensable Hours:	52.6
Rate:	280
Total payment:	14728

***Certain red entries are subject to multiple objections. "Total Compensable Hours" represents the sum of the orange and green entries so as to avoid double-subtraction of entries with multiple objections

NCLR TOTALS:

Minter total:	81928
Stoll total:	95880
Whelan total:	15100.8
Orr total:	10440
Delaye total:	14728
TOTAL:	218,076.80

EXHIBIT G: COSTS AND EXPENSES

COSTS

Amount	Objection
Fees of the Clerk 400	
Fees and disbursements for printing 17,818.00	
Pro Hac Motion Filing Fees 375	Unnecessary (many other counsel already admitted)
Costs total: 18593	
Objectionable costs: 375	
Costs suggested total: 18218	

EXPENSES

Date	Attorney	Expense	Amount (charged)	Objection
4/28/2015 & 6/26/2015	Holland	Airfare Travel to and from DC twice	600	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
			Holland total: 600	
			Holland objectionable total: 600	
			Holland suggested total: 0	
8/5/2014 & 7/31/2014	Lambert	Hotel Lodging for in Nashville and Cincinnati for preparation and oral argument in the 6th Cir	870.35	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
			Lambert total: 870.35	
			Lambert objectionable total: 870.35	
			Lambert suggested total: 0	
	Rubinfeld	\$Federal Express	30.77	vague, unnecessary
10/3/2013	Rubinfeld	FederalExpress to Vanessa Devillez	30.77	vague, unnecessary
11/29/2013	Rubinfeld	Photocopies .1 x 1	0.1	
2/19/2014	Rubinfeld	Photocopies .1 x 1	0.1	
4/21/2014	Rubinfeld	Postage to Marlett	1.82	vague
4/30/2014	Rubinfeld	Photocopies .1 x 81	8.1	
5/15/2014	Rubinfeld	Courier Fee to Shannon Minter (Fedex)	65.81	vague, unnecessary
8/5/2014	Rubinfeld	Mileage @ .57.5 x 276 miles to Cincinnati from Nashville	158.7	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/7/2014	Rubinfeld	Mileage @ .57.5 x 276 miles from Cincinnati to Nashville	158.7	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/20/2014	Rubinfeld	Postage to Marlett & BPR	3.43	vague
3/25/2015	Rubinfeld	Mileage @ .57.5 x 179 miles to Louisville from Nashville	102.93	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/25/2015	Rubinfeld	Mileage @ .57.5 x 179 miles from Louisville to Nashville	102.93	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
7/31/2015	Rubinfeld	Photocopies .1 x 13	1.3	
			Rubinfeld total: 665.46	
			Rubinfeld objectionable total: 655.86	
			Rubinfeld suggested total: 9.6	
10/19/2013	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, DC to Nashville	266.8	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/19/2013	Minter	Lodging: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, 2	637.96	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/20/2013	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, airport to hotel	42.24	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/21/2013	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, Nashville to DC	391.3	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/21/2013	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, hotel to airport	40	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/21/2013	Minter	Meals: S. Minter, meeting with plaintiffs for complaint filing on 10/21/2013, 2 days	0	
3/19/2014	Orr	6th Circuit admission fee, A. Orr	226	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/19/2014	Whelan	6th Circuit admission fee, A. Whelan	226	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative

Red: Objection Orange: Deduction Green: Compensable

EXHIBIT G: COSTS AND EXPENSES

<i>Date</i>	<i>Attorney</i>	<i>Expense</i>	<i>Amount (charged)</i>	<i>Objection</i>
3/19/2014	Stoll	6th Circuit admission fee, C. Stoll	226	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/19/2014	Codell	6th Circuit admission fee, D. Codell	226	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/19/2014	Minter	6th Circuit admission fee, S. Minter	226	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
7/30/2014	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville	702.7	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
7/30/2014	Minter	Lodging: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville, 2 nights	535.58	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
7/30/2014	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville, airport to hotel	35	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
7/30/2014	Minter	Meals: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville, 3 days	0	
8/1/2014	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville, airport to home	37.26	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/4/2014	Stoll	Transportation: C. Stoll, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014, home to airport	27.06	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/4/2014	Stoll	Meals: C. Stoll, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014	0	
8/5/2014	Stoll	Lodging: C. Stoll, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014, 2 nights	419.5	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/5/2014	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014	698.2	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/5/2014	Minter	Lodging: S. Minter, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014, 1 night	341.22	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/5/2014	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014, airport to hotel	38	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/6/2014	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014, airport to home	33.45	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
8/6/2014	Minter	Meals: S. Minter, 6th Circuit argument in Cincinnati on 8/6/2014	0	
2/5/2015	Codell	Airfare: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015	903.2	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
2/5/2015	Codell	Lodging: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015, 1 night	218.65	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
2/5/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015, home to airport	57.18	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
2/5/2015	Codell	Meals: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015	0	
2/6/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015, hotel to airport	22.78	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
2/6/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, meeting with Solicitor General re: oral argument on 2/6/2015, airport to home	56	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/25/2015	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, moot court in Louisville on 3/25/2015	445.69	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/26/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Louisville on 3/25/2015, airport to home	30.9	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/29/2015	Rubinfeld	Airfare: A. Rubinfeld, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015	890.7	Rubinfeld not NCLR atty, overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/28/2015	Codell	Airfare: D. Codell, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015	915.2	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/28/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015, home to airport	75	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/29/2015	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015	1157.18	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/29/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015, airport to moot	25.78	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/29/2015	Minter	Meals: S. Minter, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015	0	
3/29/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Ann Arbor on 3/29/2015, moot to airport	39.81	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/19/2015	Minter	Airfare: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015	640.7	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/19/2015	Minter	Lodging: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015, 1 night	337.95	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/19/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015, home to airport	38.65	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/20/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015, hotel to court	40.66	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/20/2015	Minter	Meals: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015	0	
4/21/2015	Minter	Transportation: S. Minter, moot court in Nashville on 4/20/2015, airport to home	32.97	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/21/2015	Rubinfeld	Airfare: A. Rubinfeld, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015	532.5	Rubinfeld not NCLR atty, overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/21/2015	Rubinfeld	Lodging: A. Rubinfeld, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 4 nights	911.44	Rubinfeld not NCLR atty, overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/21/2015	Stoll	Airfare: C. Stoll, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015	515.2	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/21/2015	Stoll	Lodging: C. Stoll, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 8 nights	455.72	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/27/2015	Stoll	Meals: C. Stoll, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015	0	
4/30/2015	Stoll	Transportation: C. Stoll, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, airport to home	53.76	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/18/2015	Codell	Airfare: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, LA to Washington DC	812.09	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/18/2015	Codell	Lodging: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 3 nights	1205.25	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative

EXHIBIT G: COSTS AND EXPENSES

Date	Attorney	Expense	Amount (charged)	Objection
4/20/2015	Codell	Airfare: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, Washington DC to LA	815.10	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/20/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, airport parking	38.50	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/23/2015	Codell	Airfare: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, LA to Washington DC roundtrip	1094.20	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/23/2015	Codell	Lodging: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 6 nights	0.00	
4/29/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, hotel to airport	85.87	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/29/2015	Codell	Transportation: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, airport to home	58.26	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/29/2015	Codell	Meals: D. Codell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 4 days	0.00	
4/23/2015	Lambert	Airfare: R. Lambert, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015	226.20	Lambert not NCLR atty, overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/23/2015	Lambert	Lodging: R. Lambert, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 6 nights	465.72	Lambert not NCLR atty, overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/28/2015	Minter	Lodging: S. Minter, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 1 night	0.00	
4/26/2015	Kendell	Airfare: K. Kendell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015	0.00	
4/26/2015	Kendell	Lodging: K. Kendell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 3 nights	0.00	
4/29/2015	Kendell	Meals: K. Kendell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, 4 days	0.00	
4/29/2015	Kendell	Transportation: K. Kendell, Supreme Court hearing on 4/29/2015, airport parking	0.00	
6/21/2015	Stoll	Airfare: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, SF to DC	0.00	
6/22/2015	Stoll	Transportation: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, airport to	0.00	
6/23/2015	Stoll	Lodging: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, 9 nights	0.00	
6/27/2015	Stoll	Airfare: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, DC to SF	0.00	
6/27/2015	Stoll	Meals: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, 9 days	0.00	
6/28/2015	Stoll	Transportation: C. Stoll, Supreme Court decision in DC on 6/26/2015, airport to home	0.00	
			NCLR total: 18575.08	
			NCLR objectionable total: 18575.08	
			NCLR suggested total: 0	
08/26/2014	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Mileage - Oral Argument; J. Scott Hickman	303.52	
09/18/2014		Miscellaneous; Hotel - Cincinnati Ohio: AMERICAN EXPRESS	901.09	
03/24/2015	Farringer	Miscellaneous; Travel to D.C. on 04/26/15; John L. Farringer, IV	402.50	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
03/24/2015	Farringer	Miscellaneous; Airfare to D.C. for Supreme Court Oral Argument on 4/28/15; John L. Farringer		
03/30/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Rental car - Detroit; J. Scott Hickman	126.13	not case related-question one
03/31/2015	Harbison; Hickman	Miscellaneous; Airfare - Trip to Detroit for William L. Harbison; J. Scott Hickman	1,004.70	not case related-question one
3/31/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Airfare - Trip to Detroit for J. Scott Hickman; J. Scott Hickman	1,004.70	not case related-question one
03/31/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Mileage - travel to Louisville; J. Scott Hickman	203.55	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
04/21/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Airfare to D.C. for Supreme Court Oral Argument on 4/28/15; J. Scott Hickman	259.50	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
04/28/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Taxi from airport to hotel in DC for oral argument; J. Scott Hickman	58.19	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/05/2015	Farringer	Miscellaneous; Hotel in D.C.; John L. Farringer, IV	1,735.84	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/05/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Hotel in D.C.; J. Scott Hickman	3,037.72	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/05/2015	Hickman	Miscellaneous; Taxi cab ; J. Scott Hickman	85.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/05/2015	Farringer	Miscellaneous; Taxi; John L. Farringer, IV	17.57	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/05/2015	Farringer	Miscellaneous; Taxi; John L. Farringer, IV	21.76	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/19/2015	Harbison	Miscellaneous; Airfare to D.C. for Supreme Court Oral Argument on 4/28/15; William L. Harbison	284.50	
05/19/2015	Cramer	Miscellaneous; Airfare to D.C. for Supreme Court Oral Argument on 04/28/15; Phillip F. Cramer	259.50	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
05/19/2015	Harbison	Miscellaneous; Hotel in D.C.; William L. Harbison	3,037.72	
05/19/2015	Cramer	Miscellaneous; Hotel in D.C.; Phillip F. Cramer	2,169.80	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
10/21/2013		Courier	17.50	vague

EXHIBIT G: COSTS AND EXPENSES

<i>Date</i>	<i>Attorney</i>	<i>Expense</i>	<i>Amount (charged)</i>	<i>Objection</i>
			S&R total: 13926.09	
			S&R objectionable total: 10403.96	
			S&R suggested total: 3522.13	
		Outside Printing - Paid to: Wilson-Epes, Printing Co., Inc - Petition &	7,396.00	
		Outside Printing - Paid to: Wilson-Epes, Printing Co., Inc-Reply Brief for	1,028.00	
		Outside Printing - Paid to: Wilson-Epes, Printing Co., Inc-Brief for Petitioner	3,763.00	
		Outside Printing - Paid to: Wilson-Epes Printing Co., Inc --Joint Appendix Costs	3,701.00	
		Outside Printing - Paid to: Wilson-Epes, Printing Co., Inc - reply Brief, #14-562	1,930.00	
3/13/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Airfare - airfare, to dc - Ticket Number: 0377580843140 - Airline: USAirways	866.00	
4/15/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Airfare - Airfare -, Nashville-Memphis - 4/20/15 - Ticket Number: 0067589661627 - Airline: Delta - Start Date: 04/20/2015	842.00	
4/21/2015	Goldstein	Joshua E Goldstein - Airfare -, Jetblue tickets - Roundtrip to Washington, DC -, Ticket Number: 2797590917106 - Airline: Other -, Start Date: 04/22/2015	823.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/24/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Airfare - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Airfare , Ticket Number: 0377591912175 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/27/2015	657.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/26/2015	Goldstein	Joshua E Goldstein - Airfare - New, ticket to DC - Ticket Number: 0377592169471 -, Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/27/2015	657.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/16/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Airfare - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Airfare , Ticket Number: 0377589661982 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/22/2015	657.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/14/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Airfare - US Airways -- travel to Nashville TN, for Tanco moot court - Ticket Number: 0377589391020 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/19/2015	621.00	
4/15/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Airfare - Airfare -, Bos-DC-Nashville - 4/19/15 - Ticket Number: 0377589661619 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/19/2015	602.00	
3/20/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Airfare - airfare -, Ticket Number: 0377582794965 - Airline: USAirways	543.00	
3/16/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Airfare - New flight-- changed outbound flight, -- US Airways - fly to Louisville for Tanco case, - Ticket Number: 0377581573689 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 03/24/2015	432.00	
4/21/2015	Kellogg	Paul S Kellogg - Train - Acela while, attending client meetings in DC. - Ticket, Number: 1117322055899 - From/To: NY/DC - Start, Date: 04/23/2015	428.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/17/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Airfare - airfare -, Ticket Number: 0377581573987 - Airline: USAirways	200.00	
4/15/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Airfare - US Airways - seat change charge for return flight on April 20 -- travel to Nashville, for Tanco moot ct. - Ticket Number: 0372183847382 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/19/2015	43.00	
4/20/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Change Ticket Fee -, Airfare - Nashville-Memphis - 4/20/15 - Ticket, Number: X589909916BROWNC - Airline: USAirways -, Explanation: Change Fee	35.00	
4/24/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Airfare - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Seat, Charge - Ticket Number: 0372183944039 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/28/2015	25.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/24/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Airfare - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Seat, Charge - Ticket Number: 0372183944037 - Airline: USAirways - Start Date: 04/27/2015	25.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/22/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Train - Travel to, DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Metro Card -, Ticket Number: 306278560207 - From/To: DC/DC -, Start Date: 04/22/2015	10.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative

EXHIBIT G: COSTS AND EXPENSES

<i>Date</i>	<i>Attorney</i>	<i>Expense</i>	<i>Amount (charged)</i>	<i>Objection</i>
4/29/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Lodging - Hotel during week of oral argument prep and oral argument	3,353.00	
4/24/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Lodging - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep. Hotel	571.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/23/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Lodging - hotel	487.00	
4/29/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Lodging - Travel, to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep.	362.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/21/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Lodging - Lodging -- Hermitage Hotel Nashville, TN -- travel to Nashville for Tanco moot court	326.00	
4/21/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Lodging - Hotel	326.00	
3/26/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Lodging - hotel	306.00	
3/26/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Lodging - Lodging - Hilton Garden Inn Louisville, KY -- travel to Louisville KY for Tanco Moot, Court	301.00	
4/27/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Booking Fee -, Travel to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep., Booking Fee - Ticket Number: X591912175FLOREN	35.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/23/2015	Kellogg	Paul S Kellogg - Booking Fee -, Booking fee for Acela while attending client, meetings in DC. - Ticket Number:, X000AYFDQJKELLOG	35.00	
4/23/2015	Goldstein	Joshua E Goldstein - Booking Fee -, Booking fee - Ticket Number: X590917106GOLDST	35.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/18/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Booking Fee -, booking fee - Ticket Number: X581573987BROWNC	35.00	
4/27/2015	Goldstein	Joshua E Goldstein - Booking Fee -, New booking fee to DC - Ticket Number:, X592169175GOLDST	35.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/23/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Booking Fee -, booking fee - Ticket Number: X582794965BROWNC	35.00	
4/28/2015	Goldstein	Joshua E Goldstein - Booking Fee -, New service fee to DC - Ticket Number:, X592169471GOLDST	35.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
3/17/2015	Hallward-Driemeier	Douglas H Hallward-Driemeier -, Booking Fee - Booking fee New flight-- changed, outbound flight -- US Airways - fly to, Louisville for Tanco case - Ticket Number:, X581573689HALLWA	35.00	
4/20/2015	Florence	Justin G Florence - Booking Fee -, Travel to DC to Assist in Oral Argument Prep., Booking Fee - Ticket Number: X589661982FLOREN	35.00	overstaffing, unnecessary, duplicative
4/16/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Booking Fee -, Airfare - Nashville-Memphis - 4/20/15 - Ticket, Number: X589661627BROWNC	18.00	
4/16/2015	Brown	C. Thomas Brown - Booking Fee -, Booking Fee - Airfare - Bos-DC-Nashville -, 4/19/15 - Ticket Number: X589661619BROWNC	17.00	
			R&G total: 31663	
			R&G objectionable total: 4390	
			R&G suggested total: 28753	